

**SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

537



**FROM:** Transportation and Land Management Agency

**SUBMITTAL DATE:**  
December 5, 2003

**SUBJECT:** Amendment No. 9 to the Jacobs Contract for the Riverside County Integrated Project

**RECOMMENDED MOTION:** That the Board approve the attached Contract Amendment No. 9 with Jacobs Engineering, continue the use of County funds to advance funding for CETAP and MSHCP as discussed below, and authorize the Director of the Transportation and Land Management Agency to sign the contract amendment.

**BACKGROUND:** Contract Amendment No. 8 covered projected costs for the RCIP through September of 2003. The proposed Contract Amendment No. 9 is intended to extend the contract to project completion (except for portions of CETAP as discussed below) anticipated in early 2004. Contract Amendment No. 9 will not cover the completion of the two external corridors or the Hemet to Corona / Lake Elsinore Corridor. RCTC has entered into a new contract for the Hemet to Corona / Lake Elsinore Corridor. Riverside County and San Bernardino Counties will continue development of the San Bernardino Corridor either under an additional extension of the existing Jacobs contract or through a new contract.

Departmental Concurrence

Continued

Attachment

*Richard Lashbrook*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Richard Lashbrook  
Transportation and Land Management Agency Director

<b>FINANCIAL DATA</b>	Current F.Y. Total Cost:	\$	In Current Year Budget:	Yes
	Current F.Y. Net County Cost:	\$ 1,468,678	Budget Adjustment:	No
	Annual Net County Cost:	\$	For Fiscal Year:	

<b>SOURCE OF FUNDS:</b> \$1.090M County General Funds and \$189,300 Local Habitat Conservation Trust Funds	<b>Positions To Be Deleted Per A-30</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<b>Requires 4/5 Vote</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**C.E.O. RECOMMENDATION:**      **APPROVE**

**County Executive Office Signature** \_\_\_\_\_  
*Jerry L. Suter*

FORM APPROVED  
COUNTY CLERK  
4/25/03  
DEC 11 2003

- Dept't Recomm.:  Consent       Policy
- Per Exec. Ofc.:  Consent       Policy

03 DEC 13 09 47 33

2003 DEC 18 11:35  
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE  
RECEIVED VIA RIVERSIDE COUNTY

**Prev. Agn. Ref.:** 15.1 of 6-10-03      **District:** All      **Agenda Number:**

**15.1**

**BACKGROUND:** (Continued)

This decision is not expected until mid 2004. Riverside and Orange Counties have recently issued a joint RFP for a consultant to complete a Major Investment Study (MIS) for the Riverside to Orange County Corridor.

The attached proposed contract amendment from Jacobs would fund the completion of the RCIP except as discussed above. Included in the contract amendment package attached is a letter from Jacobs providing their justification for the increase in the contract.

With Amendment No. 9, the total amount of the contract would be decreased from \$34.2 million to approximately \$28.8 million to reflect the CETAP work that will now be taken on under separate contracts. The total amount of the contract includes \$1.1 million which has been spent on the CETAP external corridors. However, Contract Amendment No. 9 does reallocate \$381,800 in contingency under the existing contract to complete the MSHCP, General Plan and elements of CETAP that will be completed under this contract. This will result in additional General Fund costs to complete the General Plan and MSHCP.

As the prime contractor for the RCIP, the Jacobs contract covers all of the subcontractors working on the RCIP. The attachments provide detail information on each sub-consultant and the changes to their individual contracts.

Under the Amendment, the new total for CETAP internal corridors will be \$9.3 million, General Plan \$10.5 million and MSHCP \$7.8 million for a total of \$27.7 million not including external corridors. Major factors resulting in the increased costs are the extension time for the MSHCP, finalization of the General Plan and the continued work on CETAP leading up to the transition of the project to the new contracts.

No increase in the FY 2003/2004 budget for the RCIP will be required at this time. We will review funding needs with each quarterly report. To date, the total costs of the General Plan have been paid from County General Funds. The MSHCP has been funded 50% from Habitat Conservation Trust Funds generated from landfill tipping fees and 50% from Federal NCCP planning funds allocated by the State and Federal resource Agencies. The costs for the CETAP program have been funded by RCTC from a variety of state, federal and local funding sources.

**Funding for the increase in MSHCP Costs** – The Wildlife Agencies have committed \$500,000 in additional NCCP planning funds to cover one-half of the increased costs for the MSHCP through September. We are requesting an additional allocation of NCCP Planning Funds for 2003/04, however, it may be a few months before these funds are made available. In the interim we will need to use County funds to continue the project. We will attempt to recover the 50% NCCP portion when the additional funds become available. The NCCP

**BACKGROUND:** (Continued)

funds may, however, be restricted to costs incurred after the next increment of NCCP funds are approved. This could result in a portion of the 50% not being recoverable.

**Funding for the Increase in CETAP Costs** - At this time, RCTC is not able to commit to fully funding to the increase in the CETAP program. They have fully committed available local planning funds and have not identified additional funding sources that would cover the completion of the existing contract. RCTC staff believe that additional planning funds will be available at some point in the future but cannot commit the agency at this time.

To avoid delaying completion of the RCIP, it is recommended that the County continue to advance the funding for completion of the existing Jacobs contract and seek reimbursement commitments from RCTC and USF&WS.