

**SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

631



**FROM:** Waste Management Department

**SUBMITTAL DATE:**  
March 11, 2004

**SUBJECT:** Edom Hill Transfer Station (EHTS) - Second Notice to Proceed

**RECOMMENDED MOTION:**

Authorize the General Manager-Chief Engineer to issue the Second Notice to Proceed for the construction of the EHTS, in accordance with the Master Lease Agreement with Waste Management of the Desert (WMOD).

**BACKGROUND:**

On November 5, 2002, the Board executed the Master Lease Agreement with WMOD to establish a waste transfer facility at the Edom Hill landfill, as closure of the landfill in fall of 2004 will result in the need for a replacement facility to serve the western portion of the Coachella Valley waste shed. At that time, the General Manager - Chief Engineer was given the authority to issue the First Notice to Proceed with design/permitting of the facility. Design and permitting of the facility is still in progress, and WMOD (cont'd)

FORM APPROVED  
COUNTY COUNSEL  
MAR 11 2004  
BY *[Signature]*  
Departmental Concurrence

*[Signature]*

Hans Kernkamp, General Manager-Chief Engineer

<b>FINANCIAL DATA</b>	Current F.Y. Total Cost:	\$	<b>In Current Year Budget:</b>	
	Current F.Y. Net County Cost:	\$		<b>Budget Adjustment:</b>
	Annual Net County Cost:	\$		<b>For Fiscal Year:</b>
<b>SOURCE OF FUNDS:</b>			<b>Positions To Be Deleted Per A-30</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	
			<b>Requires 4/5 Vote</b> <input type="checkbox"/>	

**C.E.O. RECOMMENDATION:**

**APPROVE**

*[Signature]*

County Executive Office Signature

- Policy
- Policy
- Consent
- Consent
- Dept't Recomm.:
- Per Exec. Ofc.:

Prev. Agn. Ref.: 11/5/02 (12/2)

District: 4

Agenda Number:

12.1

**BACKGROUND (CON'T)**

will begin construction, subject to issuance of the Second Notice to Proceed by the County. It is anticipated that grading work will commence in April, 2004.

Although the Department recently learned of a proposed transfer station project being initiated by the City of Palm Springs, it is not anticipated that the City facility (if built) would significantly impact the decision to move forward with the County facility. This is primarily the result of the following considerations:

1) The original RFP and selection process by the County was clear that it was the responsibility and risk of the successful vendor to obtain waste flow to the transfer station.

2) The original RFP indicated an estimated solid waste stream for a "common RFP response planning range" at the facility and noted that a potential operating level in the range of 852 to 1,704 tons per day could be realized in 2004 (the potential loss of the City of Palm Springs and City of Desert Hot Springs waste stream is currently estimated at 300 tons per day, resulting in an initial 893 tons per day operating range)

3) The County has flow control over its unincorporated waste stream and will be able to insure that rate payers are afforded the lowest cost disposal option.

4) The commitments by the County in the City Mitigation Agreement with Cathedral City are not adversely impacted (level of funding is sufficient for the construction of the required road improvements for the County project).

PD#18640-v2