

**SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

528



FROM: Economic Development Agency

SUBMITTAL DATE:
November 7, 2005

SUBJECT: Graffiti Abatement Program – Implementation Plan

RECOMMENDED MOTION: That the Board of Supervisors:

1. Direct the Executive Office and Economic Development Agency (EDA) to budget the funds necessary to implement the program in the 2006-2007 Budget; and
2. Direct the Economic Development Agency to implement the proposed plan by June 1, 2006.

BACKGROUND: On September 27, 2005, the board authorized EDA to solicit bids for two graffiti abatement trucks and to develop a pilot program to provide graffiti abatement services to all of the unincorporated areas of Riverside County. Based on the staff analysis of existing programs and additional needs, it would be of benefit to acquire trucks and hire staff to provide graffiti abatement in western Riverside County; however, it would not be cost effective to do so in the eastern portion of the County. It is recommended two staff members be hired and two graffiti abatement vans be purchased to provide the basic services needed, and supplemental services be provided as needed through the existing

(continued on page 2)

Departmental Concurrence

RZ:JC:DL:TE

S:\RDACOM\Graffiti Abatement Program Form 11 9-27-05.doc

[Signature]
Robin Zimpfer
Assistant County Executive Officer/EDA

FINANCIAL DATA	Current F.Y. Total Cost:	\$ 220,000	In Current Year Budget:	Yes
	Current F.Y. Net County Cost:	\$ 0	Budget Adjustment:	No
	Annual Net County Cost:	\$83,000	For Fiscal Year:	2005/06

COMPANION ITEM ON BOARD OF DIRECTORS AGENDA: No

SOURCE OF FUNDS: Redevelopment Funds for current year van acquisition cost. Future year costs to be provided with Redevelopment Funds and County Funds.

Positions To Be Deleted Per A-30	<input type="checkbox"/>
Requires 4/5 Votes	<input type="checkbox"/>

C.E.O. RECOMMENDATION:

APPROVE

[Signature]
Suzana Chow

FORM APPROVED COUNTY BOARD
NOV 07 2005
BY *[Signature]*

County Executive Office Signature

- Dep't Recomm.: Policy
- Per Exec. Ofc.: Policy
- Consent
- Consent

Prev. Agn. Ref.: 3.62 (9/27/05) **District:** 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 **Agenda Number:**

3.4

Continued from page 1.

contracts with Inland Tri Tech, Valley Wide Park and Recreation District and the City of Corona in the western portion. In the eastern portion of the county, services will continue to be provided from Southern Coachella Valley CSD and Crowson Management Services at a greater cost benefit than by a program operated with county owned and staffed vans. Services provided by these contracts will be expanded to include all unincorporated areas within the Desert. Research has been conducted on the various types of trucks and vans that can be utilized for graffiti abatement. Given the size of the county and the many remote locations that need to be served, it is recommended the program be initiated with the purchase of two box vans fully equipped with a computerized paint matching system, pressure washer, wash sink and sand blaster. Currently, a number of cities in southern California are acquiring box vans such as these for their programs. The estimated cost of this type of van is \$110,000. Formal bids for the vans are due on November 22, 2005.

The time required to deliver and fully equip the vans will be approximately six months after they are ordered. It is recommended staff begin implementation of the program in June 2006 in time to handle the typical increase in graffiti that occurs as schools let out for the summer. An 800 number will be established to serve all of western Riverside County to complement the 800 number that has already been established to serve eastern Riverside County.

Funding for the program will be provided with redevelopment funds for areas located within the County's redevelopment project areas; however, an alternate source of funds such as community improvement funds, development agreement funds or County general funds would be required for those areas not within the boundaries of the redevelopment project areas. The detailed estimated cost attributable to all areas is included in the preliminary budget. In summary, the cost is estimated as follows:

Annual Staffing and Operational Costs:

	<u>Redevelopment</u>	<u>Non-Redevelopment</u>	<u>District Total</u>
1 st District	\$ 25,000 (71%)	\$ 10,000 (29%)	\$ 35,000
2 nd District	\$115,000 (81%)	\$ 26,000 (19%)	\$ 141,000
3 rd District	\$ 5,500 (15%)	\$ 30,000 (85%)	\$ 35,500
4 th District	\$ 5,000 (50%)	\$ 5,000 (50%)	\$ 10,000
5 th District	\$ 23,000 (66%)	\$ 12,000 (34%)	\$ 35,000
Total	\$173,500 (68%)	\$ 83,000 (32%)	\$ 256,500

Van Acquisition Cost: \$220,000

Redevelopment (68%): \$149,600
 Non-Redevelopment (32%): \$ 70,400

It is recommended funds for areas located outside of the redevelopment project areas be allocated as part of the 2006-2007 Budget as determined appropriate for each supervisorial district. Currently budgeted redevelopment funds can be used to front the acquisition cost of the vans and begin the program in June 2006. The proportionate share of the van cost for the non-redevelopment areas can be reimbursed to the Agency out of the funds allocated for the 2006-2007 Budget.

It is recommended that after six months of program operation, the program be evaluated and recommendations for program modifications or additional vans be made as necessary.

Graffiti Abatement Program Estimated Cost

	Problem level	Historical Annual Cost	Estimated New Cost	% of district	% of total
District 1					
RDA Areas					
Lakeland/Wildomar	3	\$ 12,000	\$ 15,000		
Mead Valley	2	\$ 5,200	\$ 6,000		
Temescal Canyon	2	\$ 3,600	\$ 4,000		
Total RDA Areas		\$ 20,800	\$ 25,000	71%	10%
Non- RDA					
Lake Matthews	1		\$ 500		
La Sierra	1		\$ 500		
Mockingbird Canyon	1		\$ 500		
Montecito Ranch	1		\$ 500		
Orangecrest	1		\$ 500		
Santa Rosa Plateau	1		\$ 500		
Sedco Hills	1		\$ 500		
Temescal Canyon - non RDA	1		\$ 3,500		
Woodcrest	1		\$ 3,000		
Total Non-RDA Areas		\$ -	\$ 10,000	29%	4%
Total 1st District		\$ 20,800	\$ 35,000		
District 2					
RDA Areas					
El Cerrito	1		\$ 500		
Home Gardens	3	\$ 20,000	\$ 20,000		
Jurupa	5	\$ 85,000	\$ 94,500		
Total RDA Areas		\$ 105,000	\$ 115,000	82%	45%
Non- RDA Areas					
Coronitas	1	\$ 1,400	\$ 2,000		
Eastvale	3		\$ 24,000		
Total Non-RDA Areas		\$ 1,400	\$ 26,000	18%	10%
Total 2nd District		\$ 106,400	\$ 141,000		
District 3					
RDA Areas					
East Hemet	0	\$ -	\$ -		
Murrieta	0	\$ -	\$ -		
Valle Vista	1	\$ 3,550	\$ 5,000		
Winchester	1	\$ -	\$ 500		
Total RDA Areas		\$ 3,550	\$ 5,500	15%	2%
Non- RDA Areas					
Aguanga	0		\$ -		
Anza	0		\$ -		
French Valley	1		\$ 5,000		
Idyllwild	0		\$ -		
Lake Riverside	0		\$ -		
Menifee	2		\$ 10,000		
Pine Cove	0		\$ -		
Pinyon Pines	0		\$ -		
Poppet Flats	0		\$ -		
Quail Valley	2		\$ 10,000		
Sun City	1		\$ 5,000		
Twin Pines	0		\$ -		

Wine Country	0	\$	-		
Total Non-RDA Areas		\$	-	\$	30,000
Total 3rd District		\$	3,550	\$	35,500

85% 12%

	Problem level	Historical Annual Cost	Estimated New Cost	% of district	% of total
District 4					
RDA Areas					
East Blythe			\$ 800		
Mecca			\$ 700		
North Shore			\$ 700		
Palm Desert Country Club			\$ 700		
Ripley			\$ 700		
Thermal			\$ 700		
Thousand Palms			\$ 700		
Total RDA Areas		\$ 2,000	\$ 5,000	50%	2%
Non-RDA					
Bermuda Dunes			\$ 1,250		
Chiriaco Summit			\$ 1,250		
Indio Hills			\$ 1,250		
Sky Valley			\$ 1,250		
Total Non-RDA Areas		\$ -	\$ 5,000	50%	2%
Total 4th District		\$ 2,000	\$ 10,000		

District 5					
RDA Areas					
Cabazon	1	\$ 2,100	\$ 4,000		
Garnet	0	\$ -	\$ -		
Green Acres	1	\$ -	\$ 2,000		
Highgrove	3	\$ 10,000	\$ 11,000		
Homeland	1	\$ 1,500	\$ 2,000		
Lakeview	1	\$ -	\$ 1,000		
Romoland	1	\$ 2,100	\$ 3,000		
West Garnet	0	\$ -	\$ -		
Total RDA Areas		\$ 15,700	\$ 23,000	66%	9%
Non- RDA Areas					
Box Springs	1		\$ 3,000		
Cherry Valley	1		\$ 2,000		
Juniper Flats	0		\$ -		
Meadowbrook	1		\$ 2,000		
Nuevo	1		\$ 1,000		
Reche Canyon	1		\$ 2,000		
Whitewater	0		\$ -		
Good Hope	1		\$ 2,000		
Total Non-RDA Areas		\$ -	\$ 12,000	34%	5%
Total 5th District		\$ 15,700	\$ 35,000		

Countywide RDA Total:	\$ 147,050	\$ 173,500	85%	12%
Countywide Non-RDA Total:	\$ 1,400	\$ 83,000	34%	5%
Countywide Total:	\$ 148,450	\$ 256,500		

**SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA**



FROM: Economic Development Agency

SUBMITTAL DATE:
September 19, 2005

SUBJECT: Graffiti Abatement Program

RECOMMENDED MOTION: That the Board authorize the Economic Development Agency to solicit bids for two graffiti abatement trucks and develop a pilot program to provide graffiti abatement services to all of the unincorporated areas of Riverside County.

BACKGROUND: Graffiti is a problem that contributes to blight, reduced property values, lack of investment, and general deterioration of communities. The Economic Development Agency currently oversees a graffiti abatement program in a number of Redevelopment Project Areas throughout the County. This program is operated through a private contract on an as-needed basis. It is proposed by Supervisor Stone that the program be expanded to include areas throughout the unincorporated County. A pilot program will be initiated with two graffiti abatement trucks to complement the existing program. Staff will solicit bids for two graffiti abatement trucks and return to the Board in forty-five days with a budget and implementation plan for the program.

Departmental Concurrence

Robin Zimpfer

RZ:JC:DL:TE
S:\RDACOM\Graffiti Abatement Program Form 11 9-27-05.doc

Robin Zimpfer
Assistant County Executive Officer/EDA

FINANCIAL DATA	Current F.Y. Total Cost:	\$ 0	In Current Year Budget:	na
	Current F.Y. Net County Cost:	\$ 0	Budget Adjustment:	na
	Annual Net County Cost:	\$ 0	For Fiscal Year:	na

COMPANION ITEM ON BOARD OF DIRECTORS AGENDA: no

SOURCE OF FUNDS: NA	Positions To Be Deleted Per A-30	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Requires 4/5 Vote	<input type="checkbox"/>

C.E.O. RECOMMENDATION:

APPROVE

Lisa Brandt

County Executive Office Signature

MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

On motion of Supervisor Stone, seconded by Supervisor Buster and duly carried, IT WAS ORDERED that the above matter is approved as recommended with staff to return on November 15, 2005 with a budget and implementation plan for the Graffiti Abatement Program.

Ayes: Buster, Stone, Wilson and Ashley
Nays: None
Absent: Tavaglione
Date: September 27, 2005
xc: EDA, COB

Nancy Romero
Clerk of the Board
By: *[Signature]*
Deputy

Prev. Agn. Ref.: | **District:** 12345 | **Agenda Number:**

Policy
 Policy
 Consent
 Consent
 Dep't Recomm.:
 Per Exec. Ofc.: