

**SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

155



FROM: Executive Office

SUBMITTAL DATE:
September 4, 2007

**SUBJECT: Response to the Grand Jury Report: Riverside County Sheriff's Department,
Corrections Division**

RECOMMENDED MOTION: That the Board of Supervisors:

1) Direct the Clerk of the Board to immediately forward the attached response to the Grand Jury, to the Presiding Judge, and the County Clerk-Recorder (for mandatory filing with the State).

BACKGROUND: On July 17, 2007, the Board directed staff to prepare a draft of the Board's response to the Grand Jury's report regarding the Riverside County Sheriff's Department –Corrections Division.

Section 933 (c) of the Penal Code requires that the Board of Supervisors comment on the Grand Jury's recommendations pertaining to the matters under the control of the Board, and that a response be provided to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court within 90 days. The attached response has been submitted by the Sheriff, an independently elected official, as the Grand Jury's recommendations specifically address issues related to the Sheriff's Department Corrections Division. The Executive Office concurs with the Sheriff's response. The Sheriff's Department will provide cost estimates for obtaining and installing Digital Video Recorders (DVR's). The Sheriff's Department and Executive Office will work together to address any budget impacts during the quarterly reports.

Gary Christmas

Gary Christmas
Deputy County Executive Officer

H:\dGRANT\gJURY\90dayresponseSheriff.Corrections8.07.doc

FINANCIAL DATA	Current F.Y. Total Cost:	\$ N/A	In Current Year Budget:
	Current F.Y. Net County Cost:	\$	Budget Adjustment:
	Annual Net County Cost:	\$	For Fiscal Year:

SOURCE OF FUNDS:	Positions To Be Deleted Per A-30	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Requires 4/5 Vote	<input type="checkbox"/>

C.E.O. RECOMMENDATION: APPROVE

BY: *Kenneth M. Mohr*
Kenneth M. Mohr

County Executive Office Signature

Dep't Recomm.: Consent Policy
Per Exec. Ofc.: Consent Policy

RECEIVED RIVERSIDE COUNTY
AUG 14 11:12 AM '07

RIVERSIDE COUNTY

BOB DOYLE, SHERIFF



Sheriff

P.O. BOX 512 • RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA 92502 • (951) 955-2400 • FAX (951) 955-2428

July 30, 2007

Honorable Richard Fields
Presiding Judge
Riverside County Superior Court
4050 Main Street
P.O. Box 431
Riverside, CA 92501

SENT VIA U.S. MAIL


Reference: Response to 2006-2007 Grand Jury Report: Riverside County Sheriff's Department Corrections Division

Dear Judge Fields:

Pursuant to California Penal Code Section 933 et. seq. please find enclosed the response of the Riverside County Sheriff's Department to the above entitled Grand Jury Report. As always, please feel free to contact me should you have any questions regarding this or any other matter. I may be reached at (951) 955-9612.

Sincerely,

BOB DOYLE, SHERIFF-CORONER



Randy Throne, Chief Deputy
Professional Standards Division

cc: Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
County of Riverside

Mr. Gary Christmas
Deputy County Executive Officer

1
2
3
4
Superior Court of California
County of Riverside

5 In the matter of,) Case No.: 2006-07 Grand Jury Report
6 2006-07 Grand Jury Report) Riverside County Sheriff's Department
7) Corrections Division
8 Riverside County Sheriff's Department,)
9 Respondent,)
10)

11 Pursuant to California Penal Code Section 933 et. seq., this shall serve as the official
12 response by the Riverside County Sheriff's Department to the Grand Jury Report entitled "2006-
13 2007 Grand Jury Report: Riverside County Sheriff's Department Corrections Division"
14 transmitted June 25, 2007. The subject matter of the report focused on the need for Digital Video
15 Recorders (DVR) at specified locations in the five jail facilities within the Riverside County
16 Sheriff's Department. The responses contained herein are limited to those of the Riverside
17 County Sheriff's Department and are not intended, by implication or otherwise, to be a response
18 by the County of Riverside.

19 **Grand Jury Finding #1**

20 Due to overcrowding and a shortage of deputies, it is important to use whatever
21 technology is available to maintain appropriate supervision.
22 Inmate complaints of inappropriate treatment by correctional staff, as well as the
23 identification of areas not covered by surveillance cameras, have demonstrated the need for
24 additional cameras, especially DVR cameras.
25 The following outlines specific locations at each county correctional facility where the
addition of cameras are needed to protect deputies and inmates, as well as protecting Riverside
County from liability and lawsuits.
1. Southwest Detention Center:
The transportation area and C, D, E, and F Pods, do not have cameras.
2. Blythe Jail Facility:

1 This facility has an area known as Holding Tank A. This area is currently housing 54
2 inmates and approximately 2/3 of the area is not observable from the entrance.

3 3. Larry D. Smith Correctional Facility, Banning:

4 The laundry, kitchen and perimeter fences do not have coverage with cameras.

5 4. Indio Jail Facility:

6 There are areas in the jail that have cameras but are not covered by DVR. These
7 include: the kitchen, several cell block locations and some corridors.

8 5. Robert Presley Detention Center, Riverside:

9 The kitchen, dayroom, and transportation areas do not have sufficient camera
10 coverage.

11 **Riverside County Sheriff's Department**

12 **Response to Grand Jury Finding #1:**


13 The Respondent agrees with this finding.

14 **Riverside County Sheriff's Department**

15 **Response to Grand Jury Recommendation #1:**

16 The Respondent concurs with this recommendation. The Riverside County Sheriff's
17 Department agrees that installing DVRs in specified locations would improve the safety of the
18 inmates and employees and that they should be installed as soon as the budgetary authorization is
19 received.

20 Submitted this 30 day of July, 2007

21 
22 _____
23 Bob Doyle, Sheriff-Coroner

24 //

25 //

//

//

//