

SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

203B



FROM: TLMA - Planning Department

SUBMITTAL DATE:  
February 21, 2008

SUBJECT: Resolution No. 2008-138 to adopt Amendment No. 2 to Specific Plan No. 266;  
Second Supervisorial District; Prado-Mira Loma Zoning District; Eastvale Area Plan.

RECOMMENDED MOTION:

**ADOPTION** of Resolution No. 2008-138, Adopting Amendment No. 2 to Specific Plan No. 266.

**BACKGROUND:** Specific Plan No. 266 Amendment No. 2 and Change of Zone No. 7480 were tentatively approved by the Board of Supervisors on November 27, 2007.

REVIEWED BY EXECUTIVE OFFICE

DATE

2/27/08

Tina Grande  
Departmental Concurrence

Ron Goldman  
Planning Director

RG:cv

Policy



Policy



Consent



Consent



Dept't Recomm.:

Per Exec. Ofc.:

Prev. Agn. Ref. ATTACHMENTS FILED District: Second Agenda Number:

3.46

2 **RESOLUTION NO. 2008-138**  
3 **ADOPTING**  
4 **AMENDMENT NO. 2 TO SPECIFIC PLAN NO. 266**  
5 **(I-15 Corridor)**

6 **WHEREAS**, pursuant to the provisions of Government Code Section 65450 et seq.; a public  
7 hearing was held before the Riverside County Board of Supervisors in Riverside, California on November  
8 20, 2007 and before the Riverside County Planning Commission in Riverside, California on October 17,  
9 2007, to consider Amendment No. 2 to Specific Plan No. 266, which specific plan was previously  
10 adopted by the Board of Supervisors pursuant to Resolution No. 93-042 (dated November 2, 1993) and  
11 thereafter amended pursuant to Resolution No. 2002-404 (dated December 23, 2002); and,

12 **WHEREAS**, all the procedures of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and the  
13 Riverside County CEQA implementation procedures have been met, and Environmental Assessment No.  
14 41241, prepared in connection with Amendment No. 2 to Specific Plan No. 266 and related cases  
15 (referred to alternatively herein as "the proposed amendment" or "the project"), is, when considered in  
16 conjunction with previously prepared Environmental Impact Report (EIR) No. 340 certified on November  
17 2, 1993 and the Environmental Assessment, sufficiently detailed so that all the potentially significant  
18 effects of the project on the environment and measures necessary to avoid or substantially lessen such  
19 effects have been evaluated in accordance with the above-referenced Act and procedures; and,

20 **WHEREAS**, the matter was discussed fully with testimony and documentation presented by the  
21 public and affected government agencies; now, therefore,

22 **BE IT RESOLVED, FOUND, DETERMINED, AND ORDERED** by the Board of  
23 Supervisors of the County of Riverside, in regular session assembled on March 11, 2008, that:

- 24 1. The proposed amendment would alter and modify the Planning Area boundaries of  
25 Planning Areas one (1) and twenty three (23).
- 26 2. The proposed amendment would alter the size of Planning Areas one (1) and twenty three  
27 (23) by transferring 7.9 gross acres from Planning Area one (1) to Planning Area twenty  
28 three (23).
3. The proposed amendment is associated with Change of Zone Case No. 7480, which was  
considered concurrently at the public hearing before the Planning Commission and Board

FORM APPROVED COUNTY COUNSEL  
BY *[Signature]* 2/28/08  
LARISSA R. MCKENNA DATE

1 of Supervisors. Change of Zone Case No. 7480 proposes text changes to the Specific Plan  
2 Zoning Ordinance for the I-15 Corridor Specific Plan to accommodate the newly defined  
3 Planning Area Boundaries for Planning Areas one (1) and twenty three (23) and implement  
4 the zoning ordinance text to reflect the revised land use plan.

5 4. The proposed amendment would be consistent and compatible with the existing adjacent  
6 land uses within the specific plan.

7 5. Environmental Assessment No. 41241 concluded that the proposed amendment would not  
8 necessitate some changes in or additions to EIR No. 340. Accordingly, a Nothing Further  
9 Required Environmental Assessment was prepared. Per CEQA, Section 15162 (a), when  
10 an EIR has been certified or negative declaration adopted for a project, no subsequent EIR  
11 shall be prepared for that project unless the lead agency determines, on substantial  
12 evidence, that a subsequent EIR is required.

13 **BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** by the Board of Supervisors that:

14 1. The proposed amendment would be consistent with the intent, design, and mitigation  
15 approved for Specific Plan No. 266.

16 2. The proposed amendment would be consistent with the applicable policies of the  
17 Comprehensive General Plan and the Eastvale Area Community Plan.

18 3. The proposed amendment would not have a significant effect on the environment.

19 **BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** by the Board of Supervisors that it has reviewed and considered  
20 Environmental Assessment No. 41241 and EIR No. 340, in evaluating Amendment No. 2 to Specific Plan  
21 No. 266 and related cases, that the Environmental Assessment and EIR are accurate and objective  
22 statements that comply with the California Environmental Quality Act and reflect the County's  
23 independent judgment, and that the Environmental Assessment and EIR are incorporated herein by  
24 reference in their entirety.

25 **BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** by the Board of Supervisors that Amendment No. 2 to Specific  
26 Plan No. 266, on file with the Clerk of the Board, including the final conditions of approval and exhibits,  
27 is hereby adopted as the Amended Specific Plan of Land Use for the real property described and shown in  
28 the plan, and said real property shall be developed substantially in accordance with the plan as amended,

1 unless the plan is repealed or further amended by the Board.

2 **BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** by the Board of Supervisors that copies of Amendment No. 2 to  
3 Specific Plan No. 266 shall be placed on file in the Office of the Clerk of the Board, in the Office of the  
4 Planning Director, and in the Office of the Building and Safety Director, and that no applications for  
5 subdivision maps, conditional use permits, or other development approvals shall be accepted for the real  
6 property described and shown in the plan, as amended, unless such applications are substantially in  
7 accordance therewith.

8 **BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** by the Board of Supervisors that the custodians of the  
9 documents upon which this decision is based are the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and the County  
10 Planning Department and that such documents are located at 4080 Lemon Street, Riverside, California.

11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23 G:\Property\MDKing\Resolutions\2008-138.SP266a2.121907.doc  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28