

SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

113B



FROM: TLMA - Planning Department

SUBMITTAL DATE:  
April 28, 2008

**SUBJECT:** CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT NO. 03406, REVISED PERMIT NO. 2 – MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION - DACE – Lower Coachella Valley Zoning District - Fourth Supervisorial District – Eastern Coachella Valley Area Plan: Agriculture: Agriculture (AG:AG) (10 Acre Minimum) – Southerly of Harrison Street, southeasterly of Middleton Street, and westerly of Tyler Street in the Oasis Community. – Controlled Development Areas (W-2) Zone – 1.66 Acres – REQUEST: The project proposes to construct and operate a 48-bed labor camp and a community kitchen/meeting facility with an accessory manager's residence/caretakers dwelling and parking area, and this revised permit will allow an addition of approximately one-half acre of land to the project area and relocate buildings and parking throughout the site.

**RECOMMENDED MOTION:**

**RECEIVE AND FILE** the Notice of Decision for the above referenced case acted on by the Planning Commission on May 19, 2008.

The Planning Department recommended Approval; and,  
**THE PLANNING COMMISSION BY 5-0 VOTE:**

**ADOPTED** of a **MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION** for **ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT NO. 41550**, based on the findings incorporated in the initial study and the conclusion that the project will not have a significant effect on the environment; and,

**APPROVED CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT NO. 03406, REVISED PERMIT NO. 2**, subject to the attached conditions of approval, and based upon the findings and conclusions incorporated in the staff report.

Ron Goldman  
Planning Director

RG:rp

REVIEWED BY EXECUTIVE OFFICE

DATE 4/29/08  
Tina Grande  
Departmental Concurrence

Policy  
 Policy

Consent  
 Consent

Dep't Recomm.:  
Per Exec. Ofc.:

Attachments Filed | District: Fourth | Agenda Number:

**BACKGROUND:**

CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT NO. 3406, REVISED PERMIT NO. 2, was heard and approved by the Planning Commission on March 19, 2008. At the hearing, no one spoke in favor or in opposition of the project. Transportation conditions for flood control were handed out. Drainage improvement projected costs and sewer survey area were also reviewed by the Planning Commission with concerns resolved through discussion.