

BY: *M. L. Victor* 11/14/08 DATE

Departmental Concurrence

**SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

432



FROM: TLMA - Transportation Department

SUBMITTAL DATE:
December 1, 2008

SUBJECT: Amendment No. 2 to the Engineering Services Agreement for Improvements on Scott Road

RECOMMENDED MOTION: That the Board approve this Amendment No. 2 to the Engineering Services Agreement between the County of Riverside and Holmes & Narver Infrastructure (now known as DMJM Harris), and authorize the Chairman of the Board to execute the same.

BACKGROUND: On June 5, 2001, the Board of Supervisors executed an agreement with Holmes and Narver Infrastructure, to provide engineering and environmental services for the widening and reconstruction of Scott Road between Interstate 215 and Winchester Road (SR 79). On July 26, 2005, the Board of Supervisors approved Amendment No. 1 to the Engineering Services Agreement which modified the scope to provide engineering and environmental services for an ultimate six lane facility between Interstate 215 and Winchester

[Signature]
Juan C. Perez
Director of Transportation

(Continued On Attached Page)

FINANCIAL DATA	Current F.Y. Total Cost:	\$ 89,877	In Current Year Budget:	Yes
	Current F.Y. Net County Cost:	\$ 0	Budget Adjustment:	No
	Annual Net County Cost:	\$ 0	For Fiscal Year:	2008/09

SOURCE OF FUNDS: Scott Road and Bridge Benefit District (100%)	Positions To Be Deleted Per A-30	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Requires 4/5 Vote	<input type="checkbox"/>

C.E.O. RECOMMENDATION: APPROVE
BY: *[Signature]*
Tina Grande

County Executive Office Signature

Policy

Consent

Dept's Recomm.:
Per Exec. Ofc.:

Prev. Agn. Ref. 6/5/01 (3.69), 7/26/05 (3.63) | District: 3 | Agenda Number:

ATTACHMENTS FILED WITH THE CLERK OF THE BOARD

3.50

The Honorable Board of Supervisors

RE: Amendment No. 2 to the Engineering Services Agreement for Improvements on Scott Road

December 1, 2008

Page 2 of 2

Road (SR 79). The proposal to expand the project to six lanes was based on the apparent fast-pace of development of various major projects between I-215 and SR-79.

At subsequent public information meetings, concerns were expressed by the adjacent residents over the construction of a six-lane project, and the suggestion was made to limit the improvements to the western end of Scott Road, where growth and traffic were most significant. Improving Scott Road to four-lanes between Antelope Road and Briggs Road provides immediate traffic relief and can serve the expected traffic demands for some time. Also, the four-lane improvements were built with less impact to the adjacent property owners, with minimal right-of-way acquisitions and in a much more timely manner than the more complicated six-lane project would have taken. In addition, due to the current housing market, selling bonds to fund the much higher cost six-lane improvements would not have been feasible.

Amendment No. 2 will provide for compensation to DMJM Harris to revise and redo the Scott Road six lane environmental and engineering work to accommodate a four lane project. The additional cost to modify the design and environmental work is \$89,877. This cost includes providing updated traffic, noise and air quality analysis, updating the biological report and amending the environmental document. In addition, the design budget is also amended to provide a design for a four lane interim roadway facility that would minimize right of way acquisitions and provide drainage analysis and design, traffic signal improvements and significant coordination with various utility companies and developers. Bid support and construction support were also added to the scope and budget.

The construction of this segment is very near final completion, and these improvements will provide a significant benefit to the City of Menifee where they now reside, as well as traffic that accesses Scott Road from the Winchester, French Valley and Hemet areas. The plans that were partially completed for the six-lane design will be used as a baseline for this future project, and also to provide specific design guidance for development that incrementally builds a portion of it.

Recommended Contract Budget Amendment No. 2:

\$ 89,877