
SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS **COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA**



FROM: Transportation Department

SUBJECT: Stipulation for Final Judgment in Condemnation for the Clinton Keith Road Extension

Project

(Continued)

RECOMMENDED MOTION: That the Board of Supervisors:

- 1. Approve the attached Stipulation for Final Judgment in Condemnation for Assessor's Parcel Number 900-020-002, formerly known as Assessor's Parcel Number 956-030-002 and authorize the Chairman of the Board to execute this document on behalf of the County;
- 2. Authorize the undersigned Director of Transportation Department or his designee to execute any other documents and administer all actions to complete this transaction; and

| | ÷ | | ACCOUNT. | THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO | Marie | Contract of the last of the la | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|----------|---|---|--|-------|
| | Juan C. Perez, Director | | | | | | |
| | | Tra | anspo | rtation | Department | t | |
| | | | | | | - | |
| | Current F.Y. Total Cos | | Ф. | 7 600 | In Current | Voor Budget | Yes |
| FINANCIAL | | | Φ | 7,600 | | Year Budget: | |
| DATA | Current F.Y. Net Coun | ity Cost: | - \$ | -0- | Budget Adj | ustment: | No |
| | Annual Net County Co | ost: | \$ | -0- | For Fiscal ` | Year: | 09/10 |
| SOURCE OF | FUNDS: Developer (| Contributions ⁻ | 10%, | TUMF | Positions | То Ве | |
| 90% | | | | | Deleted Po | er A-30 | |
| | | ΔΡΩΡΩ | ~\ /r- | | Requires 4 | 4/5 Vote | |
| C.E.O. RECOM | C.E.O. RECOMMENDATION: | | | | | | |
| | | · | | ال) دیران | IND. A | | |
| | | BY:< | <u> </u> | | Music | | |
| Tina Grande | | | | | | | |
| County Executive Office Signature | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | • | | | | | |
| , . | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Prev. Agn. Ref.: 4/22/08, 9.6; 3/18/08, 3.21

District: 3

Agenda Number: 3.67

Transportation Department
Stipulation for Final Judgment in Condemnation for the Clinton Keith Road Extension Project
March 18, 2010
Page 2

RECOMMENDED MOTION: (Continued)

3. Allocate the sum of \$7,600 to purchase Assessor's Parcel Number 900-020-002 (formerly known as Assessor's Parcel Number 956-030-002).

BACKGROUND:

Clinton Keith Road is proposed to be constructed as a six-lane urban arterial between Antelope Road and State Route 79 in Western Riverside County, in accordance with County General Plan Amendment (CGPA) 409, adopted December 19, 2000. The length of the proposed project, including the existing alignment and the extension of alignment, is approximately 3.4 miles (5.5 kilometers).

The Clinton Keith Road Extension Supplemental Environmental Impact Report No. 398 was approved by the Board of Supervisors on February 7, 2006.

During 2006-2007, the Department of Facilities Management (now known as Economic Development Agency) negotiated with Concepcion Huerta, the owner of Assessor's Parcel Number 900-020-002 (Huerta).

On March 28, 2008, the Board approved Resolution No. 2008-002, Notice of Intention to Adopt a Resolution of Necessity Regarding the Project to Improve Clinton Keith Road and Other Roads in Western Riverside County.

On April 22, 2008, the Board approved Resolution No. 2008-003, Authorizing Resolution of Necessity Regarding the Project to Improve Clinton Keith Road and Other Roads in Western Riverside County.

On October 16, 2008, the complaint was filed on behalf of the County of Riverside and the probable just compensation in the amount of \$26,150 was deposited on November 19, 2008.

The Economic Development Agency (EDA) has negotiated the acquisition through court-mediation of the portion of Assessor's Parcel Number 900-020-002 from Huerta for an all inclusive settlement amount of \$33,750. The settlement amount of \$33,750 is offset by the \$26,150 currently deposited by the County. The net settlement amount is \$7,600 (\$33,750 minus \$26,150). The following summarizes the settlement with Huerta:

Transportation Department
Stipulation for Final Judgment in Condemnation for the Clinton Keith Road Extension Project
March 18, 2010
Page 2

BACKGROUND: (Continued)

Settlement Amount (All Inclusive) \$33,750 Amount Currently on Deposit with Court (\$26,150) Total Net Settlement \$7,600

The legal services of Luce, Forward, Hamilton & Scripps, LLP have been retained under the guidance of County Counsel's approval.

FINANCIAL DATA:

The following summarizes the funding necessary for the all inclusive settlement to acquire a portion of Assessor's Parcel Number 900-020-002 (formerly known as Assessor's Parcel Number 956-030-002)

| r | | |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------|---------|
| Net Settlement Amount | (\$33,750 - \$26,150 = \$7,600) | \$7,600 |

All costs associated with this property acquisition are fully funded in the Department's budget for FY 2009/10. Thus, no net county cost will be incurred as a result of this transaction.

| 1 | John C. Murphy, State Bar No. 94192 Emily L. Madueno, State Bar No. 251721 | | Exempt From Fees Per Govt. Code § 6103 |
|----------|---|--|---|
| 2 | LUCE, FORWARD, HAMILTON & SCRIPPS I 2050 Main Street, Suite 600 | | |
| 3 | Irvine, California 92614 Telephone No. (949) 732-3700 | | |
| 4 | Fax No. (949) 732-3739 | | |
| 5 | Attorneys for plaintiff County of Riverside | | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | SUPERIOR COURT OF TH | IE STATE OF CALIFOR | NIA |
| 8 | FOR THE COUNT | ΓΥ OF RIVERSIDE | |
| 9 | | | |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 | COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, | Case No. RIC 510856 | |
| 12 | Plaintiff, | Assigned For A | All Purposes To: |
| 13 | v. | The Hon. Bernard | Schwartz, Dept. 8 |
| 14 | CONCEPCION HUERTA; et al., | IN CONDEMNATIO | |
| 15 16 | Defendants. | BETWEEN PLAINT RIVERSIDE AND DI CONCEPCION HUE | EFENDANT |
| 17 18 | | [Proposed Final Judgn Filed Concurrently] | nent In Condemnation |
| 19 | | [APN 900-020-002, for 956-030-002] | rmerly known as APN |
| 20 21 | | Complaint Filed: Trial Date: | October 17, 2008 None set |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| 26 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | | | |
| | 501019280.1 | | |
| i | CETALL LEVON DON DOLL VICE | CO COO TION TO THE TO THE TOTAL OF THE TOTAL | I |

STIPULATION FOR FINAL JUDGMENT IN CONDEMNATION

Plaintiff County of Riverside ("County"), on the one hand, and defendant Concepcion Huerta ("Huerta"), on the other hand, stipulate to the facts, terms, and conditions contained in the [Proposed] Final Judgment in Condemnation ("Final Judgment"), filed concurrently and incorporated by this reference.

The County and Huerta request that the Court enter a Final Judgment in Condemnation substantially in the form of the concurrently filed Final Judgment.

The County seeks (a) slope easement interests in the real property designated as County Parcel Numbers 0472-011A and 0472-011B, (b) a drainage easement interest in the real property designated as County Parcel Number 0472-011C, and (c) a temporary construction easement interest in the real property designated as County Parcel Number 0472-011D (collectively, "Property"), collectively representing a portion of the real property assigned Riverside County Assessor's Parcel Number 900-020-002 (formerly known as APN 956-030-002), located in the County of Riverside, State of California. The Property is described in Exhibit "A" to the Final Judgment.

It is the intent and effect of this stipulation ("Stipulation") that the Final Judgment, when signed, and the payment made pursuant thereto, shall end, finally and forever, any claims to compensation of any kind or nature which Huerta had, now has, or may assert in the future arising out of this action; the condemnation of the Property; and the project to construct public improvements consisting of a six-lane urban arterial between Antelope Road and State Route 79 in Western Riverside County, and to improve Clinton Keith Road and other roads in Western Riverside County. The County and Huerta stipulate to the Final Judgment in release and discharge by the County and Huerta of any and all claims and causes of action arising out of the events or incidents referred to in the pleadings and all other papers filed with this Court in this action.

Counsel for each of the parties to this Stipulation represents that he has fully explained to his client the legal effect of this Stipulation and Final Judgment, and that the settlement and compromise stated herein is final and conclusive forthwith, subject to the conditions stated herein, and each attorney represents that his client has freely consented to and authorized this Stipulation. Each party acknowledges that it understands the legal effect of this Stipulation and Final Judgment, and that the settlement and compromise stated herein is final and conclusive forthwith, subject to the conditions

| 1 | stated herein. Each party acknowledges that it has freely consented to this Stipulation. Each signatory |
|------------|---|
| 2 | represents that he or she is authorized to bind the person or entity upon whose behalf he or she is |
| 3 | signing. |
| 4 | This Stipulation may be enforced by any party hereto by a motion under California Code of |
| 5 | Civil Procedure section 664.6, or by any procedure permitted by law in the Superior Court of |
| 6 | Riverside County. |
| 7 | Each party hereto further waives a Statement of Decision, Notice of Entry of Judgment, costs, |
| 8 | fees and the right to appeal from Final Judgment after entry. |
| 9 | |
| 10 | DATED: 7.22, 2010 LUCE, FORWARD, HAMILTON & SCRIPPS LLP |
| 11 | |
| 12 | By: John C. Murphy |
| 13 | Attorneys for plaintiff County of Riverside |
| 14 | DATED: MAR. , 2010 LAW OFFICES OF WILLIAM L. CONTI |
| 15 | DATED, 2010 LAW OFFICES OF WILLIAM E. CONTI |
| 16 | By |
| 17 | William L. Conti Attorney for defendant Concepcion Huerta |
| 18 | Accomes for defendant conception fruertu |
| 19 | DATED:, 2010 COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE |
| 20 | |
| 21 | By: Marion Ashley |
| 22 | Chairman, Board of Supervisors |
| 23 | DATED: 3 - 17 , 2010 CONCEPCION HUERTA |
| 24 | |
| 25 | By: Concepcion Huerta Concepcion Huerta |
| 26 27 | Concepcion Huerta |
| 28 | FORM APPROVED COUNTY COUNSEL BY: GLENN R BELOIAN DATE |
| ۵۵ | |
| | STIPULATION FOR FINAL JUDGMENT IN CONDEMNATION |
| | |

| 1 | PROOF OF SERVICE |
|--------|--|
| 2 | County of Riverside v. Concepcion Huerta; et al., |
| 3 | Case No. RIC 510856; Judge: Bernard Schwartz, Dept. 8 |
| 4 5 | At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age and not a party to this action. I am employed in the County of Orange, State of California. My business address is 2050 Main Street, Suite 600, Irvine, California 92614. |
| 6 | On March, 2010, I served true copies of the following document(s) described as |
| 7 | conformed, certified and recorded copy of STIPULATION FOR FINAL JUDGMENT IN CONDEMNATION BY AND BETWEEN PLAINTIFF COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE AND DEFENDANT CONCEPCION HUERTA on the interested parties in this action as follows: |
| 8 | William L. Conti, Esq. Attorney for defendant |
| 9 10 | Law Offices of William L. Conti 330 Rancheros Dr., Suite 212 San Marcos, CA 92069-2978 |
| 11 | |
| 12 | BY MAIL: I enclosed the document(s) in a scaled envelope or package addressed to the persons at |
| 13 | the addresses listed in the Service List and placed the envelope for collection and mailing, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with Luce, Forward, Hamilton & Scripps LLP's |
| 14 | practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that the correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business |
| 15 | with the United States Postal Service, in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid. |
| 16 | I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. |
| 17 | Executed on March , 2010, at Irvine, California. |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | Jodi Sanchez |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| | 501019280.1 |

PROOF OF SERVICE

Exempt From Fees Per Govt. Code § 6103 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 FOR THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE 12 13 Case No. RIC 510856 COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, 14 Plaintiff, Assigned For All Purposes To: The Hon. Bernard Schwartz, Dept. 8 15 v. CONCEPCION HUERTA; et al., [PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT IN CONDEMNATION BETWEEN 16 17 Defendants. PLAINTIFF COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE AND DEFENDANT CONCEPCION 18 **HUERTA** 19 [Stipulation for Final Judgment in Condemnation Filed Concurrently] 20 [APN 900-020-002, formerly known as APN 956-030-002] 21 Complaint Filed: October 17, 2008 22 Trial Date: None set 23 24 25 26 27 28 501019281.1

[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT IN CONDEMNATION

Plaintiff County of Riverside ("County") on the one hand, and defendant Concepcion Huerta ("Huerta"), on the other hand, having executed a Stipulation For Final Judgment in Condemnation ("Stipulation"); having requested the Court to enter a Final Judgment in Condemnation ("Final Judgment") consistent with the Stipulation regarding the County's condemnation of the interests in real property described in the attached Exhibit "A"; and having waived a Statement of Decision, Notice of Entry of Judgment, costs and fees, and the right to appeal from judgment after entry:

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

1. The County's Action to Acquire the Property.

A. The County commenced the above-entitled action in eminent domain to acquire (a) slope easement interests in the real property designated as County Parcel Numbers 0472-011A and 0472-011B, (b) a drainage easement interest in the real property designated as County Parcel Number 0472-011C, and (c) a temporary construction easement interest in the real property designated as County Parcel Number 0472-011D (collectively, "Property"), collectively representing a portion of the real property assigned Riverside County Assessor's Parcel Number 900-020-002 (formerly known as APN 956-030-002), located in the County of Riverside, State of California.

The real property or interests in real property the County acquires by this Final Judgment are further described in the attached Exhibit "A," incorporated by this reference.

B. The Property is required for the construction of public improvements consisting of a six-lane urban arterial between Antelope Road and State Route 79 in Western Riverside County, and to improve Clinton Keith Road and other roads in Western Riverside County and required uses ("Project"), and for public uses.

2. Payment of Just Compensation.

A. The County shall pay Huerta \$33,750.00 as full and complete just compensation for the acquisition of the Property free and clear of all liens and encumbrances, including all property taxes owed. Huerta accepts the sum of \$33,750.00 as the full amount of just

compensation for Huerta's interest in the Property and for all damages resulting from the taking.

- **B.** The sum of \$33,750.00 shall consist of the following:
 - On November 19, 2008, the County deposited the sum of \$26,150.00 with the Clerk of the Superior Court of Riverside County as the amount of probable compensation for the Property. Huerta has not withdrawn the deposit.
 - The County shall deposit the sum of \$7,600.00, constituting the remaining settlement balance (\$33,750.00 minus \$26,150.00). The Clerk of the Court shall accept the \$7,600.00 deposit from the County. Within two weeks of increasing the deposit to \$33,750.00, the County shall mail notice of the deposit to Huerta through her attorney of record, William L. Conti, Esq., 330 Rancheros Drive, Suite 212, San Marcos, California 92069-2978.
- C. Huerta, having not previously withdrawn any funds on deposit, is entitled to withdraw the total sum of \$33,750.00. The Clerk of the Court shall issue a warrant in the amount of \$33,750.00, payable as follows:

William L. Conti, Client Trust 330 Rancheros Drive, Suite 212

San Marcos, California 92069-2978

Huerta agrees that she is entitled to no further compensation in this action. Huerta also agrees to accept the above payment in full settlement and compromise of this action, and agrees that such payment shall fully and forever discharge and release all claims and causes of action, whether known or unknown, which Huerta may have against the County arising from this action, this acquisition, or construction of the Project in the manner proposed.

D. After the Clerk of the Court issues a warrant in the amount of \$33,750.00 to Huerta, the County is entitled to the amount remaining on deposit, if any, plus the accrued interest. The amount of any remaining deposit, plus the accrued interest, shall be remitted to the County. The Clerk of the Court shall issue a warrant in the amount of the remaining deposit, if any, plus

The Clerk of the Court shall issue a warrant in the amount of the remaining deposit, if any, plus accrued interest, payable as follows:

County of Riverside

c/o Stephi Villanueva

3403 10th Street, Suite 500

Riverside, California 92501

- **E.** Each party shall bear its own attorneys' fees and litigation expenses.
- F. Huerta is the fee owner of the Property, and the only defendant that has any basis for compensation for the taking of the Property described above and in Exhibit "A." The County and defendant Verizon California Inc. (formerly known as and erroneously sued as General Telephone Company of California) ("Verizon") stipulated to an Interlocutory Judgment in Condemnation. The Court entered the Interlocutory Judgment on November 3, 2009. The Interlocutory Judgment, which is incorporated herein, provides, among other things, that Verizon agrees that it is entitled to no compensation to be awarded in this proceeding. All remaining defendants with a potential interest in the Property have been dismissed or defaulted, or have disclaimed any interest in the compensation to be awarded for the Property.

3. Release.

Huerta, for herself, and for all of her predecessors, successors, successors-in-interest, assigns, partners, partnerships, companies, corporations, trusts, trustees, beneficiaries, heirs, executors, and designees, and each of her agents, employees and representatives, hereby releases and forever discharges the County and all of its past, present, future agents, employees, partners, partnerships, co-ventures, owners, co-owners, contractors, subcontractors, business entities or divisions, attorneys, administrators, predecessors, successors, successors-in-interest, and assigns from any and all liability whatsoever and waives all claims and defenses in this matter, including, but not limited to, claims, if any, for:

- Any further award or payment, and any portion of any award or payment for the taking of the Property;
- Any damages resulting from the taking, including, but not limited to,

severance damages, loss of goodwill, loss of or damage to improvements pertaining to the realty, fixtures, and equipment, and/or inventory; and

• Any claim for inverse condemnation, precondemnation damages, claims for interest, attorneys' fees, litigation expenses, relocation benefits and/or costs, and any and all other kinds of compensation, damage, causes of action or other claims arising out of this action and/or the Project and/or the condemnation by the County of the Property.

4. Condemnation of Huerta's Interest.

Upon payment as described in Section 2, Huerta's interest in and to the Property shall be condemned to the County for the public uses and purposes comprising the Project as follows:

- Slope easement interests in the real property designated as County Parcel Numbers 0472-011A and 0472-011B;
- A drainage easement interest in the real property designated as County Parcel Number 0472-011C; and
- A temporary construction easement interest in the real property designated as County Parcel Number 0472-011D.

Title to these interests in the Property shall vest in the County on the date that a Final Order of Condemnation is recorded by the Recorder's Office of the County of Riverside, California.

5. <u>Purpose and Authority of the Taking.</u>

- A. The County is and was authorized and entitled to exercise the power of eminent domain for public uses under Article I, section 19, of the California Constitution; California Government Code section 25350.5; and Part 3, Title 7 of the California Code of Civil Procedure, including sections 1240.010, 1240.020, 1240.030, 1240.040, 1240.110, 1240.120, 1240.140, 1240.410, 1240.510, and 1240.610.
- **B.** The use for which the County seeks to condemn the Property, to wit, in connection with the Project and for road improvement purposes, is and was authorized by law and is and was a public use; the public interest, safety and necessity require the Project; the Project is and was planned and located in the manner that will be the most compatible with the greatest

| A general release does not extend to claims which the creditor does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release, which if known by him or her must have materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor. (Civ. Code, § 1542.) 7. Entry of Final Judgment and Final Order. | 0 | | |
|--|----|---------------|---|
| This settlement includes an express waiver of California Civil Code section 1542, provides: A general release does not extend to claims which the creditor does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release, which if known by him or her must have materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor. (Civ. Code, § 1542.) 7. Entry of Final Judgment and Final Order. Upon entry of this Final Judgment and after payment of the sums in Section 2, the shall, on application of any party and without further notice to any party, make a Final Orden Condemnation. DATED: Judge of the Superior Court Judge of the Superior Court | 1 | public good | and the least private injury; and the Property is necessary for the Project. |
| A general release does not extend to claims which the creditor does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release, which if known by him or her must have materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor. (Civ. Code, § 1542.) 7. Entry of Final Judgment and Final Order. Upon entry of this Final Judgment and after payment of the sums in Section 2, the shall, on application of any party and without further notice to any party, make a Final Order. Condemnation. DATED: Judge of the Superior Court Judge of the Superior Court | 2 | 6. | Waiver. |
| A general release does not extend to claims which the creditor does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release, which if known by him or her must have materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor. (Civ. Code, § 1542.) 7. Entry of Final Judgment and Final Order. Upon entry of this Final Judgment and after payment of the sums in Section 2, the shall, on application of any party and without further notice to any party, make a Final Order. Condemnation. DATED: Judge of the Superior Court Judge of the Superior Court | 3 | This | settlement includes an express waiver of California Civil Code section 1542, which |
| not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release, which if known by him or her must have materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor. (Civ. Code, § 1542.) 7. Entry of Final Judgment and Final Order. Upon entry of this Final Judgment and after payment of the sums in Section 2, the shall, on application of any party and without further notice to any party, make a Final Ordendemnation. DATED: Judge of the Superior Court Judge of the Superior Court | 4 | provides: | |
| executing the release, which if known by him or her must have materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor. (Civ. Code, § 1542.) 7. Entry of Final Judgment and Final Order. Upon entry of this Final Judgment and after payment of the sums in Section 2, the shall, on application of any party and without further notice to any party, make a Final Orden. Condemnation. DATED: Judge of the Superior Court Judge of the Superior Court | 5 | | A general release does not extend to claims which the creditor does |
| materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor. (Civ. Code, § 1542.) 7. Entry of Final Judgment and Final Order. Upon entry of this Final Judgment and after payment of the sums in Section 2, the shall, on application of any party and without further notice to any party, make a Final Ordentalist. DATED: Judge of the Superior Court Judge of the Superior Court | 6 | | not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of |
| (Civ. Code, § 1542.) 7. Entry of Final Judgment and Final Order. Upon entry of this Final Judgment and after payment of the sums in Section 2, the shall, on application of any party and without further notice to any party, make a Final Orden Condemnation. DATED: Judge of the Superior Court Judge of the Superior Court | 7 | | executing the release, which if known by him or her must have |
| To any party of Final Judgment and Final Order. Upon entry of this Final Judgment and after payment of the sums in Section 2, the shall, on application of any party and without further notice to any party, make a Final Order. Condemnation. DATED: | 8 | | materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor. |
| Upon entry of this Final Judgment and after payment of the sums in Section 2, the shall, on application of any party and without further notice to any party, make a Final Oricondemnation. DATED: Judge of the Superior Court Judge of the Superior Court | 9 | (Civ. Code, | § 1542.) |
| shall, on application of any party and without further notice to any party, make a Final Or Condemnation. DATED: Judge of the Superior Court Judge of the Superior Court | 10 | 7. | Entry of Final Judgment and Final Order. |
| Condemnation. DATED: Judge of the Superior Court Judge of the Superior Court 22 23 24 25 26 27 | 11 | Upor | n entry of this Final Judgment and after payment of the sums in Section 2, the Court |
| DATED: | 12 | shall, on app | plication of any party and without further notice to any party, make a Final Order of |
| DATED: | 13 | Condemnation | on. |
| 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 | 14 | : | |
| 17 Judge of the Superior Court Judge of the Superior Court 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 | 15 | DATED: | |
| Judge of the Superior Court 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 | 16 | | |
| 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 | 17 | | Judgo of the Superior Court |
| 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 | 18 | | Judge of the Superior Court |
| 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 | 19 | | |
| 22 23 24 25 26 27 | 20 | | |
| 23 24 25 26 27 | | | |
| 24 25 26 27 | | | |
| 25 26 27 | | | |
| 26 27 | | | |
| 27 | | | |
| | 1 | | |
| 28 | | | |
| | 28 | | |
| 501019281.1 5 [PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT IN CONDEMNATION | | 501019281.1 | |

EXHIBIT "A" LEGAL DESCRIPTION PARCEL 0472-011A

AN EASEMENT FOR SLOPE PURPOSES LYING WITHIN LOT 4 OF TRACT 15,285-1, ON FILE IN BOOK 140, PAGES 57 THROUGH 61, INCLUSIVE, OF MAPS, RECORDS OF THE RECORDER OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA, LYING WITHIN THE NORTHWEST ONE-QUARTER OF SECTION 1, TOWNSHIP 7 SOUTH, RANGE 3 WEST, SAN BERNARDINO MERIDIAN, DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGINNING AT THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID LOT 4, SAID CORNER BEING ON THE SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF CLINTON KEITH ROAD (HAVING A SOUTHERLY HALF-WIDTH OF 55.00 FEET) AS DEDICATED ON SAID TRACT 15285-1;

THENCE S 89°31'02"E ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, A DISTANCE OF 236.28 FEET;

THENCE S 66°07'17"W, A DISTANCE OF 67.94 FEET:

THENCE S 77°43'43"W, A DISTANCE OF 142.73 FEET;

THENCE N 73°15'37"W, A DISTANCE OF 36.58 FEET TO A POINT OF INTERSECTION WITH SAID WESTERLY LINE OF LOT 4;

THENCE N 00°25'30"E ALONG SAID WESTERLY LINE OF LOT 4, A DISTANCE OF 49.30 FEET TO THE POINT OF BEGINNING.

CONTAINING: 8,875 SQUARE FEET, OR 0.204 ACRES, MORE OR LESS.

THE BEARINGS AND DISTANCES USED IN THE ABOVE DESCRIPTION ARE BASED ON THE CALIFORNIA COORDINATE SYSTEM OF 1983, ZONE 6. MULTIPLY DISTANCES SHOWN BY 1.000099080 TO OBTAIN GROUND DISTANCE.

REFERENCE IS HEREBY MADE TO RIVERSIDE COUNTY MAP NUMBER 935-TT, ON FILE IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY SURVEYOR OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.

SEE ATTACHED EXHIBIT "B"

No. 5705 Exp. 09-30-07

APPROVED BY:

DATE:

26




EXHIBIT "A" LEGAL DESCRIPTION PARCEL 0472-011B

AN EASEMENT FOR SLOPE PURPOSES LYING WITHIN LOT 4 OF TRACT 15,285-1, ON FILE IN BOOK 140, PAGES 57 THROUGH 61, INCLUSIVE, OF MAPS, RECORDS OF THE RECORDER OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA, LYING WITHIN THE NORTHWEST ONE-QUARTER OF SECTION 1, TOWNSHIP 7 SOUTH, RANGE 3 WEST, SAN BERNARDINO MERIDIAN, DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

COMMENCING AT THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID LOT 4, SAID CORNER BEING ON THE SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF CLINTON KEITH ROAD (HAVING A SOUTHERLY HALF-WIDTH OF 55.00 FEET) AS DEDICATED ON SAID TRACT 1 5285-1:

THENCE'S 00°25'30"W ALONG THE WESTERLY LINE OF SAID LOT 4, A DISTANCE OF 55.00 FEET TO A POINT OF INTERSECTION WITH THE SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF CLINTON KEITH ROAD (HAVING A SOUTHERLY HALF-WIDTH OF 55.00 FEET) AS SHOWN ON SAID TRACT MAP:

THENCE S 89°31'02"E ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, A DISTANCE OF 369.50 FEET TO THE TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING:

THENCE S 89°31'02"E, CONTINUING ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE A DISTANCE OF 343.63 FEET;

THENCE S 76°50'10"W, A DISTANCE OF 24.63 FEET;

THENCE S 87°06'20"W, A DISTANCE OF 97.26 FEET;

THENCE N 80°49'20"W, A DISTANCE OF 55.60 FEET;

THENCE S 75°54'57'W, A DISTANCE OF 65.81 FEET;

THENCE S 89°02'40'W, A DISTANCE OF 56.68 FEET;

THENCE N 65°27'17"W, A DISTANCE OF 51.78 FEET TO THE TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING.

CONTAINING: 3,697 SQUARE FEET, OR 0.085 ACRES, MORE OR LESS.

THE BEARINGS AND DISTANCES USED IN THE ABOVE DESCRIPTION ARE BASED ON THE CALIFORNIA COORDINATE SYSTEM OF 1983, ZONE 6. MULTIPLY DISTANCES SHOWN BY 1.000099080 TO OBTAIN GROUND DISTANCE.

REFERENCE IS HEREBY MADE TO RIVERSIDE COUNTY MAP NUMBER 935-TT, ON FILE IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY SURVEYOR OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.

SEE ATTACHED EXHIBIT "B"


No. 5705 Exp. 05-30-01

APPROVED BY:

DATE:

7-28-06

Page 1 of 1






EXHIBIT "A" LEGAL DESCRIPTION PARCEL 0472-011C

AN EASEMENT FOR DRAINAGE PURPOSES LYING WITHIN LOT 4 OF TRACT 15,285-1, ON FILE IN BOOK 140, PAGES 57 THROUGH 61, INCLUSIVE, OF MAPS, RECORDS OF THE RECORDER OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA, LYING WITHIN THE NORTHWEST ONE-QUARTER OF SECTION 1, TOWNSHIP 7 SOUTH, RANGE 3 WEST, SAN BERNARDINO MERIDIAN, DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

COMMENCING AT THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID LOT 4, SAID CORNER BEING ON THE SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF CLINTON KEITH ROAD (HAVING A SOUTHERLY HALF-WIDTH OF 55.00 FEET) AS DEDICATED ON SAID TRACT 15285-1;

THENCE S 00°25'30"W ALONG THE WESTERLY LINE OF SAID LOT 4, A DISTANCE OF \$5.00 FEET TO A POINT OF INTERSECTION WITH THE SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF CLINTON KEITH ROAD (HAVING A SOUTHERLY HALF-WIDTH OF 55.00 FEET) AS SHOWN ON SAID TRACT 1\$.285-1;

THENCE S 89°31'02"E ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, A DISTANCE OF 240.06 FEET TO THE TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING:

THENCE S 89°31'02°E, CONTINUING ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE A DISTANCE OF 93.52 FEET;

THENCE S 45°43'15"W, A DISTANCE OF 35.00 FEET:

THENCE S 89°34'26'W, A DISTANCE OF 37.42 FEET;

THENCE N 50°35'03"W, A DISTANCE OF 40.17 FEET TO THE TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING.

CONTAINING: 1,634 SQUARE FEET, OR 0.038 ACRES, MORE OR LESS.

THE BEARINGS AND DISTANCES USED IN THE ABOVE DESCRIPTION ARE BASED ON THE CALIFORNIA COORDINATE SYSTEM OF 1983, ZONE 6. MULTIPLY DISTANCES SHOWN BY 1.000099080 TO OBTAIN GROUND DISTANCE.

REFERENCE IS HEREBY MADE TO RIVERSIDE COUNTY MAP NUMBER 935-TT, ON FILE IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY SURVEYOR OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.

SEE ATTACHED EXHIBIT "B"

APPROVED BY:

DATE: 1-28-00

No. 57CS
EXP. 09-3C-07

AND SURJECTED BY

No. 57CS

EXP. 09-3C-07

ATTE OF CALEOR




EXHIBIT "A" LEGAL DESCRIPTION PARCEL 0472-011D

AN EASEMENT FOR TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES LYING WITHIN LOT 4 OF TRACT 15.285-1, ON FILE IN BOOK 140, PAGES 57 THROUGH 61, INCLUSIVE, OF MAPS, RECORDS OF THE RECORDER OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA, LYING WITHIN THE NORTHWEST ONE-QUARTER OF SECTION 1, TOWNSHIP 7 SOUTH, RANGE 3 WEST, SAN BERNARDINO MERIDIAN, DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

COMMENCING AT THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID LOT 4, SAID CORNER BEING ON THE SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF CLINTON KEITH ROAD (HAVING A SOUTHERLY HALF-WIDTH OF 55.00 FEET) AS DEDICATED ON SAID TRACT 1 5285-1;

THENCE S 00°25'30"W ALONG THE WESTERLY LINE OF SAID LOT 4, A DISTANCE OF 49.30 FEET TO THE TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING:

THENCE S 73°15'37"E, A DISTANCE OF 36.58 FEET;

THENCE N 77°43'43°E, A DISTANCE OF 142.73 FEET;

THENCE N 66°07'17"E, A DISTANCE OF 67.94 FEET TO A POINT OF INTERSECTION WITH SAID SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF CLINTON KEITH ROAD;

THENCE S 89°31'02"E ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, A DISTANCE OF 3.78 FEET;

THENCE S 50°35'03"E, LEAVING SAID SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, A DISTANCE OF 40.17 FEET;

THENCE N 89°34'26"E, A DISTANCE OF 37.42 FEET;

THENCE N 45°43'15"E, A DISTANCE OF 35.00 FEET TO A POINT OF INTERSECTION WITH SAID SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE;

THENCE S 89°31'02"E ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, A DISTANCE OF 35.93 FEET;

THENCE S 65°27'17"E, LEAVING SAID SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, A DISTANCE OF 51.78 FEET;

THENCE N 89°02'40"E, A DISTANCE OF 56.68 FEET;

THENCE N 75°54'57"E, A DISTANCE OF 65.81 FEET;

THENCE S 80°49'20"E, A DISTANCE OF 55.60 FEET;

THENCE N 87°06'20"E, A DISTANCE OF 97.26 FEET;

THENCE N 76°50'10"E, A DISTANCE OF 24.63 FEET TO A POINT OF INTERSECTION WITH SAID SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE;

THENCE S 89°31'02'E ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, A DISTANCE OF 48.77 FEET TO AN ANGLE POINT THEREIN:

THENCE S 21°12'01"E CONTINUING ALONG SAID SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, A DISTANCE OF 3.51 FEET;

EXHIBIT "A" LEGAL DESCRIPTION PARCEL 0472-011D (CONTINUED)

THENCE S 76°49'35"W, LEAVING SAID SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, A DISTANCE OF 73.87 FEET;

THENCE S 87°06'20"W, A DISTANCE OF 100,20 FEET;

THENCE N 80°49'20"W, A DISTANCE OF 54.10 FEET:

THENCE S 75°54'57"W, A DISTANCE OF 64.45 FEET;

THENCE S 89°02'40"W, A DISTANCE OF 61.80 FEET;

THENCE N 65°27'17"W, A DISTANCE OF 51.97 FEET TO A POINT 15.00 FEET SOUTHERLY OF, AS MEASURED AT RIGHT ANGLES TO SAID SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE OF CLINTON KEITH ROAD;

THENCE N 89°31'02"W, PARALLEL WITH SAID SOUTHERLY RIGHT-OF-WAY LINE, A DISTANCE OF 26.55 FEET:

THENCE S 45°43'15"W, A DISTANCE OF 34.87 FEET;

THENCE S 89°34'26"W, A DISTANCE OF 48.90 FEET;

THENCE N 50°35'03"W, A DISTANCE OF 38.11 FEET;

THENCE S 66°07'17"W, A DISTANCE OF 62.88 FEET;

THENCE S 77°43'43"W, A DISTANCE OF 148.14 FEET;

THENCE N 73°15'37"W, A DISTANCE OF 36.07 FEET TO A POINT OF INTERSECTION WITH SAID WESTERLY LINE OF LOT 4;

THENCE N 00°25'30"E ALONG SAID WESTERLY LINE OF LOT 4, A DISTANCE OF 15.63 FEET TO THE TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING:

CONTAINING: 11,731 SQUARE FEET, OR 0.269 ACRES, MORE OR LESS.

THE BEARINGS AND DISTANCES USED IN THE ABOVE DESCRIPTION ARE BASED ON THE CALIFORNIA COORDINATE SYSTEM OF 1983, ZONE 6. MULTIPLY DISTANCES SHOWN BY 1.000099080 TO OBTAIN GROUND DISTANCE.

REFERENCE IS HEREBY MADE TO RIVERSIDE COUNTY MAP NUMBER 935-TT. ON FILE IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY SURVEYOR OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA.


APPROVED BY: Not click


DATE: 7-28-06


Page 2 of 2

No. 5705 xp 09-36-0

OF CA







| 1 | PROOF OF SERVICE | |
|----------|---|--|
| 2 | County of Riverside v. Concepcion Huerta; et al., | |
| 3 | Case No. RIC 510856; Judge: Bernard Schwartz, Dept. 8 | |
| 4 | At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age and not a par | ty to this action. I am |
| 5 | employed in the County of Orange, State of California. My business addressuite 600, Irvine, California 92614. | ess is 2030 Main Sueet |
| 6 | On March, 2010, I served true copies of the following do | cument(s) described as |
| 7 | conformed, certified and recorded copy of [PROPOSED] FINA CONDEMNATION BETWEEN PLAINTIFF COUNTY OF DEFENDANT CONCEPCION HUERTA on the interested parties in this | RIVERSIDE AND s action as follows: |
| 8 | William L. Conti, Esq. Attorney for defendant | |
| 9 | Law Offices of William L. Conti Concepcion Huerta Concepcion Huerta | |
| 10 | San Marcos, CA 92069-2978 | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | BY MAIL: I enclosed the document(s) in a sealed envelope or package at the addresses listed in the Service List and placed the envelope for | ddressed to the persons |
| 13 | following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with Luce Scripps LLP's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for many statements. | e, Forward, Hamilton & ailing. On the same day |
| 14 15 | that the correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposite of business with the United States Postal Service, in a sealed envelope with | d in the ordinary course |
| 16 | I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State | of California that the |
| 17 | foregoing is true and correct. | |
| 18 | Executed on March, 2010, at Irvine, California. | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | Jodi Sanchez | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |
| | 501019281.1 | |

PROOF OF SERVICE