SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA



SUBMITTAL DATE: August 3, 2010

FROM: Supervisor Marion Ashley and Supervisor Bob Buster

SUBJECT: Approval of Letter to U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Concerning March Air Reserve Base Management Area

RECOMMENDED MOTION: That the Board of Supervisors Authorize the Chairman of the Board to Execute the Attached Letter to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

BACKGROUND: In fall of 2009, the Center for Biological Diversity filed a lawsuit in U.S. District Court (San Diego) against the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Center for Biological Diversity v. Bartel, Case No. 09-cv-1864-JAH, SD CA) challenging the exchange of the March Management Area for land included within the Potrero site acquired by the Riverside County Habitat Conservation Agency, the federal Bureau of Land Management and the State of California. The parties have now proposed to enter into a settlement agreement which re-instates the March Management Area as a reserve for the Stephens' kangaroo rat. This area is currently proposed for development. As indicated in the draft letter, this action will also have an adverse effect on certain identified projects within the unincorporated area and employment opportunities.

As a result of the proposed settlement agreement, the March Joint Powers Authority (JPA) has filed a motion in court to intervene as a party in the litigation. If the motion is granted, the March JPA will be a party to the litigation. Attorneys for the March JPA have requested that the County execute the attached letter opposing the terms of the settlement agreement. Attached is a copy of the proposed settlement agreement and the draft letter for your consideration.

	Bob Buster Supervisor – District 1	Marion Ashley Supervisor – District 5	
Policy			
consent			

Per Exec. Ofc.

Consent

Prev. Agn. Ref.:

306 Bustu

District:

Agenda Number:

Marin Aslelea

3.73



Board of Supervisors

District 1

Bob Buster 951-955-1010

District 2

John F. Tavaglione

951-955-1020

District 3

Jeff Stone 951-955-1030

District 4

John J. Benoit 951-955-1040

District 5

Marion Ashley 951-955-1050

Jim Bartel
Field Supervisor
United States Fish and Wildlife Service
6010 Hidden Valley Road, Suite 101
Carlsbad, CA 92009

Re: Long-term Stephens' Kangaroo Rat Habitat Conservation Plan Core Reserve Exchange

Dear Mr. Bartel:

The County of Riverside (the "County") wishes to formally express its strong opposition to both the approval, and the terms, of the settlement agreement recently proposed in the lawsuit Center for Biological Diversity v. Bartel (Case No. 09-cv-1864-JAH, SD CA). The County adamantly opposes the settlement agreement, which proposes to set aside a land exchange that took place for the benefit of the Stephens' kangaroo rat ("SKR") nearly seven years ago, in part because it would result in the unacceptable delay of several important projects planned by the County and others with little or no benefit to the SKR. According to the draft settlement agreement, the United States Fish and Wildlife Service ("Service") would be obligated to rescind the approval of the land exchange within 30-days of the agreement being authorized by the Court. This land exchange should not be set aside because it carefully balanced the conservation needs of the SKR and the economic needs of Western Riverside County. The exchange was based on trade criteria developed over many years and approved by the Service after careful and thorough review, as reflected in a number of environmental documents. The Service's 2003 authorization applied this stringent criteria in a detailed analysis set out in the Service's December 2003 letter approving the exchange. Thus, extensive time and public money have been spent to satisfy the established trade criteria, and the land exchange should not be set aside.

Moreover, rescinding approval of the exchange would have a severe impact on the community at a time when residents are struggling. Most immediately, setting aside the land exchange could adversely impact the following projects:

• The Van Buren Boulevard Widening Project — This project involves the widening of Van Buren Boulevard, a major arterial thoroughfare that transects the former SKR management area. Rescission of the land exchange would not only delay this project, which is required to maintain appropriate traffic circulation levels of service, but it would also result in the delay of important projects on land south of Van Buren Boulevard. Those projects are conditioned on the Van Buren Boulevard Widening Project being under construction or financially assured prior to the commencement of construction. Widening Van Buren Boulevard necessarily encroaches into the former SKR management area and, therefore, rescission of the land exchange would present a substantial hurdle to commencing construction of the project and getting people back to work.

- Community Sports Park Project This project involves the construction of a 60-acre community park that will be generally located north of Van Buren Boulevard, and west of the Barton Road alignment. While this project is not subject to County approval, it is one which presents construction and maintenance employment opportunities within the County. Furthermore, it provides important recreational opportunities for our residents.
- Barton Road Extension Another important regional circulation project is the extension of Barton Road between Alessandro and Van Buren Boulevards. Not only would this project provide access for the community sports park, but it would also provide much needed traffic relief for the Mission Grove residential area and Grove Community Church.

The County unquestionably understands the importance of species conservation. Indeed, it has been a national leader on this issue, playing an integral role in the development and approval of the Long-Term SKR Habitat Conservation Plan, the Western Riverside County Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan and the Coachella Valley Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan. However, the County is also keenly aware of the importance of developing new jobs in the current economic recession as well as providing adequate traffic circulation and other public facilities. Setting aside the 2003 trade out would only exacerbate these problems and stall the very projects that will help lift the County out of this recession. Moreover, these projects will not come at the expense of species conservation as the overwhelming weight of the evidence demonstrates that the land exchange authorized in 2003 provides superior benefits to the long-term protection of the SKR. Finally, the County objects to the fact that the settlement agreement was agreed to by the federal government without the input, and over the objections of the property owner of the former SKR management area, namely the March Joint Powers Authority.

For the reasons described herein, the County opposes rescission of the trade out and urges the Service to seriously reconsider its position with respect to the proposed settlement agreement.

Very truly yours,

Marion Ashley, Chairman of the Board

Riverside County Board of Supervisors - District Five

1	Jonathan Evans (CA Bar No. 247376)		
2	John Buse (CA Bar No. 163156) CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY		
2	351 California St., Suite 600		
3	San Francisco, CA 94104		
	Telephone: (415) 436-9682 x 318		
4	Fax: (415) 436-9683		
5	Email: jevans@biologicaldiversity.org Attorneys for Plaintiffs	•	
,	retorneys for Figure 118		
6	IGNACIA S. MORENO, Assistant Attorney Go	enera	ıl
_	JEAN E. WILLIAMS, Section Chief		
7	SETH M. BARSKY, Assistant Section Chief		
8	BRADLEY H. OLIPHANT, Trial Attorney (CA	A Ba	r
J	No. 216468)		
9	United States Department of Justice		
10	Environment & Natural Resources Division		
10	Wildlife & Marine Resources Section		
11	Ben Franklin Station, P.O. Box 7369		
	Washington, DC 20044-7369		
12	Phone: (202) 305-0500 Fax: (202) 305-0275		
13	Email: bradley.oliphant@usdoj.gov		
13	Email: bradiey.onphant@usdoj.gov		
14	JOANNA K. BRINKMAN, Trial Attorney (IL	Bar	
. ~	No. 6299174)		
15	United States Department of Justice		
16	Environment & Natural Resources Division		
	Natural Resources Section		
17	Ben Franklin Station, P.O. Box 663		
18	Washington, D.C. 20044-0663		
	Phone: (202) 305-0476		
19	Fax: (202) 305-0267 Email: joanna.brinkman@usdoj.gov		
	Attorneys for Defendants		
20	recorder 5 for Defendants		
21	UNITED STATES	DIS	TRICT COURT
_	SOUTHERN DISTR	ICT	OF CALIFORNIA
22	CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY		
23	and SAN BERNARDING VALLEY		
	AUDUBON SOCIETY,		CASE NO. 09-cv-1864-JAH-POR
24	77.		
25	Plaintiffs,		SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT
23	v.		SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT
26		-	
	JIM BARTEL, Field Supervisor for the		
27	Carlsbad Office of the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, the UNITED STATES		
28	FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE, and KEN		
.0			00 10/4 1411 000
	SETTLEMENT	1	09-cv-1864 JAH POR

2	Defendants.		
3			
4	Plaintiffs, Center for Biological Diversity and San Bernardino Valley Audubon Society,		
5	and Defendants, Jim Bartel, Field Supervisor for the Carlsbad Office of the United States Fish		
6	and Wildlife Service, the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, and Ken Salazar, Secretary of		
7	the Interior, by and through their undersigned counsel, state as follows:		
8	WHEREAS, Jim Bartel is the Field Supervisor for the Carlsbad Office of the United		
9	States Fish and Wildlife Service, and is the federal official charged with implementation of the		
10	Endangered Species Act ("ESA") in the region, including the March Stephens' Kangaroo Rat		
11	Management Area ("March SKR Management Area");		
12	WHEREAS, the United States Fish and Wildlife Service ("Service") is an agency within		
13	the Department of Interior which has been delegated responsibility for implementing the ESA		
14	including proposed and final listing and critical habitat decisions, the handling of petitions for		
15	such listings, and the decisions to consult on the impacts to endangered species;		
16	WHEREAS, Ken Salazar is the Secretary of the Interior ("Secretary"), and is the federal		
17	official charged with listing species as endangered or threatened and supervising the consultation		
18	requirements under the ESA;		
19	WHEREAS, the March SKR Reserve was first established in June of 1990 as preserved		
20	habitat, and the larger March SKR Management Area was established thereafter in 1991;		
21	WHEREAS, the Stephens' Kangaroo Rat Habitat Conservation Plan ("SKR HCP") was		
22	adopted, and an incidental take permit was issued, in 1996;		
23	WHEREAS, on August 27, 2009, Plaintiffs initiated this lawsuit against the Defendants,		
24	alleging a failure to reinitiate consultation by preparing a new biological opinion under the		
25	Endangered Species Act, 16 U.S.C. §§ 1531 et seq. ("ESA"), and a failure to conduct		
26	environmental analysis under the National Environmental Policy Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 4321 et seq.		
27	("NEPA"), prior to authorizing the release of land dedicated in perpetuity for the conservation of		
28	the Stephens' kangaroo rat ("SKR") for commercial development;		

SALAZAR, Secretary of the Interior,

WHEREAS, the parties, through their authorized representatives, and without any admission or final adjudication of the issues of fact or law with respect to Plaintiffs' claims, have reached a settlement;

NOW, THEREFORE, the parties hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

- 1. Within thirty (30) days of entry of an Order on this Settlement Agreement ("Agreement"), the Service shall rescind the approval of the release of the March SKR Management Area by letter to the original addressees of the December 29, 2003, approval, thus restoring the March SKR Management Area as a preserve under the SKR HCP and subjecting the March SKR Management Area to the restrictions applicable to preserve lands under the Service's ESA section 10(a)(1)(B) permit and the SKR HCP.
- 2. Should a release of the March SKR Management Area be considered again in the future, the Service agrees to the following:
 - a. comply with NEPA as appropriate, with the form and content of any NEPA analysis depending on the proposed action
 - initiate consultation as appropriate under ESA section 7 prior to any such release, and follow the section 7 regulations and the Endangered Species
 Consultation Handbook in undertaking that consultation
 - c. provide Plaintiffs and the public with any necessary notice under the NEPA and ESA regulations.

Any action to challenge a subpart of this Paragraph must be pursued in a new lawsuit under the judicial review provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. §§ 701-706. The parties agree that such a challenge to actions in this subpart may not be pursued in the form of an action to enforce this settlement.

3. Either party may seek to modify the deadline specified in Paragraph 1 for good cause shown, consistent with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. In the event that either party believes the other party has failed to comply with any term or condition of this Agreement, except the terms in paragraph 2 which provides for separate recourse, the parties shall use the dispute resolution procedures specified in Paragraph 4 below.

- 4. The Order entering this Agreement may be modified by the Court upon good cause shown, consistent with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, by (i) written stipulation between the parties filed with and approved by the Court, or (ii) upon written motion filed by one of the parties and granted by the Court. At least 30 days prior to filing any motion to enforce this Agreement, except paragraph 2, the party contemplating the motion must bring its claimed breach to the attention of the other party, in writing, and make a good faith effort to resolve the dispute informally within 30 days thereafter. The parties agree not to seek to invoke the contempt powers of the district court for any alleged breach of this Agreement.
- 5. This Agreement has no precedential value and may not be used as evidence in any litigation against Defendants. In any other judicial or administrative proceeding, this Agreement may not be used to present or imply any position of the Defendants with regard to the SKR Management Area, except to show that the Service has rescinded its approval of the release of the SKR Management Area and that, under its ESA section 10(a)(1)(B) permit, the Service again considers the SKR Management Area to be part of the reserves under the SKR HCP.
- 6. No party shall use this Agreement or the terms herein as evidence that the Defendants are required to initiate consultation under the ESA or perform environmental analysis under NEPA in any other proceeding involving the March SKR Management Area.
- 7. No provision of this Agreement shall be interpreted as, or constitute, a commitment or requirement that Defendants take action in contravention of the ESA, NEPA, the Administrative Procedure Act ("APA"), or any other law or regulation, either substantive or procedural. Nothing in this Agreement shall be construed to limit or modify the discretion accorded to Defendants by the ESA, NEPA, the APA, or general principles of administrative law with respect to the procedures to be followed in making any determination required herein, or as to the substance of any final determination.
- 8. Nothing in this Agreement shall be interpreted as, or shall constitute, a requirement that Defendants are obligated to pay any funds exceeding those available, or take

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

San Francisco, CA 94104

i	
1	Telephone: (415) 436-9682 x318 Fax: (415) 436-9683
2	Email: jevans@biologicaldiversity.org
3	Attorneys for Plaintiff
4	IGNACIA S. MORENO, A.A.G. JEAN E. WILLIAMS, Section Chief
5	s/Bradley H. Oliphant
6	BRADLEY H. OLIPHANT, Trial Attorney (Cal. Bar No. 216468)
7	Wildlife & Marine Resources Section Ben Franklin Station, P.O. Box 7369
8	Washington, DC 20044-7369 Phone: (202) 305-0500
9	Fax: (202) 305-0275
10	Email: <u>bradley.oliphant@usdoj.gov</u>
11	JOANNA K. BRINKMAN, Trial Attorney (IL Bar No. 6299174)
12	Ù.S. Department of Justice Environment & Natural Resources Division
13	Natural Resources Section Ben Franklin Station, P.O. Box 663
14	Washington, D.C. 20044-0663 Phone: (202) 305-0476
15	Fax: (202) 305-0267 Email: joanna.brinkman@usdoj.gov
16	Attorney for Defendants
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
ĺ	

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 2 3 CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY 4 and SAN BERNARDINO VALLEY AUDUBON SOCIETY, CASE NO. 09-cv-1864-JAH-POR 5 Plaintiffs, 6 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 7 JIM BARTEL, Field Supervisor for the Carlsbad Office of the United States Fish and 8 Wildlife Service, the UNITED STATES FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE, and KEN 9 SALAZAR, Secretary of the Interior, 10 Defendants. 11 I hereby certify that on April 22, 2010, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk 12 of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such to the attorneys of 13 record. 14 15 s/ Jonathan Evans JONATHAN EVANS 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

SETTLEMENT

09-cv-1864 JAH POR