

FORM APPROVED COUNTY COUNSEL
 BY: Marsha L. Victor 7/28/10
 DATE

**SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE
 REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY
 COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

760



FROM: Redevelopment Agency

SUBMITTAL DATE:
 July 29, 2010

SUBJECT: Reject Bids and Approve Plans and Specifications for the Thermal Water and Sewer Improvements Project

RECOMMENDED MOTION: That the Board of Directors:

1. Reject the six bids received for the construction of the Thermal Water and Sewer Improvements Project (Project);
2. Approve the revised plans and specifications for the project; and
3. Authorize the Clerk of the Board to advertise Notice Inviting Bids for the Project.

BACKGROUND: On May 25, 2010, the Board of Directors approved the plans and specifications for the Thermal Water and Sewer Improvements Project and authorized the Clerk of the Board to advertise for bids. The bid opening was held on June 24, 2010 and six bids were submitted.

(Continued)

Robert Field

Robert Field
 Executive Director

FINANCIAL DATA	Current F.Y. Total Cost:	\$ 0	In Current Year Budget:	Yes
	Current F.Y. Net County Cost:	\$ 0	Budget Adjustment:	No
	Annual Net County Cost:	\$ 0	For Fiscal Year:	2010/11

COMPANION ITEM ON BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AGENDA: No

SOURCE OF FUNDS: N/A	Positions To Be Deleted Per A-30	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Requires 4/5 Vote	<input type="checkbox"/>

C.E.O. RECOMMENDATION:

APPROVE

BY: Serena Chow
 Serena Chow

County Executive Office Signature

Dep't Recomm.: Consent Policy
 Per Exec. Ofc.: Consent Policy

Prev. Agn. Ref.: 4.3 of 5/25/10; 3.50, 4.9 of 7/21/09; 4.1 of 4/29/08; 4.1 of 11/25/05; 4.1 of 10/25/05
District: 4
Agenda Number:

ATTACHMENTS FILED
 WITH THE CLERK OF THE BOARD

4.3
 RDA-001a-F11.doc

BACKGROUND: (Continued)

A bid protest was subsequently received by Agency which raised substantive issues with the apparent low bid, making it non-responsive.

There were several bidders at the job walk that did not subsequently bid on this project. Because the low bids that were received were substantially below the engineer's estimate, given the current bidding climate staff believes it is in the best interests of the Agency to reject all bids, incorporate clarifications into the bid specifications from the previous round of bids, and rebid the project.

County Counsel has reviewed and approved the specifications as to form. Therefore RDA staff recommends that the Board reject all bids, approve the revised Plans and Specifications for the Project, and authorize the Clerk of the Board to advertise the Notice Inviting Bids.

Attachments

Specifications
Notice Inviting Bids