

SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

703B



FROM: TLMA - Planning Department

SUBMITTAL DATE:  
November 10, 2010


**SUBJECT: CHANGE OF ZONE NO. 7563, TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP NO. 35596** – Intent to Adopt a Mitigated Negative Declaration – Applicant: Salvatore Lunetto – Engineer/Representative: Inland Valley Development Consultants - Third Supervisorial District – Rancho California Zoning Area - Southwest Area Plan: Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC: EDR) (2 Acre Minimum) – Location: Northerly of Vino Way, easterly of Calle Del Vinedos, and westerly of Anza Road – 5.2 Gross Acres - Zoning: Rural Residential (R-R) – **REQUEST:** The Change of Zone proposes to change the zoning classification for the subject property from Rural Residential (R-R) to Residential Agricultural – 2½ Acre Minimum (R-A-2½). The Tentative Parcel Map is a Schedule H subdivision of 5.2 acres into two (2) residential parcels with a minimum parcel size of two and one-half (2½) acres.

**RECOMMENDED MOTION:**

The Planning Department recommended Approval; and,  
**THE PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDS:**

**ADOPTION** of a **MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION** for **ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT NO. 41522**, based on the findings incorporated in the initial study and the conclusion that the project will not have a significant effect on the environment; and,

**APPROVAL** of **CHANGE OF ZONE NO. 7563**, amending the zoning classification for the subject property from Rural Residential (R-R) to Residential Agricultural (R-A-2½) (2½ Acre Minimum) in accordance with Exhibit #3, based upon the findings and conclusions incorporated in the staff report, pending final adoption of the Zoning Ordinance by the Board of Supervisors;

  
Carolyn Syms Lurja  
Planning Director

Initials:  
CSL:vc  
D.M.

(continued on attached page)

Dep't Recomm.: ☒ Policy  
Per Exec. Ofc.: ☒ Policy

Prev. Agn. Ref.

District: Third

Agenda Number:

16.2

The Honorable Board of Supervisors

Re: CHANGE OF ZONE NO. 7563, TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP NO. 35596

Page 2 of 2

and,

**APPROVAL** of **TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP NO. 35596**, subject to the attached conditions of approval, and based upon the findings and conclusions incorporated in the staff report.

**PLANNING COMMISSION  
MINUTE ORDER JULY 14, 2010  
EASTERN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT**

- I. **AGENDA ITEM 8.2: CHANGE OF ZONE NO. 7563, TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP NO. 35596** – Intent to Adopt a Mitigated Negative Declaration – Applicant: Salvatore Lunetto – Engineer/Representative: Inland Valley Development Consultants - Third Supervisorial District – Rancho California Zoning Area - Southwest Area Plan: Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC: EDR) (2 Acre Minimum) – Location: Northerly of Vino Way, easterly of Calle Del Vinedos, and westerly of Anza Road – 5.2 Gross Acres - Zoning: Rural Residential (R-R) (Quasi-judicial)

II. **PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

The Change of Zone proposes to change the zoning classification from Rural Residential (R-R) to Residential Agricultural – 2 ½ Acre Minimum (R-A-2 ½). The Tentative Parcel Map proposes a Schedule H subdivision of 5.2 acres into two (2) residential parcels with a minimum parcel size of two and one-half (2½) acres.

III. **MEETING SUMMARY**

The following staff presented the subject proposal:

Project Planner: Wendell Bugtai at 951-955-2419 or email [wbugtai@rctlma.org](mailto:wbugtai@rctlma.org).

The following spoke in favor of the subject proposal:

Jack W. Bishop, Applicant's Representative, 31953 Casa Lane, Wildomar, CA 92595

Sal Lunetto, The Applicant, 39840 Calle De Vinedos Temecula, CA 92591, Did not wish to speak and gave his 3 min to Jack Bishop

There were no speakers in a neutral position or in opposition of the subject proposal.

IV. **CONTROVERSIAL ISSUES**

NONE

V. **PLANNING COMMISSION ACTION**

The Planning Commission, by a vote of 5-0; recommended to the Board of Supervisors;

**ADOPTION** of a **MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION** for **ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT NO. 41522**, based on the findings incorporated in the initial study and the conclusion that the project will not have a significant effect on the environment; and,

**APPROVAL** of **CHANGE OF ZONE NO. 7563**, amending the zoning classification for the subject property from Rural Residential (R-R) to Residential Agricultural (R-A-2 ½ ) (2 ½ Acre Minimum) and,

**APPROVAL** of **TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP NO. 35596**, subject to the attached conditions of approval, and based upon the findings and conclusions incorporated in the staff report.

VI. **CD**

The entire discussion of this agenda item can be found on CD. For a copy of the CD, please contact Desiree Bowie, Interim Planning Commission Secretary, at (951) 955-0222 or E-mail at [dbowie@rctlma.org](mailto:dbowie@rctlma.org)

Agenda Item No.: 7. 2  
Area Plan: Southwest  
Zoning Area: Rancho California  
Supervisory District: Third  
Project Planner: Wendell Bugtai  
Planning Commission: July 14, 2010

Change of Zone No. 7563  
Tentative Parcel Map No. 35596  
E.A. Number: EA 41522  
Applicant: Salvatore Lunetto  
Engineer/Representative:  
Inland Valley Development Consultants

## COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE PLANNING DEPARTMENT STAFF REPORT

### PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION:

**Change of Zone No. 7563** proposes to change the existing zoning classification from Rural Residential (R-R) to Residential Agricultural – 2 ½ Acre Minimum (R-A-2 ½)

**Tentative Parcel Map No. 35596** proposes a Schedule H subdivision of 5.2 gross acres into two (2) residential parcels with a minimum parcel size of 2.5 acres.

The project site is located northerly of Vino Way, easterly of Calle Del Vinedos, westerly of Anza Road and within the Southwest Area Plan.

### SUMMARY OF FINDINGS:

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1. Existing General Plan Land Use (Ex. #5):    | Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR) (2 Acre Minimum)   |
| 2. Surrounding General Plan Land Use (Ex. #5): | Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR) (2 Acre Minimum) to the north, east, south and west  |
| 3. Existing Zoning (Ex. #2):                   | Rural Residential (R-R)   |
| 4. Proposed Zoning:                            | Residential Agricultural (R-A-2 ½) (2 ½ Acre Minimum)   |
| 4. Surrounding Zoning (Ex. #2):                | Rural Agricultural (R-A-2)(2 Acre Minimum) to the north, east and south, Rural Residential (R-R) and Rural Agricultural (R-A-2)(2 Acre Minimum) to the west |
| 5. Existing Land Use (Ex. #1):                 | Two (2) Single Family Residences, Detached Garage, Tool Shed, Freestanding Carport, Animal Cages and Vineyard   |
| 6. Surrounding Land Use (Ex. #1):              | Single Family Residences to the north and south, Vacant Land to the east  |
| 7. Project Data:                               | Total Acreage: 5.2 Gross Acres<br>Total Proposed Lots: 2<br>Proposed Min. Lot Size: 2.5 Acres<br>Schedule: H  |
| 8. Environmental Concerns:                     | See attached environmental assessment   |

**RECOMMENDATIONS:**

**ADOPTION** of a **MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION** for **ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT NO. 41522**, based on the findings incorporated in the initial study and the conclusion that the project will not have a significant effect on the environment; and,

**APPROVAL** of **CHANGE OF ZONE NO. 7563**, amending the zoning classification for the subject property from Rural Residential (R-R) to Residential Agricultural (R-A-2 ½ ) (2 ½ Acre Minimum) and,

**APPROVAL** of **TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP NO. 35596**, subject to the attached conditions of approval, and based upon the findings and conclusions incorporated in the staff report.

**CONCLUSIONS:**

1. The proposed project is in conformance with the Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR) (2 Acre Minimum) Land Use Designation, and with all other elements of the Riverside County General Plan.
2. The proposed project is consistent with the Residential Agricultural (R-A-2 ½) (2 ½ Acre Minimum) proposed zoning classification of Ordinance No. 348, and with all other applicable provisions of Ordinance No. 348.
3. The proposed project is consistent with the Schedule H map requirements of Ordinance No. 460, and with other applicable provisions of Ordinance No. 460.
4. The public's health, safety, and general welfare are protected through project design.
5. The proposed project is conditionally compatible with the present and future logical development of the area.
6. The proposed project will not have a significant effect on the environment.
7. The proposed project will not preclude reserve design for the Multi-Species Habitat Conservation Plan (MSHCP).

**FINDINGS:** The following findings are in addition to those incorporated in the summary of findings and in the attached environmental assessment, which is incorporated herein by reference.

1. The project site is designated Rural Community:Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR) (2 Acre Minimum) in the Southwest Area Plan.
2. The proposed use, residential parcels with a minimum of 2.5 Acres, is a permitted use in the Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR) (2 Acre Minimum) designation.
3. The project site is surrounded by properties which are designated Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR) (2 Acre Minimum).

4. The existing zoning for the subject site is Rural Residential (R-R). The proposed zoning for the subject site is Residential Agricultural (R-A-2 ½) (2 ½ Acre Minimum).
5. The proposed use, a Schedule H parcel map, will be consistent with the development standards set forth in the proposed zoning of Residential Agricultural (R-A-2 ½) (2 ½ Acre Minimum).
6. The project site is surrounded by properties which are zoned Rural Agricultural (R-A-2)(2 Acre Minimum) to the north, east and south, Rural Residential (R-R) and Rural Agricultural (R-A-2)(2 Acre Minimum) to the west.
7. Similar residential uses have been constructed and are operating in the project vicinity.
8. A portion of this project is located within Criteria Area Cell Group E, Cell Number 6395 of the Multi-Species Habitat Conservation Plan, and as such the Planning Department has conferred with the Environmental Programs Department and was determined that HANS was not required for the subject property. This project fulfills those requirements.
9. Environmental Assessment No. 41522 identified the following potentially significant impacts:
  - a. Biological Resources
  - b. Cultural Resources
  - c. Hydrology/Water Quality
  - d. Utilities/Service Systems

These listed impacts will be fully mitigated by the measures indicated in the environmental assessment, conditions of approval, and attached letters. No other significant impacts were identified.

#### **INFORMATIONAL ITEMS:**

1. As of this writing, no letters, in support or opposition have been received.
2. The project site is not located within:
  - a. The city of sphere of influence.
  - b. The March Joint Powers Authority jurisdiction.
  - c. In a Tribal Land.
  - d. A specific plan.
  - e. An Agricultural Preserve.
  - f. An Airport Influence Area or Airport Compatibility Zone.
  - g. A Flood Plain.
  - h. A Fault Zone.
  - i. An area for potential liquefaction.
3. The project site is located within:
  - a. The boundaries of the Southwest Area Plan.
  - b. An area susceptible to subsidence.
  - c. An area with High Paleontological Sensitivity (High A).
  - d. The Temecula Valley Unified School District.
  - e. County Service Area No. 149

- f. Zone B of Lighting Ordinance No. 655.
  - g. A Cell Criteria Area of the WRMSHCP.
  - h. A High Fire Area.
- 4. The subject site is currently designated as Assessor's Parcel Number 943-200-026.
- 5. This project was filed with the Planning Department on 8/14/07.
- 6. This project was reviewed by the Land Development Committee two times on the following dates:  
April 10, 2008, and March 26, 2009.
- 7. Deposit Based Fees charged for this project, as of the time of staff report preparation, total  
\$21,499.30.




# RIVERSIDE COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT

**CZ07563 PM35596**  
**VICINITY/POLICY AREAS**

Supervisor Stone  
District 3

Date Drawn: 4/20/2010  
Vicinity Map



**CITRUS VINEYARD RURAL POLICY AREA**

Zoning Area: Rancho California  
Township/Range: T7SR2W  
Section: 22

Assessors Bk. Pg. 943-20  
Thomas Bros. Pg. 929 J7  
Edition 2009



DISCLAIMER: On October 7, 2003, the County of Riverside adopted a new General Plan providing new land use designations for unincorporated Riverside County parcels. The new General Plan may contain different types of land use than is provided for under existing zoning. For further information, please contact the Riverside County Planning Department offices in Riverside at (951) 955-3200 (Western County) or in Indio at (760) 833-8277 (Eastern County) or website at <http://www.lima.ca.gov/index.cfm>






# RIVERSIDE COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT

## CZ07563 PM35596

Supervisor Stone  
District 3

**LAND USE**

Date Drawn: 4/20/2010  
Exhibit 1



Zoning Area: Rancho California  
Township/Range: T7SR2W  
Section: 22

Assessors Bk. Pg. 943-20  
Thomas Bros. Pg. 929 J7  
Edition 2009



0 225 450 900 1,350 1,800 Feet

DISCLAIMER: On October 7, 2003, the County of Riverside adopted a new General Plan providing new land use designations for unincorporated Riverside County parcels. The new General Plan may contain different types of land use than is provided for under existing zoning. For further information, please contact the Riverside County Planning Department offices in Riverside at (951) 951-3200 (Western County), or in Indio at (760) 863-6277 (Eastern County) or website at <http://www.riverside.ca.us/index.html>




# RIVERSIDE COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT

**CZ07563 PM35596**

**PROPOSED ZONING**

Supervisor Stone  
District 3

Date Drawn: 4/20/2010  
Exhibit 3



Zoning Area: Rancho California  
Township/Range: T7SR2W  
Section: 22

Assessors Bk. Pg. 943-20  
Thomas Bros. Pg. 929 J7  
Edition 2009



DISCLAIMER: On October 7, 2003, the County of Riverside adopted a new General Plan providing new land use designations for unincorporated Riverside County parcels. The new General Plan may contain different types of land use than is provided for under existing zoning. For further information, please contact the Riverside County Planning Department offices in Riverside at (951) 959-3200 (Western County), or in Indio at (760) 863-8277 (Eastern County) or website at <http://www.irma.co.riverside.ca.us/index.html>


# RIVERSIDE COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT

## CZ07563 PM35596

### EXISTING GENERAL PLAN

Supervisor Stone  
District: 3

Date Drawn: 4/20/2010  
Exhibit 5



Zoning Area: Rancho California  
Township/Range: T7SR2W  
Section: 22

Assessors Bk. Pg. 943-20  
Thomas Bros. Pg. 929 J7  
Edition 2009



DISCLAIMER: On October 7, 2003, the County of Riverside adopted a new General Plan providing new land use designations for unincorporated Riverside County parcels. The new General Plan may contain different types of land use than is provided for under existing zoning. For further information, please contact the Riverside County Planning Department offices in Riverside at (951) 955-3200 (Western County), or in Indio at (760) 863-8277 (Eastern County) or website at <http://www.ilma.co.riverside.ca.us/index.html>.



# CHANGE OF ZONE

## CASE NO. 7563

### OWNER/APPLICANT:

SALVATORE LUNETTO  
39140 CALLE DE VARELOS  
TAMOCULA, CA. 92582  
PHONE: (951) 694-1763

### SITE ADDRESS:

SALVATORE LUNETTO  
39140 CALLE DE VARELOS  
TAMOCULA, CA. 92582  
PHONE: (951) 694-1763

### ASSESSOR'S PARCEL MAP NO.

943-200-026

### LEGAL DESCRIPTION:

PORTION PARCEL 1 OF PARCEL MAP 9639 RECORDED IN P.M. BOOK 50,  
PAGES 72-73 IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER, COUNTY OF  
RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

### AREA CALCULATIONS:

TOTAL GROSS ACRES: 5.47 AC  
TOTAL NET ACRES: 3.88 AC

### THOMAS BROTHERS:

THOMAS GUIDE RIVERSIDE COUNTY (2007) PAGE 959 -J-1

### SPECIFIC PLAN DESIGNATION:

NONE

### PROPOSED LAND USE DESIGNATIONS:

RANCHO CALIFORNIA AREA PLAN  
RANCHO CALIFORNIA AREA - EDR


EXISTING: R-R  
PROPOSED: R-A-2 1/2

### UTILITY COMPANIES:

WATER: WELL  
SEWER: SEPTIC SYSTEM  
GAS: PROPANE  
ELECTRIC: SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON CO.  
CABLE: NONE  
TELEPHONE: VERIZON  
SCHOOL DISTRICT: TEMECULA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

### FEMA DESIGNATION

NOT IN A FLOOD ZONE



### REV. DATE REVISIONS:

REV.	DATE	REVISIONS

INLAND VALLEY DEVELOPMENT CONSULTANTS  
3255 GLEN LANE  
MILPITAS, CA. 95035 (951) 405-6005

DRAWN BY: JHE

CHECKED BY: JHE

DATE PREPARED: MAY 2007

SHEET 1 OF 1 SHEETS

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE  
CHANGE ON ZONE CASE  
NO. 7563





# COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

## ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM: INITIAL STUDY

**Environmental Assessment (E.A.) Number:** 41522

**Project Case Type (s) and Number(s):** Change of Zone No. 7563, Tentative Parcel Map No. 35596

**Lead Agency Name:** County of Riverside Planning Department

**Address:** 4080 Lemon Street, 9<sup>th</sup> Floor, P.O. Box 1409, Riverside, CA 92502-1409

**Contact Person:** Wendell Bugtai, Project Planner

**Telephone Number:** (951) 955-9075

**Applicant's Name:** Salvatore Lunetto

**Applicant's Address:** 39840 Calle Del Vinedos, Temecula, CA 92591

**Engineer's Name:** Inland Valley Development Consultants

**Engineer's Address:** 31953 Cash Lane, Wildomar, CA 92595

### I. PROJECT INFORMATION

#### A. Project Description:

**Change of Zone No. 7563** proposes to change the existing zoning classification from Rural Residential (R-R) to Residential Agricultural – 2½ Acre Minimum (R-A-2½).

**Tentative Parcel Map No. 35596** proposes a Schedule H subdivision of 5.42 gross acres into two (2) residential parcels with a minimum parcel size of 2.5 acres.

**B. Type of Project:** Site Specific ☒; Countywide ☐; Community ☐; Policy ☐.

**C. Total Project Area:** 5.2 Gross Acres

**Residential Acres:** 5.2

**Lots:** 2

**Units:** 2

**Projected No. of Residents:** 6

**Commercial Acres:** N/A

**Lots:** N/A

**Sq. Ft. of Bldg. Area:** N/A

**Est. No. of Employees:** N/A

**Industrial Acres:** N/A

**Lots:** N/A

**Sq. Ft. of Bldg. Area:** N/A

**Est. No. of Employees:** N/A

**Other:** N/A

**D. Assessor's Parcel No(s):** 943-200-026

**E. Street References:** The project site is located northerly of Vino Way, easterly of Calle Del Vinedos, and westerly of Anza Road.

**F. Section, Township & Range Description or reference/attach a Legal Description:**  
Section 22 23, Township 7 South, Range 2 West

**G. Brief description of the existing environmental setting of the project site and its surroundings:** The topography of the site is relatively flat on the western ¾ of the site with rolling hills and a steep decline on the eastern ¼ of the site. The western portion of the site is significantly disturbed with a single family residence and associated outbuildings, ornamental landscaping, and vineyards. A broad low-gradient, sandy wash identified as part of Santa Gertrudis Creek is located along the eastern boundary of the project site. This drainage is considered jurisdictional by various local, regional, state, and federal resource agencies. Vegetation on the eastern ¼ of the project site consists of coastal sage scrub and riparian habitat. Surrounding land uses include single family residences on lots of two acres or more to the north, west, and south and vacant land to the east.



## **II. APPLICABLE GENERAL PLAN AND ZONING REGULATIONS**

### **A. General Plan Elements/Policies:**

1. **Land Use:** The proposed project meets the requirements of the Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (2 Acre Minimum) (RC:EDR) general plan land use designation. The project meets all other applicable land use policies.
2. **Circulation:** Adequate circulation facilities exist to serve the proposed project. The proposed project meets all other applicable circulation policies of the General Plan.
3. **Multipurpose Open Space:** No natural open space land was required to be preserved within the boundaries of this project. The proposed project meets with all other applicable Multipurpose Open Space Element policies. A portion of this project is located within Criteria Area Cell Group E, Cell Number 6395 of the Multi-Species Habitat Conservation Plan, and as such the Planning Department has conferred with the Environmental Programs Department and was determined that HANS was not required for the subject property. This project fulfills those requirements.
4. **Safety:** The project site is not located in a fault zone or in a high fire area. No potential for liquefaction exists. It is within ½ mile of Santa Gertrudis Fault and is susceptible to subsidence. The proposed project has allowed for sufficient provision of emergency response services should they be necessary. The proposed project meets all other applicable Safety Element policies.
5. **Noise:** Sufficient mitigation against any foreseeable noise sources in the area have been provided for in the design of the project. The proposed project meets all other applicable Noise Element policies.
6. **Housing:** The proposed project meets with all applicable Housing Element policies.
7. **Air Quality:** The proposed project has been conditioned to control any fugitive dust during grading and construction activities. The proposed project meets all other applicable Air Quality Element policies.

**B. General Plan Area Plan(s):** Southwest Area Plan

**C. Foundation Component(s):** Rural Community

**D. Land Use Designation(s):** Estate Density Residential (EDR)

**E. Overlay(s), if any:** N/A

**F. Policy Area(s), if any:** N/A

### **G. Adjacent and Surrounding:**

1. **Area Plan(s):** Southwest Area Plan
2. **Foundation Component(s):** Rural Community
3. **Land Use Designation(s):** Estate Density Residential (EDR)

4. Overlay(s): N/A

5. Policy Area(s), if any: Citrus Vineyard Rural Policy Area to the south

#### H. Adopted Specific Plan Information

1. Name and Number of Specific Plan, if any: N/A

2. Specific Plan Planning Area, and Policies, if any: N/A

I. Existing Zoning: Rural Residential (R-R)

J. Proposed Zoning, if any: Residential Agricultural – 2½ Acre Minimum (R-A-2½)

K. Adjacent and Surrounding Zoning: Residential Agricultural – 2½ Acre Minimum (R-A-2½) to the north and east, Residential Agricultural – 2 Acre Minimum (R-A-2) to the south, and Rural Residential (R-R) and Residential Agricultural – 2½ Acre Minimum (R-A-2½) to the west

### III. ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED

The environmental factors checked below ( x ) would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" or "Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

- |  |   |   |
|--|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Aesthetics                      | <input type="checkbox"/> Hazards & Hazardous Materials      | <input type="checkbox"/> Public Services                      |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Agriculture Resources           | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hydrology/Water Quality | <input type="checkbox"/> Recreation                           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Air Quality                     | <input type="checkbox"/> Land Use/Planning                  | <input type="checkbox"/> Transportation/Traffic               |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Biological Resources | <input type="checkbox"/> Mineral Resources                  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Utilities/Service Systems |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Cultural Resources   | <input type="checkbox"/> Noise                              | <input type="checkbox"/> Other                                |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Geology/Soils                   | <input type="checkbox"/> Population/Housing                 | <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory Findings of Significance   |

### IV. DETERMINATION

On the basis of this initial evaluation:

#### A PREVIOUS ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/NEGATIVE DECLARATION WAS NOT PREPARED

☐ I find that the proposed project **COULD NOT** have a significant effect on the environment, and a **NEGATIVE DECLARATION** will be prepared.

☒ I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project, described in this document, have been made or agreed to by the project proponent. **A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION** will be prepared.

☐ I find that the proposed project **MAY** have a significant effect on the environment, and an **ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT** is required.

#### A PREVIOUS ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/NEGATIVE DECLARATION WAS PREPARED

☐ I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, **NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED** because (a) all potentially significant effects of the proposed project have been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or Negative Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards, (b) all potentially significant effects of the proposed project have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or Negative Declaration, (c) the

proposed project will not result in any new significant environmental effects not identified in the earlier EIR or Negative Declaration, (d) the proposed project will not substantially increase the severity of the environmental effects identified in the earlier EIR or Negative Declaration, (e) no considerably different mitigation measures have been identified and (f) no mitigation measures found infeasible have become feasible.

☐ I find that although all potentially significant effects have been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or Negative Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards, some changes or additions are necessary but none of the conditions described in California Code of Regulations, Section 15162 exist. An **ADDENDUM** to a previously-certified EIR or Negative Declaration has been prepared and will be considered by the approving body or bodies.

☐ I find that at least one of the conditions described in California Code of Regulations, Section 15162 exist, but I further find that only minor additions or changes are necessary to make the previous EIR adequately apply to the project in the changed situation; therefore a **SUPPLEMENT TO THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT** is required that need only contain the information necessary to make the previous EIR adequate for the project as revised.

☐ I find that at least one of the following conditions described in California Code of Regulations, Section 15162, exist and a **SUBSEQUENT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT** is required: (1) Substantial changes are proposed in the project which will require major revisions of the previous EIR or negative declaration due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects; (2) Substantial changes have occurred with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken which will require major revisions of the previous EIR or negative declaration due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects; or (3) New information of substantial importance, which was not known and could not have been known with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time the previous EIR was certified as complete or the negative declaration was adopted, shows any the following: (A) The project will have one or more significant effects not discussed in the previous EIR or negative declaration; (B) Significant effects previously examined will be substantially more severe than shown in the previous EIR or negative declaration; (C) Mitigation measures or alternatives previously found not to be feasible would in fact be feasible, and would substantially reduce one or more significant effects of the project, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measures or alternatives; or, (D) Mitigation measures or alternatives which are considerably different from those analyzed in the previous EIR or negative declaration would substantially reduce one or more significant effects of the project on the environment, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measures or alternatives.

  
Signature

April 20, 2010

Date

Wendell Bugtai, Project Planner  
Printed Name

For Ron Goldman, Planning Director

## V. ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES ASSESSMENT

In accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (Public Resources Code Section 21000-21178.1), this Initial Study has been prepared to analyze the proposed project to determine any potential significant impacts upon the environment that would result from construction and implementation of the project. In accordance with California Code of Regulations, Section 15063, this Initial Study is a preliminary analysis prepared by the Lead Agency, the County of Riverside, in consultation with other jurisdictional agencies, to determine whether a Negative Declaration, Mitigated Negative Declaration, or an Environmental Impact Report is required for the proposed project. The purpose of this Initial Study is to inform the decision-makers, affected agencies, and the public of potential environmental impacts associated with the implementation of the proposed project.

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
<b>AESTHETICS</b> Would the project				
<b>1. Scenic Resources</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
a) Have a substantial effect upon a scenic highway corridor within which it is located?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings and unique or landmark features; obstruct any prominent scenic vista or view open to the public; or result in the creation of an aesthetically offensive site open to public view?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure C-9 "Scenic Highways"

### Findings of Fact:

a) The project site is located northerly of Vino Way, easterly of Calle Del Vinedos, and westerly of Anza Road. According to Figure C-9, the project site is not located within a scenic highway corridor.

b) The proposed project will not substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, and unique or landmark features, open to the public, as these features do not exist on the project site. The character of the area is a mix of scattered rural residences and open space. The proposed project will include the construction of rural residences similar to the residences constructed to the south, north, and west. Furthermore, the proposed project will be developed pursuant to the Countywide Design Guidelines. Therefore, the proposed project would be consistent with the area and would not create an aesthetically offensive project.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

<b>2. Mt. Palomar Observatory</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
a) Interfere with the nighttime use of the Mt. Palomar Observatory, as protected through Riverside County Ordinance No. 655?				

Source: RCLIS, Ord. No. 655 (Regulating Light Pollution)

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------------	--	---------------------------------------	--------------

Findings of Fact:

a) According to the RCIP, the project site is located 17.32 miles away from the Mt. Palomar Observatory; which is within the designated 45-mile (ZONE B) Special Lighting Area that surrounds the Mt. Palomar Observatory. Ordinance No. 655 contains approved materials and methods of installation, definition, general requirements, requirements for lamp source and shielding, prohibition and exceptions. With incorporation of project lighting requirements of the Riverside County Ordinance No. 655 into the proposed project, this impact will be reduced to a less than significant impact. (COA 50.PLANNING.24) Any project subject to Ordinance No. 655 will be conditioned for compliance; as no unique mitigation measures are identified, no additional mitigation is required.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

**3. Other Lighting Issues**

a) Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------

b) Expose residential property to unacceptable light levels?

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------

Source: Project Application Description

Findings of Fact:

a) The proposed project would result in a new source of light from the addition of building lighting. Pursuant to Ordinance No. 655, the project's on-site lighting will be directed downward or shielded and hooded to avoid shining onto adjacent properties and streets.

b) The lighting, per Ordinance No. 655, will be similar to other areas surrounding the site. Therefore, impacts would be reduced to a level of less than significant and will not expose residential property to unacceptable light levels.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

**AGRICULTURE RESOURCES** Would the project

**4. Agriculture**

a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland) as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

b) Conflict with existing agricultural use, or a

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Williamson Act (agricultural preserve) contract (Riv. Co. Agricultural Land Conservation Contract Maps)?				
c) Cause development of non-agricultural uses within 300 feet of agriculturally zoned property (Ordinance No. 625 "Right-to-Farm")?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure OS-2 "Agricultural Resources," RCLIS database, and Project Application Materials.

Findings of Fact:

a) The project is not located within a designated farmland - as designated by the most recent version of the Important Farmland Map (as prepared by the California Department of Conservation, Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program). Therefore, the project will not convert Prime, Unique, or Farmland of Statewide Importance to a non-agricultural use.

b) Currently the project site consists of a residential home with vineyards. The project will not result in the removal of the vineyards. Furthermore, according to the RCLIS database, the project site is not located within an Agricultural Preserve, or subject to a Williamson Act contract.

c) The project site is surrounded by properties zoned Residential Agricultural – 2½ Acre Minimum (R-A-2½) to the north and east, Residential Agricultural – 2 Acre Minimum (R-A-2) to the south, and Rural Residential (R-R) and Residential Agricultural – 2½ Acre Minimum (R-A-2½) to the west. Therefore, construction of the proposed project will not cause development of non-agricultural uses within 300 feet of agriculturally zoned property.

d) The project site is not directly adjacent to or near an area currently used for agricultural purposes; therefore the project will not involve changes in the environment which could result in conversion of nearby farmland to non-agricultural uses.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

**AIR QUALITY** Would the project

<b>5. Air Quality Impacts</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?				
b) Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c). Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>



	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
d) Expose sensitive receptors which are located within 1 mile of the project site to project substantial point source emissions?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Involve the construction of a sensitive receptor located within one mile of an existing substantial point source emitter?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Source: SCAQMD CEQA Air Quality Handbook Table 6-2

**Findings of Fact:** The South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) is responsible for developing a regional air quality management plan to ensure compliance with state and federal air quality standards. The SCAQMD has adopted the 2003 Air Quality Management Plan (AQMP). The primary implementation responsibility assigned to the County (i.e. local governments) by the 2003 AQMP is the implementation of air quality control measures associated with transportation facilities. This project does not propose any transportation facilities that would require transportation control measures, and therefore will not obstruct implementation of the AQMP.

a) The 2003 AQMP is based on socio-economic forecasts (including population estimates) provided by the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG). The County General Plan is consistent with SCAG's Regional Growth Management Plan and SCAQMD's Air Quality Management Plan. This project is consistent with the General Plan land use designations, and population estimates. The population proposed by this project will not obstruct the implementation of the 2003 AQMP. Therefore, there is no impact.

b) Air quality impacts would occur during site preparation, including grading and equipment exhaust. Major sources of fugitive dust are a result of grading and site preparation during construction by vehicles and equipment and generated by construction vehicles and equipment traveling over exposed surfaces, as well as by soil disturbances from grading and filling. These short-term, construction-related impacts will be reduced below a level of significance by dust-control measures implemented during grading (Condition of Approval 10.BS GRADE.5). This is a standard condition of approval, therefore is not considered unique mitigation pursuant to CEQA. Therefore, the impact is considered less than significant.

c) The project will not result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is in non-attainment status pursuant to an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard. Therefore, less than significant impacts are expected.

d) A sensitive receptor is a person in the population who is particularly susceptible to health effects due to exposure to an air contaminant than is the population at large. Sensitive receptors (and the facilities that house them) in proximity to localized CO sources, toxic air contaminants or odors are of particular concern. High levels of CO are associated with major traffic sources, such as freeways and major intersections, and toxic air contaminants are normally associated with manufacturing and commercial operations. Land uses considered to be sensitive receptors include long-term health care facilities, rehabilitation centers, convalescent centers, retirement homes, residences, schools, playgrounds, child care centers, and athletic facilities. Surrounding land uses include residential homes, which are considered sensitive receptors; however, the project is not expected to generate

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------------	--	---------------------------------------	--------------

substantial point-source emissions. The project will not include major transportation facilities, commercial or manufacturing uses, or generate significant odors. Therefore, there is no impact.

e) Surrounding uses do not include significant localized CO sources, toxic air contaminants or odors. Therefore, the proposed project will not involve the construction of a sensitive receptor located within one mile of an existing substantial point-source emitter. Therefore, no impacts are expected.

f) The project proposes a residential development and will not create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people. Therefore, there is no impact.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

#### **BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES** Would the project

##### **6. Wildlife & Vegetation**

a) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Conservation Community Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state conservation plan?

☐ ☐ ☒ ☐

b) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any endangered, or threatened species, as listed in Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations (Sections 670.2 or 670.5) or in Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations (Sections 17.11 or 17.12)?

☐ ☐ ☒ ☐

c) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U. S. Wildlife Service?

☐ ☐ ☒ ☐

d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?

☐ ☒ ☐ ☐

e) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service?

☐ ☒ ☐ ☐

f) Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?

☐ ☒ ☐ ☐

g) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?

☐ ☐ ☐ ☒

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------------	--	---------------------------------------	--------------

Source: RCLIS database, WRCMSHCP, PDB05229

Findings of Fact:

a) The proposed project site is located within the Riverside County Southwest Area Plan for the Western Riverside County Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan (MSHCP). A small portion of the property is located within a Criteria Cell (i.e., the property is not a Criteria Area proposed for conservation under the MSHCP); however, the project was not required to undergo the Habitat Evaluation and Acquisition Negotiation Strategy (HANS) process or the Joint Project Review (JPR) process. A MSHCP Compliance Report was required. The project will not conflict with the provisions of the MSHCP.

b) According to the MSHCP Compliance Report, no sensitive species were observed on the project site.

c) According to the MSHCP Compliance Report, the project site lacks suitable habitat for burrowing owls. No impacts are expected to occur as a result of the proposed subdivision.

d) A broad low-gradient, sandy wash identified as part of Santa Gertrudis Creek is located along the eastern boundary of the project site. This feature will be avoided by the proposed project; therefore, no resources associated with this creek will be impacted. To ensure the creek is not impacted, an Environmental Constraints Sheet will be required prior to recordation of the map.

e-f) A broad low-gradient, sandy wash identified as part of Santa Gertrudis Creek is located along the eastern boundary of the project site. This drainage is considered jurisdictional by various local, regional, state, and federal resource agencies. The project site does not support vernal pools, depressions, or any other habitats suitable for various fairy shrimp species. This feature will be avoided by the proposed project; therefore, no resources associated with this creek will be impacted. To ensure the creek is not impacted, an Environmental Constraints Sheet will be required prior to recordation of the map (COA 50.EPD.1).

g) The project will not conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance.

Mitigation: Prior to recordation of the map, an Environmental Constraints Sheet (ECS) shall be prepared. The ECS shall indicate the San Gertrudis Creek shall be avoided. (COA 50.EPD.1)

Monitoring: Monitoring shall be conducted by the Environmental Programs Department through the Building and Safety Plan Check Process.

**CULTURAL RESOURCES** Would the project

<b>7. Historic Resources</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
a) Alter or destroy an historic site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in California Code of Regulations, Section 15064.5?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Source: Project Application Materials, PD-A-4518 (study dated August 7, 2008)

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------------	--	---------------------------------------	--------------

**Findings of Fact:** a-b) Historical sources consulted for the study (PD-A-4518) indicate that the project area is low in sensitivity for cultural resources from the historic period. No man-made features of any kind were noted within or adjacent to the project boundaries between the 1850s and mid 1950s. As late as 1978, the project area was entirely vacant, suggesting that all existing buildings on the property date only to the past three decades. Therefore, the proposed project will not alter or destroy an historic site.

**Mitigation:** No mitigation measures are required.

**Monitoring:** No monitoring measures are required.

<b>8. Archaeological Resources</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
a) Alter or destroy an archaeological site.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to California Code of Regulations, Section 15064.5?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Restrict existing religious or sacred uses within the potential impact area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

**Source:** Project Application Materials, PD-A-4518 (study dated August 7, 2008)

**Findings of Fact:**

a-b) On June 24, 2008, CRM Tech conducted a records search at the Eastern Information Center (EIC). According to the records on file at the EIC, the project area had not been previously surveyed and no cultural resources had been recorded on or adjacent to the project site. Outside the project boundaries within a one-mile radius, EIC records show more than 30 previous surveys have been conducted; as a result of these surveys, 16 archaeological sites were previously recorded.

On June 25, 2008, CRM Tech conducted a field survey with the assistance of a Native American monitor from the Temecula Band of Luiseno Mission Indians. The field survey did not identify any cultural resources within the project area. Besides building construction, most of the ground surface in the western portion of the site has been significantly altered by compacted dirt driveways, landscaping, garden cultivation and vineyards. The proposed project is not expected to impact archaeological resources. If, however, during ground disturbing activities, unique cultural resources are discovered, all ground disturbances shall halt until a meeting is held between the developer, archaeologist, and Native American representative to discuss the significance of the find (COA 10.PLANNING.18). This is a standard condition of approval and is not considered mitigation pursuant to CEQA.

c) There may be a possibility that ground-disturbing activities will expose human remains. The project is subject to State Health and Safety Code 7050.5 if human remains are discovered during ground disturbing activities (COA 10.PLANNING.17). This is a standard condition of approval and is not considered mitigation pursuant to CEQA.

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------------	--	---------------------------------------	--------------

d) The project will not restrict existing religious or sacred uses within the potential impact area.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

## 9. Paleontological Resources

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------

a) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource, or site, or unique geologic feature?

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure OS-8 "Paleontological Sensitivity"

Findings of Fact: a) No paleontological resource assessment was conducted for the proposed project. However, potential for uncovering paleontological resources does exist, in which some monitoring will be required during grading activities. The project site is designated as High A (Ha) on the Paleontological Sensitivity Map which suggests there is a high potential for unearthing paleontological resources.

Mitigation: The developer shall retain a qualified paleontologist for consultation and comment of the proposed grading with respect to potential impacts to sub-surface cultural resources. The paleontologist or representative shall have the authority to monitor all project grading and construction and shall have the authority to temporarily divert, redirect, or halt grading activity to allow recovery of fossil remains. (COA 60.PLANNING.19)

Monitoring: Monitoring shall be conducted through the Building and Safety permit process.

## GEOLOGY AND SOILS Would the project

### 10. Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zone or County Fault Hazard Zones

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------

a) Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death?

b) Be subject to rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault?

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure S-2 "Earthquake Fault Study Zones," RCLIS database, Geologist Comments, GEO No. 2117

Findings of Fact: a-b) The project site is not located in a fault zone, but according to RCLIS is located within ½ mile of Santa Gertrudis Fault. The project was reviewed by the County Geologist. Geologic Report No. 2117 was prepared and concluded that no known active faults traverse the property and that the probability of hazards due to fault generated ground rupture is considered low. Development of the project is not anticipated to significantly expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death, or be subject to rupture of a

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------------	--	---------------------------------------	--------------

known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

#### 11. Liquefaction Potential Zone

☐
☐
☒
☐

a) Be subject to seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure S-3 "Generalized Liquefaction", GEO No. 2117

Findings of Fact: The project site is not located in a fault zone, but according to RCLIS is located within ½ mile of Santa Gertrudis Fault. The project was reviewed by the County Geologist. Geologic Report No. 2117 was prepared and concluded that seismically-induced liquefaction is anticipated to be negligible.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

#### 12. Ground-shaking Zone

☐
☐
☐
☒

Be subject to strong seismic ground shaking?

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure S-4 "Earthquake-Induced Slope Instability Map," and Figures S-13 through S-21 (showing General Ground Shaking Risk), GEO No. 2117

Findings of Fact: The project is not located within a fault zone and will not be subject to strong seismic ground shaking.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

#### 13. Landslide Risk

☐
☐
☐
☒

a) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, collapse, or rockfall hazards?

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure S-5 "Regions Underlain by Steep Slope", GEO No. 2117



Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------------	--	---------------------------------------	--------------

**Findings of Fact:** The project is not located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, collapse, or rockfall hazards.

**Mitigation:** No mitigation measures are required.

**Monitoring:** No monitoring measures are required.

#### 14. Ground Subsidence

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------

a) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in ground subsidence?

**Source:** RCIP Fig. S-7 "Documented Subsidence Areas"

**Findings of Fact:** a) The project site is located in an area susceptible to subsidence but not located near any documented areas of subsidence. California Building Code (CBC) requirements pertaining to residential development are applicable to all residential development; they are not considered mitigation for CEQA implementation purposes.

**Mitigation:** No mitigation measures are required.

**Monitoring:** No monitoring measures are required.

#### 15. Other Geologic Hazards

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------

a) Be subject to geologic hazards, such as seiche, mudflow, or volcanic hazard?

**Source:** Project Application Materials, GEO No. 2117

**Findings of Fact:** There are no active volcanoes in Southern California. The project site is not located in a fault zone, but according to RCLIS is located within ½ mile of Santa Gertrudis Fault. The project was reviewed by the County Geologist. Geologic Report No. 2117 was prepared and concluded that seismically-induced flooding and earthquake-induced surface flooding due to nearby dam failure or seiche activity is considered to be low; the probability of a tsunami impacting the site is considered nil.

**Mitigation:** No mitigation measures are required.

**Monitoring:** No monitoring measures are required.

#### 16. Slopes

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------

a) Change topography or ground surface relief features?

b) Create cut or fill slopes greater than 2:1 or higher

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
than 10 feet?				
c) Result in grading that affects or negates subsurface sewage disposal systems?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Source: RCIP figure S-5 "Regions Underlain by Steep Slopes", Building and Safety – Grading

Findings of Fact: a-b) Elevations on the project site range between 1,365 and 1,435 feet above mean sea level. The higher elevations occur in a relatively level western half of the project site where two residences, a garage, a vegetable garden, and vineyards are located. The hilly eastern portion of the site slopes down into a sandy wash that stems from Santa Gertrudis Creek. The project does not propose any disturbance in the eastern half of the site. The project will not create cut or fill slopes greater than 2:1 or slopes greater than ten feet in height.

c) The project will not result in grading that affects or negates subsurface sewage disposal systems as no sewage disposal systems exist on the project site.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

<b>17. Soils</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
a) Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?				
b) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in SECTION 1802.3.2 of the California Building Code (2007), creating substantial risks to life or property?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Source: RCIP figure S-6 "Engineering Geologic Materials Map", Flood Control review, Building and Safety Grading review, application materials

Findings of Fact: a) The development of the project site may have the potential to result in soil erosion during grading and construction. Standard Conditions of Approval have been issued regarding soil erosion that will further ensure protection of public health, safety, and welfare upon final engineering of the project and are not considered mitigation for CEQA implementation purposes.

b) The project may be located on expansive soil; however, California Building Code (CBC) requirements pertaining to residential development will mitigate the potential impact to less than significant. As CBC requirements are applicable to all residential development, they are not considered mitigation for CEQA implementation purposes.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

<b>18. Erosion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
a) Change deposition, siltation, or erosion that may modify the channel of a river or stream or the bed of a lake?				
b) Result in any increase in water erosion either on or	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------------	--	---------------------------------------	--------------

off site?

Source: Flood Control District review, Project Materials

Findings of Fact: a) As proposed, the project would avoid the natural watercourse on site. Any graded slopes that may infringe into the 100-year storm flow floodway boundaries shall be protected from erosion or other flood hazards by a method acceptable to the Building and Safety Department District Grading Engineer which may include Riverside County Flood Control District's review and approval. However, no graded slope that concentrates or diverts natural drainage flows will be allowed (COA 10.BS GRADE.11). With implementation of these measures which are considered standard conditions of approval, the project will not have an impact or change deposition, siltation, or erosion that may modify the channel of a river or stream or the bed of a lake.

b) The development of the project site may have the potential to increase water erosion during grading and construction. Standard Conditions of Approval have been issued regarding soil erosion that will further ensure protection of public health, safety, and welfare upon final engineering of the project and are not considered mitigation for CEQA implementation purposes.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

**19. Wind Erosion and Blowsand from project either on or off site.**

☐ ☐ ☒ ☐

a) Be impacted by or result in an increase in wind erosion and blowsand, either on or off site?

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure S-8 "Wind Erosion Susceptibility Map," Ord. 460, Sec. 14.2 & Ord. 484

Findings of Fact: a) The project site lies within a moderate area of wind erosion. The project will decrease the amount of exposed dirt, which is subject to wind erosion, with the incorporation of concrete, asphalt, and landscaping. No changes will be made on adjacent properties that would increase wind erosion offsite that would impact this project. Current levels of wind erosion on adjacent properties that would impact this site are considered less than significant. A condition has been placed on the project to control dust created during grading activities. (COA 10.BS GRADE.5)

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

**HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS** Would the project

**20. Hazards and Hazardous Materials**

☐ ☐ ☒ ☐

a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?

b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the

☐ ☐ ☒ ☐

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?				
c) Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or an emergency evacuation plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Source: Project Application Materials

Findings of Fact: a-b) The proposed project will not create or require transportation of hazardous materials. However, it may result in the use and disposal of substances such as household and commercial cleaning products, fertilizers, pesticides, automotive fluids, etc, but the nature and volume of such substances associated with residential use would not present the potential to create a significant public or environmental hazard.

c) The proposed project will not impair the implementation of, or physically interfere with an emergency response plan and/or emergency evacuation plan. The proposed project includes adequate access for emergency response vehicles and personnel, as developed in consultation with County Fire personnel.

d) This project is not located within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school. Therefore, this project will not emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school.

e) This project is not located on a site that is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, it would not create a significant hazard or have any impact to the public or the environment.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

#### **GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS** Would the project

##### **21. Greenhouse Gas Emissions**

a) Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?

☐ ☐ ☒ ☐

b) Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?

☐ ☐ ☐ ☒

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------------	--	---------------------------------------	--------------

Source: State CEQA Guideline, § 15064.4.,

Findings of Fact:

- a) The project will not generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment. The project is currently proposing a parcel map from one parcel into two and conforms to the general plan designation and therefore will not significantly generate or increase gas emissions either directly or indirectly.
- b) The project will not conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases. The proposed project has been previously approved and has been found consistent with the General Plan and there will not conflict with any plans that will reduce emission or greenhouse gases.

Mitigation: No Mitigation Required.

Monitoring: No Mitigation Required.

**22. Airports**

a) Result in an inconsistency with an Airport Master Plan?

☐ ☐ ☐ ☒

b) Require review by the Airport Land Use Commission?

☐ ☐ ☐ ☒

c) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?

☐ ☐ ☐ ☒

d) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, or heliport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?

☐ ☐ ☐ ☒

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure S-19 "Airport Locations," RCLIS database

Findings of Fact: a) The project site is not located within the vicinity of any public or private airport; therefore, the project will not result in an inconsistency with an Airport Master Plan.

b) The project site is not located within the vicinity of any public or private airport; therefore will not require review by the Airport Land Use Commission.

c) The project is not located within an airport land use plan and would not result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area.

d) The project is not within the vicinity of a private airstrip, or heliport and would not result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area.

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--	--------------------------------------	--	---------------------------------------	--------------

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

### 23. Hazardous Fire Area

☐ ☐ ☐ ☒

a) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure S-11 "Wildfire Susceptibility," RCLIS database

Findings of Fact: a) According to RCLIS, the project site is not located within or adjacent to a hazardous wildfire area. Therefore, the project would not expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury, or death involving wildland fires.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

## HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY Would the project

### 24. Water Quality Impacts

☐ ☐ ☒ ☐

a) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner that would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?

b) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?

☐ ☐ ☐ ☒

c) Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?

☐ ☐ ☐ ☒

d) Create or contribute runoff water that would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?

☐ ☐ ☒ ☐

e) Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area, as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?

☐ ☐ ☐ ☒

f) Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?

☐ ☐ ☐ ☒

g) Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?

☐ ☐ ☐ ☒

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
h) Include new or retrofitted stormwater Treatment Control Best Management Practices (BMPs) (e.g. water quality treatment basins, constructed treatment wetlands), the operation of which could result in significant environmental effects (e.g. increased vectors and odors)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Source: Riverside County Flood Control District Flood Hazard Report/Condition.

Findings of Fact: a) Santa Gertrudis Creek traverses the eastern 1/3 of the project site. This creek has a flood hazard area that follows the 1,385 foot elevation. The project proposes to leave this area undisturbed. To ensure this area remains undisturbed, an Environmental Constraints Sheet (ECS) showing the flood hazard area shall be recorded. Therefore, the proposed project will not significantly alter the existing drainage pattern in a matter that would result in substantial erosion.

b) The project will not violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements, and has been conditioned to comply with standard water quality conditions of approval.

c) Water service will be provided by the Rancho California Water District (RCWD). The project will not substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level.

d) During the construction and grading phase of development, the project has the potential to contribute to additional polluted runoff of water. However, the project will not exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems. The project will be required to provide for adequate drainage facilities and/or appropriate easements should the project exceed current capacity (COA 10.TRANS.2). This is a standard condition of approval and is not considered unique mitigation pursuant to CEQA. Therefore, the impact is considered less than significant.

e-f) The proposed project is not located within a 100-year flood zone. However, a natural watercourse traverses the site. The building pads have been designed to avoid the watercourse and the associated flood hazard area. Therefore, the project will not place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area, as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map.

g) The proposed project is not anticipated to substantially degrade water quality. Therefore, there is no impact.

h) The project will not include any flood control facilities, which would result in significant environmental effects (e.g. increased vectors and odors).

Mitigation: Prior to recordation, the flood hazard area shall be delineated on an ECS with a note indicating that this area shall be kept free of all buildings and obstructions. (COA 50.FLOOD RI.6)

Monitoring: Monitoring shall be conducted by the Riverside County Flood Control Department.

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--	--------------------------------------	--	---------------------------------------	--------------

## 25. Floodplains

Degree of Suitability in 100-Year Floodplains. As indicated below, the appropriate Degree of Suitability has been checked.

NA - Not Applicable ☒

U - Generally Unsuitable ☐

R - Restricted ☐

a) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner that would result in flooding on- or off-site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Changes in absorption rates or the rate and amount of surface runoff?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam (Dam Inundation Area)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Changes in the amount of surface water in any water body?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure S-9 "100- and 500-Year Flood Hazard Zones," Figure S-10 "Dam Failure Inundation Zone," Riverside County Flood Control District Flood Hazard Report/Condition, RCLIS database

Findings of Fact: a) Santa Gertrudis Creek traverses the eastern 1/3 of the project site. This creek has a flood hazard area that follows the 1,385 foot elevation. The project proposes to leave this area undisturbed. To ensure this area remains undisturbed, an Environmental Constraints Sheet (ECS) showing the flood hazard area shall be recorded. The stream will not undergo alterations and will not receive a substantial amount of surface runoff in a manner that could result in flooding on or off site. Therefore, impacts are considered less than significant with mitigation.

b) Since the project proposes additional impervious surfaces, the existing absorption rates and the amount of surface runoff would be affected. However, due to the minimum lot size requirements of the project (two-acre minimum) and the amount of additional impervious surfaces, offsite flows would not be affected by implementation of the proposed project. Therefore, the impact is considered less than significant.

c) The proposed project would not expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam. The project site is not located in an area susceptible to the impacts of the failure of a levee or dam. Therefore, there is no impact.

d) The proposed project is not expected to change the amount of surface water in any body of water. No buildings or obstructions will be allowed to block, concentrate or divert drainage flows as stated in Finding of Fact 24a. Therefore, less than significant impacts to the amount of surface water are expected.

Mitigation: Prior to recordation, the flood hazard area shall be delineated on an ECS with a note indicating that this area shall be kept free of all buildings and obstructions. (COA 50.FLOOD RI.6)

Monitoring: Monitoring shall be conducted by the Riverside County Flood Control Department.



	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
<b>LAND USE/PLANNING</b> Would the project				
<b>26. Land Use</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
a) Result in a substantial alteration of the present or planned land use of an area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Affect land use within a city sphere of influence and/or within adjacent city or county boundaries?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Source: RCIP, RCLIS database, Project Application Materials

Findings of Fact: a) The project site is located within the Southwest Area Plan. The site's land use designation is Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR), which allows for the development of residences on lots two (2) acres or more. The project proposes two residential lots with a minimum lot size of 2.5 acres; therefore, the proposed project is consistent with the land use designation.

b) The project site is not located within a city sphere of influence.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

<b>27. Planning</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
a) Be consistent with the site's existing or proposed zoning?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Be compatible with existing surrounding zoning?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Be compatible with existing and planned surrounding land uses?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Be consistent with the land use designations and policies of the Comprehensive General Plan (including those of any applicable Specific Plan)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Disrupt or divide the physical arrangement of an established community (including a low-income or minority community)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Source: Riverside County General Plan Land Use Element, Staff review, GIS database

Findings of Fact: a) The project is zoned Rural Residential (R-R) and proposes to change the zoning classification from R-R to Residential Agricultural – 2½ Acre Minimum (R-A-2½). The R-A-2½ zoning classification allows the construction of residences on lots with a minimum parcel size of 2.5 acres. The project is proposing residential parcels with a minimum parcel size of 2.5 acres; therefore, the project is consistent with the proposed zoning classification.

b) Surrounding zoning include Residential Agricultural – 2½ Acre Minimum (R-A-2½) to the north and east, Residential Agricultural – 2 Acre Minimum (R-A-2) to the south, and Rural Residential (R-R) and Residential Agricultural – 2½ Acre Minimum (R-A-2½) to the west. The project, creating two residential lots with a minimum lot size will be compatible with the existing surrounding zoning.

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------------	--	---------------------------------------	--------------

c) Surrounding land uses include single family residences on lots of two acres or more to the north, west, and south and vacant land to the east. The proposed residential subdivision is compatible with the planned and existing land uses.

d) The project site is located within the Southwest Area Plan. The site's land use designation is Rural Community:Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR), which allows for the development of residences on lots two (2) acres or more. The project proposes two residential lots with a minimum lot size of 2.5 acres; therefore, the proposed project is consistent with the land use designation.

e) The proposed project will not disrupt or divide any existing community.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

#### **MINERAL RESOURCES** Would the project

##### **28. Mineral Resources**

a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource in an area classified or designated by the State that would be of value to the region or the residents of the State?

☐ ☐ ☐ ☒

b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?

☐ ☐ ☐ ☒

c) Be an incompatible land use located adjacent to a State classified or designated area or existing surface mine?

☐ ☐ ☐ ☒

d) Expose people or property to hazards from proposed, existing or abandoned quarries or mines?

☐ ☐ ☐ ☒

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure OS-5 "Mineral Resources Area"

Findings of Fact: a) The project site is within MRZ-3, which is defined as areas where the available geologic information indicates that mineral deposits are likely to exist; however, the significance of the deposit is undetermined.

The RCIP identifies policies that encourage protections for existing mining operations and for appropriate management of mineral extraction. A significant impact that would constitute a loss of availability of a known mineral resource would include unmanaged extraction or encroach on existing extraction. No existing or abandoned quarries or mines exist in the area surrounding the project site. The project does not propose any mineral extraction on the project site. Any mineral resources on the project site will be unavailable for the life of the project; however, the project will not result in the permanent loss of significant mineral resources.

b) The project will not result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource in an area classified or designated by the State that would be of value to the region or the residents of the State. The

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------------	--	---------------------------------------	--------------

project will not result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan.

c) The project will not be an incompatible land use located adjacent to a State classified or designated area or existing surface mine.

d) The project will not expose people or property to hazards from proposed, existing or abandoned quarries or mines.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

**NOISE** Would the project result in

**Definitions for Noise Acceptability Ratings**

Where indicated below, the appropriate Noise Acceptability Rating(s) has been checked.

NA - Not Applicable

A - Generally Acceptable

B - Conditionally Acceptable

C - Generally Unacceptable

D - Land Use Discouraged

**29. Airport Noise**

a) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?

NA ☒ A ☐ B ☐ C ☐ D ☐

b) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?

NA ☒ A ☐ B ☐ C ☐ D ☐

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure S-19 "Airport Locations," County of Riverside Airport Facilities Map

Findings of Fact: a) The project site is not located within an airport land use plan or within two miles of a public airport or public use airport that would expose people residing on the project site to excessive noise levels.

b) The project is not located within the vicinity of a private airstrip that would expose people residing on the project site to excessive noise levels.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

**30. Railroad Noise**

NA ☒ A ☐ B ☐ C ☐ D ☐

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------------	--	---------------------------------------	--------------

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure C-1 "Circulation Plan", RCLIS database

Findings of Fact: The project site is not located adjacent to a rail line. No impacts will occur as a result of the proposed project.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

### 31. Highway Noise

NA ☒ A ☐ B ☐ C ☐ D ☐

☐ ☐ ☐ ☒

Source: Project Application Materials

Findings of Fact: The project site is not located adjacent to or near any highways. No impacts will occur as a result of the proposed project.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

### 32. Other Noise

NA ☒ A ☐ B ☐ C ☐ D ☐

☐ ☐ ☐ ☒

Source: Project Application Materials, RCLIS database

Findings of Fact: No other noise sources have been identified near the project site that would contribute a significant amount of noise to the project.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

### 33. Noise Effects on or by the Project

a) A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?

☐ ☐ ☒ ☐

b) A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?

☐ ☐ ☒ ☐

c) Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?

☐ ☐ ☐ ☒

d) Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive ground-borne vibration or ground-borne noise levels?

☐ ☐ ☒ ☐

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------------	--	---------------------------------------	--------------

Source: Project Application Materials

Findings of Fact: a) The project proposes a residential subdivision; typical noise sources from a residential subdivision include vehicular traffic, gardening equipment, and other noises associated with a residence. The addition of one residential unit will incrementally increase ambient noise levels. However, the increase will be fitting to the existing residential environment. Therefore, the project will have a less than significant impact.

b) This project will cause a temporary increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project during construction. To minimize ambient noise levels upon sensitive receptors during construction of the proposed project, grading and construction shall be restricted to daylight hours.

c) Ordinance No. 847 prohibits sounds in excess of land use specific standards. For rural community land uses, the maximum sound level is 55 Db  $L_{max}$ . Exceptions to this standard are available for construction, single events, or continuous events; single event exceptions require approval of the Planning Director and continuous event exceptions require approval from the Planning Commission. Without a noise ordinance exception, the project shall not exceed the maximum decibel level. Through compliance with Ordinance No. 847, potential impacts will be less than significant.

d) During the operational phase, the proposed project will not generate excessive ground-borne vibrations or ground-borne noise levels. However, ground-borne vibrations may be generated infrequently by use of heavy construction machinery during the construction phase. This type of construction will be temporary and infrequent, and would be considered a less than significant adverse impact.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

**POPULATION AND HOUSING** Would the project

<b>34. Housing</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
a) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Create a demand for additional housing, particularly housing affordable to households earning 80% or less of the County's median income?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Affect a County Redevelopment Project Area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Cumulatively exceed official regional or local population projections?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------------	--	---------------------------------------	--------------

roads or other infrastructure)?

Source: Project Application Materials, RCLIS database, Riverside County General Plan Housing Element

Findings of Fact: a) The proposed project site is occupied by a single family residence that will remain; thus, the proposed project will not displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere.

b) The proposed project will not create permanent employment opportunities; therefore, it will not create a demand for additional housing.

c) The proposed project site is occupied by a single family residence that will remain; therefore, it will not displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere.

d) The project is not located within or near a County Redevelopment Project Area.

e) The project proposes the addition of one residential parcel, which equates to an increase of three (3) additional persons. This population increase will not exceed official regional or local population projections.

f) The project will not induce substantial population growth in an area.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

**PUBLIC SERVICES** Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered government facilities or the need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:

**35. Fire Services**

☐
☐
☒
☐

Source: Riverside County General Plan Safety Element

Findings of Fact: The project area is serviced by the Riverside County Fire Department. Any potential significant effects will be mitigated by the payment of standard fees to the County of Riverside. The project will not directly physically alter existing facilities or result in the construction of new facilities. Any construction of new facilities required by the cumulative effects of surrounding projects would have to meet all applicable environmental standards. The project shall comply with County Ordinance No. 659 to mitigate the potential effects to fire services. (COA 10.PLANNING.14) This is a standard condition of approval and pursuant to CEQA is not considered mitigation.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--	--------------------------------------	--	---------------------------------------	--------------

### 36. Sheriff Services

☐
☐
☒
☐

Source: RCIP

Findings of Fact: The proposed area is serviced by the Riverside County Sheriff's Department. The proposed project would not have an incremental effect on the level of sheriff services provided in the vicinity of the project area. The project will not directly physically alter existing facilities or result in the construction of new facilities. Any construction of new facilities required by the cumulative effects of this project and surrounding projects would have to meet all applicable environmental standards. The project shall comply with County Ordinance No. 659 to mitigate the potential effects to sheriff services. (COA 10.PLANNING.14) This is a standard condition of approval and pursuant to CEQA is not considered mitigation.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

### 37. Schools

☐
☐
☒
☐

Source: Temecula Valley Unified School District correspondence, GIS database

Findings of Fact: The project will not physically alter existing facilities or result in the construction of new or physically altered facilities. The proposed project is located within the Temecula Valley Unified School District. Any construction of new facilities required by the cumulative effects of this project and surrounding projects would have to meet all applicable environmental standards. This project has been conditioned to comply with School Mitigation Impact fees in order to mitigate the potential effects to school services. (COA 80.PLANNING.8) This is a standard condition of approval and pursuant to CEQA is not considered mitigation.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

### 38. Libraries

☐
☐
☒
☐

Source: RCIP

Findings of Fact: The proposed project will not create a significant incremental demand for library services. The project will not require the provision of new or altered government facilities at this time. Any construction of new facilities required by the cumulative effects of surrounding projects would have to meet all applicable environmental standards. This project shall comply with County Ordinance No. 659 to mitigate the potential effects to library services. (COA 10.PLANNING.14) This is a standard condition of approval and pursuant to CEQA is not considered mitigation.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------------	--	---------------------------------------	--------------

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

### 39. Health Services

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------

Source: RCIP

Findings of Fact: The use of the proposed 5.2-acre parcel would not cause an impact on health services. The site is located within the service parameters of County health centers. The project will not physically alter existing facilities or result in the construction of new or physically altered facilities. Any construction of new facilities required by the cumulative effects of this project and surrounding projects would have to meet all applicable environmental standards.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

## RECREATION

### 40. Parks and Recreation

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------

a) Would the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?

b) Would the project include the use of existing neighborhood or regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

c) Is the project located within a C.S.A. or recreation and park district with a Community Parks and Recreation Plan (Quimby fees)?

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------

Source: RCLIS database, Ord. No. 460, Section 10.35 (Regulating the Division of Land – Park and Recreation Fees and Dedications), Ord. No. 659 (Establishing Development Impact Fees), Parks & Open Space Department Review

Findings of Fact: a) The scope of the proposed project does not involve the construction of expansion of recreational facilities. Therefore, the impact is considered less than significant.

b) Due to the size of the proposed development, which entails the addition of approximately 3 persons to the area, it is not anticipated that the project will generate significant impacts to nearby parks or recreational facilities. Therefore, the impact is considered less than significant.

c) The project site is located within County Service Area (CSA) No. 149, which is a maintenance district for streets and roadways within the Temecula Valley Wine Country. The land divider/permit holder shall present certification to the Riverside County Planning Department that payment of parks and recreation fees and/or dedication of land for park use in accordance with Section 10.35 of County Ordinance No. 460 has taken place. Said certification shall be obtained from the County of Riverside



Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------------	--	---------------------------------------	--------------

Economic Development Agency (EDA) for CSA No. 149 (COA 50.PLANNING.7). This is a standard condition of approval and pursuant to CEQA is not considered mitigation

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

#### 41. Recreational Trails

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

Source: Riverside County Parks, RCIP Figure C-7 "Trails and Bikeway System"

Findings of Fact: According to RCIP, there is an existing Community Trail along Anza Road. However, this project has been reviewed by Riverside County Parks Department and no other trails have been required.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

#### TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC Would the project

##### 42. Circulation

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------

a) Cause an increase in traffic which is substantial in relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street system (i.e., result in a substantial increase in either the number of vehicle trips, the volume to capacity ratio on roads, or congestion at intersections)?

b) Result in inadequate parking capacity?

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

c) Exceed, either individually or cumulatively, a level of service standard established by the county congestion management agency for designated road or highways?

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------

d) Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

e) Alter waterborne, rail or air traffic?

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

f) Substantially increase hazards to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g. farm equipment)?

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------

g) Cause an effect upon, or a need for new or altered maintenance of roads?

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------

h) Cause an effect upon circulation during the project's construction?

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------

i) Result in inadequate emergency access or access to nearby uses?

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------

j) Conflict with adopted policies supporting alternative transportation (e.g. bus turnouts, bicycle racks)?

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------------	--	---------------------------------------	--------------

Source: RCIP

Findings of Fact: a) This project proposes to subdivide 5.2 gross acres into two 2.5 acre parcels. One of the parcels already contains a single family residence. Construction of another single family residence will not cause an increase in traffic which is substantial in relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street system (i.e., result in a substantial increase in either the number of vehicle trips, the volume to capacity ratio on roads, or congestion at intersections). The Transportation Department has reviewed this project and has determined that it is exempt from traffic study requirements.

b) This project proposes to subdivide 5.2 gross acres into two 2.5 acre parcels. One of the parcels already contains a single family residence. Adequate area exists on the other parcel for off-street parking.

c) This project proposes to subdivide 5.2 gross acres into two 2.5 acre parcels. One of the parcels already contains a single family residence. Construction of another dwelling unit on the other parcel will not exceed, either individually or cumulatively, a level of service standard established by the county congestion management agency for designated road or highways. The Transportation Department has reviewed this project and has determined that it is exempt from traffic study requirements.

d) The project site is not located near an airport or airport influence area. Development of the project will not result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks.

e) Development of this project will not alter waterborne, rail or air traffic.

f) There are no existing or proposed sharp curves or dangerous intersections. Development of the project is compatible with surrounding uses. Development of this project will adhere to Riverside County Transportation standards.

g) The project will not cause an effect upon, or a need for new or altered maintenance of roads.

h) This project proposes to subdivide 5.2 gross acres into two 2.5 acre parcels. One of the parcels already contains a single family residence. Effects upon circulation during the project's construction are not anticipated.

i) The development of the project will not result in inadequate emergency access or access to nearby uses. The impact is considered less than significant.

j) Development of the project is consistent with adopted policies supporting alternative transportation. Bicycle racks are not required for residential parcel maps. The impact is considered less than significant.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
<b>43. Bike Trails</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Source: Riverside County Parks, RCIP Figure C-7 "Trails and Bikeway System"

Findings of Fact: According to RCIP, there is an existing Community Trail along Anza Road. There are no designated bike trails near the vicinity of the project site. This project has been reviewed by Riverside County Parks Department and no other trails have been required.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

#### **UTILITY AND SERVICE SYSTEMS** Would the project

<b>44. Water</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
a) Require or result in the construction of new water treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which would cause significant environmental effects?				
b) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Source: Department of Environmental Health Review, Rancho California Water District correspondence dated 4/14/2008

Findings of Fact: a) This project proposes to subdivide 5.42 gross acres into two 2.5 acre parcels. One of the parcels already contains a single family residence. Construction of another single family will not require or result in the construction of new water treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which would cause significant environmental effects.

b) Water service to the project site will be provided by Rancho California Water District (RCWD) upon completion of financial arrangements between RCWD and the property owner.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

<b>45. Sewer</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
a) Require or result in the construction of new wastewater treatment facilities, including septic systems, or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which would cause significant environmental effects?				
b) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider that serves or may service the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Source: Department of Environmental Health Review

Findings of Fact: a) This project proposes to subdivide 5.2 gross acres into two 2.5 acre parcels. One of the parcels already contains a single family residence served by a septic system. A septic system is proposed for the construction of a single family dwelling on the other parcel created by this parcel map. The project has been reviewed by the Department of Environmental Health. Septic systems, onsite wastewater treatment systems or advanced treatment units must be located outside of any constrained areas shown on the project's Environmental Constraint Sheet (10.E HEALTH.3).

b) This project proposes to subdivide 5.2 gross acres into two 2.5 acre parcels. Construction of the project proposes the use of on-site septic systems. Water service to the project site will be provided by Rancho California Water District (RCWD) upon completion of financial arrangements between RCWD and the property owner.

Mitigation: Prior to recordation, the flood hazard area shall be delineated on an ECS with a note indicating that this area shall be kept free of all buildings and obstructions. (COA 50.FLOOD RI.6)

Monitoring: Monitoring will be through the Department of Building and Safety plan check process and the Department of Environmental Health.

#### 46. Solid Waste

a) Is the project served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------

b) Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid wastes (including the CIWMP (County Integrated Waste Management Plan)?

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------

Source: RCIP, Riverside County Waste Management District correspondence

Findings of Fact: a-b) The proposed residential project will generate solid wastes in keeping with this type and size development. Waste pick-up will be coordinated through the Department of Waste Management. Hazardous materials, tires, waste oil and certain other materials considered hazardous are disposed of as required by strict federal, state and county regulations. Impacts are expected to be less than significant with the proposed project.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

#### 47. Utilities

Would the project impact the following facilities requiring or resulting in the construction of new facilities or the expansion of existing facilities; the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?

a) Electricity?

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------

b) Natural gas?

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
c) Communications systems?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Storm water drainage?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e) Street lighting?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f) Maintenance of public facilities, including roads?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
g) Other governmental services?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
h) Conflict with adopted energy conservation plans?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Source: RCIP

Findings of Fact: a-h) The proposed project will not significantly impact essential utility and public services and will not require the construction of new facilities or the expansion of existing facilities. However, future residential development will create an incremental increase in the demand for these services in the project area.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

#### **MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE**

48. Does the project have the potential to substantially degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare, or endangered plant or animal, or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------

Source: Staff review, Project Application Materials

Findings of Fact: Implementation of the proposed project would not degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife populations to drop below self sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, or reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal, or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory.

49. Does the project have impacts which are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of other current projects)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------

Source: Staff review, Project Application Materials

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------------	--	---------------------------------------	--------------

Findings of Fact: The project does not have impacts which are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable.

50. Does the project have environmental effects that will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------

Source: Staff review, project application

Findings of Fact: The proposed project would not result in environmental effects which would cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly.

## VI. EARLIER ANALYSES

Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration as per California Code of Regulations, Section 15063 (c) (3) (D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:

Earlier Analyses Used, if any:

Riverside County General Plan

RCLIS – Riverside County Land Information System

PDB05229 – MSHCP Compliance Report, prepared by Jeff Kidd Consulting, dated October 29, 2007

PD-A-4518 – Phase I Archaeological Assessment, prepared by CRM Tech, dated August 7, 2008

Location Where Earlier Analyses, if used, are available for review:

Location: County of Riverside Planning Department  
4080 Lemon Street, 9th Floor  
Riverside, CA 92505

Y:\Planning Case Files-Riverside office\PM35596\PM35596 EA41522.doc

05/25/10  
09:36

Riverside County LMS  
CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Page: 1

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM35596

Parcel: 943-200-026

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

EVERY DEPARTMENT

10. EVERY. 1 MAP - DEFINITIONS

RECOMMND

The words identified in the following list that appear in all capitals in the attached conditions of Tentative Parcel Map No. 35596 shall be henceforth defined as follows:

TENTATIVE MAP = Tentative Parcel Map No. 35596, Amended No. 2, dated 2/16/10.

FINAL MAP = Final Map or Parcel Map for the TENTATIVE MAP whether recorded in whole or in phases.

10. EVERY. 2 MAP - PROJECT DESCRIPTION

RECOMMND

The land division hereby permitted proposes a Schedule H subdivision of 5.2 gross acres into two (2) residential lots with a minimum lot size of 2.5 gross acres.

10. EVERY. 3 MAP - HOLD HARMLESS

RECOMMND

The land divider or any successor-in-interest shall defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the County of Riverside (COUNTY), its agents, officers, or employees from any claim, action, or proceeding against the COUNTY, its agents, officers, or employees to attack, set aside, void, or annul an approval of the COUNTY, its advisory agencies, appeal boards, or legislative body concerning the TENTATIVE MAP, which action is brought within the time period provided for in California Government Code, Section 66499.37. The COUNTY will promptly notify the land divider of any such claim, action, or proceeding against the COUNTY and will cooperate fully in the defense. If the COUNTY fails to promptly notify the land divider of any such claim, action, or proceeding or fails to cooperate fully in the defense, the land divider shall not, thereafter, be responsible to defend, indemnify, or hold harmless the COUNTY.

BS GRADE DEPARTMENT

10.BS GRADE. 1 MAP-GIN INTRODUCTION

RECOMMND

Improvement such as grading, filling, over excavation and recompaction, and base or paving which require a grading permit are subject to the included Building and Safety

05/25/10  
09:36

Riverside County LMS  
CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Page: 2

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM35596

Parcel: 943-200-026

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.BS GRADE. 1                    MAP-GIN INTRODUCTION (cont.)                    RECOMMND

Grading Division conditions of approval.

10.BS GRADE. 2                    MAP-G1.2 OBEY ALL GDG REGS                    RECOMMND

All grading shall conform to the California Building Code, Ordinance 457, and all other relevant laws, rules and regulations governing grading in Riverside County and prior to commencing any grading which includes 50 or more cubic yards, the applicant shall obtain a grading permit from the Building & Safety Department.

10.BS GRADE. 3                    MAP-G1.3 DISTURBS NEED G/PMT                    RECOMMND

Ordinance 457 requires a grading permit prior to clearing , grubbing or any top soil disturbances related to construction grading.

10.BS GRADE. 4                    MAP-G1.5 EROS CNTRL PROTECT                    RECOMMND

Graded but undeveloped land shall provide, in addition to erosion control planting, any drainage facility deemed necessary to control or prevent erosion. Additional erosion protection may be required during the rainy season from October 1 to May 31.

10.BS GRADE. 5                    MAP-G1.6 DUST CONTROL                    RECOMMND

All necessary measures to control dust shall be implemented by the developer during grading.

10.BS GRADE. 6                    MAP-G2.1 GRADING BONDS                    RECOMMND

Grading in excess of 199 cubic yards will require performance security to be posted with the Building & Safety Department. Single family dwelling units graded one lot per permit and proposing to grade less than 5,000 cubic yards are exempt.

10.BS GRADE. 7                    MAP-G2.5 2:1 MAX SLOPE RATIO                    RECOMMND

Grade slopes shall be limited to a maximum steepness ratio of 2:1 (horizontal to vertical) unless otherwise approved.



PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM35596

Parcel: 943-200-026

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.BS GRADE. 8                      MAP-G2.6SLOPE STABL'TY ANLY                      RECOMMND

A slope stability report shall be submitted and approved by the County Geologist for all proposed cut or fill slopes steeper than 2:1 (horizontal to vertical) or over 30 feet in vertical height - unless addressed in a previous report.

10.BS GRADE. 9                      MAP-G2.8MINIMUM DRNAGE GRAD                      RECOMMND

Minimum drainage grade shall be 1% except on portland cement concrete where 0.35% shall be the minimum.

10.BS GRADE. 10                      MAP-G2.11DR WAY XING NWC                      RECOMMND

Lots whose access is or will be affected by natural or constructed drainage facilities, shall provide drive way drainage facilities which are adequate to allow access from the street to the house during 100 year storms.

10.BS GRADE. 11                      MAP-G2.12SLOPES IN FLOODWAY                      RECOMMND

Graded slopes which infringe into the 100 year storm flow flood way boundaries, shall be protected from erosion, or other flood hazards, by a method acceptable to the Building & Safety Departments District Grading Engineer - which may include Riverside County flood Control & Water Conservation District's review and approval. However, no graded slope will be allowed which in the professional judgment of the District Grading Engineer blocks, concentrates or diverts drainage flows.

10.BS GRADE. 12                      MAP-G2.13FIRE D'S OK ON DR.                      RECOMMND

Driveways shall be designed in accordance with Riverside County Fire Department standards - or the governing Fire Department if not the county - and shall require their approval prior to issuance of the grading permit. Approval shall be in the form of a conditional approval letter addressed to the related case file or by written approval from the Fire Department.

10.BS GRADE. 13                      MAP-G2.21POST & BEAM LOT                      RECOMMND

Any lot conditioned to use post and beam design, which involves grading in excess of that required to construct the driveway, will need the Planning Department's approval prior to the issuance of a grading permit.

05/25/10  
09:36

Riverside County LMS  
CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Page: 4

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM35596

Parcel: 943-200-026

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.BS GRADE. 15 MAP-G1.4 NPDES/SWPPP

RECOMMND

Prior to issuance of any grading or construction permits - whichever comes first - the applicant shall provide the Building and Safety Department evidence of compliance with the following: "Effective March 10, 2003 owner operators of grading or construction projects are required to comply with the N.P.D.E.S. (National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System) requirement to obtain a construction permit from the State Water Resource Control Board (SWRCB). The permit requirement applies to grading and construction sites of "ONE" acre or larger. The owner operator can comply by submitting a "Notice of Intent" (NOI), develop and implement a STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN (SWPPP) and a monitoring program and reporting plan for the construction site. For additional information and to obtain a copy of the NPDES State Construction Permit contact the SWRCB at (916) 657-1146.

Additionally, at the time the county adopts, as part of any ordinance, regulations specific to the N.P.D.E.S., this project (or subdivision) shall comply with them.

E HEALTH DEPARTMENT

10.E HEALTH. 1 RCWD POTABLE WATER SERVICE

RECOMMND

Parcel Map#35596 is proposing Rancho California Water District (RCWD) potable water service only. It is the responsibility of the developer to ensure that all requirements to obtain potable water service are met with RCWD as well as all other applicable agencies.

10.E HEALTH. 2 NO OWTS/ATUs IN EASEMENTS

RECOMMND

All components of any proposed Onsite Wastewater Treatment System (OWTS) and/or Advanced Treatment Unit (ATU) must remain outside of any dedicated easement.

10.E HEALTH. 3 OWTS/ATUs - MAINTAIN SETBACKS

RECOMMND

All existing and/or proposed Onsite Wastewater Treatment Systems (OWTS) and/or Advanced Treatment Units (ATU) must maintain all required setbacks as specified in the Department of Environmental Health (DEH) Technical Guidance Manual, Uniform Plumbing Code, and State and Local Laws. Please note that the most restrictive minimum setback may be applied at the discretion of DEH.

05/25/10  
09:36

Riverside County LMS  
CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Page: 5

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM35596

Parcel: 943-200-026

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.E HEALTH. 3                      OWTS/ATUs - MAINTAIN SETBACKS (cont.)                      RECOMMND

In addition, no part of the proposed and/or existing OWTS and/or ATU can be located within Environmental Constraint Areas including specified "Do Not Disturbed" areas without writted consent from the appropriate regulatory agency.

FIRE DEPARTMENT

10.FIRE. 1                      MAP-#50-BLUE DOT REFLECTORS                      RECOMMND

Blue retroreflective pavement markers shall be mounted on private streets, public streets and driveways to indicate location of fire hydrants. Prior to installation, placement of markers must be approved by the Riverside County Fire Department.

10.FIRE. 2                      MAP-#13-HYDRANT SPACING                      RECOMMND

Schedule H fire protection. An approved standard fire hydrant (6"x4"x2 1/2") shall be located within 250 feet of any portion of the lot frontage as measured along approved vehicular travelways. Minimum fire flow shall be 1000 GPM for 2-hour duration at 20 PSI.

FLOOD RI DEPARTMENT

10.FLOOD RI. 1                      MAP FLOOD HAZARD REPORT                      RECOMMND

Parcel Map 35596 is a proposal to subdivide an approximately 5.2-acre site into 2 lots for residential use. The site is located in the Rancho California area on the east side of Calle Del Vinedos north of Vino Way. There is an existing house on Parcel 1.

Our review indicated that the flood plain from Santa Gertrudis Creek traverses approximately the easterly 1/3 of Parcel 2 and the southeasterly corner of Parcel 1. The District has provided the engineer with an exhibit that delineates a "FLOOD HAZARD AREA" which shall be shown on the Environmental Constraint Sheet (ECS). A note shall also be shown on the ECS stating, "The FLOOD HAZARD AREA shall be kept free of all buildings and obstructions. No grading or filling within this area shall be permitted."

This property is located in the Santa Gertrudis Valley sub-watershed of the Murrieta Creek Area Drainage Plan (ADP) where fees have been adopted by the Board of

05/25/10  
09:36

Riverside County LMS  
CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Page: 6

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM35596

Parcel: 943-200-026

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.FLOOD RI. 1                      MAP FLOOD HAZARD REPORT (cont.)                      RECOMMND  
Supervisors.

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

10.PLANNING. 1                      MAP - MAP ACT COMPLIANCE                      RECOMMND  
This land division shall comply with the State of California Subdivision Map Act and to all requirements of County Ordinance No. 460, Schedule H, unless modified by the conditions listed herein.

10.PLANNING. 2                      MAP - FEES FOR REVIEW                      RECOMMND  
Any subsequent review/approvals required by the conditions of approval, including but not limited to grading or building plan review or review of any mitigation monitoring requirement, shall be reviewed on an hourly basis, or other appropriate fee, as listed in county Ordinance No. 671. Each submittal shall be accompanied with a letter clearly indicating which condition or conditions the submittal is intended to comply with.

10.PLANNING. 4                      MAP - LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE                      RECOMMND  
The land divider, or any successor-in-interest to the land divider, shall be responsible for maintenance and upkeep of all slopes, landscaped areas and irrigation systems within the land division until such time as those operations are the responsibility of the individual home owners, a homeowners association, or any other successor-in-interest.

10.PLANNING. 8                      MAP - ZONING STANDARDS                      RECOMMND  
Lots created by this TENTATIVE MAP shall be in conformance with the development standards of the R-A-2 1/2 zone.

10.PLANNING. 9                      MAP - 90 DAYS TO PROTEST                      RECOMMND  
The project applicant has 90 days from the date of approval of these conditions to protest, in accordance with the procedures set forth in Government Code Section 66020, the imposition of any and all fees, dedications, reservations and/or other exactions imposed on this project as a result of the approval or conditional approval of this project.

05/25/10  
09:36

Riverside County LMS  
CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Page: 7

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM35596

Parcel: 943-200-026

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.PLANNING. 11 MAP - OFFSITE SIGNS ORD 679.4

RECOMMND

No offsite subdivision signs advertising this land division/development are permitted, other than those allowed under Ordinance No. 679.4. Violation of this condition of approval may result in no further permits of any type being issued for this subdivision until the unpermitted signage is removed.

10.PLANNING. 13 MAP - ORD 810 OPN SPACE FEE

RECOMMND

Prior to the issuance of either a certificate of occupancy or prior to building permit final inspection, the applicant shall comply with the provisions of Riverside County Ordinance No. 810, which requires payment of the appropriate fee set forth in the Ordinance. Riverside County Ordinance No. 810 has been established to set forth policies, regulations and fees related to the funding and acquisition of open space and habitat necessary to address the direct and cumulative environmental effects generated by new development projects described and defined in this Ordinance.

The fee shall be paid for each residential unit to be constructed within this land division.

In the event Riverside County Ordinance No. 810 is rescinded, this condition will no longer be applicable. However, should Riverside County Ordinance No. 810 be rescinded and superseded by a subsequent mitigation fee ordinance, payment of the appropriate fee set forth in that ordinance shall be required.

10.PLANNING. 14 MAP - ORD NO. 659 (DIF)

RECOMMND

Prior to the issuance of either a certificate of occupancy or prior to building permit final inspection, the applicant shall comply with the provisions of Riverside County Ordinance No. 659, which requires the payment of the appropriate fee set forth in the Ordinance. Riverside County Ordinance No. 659 has been established to set forth policies, regulations and fees related to the funding and construction of facilities necessary to address the direct and cumulative environmental effects generated by new development projects described and defined in this Ordinance, and it establishes the authorized uses of the fees collected.

05/25/10  
09:36

Riverside County LMS  
CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Page: 8

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM35596

Parcel: 943-200-026

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.PLANNING. 14 MAP - ORD NO. 659 (DIF) (cont.)

RECOMMND

The fee shall be paid for each residential unit to be constructed within this land division. In the event Riverside County Ordinance No. 659 is rescinded, this condition will no longer be applicable. However, should Riverside County Ordinance No. 659 be rescinded and superseded by a subsequent mitigation fee ordinance, payment of the appropriate fee set forth in that ordinance shall be required.

10.PLANNING. 16 MAP - SUBMIT BUILDING PLANS

RECOMMND

The developer shall cause building plans to be submitted to the TLMA- Land Use Section for review by the Department of Building and Safety - Plan Check Division. Said plans shall be in conformance with the approved TENTATIVE MAP.

10.PLANNING. 17 MAP - IF HUMAN REMAINS FOUND

RECOMMND

If human remains are encountered, State Health and Safety Code Section 7050.5 states that no further disturbance shall occur until the Riverside County Coroner has made the necessary findings as to origin. Further, pursuant to Public Resource Code Section 5097.98(b) remains shall be left in place and free from disturbance until a final decision as to the treatment and disposition has been made. If the Riverside County Coroner determines the remains to be Native American, the Native American Heritage Commission shall be contacted within a reasonable timeframe. Subsequently, the Native American Heritage Commission shall identify the "most likely descendant." The most likely descendant shall then make recommendations and engage in consultation concerning the treatment of the remains as provided in Public Resources Code Section 5097.98.

10.PLANNING. 18 MAP - INADVERTENT ARCHAEO FIND

RECOMMND

If during ground disturbance activities, unique cultural resources are discovered that were not assessed by the archaeological report(s) and/or environmental assessment conducted prior to project approval, the following procedures shall be followed. Unique cultural resources are defined, for this condition, as being multiple artifacts in close association with each other, but may include fewer artifacts if the area of the find is determined to be of significance due to its sacred or cultural importance.

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM35596

Parcel: 943-200-026

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.PLANNING. 18

MAP - INADVERTENT ARCHAEO FIND (cont.)

RECOMMND

1. All ground disturbance activities within 100 feet of the discovered cultural resources shall be halted until a meeting is convened between the developer, the archaeologist, the Native American tribal representative and the Planning Director to discuss the significance of the find.

2. At the meeting, the significance of the discoveries shall be discussed and after consultation with the Native American tribal representative and the archaeologist, a decision shall be made, with the concurrence of the Planning Director, as to the appropriate mitigation (documentation, recovery, avoidance, etc.) for the cultural resources.

3. Grading of further ground disturbance shall not resume within the area of the discovery until an agreement has been reached by all parties as to the appropriate mitigation.

10.PLANNING. 19

MAP - GEO02117

RECOMMND

County Geologic Report (GEO) No. 2117, submitted for this project (PM35596) was prepared by EnGEN Corporation and is entitled: "Geotechnical Feasibility Study, Tentative Parcel Map No. 35596 - Assessors parcel Number: 943-200-026, 39840 Calle Vinedos, Temecula Area, County of Riverside County, California", Project Number: M3785-GFS, dated September 22, 2008. In addition, EnGEN prepared the following documents:

"Response to Planning Department Review, County Geologic Report No. 2117, Geotechnical Feasibility Study, Tentative Parcel Map No. 35596, APN: - 943-200-026, - Calle Vinedos, Temecula Area, County of Riverside County, CA", dated August 11, 2009.

"Response to Planning Department Review Comments #2, County Geologic Report No. 2117, Geotechnical Feasibility Study, Tentative Parcel Map No. 35596, APN: - 943-200-026, - Calle Vinedos, Temecula Area, County of Riverside County, CA", dated January 25, 2010.

These documents are herein incorporated as a part of GEO02117.

05/25/10  
09:36

Riverside County LMS  
CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Page: 10

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM35596

Parcel: 943-200-026

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.PLANNING. 19

MAP - GEO02117 (cont.)

RECOMMND

GEO02117 concluded:

- 1.No known active faults traverse the property.
- 2.The probability of hazards due to fault generated ground rupture is considered low.
- 3.Seismically-induced liquefaction is anticipated to be negligible.
- 4.Seismically-induced flooding and earthquake-induced surface flooding due to nearby dam failure or seiche activity is considered to be low.
- 5.The probability of a tsunami impacting the site is considered nil.
- 6.Fill exists on Parcel 1 in an apparently agriculturally develop portion of the property. This fill is in need of maintenance with respect to drainage and erosion potential. There is a potential for earthquake-induced landsliding within this fill.

GEO02117 recommended:

- 1.Existing erosional cavities in the fill should be backfilled in accordance with code procedures, and surficially loose fill should be removed from the fill slope surface.
- 2.A full Geotechnical Study, including landslide evaluation, should be a prelude to any change in use or future development on parcel 1.

GEO02117 satisfies the requirement for a Geologic Study for Planning / CEQA purposes. GEO02117 is hereby accepted for Planning purposes. This approval is not intended, and should not be misconstrued as approval for grading permit. Engineering and other building code parameters will be reviewed and additional comments and/or conditions may be imposed by the Building and Safety Department upon application for grading and/or building permits.

An environmental constraints sheet (ECS) shall be prepared relative to the fill located on Parcel 1, as described



05/25/10  
09:36

Riverside County LMS  
CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Page: 11

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM35596

Parcel: 943-200-026

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.PLANNING. 19                    MAP - GEO02117 (cont.) (cont.)                    RECOMMND

elsewhere in this conditions set.

TRANS DEPARTMENT

10.TRANS. 1                    MAP - TS/EXEMPT                    RECOMMND

The Transportation Department has not required a traffic study for the subject project. It has been determined that the project is exempt from traffic study requirements.

10.TRANS. 2                    MAP - DRAINAGE 1                    RECOMMND

The land divider shall protect downstream properties from damages caused by alteration of the drainage patterns, i.e., concentration or diversion of flow. Protection shall be provided by constructing adequate drainage facilities including enlarging existing facilities and/or by securing a drainage easement. All drainage easements shall be shown on the final map and noted as follows: "Drainage Easement - no building, obstructions, or encroachments by landfills are allowed". The protection shall be as approved by the Transportation Department.

10.TRANS. 3                    MAP - DRAINAGE 2                    RECOMMND

The land divider shall accept and properly dispose of all off-site drainage flowing onto or through the site. In the event the Transportation Department permits the use of streets for drainage purposes, the provisions of Article XI of Ordinance No. 460 will apply. Should the quantities exceed the street capacity or the use of streets be prohibited for drainage purposes, the subdivider shall provide adequate drainage facilities and/or appropriate easements as approved by the Transportation Department.

10.TRANS. 8                    MAP - STD INTRO 3(ORD 460/461)                    RECOMMND

With respect to the conditions of approval for the referenced tentative exhibit, the land divider shall provide all street improvements, street improvement plans and/or road dedications set forth herein in accordance with Ordinance 460 and Riverside County Road Improvement Standards (Ordinance 461). It is understood that the tentative map correctly shows acceptable centerline elevations, all existing easements, traveled ways, and drainage courses with appropriate Q's, and that their

05/25/10  
09:36

Riverside County LMS  
CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Page: 12

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM35596

Parcel: 943-200-026

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.TRANS. 8                      MAP - STD INTRO 3(ORD 460/461) (cont.)                      RECOMMND

omission or unacceptability may require the map to be resubmitted for further consideration. These Ordinances and all conditions of approval are essential parts and a requirement occurring in ONE is as binding as though occurring in all. All questions regarding the true meaning of the conditions shall be referred to the Transportation Department.

20. PRIOR TO A CERTAIN DATE

BS GRADE DEPARTMENT

20.BS GRADE. 1                      MAP - EXTG GRADING TO CODE                      RECOMMND

Within 180 days of final approval of Parcel Map 35596, the applicant is required to obtain a grading permit and perform all grading necessary to bring the existng grading into conformance with Ordinance 457. If the applicant fails to complete the grading - including Building and Safety's Grading Division final inspection and approval, the Grading Division's approval of this application becomes null and void.

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

20.PLANNING. 2                      MAP - EXPIRATION DATE                      RECOMMND

The conditionally approved TENTATIVE MAP shall expire three (3) years after the county of Riverside Board of Supervisors original approval date, unless extended as provided by County Ordinance No. 460. Action on a minor change and/or revised map request shall not extend the time limits of the originally approved TENTATIVE MAP. A Land Management System (LMS) hold shall be placed on the TENTATIVE MAP, and a LMS hold shall be placed on any subsequent minor change or revised map, which shall be set to take effect on the expiration date. The LMS hold effective date shall be extended in accordance with any permitted extensions of time. The LMS hold shall be downgraded to a LMS notice upon recordation of the the first phase of the TENTATIVE MAP. The LMS hold or notice shall remain in effect until the recordation of the final phase of the TENTATIVE MAP. If the TENTATIVE MAP expires before the recordation of the final phase the LMS hold or notice shall remain in effect and no further FINAL MAP recordation shall be permitted.

05/25/10  
09:36

Riverside County LMS  
CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Page: 13

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM35596

Parcel: 943-200-026

50. PRIOR TO MAP RECORDATION

EPD DEPARTMENT

50.EPD. 1 EPD - ECS-SAN GERTURDIS CREEK

RECOMMND

BIOLOGICAL DOCUMENTS (PDB05229) SUBMITTED FOR THIS PROJECT INDICATE THAT SAN GERTRUDIS CREEK SHALL BE AVOIDED. THE FINAL PROJECT EXHIBIT MUST SHOW AN ECS DEMONSTRATING AVOIDANCE OF THIS FEATURE ON SITE AS SHOWN ON PAGE 4 OF THE BIOLOGICAL REPORT.

FIRE DEPARTMENT

50.FIRE. 1 MAP-#64-ECS-DRIVEWAY ACCESS

RECOMMND

Ecs map must be stamped by the Riverside County Surveyor with the following note: Driveways exceeding 150' in length, but less than 800' in length, shall provide a turnout near the midpoint of the driveway. Where the driveway exceeds 800', turnouts shall be provided no more than 400' apart. Turnouts shall be a minimum of 10' wide and 30' in length, with a minimum 25' taper on each end. A approved turnaround shall be provided at all building sites on driveways over 150 feet in length, and shall be within 50' of the building.

50.FIRE. 2 MAP-#73-ECS-DRIVEWAY REQUIR

RECOMMND

Ecs map must be stamped by the Riverside County Surveyor with the following note: Access will not have an up, or downgrade of more than 15%. (access will not be less than 20 feet in width per the 2001 UFC, Article 9, Section 902.2.2.1) and will have a vertical clearance of 15'. Access will be designed to withstand the weight of 60 thousand pounds over 2 axles. Access will have a turning radius of 38 feet capable of accommodating fire apparatus.

50.FIRE. 3 MAP-#53-ECS-WTR PRIOR/COMBUS

RECOMMND

Ecs map must be stamped by the Riverside County Surveyor with the following note: The required water system, including fire hydrants, shall be installed and accepted by the appropriate water agency prior to any combustible building material placed on an individual lot.

05/25/10  
09:36

Riverside County LMS  
CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Page: 14

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM35596

Parcel: 943-200-026

50. PRIOR TO MAP RECORDATION

50.FIRE. 4

MAP-#59-ECS-HYDR REQUIR

RECOMMND

Ecs map must be stamped by the Riverside County Surveyor with the following note: Should the applicant or developer choose to defer the fire protection requirements, an Environmental Constraint Sheet shall be filed with the final map containing the following: Prior to the issuance of a building permit, the applicant or developer shall provide written certification from the water company that a standard fire hydrant(s) (6"x4"x2 1/2") exist, within 250 feet of any portion of the lot frontage as measured along approved vehicular travelways; or that financial arrangements have been made to provide

FLOOD RI DEPARTMENT

50.FLOOD RI. 2

MAP SUBMIT ECS & FINAL MAP

RECOMMND

A copy of the environmental constraint sheet and the final map shall be submitted to the District for review and approval. All submittals shall be date stamped by the engineer and include the appropriate plan check fee.

50.FLOOD RI. 6

MAP SHOW FLOODHAZARD AREA ECS

RECOMMND

A 'FLOOD HAZARD AREA' shall be delineated and labeled on an environmental constraint sheet to accompany the final map. A note shall be placed on the environmental constraint sheet stating, "The FLOOD HAZARD AREA shall be kept free of all buildings and obstructions. No grading or filling within this area shall be permitted."

50.FLOOD RI. 8

MAP ADP FEES

RECOMMND

A notice of drainage fees shall be placed on the environmental constraint sheet and final map. The exact wording of the note shall be as follows:

NOTICE OF DRAINAGE FEES

Notice is hereby given that this property is located in the Santa Gertrudis Valley sub-watershed of the Murrieta Creek Area Drainage Plan which was adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Riverside pursuant to Section 10.25 of Ordinance 460 and Section 66483, et seq, of the Government Code and that said property is subject to fees for said drainage area.

05/25/10  
09:36

Riverside County LMS  
CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Page: 15

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM35596

Parcel: 943-200-026

50. PRIOR TO MAP RECORDATION

50.FLOOD RI. 8 MAP ADP FEES (cont.)

RECOMMND

Notice is further given that, pursuant to Section 10.25 of Ordinance 460, payment of the drainage fees shall be paid with cashier's check or money order only to the Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District at the time of issuance of the grading or building permit for said parcels, whichever occurs first, and that the owner of each parcel, at the time of issuance of either the grading or building permit, shall pay the fee required at the rate in effect at the time of issuance of the actual permit.

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

50.PLANNING. 1 MAP - PREPARE A FINAL MAP

RECOMMND

After the approval of the TENTATIVE MAP and prior to the expiration of said map, the land divider shall cause the real property included within the TENTATIVE MAP, or any part thereof, to be surveyed and a FINAL MAP thereof prepared in accordance with the current County Transportation Department - Survey Division requirements, the conditionally approved TENTATIVE MAP, and in accordance with Article IX of County Ordinance No. 460.

50.PLANNING. 2 MAP - SURVEYOR CHECK LIST

RECOMMND

The County Transportation Department - Survey Division shall review any FINAL MAP and ensure compliance with the following:

A. All lots on the FINAL MAP shall be in substantial conformance with the approved TENTATIVE MAP relative to size and configuration.

B. All lots on the FINAL MAP shall have a minimum lot size of 2.5 gross acres.

C. All lot sizes and dimensions on the FINAL MAP shall be in conformance with the development standards of the R-A-2 1/2 zone, and with the Riverside County Integrated Project (RCIP).

D. All lots on the FINAL MAP shall comply with the length to width ratios, as established by Section 3.8.C. of County Ordinance No. 460.

05/25/10  
09:36

Riverside County LMS  
CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Page: 16

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM35596

Parcel: 943-200-026

50. PRIOR TO MAP RECORDATION

50.PLANNING. 6                      MAP - ANNEX TO PARK DISTRICT                      RECOMMND

The land divider shall submit written proof to the County Planning Department - Development Review Division that the subject property has been annexed to County Service Area No. 149.

50.PLANNING. 7                      MAP - QUIMBY FEES (1)                      RECOMMND

The land divider shall submit to the County Planning Department - Development Review Division a duly and completely executed agreement with the County Service Area No. 149 which demonstrates to the satisfaction of the County that the land divider has provided for the payment of parks and recreation fees and/or dedication of land for the TENTATIVE MAP in accordance with Section 10.35 of County Ordinance No. 460.

50.PLANNING. 13                      MAP - FINAL MAP PREPARER                      RECOMMND

The FINAL MAP shall be prepared by a licensed land surveyor or registered civil engineer.

50.PLANNING. 14                      MAP - ECS SHALL BE PREPARED                      RECOMMND

The land divider shall prepare an Environmental Constraints Sheet (ECS) in accordance with Section 2.2. E. & F. of County Ordinance No. 460, which shall be submitted as part of the plan check review of the FINAL MAP.

50.PLANNING. 19                      MAP - COMPLY WITH ORD 457                      RECOMMND

The land divider shall provide proof to the County Planning Department - Land Use Division that all structures for human occupancy presently existing and proposed for retention comply with Ordinance No. 457.

50.PLANNING. 21                      MAP - FEE BALANCE                      RECOMMND

Prior to recordation, the Planning Department shall determine if the deposit based fees for the TENTATIVE MAP are in a negative balance. If so, any unpaid fees shall be paid by the land divider and/or the land divider's successor-in-interest.

05/25/10  
09:36

Riverside County LMS  
CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Page: 17

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM35596

Parcel: 943-200-026

50. PRIOR TO MAP RECORDATION

50.PLANNING. 24                      MAP - ECS NOTE MT PALOMAR LIGH                      RECOMMND

The following Environmental Constraint Note shall be placed on the ECS:

"This property is subject to lighting restrictions as required by County Ordinance No. 655, which are intended to reduce the effects of night lighting on the Mount Palomar Observatory. All proposed outdoor lighting systems shall be in conformance with County Ordinance No. 655."

50.PLANNING. 25                      MAP - ECS FILL/LANDSLIDE                      RECOMMND

An environmental constraints sheet (ECS) shall be prepared for this project. The ECS shall indicate the area of the project site that is subject to potential slope instability and landslide hazards. In addition, a note shall be placed on the ECS as follows:

"Portions of this site, as delineated on this ECS map and as indicated in County Geologic Report (GEO) No. 2117, contain areas of potential slope instability and/or landslide hazards. These areas must be assessed by the project engineering geologist and project geotechnical engineer and appropriately mitigated during site grading. All slopes must be maintained by the property owner to protect against erosion and future potential slope failure."

TRANS DEPARTMENT

50.TRANS. 4                              MAP - AGGREGATE/32'GRADED                              RECOMMND

Calle del Vinedos shall be improved with 24 feet of acceptable Aggregate Base (0.33' thick) on a 32 foot graded section within a 60 foot full-width dedicated right-of-way as approved by the Transportation Department.

50.TRANS. 6                              MAP - EASEMENT                              RECOMMND

Any easement not owned by a public utility, public entity or subsidiary, not relocated or eliminated prior to final map approval, shall be delineated on the final map in addition to having the name of the easement holder, and the nature of their interests, shown on the map.

05/25/10  
09:36

Riverside County LMS  
CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Page: 18

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM35596

Parcel: 943-200-026

50. PRIOR TO MAP RECORDATION

50.TRANS. 10                      MAP - IMP PLANS                      RECOMMND

Improvement plans for the required improvements must be prepared and shall be based upon a design profile extending a minimum of 300 feet beyond the project boundaries at a grade and alignment as approved by the Riverside County Transportation Department. Completion of road improvements does not imply acceptance for maintenance by County.

50.TRANS. 11                      MAP - OFF-SITE INFO                      RECOMMND

The off-site rights-of-way required for said access road(s) shall be accepted to vest title in the name of the public if not already accepted.

50.TRANS. 13                      MAP - STREET NAME SIGN                      RECOMMND

The land divider shall install street name sign(s) in accordance with County Standard No. 816 as directed by the Transportation Department.

50.TRANS. 14                      MAP - INTERSECTION/50' TANGENT                      RECOMMND

All enterline intersections shall be at 90 degrees, plus or minus 5 degrees, with a minimum 50' tangent, measured from flowline/curbface or as approved by the Transportation Planning and Development Review Division Engineer.

50.TRANS. 15                      MAP - ACCESS RD/AGG.CONST1                      RECOMMND

Two access roads to the nearest road maintained for public use shall be constructed with 24 feet of acceptable aggregate base (0.33' thick) on a 32 foot graded section within a 60 foot full-width dedicated right-of-way in accordance with an approved centerline profile as approved by the Transportation Department. The applicant shall be required to provide the appropriate environmental clearances for said off-site improvements prior to recordation or the signature of any street improvement plans.

1. Said offsite access road shall be the southerly extension of Calle Del Vinedos to Vino Way, and the northeasterly extension of Vino Way to Anza Road, and the southeasterly extension of Anza Road to a paved County maintained Anza Road.

2. Said off-site access road shall be the northwesterly



05/25/10  
09:36

Riverside County LMS  
CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Page: 19

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM35596

Parcel: 943-200-026

50. PRIOR TO MAP RECORDATION

50.TRANS. 15                      MAP - ACCESS RD/AGG.CONST1 (cont.)                      RECOMMND

extension of Calle Del Vinedos to Calle Cabeza, and the  
southwesterly extension of Calle Cabeza to a paved  
County maintained Calle Cabernet.

50.TRANS. 20                      MAP - ASSESSMENT DIST 1                      RECOMMND

Should this project lie within any assessment/benefit  
district, the applicant shall, prior to recordation, make  
application for and pay for their reapportionment of the  
assessments or pay the unit fees in the benefit district.

60. PRIOR TO GRADING PRMT ISSUANCE

BS GRADE DEPARTMENT

60.BS GRADE. 1                      MAP-G2.4GEOTECH/SOILS RPTS                      RECOMMND

Geotechnical soils reports, required in order to obtain a  
grading permit, shall be submitted to the Building  
and Safety Department's Grading Division for review  
and approval prior to issuance of a grading permit.

All grading shall be in conformance with the  
recommendations of the geotechnical/soils reports as  
approved by Riverside County.\*

\*The geotechnical/soils, compaction and inspection reports  
will be reviewed in accordance with the RIVERSIDE COUNTY  
GEOTECHNICAL GUIDELINES FOR REVIEW OF GEOTECHNICAL AND  
GEOLOGIC REPORTS.

60.BS GRADE. 2                      MAP-G2.7DRNAGE DESIGN Q100                      RECOMMND

All grading and drainage shall be designed in accordance  
with Riverside County Flood Control & Water Conservation  
District's conditions of approval regarding this  
application. If not specifically addressed in their  
conditions, drainage shall be designed to accommodate 100  
year storm flows.

Additionally, the Building and Safety Department's  
conditional approval of this application includes an  
expectation that the conceptual grading plan reviewed and  
approved for it complies or can comply with any WQMP (Water  
Quality Management Plan) required by Riverside County Flood

05/25/10  
09:36

Riverside County LMS  
CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Page: 20

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM35596

Parcel: 943-200-026

60. PRIOR TO GRADING PRMT ISSUANCE

60.BS GRADE. 2                    MAP-G2.7DRNAGE DESIGN Q100 (cont.)                    RECOMMND

Control and Water Conservation District.

60.BS GRADE. 3                    MAP-G2.14OFFSITE GDG ONUS                    RECOMMND

Prior to the issuance of a grading permit, it shall be the sole responsibility of the owner/applicant to obtain any and all proposed or required easements and/or permissions necessary to perform the grading herein proposed.

60.BS GRADE. 4                    MAP-G1.4 NPDES/SWPPP                    RECOMMND

Prior to issuance of any grading or construction permits - whichever comes first - the applicant shall provide the Building and Safety Department evidence of compliance with the following: "Effective March 10, 2003 owner operators of grading or construction projects are required to comply with the N.P.D.E.S. (National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System) requirement to obtain a construction permit from the State Water Resource Control Board (SWRCB). The permit requirement applies to grading and construction sites of "ONE" acre or larger. The owner operator can comply by submitting a "Notice of Intent" (NOI), develop and implement a STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN (SWPPP) and a monitoring program and reporting plan for the construction site. For additional information and to obtain a copy of the NPDES State Construction Permit contact the SWRCB at (916) 657-1146.

Additionally, at the time the county adopts, as part of any ordinance, regulations specific to the N.P.D.E.S., this project (or subdivision) shall comply with them.

60.BS GRADE. 5                    MAP IMPORT/EXPORT                    RECOMMND

In instances where a grading plan involves import or export, prior to obtaining a grading permit, the applicant shall have obtained approval for the import/export location from the Building and Safety department. If an Environmental Assessment, prior to issuing a grading permit, did not previously approve either location, a Grading Environmental Assessment shall be submitted to the Planning Director and the Environmental Programs Director for review and comment and to the Building and Safety Department Director for approval. Additionally, if the movement of import/export occurs using county roads, review and approval of the haul routes by the

05/25/10  
09:36

Riverside County LMS  
CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Page: 21

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM35596

Parcel: 943-200-026

60. PRIOR TO GRADING PRMT ISSUANCE

60.BS GRADE. 5 MAP IMPORT/EXPORT (cont.)

RECOMMND

Transportation Department will be required.

EPD DEPARTMENT

60.EPD. 1 EPD -ECS- SAN GERTRUDIS CREEK

RECOMMND

BIOLOGICAL DOCUMENT PDB052229 PREPARED FOR THIS CASE BY JEFF KIDD INDICATES THAT SAN GERTRUDIS CREEK OCCURS ONSITE BUT SHALL BE AVOIDED. THE GRADING PLAN FOR THIS CASE MUST SHOW AN ECS DEMONSTRATING AVOIDANCE OF THIS FEATURE AS SHOWN ON PAGE 4 OF THE BIOLOGICAL REPORT.

FLOOD RI DEPARTMENT

60.FLOOD RI. 2 MAP ADP FEES

RECOMMND

Parcel Map 35596 is located within the limits of the Santa Gertrudis Valley sub-watershed of the Murrieta Creek Area Drainage Plan for which drainage fees have been adopted.

Drainage fees shall be paid with cashier's check or money order only to the District at the time of the issuance of grading permits for the approved parcels or at the time of issuance of building permits if no grading permits are issued for the parcels and may be paid, at the option of the land owner, in pro rata amounts. The amount of the drainage fee required to be paid shall be the amount that is in effect for the particular Area Drainage Plan at the time of issuance of the grading permits or issuance of the building permits if grading permits are not issued.

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

60.PLANNING. 2 MAP - BUILDING PAD GRADING

RECOMMND

All grading for any proposed new dwellings and/or accessory buildings shall occur within the approved building pad sites shown on the TENTATIVE MAP.

60.PLANNING. 4 MAP - SLOPE GRADING TECHNIQUES

RECOMMND

The land divider/permit holder shall cause grading plans to be prepared which show all cut slopes located adjacent to ungraded natural terrain and exceed ten (10) feet in vertical height to be contour-graded incorporating the following grading techniques:

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM35596

Parcel: 943-200-026

60. PRIOR TO GRADING PRMT ISSUANCE

60.PLANNING. 4                      MAP - SLOPE GRADING TECHNIQUES (cont.)                      RECOMMND

1. The angle of the graded slope shall be gradually adjusted to the angle of the natural terrain.

2. Angular forms shall be discouraged. The graded form shall reflect the natural rounded terrain.

3. The toes and tops of slopes shall be rounded with curves with radii designed in proportion to the total height of the slopes where drainage and stability permit such rounding.

4. Where cut and/or fill slopes exceed 300 feet in horizontal length, the horizontal contours of the slope shall be curved in a continuous, undulating fashion.

60.PLANNING. 16                      MAP - SKR FEE CONDITION                      RECOMMND

Prior to the issuance of a grading permit, the land divider/permit holder shall comply with the provisions of Riverside County Ordinance No. 663, which generally requires the payment of the appropriate fee set forth in that ordinance. The amount of the fee required to be paid may vary depending upon a variety of factors, including the type of development application submitted and the applicability of any fee reduction or exemption provisions contained in Riverside County Ordinance No. 663. Said fee shall be calculated on the approved development project which is anticipated to be 5.42 acres (gross) in accordance with the TENTATIVE MAP. If the development is subsequently revised, this acreage amount may be modified in order to reflect the revised development project acreage amount. In the event Riverside County Ordinance No. 663 is rescinded, this condition will no longer be applicable. However, should Riverside County Ordinance No. 663 be rescinded and superseded by a subsequent mitigation fee ordinance, payment of the appropriate fee set forth in that ordinance shall be required.

60.PLANNING. 17                      MAP - FEE BALANCE                      RECOMMND

Prior to issuance of grading permits, the Planning Department shall determine if the deposit based fees are in a negative balance. If so, any outstanding fees shall be paid by the applicant/developer.

05/25/10  
09:36

Riverside County LMS  
CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Page: 23

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM35596

Parcel: 943-200-026

60. PRIOR TO GRADING PRMT ISSUANCE

60.PLANNING. 18

MAP - GRADING PLAN REVIEW

RECOMMND

The land divider/permit holder shall cause a plan check application for a grading plan to be submitted to the county T.L.M.A - Land Use Division for review by the County Department of Building and Safety - Grading Division. Said grading plan shall be in conformance with the approved tentative map, in compliance with County Ordinance No. 457, and the conditions of approval for the tentative map.

60.PLANNING. 19

MAP - PALEO PRIMP & MONITOR

RECOMMND

This site is mapped in the County's General Plan as having a high potential for paleontological resources (fossils). Proposed project site grading/earthmoving activities could potentially impact this resource. Hence:

PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF GRADING PERMITS:

1.The applicant shall retain a qualified paleontologist approved by the County of Riverside to create and implement a project-specific plan for monitoring site grading/earthmoving activities (project paleontologist).

2.The project paleontologist retained shall review the approved development plan and shall conduct any pre-construction work necessary to render appropriate monitoring and mitigation requirements as appropriate. These requirements shall be documented by the project paleontologist in a Paleontological Resource Impact Mitigation Program (PRIMP). This PRIMP shall be submitted to the County Geologist for review and approval prior to issuance of a Grading Permit.

Information to be contained in the PRIMP, at a minimum and in addition to other industry standard and Society of Vertebrate Paleontology standards, are as follows:

A. The project paleontologist shall participate in a pre-construction project meeting with development staff and construction operations to ensure an understanding of any mitigation measures required during construction, as applicable.

B.Paleontological monitoring of earthmoving activities will be conducted on an as-needed basis by the project paleontologist during all earthmoving activities that may expose sensitive strata. Earthmoving activities in areas

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM35596

Parcel: 943-200-026

60. PRIOR TO GRADING PRMT ISSUANCE

60.PLANNING. 19

MAP - PALEO PRIMP & MONITOR (cont.)

RECOMMND

of the project area where previously undisturbed strata will be buried but not otherwise disturbed will not be monitored. The project paleontologist or his/her assign will have the authority to reduce monitoring once he/she determines the probability of encountering fossils has dropped below an acceptable level.

C.If the project paleontologist finds fossil remains, earthmoving activities will be diverted temporarily around the fossil site until the remains have been evaluated and recovered. Earthmoving will be allowed to proceed through the site when the project paleontologist determines the fossils have been recovered and/or the site mitigated to the extent necessary.

D.If fossil remains are encountered by earthmoving activities when the project paleontologist is not onsite, these activities will be diverted around the fossil site and the project paleontologist called to the site immediately to recover the remains.

E.If fossil remains are found, fossiliferous rock will be recovered from the fossil site and processed to allow for the recovery of smaller fossil remains. Test samples may be recovered from other sampling sites in the rock unit if appropriate.

F.Any recovered fossil remains will be prepared to the point of identification and identified to the lowest taxonomic level possible by knowledgeable paleontologists. The remains then will be curated (assigned and labeled with museum\* repository fossil specimen numbers and corresponding fossil site numbers, as appropriate; places in specimen trays and, if necessary, vials with completed specimen data cards) and catalogued, an associated specimen data and corresponding geologic and geographic site data will be archived (specimen and site numbers and corresponding data entered into appropriate museum repository catalogs and computerized data bases) at the museum repository by a laboratory technician. The remains will then be accessioned into the museum\* repository fossil collection, where they will be permanently stored, maintained, and, along with associated specimen and site data, made available for future study by qualified scientific investigators. \* The County of Riverside must be consulted on the repository/museum to receive the fossil

05/25/10  
09:36

Riverside County LMS  
CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Page: 25

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM35596

Parcel: 943-200-026

60. PRIOR TO GRADING PRMT ISSUANCE

60.PLANNING. 19 MAP - PALEO PRIMP & MONITOR (cont.) (cont.) RECOMMND

material prior to being curated.

G.A qualified paleontologist shall prepare a report of findings made during all site grading activity with an appended itemized list of fossil specimens recovered during grading (if any). This report shall be submitted to the County Geologist for review and approval prior to building final inspection as described elsewhere in this conditions set.

All reports shall be signed by the project paleontologist and all other professionals responsible for the report's content (eg. Professional Geologist, Professional Engineer, etc.), as appropriate. Two wet-signed original copies of the report shall be submitted directly to the office of the County Geologist along with a copy of this condition and the grading plan for appropriate case processing and tracking. These documents should not be submitted to the project Planner, the Plan Check staff, the Land Use Counter or any other County office.

80. PRIOR TO BLDG PRMT ISSUANCE

BS GRADE DEPARTMENT

80.BS GRADE. 1 MAP-G3.1NO B/PMT W/O G/PMT RECOMMND

Prior to issuance of any building permit, the property owner shall obtain a grading permit and/or approval to construct from the Grading Divisin of the Building and Safety Department.

E HEALTH DEPARTMENT

80.E HEALTH. 1 ENV HEALTH CLEARANCE REQUIRED RECOMMND

The Department of Environmental Health (DEH) wil accept for review the proposed use of an Onsite Wastewater Treatment System (OWTS) for Lot#2 of Parcel#35596 based on Lawrence Phelps (RCE#35280) Soils Percolation Report dated 5-31-07. An existing OWTS has been identified on Lot#1 per Lanik Septic Service C42 Certification dated 7-16-07.

Upon building submittal, the applicant must submit to DEH for review at least three copies of detailed contoured plot plans wet stamped and signed by the Professional of Record drawn to an appropriate scale showing the location of all

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM35596

Parcel: 943-200-026

80. PRIOR TO BLDG PRMT ISSUANCE

80.E HEALTH. 1 ENV HEALTH CLEARANCE REQUIRED (cont.) RECOMMND

applicable detail as required in the DEH Technical Guidance Manual.

If grading is proposed, the applicant must show all pertinent detail on scaled Precise Grading Plans wet stamped and signed by the Professional of Record. Please note that any significant grading at the proposed OWTS area may require further soils percolation testing and/or engineering.

Furthermore, a floor plan of the proposed structure showing all proposed plumbing fixtures must also be submitted to DEH for review to ensure proper septic tank sizing.

80.E HEALTH. 2 DEH SITE EVALUATION REQUIRED RECOMMND

Department of Environmental Health (DEH) site evaluation is required. The applicant must ensure that the groundwater detection boring (4" perforated pipe installed at a depth that extends at least 10 feet below the proposed leach line trench bottom) is installed for DEH staff to evaluate.

In addition, the applicant must ensure that the job property is clearly identified with a durable placard delineating the site address or APN# as well as ensure that all property corners are clearly staked or marked.

\*\*Please note that if groundwater encroachment is observed, further engineering, as well as, Regional Water Quality Control Board Clearance may be required.\*\*

FIRE DEPARTMENT

80.FIRE. 1 MAP-#50B-HYDRANT SYSTEM RECOMMND

Prior to the release of your installation, site prep and/or building permits from Building and Safety. Written certification from the appropriate water district that the required fire hydrant(s) are either existing or that financial arrangements have been made to provide them.

Also a map or APN page showing the location of the fire hydrant and access to the property.



05/25/10  
09:36

Riverside County LMS  
CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Page: 27

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM35596

Parcel: 943-200-026

80. PRIOR TO BLDG PRMT ISSUANCE

FLOOD RI DEPARTMENT

80.FLOOD RI. 2

MAP ADP FEES

RECOMMND

Parcel Map 35596 is located within the limits of the Santa Gertrudis Valley sub-watershed of the Murrieta Creek Area Drainage Plan for which drainage fees have been adopted.

Drainage fees shall be paid with cashier's check or money order only to the District at the time of the issuance of grading permits for the approved parcels or at the time of issuance of building permits if no grading permits are issued for the parcels and may be paid, at the option of the land owner, in pro rata amounts. The amount of the drainage fee required to be paid shall be the amount that is in effect for the particular Area Drainage Plan at the time of issuance of the grading permits or issuance of the building permits if grading permits are not issued.

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

80.PLANNING. 8

MAP - SCHOOL MITIGATION

RECOMMND

Impacts to the Temecula Valley Unified School District shall be mitigated in accordance with California State law.

80.PLANNING. 10

MAP - FEE BALANCE

RECOMMND

Prior to issuance of building permits, the Planning Department shall determine if the deposit based fees are in a negative balance. If so, any outstanding fees shall be paid by the applicant/developer.

90. PRIOR TO BLDG FINAL INSPECTION

E HEALTH DEPARTMENT

90.E HEALTH. 1

USE- E.HEALTH CLEARANCE REQ

RECOMMND

Environmental Health Clearance prior to final inspection.

90.E HEALTH. 2

USE-FEE STATUS

RECOMMND

Prior to final approval, the Environmental Health Department shall determine the status of the deposit based fees. If the fees are in a negative status, the permit holder shall pay any outstanding balances. Contact the accounting section at (951) 955-8982.

05/25/10  
09:36

Riverside County LMS  
CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Page: 28

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM35596

Parcel: 943-200-026

90. PRIOR TO BLDG FINAL INSPECTION

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

90.PLANNING. 4

MAP - QUIMBY FEES (2)

RECOMMND

The land divider/permit holder shall present certification to the Riverside County Planning Department that payment of parks and recreation fees and/or dedication of land for park use in accordance with Section 10.35 of County Ordinance No. 460 has taken place. Said certification shall be obtained from the County of Riverside Economic Development Agency (EDA) for CSA No. 149.

90.PLANNING. 6

MAP - SKR FEE CONDITION

RECOMMND

Prior to the issuance of a certificate of occupancy, or upon building permit final inspection, whichever comes first, the land divider/permit holder shall comply with the provisions of Riverside County Ordinance No. 663, which generally requires the payment of the appropriate fee set forth in that ordinance. The amount of the fee required to be paid may vary, depending upon a variety of factors, including the type of development application submitted and the applicability of any fee reduction or exemption provisions contained in Riverside County Ordinance No. 663. Said fee shall be calculated on the approved development project which is anticipated to be 5.42 acres (gross) in accordance with TENTATIVE MAP. If the development is subsequently revised, this acreage amount may be modified in order to reflect the revised development project acreage amount. In the event Riverside County Ordinance No. 663 is rescinded, this condition will no longer be applicable. However, should Riverside County Ordinance No. 663 be rescinded and superseded by a subsequent mitigation fee ordinance, payment of the appropriate fee set forth in that ordinance shall be required.

90.PLANNING. 8

MAP - PALEO MONITORING REPORT

RECOMMND

PRIOR TO BUILDING FINAL INSPECTION:

The applicant shall submit to the County Geologist one wet-signed copy of the Paleontological Monitoring Report prepared for site grading operations at this site. The report shall be certified by the professionally-qualified Paleontologist responsible for the content of the report. This Paleontologist must be on the County's Paleontology

05/25/10  
09:36

Riverside County LMS  
CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Page: 29

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM35596

Parcel: 943-200-026

90. PRIOR TO BLDG FINAL INSPECTION

90.PLANNING. 8 MAP - PALEO MONITORING REPORT (cont.) RECOMMND

Consultant List. The report shall contain a report of findings made during all site grading activities and an appended itemized list of fossil specimens recovered during grading (if any) and proof of accession of fossil materials into the pre-approved museum repository. In addition, all appropriate fossil location information shall be submitted to the San Bernardino County Museum and Los Angeles County Museum of Natural History, at a minimum, for incorporation into their Regional Locality Inventories.

90.PLANNING. 9 MAP - ORD 810 OPEN SPACE FEE RECOMMND

Prior to the issuance of either a certificate of occupancy or prior to building permit final inspection, the applicant shall comply with the provisions of Riverside County Ordinance No. 810, which requires payment of the appropriate fee set forth in the Ordinance. Riverside County Ordinance No. 810 has been established to set forth policies, regulations and fees related to the funding and acquisition of open space and habitat necessary to address the direct and cumulative environmental effects generated by new development projects described and defined in this Ordinance.

The fee shall be paid for each residential unit to be constructed within this land division. In the event Riverside County Ordinance No. 810 is rescinded, this condition will no longer be applicable. However, should Riverside County Ordinance No. 810 be rescinded and superseded by a subsequent mitigation fee ordinance, payment of the appropriate fee set forth in that ordinance shall be required.

90.PLANNING. 10 MAP - ORD NO. 659 (DIF) RECOMMND

Prior to the issuance of either a certificate of occupancy or prior to building permit final inspection, the applicant shall comply with the provisions of Riverside County Ordinance No. 659, which requires the payment of the appropriate fee set forth in the Ordinance. Riverside County Ordinance No. 659 has been established to set forth policies, regulations and fees related to the funding and construction of facilities necessary to address the direct and cumulative environmental effects generated by new development projects described and defined in this Ordinance, and it establishes the authorized uses of the

05/25/10  
09:36

Riverside County LMS  
CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Page: 30

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM35596

Parcel: 943-200-026

90. PRIOR TO BLDG FINAL INSPECTION

90.PLANNING. 10                      MAP - ORD NO. 659 (DIF) (cont.)

RECOMMND

fees collected. The fee shall be paid for each residential unit to be constructed within this land division. In the event Riverside County Ordinance No. 659 is rescinded, this condition will no longer be applicable. However, should Riverside County Ordinance No. 659 be rescinded and superseded by a subsequent mitigation fee ordinance, payment of the appropriate fee set forth in that ordinance shall be required.

TRANS DEPARTMENT

90.TRANS. 1                      MAP - WRCOG TUMF

RECOMMND

Prior to the issuance of an occupancy permit, the project proponent shall pay the Transportation Uniform Mitigation Fee (TUMF) in accordance with the fee schedule in effect at the time of issuance, pursuant to Ordinance No. 824.

**LAND DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE**  
**INITIAL CASE TRANSMITTAL**  
**RIVERSIDE COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT - RIVERSIDE**  
**P.O. Box 1409**  
**Riverside, CA 92502-1409**

DATE: March 18, 2008

**TO:**

Transportation Dept.-Jim Knutson  
Environmental Health Dept.  
Flood Control Dist.  
Fire Department  
Dept. of Bldg. & Safety (Grading)  
Regional Parks & Open Space Dist.  
Co. Geologist  
Environmental Programs Dept.  
P.D. Trails Coordinator-J. Jolliffe  
Riv. Transit Agency  
Riv. Sheriffs Dept.  
Riv. Waste Management Dept.

CSA 149 c/o EDA  
ALUC-John Guerin  
Supervisor Stone  
Commissioner Petty  
Temecula Valley Unified School Dist.  
Verizon  
RCWD  
SCE  
Southern California Gas  
EIC "Attachment A"  
Archeology-Leslie Mouriquand

**CHANGE OF ZONE NO. 7563 AND TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP NO. 35596** – EA41522 – Applicant: Salvatore Lunetto – Engineer/Representative: Inland Valley Development Consultants - Third Supervisorial District – Rancho California Zoning Area - Southwest Area Plan: Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC: EDR) (2 Acre Minimum) – Location: Northerly of Vino Way, easterly of Calle Cabernet, and westerly of Anza Road – 5.2 Gross Acres - Zoning: Rural Residential (R-R) - **REQUEST:** The change of zone proposes to change the zoning classification from Rural Residential (R-R) to Residential Agricultural – 2½ Acre Minimum (R-A-2½). The parcel map proposes a Schedule H subdivision of 5.2 acres into two (2) residential lots with a minimum lot size of two (2) acres – APN: 943-200-026 -Concurrent Cases: N/A – Related Cases: N/A

Please review the attached exhibit(s) for the above-described project. This case is scheduled for a **LDC Meeting on April 10, 2008**. All County Agencies and Departments, please have draft conditions in the Land Management System by the above date. If you cannot clear the exhibit, please have corrections in the system and DENY the routing. Once the route is complete, and the approval screen is approved with or without corrections, the case can be scheduled for a public hearing. All other agencies, please have your comments/conditions to the Planning Department as soon as possible. Your comments/recommendations/conditions are requested so that they may be incorporated in the staff report for this particular case.

Should you have any questions regarding this item, please do not hesitate to contact **Alisa Krizek**, Project Planner, at **(951) 955-9075** or email at [akrizek@RCTLMA.org](mailto:akrizek@RCTLMA.org) / **MAILSTOP# 1070**.

**COMMENTS:**

DATE: \_\_\_\_\_

SIGNATURE: \_\_\_\_\_

**FILE COPY**

PLEASE PRINT NAME AND TITLE: \_\_\_\_\_

TELEPHONE: \_\_\_\_\_

*If you do not include this transmittal in your response, please include a reference to the case number and project planner's name. Thank you.*



**Rancho  
Water**

April 14, 2008

Alisa Krizek, Case Planner  
County of Riverside  
Planning Department  
Post Office Box 1409  
Riverside, CA 92502-1409

**SUBJECT: WATER AVAILABILITY  
TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP NO. 35596  
PORTION OF PARCEL NO. 1 OF PARCEL MAP NO. 9639  
APN 943-200-026  
[INLAND VALLEY DEVELOPMENT CONSULTANTS]**

**Board of Directors**

**William E. Plummer**  
President

**Ralph H. Daily**  
Sr. Vice President

**Stephen J. Corona**

**Ben R. Drake**

**Lisa D. Herman**

**John E. Hongland**

**Lawrence M. Libeu**

**Officers:**

**Brian J. Brady**  
General Manager

**Phillip L. Forbes**  
Assistant General Manager /  
Chief Financial Officer

**John G. O'Donnell**  
Assistant General Manager /  
Engineering & Operations

**Perry R. Louck**  
Director of Planning

**Jeffrey D. Armstrong**  
Controller

**Kelli E. Garcia**  
District Secretary

**C. Michael Cowett**  
Best Best & Krieger LLP  
General Counsel

Dear Ms. Krizek:

Please be advised that the above-referenced project/property is located within the boundaries of Rancho California Water District (RCWD) and does not front existing water pipeline facilities. The nearest water facility is a 16-inch diameter pipeline within Vino Way, approximately 520 feet south of the southerly property boundary.

Water service to the project would be available upon the completion of financial arrangements between RCWD and the property owner. Water service to individual lots will require the extension of water facilities within dedicated public right-of-ways. The project proponent should contact RCWD for fees and requirements.

In addition, water availability is contingent upon the property owner(s) destroying all on-site wells and signing an Agency Agreement that assigns water management rights, if any, to RCWD. Sewer service to the subject project, if available, would be provided by the Eastern Municipal Water District.

If you should have any questions or need additional information, please contact an Engineering Services Representative at this office at (951) 296-6900.

Sincerely,

**RANCHO CALIFORNIA WATER DISTRICT**

Corey F. Wallace, P.E.  
Engineering Manager

cc: Laurie Williams, Engineering Services Supervisor

08\CW:lm031\FEG

WARREN D. WILLIAMS  
General Manager-Chief Engineer



1995 MARKET STREET  
RIVERSIDE, CA 92501  
951.955.1200  
FAX 951.788.9965  
www.floodcontrol.co.riverside.ca.us  
118772\_2

RIVERSIDE COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL  
AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT

April 14, 2008

Riverside County  
Planning Department  
County Administrative Center  
4080 Lemon Street  
Riverside, CA 92501

Attn: Alisa Krizek

Re: Change of Zone 7563  
~~PM 35596~~

Area: Rancho California

We have reviewed this case and have the following comments:

The proposed zoning is consistent with existing flood hazards. Some flood control facilities or floodproofing may be required to fully develop to the implied density.

Questions concerning this matter may be referred to Eric Russell of this office at 951.955.1211.

Very truly yours,

  
MEKBIB DEGAGA  
Senior Civil Engineer

c: PM 35596

EWR:blj



**Riverside County**  
**Waste Management Department**

*Hans W. Kernkamp, General Manager-Chief Engineer*

March 31, 2008

Alisa Krizek, Project Planner  
Riverside County Planning Department  
P. O. Box No. 1409  
Riverside, CA 92502-1409

**RE: Change of Zone (CZ) 7563, Tentative Parcel Map (PM) No. 35596**  
**Proposal: Change the zone designation from Rural Residential (R-R) to Residential Agricultural – 2 ½ Acre Minimum (R-A-2 ½). Divide 5.2 acres into two (2) residential lots with a minimum lot size of two (2) acres.**  
**APN: 943-200-026**

Dear Ms. Krizek:

The Riverside County Waste Management Department (RCWMD) has reviewed the proposed project located north of Vino Way, east of Calle Cabernet, and west of Anza Road, in the Rancho California Zoning Area. This project has the potential to impact long-term landfill capacity by generating solid waste that requires disposal. In order to mitigate the project's potential solid waste impact, and to help the County's efforts to comply with State law in diverting solid waste from landfill disposal, the project's applicant should implement the following measures, as feasible:

- Recycle the project's construction and demolition (C&D) waste through a C&D recycling facility.
- Use mulch and/or compost in the development and maintenance of landscaped areas within the project boundaries. Recycle green waste through either onsite composting of grass, i.e., leaving the grass clippings on the lawn, or sending separated green waste to a composting facility.
- Consider xeriscaping and using drought tolerant/low maintenance vegetation in all landscaped areas of the project.
- Hazardous materials **are not** accepted at the Riverside County landfills. Any hazardous wastes, including paint, used during construction must be properly disposed of at a licensed facility in accordance with local, state and federal regulations. Please contact the Riverside County Household Hazardous Waste Collection (HHW) Program - 24-Hour Hotline 1.800.304.2226 for further information.

Thank you for the opportunity to review this proposal. If you have any questions, please call me at (951) 486-3284.

Sincerely,

Mirtha Liedl, Planner

*Encl.: Case Transmittal form*

PD#64691v1



**COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE**  
**TRANSPORTATION AND LAND MANAGEMENT AGENCY**  
**Planning Department**  
*Ron Goldman - Interim Planning Director*

**APPLICATION FOR SUBDIVISION AND DEVELOPMENT**

CHECK ONE AS APPROPRIATE:

CC004418

- |  |   |   |
|--|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> TRACT MAP             | <input type="checkbox"/> MINOR CHANGE           | <input type="checkbox"/> VESTING MAP            |
| <input type="checkbox"/> REVISED MAP           | <input type="checkbox"/> REVERSION TO ACREAGE   | <input type="checkbox"/> EXPIRED RECORDABLE MAP |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PARCEL MAP | <input type="checkbox"/> AMENDMENT TO FINAL MAP |   |

INCOMPLETE APPLICATIONS WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED.

CASE NUMBER: Pm35596 DATE SUBMITTED: 8/14/07

**APPLICATION INFORMATION**

Applicant's Name: SALVATORE LUNETTO E-Mail: \_\_\_\_\_

Mailing Address: 39840 CALLE DEL VINEDOS  
TEMECULA CA 92591  
City State ZIP

Daytime Phone No: (909) 1094-1763 Fax No: ( ) \_\_\_\_\_

Engineer/Representative's Name: \_\_\_\_\_ E-Mail: \_\_\_\_\_  
**INLAND VALLEY DEVELOPMENT CONSULTANTS**

Mailing Address: \_\_\_\_\_  
**31953 CASH LANE**  
**WILDOMAR CA 92595**  
**(951) 809-4806**  
City State ZIP

Daytime Phone No: ( ) \_\_\_\_\_ Fax No: ( ) \_\_\_\_\_

Property Owner's Name: SAME AS ABOVE E-Mail: \_\_\_\_\_

Mailing Address: \_\_\_\_\_  
Street  
\_\_\_\_\_  
City State ZIP

Daytime Phone No: ( ) \_\_\_\_\_ Fax No: ( ) \_\_\_\_\_

If additional persons have an ownership interest in the subject property in addition to that indicated above, attach a separate sheet that references the application case number and lists the names, mailing addresses, and phone numbers of those persons having an interest in the real property or properties involved in this application.

The Planning Department will primarily direct communications regarding this application to the person identified above as the Applicant. The Applicant may be the property owner, representative, or other assigned agent.

C207563 EA41522 CFG 04/867

**APPLICATION FOR SUBDIVISION AND DEVELOPMENT**

**AUTHORIZATION FOR CONCURRENT FEE TRANSFER**

The signature below authorizes the Planning Department and TLMA to expedite the refund and billing process by transferring monies among concurrent applications to cover processing costs as necessary. Fees collected in excess of the actual cost of providing specific services will be refunded. If additional funds are needed to complete the processing of your application, you will be billed, and processing of the application will cease until the outstanding balance is paid and sufficient funds are available to continue the processing of the application. The applicant understands the deposit fee process as described above, and that there will be NO refund of fees which have been expended as part of the application review or other related activities or services, even if the application is withdrawn or the application is ultimately denied.

All signatures must be originals ("wet-signed"). Photocopies of signatures are **not** acceptable.

SALVATORE LUNETTO  
PRINTED NAME OF APPLICANT

*Salvatore Lunetto*  
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT

**AUTHORITY FOR THIS APPLICATION IS HEREBY GIVEN:**

I certify that I am/we are the record owner(s) or authorized agent and that the information filed is true and correct to the best of my knowledge. An authorized agent must submit a letter from the owner(s) indicating authority to sign the application on the owner's behalf.

All signatures must be originals ("wet-signed"). Photocopies of signatures are **not** acceptable.

SALVATORE LUNETTO  
PRINTED NAME OF PROPERTY OWNER(S)

*Salvatore Lunetto*  
SIGNATURE OF PROPERTY OWNER(S)

SILVANA LUNETTO  
PRINTED NAME OF PROPERTY OWNER(S)

*Silvana Lunetto*  
SIGNATURE OF PROPERTY OWNER(S)

If the subject property is owned by persons who have not signed as owners above, attach a separate sheet that references the application case number and lists the printed names and signatures of all persons having an interest in the property.

☐ See attached sheet(s) for other property owners signatures.

**PROPERTY INFORMATION:**

Assessor's Parcel Number(s): 943-200-026

Section: 22 Township: 7 SOUTH Range: 2 WEST

Approximate Gross Acreage: 5.2 AC NET

General location (cross streets, etc.): North of CALLIE DB VINEDOS, South of ANZA ROAD, East of CALLIE CABEZA, West of VINO WAY.

Thomas Brothers map, edition year, page number, and coordinates: PAGE 929: J-7

**APPLICATION FOR SUBDIVISION AND DEVELOPMENT**

Proposal (describe project, indicate the number of proposed lots/parcels, units, and the schedule of the subdivision, whether the project is a Vesting Map or Planned Residential Development (PRD):

SUBDIVIDE 5.2 AC NET LOT INTO 2 Lot, SCHOOLS "H"  
PARCEL MAP - w / CHANGE OF CHANGE

Related cases filed in conjunction with this request:

CHANGE OF ZONE (C207563)

Is there a previous development application filed on the same site: Yes ☐ No ☒

If yes, provide Case No(s). N/A (Parcel Map, Zone Change, etc.)

E.A. No. (if known) N/A E.I.R. No. (if applicable):

Have any special studies or reports, such as a traffic study, biological report, archaeological report, geological or geotechnical reports, been prepared for the subject property? Yes ☐ No ☒

If yes, indicate the type of report(s) and provide a copy: N/A

Is water service available at the project site: Yes ☒ No ☐

If "No," how far must the water line(s) be extended to provide service? (No. of feet/miles)

Is sewer service available at the site? Yes ☐ No ☒

If "No," how far must the sewer line(s) be extended to provide service? (No. of feet/miles) ± 5 miles

Will the proposal result in cut or fill slopes steeper than 2:1 or higher than 10 feet? Yes ☐ No ☒

How much grading is proposed for the project site?

Estimated amount of cut = cubic yards: N/A

Estimated amount of fill = cubic yards N/A

Does the project need to import or export dirt? Yes ☐ No ☒

Import N/A Export Neither

What is the anticipated source/destination of the import/export? N/A

## APPLICATION FOR SUBDIVISION AND DEVELOPMENT

What is the anticipated route of travel for transport of the soil material?

N/A

How many anticipated truckloads? N/A truck loads.

What is the square footage of usable pad area? (area excluding all slopes) 10,000 sq. ft.

If this is a residential subdivision, is it located in a Recreation and Park District or County Service Area authorized to collect fees for park and recreational services? Yes ☐ No ☒

If yes, does the subdivision intend to dedicate land or pay Quimby fees, or a combination of both?

Dedicate land ☐ Pay Quimby fees ☐ Combination of both ☐

Is the subdivision located within 8½ miles of March Air Reserve Base? Yes ☐ No ☒

If yes, will any structure exceed fifty-feet (50') in height (above ground level)? Yes ☐ No ☒

Does the subdivision exceed more than one acre in area? Yes ☒ No ☐

If yes, in which one of the following watersheds is it located (refer to Riverside County GIS for watershed location)?

Check answer:

☐ Santa Ana River

☒ Santa Margarita River

☐ San Jacinto River

☐ Colorado River

### HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Government Code Section 65962.5 requires the applicant for any development project to consult specified state-prepared lists of hazardous waste sites and submit a signed statement to the local agency indicating whether the project is located on or near an identified site. Under the statute, no application shall be accepted as complete without this signed statement.

I (we) certify that I (we) have investigated our project with respect to its location on or near an identified hazardous waste site and that my (our) answers are true and correct to the best of my (our) knowledge. My (Our) investigation has shown that:

☐ The project is not located on or near an identified hazardous waste site.

☐ The project is located on or near an identified hazardous waste site. Please list the location of the hazardous waste site(s) on an attached sheet.

Owner/Representative (1) [Signature]

Date 5/22/07

Owner/Representative (2) \_\_\_\_\_

Date \_\_\_\_\_

**NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING**  
and  
**INTENT TO ADOPT A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION**

**A PUBLIC HEARING** has been scheduled, pursuant to Riverside County Land Use and Subdivision Ordinance Nos. 348 460, before the **RIVERSIDE COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION** to consider the project shown below:

**CHANGE OF ZONE NO. 7563, TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP NO. 35596** – Intent to Adopt a Mitigated Negative Declaration – Applicant: Salvatore Lunetto – Engineer/Representative: Inland Valley Development Consultants - Third Supervisorial District – Rancho California Zoning Area - Southwest Area Plan: Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC: EDR) (2 Acre Minimum) – Location: Northerly of Vino Way, easterly of Calle Del Vinedos, and westerly of Anza Road – 5.2 Gross Acres - Zoning: Rural Residential (R-R) – **REQUEST:** The Change of Zone proposes to change the zoning classification from Rural Residential (R-R) to Residential Agricultural – 2 ½ Acre Minimum (R-A-2 ½). The Tentative Parcel Map proposes a Schedule H subdivision of 5.2 acres into two (2) residential parcels with a minimum parcel size of two (2 ½) acres. (Quasi-judicial)

TIME OF HEARING: 9:00 a.m. or as soon as possible thereafter.  
DATE OF HEARING: July 14, 2010  
PLACE OF HEARING: RIVERSIDE COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION  
EASTERN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT  
2270 TRUMBLE ROAD  
PERRIS, CALIFORNIA 92572

For further information regarding this project, please contact Project Planner, Wendell Bugtai at 951-955-2419 or email [wbugtai@rcplma.org](mailto:wbugtai@rcplma.org), or go to the County Planning Department's Planning Commission agenda web page at [http://www.tlma.co.riverside.ca.us/planning/content/hearings/pc/current\\_pc.html](http://www.tlma.co.riverside.ca.us/planning/content/hearings/pc/current_pc.html).

The Riverside County Planning Department has determined that the above project will not have a significant effect on the environment and has recommended adoption of a mitigated negative declaration. The Planning Commission will consider the proposed project and the proposed mitigated negative declaration, at the public hearing. The case file for the proposed project and the proposed mitigated negative declaration may be viewed Monday through Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., (with the exception of Noon-1:00 p.m. and holidays) at the County of Riverside Planning Department, 4080 Lemon Street, 9th Floor, Riverside, CA 92502. For further information or an appointment, contact the project planner.

Any person wishing to comment on a proposed project may do so, in writing, between the date of this notice and the public hearing or appear and be heard at the time and place noted above. All comments received prior to the public hearing will be submitted to the Planning Commission, and the Planning Commission will consider such comments, in addition to any oral testimony, before making a decision on the proposed project.

If you challenge this project in court, you may be limited to raising only those issues you or someone else raised at the public hearing, described in this notice, or in written correspondence delivered to the Planning Commission at, or prior to, the public hearing. Be advised that, as a result of public hearings and comment, the Planning Commission may amend, in whole or in part, the proposed project. Accordingly, the designations, development standards, design or improvements, or any properties or lands, within the boundaries of the proposed project, may be changed in a way other than specifically proposed.

Please send all written correspondence to:  
RIVERSIDE COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT  
Attn: Wendell Bugtai  
P.O. Box 1409, Riverside, CA 92502-1409



## MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

100



COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE  
SPECIALIZED DEPARTMENT RECEIPT  
Permit Assistance Center

J\* REPRINTED \* T0709075

4080 Lemon Street  
Second Floor  
Riverside, CA 92502  
(951) 955-3200

39493 Los Alamos Road  
Suite A  
Murrieta, CA 92563  
(951) 694-5242

38686 El Cerrito Rd  
Indio, CA 92211  
(760) 863-8271

\*\*\*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\*

Received from: MARIA VACCARO \$64.00  
paid by: CK 1299  
CFG FOR EA41522  
paid towards: CFG04867 CALIF FISH & GAME: DOC FEE  
at parcel:  
appl type: CFG3

By \_\_\_\_\_ Aug 17, 2007 09:22  
SBROSTRO posting date Aug 17, 2007

\*\*\*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\*

Account Code	Description	Amount
658353120100208100	CF&G TRUST: RECORD FEES	\$64.00

Overpayments of less than \$5.00 will not be refunded!



COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE  
SPECIALIZED DEPARTMENT RECEIPT  
Permit Assistance Center

J\* REPRINTED \* R1004022

4080 Lemon Street  
Second Floor  
Riverside, CA 92502  
(951) 955-3200

39493 Los Alamos Road  
Suite A  
Murrieta, CA 92563  
(951) 694-5242

38686 El Cerrito Rd  
Indio, CA 92211  
(760) 863-8271

\*\*\*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\*

Received from: MARIA VACCARO \$2,010.25  
paid by: CK 2228  
CFG FOR EA41522  
paid towards: CFG04867 CALIF FISH & GAME: DOC FEE  
at parcel:  
appl type: CFG3

By \_\_\_\_\_ Apr 15, 2010 14:27  
SBROSTRO posting date Apr 15, 2010

\*\*\*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\*

Account Code	Description	Amount
658353120100208100	CF&G TRUST	\$2,010.25

Overpayments of less than \$5.00 will not be refunded!

## PROPERTY OWNERS CERTIFICATION FORM

I, VINNIE NGUYEN, certify that on 10/21/2010.

The attached property owners list was prepared by Riverside County GIS.

APN (s) or case numbers Pm 35596/C207563 For

Company or Individual's Name Planning Department.

Distance buffered 1200'.

Pursuant to application requirements furnished by the Riverside County Planning Department, Said list is a complete and true compilation of the owners of the subject property and all other property owners within 600 feet of the property involved, or if that area yields less than 25 different owners, all property owners within a notification area expanded to yield a minimum of 25 different owners, to a maximum notification area of 2,400 feet from the project boundaries, based upon the latest equalized assessment rolls. If the project is a subdivision with identified off-site access/improvements, said list includes a complete and true compilation of the names and mailing addresses of the owners of all property that is adjacent to the proposed off-site improvement/alignment.

I further certify that the information filed is true and correct to the best of my knowledge. I understand that incorrect or incomplete information may be grounds for rejection or denial of the application.


NAME: Vinnie Nguyen

TITLE GIS Analyst

ADDRESS: 4080 Lemon Street 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor

Riverside, Ca. 92502

TELEPHONE NUMBER (8 a.m. – 5 p.m.): (951) 955-8158

10/21/10   
Expires 7/21/11

## 1200 feet buffer



### Selected Parcels

943-280-002	943-200-020	943-280-003	943-280-006	943-270-001	943-280-001	943-280-005	943-200-029	943-200-030	943-270-003
943-200-014	943-200-015	943-200-017	943-210-008	943-280-007	943-190-019	964-180-016	943-270-002	943-190-002	943-200-016
964-180-015	964-180-002	943-190-022	942-250-026	943-210-007	942-260-003	943-190-025	943-200-026	943-190-020	943-190-007
943-190-026	943-190-021	943-180-004	943-200-027	943-220-002					



1,900 950 0 1,900 Feet

Maps and data are to be used for reference purposes only. Map features are approximate, and are not necessarily accurate to surveying or engineering standards. The County of Riverside makes no warranty or guarantee as to the content (the source is often third party), accuracy, timeliness, or completeness of any of the data provided, and assumes no legal responsibility for the information contained on this map. Any use of this product with respect to accuracy and precision shall be the sole responsibility of the user.

APN: 943280002, ASMT: 943280002  
ANDREW SEBOK, ETAL  
24 PEMBROKE LN  
LAGUNA NIGUEL CA 92677

APN: 943200030, ASMT: 943200030  
FRANK B BRAINARD, ETAL  
P O BOX 892544  
TEMECULA CA 92589

APN: 943200020, ASMT: 943200020  
BRENT D HALEY, ETAL  
39625 ANZA RD  
TEMECULA CA. 92591

APN: 943270003, ASMT: 943270003  
GARY B STEVENS, ETAL  
39617 CALLE CABERNET  
TEMECULA CA. 92591

APN: 943280003, ASMT: 943280003  
CHATEAU DHUIS  
14726 RAMONA AVE STE 201  
CHINO CA 91710

APN: 943200015, ASMT: 943200015  
GERALD P CURRAN, ETAL  
P O BOX 891092  
TEMECULA CA 92589

APN: 943280006, ASMT: 943280006  
CURTIS R KUEHNEL, ETAL  
40174 CALLE CALETA  
TEMECULA CA. 92591

APN: 943200017, ASMT: 943200017  
GUY TENERELLI  
33900 VINO WAY RD  
TEMECULA CA. 92591

APN: 943270001, ASMT: 943270001  
DANIEL J MCGINLEY, ETAL  
39693 CALLE CABERNET  
TEMECULA CA. 92591

APN: 943210008, ASMT: 943210008  
JOHN EVELYN, ETAL  
3612 OSTROM AVE  
LONG BEACH CA 90808

APN: 943280001, ASMT: 943280001  
DAVID L FONSECA, ETAL  
40070 CALLE CABERNET  
TEMECULA CA 92591

APN: 943280007, ASMT: 943280007  
JOHN REINGRUBER  
40250 CALLE CALETA  
TEMECULA CA. 92591

APN: 943280005, ASMT: 943280005  
DAVID REZENDEZ, ETAL  
P O BOX 892922  
TEMECULA CA 92589

APN: 943190019, ASMT: 943190019  
KEVIN D HOLT, ETAL  
39817 CALLE CABERNET  
TEMECULA CA. 92591

APN: 964180016, ASMT: 964180016  
MWD  
C/O ASSEST MANAGEMENT  
P O BOX 54153  
LOS ANGELES CA 90054

APN: 942250026, ASMT: 942250026  
ROBERT RONALD MORRIN, ETAL  
39770 ANZA RD  
TEMECULA CA 92591

APN: 943270002, ASMT: 943270002  
PATRICIA M CROSS  
39625 CALLE CABERNET  
TEMECULA CA. 92591

APN: 943210007, ASMT: 943210007  
ROBIN L RENNAKER, ETAL  
33805 VINO WAY  
TEMECULA CA. 92591

APN: 943190002, ASMT: 943190002  
RANCHO CALIF WATER DIST  
P O BOX 9017  
TEMECULA CA 92589

APN: 942260003, ASMT: 942260003  
ROCHELLE A RAND  
P O BOX 124725  
SAN DIEGO CA 92112

APN: 943200016, ASMT: 943200016  
REBECCA KNODE, ETAL  
P O BOX 892065  
TEMECULA CA 92589

APN: 943190025, ASMT: 943190025  
ROY HOWARD, ETAL  
33614 VINO WAY  
TEMECULA CA. 92591

APN: 964180015, ASMT: 964180015  
REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIF  
C/O REAL ESTATE SERVICES GROUP  
1111 FRANKLIN ST 6TH FL  
OAKLAND CA 94607

APN: 943200026, ASMT: 943200026  
SALVATORE LUNETTO, ETAL  
39840 CALLE DE VINEDOS  
TEMECULA CA. 92591

APN: 964180002, ASMT: 964180002  
RIVERSIDE COUNTY REGIONAL PARK & OPEN  
4600 CRESTMORE RD  
RIVERSIDE CA 92519

APN: 943190020, ASMT: 943190020  
SCOTT C MILLER, ETAL  
39897 CALLE CABERNET  
TEMECULA CA. 92591

APN: 943190022, ASMT: 943190022  
ROBERT LUCEY, ETAL  
39957 CALLE CABERNET  
TEMECULA CA. 92591

APN: 943190007, ASMT: 943190007  
TOM G HERNANDEZ, ETAL  
39757 CALLE CABERNET  
TEMECULA CA. 92591



APN: 943190021, ASMT: 943190021  
VIET DUC BE, ETAL  
869 DOROTHEA RD  
LA HABRA HEIGHTS CA 90631

APN: 943180004, ASMT: 943180004  
VRE WINE COUNTRY  
100 S BRENTWOOD STE 240  
CLAYTON MO 63105

APN: 943200027, ASMT: 943200027  
WILLIAM D MAYFIELD, ETAL  
39800 CALLE DE VINEDOS  
TEMECULA CA. 92591

APN: 943220002, ASMT: 943220002  
WILLIAM R MAIS, ETAL  
P O BOX 892075  
TEMECULA CA 95289

Centralized Correspondence,  
Southern California Gas Company  
P.O. Box 3150  
San Dimas, CA 91773

Eastern Information Center  
Dept. of Anthropology  
1334 Watkins Hall, University of  
California, Riverside  
Riverside, CA 92521-0418

Rancho California Water District  
42135 Winchester Rd.  
P.O. Box 9017  
Temecula, CA 92590-4800

ATTN: Michael McCoy  
Riverside Transit Agency  
1825 3rd St.  
P.O. Box 59968  
Riverside, CA 92517-1968

Southern California Edison  
2244 Walnut Grove Ave., Rm 312  
P.O. Box 600  
Rosemead, CA 91770

Temecula Valley  
Unified School District  
31350 Rancho Vista Rd.  
Temecula, CA 92592-6200

Verizon Engineering  
1980 Orange Tree Ln., Rm #100  
Redlands, CA 92374

Applicant/Owner:  
Salvatorre Lunetto  
39840 Calle del Vinedos  
Temecula, CA 92591

Eng-Rep:  
Inland Valley Development Consultants  
31953 Cash Lane  
Wildomar, CA 92595