

**SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

334



FROM: Executive Office

SUBMITTAL DATE:
June 16, 2011

SUBJECT: Commitment of Development Impact Fees (DIF)

RECOMMENDED MOTION: That the Board:

- 1) Approve the commitments of Development Impact Fees for the projects identified on the DIF Public Facilities Needs List as shown in Table A; and,
- 2) Authorize the use of uncommitted DIF fund balances, as attached in Table B, that were collected up to the effective date of the Transportation Uniform Mitigation Fee Program (TUMF) to be eligible to be expended on regional transportation facilities as a match towards other regional funding programs, as designated by the County; and,
- 3) Direct the Executive Office to transfer funds from the Development Impact Fee Funds as needed, pursuant to contracts and billings received for the projects identified in Table A & B.

BACKGROUND: The Riverside County Development Impact Fee (DIF) program is nearing its tenth year of operation following the effective date of the fee program on September 11, 2001.

Serena Chow
Serena Chow, Senior Management Analyst

FORM APPROVED COUNTY COUNSEL
BY: Synthia H. Gunzel DATE: 6/22/11
SYNTHIA M. GUNZEL Departmental Concurrence

FINANCIAL DATA	Current F.Y. Total Cost:	\$	In Current Year Budget:	Yes
	Current F.Y. Net County Cost:	\$	Budget Adjustment:	No
	Annual Net County Cost:	\$	For Fiscal Year:	11/12

SOURCE OF FUNDS: Development Impact Fees – various funds	Positions To Be Deleted Per A-30	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Requires 4/5 Vote	<input type="checkbox"/>

C.E.O. RECOMMENDATION: APPROVE

County Executive Office Signature BY: Christopher M. Hans

Consent Policy
 Consent Policy

Dept. Recomm.:
 Per Exec. Ofc.:

Commitment of Development Impact Fees (DIF) for Five-Year Reporting Findings

June 16, 2011

Page 2

Pursuant to the requirements of California Government Code 66001 (d), following the fifth fiscal year after the first deposit into the Development Impact Fee (DIF) funds and every five years thereafter, the County is required to make findings with respect to the portion of the unspent funds, whether committed or uncommitted. The County's previous five-year report was completed in conjunction with the Annual Mitigation Fee Report for fiscal year 2006-2007.

Only the unspent fund balances from FY 06-07 must be committed within five years with an anticipated use date for projects identified on the DIF Public Facilities Needs List. In addition, all other sources of funding needed to complete the project must be identified. The government code ensures that the county is using the funds for the purpose for which fees were collected.

A portion of the unspent fund balances were collected for transportation projects which were on the original Public Facilities Needs List of 2001. Because some projects are included in and funded by the Transportation Uniform Mitigation Fee Program (TUMF), they were removed in the 2006 DIF update in order to eliminate overlap between DIF fees and TUMF fees. Remaining uncommitted DIF fund balances, collected prior to the effective date of the TUMF program of February 2003, are recommended to be used as a county match towards regional transportation facilities.

Table A, below, is the proposed DIF commitments for projects on the DIF Public Facilities Needs List.

TABLE A

Fund Number	Project Name	Proposed Commitment
30506	Cabazon Sheriff Station – Coroner (Hadley Building) Expansion	\$ 42,051
30529	4 th District Conservation Land Bank -Transfer to Riverside County Environmental Programs Division EPD	\$ 909,850
30532	Woodcrest Library/Community Room Expansion Debt Service Payment	\$ 148,538
30534	North Shore Community Center Expansion (ADA Improvements)	\$ 42,582
30536	Temescal Valley Sports Park Community Room	\$ 776,688
30568	Mead Valley Community Center	\$ 29,811

Commitment of Development Impact Fees (DIF) for Five-Year Reporting Findings

June 16, 2011

Page 3

Table B, below, is the remaining uncommitted DIF balances collected prior to the effective date of the TUMF program of February 2003 recommended to be used as a county match towards regional transportation facilities.

TABLE B

Fund Number	Western	Collected Prior to TUMF
30507	Jurupa Area Plan (AP1) Roads, Bridges, Major Improvements	\$ 117,751
30511	Woodcrest/Lake Matthews (AP 7), Roads, Bridges, Major Improvements	\$ 454,764
30512	Temescal Canyon Area Plan (AP 6), Roads, Bridges, Major Improvements	\$ 75,350
30513	Eastvale Area Plan (AP5), Roads, Bridges, Major Improvements	\$ 577
30515	Upper San Jacinto Valley Area Plan (AP10), Roads, Bridges, Major Impr.	\$ 18,019
30517	REMAP Area Plan (AP11), Roads, Bridges, Major Improvements	\$ 4,894
30518	Lakeview/Nuevo Area Plan (AP12) Roads, Bridges, Major Improvement	\$ 14,585
30519	Sun City Menifee Valley Plan (AP17), Roads, Bridges, Major Improvements	\$ 45,222
30520	Highway 74/79 Area Plan (AP16), Roads, Bridges, Major Improvements	\$ 4,249
30521	Greater Elsinore Area Plan (AP15), Roads, Bridges, Major Improvements	\$ 81,596
30523	Mead Valley/Goodhope Area Plan (AP13), Roads, Bridges, Major Impr.	\$ 21,416
30525	Southwest Area Plan (SWAP) (AP19), Roads, Bridges, Major Impr.	\$ 183,410
30530	San Gorgonio Pass Area Plan (AP20), Roads, Bridges, Major Impr.	\$ 1,209
		\$ 1,023,042
Fund Number	Eastern	Collected Prior to TUMF
30508	Coachella Western Area Plan (AP2), Roads, Bridges, Major Improvements	\$ 472,916
30522	Palo Verde Valley Area Plan (AP14) Roads, Bridges, Major Improvement	\$ 8,311
30524	Coachella-Eastern Area Plan (AP18), Roads, Bridges, Major Improvements	\$ 153,263
		\$634,490