SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA





FROM:

County Counsel

Code Enforcement Department

August 4, 2011

SUBJECT: Statement of Abatement Costs [Case No. CV09-05332]

Subject Property: 73500 Black Eagle Drive, Thousand Palms; BARKER

APN: 693-131-006

District Four

RECOMMENDED MOTION: Move that the Board of Supervisors:

- assess the reasonable costs of abatement of a public nuisance (accumulated rubbish) in the (1) above-referenced matter to be, nine hundred thirty-two dollars and twenty cents (US \$932.20);
- assess the costs of abatement against the above-described subject property; (2)
- authorize the recordation of a notice of abatement lien; (3)
- authorize the abatement costs to be added to the tax roll as a special assessment; and (4)
- authorize and direct the Code Enforcement Department to take any reasonable actions to collect the (5)amount owed.

BACKGROUND: Government Code § 25845, Riverside County Ordinance Nos. 541(RCC Chapter 8.120) and 725 (RCC Chapter 1.16) authorize the recovery of abatement costs in public nuisance cases, the recordation of a notice of abatement lien and inclusion of abatement costs on the tax roll as a special assessment upon approval of the Board of Supervisors.

L. ALEXANDRA FONG, Deputy County Counsel for PAMELA J. WALLS County Counsel (Continued) In Current Year Budget: \$ N/A N/A **Current F.Y. Total Cost: FINANCIAL** \$ N/A **Budget Adjustment:** N/A **Current F.Y. Net County Cost:** DATA N/A For Fiscal Year: **Annual Net County Cost:** \$ N/A Positions To Be **SOURCE OF FUNDS: Deleted Per A-30** Requires 4/5 Vote

C.E.O. RECOMMENDATION:

County Executive Office Signature

Dep't Recomm.: Exec. Ofc.: Per

Policy

Consent 🖂

Policy

S

Consent

Prev. Agn. Ref.:

District: 4

Agenda Number:

rude

Statement of Abatement Costs [Case No. CV09-05332]
Subject Property: 73500 Black Eagle Drive, Thousand Palms; BARKER

APN: 693-131-006

District Four

Multiple Notices of Violation and Administrative Citations were issued. Subsequently, on or about March 12, 2010, the property owner brought the property into compliance. Additionally, review of the title report reveals that the property has been transferred back and forth between mother and daughter, which may be an attempt to circumvent the Code Enforcement process.

There are other cases for which the costs sought at this Statement of Abatement Costs hearing do not pertain (CV09-06676). For this case, the County of Riverside Code Enforcement Department has not yet calculated the costs.

The Notice of Hearing re Statement of Abatement Costs has been posted on the property and mailed to the property owner and all interested parties, as required by law. Copies of all relevant notices issued in this matter together with proof of service and posting have been separately filed with the Clerk of the Board and are made a part of the record herein, pursuant to Riverside County Ordinance 725.