

SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

504B



FROM: TLMA - Planning Department

SUBMITTAL DATE:
December 13, 2011

SUBJECT: TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP NO. 35934 – Intent to Adopt a Mitigated Negative Declaration – Applicant: James and Timmie Martens – Fifth Supervisorial District – Location: Easterly of Corbett Lane, southerly of Blanik Avenue, and northerly of Nuevo Valley Drive – **REQUEST:** The tentative parcel map is a Schedule “H” subdivision of 5.0 gross acres into two 2.5 acre residential parcels.

RECOMMENDED MOTION:

RECEIVE AND FILE the Notice of Decision for the above referenced case acted on by the Planning Director on December 12, 2011.

ADOPT a **MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION** for **ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT NO. 42003**, based on the findings incorporated in the initial study and the conclusion that the project will not have a significant effect on the environment; and,

APPROVE TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP NO. 35934, subject to the attached conditions of approval, and based upon the findings and conclusions incorporated in the staff report.

BACKGROUND: At the Planning Director’s Hearing, Planning Department staff recommended the addition of two conditions of approval [20. PLANNING. 3 (Primary Dwelling Occupancy) and 50. PLANNING. 25 (Primary Dwelling)], as well as modification to an existing condition [90. PLANNING. 6 (SKR Fee Condition)]. The Hearing Officer accepted staff’s recommendation and include those changes into his recommendation to approve the tentative parcel map.

Carolyn Syms Luna

Carolyn Syms Luna
Planning Director

Initials:
CSL:ar/dm *PLM*

REVIEWED BY EXECUTIVE OFFICE

DATE *1/11/12*

Tina Grande

Departmental Concurrence

Policy Policy

Consent Consent

Dep't Recomm.:
Per Exec. Ofc.:

Prev. Agn. Ref.

District: Fifth

Agenda Number:

1.2

Agenda Item No.: 2.2
Area Plan: Lakeview/Nuevo
Zoning Area: Nuevo
Supervisory District: Fifth
Project Planner: Adrienne Rossi
Directors Hearing: December 12, 2011

TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP NO. 35934
ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT NO. 42003
Applicant: James and Timmie Martins
Engineer/Representative: Lamda Engineering
& Development

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE PLANNING DEPARTMENT STAFF REPORT

PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION:

The Tentative Parcel Map is a Schedule "H" subdivision of 5.0 acres into two (2) residential parcels of 2.5 acres.

The project site is located northerly of Nuevo Valley Road, southerly of Blanik Avenue, easterly of Ramona Avenue and westerly of Corbett Lane.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS:

- | | |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| 1. Existing General Plan Land Use: | Rural Community: Very Low Density Residential (RC: VLDR) |
| 2. Surrounding General Plan Land Use: | Rural Community: Very Low Density Residential (RC: VLDR) to the north, south, east and west. |
| 3. Existing Zoning: | Residential Agricultural-1 Acre Minimum (R-A-1) |
| 4. Surrounding Zoning: | Residential Agricultural-1 Acre Minimum (R-A-1) to the north, south, east and west. |
| 5. Existing Land Use: | One existing Single Family Dwelling |
| 6. Surrounding Land Use: | Scattered Single Family Residences and Vacant Land. |
| 7. Project Data: | Total Acreage: 5.0 Gross Acres
Total Proposed Parcels: 2
Proposed Min. Parcel Size: 2.5 Acres
Schedule: "H" |
| 8. Environmental Concerns: | See attached environmental assessment |

RECOMMENDATIONS:

ADOPTION of a **MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION** for **ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT NO. 42003**, based on the findings incorporated in the initial study and the conclusion that the project will not have a significant effect on the environment; and,

APPROVAL of **TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP NO. 35934**, subject to the attached conditions of approval, and based upon the findings and conclusions incorporated in the staff report.

D.M.

CONCLUSIONS:

1. The proposed project is in conformance with the Rural Community: Very Low Density Residential Land Use Designation, and with all other elements of the Riverside County General Plan.
2. The proposed Tentative Parcel Map is consistent with the Residential Agricultural-1 Acre Minimum zoning classification of Ordinance No. 348, and with all other applicable provisions of Ordinance No. 348.
3. The proposed project is consistent with the Schedule "H" map requirements of Ordinance No. 460, and with other applicable provisions of Ordinance No. 460.
4. The public's health, safety, and general welfare are protected through project design.
5. The proposed project is clearly compatible with the present and future logical development of the area.
6. The proposed project will not have a significant effect on the environment.
7. The proposed project will not preclude reserve design for the Western Riverside County Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan (WRCMSHCP).

FINDINGS: The following findings are in addition to those incorporated in the summary of findings and in the attached environmental assessment, which is incorporated herein by reference.

1. The project site is designated Rural Community: Very Low Density Residential (RC:VLDR) on the Lakeview/Nuevo Area Plan.
2. The proposed single family residential parcels, with a minimum of 2.5 Gross Acres, are larger than the 1 acre minimum parcel size of the Rural Community: Very Low Density Residential Land Use Designation.
3. The proposed single family residential parcel is consistent with the VLDR Land Use Designation.
4. The project site is surrounded by properties which are designated Rural Community: Very Low Density Residential (RC: VLDR).
5. The zoning for the subject site is Residential Agricultural-1 Acre Minimum (R-A-1).
6. The project site is surrounded by properties which are zoned Residential Agricultural -1 Acre Minimum (R-A-1).
7. A single family residence has been constructed on the northerly portion, along with a detached garage. A guest dwelling has been constructed on the southerly portion of the project site.
8. Environmental Assessment No. 42003 identified the following potentially significant impacts:
 - a. Hazards & Hazardous Materials

These listed impacts will be fully mitigated by the measures indicated in the environmental assessment, conditions of approval, and attached letters. No other significant impacts were identified.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS:

1. As of this writing, no letters, in support or opposition have been received.
2. The project site is not located within:
 - a. A City Sphere or Influence Area;
 - b. A 100-year flood plain, an area drainage plan, or dam inundation area;
 - c. A WRCMSHCP Cell/Criteria Area; or,
 - d. Airport Influence Area or Compatibility Area.
3. The project site is located within:
 - a. The boundaries of Romoland and Perris Union High School District;
 - b. Riverside County Recreation and Parks District;
 - c. In or Partially in the Stephens Kangaroo Rat Fee Area or Core Reserve Area; and,
 - d. A High Fire Area.
4. The subject site is currently designated as Assessor's Parcel Number 309-170-069.

VICINITY MAP



Selected parcel(s):
309-170-069


IMPORTANT

Maps and data are to be used for reference purposes only. Map features are approximate, and are not necessarily accurate to surveying or engineering standards. The County of Riverside makes no warranty or guarantee as to the content (the source is often third party), accuracy, timeliness, or completeness of any of the data provided, and assumes no legal responsibility for the information contained on this map. Any use of this product with respect to accuracy and precision shall be the sole responsibility of the user.

REPORT PRINTED ON...Mon Apr 11 15:22:20 2011

Version 101221

LAND USE



Selected parcel(s):
309-170-069

LAND USE

SELECTED PARCEL

STREAMS

INTERSTATES

HIGHWAYS

CITY

PARCELS

RC-VLDR - RURAL
COMMUNITY - VERY LOW
DENSITY RESIDENTIAL

RM - RURAL MOUNTAINOUS

RR - RURAL RESIDENTIAL


IMPORTANT

Maps and data are to be used for reference purposes only. Map features are approximate, and are not necessarily accurate to surveying or engineering standards. The County of Riverside makes no warranty or guarantee as to the content (the source is often third party), accuracy, timeliness, or completeness of any of the data provided, and assumes no legal responsibility for the information contained on this map. Any use of this product with respect to accuracy and precision shall be the sole responsibility of the user.

REPORT PRINTED ON...Mon Apr 11 15:25:05 2011




Version 101221

ZONING



Selected parcel(s):
309-170-069

ZONING

- SELECTED PARCEL
 -  STREAMS
 -  INTERSTATES
 -  HIGHWAYS
 - CITY
 - PARCELS
 - ZONING BOUNDARY
 -
- R-A-1, R-A-10, R-A-5

IMPORTANT

Maps and data are to be used for reference purposes only. Map features are approximate, and are not necessarily accurate to surveying or engineering standards. The County of Riverside makes no warranty or guarantee as to the content (the source is often third party), accuracy, timeliness, or completeness of any of the data provided, and assumes no legal responsibility for the information contained on this map. Any use of this product with respect to accuracy and precision shall be the sole responsibility of the user.

REPORT PRINTED ON...Mon Apr 11 15:25:44 2011

Version 101221

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM: INITIAL STUDY

Environmental Assessment (E.A.) Number: 42003
Project Case Type (s) and Number(s): Tentative Parcel Map No. 35934
Lead Agency Name: County of Riverside Planning Department
Address: P.O. Box 1409, Riverside, CA 92502-1409
Contact Person: Adrienne Rossi
Telephone Number: (951) 955-6925
Applicant's Name: James and Timmie Martins
Applicant's Address: 36560 Lion Peak Road, Mountain Center CA, 92561

I. PROJECT INFORMATION

A. Project Description: The Tentative Parcel Map is a schedule "H" subdivision of 5.0 acres into two (2), 2.5 acre residential parcels.

B. Type of Project: Site Specific ; Countywide ; Community ; Policy .

C. Total Project Area: 5.0 Gross Acres

Residential Acres: 5	Lots: 2	Units: 0	Projected No. of Residents: 0
Commercial Acres: 0	Lots: 0	Sq. Ft. of Bldg. Area: 0	Est. No. of Employees: 0
Industrial Acres: 0	Lots: 0	Sq. Ft. of Bldg. Area: 0	Est. No. of Employees: 0
Other: 0			

D. Assessor's Parcel No(s): 309-170-069

E. Street References: Northerly of Nuevo Valley Road, southerly of Blanik Avenue, westerly of Ramona Avenue and easterly of Corbett Lane.

F. Section, Township & Range Description or reference/attach a Legal Description:
Section 36, Township 4 South, Range 3 West

G. Brief description of the existing environmental setting of the project site and its surroundings: The project has 3 existing structures; one garage and one single family dwelling and one guest dwelling, there are also existing mature trees lining the south, east and west perimeters of the project site.

II. APPLICABLE GENERAL PLAN AND ZONING REGULATIONS

A. General Plan Elements/Policies:

- 1. Land Use:** Rural Community: Very Low Density Residential - 1 Acre Minimum
- 2. Circulation:** Adequate circulation facilities exist and are proposed to serve the project. Any potential impact to the General Plan Circulation Element will be analyzed in this initial study.
- 3. Multipurpose Open Space:** No natural open space land was required to be preserved within the boundaries of the proposed project. Any potential impacts will be analyzed in this initial study.

- 4. **Safety:** The proposed project is not located within special hazard zones (including dam inundation zone, liquefaction, etc.) However, the site is located in a high fire area. Any potential safety issues will be analyzed in this initial study.
- 5. **Noise:** Sufficient mitigation against any foreseeable noise sources in the area have been provided for in the design of the project. Any potential noise impacts will be analyzed in this initial study.
- 6. **Housing:** The project is proposing a residential land division of 5.0 acres into two (2) parcels.
- 7. **Air Quality:** Any potential Air Quality impacts will be analyzed in this initial study.

B. General Plan Area Plan(s): Lakeview /Nuevo Area Plan

C. Foundation Component(s): Rural Community

D. Land Use Designation(s): Very Low Density Residential

E. Overlay(s), if any: n/a

F. Policy Area(s), if any: n/a

G. Adjacent and Surrounding Area Plan(s), Foundation Component(s), Land Use Designation(s), and Overlay(s) and Policy Area(s), if any: Area Plan: Lakeview/Nuevo. Foundation Components: Rural Community. Land Use Designations: Very Low Density Residential. Overlays and Policy Areas: N/A.

H. Adopted Specific Plan Information

1. **Name and Number of Specific Plan, if any:** N/A

2. **Specific Plan Planning Area, and Policies, if any:** N/A

I. Existing Zoning: Residential Agricultural – 1 Acre Minimum

J. Proposed Zoning, if any: N/A

K. Adjacent and Surrounding Zoning: Residential Agricultural to the north, south, east and west.

III. ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED

The environmental factors checked below (x) would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" or "Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

- | | | |
|---|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Aesthetics | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hazards & Hazardous Materials | <input type="checkbox"/> Recreation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Agriculture & Forest Resources | <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrology / Water Quality | <input type="checkbox"/> Transportation / Traffic |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Air Quality | <input type="checkbox"/> Land Use / Planning | <input type="checkbox"/> Utilities / Service Systems |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Biological Resources | <input type="checkbox"/> Mineral Resources | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Cultural Resources | <input type="checkbox"/> Noise | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: |

- Geology / Soils Population / Housing Mandatory Findings of Significance
 Greenhouse Gas Emissions Public Services

IV. DETERMINATION

On the basis of this initial evaluation:


A PREVIOUS ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/NEGATIVE DECLARATION WAS NOT PREPARED

- I find that the proposed project **COULD NOT** have a significant effect on the environment, and a **NEGATIVE DECLARATION** will be prepared.
 I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project, described in this document, have been made or agreed to by the project proponent. **A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION** will be prepared.
 I find that the proposed project **MAY** have a significant effect on the environment, and an **ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT** is required.

A PREVIOUS ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/NEGATIVE DECLARATION WAS PREPARED

- I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, **NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED** because (a) all potentially significant effects of the proposed project have been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or Negative Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards, (b) all potentially significant effects of the proposed project have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or Negative Declaration, (c) the proposed project will not result in any new significant environmental effects not identified in the earlier EIR or Negative Declaration, (d) the proposed project will not substantially increase the severity of the environmental effects identified in the earlier EIR or Negative Declaration, (e) no considerably different mitigation measures have been identified and (f) no mitigation measures found infeasible have become feasible.
 I find that although all potentially significant effects have been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or Negative Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards, some changes or additions are necessary but none of the conditions described in California Code of Regulations, Section 15162 exist. An **ADDENDUM** to a previously-certified EIR or Negative Declaration has been prepared and will be considered by the approving body or bodies.
 I find that at least one of the conditions described in California Code of Regulations, Section 15162 exist, but I further find that only minor additions or changes are necessary to make the previous EIR adequately apply to the project in the changed situation; therefore a **SUPPLEMENT TO THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT** is required that need only contain the information necessary to make the previous EIR adequate for the project as revised.
 I find that at least one of the following conditions described in California Code of Regulations, Section 15162, exist and a **SUBSEQUENT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT** is required: (1) Substantial changes are proposed in the project which will require major revisions of the previous EIR or negative declaration due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects; (2) Substantial changes have occurred with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken which will require major revisions of the previous EIR or negative declaration due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects; or (3) New information of substantial importance, which was not known and could not have been known with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time the previous EIR was certified as complete or the negative declaration was adopted, shows any the following:(A) The project will have one or more significant effects not discussed in the previous EIR or negative declaration;(B) Significant effects previously examined will be substantially more severe than shown in the previous EIR or negative declaration;(C) Mitigation measures or alternatives previously found not to be feasible

would in fact be feasible, and would substantially reduce one or more significant effects of the project, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measures or alternatives; or, (D) Mitigation measures or alternatives which are considerably different from those analyzed in the previous EIR or negative declaration would substantially reduce one or more significant effects of the project on the environment, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measures or alternatives.


Signature

October 13, 2011
Date

Adrienne Rossi, Project Planner
Printed Name

For Carolyn Syms Luna, Director

V. ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES ASSESSMENT

In accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (Public Resources Code Section 21000-21178.1), this Initial Study has been prepared to analyze the proposed project to determine any potential significant impacts upon the environment that would result from construction and implementation of the project. In accordance with California Code of Regulations, Section 15063, this Initial Study is a preliminary analysis prepared by the Lead Agency, the County of Riverside, in consultation with other jurisdictional agencies, to determine whether a Negative Declaration, Mitigated Negative Declaration, or an Environmental Impact Report is required for the proposed project. The purpose of this Initial Study is to inform the decision-makers, affected agencies, and the public of potential environmental impacts associated with the implementation of the proposed project.

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
AESTHETICS Would the project				
1. Scenic Resources				
a) Have a substantial effect upon a scenic highway corridor within which it is located?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings and unique or landmark features; obstruct any prominent scenic vista or view open to the public; or result in the creation of an aesthetically offensive site open to public view?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure C-9 "Scenic Highways"

Findings of Fact:

- a) The subject property is not located within, nor visible from, a designated scenic highway corridor.
- b) The project site will not substantially damage any significant scenic resources, because disturbance for future residences will be limited to those areas designated on the tentative map which avoid or minimize disturbance of existing trees.

Mitigation: No mitigation proposed.

Monitoring: No monitoring required.

2. Mt. Palomar Observatory				
a) Interfere with the nighttime use of the Mt. Palomar Observatory, as protected through Riverside County Ordinance No. 655?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Source: GIS database, Ord. No. 655 (Regulating Light Pollution)

Findings of Fact:

- a) The project site is located within Zone "B" of Ordinance No. 655 (Mt. Palomar) the subsequent development of residential units on the proposed parcels will create limited increased outdoor lighting.

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

The project has been conditioned to comply with the requirements of Ordinance No. 655 relative to outdoor lighting.

Mitigation: No mitigation proposed.

Monitoring: No monitoring required.

3. Other Lighting Issues

a) Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------

b) Expose residential property to unacceptable light levels?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------

Source: On-site Inspection, Project Application Description

Findings of Fact:

a) & b) The parcels created by this tentative map will ultimately be developed with residential units similar in character with the surrounding residential development and therefore it is not expected that any significant new sources of light or glare will be created, nor expose any adjacent residential properties to unacceptable light levels.

Mitigation: No mitigation proposed.

Monitoring: No monitoring required.

AGRICULTURE & FOREST RESOURCES Would the project

4. Agriculture

a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland) as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

b) Conflict with existing agricultural zoning, agricultural use or with land subject to a Williamson Act contract or land within a Riverside County Agricultural Preserve?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

c) Cause development of non-agricultural uses within 300 feet of agriculturally zoned property (Ordinance No. 625 "Right-to-Farm")?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

d) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure OS-2 "Agricultural Resources," GIS database, and Project Application Materials.

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

Findings of Fact:

a) -d) The project site is not located within any identified Farmland mapping designations, nor is it under Williamson Act contract or within an agricultural preserve. The property is designated as Residential Agriculture (R-A-1) and should not involve any other changes to the existing environment.

Mitigation: No mitigation proposed.

Monitoring: No monitoring required.

5. Forest

a) Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Govt. Code section 51104(g))?

b) Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?

c) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of forest land to non-forest use?

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure OS-3 "Parks, Forests and Recreation Areas," and Project Application Materials.

Findings of Fact:

a) – c) Project site does not contain any timber or forest land; therefore, there will be no conflict with existing zoning, result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest land, nor would any other changes be involved in the existing environment due to their location or nature.

Mitigation: No mitigation proposed.

Monitoring: No monitoring required.

AIR QUALITY Would the project

6. Air Quality Impacts

a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?

b) Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?

c) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?

d) Expose sensitive receptors which are located within

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
1 mile of the project site to project substantial point source emissions?				
e) Involve the construction of a sensitive receptor located within one mile of an existing substantial point source emitter?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
f) Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Source: SCAQMD CEQA Air Quality Handbook Table 6-2

Findings of Fact:

a) & b) The South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) is responsible for developing a regional air quality management plan to insure compliance with State and Federal air quality standards. The SCAQMD has adopted the 2003 Air Quality Management Plan (AQMP). The primary implementation responsibility assigned to the County (i.e. local government) by the 2003 AQMD is the implementation of air quality control measures associated with transportation facilities. This project does not propose any transportation facilities that would require transportation control measures, and therefore will not obstruct implementation of the AQMD.

The 2003 AQMD is based on socioeconomic forecasts (including population estimates) provided by the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG). The project will consist of the addition of two (2) dwellings to the Southern California region. The additional population proposed by this project will not obstruct the implementation of the 2003 AQMD.

The South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) has established significant thresholds for specific pollutants. These pollutants include Nitros Oxides (NOx), Volatile Organic Chemicals (VOC), particulate matter fewer than 10 microns (PM10), sulfur oxides (Sox), carbon monoxide (CO), and lead.

c) The project will not result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable Federal or State ambient air quality standard.

d) A sensitive receptor is a person in the population who is particularly susceptible to health effects due to exposure to an air contaminant that is the pollutant at large. Sensitive receptors (and the facilities that house them) in proximity to localized CO sources, toxic air contaminants or odors are of particular concern. High levels of CO are associated with major traffic sources, such as freeways and major intersections, and toxic air contaminants are normally associated with manufacturing and commercial operations. Land uses considered to be sensitive receptors include long-term health care facilities, rehabilitation centers, convalescent centers, retirement homes, residences, schools, playgrounds, child care centers, and athletic facilities. Surrounding land uses include residential, which is considered a sensitive receptor, however, the project is not expected to generate substantial point of source emissions. The project will not include major transportation facilities, commercial or manufacturing uses, or generate significant odors.

e) Surrounding uses do not include significant localized CO sources, toxic air contaminants or odors. The project will not create sensitive receptors located within one mile of an existing substantial point source matter.

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

f) The project will not create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people.

Mitigation: No mitigation proposed.

Monitoring: No monitoring required.

BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES Would the project

7. Wildlife & Vegetation

a) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Conservation Community Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state conservation plan?

b) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any endangered, or threatened species, as listed in Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations (Sections 670.2 or 670.5) or in Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations (Sections 17.11 or 17.12)?

c) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U. S. Wildlife Service?

d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?

e) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service?

f) Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?

g) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?

Source: GIS database, WRCMSHCP, On-site Inspection

Findings of Fact:

a) – g) The proposed project is not within a Western Riverside County Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan (WRCMSCHP) Criteria Cell. The County of Riverside Environmental Programs Department did not identify the presence of any endangered or threatened species which are listed in

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

Title 14 of the California Codes of Regulations (Sections 670.2 or 670.5) or in Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations (Sections 17.11 or 17.12) nor did they identify any substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Wildlife Service. The County of Riverside Environmental Programs Department does not see that the project would interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites and does not believe the project will have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, there will be no adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means and does not conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance.

Mitigation: No mitigation proposed.

Monitoring: No monitoring required.

CULTURAL RESOURCES Would the project

8. Historic Resources

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Alter or destroy an historic site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in California Code of Regulations, Section 15064.5?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Source: On-site Inspection, Project Application Materials

Findings of Fact:

a) Based on review conducted by the Riverside County Archaeologist, the project will not alter or destroy any historic sites. The project site has already been graded and has single family residences constructed and in place.

b) Based on the review conducted by the County Archaeologist, the project will not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in California Code of Regulations, Section 15064.5. If during any further ground disturbance activities, unique historical resources are discovered, certain procedures outlined by the Planning Department shall be implemented (See COA 10.PLANNING.22). These are standard requirements and are not considered unique mitigation pursuant to CEQA.

Mitigation: No mitigation proposed.

Monitoring: No monitoring required.

9. Archaeological Resources

a) Alter or destroy an archaeological site.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to California Code of Regulations, Section 15064.5?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Restrict existing religious or sacred uses within the potential impact area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Source: Project Application Materials, On-site Inspection

Findings of Fact:

a) Based on the review conducted by the Riverside County Archaeologist, the project will not alter or destroy any archaeological site. If during any further ground disturbance activities occur, and a unique archaeological resource is unearthed, certain procedures outlined by the Planning Department shall be implemented (See COA 10.PLANNING.21). These are standard requirements and not considered mitigation pursuant to CEQA.

b) The County Archaeologist has determined that the project will not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to California Code of Regulations, Section 15064.5. If during any further ground disturbance activities, unique archaeological resources are unearthed, certain procedures outlined by the Planning Department shall be implemented (See COA 10.PLANNING.21). These are standard requirements and are not considered mitigation pursuant to CEQA.

c) The project will not disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries. If during ground disturbance activities, human remains are encountered, no further disturbance shall occur until a final decision as to the treatment and disposition has been made (See COA 10.PLANNING.21). These are standard requirements and not considered mitigation pursuant to CEQA.

d) The County Archaeologist also determined that the project will not restrict existing religious or sacred uses within the potential impact area. These are standard requirements and are not considered mitigation pursuant to CEQA.

Mitigation: No mitigation proposed.

Monitoring: No monitoring required.

10. Paleontological Resources

a) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource, or site, or unique geologic feature?

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure OS-8 "Paleontological Sensitivity"

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

Findings of Fact:

a) The subject property is identified as having low potential for Paleontological resources. This project is not anticipated to require any direct mitigation for paleontological resources. Should fossil remains be encountered during site development (See COA 10.PLANNING.20). This a standard Condition of Approval and is not considered unique mitigation for CEQA purposes.

Mitigation: No mitigation proposed.

Monitoring: No monitoring required.

GEOLOGY AND SOILS Would the project

11. Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zone or County Fault Hazard Zones

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------

a) Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death?

b) Be subject to rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault?

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure S-2 "Earthquake Fault Study Zones," RCLIS (GIS database), Geologist Comments

Findings of Fact:

a) & b) According to RCLIS (GIS database), the project is not located within a fault zone. In addition, the site is not located within one-half mile from an earthquake fault zone. Therefore, the potential for this site to be affected by surface fault rupture is considered low and impacts are considered less than significant.

Mitigation: No mitigation proposed.

Monitoring: No monitoring required.

12. Liquefaction Potential Zone

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

a) Be subject to seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure S-3 "Generalized Liquefaction"

Findings of Fact:

a) According to RCLIS (GIS database), the proposed project will not be subject to seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction.

Mitigation: No mitigation proposed.

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

Monitoring: No monitoring required.

13. Ground-shaking Zone

Be subject to strong seismic ground shaking?

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure S-4 "Earthquake-Induced Slope Instability Map," and Figures S-13 through S-21 (showing General Ground Shaking Risk)

Findings of Fact:

According to General Plan Figure S-4 the proposed project site is not located in an area which is susceptible to landslide risk as a result of seismic activity. The proposed development will be required to comply with the latest edition of the California Building Code which takes into consideration earthquake risk. This requirement is not considered unique mitigation for CEQA purposes.

Mitigation: No mitigation proposed.

Monitoring: No monitoring required.

14. Landslide Risk

a) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, collapse, or rockfall hazards?

Source: On-site Inspection, Riverside County General Plan Figure S-5 "Regions Underlain by Steep Slope"

Findings of Fact:

a) The project will not be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, collapse, or rock-fall hazards.

Mitigation: No mitigation proposed.

Monitoring: No monitoring required.

15. Ground Subsidence

a) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in ground subsidence?

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure S-7 "Documented Subsidence Areas Map"

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

Findings of Fact:

a) According to RCLIS (GIS database), the project is not located in a subsidence area; therefore, no impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation: No mitigation proposed.

Monitoring: No monitoring required.

16. Other Geologic Hazards

a) Be subject to geologic hazards, such as seiche, mudflow, or volcanic hazard?

Source: On-site Inspection, Project Application Materials

Findings of Fact:

a) There are no other geological hazards within or near the project site such as seiche, mudflow or volcanic hazards. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated.

Mitigation: No mitigation proposed.

Monitoring: No monitoring required.

17. Slopes

a) Change topography or ground surface relief features?

b) Create cut or fill slopes greater than 2:1 or higher than 10 feet?

c) Result in grading that affects or negates subsurface sewage disposal systems?

Source: Riv. Co. 800-Scale Slope Maps, Project Application Materials

Findings of Fact:

a)-c) The design and Safety of proposed slopes has been reviewed by the Building and Safety Grading Division, Riverside County Geologist and the Riverside County Planning Department. All agencies have deemed the project proposal to be designed to protect the health, safety, and welfare of the public. Standard conditions of approval have been issued regarding slopes that will further ensure protection of public health, safety, and welfare upon final engineering of the project, (See COA 10.BS GRADE.7 and 10.BS GRADE.8) the project does not propose slopes greater than 2:1 or higher than 10 feet and grading will not negate or affect the subsurface sewage disposal systems.

Mitigation: No mitigation proposed.

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

Monitoring: No mitigation required.

18. Soils

a) Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?

b) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Section 1802.3.2 of the California Building Code (2007), creating substantial risks to life or property?

c) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?

Source: U.S.D.A. Soil Conservation Service Soil Surveys, Project Application Materials, On-site Inspection

Findings of Fact:

a) The proposed project will not result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil.

b) The expansion potential of the onsite soils is considered low and no special design provisions relative to expansive soils are needed. Therefore, no impacts related to soil expansion are anticipated.

c) The proposed project does not have soils that are incapable of supporting use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water. (See COA 80.E.HEALTH.1). These requirements are standard conditions of approval and are not considered unique mitigation for CEQA purposes. Therefore, the impact is considered less than significant.

Mitigation: No mitigation proposed.

Monitoring: No monitoring required.

19. Erosion

a) Change deposition, siltation, or erosion that may modify the channel of a river or stream or the bed of a lake?

b) Result in any increase in water erosion either on or off site?

Source: U.S.D.A. Soil Conservation Service Soil Surveys

Findings of Fact:

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

a) The project will not modify the channel of a river or stream or the bed of a lake. The project does not change deposition, siltation or erosion that may modify the channel of a river or stream or the bed of a lake.

b) There will not be an increase in water erosion either on or off site. Therefore, impacts will be less than significant.

Mitigation: No mitigation proposed.

Monitoring: No monitoring required.

20. Wind Erosion and Blowsand from project either on or off site.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

a) Be impacted by or result in an increase in wind erosion and blowsand, either on or off site?

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure S-8 "Wind Erosion Susceptibility Map," Ord. No. 460, Article XV & Ord. No. 484

Findings of Fact:

a) The project site is not located in an area susceptible to a substantial amount of wind erosion and blow-sand. Therefore, the project will not be impacted by or result in an increase in wind erosion and blow-sand, either on- or off-site.

Mitigation: No mitigation proposed.

Monitoring: No monitoring required.

GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS Would the project

21. Greenhouse Gas Emissions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-------------------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

a) Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?

b) Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

Source:

Findings of Fact:

a) The Planning Department does not require a greenhouse gas numerical analysis for small projects that would not contribute cumulatively significant amounts of exhaust emissions or generate cumulatively considerable levels of GHGs from fuel combustion or involve substantial water and electricity demands. The proposed project is a land subdivision creating 4 residential parcels ranging in size from 1.42 acres to 2.29 acres. Approval of this tentative parcel map does not expressly

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

authorize the construction of any buildings; however, construction of single family residences is likely to occur thereafter. The type of small-scale residential development authorized by this project would not generate enough GHG emissions from its construction or operation to be deemed cumulatively significant sufficient to warrant quantitative or qualitative GHG analysis. More specifically, the California Air Pollution Control Officers Association (CAPCOA) proposed a very aggressive 900 metric tons per year of GHG emissions threshold for residential and commercial projects. The intent of the 900-ton threshold is to capture 90% of all new residential and commercial development projects. CAPCOA's threshold was based on the amount of GHG emissions associated with 50 single-family residential units, which accounts for 84% of the projects in California. The 900-ton threshold would also correspond to apartments/condominiums of 70 units, office projects of approximately 35,000 square feet, retail projects of 11,000 square feet, and supermarkets of 6,300 square feet, but would exclude smaller residential developments, offices and retail stores from having to quantify and mitigate GHG emissions under CEQA. The type of residential development proposed by this project would not exceed 4 primary units, 8 if all parcels also constructed secondary units, and thus their contribution to GHG emissions is far below the 900-ton threshold that might otherwise trigger GHG analysis according to CAPCOAs model.

b) As of the creation of this environmental analysis, the only adopted policy that would impact this project as the time of approval would be Assembly Bill (AB) No. 32. This project does not conflict with the requirements of AB 32.

Mitigation: No mitigation proposed.

Monitoring: No monitoring required.

HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS Would the project

22. Hazards and Hazardous Materials

a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or an emergency evacuation plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Source: Project Application Materials

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

Findings of Fact:

a) The project is not associated with the need for routine transport, use or disposal of substantial quantities of hazardous materials. This project is not forecast to cause any significant environmental impacts related to activities related to routine delivery, management or disposal of hazardous materials.

b) During construction of the proposed development, there is a limited potential for accidental release of construction-related products although not in sufficient quantity to pose a significant hazard to people and the environment.

c) & d) Development of the project will not impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or an emergency evacuation plan. The project site is not located within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school. When combined with the lack of uses that would generate hazardous emissions, no adverse impact from hazardous emissions is forecast to occur.

e) The site is not located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, its development would not create a significant hazard to the public or the environment.

Mitigation: No mitigation proposed.

Monitoring: No monitoring required.

23. Airports

a) Result in an inconsistency with an Airport Master Plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Require review by the Airport Land Use Commission?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, or heliport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure S-19 "Airport Locations," GIS database

Findings of Fact:

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

a)-d) The project is not located within an Airport Master Plan or within an airport influence area. As such, review by ALUC is not necessary. The project is also not located within an airport land use plan or within two miles of a public airport or a public use airport, and is not located within the vicinity of a private airstrip or heliport, as such, no safety hazard will be posed to people residing or working in the project area. There are no impacts anticipated.

Mitigation: No mitigation proposed.

Monitoring: No monitoring required.

24. Hazardous Fire Area

a) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure S-11 "Wildfire Susceptibility," GIS database

Findings of Fact:

a) The project is located within a hazardous fire area. As such, the Riverside County Fire Department has conditioned the project to provide an Environmental Constraints Sheet for the map with the notation that the project is within a hazardous fire area (See COA 50.FIRE.3) also that the project has been conditioned to provide an Environmental Constraints Sheet stamped by the Riverside County Surveyor with the notation that should the applicant or developer chose to defer the fire protection requirements, an Environmental Constraints Sheet shall be filed with the final map (See COA 50.FIRE.2). An Environmental Constraints Sheet shall also be stamped by the Riverside County Surveyor with the notation that the required water system, including fire hydrants, shall be installed and accepted by the appropriate water agency prior to any combustible building material placed on an individual lot (See COA 50.FIRE.1).

Mitigation: The project has been conditioned to provide Environmental Constraints Sheets regarding Land being located within a Hazardous Fire Area (COA 50.FIRE.3), Hydrant Requirements (COA 50.FIRE.2) and Required Water Systems (COA 50.FIRE.1)

Monitoring: Monitoring of mitigation measures shall be conducted by the Riverside County Fire Department and the Building and Safety Department through the plan check process.

HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY Would the project

25. Water Quality Impacts

a) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner that would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?

b) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?

c) Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?				
d) Create or contribute runoff water that would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e) Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area, as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
g) Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
h) Include new or retrofitted stormwater Treatment Control Best Management Practices (BMPs) (e.g. water quality treatment basins, constructed treatment wetlands), the operation of which could result in significant environmental effects (e.g. increased vectors or odors)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Source: Riverside County Flood Control District Flood Hazard Report/Condition.

Findings of Fact:

a)-c) The project will not substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner that would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site or violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements. The project does not substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater discharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted).

d) During any new construction and grading of the site, the project has the potential to contribute to additional polluted runoff water. However, the project will not exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems. The project will be required to provide for adequate drainage facilities and/or appropriate easements should the project exceed current capacity (See COA 10.TRANS.2 and 10.TRANS.3). These are standard conditions of approval and are not considered unique mitigation pursuant to CEQA.

e)-h) The project will not place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area, as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map and will not place any structures within a 100-year flood hazard area which would impede or redirect flood flows. The project is not anticipated to substantially degrade water quality and will not include new or retrofitted stormwater Treatment Control Best Management Practices (BMP's) (e.g. water quality treatment basins, constructed treatment wetlands), the operation of which could result in significant environment effects (e.g. increased vectors or odors).

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

Mitigation: No mitigation proposed.

Monitoring: No monitoring required.

26. Floodplains

Degree of Suitability in 100-Year Floodplains. As indicated below, the appropriate Degree of Suitability has been checked.

NA - Not Applicable U - Generally Unsuitable R - Restricted

a) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner that would result in flooding on- or off-site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Changes in absorption rates or the rate and amount of surface runoff?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam (Dam Inundation Area)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Changes in the amount of surface water in any water body?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure S-9 "100- and 500-Year Flood Hazard Zones," Figure S-10 "Dam Failure Inundation Zone," Riverside County Flood Control District Flood Hazard Report/Condition, GIS database

Findings of Fact:

a)-d) A single family residential dwelling and a guest dwelling already exist onsite. The tentative map only proposed to create two separate legal parcels, such that each dwelling will exist on a separate parcel. Therefore, the project will not alter the existing drainage patterns, absorption rates. The site isn't located within a designated flood plain and is not within a dam inundation area. The project will not cause a change in the amount of water within any water body.

Mitigation: No mitigation proposed.

Monitoring: No monitoring required.

LAND USE/PLANNING Would the project

27. Land Use	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
a) Result in a substantial alteration of the present or planned land use of an area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Affect land use within a city sphere of influence and/or within adjacent city or county boundaries?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Source: Riverside County General Plan, GIS database, Project Application Materials

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

Findings of Fact:

a) The Tentative Parcel Map proposes a subdivision of 5.0 acres into two (2) residential parcels of 2.5 acres each. The subdivision is consistent with the Rural Community: Very Low Density Residential Land Use Designation, with a 1-Acre Minimum. The proposed project will not result in an alteration of the present or planned land use of this area. No impacts are anticipated.

b) According to RCLIS (GIS database) the proposed project is not located within a city of sphere of influence or adjacent to a city or county; therefore, there will be no impacts.

Mitigation: No mitigation proposed.

Monitoring: No monitoring required.

28. Planning

a) Be consistent with the site's existing or proposed zoning?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Be compatible with existing surrounding zoning?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Be compatible with existing and planned surrounding land uses?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Be consistent with the land use designations and policies of the General Plan (including those of any applicable Specific Plan)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Disrupt or divide the physical arrangement of an established community (including a low-income or minority community)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Source: Riverside County General Plan Land Use Element, Staff review, GIS database

Findings of Fact:

a) The proposed project is currently zoned Residential Agricultural, 1-Acre Minimum (R-A-1). The subdivision of 5.0 acres into two (2) residential parcels of 2.5 acres each is consistent with the standards for the current zoning. No impacts related to zoning will occur.

b) The site is surrounded by land which is zoned Residential Agricultural (R-A-1) to the north, south, east and west. Therefore, the proposed project is compatible with the existing surrounding zoning and no impacts should occur.

c) The project site is surrounded by scattered single family residences and vacant land.

d) The land use designation for the proposed project site is Rural Community: Very Low Density Residential, 1-Acre Minimum. The project is proposing to subdivide 5.0 acres into two (2) residential parcels of 2.5 acres each. Therefore, the project is consistent and will not result in an alteration of the present or planned land use of this area. No impacts are anticipated.

e) The project will not disrupt or divide the physical arrangement of an established community.

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

Mitigation: No mitigation proposed.

Monitoring: No monitoring required.

MINERAL RESOURCES Would the project

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
29. Mineral Resources	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region or the residents of the State?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Be an incompatible land use located adjacent to a State classified or designated area or existing surface mine?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Expose people or property to hazards from proposed, existing or abandoned quarries or mines?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure OS-5 "Mineral Resources Area"

Findings of Fact:

a) The project area has not been used for mining. Therefore, the project would not result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource in an area classified or designated by the State that would be of value to the region or the residents of the State. Therefore, there are no impacts.

b) The project site has not been used for mineral resources; therefore, the project will not result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan. Therefore, there are no impacts.

c) Surrounding the project site are residential homes on large lots and vacant land. There are no existing surface mines surrounding the project site; therefore, the project will be compatible with the surrounding uses and will not be located adjacent to a State classified, designated area, or existing surface mine. There are no impacts.

d) The site is not located adjacent or near an abandoned quarry mine; therefore, the project will not expose people or property to hazards from quarry mines. There are no impacts.

Mitigation: No mitigation proposed.

Monitoring: No monitoring required.

NOISE Would the project result in

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

Definitions for Noise Acceptability Ratings

Where indicated below, the appropriate Noise Acceptability Rating(s) has been checked.

NA - Not Applicable A - Generally Acceptable B - Conditionally Acceptable
 C - Generally Unacceptable D - Land Use Discouraged

30. Airport Noise

a) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?

NA A B C D

b) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?

NA A B C D

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure S-19 "Airport Locations," County of Riverside Airport Facilities Map

Findings of Fact:

a) The project site is not located within an Airport Influence Area; therefore, the project will not expose people residing on the project site to excessive noise levels related to air traffic. Therefore, no impacts are expected.

b) The site is not located within the vicinity of a private air strip; therefore, the project will not expose people residing on the project site to excessive noise levels. No impacts are expected.

Mitigation: No mitigation proposed.

Monitoring: No monitoring required.

31. Railroad Noise

NA A B C D

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure C-1 "Circulation Plan", GIS database, On-site Inspection

Findings of Fact:

The proposed project is not located in the vicinity of any railroads. Therefore, there is no impact.

Mitigation: No mitigation proposed.

Monitoring: No monitoring required.

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--	--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

32. Highway Noise

NA A B C D

Source: On-site Inspection, Project Application Materials

Findings of Fact:

The proposed project site is located to the east of Highway 215, however it is not close enough to have a significant impact. The roads abutting the project site are local non-county maintained roadways which are lightly traveled and do not generate a significant source of noise.

Mitigation: No mitigation proposed.

Monitoring: No monitoring required.

33. Other Noise

NA A B C D

Source: Project Application Materials, GIS database

Findings of Fact:

Short-term construction-related noise impacts may occur during project grading and construction. However, construction activities will be required to comply with County noise standards. Since the construction site is within one-quarter mile of an occupied residence, no construction activities shall be undertaken between the hours of 6:00 p.m. and 6:00 a.m. during the months of June through September and between the hours of 6:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m. during the months of October through May; in accordance with Ordinance No. 457. All construction vehicles, equipment fixed or mobile shall be equipped with properly operating and maintained mufflers. During construction, best efforts will be made to locate stockpiling and/or vehicle staging areas as far as practical from existing residential dwellings. This is a standard policy and is, therefore, not considered unique mitigation pursuant to CEQA. Therefore, the impact is considered less than significant.

Mitigation: No mitigation proposed.

Monitoring: No monitoring required.

34. Noise Effects on or by the Project

a) A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?

b) A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?

c) Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?				
d) Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive ground-borne vibration or ground-borne noise levels?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Source: Riverside County General Plan, Table N-1 ("Land Use Compatibility for Community Noise Exposure"); Project Application Materials

Findings of Fact:

a) The proposed project will raise ambient noise levels in the area which currently exist without the project. However, the project shall not create a substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project. The project proposes the creation of two (2) residential parcels which are similar in intensity to neighborhood properties. The development of the proposed project will not substantially increase ambient noise levels. Therefore, this impact is considered less than significant.

b) The project may create a substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project during construction. As discussed in Finding of Fact 33a, construction hours will be limited due to the proximity of the project site to occupied residences. This is a standard condition of approval and is, therefore, not considered unique mitigation pursuant to CEQA. Impacts are considered less than significant.

c) The project will not expose people to or generate noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan, noise ordinance (County Ordinance No. 847), or applicable standards of other agencies. Exterior noise levels will be limited to less than or equal to 45 dB(A) 10-minute LEQ between the hours of 10:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m., and 65 dB(A) at all other times pursuant to County Ordinance No. 847. Therefore, impacts are expected to be less than significant.

d) The proposed project will not expose people to or generate excessive ground-borne vibration or ground-borne noise levels. Therefore, there is no impact.

Mitigation: No mitigation proposed.

Monitoring: No monitoring required.

POPULATION AND HOUSING Would the project				
35. Housing				
a) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Create a demand for additional housing, particularly housing affordable to households earning 80% or less of the County's median income?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
d) Affect a County Redevelopment Project Area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Cumulatively exceed official regional or local population projections?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Source: Project Application Materials, (RCLIS), GIS database, Riverside County General Plan Housing Element

Findings of Fact:

- a) Development on the project site has already occurred; however, any future development on the project site will not displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere. The impacts are considered less than significant.
- b) The project will not create permanent employment opportunities; therefore, it will not create a demand for additional housing and there will be no impacts.
- c) The proposed project will not displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere. Therefore, no impacts are anticipated.
- d) The project will not affect any County Redevelopment Project Area; therefore, there are no impacts.
- e) The project proposes the subdivision of 5.0 acres into two (2) residential parcels. This population increase will not exceed official regional or local population projections.
- f) The project will not induce substantial population growth in an area.

Mitigation: No mitigation proposed.

Monitoring: No monitoring required.

PUBLIC SERVICES Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered government facilities or the need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:

36. Fire Services

Source: Riverside County General Plan Safety Element

Findings of Fact:

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

The proposed project will have a less than significant impact on the demand for Fire services. Prior to the issuance of a certificate of occupancy, the Applicant shall comply with the provisions of Ordinance 659.10 which requires payment of the appropriate fees related to the funding and construction of facilities necessary to address the direct cumulative environment effect generated by new development projects (COA 10.PLANNING.16). With compliance to Ordinance No. 659.10, impacts to Fire services are viewed as less than significant.

Additionally, the project will not result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered government facilities or the need for new or physically altered governmental facilities. As such, this project will not cause the construction that could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services. Therefore, the impact is considered less than significant.

Mitigation: No mitigation proposed.

Monitoring: No monitoring required.

37. Sheriff Services

Source: Riverside County General Plan

Findings of Fact:

The Riverside County Sheriff's Department (RCSD) provides law enforcement and crime prevention services to the project site and Temecula area. The RCSD operates out of stations in Temecula and the Elsinore area. Similar to fire protection services, the proposed project will incrementally increase the demand for sheriff services in the project area; however, due to its limited size, the proposed project will not create a significant impact on sheriff services. Riverside County's development impact fee Ordinance No. 659.10 also collects fees for sheriff services, which is intended to offset any incremental increases in need for sheriff services (COA 10.PLANNING.16). The proposed project is required to pay these development fees prior to issuance of building permits. Therefore, with payment of the development impact fees pursuant to Ordinance No. 659.10, the proposed project will have less than significant impacts on sheriff services and no mitigation is required.

Mitigation: No mitigation proposed.

Monitoring: No monitoring required.

38. Schools

Source: Romoland and Perris Union High School District correspondence, (RCLIS), GIS database

Findings of Fact:

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

The Romoland and Perris Union High School District provides public education services for the project area. The applicant of this project is conditioned to pay the school impact fees for residential uses as set by State Law. Fees are required to be paid prior to issuance of building permits (COA 80.PLANNING.8). This is a standard condition of approval and is not considered unique mitigation pursuant to CEQA. Therefore, with payment of school fees the potential impact is mitigated to a less than significant level.

Mitigation: No mitigation proposed.

Monitoring: No monitoring required.

39. Libraries

Source: Riverside County General Plan

Findings of Fact:

The proposed development will have impacts on library resources because it will generate end users. However, Riverside County's development impact fee Ordinance No. 659.10 also collects fees for library services, which is intended to offset any incremental increases in need for libraries. The proposed project is required to pay these development impact fees prior to issuance of building permits (COA 10.PLANNING.16). This is a standard condition of approval and is not considered unique mitigation pursuant to CEQA. Therefore, the impact is considered less than significant.

Mitigation: No mitigation proposed.

Monitoring: No monitoring required.

40. Health Services

Source: Riverside County General Plan

Findings of Fact:

The project will not create a significant need for additional health services. However, these types of services are normally user fee or tax-supported services. No shortage in the provision of health care service is expected as a result of the proposed project. The proposed project will not have a significant impact on health services and no mitigation measures are required.

Mitigation: No mitigation proposed.

Monitoring: No monitoring required.

RECREATION

41. Parks and Recreation

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Would the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?				
b) Would the project include the use of existing neighborhood or regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Is the project located within a Community Service Area (CSA) or recreation and park district with a Community Parks and Recreation Plan (Quimby fees)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Source: GIS database, Ord. No. 460, Section 10.35 (Regulating the Division of Land – Park and Recreation Fees and Dedications), Ord. No. 659 (Establishing Development Impact Fees), Parks & Open Space Department Review

Findings of Fact:

a) The proposed project does not involve the construction or expansion of recreational facilities. Therefore, there are no impacts anticipated.

b) Future residents of the project site could potentially use neighboring recreational facilities. Due to the size of the proposed development, which entails the two (2) residential parcels, it is not anticipated that the project will generate significant impacts to nearby parks or recreational facilities. Therefore, the impact is considered less than significant.

c) The project would potentially incrementally increase the use of some types of recreational facilities in the Lakeview/Nuevo Area Plan. However, the project site is not located within the boundaries of an entity entitle to collect Quimby Fees. Impacts are considered less than significant.

Mitigation: No mitigation proposed.

Monitoring: No monitoring required.

42. Recreational Trails	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

Source: Riv. Co. 800-Scale Equestrian Trail Maps, Open Space and Conservation Map for Western County trail alignments

Findings of Fact:

The proposed project has not incorporated any trails into its design; therefore, the project will have no impacts on recreational trails.

Mitigation: No mitigation proposed.

Monitoring: No monitoring required.

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--	--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC Would the project

43. Circulation	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
a) Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing a measure of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation, including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including, but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Alter waterborne, rail or air traffic?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g. farm equipment)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) Cause an effect upon, or a need for new or altered maintenance of roads?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
g) Cause an effect upon circulation during the project's construction?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
h) Result in inadequate emergency access or access to nearby uses?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
i) Conflict with adopted policies, plans or programs regarding public transit, bikeways or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise substantially decrease the performance or safety of such facilities?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Source: Riverside County General Plan

Findings of Fact:

a) The proposed project will increase vehicular traffic; however, the Transportation Department did not require a traffic study for the proposed project. The project will not cause an increase in traffic which is substantial in relation to the existing traffic loads and capacity of the street system. Nor will the project conflict with any County policy regarding mass transit. The impact is considered less than significant.

b) The project site meets all parking requirements of Ordinance No. 348 Section 18.12 "Off-Street parking." The project also will not conflict with an applicable congestion management plan; therefore, there is no impact.

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

c) & d) The proposed project is not located within an Airport Influence Area. The project will not change air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks. Therefore, there is no impact.

e) The proposed project will not substantially increase hazards to a design feature (e.g. sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g. farm equipment). Therefore, there is no impact.

f) The project will cause a slight increase in the population of the area, creating an increase in maintenance of responsibility. A portion of property taxes are provided to the Community Services District to offset the increase cost of maintenance. Therefore, the impact is considered less than significant.

g) It is not anticipated that there will be a substantial effect upon circulation during the proposed project's construction. Therefore, the impact is considered less than significant.

h) The project will not result in inadequate emergency access or access to nearby uses. There is no impact.

i) The project will not conflict with adopted policies supporting alternative transportation (e.g. bus turnouts, bicycle racks). Therefore, there is no impact.

Mitigation: No mitigation proposed.

Monitoring: No monitoring required.

44. Bike Trails	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

Source: Riverside County General Plan

Findings of Fact:

The project is not located adjacent to or nearby any designated bike trails. Therefore, there are no impacts.

Mitigation: No mitigation proposed.

Monitoring: No monitoring required.

UTILITY AND SERVICE SYSTEMS Would the project

45. Water	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

a) Require or result in the construction of new water treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which would cause significant environmental effects?

b) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
--	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?

Source: Department of Environmental Health Review

Findings of Fact:

a) The proposed project is served by Eastern Municipal Water District and will not result in the construction of new water treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which would cause significant environmental affects. Therefore, there are no impacts anticipated.

b) The project will be served by Eastern Municipal Water District. Therefore, it is anticipated that the project will have sufficient water supplies available and would not require new or expanded entitlements to serve the project. The impact is considered to be less than significant.

Mitigation: No mitigation proposed.

Monitoring: No monitoring required.

46. Sewer

a) Require or result in the construction of new wastewater treatment facilities, including septic systems, or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which would cause significant environmental effects?

b) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider that serves or may service the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?

Source: Department of Environmental Health Review

Findings of Fact:

a) The proposed project will not result in the construction of new septic systems. However, should the construction of any replacement wastewater treatment system be necessary it is not anticipated to cause a significant environmental effect. Therefore, the impacts are considered less than significant.

b) Development of the project site will not result in a determination by Eastern Municipal Water District that serves or may service the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the projects projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments.

Mitigation: No mitigation proposed.

Monitoring: No monitoring required.

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
47. Solid Waste				
a) Is the project served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Does the project comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid wastes including the CIWMP (County Integrated Waste Management Plan)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Source: Riverside County General Plan, Riverside County Waste Management District correspondence

Findings of Fact:

a) The subdivision of the project into 2 parcels which already contain 2 dwellings, will have less than significant impact on the existing landfill capacity.

b) The development will comply with federal, state and local statutes and regulations related to solid wastes (including the CIWMP (County Integrated Waste Management Plan)).

Mitigation: No mitigation proposed.

Monitoring: No monitoring required.

48. Utilities

Would the project impact the following facilities requiring or resulting in the construction of new facilities or the expansion of existing facilities; the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?

a) Electricity?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Natural gas?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Communications systems?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Storm water drainage?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e) Street lighting?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) Maintenance of public facilities, including roads?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
g) Other governmental services?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Source: Riverside County General Plan

Findings of Fact:

a)-c) The project proposes the creation of two lots. Utility services to the project site in the form of electricity, and telecommunications already exists. Natural gas service is not available onsite and propane gas is used instead. Impacts to these utilities are considered less than significant.

d) Storm water drainage will be handled off site.

e) The subject property is in a rural area. The County Transportation Department, in accordance with Ord. Nos. 460 & 461, will apply appropriate conditions for street lighting. These impacts are considered less than significant.

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

f) Based on data available at this time, no off-site utility improvements will be required to support this project.

g) The project will not require additional government services.

Mitigation: No mitigation proposed.

Monitoring: No monitoring required.

49. Energy Conservation

a) Would the project conflict with any adopted energy conservation plans?

Source:

Findings of Fact:

The County has not adopted any energy conservation plans, nor do any State or Federal energy conservation plans apply to the project site. There is no impact.

Mitigation: No mitigation proposed.

Monitoring: No monitoring required.

OTHER

50. Other:

Source: Staff review

Findings of Fact:

No other Environmental impacts were identified.

Mitigation: No mitigation proposed.

Monitoring: No monitoring required.

MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE

51. Does the project have the potential to substantially degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal, or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?

Source: Staff review, Project Application Materials

Findings of Fact:

Implementation of the proposed project would not substantially degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife populations to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, or reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal, or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory.

52. Does the project have impacts which are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, other current projects and probable future projects)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

Source: Staff review, Project Application Materials

Findings of Fact:

The project does not have impacts which are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable.

53. Does the project have environmental effects that will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

Source: Staff review, project application

Findings of Fact:

The proposed project would not result in environmental effects which would cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly.

VI. EARLIER ANALYSES

Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration as per California Code of Regulations, Section 15063 (c) (3) (D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

Earlier Analyses Used, if any: Riverside County General Plan
 WRCMSHCP: Western Riverside County Multiple Species Habitat
 Conservation Plan, adopted June 17, 2003.

Location Where Earlier Analyses, if used, are available for review:

Location: County of Riverside Planning Department
 4080 Lemon Street, 12th Floor
 Riverside, CA 92505

VII. AUTHORITIES CITED

Authorities cited: Public Resources Code Sections 21083 and 21083.05; References: California Government Code Section 65088.4; Public Resources Code Sections 21080(c), 21080.1, 21080.3, 21082.1, 21083, 21083.05, 21083.3, 21093, 21094, 21095 and 21151; *Sundstrom v. County of Mendocino* (1988) 202 Cal.App.3d 296; *Leonoff v. Monterey Board of Supervisors* (1990) 222 Cal.App.3d 1337; *Eureka Citizens for Responsible Govt. v. City of Eureka* (2007) 147 Cal.App.4th 357; *Protect the Historic Amador Waterways v. Amador Water Agency* (2004) 116 Cal.App.4th at 1109; *San Franciscans Upholding the Downtown Plan v. City and County of San Francisco* (2002) 102 Cal.App.4th 656.

0

Revised: 10/26/2011 11:09 AM
 EA 2010.docx

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM35934

Parcel: 309-170-069

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

EVERY DEPARTMENT

10. EVERY. 1 MAP - DEFINITIONS RECOMMND

The words identified in the following list that appear in all capitals in the attached conditions of Tentative Parcel No.35934 shall be henceforth defined as follows:

TENTATIVE MAP = Tentative Parcel No.35934, dated 3/09/11.

FINAL MAP = Final Map or Parcel Map for the TENTATIVE MAP whether recorded in whole or in phases.

10. EVERY. 2 MAP - PROJECT DESCRIPTION RECOMMND

Tentative Parcel Map 35934 is a Schedule "H" subdivision of 5.0 Acres into two (2) 2.5 acre residential parcels.

10. EVERY. 3 MAP - HOLD HARMLESS RECOMMND

The applicant/permittee or any successor-in-interest shall defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the County of Riverside or its agents, officers, and employees (COUNTY) from the following:

(a) any claim, action, or proceeding against the COUNTY to attack, set aside, void, or annul an approval of the COUNTY, its advisory agencies, appeal boards, or legislative body concerning the TENTATIVE MAP or FINAL MAP, which action is brought within the time period provided for in California Government Code Section 66499.37; and,

(b) any claim, action or proceeding against the COUNTY to attack, set aside, void or annul any other decision made by the COUNTY concerning the TENTATIVE MAP or FINAL MAP, including, but not limited to, decisions made in response to California Public Records Act requests.

The COUNTY shall promptly notify the applicant/permittee of any such claim, action, or proceeding and shall cooperate fully in the defense. If the COUNTY fails to promptly notify the applicant/permittee of any such claim, action, or proceeding or fails to cooperate fully in the defense, the applicant/permittee shall not, thereafter, be responsible to defend, indemnify or hold harmless the COUNTY.

The obligations imposed by this condition include, but are

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM35934

Parcel: 309-170-069

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10. EVERY. 3 MAP - HOLD HARMLESS (cont.) RECOMMND

not limited to, the following: the applicant/permittee shall pay all legal services expenses the COUNTY incurs in connection with any such claim, action or proceeding, whether it incurs such expenses directly, whether it is ordered by a court to pay such expenses, or whether it incurs such expenses by providing legal services through its Office of County Counsel.

BS GRADE DEPARTMENT

10.BS GRADE. 1 MAP-GIN INTRODUCTION RECOMMND

Improvement such as grading, filling, over excavation and recompaction, and base or paving which require a grading permit are subject to the included Building and Safety Grading Division conditions of approval.

10.BS GRADE. 2 MAP-G1.2 OBEY ALL GDG REGS RECOMMND

All grading shall conform to the California Building Code, Ordinance 457, and all other relevant laws, rules and regulations governing grading in Riverside County and prior to commencing any grading which includes 50 or more cubic yards, the applicant shall obtain a grading permit from the Building & Safety Department.

10.BS GRADE. 3 MAP-G1.3 DISTURBS NEED G/PMT RECOMMND

Ordinance 457 requires a grading permit prior to clearing, grubbing or any top soil disturbances related to construction grading.

10.BS GRADE. 4 MAP-G1.5 EROS CNTRL PROTECT RECOMMND

Graded but undeveloped land shall provide, in addition to erosion control planting, any drainage facility deemed necessary to control or prevent erosion. Additional erosion protection may be required during the rainy season from October 1 to May 31.

10.BS GRADE. 5 MAP-G1.6 DUST CONTROL RECOMMND

All necessary measures to control dust shall be implemented by the developer during grading.

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM35934

Parcel: 309-170-069

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.BS GRADE. 6 MAP-G2.1 GRADING BONDS RECOMMND

Grading in excess of 199 cubic yards will require performance security to be posted with the Building & Safety Department. Single family dwelling units graded one lot per permit and proposing to grade less than 5,000 cubic yards are exempt.

10.BS GRADE. 7 MAP-G2.5 2:1 MAX SLOPE RATIO RECOMMND

Grade slopes shall be limited to a maximum steepness ratio of 2:1 (horizontal to vertical) unless otherwise approved.

10.BS GRADE. 8 MAP-G2.6SLOPE STABL'TY ANLY RECOMMND

A slope stability report shall be submitted and approved by the County Geologist for all proposed cut or fill slopes steeper than 2:1 (horizontal to vertical) or over 30 feet in vertical height - unless addressed in a previous report.

10.BS GRADE. 9 MAP-G2.8MINIMUM DRNAGE GRAD RECOMMND

Minimum drainage grade shall be 1% except on portland cement concrete where 0.35% shall be the minimum.

10.BS GRADE. 10 MAP-G2.11DR WAY XING NWC RECOMMND

Lots whose access is or will be affected by natural or constructed drainage facilities, shall provide drive way drainage facilities which are adequate to allow access from the street to the house during 100 year storms.

10.BS GRADE. 12 MAP-G2.13FIRE D'S OK ON DR. RECOMMND

Driveways shall be designed in accordance with Riverside County Fire Department standards - or the governing Fire Department if not the county - and shall require their approval prior to issuance of the grading permit. Aproval shall be in the form of a conditional approval letter addressed to the related case file or by written approval from the Fire Department.

10.BS GRADE. 15 MAP-G1.4 NPDES/SWPPP RECOMMND

Prior to issuance of any grading or construction permits - whichever comes first - the applicant shall provide the Building and Safety Department evidence of compliance with the following: "Effective March 10, 2003 owner operators

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM35934

Parcel: 309-170-069

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.BS GRADE. 15

MAP-G1.4 NPDES/SWPPP (cont.)

RECOMMND

of grading or construction projects are required to comply with the N.P.D.E.S. (National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System) requirement to obtain a construction permit from the State Water Resource Control Board (SWRCB). The permit requirement applies to grading and construction sites of "ONE" acre or larger. The owner operator can comply by submitting a "Notice of Intent" (NOI), develop and implement a STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN (SWPPP) and a monitoring program and reporting plan for the construction site. For additional information and to obtain a copy of the NPDES State Construction Permit contact the SWRCB at (916) 657-1146.

Additionally, at the time the county adopts, as part of any ordinance, regulations specific to the N.P.D.E.S., this project (or subdivision) shall comply with them.

FIRE DEPARTMENT

10.FIRE. 1

MAP-#13-HYDRANT SPACING

RECOMMND

Schedule H fire protection. An approved standard fire hydrant (6"x4"x2 1/2") shall be located within 250 feet of any portion of the lot frontage as measured along approved vehicular travelways. Minimum fire flow shall be 1000 GPM for 2-hour duration at 20 PSI.

FLOOD RI DEPARTMENT

10.FLOOD RI. 1

MAP FLOOD HAZARD REPORT 9/4/08

RECOMMND

Parcel Map No. 35934 proposes a Schedule H subdivision of 5.00 gross acres into two parcels with a minimum lot size of 2.50 gross acres. The site is located in the Lakeview/Nuevo area, east of Corbett Lane, south of Blanik Avenue, and north of Nuevo Valley Drive.

The site is Parcel 3 of Parcel Map 13554. On-site surface water sheets flows east to west and receives offsite flows from the south and east from an approximate 26.6 acre tributary area. District's review indicates that the proposed site is out of the FEMA flood plain.

The submitted exhibit shows two (2) existing residences within the site. The District has never received nor reviewed a second unit permit for this parcel.

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM35934

Parcel: 309-170-069

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.FLOOD RI. 1 MAP FLOOD HAZARD REPORT 9/4/08 (cont.) RECOMMND

The original Parcel Map 13554 indicates that all new structures shall be elevated a minimum of 18 inches above the highest adjacent finished grade. In addition, erosion protection shall be provided for mobile home supports. Therefore, if the structure is damaged and replaced, the new structure must meet this standard.

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

10.PLANNING. 3 MAP- MAP ACT COMPLIANCE RECOMMND

This land division shall comply with the State of California Subdivision Map Act and to all requirements of County Ordinance No. 460, Schedule "H", unless modified by the conditions listed herein.

10.PLANNING. 4 MAP - FEES FOR REVIEW RECOMMND

Any subsequent review/approvals required by the conditions of approval, including but not limited to grading or building plan review or review of any mitigation monitoring requirement, shall be reviewed on an hourly basis, or other appropriate fee, as listed in county Ordinance No. 671. Each submittal shall be accompanied with a letter clearly indicating which condition or conditions the submittal is intended to comply with.

10.PLANNING. 6 MAP - LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE RECOMMND

The land divider, or any successor-in-interest to the land divider, shall be responsible for maintenance and upkeep of all slopes, landscaped areas and irrigation systems within the land division until such time as those operations are the responsibility of the individual home owners, or any other successor-in-interest. Such maintenance activity shall conform with Ordinance No. 859 and the County of Riverside Guide to California Friendly Landscaping.

10.PLANNING. 10 MAP - ZONING STANDARDS RECOMMND

Lots created by this TENTATIVE MAP shall be in conformance with the development standards of the Residential Agricultural-1 Acre Minimum (R-A-1) zone.

12/13/11
12:41

Riverside County LMS
CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Page: 6

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM35934

Parcel: 309-170-069

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.PLANNING. 11 MAP - 90 DAYS TO PROTEST RECOMMND

The project applicant has 90 days from the date of approval of these conditions to protest, in accordance with the procedures set forth in Government Code Section 66020, the imposition of any and all fees, dedications, reservations and/or other exactions imposed on this project as a result of the approval or conditional approval of this project.

10.PLANNING. 13 MAP - OFFSITE SIGNS ORD 679.4 RECOMMND

No offsite subdivision signs advertising this land Division/development are permitted, other than those allowed under Ordinance No. 679.4. Violation of this condition of approval may result in no further permits of any type being issued for this subdivision until the unpermitted signage is removed.

10.PLANNING. 14 MAP - NPDES COMPLIANCE (1) RECOMMND

Since the project will disturb one (1) acre or more, the land divider/permit holder shall comply with all of the applicable requirements of the National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) and shall conform to NPDES Best Management Practices for Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plans during the life of this permit.

10.PLANNING. 15 MAP - ORD 810 OPN SPACE FEE RECOMMND

Prior to the issuance of either a certificate of occupancy or prior to building permit final inspection, the applicant shall comply with the provisions of Riverside County Ordinance No. 810, which requires payment of the appropriate fee set forth in the Ordinance. Riverside County Ordinance No. 810 has been established to set forth policies, regulations and fees related to the funding and acquisition of open space and habitat necessary to address the direct and cumulative environmental effects generated by new development projects described and defined in this Ordinance.

The fee shall be paid for each residential unit to be constructed within this land division.

In the event Riverside County Ordinance No. 810 is rescinded, this condition will no longer be applicable. However, should Riverside County Ordinance No. 810 be rescinded and superseded by a subsequent mitigation fee

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM35934

Parcel: 309-170-069

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.PLANNING. 15 MAP - ORD 810 OPN SPACE FEE (cont.) RECOMMND

ordinance, payment of the appropriate fee set forth in that ordinance shall be required.

10.PLANNING. 16 MAP - ORD NO. 659 (DIF) RECOMMND

Prior to the issuance of either a certificate of occupancy or prior to building permit final inspection, the applicant shall comply with the provisions of Riverside County Ordinance No. 659, which requires the payment of the appropriate fee set forth in the Ordinance. Riverside County Ordinance No. 659 has been established to set forth policies, regulations and fees related to the funding and construction of facilities necessary to address the direct and cumulative environmental effects generated by new development projects described and defined in this Ordinance, and it establishes the authorized uses of the fees collected.

The fee shall be paid for each residential unit to be constructed within this land division. In the event Riverside County Ordinance No. 659 is rescinded, this condition will no longer be applicable. However, should Riverside County Ordinance No. 659 be rescinded and superseded by a subsequent mitigation fee ordinance, payment of the appropriate fee set forth in that ordinance shall be required.

10.PLANNING. 17 MAP- OFF-HIGHWAY VEHICLE USE RECOMMND

No off-highway vehicle use shall be allowed on any parcel used for stockpiling purposes. The landowners shall secure all parcels on which a stockpile has been placed and shall prevent all off-highway vehicles from using the property.

10.PLANNING. 18 MAP - SUBMIT BUILDING PLANS RECOMMND

The developer shall cause building plans to be submitted to the TLMA- Land Use Section for review by the Department of Building and Safety - Plan Check Division. Said plans shall be in conformance with the approved TENTATIVE MAP.

10.PLANNING. 20 MAP - LOW PALEO RECOMMND

According to the County's General Plan, this site has been mapped as having a "Low Potential" for paleontological resources. This category encompasses lands for which

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM35934

Parcel: 309-170-069

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10. PLANNING. 20

MAP - LOW PALEO (cont.)

RECOMMND

previous field surveys and documentation demonstrates a low potential for containing significant paleontological resources subject to adverse impacts. As such, this project is not anticipated to require any direct mitigation for paleontological resources. However, should fossil remains be encountered during site development:

1. All site earthmoving shall be ceased in the area of where the fossil remains are encountered. Earthmoving activities may be diverted to other areas of the site.

2. The owner of the property shall be immediately notified of the fossil discovery who will in turn immediately notify the County Geologist of the discovery.

3. The applicant shall retain a qualified paleontologist approved by the County of Riverside.

4. The paleontologist shall determine the significance of the encountered fossil remains.

5. Paleontological monitoring of earthmoving activities will continue thereafter on an as-needed basis by the paleontologist during all earthmoving activities that may expose sensitive strata. Earthmoving activities in areas of the project area where previously undisturbed strata will be buried but not otherwise disturbed will not be monitored. The supervising paleontologist will have the authority to reduce monitoring once he/she determines the probability of encountering any additional fossils has dropped below an acceptable level.

6. If fossil remains are encountered by earthmoving activities when the paleontologist is not onsite, these activities will be diverted around the fossil site and the paleontologist called to the site immediately to recover the remains.

7. Any recovered fossil remains will be prepared to the point of identification and identified to the lowest taxonomic level possible by knowledgeable paleontologists. The remains then will be curated (assigned and labeled with museum* repository fossil specimen numbers and corresponding fossil site numbers, as appropriate; places in specimen trays and, if necessary, vials with completed specimen data cards) and catalogued, an associated specimen

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM35934

Parcel: 309-170-069

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.PLANNING. 20

MAP - LOW PALEO (cont.) (cont.)

RECOMMND

data and corresponding geologic and geographic site data will be archived (specimen and site numbers and corresponding data entered into appropriate museum repository catalogs and computerized data bases) at the museum repository by a laboratory technician. The remains will then be accessioned into the museum* repository fossil collection, where they will be permanently stored, maintained, and, along with associated specimen and site data, made available for future study by qualified scientific investigators. * The County of Riverside must be consulted on the repository/museum to receive the fossil material prior to being curated.

10.PLANNING. 21

GEN - IF HUMAN REMAINS FOUND

RECOMMND

The developer/permit holder or any successor in interest shall comply with the following codes for the life of this project:

If human remains are encountered, State Health and Safety Code Section 7050.5 states that no further disturbance shall occur until the County Coroner has made the necessary findings as to origin. Further, pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 5097.98 (b), remains shall be left in place and free from disturbance until a final decision as to the treatment and their disposition has been made. If the Riverside County Coroner determines the remains to be Native American, the Native American Heritage Commission shall be contacted within the period specified by law. Subsequently, the Native American Heritage Commission shall identify the "Most Likely Descendant." The Most Likely Descendant shall then make recommendations and engage in consultation with the County and the property owner concerning the treatment of the remains as provided in Public Resources Code Section 5097.98. Human remains from other ethnic/cultural groups with recognized historical associations to the project area shall also be subject to consultation between appropriate representatives from that group and the County Planning /Director.

10.PLANNING. 22

GEN - INADVERTANT ARCHAEO FIND

RECOMMND

The developer/permit holder or any successor in interest shall comply with the following for the life of this project:

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM35934

Parcel: 309-170-069

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.PLANNING. 22 GEN - INADVERTANT ARCHAEO FIND (cont.) RECOMMND

If during ground disturbance activities, cultural resources are discovered that were not assessed by the archaeological reports and/or environmental assessment conducted prior to project approval, the following procedures shall be followed. A cultural resources site is defined, for this condition, as being three or more artifacts in close association with each other, but may include fewer artifacts if the area of the find is determined to be of significance due to its sacred or cultural importance.

1.All ground disturbance activities within 100 feet of the discovered cultural resource shall be halted until a meeting is convened between the developer, the project archaeologist, the Native American tribal representative (or other appropriate ethnic/cultural group representative), and the Planning Director to discuss the significance of the find.

2.At the meeting, the significance of the discoveries shall be discussed and after consultation with the Native American tribal (or other appropriate ethnic/cultural group representative) and the archaeologist, a decision is made, with the concurrence of the Planning Director, as to the appropriate mitigation (documentation, recovery, avoidance, etc) for the cultural resource.

3.Further ground disturbance shall not resume within the area of the discovery until an agreement has been reached by all parties as to the appropriate preservation or mitigation measures.

TRANS DEPARTMENT

10.TRANS. 1 MAP - TS/EXEMPT RECOMMND

The Transportation Department has not required a traffic study for the subject project. It has been determined that the project is exempt from traffic study requirements.

10.TRANS. 2 MAP - DRAINAGE 1 RECOMMND

The land divider shall protect downstream properties from damages caused by alteration of the drainage patterns, i.e., concentration or diversion of flow. Protection shall be provided by constructing adequate drainage facilities including enlarging existing facilities and/or by securing

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM35934

Parcel: 309-170-069

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.TRANS. 2 MAP - DRAINAGE 1 (cont.) RECOMMND

a drainage easement. All drainage easements shall be shown on the final map and noted as follows: "Drainage Easement - no building, obstructions, or encroachments by landfills are allowed". The protection shall be as approved by the Transportation Department.

10.TRANS. 3 MAP - DRAINAGE 2 RECOMMND

The land divider shall accept and properly dispose of all off-site drainage flowing onto or through the site. In the event the Transportation Department permits the use of streets for drainage purposes, the provisions of Article XI of Ordinance No. 460 will apply. Should the quantities exceed the street capacity or the use of streets be prohibited for drainage purposes, the subdivider shall provide adequate drainage facilities and/or appropriate easements as approved by the Transportation Department.

10.TRANS. 4 MAP - STD INTRO 3 (ORD 460/461) RECOMMND

With respect to the conditions of approval for the referenced tentative exhibit, the land divider shall provide road dedications set forth herein in accordance with Ordinance 460 and Riverside County Road Improvement Standards (Ordinance 461). It is understood that the tentative map correctly shows acceptable centerline elevations, all existing easements, traveled ways, and drainage courses with appropriate Q's, and that their omission or unacceptability may require the map to be resubmitted for further consideration. These Ordinances and all conditions of approval are essential parts and a requirement occurring in ONE is as binding as though occurring in all. All questions regarding the true meaning of the conditions shall be referred to the Transportation Department.

10.TRANS. 5 MAP - COUNTY WEB SITE RECOMMND

Additional information, standards, ordinances, policies, and design guidelines can be obtained from the Transportation Department Web site: <http://rctlma.org/trans/>. If you have questions, please call the Plan Check Section at (951) 955-6527.

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM35934

Parcel: 309-170-069

20. PRIOR TO A CERTAIN DATE

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

20.PLANNING. 2 MAP - EXPIRATION DATE

RECOMMND

The conditionally approved TENTATIVE MAP shall expire three years after the County of Riverside Planning Director's original approval date, unless extended as provided by County Ordinance No. 460. Action on a minor change and/or revised map request shall not extend the time limits of the originally approved TENTATIVE MAP. If the TENTATIVE MAP expires before the recordation of the FINAL MAP, or any phase thereof, no recordation of the FINAL MAP, or any phase thereof, shall be permitted.

20.PLANNING. 3 MAP - PRIMARY DWLL OCCUPANCY

RECOMMND

Within 60 days of recordation of the final map, the applicant or his/her successor-in-interest shall obtain a building permit for the conversion of the guest dwelling to a primary residence and shall diligently process that permit through to the issuance of a certificate of occupancy, or upon building permit final inspection, whichever comes first.

Payment of all applicable mitigation fees (Open Space Fees-Ord. No. 810, Development Impact Fee-Ord. No. 659, & Stephen's Kangaroo Rat Fees-Ord. No. 663) shall be paid prior to issuance of a occupancy permit, or building permit final inspection.

(Added at DH 12/12/11)

50. PRIOR TO MAP RECORDATION

FIRE DEPARTMENT

50.FIRE. 1 MAP-#53-ECS-WTR PRIOR/COMBUS

RECOMMND

Ecs map must be stamped by the Riverside County Surveyor with the following note: The required water system, including fire hydrants, shall be installed and accepted by the appropriate water agency prior to any combustible building material placed on an individual lot.

50.FIRE. 2 MAP-#59-ECS-HYDR REQUIR

RECOMMND

Ecs map must be stamped by the Riverside County Surveyor with the following note: Should the applicant or developer

12/13/11
12:41

Riverside County LMS
CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Page: 13

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM35934

Parcel: 309-170-069

50. PRIOR TO MAP RECORDATION

50.FIRE. 2 MAP-#59-ECS-HYDR REQUIR (cont.) RECOMMND

choose to defer the fire protection requirements, an Environmental Constraint Sheet shall be filed with the final map containing the following: Prior to the issuance of a building permit, the applicant or developer shall provide written certification from the water company that a standard fire hydrant(s) (6"x4"x2 1/2") exist, within 250 feet of any portion of the lot frontage as measured along approved vehicular travelways; or that financial arrangements have been made to provide hydrant(s)

50.FIRE. 3 MAP-#7-ECS-HAZ FIRE AREA RECOMMND

Ecs map must be stamped by the Riverside County Surveyor with the following note: The land division is located in the "Hazardous Fire Area" of Riverside County as shown on a map on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors. Any building constructed on lots created by this land division shall comply with the special construction provisions contained in Riverside County Ordinance 787.2.

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

50.PLANNING. 1 MAP - PREPARE A FINAL MAP RECOMMND

After the approval of the TENTATIVE MAP and prior to the expiration of said map, the land divider shall cause the real property included within the TENTATIVE MAP, or any part thereof, to be surveyed and a FINAL MAP thereof prepared in accordance with the current County Transportation Department - Survey Division requirements, the conditionally approved TENTATIVE MAP, and in accordance with Article IX of County Ordinance No. 460.

50.PLANNING. 2 MAP - SURVEYOR CHECK LIST RECOMMND

The County Transportation Department - Survey Division shall review any FINAL MAP and ensure compliance with the following:

A. All lots on the FINAL MAP shall be in substantial conformance with the approved TENTATIVE MAP relative to size and configuration.

B. All lots on the FINAL MAP shall have a minimum lot size of 2.5 gross acres.

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM35934

Parcel: 309-170-069

50. PRIOR TO MAP RECORDATION

50.PLANNING. 2 MAP - SURVEYOR CHECK LIST (cont.) RECOMMND

C. All lot sizes and dimensions on the FINAL MAP shall be in conformance with the development standards of the R-A-1 zone, and with the Riverside County General Plan.

D. All lots on the FINAL MAP shall comply with the length to width ratios, as established by Section 3.8.C. of County Ordinance No. 460.

50.PLANNING. 13 MAP - FINAL MAP PREPARER RECOMMND

The FINAL MAP shall be prepared by a licensed land surveyor or registered civil engineer.

50.PLANNING. 14 MAP - ECS SHALL BE PREPARED RECOMMND

The land divider shall prepare an Environmental Constraints Sheet (ECS) in accordance with Section 2.2. E. & F. of County Ordinance No. 460, which shall be submitted as part of the plan check review of the FINAL MAP.

50.PLANNING. 18 MAP - COMPLY WITH ORD 457 RECOMMND

The land divider shall provide proof to the County Planning Department - Land Use Division that all structures for human occupancy presently existing and proposed for retention comply with Ordinance No. 457.

50.PLANNING. 20 MAP - FEE BALANCE RECOMMND

Prior to recordation, the Planning Department shall determine if the deposit based fees for the TENTATIVE MAP are in a negative balance. If so, any unpaid fees shall be paid by the land divider and/or the land divider's successor-in-interest.

50.PLANNING. 23 MAP - ECS NOTE MT PALOMAR LIGH RECOMMND

The following Environmental Constraint Note shall be placed on the ECS:

"This property is subject to lighting restrictions as required by County Ordinance No. 655, which are intended to reduce the effects of night lighting on the Mount Palomar Observatory. All proposed outdoor lighting systems shall be in conformance with County Ordinance No. 655."

12/13/11
12:41

Riverside County LMS
CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Page: 15

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM35934

Parcel: 309-170-069

50. PRIOR TO MAP RECORDATION

50.PLANNING. 24 MAP - ECS AFFECTED LOTS RECOMMND

In accordance with Section 9.5.B. 12. of Ordinance No. 460,
the following note shall be placed on the FINAL MAP:

"ENVIRONMENTAL CONSTRAINT NOTE:
Environmental Constraint Sheet affecting this map
is on file in the Office of the Riverside County Surveyor
in E.C.S. Book ____, Page _____. This affects Parcels No(s).
_____This affects all Parcels"

50.PLANNING. 25 MAP - PRIMARY DWELLING RECOMMND

Prior to 30 days before the anticipated recordation of the
final map, the applicant or his/her successor-in-interest,
shall apply to the Building and Safety Department for a
building permit to convert the existing guest dwelling to a
primary dwelling. The building permit shall cause the
installation of a kitchen inside the guest dwelling in
order to convert the structure into a primary dwelling.

The applicant or his/her successor-in-interest shall
diligently pursue the permit process, up to, and including
obtaining the issuance of said permit. However, the permit
shall not be issued until the tentative map has been
recorded.

(added at DH 12/12/11)

TRANS DEPARTMENT

50.TRANS. 1 MAP - SUFFICIENT R-O-W/SUR RECOMMND

Sufficient right-of-way along Nuevo Valley Drive, Corbett
Lane and Blanik Avenue shall be dedicated and accepted for
public use to provide for a 30 foot half-width
right-of-way per County Standard No. 105, Section "D"
Ordinance 461.

50.TRANS. 3 MAP - EASEMENT/SUR RECOMMND

Any easement not owned by a public utility, public entity
or subsidiary, not relocated or eliminated prior to final
map approval, shall be delineated on the final map in
addition to having the name of the easement holder, and
the nature of their interests, shown on the map.

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM35934

Parcel: 309-170-069

50. PRIOR TO MAP RECORDATION

50.TRANS. 4 MAP - ACCESS RESTRICTION RECOMMND

Lot access shall be restricted on Corbett Lane and so noted on the final map.

50.TRANS. 6 MAP - OFF-SITE INFO RECOMMND

The off-site rights-of-way required for said off-site access road(s) shall be accepted to vest title in the name of the public if not already accepted.

50.TRANS. 7 MAP - STREET NAME SIGN RECOMMND

The land divider shall install two street name signs one at the intersection of Blanik Avenue and Corbett Lane and one at intersection of Corbett Lane and Nuevo Valley Drive in accordance with County Standard No. 816 as directed by the Transportation Department.

50.TRANS. 8 MAP - INTERSECTION/50' TANGENT RECOMMND

All enterline intersections shall be at 90 degrees, plus or minus 5 degrees, with a minimum 50' tangent, measured from flowline/curbface or as approved by the Transportation Planning and Development Review Division Engineer.

50.TRANS. 11 MAP- CORNER CUT-BACK I/SUR RECOMMND

All corner cutbacks shall be applied per Standard 805, Ordinance 461, except for corners at Entry streets intersecting with General Plan roads, they shall be applied per Exhibit 'C' of the Countywide Design Guidelines.

50.TRANS. 12 MAP - LAKEVIEW/NUEVO FUNDING RECOMMND

This project is located in the Lakeview/Nuevo area. An Infrastructure Phasing Plan (IPP) has been prepared for the Lakeview/Nuevo area. To fund necessary roadway improvements beyond those in the TUMF program, the formation of a Road and Bridge Benefit District (RBB) is under active consideration. This project will be required to participate in the RBB and pay its share of RBB fees, including an interim RBB Fee as determined by the County, prior to the recordation of any final map.

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM35934

Parcel: 309-170-069

50. PRIOR TO MAP RECORDATION

50.TRANS. 13 MAP - DEDICATIONS/ACCEPTANCE RECOMMND

The applicant shall provide two offsite access roads from the project site to a publicly maintained road to the satisfaction of Transportation.

If there were previously dedicated public roads and utility easements but not accepted by the County, and if acceptance of said roads and easement is needed to satisfy this requirement, the applicant may file a separate application to the County of Riverside Office of the County Surveyor for the acceptance of the existing dedications by resolution. All costs incurred to satisfy this condition shall be paid by the applicant.

60. PRIOR TO GRADING PRMT ISSUANCE

BS GRADE DEPARTMENT

60.BS GRADE. 1 MAP-G2.4GEOTECH/SOILS RPTS RECOMMND

Geotechnical soils reports, required in order to obtain a grading permit, shall be submitted to the Building and Safety Department's Grading Division for review and approval prior to issuance of a grading permit.

All grading shall be in conformance with the recommendations of the geotechnical/soils reports as approved by Riverside County.*

*The geotechnical/soils, compaction and inspection reports will be reviewed in accordance with the RIVERSIDE COUNTY GEOTECHNICAL GUIDELINES FOR REVIEW OF GEOTECHNICAL AND GEOLOGIC REPORTS.

60.BS GRADE. 2 MAP-G2.7DRNAGE DESIGN Q100 RECOMMND

All grading and drainage shall be designed in accordance with Riverside County Flood Control & Water Conservation District's conditions of approval regarding this application. If not specifically addressed in their conditions, drainage shall be designed to accommodate 100 year storm flows.

Additionally, the Building and Safety Department's conditional approval of this application includes an expectation that the conceptual grading plan reviewed and

12/13/11
12:41

Riverside County LMS
CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Page: 18

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM35934

Parcel: 309-170-069

60. PRIOR TO GRADING PRMT ISSUANCE

60.BS GRADE. 2 MAP-G2.7DRNAGE DESIGN Q100 (cont.) RECOMMND

approved for it complies or can comply with any WQMP (Water Quality Management Plan) required by Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District.

60.BS GRADE. 4 MAP-G1.4 NPDES/SWPPP RECOMMND

Prior to issuance of any grading or construction permits - whichever comes first - the applicant shall provide the Building and Safety Department evidence of compliance with the following: "Effective March 10, 2003 owner operators of grading or construction projects are required to comply with the N.P.D.E.S. (National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System) requirement to obtain a construction permit from the State Water Resource Control Board (SWRCB). The permit requirement applies to grading and construction sites of "ONE" acre or larger. The owner operator can comply by submitting a "Notice of Intent" (NOI), develop and implement a STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN (SWPPP) and a monitoring program and reporting plan for the construction site. For additional information and to obtain a copy of the NPDES State Construction Permit contact the SWRCB at (916) 657-1146.

Additionally, at the time the county adopts, as part of any ordinance, regulations specific to the N.P.D.E.S., this project (or subdivision) shall comply with them.

60.BS GRADE. 5 MAP IMPORT/EXPORT RECOMMND

In instances where a grading plan involves import or export, prior to obtaining a grading permit, the applicant shall have obtained approval for the import/export location from the Building and Safety department. If an Environmental Assessment, prior to issuing a grading permit, did not previously approve either location, a Grading Environmental Assessment shall be submitted to the Planning Director for review and comment and to the Building and Safety Department Director for approval. Additionally; if the movement of import/export occurs using county roads, review and approval of the haul routes by the Transportation Department will be required.

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM35934

Parcel: 309-170-069

60. PRIOR TO GRADING PRMT ISSUANCE

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

60.PLANNING. 16

MAP - SKR FEE CONDITION

RECOMMND

Prior to the issuance of a grading permit, the land divider/permit holder shall comply with the provisions of Riverside County Ordinance No. 663, which generally requires the payment of the appropriate fee set forth in that ordinance. The amount of the fee required to be paid may vary depending upon a variety of factors, including the type of development application submitted and the applicability of any fee reduction or exemption provisions contained in Riverside County Ordinance No. 663. Said fee shall be calculated on the approved development project which is anticipated to be 5.0 acres (gross) in accordance with the TENTATIVE MAP. If the development is subsequently revised, this acreage amount may be modified in order to reflect the revised development project acreage amount. In the event Riverside County Ordinance No. 663 is rescinded, this condition will no longer be applicable. However, should Riverside County Ordinance No. 663 be rescinded and superseded by a subsequent mitigation fee ordinance, payment of the appropriate fee set forth in that ordinance shall be required.

60.PLANNING. 17

MAP - FEE BALANCE

RECOMMND

Prior to issuance of grading permits, the Planning

Department shall determine if the deposit based fees are in a negative balance. If so, any outstanding fees shall be paid by the applicant/developer.

60.PLANNING. 20

MAP - NPDES COMPLIANCE (2)

RECOMMND

Since this project will disturb one (1) or more acres, it will require a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Construction General Permit from the State Water Resources Control Board. Clearance for grading shall not be given until either the district or the Department of Building and Safety has determined that the project has complied with the current County requirements regarding the NPDES Construction General Permit.

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM35934

Parcel: 309-170-069

80. PRIOR TO BLDG PRMT ISSUANCE

BS GRADE DEPARTMENT

80.BS GRADE. 1 MAP-G3.1NO B/PMT W/O G/PMT RECOMMND

Prior to issuance of any building permit, the property owner shall obtain a grading permit and/or approval to construct from the Grading Divisin of the Building and Safety Department.

E HEALTH DEPARTMENT

80.E HEALTH. 1 USE - E.HEALTH CLEARANCE REQ. INEFFECT

ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH CLEARANCE IS REQUIRED PRIOR TO THE ISSUANCE OF THIS BUILDING PERMIT.

FIRE DEPARTMENT

80.FIRE. 1 MAP-#50B-HYDRANT SYSTEM RECOMMND

Prior to the release of your installation, site prep and/or building permits from Building and Safety. Written certification from the appropriate water district that the required fire hydrant(s) are either existing or that financial arrangements have been made to provide them.

Also a map or APN page showing the location of the fire hydrant and access to the property.

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

80.PLANNING. 8 MAP - SCHOOL MITIGATION RECOMMND

Impacts to the Romoland and Perris Union High School District shall be mitigated in accordance with California State law.

80.PLANNING. 10 MAP - FEE BALANCE RECOMMND

Prior to issuance of building permits, the Planning Department shall determine if the deposit based fees are in a negative balance. If so, any outstanding fees shall be paid by the applicant/developer.

12/13/11
12:41

Riverside County LMS
CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Page: 21

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM35934

Parcel: 309-170-069

90. PRIOR TO BLDG FINAL INSPECTION

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

90.PLANNING. 6

MAP - SKR FEE CONDITION

RECOMMND

Prior to the issuance of a certificate of occupancy, or upon building permit final inspection, whichever comes first, the land divider/permit holder shall comply with the provisions of Riverside County Ordinance No. 663, which generally requires the payment of the appropriate fee set forth in that ordinance. The amount of the fee required to be paid may vary, depending upon a variety of factors, including the type of development application submitted and the applicability of any fee reduction or exemption provisions contained in Riverside County Ordinance No. 663. Said fee shall be calculated on the approved development project which is anticipated to be 2.5 gross acres (gross) in accordance with Proposed Parcel No. 2 of the TENTATIVE MAP. If the development is subsequently revised, this acreage amount may be modified in order to reflect the revised development project acreage amount. In the event Riverside County Ordinance No. 663 is rescinded, this condition will no longer be applicable. However, should Riverside County Ordinance No. 663 be rescinded and superseded by a subsequent mitigation fee ordinance, payment of the appropriate fee set forth in that ordinance shall be required.

(modified at DH on 12/12/11)

TRANS DEPARTMENT

90.TRANS. 1

MAP - WRCOG TUMF

RECOMMND

Prior to the issuance of an occupancy permit, the project proponent shall pay the Transportation Uniform Mitigation Fee (TUMF) in accordance with the fee schedule in effect at the time of issuance, pursuant to Ordinance No. 824.



Riverside County
Waste Management Department

Hans W. Kernkamp, General Manager-Chief Engineer

September 4, 2008

Russell Brady, Project Planner
Riverside County Planning Department
P. O. Box No. 1409
Riverside, CA 92502-1409

RE: Tentative Parcel Map (PM) No. 35934
Proposal: Divide 5 acres into two parcels with a minimum lot size of 2.50 acres
APN: 309-170-069

Dear Mr. Brady:

The Riverside County Waste Management Department (RCWMD) has reviewed the proposed project located east of Corbett Lane, south of Blanik Avenue, north of Nuevo Valley Drive, in the Nuevo Zoning Area. The project has the potential to impact long-term landfill capacity by generating solid waste that requires disposal. In order to mitigate the project's potential solid waste impact, and to help the County's efforts to comply with State law in diverting solid waste from landfill disposal, the project proponent shall do the following:

- Recycle the project's construction and demolition (C&D) waste through a C&D recycling facility.
- Use mulch and/or compost in the development and maintenance of landscaped areas within the project boundaries. Recycle green waste through either onsite composting of grass, i.e., leaving the grass clippings on the lawn, or sending separated green waste to a composting facility.
- Consider xeriscaping and using drought tolerant/low maintenance vegetation in all landscaped areas of the project.
- Hazardous materials are not accepted at the Riverside County landfills. Any hazardous wastes, including paint, used during construction must be properly disposed of at a licensed facility in accordance with local, state and federal regulations. Please contact the Riverside County Household Hazardous Waste Collection (HHW) Program - 24-Hour Hotline 1.800.304.2226 for further information.

Thank you for the opportunity to review this proposal. If you have any questions, please call me at (951) 486-3284.

Sincerely,

Mirtha Liedl, Planner

Encl.: Case Transmittal form

PD#52946v11

LAND DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE
INITIAL CASE TRANSMITTAL
RIVERSIDE COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT - RIVERSIDE
P.O. Box 1409
Riverside, CA 92502-1409

DATE: August 18, 2008

TO:

Transportation Dept.-Jim Knutson
Environmental Health Dept.-LEA
Flood Control Dist.
Fire Department
Dept. of Bldg. & Safety (Grading)
Regional Parks & Open Space Dist.
Co. Geologist
Environmental Programs Dept.
P.D. Trails Coordinator-J. Jolliffe
Archeology
CSA 146 c/o EDA
Riv. Transit Agency

Riv. Sheriffs Dept.
Riv. Waste Management Dept.
Supervisor Ashley
Commissioner Zuppardo
Romoland Union School Dist.
Perris Union High School Dist.
EMWD
SCE
Southern California Gas
EIC "Attachment A"
Regional WQCB-Santa Ana

TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP NO. 35934 – EA42003 – Applicant: Eduardo Gonzalez - Engineer/Rep: Lamda Engineering & Development - Fifth Supervisorial District – Nuevo Zoning Area –Lakeview/Nuevo Area Plan – Rural Community: Very Low Density Residential (RC:VLDR) (1 acre minimum) - Location: Easterly of Corbett Lane, Southerly of Blanik Avenue, Northerly of Nuevo Valley Drive – 5.00 acres gross – Zoning: Residential Agricultural one-acre minimum (R-A-1) - **REQUEST: The tentative parcel map proposes a schedule H subdivision of 5.00 gross acres into two parcels with a minimum lot size of 2.50 gross acres – Related Cases: N/A - APN: 309-170-069**

Please review the attached exhibit(s) for the above-described project. This case is scheduled for a **LDC Meeting on September 4, 2008**. All County Agencies and Departments, please have draft conditions in the Land Management System by the above date. If you cannot clear the exhibit, please have corrections in the system and DENY the routing. Once the route is complete, and the approval screen is approved with or without corrections, the case can be scheduled for a public hearing. All other agencies, please have your comments/conditions to the Planning Department as soon as possible. Your comments/recommendations/conditions are requested so that they may be incorporated in the staff report for this particular case.

Should you have any questions regarding this item, please do not hesitate to contact **Russell Brady**, Project Planner, at **(951) 955-1888** or email at rbrady@RCTLMA.org / **MAILSTOP# 1070**.

COMMENTS:

DATE: _____ SIGNATURE: _____

PLEASE PRINT NAME AND TITLE: _____

TELEPHONE: _____

If you do not include this transmittal in your response, please include a reference to the case number and project planner's name. Thank you.

7711

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
TRANSPORTATION AND LAND MANAGEMENT AGENCY
Planning Department
Ron Goldman - Planning Director

APPLICATION FOR SUBDIVISION AND DEVELOPMENT

CHECK ONE AS APPROPRIATE:

- | | | |
|--|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> TRACT MAP | <input type="checkbox"/> MINOR CHANGE | <input type="checkbox"/> VESTING MAP |
| <input type="checkbox"/> REVISED MAP | <input type="checkbox"/> REVERSION TO ACREAGE | <input type="checkbox"/> EXPIRED RECORDABLE MAP |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PARCEL MAP | <input type="checkbox"/> AMENDMENT TO FINAL MAP | |

INCOMPLETE APPLICATIONS WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED.

CASE NUMBER: P.M. 35934 DATE SUBMITTED: 7-23-08

APPLICATION INFORMATION

Applicant's Name: Eduardo Gonzalez E-Mail: gonzoandsons@yahoo.com

Mailing Address: P.O. Box 893084
Temecula Street California 92589-3084
City State ZIP

Daytime Phone No: (951) 326-5575 Fax No: (951) 693-0682

Engineer/Representative's Name: Lamda Engineering & Development E-Mail: lamdadev@yahoo.com

Mailing Address: 28364 Vicent Moraga Drive, Suite J
Temecula Street California 92590
City State ZIP

Daytime Phone No: (951) 676-7688 Fax No: (951) 676-7288

Property Owner's Name: James D. Martens E-Mail: _____

Mailing Address: 36560 Lion Peak Road
Mountain Center Street California 92561
City State ZIP

Daytime Phone No: (909) 721-9996 Fax No: (951) 659-0308

If additional persons have an ownership interest in the subject property in addition to that indicated above, attach a separate sheet that references the application case number and lists the names, mailing addresses, and phone numbers of those persons having an interest in the real property or properties involved in this application.

The Planning Department will primarily direct communications regarding this application to the person identified above as the Applicant. The Applicant may be the property owner, representative, or other assigned agent.

Riverside Office · 4080 Lemon Street, 9th Floor
P.O. Box 1409, Riverside, California 92502-1409
(951) 955-3200 · Fax (951) 955-3157
Form 295-1011 (08/27/07)

Desert Office · 38686 El Cerrito Road
Palm Desert, California 92211
(760) 863-8277 · Fax (760) 863-7555

Murrieta Office · 39493 Los Alamos Road
Murrieta, California 92563
· Fax (951) 600-6145

Ea 42003

CFG 05352


APPLICATION FOR SUBDIVISION AND DEVELOPMENT

AUTHORIZATION FOR CONCURRENT FEE TRANSFER

The signature below authorizes the Planning Department and TLMA to expedite the refund and billing process by transferring monies among concurrent applications to cover processing costs as necessary. Fees collected in excess of the actual cost of providing specific services will be refunded. If additional funds are needed to complete the processing of your application, you will be billed, and processing of the application will cease until the outstanding balance is paid and sufficient funds are available to continue the processing of the application. The applicant understands the deposit fee process as described above, and that there will be NO refund of fees which have been expended as part of the application review or other related activities or services, even if the application is withdrawn or the application is ultimately denied.

All signatures must be originals ("wet-signed"). Photocopies of signatures are **not** acceptable.

Eduardo Gonzalez



PRINTED NAME OF APPLICANT

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT

AUTHORITY FOR THIS APPLICATION IS HEREBY GIVEN:

I certify that I am/we are the record owner(s) or authorized agent and that the information filed is true and correct to the best of my knowledge. An authorized agent must submit a letter from the owner(s) indicating authority to sign the application on the owner's behalf.

All signatures must be originals ("wet-signed"). Photocopies of signatures are **not** acceptable.


James D. Martens



PRINTED NAME OF PROPERTY OWNER(S)

SIGNATURE OF PROPERTY OWNER(S)

Timmie S. Martens



PRINTED NAME OF PROPERTY OWNER(S)

SIGNATURE OF PROPERTY OWNER(S)

If the subject property is owned by persons who have not signed as owners above, attach a separate sheet that references the application case number and lists the printed names and signatures of all persons having an interest in the property.

See attached sheet(s) for other property owners signatures.

PROPERTY INFORMATION:

Assessor's Parcel Number(s): 309-170-069

Section: 36 Township: 4S Range: 3W

Approximate Gross Acreage: 5.00

General location (cross streets, etc.): North of Nuevo Valley Drive, South of Blanik Avenue, East of Corbett Lane, West of Ramona Avenue.

Thomas Brothers map, edition year, page number, and coordinates: 2005 / Page 808 G3

APPLICATION FOR SUBDIVISION AND DEVELOPMENT

Proposal (describe project, indicate the number of proposed lots/parcels, units, and the schedule of the subdivision, whether the project is a Vesting Map or Planned Residential Development (PRD):

Current 4.13 acre lot (net) to be divided into two separate parcels. Parcel 1 will net 2.06 acres and Parcel 2 will net 2.07 acres.

Related cases filed in conjunction with this request:

None

Is there a previous development application filed on the same site? Yes No

If yes, provide Case No(s). _____ (Parcel Map, Zone Change, etc.)

E.A. No. (if known) _____ E.I.R. No. (if applicable): _____

Have any special studies or reports, such as a traffic study, biological report, archaeological report, geological or geotechnical reports, been prepared for the subject property? Yes No

If yes, indicate the type of report(s) and provide a copy: _____

Is water service available at the project site: Yes No

If "No," how far must the water line(s) be extended to provide service? (No. of feet/miles) _____

Is sewer service available at the site? Yes No

If "No," how far must the sewer line(s) be extended to provide service? (No. of feet/miles) Septic System

Will the proposal result in cut or fill slopes steeper than 2:1 or higher than 10 feet? Yes No

How much grading is proposed for the project site?

Estimated amount of cut = cubic yards: N/A

Estimated amount of fill = cubic yards N/A

Does the project need to import or export dirt? Yes No

Import N/A Export N/A Neither N/A

What is the anticipated source/destination of the import/export?

N/A

APPLICATION FOR SUBDIVISION AND DEVELOPMENT

What is the anticipated route of travel for transport of the soil material?
N/A

How many anticipated truckloads? N/A truck loads.

What is the square footage of usable pad area? (area excluding all slopes) 40,000 sq. ft.

If this is a residential subdivision, is it located in a Recreation and Park District or County Service Area authorized to collect fees for park and recreational services? Yes No

If yes, does the subdivision intend to dedicate land or pay Quimby fees, or a combination of both?

Dedicate land Pay Quimby fees Combination of both

Is the subdivision located within 8½ miles of March Air Reserve Base? Yes No

If yes, will any structure exceed fifty-feet (50') in height (above ground level)? Yes No

Does the subdivision exceed more than one acre in area? Yes No

If yes, in which one of the following watersheds is it located (refer to Riverside County GIS for watershed location)?

Check answer:

Santa Ana River Santa Margarita River San Jacinto River Colorado River

HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Government Code Section 65962.5 requires the applicant for any development project to consult specified state-prepared lists of hazardous waste sites and submit a signed statement to the local agency indicating whether the project is located on or near an identified site. Under the statute, no application shall be accepted as complete without this signed statement.

I (we) certify that I (we) have investigated our project with respect to its location on or near an identified hazardous waste site and that my (our) answers are true and correct to the best of my (our) knowledge. My (Our) investigation has shown that:

The project is not located on or near an identified hazardous waste site.

The project is located on or near an identified hazardous waste site. Please list the location of the hazardous waste site(s) on an attached sheet.

Owner/Representative (1) James D. Menter Date 7/25/08
Owner/Representative (2) _____ Date _____

PROPERTY OWNERS CERTIFICATION FORM

I, VINNIE NGUYEN, certify that on 8/3/2011

The attached property owners list was prepared by Riverside County GIS

APN (s) or case numbers Pm 35934 For

Company or Individual's Name Planning Department

Distance buffered 1200'

Pursuant to application requirements furnished by the Riverside County Planning Department, Said list is a complete and true compilation of the owners of the subject property and all other property owners within 600 feet of the property involved, or if that area yields less than 25 different owners, all property owners within a notification area expanded to yield a minimum of 25 different owners, to a maximum notification area of 2,400 feet from the project boundaries, based upon the latest equalized assessment rolls. If the project is a subdivision with identified off-site access/improvements, said list includes a complete and true compilation of the names and mailing addresses of the owners of all property that is adjacent to the proposed off-site improvement/alignment.

I further certify that the information filed is true and correct to the best of my knowledge. I understand that incorrect or incomplete information may be grounds for rejection or denial of the application.

NAME: Vinnie Nguyen

TITLE GIS Analyst


ADDRESS: 4080 Lemon Street 2nd Floor

Riverside, Ca. 92502

TELEPHONE NUMBER (8 a.m. - 5 p.m.): (951) 955-8158

*✓ AR
Expires 2/3/12*

1200 feet buffer



Selected Parcels

309-200-016	309-180-042	309-170-038	309-170-064	309-170-065	309-170-082	309-170-048	309-170-052	309-170-068	309-170-005
309-180-020	309-170-032	309-170-033	309-170-040	309-170-057	309-170-069	309-170-060	309-170-061	309-170-072	309-170-055
309-170-059	309-170-066	309-180-002	309-170-050	309-170-073	309-170-074	309-170-063	309-170-054	309-170-049	309-170-067
309-180-003	309-170-051	309-180-025	309-180-031	309-180-040	309-200-012	309-170-062	309-170-041	309-170-070	309-200-011
309-170-046	309-170-071	309-180-021	309-170-053	309-170-047					



1,200 600 0 1,200 Feet

Maps and data are to be used for reference purposes only. Map features are approximate, and are not necessarily accurate to surveying or engineering standards. The County of Riverside makes no warranty or guarantee as to the content (the source is often third party), accuracy, timeliness, or completeness of any of the data provided, and assumes no legal responsibility for the information contained on this map. Any use of this product with respect to accuracy and precision shall be the sole responsibility of the user.

ASMT: 309170005, APN: 309170005
JOHN NOGUES, ETAL
P O BOX 309
NUEVO CA 92567

ASMT: 309170049, APN: 309170049
MARGARET JOHNSON
23217 OLIVAS AVE
NUEVO, CA. 92567

ASMT: 309170038, APN: 309170038
CHRISTINE ABBOTT, ETAL
P O BOX 528
NUEVO CA 92567

ASMT: 309170050, APN: 309170050
MELISSA GARZA, ETAL
23200 OLIVAS AVE
NUEVO, CA. 92567

ASMT: 309170040, APN: 309170040
GREGORY ANDERSON
40365 PASEO SERENO RD
TEMECULA CA 92591

ASMT: 309170051, APN: 309170051
ELEANOR HAWKES, ETAL
23205 CORBETT LN
NUEVO, CA. 92567

ASMT: 309170041, APN: 309170041
RACHEL ANDERSON
23489 RAMONA AVE
NUEVO, CA. 92567

ASMT: 309170052, APN: 309170052
GLORIA GUTIERREZ, ETAL
23224 OLIVAS AVE
NUEVO, CA. 92567

ASMT: 309170046, APN: 309170046
CECILIA AVILA, ETAL
23141 OLIVAS AVE
NUEVO, CA. 92567

ASMT: 309170053, APN: 309170053
DENA DRAKE, ETAL
23215 CORBETT LN
NUEVO, CA. 92567

ASMT: 309170047, APN: 309170047
MARDETTA LYNCH, ETAL
29152 BLANIK AVE
NUEVO CA 92567

ASMT: 309170054, APN: 309170054
BRENDA ORTIZ, ETAL
P O BOX 214
NUEVO CA 92567

ASMT: 309170048, APN: 309170048
EFRAIN BUGARIN
1459 PLAZA WAY
PERRIS CA 92570

ASMT: 309170055, APN: 309170055
JOANNE COOPER
29375 NUEVO VALLEY RD
NUEVO, CA. 92567

ASMT: 309170057, APN: 309170057
LORI REID, ETAL
C/O MATTHEW IRVING
23005 RAMONA AVE
NUEVO, CA. 92567

ASMT: 309170059, APN: 309170059
JOE SOLORZANO
29155 BLANIK AVE
NUEVO, CA. 92567

ASMT: 309170060, APN: 309170060
JANIS TAYLOR
P O BOX 343
NUEVO CA 92567

ASMT: 309170061, APN: 309170061
JERRY HALMAN
P O BOX 27
NUEVO CA 92567

ASMT: 309170062, APN: 309170062
LORI IRVIN, ETAL
29247 BLANIK AVE
NUEVO, CA. 92567

ASMT: 309170063, APN: 309170063
PHILIP IRVIN, ETAL
29247 BLANIK AVE
NUEVO CA 92567

ASMT: 309170064, APN: 309170064
REINA LICITRA, ETAL
29291 BLANIK AVE
NUEVO, CA. 92567

ASMT: 309170065, APN: 309170065
SOCORRO CARLOS, ETAL
23325 CORBETT LN
NUEVO, CA. 92567

ASMT: 309170066, APN: 309170066
JOHN FIGUEIREDO, ETAL
9241 11TH AVE
HESPERIA CA 92345

ASMT: 309170067, APN: 309170067
MARY BALLARD
C/O MARY S HANSEN
23180 CORBETT LN
NUEVO, CA. 92567

ASMT: 309170068, APN: 309170068
FAIRFAX PROP INC
C/O CENTURY 21 GARLAND REALTY
1264 W 6TH ST
CORONA CA 92882

ASMT: 309170069, APN: 309170069
TIMMIE MARTENS, ETAL
P O BOX 409
MOUNTAIN CENTER CA 92561

ASMT: 309170070, APN: 309170070
GUILLERMINA MEDINA, ETAL
P O BOX 3192
RIVERSIDE CA 92519

ASMT: 309170071, APN: 309170071
RUTH MOE
29231 SAN JACINTO AVE
NUEVO, CA. 92567

ASMT: 309170072, APN: 309170072
JFAB
29275 SAN JACINTO AVE
NUEVO CA 92567

ASMT: 309170073, APN: 309170073
KAREN BERKEY, ETAL
29305 SAN JACINTO
NUEVO CA 92567

ASMT: 309170082, APN: 309170082
MICHELE BURKE, ETAL
29333 SAN JACINTO AVE
NUEVO, CA. 92567

ASMT: 309180002, APN: 309180002
ZORAIDA HERNANDEZ, ETAL
14007 CERISE AVE NO 113
HAWTHORNE CA 90250

ASMT: 309180003, APN: 309180003
MOISES GONZALES
23619 RAMONA AVE
NUEVO CA 92567

ASMT: 309180020, APN: 309180020
LUEY CORBETT, ETAL
3118 N STEVENSON ST
FLINT MI 48504

ASMT: 309180021, APN: 309180021
SARETA MILLER
3118 N STEVENSON ST
FLINT MI 48504

ASMT: 309180040, APN: 309180040
OPAL ASHLEY
29247 COBBLE STONE ST
NUEVO CA 92567

ASMT: 309180042, APN: 309180042
CASPER WANG, ETAL
C/O CHALING LIN
2040 NW HOOD ST
CAMAS WA 98607

ASMT: 309200011, APN: 309200011
RIVERVIEW LTD ENTERPRISE
P O BOX 8273
FOUNTAIN VALLEY CA 92728

ASMT: 309200012, APN: 309200012
PEDRO GOMEZ
5256 E BEVERLY BLV
LOS ANGELES CA 90022

ASMT: 309200016, APN: 309200016
ESPERANZA NEREY, ETAL
23600 RAY ST
NUEVO, CA. 92567

PM35934 7/18/2011

Centralized Correspondence,
Southern California Gas Company
P.O. Box 3150
San Dimas, CA 91773

Eastern Information Center
Dept. of Anthropology
1334 Watkins Hall, University of
California, Riverside
Riverside, CA 92521-0418

ATTN: Elizabeth Lovsted
Eastern Municipal Water District
2270 Trumble Rd.
P.O. Box 8300
Perris, CA 92570

ATTN: Emmanuelle Reynolds
Perris Union High School District
155 E. 4th St.
Perris, CA 92570-2124

ATTN: Executive Officer
Reg. Water Quality Control Board #8
Santa Ana
3737 Main St., Suite 500
Riverside, CA 92501-3348

ATTN: Michael McCoy
Riverside Transit Agency
1825 3rd St.
P.O. Box 59968
Riverside, CA 92517-1968


Romoland School District
25900 Leon Rd.
Homeland, CA 92548

Southern California Edison
2244 Walnut Grove Ave., Rm 312
P.O. Box 600
Rosemead, CA 91770

Applicant:
Eduardo Gonzalez
P.O. Box 893084
Temecula, CA 92589

Eng-Rep:
Lamda Engineering & Development
28364 Vincent Moraga Dr., Ste. J
Temecula, CA 92590

Owner:
James Martens
36560 Lion Peak Rd.
Mountain Center, CA 92561



Carolyn Syms Luna
Director

RIVERSIDE COUNTY
PLANNING DEPARTMENT

MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

Project/Case Number: Tentative Parcel Map No. 35934

Based on the Initial Study, it has been determined that the proposed project, subject to the proposed mitigation measures, will not have a significant effect upon the environment.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION, LOCATION, AND MITIGATION MEASURES REQUIRED TO AVOID POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT EFFECTS. (see Environmental Assessment and Conditions of Approval)

COMPLETED/REVIEWED BY:

By: Adrienne Rossi Title: Project Planner Date: October 13, 2011

Applicant/Project Sponsor: James Martins / Lamda Engineering & Development
Date Submitted: July 23, 2008

ADOPTED BY: Planning Director

Person Verifying Adoption: Adrienne Rossi Date: 12/12/11

The Mitigated Negative Declaration may be examined, along with documents referenced in the initial study, if any, at:

Riverside County Planning Department 4080 Lemon Street, 12th Floor, Riverside, CA 92501

For additional information, please contact Arienne Rossi at 951-955-6925.

Revised: 10/16/07

Y:\Planning Case Files-Riverside office\PM35934\DH-PC-BOS Hearings\Mitigated Negative Declaration.EA42003.docx

Please charge deposit fee case#: ZEA42003 ZCFG5352

FOR COUNTY CLERK'S USE ONLY



RIVERSIDE COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Carolyn Syms Luna
Director

TO: Office of Planning and Research (OPR)
P.O. Box 3044
Sacramento, CA 95812-3044
 County of Riverside County Clerk

FROM: Riverside County Planning Department
 4080 Lemon Street, 12th Floor
P. O. Box 1409
Riverside, CA 92502-1409

38686 El Cerrito Road
Palm Desert, California 92211

SUBJECT: Filing of Notice of Determination in compliance with Section 21152 of the California Public Resources Code.

EA42003 Tentative Parcel Map No. 35934

Project Title/Case Numbers

Adrienne Rossi
County Contact Person

(951) 955-6925
Phone Number

N/A

State Clearinghouse Number (if submitted to the State Clearinghouse)

James and Timmie Martins
Project Applicant

36560 Lion Peak Road, Mountain Center, Ca 92561
Address

Located northerly of Nuevo Valley Road, southerly of Blanik Avenue, easterly of Ramona Avenue and westerly of Corbett Lane.
Project Location

Tentative Parcel Map No. 35934 is a schedule "H" subdivision of 5.0 acres into two (2) residential parcels of 2.5 acres each.
Project Description

This is to advise that the Riverside County Planning Director, as the lead agency, has approved the above-referenced project on November 28, 2011, and has made the following determinations regarding that project:

1. The project WILL NOT have a significant effect on the environment.
2. A Mitigated Negative Declaration was prepared for the project pursuant to the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act (\$2,101.50 + \$64.00).
3. Mitigation measures WERE made a condition of the approval of the project.
4. A Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Plan/Program WAS adopted.
5. A statement of Overriding Considerations WAS NOT adopted for the project.

This is to certify that the Mitigated Negative Declaration, with comments, responses, and record of project approval is available to the general public at: Riverside County Planning Department, 4080 Lemon Street, 12th Floor, Riverside, CA 92501.

_____	Project Planner	_____
<i>Signature</i>	<i>Title</i>	<i>Date</i>

Date Received for Filing and Posting at OPR: _____

DM/rj
Revised 8/25/2009
Y:\Planning Case Files-Riverside office\PM35934\DH-PC-BOS Hearings\NOD.EA42003.docx

Please charge deposit fee case#: ZEA42003 ZCFG5352 .

FOR COUNTY CLERK'S USE ONLY

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
SPECIALIZED DEPARTMENT RECEIPT
Permit Assistance Center

* REPRINTED * R0807667

4080 Lemon Street
Second Floor
Riverside, CA 92502
(951) 955-3200

39493 Los Alamos Road
Suite A
Murrieta, CA 92563
(951) 600-6100

38686 El Cerrito Road
Palm Desert, CA 92211
(760) 863-8277

Received from: MARTINS JAMES \$64.00
paid by: CK 1265
paid towards: CFG05352 CALIF FISH & GAME: DOC FEE
CALIFORNIA FOR EA42003
at parcel #: 29355 BLANIK AVE NUEV
appl type: CFG3

By _____ Jul 23, 2008 16:12
MBRASWEL posting date Jul 23, 2008

Account Code	Description	Amount
658353120100208100	CF&G TRUST: RECORD FEES	\$64.00

Overpayments of less than \$5.00 will not be refunded!

Additional info at www.rctlma.org

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
SPECIALIZED DEPARTMENT RECEIPT
Permit Assistance Center

A* REPRINTED * R1107128

4080 Lemon Street
Second Floor
Riverside, CA 92502
(951) 955-3200

39493 Los Alamos Road
Suite A
Murrieta, CA 92563
(951) 694-5242

38686 El Cerrito Rd
Indio, CA 92211
(760) 863-8271

Received from: MARTINS JAMES \$2,044.00
paid by: CK 1823
CALIFORNIA FOR EA42003
paid towards: CFG05352 CALIF FISH & GAME: DOC FEE
at parcel: 29355 BLANIK AVE NUEV
appl type: CFG3

By _____ Jul 19, 2011 14:32
MGARDNER posting date Jul 19, 2011

Account Code	Description	Amount
658353120100208100	CF&G TRUST	\$2,044.00

Overpayments of less than \$5.00 will not be refunded!

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
SPECIALIZED DEPARTMENT RECEIPT
Permit Assistance Center

D* REPRINTED * R1111335

4080 Lemon Street
Second Floor
Riverside, CA 92502
(951) 955-3200

39493 Los Alamos Road
Suite A
Murrieta, CA 92563
(951) 694-5242

38686 El Cerrito Rd
Indio, CA 92211
(760) 863-8271

Received from: MARTINS JAMES \$57.50
paid by: CK 2363
CALIFORNIA FOR EA42003
paid towards: CFG05352 CALIF FISH & GAME: DOC FEE
at parcel: 29355 BLANIK AVE NUEV
appl type: CFG3

By _____ Dec 12, 2011 14:07
MGARDNER posting date Dec 12, 2011

Account Code	Description	Amount
658353120100208100	CF&G TRUST	\$57.50

Overpayments of less than \$5.00 will not be refunded!