

1 of Chapter 4, Part 1, Division 2, Title 5 of the California Government Code (collectively, the
2 “Resolution”), to all of the provisions and limitations of which the owner of this Note, by
3 acceptance hereof, assents and agrees.

4 The principal of the Note, together with the interest thereon, shall be payable from
5 taxes, income, revenue, cash receipts and other moneys which are received or accrued by the
6 County for the general fund of the County and are provided for or attributable to the Repayment
7 Fiscal Year, as defined in the Resolution, and which are available for payment thereof. As
8 security for the payment of the principal of and interest on the Note, the County has pledged from
9 Unrestricted Revenues of the County received in the Repayment Months (as defined in the
10 Resolution) identified in the Purchase Agreement (as defined in the Resolution) (and any amounts
11 received thereafter provided for or attributable to the Repayment Fiscal Year) until the amount on
12 deposit in the Payment Account (as defined in the Resolution) in each such month, is equal to the
13 corresponding percentages of principal of and interest due on the Note as set forth in the Purchase
14 Agreement (such pledged amounts being hereinafter called the “Pledged Revenues”), and the
15 principal of the Note and the interest thereon shall constitute a first lien and charge thereon and
16 shall be payable from the Pledged Revenues, and to the extent not so paid shall be paid from any
17 other moneys of the County lawfully available therefor as set forth in the Resolution. The full
18 faith and credit of the County is not pledged to the payment of the principal or interest on this
19 Note.

20 The County and the Paying Agent may deem and treat the registered owner hereof
21 as the absolute owner hereof for the purpose of receiving payment of or on account of principal
22 hereof and interest due hereon and for all other purposes, and the County and the Paying Agent
23 shall not be affected by any notice to the contrary.

24 It is hereby certified that all of the conditions, things and acts required to exist, to
25 have happened and to have been performed precedent to and in the issuance of this Note do exist,
26 have happened and have been performed in due time, form and manner as required by the
27 Constitution and statutes of the State of California and that the amount of this Note, together with
28 all other indebtedness of the County, does not exceed any limit prescribed by the Constitution or
statutes of the State of California.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Board of the County has caused this Note to be executed by the manual or facsimile signature of a duly authorized County Officer of the County and countersigned by the manual or facsimile signature of the Secretary or Clerk of the Board as of the date of original issue set forth above.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

By: _____
Title: _____

Countersigned

By: _____
Title: Clerk

NEW ISSUE—BOOK-ENTRY ONLY

Moody's:

S&P:

See "RATINGS" herein.

In the opinion of Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP, Bond Counsel to the County of Riverside, based upon an analysis of existing laws, regulations, rulings, and court decisions, and assuming, among other matters, the accuracy of certain representations and compliance with certain covenants, interest with respect to the Note is excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes under Section 103 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and is exempt from State of California personal income taxes. The amount treated as interest on the Note and excluded from gross income may depend upon the taxpayer's election under Internal Revenue Notice 94-84. In the further opinion of Bond Counsel, interest with respect to the Note is not a specific preference item for purposes of the federal individual or corporate alternative minimum taxes, although Bond Counsel observes that such interest is included in adjusted current earnings when calculating corporate alternative minimum taxable income. Bond Counsel expresses no opinion regarding any other tax consequences related to the ownership or disposition of, or the accrual or receipt of interest with respect to, the Note. See "TAX MATTERS" herein.

\$ _____

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

2012-2013 TAX AND REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTE

Dated: Date of Delivery

Due: As shown on the inside front cover

The County of Riverside 2012-2013 Tax and Revenue Anticipation Note, Series A and Series B (collectively, the "Note") will be issued in fully registered book-entry form only in the name of Cede & Co., as nominee of The Depository Trust Company, New York, New York ("DTC") and will be available to the beneficial owners thereof in the denomination of \$5,000 or any multiple thereof under the book-entry system maintained by DTC. Purchasers of the Note will not receive certificates representing their interests in the Note. Principal of and interest on the Note will be payable only at maturity at the principal office of The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A., as paying agent ("the Paying Agent"), by wire transfer to DTC, which will in turn remit such principal and interest to its Participants, which in turn will remit such principal and interest to the Indirect Participants or to the Beneficial Owners of the Note, as more fully described herein.

The Note, in accordance with California law, is a general obligation of the County of Riverside (the "County"), and is payable from taxes, income, revenues, cash receipts and other moneys of the County attributable to the County's 2012-13 Fiscal Year commencing July 1, 2012 which are legally available for payment thereof. The Note shall constitute a first lien and charge on such revenues and shall be payable from the moneys received by the County from such pledged revenues. To the extent not so paid, the Note shall be paid from any other taxes, income, revenue, cash receipts and other moneys of the County lawfully available therefor. The Note Resolution requires the County to set aside and deposit in a special fund (the "Payment Account") to be established by the Paying Agent certain amounts from such pledged revenues received by the County in those months described herein so that the amount on deposit in the Payment Account on such dates as described herein, taking into consideration anticipated investment earnings thereon to be received by the maturity date, will be, following all such deposits, equal to all of the principal of and interest due on the Note, as more fully described herein.

THE NOTE IS NOT SUBJECT TO REDEMPTION PRIOR TO MATURITY.

THE NOTE IS A LIMITED OBLIGATION OF THE COUNTY, PAYABLE SOLELY FROM CERTAIN FUNDS PLEDGED UNDER THE NOTE RESOLUTION. THE COUNTY IS NOT AUTHORIZED TO LEVY OR COLLECT ANY TAX FOR REPAYMENT OF THE NOTE.

The cover page contains information for quick reference only, and is not a summary of this issue. Potential purchasers must read the entire Official Statement in order to obtain information essential to making an informed investment decision.

The Note is offered when, as and if issued and delivered, subject to the approval as to its legality of Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP, Los Angeles, California, Bond Counsel. Certain additional legal matters will be passed upon for the County by the County Counsel, and for the Underwriter by its counsel, Nossaman LLP, Irvine, California. It is anticipated that the Note, in definitive form, will be available for delivery through the facilities of DTC on or about July 1, 2012.

DE LA ROSA & CO.

Citigroup

Dated: _____, 2012

*Preliminary, subject to change.

This Preliminary Official Statement and the information contained herein are subject to completion or amendment. Under no circumstances shall this Preliminary Official Statement constitute an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy, nor shall there be any sale of, these securities in any jurisdiction in which such offer, solicitation or sale would be unlawful prior to registration or qualification or filing under the securities laws of any such jurisdiction.

MATURITY SCHEDULE

\$ _____.

**COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
2012-2013 TAX AND REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTE**

<u>CUSIP</u>	<u>Maturity Date</u>	<u>Principal Amount</u>	<u>Coupon</u>	<u>Yield</u>	<u>Price</u>
---------------------	-----------------------------	------------------------------------	----------------------	---------------------	---------------------

Series A

Series B

*Preliminary, subject to change.

No dealer, broker, salesperson or other person has been authorized by the County to give any information or to make any representations other than as set forth herein and, if given or made, such other information or representation must not be relied upon as having been authorized by the County. This Official Statement does not constitute an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy nor shall there be any sale of the Note by a person in any jurisdiction in which it is unlawful for such person to make such an offer, solicitation or sale.

This Official Statement is not to be construed as a contract with the purchasers of the Note. Statements contained in this Official Statement which involve estimates, projections or matters of opinion, whether or not expressly so described herein, are intended solely as such and are not to be construed as representations of facts. See "INTRODUCTION—Forward-Looking Statements."

The information and expressions of opinion herein are subject to change without notice, and neither the delivery of this Official Statement nor any sale made hereunder shall under any circumstances create any implication that there has been no change in the affairs of the County since the date hereof. This Official Statement is submitted in connection with the sale of the Note referred to herein and may not be reproduced or used, in whole or in part, for any other purpose.

This Official Statement and the information contained herein is in a form deemed final by the County for purposes of Rule 15c2-12 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (except for omission of certain information permitted to be omitted under Rule 15c2-12(b)(1)). However, the information herein is subject to revision, completion or amendment in a final Official Statement.

The Underwriter has provided the following sentence for inclusion in this Official Statement. The Underwriter has reviewed the information in this Official Statement in accordance with, and as part of, its responsibility to investors under the federal securities laws as applied to the facts and circumstances of this transaction, but the Underwriter does not guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such information.

IN CONNECTION WITH THIS OFFERING THE UNDERWRITER MAY OVER-ALLOT OR EFFECT TRANSACTIONS WHICH STABILIZE OR MAINTAIN THE MARKET PRICE OF THE NOTE OFFERED HEREIN AT A LEVEL ABOVE THAT WHICH MIGHT OTHERWISE PREVAIL IN THE OPEN MARKET. SUCH STABILIZING, IF COMMENCED, MAY BE DISCONTINUED AT ANY TIME. THE UNDERWRITER MAY OFFER AND SELL THE NOTE TO CERTAIN DEALERS, INSTITUTIONAL INVESTORS AND OTHERS AT PRICES LOWER THAN THE PUBLIC OFFERING PRICE STATED ON THE COVER PAGE HEREOF AND SAID PUBLIC OFFERING PRICE MAY BE CHANGED FROM TIME TO TIME BY THE UNDERWRITER.

IN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION INVESTORS MUST RELY ON THEIR OWN EXAMINATION OF THE COUNTY AND THE TERMS OF THE OFFERING, INCLUDING THE MERITS AND RISKS INVOLVED. THE NOTE HAS NOT BEEN REGISTERED UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933, AS AMENDED, IN RELIANCE UPON EXCEPTIONS CONTAINED IN SUCH ACT. NEITHER THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION NOR ANY OTHER FEDERAL, STATE OR OTHER GOVERNMENTAL ENTITY, NOR ANY AGENCY OR DEPARTMENT THEREOF, HAS PASSED UPON THE MERITS OF THE NOTE OR THE ACCURACY OR COMPLETENESS OF THIS OFFICIAL STATEMENT. THE NOTE HAS NOT BEEN RECOMMENDED BY ANY FEDERAL OR STATE SECURITIES COMMISSION OR REGULATORY AUTHORITY. ANY REPRESENTATION TO THE CONTRARY MAY BE A CRIMINAL OFFENSE.

THE COUNTY HAS ENTERED INTO AN UNDERTAKING FOR THE BENEFIT OF THE HOLDERS OF THE NOTE TO PROVIDE CERTAIN QUARTERLY FINANCIAL INFORMATION AND OPERATING DATA TO CERTAIN INFORMATION REPOSITORIES AND TO PROVIDE NOTICE TO THE MUNICIPAL SECURITIES RULEMAKING BOARD AND TO CERTAIN INFORMATION REPOSITORIES OF CERTAIN EVENTS, PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF SECTION (b)(5)(i) OF RULE 15c2-12 OF THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

County Executive Office
4th Floor
4080 Lemon Street
Riverside, California 92501

Board of Supervisors

John Tavaglione, Second District, Chairman
John Benoit, Fourth District, Vice Chairman
Bob Buster, First District
Marion Ashley, Fifth District
Jeff Stone, Third District

County Officials

Jay Orr, County Executive Officer
Don Kent, Treasurer-Tax Collector
Paul Angulo, Auditor-Controller
Larry Ward, Assessor-County Clerk-Recorder
Pamela J. Walls, County Counsel
Ed Corser, Finance Director

SPECIAL SERVICES

Bond Counsel

Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP
Los Angeles, California

Disclosure Counsel

Kutak Rock LLP
Los Angeles, California

Financial Advisor

Fieldman Rolapp & Associates
Irvine, California

Paying Agent

The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company, N.A.
Los Angeles, California

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION.....	1
Forward-Looking Statements	1
Potential Impact of State Financial Condition on the County	2
THE NOTE	2
Authority for Issuance	2
Purpose of Issue.....	2
Description of the Note.....	2
Security for the Note.....	2
Available Sources of Repayment.....	3
Estimated and Projected General Fund Cash Flows.....	4
Additional Note Obligations.....	13
Sources and Uses of Funds	13
Book-Entry-Only System	13
THE COUNTY	14
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY LIMITATIONS ON TAXES AND APPROPRIATIONS.....	14
STATE OF CALIFORNIA BUDGET INFORMATION.....	20
TAX MATTERS.....	24
LITIGATION.....	26
LEGALITY FOR INVESTMENT	26
UNDERWRITING	26
CONTINUING DISCLOSURE.....	27
RATINGS	27
CERTAIN LEGAL MATTERS	27
FINANCIAL ADVISOR	27
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.....	27
MISCELLANEOUS	29
APPENDIX A-INFORMATION REGARDING THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE	
APPENDIX B-THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2010	
APPENDIX C - PROPOSED FORM OF OPINION OF BOND COUNSEL	
APPENDIX D - PROPOSED FORM OF CONTINUING DISCLOSURE CERTIFICATE	
APPENDIX E - BOOK-ENTRY-ONLY SYSTEM	
APPENDIX F - FORM OF RESOLUTION	

OFFICIAL STATEMENT

\$ _____

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE 2012-2013 TAX AND REVENUE ANTICIPATION NOTE

INTRODUCTION

This introduction contains only a brief summary of certain of the terms of the Note being offered, and a brief description of this Official Statement. All statements contained in this introduction are qualified in their entirety by reference to the entire Official Statement. References to, and summaries of, provisions of the Constitution and laws of the State of California and any documents referred to herein do not purport to be complete and such references are qualified in their entirety by reference to the complete provisions thereof. All capitalized terms used in this Official Statement and not otherwise defined herein have the meanings set forth in the Resolution. See APPENDIX F—“FORM OF RESOLUTION” attached hereto.

This Official Statement, including the Appendices hereto, has been prepared under the direction of the County of Riverside (the “County”), in order to furnish information with respect to its sale of certain tax and revenue anticipation note designated, “County of Riverside, 2012-2013 Tax and Revenue Anticipation Note, Series A” and “County of Riverside, 2012-2013 Tax and Revenue Anticipation Note, Series B” (collectively, the “Note”) in the aggregate principal amount of \$ _____*. The Note was authorized pursuant to the resolution of the County adopted on [____], 2012 (the “Resolution”), and will be issued in full conformity with the constitution and laws of the State of California (the “State”), including Article 7.6, Chapter 4, Part 1, Division 2, Title 5 (commencing with Section 53850) of the California Government Code (the “Act”). The Note is a general obligation of the County payable solely from taxes, income, revenue, cash receipts and other moneys of the County attributable to its fiscal year commencing on July 1, 2012 (the “2012-13 Fiscal Year” or “Fiscal Year 2012-13”) and legally available for payment thereof. Proceeds from the sale of the Note will be used for current General Fund expenditures, including current expenses and capital expenditures and to prepay the County’s current year pension contributions. The California Government Code (the “Government Code”) provides that the County may issue the Note only if the principal of and interest on the Note will not exceed 85% of the estimated moneys legally available for the payment of the Note and the interest thereon.

The Note and interest thereon are secured by a pledge of certain percentages of the County’s unrestricted revenues received in the months and in the amounts described under the caption “THE NOTE—Security for the Note” below.

If the full amount of the revenues pledged in a particular month to the repayment of the Note has not been received by the County, then the amount of any deficiency shall be satisfied and made up from additional moneys of the County received and lawfully available for payment of the Note and interest thereon.

Forward-Looking Statements

This Official Statement contains statements relating to future results that are “forward-looking statements” as defined in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. When used in this Official Statement, the words “estimate,” “forecast,” “projection,” “intend,” “expect” and similar expressions identify forward-looking statements. Any forward-looking statement is subject to uncertainty and risks that could cause actual results to differ, possibly materially, from those contemplated in such

*Preliminary, subject to change.

forward-looking statements. Inevitably, some assumptions used to develop forward-looking statements will not be realized or unanticipated events and circumstances may occur. Therefore, investors should be aware that there are likely to be differences between forward-looking statements and actual results; those differences could be material.

Potential Impact of State Financial Condition on the County

The State is experiencing significant financial and budgetary stress. The State's financial condition and budget policies affect communities and local public agencies throughout California, including the County. The State's Fiscal Year 2010-11 and Fiscal Year 2011-12 budgets contained a number of measures which adversely impacted the County's finances. Due to the State's continuing financial and budgetary stress, it is anticipated that the State's Fiscal Year 2012-13 budget will similarly contain a number of measures which will adversely impact the County's finances. There can be no assurances that, as a result of the current State financial stress, the State will not significantly reduce revenues to local governments (including the County) or shift financial responsibility for programs to local governments as part of its efforts to address the State financial difficulties. In addition, there can be no assurances that State actions in response to the State's financial difficulties will not adversely affect the financial condition of the County. See APPENDIX A—INFORMATION REGARDING THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE—Impacts of State Budget.”

THE NOTE

Authority for Issuance

The Note is issued under the authority of the cited provisions of the Government Code and pursuant to the Resolution (see “INTRODUCTION” above).

Purpose of Issue

Issuance of the Note will provide moneys to meet the County's 2012-13 Fiscal Year General Fund expenditures, including current expenses, capital expenditures and prepayment of pension plan contributions, and the discharge of other obligations or indebtedness of the County.

Description of the Note

The Note will be issued in the aggregate principal amount of \$_____* and will be issued in denominations of \$5,000 or integral multiples thereof. The Note shall bear interest at the rate and will mature on the dates set forth on the inside cover page hereof. Each series of the Note is payable at maturity and interest thereon will be computed on a 30-day month/360-day year basis. The Note is to be delivered as a fully registered Note, without coupons and, when delivered, will be registered in the name of Cede & Co., as nominee of The Depository Trust Company, New York, New York (“DTC”). DTC will act as securities depository of the Note. Purchases will be made in book-entry form only, in the principal amount of \$5,000 or any integral multiple thereof.

Security for the Note

The Note and the interest thereon are payable from taxes, income, revenue, cash receipts and other moneys of the County attributable to the 2012-13 Fiscal Year and legally available for payment thereof, and are secured by a pledge of certain of said moneys, excluding amounts heretofore pledged by the County to the payment of its Teeter Plan obligations issued pursuant to Resolution No. 97-203, as

*Preliminary, subject to change.

such resolution may be amended and supplemented from time to time (the "Pledged Revenues"). As security for the payment of the Note including the interest thereon, the County has covenanted pursuant to the Resolution to set aside: [(a) an amount equal to ___% of the principal amount of and interest on the Note at maturity from unrestricted revenues received by the County in the month of ____ 2013; (b) an amount equal to ___% of the principal amount of and interest on the Note at maturity from unrestricted revenues received by the County in the month of ____ 2013; and (c) an amount equal to ___% of the principal amount of and interest on the Note at maturity, from unrestricted revenues received by the County in the month of ____ 2013.] Certain deposits may take into consideration anticipated investment earnings on amounts deposited in Permitted Investments through the Maturity Date. See APPENDIX F—"FORM OF RESOLUTION" attached hereto.

The Pledged Revenues shall be deposited by the County and held by the Paying Agent, in a special account (the "Payment Account") and applied as directed under the Resolution. Any money deposited by the Fiscal Agent in the Payment Account shall be for the benefit of the holders of the Note and, until the Note and all interest thereon are paid or until provision has been made for the payment of the principal of and interest on the Note at maturity, the moneys in the Payment Account shall be applied solely for the purpose of paying the principal of and interest on each series of the Note at its respective maturity, although such amounts shall be invested by the County in Permitted Investments. In the event investment losses cause amounts on deposit in the Payment Account to be insufficient to pay principal and interest on the Note at maturity, the County is required to use any available Unrestricted Revenues (as defined below) from Fiscal Year 2011-12 for the payment of principal and interest on the Note, but there is no guarantee that the County will have sufficient Unrestricted Revenues to pay the principal of and interest on the Note as the same becomes due. Moneys in the Payment Account may not be withdrawn to pay operating expenses.

The Resolution requires that the Pledged Revenues be deposited and held in the Payment Account until maturity, at which time the moneys in such fund will be used to repay the Note. If during the foregoing period there are insufficient sources of Pledged Revenues to permit deposit of the full amount of Pledged Revenues, then the amount of any deficiency shall be satisfied from any Unrestricted Revenues lawfully available for the repayment of the Note. The County is not authorized to levy or collect any taxes for the repayment of the Note.

Available Sources of Repayment

The Note, in accordance with the Act, is a general obligation of the County but is payable only out of Unrestricted Revenues, which include the taxes, income, revenue, cash receipts and other moneys of the County which are accrued, received or held by the County for the General Fund of the County and are provided for or attributable to the 2012-13 Fiscal Year and which are generally available for the payment of current expenses and other obligations of the County ("Unrestricted Revenues"). The Constitution of the State substantially limits the County's ability to levy ad valorem taxes (see "CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY LIMITATIONS ON TAXES AND APPROPRIATIONS" herein). The County may, under the Act, issue the Note only if the principal of, and interest on, the Note plus interest thereof will not exceed 85% of the estimated amounts of the County's uncollected taxes, income, revenue (including, but not limited to, revenue from the state and federal governments), cash receipts, and other moneys to be received or accrued by the County for the general fund of the County and provided for or attributable to the Repayment Fiscal Year, all of which will be legally available to pay principal of and interest on the Note. The County has reserved the right to issue additional notes during Fiscal Year 2012-13 having a parity or subordinate lien on the Pledged Revenues, so long as the principal of and interest on the Note and such additional notes will not exceed 85% of the estimated moneys legally available for the payment of the Note and the interest thereon. See "Additional Note Obligations" below and APPENDIX F—"FORM OF RESOLUTION" attached hereto. Further detail as to the estimated Unrestricted Revenues available for repayment can be found in Table I, "County of Riverside Fiscal Year

2010-11 Estimated Unrestricted Revenues Available for Note Repayment (in Thousands)”, Table II “County of Riverside Fiscal Year 2010-11 Actual/Projected General Fund Cash Flow (in Thousands),” Table III, “County of Riverside Fiscal Year 2012-13 Projected General Fund Cash Flow (in Thousands),” and Table VII “County of Riverside Alternative and Other Restricted Cash Resources, Actual Projected (in Thousands).”

The table below sets forth the source and amount of estimated Unrestricted Revenues available for repayment of the Note.

TABLE I
County of Riverside
Fiscal Year 2012-13 Projected Unrestricted Revenues
Available for Note Repayment
(in Thousands)

<u>Revenue Source</u>	<u>Fiscal Year 2012-13 Amount</u>
Property Taxes	
Sales Taxes	
Other Taxes	
Licenses and Permits	
Fines, Forfeitures and Penalties	
Use of Money and Property	
State Aid	
Federal Aid	
Charges for Current Services	
Miscellaneous Revenue	
Other Financing Sources	
Repayment of Advances to Other Funds	
Reimbursement from Depts	
Intrafund Transfers	
Total	

Estimated and Projected General Fund Cash Flows

Set forth below in Table II is a detailed summary of the County’s actual Fiscal Year 2010-11 General Fund cash flows. Set forth below in Table III is a detailed summary of the County’s actual and projected Fiscal Year 2011-12 General Fund cash flows. Set forth below in Table IV is a detailed summary of the County’s projected Fiscal Year 2012-13 General Fund cash flow projections. Table V provides a comparison between the Fiscal Year 2011-12 original projections of General Fund cash flows and the Fiscal Year 2011-12 actual/projected General Fund cash flows. Table VI provides a comparison between the Fiscal Year 2011-12 actual/projected General Fund cash flows and the Fiscal Year 2012-13 projected General Fund cash flows.

The projected Fiscal Year 2012-13 cash flows, as prepared by the County Auditor’s Office, reflect the best currently available estimates and judgments of the County Auditor’s Office as to the County’s revenues and expenditures and the expected financial condition of the County for Fiscal Year 2012-13. The presented projected cash flows assume that all of the County’s cash flow requirements are

externally funded through the issuance of the Note, but, based on market conditions, the County may determine to borrow internally for a portion of its cash flow needs.

Neither the County's independent auditors, nor any other independent accountants, have compiled, examined or performed any procedures with respect to the projected Fiscal Year 2012-13 cash flows contained herein, nor have they expressed any opinion or any other form of assurance on such information or its achievability, and such parties assume no responsibility for, and disclaim any association with, the projected Fiscal Year 2012-13 cash flows.

The assumptions and estimates underlying the projected cash flows are uncertain and, though considered reasonable by the management of the County as of the date hereof, are subject to a wide variety of significant business, economic and political risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in the projected cash flows. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that the projected results are indicative of the future performance of the County or that actual results will not be materially higher or lower than those contained in the projected cash flows. Inclusion of the projected cash flows in this Official Statement should not be regarded as a representation by any person that the results contained in the projected cash flows will be achieved.

[Remainder of Page Intentionally Left Blank]

TABLE II
County of Riverside Fiscal Year 2010-11
Actual General Fund Cash Flow (in Thousands)

TABLE III
County of Riverside Fiscal Year 2011-12
Actual/Estimated General Fund Cash Flow (in Thousands)

TABLE IV
County of Riverside Fiscal Year 2012-13
Projected General Fund Cash Flow (in Thousands)

TABLE V
County of Riverside Fiscal Year 2011-12
Comparison between Original Projected General Fund Cash Flows
and Actual/Projected General Fund Cash Flows (in Thousands)

TABLE VI
County of Riverside
Comparison between Fiscal Year 2011-12 Actual/Projected General Fund Cash Flows
and Fiscal Year 2012-13 Projected General Fund Cash Flows (in Thousands)

California Government Code Section 25252 authorizes the Board of Supervisors of the County to establish and abolish funds necessary for the proper transaction of the business of the County and further provides that the Board of Supervisors may authorize the County Auditor to perform this function. In addition, California Government Code Section 25252 authorizes the Board of Supervisors to make transfers from one fund to another as the public interest requires and further provides that the Board of Supervisors may by resolution authorize the County Auditor to make such transfers of money from one fund to another if the Board of Supervisors has authority over each such fund, as the public interest requires. Pursuant to Resolution 2010-205, adopted by the Board of Supervisors on August 10, 2010, the Board of Supervisors has authorized the County Auditor to make temporary transfers of money between those funds under the authority of the Board of Supervisors as the public interest may require.

Set forth in Table VII below are the actual and estimated alternative cash resources available to the County from the specified funds as of the dates set forth in such table. Pursuant to the authority granted in Resolution 2010-205, the County Auditor is authorized to transfer such moneys from one fund to another fund as the public interest may require, including transfers to the General Fund for the payment of the Note. There is no prescribed time period for the repayment of temporary transfers from one fund to another. The County Auditor has the authority to determine the timing of such repayments based on the needs of the respective funds.

The assumptions and estimates underlying the estimated alternative cash resources are uncertain and, though considered reasonable by the management of the County as of the date hereof, are subject to a wide variety of significant business, economic and political risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in the estimated alternative cash resources. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that the estimated results are indicative of the future performance of the County or that actual results will not be materially higher or lower than those contained in the estimated alternative cash resources. Inclusion of the estimated alternative cash resources in this Official Statement should not be regarded as a representation by any person that the results contained in the estimated alternative cash resources will be achieved.

TABLE VII
County of Riverside Alternative and Other Restricted Cash Resources
Actual/Projected (in Thousands)

Fund Type	Fund Purpose	Actual Balance 06/30/11	Projected Balance 06/30/12
-----------	--------------	-------------------------------	----------------------------------

TOTAL

The County projects that alternative and other restricted cash resources will total approximately \$[] billion as of March 31, 2013 and approximately \$1.55 billion as of June 29, 2012, the final maturity dates of the Note. The Board has pre-authorized draws on alternative cash resources, if necessary to pay debt service of the Note, without the requirement of further Board action.

Additional Note Obligations

Under the Resolution, the County has reserved the right to issue additional notes during Fiscal Year 2012-13 having a lien on the Pledged Revenues that is on parity or subordinate to the lien on the Pledged Revenues securing the Note, so long as the principal of and interest on the Note and such additional notes will not exceed 85% of the estimated moneys legally available for the payment of the Note and the interest thereon. A parity obligation (“Parity Note”) may be issued provided that (i) the issuance of any such Parity Note shall not in and of itself reduce or impair the rating on the Note, (ii) the maturity date of any such Parity Note shall be later than the outstanding Note, and (iii) the Note and Parity Note shall have the same paying agent. In the event that the County issues a Parity Note, the County shall make appropriate deposits into the Payment Account with respect to such Parity Note, and in such event, the Payment Account shall also be held for the benefit of the holders of the Parity Note. The County may incur indebtedness secured by a pledge of its Pledged Revenues subordinate to the pledge of Pledged Revenues with respect to the Note and may issue subordinate tax and revenue anticipation notes. See APPENDIX F— “FORM OF RESOLUTION” attached hereto. The County currently expects that, other than the Note, it will not issue any bonds, notes or warrants pursuant to the Act with respect to the 2012-13 Fiscal Year.

Sources and Uses of Funds

The following table presents the estimated sources and uses of funds in connection with the issuance of the Note.

Sources
Principal Amount of Note
Original Issue Premium
Total Sources
Uses
Deposit to General Fund
Costs of Issuance ¹
Total Uses

¹Includes legal fees, underwriters’ discount, printing expenses and other costs of issuance.

Book-Entry-Only System

Introduction Unless otherwise noted, the information contained under the subcaption “— General” below has been provided by DTC. The County makes no representations as to the accuracy or completeness of such information. The beneficial owners of the Note should confirm the following information with DTC, the Direct Participants or the Indirect Participants.

NEITHER THE COUNTY NOR THE PAYING AGENT WILL HAVE ANY RESPONSIBILITY OR OBLIGATION TO DIRECT PARTICIPANTS, TO INDIRECT PARTICIPANTS OR TO ANY BENEFICIAL OWNER WITH RESPECT TO (A) THE ACCURACY OF ANY RECORDS MAINTAINED BY DTC, ANY DIRECT PARTICIPANT OR ANY INDIRECT PARTICIPANT; (B) ANY NOTICE THAT IS PERMITTED OR REQUIRED TO BE GIVEN TO THE OWNERS OF THE NOTE, (C) THE PAYMENT BY DTC OR ANY DIRECT PARTICIPANT OR INDIRECT PARTICIPANT OF ANY AMOUNT WITH RESPECT TO THE OWNER OF THE NOTE; (D) ANY CONSENT GIVEN OR OTHER ACTION TAKEN BY DTC AS THE OWNER OF THE NOTE; OR (E) ANY OTHER MATTER REGARDING DTC.

DTC will act as securities depository for the Note. The Note will be issued as fully-registered securities registered in the name of Cede & Co. (DTC's partnership nominee) or such other name as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. One fully registered Note certificate will be issued for each issue of the Note each in the aggregate principal amount of such issue, and will be deposited with DTC. Individual purchases of participation in the Note will be made in book-entry form only. Purchasers of the Note will not receive certificates representing their ownership interest in the Note purchased. Principal and interest payments represented by the Note are payable directly to DTC by the Paying Agent. Upon receipt of payments of principal and interest, DTC will in turn distribute such payments to its participants who are responsible for distributing such payments to the beneficial owners of the Note. See APPENDIX E—"BOOK-ENTRY ONLY SYSTEM" attached hereto.

THE COUNTY

The County was organized in 1893 from territory in San Bernardino and San Diego Counties and encompasses 7,177 square miles. The County is bordered on the north by San Bernardino County, on the east by the State of Arizona, on the south by San Diego and Imperial Counties and on the west by Orange and San Bernardino Counties. The County is the fourth largest county (by area) in the state and stretches 185 miles from the Arizona border to within 20 miles of the Pacific Ocean. There are 28 incorporated cities in the County. According to the State Department of Finance, Demographic Research Unit, the County's population was estimated at 2,227,577 as of January 1, 2012, reflecting a 1% increase over the prior year.

The County is a general law county divided into five supervisorial districts on the basis of registered voters and population. The County is governed by a five-member Board of Supervisors (the "Board"), elected by district, and serve staggered four-year terms. The Chair of the Board is elected by the Board members. The County administration includes appointed and elected officials, boards, commissions and committees which assist the Board of Supervisors.

The County provides a wide range of services to residents, including police and fire protection, medical and health services, education, library services and public assistance programs. Some municipal services are provided by the County on a contract basis to incorporated cities within its boundaries. These services are designed to allow cities to contract for municipal services such as police and fire protection without incurring the cost of creating County departments and facilities. Services are provided to the cities at cost by the County.

Three distinct geographical areas characterize the County: the western valley area, the higher elevations of the mountains and the desert areas. The western portion of the County, which includes the San Jacinto Mountains and the Cleveland National Forest, experiences the mild climate typical of Southern California. The eastern desert areas experience warmer and dryer weather conditions.

See APPENDIX A—"INFORMATION REGARDING THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE" for a more detailed description of the County.

CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY LIMITATIONS ON TAXES AND APPROPRIATIONS

Article XIII A of the State Constitution

In 1978, California voters approved Proposition 13, adding Article XIII A to the California Constitution. Article XIII A was subsequently amended in 1986, as discussed below. Article XIII A limits the amount of any ad valorem tax on real property to 1% of the full cash value thereof, except that

additional ad valorem taxes may be levied to pay debt service on indebtedness approved by the voters prior to July 1, 1978 and on bonded indebtedness for the acquisition or improvement of real property which has been approved on or after July 1, 1978 by two-thirds of the voters voting on such indebtedness. Article XIII A defines full cash value to mean “the county assessor’s valuation of real property as shown on the Fiscal Year 1975-76 tax bill under ‘full cash’ or thereafter, the appraised value of real property when purchased, newly constructed, or a change in ownership have occurred after the 1975 assessment.” This full cash value may be increased at a rate not to exceed 2% per year to account for inflation.

Article XIII A has subsequently been amended to permit reduction of the “full cash value” base in the event of declining property values caused by damage, destruction or other factors, and to provide that there would be no increase in the “full cash value” base in the event of reconstruction of property damaged or destroyed in a disaster.

Legislation has been enacted and amended a number of times since 1978 to implement Article XIII A. Under current law, local agencies are no longer permitted to levy directly any property tax (except to pay voter-approved indebtedness). The 1% property tax is automatically levied by the county and distributed according to a formula among taxing agencies. The formula apportions the tax roughly in proportion to the relative shares of taxes levied prior to 1979.

Increases of assessed valuation resulting from reappraisals of property due to new construction, change in ownership or from the 2% annual adjustment are allocated among the various jurisdictions in the “taxing area” based upon their respective “situs.” Any such allocation made to the County continues as part of its allocation in future years.

Article XIII B of the State Constitution

On November 6, 1979, California voters approved Proposition 4, known as the Gann Initiative, which added Article XIII B to the California Constitution. Propositions 98 and 111, approved by the California voters in 1988 and 1990, respectively, substantially modified Article XIII B. The principal effect of Article XIII B is to limit the annual appropriations of the State and any city, county, school district, authority, or other political subdivision of the State to the level of appropriations for the prior fiscal year, as adjusted for changes in the cost of living and population. The initial version of Article XIII B provided that the “base year” for establishing an appropriations limit was the 1978-79 Fiscal Year, which was then adjusted annually to reflect changes in population, consumer prices and certain increases in the cost of services provided by these public agencies. Proposition 111 revised the method for making annual adjustments to the appropriations limit by redefining changes in the cost of living and in population. It also required that beginning in Fiscal Year 1990-91, each appropriations limit must be recalculated using the actual 1986-87 appropriations limit and making the applicable annual adjustments as if the provisions of Proposition 111 had been in effect.

Appropriations subject to limitation of a local government under Article XIII B include generally any authorization to expend during a fiscal year the proceeds of taxes levied by or for that entity and the proceeds of certain State subventions to that entity, exclusive of refunds of taxes. Proceeds of taxes include, but are not limited to, all tax revenues plus the proceeds to an entity of government from (1) regulatory licenses, user charges and user fees (but only to the extent such proceeds exceed the cost of providing the service or regulation), (2) the investment of tax revenues, and (3) certain subventions received from the State. Article XIII B permits any government entity to change the appropriations limit by a vote of the electors in conformity with statutory and constitutional voting effective for a maximum of four years.

As amended by Proposition 111, Article XIII B provides for testing of appropriations limits over consecutive two-year periods. If an entity's revenues in any two-year period exceed the amounts permitted to be spent over such period, the excess has to be returned by revising tax rates or fee schedules over the subsequent two years. As amended by Proposition 98, Article XIII B provides for the payment of a portion of any excess revenues to a fund established to assist in financing certain school needs.

The County's appropriations limit for the Fiscal Year 2010-11 was \$2,050,230,730 and the amount shown in its budget for that year as the appropriations subject to limitation was \$971,645,571. The County's appropriations limit for Fiscal Year 2011-12 is \$2,139,732,138 and the amount subject to the limitation is \$900,975,704.

Right To Vote on Taxes Initiative-Proposition 218

On November 5, 1996, the voters of the State approved Proposition 218, known as the "Right to Vote on Taxes Act." Proposition 218 adds Articles XIII C and XIII D to the California Constitution and contains a number of interrelated provisions affecting the ability of local agencies to levy and collect both existing and future taxes, assessments, fees and charges. The interpretation and application of Proposition 218 will ultimately be determined by the courts with respect to a number of the matters discussed below, and it is not possible at this time to predict with certainty the outcome of such determination. Proposition 218 (Article XIII C) requires that all new local taxes be submitted to the electorate before they become effective. Taxes for general governmental purposes of the County require a majority vote and taxes for specific purposes, even if deposited in the County's General Fund, require a two-thirds vote. Further, any general purpose tax which a county imposed, extended or increased without voter approval after December 31, 1994 may continue to be imposed only if approved by a majority vote in an election which must be held within two years of November 5, 1996.

Proposition 218 (Article XIII D) also adds several provisions making it generally more difficult for local agencies to levy and maintain fees, charges, and assessments for municipal services and programs. These provisions include, among other things, (i) a prohibition against assessments which exceed the reasonable cost of the proportional special benefit conferred on a parcel, (ii) a requirement that assessments must confer a "special benefit," as defined in Article XIII D, over and above any general benefits conferred, (iii) a majority protest procedure for assessments which involves the mailing of notice and a ballot to the record owner of each affected parcel, a public hearing and the tabulation of ballots weighted according to the proportional financial obligation of the affected party, and (iv) a prohibition against fees and charges which are used for general governmental services, including police, fire or library services where the service is available to the public at large in substantially the same manner as it is to property owners.

Proposition 218 (Article XIII C) also removes limitations on the initiative power in matters of reducing or repealing local taxes, assessments, fees or charges. No assurance can be given that the voters of any county will not, in the future, approve an initiative or initiatives which reduce or repeal local taxes, assessments, fees or charges currently comprising a substantial part of the County's General Fund. No such initiative is currently pending, or to the knowledge of the County, proposed.

The County is unaware of any assessments imposed by the County which, if challenged, would adversely affect County finances. Implementing legislation respecting Proposition 218 may be introduced in the State legislature from time-to-time that would supplement and add provisions to California statutory law. No assurance may be given as to the terms of such legislation or its potential impact on the County.

Proposition 62

Proposition 62, a statutory initiative that was adopted by the voters voting in the State at the November 4, 1986 general election, (a) requires that any new or higher taxes for general governmental purposes imposed by local governmental entities be approved by a majority vote of the voters of the governmental entity voting in an election on the tax, (b) requires that any special tax (defined as taxes levied for other than general governmental purposes) imposed by a local governmental entity be approved by a two-thirds vote of the voters of the governmental entity voting in an election on the tax, (c) restricts the use of revenues from a special tax to the purposes or for the service for which the special tax was imposed, (d) prohibits the imposition of ad valorem taxes on real property by local governmental entities except as permitted by Article XIII A of the California Constitution, (e) prohibits the imposition of transaction taxes and sales taxes on the sale of real property by local governmental entities, (f) required that any tax imposed by a local governmental entity on or after August 1, 1985 be ratified by a majority vote of the voters voting in an election on the tax within two years of November 5, 1986 or be terminated by November 15, 1988 (a requirement that was subsequently declared unconstitutional, as described below) and (g) requires a reduction of ad valorem property taxes allocable to the jurisdiction imposing a tax not in compliance with its provisions equal to one dollar for each dollar of revenue attributable to the invalid tax, for each year that the tax is collected.

Following its adoption by the voters, various provisions of Proposition 62 were declared unconstitutional at the appellate court level. For example, in *County of Woodlake v. Logan*, 230 Cal.App.3d 1058 (1991) (the “Woodlake Case”), the Court of Appeal held portions of Proposition 62 unconstitutional as a referendum on taxes prohibited by the California Constitution. In reliance on the Woodlake Case, numerous taxes were imposed or increased after the adoption of Proposition 62 without satisfying the voter approval requirements of Proposition 62. On September 28, 1995, the California Supreme Court, in *Santa Clara County Local Transportation Authority v. Guardino*, 11 Cal. 4th 220 (1995) (the “Santa Clara Case”), upheld the constitutionality of the portion of Proposition 62 requiring a two-thirds vote in order for a local government or district to impose a special tax, and, by implication, upheld a parallel provision requiring a majority vote in order for a local government or district to impose any general tax. In deciding the Santa Clara Case on Proposition 62 grounds, the Court disapproved the decision in the Woodlake Case.

The decision in the Santa Clara Case did not address the question of whether it should be applied retroactively. On June 4, 2001, the California Supreme Court released *Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association v. County of La Habra, et al.* (“La Habra”). In this decision, the court held that a public agency’s continued imposition and collection of a tax is an ongoing violation, upon which the statute of limitations period begins anew with each collection. The court also held that, unless another statute or constitutional rule provided differently, the statute of limitations for challenges to taxes subject to Proposition 62 is three years. Accordingly, a challenge to a tax subject to Proposition 62 may only be made for those taxes received within three years of the date the action is brought. No such challenge against the County is currently pending, or to the knowledge of the County, proposed.

Proposition 1A

Proposition 1A, proposed by the Legislature in connection with the 2004-05 Budget Act, approved by the voters in November 2004 and generally effective in 2007-08 Fiscal Year, provides that the State may not reduce any local sales tax rate, limit existing local government authority to levy a sales tax rate or change the allocation of local sales tax revenues, subject to certain exceptions. Proposition 1A generally prohibits the State from shifting to schools or community colleges any share of property tax revenues allocated to local governments for any fiscal year, as set forth under the laws in effect as of November 3, 2004. Any change in the allocation of property tax revenues among local governments

within a county must be approved by two-thirds of both houses of the Legislature. Proposition 1A provides, however, that beginning in Fiscal Year 2008-09, the State may shift to schools and community colleges up to 8% of local government property tax revenues, which amount must be repaid, with interest, within three years, if the Governor proclaims that the shift is needed due to a severe state financial hardship, the shift is approved by two-thirds of both houses and certain other conditions are met. Such shifting occurred in the 2009-10 Fiscal Year. See APPENDIX A: "INFORMATION REGARDING THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE—Finance Information—Impacts of State Budget." The State may also approve voluntary exchanges of local sales tax and property tax revenues among local governments within a county. Proposition 1A also provides that if the State reduces the VLF rate then in effect, 0.65 percent of vehicle value, the State must provide local governments with equal replacement revenues. Further, Proposition 1A requires the State, beginning July 1, 2005, to suspend State mandates affecting cities, counties and special districts, excepting mandates relating to employee rights, schools or community colleges, in any year that the State does not fully reimburse local governments for their costs to comply with such mandates.

Proposition 1A may result in increased and more stable County revenues. The magnitude of such increase and stability is unknown and would depend on future actions by the State. However, Proposition 1A could also result in decreased resources being available for State programs. This reduction, in turn, could affect actions taken by the State to resolve budget difficulties. Such actions could include increasing State taxes, decreasing spending on other State programs or other action, some of which could be adverse to the finances of the County.

Proposition 25

According to the State Constitution, the Governor must propose a budget to the State Legislature no later than January 10 of each year, and a final budget must be adopted no later than June 15. Historically, the budget required a two-thirds vote of each house of the Legislature for passage. However, on November 2, 2010, the voters approved Proposition 25, which amends the State Constitution to lower the vote requirement necessary for each house of the Legislature to pass a budget bill and send it to the Governor. Specifically, the vote requirement was lowered from two-thirds to a simple majority (50% plus one) of each house of the Legislature. The lower vote requirement also would apply to trailer bills that appropriate funds and are identified by the Legislature "as related to the budget in the budget bill." The budget becomes law upon the signature of the Governor, who may veto specific items of expenditure. Under Proposition 25, a two-thirds vote of the Legislature is still required to override any veto by the Governor.

Proposition 26

On November 2, 2010, the voters passed Proposition 26, which amends the State Constitution to require that certain state and local fees be approved by two-thirds of each house of the Legislature instead of a simple majority, or by local voters. The change in law affects regulatory fees and charges such as oil recycling fees, hazardous materials fees and fees on alcohol containers.

Proposition 26 included a provision that repealed State laws enacted between January 1, 2010, and November 2, 2010, that raised fees by a simple majority vote unless they were approved again by two-thirds of each house of the Legislature. The repeal became effective November, 2011.

The Legislative Analyst's Office was unable to specify Proposition 26's anticipated fiscal impact, but it estimated that passage of Proposition 26 would reduce government revenues and spending over time by up to billions of dollars annually compared to what otherwise would have occurred.

Assessment Appeals and Assessor Reductions

Pursuant to California law, a property owner may apply for a reduction of the property tax assessment for such owner's property by filing a written application, in the form prescribed by the State Board of Equalization, with the appropriate county assessment appeals board (a "Proposition 8" appeal). Any reduction in the assessment ultimately granted applies only to the year for which application is made and during which written application is filed. The assessed value increases to its pre-reduction level for fiscal years following the year for which the reduction application is filed. However, if the taxpayer establishes through proof of comparable values that the property continues to be overvalued (known as "ongoing hardship"), a county assessor has the power to grant a reduction not only for the year for which application was originally made, but also for the then current year as well. In a similar manner, a county assessor may reassert the pre-appeal level of assessed value depending on the county assessor's determination of current value.

In addition to reductions in assessed value resulting from Proposition 8 appeals, California law also allows assessors to reduce assessed value unilaterally based on a general decline in market value of an area. Although Proposition 8 reductions are temporary and are expected to be eliminated under Proposition 13 if and when market conditions improve, no assurance is given that such reductions will be eliminated. The County has been affected by a reduction in taxable property assessed values due to successful property owner appeals and unilateral reductions by the County Assessor, and may experience additional reductions in the future. In Fiscal Year 2011-12, the secured property tax roll declined by approximately 1.5% from the prior year. The County expects assessed valuation to decline by approximately 2.5% in Fiscal Year 2012-13, primarily as a result of declining commercial property values which were not subject to unilateral reductions by the County Assessor under Proposition 8. See APPENDIX A: "INFORMATION REGARDING THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE."

Timing is an important consideration with respect to the property valuation process. Values are set for the current year with a valuation date as of the preceding January 1. Changes in market value subsequent to the January 1 valuation date are not reflected until the subsequent year. Therefore, there is an inherent lag in the process.

The County Assessor prepares the tax roll in each spring and summer. Owners are notified of changes in valuation by early fall and have the ability to file an appeal. The deadline for appeals in the County is November 30th. Current year appeals take a number of months to process and typically are not resolved by the end of the fiscal year.

Assessor-initialized reductions will represent the bulk of adjustments to the tax roll during a time of a market decline. For Fiscal Year 2011-12 over 414,000 properties on the County's tax rolls reflect a Proposition 8 reduction. Those adjustments are completed prior to the finalization of the tax roll in the summer.

Future Initiatives

Article XIII A, Article XIII B, Article XIII C, Article XIII D and Propositions 62, 1A, 25 and 26 were each adopted as measures that qualified for the ballot pursuant to the State's initiative process. From time-to-time, other initiative measures could be adopted, further affecting revenues of the County or the County's ability to expend revenues. The nature and impact of these measures cannot be anticipated by the County.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA BUDGET INFORMATION

The following information concerning the State's budgets has been obtained from publicly available information which the County believes to be reliable; however, the County does not guaranty the accuracy or completeness of this information and has not independently verified such information. Furthermore, it should not be inferred from the inclusion of this information in this Official Statement that the principal or interest due with respect to the 2012 Bonds is payable from any funds of the State.

The County relies significantly upon State and Federal payments for reimbursement of various costs including certain mandated programs. For Fiscal Year 2011-12, approximately 39% of the County's General Fund budget revenues consist of payments from the State and 21% consists of payments from the Federal government.

The State is experiencing significant financial and budgetary stress. State budgets are affected by national and state economic conditions and other factors over which the County has no control. The State's financial condition and budget policies affect communities and local public agencies throughout California. The severe economic downturn and slow recovery resulted in General Fund revenues in Fiscal Year 2010-11 (\$93.2 billion) that are 9.2% lower from their peak in Fiscal Year 2007-08 (\$102.6 billion). Since the beginning of 2010, the nation and California have been gradually recovering from what has been characterized as the worst recession since the Great Depression. Recent national economic output has grown as has personal income in both the State and the nation, and job growth has similarly. However, because of the magnitude of the economic displacement resulting from the recession, California continues to face significant financial challenges. To the extent the State is constrained by constitutional or statutory spending limits, or by other fiscal considerations, State assistance to local governments may be reduced. Recent State budgets have reflected the State's efforts to stabilize its fiscal position in response to the challenging and uncertain economic environment. In 2009, the California legislature enacted legislation allowing the State to delay scheduled payments to local governments in Fiscal Year 2010-11, until May 2011. In prior years, the State's cash management problems caused it to refrain from making some payments or issuing "IOUs" so that the State's "priority payments," such as debt service and payroll, could be made as scheduled. The State's budgetary decisions during the recent economic downturn have had, and will continue to have, a significant financial and programmatic impact on counties, cities and other local jurisdictions. For a discussion of the County's budget and finances, see APPENDIX A: "INFORMATION REGARDING THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE—Financial Information."

The following information concerning the State's budgets has been obtained from publicly available information which the County believes to be reliable; however, the County neither takes any responsibility for or guarantees the accuracy or completeness thereof. The County has not independently verified such information. Information about the State Budget is regularly available at various State-maintained websites. Text of the budget may be found at the Department of Finance website. An impartial analysis of the budget is posted by the Office of the Legislative Analyst at its website. In addition, various State official statements, many of which contain a summary of the current and past State budgets, may be found at the website of the State Treasurer. The information referred to is prepared by the respective State agency maintaining each website and not by the County or the Underwriters, and the County and the Underwriters take no responsibility for the continued accuracy of the internet addresses or for the accuracy or timeliness of information posted there, and such information is not incorporated herein by these references.

For a description of certain potential impacts of the State budget on the finances and operations of the County, see APPENDIX A: "INFORMATION REGARDING THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE – Financial Information – Impacts of State Budget" attached hereto.

State Budget for Fiscal Year 2011-12. On June 30, 2011, the State's budget for fiscal year 2011-12 (the "2011 Budget Act") was enacted. The 2011 Budget projects State General Fund revenues and transfers for fiscal year 2011-12 at \$88.5 billion, a reduction of \$6.3 billion compared with fiscal year 2010-11. General Fund expenditures for fiscal year 2011-12 were projected at \$85.9 billion – a reduction of \$5.5 billion compared to the prior year.

In approving the 2011 Budget Act, Governor Jerry Brown exercised his line-item veto power to reduce General Fund expenditures, mostly in the Judicial Branch, which included a reduction of \$22.9 million related to parole revocation workload. The 2011 Budget Act also includes special fund expenditures of \$34.2 billion and bond fund expenditures of \$9.4 billion. The estimated General Fund revenue reflects a combination of factors, including expiration of temporary taxes and surcharges (which totaled approximately \$7.1 billion in Fiscal Year 2010-11) and the transfer of about one percent of the State sales tax rate to local governments to fund the realignment described further below. See "Realigning Services to Local Governments" below. Offsetting these reductions were improved revenue estimates for the remaining state tax sources. Expenditures reflected increases needed to offset the termination of federal stimulus funding provided for under the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 ("ARRA") which supported about \$4.2 billion of State General Fund programs in fiscal year 2010-11.

The 2011 Budget Act closed a projected budget gap of \$26.6 billion over Fiscal Years 2010-11 and 2011-12, and projected a \$543 million reserve by June 30, 2012, for a total of \$27.2 billion in solutions (including a combination of expenditure reductions, additional revenues, and other solutions) and improved revenue results for the State's tax base.

The 2011 Budget Act includes, but is not limited to, the following major expenditure reductions and other significant solutions targeted towards solving the State General Fund budget gap:

- (a) Reduction in Medi-Cal health benefits & spending by \$2.0 billion;
- (b) Reduction in the State's support of the University of California and California State University by \$1.4 billion; and
- (c) Reduction in California Work Opportunity and Responsibility to Kids Program ("CalWORKs") grants by \$837 million.

Redevelopment Agencies. Legislation enacted as part of the 2011 Budget Act, upheld by the California Supreme Court, has resulted in the formal dissolution of redevelopment agencies effective February 1, 2012. The statute redirects the property tax increment that would have been received by the dissolved redevelopment agencies, after payment of redevelopment debt obligations and "pass through" payments to local agencies that they would have received under the prior law, be paid to local agencies and school and community college districts and special districts according to their base property tax allocations.

Realignment of Certain Services to Local Governments. As part of the 2011 Budget Act, the California Legislature enacted a major shift, or "realignment," of certain State program responsibilities and related revenues to local governments ("Realignment"). In total, Realignment provides \$6.3 billion to local governments (primarily counties) to fund various criminal justice, mental health, and social services programs in Fiscal Year 2011-12. Realignment funding is derived from three sources: 1) the dedication of 1.0625 cents of the existing sales tax rate (\$5.1 billion); 2) the redirection of \$763 million of the revenue generated by Proposition 63 (the "millionaire tax" which supports mental health programs statewide); and 3) the redirection of a portion of vehicle license fee revenues (\$463.0 million).

Realignment is best understood as comprising two distinct components: Health and Human Services and Public Safety. With respect to the former, the State has replaced the funding previously provided to counties as State reimbursement or direct payment with local appropriations equivalent to prior year funding levels. To date, the only significant programmatic change has resulted from the Health and Human Services component of Realignment related to the transfer of responsibility for funding education-related mental health services from counties to local school districts.

With respect to Public Safety, however, county governments have taken on a host of new responsibilities related to released inmates, newly convicted offenders, and parole violators. The County has received a \$22 million appropriation from the State to address the needs of the realigned criminal justice population. In the current fiscal year, the County anticipates that this funding will be sufficient to support its achievement of the complementary goals of increasing public safety and reducing recidivism.

Events Subsequent to Adoption of The 2011 Budget Act. The 2011 Budget Act recognized the potential risk to the State's fiscal condition if certain forecasted revenues did not materialize and included a "trigger mechanism" to provide automatic expenditure reductions if the projections of Fiscal Year 2011-12 revenues, as updated in November and December of 2011 by the State's Legislative Analyst Office and the State's Department of Finance, respectively, were more than \$1 billion less than projected under the 2011 Budget Act.

On December 13, 2011, the Department of Finance estimated that State revenues for Fiscal Year 2011-12 would not meet, and would be \$2.2 billion less than, earlier revenue projections. If projected revenues fell short of expectations by more than \$1 billion, the Legislature had established the specific spending reductions (up to a maximum of approximately \$1.5 billion in reductions) that should occur determined by the amount of the projected revenue shortfall. As part of its December forecast and based on its forecast that revenue would be \$2.2 billion less than projected, the Department of Finance decreased expenditures by \$980,831,000. These reductions, effective January 1, 2012, included:

- (a) \$248 million from the Home-to-School Transportation program;
- (b) \$102 million from California community college apportionments;
- (c) \$100 million from the Department of Developmental Services;
- (d) \$100 million from the University of California; and
- (e) \$100 million from the California State University.

The County does not anticipate a material impact on its receipt of revenues from the State as a result of these "trigger" reductions.

In February 2012, State Controller John Chiang issued a warning to lawmakers that, absent aggressive action (including a combination of short-term borrowing and deferring payments), the State could run out of money in March 2012. The shortfall was avoided by a series of short-term cash solutions instituted by the State. However, a shortfall could occur in the future and any ensuring deferrals in State payments may jeopardize the County's ability to maintain core discretionary programs and could require suspension of such programs.

Proposed State Budget for Fiscal Year 2012-13 [and May Revision]. On January 5, 2012, the Governor released his proposed budget for Fiscal Year 2012-13 (the "Proposed Budget"). The Proposed Budget recognizes a budget gap of \$9.2 billion, comprised of a 2011-12 projected deficit of \$4.1 billion

and a 2012-13 projected deficit, absent corrective actions, of \$5.1 billion. In addition, the Proposed Budget seeks to rebuild a \$1.1 billion reserve, bringing the total projected budget deficit to \$10.3 billion. The Proposed Budget includes a combination of new taxes and expenditure reductions to close the gap.

To address the deficit, the Proposed Budget seeks \$4.2 billion in expenditure reductions, \$4.6 billion in additional revenues and \$1.4 billion in other budget solutions. The Proposed Budget proposes that voters approve, at the November 2012 election, a temporary increase in personal income tax on the State's wealthiest individuals and a temporary increase in sales tax of one-half percent. The Proposed Budget includes a "backup plan" if the ballot measure is not approved by the voters, which entails \$5.4 billion in further cuts including further impacts on education and public safety.

Features of the Proposed Budget affecting counties in general include the following:

(a) A permanent funding structure for the general realignment adopted in the 2011 Budget Act would be implemented, designed to provide local entities with a known and stable funding source for re-aligned programs. Counties would be responsible for drawing down the maximum amount of federal funding the re-aligned programs and, where applicable, meeting associated federal requirements.

(b) Reductions in expenditures at the State level in areas such as health and human services will have a significant impact on counties, which already shoulder the burden of administering health-related state-funded services.

(c) Reductions of \$946.2 million in expenditures for CalWORKs. Counties are responsible under State law for providing cash assistance to families unable to support themselves and ineligible for other State and Federal programs, and a reduction in state funding may require counties to supplement their assistance.

In the event the State reduces funding for State-funded County programs, the County does not expect to backfill such reductions from other sources unless otherwise required by law, thereby resulting in corresponding reductions in County services.

In March 2012, the Governor announced his agreement with the proponents of a competing tax initiative to support a different version of a tax proposal (the "March Revenue Initiative"). At this time, the Governor is collecting signatures for both initiatives. The March Revenue Initiative provides for increased State personal income tax rates on the State's wealthiest individuals through 2018 by 1 percent, 2 percent or 3 percent, depending on income, and an increase of 0.25 percent in the sales and use tax through 2016. If placed on the ballot and approved by the voters, the March Revenue Initiative is projected to result in \$6.8 billion of additional revenues for the 2012-13 State budget, and an average of \$5.4 billion during the following five fiscal years.

LAO's Overview of Fiscal Year 2012-13 [and May Revision]. The Legislative Analyst's Office Overview of the Governor's Budget was released on January 11, 2012 (the "Budget Overview"). The Budget Overview projects lower revenues than are estimated by the Proposed Budget, but acknowledges that the adoption of the budgetary actions included in the Proposed Budget would move the State closer to a balanced budget over the next few years.

The Budget Overview credits the Governor for the proposed restructuring of the K-12 finance system, the community college categorical funding model and the education mandate system. The Legislative Analyst's Office looks favorably on these proposals, stating that the restructuring would overcome the main longstanding fundamental shortcomings of education funding and would institute lasting improvements. Likewise, the Budget Overview agrees with the Proposed Budget that now is not

the time to initiate major new programs or authorize program expansions, such as the transitional kindergarten program and Cal Grant expansions scheduled to commence in 2012-13.

However, the Budget Overview highlights several concerns with respect to the Proposed Budget, including the uncertainty caused by increased dependency on income tax payments by the state's wealthiest individuals and the uncertainty caused by the timing of the election. Also, the Budget Overview recommends that the Legislature should carefully consider the Governor's proposed reductions in CalWORKs and child care, as well as whether specific proposed trigger plans are workable.

Future State Budgets. No prediction can be made by the County as to whether the State will encounter budgetary problems in future fiscal years, and if this occurs, it is not clear what measures would be taken by the State to balance its budget, as required by law. In addition, the County cannot predict the final outcome of future State budget negotiations, the impact that such budgets will have on County finances and operations or what actions will be taken in the future by the State Legislature and Governor to deal with changing State revenues and expenditures. Current and future State budgets will be affected by national and State economic conditions and other factors, over which the County has no control.

TAX MATTERS

In the opinion of Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP ("Bond Counsel"), Bond Counsel to the County, based upon an analysis of existing laws, regulations, rulings, and court decisions, and assuming, among other matters, the accuracy of certain representations and compliance with certain covenants, interest on the Note is excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes under Section 103 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (the "Code") and is exempt from State of California personal income taxes. The amount treated as interest on the Note and excluded from gross income may depend upon the taxpayer's election under Internal Revenue Notice 94-84. In the further opinion of Bond Counsel, interest on the Note is not a specific preference item for purposes of the federal individual or corporate alternative minimum taxes, although Bond Counsel observes that such interest is included in adjusted current earnings when calculating corporate alternative minimum taxable income. A complete copy of the proposed form of the opinion of Bond Counsel is set forth in APPENDIX C—"PROPOSED FORM OF OPINION OF BOND COUNSEL."

Notice 94-84, 1994-2 C.B. 559, states that the Internal Revenue Service (the "IRS") is studying whether the amount of the payment at maturity on debt obligations such as the Note that is excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes is (i) the stated interest payable at maturity, or (ii) the difference between the issue price of the Note and the aggregate amount to be paid at maturity of the Note (the "original issue discount"). For this purpose, the issue price of the Note is the first price at which a substantial amount of the Note is sold to the public (excluding bond houses, brokers or similar persons or organizations acting in the capacity of underwriters, placement agents or wholesalers). Until the IRS provides further guidance, taxpayers may treat either the stated interest payable at maturity or the original issue discount as interest that is excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes. However, taxpayers must treat the amount to be paid at maturity on all tax exempt debt obligations with a term that is not more than one year from the date of issue in a consistent manner. Taxpayers should consult their own tax advisors with respect to the tax consequences of ownership of the Note if original issue discount treatment is elected.

A Note purchased, whether at original issuance or otherwise, for an amount higher than the principal amount payable at maturity ("Premium Note") will be treated as having amortizable bond premium. No deduction is allowable for the amortizable bond premium in the case of obligations, like the Premium Note, the interest on which is excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes.

However, the amount of tax-exempt interest received, and a holder of the Note's basis in a Premium Note, will be reduced by the amount of amortizable bond premium properly allocable to such holder of the Note. Holders of Premium Note should consult their own tax advisors with respect to the proper treatment of amortizable bond premium in their particular circumstances.

The Code imposes various restrictions, conditions, and requirements relating to the exclusion from gross income for federal income tax purposes of interest on obligations such as the Note. The County has made certain representations and covenanted to comply with certain restrictions, conditions and requirements designed to ensure that interest on the Note will not be included in federal gross income. Inaccuracy of these representations or failure to comply with these covenants may result in interest on the Note being included in gross income for federal income tax purposes, possibly from the date of original issuance of the Note. The opinion of Bond Counsel assumes the accuracy of these representations and compliance with these covenants. Bond Counsel has not undertaken to determine (or to inform any person) whether any actions taken (or not taken), or events occurring (or not occurring), or any other matters coming to Bond Counsel's attention after the date of issuance of the Note may adversely affect the value of, or the tax status of interest on, the Note. Accordingly, the opinion of Bond Counsel is not intended to, and may not, be relied upon in connection with any such actions, events or matters.

One of the covenants of the County referred to above requires the County to reasonably and prudently calculate the amount, if any, of excess investment earnings on the proceeds of the Note which must be rebated to the United States, to set aside from lawfully available sources sufficient moneys to pay such amounts and to otherwise do all things necessary and within its power and authority to ensure that interest on the Note is excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes. Under the Code, if the County spends 100% of the proceeds of the Note within six months after issuance, there is no requirement that there be a rebate of investment profits in order for interest on the Note to be excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes. The Code also provides that such proceeds are not deemed spent until all other available moneys (less a reasonable working capital reserve) are spent. The County expects to satisfy this expenditure test or, if it fails to do so, to make any required rebate payments from moneys received or accrued during the 2012-13 Fiscal Year. To the extent that any rebate cannot be paid from such moneys, California law is unclear as to whether such covenant would require the County to pay any such rebate. This would be an issue only if it were determined that the County's calculation of expenditures of Note proceeds or of rebatable arbitrage profits, if any, was incorrect.

Although Bond Counsel is of the opinion that interest on the Note is excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes and is exempt from State of California personal income taxes, the ownership or disposition of, or the accrual or receipt of interest on, the Note may otherwise affect a holder of the Note's federal, state or local tax liability. The nature and extent of these other tax consequences will depend upon the particular tax status of the holder(s) of the Note or the holder(s) of the Note's other items of income or deduction. Bond Counsel expresses no opinion regarding any such other tax consequences.

Current and future legislative proposals, if enacted into law, clarification of the Code or court decisions may cause interest on the Note to be subject, directly or indirectly, to federal income taxation or to be subject to or exempted from state income taxation, or otherwise prevent holders from realizing the full current benefit of the tax status of such interest. As one example, the Obama Administration recently announced a legislative proposal which, for tax years beginning on or after January 1, 2013, generally would limit the exclusion from gross income of interest on obligations like the Note to some extent for taxpayers who are individuals and whose income is subject to higher marginal income tax rates. Other proposals have been made that could significantly reduce the benefit of, or otherwise affect, the exclusion from gross income of interest on obligations like the Note. The introduction or enactment of any such legislative proposals, clarification of the Code or court decisions may also affect, perhaps significantly,

the market price for, or marketability of, the Note. Prospective purchasers of the Note should consult their own tax advisors regarding any pending or proposed federal or state tax legislation, regulations or litigation, and regarding the impact of future legislation, regulations or litigation, as to which Note Counsel expresses no opinion.

The opinion of Bond Counsel is based on current legal authority, covers certain matters not directly addressed by such authorities, and represents Bond Counsel's judgment as to the proper treatment of the Note for federal income tax purposes. It is not binding on the IRS or the courts. Furthermore, Bond Counsel cannot give and has not given any opinion or assurance about the future activities of the County, or about the effect of future changes in the Code, the applicable regulations, the interpretation thereof or the enforcement thereof by the IRS. The County has covenanted, however, to comply with the requirements of the Code.

Bond Counsel's engagement with respect to the Note ends with the issuance of the Note, and, unless separately engaged, Bond Counsel is not obligated to defend the County or the holders of the Note regarding the tax-exempt status of the Note in the event of an audit examination by the IRS. Under current procedures, parties other than the County and its appointed counsel, including the holders of the Note, would have little, if any, right to participate in the audit examination process. Moreover, because achieving judicial review in connection with an audit examination of tax-exempt bonds is difficult, obtaining an independent review of the IRS's positions with which the County legitimately disagrees may not be practicable. Any action of the IRS, including but not limited to selection of the Note for audit, or the course or result of such audit, or an audit of bonds presenting similar tax issues may affect the market price for, or the marketability of, the Note, and may cause the County or the holders of the Note to incur significant expense.

LITIGATION

No litigation is pending, or, to the best knowledge of the County, threatened, concerning the validity of the Note or the Resolution, or contesting the County's ability to appropriate or make the repayment of the Note, and an opinion of the Office of County Counsel to that effect will be furnished to the Underwriter at the time of the execution and delivery of the Note. See APPENDIX A—"INFORMATION REGARDING THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE—Financial Information—Litigation" for a discussion of the County's pending general litigation.

LEGALITY FOR INVESTMENT

Under provisions of the California Financial Code, the Note is a legal investment for commercial banks in California to the extent that the Note, in the informed opinion of the bank, is prudent for the investment of funds of its depositors, and are eligible to secure deposits of public moneys in California under provisions of the California Government Code.

UNDERWRITING

The Note is being purchased initially by De La Rosa & Co., as senior underwriter, and Citigroup Global Markets, Inc., as co-managing underwriter (together, the "Underwriter"), at a price of \$_____ (being the par amount of the Note, less the Underwriter's discount of \$_____). The Contract of Purchase provides that the Underwriter will purchase all of the Note, if any are purchased. Furthermore, the obligation to make such purchase is subject to certain terms and conditions set forth in the Contract of Purchase and certain other conditions.

The Underwriter may offer and sell the Note to certain dealers and others at a price lower than the initial public offering price. The offering price may be changed from time to time by the Underwriter.

CONTINUING DISCLOSURE

Pursuant to the Resolution, the County has covenanted for the benefit of the Owners and beneficial owners of the Note to comply with Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 15c2-12(b)(5) and will enter into a Continuing Disclosure Certificate as of the closing date, in which it covenants to provide information regarding material adverse events, if any such events should occur in connection with the following, to the owners of the Note and to the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board's Electronic Municipal Market Access ("EMMA") system, or any successor thereto, during the term of the Note. In addition, the County has covenanted to provide updated quarterly cash flow information within 40 days of the end of each fiscal quarter, beginning with the fiscal quarter ending September 30, 2011. See APPENDIX D—"PROPOSED FORM OF CONTINUING DISCLOSURE CERTIFICATE." These covenants have been made in order to assist the Underwriter in complying with the Rule. The County has not failed to comply with any prior such undertaking under the Rule.

RATINGS

Moody's Investors Service Inc. and Standard and Poor's Rating Services, a division of the McGraw Hill Companies Inc. have assigned ratings of "[]" and "[]," respectively, to the Note. Such ratings reflect only the views of such rating agencies, and any explanation of the significance of such ratings should be obtained from each rating agency. Further, there is no assurance that any of the ratings will be retained for any given period of time or that any of the ratings will not be revised or withdrawn entirely by such rating agencies if, in its judgment, circumstances so warrant. Any such downward revision or withdrawal of the rating may have an adverse effect on the trading value and the market price of the Note.

CERTAIN LEGAL MATTERS

At the time of the delivery of the Note, Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP, Bond Counsel, will deliver its final approving opinion. A proposed forms of such approving opinion is contained in APPENDIX C hereto and will be delivered to DTC with the Note. Bond Counsel has undertaken no responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or fairness of this Official Statement.

Certain legal matters will be passed upon for the County by the County Counsel, and for the Underwriter by its counsel, Nossaman LLP. Payment of the fees of Bond Counsel, Disclosure Counsel and Underwriter's Counsel, is contingent upon the issuance of the Note.

FINANCIAL ADVISOR

The County has retained Fieldman, Rolapp & Associates, Irvine, California, as Financial Advisor in connection with the authorization and delivery of the Note. The Financial Advisor is not obligated to undertake, and has not undertaken to make, an independent verification or to assume responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or fairness of the information contained in the Official Statement. The fees of the Financial Advisor are contingent upon the sale, issuance and delivery of the Note.

Fieldman, Rolapp & Associates is an independent advisory firm and is not engaged in the business of underwriting, trading or distributing municipal or other public securities.

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The general purpose financial statements of the County, pertinent sections of which are included in APPENDIX B to this Official Statement, have been audited by Brown Armstrong Certified Public Accountants, independent certified public accountants, as stated in their report appearing in APPENDIX B. Brown Armstrong Certified Public Accountants, has not consented to the inclusion of its report as APPENDIX B and has not undertaken to update its report or to take any action intended or likely to elicit information concerning the accuracy, completeness or fairness of the statements made in this Official Statement, and no opinion is expressed by Brown Armstrong Certified Public Accountants, with respect to any event subsequent to its report dated December 28, 2011. See APPENDIX B— “THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011” attached hereto.

MISCELLANEOUS

References made herein to certain documents and reports are brief summaries thereof, which do not purport to be complete or definite, and reference is made to such documents and reports for full and complete statements of the contents thereof. Copies of the Resolution are available upon request from the County of Riverside, County Executive Office, 4th Floor, 4080 Lemon Street, Riverside, California 92501, Attention: County Finance Director.

Any statements in this Official Statement involving matters of opinion, whether or not expressly so stated, are intended as such and not as representations of fact. This Official Statement is not to be construed as a contract or agreement between the County and the purchasers or holders of the Note.

The execution and delivery of this Official Statement has been duly authorized by the County.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

By: _____
Authorized Officer

APPENDIX A

INFORMATION REGARDING THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

APPENDIX B

THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

APPENDIX C

PROPOSED FORM OF OPINION OF BOND COUNSEL

County of Riverside
Riverside, California

County of Riverside, California
2012-2013 Tax and Revenue Anticipation Notes, Series A and Series B
(Final Opinion)

Ladies and Gentlemen:

We have acted as bond counsel to the County of Riverside, California (the "County") in connection with the issuance of (i) \$ _____ aggregate principal amount of notes, designated the "County of Riverside, California, 2012-2013 Tax and Revenue Anticipation Notes, Series A" (the "Series 2012A Notes") and (ii) \$ _____ aggregate principal amount of notes, designated the "County of Riverside, California, 2012-2013 Tax and Revenue Anticipation Notes, Series B" (the "Series 2012B Notes," and together with the Series 2012A Notes, the "Notes"), each issued under and by authority of a resolution of the Board of Supervisors of the County duly passed and adopted on _____, 2012 (the "Resolution"), under and by authority of Article 7.6, Chapter 4, Part 1, Division 2 of Title 5 (commencing with Section 53850) of the California Government Code.

In such connection, we have reviewed the Resolution, the Tax Certificate of the County, dated the date hereof (the "Tax Certificate"), an opinion of counsel to the County, certificates of the County and others, and such other documents, opinions and matters to the extent we deemed necessary to render the opinions set forth herein.

The opinions expressed herein are based on an analysis of existing laws, regulations, rulings and court decisions, and cover certain matters not directly addressed by such authorities. Such opinions may be affected by actions taken or omitted or events occurring after the date hereof. We have not undertaken to determine, or to inform any person, whether any such actions are taken or omitted or events do occur or any other matters come to our attention after the date hereof. Accordingly, this letter speaks only as of its date and is not intended to, and may not, be relied upon or otherwise used in connection with any such actions, events or matters. Our engagement with respect to the Notes has concluded with their issuance, and we disclaim any obligation to update this letter. We have assumed the genuineness of all documents and signatures presented to us (whether as originals or as copies) and the due and legal execution and delivery thereof by, and validity against, any parties other than the County. We have assumed, without undertaking to verify, the accuracy of the factual matters represented, warranted or certified in the documents referred to in the second paragraph hereof, and of the legal conclusions contained in the opinions referred to in the second paragraph hereof. Furthermore, we have assumed compliance with all covenants and agreements contained in the Resolution and the Tax Certificate, including (without limitation) covenants and agreements compliance with which is necessary to ensure that future actions, omissions or events will not cause interest on the Notes to be included in gross income for federal income tax purposes. We call attention to the fact that the rights and obligations under the Notes, the Resolution

and the Tax Certificate, and their enforceability, may be subject to bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization, arrangement, fraudulent conveyance, moratorium and other laws relating to or affecting creditors' rights, to the application of equitable principles, to the exercise of judicial discretion in appropriate cases, and to the limitations on legal remedies against counties in the State of California. We express no opinion with respect to any indemnification, contribution, penalty, arbitration, judicial reference, choice of law, choice of forum, choice of venue, waiver or severability provisions contained in the documents mentioned in the preceding sentence. Our services did not include financial or other non-legal advice. Finally, we undertake no responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or fairness of the Official Statement or other offering material relating to the Notes, and express no opinion with respect thereto.

Based on and subject to the foregoing, and in reliance thereon, as of the date hereof, we are of the following opinions:

1. The Notes constitute the valid and binding obligations of the County. The principal of and interest on the Notes are payable from Pledged Revenues (as that term is defined in the Resolution), and to the extent not so paid, are payable from any other moneys of the County lawfully available therefor.

2. Interest on the Notes is excluded from gross income for federal income tax purposes under Section 103 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 and is exempt from State of California personal income taxes. The amount treated as interest and excluded from gross income may depend upon the taxpayer's election under Internal Revenue Notice 94-84. Interest on the Notes is not a specific preference item for purposes of the federal individual or corporate alternative minimum taxes, although we observe that it is included in adjusted current earnings when calculating corporate alternative minimum taxable income. We express no opinion regarding other tax consequences related to the ownership or disposition of, or the accrual or receipt of interest on, the Notes.

Faithfully yours,

APPENDIX D

PROPOSED FORM OF CONTINUING DISCLOSURE CERTIFICATE

This Continuing Disclosure Certificate (the "Disclosure Certificate") is entered into by the County of Riverside (the "County") in connection with the issuance by the County of Riverside of its \$_____ * aggregate principal amount of County of Riverside 2012-2013 Tax and Revenue Anticipation Note (the "Note"). The Note is being issued pursuant to a Resolution adopted by the Board of Supervisors of the County on [_____] , 2012 (the "Resolution"). The County covenants and agrees as follows:

Section 1. Purpose of Certificate. This Certificate is being executed and delivered by the County for the benefit of the Owners and Beneficial Owners (as defined below) of the Note and in order to assist the Participating Underwriters (as defined below, in complying with the Rule (as defined below).

Section 2. Definitions. In addition to the definitions set forth in the Resolution, which apply to any capitalized term used in this Certificate unless otherwise defined in this Section, the following capitalized terms have the following meanings:

"Beneficial Owner" means any person which (a) has the power, directly or indirectly, to vote or consent with respect to, or to dispose of ownership of, any Note (including persons holding a Note through nominees, depositories or other intermediaries), or (b) is treated as the owner of any Note for federal income tax purposes.

"Commission" means the Securities and Exchange Commission.

"Dissemination Agent" means any person appointed in writing by the County to act as the County's agent in complying with the filing requirements of the Rule. As of the date of this Certificate, the County has not appointed a Dissemination Agent.

"Listed Event" means any of the events listed in Section 4(a) of this Certificate.

"MSRB" means the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board and any successors or assigns, or any other entities or agencies approved under the Rule.

"Participating Underwriters" means any of the original purchasers of the Note required to comply with the Rule in connection with the offering of the Note.

"Quarterly Report" means any Quarterly Report of the County provided by the County pursuant to and as described in Section 3 of this Certificate.

"Repository" means, until otherwise designated by the Commission, the Electronic Municipal Market Access website of the MSRB located at <http://emma.msrb.org>.

"Rule" means paragraph (b)(5) of Rule 15c2-12 adopted by the Commission under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as the same may be amended from time to time.

*Preliminary, subject to change.

Section 3. Provision of Reports.

(a) The County shall, or shall cause the Dissemination Agent to, not later than 40 days after the end of the fiscal quarters ending September 30, 2012, December 31, 2012 and March 31, 2013, provide to the Repository, in such format accompanied by such identifying information as shall have been prescribed by the MSRB and which shall be in effect on the date of filing of such information, copies of the Quarterly Report of the County, which is consistent with the requirements of subsection (b) below. Each Quarterly Report may include by reference other information as required by this Certificate. The County shall provide a written certification with each Quarterly Report filed with the Dissemination Agent to the effect that such Quarterly Report constitutes the Quarterly Report required to be submitted by the County hereunder. The Dissemination Agent may conclusively rely upon such certification of the County.

(b) The County's Quarterly Report shall contain or include by reference information regarding the County's cash flow in the fiscal quarter most recently ended, including comparative information to the projected cash flow included in the Official Statement.

(c) The Dissemination Agent (if one has been appointed) shall:

(i) determine prior to the date for providing the Quarterly Report the name and address of the Repository; and

(ii) if the Quarterly Report has been furnished to the Dissemination Agent, file a report with the County certifying that the Quarterly Report has been provided pursuant to this Certificate, stating the date it was provided.

Section 4. Reporting of Significant Events.

(a) Pursuant to the provisions of this Section 4, the County shall give, or cause to be given, notice of the occurrence of any of the following events with respect to the Note:

(i) principal and interest payment delinquencies;

(ii) non-payment related defaults, if material;

(iii) unscheduled draws on debt service reserves reflecting financial difficulties;

(iv) unscheduled draws on credit enhancements reflecting financial difficulties;

(v) substitution of credit or liquidity providers, or their failure to perform;

(vi) adverse tax opinions, the issuance by the Internal Revenue Service of proposed or final determinations of taxability, Notices of Proposed Issue (IRS Form 5701-TEB) or other material notices or determinations with respect to the tax status of the Note, or other material events affecting the tax status of the Note;

(vii) modifications to the rights of Owners of the Note, if material;

- (viii) bond calls, if material, and tender offers;
- (ix) defeasances;
- (x) release, substitution, or sale of property, if any, securing repayment of the Note, if material;
- (xi) rating changes;
- (xii) bankruptcy, insolvency, receivership or similar event of the County;
- (xiii) the consummation of a merger, consolidation, or acquisition involving the County or the sale of all or substantially all of the assets of the County, other than in the ordinary course of business, the entry into a definitive agreement to undertake such an action or the termination of a definitive agreement relating to such actions, other than pursuant to its terms, if material; and
- (xiv) appointment of a successor or additional trustee or the change of name of a trustee, if material.

(b) Whenever the County obtains knowledge of the occurrence of a Listed Event, the County shall as soon as possible determine if such event would be material under applicable federal securities laws.

(c) If the County determines that knowledge of the occurrence of a Listed Event would be material under applicable federal securities laws, the County shall promptly file, or cause to be filed, a notice of such event with the MSRB and the Repository. Notwithstanding the foregoing, notice of Listed Events described in Subsections (a)(viii) and (ix) above need not be given under this subsection any earlier than when the notice, if any, of the underlying event is given to Owners of affected Note pursuant to the Resolution.

Section 5. Termination of Reporting Obligation. The County's obligations under this Certificate shall terminate upon the legal defeasance, prior redemption or payment in full of all of the Note or upon delivery to the County and to the Dissemination Agent (if any) of an opinion of nationally recognized bond counsel to the effect that continuing disclosure is no longer required. If such termination occurs prior to the final maturity of the Note, the County shall give notice of such termination in the same manner as for a Listed Event under Subsection 4(c).

Section 6. Dissemination Agent. The County may, from time to time, appoint or engage a Dissemination Agent to assist it in carrying out its obligations under this Certificate, and may discharge any such Dissemination Agent, with or without appointing a successor Dissemination Agent. The Dissemination Agent may resign by providing 60 days' written notice to the County. The Dissemination Agent shall not be responsible in any manner for the content of any notice or report prepared by the County pursuant to this Certificate.

Section 7. Amendment Waiver. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Certificate, the County may amend this Certificate, and any provision of this Certificate may be waived, provided that the following conditions are satisfied:

(a) If the amendment or waiver relates to the provisions of Section 3 or Subsection 4(a), it may only be made in connection with a change in circumstances that arises from a change in legal (including regulatory) requirements, change in law (including rules or regulations) or in interpretations thereof, or change in the identity, nature or status of an obligated person with respect to the Note, or the type of business conducted;

(b) The undertakings, as amended or taking into account such waiver, would, in the opinion of nationally recognized bond counsel, have complied with the requirements of the Rule at the time of the original issuance of the Note, after taking into account any amendments or interpretations of the Rule, as well as any change in circumstances; and

(c) The amendment or waiver either (i) is approved by the Owners of the Note in the same manner as provided in the Trust Agreement for amendments to the Trust Agreement with the consent of Owners of the Note, or (ii) does not, in the opinion of nationally recognized bond counsel, materially impair the interests of the Owners or Beneficial Owners of the Note.

In the event of any amendment or waiver of a provision of this Certificate, the County shall describe such amendment in its next Quarterly Report, and shall include, as applicable, a narrative explanation of the reason for the amendment or waiver and its impact on the type (or, in the case of a change of accounting principles, on the presentation) of financial information or operating data being presented by the County.

Section 8. Additional Information. Nothing in this Certificate shall be deemed to prevent the County from disseminating any other information, including the information then contained in the County's official statements or other disclosure documents relating to debt issuances, using the means of dissemination set forth in this Certificate or any other means of communication, or including any other information in any Quarterly Report or notice of occurrence of a Listed Event, in addition to that which is required by this Certificate. If the County chooses to include any information in any Quarterly Report or notice of occurrence of a Listed Event, in addition to that which is specifically required by this Certificate, the County shall have an obligation under this Certificate to update such information or include it in any future Quarterly Report or notice of occurrence of a Listed Event.

Section 9. Default. In the event of a failure of the County to comply with any provision of this Certificate, any Owner or Beneficial Owner of the Note may take such actions as may be necessary and appropriate, including seeking mandamus or specific performance by court order, to cause the County to comply with its obligations under this Certificate. A default under this Certificate shall not be deemed an Event of Default under the Resolution with respect to the Note, and the sole remedy under this Certificate in the event of any failure of the County to comply with this Certificate shall be an action to compel performance, and no person or entity shall be entitled to recover monetary damages under this Certificate.

Section 10. Duties, Immunities and Liabilities of Dissemination Agent. The Dissemination Agent shall have only such duties as are expressly and specifically set forth in this Certificate and the County agrees, to the extent permitted by law, to indemnify and save the Dissemination Agent, its officers, directors, employees and agents, harmless against any loss, expense and liabilities which it may incur arising out of or in the exercise or performance of its powers and duties hereunder, including the costs and expenses (including attorneys' fees) of defending against any claim of liability, but excluding liabilities due to the Dissemination Agent's negligence or willful misconduct. The obligations of the County under this Section shall survive resignation or removal of the Dissemination Agent and payment of the Note.