SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

4	50			à
00	× i		4	± 0
1	1	7	HILL.	J
	-	Žian.	1893	

H	K	U	M	

Departmental Concurrence

Code Enforcement Department

SUBMITTAL DATE: November 29, 2012

SUBJECT: VACATE Order Re: Statement of Abatement Costs [Case No. CV10-01805]

Subject Property: 12617 Burbank Road, Corona, 92880; [PHAM]

APN: 152-421-032

District Two / District Two

RECOMMENDED MOTION: Move that the Board of Supervisors:

- (1) vacate the Order assessing costs in the amount of four hundred thirty dollars (US \$430.00);
- (2) vacate the recordation of a notice of abatement lien; and
- vacate the authorization that the abatement costs to be added to the tax roll as a special assessment.

Greg Flangery, Code Enforcement Division Manager for Juan Perez, Interim Code Enforcement Director

FINANCIAL DATA Current F.Y. Total Cost:

\$ N/A \$ N/A In Current Year Budget:
Budget Adjustment:

N/A N/A

Current F.Y. Net County Cost:
Annual Net County Cost:

\$ N/A

For Fiscal Year:

N/A

SOURCE OF FUNDS:

Positions To Be Deleted Per A-30

Requires 4/5 Vote

CEC	DECO	MMEND	ATION

County Executive Office Signature

□ Policy □ Policy

Consent

L

Dep't Recomm.: Per Exec. Ofc.:

Prev. Agn. Ref.:

District: 2/2

Agenda Number:

2.10

VACATE Orders Re: Statement of Abatement Costs [Case No. CV10-01805]

Subject Property: 12617 Burbank Road, Corona, 92280; [PHAM]

APN: 152-421-032 District Two / District Two

Page 2

BACKGROUND: On September 11, 2012, the Board of Supervisors approved the request by the Code Enforcement Department to:

- (1) assess the reasonable costs of abatement of a public nuisance (Ordinance 348 19.503 (B) (6) RV parked on driveway) in the above-referenced matter to be **four hundred thirty dollars (US \$430.00)**;
- (2) assess the costs of abatement against the above-described subject property;
- (3) authorize the recordation of a notice of abatement lien; and
- (4) authorize the abatement costs to be added to the tax roll as a special assessment
- (5) authorize and direct the Code Enforcement Department to take any reasonable actions to collect the amount owed.

On September 25, 2011, the department dismissed the violation, pursuant to a request by the property owner, who provided evidence that he was not responsible for the recreational vehicle and the violation.

Therefore, in the interests of justice, this request is being made.