

**SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

499



**FROM:** Economic Development Agency

**SUBMITTAL DATE:**  
August 8, 2013

**SUBJECT:** Resolution No. 2013-154 Approval of the Redesignation and Expansion of Riverside County as a Recycling Market Development Zone - Adoption of a Negative Declaration

**RECOMMENDED MOTION:** That the Board of Supervisors:

1. Adopt a Negative Declaration, attached hereto, for Initial Study and Environmental Checklist No. RIVCO/CEQA 2013-01 for the Riverside County Recycling Market Development Zone Redesignation and Expansion Project (Project) based on the findings incorporated therein Resolution No 2013-154;
2. Find that the Project will not have a significant effect on the environment and the Negative Declaration reflects the Board's independent judgment and analysis;

(Continued)

Robert Field  
Assistant County Executive Officer/EDA

<b>FINANCIAL DATA</b>	Current F.Y. Total Cost:	\$ 0	In Current Year Budget:	N/A
	Current F.Y. Net County Cost:	\$ 0	Budget Adjustment:	No
	Annual Net County Cost:	\$ 0	For Fiscal Year:	2013/14

**COMPANION ITEM ON BOARD AGENDA:** No

**SOURCE OF FUNDS:** N/A

<b>Positions To Be Deleted Per A-30</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>Requires 4/5 Vote</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**C.E.O. RECOMMENDATION:** APPROVE

BY:   
Jennifer L. Sargent

**County Executive Office Signature**

FORM APPROVED COUNTY COUNSEL  
BY:   
ANNIE T. SAHHAR  
DATE: 8/13/13  
Departmental Concurrence

Dep't Recomm.:  Consent  Policy  
Per Exec. Ofc.:  Consent  Policy

**Prev. Agn. Ref.:** 3-12 of 4-23-13

**District:** All

**Agenda Number:** 3-25

ATTACHMENTS FILED  
WITH THE CLERK OF THE BOARD

**RECOMMENDED MOTION:** (Continued)

3. Adopt Resolution No. 2013-154 Approval of the Redesignation and Expansion of Riverside County as a Recycling Market Development Zone (RMDZ);
4. Direct the Clerk of the Board to file the attached Notice of Determination with the County Clerk for posting and the State Clearinghouse (SCH#2013071027) within 5 working days of approval of the Project.

**BACKGROUND:**

On April 23, 2013, the Board of Supervisors authorized the Economic Development Agency (EDA) to submit an application to the California Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery (CalRecycle) to redesignate and expand Riverside County as a Recycling Market Development Zone (RMDZ). The Project is the renewal and expansion of the existing zone boundaries, with the re-approval of the zone for another 10 years for the Riverside County Recycling Market Development Zone.

CalRecycle administers the RMDZ to encourage California-based recycling businesses to site new manufacturing facilities and expand existing operations. The principle benefit for businesses located within an RMDZ is a loan program for manufacturers of products to use materials that can be recycled to minimize the amount of waste material sent to landfills; thereby, helping the environment. This program provides low-interest loans of up to \$2 million for the purchase of equipment and other relevant business costs. The intent of the RMDZ loan program is to help California manufacturers increase their processing capabilities and create additional markets for recycled-content products. The RMDZ Program assists the development of qualified businesses which also translates into greater employment opportunities.

Currently, Riverside County has one RMDZ that encompasses the cities of Blythe, Cathedral City, Coachella, Hemet, Indio, Moreno Valley, Perris, and San Jacinto and portions of unincorporated areas of Riverside County (including the unincorporated former redevelopment project areas of East Blythe, Blythe Airport, Cabazon, Thermal, Garnet, Thousand Palms, Mead Valley, and Romoland). This zone expires on August 25, 2013, and CalRecycle has encouraged the County to seek a new ten-year designation of the RMDZ and expand its boundaries.

EDA staff proposed that the entire boundary of the county be designated an RMDZ and took the lead in preparing the application and sought inclusion of additional cities in the RMDZ. Several cities, including the current participating cities of the RMDZ, have adopted resolutions of support in order to be included within the redesignated and expanded RMDZ. These cities are Banning, Beaumont, Blythe, Calimesa, Cathedral City, Coachella, Corona, Desert Hot Springs, Eastvale, Hemet, Indio, Jurupa Valley, La Quinta, Lake Elsinore, Moreno Valley, Murrieta, Norco, Palm Desert, Palm Springs, Perris, Rancho Mirage, Riverside, San Jacinto, Temecula, and Wildomar.

Pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), the County of Riverside, as lead agency, has prepared an Initial Study/Environmental Checklist No. RIVCO/CEQA 2013-01 (Initial Study), to analyze the proposed Project to determine if any potential significant effects on the environment would result from implementation of the proposed Project. The results of the analysis demonstrate that the Project would not have any significant effects on the environment.

(Continued)

**BACKGROUND:** (Continued)

The Initial Study and Negative Declaration were submitted to the State Clearinghouse (assigned SCH#2013071027) and circulated for agency and public review from July 9, 2013 to August 7, 2013 and circulated for public review by the County Clerk from July 17, 2013 to August 15, 2013. Pursuant to CEQA Section 15074, the County will consider all comments received during the review period prior to adoption of the Initial Study/Negative Declaration.

Participation in the RMDZ does not have a financial obligation to the County nor any participating cities.

Resolution No. 2013-154 has been approved as to form.

Attachments:

Resolution No. 2013-154

Initial Study/Environmental Checklist No. RIVCO/CEQA 2013-01 and Negative Declaration

Notice of Intent to Adopt a Negative Declaration

Proof of Publication

Notice of Determination

2  
3 RESOLUTION NO. 2013-154

4 A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE  
5 APPROVAL OF THE REDESIGNATION AND EXPANSION OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY AS A  
6 RECYCLING MARKET DEVELOPMENT ZONE DUE TO AN INCREASE IN BOUNDARIES AND  
7 ADOPTION OF A NEGATIVE DECLARATION

8  
9 **WHEREAS**, California Public Resources Code Section 42010 et seq. provides for the  
10 establishment of the Recycling Market Development Zone (RMDZ) program throughout the State which  
11 provides incentives to stimulate development of post-consumer and secondary materials markets for  
12 recyclables;

13 **WHEREAS**, all California jurisdictions must meet a 50% reduction in landfill waste disposal as  
14 mandated by the California Integrated Waste Management Act;

15 **WHEREAS**, the Riverside County RMDZ currently includes the cities of Blythe, Cathedral City,  
16 Coachella, Hemet, Indio, Moreno Valley, Perris and San Jacinto and parts of unincorporated Riverside  
17 County;

18 **WHEREAS**, the Riverside County RMDZ is dedicated to establishing, sustaining and expanding  
19 recycling-based manufacturing businesses, which is essential for market development and to assist these  
20 jurisdictions in meeting the established landfill waste reduction goals;

21 **WHEREAS**, the cities of Banning, Beaumont, Calimesa, Corona, Desert Hot Springs, Eastvale,  
22 Jurupa Valley, La Quinta, Lake Elsinore, Murrieta, Norco, Palm Desert, Palm Springs, Rancho Mirage,  
23 Riverside, Temecula, Wildomar and all of unincorporated Riverside County desire existing and new  
24 recycling-based manufacturing businesses located within their jurisdictions to be eligible for the technical  
25 and financial incentives associated with the RMDZ program;

26 **WHEREAS**, the addition of these jurisdictions to the Riverside County RMDZ is necessary to  
27 facilitate local and regional planning, coordination, and support existing recycling-based manufacturing  
28 businesses, as well as assist in attracting private sector recycling investments to the RMDZ;

1           **WHEREAS**, the continued development of local markets for recycled materials would reduce the  
2 need to transport them out of the region in the future;

3           **WHEREAS**, the current and proposed waste management practices and conditions are favorable  
4 to the development of post-consumer and secondary waste materials markets;

5           **WHEREAS**, the California Legislature has defined environmental justice as "the fair treatment of  
6 people of all races, cultures, and incomes with respect to the development, adoption, implementation, and  
7 enforcement of environmental laws, regulations, and policies" [Government Code section 65040.12(e)],  
8 and has directed the California Environmental Protection Agency to conduct its programs, policies, and  
9 activities that substantially affect human health or the environment in a manner that ensures the fair  
10 treatment of people of all races, cultures, and income levels, including minority populations and low-  
11 income populations of the state [Public Resources Code section 71110(a)];

12           **WHEREAS**, CalRecycle has adopted a goal to continuously integrate environmental justice  
13 concerns into all of its programs and activities;

14           **WHEREAS**, the cities of Banning, Beaumont, Blythe, Calimesa, Cathedral City, Coachella,  
15 Corona, Desert Hot Springs, Eastvale, Hemet, Indio, Jurupa Valley, La Quinta, Lake Elsinore, Moreno  
16 Valley, Murrieta, Norco, Palm Desert, Palm Springs, Perris, Rancho Mirage, Riverside, San Jacinto,  
17 Temecula and Wildomar and the unincorporated part of Riverside County have agreed to submit an  
18 application to CalRecycle requesting the redesignation and expansion of the Riverside County RMDZ due  
19 to a change in boundaries;

20           **WHEREAS**, County of Riverside has agreed to act as Lead Agency under the California  
21 Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) for the proposed redesignation and expansion of the Riverside  
22 County RMDZ ("Project");

23           **WHEREAS**, pursuant to CEQA, the County of Riverside has completed an Initial Study and  
24 Environmental Checklist No RIVCO/CEQA 2013-01, and has found that the redesignation and expansion  
25 of the Riverside County RMDZ will not have a significant effect on the environment and prepared a  
26 Negative Declaration, assigned with State Clearinghouse No. 2013071027, which will not become final  
27 until adopted by this Board;

28           **WHEREAS**, all provisions of the CEQA and Riverside County CEQA implementing procedures

1 have been satisfied, and Negative Declaration (SCH No. 2013071027), prepared in connection with the  
2 Project, is sufficiently detailed so that all potentially significant effects of the Project on the environment  
3 have been evaluated in accordance with the above-referenced Act and Implementing Procedures;

4 **WHEREAS**, the matter was discussed fully through testimony, oral and written, and  
5 documentation presented by the public and affected government agencies; now, therefore,

6 **BE IT RESOLVED, FOUND, DETERMINED AND ORDERED** by the Board of Supervisors  
7 of the County of Riverside, California, in regular session assembled on August 20, 2013, at 9:00 a.m., in  
8 the meeting room of the Board of Supervisors located on the 1<sup>st</sup> floor of the County Administrative  
9 Center, 4080 Lemon Street, Riverside, California, that:

10 A. The above recitations are true and constitute findings of the Board of Supervisors with respect  
11 to the Project.

12 B. The Negative Declaration was presented to the Board of Supervisors and that the Board has  
13 reviewed and considered the information in the Negative Declaration, including the Initial  
14 Study and Environmental Checklist No. RIVCO/CEQA 2013-01, in evaluating the Project, the  
15 Negative Declaration is an accurate and objective statement that complies with the California  
16 Environmental Quality Act and reflects the County's independent judgment, and that Negative  
17 Declaration is incorporated herein by this reference.

18 **BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** by the Board of Supervisors that the Project is consistent with  
19 the County of Riverside General Plan as adopted by the Riverside County Board of Supervisors on  
20 October 7, 2003.

21 **BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** by the Board of Supervisors that the Board hereby **ADOPTS**  
22 the Negative Declaration for the Project as described above and based on, without limitation, the findings  
23 and conclusions identified in the Initial Study and Environmental Checklist No. RIVCO/CEQA 2013-01  
24 and in the Negative Declaration.

25 **BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** by the Board of Supervisors that the County of Riverside, as  
26 Lead Agency, approves the redesignation and expansion of Riverside County as an RMDZ and directs the  
27 County of Riverside Economic Development Agency to submit the final application documents to  
28 CalRecycle request and obtain redesignation and expansion of Riverside County as a RMDZ which

1 includes the cities of Banning, Beaumont, Blythe, Calimesa, Cathedral City, Coachella, Corona, Desert  
2 Hot Springs, Eastvale, Hemet, Indio, Jurupa Valley, La Quinta, Lake Elsinore, Moreno Valley, Murrieta,  
3 Norco, Palm Desert, Palm Springs, Perris, Rancho Mirage, Riverside, San Jacinto, Temecula, and  
4 Wildomar and the unincorporated parts of Riverside County.

5 **BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** by the Board of Supervisors that the County of Riverside shall  
6 administer the RMDZ program in a manner that seeks to ensure the fair treatment of people of all races,  
7 cultures and incomes, including but not limited to soliciting public participation in all communities within  
8 the RMDZ, including minority and low-income populations.

9 **BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** by the Board of Supervisors that the County of Riverside  
10 Economic Development Agency shall file a Notice of Determination respecting this Project with the State  
11 Clearinghouse pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15096(i).

12 **BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** by the Board of Supervisors that copies of the documents  
13 associated with the Project and the record of the proceedings on which the County of Riverside based its  
14 decision to adopt the Negative Declaration and approve the Project shall be placed on file in the Riverside  
15 County Clerk of Riverside County located at 2724 Gateway Drive, P.O. Box 751, Riverside, CA 92502-  
16 0751.

17 **BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** by the Board of Supervisors that the custodians of the  
18 documents upon which this decision is based are the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and the County  
19 Economic Development Agency.  
20

FORM APPROVED COUNTY COUNSEL  
BY: *Annie J. Sahhar* 8/13  
ANNIE J. SAHHAR DATE: 8/13



## Notice of Determination

**To:**  
 Office of Planning and Research  
*For U.S Mail:* P.O. Box 3044  
Sacramento, CA 95812-3044

*Street Address:*  
1400 Tenth St.  
Sacramento, CA 95814

**From:**  
Public County of Riverside  
Agency: Economic Development Agency  
Address: 3043 10<sup>th</sup> Street, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Riverside, CA 92501  
Contact: Pascual Guardado  
Phone: (951) 955-9767

County Clerk  
County of: Riverside  
2724 Gateway Drive  
P.O. Box 751  
Address: Riverside, CA 92502-0751

Lead Agency (if different from above):  
Address: \_\_\_\_\_  
Contact: \_\_\_\_\_  
Phone: \_\_\_\_\_

**SUBJECT: Filing of Notice of Determination in Compliance with Section 21108 or 21152 of the public Resources Code.**

State Clearinghouse Number (if submitted to State Clearinghouse): 2013071027

Project Title: Riverside County Recycling Market Development Zone (RMDZ)

Project Location (include county): The Riverside County Recycling Market Development Zone includes designation area in all remaining unincorporated Riverside County, and the cities of Banning, Beaumont, Calimesa, Canyon Lake, Corona, Desert Hot Springs, Eastvale, Indian Wells, Jurupa Valley, Lake Elsinore, La Quinta, Menifee, Murrieta, Norco, Palm Desert, Palm Springs, Rancho Mirage, Riverside, Temecula and Wildomar.

Project Description: The project is the renewal and expansion of the existing zone boundaries, with the re-approval of the zone for another 10 years for the Riverside County Recycling Market Development Zone (RMDZ). The Riverside County RMDZ includes designating and expanding all the remaining areas of unincorporated Riverside County, and the cities of Banning, Beaumont, Calimesa, Canyon Lake, Corona, Desert Hot Springs, Eastvale, Indian Wells, Jurupa Valley, Lake Elsinore, La Quinta, Menifee, Murrieta, Norco, Palm Desert, Palm Springs, Rancho Mirage, Riverside, Temecula and Wildomar. Each city that so desires to participate in the RMDZ expansion process will adopt a formal resolution of support from their City Council, as required to verify the City's intentions of joining and supporting the RMDZ program. The already existing participating cities of the RMDZ are Blythe, Cathedral City, Coachella, Hemet, Indio, Moreno Valley, Perris and San Jacinto. The RMDZ Loan Program provides low-interest loans to private businesses and not-for-profit organizations to increase diversion of non-hazardous solid waste from California landfills and to promote market demand for secondary and postconsumer materials. The goal of this process is to continue to offer technical and financial assistance to recycling based businesses that use secondary material from the waste stream as feedstock for their manufacturing processes. Also, to assist cities and unincorporated areas of the county to meet the state mandated 50% reduction in solid waste streams to local and regional landfills. All responsible businesses applying within their designated city for permit issuance, will need to comply and provide a full CEQA review once the actual business parameters are established and will submit the necessary CEQA documentation to the lead agency overseeing the approval process.

This is to advise that the County of Riverside Board of Supervisors approved the above project on

Lead agency or  Responsible Agency

and has made the following determinations regarding the above described project:

\_\_\_\_\_  
(tentative date)



1. The project  will  will not have a significant effect on the environment.
2.  An Environmental Impact Report was prepared for this project pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.  
 A Negative Declaration was prepared for this project pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.
3. Mitigation measures  were  were not made a condition of the approval of the project.
4. A Mitigation reporting or monitoring plan  was  was not adopted for this project.
5. A statement of Overriding Considerations  was  was not adopted for this project.
6. Findings  were  were not made pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.

This is to certify that the Final EIR with comments and responses and record of project approval, or the Negative Declaration, is available to the General Public at:

General Public at: County of Riverside  
Economic Development Agency  
3043 10<sup>th</sup> Street, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Riverside, CA 92501

Signature: (Public Agency) \_\_\_\_\_ Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_ Date received for filing at OPR: \_\_\_\_\_

Authority cited: Sections 21083, Public Resources Code.  
Reference Section 21000-21174, Public Resources Code.

Revised 2005

FILED  
RIVERSIDE COUNTY

JUL 17 2013

LARRY W. GARD, CLERK

By *[Signature]* M. Meye  
Deputy



**NOTICE OF INTENT TO ADOPT TO ADOPT A NEGATIVE DECLARATION**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that the County of Riverside, as lead agency, intends to adopt a Negative Declaration, prepared pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), for the proposed project of the redesignation and expansion of the Riverside County Recycling Market Development Zone ("Project").

The Initial Study (Environmental Checklist No. RIVCO/CEQA 2013-01) and the Negative Declaration will be available for review from July 17, 2013 to August 15, 2013, at the Riverside County Economic Development Agency, 3403 Tenth Street, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor, Riverside, CA 92501. Please submit any written comments to the address provided above and directed to John Alfred, Supervising Facilities Project Manager.

The proposed Project will be considered by the appropriate public official or body that has the authority to approve or deny the project. Any comments received will be forwarded to the appropriate official or body and will be considered before final action is taken on the proposed Project. The official or body intends to adopt the Negative Declaration and to take action on the proposed Project at 9:00 am, on or after, August 20, 2013, County Administrative Center, 1<sup>st</sup> Floor, Board Chambers, at 4080 Lemon Street, Riverside, CA 92501. The final decision will be mailed to anyone requesting such notification.

**Project Description and Location**

The project is the renewal and expansion of the existing zone boundaries, with the re-approval of the zone for another 10 years for the Riverside County Recycling Market Development Zone (RMDZ). The Riverside County RMDZ includes designating and expanding all the remaining areas of unincorporated Riverside County, and the cities of Banning, Beaumont, Calimesa, Canyon Lake, Corona, Desert Hot Springs, Eastvale, Indian Wells, Jurupa Valley, Lake Elsinore, La Quinta, Menifee, Murrieta, Norco, Palm Desert, Palm Springs, Rancho Mirage, Riverside, Temecula and Wildomar. Each city that so desires to participate in the RMDZ expansion process will adopt a formal resolution of support from their City Council, as required to verify the City's intentions of joining and supporting the RMDZ program. The already existing participating cities of the RMDZ are Blythe, Cathedral City, Coachella, Hemet, Indio, Moreno Valley, Perris and San Jacinto. The RMDZ Loan Program provides low-interest loans to private businesses and not-for-profit organizations to increase diversion of non-hazardous solid waste from California landfills and to promote market demand for secondary and postconsumer materials. The goal of this process is to continue to offer technical and financial assistance to recycling based businesses that use secondary material from the waste stream as feedstock for their manufacturing processes. Also, to assist cities and unincorporated areas of the county to meet the state mandated 50% reduction in solid waste streams to local and regional landfills. All responsible businesses applying within their designated city for permit issuance, will need to comply and provide a full CEQA review once the actual business parameters are established and will submit the necessary CEQA documentation to the lead agency overseeing the approval process.

Please direct all questions regarding this notice to John Alfred, 951.955.0911.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE  
Neg Declaration/Ntc Declaration  
Filed per P.R.C. 11600  
POSTED

JUL 17 2013

Removed: \_\_\_\_\_

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
County of R

The Desert Sun  
750 N Gene Autry Trail  
Palm Springs, CA 92262  
760-778-4578 / Fax 760-778-4731

Certificate of Publication

State Of California ss:  
County of Riverside

Advertiser:

RIVERSIDE COUNTY ECONOMIC  
44199 MONROE ST STE B  
INDIO CA 922013

2000374915

I am over the age of 18 years old, a citizen of the United States and not a party to, or have interest in this matter. I hereby certify that the attached advertisement appeared in said newspaper (set in type not smaller than non pariel) in each and entire issue of said newspaper and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, to wit:


Newspaper: .The Desert Sun

7/21/2013

I acknowledge that I am a principal clerk of the printer of The Desert Sun, printed and published weekly in the City of Palm Springs, County of Riverside, State of California. The Desert Sun was adjudicated a newspaper of general circulation on March 24, 1988 by the Superior Court of the County of Riverside, State of California Case No. 191236.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on this 21st day of July, 2013 in Palm Springs, California

Declarant's Signature



No 1391  
NOTICE OF INTENT TO ADOPT A  
NEGATIVE DECLARATION

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that the County of Riverside, as lead agency, intends to adopt a Negative Declaration, prepared pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), for the proposed project of the redesignation and expansion of the Riverside County Recycling Market Development Zone ("Project").

The Initial Study (Environmental Checklist No. RIVCO/CEQA 2013-01) and the Negative Declaration will be available for review from July 17, 2013 to August 15, 2013, at the Riverside County Economic Development Agency, 3403 Tenth Street, 4th Floor, Riverside, CA 92501. Please submit any written comments to the address provided above and directed to John Alfred, Supervising Facilities Project Manager.

The proposed Project will be considered by the appropriate public official or body that has the authority to approve or deny the project. Any comments received will be forwarded to the appropriate official or body and will be considered before final action is taken on the proposed Project. The official or body intends to adopt the Negative Declaration and to take action on the proposed Project at 9:00 am, on or after, August 20, 2013, County Administrative Center, 1st Floor, Board Chambers, at 4080 Lemon Street, Riverside, CA 92501. The final decision will be mailed to anyone requesting such notification.

Project Description and Location

The project is the renewal and expansion of the existing zone boundaries, with the re-approval of the zone for another 10 years for the Riverside County Recycling Market Development Zone (RMDZ). The Riverside County RMDZ includes designating and expanding all the remaining areas of unincorporated Riverside County, and the cities of Banning, Beaumont, Calimesa, Canyon Lake, Corona, Desert Hot Springs, Eastvale, Indian Wells, Jurupa Valley, Lake Elsinore, La Quinta, Menifee, Murrieta, Norco, Palm Desert, Palm Springs, Rancho Mirage, Riverside, Temecula and Wildomar. Each city that so desires to participate in the RMDZ expansion process will adopt a formal resolution of support from their City Council, as required to verify the City's intentions of joining and supporting the RMDZ program. The already existing participating cities of the RMDZ are Blythe, Cathedral City, Coachella, Hemet, Indio, Moreno Valley, Perris and San Jacinto. The RMDZ Loan Program provides low-interest loans to private businesses and not-for-profit organizations to increase diversion of non-hazardous solid waste from California landfills and to promote market demand for secondary and postconsumer materials. The goal of this process is to continue to offer technical and financial assistance to recycling based businesses that use secondary material from the waste stream as feedstock for their manufacturing processes. Also, to assist cities and unincorporated areas of the county to meet the state mandated 50% reduction in solid waste streams to local and regional landfills. All responsible businesses applying within their designated city for permit issuance, will need to comply and provide a full CEQA review once the actual business parameters are established and will submit the necessary CEQA documentation to the lead agency overseeing the approval process.

Please direct all questions regarding this notice to John Alfred, 951.955.0911.

Published: 7/21/13

# THE PRESS-ENTERPRISE

3450 Fourteenth Street  
Riverside, CA 92501-3878  
951-684-1200  
951-368-9018 FAX

Publication(s): The Press-Enterprise

PROOF OF PUBLICATION OF

Ad Desc.: /

I am a citizen of the United States. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to or interested in the above entitled matter. I am an authorized representative of THE PRESS-ENTERPRISE, a newspaper in general circulation, printed and published daily in the County of Riverside, and which newspaper has been adjudicated a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of the County of Riverside, State of California, under date of April 25, 1952, Case Number 54446, under date of March 29, 1957, Case Number 65673, under date of August 25, 1995, Case Number 267864, and under date February 4, 2013, Case Number RIC 1215735; that the notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy, has been published in said newspaper in accordance with the instructions of the person(s) requesting publication, and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, to wit:

07/22/2013

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: August 05, 2013



FACILITIES MGMT/COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE  
3133 MISSION INN AVE  
RIVERSIDE, CA 92507

Ad Number: 0001092456-01

P.O. Number:

Ad Copy:

## NOTICE OF INTENT TO ADOPT A NEGATIVE DECLARATION

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that the County of Riverside, as lead agency, intends to adopt a Negative Declaration, prepared pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), for the proposed project of the redesignation and expansion of the Riverside County Recycling Market Development Zone ("Project").

The Initial Study (Environmental Checklist No. RIVCO/CEQA 2013-01) and the Negative Declaration will be available for review from July 17, 2013 to August 15, 2013, at the Riverside County Economic Development Agency, 3403 Tenth Street, 4th Floor, Riverside, CA 92501. Please submit any written comments to the address provided above and directed to John Alfred, Supervising Facilities Project Manager.

The proposed Project will be considered by the appropriate public official or body that has the authority to approve or deny the project. Any comments received will be forwarded to the appropriate official or body and will be considered before final action is taken on the proposed Project. The official or body intends to adopt the Negative Declaration and to take action on the proposed Project at 9:00 am, on or after, August 20, 2013, County Administrative Center, 1st Floor, Board Chambers, at 4080 Lemon Street, Riverside, CA 92501. The final decision will be mailed to anyone requesting such notification.

### Project Description and Location

The project is the renewal and expansion of the existing zone boundaries, with the re-approval of the zone for another 10 years for the Riverside County Recycling Market Development Zone (RMDZ). The Riverside County RMDZ includes designating and expanding all the remaining areas of unincorporated Riverside County, and the cities of Banning, Beaumont, Calimesa, Canyon Lake, Corona, Desert Hot Springs, Eastvale, Indian Wells, Jurupa Valley, Lake Elsinore, La Quinta, Menifee, Murrieta, Norco, Palm Desert, Palm Springs, Rancho Mirage, Riverside, Temecula and Wildomar. Each city that so desires to participate in the RMDZ expansion process will adopt a formal resolution of support from their City Council, as required to verify the City's intentions of joining and supporting the RMDZ program. The already existing participating cities of the RMDZ are Blythe, Cathedral City, Coachella, Hemet, Indio, Moreno Valley, Perris and San Jacinto. The RMDZ Loan Program provides low-interest loans to private businesses and not-for-profit organizations to increase diversion of non-hazardous solid waste from California landfills and to promote market demand for secondary and postconsumer materials. The goal of this process is to continue to offer technical and financial assistance to recycling based businesses that use secondary material from the waste stream as feedstock for their manufacturing processes. Also, to assist cities and unincorporated areas of the county to meet the state mandated 50% reduction in solid waste streams to local and regional landfills. All responsible businesses applying within their designated city for permit issuance, will need to comply and provide a full CEQA review once the actual business parameters are established and will submit the necessary CEQA documentation to the lead agency overseeing the approval process.

Please direct all questions regarding this notice to John Alfred, 951.955.0911. 7/22

**COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE**  
**Initial Study and Environmental Checklist**  
**No. RIVCO/CEQA 2013-01**  
**For The**  
**Riverside County Recycling Market Development Zone (RMDZ)**  
**(California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Division 6, Chapter 3,**  
**Sections 15000 – 15387 and Appendices A – K)**

1. **Project Title:**  
Riverside County Recycling Market Development Zone (RMDZ)

---
2. **Lead Agency Name and Address:**  
County of Riverside, 3403 Tenth Street, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Riverside, California, 92501

---
3. **Contact Person and Phone Number:**  
Pascual Guardado, Senior Development Specialist, (951) 955-9767; Facsimile 951.955.6686

---
4. **Project Location:**  
The Riverside County Recycling Market Development Zone includes designation area in all remaining unincorporated Riverside County, and the cities of Banning, Beaumont, Calimesa, Canyon Lake, Corona, Desert Hot Springs, Eastvale, Indian Wells, Jurupa Valley, Lake Elsinore, La Quinta, Menifee, Murrieta, Norco, Palm Desert, Palm Springs, Rancho Mirage, Riverside, Temecula and Wildomar.

---
5. **Project Sponsor's Name and Address:**  
County of Riverside, 3403 Tenth Street, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor, Riverside, California, 92501

---
6. **General Plan Designation:**  
The RMDZ has appropriate general plan designation for a variety of manufacturing, industrial and commercial uses.

---
7. **Zoning:**  
The zoning consists of a variety of uses ranging from light manufacturing to heavy manufacturing and includes some commercially designated areas.

---
8. **Description of project: (Describe the whole action involved, including but not limited to later phases of the project, and any secondary, support, or off-site features necessary for its implementation. Attach additional sheets if necessary.)**  
The project is the renewal and expansion of the existing zone boundaries, with the re-approval of the zone for another 10 years for the Riverside County Recycling Market Development Zone (RMDZ). The Riverside County RMDZ includes designating and expanding all the remaining areas of unincorporated Riverside County, and the cities of Banning, Beaumont, Calimesa, Canyon Lake, Corona, Desert Hot Springs, Eastvale, Indian Wells, Jurupa Valley, Lake Elsinore, La Quinta, Menifee, Murrieta, Norco, Palm Desert, Palm Springs, Rancho Mirage, Riverside, Temecula and Wildomar. Each city that so desires to participate in the RMDZ expansion process will adopt a formal resolution of support from their City Council, as required to verify the City's intentions of joining and supporting the RMDZ program. The already existing participating cities of the RMDZ are Blythe, Cathedral City, Coachella, Hemet, Indio, Moreno Valley, Perris and San Jacinto. The RMDZ Loan Program provides low-interest loans to private businesses and not-for-profit organizations to increase diversion of non-hazardous solid waste from California landfills and to promote market demand for secondary and postconsumer materials. The goal of this process is to continue to offer technical and financial assistance to recycling based businesses that use secondary material from the waste stream as feedstock for their manufacturing processes. Also, to assist cities and unincorporated areas of the county to meet the state mandated 50% reduction in solid waste streams to local and regional landfills. All responsible businesses applying within their designated city for permit issuance, will need to comply and provide a full CEQA review once the actual business parameters are established and will submit the necessary CEQA documentation to the lead agency overseeing the approval process.

---
9. **Surrounding Land Uses and Setting: Briefly Describe the Project's Surroundings:**  
The surrounding land use primarily consists of existing zoning for manufacturing and industrial activities with pockets of commercial usage.

---

**10. Other Public Agencies Whose Approval is required (e.g., permits, financing approval, or participation agreement.)**

Riverside County Board of Supervisors and the cities of Banning, Beaumont, Calimesa, Canyon Lake, Corona, Desert Hot Springs, Eastvale, Indian Wells, Jurupa Valley, Lake Elsinore, La Quinta, Menifee, Murrieta, Norco, Palm Desert, Palm Springs, Rancho Mirage, Riverside, Temecula and Wildomar. The California Department of Resources Recycling and Recovery (CalRecycle) must give final approval for re-designation.

---

**ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:**

The environmental factors checked below would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

- |   |  |   |
|---|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Aesthetics               | <input type="checkbox"/> Agriculture Resources         | <input type="checkbox"/> Air Quality                        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Biological Resources     | <input type="checkbox"/> Cultural Resources            | <input type="checkbox"/> Geology /Soils                     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Greenhouse Gas Emissions | <input type="checkbox"/> Hazards & Hazardous Materials | <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrology / Water Quality          |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Land Use / Planning      | <input type="checkbox"/> Mineral Resources             | <input type="checkbox"/> Noise                              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Population / Housing     | <input type="checkbox"/> Public Services               | <input type="checkbox"/> Recreation                         |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Transportation/Traffic   | <input type="checkbox"/> Utilities / Service Systems   | <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory Findings of Significance |

**DETERMINATION:** (To be completed by the Lead Agency)

On the basis of this initial evaluation:

- I find that the project COULD NOT have a significant effect on the environment, and a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.
- I find that although the project could have a significant effect on the environment, Nothing Further is Required because all potentially significant effect(s) (a) have been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or Mitigated Negative Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or Mitigated Negative Declaration, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project.
- I find that the project MAY have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.
- I find that the project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.
- I find that although the project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the project, nothing further is required.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Signature

6-12-13  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

Pascual Guardado,  
Senior Development Specialist  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Printed Name

County of Riverside,  
Economic Development Agency  
\_\_\_\_\_  
For

## EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS:

- 1) A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g., the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g., the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- 2) All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- 3) Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
- 4) "Negative Declaration: Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Than Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from Section XVII, "Earlier Analyses," may be cross-referenced).
- 5) Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. Section 15063(c) (3) (D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
  - a) Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
  - b) Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
  - c) Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measures, which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.
- 6) Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g., general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.
- 7) Supporting Information Sources: A source list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.
- 8) This is only a suggested form, and lead agencies are free to use different formats; however, lead agencies should normally address the questions from this checklist that are relevant to a project's environmental effects in whatever format is selected.
- 9) The explanation of each issue should identify:
  - a) the significance criteria or threshold, if any, used to evaluate each question; and
  - b) the mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance

**ISSUES**

<b>Potentially Significant Impact</b>	<b>Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation</b>	<b>Less Than Significant Impact</b>	<b>No Impact</b>
---	--	---	----------------------

I. **AESTHETICS** -- Would the project:

- |  |                          |                          |                          |                                     |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| a) Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?  | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| c) Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?  | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| d) Create a new source of substantial light or glare, which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?                                   | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

Findings of Fact:

No impact. Initial Study RIVCO/CEQA 2013-01 was prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15063 to allow the public and agencies to review and comment on the proposed discretionary action of the re-designation and or change of zone boundaries, with the re-approval of the zone for another 10 years for the Riverside County Recycling Market Development Zone (RMDZ). The re-designation of the RMDZ and its administrative structure will not alter the scenic resources or degrade the existing visual character. No construction or development is proposed, and any construction or development resulting from RMDZ activities would be subject to environmental review.

Mitigation:

None required

Monitoring:

None required

Source(s):

N/A

II. **AGRICULTURE RESOURCES:** In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources are significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to the California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessment Model (1997) prepared by the California Dept. of Conservation as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agriculture and farmland. Would the project:

- |  |                          |                          |                          |                                     |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?   | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| c) Involve other changes in the existing environment,  |                          |                          |                          |                                     |



**ISSUES**

<b>Potentially Significant Impact</b>	<b>Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation</b>	<b>Less Than Significant Impact</b>	<b>No Impact</b>
---	--	---	----------------------

which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use?

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

Findings of Fact:

No impact. Initial Study RIVCO/CEQA 2013-01 was prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15063 to allow the public and agencies to review and comment on the proposed discretionary action of the re-designation and or change of zone boundaries, with the re-approval of the zone for another 10 years for the Riverside County Recycling Market Development Zone (RMDZ). The re-designation of the RMDZ and its administrative structure will not alter the existing agricultural resources of the zone. The general plan and zoning designations of the zone are manufacturing, industrial and commercial. No construction or development is proposed, and any construction or development resulting from RMDZ activities would be subject to environmental review.

Mitigation:

None required

Monitoring:

None required

Source(s):

N/A

III. **AIR QUALITY.** Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project:

- |   |                          |                          |                          |                                     |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?   | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| b) Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?  | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| c) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| d) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?  | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| e) Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?   | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

**ISSUES**

<b>Potentially Significant Impact</b>	<b>Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation</b>	<b>Less Than Significant Impact</b>	<b>No Impact</b>
---	--	---	----------------------

Findings of Fact:

No impact. Initial Study RIVCO/CEQA 2013-01 was prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15063 to allow the public and agencies to review and comment on the proposed discretionary action of the re-designation and or change of zone boundaries, with the re-approval of the zone for another 10 years for the Riverside County Recycling Market Development Zone (RMDZ). The re-designation of the RMDZ and its administrative structure will not conflict with the implementation of any air quality plan, permanently violate air quality standards or cause a permanent increase in pollutants. No construction or development is proposed, and any construction or development resulting from RMDZ activities would be subject to environmental review.

Mitigation:

None required

Monitoring:

None required

Source(s):

N/A

**IV. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES.** -- Would the project:

a) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, and regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or US Fish and Wildlife Service?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

**ISSUES**

**Potentially Significant Impact**      **Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation**      **Less Than Significant Impact**      **No Impact**

Findings of Fact:

No impact. Initial Study RIVCO/CEQA 2013-01 was prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15063 to allow the public and agencies to review and comment on the proposed discretionary action of the re-designation and or change of zone boundaries, with the re-approval of the zone for another 10 years for the Riverside County Recycling Market Development Zone (RMDZ). The re-designation of the RMDZ and its administrative structure will not alter any open space or areas containing native or riparian habitats. No construction or development is proposed, and any construction or development resulting from RMDZ activities would be subject to environmental review.

Mitigation:

None required

Monitoring:

None required

Source(s):

N/A

**V. CULTURAL RESOURCES** -- Would the project:

- |   |                          |                          |                          |                                     |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in §15064.5?    | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to §15064.5? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| c) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?       | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| d) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?                          | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

Findings of Fact:

No impact. Initial Study RIVCO/CEQA 2013-01 was prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15063 to allow the public and agencies to review and comment on the proposed discretionary action of the re-designation and or change of zone boundaries, with the re-approval of the zone for another 10 years for the Riverside County Recycling Market Development Zone (RMDZ). The re-designation of the RMDZ and its administrative structure will not alter the cultural environment of the zone. No construction or development is proposed, and any construction or development resulting from RMDZ activities would be subject to environmental review.

Mitigation:

None required

Monitoring:

None required

Source(s):

N/A

**ISSUES**

<b>Potentially Significant Impact</b>	<b>Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation</b>	<b>Less Than Significant Impact</b>	<b>No Impact</b>
---	--	---	----------------------

**VI. GEOLOGY AND SOILS.** -- Would the project:

- |  |                          |                          |                          |                                     |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| a) Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:   |                          |                          |                          |                                     |
| i) Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42. | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| ii) Strong seismic ground shaking?   | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| iii) Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?   | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| iv) Landslides?  | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| b) Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?  | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| c) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?   | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| d) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?   | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative wastewater disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of wastewater?   | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

**ISSUES**

**Potentially Significant Impact**      **Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation**      **Less Than Significant Impact**      **No Impact**

Findings of Fact:

No impact. Initial Study RIVCO/CEQA 2013-01 was prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15063 to allow the public and agencies to review and comment on the proposed discretionary action of the re-designation and or change of zone boundaries, with the re-approval of the zone for another 10 years for the Riverside County Recycling Market Development Zone (RMDZ). Development within the zone shall be constructed to meet the current seismic safety standards included in the 2013 Uniform Building Code and County standards. No construction or development is proposed, and any construction or development resulting from RMDZ activities would be subject to environmental review.

Mitigation:

None required

Monitoring:

None required

Source(s):

N/A

**VII. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS.**

Would the project:

a) Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Findings of Fact:

No impact. Initial Study RIVCO/CEQA 2013-01 was prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15063 to allow the public and agencies to review and comment on the proposed discretionary action of the re-designation and or change of zone boundaries, with the re-approval of the zone for another 10 years for the Riverside County Recycling Market Development Zone (RMDZ). The proposed project will not conflict with any applicable plans, policies or regulations adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases. No construction or development is proposed, and any construction or development resulting from RMDZ activities would be subject to environmental review.

Mitigation:

None required

Monitoring:

None required

Source(s):

N/A

**VIII. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS.**

Would the project:

a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the				

**ISSUES**

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
g) Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
h) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wild land fires, including where wild lands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wild lands?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Findings of Fact:

No impact. Initial Study RIVCO/CEQA 2013-01 was prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15063 to allow the public and agencies to review and comment on the proposed discretionary action of the re-designation and or change of zone boundaries, with the re-approval of the zone for another 10 years for the Riverside County Recycling Market Development Zone (RMDZ). The re-designation of the RMDZ and its administrative structure will not create, emit or use hazardous materials. No construction or development is proposed, and any construction or development resulting from RMDZ activities would be subject to environmental review.

Mitigation:

None required

Monitoring:

None required

Source(s):

N/A

**ISSUES**

**Potentially Significant Impact**      **Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation**      **Less Than Significant Impact**      **No Impact**

**IX. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY**

Would the project:

a) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner, which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner, which would result in flooding on- or off-site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Create or contribute runoff water, which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned storm water drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
g) Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
h) Place within 100-year flood hazard area structures, which would impede or redirect flood flows?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
i) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
j) Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

**ISSUES**

<b>Potentially Significant Impact</b>	<b>Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation</b>	<b>Less Than Significant Impact</b>	<b>No Impact</b>
---	--	---	----------------------

Findings of Fact:

No impact. Initial Study RIVCO/CEQA 2013-01 was prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15063 to allow the public and agencies to review and comment on the proposed discretionary action of the re-designation and or change of zone boundaries, with the re-approval of the zone for another 10 years for the Riverside County Recycling Market Development Zone (RMDZ). The re-designation of the RMDZ and its administrative structure will not violate any water standards or waste discharge requirements. Re-designation will not interfere or affect the following: interfere or deplete groundwater, significantly alter the drainage pattern, create runoff and will not degrade water quality. Through the environmental review process, the member jurisdictions will evaluate projects on an individual basis for potential violation of water quality standards or waste discharge requirements. No construction or development is proposed, and any construction or development resulting from RMDZ activities would be subject to environmental review.

Mitigation:

None required

Monitoring:

None required

Source(s):

N/A

**X. LAND USE AND PLANNING.** - Would the project:

- |   |                          |                          |                          |                                     |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| a) Physically divide an established community?  | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| b) Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| c) Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?   | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

Findings of Fact:

No impact. Initial Study RIVCO/CEQA 2013-01 was prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15063 to allow the public and agencies to review and comment on the proposed discretionary action of the re-designation and or change of zone boundaries, with the re-approval of the zone for another 10 years for the Riverside County Recycling Market Development Zone (RMDZ). The re-designation of the RMDZ and its administrative structure will not alter or create significant impacts to the area. No construction or development is proposed, and any construction or development resulting from RMDZ activities would be subject to environmental review.

Mitigation:

None required

Monitoring:

None required

Source(s):

Riverside County Multi-Species Habitat Plan; Riverside County Integrated Plan, Riverside County Ordinance No. 348 (Land Use Ordinance).



**ISSUES**

<b>Potentially Significant Impact</b>	<b>Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation</b>	<b>Less Than Significant Impact</b>	<b>No Impact</b>
---	--	---	----------------------

**XI. MINERAL RESOURCES.** -- Would the project:

- |   |                          |                          |                          |                                     |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?                                | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

Findings of Fact:

No impact. Initial Study RIVCO/CEQA 2013-01 was prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15063 to allow the public and agencies to review and comment on the proposed discretionary action of the re-designation and or change of zone boundaries, with the re-approval of the zone for another 10 years for the Riverside County Recycling Market Development Zone (RMDZ). The re-designation of the RMDZ and its administrative structure will not result in the loss of availability of any known mineral resource, nor will it result in the loss of availability of a locally important resource recovery site delineated in the County of Riverside Mineral Resources Comprehensive General Plan. No construction or development is proposed, and any construction or development resulting from RMDZ activities would be subject to environmental review.

Mitigation:

None required

Monitoring:

None required

Source(s):

N/A

**XII. NOISE.** -- Would the project result in:

- |   |                          |                          |                          |                                     |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| a) Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?   | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| b) Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive ground borne vibration or ground borne noise levels?   | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| c) A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?  | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| d) A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?  | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working   | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

**ISSUES**

<b>Potentially Significant Impact</b>	<b>Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation</b>	<b>Less Than Significant Impact</b>	<b>No Impact</b>
---	--	---	----------------------

in the project area to excessive noise levels?

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

Findings of Fact:

No impact. Initial Study RIVCO/CEQA 2013-01 was prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15063 to allow the public and agencies to review and comment on the proposed discretionary action of the re-designation and or change of zone boundaries, with the re-approval of the zone for another 10 years for the Riverside County Recycling Market Development Zone (RMDZ). The re-designation of the RMDZ and its administrative structure will not create significant noise impacts to the area. No construction or development is proposed, and any construction or development resulting from RMDZ activities would be subject to environmental review.

Mitigation:

None required

Monitoring:

None required

Source(s):

N/A

**XIII. POPULATION AND HOUSING.** -- Would the project:

- a) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?
- b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?
- c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Findings of Fact:

No impact. Initial Study RIVCO/CEQA 2013-01 was prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15063 to allow the public and agencies to review and comment on the proposed discretionary action of the re-designation and or change of zone boundaries, with the re-approval of the zone for another 10 years for the Riverside County Recycling Market Development Zone (RMDZ). The re-designation of the RMDZ and its administrative structure will not alter, destroy existing housing or create any new housing. Without specific details regarding future developments, it is not possible to evaluate inducement of population growth and housing. No construction or development is proposed, and any construction or development resulting from RMDZ activities would be subject to environmental review.

Mitigation:

None required

Monitoring:

None required

Source(s):

N/A

**ISSUES**

<b>Potentially Significant Impact</b>	<b>Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation</b>	<b>Less Than Significant Impact</b>	<b>No Impact</b>
---	--	---	----------------------

**XIV. PUBLIC SERVICES**

a) Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Fire protection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Police protection?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Schools?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Parks?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Other public facilities?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Findings of Fact:

No impact. Initial Study RIVCO/CEQA 2013-01 was prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15063 to allow the public and agencies to review and comment on the proposed discretionary action of the re-designation and or change of zone boundaries, with the re-approval of the zone for another 10 years for the Riverside County Recycling Market Development Zone (RMDZ). The re-designation of the RMDZ and its administrative structure will not negatively impact police or fire protection, nor create a need for additional schools, parks or other public facilities. No construction or development is proposed, and any construction or development resulting from RMDZ activities would be subject to environmental review.

Mitigation:

None required

Monitoring:

None required

Source(s):

N/A

**XV. RECREATION**

a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities, which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

**ISSUES**

**Potentially Significant Impact**      **Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation**      **Less Than Significant Impact**      **No Impact**

Findings of Fact:

No impact. Initial Study RIVCO/CEQA 2013-01 was prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15063 to allow the public and agencies to review and comment on the proposed discretionary action of the re-designation and or change of zone boundaries, with the re-approval of the zone for another 10 years for the Riverside County Recycling Market Development Zone (RMDZ). The re-designation of the RMDZ and its administrative structure will not create a negative impact on local recreational facilities. No construction or development is proposed, and any construction or development resulting from RMDZ activities would be subject to environmental review.

Mitigation:

None required

Monitoring:

None required

Source(s):

N/A

**XVI. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC -- Would the project:**

a) Cause an increase in traffic, which is substantial in relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street system (i.e., result in a substantial increase in either the number of vehicle trips, the volume to capacity ratio on roads, or congestion at intersections)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Exceed, either individually or cumulatively, a level of service standard established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Result in inadequate emergency access?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) Result in inadequate parking capacity?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
g) Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

**ISSUES**

**Potentially Significant Impact**      **Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation**      **Less Than Significant Impact**      **No Impact**

Findings of Fact:

No impact. Initial Study RIVCO/CEQA 2013-01 was prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15063 to allow the public and agencies to review and comment on the proposed discretionary action of the re-designation and or change of zone boundaries, with the re-approval of the zone for another 10 years for the Riverside County Recycling Market Development Zone (RMDZ). The re-designation of the RMDZ and its administrative structure will not negatively impact local or regional traffic circulation. Air traffic and emergency access will not be impeded. To ensure that significant impacts to public transportation systems do not occur, future development projects within the zone will be reviewed for potential impacts to potential vehicular traffic, air traffic, safety and emergency access. No construction or development is proposed, and any construction or development resulting from RMDZ activities would be subject to environmental review.

Mitigation:

None required

Monitoring:

None required

Source(s):

N/A

**XVII. UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS --**

Would the project:

	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
a) Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider, which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
g) Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

**ISSUES**

<p><b>Potentially Significant Impact</b></p>	<p><b>Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation</b></p>	<p><b>Less Than Significant Impact</b></p>	<p><b>No Impact</b></p>
--	---	--	-----------------------------

Findings of Fact:

No impact. Initial Study RIVCO/CEQA 2013-01 was prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15063 to allow the public and agencies to review and comment on the proposed discretionary action of the re-designation and or change of zone boundaries, with the re-approval of the zone for another 10 years for the Riverside County Recycling Market Development Zone (RMDZ). The re-designation of the RMDZ and its administrative structure will not negatively impact wastewater treatment facilities, sewer systems or storm drains. No construction or development is proposed, and any construction or development resulting from RMDZ activities would be subject to environmental review.

Mitigation:

None required

Monitoring:

None required

Source(s):

N/A

**XVIII. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE**

- |   |                          |                          |                          |                                     |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| <p>a) Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?</p> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| <p>b) Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?</p>   | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| <p>c) Does the project have environmental effects, which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?</p>   | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

**ISSUES****Potentially  
Significant  
Impact****Less Than  
Significant  
with  
Mitigation  
Incorporation****Less Than  
Significant  
Impact****No  
Impact**Findings of Fact:

No impact. Initial Study RIVCO/CEQA 2013-01 was prepared pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15063 to allow the public and agencies to review and comment on the proposed discretionary action of the re-designation and or change of zone boundaries, with the re-approval of the zone for another 10 years for the Riverside County Recycling Market Development Zone (RMDZ). The proposed project does not have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment include or causing the reduction of fish or wildlife habitat, or a decrease in wildlife population below self-sustaining levels. The project does not have impacts that are individually limited or cumulatively considerable and as such will not have substantial adverse environmental affects that will harm individuals directly or indirectly. No construction or development is proposed, and any construction or development resulting from RMDZ activities would be subject to environmental review.

Mitigation:

None required

Monitoring:

None required

Source(s):

N/A