### SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA



FROM: Economic Development Agency

SUBMITTAL DATE: May 8, 2014

**SUBJECT:** Home Front at Camp Anza Apartments in the City of Riverside, District 1/District1, HOME Investment Partnerships Act Funds 100%, Adoption of CEQA Findings and Mitigation Monitoring Plan and Adoption of Finding of No Significant Impact, [\$0]

**RECOMMENDED MOTION:** That the Board of Supervisors:

- Certify it has reviewed and considered the attached City of Riverside's Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration and the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program for the Home Front at Camp Anza (Project) and Adopt the CEQA Findings and Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program set forth therein as a Responsible Agency;
- Adopt the attached City of Riverside's Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact (City EA) for the Home Front at Camp Anza (Project) approved by the City of Riverside and filed with the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) on March 7, 2014;

(Continued)

mental Concurrence

Robert Field
Assistant County Executive Officer/EDA

FINANCIAL DATA	Current Fiscal Year:	Next Fiscal Year:	Total Cost:		Ongoing Cost:	POLICY/CONSENT (per Exec. Office)	
COST	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$	0	\$ 0	Consent □ Policy 💢	
NET COUNTY COST	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$	0	\$ 0	Consent - Policy	
SOURCE OF FUN	DS: N/A				Budget Adjusti	ment: No	
10 00 0					For Fiscal Year	: 2013/14	
C.E.O. RECOMME	NDATION:		API	PROVE	Dark		

BY: Cohini Dasik

**County Executive Office Signature** 

MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Positions Added	Change Order		
A-30	4/5 Vote	Mary 13 PH 1: 43	
		Prev. Agn. Ref.: District: 1/1 Agenda Number:	
		1-1-1	

3 - 15

### SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

**Economic Development Agency** 

**FORM 11:** Home Front at Camp Anza Apartments in the City of Riverside, District 1/District1, HOME Investment Partnerships Act Funds 100%, Adoption of CEQA Findings and Mitigation Monitoring Plan and Adoption of Finding of No Significant Impact, [\$0]

**DATE:** May 8, 2014

Page 2 of 4

### **RECOMMENDED MOTION:** (Continued)

- 3. Find that the original findings in the City EA are still valid and there is no need for a reevaluation because a) there are no substantial changes in nature, magnitude or extent of the Project, b) there are no new circumstances or environmental conditions which may affect the Project or have a bearing on its impact, and c) the recipient has not proposed the selection of an alternative not in the original findings;
- 4. Adopt the attached County of Riverside's Environmental Assessment (County EA) and Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) for the Project based on the findings incorporated therein and conclude that the Project is not an action which may affect the quality of the environment;
- 5. Approve the attached Request for Release of Funds (RROF);
- 6. Authorize the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors to execute the RROF and the County EA and FONSI to be filed with HUD; and
- 7. Authorize the Assistant County Executive Officer/EDA, or designee, to take all necessary steps to implement the RROF and County EA and FONSI, including but not limited to, signing subsequent necessary and relevant documents subject to approval by County Counsel.

### **BACKGROUND:**

#### Summary

The Riverside County Economic Development Agency (EDA) has completed all applicable review procedures and has evaluated the potential effects of the Project on the environment pursuant to CEQA and NEPA (National Environmental Policy Act).

On November 19, 2013, the City of Riverside as Lead Agency approved the Project and adopted a Mitigated Negative Declaration and Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program. Various other funding actions were also taken by the City at that time. In order for the Project Applicant to obtain \$500,000.00 of County HOME funds and comply with the requirements of CEQA, the County must approve the Project as a responsible agency. CEQA Guidelines Section 15096 establishes the process for public agency approval of a project when they are acting as a responsible agency. Upon certification that it has reviewed and considered the attached City of Riverside's Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration and Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program by the Board of Supervisors, the County will have complied with the requirements of CEQA Guidelines Section 15096.

The attached City EA and County EA and FONSI were prepared pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), and the procedures set forth in 24 CFR Sections 58.5 and 58.6 for responsible entities which must assume responsibilities for environmental review, decision-making and action that normally apply to HUD. As a result, EDA has determined that the proposed project would not have a significant effect on the environment. Additionally, the applicable publishing requirements set forth in 24 CFR 58.43 and 58.45 have been met.

(Continued)

### SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

**Economic Development Agency** 

**FORM 11:** Home Front at Camp Anza Apartments in the City of Riverside, District 1/District1, HOME Investment Partnerships Act Funds 100%, Adoption of CEQA Findings and Mitigation Monitoring Plan and Adoption of Finding of No Significant Impact, [\$0]

**DATE:** May 8, 2014

Page 3 of 4

#### **BACKGROUND:**

**Summary** (Continued)

The Environmental Assessment and Compliance Findings for Related Laws (City EA) was completed and approved by HUD when the applicant originally applied for HOME funds to the City of Riverside. EDA has reviewed the City EA and determined that the original findings are still valid and there is no need for reevaluation pursuant to 24 CFR Section 58.47. The County EA incorporates the original findings made in the City EA. Since the County was not the responsible entity for completion of the City EA, it is recommended that the Board adopt HUD's approved City EA along with adopting the County EA.

#### PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

Camp Anza, L.P., (Applicant), a California limited partnership, is proposing to use up to \$500,000 in HOME funds for the development and construction of a 30-unit apartment complex and rehabilitate the existing 15,000 square-feet Camp Anza Officer's Club (Officers Club) building. The Project site is comprised of 5 contiguous parcels totaling approximately 2.14 acres, located at 5797 Picker Street in the City of Riverside.

The proposed Project will consist of 15 two-bedroom units, and 15 three-bedroom units. The two-bedroom units are approximately 776 square-feet and the three-bedroom units range in size from 1,054 to 1,151 square-feet. One two-bedroom unit will be set aside for a resident manager. The apartment units will be rented to low-income Veterans and their families and provide the veteran(s) and their families with a variety of supportive services designed to ease the transition back into civilian life. If necessary the units will also be made available to the general population of qualified low-income residents.

A total of 11 units will be designated as HOME-assisted units limited to households whose incomes do not exceed 50% of the area median income for the County of Riverside, adjusted by family size at the time of occupancy. The HOME-assisted units will be restricted for a period of at least 55 years from the recordation of the notice of completion.

A significant component of this proposal involves the restoration and rehabilitation of a vacant historic building also known as the Officer's Club which will be renovated into a multipurpose community center for the use of residents living in the proposed Project. The Officer's Club, is a cultural resource and valuable connection demonstrating Riverside's Contribution to the World War II war effort. The goal is to preserve and enhance the integrity of the Officer's Club building and to integrate into this Project that will be serving Veterans. Mercy House, a member of the Camp Anza, L.P., will be responsible for coordinating all support services at the Project, and will staff the Project with a part to full time (depending on need) Resident Services Coordinator/Case Manager, who will work not just with veterans but with family members who provide crucial support to their returned loved ones. The manager's office and community room will be open to residents during normal business hours, as well as in the evenings and weekends when community events are held for the residents.

The Applicant intends to use up to \$500,000 in County HOME funds for hard and soft construction expenses related to the housing portion of the Project. Other funding sources include a \$2,266,260 loan from the Housing Authority of the City of Riverside, a \$632,732 HOME loan from the City of Riverside, \$233,079 in waived development impact fees from the City of Riverside, \$1,100,000 the appraised value of the land and building being donated by the Housing Authority of the City of Riverside, a \$300,000 loan from the Federal Home Loan Bank, and \$8,730,609 in limited partner tax credit equity contribution. The total cost of development is estimated to be \$13,762,680. (Continued)

### SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

**Economic Development Agency** 

**FORM 11:** Home Front at Camp Anza Apartments in the City of Riverside, District 1/District1, HOME Investment Partnerships Act Funds 100%, Adoption of CEQA Findings and Mitigation Monitoring Plan and Adoption of Finding of No Significant Impact, [\$0]

**DATE:** May 8, 2014

Page 4 of 4

### **BACKGROUND:**

**Summary** (Continued)

County Counsel has reviewed and approved as to form the attached Request for Release of Funds. Staff recommends that the Board approved the attached documents.

### Impact on Residents and Businesses

Approving this item will have a positive impact on the citizens and businesses of the City of Riverside and the County. The proposed Project is expected to generate construction, permanent maintenance and property management jobs, and provide affordable housing for residents of the City of Riverside and the County.

#### SUPPLEMENTAL:

Additional Fiscal Information (Continued)

No impact upon the County's General Fund; the County's contribution to the Project will be fully funded with HOME Investment Partnerships Act funds from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development.

### **Contract History and Price Reasonableness**

Not applicable.

### **ATTACHMENTS:**

- A. City of Riverside Mitigated Negative Declaration
- B. City of Riverside Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program
- C. Public Notice
- D. Request for Release of Funds
- E. City of Riverside Environmental Assessment
- F. County of Riverside Environmental Assessment

### Attachment A

# City of Riverside Mitigated Negative Declaration



## COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT Planning Division

City of Arts & Innovation

### Mitigated Negative Declaration

WARD: 6

1. Case Number:

P13-0198 (General Plan Amendment)

P13-0199 (Rezoning)

P13-0200 (Certificate of Appropriateness) P13-0201 (Design Review – Site Plan Review)

2. Project Title:

Home Front at Camp Anza

3. Hearing Date:

September 18, 2013 (Cultural Heritage Board) September 19, 2013 (City Planning Commission)

4. Lead Agency:

City of Riverside

Community Development Department

Planning Division

3900 Main Street, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor Riverside, CA 92522

5. Contact Person:

Moises A. Lopez, Associate Planner

Phone Number:

(951) 826-5264

Email:

mlopez@riversideca.gov

6. Project Location:

5797 Picker Street, situated north of Philbin Avenue, between Picker and

Wohlstetter Streets

7. Project Applicant Name and Address:

Wakeland Housing and Development Corporation

1230 Columbia Street, Suite 950

San Diego, CA 92101

8. General Plan Designation: MDR - Medium Density Residential

9. **Zoning:** R-1-7000 – Single-Family Residential Zone

Description of Project: (Describe the whole action involved, including but not limited to later phases of the project, and any secondary, support, or off-site features necessary for its implementation. Attach additional sheets if necessary.)

The proposed Home Front at Camp Anza project entails the development of 30 residential units in one- and two-story buildings sited around the Camp Anza Officer's Club with frontage onto both Picker Street and Wohlstetter Street. The housing complex will include 16 two-bedroom units and 14 three-bedroom units; the two-bedroom units will be approximately 800 square-feet and the three-bedroom units 1,077 square-feet. Vehicular access to the project site will be provided via two separate two-way driveways from Picker Street and Wohlstetter Street.

Home Front at Camp Anza's new homes will be designed to blend seamlessly into the surrounding Arlanza neighborhood, which is primarily comprised of housing converted from former barracks and repurposed

service buildings. The architecture of the new homes will utilize low-scaled, simple forms that will reflect some of the architectural details of the Officer's Club building, such as eave overhangs, the shallow pitched roofs and the wood siding. Entries will be marked by a trellis structure to give a sense of arrival to both the tenant and visitors. Private outdoor spaces and windows would overlook public areas to provide a presence on the street and within the development. Within the site, courtyards serve as extensions of the living space and provide social gathering spaces for veterans and their families, while two tot lots will provide resident's children with safe places to play.

The unit floor plans will be designed to provide strong connections to the outdoors to promote socializing and community connection. Convenient, pedestrian-friendly pathways link the entire site for easy access throughout. Wheelchair-friendly surfaces shall be incorporated to make the site as accessible as possible to allow disable veterans to take full advantage of outdoor amenities. Being that sustainability is an important element for smart growth, the project will include sustainable features such as drought-tolerant landscaping, energy efficient lighting, water conservation features, and enhanced indoor air quality.

A goal of this project is to rehabilitate the Camp Anza Officer's Club and seek designation of the structure as a City Structure of Merit for the community of Arlanza. The revitalized space will serve as a "town center" for this project, and will include a leasing office, a computer lab, a large multipurpose room, office space for supportive service providers, and a kitchen for providing communal meals. The building's exterior will be restored to reflect its original finishes and architectural details, including wood siding and eave overhangs and appropriate windows. The entire building will be made accessible to persons with disabilities through the use of ramps at the entrances and an elevator to the mezzanine area.

Home Front at Camp Anza's design will also pay homage to the site's military history through the use of commemorative public art and an on-site museum in the rehabilitated Officer's Club informing residents and visitors about the history of Camp Anza and the Officer's club during World War II.

To facilitate the development of the Home Front at Camp Anza as currently proposed, the applicant has submitted an application to amend the General Plan land use and Zoning designation of the project site. This proposal seeks to amend the General Plan land use designation from Medium Density Residential (MDR) to High Density Residential (HDR) and to change the Zoning designation from the Single-Family Residential (R-1-7000) Zone to the Multiple-Family Residential (R-3-2500) Zone.

#### 11. Surrounding land uses and setting: Briefly describe the project's surroundings:

	Existing Land Use	General Plan Designation	Zoning Designation
D-alast Cita	Camp Anza Officer's	MDR - Medium Density	R-1-7000 – Single-
Project Site	Club; Vacant Parcels	Residential	Family Residential Zone
North	Single Family Desidences	MDR - Medium Density	R-1-7000 - Single-
North	Single-Family Residences	Residential	Family Residential Zone
East	Single Family Desidences	MDR - Medium Density	R-1-7000 - Single-
East	Single-Family Residences	Residential	Family Residential Zone
C4l-	Arlanza Branch Public	MDR - Medium Density	R-1-7000 - Single-
South	Library	Residential	Family Residential Zone
3374	Single Family Desidences	MDR - Medium Density	R-1-7000 - Single-
West	Single-Family Residences	Residential	Family Residential Zone

### 12. Other public agencies whose approval is required (e.g., permits, financial approval, or participation agreement.):

a. None.

### 13. Other Environmental Reviews Incorporated by Reference in this Review:

- a. General Plan 2025
- b. GP 2025 FPEIR
- c. Cultural Resources Survey, Home Front at Camp Anza/Camp Anza Officer's Club; Prepared by JMRC, June 2013.
- d. Project Specific Water Quality Management Plan; Prepared by SB&O, Inc., August 2013.

### 14. Acronyms

AICUZ - Air Installation Compatible Use Zone Study

AQMP - Air Quality Management Plan AUSD - Alvord Unified School District

CEQA - California Environmental Quality Act

CMP - Congestion Management Plan
EIR - Environmental Impact Report
EMWD - Eastern Municipal Water District
EOP - Emergency Operations Plan

FEMA - Federal Emergency Management Agency

FPEIR - GP 2025 Final Programmatic Environmental Impact Report

GIS - Geographic Information System

GHG - Green House Gas
GP 2025 - General Plan 2025
IS - Initial Study

LHMP - Local Hazard Mitigation Plan

MARB/MIP - March Air Reserve Base/March Inland Port

MJPA-JLUS - March Joint Powers Authority - Joint Land Use Study

MSHCP - Multiple-Species Habitat Conservation Plan
MVUSD - Moreno Valley Unified School District
NCCP - Natural Communities Conservation Plan

OEM - Office of Emergency Services
OPR - Office of Planning & Research, State
PEIR - Program Environmental Impact Report

PW - Public Works, Riverside

RCALUC - Riverside County Airport Land Use Commission
RCALUCP - Riverside County Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan

RCP - Regional Comprehensive Plan

RCTC - Riverside County Transportation Commission

RMC - Riverside Municipal Code RPD - Riverside Police Department RPU - Riverside Public Utilities

RTIP - Regional Transportation Improvement Plan

RTP - Regional Transportation Plan
RUSD - Riverside Unified School District

SCAG - Southern California Association of Governments SCAOMD - South Coast Air Quality Management District

SCH - State Clearinghouse

SKR-HCP - Stephens' Kangaroo Rat - Habitat Conservation Plan

SWPPP - Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan

USGS - United States Geologic Survey
WMWD - Western Municipal Water District
WQMP - Water Quality Management Plan

### ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED:

	pelow would be potentially affected but Impact" as indicated by the checklist		st one		
Aesthetics	Agriculture & Forest Resources	Air Quality			
Biological Resources	Cultural Resources	Geology/Soils			
Greenhouse Gas Emissions	Hazards & Hazardous Materials	Hydrology/Water Quality			
Land Use/Planning	Mineral Resources	Noise			
Population/Housing	Public Service	Recreation			
Transportation/Traffic	Utilities/Service Systems	Mandatory Findings of Significance			
<b>DETERMINATION:</b> (To be comple On the basis of this initial evaluation	ted by the Lead Agency)  n which reflects the independent judg	gment of the City of Riverside	e, it is		
recommended that:					
The City of Riverside finds that the propound a NEGATIVE DECLARATION will	osed project COULD NOT have a significate be prepared.	ant effect on the environment,			
The City of Riverside finds that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project have been made by or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.					
The City of Riverside finds that the propENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT	osed project MAY have a significant effect is required.	et on the environment, and an			
The City of Riverside finds that the proposed project MAY have a "potentially significant impact" or "potentially significant unless mitigated" impact on the environment, but at least one effect 1) has been adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and 2) has been addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis as described on attached sheets. An ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required, but it must analyze only the effects that remain to be addressed.					
The City of Riverside finds that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been analyzed adequately in an earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION pursuant to applicable standards, and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or NEGATIVE DECLARATION, including revisions or mitigation measures that are imposed upon the proposed project, nothing further is required.					
Signature At Dal		Date September 19, 201	3		
Printed Name & Title Robert Wade,	Chair-Planning Commission	For <u>City of Riverside</u>			
385					



## COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT Planning Division

### Environmental Initial Study

### **EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS:**

- A brief explanation is required for all answers except "No Impact" answers that are adequately supported by the information sources a lead agency cites in the parentheses following each question. A "No Impact" answer is adequately supported if the referenced information sources show that the impact simply does not apply to projects like the one involved (e.g., the project falls outside a fault rupture zone). A "No Impact" answer should be explained where it is based on project-specific factors as well as general standards (e.g., the project will not expose sensitive receptors to pollutants, based on a project-specific screening analysis).
- 2) All answers must take account of the whole action involved, including off-site as well as on-site, cumulative as well as project-level, indirect as well as direct, and construction as well as operational impacts.
- Once the lead agency has determined that a particular physical impact may occur, then the checklist answers must indicate whether the impact is potentially significant, less than significant with mitigation, or less than significant. "Potentially Significant Impact" is appropriate if there is substantial evidence that an effect may be significant. If there are one or more "Potentially Significant Impact" entries when the determination is made, an EIR is required.
- "Negative Declaration: Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated" applies where the incorporation of mitigation measures has reduced an effect from "Potentially Significant Impact" to a "Less Than Significant Impact." The lead agency must describe the mitigation measures, and briefly explain how they reduce the effect to a less than significant level (mitigation measures from "Earlier Analyses," as described in (5) below, may be cross-referenced).
- Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration. Section 15063(c)(3)(D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:
  - a. Earlier Analysis Used. Identify and state where they are available for review.
  - b. Impacts Adequately Addressed. Identify which effects from the above checklist were within the scope of and adequately analyzed in an earlier document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and state whether such effects were addressed by mitigation measures based on the earlier analysis.
  - c. Mitigation Measures. For effects that are "Less than Significant with Mitigation Measures Incorporated," describe the mitigation measure which were incorporated or refined from the earlier document and the extent to which they address site-specific conditions for the project.
- Lead agencies are encouraged to incorporate into the checklist references to information sources for potential impacts (e.g., general plans, zoning ordinances). Reference to a previously prepared or outside document should, where appropriate, include a reference to the page or pages where the statement is substantiated.

- 7) Supporting Information Sources: A source list should be attached, and other sources used or individuals contacted should be cited in the discussion.
- 8) The explanation of each issue should identify:
  - a. the significance criteria or threshold, if any, used to evaluate each question; and
  - b. the mitigation measure identified, if any, to reduce the impact to less than significance.

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation Incorporated	Impact	
1. AESTHETICS. Would the project:				
a. Have a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?				
1a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure CCM-4 - Figure 5.1-1 - Scenic and Special Boulevards and Parkwa Table 5.1-B - Scenic Parkways)  The proposed project consists of an infill project within an urbanize where there are no scenic vistas and where direct, indirect and cumu impacts.	ys, Table 5.1. d area comple	A - Scenic ar	ad Special Bo	development
b. Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings, and historic buildings within a state scenic highway?				
1b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure CCM-4 – Figure 5.1-1 – Scenic and Special Boulevards, Parkways, 5.1-B – Scenic Parkways, the City's Urban Forest Tree Policy – Article V – Chapter 19.100 – Residential Zones - RC 2	Table 5.1-A plicy Manual, Zone)	– Scenic and Title 20 – Cu	Special Boul Itural Resour	evards, Table ces and, Title
There are no scenic highways within the City that could potentially located along or within view of a scenic boulevard, parkway or specific 2025 and therefore will not have any effect on any scenic resources with the series of	cial boulevard within a scenic, 200 feet east to these reson of the structurenter" for this portive service its original function to the meza Forest Tree ates building development act or cumulat	as designated croadway. The of the project urces are expecture as a City project, and we providers, an ishes and archard be made canine area. Very Manual setbacks, but of all propertion of the project	by the City's se closest Scen site. As well cted. A goal of Structure of will include a lead a kitchen the facessible to With implement, scenic resonated in this project this project.	General Plan ic Boulevard, there are no of this project Merit for the easing office, for providing ils, including persons with neutron of the arces will be a land uses, lect complies
c. Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?			Citywide Dee	ian and Sian
1c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025, General Plan 2 Guidelines)  The proposed project consists of an infill project within an urbanize The proposed Home Front at Camp Anza project entails the development site consists of five contiguous parcels totaling approximatel Plan 2025 goals and policies, including LU-8, which is intended to the land development process" and Policy LU-8.1 which is intended and allow for increased density in selected areas." This proposal with the existing surrounding residential development, consistent with quality of the site and its surroundings. As well, this proposal Citywide Design Guidelines, as is related to site design, building an space amenities, and parking in order to ensure superior quality and surrounding uses and architecture, this proposal will not degrade the surroundings. Therefore, any potential adverse direct, indirect, or significant.	d area completelopment of 3 ge onto both y 2.14 acres. "emphasize so to "ensure vill provide a lith the General has been analichitecture, land design. Give existing vis	etely surrounded oresidential to Picker Street. The proposed nart growth provell-planned in the proposed of th	ed by existing units in one-cand Wohlstett project impler imples throughfill developme with amenitid will aestheti istency with the coposal will coposal will coprogramme to the candity of the candity o	development. and two-story ter Street; the ments General gh all steps of tent Citywide, es compatible cally improve the established common open mplement the the site and its
d. Create a new source of substantial light or glare, which			$\boxtimes$	

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact			
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation Incorporated	lmpact	-			
would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?		nicoi porated					
1d. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025, General Plan 20	25 FPEIR Fig	ure 5.1-2 – Mc	ount Palomar	Lighting			
Area, Title 19 - Article VIII - Chapter 19.556 - Lighting,	Citywide Design	gn and Sign G	uidelines)				
This proposal will create a new source of light in this neighborhood	od; an exterior	lighting plan	will be require	d prior to the			
issuance of building permits. The purpose of these plans will be to	insure that all r	new light sourc	es are adequat	ely hooded or			
shielded downward as to not produce undesirable or dangerous leve and to insure that all lighting complies with City policies regard	els of glare to	motorists and i	surrounding re	esidential uses			
policies regarding exterior lighting will reduce any impacts to less the	han significan	t levels.	cis. Compila	nee with enty			
policies regarding exterior righting with reacter any impact of							
2. AGRICULTURE AND FOREST RESOURCES:							
In determining whether impacts to agricultural resources ar	e						
significant environmental effects, lead agencies may refer to th	e						
California Agricultural Land Evaluation and Site Assessmen	it						
Model (1997) prepared by the California Dept. of Conservation							
as an optional model to use in assessing impacts on agricultur and farmland. In determining whether impacts to forest	st						
resources, including timberland, are significant environments	ıl						
effects, lead agencies may refer to information complied by th	e						
California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection	n						
regarding the state's inventory of forest land, including the Forest and Range Assessment Project and the Forest Legac	e v						
Assessment project; and the forest carbon measuremen	nt	Ì					
methodology provided in the Forest Protocols adopted by th	e		)	3			
California Air Resources Board. Would the project:							
a. Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of				$\boxtimes$			
Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the map prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping an							
Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency							
to non-agricultural use?	1						
2a, Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 - Figure OS-2 - A	gricultural Su	itability)		·			
The Project is located within an urbanized area. A review of Fig	ure OS-2 - Ag	ricultural Suit	ability of the	General Plan			
2025 reveals that the project site is not designated as, and is not ad	jacent to or in p	proximity to a	ny land classif	ied as, Prime			
Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importa Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Re	nce, as snown	on the maps	the project	rsuant to the will have no			
impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively to agricultural uses.	csources Agen	cy. Therefore	, the project	Will Have no			
b. Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or	а			$\boxtimes$			
Williamson Act contract?  2b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 - Figure OS-3 -	Williamson Ac	t Procesues G	eneral Plan 2	025 FPFIR			
Figure 5.2-4 – Proposed Zones Permitting Agricultural U	ses, and Title	19)	C/(C/(L) 1 +4/1 2	020 11 211			
A review of Figure 5.2-2 - Williamson Act Preserves of the Gene	ral Plan 2025	FPEIR reveals	that the proje	ect site is not			
located within an area that is affected by a Williamson Act Preser	ve or under a	Williamson Ac	et Contract. N	Moreover, the			
project site is not zoned for agricultural use and is not next to land zoned for agricultural use; therefore, the project will have							
no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively.  c. Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning o				$\square$			
c. Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of forestland (as defined in Public Resources Code section							
12220(g)) timberland (as defined in Public Resources Cod	e						
section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Productio	n						
(as defined by Government Code section 51104(g))?	1						
2c. Response: (Source: GIS Map - Forest Data)	ant nation to	a 001100 500 d	nge it have on	w timberland			
The City of Riverside has no forestland that can support 10-per Therefore, no impacts will occur from this project directly, indirect	CIIL HALLYE LEG	C COACT HOT OF	oo it liave all	y uniocitatid.			

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact				
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation Incorporated	Impact					
d. Result in the loss of forestland or conversion of forestland to non-forest use?				$\boxtimes$				
e. Involve other changes in the existing environment, which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or conversion of forestland to non-forest use?				$\boxtimes$				
2e. Response: (Source: General Plan - Figure OS-2 - Agricu Preserves, Title 19 - Article V - Chapter 19.100 - Resident Forest Data)	ial Zones – Re	C Zone and R.	A-5 Zone and	GIS Map -				
The project is located in an urbanized area of the City. Additionally, the site is identified as urban/built out land and therefore does not support agricultural resources or operations. The project will not result in the conversion of designated farmland to non-agricultural uses. In addition, there are no agricultural resources or operations, including farmlands within proximity of the subject site. The City of Riverside has no forestland that can support 10-percent native tree cover. Therefore, no impacts will occur from this project directly, indirectly or cumulatively to conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use or to the loss of forestland.								
3. AIR QUALITY.								
Where available, the significance criteria established by the applicable air quality management or air pollution control district may be relied upon to make the following determinations. Would the project:								
a. Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?			$\boxtimes$					
3a. Response: (Source: South Coast Air Quality Manager (AQMP))								
Projects that are consistent with the projections of employment and population forecasts identified by the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) are considered consistent with the AQMP growth projections, since these forecast numbers were used by SCAG's modeling section to forecast travel demand and air quality for planning activities such as the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), the SCAQMD's AQMP, Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP), and the Regional Housing Plan. In this instance, the project consists of a 30-unit multiple-family residential project, which yields a density of 13.9 units per acre; this proposal includes a General Plan Amendment to amend the land use designation from MDR – Medium Density Residential to HDR – High Density Residential. The proposed land use designation, and density, exceeds the Typical Density for the MDR land use designation analyzed by the General Plan 2025 at 5.5 units to the acre, and also exceeds the Maximum with PRD Density for the MDR land use designation at 8 units to the acre. Nevertheless, citywide some properties have been developed at a density below those considered under the General Plan 2025, which would in essence compensate for the higher density proposed on the subject site. The proposed project will not result in greater traffic impacts given that multiple-family projects are marginally more intense than single-family residences. As an example, based on the ITE manual, a project of this type is expected to generate a total of 34 peak hour vehicle trips per day whereas a single-family residential development on this site would be expected to generate approximately 30 peak hour vehicle trips. As such, this project can be considered consistent with the projections of employment and population forecasts identified by the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG). Given the reasons stated above, the project is consistent with the General Plan 2025 Typical Growth Scenario and the AQMP. The project will have a less than sig								
b. Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?								

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
		Incorporated		

3b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Table 5.3-B SCAQMD CEQA Regional Significance Thresholds, South Coast Air Quality Management District's 2007 AQMP, CalEEMod, EMFAC 2007 Model)

An Air Quality Model was conducted using CalEEMod. The results of the CalEEMod model determined that the proposed project would result in the following emission levels:

		CalEEMod SHORT-	MODEL R TERM IMP			
			Daily Emi	issions (lbs/d	ay)	
Activity	ROG	NOx	CO	SO <sub>2</sub>	PM-10	PM-2.5
SCAQMD Daily Thresholds Construction	75	100	550	150	150	55
Daily Project - Emissions Construction	4.11	17.94	12.44	18.19	1.50	1.27
Exceeds Y/N Threshold?	N	N	N	N	N	N

			MODEL R			
			Daily Emi	ssions (lbs/d	ay)	
Activity	ROG	NOx	СО	SO <sub>2</sub>	PM-10	PM-2.5
SCAQMD Daily Thresholds Operation	55	55	550	150	150	55
Daily Project - Emissions Operational	3.46	2.87	10.54	19.89	1.42	0.42
Exceeds Y/N Threshold?	N	N	N	N	N	N

The results of the air quality model showed that the proposed project would generate emissions far lower than the SCAQMD thresholds for significance for air quality emissions and it was determined to be less than significant directly, indirectly and cumulatively to ambient air quality and will not contribute to an existing air quality violation.

c.	Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?				
----	--	--	--	--	--

3c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Table 5.3-B SCAQMD CEQA Regional Significance Thresholds, South Coast Air Quality Management District's 2007 Air Quality Management Plan, CalEEMod)

Per the GP 2025 FPEIR, AQMP thresholds indicate future construction activities under the General Plan 2025 are projected to result in significant levels of NO<sub>X</sub> and ROG, both ozone precursors, PM-10, PM-2.5, and CO. Although long-term emissions are expected to decrease by 2025, all criteria pollutants remain above the SCAQMD thresholds.

The portion of the Basin within which the City is located is designated as a non-attainment area for ozone, PM-10 and PM-2.5 under State standards, and as a non-attainment area for ozone, carbon monoxide, PM-10, and PM-2.5 under Federal

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact				
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation Incorporated	Impact					
standards. The proposed project consists of a 30-unit multiple-family residential project, which yields a density of 13.9 units per acre; this proposal includes a General Plan Amendment to amend the land use designation from MDR – Medium Density Residential to HDR – High Density Residential. The proposed land use designation, and density, exceeds the Typical Density for the MDR land use designation analyzed by the General Plan 2025 at 5.5 units to the acre, and also exceeds the Maximum with PRD Density for the MDR land use designation at 8 units to the acre. The proposed multiple-family project is marginally more intense than single-family residences. As an example, based on the ITE manual, a project of this type is expected to generate a total of approximately 34 peak hour vehicle trips per day whereas a single-family residential development on this site would be expected to generate approximately 30 peak hour vehicle trips. Therefore, cumulative air								
quality emissions impacts are less than significant.  d. Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?			$\boxtimes$					
3d. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Table 5.3-B SCAQMD CEQA Regional Significance Thresholds, South Coast Air Quality Management District's 2007 Air Quality Management Plan, CalEEMod,)  Refer to Response 3b. above. Furthermore, short-term impacts associated with construction will result in increased air emissions from grading, earthmoving, and construction activities. In conformance with the General Plan 2025 the CalEEMod computer model analyzed short-term construction and long-term operational related impacts of the project and determined that the proposed project would not exceed SCAQMD thresholds for short-term construction and long-term operational impacts. Therefore, the project will not expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations and a less than significant impact will occur directly, indirectly or cumulatively for this project.								
e. Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?								
3e. Response:  While exact quantification of objectionable odors cannot be determined due to the subjective nature of what is considered "objectionable," the nature of the proposed 30-unit multiple-family residential project, associated infrastructure, and related off-site improvements present a potential for the generation of objectionable odors associated with construction activities. The operational activities associated with a 30-unit multiple-family residential project, however, are not typically associated with the generation of objectionable odors. The construction activities associated with the expected build out of the project site will generate airborne odors like diesel exhaust emissions, architectural coating applications, and on- and off-site improvement installations. However, said emissions would occur only during daylight hours, be short-term in duration, and would be isolated to the immediate vicinity of the construction site. Therefore, they would not expose a substantial number of people to objectionable odors on a permanent basis. Therefore, the project will not cause objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people and a less than significant impact directly, indirectly and cumulatively will occur.								
4. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES.								
Would the project:  a. Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?								
4a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 - Figure OS-6 - Stephen's Kangaroo Rat (SKR) Core Reserve and Other Habitat Conservation Plans (HCP), Figure OS-7 - MSHCP Cores and Linkages, Figure OS-8 - MSHCP Cell Areas, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.4-2 - MSHCP Area Plans, Figure 5.4-4 - MSHCP Criteria Cells and Subunit Areas, Figure 5.4-6 - MSHCP Narrow Endemic Plant Species Survey Area, Figure 5.4-7 - MSHCP Criteria Area Species Survey Area, Figure 5.4-8 - MSHCP Burrowing Owl Survey Area)  The project site is located within an urban built-up area and is surrounded by existing development and a search of the MSHCP database and other appropriate databases identified no potential for candidate, sensitive or special status species, suitable habitat for such species on site, Federal Species of Concern, California Species of Special Concern, and California Species Animal or Plants on lists 1-4 of the California Native plant Society (CNPS) Inventory. Thus there is no chance that any Federally endangered, threatened, or rare species or their habitats could persist in this area. Therefore, a less than								
significant impact directly, indirectly and cumulatively will occur  Mitigated Negative Declaration 7	to federally	endangered th	reatened, or ra	99-0200-0201				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact
INFORMATION SOURCES):	lmpact	With Mitigation Incorporated	Impact	
lheir habitats.				
b. Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?				
4b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 - Figure OS-6 - St Habitat Conservation Plans (HCP), Figure OS-7 - MSH Areas, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.4-2 - MSHCP Subunit Areas, Figure 5.4-6 - MSHCP Narrow Endemic Criteria Area Species Survey Area, Figure 5.4-8 - MSHC - Protection of Species Associated with Riparian/Riverine	CP Cores and Area Plans, I Plant Speci P Burrowing Areas and Ver	d Linkages, Fi Figure 5.4-4 - es Survey Are Owl Survey A rnal Pools)	gure OS-8 – MSHCP Crite a, Figure 5.4 rea, MSHCP	MSHCP Cel eria Cells and 7 – MSHCI Section 6.1.
The project site consists of five contiguous parcels totaling approxin is currently vacant, the historic Camp Anza Officer's Club occup project site is located on a previously developed/improved site with sensitive natural community exists on site or within proximity to the on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identificity the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wil	ies the northy in an urbanize project site. T ed in local or	westerly portion and area where Therefore, the pregional plans.	n of the project will had policies, or re	bitat or other ve no impact egulations, or
c. Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?				
4c. Response: (Source: City of Riverside GIS/CADME USGS The project is located within an urbanized area where no federally Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool project site. The project site does not contain any discernible dra hydric soils and thus does not include USACOE jurisdictional drawould have no impact to federally protected wetlands as defined by and cumulatively.  d. Interfere substantially with the movement of any native	y protected wal, coastal, etc. inage courses ainages or wall Section 404	etlands as def ) exist on site , inundated ar etlands. There	or within pro eas, wetland v efore, the pro	vegetation, or posed project
resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?	,			
4d. Response: (Source: MSHCP, General Plan 2025 – Figure The project is within an urbanized area and will not result in a barr fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or mig wildlife nursery sites. Therefore, the project will have no ir cumulatively.	ier to the moveratory wildlit	rement of any fee corridors, o	native resident r impede the	use of nauve
e. Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy o ordinance?	r			
4e. Response: (Source: MSHCP, Title 16 Section 16.72.040 Mitigation Fee, Title 16 Section 16.40.040 – Establishin, Riverside Urban Forest Tree Policy Manual)	g a Threaten	ea ana Enaan	gerea species	rees, Chy
Implementation of the proposed Project is subject to all applicable to the protection of biological resources and tree preservation. In a Municipal Code Section 16.72.040 establishing the MSHCP material and Endangered Species Fees.	ddition, the pr	olect is require	ea to comply v	vim Kiversiu
Any project within the City of Riverside's boundaries that propose follow the Urban Forest Tree Policy Manual. The Manual document	es planting a s	street tree with	in a City righ	t-of-way mus servation, and

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation	lmpact	
		Incorporated		
removal of all trees in City rights-of-way. The specifications in the established by the International Society of Arboriculture, the Natio Standards Institute. Any future project will be in compliance with City right-of-way, and therefore, impacts will be less than significant to ensure that future development would not conflict with any local including tree preservation policies. This project has been reviewed with the policies. For these reasons, the project will have a lecumulatively on local policies or ordinances protecting biological res	the Tree Policit. In addition policies or or dagainst these ess than sign	Association, and the General Indicates protest and a policies and	en planting a Plan 2025 inclecting biologic found to be in ct directly, in	tree within a udes policies cal resources, n compliance
f. Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?				
4f. Response: (Source: MSHCP, General Plan 2025 - Figurand Other Habitat Conservation Plans (HCP), Stepher Mathews Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan Sobrante Landfill Habitat Conservation Plan)	is' Kangaroo and Natural	Rat Habitat Community C	Conservation .	Plan, Lake
The proposed project is consistent with the guidelines of MSHCP a Policy LU-7.4. As well, the project is consistent with the SKR H impacts associated with potential inconsistencies with the MSH indirectly and cumulatively to the provisions of an adopted Habita Plan, or other approved local, regional, or State habitat conservation	CP and with CP will be a t Conservation	General Plan less than si	Policy OS-5.3 gnificant imp	pact directly,
5. CULTURAL RESOURCES.				
5. CULTURAL RESOURCES. Would the project:				
a. Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in § 15064.5 of the CEQA Guidelines?				
5a. Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Table 5.5-A Histo and Appendix D, Title 20 of the Riverside Municipal C Camp Anza/Camp Anza Officer's Club; Prepared by JMR The proposed Home Front at Camp Anza project entails the devicottage style bungalows sited on the vacant land around the Camp A and Wohlstetter Street. Home Front at Camp Anza's new homes w Arlanza neighborhood, which is primarily comprised of housing couldings. A goal of this project is to rehabilitate and restore the serve as a "town center" for this project, and will include a leasing a space for supportive service providers, and a kitchen for providing application for a Certificate of Appropriateness per Title 20 of the R	code, and Cultary C., June 2013, elopment of 3 anza Officer's ill be designed converted from Camp Anza Coffice, a comping communal iverside Munices change in the Composition of the Camp of the Camp Anza Coffice, a comping communal iverside Munices change in the Campa Ca	tural Resource)  30 residential Club with from to blend sear officer's Club outer lab, a larg meals. The cipal Code.	units in one- ntage onto both mlessly into thacks and repurates. The revitalise multipurpos applicant has	and two-story h Picker Street he surrounding rposed service zed space will he room, office submitted an
project that may have a significant effect on the environment" (PR further defines substantial adverse change as "demolition, destruction historical resource would be impaired" (PRC §5020.1(q)). As a local Camp Anza Officers Club is considered a historical resource under JMRC, which analyzed the proposed project for potential effects under the proposed project for potential eff	C §21084.1), on, relocation, ally eligible pro r CEQA. A C der CEQA and	and the Califo or alteration soperty with a Cultural Resound I related guide	omia Public Ruch that the significant Corces Report was lines.	gnificance of a ode of 5S2, the as prepared by
The proposed project calls for the adaptive reuse of the Officers Center through restoration/rehabilitation, as part of the development Cultural Resources Report, and the project as described by the applimportance of the architectural integrity and historic association or retention of existing historic features and materials, the restoration missing features and materials to the extent possible. Distances by	of housing to icant, indicate of the building on of damaged	or disabled vetons that project of the stated elements, and	erans and them letails will be intent is to se i the in-kind i	attentive to the ek the greatest replacement of

### Less Than Less Than No Potentially ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING Significant Significant Significant Impact With Impact INFORMATION SOURCES): Impact Mitigation Incorporated size, scale, and orientation of the proposed new bungalows preserve the visual presence of the Officers Club, which will continue to be best experienced from its front approach on Picker Street. The architectural character of the new bungalows is compatible with the original features and materials of the Officers Club, which will ensure a harmonious relationship and consistent setting. Moreover, it is anticipated that, as proposed, the restoration/rehabilitation of the Officer's Club will reverse some of the previous extensive alterations under the Moose Lodge era (1966-99). The submitted plans state that the restoration/rehabilitation of the Officers Club should continue to be guided by the nearest 1940 standardized plan on which construction was heavily based, Mobilization Buildings Service Club Type SC-3 700-1275 and related plans it references; the earliest known 1944 photograph of the building; physical investigation; and the 1966 Moose Lodge plans, which indicate existing conditions at the time it was extensively altered. Conditions will ensure that the construction drawings developed for permit issuance implement the recommendations. Several proposed project details or elements are critical to maintaining potential impacts to a less than significant level under CEOA or serve to enhance the preservation of important features, materials, and qualities of the Camp Anza Officers Club and should be safeguarded and considered for retention should final project plans change: Preserve shape, size, mass, footprint, and height of the current, original design; Ensure maximum retention and restoration of existing original features and materials, including exterior wood siding, interior wainscoting, hardwood floors, exposed timber rafters, and double hearth brick chimney; Replace in-kind or restore missing or damaged features and materials to the maximum extent possible, including the restoration of the main entry, the replacement of the façade aqua media, and the use of wood-framed, new double-hung windows fitted as closely as possible into their original openings; Maximize spatial relationship, scale, and orientation in site planning; Use compatible design materials and features in the new bungalows to ensure they are harmonious to the Officers Club and overall setting. A Certificate of Appropriateness application has been received pursuant to adopted City procedures (Title 20) to ensure the proposed change would not have a significant adverse environmental effect as defined by CEQA. Pursuant to this review under case number P13-0200, a mitigation measure has been applied to protect the resource: MM Cultural 5: The preserved and enhanced integrity of the restored/rehabilitated Camp Anza Officers Club, which is to be achieved by the proposed project, shall be further protected by the preparation and submittal of a local designation application prior to final inspection or the issuance of certificate of occupancy. Therefore, as currently proposed, the Home Front at Camp Anza project appears to have a less than significant impact with mitigation under CEQA. Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an X archeological resource pursuant to § 15064.5 of the CEQA Guidelines? 5b. Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.5-1 - Archaeological Sensitivity and Figure 5.5-2 - Prehistoric Cultural Resources Sensitivity, Appendix D - Cultural Resources Study and Cultural Resources Survey, Home Front at Camp Anza/Camp Anza Officer's Club; Prepared by JMRC, June 2013) The project is located on a previously developed/improved site within an urbanized area. A site survey for archeological resources was prepared by JMRC. The survey meets the Secretary of the Interior Standards and Guidelines and has found that there are no known archeological resources present on the site. Through implementation of appropriate mitigation measures (MM Cultural 1 through 4) per the GP 2025 FPEIR, impacts to archeological resources directly, indirectly and cumulatively as a result of the project can be reduced to a less than significant level.

No further archaeological investigation is recommended unless the proposed undertaking is changed to include areas not

The current study attempted to determine whether archaeological deposits were present within the proposed APE. Although

subject to this study or additional construction, or unless project activities reveal the presence of cultural materials.

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact
INFORMATION SOURCES):	lmpact	With Mitigation	lmpact	
deposits. As a result, prior to the initiation of ground-disturbing action possibility of buried prehistoric or historic cultural deposits. In the materials, work in the immediate vicinity of the find should cease and the significance of the find. The qualified archaeologist shall have the necessary. If the qualified archaeologist finds that any cultural resounthe California Register of Historical Resources or the National Register and mitigation of impacts to the find will need to be developed in constant (SHPO), as applicable, in accordance with the City of Riverside's Praffected by the Use of Federally Funded HUD Loans (PA).	e event that f d a qualified a e authority to rees present m ster of Histori onsultation wi	ield personnel archaeologist state or divert stop or divert neet eligibility c Places, plant th the State Hi	encounter but hould be retain construction of requirements of for treatment istoric Preserv	nried cultural ned to assess excavation as for listing on t, evaluation, ation Officer
If human remains are encountered, State Health and Safety Code Soccur until the County Coroner has made a determination of original Section 5097.98. The County Coroner must be notified of the fir prehistoric, the Coroner will notify the Native American Heritage Commost Likely Descendant (MLD). With the permission of the landown inspect the site of the discovery. The MLD shall complete the inspect	n and disposind immediatelemmission (N. er or his/her a	tion pursuant ly. If the rem AHC), which t authorized repr	to Public Res ains are deter will determine esentative, the	ources Code mined to be and notify a MLD may
c. Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature?			$\boxtimes$	
See Response 5b. Activities including construction-related and earth rock units. As with archaeological resources, paleontological resources as defined in CEQA Guidelines Section 15064.5(a)(3)(D). Consequences a significant impact. A cultural resources survey prepared to consistent with general Plan Policy HP-1.3 including compliance wire Repatriation Act, and as such the project will have a less than a paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature.  d. Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?  5d. Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.5-1 - Archael Cultural Resources Sensitivity)  Where construction is proposed in undeveloped areas, disturbance of destroy buried Native American human remains as well as other human cemeteries. Consistent with State laws protecting these remains, si treated in a sensitive manner. In the event that Native American human related construction activities, there would be unavoidable significate implementation of the Cultural Resources Mitigation Measures 1 remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries to a less than the properties of the cultural Resources Mitigation Measures 1 remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries to a less than the properties of the properties of the cultural Resources of the cultural resources of the cultural remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries to a less than the properties of the properties of the cultural resources of the cultural remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries to a less than the properties of the p	ces are general ently, damage by JMRC has the Hederal significant in the macological S on vacant landan remains, it tes containing an remains are nt adverse im through 4 w	ensitivity and ds could have neluding those human remae inadvertently pacts to Nativill, however,	to be historican to these result the proposicion Graves Proposicion Gr	al resources, ources could ed project is rotection and to a unique  - Prehistoric to disturb or de of formal dentified and uring project-esources, but
6. GEOLOGY AND SOILS.				
Would the project:  a. Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:				
<ol> <li>Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.</li> </ol>				2015 EDELD
6i. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-1 - Appendix E - Geotechnical Report)	- Kegional Fa	tutt Zones & (	General Plan	2025 FPEIR

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact		
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation	Impact	·		
Seismic activity is to be expected in Southern California. In the City of Riverside, there are no Alquist-Priolo zones. The project site does not contain any known fault lines and the potential for fault rupture or seismic shaking is low. Compliance with the California Building Code regulations will ensure that no impacts related to strong seismic ground will occur directly, indirectly and cumulatively.						
ii. Strong seismic ground shaking?				$\boxtimes$		
6ii. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Appendix E – Geotechnical Report)  The San Jacinto Fault Zone located in the northeastern portion of the City, or the Elsinore Fault Zone, located in the southern portion of the City's Sphere of Influence, have the potential to cause moderate to large earthquakes that would cause intense ground shaking. Because the proposed project complies with California Building Code regulations, impacts associated with strong seismic ground shaking will have no impact directly, indirectly and cumulatively.						
iii. Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?						
6iii. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-1 Zones, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure PS-3 - Soils Geotechnical Report)  The project site is located in an area with a high potential for liquefac Zones Map - Figure PS-2. Compliance with the California Building seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction are reduced to	with High S etion as depict g Code regula	hrink-Swell P ed in the Gene ations will ens	otential, and a eral Plan 2025 ure that impac	Appendix E –  Liquefaction ets related to		
and cumulatively. iv. Landslides?						
<ul> <li>Geotechnical Report, Title 18 - Subdivision Code Prevention Plan SWPPP)</li> <li>The project site and its surroundings have generally flat topography</li> <li>Figure 5.6-1 of the General Plan 2025 Program Final PEIR. Therefore, indirectly and cumulatively.</li> </ul>	and are not l	ocated in an a	rea prone to la	ındslides per		
b. Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?			$\square$			
6b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.6 Soils, Table 5.6-B - Soil Types, Title 18 - Subdivision Code Erosion and loss of topsoil could occur as a result of the project. State implementation of a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SW construction activities. The project must also comply with the Nation regulations. In addition, with the erosion control standards for which Grading Code (Title 17) also requires the implementation of measure State and Federal requirements as well as with Titles 18 and 17 will than significant impact directly, indirectly and cumulatively.	, Title 17 - Go e and Federal PPP) establis nal Pollutant n all developmes designed to	rading Code, or requirements of hing erosion of Discharge Elin nent activity no minimize soil	and SWPPP) call for the pre and sediment mination Syste nust comply (7 erosion, Com loss of topsoil	paration and controls for m (NPDES) Title 18), the pliance with		
c. Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?	Ц					

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact
INFORMATION SOURCES):	lmpact	With Mitigation Incorporated	Impact	
the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?				
6d. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5 Types, Figure 5.6-5 - Soils with High Shrink-Swell Potentia Building Code as adopted by the City of Riverside and set of Expansive soil is defined under California Building Code. The soil of the General Plan 2025 Program Final PEIR). Buchenau soil permeability, and has a moderate shrink swell potential. Compublication Code- Title 18 and the California Building Code with respect to a less than significant impact level for this project direction.	ial, Appendix out in Title 16 type of the su is characterizationiance with egard to soil I	E - Geotechn of the Riversic bject site is Bu ed by moderal the applicable nazards related	ical Report, a de Municipal achenau (See l dely slow to over provisions of to the expans	nd California Code) Figure 5.64 – ver very slow of the City's
e. Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?				
6e. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.6 The proposed project will be served by sewer infrastructure. Therefore	i-4 – Soils, Ta re, the project	ble 5.6-B – So will have no in	il Types) mpact.	

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	
7. GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS. Would the project:					
a. Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?			×		
7a. Response:  The proposed project will not result in a net increase in GHG emissions. The proposed project consists of a 30-unit multiple-family residential project, which yields a density of 13.9 units per acre; this proposal includes a General Plan Amendment to amend the land use designation from MDR — Medium Density Residential to HDR — High Density Residential. The proposed land use designation and density, exceeds the Typical Density for the MDR land use designation analyzed by the General Plan 2025 at 5.5 units to the acre, and also exceeds the Maximum with PRD Density for the MDR land use designation at 8 units to the acre. Nevertheless, citywide some properties have been developed at a density below those considered under the General Plan 2025, which would in essence compensate for the higher density proposed on the subject site. The proposed project will not result in greater traffic impacts given that multiple-family projects are marginally more intense than single-family residences. As an example, based on the ITE manual, a project of this type is expected to generate a total of 34 peak hour vehicle trips per day whereas a single-family residential development on this site would be expected to generate 30 peak hour vehicle trips. As such, this project can be considered consistent with the projections of employment and population forecasts identified by the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG). The project will also comply with the City's General Plan policies and statewide Building Code requirements designed to reduce GHG emissions. Since the project will not result in a net increase in GHG emissions, it will not interfere with the State's goals of reducing greenhouse gas emissions to 1990 levels by the year 2020 as stated in AB 32 and an 80 percent reduction in GHG emissions below 1990 levels by 2050 as stated in Executive Order S-3-05. Thus, a less than significant impact is					
b. Conflict with any applicable plan, policy or regulation of ar agency adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of			$\boxtimes$		
greenhouse gases?  7b. Response:  The SCAQMD supports State, Federal and international policies to reduce levels of ozone depleting gases through its Global Warming Policy and rules and has established an interim Greenhouse Gas (GHG) threshold. As indicated in response 7a, above, the project would comply with the City's General Plan policies and State Building Code provisions designed to reduce GHG emissions. In addition, the project would comply with all SCAQMD applicable rules and regulations during construction of the 30 unit multiple-family residential project and will not interfere with the State's goals of reducing GHG emission to 1990 levels by the year 2020 as stated in AB 32 and an 80 percent reduction in GHG emissions below 1990 levels by 2050 as stated in Executive Order S-3-05. Based upon the prepared Climate Change Analysis for this project and the discussion above, the project will not conflict with any applicable plan, policy or regulation related to the reduction in the emissions of GHG and thus a less than significant impact will occur directly, indirectly and cumulatively in this regard.					
8. HAZARDS & HAZARDOUS MATERIALS. Would the project:				57	
a. Create a significant hazard to the public or the environmen through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?	8				
8a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Public Safety Element, GP 2025 FPEIR, California Health and Safety Code, Title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations, California Building Code, Riverside Fire Department EOP, 2002 and Riverside Operational Area – Multi-Jurisdictional LHMP, 2004 Part 1, OEM's Strategic Plan)  The proposed project does not involve the transport, use, or disposal of any hazardous material given that the proposed project involves the construction of a 30-unit multiple-family residential project. As such, the project will have no impact related to the transport, use, or disposal of any hazardous material either directly, indirectly and cumulatively.  b. Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment					
through reasonably foreseeable upset and acciden conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into	t		<b>—</b>		

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation Incorporated	Impact	
the environment?				
8b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Public Safety Eld Health and Safety Code, Title 49 of the Code of Fede Riverside's EOP, 2002 and Riverside Operational Area Strategic Plan)  The proposed project does not involve the use of any hazardous mate indirectly or cumulatively for creating a significant hazard to the pul upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous mate	eral Regulation  Multi-Jurion  Erials. As such	ons, Californi sdictional LH the project wi vironment thro	a Building C MP, 2004 Pa    have no im	code, City of urt 1, OEM's pact directly,
c. Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-				$\boxtimes$
quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?				
8c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Public Safety and CalARP RMP Facilities in the Project Area, Figure 5.1. Figure 5.13-4 - Other School District Boundaries, Califor Federal Regulations, California Building Code)  The proposed project does not involve any emission or handling of one-quarter mile of an existing school because the proposed use is a school is situated approximately 0.3 mile south of the project site. Therefore, the project will have no impact regarding emitting have a materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of cumulatively.	3-3 AUSD Bo rnia Health of f any hazardo a 30-unit multi (Foothill Ele azardous emis	oundaries, Tand Safety Co ous materials, siple-family res mentary Scho ssions or hand	the 5.13-E At de, Title 49 of substances or idential project of - 8230 We lling hazardou	ysp Schools, f the Code of waste within ct; the closest ells Avenue).
d. Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?				
8d. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-5 - H. CERCLIS Facility Information, Figure 5.7-B - Regulat EnviroStor Database Listed Sites)  A review of hazardous materials site lists compiled pursuant to Go site is not included on any such lists. Therefore, the project would h	ed Facilities vernment Cod	in TRI Information 1991	62.5 found th	at the project
e. For a project located within an airport land use plan or where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	s t			
8e. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-6 - A and March Air Reserve Base/March Inland Port Com Compatible Use Zone Study for March Air Reserve Base (A The proposed project is located within Safety and/or Airport Compatibility Plan (RCALUCP). The project was reviewed by the the project is consistent with the compatibility zone as well as in consistent with the project was reviewed by the the project has been found to be consistent with the RCA airports are a less than significant impact directly, indirectly and consistent with the RCA airports are a less than significant impact directly, indirectly and consistent with the RCA airports are a less than significant impact directly, indirectly and consistent with the RCA airports are a less than significant impact directly, indirectly and consistent with the RCA airports are a less than significant impact directly.	prehensive In August 2005) patibility Zono ort as noted in Airport Land ompliance with LUCP by the inmulatively.	e(s) D as depict the Riverside Use Commiss the land use	cted on Figure County Airp County Airp County Airp County Airp County Airp County Airp	e 5.7-2 of the cort Land use to ensure that the RCALUP. hazards from
f. For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing o working in the project area?	r			
8f. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-6 - Air Because the proposed project is not located within proximity of a pr	rport Safety Z ivate airstrip, a	ones and Influand does not p	ience Areas, I ropose a priva	te airstrip, the

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation Incorporated	Impact	,
project will not expose people residing or working in the City to would have no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively.	excessive nois	se levels relate	ed to a private	airstrip and
g. Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?				
8g. Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Chapter 7.5.7 – Haza EOP, 2002 and Riverside Operational Area – Multi-Jurisd Plan)	ictional LHM	P, 2004 Part 1	, and OEM's	Strategic
An existing network of fully improved streets that include Philbin A the proposed 30-unit multiple-family residential project. All streets Departments' specifications. As part of the project's construction, a closing will be of short duration so as not to interfere or imperationally the project will have a less than significant impact or response or evacuation plan.	have been de temporary sti de with any o	signed to meet reet closing wi emergency res	the Public W Il be necessary ponse or eva	orks and Fire y. Any street cuation plan.
h. Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss injury or death involving Wildlands fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?				
8h. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-7 - Fir Riverside's EOP, 2002 http://intranet/Portal/uploads/F Area - Multi-Jurisdictional LHMP, 2004 Part 1/Part 2 and The proposed project is located in an urbanized area where no wild High Fire Severity Zone (VHFSZ) or adjacent to wildland areas or either directly, indirectly or cumulatively from this project will occur	Riv City EO d OEM's Stra lands exist and a VHFSZ; the	P complete. tegic Plan) d the property	pdf, Riversid	e <i>Operational</i> within a Very
		1	·	
9. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY. Would the project:				
Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?				
9a. Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Table 5.8-A - Bene Quality Management Plan; Prepared by SB&O, Inc., Aug	ust 2013)			
The proposed project site will be developed with close to 100 landscaped areas. A preliminary WQMP has been submitted an project. During the construction phase, a final approved WQMP withe State's General Permit for Construction Activities, administered measures will be required to be implemented to effectively control epollutants during construction. Given compliance with all applicable quality and the fact that the project will not result in a net increase of anticipated to result in a less than significant impact directly, in or waste discharge.	percent of im d approved b ill be required I by the Santa crosion and sec le local, state, of surface water	for the Public of the project Ana RWQCE dimentation and federal later runoff, the p	Works Depart	ment for this overage under management uction-related surface water et as designed
b. Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?	e f e			
9b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Table PF-1 - Table PF-2 - RPU Projected Water Demand, RPU Management Plan)  The proposed project is located within the Riverside South Water S	Map of Wa	ter Supply B	asıns, KPU	Orban water

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation Incorporated	Impact	
City's sewer system and comply with all NPDES and WQMP requisions are substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater supplies and recharge either directly, indirectly or cumu	with groundw ter table level	ater recharge	such that ther	e would be a
c. Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?			$\boxtimes$	
9c. Response: (Source: Preliminary grading plan, and I Prepared by SB&O, Inc., August 2013)  The project is subject to NPDES requirements; areas of one acre implementing a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) for siltation and other possible pollutants associated with long-term in Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) and grading permit p significant impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively to existing dra	or more of or the prevention plementation process. There	disturbance are on of runoff du of projects au fore, the proj	e subject to p ring construct e addressed a	reparing and ion. Erosion, is part of the
d. Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on- or off-site?				
by SB&O, Inc., August 2013, General Plan 2025 Figure P. The project site is not located within a flood plain. Underground sto 10-year storm flow from curb to curb, while 100-year storms are a from the project in a developed condition has been studied and is recogniter will be altered the off-site discharge is the same as the und significant impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively in the rate flooding on- or off-site.  e. Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage	orm drains and accommodated quired to be at leveloped con or amount o	I streets are de I within street tenuated on-si dition. Therefo	right-of-ways te, so although ore, there will	. The runoff the drainage be less than
systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?  9e. Response: (Source: Preliminary Grading Plan, and Projety SB&O, Inc., August 2013)  As the project site is located adjacent to the Riverside County Floodchannel, and this channel is tabled for the final build-out of the proyear design flow is required, as confirmed by the Riverside Count channels to the Santa Ana River, Reach 3, an adequate sump, are flow capacity and no sensitive stream habitat areas will be adverse contribute runoff water exceeding capacity of existing or planner additional sources of polluted runoff and there will be no impact directly for the property of the project of the projec	ct Specific We  od Control Dis oject site, no ri y Flood Contr engineered at sely affected. d stormwater rectly, indirect	strict Anza Chanitigation of in rol District. And regularly n Therefore, the drainage systems or cumulati	annel Stage 1 acremental inc all downstrean naintained to e e project will ems or provic vely.	flood control rease in 100-n conveyance ensure design not create or le substantial
9f. Response: (Source: Project Specific Water Quality Manage The project is over one are in size and is required to have covered Activities (SWPPP). As stated in the Permit, during and after confimplemented to reduce/eliminate adverse water quality impacts refersured that the development does not cause adverse water quality System (MS4) permit through the project's WQMP.  The proposed development will increase the amount of impervious paved parking areas, sidewalks, roadways, and building rooftops	age under the nstruction, besulting from on ty impacts, purposes surface area in	State's Generations of the State's Generation of the City. The State of the State o	ral Permit for t practices (B Furthermore, Municipal Se is impervious	Construction MPs) will be the City has parate Storm area includes

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
therefore has the potential to degrade water quality. This develop that have been reviewed and approved by Public Works. Final BM The purpose of this requirement is to insure treatment BMP's are pollutants generated by the project will be treated in perpetuity. The less than significant directly, indirectly and cumulatively.	IP's will be re installed/cons	equired prior t structed as par	o grading per t of the projec	mit issuance. et so that the er quality are
g. Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?				
9g. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-4 – Flo 06065C0705G)  A review of National Flood Insurance Rate Map (Map Number 0606 5.8-2 – Flood Hazard Areas of the General Plan Program FPEIR, shyear flood hazard area. There will be no impact caused by this proplace housing within a 100-year flood hazard area.	55C0705G Ef	fective Date A roject is not lo	ugust 28, 2088 cated within c	8) and Figure or near a 100-
h. Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures, which would impede or redirect flood flows?				
9h. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-4 – Flow 06065C0705G)  The project site is not located within or near a 100-year flood has FPEIR Figure 5.8-2 – Flood Hazard Areas and the National Flow Effective Date August 28, 2008). Therefore, the project will not play would impede or redirect flood flows and no impact will occur direct.	izard area as od Insurance ace a structure ctly, indirectly	depicted on G Rate Map (M e within a 100-	eneral Plan 2 ap Number 0 year flood had ely.	025 Program 6065C0705G
<ul> <li>i. Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?</li> </ul>	==-			
9i. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-4 – Flot 06065C0705G)  The project site is not located within or near a flood hazard area as 5.8-2 – Flood Hazard Areas and the National Flood Insurance R August 28, 2008). The project site is located within the Prenda General Plan 2025 Program FPEIR Figure 5.8-2 – Flood Hazard A In the event of a dam failure, first flow waters are expected to reach the project will place a structure within a dam inundation area that v loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the City Municipal Code, Title 18 – Subdivision Code, Section Chalon – Flood Prone Lands and Drainage and Title 16 Buildings Implementation of Natural Flood Insurance Program, Sec. 16.8 inundation area to mitigate flood hazards by including onsite drain	depicted on Cate Map (Ma and Wooder reas, and may the site in 96 vould expose alt of the failur apter 18.210 - & Construction 050 requires	General Plan 20 p Number 060 est Dam Inun be affected in and 240 minut people or struc re of a dam.  Development on, Chapter 16 new construct	025 Program B 065C0705G E dation area as the event of a tes respectivel tures to a sign Standards, Se 5.18 Flood Ha tion located v	FPEIR Figure ffective Date depicted on dam failure. y. Therefore, ificant risk of ction 18.210-zard Area & within a dam
elevating buildings above flood levels, and flood proofing, which professional engineer, surveyor or building inspector. Including c 1103.4 requiring notification to those potentially affected of the rinundation area. Therefore, the potential to place a structure with significant risk of loss, injury or death as a result of the failure of a cumulatively.  j. Inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?	requires the b ompliance with isk involved in an area tha	uilding to be in th State Civil ( in locating with the would expose	nspected and Code Section thin a flood he people or s	1103 through azard or dam tructures to a
9j. Response: (Source: GP 2025 FPEIR Chapter 7.5.8 – Hydranamis are large waves that occur in coastal areas; therefore, sin due to tsunamis will occur directly, indirectly or cumulatively, generally flat topography and is within an urbanized area not with Ana River, Lake Hills, Norco Hills, Box Springs Mountain Area of	ce the City is Additionally in proximity	not located in project site to Lake Mathe	and its surro ews, Lake Eva	undings have ins, the Santa

18

sphere of influence. Therefore, no impact potential for seiche or mudflow exists either directly, indirectly or cum  10. LAND USE AND PLANNING:  Would the project:  a. Physically divide an established community?  10a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Land Use and Urban Design Element, Project site plan, City or Riverside GIS/CADME map layers)  The proposed project has been designed to be consistent with the fit into the pattern of development of the surrour providing adequate access, circulation and connectivity consistent with the General Plan 2025, and in compliant requirements of the Zoning and Subdivision Codes. Therefore, the project impacts related to the community are significant directly, indirectly, or cumulatively.  b. Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?  10b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025, General Plan 2025 Figure LU-10 – Land Use Policy Map, — Zoning/General Plan Consistency Matrix, Figure LU-7 – Redevelopment Areas, Title 19 – Zoning 18 – Subdivision Code, Title 7 – Noise Code, Title 17 – Grading Code, Title 20 – Cultural Resources 16 – Buildings and Construction and Citywide Design and Sign Guidelines)  The project was also analyzed for consistency with the goals and policies of the General Plan 2025, which recognize for "adequate housing and supportive services for Riverside residents with special needs" (Goal H-4). To development of the Home Front at Camp Anza project as currently proposed, the applicant has submitted an apple amend the General Plan land use and Zoning designation of the project site. This proposal seeks to amend the General Plan land use and Zoning designation form Medium Density Residential (R-1700) Zone to the Multiple-Family Residential (R-1700) Zone to the Multiple-Family Residential (R-1700) Zone to the Mu	ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact		
Would the project:  a. Physically divide an established community?  10a.Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Land Use and Urban Design Element, Project site plan, City of Riverside GIS/CADME map layers)  The proposed project has been designed to be consistent with the fit into the pattern of development of the surrour providing adequate access, circulation and connectivity consistent with the General Plan 2025, and in compliance requirements of the Zoning and Subdivision Codes. Therefore, the project impacts related to the community are significant directly, indirectly, or cumulatively.  b. Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?  10b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025, General Plan 2025 Figure LU-10 – Land Use Policy Map, Zoning/General Plan Consistency Matrix, Figure LU-7 – Redevelopment Areas, Title 19 – Zoning 18 – Subdivision Code, Title 7 – Noise Code, Title 17 – Grading Code, Title 20 – Cultural Resources 16 – Buildings and Construction and Citywide Design and Sign Guidelines)  The project is located within the boundaries of the RCALUCP and has been designed to be consistent with the project was also analyzed for consistency with the goals and policies of the General Plan 2025, which recognize for "adequate housing and supportive services for Riverside residents with special needs" (Goal H-4). To fa development of the Home Front at Camp Anza project as currently proposed, the applicant has submitted an applament of the Home Front at Camp Anza project as currently proposed, the applicant has submitted an applament of the Home Front at Camp Anza project as currently proposed, the applicant has submitted an applament of the Molim Density Residential (MDR) to High Density Residential (HDR) and to 20ning designation from the Single-Family Residential (R-	sphere of influence. Therefore, no impact potential for seiche or mudflow exists either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.						
a. Physically divide an established community?  10a.Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Land Use and Urban Design Element, Project site plan, City of Riverside GIS/CADME map layers)  The proposed project has been designed to be consistent with the fit into the pattern of development of the surroup roviding adequate access, circulation and connectivity consistent with the General Plan 2025, and in compliant requirements of the Zoning and Subdivision Codes. Therefore, the project impacts related to the community are significant directly, indirectly, or cumulatively.  b. Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?  10b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025, General Plan 2025 Figure LU-10 – Land Use Policy Map, Zoning/General Plan Consistency Matrix, Figure LU-7 – Redevelopment Areas, Title 19 – Zoning 18 – Subdivision Code, Title 7 – Noise Code, Title 17 – Grading Code, Title 20 – Cultural Resources 16 – Buildings and Construction and Citywide Design and Sign Guidelines)  The project is located within the boundaries of the RCALUCP and has been designed to be consistent with the project was also analyzed for consistency with the goals and policies of the General Plan 2025, which recognize for "dequate housing and supportive services for Riverside residents with special needs" (Goal H-4). To fa development of the Home Front at Camp Anza project as currently proposed, the applicant has submitted an app amend the General Plan land use and Zoning designation of the project site. This proposal seeks to amend the Gland use designation from Medium Density Residential (MDR) to High Density Residential (HDR) and to Zoning designation from the Single-Family Residential (R-1-7000) Zone to the Multiple-Family Residential (Z-1-7000) Zone to the Multiple-Family Residential (Z-1-7							
The proposed project has been designed to be consistent with the fit into the pattern of development of the surrou providing adequate access, circulation and connectivity consistent with the General Plan 2025, and in compliant requirements of the Zoning and Subdivision Codes. Therefore, the project impacts related to the community are significant directly, indirectly, or cumulatively.  b. Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?  10b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025, General Plan 2025 Figure LU-10 – Land Use Policy Map, Zoning/General Plan Consistency Matrix, Figure LU-7 – Redevelopment Areas, Title 19 – Zoning 18 – Subdivision Code, Title 7 – Noise Code, Title 17 – Grading Code, Title 20 – Cultural Resources 16 – Buildings and Construction and Citywide Design and Sign Guidelines)  The project is located within the boundaries of the RCALUCP and has been designed to be consistent with the project was also analyzed for consistency with the goals and policies of the General Plan 2025, which recognize for "adequate housing and supportive services for Riverside residents with special needs" (Goal H-4). To fa development of the Home Front at Camp Anza project as currently proposed, the applicant has submitted an applament of General Plan land use and Zoning designation of the project site. This proposal seeks to amend the Goal and use designation from Medium Density Residential (MDR) to High Density Residential (HDR) and to complete the submitted of the proposal intends to provide housing for disabled veterans earning between 30 and 60 percent of Median Income (AMI), it qualifies as an affordable housing project and is entitled to incentives or concession result in a reduction of site development standards or a modification of Zoning Code or architectural desig							
b. Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?  10b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025, General Plan 2025 Figure LU-10 - Land Use Policy Map, Zeoning/General Plan Consistency Matrix, Figure LU-7 - Redevelopment Areas, Title 19 - Zoning 18 - Subdivision Code, Title 7 - Noise Code, Title 17 - Grading Code, Title 20 - Cultural Resources 16 - Buildings and Construction and Citywide Design and Sign Guidelines)  The project is located within the boundaries of the RCALUCP and has been designed to be consistent with the project was also analyzed for consistency with the goals and policies of the General Plan 2025, which recognize for "adequate housing and supportive services for Riverside residents with special needs" (Goal H-4). To fa development of the Home Front at Camp Anza project as currently proposed, the applicant has submitted an apramend the General Plan land use and Zoning designation of the project site. This proposal seeks to amend the General Plan land use and Zoning designation of the project site. This proposal seeks to amend the Goal Bade designation from Medium Density Residential (MDR) to High Density Residential (HDR) and to a Zoning designation from the Single-Family Residential (R-1-7000) Zone to the Multiple-Family Residential Zone. As this proposal intends to provide housing for disabled veterans earning between 30 and 60 percent of Median Income (AMI), it qualifies as an affordable housing project and is entitled to incentives or concession result in a reduction of site development standards or a modification of Zoning Code or architectural design recombine the proposed density is higher than that allowed by the General Plan land use destines the surrounding area, and accounting for the requested concessions, the proposed project has been desi	Riverside GIS/CADME map layers)  The proposed project has been designed to be consistent with the fit is providing adequate access, circulation and connectivity consistent we requirements of the Zoning and Subdivision Codes. Therefore, the proposed in the proposed project has been designed to be consistent with the fit is provided in the proposed project has been designed to be consistent with the fit is provided in the proposed project has been designed to be consistent with the fit is provided in the proposed project has been designed to be consistent with the providing adequate access, circulation and connectivity consistent with the providing adequate access.	into the patter	n of developm al Plan 2025, a	ent of the surr	ounding area		
10b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025, General Plan 2025 Figure LU-10 - Land Use Policy Map. — Zoning/General Plan Consistency Matrix, Figure LU-7 - Redevelopment Areas, Title 19 - Zoning 18 - Subdivision Code, Title 7 - Noise Code, Title 17 - Grading Code, Title 20 - Cultural Resources 16 - Buildings and Construction and Citywide Design and Sign Guidelines)  The project is located within the boundaries of the RCALUCP and has been designed to be consistent with the project was also analyzed for consistency with the goals and policies of the General Plan 2025, which recognize for "deequate housing and supportive services for Riverside residents with special needs" (Goal H-4). To fa development of the Home Front at Camp Anza project as currently proposed, the applicant has submitted an app amend the General Plan land use and Zoning designation of the project site. This proposal seeks to amend the Gland use designation from Medium Density Residential (MDR) to High Density Residential (HDR) and to a Zoning designation from the Single-Family Residential (MDR) to High Density Residential (HDR) and to a Zoning designation from the Single-Family Residential (R-1-7000) Zone to the Multiple-Family Residential (Zone. As this proposal intends to provide housing for disabled veterans earning between 30 and 60 percent of Median Income (AMI), it qualifies as an affordable housing project and is entitled to incentives or concession result in a reduction of site development standards or a modification of Zoning Code or architectural design required to a surrounding area, and accounting for the requested concessions, the proposed project has been designe seamlessly into the surrounding Arlanza neighborhood, which is primarily comprised of housing converted from the proposed service buildings; this proposal complies with all other applicable development standard for multiple-family residential developments. The architecture of the new homes will utilize low-scaled, simple will reflect some of the architectural details of the	b. Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the						
accessible to persons with disabilities through the use of ramps at the entrances and an elevator to the mezz Based on the above-referenced information, the proposed project to allow the construction of a 30-unit mult residential development will have a less than significant impact on applicable land use policies directly, in cumulatively.  c. Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or	- Zoning/General Plan Consistency Matrix, Figure LU-7-18 - Subdivision Code, Title 7 - Noise Code, Title 17 - Gr 16 - Buildings and Construction and Citywide Design and The project is located within the boundaries of the RCALUCP and project was also analyzed for consistency with the goals and policie for "adequate housing and supportive services for Riverside reside development of the Home Front at Camp Anza project as currently amend the General Plan land use and Zoning designation of the proje land use designation from Medium Density Residential (MDR) to Zoning designation from the Single-Family Residential (R-1-7000) Zone. As this proposal intends to provide housing for disabled ver Median Income (AMI), it qualifies as an affordable housing project result in a reduction of site development standards or a modification. The applicant has requested three concessions related building settle private open space. Although the proposed density is higher than the surrounding area, and accounting for the requested concession seamlessly into the surrounding Arlanza neighborhood, which is pubarracks and repurposed service buildings; this proposal complies we for multiple-family residential developments. The architecture of the will reflect some of the architectural details of the Officer's Club roofs and the wood siding. Private outdoor spaces and windows wo street and within the development. Within the site, courtyards service gathering spaces for veterans and their families, while two tot lots we A goal of this project is to rehabilitate the Camp Anza Officer's Structure of Merit for the community of Arlanza. The revitalized s will include a leasing office, a computer lab, a large multipurpose rokitchen for providing communal meals. The building's exterior architectural details, including wood siding and eave overhangs and accessible to persons with disabilities through the use of ramps at Based on the above-referenced information, the proposed project residential development will have a less than significant impact c	Redevelopmending Code, is Sign Guideling Has been design of the Generation of the Generation of the Earth of Zone to the terans earning that allowed by the proportion of Zoning Control of Zoni	gned to be control Plan 2025, ial needs" (Grapplicant has proposal seeks by Residential Multiple-Family between 30 are do incentive de or archite ker and Wohles the General Forised of hous policable deve will utilize lon as eave over public areas tons of the livin sident's childrek designation of the control of the cont	nsistent with the which recognoal H-4). To submitted an act to amend the (HDR) and to all the concession of the structural design as the structura	ne Plan. The izes the need facilitate the application to General Plan or change the 1 (R-3-2500) to f the Area ons that may requirements. parking, and esignation of need to blend from former ards required ale forms that allow pitched esence on the rovide social laces to play. The area area or considered and will be made zzanine area. Latiple-family		

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation Incorporated	Impact	
10c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025, General Plan 202  – Zoning/General Plan Consistency Matrix, Figure LU-7 – Plan if one, Title 19 – Zoning Code, Title 18 – Subdivision Title 20 – Cultural Resources Code, Title 16 – Buildings an Guidelines)	- Redevelopm Code, Title 7	ent Areas, ente — Noise Code,	r appropriate Title 17 – Gro	Specific ding Code,
Refer to response 4f, above.				
11. MINERAL RESOURCES.  Would the project:				5-7
a. Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?				
11a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure – OS-1 – In The project does not involve extraction of mineral resources or grad on the project site and there is no historical use of the site or surrour site is not, nor is it adjacent to, a locally important mineral resour specific plan or other land use plan. Therefore, the project will have cumulatively.	ing activity. It ading area for ace recovery s	No mineral reso mineral extrac site delineated	tion purposes. in the Genera	The project   I Plan 2025,
b. Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?				
11b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure - OS-1 - ITHE GP 2025 FPEIR determined that there are no specific areas with mineral resource recovery sites and that the implementation of the ability to extract state-designated resources. The proposed project is is no impact.	h the City of S General Plan :	Sphere Area wi 2025 would no	t significantly	preclude the
12. NOISE. Would the project result in:				
a. Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?				
12a. Response: (Source: General Plan Figure N-1 - 2003  Figure N-8 - Riverside and Flabob Airport Noise Contou Criteria, FPEIR Table 5.11-I - Existing and Future Noi Exterior Noise Standards, Appendix G - Noise Existing Contou Per Implementation Tool N-1 of the General Plan 2025 Noise Elen standards and compatibility issues have been addressed. The project of the Municipal Code, is compliant with the Noise/Land Use Noise Element, is not within the 60 dB CNEL and is not within require an acoustical analysis. Therefore, impacts are less than sig of noise levels in excess of established City standards either directly	rs, Figure N- ise Contour ( conditions Rep ment, this project meets the Co ise Compatib the vicinity ( inificant on the	10 - Noise/La Comparison, Tort, Title 7 - Nect has been re City's noise star ility Criteria Nof industrial and exposure of	rad Use Noise  Cable 5.11-E -  loise Code)  Eviewed to ensured as set for  Antrix (Figure reas and there persons to or the same set or the sam	Interior and ure that noise orth in Title 7 N-10) of the fore does not
b. Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive				
groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?  12b. Response: (Source: General Plan Figure N-1 - 2003  Figure N-8 - Riverside and Flabob Airport Noise Contou Criteria, FPEIR Table 5.11-I - Existing and Future No Exterior Noise Standards, Appendix G - Noise Existing C  Construction related activities although short term, are the most c could affect occupants of neighboring uses. Title 7 limits constru	rs, Figure N- ise Contour ( onditions Rep ommon sourc	10 – Noise/La Comparison, 1 port, Title 7 – N e of groundbo	nd Use Noise Table 5.11-E - Noise Code) rne noise and	- Interior and vibration that

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact	
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation	Impact		
weekdays, and 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. on Saturdays. No construction noise is permitted on Sundays or on Federal holidays. As construction activities are temporary and limited, the project will cause a less than significant exposure of persons to or generation of excessive ground borne vibration or ground borne noise levels. This project is not expected to generate or be exposed to long-term vibration impacts during operation of the proposed use or during construction activities as no blasting or pile driving is foreseeable in conjunction with development of this project. Therefore, impacts are less than significant on the exposure of persons to or the generation of noise levels in excess of established City standards either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.					
c. A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?					
12c. Response: (Source: General Plan Figure N-1 - 2003 Roadway Noise, Figure N-5 - 2025 Roadway Noise, Figure N-8 - Riverside and Flabob Airport Noise Contours, Figure N-10 - Noise/Land Use Noise Compatibility Criteria, FPEIR Table 5.11-I - Existing and Future Noise Contour Comparison, Table 5.11-E - Interior and Exterior Noise Standards, Appendix G - Noise Existing Conditions Report, Title 7 - Noise Code)  The proposed project does not involve uses or activities that would result in a substantial permanent increase ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project because the project consists of a 30-unit multiple-family residential project. Therefore, this project will have less than significant impact on existing noise levels either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.					
d. A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?					
<ul> <li>12d. Response: (Source: FPEIR Table 5.11-J - Construction Equipment Noise Levels, Appendix G - Noise Existing Conditions Report)</li> <li>The primary source of temporary or periodic noise associated with the proposed project is from construction activity and maintenance work. Construction noise typically involves the loudest common urban noise events associated with building demolition, grading, construction, large diesel engines, truck deliveries and hauling</li> <li>Both the General Plan 2025 and the Municipal Code Title 7 (Noise Code) limit construction activities to specific times and days of the week and during those specified times, construction activity is subject to the noise standards provided in the Title 7. Considering the short-term nature of construction and the provisions of the Noise Code, the temporary and</li> </ul>					
periodic increase in noise levels due the construction which ma significant directly, indirectly and cumulatively.		n the project		ed less than	
e. For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?	_				
12e. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure N-8 – Riverside and Flabob Airport Noise Contours, Figure N-10 – Noise/Land Use Noise Compatibility Criteria, RCALUCP)  Although the proposed project is located within Zone D of the RCALUCP and within two miles of a public airport, the proposed project is not located within any of the airport noise contour areas as depicted on Figures N-8 and N-9 of the Noise Element of the General Plan 2025. Consistent with the requirements of Zone D of the RCALUCP, a condition of approval has been added to require a deed notice of the project site's proximity to the Riverside Municipal Airport. For this reason, the project would not expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels related to airport noise. Therefore, impacts will be less than significant directly, indirectly and cumulatively on people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels.					
f. For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?			Ш		

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	
12f. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-6 - Airport Safety Zones and Influence Areas, RCALUCP, March Air Reserve Base/March Inland Port Comprehensive Land Use Plan (1999) and Air Installation Compatible Use Zone Study for March Air Reserve Base (August 2005)  Per the GP 2025 Program FPEIR, there are no private airstrips within the City that would expose people working or residing in the City to excessive noise levels. Because the proposed project consists of development anticipated under the General Plan 2025, is not located within proximity of a private airstrip, and does not propose a private airstrip, the project will not expose people residing or working in the City to excessive noise levels related to a private airstrip and would have no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively.					
13. POPULATION AND HOUSING. Would the project:					
a. Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?	_				
13a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Table LU-3 - Land Use Designations, FPEIR Table 5.12-A - SCAG Population and Households Forecast, Table 5.12-B - General Plan Population and Employment Projections-2025, Table 5.12-C - 2025 General Plan and SCAG Comparisons, Table 5.12-D - General Plan Housing Projections 2025, Capital Improvement Program and SCAG's RCP and RTP)  The project involves the construction of a 30-unit multiple-family residential project that may directly induce population growth; however, it does not require the creation of new streets or extension of infrastructure that could indirectly induce substantial population growth. The existing surrounding streets, Picker Street, Philbin Avenue, Wohlstetter Street, and other local streets will adequately serve the subject site. Further, this proposal although inconsistent with the density of the surrounding MDR General Plan 2025 land use designation, the proposed project has been designed to blend seamlessly into the surrounding Arlanza neighborhood, which is primarily comprised of housing converted from former barracks and repurposed service buildings; this proposal complies with all other applicable development standards required for multiple-family residential developments. Moreover, this proposal intends to provide housing for disabled veterans earning between 50 and 60 percent of the Area Median Income (AMI), it qualifies as an affordable housing project, and is consistent with the goals and policies of the General Plan 2025, which recognizes the need for "adequate housing and supportive services for Riverside residents with special needs" (Goal H-4). Given that the proposed use would not induce substantial population growth, the impacts will be less than significant both directly and indirectly.					
b. Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?					
13b. Response: (Source: CADME Land Use 2012 Layer)  The project will not displace existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere because most of the project site is vacant and there is no existing housing on site that would need to be removed or affected by the proposed project; the Camp Anza Officer's Club exists on the site but is currently unoccupied. Further, the proposal will increase the projected number of residences inventoried in the Housing Element of the General Plan 2025 as the proposal involves the development of the site with a 30-unit multiple-family residential project and this would result in an approximate gain of 13 units, given the proposed change in land use designation from MDR – Medium Density Residential (8 units per acre) to HDR – High Density Residential needed to facilitate the development of this proposal. Therefore, there will be no impact on existing housing either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.					
c. Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?					
13c. Response: (Source: CADME Land Use 2012 Layer) The project will not displace any people, necessitating the construproject site is proposed on vacant land that has no existing housing proposed project; the Camp Anza Officer's Club exists on the site b have no impact on people, necessitating the need for replacement ho	g or residents ut is currently	that will be runoccupied.	emoved or aff Therefore, this	ected by the sproject will	

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation Incorporated	Impact	
14. PUBLIC SERVICES.		Theor por area		
Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:				
a. Fire protection?		2		
14a. Response: (Source: FPEIR Table 5.13-B - Fire Station Statistics and Ordinance 5948 § 1)  Adequate fire facilities and services are provided by Station #7 loca addition, with implementation of General Plan 2025 policies, comprise Department practices, there will be no impacts on the demand indirectly or cumulatively.	ated at 10191 of	Cypress Aven	ue to serve thi	s project. In and through ther directly,
b. Police protection?				
14b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-8 – Neighborhood Policing Centers)  Adequate police facilities and services are provided by the West Main Policing Center to serve this project. In addition, with implementation of General Plan 2025 policies, compliance with existing codes and standards, and through Police Department practices, there will be no impacts on the demand for additional police facilities or services either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.				
c. Schools?				
14c. Response: (Source: Figure 5.13-3 - AUSD Boundaries, Table 5.13-E - AUSD, Table 5.13-G - Student Generation for RUSD and AUSD By Education Level)  Adequate school facilities and services are provided by Alvord Unified School District to serve this project. In addition, with implementation of General Plan 2025 policies, compliance with existing codes and standards, and through Alvord Unified School District impact fees used to offset the impact of new development, there will be a less than significant impact on the demand for school facilities or services either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.				
d. Parks?				
14d. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PR-1 - Parks, Open Spaces and Trails, Table PR-4 - Park and Recreation Facilities, Parks Master Plan 2003, GP 2025 FPEIR Table 5.14-A - Park and Recreation Facility Types, and Table 5.14-C - Park and Recreation Facilities Funded in the Riverside Renaissance Initiative) Adequate park facilities and services are provided in the Arlanza Neighborhood to serve this project, including the Martha McLean Anza Narrows Park near the project site. In addition, the project includes private outdoor spaces and windows would overlook public areas to provide a presence on the street and within the development. Within the site, courtyards serve as extensions of the living space and provide social gathering spaces for veterans and their families, while a tot lot, swimming pool, and horseshoe court will provide resident's children with safe places to play. In addition, with implementation of General Plan 2025 policies, compliance with existing codes and standards, and through Park, Recreation and Community Services practices, there will be a less than significant impact on the demand for additional park facilities or services either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.				
e. Other public facilities?				$\boxtimes$
14e. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure LU-8 - Community Facilities, FPEIR Figure 5.13-5 - Library Facilities, Figure 5.13-6 - Community Centers, Table 5.3-F - Riverside Community Centers, Table 5.13-H - Riverside Public Library Service Standards)  The project consists of a 30-unit multiple-family residential project. Adequate public facilities and services, including libraries and community centers, are provided in the Arlanza Neighborhood to serve this site. In addition, with implementation of General Plan 2025 policies, compliance with existing codes and standards, and through Park, Recreation and Community Services and Library practices, there will be no impacts on the demand for additional public facilities or				
services either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact	
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation Incorporated	lmpact		
15. RECREATION.					
a. Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?					
15a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PR-1 - P Recreation Facilities, Figure CCM-6 - Master plan of Ti Table 5.14-A - Park and Recreation Facility Types, and T in the Riverside Renaissance Initiative, Table 5.14-D - Municipal Code Chapter 16.60 - Local Park Development I The project is not expected to significantly increase the use of e	rails and Bike Cable 5.14-C - Inventory of Fees, Bicycle	eways, Parks - Park and Re Existing Com Master Plan N	Master Plan . ecreation Faci nmunity Cente May 2007)	2003, FPEIR ilities Funded ers, Riverside	
provide recreational facilities on site, partially offsetting the demand fees will be required to be paid to mitigate the impact to park develor Therefore, there will be a less than significant impact directly, indi	for neighborl for forment and of	nood parks. Noen space need	onetheless, ap	plicable park	
b. Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?					
15b. Response: The project will not include new recreational facilities or require therefore, there will be no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively		n or expansio	n of recreation	nal facilities;	
			,		
16. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC. Would the project result in:					
a. Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance or policy establishing measures of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit?					
16a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure CCM-4 – Master Plan of Roadways, FPEIR Figure 5.15-4 – Volume to Capacity (V/C) Ratio and Level of Service (LOS) (Typical 2025), Table 5.15-D – Existing and Future Trip Generation Estimates, Table 5.15-H – Existing and Typical Density Scenario Intersection Levels of Service, Table 5.15-I – Conceptual General Plan Intersection Improvement Recommendations, Table 5.15-J – Current Status of Roadways Projected to Operate at LOS E or F in 2025, Table 5.15K – Freeway Analysis Proposed General Plan, Appendix H – Circulation Element Traffic Study and Traffic Study Appendix, SCAG's RTP)					
SCAG's RTP)  Roadway capacity is adequate to accommodate the traffic volumes for the proposed project. The proposed project is not anticipated to produce significant traffic volume as it is anticipated that not all residents will have or need private vehicles. Although the proposed 30-unit multiple-family residential project is at a higher density than the surrounding primarily single-family residential neighborhood, the proposed project does not result in any new significant impacts given that multiple-family residential projects are marginally more intense than single-family residences. Based on the ITE Manual, a project of this type is expected to generate a total of 34 peak hour vehicle trips per day (a.m. and p.m.) whereas a single-family residential development on this site would be expected to generate 30 peak hour vehicle trips. Further the project site is within a third of a mile of two Riverside Transit Agency (RTA) bus stops, with one running along Van Buren Boulevard and another along Colorado Jurupa Avenue. Therefore, the increase in traffic in relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street system is less than significant directly, indirectly or cumulatively.					
<ul> <li>b. Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including but not limited to level of service</li> </ul>				$\boxtimes$	

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact	
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation Incorporated	Impact		
standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?					
16b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure CCM-4 Volume to Capacity (V/C) Ratio and Level of Service Future Trip Generation Estimates, Table 5.15-H - Exis of Service, Table 5.15-I - Conceptual General Plan Intel - Current Status of Roadways Projected to Operate at L Proposed General Plan, Appendix H - Circulation I SCAG's RTP)  The project site does not include a state highway or principal arteri Program (CMP) and the project is consistent with the Transportatio	(LOS) (Typic ting and Typic rection Impr OS E or F in Element Traf al within Rive n Demand Ma	cal 2025), Taical Density Sovement Recording 2025, Table Stic Study and erside County anagement/Air	ble 5.15-D - cenario Inters mmendations, 5.15K - Free I Traffic Stud	Existing and section Levels, Table 5.15-Jeway Analysis dy Appendix,  Management	
Program; therefore, there is no impact either directly, indirectly or c  c. Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?					
16c. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PS-6 - Airport Safety Zones and Influence Areas, RCALUCP) The proposed project is located in Zone D of RCALUCP for RMA and has been to the Airport Land Use Commission (ALUC) for review and approval. Compliance with these conditions will ensure that the project will not change air traffic patterns, increase air traffic levels or change the location of air traffic patterns. As such, this project will have a less than significant impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively on air traffic patterns.					
d. Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g., farm equipment)?					
16d. Response: (Source: Project Site Plans, Lane Striping amount of the proposed project is compatible with adjacent streets and existing been designed so as not to cause any incompatible use or create any proposed site plan indicates that vehicular access will be taken for proposed site design would not result in hazards due to design feater. As such, the project will have no impact on increasing hazar indirectly or cumulatively.	g developmer hazards to th rom both Pic tures such as	nt in the surrou e surrounding ker Street and driveways or	area or general Wohlstetter intersection in	Street. The mprovements, ither directly,	
e. Result in inadequate emergency access?					
16e. Response: (Source: California Department of Transpor Fire Code)  The project has been developed in compliance with Title 18, Sec (California Fire Code 2007); therefore, there will be no impact dire	tion 18,210.0	30 and the Ci	ty's Fire Code	e Section 503	
f. Conflict with adopted policies, plans or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise decrease the performance or safety of such facilities)?					
16f. Response: (Source: FPEIR, General Plan 2025 Land Mobility and Education Elements, Bicycle Master Plan, Source: The project, as designed, does not create conflicts with adopte transportation (e.g. bus turnouts, bicycle racks). In fact, the project side of the site to improve the pedestrian accessibility. As such,	chool Safety I ed policies, p et will allow for	olans or progr or the connect	rams supporting ion of sidewal	ng alternative ks along each	

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With Mitigation Incorporated	Impact	Ппрасс
17. UTILITIES AND SYSTEM SERVICES. Would the project:				
a. Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable Regional Water Quality Control Board?				
17a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Figure PF-2 – Service Areas, Table 5.16-K - Estimated Future Wastewate Area, Figure 5.8-1 – Watersheds, Wastewater Integrated M	r Generation aster Plan an	for the City o d Certified El	f Riverside's S R)	Sewer Service
All new development is required to comply with all provisions of the Sewer Permit (MS4), as enforced by the Regional Water Quality project would not exceed applicable wastewater treatment requirem sewer system or stormwater system within the City. Because the regulations related to wastewater treatment the project will have a cumulatively.	Control Boa ents of the R proposed pr	rd (RWQCB). WQCB with r oject is requir	Therefore, espect to disc ed to adhere	the proposed harges to the to the above
b. Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				
17b. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 Table PF-1 - RPU PROJECTED DOMESTIC WATER Supply (AC-FT/YR), Table PF-2 - RPU Projected Water Demand, RPU, FPEIR Table 5.16-G - General Plan Projected Water Demand for RPU Including Water Reliability for 2025, Table 5.16-K - Estimated Future Wastewater Generation for the City of Riverside's Sewer Service Area, Figure 5.16-4 - Water Facilities and Figure 5.16-6 - Sewer Infrastructure and Wastewater Integrated Master Plan and Certified EIR.)  The project will not result in the construction of new or expanded water or wastewater treatment facilities. The project is consistent with the Typical Growth Scenario of the General Plan 2025 where future water and wastewater generation was determined to be adequate (see Tables 5.16-E, 5.16-F, 5.16-G, 5.16-H, 5.16-J, 5.16-J and 5.16-K of the General Plan 2025 Final PEIR). The proposed project intends for the development of a 30-unit multiple-family residential project. To facilitate the development of this proposal, the General Plan land use designation will be amended from MDR - Medium Density Residential to HDR - High Density Residential. Nevertheless, some properties citywide have been developed at a density below those considered under the General Plan 2025, such as a multiple family housing recently approved to be developed with 102 units on a site inventoried in the Housing Element of the General Plan to be developed with 223 units, which would in essence compensate for the higher density proposed on the subject site. Therefore, the project will have less than significant impacts resulting in the construction of new water or wastewater treatment facilities or the expansion of existing facilities directly, indirectly or cumulatively.				
c. Require or result in the construction of new storm water drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				
17c. Response: (Source: FPEIR Figure 5.16-2 - Drainage Facilities)  The proposed project will result in an increase of impervious surface areas. The increased in impervious surface area will generate increased storm water flows with potential to impact drainage facilities and require the provision of additional facilities. However, the Subdivision Code (Title 18, Section 18.48.020) requires drainage fees to be paid to the City for new construction. Fees are transferred into a drainage facilities fund that is maintained by Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District. This Section also complies with the California Government Code (section 66483), which provides for the payment of fees for construction of drainage facilities.  General Plan 2025 Policies PF 4.1 and PF 4.3 require the City to continue to routinely monitor its storm drain system and to fund and improve those systems as identified in the City's Capital Improvement Plan. Implementation of these policies will ensure that the City is adequately served by drainage systems. The General Plan 2025 also includes policies and programs that will minimize the environmental effects of the development of such facilities. Therefore, the project will have less than significant impact on existing storm water drainage facilities and would not require the expansion of existing facilities				
directly, indirectly or cumulatively.  d. Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project				

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING	Potentially Significant	Less Than Significant	Less Than Significant	No Impact
INFORMATION SOURCES):	Impact	With	Impact	•
		Mitigation Incorporated		
from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or		incorporated.	****	
expanded entitlements needed?				
17d. Response: (Source: FPEIR Figure 5.16-3 - Water Service	e Areas, Figu	ire 5.16-4 – W	ater Facilitie:	s, Table 5.16-
E - RPU Projected Domestic Water Supply (AC-FT/YR), 1	able 5.16-F =	Projected Wo	iter Demana, 5. RPI   Masta	r Plan
- General Plan Projected Water Demand for RPU includin	g waier keud	willy jor 2023	t recent popu	Intion trends
The City's Urban Water Management Plan must be updated every f Similarly, the City must consult with the Riverside Public Utilities re	oarding devel	onment projec	ts exceeding t	he thresholds
noted in the CEQA Guidelines Section 15155 to ensure that suffic	ient water sur	oplies are avai	lable and this	review took
place. The proposed project intends for the development of a 30-u	init multiple-f	family resident	tial project. T	The proposed
project intends for the development of a 30-unit multiple-family res	idential proje	ct. To facilita	ite the develop	oment of this
proposal, the General Plan land use designation will be amended from	n MDR – Me	dium Density	Residential to	HDK - High
Density Residential. The GP 2025 FPEIR found that water demand "worst case" analysis of Maximum population and Maximum popula	tion w/RPD	Dounganes ma	IVD can sell v	vater to RPII
and as shown on Table 5.16-I of the GP 2025 FPEIR, WMWD will h	ave 123.784 a	cre-feet annua	lly to sell to o	ther agencies
like RPII Therefore, even at the higher levels of development anticip	pated under th	e General Plar	i, water supply	/ is available.
Therefore, this project was found to have a less than significant	mpact on wa	iter supplies e	ither directly,	indirectly or
cumulatively.				
e. Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment			$   \boxtimes$	
provider which serves or may serve the project that it has		\\ <del></del>		
adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in				
addition to the provider's existing commitments?	Augus Eig	5 16 6 C	unan Infrastr	uoturo Table
17e. Response: (Source: FPEIR Figure 5.16-5 - Sewer Service 5.16-K - Estimated Future Wastewater Generation for	e Areas, Fig.	ure 3.10-0 -3e f Riverside's	wei Injiasii Sewer Servic	e Area, and
Wastewater Integrated Master Plan and Certified EIR)	ine city of	Terror State S	50,701	
The project will not exceed wastewater treatment requirements of (	Regional Wat	er Quality Co	ntrol Board).	The General
Plan 2025 determined future wastewater generation was adequate (se	ee Table 5.16.	<ul> <li>K of the Gene</li> </ul>	ral Plan 2025	Final PEIR).
The proposed project intends for the development of a 30-unit	multiple-famil	ly residential	project. To	facilitate the
development of this proposal, the General Plan land use designate	ion will be a	mended from	MDR = Med	num Density
Residential to HDR – High Density Residential. Nevertheless, som below those considered under the General Plan 2025, such as a multiplication of the control	e properties c	ntywide nave t	annroved to	he developed
with 102 units on a site inventoried in the Housing Element of the	General Plan	n to be develo	ped with 223	units, which
would in essence compensate for the higher density proposed on the	subject site.	Therefore, this	project was f	ound to have
a less than significant impact to wastewater treatment directly, indi-	rectly or cumu	ılatively will o	ccur.	
f. Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to				
accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?				
17f. Response: (Source: FPEIR Table 5.16-A - Existing Land	fills and Tabl	e 5.16-M – Es	timated Futur	e Solid Waste
Generation from the Planning Area)				f the Comeral
The General Plan 2025 determined future landfill capacity to be ac	lequate (see 1	ables 5.10-A	and 3.10-M O	ential project
Plan 2025 Final PEIR). The proposed project intends for the develor To facilitate the development of this proposal, the General Plan land	use designation	on will be ame:	nded from MI	OR – Medium
Density Residential to HDR – High Density Residential. Neverthele	ess, some proj	perties citywid	e have been d	eveloped at a
density below those considered under the General Plan 2025, such	as a multiple	family housi	ng recently ap	proved to be
developed with 102 units on a site inventoried in the Housing Element of the General Plan to be developed with 223 units,				
which would in essence compensate for the higher density proposed on the subject site. Therefore, this project was found to have a less than significant impact to landfill capacity will occur directly, indirectly or cumulatively.				
		ty or cumulati	Vely.	N2
g. Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?				
17g. Response: (Source: California Integrated Waste Management Board 2002 Landfill Facility Compliance Study)				
The California Integrated Waste Management Act under the Public	Resource Cod	e requires that	local jurisdict	nons divert at
least 50% of all solid waste generated by January 1, 2000. The City State requirements. In addition, the California Green Building C	ode requires	oll developme	o uiversion rai	50% of non-
State requirements. In addition, the California Green Building C	00% of excav	vated soil and	land clearing	debris for all

ISSUES (AND SUPPORTING INFORMATION SOURCES):  non-residential projects beginning January 1, 2011. The proposed	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated comply with		No Impact
requirements as well as the California Green Building Code and as sure regulations related to solid waste. Therefore, no impact related to cumulatively.	ich would not	conflict with	any Federal, S	State, or local
18. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE.	-			
a. Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or an endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?				
18a. Response: (Source: General Plan 2025 – Figure OS-6 other Habitat Conservation Plans (HCP), Figure OS-7 – Management Cell Areas, General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.4-2 – MSH and Subunit Areas, Figure 5.4-6 – MSHCP Narrow Endem Criteria Area Species Survey Area, Figure 5.4-8 – MSHCI - Protection of Species Associated with Riparian/Riverine And Districts and Neighborhood Conservation Areas, Figure Prehistoric Cultural Resources Sensitivity, Appendix Cultural Resources Survey, Home Front at Camp Anza/C 2013  Potential impacts related to habitat of fish or wildlife species were Initial Study, and were all found to be less than significant. Additional paleontological resources related to major periods of California and discussed in the Cultural Resources Section of this Initial Study, mitigation.	MSHCP Core CP Area Plant ic Plant Spec P Burrowing reas and Vert 5.5-1 - Arc D, Title 20 damp Anza O discussed in the City of	s and Linkages, Figure 5.4 ies Survey Ar Owl Survey A nal Pools, FP haeological S of the Ri fficer's Club; the Biological al impacts to of fiverside's	es, Figure OS 1-4 - MSHCP ea, Figure 5.4 rea, MSHCP EIR Table 5.5 Sensitivity, Fiverside Mun Prepared by  Resources Secultural, archae history or pre- ess than sign	-8 - MSHCP Criteria Cells -7 - MSHCP Section 6.1.2 -A Historical gure 5.5-2 - icipal Code, JMRC, June ction of this cological and history were
b. Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects)?				
18b. Response: (Source: FPEIR Section 6 - Long-Term Effe	ects/ Cumula	tive Impacts f	for the Genera	ıl Plan 2025
Program)  Although the project proposes a density higher than what was considered lesser densities, recently approved or developed on sites where higher cumulative impacts are anticipated and therefore cumulative impacts are less than significant.	gher densities	would have b	een allowed;	herefore, no
c. Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?				
18c. Response: (Source: FPEIR Section 5 – Environmental Imp Effects on human beings were evaluated as part of the aesthetics, air and housing, hazards and hazardous materials, and traffic sections of for each of the above sections. Based on the analysis and conclusions substantial adverse effects, directly or indirectly to human beings.	quality, hydro this initial stu- sions in this Therefore, p	ology & water ady and found initial study,	quality, noise to be less tha the project wi	, population n significant ll not cause

Note: 2109: 222 C	: Authority cited: Sections 210 3, 21094, 21151, Public Resou Cal.App.3d 1337 (1990).	083 and 21087, Public Frees Code; Sundstrom v	Resources Code, Refu . County of Mendoci	erence: Sections 21080 no. 202 Cal.App.3d 29	0(c), 21080.1, 21080.3, ; 96 (1988); Leonoff v. M	21082.1, 21083, 21083.3, ontercy Board of Supervisors
10						

# Attachment B

# City of Riverside Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Category Cultural Resources	Mitigation Measures	Implementation Timing		0
Cultural Resources	CO INCIDENT TRANSPORTE		Party.	
Resources	MM Cultural 1: The City shall actively pursue a	Site-Specific Environmental	Planning Division	Compliance with Project
	survey program to identify and document prehistoric	Review and/or prior to the		Conditions of Approval.
	and historical archaeological sites and sites	issuance of a demolition,	Public Works Department	
	containing Native American human remains.	grading and/or building		
	Although a comprehensive survey program may not	permit.		
	be economically feasible by the City, the City shall			
	require that all areas slated for development or other			
	ground disturbing activities be surveyed for			
	archaeological resources by qualified individuals			
	who meet the Secretary of the Interior's Standards			
	and Guidelines regarding archaeological activities			
	plans If notentially significant prehistoric			
	alculatological lesources are encountered curing the			
	-			
	project proponent consult with Native American			
	Heritage Commission in Sacramento to acquire a list			
	of the appropriate Native American tribes that may			
	have an interest in these reconnect consultation with			
	3			
	mese mailye Americans Times shall also be			
	MM Cultural 2: Avoidance is the preferred	Site-Specific Environmental	Planning Division	Compliance with Project
	treatment for known prehistoric and historical	Review and/or prior to the		Conditions of Approval.
	archaeological sites and sites containing Native	issuance of a demolition	Public Works Department	
	American human remains. Where feasible, project	and/or grading permit.		
	plans shall be developed to avoid known			
	archaeological resources and sites containing human			
	remains. Where avoidance of construction impacts			
	is possible, the site shall be landscaped in a manner			
	which will ensure that indirect impacts from			
	increased public availability to these sites are			
	avoided. Where avoidance is selected,			
	archaeological resource sites and sites containing			
	Native American human remains shall be placed			
	within permanent conservation easements or			

' All agencies are City of Riverside Departments/Divisions unless otherwise noted.

ѿ
은
5
ź
Q
as
Ų

Impact Category	Mitigation Measures	Implementation Timing	Responsible Monitoring Party <sup>1</sup>	Monitoring/Reporting Method
	dedicated open space areas.			
	MM Cultural 3: If, after consultation with the	Prior to issuance of grading	Planning Division	Issuance of grading permit.
	appropriate Tribe, the project archaeologist and the	permit.		
	law, avoidance and/or preservation in place of			
	==			
	resources and sites containing Native American			
	human remains are not feasible management options,			
	the following mitigation measures shall be initiated:			
	a. Prior to the issuance of a grading permit for a			
	project, the City's consultant shall develop a			
	Phase II (i.e., test-level) Research Design			
	detailing how the archaeological resources			
	investigation will be executed and providing			
	specific research questions that will be			
	addressed through the Phase II Testing			
	Program. In general terms, the Phase II Testing			
	Program should be designed to define site			
	boundaries further and to assess the structure,			
	content, nature, and depth of subsurface			
	cultural deposits and features. Emphasis should			
	also be placed on assessing site integrity,			
	cultural significance and the site's potential to			
	address regional archaeological research			
	questions. These data should be used for two			
	purposes: to discuss culturally sensitive	v		
	recovery options with the appropriate Tribe(s)			
	if the resource is of Native American origins,			
	4.5			
	Historical Resources (CRHR) and National			
	ister of Historic Places (NRHP) elig			
	for the cultural resource and make			
	recommendations as to the suitability of the			
	resource for listing on either Register. The			
	Research Design shall be submitted to the			
	City's Cultural Heritage Board and/or Cultural			
	e appropi			
	for review and comment. Tribal comments			
	must be received by the City Planning Division			
	days. The City shall cons			
	continuents, require revisions, it decined			

Impact Category	Mitigation Measures	Implementation Timing	Responsible Monitoring Party <sup>†</sup>	Monitoring/Reporting Method
	necessary by the report writer and approve a			
	Research Design which shan mented. For sites determined inelig			<del></del>
	either the CRHR of			
	execution of the Phase II Testing Program			
	would suffice as the necessary level of data			
	recovery and mutigation of project impacts to			
	b. A participant-observer from the appropriate			
	Native American Band or Tribe shall be used			
	during all archaeological excavations involving			
	sites of Native American concern.			
	prior to the issuance of a grading permit, the			
	City's consultant shall complete the Phase II			
	lesting Program as specified in the Research			
	Design. The results of this Program shall be	40		
	_			
7.	County of Riverside's Outline for			
	Archaeological Testing. The Phase II Report			
		771		
	the City's Cultural Heritage Board for review			
		****		
		bn		
	potentially eligible for either the CRHR or			
	NRHP, a Phase III Data Recovery Program to	0		
	mitigate project effects should be initiated. The	a)		
	Data Recovery Treatment Plan detailing the	n)		
	objectives of the Phase III Program should be	<b>a</b>		
	developed, in consultation with the appropriate	<b>a</b>		
	Tribe, and contain specific testable hypotheses	S	200	
	pertinent to the Research Design and relative to	0		
	the sites under study. The Phase III Data	8		
	Recovery Treatment Plan should be submitted	70		
	Heritage Board	υ		
	Cultural Heritage Board's staff and the	v		
	appropriate Tribe for review and comment.			
	6	>		
	Planning Division within 45 days. The City	<u> </u>		
	shall consider all comments, require revisions,			
	if deemed necessary by the report writer and	d l		

Case Number

Impact Category	Mitigation Measures	Implementation Timing	Responsible Monitoring Party <sup>1</sup>	Monitoring/Reporting Method
		pe .		
	# B'	ed,		
	eligible sites should be completed. Typicality, a Phase III Data Recovery Program involves the	f, a the		
	excavation of a statistically representative sample of the site to preserve those resource	rce		
	values that qualify the site as being eligible for listing on the CRHR or NRHP. Again, a	for		
	ਰ.	iate		
	Native American Band or Tribe shall be used during archaeological data-recovery	sed ery		
	excavations involving sites of Native American	can		
	concern. At the conclusion of the Phase III Program a Phase III Data Recovery Report	Hoc		
	should be prepared, following the County of	Jo		
		ical		
	Mitigation or Data Recovery. The Phase III	1111		
	the appropriate Tribe and the City's Cultural	ural		
	f. All archaeological materials recovered during	ring		
	Implementation of the Phase II Lesting of Phase	lase		
	to analysis and/or processing as outlined in the	the		
	Treatment Plan. If materials are of the type	ype		
	which will be transferred to a curation facility,	lity,		
11-20-	they should be cleaned, described in detail, and	and ical		
	analysis. Materials to be curated may include	lude		
	archaeological specimens and samples, field	ield		
	notes, feature and burial records, maps, plans,	ans,		
	Δ.	phic		
	negatives, consultants reports of special	Scial		
	reports. All project related collections subject to	c1 to		
	curation should be suitably packaged and	and		
	ള	ards		
	of 36 CFR 79 for long-term storage. Culturally	rally		
	sensitive treatment of certain artifacts may	may		

a.
_
•
-
-
_
=
_
_
_
_
41
•
co.
60
r \
_
_
_
_
_
_
_

Impact Category	Mitigation Measures	Implementation Timing	Responsible Monitoring Party <sup>1</sup>	Monitoring/Reporting Method
	require treatment other than curation and as specified in the Treatment Plan, but it should be noted that provisions of the Native American Graves Protection Repatriation Act (NAGPRA) pertaining to Native American burials, sacred objects, and objects of cultural patrimony would come into effect when ownership of the collections transfer to a curation repository that receives Federal funding, unless otherwise agreed to with non-curation methods of treatment.			
	The project proponent should bear the expense of identification, evaluation, and treatment of all cultural resources directly or indirectly affected by project-related construction activity. Such expenses may include, archaeological and Native American monitoring, pre-field planning, field work, post-field analysis, research, interim and summary report preparation, and final report production (including draft and final versions), and costs associated with the curation of project documentation and the associated artifact collections. On behalf of the City and the project proponent, the final technical reports detailing the results of the Phase II Testing or Phase III Data Recovery programs should be submitted to the anpropriate Native American Tribe and to the			
	Eastern Information Center (EIC) of the California Historical Resources Information System (CHRIS) for their information and where it would be available to other researchers.			
	MM Cultural 4: The following mitigation measures should be implemented to reduce project-related adverse impacts to archaeological resources and sites containing Native American human remains that may be inadvertently discovered during construction of projects proposed in the City's General Plan Update:  a. If buried archaeological resources are uncovered	Prior to issuance of grading permit.	Individual grading contractors Registered Professional Archaeologist	Compliance with Project Conditions of Approval. Final report to City Planning Division from archeologist; if resources are found.
	during construction, all work must be halted in the vicinity of the discovery until a registered			

Impact Category	Mitigation Measures	Implementation Timing	Responsible Monitoring Party <sup>1</sup>	Monitoring/Reporting Method
	professional archaeologist can visit the site of discovery and assess the significance and origin of the archaeological resource. If the resource is determined to be of Native American origin, the Tribe shall be consulted to be a potentially significant cultural resource, the City, in consultation with the project archaeologist and the Tribe, shall determine the course of action which may include data recovery, retention in situ, or other appropriate treatment and mitigation depending on the resources discovered.			
	In the event of an accidental discovery of any human remains in a location other than a dedicated cernetery, the steps and procedures specified in Health and Safety Code 7050.5, State CEQA Guidelines 15064.5(e), and Public Resources Code 5097.98 must be implemented. Specifically, in accordance with Public Resources Code (PRC) Section 5097.98, the Riverside County Coroner must be notified within 24 hours of the discovery of potentially human remains. The Coroner will then determine within two working days of being notified if the remains are subject to his or her authority. If the Coroner recognizes the remains to be Native American, he or she shall contact the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) by phone within 24 hours, in accordance with PRC Section 5097.98. The NAHC will then designate a Most Likely Descendant (MLD) with respect to the human remains within 48 hours of notification. The MLD then has the opportunity to recommend to the	**		
	property owner or the person responsible for the excavation work means for treating or disposing, with appropriate dignity, the human remains and associated grave goods within 24 hours of notification. Whenever the NAHC is unable to identify a MLD, or the MLD fails to make a recommendation, or the landowner or his or her			

ь,
Q
2
7
Z
$\overline{}$
Se
સં
_

Impact Category	Mitigation Measures	Implementation Timing	Responsible Monitoring Party <sup>1</sup>	Monitoring/Reporting Method
	authorized representative rejects the recommendation of the MLD and the mediation provided for in subdivision (k) of PRC Section 5097.94 fails to provide measures acceptable to the landowner, the landowner or his or her authorized representative			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	shall re-inter the human remains and items associated with Native American burials with appropriate dignity on the property in a location not subject to further subsurface disturbance.			
Cultural Resources	MM Cultural 5: The preserved and enhanced integrity of the restored/rehabilitated Camp Anza			
	Officers Club, which is to be achieved by the proposed project, shall be further protected by the			
	preparation and submittal of a local designation application prior to final inspection or the issuance			
_	l of certificate of occupancy.			

# Attachment C

**Public Notice** 

# **PUBLIC NOTICE**

May 2, 2014 - Riverside County Economic Development Agency 5555 Arlington Avenue, Riverside, California 92504 • (951) 343-5473 Juan Garcia

# TO ALL INTERESTED AGENCIES, GROUPS, AND PERSONS:

These notices shall satisfy two separate but related procedural requirements for activities to be undertaken by the County of Riverside.

#### REQUEST FOR RELEASE OF FUNDS

On or about May 20, 2014, the County of Riverside will submit a request to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Los Angeles Field Office for the release of HOME Investment Partnerships Program funds under Title II of the Cranston-Gonzalez National Affordable Housing Act of 1990, as amended, to undertake the following project:

PROJECT NAME: Home Front at Camp Anza

PURPOSE: The project activity includes the use of up to \$500,000 in HOME funds by Camp Anza, L.P., a California limited partnership, for the development and construction of a 30-unit apartment complex and rehabilitation of the vacant historic building also known as the Officer's Club which will be renovated into a multipurpose community center for the use of residents living in the proposed project. The proposed Project will consist of 15 two-bedroom units, and 15 three-bedroom units. The two-bedroom units are approximately 776 square-feet and the three-bedroom units rang in size from 1,054 to 1,151 square-feet. One two-bedroom unit will be set aside for a resident manager. The apartment units will be rented to low-income Veterans and their families and provide the veteran(s) and their families a variety of supportive services designed to ease the transition back into civilian life.

LOCATION: The project site is comprised of 5 contiguous parcels totaling approximately 2.14 acres, located at 5797 Picker Street in the City of Riverside. The subject 2.14 acre project site is located north of Philbin Avenue, between Picker and Wohlstetter Streets, with the following Assessor Parcel Numbers:

151-123-005; 151-123-006; 151-123-007; 151-123-008; 123-151-013

This activity may be undertaken over multiple years.

#### FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

The County of Riverside has determined that the project will have no significant impact on the human environment. Therefore, an Environmental Impact Statement under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) is not required. Additional project information is contained in the Environmental Assessment (EA) on file at the Housing Authority of the County of Riverside at 5555 Arlington Avenue, Riverside, California 92504. The EA may be examined or copied between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., Monday through Thursday.

#### **PUBLIC COMMENTS**

Any individual, group, or agency may submit written comments on the EA to the Riverside Economic Development Agency Housing Division, Attention Juan Garcia at 5555 Arlington Avenue, Riverside, California 92504. All comments received at the address specified above on or before May 19, 2014 will be considered by the County of Riverside prior to authorizing submission of a request for release of funds. Comments should specify which Notice they are addressing.

# **RELEASE OF FUNDS**

The County of Riverside certifies to the HUD Los Angeles Field Office that Jeff Stone in his capacity as the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors consents to accept the jurisdiction of the Federal courts if an action is brought to enforce responsibilities in relation to the environmental review process and that these responsibilities have been satisfied. HUD's approval of the certification satisfies its responsibilities under NEPA and related laws and authorities and allows the County of Riverside to use the HOME Investment Partnerships Program funds.

# **OBJECTIONS TO RELEASE OF FUNDS**

HUD will accept objections to its release of funds and the County of Riverside's certification for a period of fifteen days following the anticipated submission date or its actual receipt of the request (whichever is later) only if they are on one of the following bases:

- a. the certification was not executed by the Certifying Officer of the County of Riverside;
- b. the County of Riverside has omitted a step or failed to make a decision or finding required by HUD regulations at 24 CFR part 58;
- c. the grant recipient has committed funds or incurred costs not authorized by 24 CFR Part 58 before approval of a release of funds by HUD; or
- d. another Federal agency acting pursuant to 40 CFR Part 1504 has submitted a written finding that the project is unsatisfactory from the standpoint of environmental quality.

Objections must be prepared and submitted in accordance with the required procedures (24 CFR Part 58, Sec. 58.76) and shall be addressed to the HUD Los Angeles Field Office at 611 W. 6th Street, Suite 800, Los Angeles, California 90017. Objections to the release of funds on a basis other than those stated above will not be considered by HUD.

Potential objectors should contact the HUD Environmental Officer, HUD Los Angeles Field Office (tel. 213-894-8000 or via fax 213-894-8122) to verify the actual last day of the objection period.

# **Duck Dynasty? DA** skips first debate

Riverside County Economic Develop.

Advertiser

Section-Page-Zone(s):

in x 5.5 in

5.19

Color Type

Insertion Number: Ad Number:

**Description**:

This was supposed to be the day a latended the debate between Riv-Co's first-kerm DA and the man who's trying to orust him.

But DA Paul Zellerbach patitied out of the event, leaving his trailing the storm. He storm the formal who is the failed that the storm that the storm the failed that the storm that the storm the failed that the storm the failed that the storm the storm that th

Doonesbury

PUBLIC NOTICE

BIG 1 - Dir. Formed Complete Construction of Development Agency

SSS Alleged Accounts (ACOUNTY ACCOUNTY A

RELEASE OF FIRMS

Full titler may be from as he organisate as broade in release in process

against a firm of the continuous statements of the continuous statements of the continuous statements of the continuous firms of t

It do forms on the most of the position of the

**PRATTE** 









# PEPPER SPRAY ORNO JARUT SIDE OF TRANSPORT OR AND JARUT SIDE INCLUDES POTATO • SOUP • SALAD



rihorre Blvd. al 1 (310) 378-4469

ERVED 241

www.normsrestaurants.com

# THE PRESS-ENTERPRISE Friday, May 02, 2014

**AVISO PUBLICO** 

2 de Mayo 2014 - Agencia de Desarrollo Económico del Condado de Riverside 5555 Arlington Avenue, Riverside, California 92504 • (951) 343-5473 Juan Garcia

# A TODOS LOS ORGANISMOS, GRUPOS Y PERSONAS INTERESADAS:

Estos avisos deberán cumplir dos requisitos procesales distintos pero relacionados sobre actividades a realizar por el Condado de Riverside .

# SOLICITUD DE LIBERACIÓN DE FONDOS

En o alrededor del 20 de mayo 2014, el Condado de Riverside presentará una solicitud ante el Departamento de Vivienda y Desarrollo Urbano (HUD) Los Angeles Field Office para la liberación de fondos del Programa de Asociación para Inversiones en el Título II de la Cranston -Gonzalez Nacional Ley de Vivienda asequible de 1990, según enmendada, para llevar a cabo el siguiente proyecto:

# NOMBRE DEL PROYECTO: Home Front at Camp Anza

OBJETIVO: La actividad del proyecto incluye el uso de hasta \$500,000 en fondos del programa HOME Funds by Camp Anza, L.P., una sociedad limitada de California, para el desarrollo y la construcción de un complejo de apartamentos de 30 unidades y la rehabilitación del emblemático edificio vacante también conocido como el Officer's Club, que será reformado para convertirlo en un centro comunitario de usos múltiples para el uso de los residentes que viven en el proyecto propuesto. El proyecto propuesto constará de 15 unidades de dos dormitorios y 15 unidades de tres dormitorios. Las unidades de dos dormitorios son de aproximadamente 776 pies cuadrados y las unidades de tres dormitorios varian en tamaño de 1,054 a 1,151 pies cuadrados. Una unidad de dos dormitorios se reservará para un gerente residente. Las unidades de apartamentos se alquilarán a los veteranos de bajos ingresos y sus familias y proporcionan el veterano (s) y sus familias una variedad de servicios de apoyo diseñados para facilitar la transición a la vida civil

UBICACIÓN: El sitio del proyecto está compuesto por 5 parcelas contiguas por un total de aproximadamente 2,14 hectáreas, ubicado en 5797 Picker Street en la ciudad de Riverside. El sujeto sitio del proyecto 2.14 acres está ubicado al norte de Philbin Avenue, entre las calles Picker y Wohlstetter, con los siguientes Assessor Parcel Numbers:

151-123-005; 151-123-006; 151-123-007; 151-123-008; 123-151-013

Esta actividad puede llevarse a cabo a través de múltiples años.

# HALLAZGO DE NINGÚN IMPACTO SIGNIFICATIVO

El Condado de Riverside ha determinado que el proyecto no tendrá un impacto significativo sobre el medio ambiente humano. Por lo tanto, no se requiere una Declaración de Impacto Ambiental bajo la Ley Nacional de Política Ambiental de 1969 (NEPA). Información adicional del proyecto está contenida en la Evaluación Ambiental (EA) en los archivos de la Autoridad de Vivienda del Condado de Riverside en 5555 Arlington Avenue, Riverside, California 92504. La EA puede ser examinada o copiada entre las horas de 8:00 am y las 5:00 pm, de lunes a jueves.

# **COMENTARIOS DEL PÚBLICO**

Cualquier individuo, grupo o agencia podrá presentar observaciones por escrito sobre la EA a la División de Vivienda Agencia de Desarrollo Económico de Riverside, a la atención de Juan García en 5555 Arlington Avenue, Riverside, California 92504. Todos los comentarios recibidos en la dirección anteriormente citada en o antes del 19 de mayo de 2014 se tendrán en cuenta por el Condado de Riverside antes de autorizar la presentación de una solicitud de liberación de los fondos. Los comentarios deben especificar qué Observe que se dirigen .

# LIBERACIÓN DE FONDOS

El Condado de Riverside certifica a la HUD Los Angeles Field Office que Jeff Stone, en su calidad de Presidente de la Junta de Supervisores consiente en aceptar la jurisdicción de los tribunales federales cuando se haya interpuesto una acción para hacer cumplir las responsabilidades en relación con el proceso de revisión ambiental y que estas responsabilidades se han cumplido. Aprobación de la certificación de HUD satisface sus responsabilidades bajo NEPA y leyes y autoridades relacionadas y permite que el Condado de Riverside a utilizar los fondos del Programa de Asociación para Inversiones.

# OBJECIONES A LA LIBERACIÓN DE FONDOS

HUD aceptará objeciones a su liberación de los fondos y el Condado de Riverside de certificación por un período de quince días siguientes a la fecha de presentación anticipada o su recepción efectiva de la solicitud (lo que sea más tarde) sólo si están en una de las siguientes bases:

- a, la certificación no fue ejecutada por el Certifying Officer of the County of Riverside;
- b. el Condado de Riverside ha omitido un paso o dejado de tomar una decisión o conclusión requerida por las regulaciones de HUD en 24 CFR parte 58;
- c. el beneficiario de la subvención se ha comprometido fondos o incurrido en gastos no autorizados por 24 CFR Parte 58 antes de la aprobación de una liberación de fondos por HUD; o
- d. otra agencia federal a actuando de conformidad con 40 CFR Parte 1504 ha presentado por escrito su conclusión de que el proyecto no es satisfactoria desde el punto de vista de la calidad ambiental.

Las objeciones deben ser preparados y presentados de conformidad con los procedimientos requeridos (24 CFR Parte 58, sec. 58.76) y se dirigirán a la Oficina de HUD en Los Angeles Field en 611 W. 6th Street, Suite 800, Los Angeles, California 90017. Objeciones a la liberación de los fondos sobre una base distinta de las arriba mencionadas no serán considerados por el HUD. Objetores potenciales deben comunicarse con el HUD Oficial Ambiental, HUD Los Angeles Field Office (tel. 213-894-8000 o vía fax 213-894-8122) para verificar el actual último día del período de objeción.



JORGE LUIS ALABAS/ESPECIAL PARA UNIOC

Yordy Cancino Méndez, un joven gay trabajó para transformar su escuela secundaria y la ciudad de Los Ángeles a trayés de la llamada Gay-Straight Alliance (GSA) y como presidente del club en la preparatoria Animo Jackie Agbinson High School.

# Piden liberación de jóvenes LGBT detenidos por ICE en Otay Mesa

JORGE LUIS MACIAS ESPECIAL PARA UNIDOS

LOS ÁNGELES - Cinco miembros de la comunidad gay y lésbica que cruzaron ilegalmente la frontera en marzo y que buscaban asilo en Estados Unidos siguen presos en el Centro de Detención de Otay Mesa, en San Diego.

Están en la espera de una audiencia con cliuez de inmi-gración Robert McSeveny, quien determinará si son de portados o no, aunque la solicitud de tres de ellos ya avanzó. Una sexta persona

ya fue deportada. El Jueves 24 de abril, un numeroso contingente de miembros de la comunidad gay y lésbica se manifestaron frente al edificio federal de inmigración, en el centro de Los Ângeles, pidiendo la liberación de los jóvenes -quienes originalmente salie-ron de Estados Unidos voluntariamente.

Yordy Caucino, Edgar Ale-Jandro Aldana Viramontes, Valeria de la Luz Ramos, Emmanuel Mejfa López y Felipe Molina Mendoza permanecen en custodia de las autoridades del Servicio de Inmigración y Aduanas (ICE), in-formó a UNIDOS, Lauren Mack, portavoz de la agencia.

Los einen están en espera de una audiencia ante un juez de inmigración que va a tomar una decisión en sus respectivos casos", dijo Mack. "Una sexta persona, Rocio Hernández, fue trasladada a México 14 de abril, después de que un juez de inmigra-ción determinó que no tenta base legal para permanecer en los Estados Unidos".

Yordy Cancino y los demás miembros de la comunidad LGBTQ se infernaron ilegal-mente en Estados Unidos eli8 de marzo, como partici-pantes de la campaña Bring Them Home (Tráigantos a casa) que busca regresarlos a California y Carolina del Norte donde viven sus famil-

"Yordy se fue a México una semana antes que el Presi-dente Barack Obama aprobara la Acción Diferida (en junio de 2012] para estudiantes "soñadores", informó Ronnie Veliz, organizador de la Coalición de Jóvenes In-migrantes "Estaba deprimido porque no podría seguir sus extudios universitarios a ausa de los altos costos en

También, por decisión pro-pia, Édgar Alejandro Aldana viajó a Guadalajara en noviembre de 2012 a ver a su abuela que estaba moribua-da; Emmanuel Mejía, quien había vivido en Estados Un-idos desde los 8 años de edad, al concluir sus estudios de preparatoria se encontró con las puertas cerradas a la edu-



DACA

Valecia de la Luz Ramos es una mujer transgénero a quien casi la mataron en su estética en México por ser quien es", narró Veliz "Ella se identificó con la acción del grupo y como último recurso para salvar la vida se presen-ló legalmente en la garila de Otay para solicitar el asilo político"

Del grupo de estudiantes, solumente a Jaren Rodri-guez, un estudiante salvadoreño le fue aprobada su pet-ición de asilo político. Fue liberado el 17 de abril y ya se ha reunido con su familia, en San José, California. "Los muchachos ya tienen

la asesoría legal de varios abogades", dijo Veliz. "Su suerte ha dependido de sus en-trevistas de miedo creible. incluso, Jaren dijo al Juez de inmigración que tenía pagar dinero para que pandilleros en El Salvador no lo mata-

# PIDEN INTERVENCIÓN DE MICHELLE OBAMA

Griselda Cancino, madre de Yordy y residente de South Gate, sollettó la intervención de la congresista Janice Habn, representante del Distrito 44 de California.

"Para todos los intentos y propósitos, Yordy Cancino es un americano, excepto en el papel", fue la respuesta de la congresista Janice Hahn, en una declaración por escrito enviada a UN-IDOS, "Él encarna el ideal americano. Espero que su caso se decidirá con justicia y compasión y que este jo-ven será capaz de volver con su familla".

Habii expuso que, cada vez que regresa a California, ha oldo tantas historias increfbles de la lucha de trabajadores inmigrantes y sus fa-

"Historias como la de Yordy són la razón por la que ne-cesitamos una acción inmediata sobre la reforma migratoria integral", añadió. "Han pasado 10 meses desde que el

antes de la aprobación de guir luchando hasta que la Cámara cumpla con su re-sponsabilidad ante el pueblo

quien se le

ha negado la petición de

mledo creible si es

deportado,

aunque ya fue víctima

de violencia

física en

continua

preso en el

Detención de San Diego.

Centro de

estadounidense y Yordy". Griselda Cancino también escribió una carta a la prim-era dama del país, Michelle Obama, a quien le expresa que erce que Yordy "está destinado a ser alguien grande en este país".

"Senora Obama, como madre, póngase usted en mi lu-gar", dice la misiva "Le ruego que intervenga y llame a ICE para que detenga cualquier represalia en contra de mi hijo y lo líbere. ¡Trate de comprender mi sufrimiento! ¡Ha estado detenido durante más de un mes! Mi hijo me llama y me dice que no me puede decir de toda la minsticia que está ocurriendo en el interior del centro de deten-

Cifras de la Offeina Elecutiva para la Revisión en Cortes Migratorias del Departamento de Justicia muestran que en los tres últimos años, los jueces de inmi-gración aprueban en promedio apenas una de cada cua-tro solicitudes de asilo.

En 2011, de un total de 42,664 solicitudes, fueron aprobadas 10,137 y rechaza-das 9,280; en 2012 de 44,296 peticiones, se autorizaron 10,711 y se rechazaron 8,502; mientras que en 2013, de 36,674 peticiones, se aproba-ron 9,993, a cambio de 8,883

rechazadas. Romnie Veliz, organizador de la Alianza Nacional Lat-ina, Gay y Transgénero dijo a UNIDOS que "Alex [Édgar Alejandro Aldana], Felipe [Molina Mendoza] y Valeria [De la Luz Ramos] ya recibieron la aprobación de su mie-do crefble si son deportados,

con la excepción de Yordy".
"Yordy representa un caso
extraordinario y alarmante". Indică Veliz. "Yordy es el ûn-ico joven abiertamente gay a quien se le ha negado la petición (de miedo crefble si es deportado), aunque ya fue victima de violencia física en México. Por eso estamos tratando de frenar la injusticia de una posible deportación, al de la senadora federal por California, Bárbara Boxer.

Boxer, quien estuvo de visita el viernes, 25 de abril, en el Ronald Reagan UCLA el Ronald Reagan UCLA Medical Center dijo en rueda de prensa que en los hospitales, doctores, enfermeras y cualquier persona relacionada al cuidado médico tiene la oportunidad de salvar no sólo una vida, sino la de miles,

"Mucha gente se sorprenderá cuando escuche esto. pero los errores médicos son una de las principales causas de muerte en Estados Unidos", expresó Boxer, "Estas muertes son aún más doloro-so para las famílias, ya que se pueden prevenir". Segun el reporte denomi-

nado "Medical Errors" y que dio a conocer la senadora durante su reciente gira de tra-bajo, las causas principales de muerte son; las infecciones en los nosocomios, reacciones adversas a los medicamentos, caídas de los pacientes y úlceras.

La investigación también ha encontrado que los costos directos de los errores médicos ascienden a \$19,500 millones al año y que los costos econômicos de los errores médicos, incluyendo la pérdi-da de productividad, podrían aproximarse a 1 billón de dó-lares al año.

Sobre la base de estas ci-fras, los errores médicos podrian ser considerados la tercera causa principal de muerte en Estados Unidos, detrás de las enfermedades del corazón (más de 590 mil muertes al año) y el cáncer (más de 570 mll muertes al ario).

Boxer se reunió con Leah Cufal, la madre de Leah Alexander, una niña de 11 años de edad quien murió posible-

le salvado la vida. Ningún representante del hospital estuvo disponible para habler del caso, al cierre de edición.

Gracias a que conoció el caso de Leah, la senadora Boxer pudo encontrar que las principales causas más comunes de errores médicos que llegan a costar la vida a los pacientes son; eventos adversos por medica-mentos (sobredosis), infecciones del tracto urinario asociadas a catéteres, infecciones del torrente sangui-

También, lesiones por caldas e inmovilídad, eventos adversos obstétricos, úlceras provocadas por largos perlodos en cama, infecciones en las salas de cirugia, coágulos de sangre o neumonta asociada a ventiladores.

"No podemos apartarnos de este desaño", dijo Boxer. "Tenemos la oportunidad de evitar la angustia de las familias y ponerle fin a estas tragedias antes que ocurran"

En febrero pasado, la se-nadora Boxer escribió a 283 hospitales de California pi-

posición de elevación de 30 a 45 grados. Además, en el Centro Méd-

ico de UCLA - según se dem-ostró ante la senadora Boxer - las habitaciones del hospital se desinfectan mediante tecnología de rayos ultravio-leta y se prohíbe a los médicos y personal entrar a ciru-gías si presentan alguna he rida abjerta o estén envesa dos, a fin de ayudar a prevenir infecciones.

Otros como Kaiser Permanente requieren a las enfer-meras que usen cinturones o chalegos de colores al administrar medicamentos a los pacientes, a fin de prevenir Interrupciones y evitar dis tracciones que puedan indu-

eir a errores. En el Desert Valley Hospital de Victorville, las nuevas medidas de protección para los pacientes incidieron en la reducción drástica de 16 casos en 2009, a dos en 2013 sobre infección detectada en un sitio quirúrgico, gracias a una acción sencilla: practicando una buena higiene en



REED HUTCHINSON/UCLA/CONTESIA

Estrictas medidas de higiene han mejorado la atención a pacientes en hospitales.



La senadora de Estados Unidos Barbara Boxer visitó al Centro Médico Ronald Reagan de UCLA el viernes, 25 de abril donde dio a conocer un reporter sobre muertes a causa de

2 de Mayo 2011 - Apenicia AVISO PUBLICO 33 de Mayo 2011 - Apenicia de Desarrollo Econômico del Condado de Riveride 5355 Adlington Avenue, Riverdo, Calfornia 2504 - (2011) 343-5473 - Juan Garela A TODON LOS ORGANISMUS, GRUPOS Y PERSONAS INTERESADAS:

and the course of a market process is a marger rise major who are taken a minu or of Countries Boosts.

SOLICITED DE LIBERACIÓN DE FONDOS.

En o alvoledor del 20 de mayo ADA, si cividad de invest de permit mon Attori apos de la primaria de Valende Demos (IRO) (en hapit mid filtre para la themella de infra del Provente de Covencia por limitarios, ma el finalmente de Comme. Comme Naturel las de Homela mopulle d 1906, apos mendios, para liver a todo el depume proprios. NOMINICI (IRL PRAYMETTO: Home Propria al Campo Anna.

NOMINUL DIR, PREVECTO- Home Front at Campy Anna DIERRO La accordad by previous regions in two de long 550 to 60 m fembra del programs BOME funds by Camp Anna, E.F., one in Lathentia, para el desarrollo y la embracion de la compelijo de agrammano de 30 touloulos. El ministracion del combination del concessió como el Unifordo Che, quie est de sistemate para pues medido en la constitució de las medidos por al una de de las freids engueles promotios del protectos proposito concestá de 15 unidados de las doma men ej 13 unidados de las demandados el de agrammada mora "75 por condidados las touloidos destro demandados el las destracios de las destraciones de de agrammada mora "75 por condidados las touloidos destro demandados estados el 15 a julio por catalizados por a del agramma de la protecto de consecuta de 15 unidados de las demandados estados de 15 a julio por catalizados por a (4) y sea familia una sepudid de se referen de regio de decidade para ficilidad a transación a las desarrollos por (4) al catalizados de la protecto de la consecuta de la consecutación de la protecto de la conferencia de las decidados de 15 unidado de 150 unidados de la conferencia del protecto de la conferencia de la conferencia del protecto de la conferencia del protecto de la conferencia del protecto del p

EGG F200 52005; 151-125006; 151-124-007; 151-123-008; 123-151-013

Sta autifilidal punde lievance a cubu a traves de multiples anos HALLAZGO DE NINGÚN EMPACTO SIGNIFICATIVO

IIAJAZGO DE NINGON IMPACTO SIGNIPICALIVO

IIAJAZGO DE NINGON IMPACTO SIGNIPICALIVO

III. Gendré de Rennot la deventable que el proporte os tands un neque de logido anes ester el tanda actionne habitante. Nor la tante,
Deductricin de Rennot habitante la lei la fenancia de la constante d

As yet constitute or quarte state to mean on your part of the last of the part of the last of the last

there has do receive the U.C.

Less again, the following a pressure do rendermedial copy of CRA Plans Flore by prescribing por excessing development of age of properties up on subfaction for dependent of the calculated mathematic.

Les situations do not a proposable proposable de rendermalad can be proportionated to copy and the CRA plans Society as La CRA plans and CRA plans and CRA plans and CRA plans are also designed as La CRA plans and CRA plans are also designed as La CRA

# Attachment D

Request for Release of Funds

# Request for Release of Funds and Certification

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Office of Community Planning and Development OMB No. 2506-0087 (exp. 10/31/2014)

This form is to be used by Responsible Entities and Recipients (as defined in 24 CFR 58.2) when requesting the release of funds, and requesting the authority to use such funds, for HUD programs identified by statutes that provide for the assumption of the environmental review responsibility by units of general local government and States. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 36 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

	4 1 1 4 1 1.	December 18 to Costina
Part 1. Program Description and Request for Release of Funds	(to be completed b	y Responsible Entity)

Program Title(s)  HOME Investment Partnerships Program	HUD/State Identification Number #069065	3. Recipient Identification Number (optional)
		M-11-12-13-UC-06-0530
4, OMB Catalog Number(s) 14,239	5. Name and address of responsible entity Riverside County of Board of Supervisors c/o Riverside County EDA 5555 Arlington Avenue Riverside, CA 92504	
For information about this request, contact (name & phone number)		
Juan Garcia (951) 343-5473		
8. HUD or State Agency and office unit to receive request	7. Name and address of recipient (if different than responsible entity)	
U.S. Dept. of Housing and Urban Development Community Planning and Development	á	
611 W. 6th Street, St. 800, LA, CA 90017		
The recipient(s) of assistance under the program(s) listed abo	ve requests the release of funds and	removal of environmental
grant conditions governing the use of the assistance for the fo	llowing	
Program Activity(ies)/Project Name(s)	10. Location (Street address, city, county, State)	
Home Front at Camp Anza (HM1-14-001)	The project site is located north of Philbin Avenue, between Picker and Wohlstetter Streets in the City of Riverside, Riverside County,	

CA. 92503.

11. Program Activity/Project Description

Camp Anza, L.P., ("Applicant"), a California limited partnership, is proposing to use up to \$500,000 in HOME funds for the development and construction of a 30-unit apartment complex and rehabilitation of the historic Camp Anza Officer's Club (Officers Club) building.

The proposed Project will consist of 15 two-bedroom units, and 15 three-bedroom units. The two-bedroom units are approximately 776 square-feet and the three-bedroom units range in size from 1,054 to 1,151 square-feet. One two-bedroom unit will be set aside for a resident manager. The apartment units will be rented to low- income Veterans and their families and provide the veteran(s) and their families a variety of supportive services designed to ease the transition back into civilian life. If necessary the units will also be made available to the general population of qualified low-income residents. A total of 11 units will be designated as HOME-assisted units limited to households whose incomes do not exceed 50% of the area median income for the County of Riverside, adjusted by family size at the time of occupancy. The HOME-assisted units will be restricted for a period of at least 55 years from the recordation of the notice of completion.

A significant component of this proposal involves the restoration and rehabilitation of a vacant historic building also known as the Officer's Club which will be renovated into a multipurpose community center for the use of residents living in the proposed Project. The Officer's Club, is a cultural resource and valuable connection demonstrating Riverside's Contribution to the World War II war effort. The goal is to preserve and enhance the integrity of the Officer's Club building and to integrate into this Project that will be serving Veterans. Mercy House, a member of the Camp Anza, L.P., will be responsible for coordinating all support services at the Project, and will staff the Project with a part to full time (depending on need) Resident Services Coordinator/Case Manager, who will work not just with veterans but with family members who provide crucial support to their returned loved ones.

# Part 2. Environmental Certification (to be completed by responsible entity) With reference to the above Program Activity(ies)/Project(s), I, the undersigned officer of the responsible entity, certify that: 1. The responsible entity has fully carried out its responsibilities for environmental review, decision-making and action pertaining to the project(s) named above. 2. The responsible entity has assumed responsibility for and complied with and will continue to comply with, the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended, and the environmental procedures, permit requirements and statutory obligations of the laws cited in 24 CFR 58.5; and also agrees to comply with the authorities in 24 CFR 58.6 and applicable State and local 3. The responsible entity has assumed responsibility for and complied with and will continue to comply with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act, and its implementing regulations 36 CFR 800, including consultation with the State Historic Preservation Officer, Indian tribes and Native Hawaiian organizations, and the public. 4. After considering the type and degree of environmental effects identified by the environmental review completed for the proposed project described in Part 1 of this request, I have found that the proposal did did not 🗸 require the preparation and dissemination of an environmental impact statement. 5. The responsible entity has disseminated and/or published in the manner prescribed by 24 CFR 58.43 and 58.55 a notice to the public in accordance with 24 CFR 58.70 and as evidenced by the attached copy (copies) or evidence of posting and mailing procedure. 6. The dates for all statutory and regulatory time periods for review, comment or other action are in compliance with procedures and requirements of 24 CFR Part 58. 7. In accordance with 24 CFR 58.71(b), the responsible entity will advise the recipient (if different from the responsible entity) of any special environmental conditions that must be adhered to in carrying out the project. As the duly designated certifying official of the responsible entity, I also certify that: 8. I am authorized to and do consent to assume the status of Federal official under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and each provision of law designated in the 24 CFR 58.5 list of NEPA-related authorities insofar as the provisions of these laws apply to the HUD responsibilities for environmental review, decision-making and action that have been assumed by the responsible 9. I am authorized to and do accept, on behalf of the recipient personally, the jurisdiction of the Federal courts for the enforcement of all these responsibilities, in my capacity as certifying officer of the responsible entity. Title of Certifying Officer Signature of Certifying Officer of the Responsible Entity Chairman, Riverside County Board of Supervisors Date signed Address of Certifying Officer c/o Riverside County EDA: 5555 Arlington Avenue Riverside, CA 92504 Part 3. To be completed when the Recipient is not the Responsible Entity The recipient requests the release of funds for the programs and activities identified in Part 1 and agrees to abide by the special conditions, procedures and requirements of the environmental review and to advise the responsible entity of any proposed change in the scope of the project or any change in environmental conditions in accordance with 24 CFR 58.71(b). Title of Authorized Officer Signature of Authorized Officer of the Recipient

Warning: HUD will prosecute false claims and statements. Conviction may result in criminal and/or civil penalties. (18 U.S.C. 1001, 1010, 1012; 31 U.S.C. 3729, 3802)

Date signed

Previous editions are obsolete

form HUD-7015.15 (1/99)



# Attachment E

# City of Riverside Environmental Assessment



U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

San Francisco Regional Office - Region IX 600 Harrison Street San Francisco, California 94107-1387 www.hud.gov espanol.hud.gov

# Environmental Assessment for HUD-funded Proposals Recommended format per 24 CFR 58.36, revised March 2005 [Previously recommended EA formats are obsolete].

Project Identification:

Home Front At Camp Anza

Preparer:

Shonda Herold, Housing Project Coordinator

Responsible Entity:

City of Riverside

Month/Year: October 29, 2013

# **Environmental Assessment**

Responsible Entity: City of Riverside [24 CFR 58.2(a)(7)]
Certifying Officer: Emilio Ramirez, Community Development Deputy Director 24 CFR 58.2(a)(2)]
Project Name: Home Front at Camp Anza
Project Location: <u>5797 Picker Street, Riverside, CA</u>
(APN: 151-123-005,-006,-007,-008,-013)
Estimated total project cost: \$12,752,840
Grant Recipient: Camp Anza, L.P. [24 CFR 58.2(a)(5)]
Recipient Address: <u>c/o Wakeland Housing Development Corporation 1230 Columbia</u> Street, San Diego, CA 92101
Project Representative: <u>David Heatherington</u>
Telephone Number: _(619)677-2370

Conditions for Approval: (List all mitigation measures adopted by the responsible entity to eliminate or minimize adverse environmental impacts. These conditions must be included in project contracts and other relevant documents as requirements). [24 CFR 58.40(d), 40 CFR 1505.2(c)]

#### See Attached:

- 1. Conditions of Approval for Cultural Heritage Board Planning Case # P13-0200
- 2. Conditions of Approval for Planning Commission Case # P13-0198, P13-0199, P13-0201
- 3. California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (MMRP)

4. FINDING: [58.40(g)] _x_ Finding of No Significant Impact  (The project will not result in a significant impact on the quality of the human environment)
Finding of Significant Impact
(The project may significantly affect the quality of the human environment)
Preparer Signature:
Preparer Signature: Oate: October 29, 2013 Name/Title/Agency: Shonda Herold, Housing Project Coordinator
RE Approving Official Signature:
Date:
Name/Title/ gency: Emilio Ramirez, Community Development Deputy Director_
V

# Statement of Purpose and Need for the Proposal: [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]

Camp Anza, L.P. (Wakeland) has proposed to construct 30 apartment units and rehabilitate the existing 15,000 sq.ft. Camp Anza Officer's Club building (Officer's Club) generally located at 5797 Picker Street. The apartment units will be rented to income-eligible veterans and their families and provide the veteran(s) and their families an affordable place to live together with their families while receive a variety of supportive services designed to ease the transition back into civilian life.

The restoration of the Officer's Club, a cultural resource and valuable connection demonstrating Riverside's Contribution to the World War II war effort, will serve both as an on-site recreational amenity space and provide a base from which to provide supportive services, which may include but are not limited to: financial education counseling; coordination of Veteran Affairs (VA) Benefits; mental health services and physical conditioning services. Households are considered income-eligible if their household income is between 30% and 60% of the area median income (AMI), consistent with Tax Credit Allocating Committee requirements.

Description of the Proposal: Include all contemplated actions which logically are either geographically or functionally a composite part of the project, regardless of the source of funding. [24 CFR 58.32, 40 CFR 1508.25]

The proposed Home Front at Camp Anza project entails the development of 30 residential units within seven new one and two-story buildings sited around what will be a rehabilitated Camp Anza Officer's Club building. The housing complex will include 15 two-bedroom units and 15 three-bedroom units. The two-bedroom units will be approximately 776 square feet in area and the three-bedroom units will range in size

from 1,054 to 1,151 square feet. All buildings will front onto either Picker Street or Wohlstetter Street, with the two-story elements of the buildings limited to the interior portions of the two residential buildings along the southerly boundary of the project site. Convenient, pedestrian-friendly pathways link are proposed within the project to conveniently link buildings to the clubhouse and to each other. Wheelchair-friendly surfaces will be incorporated to make the site as accessible as possible to allow disabled veterans to take full advantage of outdoor amenities that include a. Being that sustainability is an important element for smart growth, the project will include sustainable features such as drought-tolerant landscaping, energy efficient lighting, water conservation features, and enhanced indoor air quality.

A significant component of this proposal involves the restoration and rehabilitation of the Officer's Club building which will serve as the community center for the project, bringing servicemen and servicewomen together for recreation, community events, and meals just as it did during its original use. Mercy House, a member of the Camp Anza, L.P., will be responsible for coordinating all support services at the project, and will staff the project with a part to full time (depending on need) Resident Services Coordinator/Case Manager, who will work not just with veterans but with family members who provide crucial support to their returned loved ones. The manager's office and community room will be open to residents during normal business hours, as well as in the evenings and weekends when community events are held for the residents.

Vehicular access to the project site will be provided via two separate two-way driveways from Picker Street and Wohlstetter Street. 42 on-site parking spaces will be provided and 20 diagonal on-street parking spaces will be provided along Wholstetter, running the length of the project's site's easterly property line.

The following reviews, approval and entitlements are necessary for implementation of the project:

Approved/Adopted May 9, 2013

- Determination of Consistency by the Riverside Airport Land Use Commission (ALUC), granted May 9, 2013.
   Approved/Adopted September 18, 2013
- California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) review
- Certificate of Appropriateness
   Approved/Adopted November 19, 2013
- California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) review
- Execution of a Development and Disposition Agreement between Camp Anza, L.P., the City of Riverside and the Housing Authority of the City of Riverside to facilitate the disposition of the land currently owned by the Housing Authority, and to allocate City HOME and Housing Authority funds to the project budget.
- A General Plan Amendment to re-designate the site from MDR Medium Density Residential to HDR High Density Residential.
- Rezoning the site from the R-1-7000 Single Family Residential Zone to the R-3-2500 – Multiple Family Residential Zone.
- Site Plan Review of the plot plan,

#### Pending Approvals:

- National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) review to be completed prior to funding.
- Building Permit Issuance
- Application and award of Federal Low Income Housing Tax Credits (LIHTC)

Existing Conditions and Trends: Describe the existing conditions of the project area and its surroundings, and trends likely to continue in the absence of the project. [24 CFR 58.40(a)]

The subject 2.14 acre project site: is located north of Philbin Avenue, between Picker and Wohlstetter Streets. While the majority of the project site is currently vacant, the historic former Camp Anza Officer's Club occupies the northwesterly portion of the project site. Surrounding development includes single-family residences to the north and west (across Picker Street), multiple-family residences to the east (across Wohlstetter Street) and the Arlanza Branch Public Library to the south.

The Officer's Club building is currently in a state of dis-repair, having only been the recipient of stabilizing treatments over the past 20 years. In the absence of the proposed project the Officer's Club, an important cultural resource, will likely be lost due to damaged caused by frequent break-ins and transient activity. The vacant land surrounding the structure will continue to be a magnate for trash, debris and weeds causing blight on the neighborhood and harboring criminal activity.

# Statutory Checklist

[24CFR §58.5]

Record the determinations made regarding each listed statute, executive order or regulation. Provide appropriate source documentation. [Note reviews or consultations completed as well as any applicable permits or approvals obtained or required. Note dates of contact or page references]. Provide compliance or consistency documentation. Attach additional material as appropriate. Note conditions, attenuation or mitigation measures required.

#### Factors

# Determination and Compliance Documentation

Historic Preservation

SHPO Agreement dated May 1, 2002, City GIS, Home Front at Camp Anza/Camp Anza Officer's Club Cultural Resources Report; Prepared by JMRC, June 2013

A cultural resources report was prepared by JMRC. JMRC report found that the proposed undertaking has the potential to cause effects on historic properties, as alterations to the Camp Anza Officer's Club are proposed. A detailed review of the project, as well as consultation with the public and Tribes per the Section 106 was conducted and the following measures and recommendations were incorporated into the Project via design, conditions of approval and mitigation measures:

# Mitigation Measure:

The preserved and enhanced integrity of the restored/rehabilitated Camp Anza Officers Club, which is to be achieved by the proposed project, shall be further protected by the preparation and submittal of a local designation application prior to final inspection or the issuance of certificate of occupancy.

#### Recommendations:

Restoration/rehabilitation of the Officers Club should continue to be guided by the nearest 1940 standardized plan on which construction was heavily based, Mobilization Buildings Service Club Type SC-3 700-1275 and related plans it references; the earliest known 1944 photograph of the building; physical investigation; and the 1966 Moose Lodge plans, which indicate existing conditions at the time it was extensively altered (Appendix B).

Several proposed project details or elements are critical to maintaining potential impacts to a less than significant level under CEQA or serve to

enhance the preservation of important features, materials, and qualities of the Camp Anza Officers Club and should be safeguarded and considered for retention should final project plans change:

- Preserve shape, size, mass, footprint, and height of the current, original design;
- Ensure maximum retention and restoration of existing original features and materials, including exterior wood siding, interior wainscoting, hardwood floors, exposed timber rafters, and double hearth brick chimney;
- Replace in-kind or restore missing or damaged features and materials to the maximum extent possible, including the restoration of the main entry, the replacement of the façade aqua media, and the use of wood-framed, new double-hung windows fitted as closely as possible into their original openings;
- Maximize spatial relationship, scale, and orientation in site planning;
- Use compatible design materials and features in the new bungalows to ensure they are harmonious to the Officers Club and overall setting;

#### Archaeological Recommendations:

No further archaeological investigation is recommended unless the proposed undertaking is changed to include areas not subject to this study or additional construction, or unless project activities reveal the presence of cultural materials.

The current study attempted to determine whether archaeological deposits were present within the APE. Although none were yielded during the records search, ground-disturbing activities always have the potential to reveal buried deposits. As a result, prior to the initiation of ground-disturbing activities, construction personnel should be alerted to the possibility of buried prehistoric or historic cultural deposits. In the event that field personnel encounter buried cultural materials, work in the immediate vicinity of the find should cease and a

qualified archaeologist should be retained to assess the significance of the find. The qualified archaeologist shall have the authority to stop or divert construction excavation as necessary. If the qualified archaeologist finds that any cultural resources present meet eligibility requirements for listing in the NR or CR, plans for treatment, evaluation, and mitigation of impacts to the find will need to be developed in consultation with the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO), as applicable, in accordance with the PA.

If human remains are encountered, State Health and Safety Code Section 7050.5 states that no further disturbance shall occur until the County Coroner has made a determination of origin and disposition pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 5097.98. The County Coroner must be notified of the find immediately. If the remains are determined to be prehistoric, the Coroner will notify the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC), which will determine and notify a Most Likely Descendant (MLD). With the permission of the landowner or his/her authorized representative, the MLD may inspect the site of the discovery. The MLD shall complete the inspection within 48 hours of notification by the NAHC.

Upon incorporation of the above, no adverse effect is found as rehabilitation of the property will be consistent with the Secretary's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties (36 CFR part 68) and applicable guidelines.

# Floodplain Management [24 CFR 55, Executive Order 11988]

General Plan 2025 Figure PS-4 Flood Hazard Areas; and FEMA Flood Hazard Maps Panel #06065C0705G;

A review of National Flood Insurance Rate Map (No. 6065C0705G, dated August 28, 2008) and Figure 5.8-2 Flood Hazard Areas of the General Plan Program FPEIR, shows that the project is not located within or near a 100-year flood hazard area. There will be no impact caused by this project directly, indirectly, or cumulatively, as it will not place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area. Nor will the project impede or redirect flood flows.

The project is, however, located within the Prenda and Woodcrest Dam Inundation area as depicted on General Plan 2025 Program FPEIR Figure 5.8-2 Flood Hazard Areas, and may be affected in the event of a dam failure. In the event of a dam failure, first flow water are expected to reach the site in 96 minutes and 240 minutes respectively. Therefore the project will place a structure within a dam inundation area that would expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of dam failure.

The City Municipal Code, Title 18-Subidivions Code, Section Chapter 18.210 - Development Standards. Section 18.210-100 Flood Prone Lands and Drainage and Title 16 Building & Construction, Chapter 16.18 Flood Hazard Area & Implementation of the National Flood Insurance Program, Section 16.8050 requires new construction located within a dam inundation area to mitigate flood hazards by including onsite drainage, anchoring methods to prevent floating structures, elevating buildings above flood levels, and flood proofing, which requires the building to be inspected and certified bya professional engineer, surveyor or building inspector. Including compliance with State Civil Code Section 1103 through 1103.4 requiring notification to those potentially affected by the risk involved in locating within a flood hazard or dam inundation area. Therefore, the potential to place a structure within an area that would expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death as a result of the failure of a dam will be less than significant directly, indirectly or cumulatively.

# Wetlands Protection

Multi-Species Habitat Conservation Plan (MSHCP) Section 6.1.2 — Protection of Species Associated with Riparian/Riverine Areas and Vernal Pools; CADME, City GIS – Quad Map Layer

The project is located within an urbanized area where no federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including but not limited to) march, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) exist on site or within proximity to the project site. The site does not contain any discernible drainage

courses, inundated areas, wetland vegetation or hydric soils and thus does not include USACOE jurisdictional drainages or wetlands. Therefore, the proposed project has no impact on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act. directly. indirectly cumulatively. Coastal Zone www.coastal.ca.gov/gov accessed Oct. 31, 2013 Management Act [Sections 307(c),(d)] The City of Riverside is an inland City, located approximately 50 miles from the Pacific Coast. There are no coastal zones within the City of Riverside. The project will result in no impact to the nearest Coastal Management Zone. General Plan 2025 Table PF-1 - RPU Projected Water Supply Sole Source Aquifers (AC-Ft/Yr), Table PF-2 - RPU Projected Water Demand, RPU [40 CFR 149] Map of Water Supply Basins, RPU Urban Water Management Plan; Project Preliminary Grading Plans; Project Specific Water Quality Management Plan Prepared by SB&O Inc, August 2013 The proposed project is located within the Riverside South Water Supply Basin, and is not fed by a Sole Source Aguifer. The project is required to connect to the City's sewer system and comply with all National Pollutant Discharge Elimination Systems (NPDES) permit requirements and Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP)requirements that will ensure the proposed project will not substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table. Therefore there will be no impact to groundwater supplies and recharge either directly, in directly or cumulatively. As previously stated, the project is subject to the issuance of an NPDES permit. Therefore as more than one acre of ground disturbing activity is proposed as part of the project, a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) for the prevention of runoff during construction will be prepared. Erosion, siltation and other possible pollutants associated with long-term implementation of the project are addressed as part of the WQMP

	p-1
	and grading permit process. Therefore, the project will have a less than significant impact directly, indirectly and cumulatively to existing drainage patterns.
Endangered Species Act [50 CFR 402]	General Plan 2025 - Figure OS-6; OS-7; OS-8; Western Riverside County Multi Species Habitat Conservation Plan (WRC-MSHCP)
	This project is located within an urban built-up area and is surrounded by existing development and a search WRC-MSHCP and Federal Databases identified no potential for candidate, sensitive or
	special status species, suitable habitat for such species on site, Federal Species of Concern. There is no chance that any Federally endangered, threatened, or rare species or their habitats could persist in this area. Therefore, no impact directly, indirectly and cumulatively will occur to federally threatened or rare species or their habitats.
	As previously stated, the project site is located on a previously developed, improved site within an urbanized area where no riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community exists on site or within proximity to the project site. Therefore the project will have no impact on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service directly, indirectly or cumulatively.
Wild and Scenic Rivers Act [Sections 7 (b), (c)]	http://www.rivers.gov/wildriverlist.html, accessed December 3, 2012
*	The project is not located within one mile of a listed Wild and Scenic River. There are no designated scenic or wild rivers within the City of Riverside according to the National Wild and Scenic Rivers Web Site.

Air Quality [Clean Air Act, Sections 176 (c) and (d), and 40 CFR 6, 51, 93] South Coast Air Quality Management District 2007 Air Quality Management Plan (AQMP); SCAQMD CEQA Regional Significance Thresholds; CalEEMod conducted for the project

Projects that are consistent with the projections of employment and population forecasts identified by the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) are considered consistent with the AQMP growth projectsions, since these forecast numbers were used by SCAG's modeling section to forecast travel demand and air quality for planning activities such as the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), the SCAQMD's AQMP, Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP), and the Regional Housing Plan. In this instance, the project consists of a 30-unit multiple-family residential project, which yields a density of 13.9 units per acre; this proposal includes a General Plan Amendment to amend the land use designation from MDR -Medium Density Residential to HDR – High Density Residential. The proposed land use designation, and density, exceeds the Typical Density for the MDR land use designation analyzed by the General Plan 2025 at 5.5 units to the acre, and also exceeds the Maximum with PRD Density for the MDR land use designation at 8 units to the acre. Nevertheless, Citywide some properties have been developed at a density below those considered und erthe General Plan 2025, which would in essence compensate for the higher density proposed on the subject site. The proposed project will not result in greater traffic impacts given that multiple-family projects are marginally intense than more single-family residences. As an example, based on the ITE manual, a project of this type is expected to generate at total of 34 peak hour vehicle trips per residential whereas. а single-family development on this site would be expected to generate approximately 30 peak hour vehicle trips. As such, this project can be considered consistent with the projections of employment and population forecast identified by SCAG. Given the reasons stated above, the project is consistent with the General Plan 2025 Typical Growth scenarios and The project will have a less than the AQMP. significant impact directly. indirectly cumulatively to the implementation of an air quality

management plan.

Per the GP 2025 FPEIR, AQMP thresholds indicate furtuer construction activities under the General Plan 2025 are projected to result in significant novels of NOx and ROG, both ozone precursors, PM-10 and PM-2.5 and CO. Although long-term emissions are expected to decrease by 2025, all criteria pollutants remain above the SCAQMD thresholds.

The portion of the Basin within which the City is located is designated as a non-attainment area of ozone, PM-10, PM. 2.5 under State standards, and as a non-attainment area of ozone, carbon monoxide, PM-10 and PM-2.5 under Federal standards. As noted above, the proposed project is marginally more intense than a single-family development, if one were to be developed on the subject site.

impacts associated Further, short-term Therefore cumulative air quality emission impacts associated with construction will result in increased air emissions. The project is located within an urbanized area. Emissions from grading, earthmoving, and construction activities. conformance with General Plan 2025 the CalEE MOD computer model analyzed short term construction and long-term operational related impacts of the project and determined that the proposed project will not exceed SCAQMD thresholds for short-term construction and long-term operational impacts. Therefore the project will not expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations and less than significant impact will occur directly, indirectly and cumulatively.

Farmland Policy Act [7 CFR 658] Protection

General Plan 2025 – Figure OS-2-Agricultural Suitability; figure OS-3- Williamson Act Preserves; GIS Map – Forest Data

The project is located within an urbanized area. A review of figure OS-2-Agricutural Suitability of the General Plan 2025 reveals that the project site is not designed as, and is not adjacent to or in proximity to any land classified as, Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide

Importance. As shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency. Therefore, the project will have no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively on agricultural uses.

Figure 5.2.2 – Williamson Act Preserves of the General Plan 2025 FPEIR reveals that the project site is not located with an area that is affected a Williamson Act Preserve or under a Williamson Act Contract. Moreover, the project site is not zoned or agricultural use and is not next to land zoned for agricultural use; therefore, the project will have no impact directly, indirectly or cumulatively.

The City of Riverside has no forestland that can support 10-percent native tree cover nor does it have any timberland. Therefore the project will not result in or conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forestland (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g) timberland (as defined in Public Resources Code section 4526) or timberland zoned Timberland Production as defined by Government Code Section 51104(g).

# Environmental Justice [Executive Order 12898]

General Plan 2025 Public Safety and Education Elements, GP 2025 FPEIR Table 5.7 D-CalARP RMP Facilities in the Project Area, Figure 5.13-3 AUSD boundaries, Table 5.13-E AUSD Schools, Figure13-4 — Other School District Boundaries, California Health and Safety Code, Title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations, California Building Code; General Plan 2025 Figure PS-5 — Hazardous Waste Sites, GP 2025 FPEIR Tables 5.7-A-CERCLIS Facility Information, Figure 5.7 B—Regulated Facilities in TRI Information and 5.7-C-DTSC EnvirostarStor Database Listed Sites

The proposed project does not involve any emission or handling of any hazardous materials, substances or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing school because the proposed development is a 30-unit multiple-family residential project; the closest school is satiation approximately 0.3 mile south of the project site (Foothill Elementary School-8230 Wells Avenue). Therefore the project will have no impact regarding remitting hazardous emission or handling hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste thin one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school directly, indirectly or cumulatively.

A review of hazardous materials site lists compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 found that the project site is not included on any such lists. Therefore, the project will have no impact to creating any significant hazard to the public or environment directly, indirectly or cumulatively.

### HUD Environmental Standards Determination and Compliance Documentation

# Noise Abatement and Control [24 CFR 51 B]

General Plan Figure N-1 – 2003 Roadway Noise Figure N-5 – 2005 Roadway Noise, Figure N-8 – Riverside Flabob Airport Noise Contours, Figure N-9 – Noise/Land Use Noise Compatibility Criteria, RCALUCP, Figure N-10 – Noise/Land use Noise Compatibility Criteria, FPEIR Table 5.11-I – Existing and Future Noise Contour Comparison Table 5.11-E – Interior and Exterior Noise Standards, Appendix G Noise Existing Conditions Report, Title 7 – Noise Code;

Per Implementation Too N-1 of the General Plan 2025 Noise Element, this project has been reviewed to ensure that noise standards and compatibility issues have been addressed. The project meets the City's noise standards as set forth in Title 7 of the Municipal Code, is compliant with the Noise/Land Use Noise Compatibility Criteria Matrix (Figure N-10) of the Noise Element, is not with in the 60 dB CNEL and is not with the vicinity of industrial areas and therefore does not require an acoustical analysis. Therefore, impacts are less than significant on the exposure of persons to or the general ion of nose levels in excess of established City standards directly, indirectly or cumulatively.

Construction related activities although short term, are the most common source of ground borne noise and vibration that Construction related activities although short term, are the most common source of ground borne noise and vibration that could affect occupants of neighboring uses. Title 7 limits construction related activities from 7AM to 7PM on weekdays and 8AM to 5PM on Saturdays. No construction noise is permitted on Sundays or on Federal holidays. As construction activities are temporary and limited, the project will cause a less than significant exposure of person to or generation of excessive ground born vibration or ground born

noise levels. This project is not expected to generate or be exposed to long-term vibration impacts during operation of the proposed use or during construction activities as no blasting or pile driving is foreseeable in conjunction with the development of the site. Therefore, impacts related to the exposure of persons to or the generation of noise levels in excess of established City standards directly, indirectly or cumulatively is expected to be less than significant.

Although the project is located within Zone D of the RCALUCP and within two miles of a public airport. the proposed project is located within any of the airport noise contour area as depicted in Figures N-8 and N-9 of the Noise Element of the General Plan 2025. Consistent with the requirements of Zone D of the RCALUCP, a condition of approval has been added to require a deed notice of the project site's proximity to the Riverside Municipal Airport. For this reason, the project would not expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels related to airport noise. Therefore. impacts will be less than significant directly. indirectly and cumulatively on people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels

Toxic/Hazardous/Radioact ive Materials, Contamination, Chemicals or Gases [24 CFR 58.5(i)(2)]

General Plan 2025 Public Safety Element, GP 2025 FPEIR, California Health and Safety Code, Title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations, California Building Code, Riverside Fire Department EOP, 2002 and Riverside Operational Area – Multi-Jurisdictional LHMP, 2004 Part 1, OEM's Strategic Plan, General Plan 2025 Public Safety and Education elements, GP 2025 FPEIR Table 5.7-D – CalARP RMP Facilities in the Project Area, Figure 5.13-3 AUSD Boundaries, Table 5.13 E AUSD Schools, Figure 5.13-4 – Other School District Boundaries, General Plan 2025 Figure PS-5 – Hazardous Waste Sites, GP 2025 FPEIR Tables 5.7-A- CERCLIS Facility Information, Figure 5.7B – Regulated Facilities in TRI Information and 5.7-C – DTSC EnviroStor Database Listed Sites

The project does not involve the transport, use or disposal of any hazardous material given that the propped project involves the construction of a 30-unit multiple-family residential project. As such, the project will have no impact related to the transport, use or disposal of any hazardous material. Further, the project does not involve the use of any hazardous materials, nor will the project create a

significant hazard to the public or to the environment through a reasonably foreseeable upset and accident condition involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment.

The proposed project does not involve the emission or handling of any hazardous materials, substances or waste, nor is the project located within one-quarter mile of an existing school. The closest school is located 0.3 mil south of the project site (Foothill elementary School – 8230 Wells Avenue). Therefore the project will not emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school directly, indirectly or cumulatively.

The project is not within one mile of an NPL ("Superfund") site, within ½ mile of a CERCLIS site, nor adjacent or any other known or suspected sites contaminated with toxic chemicals or radioactive materials. Source: EPA Environapper (http://www.epa.gov/emefdata/em4ef.home), CERCLIS and Region 9 Superfund web pages (http://cumulis.epa.gov/supercpad/cursites/srchsites.cfm accessed November 1, 2013 no impact

Siting of HUD-Assisted Projects near Hazardous Operations [24 CFR 51 C]

The project will expose neither people nor buildings to such hazards. Source: field survey October 25, 2013, City of Riverside GIS aerial photos. no impact

Airport Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones [24 CFR 51 D] General Plan 2025 Figure PS-6 — Airport Safety Zones and Influence Areas, ACALUCP and March Air Reserve Base/March Inland Port comprehensive Land Use Plan (1999), Air Installation Compatibly Use Zone Study for March Air Reserve Base (August 2005)

The proposed project is located within Safety and/or Airport Compatibility Zone(s) D as depicted on Figure 5.7-2 of the General Plan 2025 Program FPEIR for Riverside Municipal Airport as noted in the Riverside County Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan (RCALUCP). The project was reviewed by the Airport Land Use Commission (ALUC) to ensure that the project ti consistent with the compatibility zone as well as in compliance with the land use standards in the RCALUP. Because the project has been found to be consistent with the RECALUCP by

#### the ALUC, no impacts are expected.

#### **Environmental Assessment Checklist**

[Environmental Review Gulde HUD CPD 782, 24 CFR 58.40; Ref. 40 CFR 1508.8 &1508.27]

Evaluate the significance of the effects of the proposal on the character, features and resources of the project area. Enter relevant base data and verifiable source documentation to support the finding. Then enter the appropriate impact code from the following list to make a determination of impact. Impact Codes: (1) - No impact anticipated; (2) - Potentially beneficial; (3) - Potentially adverse; (4) - Requires mitigation;

(5) - Requires project modification. Note names, dates of contact, telephone numbers and page references. Attach

additional material as appropriate. Note conditions or mitigation measures required.

Code

Land Development C
Conformance with
Comprehensive Plans

and Zoning

Source or Documentation

General Plan 2025 Land Use and Urban Design Elements, Project Site Plan, Zoning Code, GIS/CADME map layers, General Plan 2025 Figure LU-10 – Land Use Policy Map, Table LU-5-Zoning/General Plan Consistency Matrix, Figure LU-7 – Redevelopment Areas, Title 19 – Zoning Code, Title 18-Subdivision Code, Title 7-Noise Code, Title 17 Grading Code, Title 20 – Cultural Resources Code, Title 16 – Buildings and Construction and Citywide Design and Sign Guidelines

The project is located within the boundaries of the RCALUCP has been designed to be consistent with the Plan. The project was also analyzed for consistency with the goals and policies of the General Plan 2025, which recognizes the need for "adequate housing and supportive services for Riverside residents with special needs" (Goal H-4). To facilitate the development of the Home Front at Camp Anza project as currently proposed, the applicant has submitted an application to amend the General Plan land use and Zoning designation of the project site. This proposal seeks to amend the General Plan land use designation from Medium Density Residential (MDR) to High Density Residential (HDR) and to change the Zoning designation from Single-Family Residential (R-1-7000) zone to Multiple-Family Residential (R-3-2500) Zone. As this proposal intends to provide housing for disabled veterans earing between 30 and 60 percent of the Area Median Income (AMI), it qualifies as an affordable housing project and is entitled to incentives or concessions that may rest in a reduction of site development standards or a modification of Zoning Code or architectural design requirements. The application has requested three concessions related to the building setbacks from Picker and Wholstetter

Streets, parking and private open space. Although the proposed density is higher than that allowed by the General Plan land use designation of the surrounding area, and accounting for the requested concessions, the proposed project has been designed to blend seamlessly into the surrounding Arlanza neighborhood, which is primarily comprised of housing converted from barracks and repurposed buildings; this proposal complies with all other applicable development standards required or multiple-family residential developments. architecture of the new homes will utilize lowscaled, simple forms hat will reflect some of the architectural details of the Officer's Club building. such as eave overhangs, the shallow pitched roof and wood siding. Private outdoors paces and windows would overlook public areas to provide a presence on the street and within the development. Within the site, courtyards serve as extensions of the living space and provide social gathering spaces for veterans and their families. whole two tot lots will provide residents children with safe places to play. A goal of this project is to rehabilitate the Camp Anza Officer's Club and seek designation of the structure as a City Structure of Merit for the community of Arlanza. The revitalized space will serve as a "town center" for this project, and will include a leasing office, a computer lab, a large multipurpose room. office space for supportive service providers, and a kitchen for providing communal meals. The building's exterior will be restored o reflect its original finishes and architectural details including wood sign and eave overhangs and appropriate windows. The entire building will be made accessible to persons with disabilities through the use of ramps at the entrances and an elevator to Based on the abovethe mezzanine area. referenced information, the proposed project to allow the construction of a 30-unit multiple-family residential development and the rehabilitation of the Camp Anza Officer's Club will have no impact on applicable land use policies.

Compatibility and Urban Impact Project Plans, Project Description, Site Visit: October 25, 2013, CADME GIS Layers
The proposed project will convert 2,14 acres of

	vacant land into 30-affordable multiple fa housing units for veterans and their families. addition, the project seeks to rehabilitate Camp Anza Officer's Club a significant cult resource and tangible link to Riversic contribution to the World War II war effort. Officer's Club will serve as the apartmacommunity's recreation space and a dedicate place where non-profit service providers provide supportive services to veterans and the families. Based upon the description "Conformance with Comprehensive Plans Zoning" above, the project has been designed blend with the surrounding community, be sensitive in scale, massing and architect design to both the single-family residences to north and west, the on-site Officer's Club, and Arlanza Library to the south. The project positively impact the surrounding neighborh by turning a currently blighted structure vacant lot into an aesthetically pleasing, culturing in the surrounding of the surr
Slope	significant community with a purpose.  General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.6-1 – Areas Under by Steep Slope, Appendix E – Geotechnical Report, 18-Subdivision Code, Title 17 – Grading Code, S Water Pollution Prevention Plan SWPPP The project and its surroundings have general flat topography and are not located in an a
Erosion	prone to landslide. No impact is anticipated.  General Plan 2025 FPEIR Figure 5.6-1 – Areas Underlaid Steep Slope, Figure 5.6-4 Soils, Table 5.6-8 Soil Types, Titte Subdivision Code, Title 17 – Grading Code, and SWPPP)  Erosion and loss of top soil could occur a result of the project. State and Fed requirements call for the preparation of a Structure Pollution Prevention Plan (SWP establishing erosion and sediment controls construction activities. The project must a comply with the National Pollutant Dischate Elimination System (NPDES) regulations, addition, with the erosion control standards which all development activity must comply (18), the Grading Code (Title 17) also requires implementation of measures designed
	minimize soil erosion or loss of top soil.

3 Soils with High Shrink-Swell Potential, Figure 5.6-1 Areas Underlain by Steep Slope, Figure 5.6-4 – Soils Table, 5.6-B Soil Types and Appendix E – Geotechnical Report, California Building Code

The topography of the project is generally flat. sloping slightly to the northeast of the project site towards Wholstetter Street. The soil type of the subject site is Buchenau (see figure 5.64 - Soils of the General Plan 2025 FPEIR). Buchenau soil is characterized by moderately slow to overly very slow permeability and has a moderate shrink swell potential. Adherence to the City's Grading and Subdivision Codes as well as the California Building Code in design of the project will prevent lateral spreading and hazards related to expansive soils. The project is located in an areas with a high potential for liquefaction as depicted in the General Plan 2025 Liquefaction Zones Map - figure PS-2. However, with compliance California of Building regulations no impacts related to seismic-related ground failure are anticipated. Adherence to the City's grading and building requirements will ensure that the property is adequately prepared to prevent the collapse of the graded pad and/or slopes. Compliance with existing codes and the policies contained int eh General Plan 2025 help to ensure that risks related to geologic conditions are reduced to less than significant levels and no impacts are anticipated.

Hazards and Nuisances including Site Safety

General Plan 2025 Public Safety Element, GP 2025 FPEIR, California Health and Safety Code, Title 49 Code of Federal Regulations, California Building Code, Riverside Fire Department EOP 2002 and Riverside Operational Area — Multi-Jurisdictional LHMP 2004 Part 1, OEM's Strategic Plan; General Plan 2025 Education Element, GP 2025 FPEIR Table 5.7 D- CalARP RMP Facilities in the Project Area, Figure 5.13-3-AUSD Boundaries, Table 5.13-E AUSD Schools, Figure 5.13-4 Other School District Boundaries; General Plan 2025 Figure PS-5 Hazardous Waste Sites, GP2025 FPEIR Tables 5.7A CERCLIS Facilities Information, Figure 5.7 B Regulated Facilities in TRI Information and 5.7-C DTSC EnviroStor Database Listed Sites.

The proposed project does not involve the transport, use or disposal of any hazardous materials, nor does given that the proposed project involves the construction of a 30-unit multiple family residential project and the rehabilitation of an existing building. As such, no impact is anticipated. The project site is located 0.3 mile north of Foothill Elementary School

		(8230 Wells Avenue), the nearest school. Therefore, the project site is not located within one-quarter mile of an existing school, and the project is not anticipated to involve an emission or handling of nay hazardous materials, substances or waste. Therefore no impact is anticipated. A review of hazardous materials sit lists compiled pursuant to Government Code 65962.5 found that the project site is not included on, or within on one-quarter mile of any site listed on any such list. Therefore the project will not be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites nor will it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment.
Energy Consumption	2	Project Description, Project Applicant phone call: Oct. 25, 2013  The project has been designed to include sustainable features such as drought-tolerant landscaping, energy efficient lighting, water conservation features, and enhanced indoor air quality. Therefore the project will be potentially beneficial to the environment, as these improvements will be less impactful than those that could be installed.
Noise - Contribution to Community Noise Levels	1	General Plan 2025 Land Use and Urban Design Elements, Zoning Code, General Plan 2025 Figure LU-10 – Land Use Policy Map, Table LU-5-Zoning/General Plan Consistency Matrix, Title 19 – Zoning Code, 7-Noise Code  The project was also analyzed for consistency with the goals and policies of the General Plan 2025, which recognizes the need for "adequate housing and supportive services for Riverside residents with special needs" (Goal H-4). To facilitate the development of the Home Front at Camp Anza project as currently proposed, the applicant has submitted an application to amend the General Plan land use and Zoning designation of the project site to increase the unit density permitted. In this instance, the project consists of a 30-unit multiple-family residential project, which yields a density of 13.9 units per acre; this proposal includes a General Plan Amendment to amend the land use designation from MDR –Medium Density Residential to HDR

 High Density Residential. The proposed land use designation, and density, exceeds the Typical Density for the MDR land use designation analyzed by the General Plan 2025 at 5.5 units to the acre, and also exceeds the Maximum with PRD Density for the MDR land use designation at 8 units to the acre. Nevertheless, Citywide some properties have been developed at a density below those considered under the General Plan 2025, which would in essence compensate for the higher density proposed on the subject site. The proposed project will not result in greater traffic impacts given that multiple-family projects are marginally more intense than single-family residences. As an example, based on the ITE manual, a project of this type is expected to generate at total of 34 peak hour vehicle trips per single-family day whereas. а residential development on this site would be expected to generate approximately 30 peak hour vehicle trips. As such, this project can be considered consistent with the projections of employment and population forecast identified by SCAG. As a single-family development could have been developed on the site by-right, and the proposed project is projected to yield approximately the same quantity of persons the project is not anticipated to adversely impact community noise levels.

Air Quality
Effects of Ambient Air Quality on
Project and Contribution to
Community Pollution Levels

South Coast Air Quality Management District 2007 Air Quality Management Plan (AQMP); SCAQMD CEQA Regional Significance Thresholds; CalEEMod conducted for the project

Per the GP 2025 FPEIR, AQMP thresholds indicate future construction activities under the General Plan 2025 are projected to result in significant novels of NOx and ROG, both ozone precursors, PM-10 and PM-2.5 and CO. Although long-term emissions are expected to decrease by 2025, all criteria pollutants remain above the SCAQMD thresholds.

The portion of the Basin within which the City is located is designated as a non-attainment area of ozone, PM-10, PM. 2.5 under State standards, and as a non-attainment area of ozone, carbon

monoxide, PM-10 and PM-2.5 under Federal standards. As noted above, the proposed project is marginally more intense than a single-family development, if one were to be developed on the subject site.

Further, short-term impacts associated with Therefore cumulative air quality emission impacts associated with construction will result in increased air emissions. The project is located Emissions from within an urbanized area. grading, earthmoving, and construction activities. In conformance with General Plan 2025 the CalEE MOD computer model analyzed short term construction and long-term operational related impacts of the project and determined that the proposed project will not exceed SCAQMD thresholds for short-term construction and longterm operational impacts. Therefore the project will not expose sensitive receptors to substantial concentrations and pollutant less significant impact will occur directly, indirectly and cumulatively.

Environmental Design Visual Quality - Coherence, Diversity, Compatible Use and Scale Project Plans, Project Description, Site Visit: October 25, 2013, CADME GIS Layers

The proposed project will convert 2.14 acres of vacant land into 30-affordable multiple family housing units for veterans and their families. Ina addition, the project seeks to rehabilitate the Camp Anza Officer's Club a significant cultural resource and tangible link to Riverside's contribution to the World War II war effort. The Officer's Club will serve as the apartment community's recreation space and a dedicated place where non-profit service providers can provide supportive services to veterans and their Based upon the description in families. "Conformance with Comprehensive Plans and Zoning" above, the project has been designed to blend with the surrounding community, being sensitive in scale, massing and architectural design to both the single-family residences to the north and west, the on-site Officer's Club, and the Arlanza Library to the south. The project will positively impact the surrounding neighborhood by turning a currently blighted structure and vacant lot into an aesthetically pleasing, culturally

	1	Laignifficant community with a manage
D	+-	significant community with a purpose.
Demographic Character Changes	1	City of Riverside Housing Element of the General Plan
	1	No demographic impacts are anticipated by this
	ļ_	project.
Displacement	1	The project does not involve displacement. The
		Camp Anza Officer's Club is currently vacant,
		and was never used for residential purposes; the
		remainder of the project site is vacant land.
Employment and Income Patterns	1	This project does not change employment or
	1	income patterns.
Educational Facilities	1	This project does not impact educational facilities.
	[ ]	Existing regulations require school fees to be
		paid to the school district prior to the issuance of
	1	
	1	building permits as mitigation for new construction.
Commercial Facilities	-	Source: City GIS Maps
Commercial I acimpos	1	l —
		numerous commercial facilities. The area is well-
		served and as in-fill residential development, will
Health Care	_	have no-impact on commercial facilities.
neath Care	2	Source: City GIS Maps, Project Description
		The area is well served and does not face a
		shortage of health care facilities. Further, as par
	1	tof the project description, the applicant has
	l i	partnered with Mercy House, an Orange-County
	1	based non-profit to provide supportive services
<u> </u>		and to reach-out to health care providers who
	1	accept veterans insurance plans. There is a
		potential for these service providers to provide
		on-site services in the renovated Officer's Club as
		a service to the Home Front at Camp Anza
	1	residents. Therefore the project is beneficial to
		the community.
Social Services	2	Source: Project Description
		As stated above, as part of the Development
i		Team, Mercy House will be providing on-site
	ļ	supportive services to the residents of the Home
		Front at Camp Anza Community.
Solid Waste	1	Source: FPEIR Table 5.16-A - Existing Landfills and Table
		5.16-M - Estimated Future Solid Waste Generation from
	- 1	the Planning Area; California Integrated Waste
		Management Board 2002 Landfill Facility Compliance
i		Study The General Plan 2025 determined future landfill
1		The General Plan 2025 determined future landfill
1		capacity to be adequate (see Tables 5.16A and
t	- 1	5.16-M of the General Plan 2025 FPEIR). The
	_1	proposed project intends for the development of a

30-unit multiple-family residential project. facilitate the development of this proposal, the General Plan land use designation will be amended from MDR-Medium Density Residential to HDR - High Density Residential, Nevertheless, some properties citywide have been developed at a density below those considered under the General Plan 2025, such as a multiple family housing recently approved to be developed with 102 units on a site inventoried in the Housing Element of the General Plan to be developed with 223 units which in essence compensates for the higher density proposed on the subject site. Therefore, this project will have no impact on solid waste facilities outside of those already contemplated by the General Plan. Further. The California Integrated Waste Management Act under the Public Resources Code requires that local jurisdictions divert at least 50% of all solid waste generated by January 1, 2000. The City is currently achieving a 60% diversion rate, well above State requirements. In addition, the California Green Building Code requires all developments to divert 50% of non-hazardous construction and demolition debris for all projects and 100% of excavated soil and land clearing debris for all non-residential projects beginning January 1, 2011. The proposed project must comply with city's the waste requirements as well s the California Green Building Code and as such would not conflict with any Federal, State or local regulations. impact to solid waste facilities or capacity is anticipated.

Waste Water FPEIR Figure 5.16-5 - Sewer Service Areas, Figure 5.16-6 Sewer Infrastructure, Table 5.16-K - Estimated Future Wastewater Generation for the City of Riverside's Sewer Service Area, and Wastewater Integrated Master Plan and Certified EIR The project will not exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the Regional Water Quality Control Board. The General Plan 2025 determined that capacity to treat future wastewater generation projections was adequate (see Table 5.16-K of the General Plan 2025 Final PEIR). The proposed project intends for the development of a 30-unit multiple-family residential project. To facilitate the development of this proposal the General Plan land use designation will be amended from MDR- Medium Density Residential to HDR- High Density Residential. Nevertheless, some properties citywide have been approved to be developed below those densities considered under the General Plan 2025, such as a multiple family housing recently approved to be developed with 102 units on a site inventoried in the Housing Element of the General Plan to be developed with 223 units, which would in essence compensate for the higher density proposed on the subject site. This project will not require directly, indirectly or cumulatively the expansion of wastewater treatment facilities. Therefore, no **impact** to the waste water treatment is expected. Storm Water GP2025 Figure 5.16-4 - Water Facilities and Figure 5.16-6 - Sewer Infrastructure and Wastewater Integrated Master Plan and Certified EIR. The project will not result in the construction of new or expanded water or wastewater treatment facilities. The project is consistent with the Typical Growth Scenario of the General Plan 2025 where future water and waste water generation was determined to be adequate (see Tables 5.16-E, 5.16-F, 5.16-H, 5.16-H, 5.16-I, 5.16-J and 5.16-K of the General Plan 2025 Final PEIR). The proposed project intends for the development of a 30-unit multiple-family residential project. To facilitate the development of this proposal, the General Plan land use designation will be amended from MDR-Medium Density Residential to HDR-High Density Residential. Nevertheless, some properties

	_	
		citywide have some properties citywide have been approved to be developed below those densities considered under the General Plan 2025, such as a multiple family housing recently approved to be developed with 102 units on a site inventoried in the Housing Element of the General Plan to be developed with 223 units, which would in essence compensate for the higher density proposed on the subject site. This project will not require directly, indirectly or cumulatively the expansion of waste water treatment facilities. Therefore, no impact to the waste water treatment is expected.
Water Supply	1	GP2025 Table FP-1 - RPU Projected Domestic Water Supply (AC-FT/YR) Table FP-2 RPU Projected Water Demand, RPU Map of Water Supply Basins, RPU Urban Water Management Plan The proposed project is located within the Riverside South Water Supply Basin. The project is required to connect to the City's sewer system and comply with all NPDES and WQMP requirements that will ensure the proposed project will not substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level. Therefore, there will be no impact to ground water supplies and recharge either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.
Public Safety - Police	1	General Plan 2025 Figure PS-8 Neighborhood Policing Center Adequate police facilities and services are provided by West Main Policing Center to serve this project. In addition, with implementation of General Plan 2025 policies, compliance with existing codes and standards, and through Police Department practices, there will be no impact on the demand for additional police facilities or services either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.
- Fire	1	FPEIR Table 5.13-B – Fire Station Locations, Tiable5.13-C – Riverside Fire Department Statistics and Ordinance 5948 Section 1 Adequate fire facilities and services are provided by Station #7 located at 10191 Cypress Avenue to serve this project. In addition, with implementation of General Plan 2025 policies, compliance with existing codes and standards, and through Fire Department practices, there will

.

		be no impact on the demand for additional fire facilities or services either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.
- Emergency Medical	1	Adequate emergency medical response is provided within the City per 2025 General Plan.
Open Space and Recreation - Open Space		General Plan 2025 Figure PR-1 Parks, Open Spaces and Trails, Table PR-4 – Park and Recreation Facilities, Parks Master Plan 2003, GP 2025 FPEIR Table 5.14-A-Parks and Recreation Facility Types, and Table 5.14 – C – Park and Recreation Facilities Funded in the Riverside Renaissance Initiative  Adequate park facilities and services are provided in the Arlanza Neighborhood to serve this project, including the Martha McLean Anza Narrows park near the project site. In addition, the project includes private outdoor spaces and windows would overlook public areas to provide a presence on the street and within the
		development. Within the site, courtyards serve as extensions of the living space and provide social gathering spaces for veterans and their families, while a tot lot, swimming pool, and horseshoe court will provide resident's children with safe places to play. In addition, with implementation of General Plan 2025 policies, compliance with existing codes and standards, and through Park and Recreation and Community Services practices, there will be no impact on the demand for additional park facilities or services either directly, in directly or cumulatively.
- Recreation	1	General Plan2025 Figure LU-8 — Community Facilities figure 5.13-5 — Library Facilities, Figure 5.13-6 — Community Centers, Table 5.13-F — Riverside Community Centers, Table 5.13-H-Riverside public Library Service Standards  The project consists of 30-unit multiple family residential units and the rehabilitation of the 15,000 sq.ft. exiting Camp Anza Officer's Club. The rehabilitated Officer's Club will serve as the recreation building for the tenants of the newly constructed residential units. In addition to this on-site recreational space, the Arlanza Library is immediately south of the project site and will be linked to the project site via a walking path. Further, the Bryant Park Community Center is located less than one-quarter mile southeast of the project site. Therefore with continued implantation of General Plan 2025 policies,

compliance with existing codes and standards, and through Parks Recreation and Community Services and Library practices, there will be no impacts on the demand for additional public facility services either directly, indirectly or cumulatively. Cultural Resources Survey, Home Front at Camp - Cultural Facilities Anza/Camp Anza Officer's Club; Prepared by JMRC, June 2013; GP 2025 FPEIR Table 5.5-A Historical Districts and Neighborhood Conservation The proposed project entails the development of 30 residential units in one- and two-story cottage style bungalows sited on the vacant land around the Camp Anza Officer's Club with frontage onto both Picker Street and Wholstetter Street. Home Front at Camp Anza's new homes will be designed to blend seamlessly into the surrounding Arlanza neighborhood, which is primarily comprised of housing converted from former barracks and repurposed buildings. A goal of this project is to rehabilitate and restore the Camp Anza Officer's Club. The revitalized space will serve as a "town center" for this project, and will includes leasing office, a computer lab, a large multipurpose room, office space for supportive service providers, and a kitchen for providing communal meals. applicant has submitted an application for a Certificate of Appropriateness per Title 20 of the Riverside Municipal Code. The project has been reviewed against Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act and its implementing regulations. Per the required findings of Section 800.4(d)(1), there are "no historic properties affected" by this undertaking. However, the project is also subject to the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and CEQA establishes that "a project that may cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource is a project that may hav a significant effect on the environment (PRC Section 21084.1) and the California PRC further defines a substantial adverse change as "demolition, destruction, relocation, or alteration such that the significance of a historic resource

would be impaired" (PRC Section 5020.1(q). As

a locally eligible property with a CHR status code of 5S2, the Camp Anza Officers Club is considered a historic resource under CEQA. A Cultural Resources Report was prepared by JMRC, which analyzed the prospered project for potential effects under CEQA.

The proposed project calls for the adaptive reuse the Officers Club as а private social/recreational hall and community enter through restoration/rehabilitation, as part of the development of housing for disabled veterans The Cultural Resources and their families. Report, and the project as described by the applicant, indicates that project details will be attentive to the importance of the architectural integrity and historic association of the building. The stated intent is to seek the greatest retention of existing historic features and materials, the restoration of damaged elements, and the in-kind replacement of missing features and materials to the extent possible. Distances between the Officers Club and new construction and the size. scale and orientation of the proposed new bungalows preserve the visual present of the Offices Club, which will continue to be best experienced form its front approach on Picker Street. The architectural character of the new bungalows is compatible with the original features and materials of the Officers Club, which ill harmonious relationship consistent setting. Moreover, it is anticipated that, as proposed, the restoration/rehabilitation of the Officer's Club will reverse some of the previous extensive alterations under the Moose Lodge era (1966-1999).

Several proposed project details or elements are critical to maintaining potential impacts to a less than significant level under CEQA or serve to enhance the preservation of important features, materials, and qualities of the Camp Anza Officers Club and should be safeguarded and considered for retention should final project plans change:

Preserve shape, size, mass, footprint, and

- height of the current, original design;
- Ensure maximum retention and restoration of existing original feature and materials, including exterior wood siding, interior wainscoting, hardwood floors, exposed timber rafters, and double hearth brick chimney;
- Replace in-kind or restore missing or damaged features and materials to the maximum extent possible, including the restoration of the main entry, the replacement of the façade aqua media, and the use of the wood-framed, new double-hung windows fitted as closely as possible into their original openings;
- Maximize special relationship, scale, and orientation in site planning;
- Use compatible design materials and features in the new bungalows to ensure they are harmonious to the Officers Club and overall setting.

A Certificate of Appropriateness application has been received pursuant to adopted City procedures (Title 20) to ensure the proposed change would not have a significant adverse environmental effect as defined by CEQA. Pursuant to this review under case number P13-0200, a mitigation measure has been applied to protect the resource:

MM Cultural 5: The preserved and enhanced integrity of the restored/rehabilitated Camp Anza Officer's club, which is to be achieved by the proposed project, shall be further protected by the preparation and submittal of a local designation application prior to final inspection or the issuance of a certificate of occupancy.

The project is located on a previously developed/improved site within an urbanized area. A site survey for archaeological resources was prepared by JMRC. The survey meets the Secretary of the Interiors Standards and Guidelines and has found that there are no known archeological resources present on the site. Though implementation of appropriate

mitigation measures (MM Cultural 1 through 4 on the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program attached) per the GP 2025 FPEIR, no impacts to archeological are expected. No further archaeological investigation is recommended unless the proposed undertaking is changed to include areas not subject to this study or additional construction, or unless project activities reveal the presence of cultural materials. The current study attempted to determine whether archaeological deposits were present with the proposed APE. Although none were yielded during the records search, ground-disturbing activities always have the potential to reveal buried deposits. As a result, prior to the initiation ground-disturbing activities construction personnel should be alerted to the possibility of buried prehistoric or historic cultural deposits. In the event that field personnel encounter buried cultural materials, work in the immediate vicinity of the find should cease and a qualified archaeologist should be retained to assess the significance of the find. The qualified archaeologist shall have the authority to stop or divert construction excavation as necessary. If the qualified archaeologist finds that any cultural resources present meet eligibility requirements for listing on the California Register of Historical Places, plans for treatment, evaluation, and mitigation of impacts to the find will need to be develop in consultation with the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO), as applicable, in accordance with the City of Riverside's Programmatic Agreement Regarding Historic Properties Affected by the Use of Federally Funded HUD Loans (PA). If human remains are encountered, State Health and Safety Code Section 7050.5 states that no further disturbance shall occur until the County Coroner has made a determination of origin and disposition pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 5097.98. The county Coroner must be notified of the find immediately. If the remains are determined to be prehistoric, the Coroner will notify the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC), which will determine and notify the Most Likely

·	_	( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( ( (
Transportation	1	Descendant (MLD). With the permission of the landowner or his/her authorized representative, the MLD may inspect the site of the discovery. The MLD shall complete the inspection with 48 hours of notification by the NAHC.  GP 2025 Figure CCM-4-Mast Plan of Roadways, FPEIR
		Figure 5.15-4 Volume to Capacity(V/C) Radio and Level of Service (LOS) (Typical 2025), Table 5.15-D – Existing and Future Trip Generation Estimates, Table 5.15H – Existing and Typical Density Scenario Intersection LOS Table 5.15-I – Conceptual General Plan Intersection Improvement Recommendations, Table 5.15-J – Current Status of Roadways Projected to Operate at LOS E and F in 2025, Table 5.15-K – Freeway Analysis Proposed General Plan.
		Appendix H – Circulation element Traffic Study Appendix SCAG's RTP) Roadway capacity is adequate to accommodate the traffic volumes for the proposed project. The proposed project is not anticipated to produce significant traffic volume as it is anticipated that is not all residents will have or need private vehicles. although the proposed 30-unit multiple-family residential project is at a higher density than the surrounding primary single-family residential neighborhood, the proposed project does not result in any new significant impacts given that multiple-family residential projects are marginally more intense than single-family residences. Based on the ITE Manual, a multifamily residential development on this side would be expected to generate a total of 34 peak hour trips per day whereas a single-family development would be expected to generate 30 peak hour trips per day. Further the project is within one-third of one mile of two Riverside Transit Agency (RTA) bus stops, with one running along Van Buren Blvd. and another along Colorado Avenue. Therefore no impact to traffic to the existing street system in excess of that already evaluated by the General Plan is anticipated. The project site does nto include a state highway or principal arterial within Riverside County's Congestion Management Program (CMP) and the project is consistent with the Transportation Demand Management /Air Quality components of the Program, therefore, there is no impact anticipated.

Natural Features		Source or Documentation
Water Resources	1	General Plan 2025 Table PF-1 – RPU Projected Domestic Water Supply (AC-FT/YR), Table FP-2 – RPU Projected Water Demand, RPU Map of Water Supply Basins, RPU Urban Water Management Plan)  The proposed project is located within the Riverside South Water Supply Basin. The project is required to connect to the City's sewer system and comply with all NPDES and WQMP requirements that will ensure the proposed project will not substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the groundwater table level. No impact to the ground water supplies and recharges either directly, indirectly or cumulatively.
Surface Water	1	City GIS MAPS There is no surface water located within 1 mile of the project site. Therefore not impact to surface water is expected.
Unique Natural Features and Agricultural Lands	1	General Plan 2025 – Figure OS-2 – Agricultural Suitability, Figure OS – 3 – Williamson Act Preserves, General Plan 2025 FPEIR – Figure 5.2-4 Proposed Zones Permitting Agricultural Uses, and Title 19 of the Zoning Code, GIS Map – Forest Data  The project is located within an urbanized area. A review of Figure OS-2, reveals that the project site is not designated as, and is not adjacent to or in proximity of any land classified as, Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland or Statewide Importance. Further the project is not zoned for agricultural use or subject to a Williamson Act Contract and is not adjacent to land zoned for or subject to a Williamson Act Contract agricultural use. Therefore no impact is expected.
Vegetation and Wildlife	1	MSHCP, General Plan 2025- Figure OS-7 – MSHCP Cores and Linkages, City of Riverside GIS – USGS Quad Map Layers The project is located within an urbanized area and will not result in any impact to protected vegetation or wildlife.

Other Factors			Source	or D	ocun	nentatio	on		
Flood Disaster Protection Act	1	Preliminary	Grading	Plan	and	Project	Specific	Water	Quality
[Flood Insurance]		Management	Plan Pre	pared t	y SB	&O In, A	ugust 201	3 , Gene	eral Plan

[§58.6(a)]	_	2025 Figure PS-4 – Flood Hazard Area
[300:0(a)]		The project site is not located within a flood plain.
		Underground storm drains and streets are designed to
		accommodate the 10-year storm flow from curb to
		curb, while 100-year storms are accommodated within
		street right-of-ways. The runoff from the project in
		developed condition has been studied and is required
		to be attenuated on-site, so although the drainage
		pattern will be altered the off-site discharge is the
		same as the undeveloped condition. Therefore, no
		impact to flooding conditions is expected. Further, the
		project site is located adjacent to the Riverside County
		Flood Control District Anza Channel Stage 1 flood
		control channel, and this channel is tabled for the final
		build-out of the project site, no mitigation of
		incremental increase in 100-year design low is
	ĺ	required, as confirmed by the Riverside County Flood
ì		Control District. All downstream conveyance
		channels to the Santa Ana River, Reach 3, an adequate
		sump, are engineered and regularly marinated to
		ensure design flow capacity and no sensitive stream
		habitat areas will be adversely affected.
Coastal Barrier Resources Act/	T	The project site is not located within 50 miles of a
Coastal Barrier Improvement Act		Costal area and is not within the jurisdiction of a
[§58.6(c)]		Costal Barrier Resources Act/Coastal Barrier
		Improvement Act.
Airport Runway Clear Zone or	1	General Plan 2025 Figure PS-6 - Airport Safety Zones and Influence
Clear Zone Disclosure		Areas, RCALUCP, March Air Reserve Base/March Inland Port
[§58.6(d)]		Comprehensive Land Use Plan (1999) and Air Installation Compatible Use Zone for Study for March Air Reserve Base (August 2005)
		The project is not located on or in a designated Airport
		Runway Clear Zone nor is a Clear Zone Disclosure
		required.
Other Factors		None

Summary of Findings and Conclusions

#### **ALTERNATIVES TO THE PROPOSED ACTION**

Alternatives and Project Modifications Considered [24 CFR 58.40(e), Ref. 40 CFR 1508.9] (Identify other reasonable courses of action that were considered and not selected, such as other sites, design modifications, or other uses of the subject site. Describe the benefits and adverse impacts to the human environment of each alternative and the reasons for rejecting it.)

Other vacant sites were considered, as the Housing Authority owns numerous other properties of similar size, each site was rejected because: no other properties contain existing cultural resources (the Camp Anza Officer's Club) whose history are tied to Veterans and would lend itself once rehabilitated to the provision of supportive services for present day veterans and their families. Further, the project site is located in an area

proximate to medical, educational, commercial/retail, social services, public transportation lines and recreational facilities which make it an excellent candidate to apply for and obtain other sources of leveraged funding, such as Low Income Housing Tax Credits.

#### No Action Alternative [24 CFR 58.40(e)]

(Discuss the benefits and adverse Impacts to the human environment of not implementing the preferred alternative).

If the project is not implemented, significant adverse impacts to a cultural resource, the Camp Anza Officer's Club, could occur in the form of demolition by neglect. This project brings forward leveraged funding which will permit the rehabilitation of the structure, consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards, and the adaptive re-use of the building. In addition, the project will enable 30 veterans and their families to live together, outside of an institutional setting while receiving supportive services necessary to ease the transition into civilian life, including care for the caregiver. Further, at least 30 households will be forced to live in housing that is not affordable to them.

#### Mitigation Measures Recommended [24 CFR 58.40(d), 40 CFR 1508.20]

(Recommend feasible ways in which the proposal or its external factors should be modified in order to minimize adverse environmental impacts and restore or enhance environmental quality.)

No NEPA Mitigation Measures are recommended; however CEQA Mitigation Measures are required and are attached.

#### **Additional Studies Performed**

(Attach studies or summaries)

California Environmental Quality Act Initial Study

Home Front at Camp Anza Preliminary Grading Plan

Home Front at Camp Anza Project Specific Water Quality Management Plan Prepared by SB&O Inc., August 2013

Cultural Resources Survey, Home Front at Camp Anza/Camp Anza Officer's Club, Prepared by JMRC, June 2013

#### List of Sources, Agencies and Persons Consulted [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]

**CEQA** Guidelines

City of Riverside Building Permits

City of Riverside General Plan 2025 Program and Program EIR

City of Riverside GIS System - various information layers

City of Riverside Public Utilities (RPU) Urban Water Management Plan

FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map

HUD Guide on Environmental Review Documentation: Compliance Documentation

HUD Guidance on Screening for Statutory Compliance: Laws and Authorities Listed at 24 CFR 58.5

Programmatic Agreement between the City of Riverside and the SHPO

South Coast Air Quality Management District website, www.agmd.gov

Submitted Project Description

TDA Keeping it Clean Training Manual

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency website, www.epa.gov

Riverside Transit Agency, www.riversidetransit.com

Riverside County Airport Land Use Compatibly Plan

March Air Reserve Base/March Inland Port Comprehensive Land Use Plan (1999)

Air Installation Compatible Use Zone for Study for March Air Reserve Base (August 2005)

California EnviroStor Database <u>www.envirostor.disc.ca.gov</u>
EPA-Region 9 Superfund Site Overviews <u>http://yosemite.epa.gov/r9/sfund</u>
<u>www.hud.gov.offices/cpd/environment/review</u>
<u>www.wetlands.fws.gov</u>
<u>www.epa.gov/safewater/indes/html</u>
<u>www.rctmla.org/gis/rcipepgen.html</u>
<u>www.nps.gov/rivers</u>

### Attachment F

# County of Riverside Environmental Assessment



U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Los Angeles Field Office 611 W. 6<sup>th</sup> Street Los Angeles, CA 90017

## Environmental Assessment for HUD-funded Proposals

Recommended format per 24 CFR 58.36, revised March 2005 [Previously recommended EA formats are obsolete].

Project Identification: Home Front at Camp Anza

Preparer: Juan Garcia, Housing Specialist

Responsible Entity: County of Riverside Economic Development Agency

Month/Year: April 17, 2014

#### **Environmental Assessment**

**Responsible Entity:** 

County of Riverside Economic Development Agency

[24 CFR 58.2(a)(7)]

Certifying Officer: [24 CFR 58.2(a)(2)]

Jeff Stone, Chairman, Riverside County Board of Supervisors

**Project Name:** 

Home Front at Camp Anza

**Project Location:** 

5797 Picker Street, Riverside, CA 92503

Assessor's Parcel Numbers:

151-123-005; 151-123-006; 151-123-007; and 151-123-008;

<u>151-123-013.</u>

Estimated total project cost:

Grant Recipient: [24 CFR 58.2(a)(5)]

\$500,000 Camp Anza, L.P.

Recipient Address:

c/o Wakeland Housing Development Corporation, 1230

Columbia Street, San Diego, CA 92101

**Project Representative:** 

David Heatherington, Project Manager

**Telephone Number:** 

Phone: (619) 677-2370

Fax: (619) 677-2370 Email: dhetherington@wakelanddhdc.com

**Conditions for Approval:** (List all mitigation measures adopted by the responsible entity to eliminate or minimize adverse environmental impacts. These conditions must be included in project contracts and other relevant documents as requirements). [24 CFR 58.40(d), 40 CFR 1505.2(c)]

An Environmental Assessment and Compliance Findings for the Related Laws ("EA") was completed by the City of Riverside and approved by HUD when the applicant applied for HOME Investment Partnerships Act funds to the City of Riverside. The County of Riverside Economic Development Agency ("RE") has reviewed the EA and found that the original findings are still valid and there is no need for re-evaluation pursuant to 24 CFR Section 58.47 as:

1) There are no substantial changes in nature, magnitude or extent of the project;

2) There are no new circumstances and environmental conditions which may affect the project or have a bearing on its impact; and

3) The recipient has not proposed the selection of an alternative not in the original finding.

Additionally, the County hereby incorporates by reference, the EA completed by the City of Riverside and approved by HUD.

The project will not result in a significant impact on the quality of the human environment)

Finding of Significant Impact
(The project may significantly affect the quality of the human environment)

Preparer Signature:

Name/Title/Agency: Juan Garcia, Housing Specialist, Economic Development Agency

RE Approving Official Signature:

Name/Title/ Agency: Jeff Stone, Chairman, Riverside County Board of Supervisors

**FINDING:** [58.40(g)]

#### **Statement of Purpose and Need for the Proposal:** [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]

Camp Anza, L.P., ("Applicant"), a California limited partnership, is proposing to use up to \$500,000 in HOME funds for the development and construction of a 30-unit apartment complex and rehabilitation of the historic Camp Anza Officer's Club (Officers Club) building.

**Description of the Proposal:** Include all contemplated actions which logically are either geographically or functionally a composite part of the project, regardless of the source of funding. [24 CFR 58.32, 40 CFR 1508.25]

The proposed Project will consist of 15 two-bedroom units, and 15 three-bedroom units. The two-bedroom units are approximately 776 square-feet and the three-bedroom units rang in size from 1,054 to 1,151 square-feet. One two-bedroom unit will be set aside for a resident manager. The apartment units will be rented to low- income Veterans and their families and provide the veteran(s) and their families a variety of supportive services designed to ease the transition back into civilian life. If necessary the units will also be made available to the general population of qualified low-income residents.

A total of 11 units will be designated as HOME-assisted units limited to households whose incomes do not exceed 50% of the area median income for the County of Riverside, adjusted by family size at the time of occupancy. The HOME-assisted units will be restricted for a period of at least 55 years from the recordation of the notice of completion.

A significant component of this proposal involves the restoration and rehabilitation of a vacant historic building also known as the Officer's Club which will be renovated into a multipurpose community center for the use of residents living in the proposed Project. The Officer's Club, is a cultural resource and valuable connection demonstrating Riverside's Contribution to the World War II war effort. The goal is to preserve and enhance the integrity of the Officer's Club building and to integrate into this Project that will be serving Veterans. Mercy House, a member of the Camp Anza, L.P., will be responsible for coordinating all support services at the Project, and will staff the Project with a part to full time (depending on need). Resident Services Coordinator/Case Manager, who will work not just with veterans but with family members who provide crucial support to their returned loved ones. The manager's office and community room will be open to residents during normal business hours, as well as in the evenings and weekends when community events are held for the residents.

**Existing Conditions and Trends:** Describe the existing conditions of the project area and its surroundings, and trends likely to continue in the absence of the project. [24 CFR 58.40(a)]

The subject 2.14 acre project site is located north of Philbin Avenue, between Picker and Wohlstetter Streets. While the majority of the project site is currently vacant, the historic former Camp Anza Officer's Club occupies the northwesterly portion of the project site. Surrounding development includes single-family residences to the north and west (across Picker Street), multiple-family residences to the east (across Wohlstetter Street) and the Arlanza Branch Public Library to the south.

The Officer's Club building is currently in a state of dis-repair, having only been the recipient of stabilizing treatments over the past 20 years. In the absence of the proposed project the Officer's Club, an important cultural resource, will likely be lost due to damaged caused by frequent break-ins and transient activity. The vacant land

surrounding the structure will continue to be a magnate for trash, debris and weeds causing blight on the neighborhood and harboring criminal activity.