		NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION	LS-2
PART 2. MATTERALD A misless and colour is the majoraness of Bodon 212 of the Sandard Specifications, among as model forward that the same colours 1.1 LANGOLDER PRESSES CANAGES A Bib based minder No proceed 3.2 NOTH ALLEST WAY EXEMPLES	No. gamble, contact befolds and/or year, watche systems habbides (se monomers) CORDINATE AND CORDINA	Profession in Select. Circular the new contemp of no large and a 15 M appearant to	SENCHAWRIC
BECTOR EASH - FLAITING 14. RELATED DOCUMENTS A. This processed of the "Benderal Septemblishes for Pable Worn Controveries, (BRINC)" design extres. 13. BODDE 14. BODDE	A merit of the factor includes and make the approach, and before recentary for and factored to compating all amongs of the factored to compating a measurably studied or an emapsion of the service of t	for the all prompts cause, and its accidingly to the Operator, where it is not to be a simple cause, and it is accidingly to the Contract, and are many as a to be interest or a few contract, and are many as a to be interest or contract, and are many as a few contract, and are the season of chicago, or common parts and care the beautiful to a few contracts and and are the contracts of the contracts and and are contracts and and are contracts are members from the contracts and and are contracts and and commerce and are contracts and are the contract an	PREVIOUS BY: THE PREVIOUS BY: THE PREVIOUS CALVERSAL THE PREVIOUS CALVERS CALVERSAL THE PREVIOUS C
1 White the impation system is convisited. It was the impation system is convisited to principle and convisited the principle and convisited to the principle and the first conditions had been convisited to the best convisited for proper conversing it in additional parts.		No vising parameter but his dynatic sperior as their strained in the strained in the strained in the strained and	CASE:CUP03698 Exhibit L (Pages 1-10) DATE: 8/7/14 PLANNER: L ROSS
Course control where laptime is scording on the densitys with 1 minimum resorted of 30 tressers seek where he was a seek of the course of 30 tressers seek where the seek of the course	the Command (1/10) of	need by the property of the pr	The second secon
♣qq qqa ∃VITATN∃T	PLAN CHECK OVERSIGHT LLA / CID REGISTRATION NUMBER DATE SKONED PPROVED AS TO CONFORMANCE WITH APPLICABLE COUNTY STANDARDS AND PRACTICES (PLANNING DEPARTMENT ONLY - ON-SITE)	THE ENGINEER REGISTRATION NUMBER DATE SIGNED OND, 859 VERSION FORMANCE WITH APPLICABLE COUNTY STANDANDS AND ORITHMAN APPLICABLE COUNTY STANDANDS AND	PRACTICES (TRANSP



PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTE ORDER SEPTEMBER 17, 2014

I. AGENDA ITEM 4.5

CHANGE OF ZONE NO. 7813, CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT NO. 3698, PUBLIC USE PERMIT NO. 921, ORDINANCE NO. 664.56, DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT NO. 80 – Intent to Adopt a Mitigated Negative Declaration - Applicant: Ecos Energy, LLC – Engineer/Representative: Brad Wilson – Third/Third Supervisorial District – Winchester Zoning Area – Harvest Valley/Winchester Area Plan – Community Development: Low Density Residential (CD:LDR) (½ Acre Minimum) – Location: Northerly of Mark Trail, southerly of Sycamore Springs Road, easterly of Lynch Lane, and westerly of Sage Road – 16.43 Gross Acres – Zoning: Rural Residential – ½ Acre Minimum (R-R). (Legislative)

II. PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

Change of Zone No. 7813 proposes to change the existing zoning of the 10 acre project site from Rural Residential (R-R) to Regulated Development Areas (R-D). Conditional Use Permit No. 3698 proposes the construction and use of a 1.25 MW (AC) Photovoltaic Solar Power Plant on an Public Use Permit No. 921 proposes to connect a 1.25 MW approximately 10 acre parcel. Photovoltaic Solar Power Plant (CUP 3698) to the power grid. The power connection leaves the Solar Power Plant site and will be underground through parcels 3 and 2 of Tentative Parcel Map No. 36611, and emerges on the southeast corner of parcel 2, at which time the conduit connects to the utility pad located on parcel 2 which will be owned and operated by Southern California Edison (SCE). From the utility pad located on parcel 2, an underground cable owned and operated by SCE will cross Grand Avenue to emerge and connect to existing power poles on the eastern side of Grand Avenue. The underground conduit to be installed by the applicant will also require an encroachment permit from the County and may also require an easement from the County. The applicant has also proposed to enter into a Development Agreement (DA No. 80) with the County for the Project consistent with the County's solar power plant program. DA No. 80 has a term of thirty years and will grant the applicant vesting rights to Develop the Project in accordance with the terms of the agreement. Proposed Ordinance No. 664.56 incorporates by reference and adopts DA No. 80 consistent with Government Code Section 65867.5.

III. MEETING SUMMARY:

The following staff presented the subject proposal: Project Planner: Larry Ross at (951) 955-9294 or email linescondition-l

- Brad Wilson, applicant, 222 S. 9th St., #1600, Minneapolis, MN (612) 460-8605, spoke in favor of the proposed project.
- No one spoke in opposition or a neutral position.
- CD The entire discussion of this agenda item can be found on CD. For a copy of the CD, please contact Mary Stark, TLMA Commission Secretary, at (951) 955-7436 or email at mcstark@rctlma.org.



PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTE ORDER SEPTEMBER 17, 2014

IV. CONTROVERSIAL ISSUES:

None

V. PLANNING COMMISSION ACTION:

Public Comments: **Closed**Motion by Commissioner Petty, 2nd by Commissioner Sloman A vote of 5-0

PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDS THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS TAKE THE FOLLOWING ACTIONS:

ADOPTION of a **MITIGATED NEGATIVE**; and,

APPROVAL of CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT NO. 3698; and

APPROVAL of PUBLIC USE PERMIT NO. 921; and

APPROVAL of CHANGE OF ZONE NO. 7813; and,

INTRODUCTION and **ADOPTION** on successive weeks of **ORDINANCE NO. 664.56**.



PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Memorandum

DATE: September 17, 2014

TO: Planning Commission

FROM: Larry Ross, Principal Planner

RE: 4.5 CUP03698, etc...

- 1. Modifications to a Transportation condition in PUP00921 and modifications to a Transportation condition in CUP03698, see attached email to applicant.
- The draft Development Agreement has been finalized, no changes were made to content of the document that was provided in the PC packet, only the format. A printed a finalized copy is available if desired.

Ross, Larry

From:

Brad Wilson

brad.wilson@ecosrenewable.com>

Sent:

Monday, September 15, 2014 3:41 PM

To:

Ross, Larry

Subject:

RE: Diamond Valley CUP03698 and PUP00921

Larry, looks good and we can definitely agree to these additions. Thanks!

-Brad

Brad Wilson

(612) 460-8605

brad.wilson@ecosrenewable.com

Ecos Energy | <u>www.ecosrenewable.com</u> 222 South 9th Street, #1600 Minneapolis, MN 55402

From: Ross, Larry [mailto:LROSS@rctlma.org]
Sent: Monday, September 15, 2014 3:59 PM

To: Brad Wilson

Subject: Diamond Valley CUP03698 and PUP00921

Brad,

To make sure the project kept moving County Counsel and myself added the following conditions after a brief conversation with the Transportation Director before he left for leave. We discussed it with him this morning and he wanted additional language. The additional language is below. I will be presenting these revised conditions to the PC on Wednesday.

Original condition from PUP00921:

10.TRANS 006
GENERAL CONDITIONS

USE - ENCROACHMENT PERMIT

Status: RECOMMND Conditions: Informational

An encroachment permit must be obtained from the Transportation Department prior to the commencement of any work within the County road right-of-way.

Original condition from CUP03698:

10.TRANS 006
GENERAL CONDITIONS

USE - ENCROACHMENT PERMIT

Status: RECOMMND

Conditions: Informational

An encroachment permit must be obtained from the Transportation Department prior to the commencement of any work within the County road right-of-way.

The new condition for PUP00921:

10.TRANS 006
GENERAL CONDITIONS

USE - ENCROACHMENT PERMIT

An encroachment permit must be obtained from the Transportation Department prior to the commencement of any work within the County road right-of-way. No encroachment permit shall be issued for the placement of electrical conduit in the County road right away unless the applicant provides written confirmation from Southern California Edison that SCE will use that electrical conduit for the undergrounding of its electrical lines from the project site and all other encroachment permit requirements under RCO No. 499 have been satisfied.

The new condition for CUP03698:

10.TRANS 006
GENERAL CONDITIONS

USE - ENCROACHMENT PERMIT

An encroachment permit must be obtained from the Transportation Department prior to the commencement of any work within the County road right-of-way. No encroachment permit shall be issued for the placement of electrical conduit in the County road right away unless the applicant provides written confirmation from Southern California Edison that SCE will use that electrical conduit for the undergrounding of its electrical lines from the project site and all other encroachment permit requirements under RCO No. 499 have been satisfied.

Thank you,

Larry Ross Principal Planner Riverside County Planning Department 4080 Lemon Street, 12th Floor PO Box 1409 Riverside, CA 92502



Brad Wilson Ecos Energy, LLC 222 South 9th Street, Suite 1600 Minneapolis, MN 55402 brad.wilson@ecosrenewable.com (612) 460-8605

August 15, 2014

Juan C. Perez Interim Planning Director Riverside County 4080 Lemon Street, 12th Floor Riverside, CA 92501 jcperez@rctlma.org (951) 955-6740

Re: Building Permit issuance for CUP03698 - Diamond Valley Solar Project

Dear Juan:

Last year, Ecos Energy LLC ("Ecos") submitted a set of land use applications to Riverside County for our Diamond Valley Solar Project (the "Project"). The applications were CUP03698, PUP00921, PM36611, CZ07813, and DA0080. PM36611 has already been approved, and the other applications are scheduled to be heard by the Planning Commission on September 17, 2014.

The Project will require a Development Agreement, which is expected to be adopted by the Board of Supervisors at their last meeting in October (October 28, 2014). I understand that there is a mandatory 30 day waiting period after adoption of a Development Agreement by the Board of Supervisors before it is formally recorded. However, because of looming utility deadlines, we are interested in beginning construction of the Project as soon as the Development Agreement is approved by the Board of Supervisors on October 28.

We have prepared a comprehensive building permit package for the Project and will be submitting the building permit application this week for concurrent processing with the CUP application. This with the goal that the construction plans will have made it through corrections and plan check before October 28. Assuming that the building permit plan check is complete by October 28, and assuming that the Planning Commission approves our applications on September 17, and assuming that the Board of Supervisors approves the Development Agreement on October 28, Ecos would like to request that we begin construction of the Project directly following that October 28 approval.

In this scenario, Ecos understands and assumes all risk that the Development Agreement could be challenged and possibly overturned during the 30 day period following October 28. Ecos does not believe this is likely, but understands that a risk does exist. If the Development Agreement were disrupted during this 30 day period, Ecos understands that the building permit could not be finalized, and no certificate of occupancy given, and that any construction work or equipment installation performed during those 30 days would have to be undone/removed/uninstalled. Ecos acknowledges that it is our decision to proceed in this fashion and that Riverside County is not liable for such a result.



Ecos is choosing to proceed this way because we feel there is low risk that an approved Development Agreement for the Project would be challenged and overturned and because waiting for the 30 day period would introduce extremely challenging construction timeline restrictions.

Please let me know if Ecos can plan to proceed in this fashion, or if there is any other information you need from me before sending the requested approval.

Thanks and Sincerely,

Brad Wilson

Project Developer - Ecos Energy, LLC

cc: Larry Ross

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM: INITIAL STUDY

Environmental Assessment (E.A.) Number: 42716

Project Case Type (s) and Number(s): Change of Zone No. 7813, Conditional Use Permit No.

3698, Public Use Permit No. 921, and Development Agreement No. 80

Lead Agency Name: County of Riverside Planning Department

Address: P.O. Box 1409, Riverside, CA 92502-1409

Contact Person: Larry Ross

Telephone Number: (951) 955-9294 **Applicant's Name:** Brad Wilson

Applicant's Address: Ecos Energy, LLC, 222 South 9th Street, Suite 1600, Minneapolis, MN 55402

Engineer's Name: Ecos Energy

Engineer's Address: 222 South 9th Street, Suite 1600, Minneapolis, MN 55402

I. PROJECT INFORMATION

A. Project Description:

The Diamond Valley Solar Project is a solar power plant project that it is comprised of the following land use cases:

Change of Zone

Change of Zone No. 7813 proposes to change the existing zoning of the 10 acre project site from Rural Residential (R-R) to Regulated Development Areas (R-D).

Conditional Use Permit

Conditional Use Permit No. 3698 proposes the construction and use of a 1.25 MW (AC) Photovoltaic Solar Power Plant on an approximately 10 acre parcel.

Public Use Permit

Public Use Permit No. 921 proposes to connect a 1.25 MW Photovoltaic Solar Power Plant(CUP03698) to the power grid. The power connection leaves the Solar Power Plant site and will be undergrounded through parcels 3 and 2 of tentative Parcel Map No. 36611, and emerges on the south-east corner of parcel 2, at which time the conduit connects to utility pad located on parcel 2 which will be owned and operated by Southern California Edison(SCE). From the utility pad located on parcel 2, an underground cable owned and operated by SCE will cross Grand Avenue to emerge to connect to existing power poles on the eastern side of Grand Avenue. The underground conduit to be installed by the applicant will also require an encroachment permit from the County and may also require an easement from the County.

Development Agreement

The applicant has proposed entering into a Development Agreement (DA No. 80) with the County for the Project. County staff has reached an agreement with the applicant on the provisions of the development agreement. DA No. 80 has a term of 30 years and will grant the applicant vesting rights to develop the Project in accordance with the terms of the agreement. DA No. 80 contains terms requiring the applicant to take actions to ensure allocation directly to the County of the sales and use taxes payable in connection with the construction of the solar power plant, to the maximum extent possible under the law, which is a public benefit for the County. DA No. 80 also contains an agreement between the parties with regard to the computation of development impact fees using the surface mining fee category on a Project Area basis as set forth in Section 13 of Ordinance No. 659. Approval and use of Conditional

EA No. 42716

Use Permit No. 3698 is conditioned upon Development Agreement No. 80 being entered into and effective.

Per State law, a development agreement is a legislative act which must be approved by ordinance. Proposed Ordinance No. 664.56, an Ordinance of the County of Riverside Approving Development Agreement No. 80, incorporates by reference and adopts DA No. 80 consistent with Government Code section 65867.5.

B. Type of Project: Site Specific ⊠; Countywide □; Community : Policy :

C. Total Project Area: 10 Gross Acres

Residential Acres: Lots: Units: Commercial Acres: Lots: Sq. Ft. of Bldg. Area: Industrial Acres: 10 Lots:

Est. No. of Employees: Sq. Ft. of Bldg. Area: Est. No. of Employees:

Projected No. of Residents:

Other: N/A

D. Assessor's Parcel No(s): a 10 acre portion of 463-040-018

E. Street References: Southerly of Grand Ave, easterly Patterson Ave, and the westerly side of Oxbow Drive.

- F. Section, Township & Range Description or reference/attach a Legal Description: Township 5 South, Range 2 West, Section 27
- G. Brief description of the existing environmental setting of the project site and its surroundings: The project site is an existing 15.42-acre (gross) parcel. The site is currently flat and open and is being using for pasture/grazing purposes. There is an existing residence at the northwestern corner of the property. The project is located in a rural portion of unincorporated Riverside County. The project site is surrounded on all sides by rural residential development on large lots. Much of the land immediately adjoining the project site is undeveloped. A right-of-way for the Santa Fe railroad is located directly south of the project site. The community of Winchester is located approximately one-half mile west of the project site. Diamond Valley Lake is located approximately 1.5 miles southeast of the site. The nearest school is Winchester Elementary School, located approximately 0.8 mile southwest of the site. The nearest airport, Ryan Field, a public use airport, is approximately 2.7 miles northeast of the project site in the City of Hemet.

APPLICABLE GENERAL PLAN AND ZONING REGULATIONS 11.

A. General Plan Elements/Policies:

- 1. Land Use: The land use designation at the proposed project site is Community Development: Low Density Residential (CD:LDR) (1/2 Acre Minimum). The proposed project is located within the Highway 79 Policy area which requires a maximum density of midpoint of the existing designation minus 9%. The proposed project meets the requirements of this Policy Area and all other applicable policies.
- 2. Circulation: Adequate circulation facilities exist and are proposed to serve the project. The proposed project meets with all applicable circulation policies of the General Plan.
- 3. Multipurpose Open Space: No natural open space land was required to be preserved within the boundaries of this project. The proposed project meets with all other applicable Multipurpose Open Space element policies.

- 4. Safety: The proposed project is not within a high fire hazard area. The proposed project is not located within any other special hazard zone (including fault zone, high liquefaction, dam inundation zone, etc.). The proposed project has allowed for sufficient provision of emergency response services to the future users of this project through the project design and payment of development impact fees. The proposed project meets with all other applicable Safety Element policies.
- **5. Noise:** Sufficient mitigation against any foreseeable noise sources in the area have been provided for in the design of the project. The project will not generate noise levels in excess of standards established in the General Plan or noise ordinance. The project meets all other applicable Noise Element Policies.
- **6.** Housing: The proposed project meets all applicable Housing Element Policies.
- 7. Air Quality: The proposed project has been conditioned to control any fugitive dust during grading and construction activities. The proposed project meets all other applicable Air Quality element policies.
- B. General Plan Area Plan(s): Harvest Valley/Winchester
- C. Foundation Component(s): Community Development
- **D.** Land Use Designation(s): Low Density Residential (1/2 acre minimum)
- E. Overlay(s), if any: Not Applicable
- F. Policy Area(s), if any: Highway 79 Policy Area
- G. Adjacent and Surrounding Area Plan(s), Foundation Component(s), Land Use Designation(s), and Overlay(s) and Policy Area(s), if any: The project site is surrounded to the east, west and south by properties which are designated Community Development: Low Density Residential (1/2 acre minimum), and Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (2 acre minimum). The project site is completely surrounded by the Highway 79 policy area.
- H. Adopted Specific Plan Information
 - 1. Name and Number of Specific Plan, if any: Not Applicable
 - 2. Specific Plan Planning Area, and Policies, if any: Not Applicable
- I. Existing Zoning: Rural Residential (R-R)
- J. Proposed Zoning, if any: Regulated Development Areas (R-D)
- K. Adjacent and Surrounding Zoning: The project site is surrounded by properties which are zoned Rural Residential (R-R) to the north, east, and west and Mobile Home Subdivision Rural 2 ½ acre minimum (R-T-R 2 1/2) to the south.
- III. ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED

The environmental factors checked below (x) would be potential at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" or "L Incorporated" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.	
Acathotics	als Describes
☐ Aesthetics ☐ Hazards & Hazardous Materi	
☐ Agriculture & Forest Resources ☐ Hydrology / Water Quality	☐ Transportation / Traffic
☐ Air Quality ☐ Land Use / Planning	Utilities / Service Systems
☐ Biological Resources ☐ Mineral Resources	Other:
☐ Cultural Resources ☐ Noise	Other:
Geology / Soils Population / Housing	
☐ Greenhouse Gas Emissions ☐ Public Services	Significance
IV. DETERMINATION	
On the basis of this initial evaluation:	
A PREVIOUS ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/NEGAT PREPARED	
☐ I find that the proposed project COULD NOT have a significa NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.	
☐ I find that although the proposed project could have a signification	
will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the	
have been made or agreed to by the project proponent. A MITIG	ATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION
will be prepared.	effect on the conjugate and an
I find that the proposed project MAY have a significant e ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required.	effect on the environment, and an
TENVIRUNIMENTAL IMPACT REPURT IS TRUMPED	
Entertainment that the regards.	
	DECLARATION WAS PREPARED
A PREVIOUS ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/NEGATIVE I find that although the proposed project could have a significant significan	
A PREVIOUS ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/NEGATIVE	cant effect on the environment, NO
A PREVIOUS ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/NEGATIVE I find that although the proposed project could have a signifi NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED be effects of the proposed project have been adequately analyzed.	cant effect on the environment, NO ecause (a) all potentially significant ed in an earlier EIR or Negative
A PREVIOUS ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/NEGATIVE I find that although the proposed project could have a signifine NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED be effects of the proposed project have been adequately analyzed Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards, (b) all potential	cant effect on the environment, NO ecause (a) all potentially significant ed in an earlier EIR or Negative ly significant effects of the proposed
A PREVIOUS ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/NEGATIVE I find that although the proposed project could have a signifine NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED be effects of the proposed project have been adequately analyzed Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards, (b) all potential project have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier Expressions.	cant effect on the environment, NO ecause (a) all potentially significant ed in an earlier EIR or Negative ly significant effects of the proposed EIR or Negative Declaration, (c) the
A PREVIOUS ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/NEGATIVE I find that although the proposed project could have a signifine NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED be effects of the proposed project have been adequately analyzed Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards, (b) all potential project have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier Expreposed project will not result in any new significant environment.	cant effect on the environment, NO ecause (a) all potentially significant ed in an earlier EIR or Negative ly significant effects of the proposed EIR or Negative Declaration, (c) the al effects not identified in the earlier
A PREVIOUS ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/NEGATIVE I find that although the proposed project could have a signifine NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED be effects of the proposed project have been adequately analyzed Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards, (b) all potential project have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier Expreposed project will not result in any new significant environment. EIR or Negative Declaration, (d) the proposed project will not substitute.	cant effect on the environment, NO ecause (a) all potentially significant ed in an earlier EIR or Negative ly significant effects of the proposed EIR or Negative Declaration, (c) the al effects not identified in the earlier stantially increase the severity of the
A PREVIOUS ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/NEGATIVE I find that although the proposed project could have a signifine NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED be effects of the proposed project have been adequately analyzed Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards, (b) all potential project have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier Exproposed project will not result in any new significant environmental EIR or Negative Declaration, (d) the proposed project will not subsequently in the earlier EIR or Negative Declaration.	cant effect on the environment, NO ecause (a) all potentially significant ed in an earlier EIR or Negative ly significant effects of the proposed EIR or Negative Declaration, (c) the all effects not identified in the earlier stantially increase the severity of the earation, (e) no considerably different
A PREVIOUS ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/NEGATIVE I find that although the proposed project could have a signifine NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED be effects of the proposed project have been adequately analyzed Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards, (b) all potential project have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier Exproposed project will not result in any new significant environmental EIR or Negative Declaration, (d) the proposed project will not subsequently in the earlier EIR or Negative Declaration measures have been identified and (f) no mitigation	cant effect on the environment, NO ecause (a) all potentially significant ed in an earlier EIR or Negative ly significant effects of the proposed EIR or Negative Declaration, (c) the all effects not identified in the earlier stantially increase the severity of the earation, (e) no considerably different
A PREVIOUS ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/NEGATIVE I find that although the proposed project could have a signifine NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED be effects of the proposed project have been adequately analyzed Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards, (b) all potential project have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier Exproposed project will not result in any new significant environmental EIR or Negative Declaration, (d) the proposed project will not subsequently in the earlier EIR or Negative Declaration measures have been identified and (f) no mitigation become feasible.	cant effect on the environment, NO ecause (a) all potentially significant ed in an earlier EIR or Negative ly significant effects of the proposed EIR or Negative Declaration, (c) the al effects not identified in the earlier stantially increase the severity of the earation, (e) no considerably different in measures found infeasible have
A PREVIOUS ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/NEGATIVE I find that although the proposed project could have a signifine NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED be effects of the proposed project have been adequately analyzed Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards, (b) all potential project have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier Exproposed project will not result in any new significant environmental EIR or Negative Declaration, (d) the proposed project will not subsequently in the earlier EIR or Negative Declaration measures have been identified and (f) no mitigation become feasible. I find that although all potentially significant effects have been	cant effect on the environment, NO ecause (a) all potentially significant ed in an earlier EIR or Negative ly significant effects of the proposed EIR or Negative Declaration, (c) the all effects not identified in the earlier stantially increase the severity of the aration, (e) no considerably different in measures found infeasible have in adequately analyzed in an earlier
A PREVIOUS ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/NEGATIVE I find that although the proposed project could have a signifine NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED be effects of the proposed project have been adequately analyzed Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards, (b) all potential project have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier Exproposed project will not result in any new significant environmental EIR or Negative Declaration, (d) the proposed project will not substanting environmental effects identified in the earlier EIR or Negative Declaration measures have been identified and (f) no mitigation become feasible. I find that although all potentially significant effects have been EIR or Negative Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards.	cant effect on the environment, NO ecause (a) all potentially significant ed in an earlier EIR or Negative ly significant effects of the proposed EIR or Negative Declaration, (c) the al effects not identified in the earlier stantially increase the severity of the aration, (e) no considerably different in measures found infeasible have in adequately analyzed in an earlier ds, some changes or additions are
A PREVIOUS ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/NEGATIVE I find that although the proposed project could have a signifin NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED be effects of the proposed project have been adequately analyzed Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards, (b) all potential project have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier Exproposed project will not result in any new significant environmental EIR or Negative Declaration, (d) the proposed project will not substantingation measures have been identified and (f) no mitigation become feasible. I find that although all potentially significant effects have been EIR or Negative Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standar necessary but none of the conditions described in California Conditions.	cant effect on the environment, NO ecause (a) all potentially significant ed in an earlier EIR or Negative ly significant effects of the proposed EIR or Negative Declaration, (c) the all effects not identified in the earlier stantially increase the severity of the earation, (e) no considerably different in measures found infeasible have in adequately analyzed in an earlier ds, some changes or additions are ode of Regulations, Section 15162
A PREVIOUS ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/NEGATIVE I find that although the proposed project could have a signifine NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED be effects of the proposed project have been adequately analyzed Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards, (b) all potential project have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier Exproposed project will not result in any new significant environmental EIR or Negative Declaration, (d) the proposed project will not substantingation measures have been identified and (f) no mitigation become feasible. I find that although all potentially significant effects have been EIR or Negative Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standard necessary but none of the conditions described in California Colexist. An ADDENDUM to a previously-certified EIR or Negative	cant effect on the environment, NO ecause (a) all potentially significant ed in an earlier EIR or Negative ly significant effects of the proposed EIR or Negative Declaration, (c) the all effects not identified in the earlier stantially increase the severity of the earation, (e) no considerably different in measures found infeasible have in adequately analyzed in an earlier ds, some changes or additions are ode of Regulations, Section 15162
A PREVIOUS ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/NEGATIVE I find that although the proposed project could have a signifine NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED be effects of the proposed project have been adequately analyzed Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards, (b) all potentially project have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier Exproposed project will not result in any new significant environment. EIR or Negative Declaration, (d) the proposed project will not substantingation measures have been identified and (f) no mitigation become feasible. I find that although all potentially significant effects have been EIR or Negative Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standard necessary but none of the conditions described in California Context. An ADDENDUM to a previously-certified EIR or Negative will be considered by the approving body or bodies.	cant effect on the environment, NO ecause (a) all potentially significant ed in an earlier EIR or Negative ly significant effects of the proposed EIR or Negative Declaration, (c) the all effects not identified in the earlier stantially increase the severity of the aration, (e) no considerably different in measures found infeasible have in adequately analyzed in an earlier ds, some changes or additions are ode of Regulations, Section 15162 Declaration has been prepared and
A PREVIOUS ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/NEGATIVE I find that although the proposed project could have a signifi NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED be effects of the proposed project have been adequately analyzed Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards, (b) all potential project have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier Exproposed project will not result in any new significant environmentale. It is not negative Declaration, (d) the proposed project will not substanting environmental effects identified in the earlier EIR or Negative Declaration measures have been identified and (f) no mitigation become feasible. I find that although all potentially significant effects have been EIR or Negative Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standar necessary but none of the conditions described in California Context. An ADDENDUM to a previously-certified EIR or Negative will be considered by the approving body or bodies. I find that at least one of the conditions described in California Context. Significant effects have been because the conditions described in California Context. An ADDENDUM to a previously-certified EIR or Negative will be considered by the approving body or bodies.	cant effect on the environment, NO ecause (a) all potentially significant ed in an earlier EIR or Negative ly significant effects of the proposed EIR or Negative Declaration, (c) the all effects not identified in the earlier stantially increase the severity of the earation, (e) no considerably different in measures found infeasible have in adequately analyzed in an earlier eds, some changes or additions are ode of Regulations, Section 15162 Declaration has been prepared and ornia Code of Regulations, Section are necessary to make the previous
A PREVIOUS ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/NEGATIVE I find that although the proposed project could have a signifi NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED be effects of the proposed project have been adequately analyzed Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards, (b) all potential project have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier Exproposed project will not result in any new significant environments. EIR or Negative Declaration, (d) the proposed project will not substanting environmental effects identified in the earlier EIR or Negative Declaration measures have been identified and (f) no mitigation become feasible. I find that although all potentially significant effects have been EIR or Negative Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standar necessary but none of the conditions described in California Context. An ADDENDUM to a previously-certified EIR or Negative will be considered by the approving body or bodies. I find that at least one of the conditions described in California Context. In the changed situation; the change of the chan	cant effect on the environment, NO ecause (a) all potentially significant ed in an earlier EIR or Negative by significant effects of the proposed EIR or Negative Declaration, (c) the all effects not identified in the earlier stantially increase the severity of the earation, (e) no considerably different in measures found infeasible have in adequately analyzed in an earlier ds, some changes or additions are ode of Regulations, Section 15162 Declaration has been prepared and cornia Code of Regulations, Section are necessary to make the previous herefore a SUPPLEMENT TO THE
A PREVIOUS ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/NEGATIVE I find that although the proposed project could have a significated proposed project could have a significated proposed of the proposed project have been adequately analyzed Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards, (b) all potential project have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier Exproposed project will not result in any new significant environment. EIR or Negative Declaration, (d) the proposed project will not substanting environmental effects identified in the earlier EIR or Negative Declaration measures have been identified and (f) no mitigation become feasible. I find that although all potentially significant effects have been EIR or Negative Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standar necessary but none of the conditions described in California Context. An ADDENDUM to a previously-certified EIR or Negative will be considered by the approving body or bodies. I find that at least one of the conditions described in California Context. An ADDENDUM to a previously-certified EIR or Negative will be considered by the approving body or bodies. I find that at least one of the conditions described in California Context. An ADDENDUM to the project in the changed situation; the ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required that need only of the ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required that need only of the project.	cant effect on the environment, NO ecause (a) all potentially significant ed in an earlier EIR or Negative by significant effects of the proposed EIR or Negative Declaration, (c) the all effects not identified in the earlier stantially increase the severity of the earation, (e) no considerably different in measures found infeasible have in adequately analyzed in an earlier ds, some changes or additions are ode of Regulations, Section 15162 Declaration has been prepared and cornia Code of Regulations, Section are necessary to make the previous herefore a SUPPLEMENT TO THE
A PREVIOUS ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/NEGATIVE I find that although the proposed project could have a significate signification of the proposed project have been adequately analyzed peclaration pursuant to applicable legal standards, (b) all potential project have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier Exproposed project will not result in any new significant environments. EIR or Negative Declaration, (d) the proposed project will not substanting environmental effects identified in the earlier EIR or Negative Declaration measures have been identified and (f) no mitigation become feasible. I find that although all potentially significant effects have been EIR or Negative Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standar necessary but none of the conditions described in California Context. An ADDENDUM to a previously-certified EIR or Negative will be considered by the approving body or bodies. I find that at least one of the conditions described in California Context. An ADDENDUM to a previously-certified EIR or Negative will be considered by the approving body or bodies. I find that at least one of the conditions described in California Context. An ADDENDUM to a previously-certified EIR or Negative will be considered by the approving body or bodies. I find that at least one of the conditions described in California Context. An ADDENDUM to the project in the changed situation; the ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required that need only of make the previous EIR adequate for the project as revised.	cant effect on the environment, NO ecause (a) all potentially significant ed in an earlier EIR or Negative ly significant effects of the proposed EIR or Negative Declaration, (c) the all effects not identified in the earlier stantially increase the severity of the eration, (e) no considerably different in measures found infeasible have in adequately analyzed in an earlier ds, some changes or additions are ode of Regulations, Section 15162 Declaration has been prepared and cornia Code of Regulations, Section are necessary to make the previous herefore a SUPPLEMENT TO THE contain the information necessary to
A PREVIOUS ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/NEGATIVE I find that although the proposed project could have a signifi NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED be effects of the proposed project have been adequately analyzed Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards, (b) all potential project have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier Exproposed project will not result in any new significant environments. EIR or Negative Declaration, (d) the proposed project will not substanting environmental effects identified in the earlier EIR or Negative Declaration measures have been identified and (f) no mitigation become feasible. I find that although all potentially significant effects have been EIR or Negative Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standarn necessary but none of the conditions described in California Coexist. An ADDENDUM to a previously-certified EIR or Negative will be considered by the approving body or bodies. I find that at least one of the conditions described in California Coexist, but I further find that only minor additions or changes EIR adequately apply to the project in the changed situation; the ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required that need only of make the previous EIR adequate for the project as revised. I find that at least one of the following conditions described.	cant effect on the environment, NO ecause (a) all potentially significant ed in an earlier EIR or Negative ly significant effects of the proposed EIR or Negative Declaration, (c) the all effects not identified in the earlier stantially increase the severity of the earation, (e) no considerably different in measures found infeasible have in adequately analyzed in an earlier eds, some changes or additions are edde of Regulations, Section 15162 Declaration has been prepared and cornia Code of Regulations, Section are necessary to make the previous herefore a SUPPLEMENT TO THE contain the information necessary to din California Code of Regulations,
A PREVIOUS ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/NEGATIVE I find that although the proposed project could have a signifine NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED be effects of the proposed project have been adequately analyzed Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards, (b) all potential project have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier Exproposed project will not result in any new significant environment EIR or Negative Declaration, (d) the proposed project will not substantiated in the earlier EIR or Negative Declaration measures have been identified and (f) no mitigation become feasible. I find that although all potentially significant effects have been EIR or Negative Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standar necessary but none of the conditions described in California Context. An ADDENDUM to a previously-certified EIR or Negative will be considered by the approving body or bodies. I find that at least one of the conditions described in California Context. Such as a provious of the conditions	cant effect on the environment, NO cause (a) all potentially significant ed in an earlier EIR or Negative ly significant effects of the proposed EIR or Negative Declaration, (c) the all effects not identified in the earlier stantially increase the severity of the aration, (e) no considerably different in measures found infeasible have in adequately analyzed in an earlier ds, some changes or additions are ode of Regulations, Section 15162 Declaration has been prepared and cornia Code of Regulations, Section are necessary to make the previous herefore a SUPPLEMENT TO THE contain the information necessary to din California Code of Regulations, IMPACT REPORT is required: (1)
A PREVIOUS ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/NEGATIVE I find that although the proposed project could have a signifi NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED be effects of the proposed project have been adequately analyzed Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards, (b) all potential project have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier Exproposed project will not result in any new significant environments. EIR or Negative Declaration, (d) the proposed project will not substanting environmental effects identified in the earlier EIR or Negative Declaration measures have been identified and (f) no mitigation become feasible. I find that although all potentially significant effects have been EIR or Negative Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standarn necessary but none of the conditions described in California Coexist. An ADDENDUM to a previously-certified EIR or Negative will be considered by the approving body or bodies. I find that at least one of the conditions described in California Coexist, but I further find that only minor additions or changes EIR adequately apply to the project in the changed situation; the ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT is required that need only of make the previous EIR adequate for the project as revised. I find that at least one of the following conditions described.	cant effect on the environment, NO ecause (a) all potentially significant ed in an earlier EIR or Negative ly significant effects of the proposed EIR or Negative Declaration, (c) the all effects not identified in the earlier stantially increase the severity of the aration, (e) no considerably different in measures found infeasible have in adequately analyzed in an earlier ds, some changes or additions are ode of Regulations, Section 15162 Declaration has been prepared and cornia Code of Regulations, Section are necessary to make the previous herefore a SUPPLEMENT TO THE contain the information necessary to din California Code of Regulations, IMPACT REPORT is required: (1) major revisions of the previous EIR

occurred with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken which will require major revisions of the previous EIR or negative declaration due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects; or (3) New information of substantial importance, which was not known and could not have been known with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time the previous EIR was certified as complete or the negative declaration was adopted, shows any the following:(A) The project will have one or more significant effects not discussed in the previous EIR or negative declaration;(B) Significant effects previously examined will be substantially more severe than shown in the previous EIR or negative declaration;(C) Mitigation measures or alternatives previously found not to be feasible would in fact be feasible, and would substantially reduce one or more significant effects of the project, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measures or alternatives; or,(D) Mitigation measures or alternatives which are considerably different from those analyzed in the previous EIR or negative declaration would substantially reduce one or more significant effects of the project on the environment, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measures or alternatives.

		V	_ k
di-	1	. 4	I
Sig	ma	มน	re

July 25, 2014

Date

Larry Ross, Principal Planner

For Juan C. Perez, Interim Director

Printed Name

V. ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES ASSESSMENT

In accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (Public Resources Code Section 21000-21178.1), this Initial Study has been prepared to analyze the proposed project to determine any potential significant impacts upon the environment that would result from construction and implementation of the project. In accordance with California Code of Regulations, Section 15063, this Initial Study is a preliminary analysis prepared by the Lead Agency, the County of Riverside, in consultation with other jurisdictional agencies, to determine whether a Negative Declaration, Mitigated Negative Declaration, or an Environmental Impact Report is required for the proposed project. The purpose of this Initial Study is to inform the decision-makers, affected agencies, and the public of potential environmental impacts associated with the implementation of the proposed project.

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
AESTHETICS Would the project				
 Scenic Resources a) Have a substantial effect upon a scenic highway corridor within which it is located? 				
b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings and unique or landmark features; obstruct any prominent scenic vista or view open to the public; or result in the creation of an aesthetically offensive site open to public view?			\boxtimes	
Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure C-9 "Scenic I	Highways"			
Findings of Fact:				
a) The General Plan indicates that the project is not located vaccorridor; therefore, the project will have no significant impact.	within or vis	sible from a c	lesignated	scenic
b) The Harvest Valley/Winchester Area Plan, which encompa features in the area. Most of the features identified in the area site and would not be affected by project implementation. The Valley Lake, which is located approximately 1.5 miles souther located approximately 1.5 miles northwest of the site.	a plan are lo e nearest si	ocated distanuch feature is	t from the the the Diamo	oroject and
The project site is located in a topographically flat area Development of the project site would not affect views of so Diamond Valley Lake is not visible from locations to the nort Butte is not visible from locations to the south of the project so not result in the creation of an aesthetically offensive site oper than significant.	cenic resou h of the prosite. There	rces in the poject site and fore, the pro	oroject vicion views of E posed proje	nity as Double ect will
Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.				
Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.				
2. Mt. Palomar Observatory				

Page 6 of 40

EA No. 42716

a) Interfere with the nighttime use of the Mt. Palomar Observatory, as protected through Riverside County Ordinance No. 655?		- 11 -	
Source: GIS database, Ord. No. 655 (Regulating Light Pollut	ion)		
Findings of Fact:			
a) The project site is located 27.22 miles away from the Mt. F designated 45-mile (ZONE B) Special Lighting Area that su Ordinance No. 655 requires methods of installation, definitishielding, prohibition and exceptions. With incorporation of Riverside County Ordinance No. 655 into the proposed projethan significant impact. A condition of approval has been place permit issuance stage requiring all lighting must comply with lightinance No. 655.(COA 80.PLANNING.23) This is a standard mitigation pursuant to CEQA.	urrounds the Mt. P ion, requirements f of project lighting ect, this impact will sed on the project a ghting requirements	alomar Obsertion lamp sour- requirements be reduced to the prior to be of Riverside	rvatory. ce and of the a less building County
Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.			
Monitoring: No mitigation measures are required.			
 3. Other Lighting Issues a) Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area? b) Expose residential property to unacceptable light levels? 			
Source: On-site Inspection, Project Application Description			
Findings of Fact:			
a) The proposed project does not include any new lighting composed of materials with the potential to produce glare. As solar panels would be mounted at a fixed tilt of 25 degrees directly south of the project site consist of a railroad right-of-w. The nearest road to the south of the project site is Simpson F the site boundary. At this distance, glare from the proposed affect drivers on this road. Therefore, impacts would be less the	discussed in the property in a southward or way, beyond which Road, approximately solar panels would	oject descripti ientation. Lan lies agricultura y 1,400 feet s	on, the d uses al land. outh of
 b) The project is not anticipated to expose adjacent residential and therefore impacts would be less than significant. 	al properties to unac	ceptable light	levels,
Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.			
Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.			
Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required. AGRICULTURE & FOREST RESOURCES Would the project		<u> </u>	_

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland) as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?			i,	
b) Conflict with existing agricultural zoning, agricultural use or with land subject to a Williamson Act contract or land within a Riverside County Agricultural Preserve?				
c) Cause development of non-agricultural uses within 300 feet of agriculturally zoned property (Ordinance No. 625 "Right-to-Farm")?				
d) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use?				

<u>Source:</u> Riverside County General Plan Figure OS-2 "Agricultural Resources," GIS database, Ord. No. 509 (Agricultural Preserves), and Project Application Materials.

Findings of Fact:

- a) The entire project site is designated as Farmland of Local Importance on the most recent map prepared by the California Department of Conservation's Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program. Therefore, the project would convert 15.42 acres of Farmland of Local Importance to nonagricultural use. No Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance would be affected by project implementation. Riverside County currently includes 229,877 acres of land designated as Farmland of Local Importance. The loss of 15.42 acres would not substantially reduce the amount of such farmland available in the County. Impacts would be less than significant.
- b) The project is not located within an Agricultural Preserve or under a Williamson Act contract; therefore, no impact will occur as a result of the proposed project.
- c) The project site is not surrounded by agriculturally zoned land (A-1, A-2, A-P, A-D, & C/V). Therefore, the project will not cause development of a non-agricultural use within 300 feet of agriculturally zoned property.
- d) The project will not involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
5. Forest			_	
a) Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Govt. Code section 51104(g))?				
b) Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?				\boxtimes
c) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of forest land to non-forest use?				
Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure OS-3 "Par Project Application Materials. Findings of Fact:	ks, Forests	and Recrea	ation Areas	s," and
a) The project is not located within the boundaries of a fore Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Publ timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Govern proposed project will not impact land designated as forest Timberland Production.	ic Resourd	ces Code s tion 51104(g	ection 452 j)). Therefo	(6), or re, the
b) The project is not located within forest land and will a conversion of forest land to non-forest use; therefore, no imp project.				
c) The project will not involve other changes in the existing en nature, could result in conversion of forest land to non-forest		which, due t	o their loca	tion or
Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.				
Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.				
AIR QUALITY Would the project		***************************************		
6. Air Quality Impacts a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the			\boxtimes	
applicable air quality plan? b) Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?			\boxtimes	
c) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?				
d) Expose sensitive receptors which are located within 1 mile of the project site to project substantial point source emissions?				\boxtimes
Page 0 of 40			A No. 4271	16

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
e) Involve the construction of a sensitive receptor located within one mile of an existing substantial point source emitter?				
f) Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?		- , 🗆		\boxtimes

Source: SCAQMD CEQA Air Quality Handbook

<u>Findings of Fact:</u> CEQA Guidelines indicate that a project will significantly impact air quality if the project violates any ambient air quality standard, contributes substantially to an existing air quality violation, or exposes sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations.

- a) The project site is located in the South Coast Air Basin (SCAB). The South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) Governing Board adopted its most recent Air Quality Management Plan (AQMP) for the SCAB on August 1, 2003. The AQMP is a plan for the regional improvement of air quality. As part of adoption of the County's General Plan in 2003, the General Plan EIR (SCH No. 2002051143) analyzed the General Plan growth projections for consistency with the AQMP and concluded that the General Plan is consistent with the SCAQMD's AQMP. The project is consistent with the County General Plan and would therefore be consistent with the SCAQMD's AQMP.
- b-c) The South Coast Air Basin (SCAB) is in a non-attainment status for federal ozone standards, federal carbon monoxide standards, and state and federal particulate matter standards. Any development in the SCAB, including the proposed Project, would cumulatively contribute to these pollutant violations.

The project is consistent with the General Plan and the Harvest Valley/ Winchester Area Plan land use designations. The General Plan (2003) is a policy document that reflects the County's vision for the future of Riverside County. The General Plan is organized into eight separate elements, including an Air Quality Element. The purpose of the Air Quality Element is to protect County residents from the harmful effects of poor air quality. The Air Quality Element identifies goals, policies, and programs that are meant to balance actions regarding land use, circulation, and other issues with their potential effects on air quality. The Air Quality Element, in conjunction with local and regional air quality planning efforts, addresses ambient air quality standards set forth by the Federal Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the California Air Resources Board (CARB). Potential air quality impacts resulting from the proposed Project would not exceed emissions projected by the Air Quality Element. The County is charged with implementing the policies in the General Plan Air Quality Element, which are focused on reducing concentrations of criteria pollutants, reducing negative impacts to sensitive receptors, reducing mobile and stationary pollutant sources, increasing energy conservation and efficiency, improving the jobs to housing balance, and facilitating multi-jurisdictional coordination for the improvement of air quality.

Implementation of the project would not impact air quality beyond the levels documented in EIR No. 441 prepared for the General Plan. The project would impact air quality in the short-term during construction and in the long-term through operation. In accordance with standard county requirements, dust control measures and maintenance of construction equipment shall be utilized on the property to limit the amount of particulate matter generated. These are standard requirements and are not considered mitigation pursuant to CEQA.

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
The proposed project would primarily impact air quality the Projects of this type do not generate enough traffic and assostandards or contribute enough air pollutants to be considered impact. Therefore, the impacts to air quality are considered legislation.	ociated air d a cumula	pollutants to	o violate cle	ean air
d) A sensitive receptor is a person in the population who is due to exposure to an air contaminant than is the population facilities that house them) in proximity to localized CO source particular concern. High levels of CO are associated with maj major intersections, and toxic air contaminants are normal commercial operations. Land uses considered to be sensitive facilities, rehabilitation centers, convalescent centers, replaygrounds, child care centers, and athletic facilities. Sure which is considered a sensitive receptor, however, the project point source emissions. The project will not include commer significant odors.	n at large. s, toxic air or traffic so illy associa receptors tirement h rounding la t is not exp	Sensitive re contaminant ources, such ated with m include long nomes, resident and uses in pected to ge	eceptors (a lts or odors as freeway anufacturing-term healt dences, so clude resionerate subs	nd the are of ys and g and h care chools, dential, stantial
e) The project will not create sensitive receptors located will point source emitter.	thin one m	nile of an ex	kisting subs	stantial
f) The project will not create objectionable odors affecting a su	ıbstantial n	umber of pe	ople.	
Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.				
Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.				
BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES Would the project				
7. Wildlife & Vegetation a) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Conservation Community Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state conservation plan?				
b) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any endangered, or threatened species, as listed in Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations (Sections 670.2 or 670.5) or in Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations (Sections 17.11 or 17.12)?				
c) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U. S. Wildlife Service?				
d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?			\boxtimes	
e) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in			\boxtimes	
Page 11 of 40		E	EA No. 427	16

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service?				
f) Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?				
g) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?				

Source: GIS database, WRCMSHCP, Habitat Assessment for the ECOS Diamond Valley Project, conducted by Brian F. Smith & Associates, May 2013

Findings of Fact:

- a) The project does not conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Conservation Community Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state conservation plan. The project site is not located within a Criteria Area or Cell of the Western Riverside County Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan (WRCMSHCP). Therefore, there is no significant impact.
- b-c) The habitats within the project site have limited potential to support the following special status species: Munz's onion, San Diego ambrosia, many-stemmed dudleya, spreading navarretia, California Orcutt grass, and Wright's trichocoronis. These species were not observed on-site and the potential for occurrence is low due to the disturbed nature of the project site and vicinity. One special-status wildlife species, western burrowing owl, has the potential to occur on site. Construction related impacts that disturb subsurface burrows would be potentially significant without mitigation. The Environmental Programs Division has condition the project for a burrowing owl survey prior to grading permit issuance to prevent impacts to subsurface burrows. Therefore, the impact is less than significant with mitigation.

Suitable nesting habitat for ground nesting birds is present on the site. Thus, bird species protected under the federal Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA – 16 United State Code Section 703-711) could be adversely affected. The following bird (and raptor) species were observed within the project site during the field survey: Anna's hummingbird (*Calypte anna*), western meadowlark (*Sturnella neglecta*), mourning dove (*Zenaida macroura*), western kingbird (*Tyrannus verticalis*), house finch (*Haemorhous mexicanus*), American crow (*Corvus brachyrhynchos*), turkey vultures (*Cathartes aura*), and red-tailed hawk (*Buteo jamaicensis*). No nests were observed on site; however, nesting birds could be impacted if ground disturbing activities occur during the nesting season, which is typically February 15 through September 15. The proposed project could result in potentially significant impacts unless mitigation is incorporated. The Environmental Programs Division has condition the project for a nesting bird survey prior to grading permit issuance to prevent impacts to nesting birds. Therefore, the impact is less than significant with mitigation.

d) The project will not interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites. Therefore, there is no significant impact.

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
e-f) The project site has been disturbed during prior agricus sensitive natural communities (Brian F. Smith & Associates site surveys, the project site does not contain any riparian Because such resources are not present on the project expected to result from project implementation. Therefore, the	, 2013). Bas vegetation site, no in	sed on a re or protect npact to the	eview of ma ed wetland nese resou	ps and areas.
g) The project site does not contain any trees; therefore, County policies regarding tree preservation. The project site MSHCP, but is not located within the MSHCP Conservation. Therefore, there is no significant impact.	is located	within the	Western Ri	verside
Mitigation: A burrowing owl survey will be required prior to and nesting bird survey is required prior to grading permit iss				EPD.1)
Monitoring: Mitigation monitoring will occur through the Build	ding and Sa	fety Plan Cl	heck proces	SS.
CULTURAL RESOURCES Would the project				
8. Historic Resourcesa) Alter or destroy an historic site?				\boxtimes
b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in California Code of Regulations, Section 15064.5?				
Source: Project Application Materials, County Archaeologist	Review. PD	A No. 4851	R1	
Findings of Fact:				
a-b) The project site has been previously graded and far demolishing of historic structures. Since ground disturbance disturbance is required for the project, the likelihood of the resources is less than significant. PDA No. 4851R1 conclude present within the boundaries of the project. Therefore, the interest of the project.	has alread ne project a ed that no p	y occurred, altering or o prehistoric o	and no sig destroying or historic s	nificant historic ites are
Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.				
Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.				
9. Archaeological Resourcesa) Alter or destroy an archaeological site.		\boxtimes		
b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to California Code of Regulations, Section 15064.5?				
c) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?			\boxtimes	
d) Restrict existing religious or sacred uses within the potential impact area?				\boxtimes
Source: Project Application Materials, County Archaeologis	Review, PI	DA 4851		

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Findings of Fact:				
a-b) County Archaeological Report (PDA) No. 4851 subr PUP00921, PM36611a.1) was prepared by Jennifer R. Kr Associates, Inc. and is entitled: "Phase I Cultural Resorreject, Community of Winchester, County of Riverside", of the county of Riverside of Riverside of the county of Riverside of the county of Riverside of Rive	raft and Brian I urces Survey	F. Smith of E for the Ecos	Brian F. Sm	ith and
PDA No. 4851R1 recommends that based on the moderathe project, there is a potential that buried archaeologi boundaries. Cultural resource monitoring is recommer 60.PLANNING 019) Therefore, the project is less than significant to the project to the project is less than significant to th	cal deposits anded as a mi	re present tigation req	within the uirement.	unding project (COA
c) There may be a possibility that ground disturbing activities subject to State Health and Safety Code Section 7050 ground disturbing activities. (COA 10.PLANNING.1) This mitigation for CEQA purposes. Therefore, the impact is continuous cont	0.5 if human resistance of the second contract of the contract	emains are condition a	discovered nd not cons	during
d) The project will not restrict existing religious or sac Therefore, there is no significant impact.	red uses with	in the pote	ntial impac	t area.
Mitigation: An Archeological Monitor shall be present de	uring grading a	activities (CC	DA 60.PLAI	NING
02).			_	
02).	Building and Sa			
Monitoring: Mitigation monitoring will occur through the E 10. Paleontological Resources a) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleon	Building and Sa	ifety Plan Ch	neck proces	ss.
Monitoring: Mitigation monitoring will occur through the E 10. Paleontological Resources a) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleon logical resource, or site, or unique geologic feature? Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure OS-8 "Page 1981"	Building and Sa	ifety Plan Ch	neck proces	ss.
Monitoring: Mitigation monitoring will occur through the E 10. Paleontological Resources a) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleon logical resource, or site, or unique geologic feature? Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure OS-8 "PReview	Building and Sate	Sensitivity",	County Ge	eologist
Monitoring: Mitigation monitoring will occur through the E 10. Paleontological Resources a) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleon logical resource, or site, or unique geologic feature? Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure OS-8 "PReview Findings of Fact: a) According to the General Plan, this site has bee paleontological resources. A Paleontologist shall be retain disturbing activities. (COA 60.PLANNING.1) Therefore	auilding and Sa to- Paleontological n mapped as ned to develope, the project	Sensitivity", having a a plan to m is less tha	County Ge	eologist
Monitoring: Mitigation monitoring will occur through the E 10. Paleontological Resources a) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleon logical resource, or site, or unique geologic feature? Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure OS-8 "PReview Findings of Fact: a) According to the General Plan, this site has bee paleontological resources. A Paleontologist shall be retain disturbing activities. (COA 60.PLANNING.1) Therefore mitigation incorporated. Mitigation: A Paleontologist shall be retained to develop	to- raleontological n mapped as ned to develope, the project op a plan to re	Sensitivity", having a a plan to m is less that	County Ge	eologist
Monitoring: Mitigation monitoring will occur through the E 10. Paleontological Resources a) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleon logical resource, or site, or unique geologic feature? Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure OS-8 "PReview Findings of Fact: a) According to the General Plan, this site has bee paleontological resources. A Paleontologist shall be retain disturbing activities. (COA 60.PLANNING.1) Therefore mitigation incorporated. Mitigation: A Paleontologist shall be retained to developed activities. (COA 60.PLANNING.1).	to- raleontological n mapped as ned to develope, the project op a plan to re	Sensitivity", having a a plan to m is less that	County Ge	eologist

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death?				
b) Be subject to rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault?				
Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure S-2 "Eartho County Geologist review	quake Fault	Study Zone	s," GIS data	abase,
Findings of Fact:				
will not expose people or structures to potential substantial injury, or death. California Building Code (CBC) requireme will mitigate the potential impact to less than significant. A residential development they are not considered mitigation. Therefore, the impact is considered less than significant.	nts pertainir s CBC requ	ng to resider irements are	ntial develo e applicable	pment to all
by The construct site is well breaked within an Alexand Daiole Ed	- who are also . T.	Zana au	بيرمصار ممام	a facile
 b) The project site is not located within an Alquist-Priolo Ea lines are present on or adjacent to the project site. Therefo known fault. Therefore, the impact is considered less than s 	re, there is a			
lines are present on or adjacent to the project site. Therefo	re, there is a			
lines are present on or adjacent to the project site. Therefo known fault. Therefore, the impact is considered less than s	re, there is a			
lines are present on or adjacent to the project site. Therefo known fault. Therefore, the impact is considered less than s Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.	re, there is a			
lines are present on or adjacent to the project site. Thereforknown fault. Therefore, the impact is considered less than sometimes. Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required. Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required. 12. Liquefaction Potential Zone a) Be subject to seismic-related ground failure,	re, there is a ignificant.	a low potenti	al for ruptu	re of a
lines are present on or adjacent to the project site. Thereforknown fault. Therefore, the impact is considered less than sometimes. Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required. Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required. 12. Liquefaction Potential Zone a) Be subject to seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction? Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure S-3 "G	re, there is a ignificant.	a low potenti	al for ruptu	re of a
lines are present on or adjacent to the project site. Thereforknown fault. Therefore, the impact is considered less than sometimes. No mitigation measures are required. Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required. 12. Liquefaction Potential Zone a) Be subject to seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction? Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure S-3 "General Geologist review	re, there is a ignificant.	low potenti	al for ruptu	abase,
lines are present on or adjacent to the project site. Therefoknown fault. Therefore, the impact is considered less than some Mitigation: Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required. Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required. 12. Liquefaction Potential Zone a) Be subject to seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction? Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure S-3 "Gounty Geologist review Findings of Fact: a) According to GIS Database, no potential for liquefaction	re, there is a ignificant.	low potenti	al for ruptu	abase,
lines are present on or adjacent to the project site. Therefore, the impact is considered less than sometimes. Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required. Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required. 12. Liquefaction Potential Zone a) Be subject to seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction? Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure S-3 "Gounty Geologist review Findings of Fact: a) According to GIS Database, no potential for liquefaction significant impact.	re, there is a ignificant.	low potenti	al for ruptu	abase,

Figures S-13 through S-21 (showing General Ground Shaking Risk), County Geologist review

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Findings of Fact:				
There are no known active or potentially active faults that to within an Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zone. The principal is ground shaking resulting from an earthquake occurring active faults in Southern California. California Building development will mitigate the potential impact to less that applicable to all development, they are not considered mitigate.	al seismic ha along sevei Code (CBC an significan	azard that co ral major ac) requireme t. As CBC	ould affect to tive or pote onts pertain requiremen	he site entially ing to its are
Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.				
Monitoring: No mitigation measures are required.				
14. Landslide Risk a) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, collapse, or rockfall hazards?				
Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure S-5 "Reg Geologist review Findings of Fact: The subject property is relatively flat and therefore not subject impact.				
Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.				2
Monitoring: No mitigation measures are required.				
15. Ground Subsidence a) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in ground subsidence?				\boxtimes
Source: GIS database, Riverside County General Plan Fig County Geologist review	gure S-7 "Do	cumented S	subsidence	Areas"
Findings of Fact:				
a) According to GIS Database, the site not located in a significant impact.	subsidence	area. There	efore, there	is no
Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.				
Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.				

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impac
16. Other Geologic Hazards a) Be subject to geologic hazards, such as seiche, mudflow, or volcanic hazard?				
Source: Project Application Materials, County Geologist revi	ew			
a) The project site is not located near large bodies of water or project site is not subject to geologic hazards, such as seiche				re, the
Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.				
Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.				
17. Slopes a) Change topography or ground surface relief features?				
b) Create cut or fill slopes greater than 2:1 or higher than 10 feet?				\boxtimes
c) Result in grading that affects or negates subsurface sewage disposal systems?				\boxtimes
<u>Source:</u> Project Application Materials, Building and Safety – <u>Findings of Fact</u> :	Grading R	eview		
a-b) The project site is relatively flat with a gentle slope tow or cut/fill slopes are proposed. Therefore, there are no impact	ards the we	est. No char	nge in topo	graphy
c) The project will not result in grading that affects or negat Therefore, there are no impacts.	es subsurfa	ace sewage	disposal sy	stems
Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.				
Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.				
18. Soils a) Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?				
b) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Section 1802.3.2 of the California Building Code (2007), creating substantial risks to life or property?				
c) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?				

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Source: General Plan figure S-6 "Engineering Geold Materials, Building and Safety Grading review	ogic Materia	ls Map", P	roject App	lication
Findings of Fact:				
a) The development of the site could result in the loss of the manner that would result in significant amounts of soil erost Practices (BMPs) would reduce the impact to below a level than significant.	sion. Implem	entation of I	Best Manaç	gement
b) The project may be located on expansive soil; he requirements pertaining to development will mitigate the p CBC requirements are applicable to all development, they implementation purposes.	otential impa	ct to less th	nan significa	ant. As
c) The project will not have septic or sewer systems, therefor	ore there is no	impact.		
Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.				
Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.				
19. Erosion a) Change deposition, siltation, or erosion that may modify the channel of a river or stream or the bed of a lake?				
b) Result in any increase in water erosion either on or off site?			\boxtimes	
Source: Flood Control District review, Project Application N	/laterials			
Findings of Fact:				
a) Implementation of the proposed project will involve go Standard construction procedures, and federal, state and lowith the site's storm water pollution prevention plan (SWI (BMPs) required under the National Pollution Discharge permit, will minimize potential for erosion during construct amounts of soil material from eroding from the project sit waters located downstream.	cal regulation PPP) and its e System (N ion. These p	ns implemer Best Mana IPDES) ger practices wil	nted in conj igement Pr neral const Il keep sub	unction actices ruction stantial
b) The potential for on-site erosion will increase due to great construction phase. However, BMPs will be implemented erosion.	rading and e. for maintainii	xcavating ac ng water qu	ctivities dur ality and re	ing the educing
Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required				

EA No. 42716

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
20. Wind Erosion and Blowsand from project either on or off site.a) Be impacted by or result in an increase in wind erosion and blowsand, either on or off site?			\boxtimes	
Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure S-8 "Wind E Article XV & Ord. No. 484	rosion Sus	ceptibility Ma	ap," Ord. No	o. 460,
Findings of Fact:				
a) The site is located in an area of Moderate Wind Erodic Element Policy for Wind Erosion requires buildings and struct which are covered by the Universal Building Code. With such an increase in wind erosion and blowsand, either on or off impact.	tures to be h compliand	designed to	resist wind	l loads
Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.				
Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.				
GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS Would the project				
21. Greenhouse Gas Emissions a) Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment? 				
b) Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?				\boxtimes
Source: Project application materials				
Findings of Fact:				
a) The proposed project would operate unsupervised, and wo per month for equipment and landscape maintenance. These operational GHG emissions, and thus operational impacts wo Construction activities would generate GHG emissions from for construction equipment operation. Project-related construction	trips would uld be less uel combus n emissions	not generat than signification association association	e substantic ant. ted with hea d to a relati	al avy
short period of time (approximately 3 months) relative to the of Therefore, construction-related GHG emissions were amortized annual construction-related GHG emissions over the life of the combined annual GHG emissions associated with the proposis less than the proposed SCAQMD threshold of 3,000 metric to less than significant.	ed over a 30 e project. A ed project v	O-year periods shown in Twould be 5.3	d to determ Table 1, the metric tons	This
Table 1				
Estimated Emissions of Greenh	ouse Gase	S		

Page 19 of 40

EA No. 42716

Potentially Less than Less No Significant Significant Than Impact Impact with Significant Mitigation Impact Incorporated

Emission Source	Annual Emissions (metric tons CO2E)
Construction (amortized over 30 years)	5.3

Sources: Emissions reported are from CalEEMod mitigated construction and operational data. See Appendix A for calculations.

b) The proposed project consists of constructing a solar energy generation facility and associated infrastructure. The project does not include residential, commercial, or industrial development that would generate ongoing operational GHG emissions. The development of solar energy facilities supports efforts to reduce GHG emissions statewide by reducing dependency on GHG-producing energy sources such as natural gas and coal. No impact would occur.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS Would the proj	ect		
22. Hazards and Hazardous Materials a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials? 			
b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?			
c) Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or an emergency evacuation plan?			
d) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?			
e) Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?			

Source: Project Application Materials

Findings of Fact:

a-b, d) The proposed project would not require the use or storage of hazardous materials during construction or operation. The project consists of solar energy generating facility and associated infrastructure improvements, and does not include manufacturing or other activities that would involve the routine use, handling, storage, or transport of hazardous materials. The school nearest to the

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
project site is Winchester Elementary School, located approimpact would occur.	ximately 0	.8 mile to th	ne southwe	est. No
c) The project has been reviewed by the Riverside County F and will not impair implementation of or physically interfere wit or an emergency evacuation plan. No impact would occur.				
e) Based on a review of available databases listing known haz geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/ and Envirostor dtsc.ca.gov/databaserdous environmental condition on the project site. The proresidential or commercial development and upon completion of unoccupied. Personnel would visit 2-3 times per month to performerk. No impact would occur.	tabase) the posed pro f project co	ere is no evid ject does no onstruction, t	t involve the site wou	
Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.				
Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.				
23. Airports a) Result in an inconsistency with an Airport Master Plan?				
b) Require review by the Airport Land Use Commission?				\boxtimes
c) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?				
d) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, or heliport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?				\boxtimes
Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure S-19 "Airport	Locations,	' GIS databa	ase	
Findings of Fact:				
a) The project site is not located within the vicinity of any project will not result in an inconsistency with an Airport Maste	•	private airpo	ort; therefo	re, the
b) The project site is not located within the vicinity of any purequire review by the Airport Land Use Commission.	ublic or pri	vate airport;	therefore	will not
c) The project is not located within an airport land use plan an people residing or working in the project area.	nd would no	ot result in a	safety haz	ard for
d) The project is not within the vicinity of a private airstrip, or hazard for people residing or working in the project area.	heliport ar	nd would not	result in a	safety

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.				
Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.				
24. Hazardous Fire Area a) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of oss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?				
Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure S-11 Riverside County Fire Department Review	"Wildfire S	usceptibility,	" GIS data	abase,
Findings of Fact:				
conditions of approval that are required by the building co mitigation measures for CEQA purposes. The project site a ands or large lot residential. There are no wildlands in mpact is less than significant.	and surround	ling propertie	es are agric	
mitigation measures for CEQA purposes. The project site a	and surround	ling propertie	es are agric	
mitigation measures for CEQA purposes. The project site a ands or large lot residential. There are no wildlands in mpact is less than significant. Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required. Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.	and surround	ling propertie	es are agric	
nitigation measures for CEQA purposes. The project site a ands or large lot residential. There are no wildlands in mpact is less than significant. Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required. Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY Would the project 25. Water Quality Impacts a) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of	and surround the vicinity of	ling propertie	es are agric	
nitigation measures for CEQA purposes. The project site a ands or large lot residential. There are no wildlands in impact is less than significant. Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required. Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY Would the project 25. Water Quality Impacts a) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner that would result in substantial	and surround the vicinity of	ling propertie	es are agric	
mitigation measures for CEQA purposes. The project site a ands or large lot residential. There are no wildlands in impact is less than significant. Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required. Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY Would the project 25. Water Quality Impacts a) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner that would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site? b) Violate any water quality standards or wasted discharge requirements?	and surround the vicinity of	ling propertie	es are agric	
mitigation measures for CEQA purposes. The project site a ands or large lot residential. There are no wildlands in impact is less than significant. Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required. Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY Would the project 25. Water Quality Impacts a) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner that would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site? b) Violate any water quality standards or wasted discharge requirements? c) Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for	and surround the vicinity of	ling propertie	es are agric	
mitigation measures for CEQA purposes. The project site a ands or large lot residential. There are no wildlands in impact is less than significant. Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required. Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required	and surround the vicinity of	ling propertie	es are agric	

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?				
f) Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?				
g) Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?				
h) Include new or retrofitted stormwater Treatment Control Best Management Practices (BMPs) (e.g. water quality treatment basins, constructed treatment wetlands), the operation of which could result in significant environmental effects (e.g. increased vectors or odors)?				,

Source: Riverside County Flood Control District Flood Hazard Report/Condition.

Findings of Fact:

Conditional Use Permit 03698 is a proposal to construct an unmanned solar photovoltaic electricity generation facility on an approximately 10-acre site. The site is located in the Winchester area on the south side of Grand Avenue east of Patterson Avenue. The south property line is adjacent to the AT&SF Railroad right of way. Parcel Map 36611, Public Use Permit 921 and Change of Zone 7813 are being processed concurrently with this proposal. The site is Parcel 4 of PM 36611.

As stated in the Flood Hazard Report for PM 36611, the site is subject to sheet flow flooding and the finished floor of all structures shall be elevated 18-inches above the highest adjacent ground. The bottom of the solar panels shall also have an 18-inch clearance above the adjacent ground. The fencing is set back from the property line and should not result in blocking flows or any ponding onto the adjacent properties. However, a storm of unusual magnitude could cause some damage. Chain link, agricultural or wrought iron is acceptable fencing. No solid block or masonry walls shall be allowed.

A Condition of Approval for PM 36611 requires the southerly 54.50-foot of the site be dedicated to the public for the future construction of Line C of the Winchester Master Drainage Plan. No permanent structures, utilities or underground storage tanks shall be permitted within this dedicated area.

The project site is located in the Winchester/North Hemet sub-watershed of the Salt Creek Channel Area Drainage Plan (ADP) where fees have been adopted by the Board of Supervisors. A flood mitigation fee will be assessed for this project and is payable prior to the issuance of any grading or building permits.

- a) The proposed project will not substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner that would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site. Therefore, there will be a less than significant impact.
- b) The project will not violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements. Therefore, there will be a less than significant impact.
- c) The project will be served by piped water and will not substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level. Therefore there will be no impact.

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
		incorporated		
d) The project will not create or contribute runoff water that w planned storm water drainage systems. Therefore, there is no			acity of exis	ting or
e) The project site is not located within a 100-year flood hazard impact.				
f) The project site is not located within a 100-year flood hazard shall not place structures within a 100-year flood hazard arimpact.				
g) The proposed project is not anticipated to otherwise substathe substantial degradation of water quality, the project has be any grading or construction permits, to comply with the Na System, by developing and implementing a storm water permonitoring program and reporting plan for the construction approval and is not considered unique mitigation pursuant considered less than significant.	een conditational Poollution prosite. The	ioned prior llutant Disc evention pl is is a stan	to the issua harge Elim an, as wel dard condi	ince of ination I as a tion of
h) The project will include new stormwater Treatment Control there are two stormwater infiltration basins proposed, one the second across the southern portion of the site running para operation of which will not result in significant environmental ef BMPs. The BMPs are mitigation, and therefore the impact is less than the second	ne south a allel to the fects due	side of Oxb e railroad ri to the size a	ow drive a ght of way and depth o	nd the . The f these
Mitigation: The project has been conditioned for both drainage	easemen	ts and storm	water BMP	s.
Monitoring: Mitigation monitoring will occur through the Buildin	ng and Sa	fety Plan Ch	neck proces	s.
26. Floodplains Degree of Suitability in 100-Year Floodplains. As indicated Suitability has been checked.	ated belov	w, the appr		
NA - Not Applicable U - Generally Unsuitable a) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of			R - Restri	cted
the site or area, including through the alteration of the	Ш		\boxtimes	
course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the				
rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner that would result in flooding on- or off-site?				
b) Changes in absorption rates or the rate and amount		\boxtimes		
of surface runoff? c) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as				
a result of the failure of a levee or dam (Dam Inundation Area)?				
d) Changes in the amount of surface water in any water body?				

Potentially Less than Less No
Significant Significant Than Impact
Impact with Significant
Mitigation Impact
Incorporated

<u>Source</u>: Riverside County General Plan Figure S-9 "100- and 500-Year Flood Hazard Zones," Figure S-10 "Dam Failure Inundation Zone," Riverside County Flood Control District Flood Hazard Report/ Condition, GIS database

Findings of Fact:

Conditional Use Permit 03698 is a proposal to construct an unmanned solar photovoltaic electricity generation facility on an approximately 10-acre site. The site is located in the Winchester area on the south side of Grand Avenue east of Patterson Avenue. The south property line is adjacent to the AT&SF Railroad right of way. Parcel Map 36611, Public Use Permit 921 and Change of Zone 7813 are being processed concurrently with this proposal. The site is Parcel 4 of PM 36611.

As stated in the Flood Hazard Report for PM 36611, the site is subject to sheet flow flooding and the finished floor of all structures shall be elevated 18-inches above the highest adjacent ground. The bottom of the solar panels shall also have an 18-inch clearance above the adjacent ground. The fencing is set back from the property line and should not result in blocking flows or any ponding onto the adjacent properties. However, a storm of unusual magnitude could cause some damage. Chain link, agricultural or wrought iron is acceptable fencing. No solid block or masonry walls shall be allowed.

A Condition of Approval for PM 36611 requires the southerly 54.50-foot of the site be dedicated to the public for the future construction of Line C of the Winchester Master Drainage Plan. No permanent structures, utilities or underground storage tanks shall be permitted within this dedicated area.

The project site is located in the Winchester/North Hemet sub-watershed of the Salt Creek Channel Area Drainage Plan (ADP) where fees have been adopted by the Board of Supervisors. A flood mitigation fee will be assessed for this project and is payable prior to the issuance of any grading or building permits.

- a) The project will not substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner that would result in flooding on- or off-site. The project will have no significant impact.
- b) There will be changes in absorption rates and the rate and amount of surface runoff as a result of the project on site, but with the storm water BMPs there will be a net reduction of surface runoff in most years, and a continuation of the existing condition in a year of heavy rainfall. The BMPs are mitigation, and therefore the impact is less than significant with mitigation.
- c) The project will not expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam (Dam Inundation Area). The project will have no significant impact.
- d) The project will not cause changes in the amount of surface water in any water body. The project will have no significant impact.

<u>Mitigation</u>: The project has been conditioned for both drainage easements and stormwater BMPs.

Monitoring: Mitigation monitoring will occur through the Building and Safety Plan Check process.

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	WHO I			
LAND USE/PLANNING Would the project		4		
27. Land Use a) Result in a substantial alteration of the present opportunities and use of an area?			\boxtimes	
b) Affect land use within a city sphere of influence and/or within adjacent city or county boundaries?				\boxtimes
Source: Riverside County General Plan, GIS database, Pr	oject Applica	tion Material	S	
Findings of Fact:				
Findings of Fact.				
Land Control of the C			Part and	* - 41
a) The project will provide electrical power in support of the area. A less than significant impact is anticipated.	existing and	d future resid	lential uses	in the
area. A less than significant impact is anticipated. b) The project is not located within a city sphere of influence.				
area. A less than significant impact is anticipated. b) The project is not located within a city sphere of influence boundaries. The project will have no significant impact.				
area. A less than significant impact is anticipated. b) The project is not located within a city sphere of influence to boundaries. The project will have no significant impact. Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.			ent city or	
area. A less than significant impact is anticipated. b) The project is not located within a city sphere of influence of i	ence and/or			
area. A less than significant impact is anticipated. b) The project is not located within a city sphere of influence oundaries. The project will have no significant impact. Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required. Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required. 28. Planning a) Be consistent with the site's existing or proposed zoning?	ence and/or		ent city or	
area. A less than significant impact is anticipated. b) The project is not located within a city sphere of influence oundaries. The project will have no significant impact. Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required. Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required. 28. Planning a) Be consistent with the site's existing or proposed zoning? b) Be compatible with existing surrounding zoning? c) Be compatible with existing and planned sur	ence and/or		ent city or	
area. A less than significant impact is anticipated. b) The project is not located within a city sphere of influence boundaries. The project will have no significant impact. Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required. Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required. 28. Planning a) Be consistent with the site's existing or proposed zoning? b) Be compatible with existing surrounding zoning?	ence and/or		ent city or	county
area. A less than significant impact is anticipated. b) The project is not located within a city sphere of influence oundaries. The project will have no significant impact. Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required. Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required. 28. Planning a) Be consistent with the site's existing or proposed zoning? b) Be compatible with existing surrounding zoning? c) Be compatible with existing and planned surrounding land uses? d) Be consistent with the land use designations and	ence and/or		ent city or	county

Findings of Fact:

a) The proposed project is currently zoned Rural Residential (R-R). The project is proposing a change of zone to Regulated Development Areas (R-D), which is compatible with the site's current General Plan designation Low Density Residential (LDR) in the Community Development Foundation. The R-D zone has Solar Power Plant as a listed as a permitable use with a Conditional Use Permit. As that the project will have a zone that allows the use after the approval of the zone change, no significant impact is anticipated.

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
b) The site is surrounded by land which is zoned Rural Resident and Mobile Home Subdivision – Rural 2 ½ acre minimum (Reresidential zones, which the R-D zone is a residential zone support residential uses. Therefore, the proposed project is zoning. The project will have no significant impact.	-T-R-2 1/2) with additio	to the south	n. All of whi ole uses ad	ich are ded to
c) Vacant land and single family residences exist within the value be surrounded by landscaping and will have no activity occurs thus giving the appearance consistent with residential characto provide local electrical power, and as such will be used to No significant impact is anticipated.	curring with ter of the si	in or withoute. The inte	it the project nt of the pro	ct site, oject is
d) The project is in the Community Development Foundati General Plan and has a General Plan Designation of Low designation is consistent with the proposed R-D zoning class located within the Highway 79 Policy area which requires existing designation minus 9%, as that the CUP is not proposed project is consistent with the lar Harvest Valley/Winchester Area Plan.	Density Resification. a maximumosing any	esidential (I The propos m density o units; there	DR). The control of midpoint is no confli	e LDR is also of the ct with
e) The project will not disrupt or divide the physical arrangem	ent of an es	stablished co	ommunity.	
Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.				
Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required				
MINERAL RESOURCES Would the project				
29. Mineral Resources a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region or the residents of the State?				
b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?				
c) Be an incompatible land use located adjacent to a State classified or designated area or existing surface mine?				
d) Expose people or property to hazards from proposed, existing or abandoned quarries or mines?				\boxtimes
Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure OS-5 "Minera	al Resource	s Area"		
Findings of Fact:				
a) The project site is within MRZ-3, which is defined as areas indicates that mineral deposits are likely to exist; howe undetermined. The General Plan identifies policies that experiences and for appropriate management of mineral experiences.	ver, the sencourage	ignificance protection for	of the dep or existing	osit is mining

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
constitute a loss of availability of a known mineral resolution on existing extraction. No existing or aband surrounding the project site. The project does not propose Any mineral resources on the project site will be unavailable project will not result in the permanent loss of significant resources.	oned quarries se any mineral able for the life	or mines extraction of e of the pro	exist in the on the proje	e area ct site.
b) The project will not result in the loss of availability of a or designated by the State that would be of value to the project will not result in the loss of availability of a local delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other last	e region or th lly important n	e residents	of the Stat	e. The
c) The project will not be an incompatible land use located area or existing surface mine.	d adjacent to a	State classi	ified or desi	gnated
d) The project will not expose people or property to haz quarries or mines.	ards from pro	posed, exist	ting or abar	ndoned
Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.				
Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.				
NOISE Would the project result in				
Definitions for Noise Acceptability Ratings Where indicated below, the appropriate Noise Acceptability NA - Not Applicable C - Generally Unacceptable D - Land Use Discoura	ble	s been ched B - Condi	cked. tionally Acc	eptable
30. Airport Noise a) For a project located within an airport land use project such a plan has not been adopted, within the miles of a public airport or public use airport would project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels? NA A B C D	an Dwo			
b) For a project within the vicinity of a private airst would the project expose people residing or working in project area to excessive noise levels? NA A B C D D	rip, The			
Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure S-19 "A Facilities Map	irport Location	s," County o	of Riverside	Airpor
Findings of Fact:				
a) The project is an unmanned electric generation facility	The project v	vill have no i	impact.	

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.				
Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.				
81. Railroad Noise NA ☐ A ☒ B ☐ C ☐ D ☐				
Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure C-1 "Conspection	irculation F	Plan", GIS d	latabase, (On-site
Findings of Fact: The project is an unmanned electric ger mpact.	neration fac	ility. The pro	oject will h	ave no
Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.				
Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.				
32. Highway Noise NA ⊠ A □ B □ C □ D □		- 🗔		\boxtimes
Findings of Fact: The project is an unmanned electric generate. Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required. Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.	neration rac	sility. The pr	oject will fi	ave no
33. Other Noise NA ⊠ A □ B □ C □ D □				
Source: Project Application Materials, GIS database	7			
Findings of Fact: No additional noise sources have been contribute a significant amount of noise to the project. There	identified n will be no i	ear the proje	ect site tha	t would
Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.				
Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.				
34. Noise Effects on or by the Project a) A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?				
project.				
b) A substantial temporary or periodic increase in				

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
ambient raise levels in the project visinity above levels				
ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?				
c) Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?			\boxtimes	
d) Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive ground-borne vibration or ground-borne noise levels?				
Source: Riverside County General Plan, Table N-1 ("Lan Exposure"); Project Application Materials	d Use Comp	patibility for	Community	Noise
Findings of Fact:				
 a) Although the project will increase the ambient noise construction, and the general ambient noise level will increase impacts are not considered significant. 	e level in t ease slightly	he immedia after proje	te vicinity ct completion	during on, the
b) All noise generated during project construction and the County's noise standards, which restricts construction (sho levels. The project will have a less than significant impact.	operation of ort-term) and	the site must operational	st comply w (long-term	vith the) noise
c-d) The project would not expose persons to or generati established in the local General Plan or noise ordinance, or expose persons to or generation of excessive ground-born. The project will have a less than significant impact.	applicable s	standards of	other agen	cies or
Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.				
Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.				
POPULATION AND HOUSING Would the project				
a) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing necessitating the construction of replacement housing else where?				
b) Create a demand for additional housing, particularly housing affordable to households earning 80% or less of the County's median income?				\boxtimes
c) Displace substantial numbers of people, neces sitating the construction of replacement housing else where?				
d) Affect a County Redevelopment Project Area?				\boxtimes
e) Cumulatively exceed official regional or local population projections?	- 🗆			\boxtimes
f) Induce substantial population growth in an area either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and	ı, 🗆			\boxtimes
Page 30 of 40			FA No. 427	16

Page 30 of 40

EA No. 42716

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impac
businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extensi roads or other infrastructure)?	on of			
Source: Project Application Materials, GIS databates	ase, Riverside C	ounty Gene	ral Plan H	ousing
Findings of Fact:				
 a) The project will not necessitate the construction or reproject currently has an existing single family resider existing housing will occur. 	eplacement of ho	using elsewl e parcels, no	nere; althou o displacen	igh the nent o
 b) The project will not create a demand for additing accommodated on the housing market and any dev General Plan. 	onal housing; ho elopment will be	owever, any required to	demand develop	will be per the
c) The proposed project will not displace substaconstruction of replacement housing elsewhere. No im-	intial numbers o pacts are anticipa	of people, ted.	necessitatii	ng the
d) The site is not located in a County Redevelopment A	Area.			
e) The project will not cumulatively exceed official region	onal or local popu	ation projec	tions.	
f) The project will not induce substantial population gro	wth in the area.			
Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.				
Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.				
PUBLIC SERVICES Would the project result in substhe provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service objectives for any of the public services:	ent facilities or th which could ca	e need for use signific	new or ph ant enviror other perfo	ysicall imenta
36. Fire Services			\boxtimes	
Source: Riverside County General Plan Safety Eleme	ent			
Findings of Fact: The project area is serviced by the F significant effects will be mitigated by the payment of project will not directly physically alter existing facilities. Any construction of new facilities required by the cubave to meet all applicable environmental standards. No. 659 to mitigate the potential effects to fire service. Condition of Approval and pursuant to CEQA is not construction.	standard fees to es or result in the mulative effects The project shall es. (COA 90.PLA	the County construction of surrounding comply with NNING.33)	of Riversion of new failing projects County Ord	de. The acilities would inance

EA No. 42716

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.				
37. Sheriff Services			\boxtimes	
Source: Riverside County General Plan				
<u>Findings of Fact</u> : The proposed area is serviced by the proposed project would not have an incremental effect of vicinity of the project area. Any construction of new facily project and surrounding projects would have to meet project shall comply with County Ordinance No. 659 to m (COA 90.PLANNING.33) This is a standard Condition considered mitigation.	on the level of s ities required by all applicable e nitigate the pote	theriff service the cumula environmenta ntial effects	es provided itive effects al standard to sheriff se	I in the of this s. The rvices.
Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.				
Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.				
38. Schools			\boxtimes	
Source: Murrieta Valley Unified School District correspondent	ondence, GIS da	atabase		
Findings of Fact: The project will not physically alter endew or physically altered facilities. The proposed project District. Any construction of new facilities required by surrounding projects would have to meet all applicable been conditioned to comply with School Mitigation Impacto school services. (COA 80.PLANNING.22) This is a structure of the considered mitigation.	ct is located with the cumulative environmentation for the cumulative environmentation for the cumulation is the cumulative environment of the cumulative environment is the cumulative environment environm	thin the Hen ve effects of al standards to mitigate th	net Unified f this proje . This proje ne potential	Schoolect and ect has effects
Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.				
Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.				
39. Libraries				
Source: Riverside County General Plan				
Findings of Fact: The proposed project will not create services. The project will not require the provision of not Any construction of new facilities required by the cum have to meet all applicable environmental standards. The No. 659 to mitigate the potential effects to library secondard Condition of Approval and pursuant to CEOA is	ew or altered go nulative effects nis project shall ervices. (COA	overnment father of surround comply with 90.PLANN	cilities at tr ing projects County Or	ns time s would dinance

EA No. 42716

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.				
40. Health Services			\boxtimes	
Source: Riverside County General Plan				
Findings of Fact: The proposed project would not cause located within the service parameters of County health or existing facilities or result in the construction of new or phave no impact. Any construction of new facilities require and surrounding projects would have to meet all applicable	enters. The pohysically alted by the cun	roject will n red facilities nulative effe	ot physicall s. The projects of this	y alter ect will
Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.				
Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.				
RECREATION				
41. Parks and Recreation a) Would the project include recreational facilities of require the construction or expansion of recreations facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the 	al			
environment? b) Would the project include the use of existing neighborhood or regional parks or other recreations facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?	al L			
c) Is the project located within a Community Service Area (CSA) or recreation and park district with a Community Parks and Recreation Plan (Quimby fees)?				
Source: GIS database, Ord. No. 460, Section 10.35 (Recreation Fees and Dedications), Ord. No. 659 (Establish Open Space Department Review	egulating the shing Develo	Division of oment Impa	Land – Pa ct Fees), P	rk and arks &
Findings of Fact:				
a) The project will not include recreation facilities or recreational facilities which might have an adverse physica				sion of
b) The project will not include the use of existing neighborh facilities in such that substantial physical deterioration of the			other recre	ational
c) The project site is not located within a C.S.A. The project Park District. The project is an industrial energy gener Quimby fees. This is a standard Condition of Approval mitigation. Therefore, there are no impacts.	ation facility	and therefo	re not sub	ject to
Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.				

EA No. 42716

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.				
42. Recreational Trails				
Source: Riverside County General Plan, Figure C-7				
Findings of Fact: Tentative Parcel Map No.36611 has 10.PARKS.1) The trail shall comply with transportation st parkway and within that parkway a 10 foot multipurpose tr way to the Transportation department that Tentative Par CUP03698 does not have any actual frontage on Grand A impact on the trail. Therefore the impact is less than significant	andard No. ail. This is p cel Map No Ave and as a	405 which poart of a ded 0.36611 was	rovides a 2 ication of r	Rê foot ight of ed for.
Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.				
Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.				
TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC Would the project				
43. Circulation a) Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing a measure of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation, including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit? 				
b) Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including, but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?				
c) Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?				\boxtimes
d) Alter waterborne, rail or air traffic?				\boxtimes
e) Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g. farm equipment)?				\boxtimes
f) Cause an effect upon, or a need for new or altered maintenance of roads?			\boxtimes	
g) Cause an effect upon circulation during the project's construction?			\boxtimes	
h) Result in inadequate emergency access or access to nearby uses?				\boxtimes
 i) Conflict with adopted policies, plans or programs regarding public transit, bikeways or pedestrian facilities, or 				\boxtimes

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
of such facilities?				

Findings of Fact:

- a) The proposed project site will have a less than significant impact on an increase in traffic which is substantial in relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street system. The project site would not result in a substantial increase in either the number of vehicle trips, the volume to capacity ratio on roads or congestion at intersections.
- b) The project will have a less than significant impact on the level of service standard established by the county congestion management agency for designated road or highways.
- c) The project will have a less than significant impact on circulation that would result in a change in traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks.
- d) The project site will have no impact on circulation altering waterborne, rail or air traffic.
- e) The proposed project site would have no impact on circulation substantially increasing hazards to a design feature or incompatible uses.
- f) The proposed project site would have less than significant impact on circulation causing an effect upon, or need for new or altered maintenance of roads.
- g) The proposed project site would have a less than significant impact on circulation because there are improvements that are going to be constructed.
- h) The proposed project site would have no impact on circulation resulting in inadequate emergency access or access to nearby uses.
- i) Development of this project will not conflict with adopted policies supporting alternative transportation such as bus turnouts or bicycle racks.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

44. Bike Trails

Source: Riverside County General Plan, Figure C-7

<u>Findings of Fact</u>: Tentative Parcel Map No.36611 has been conditioned to have a trail. (COA 10.PARKS.1) The trail shall comply with transportation standard No. 405 which provides a 26 foot parkway and within that parkway a 10 foot multipurpose trail. This is part of a dedication of right of way to the Transportation department that Tentative Parcel Map No.36611 was conditioned for.

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
CUP03698 does not have any actual frontage on Grand A impact on the trail. Therefore the impact is less than signific		a result will	not have a	direct
Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.			ū.	
Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.				
UTILITY AND SERVICE SYSTEMS Would the project	- 			
45. Water a) Require or result in the construction of new water treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which would cause significant environmental effects? 				
b) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?				
Source: Department of Environmental Health Review				
Findings of Fact:				
a) The frontage of Grand Avenue will be served by the Eas water. The Riverside County Department of Environment project does not require or will not result in the construction of expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which effects. The project does have landscaping and will recapplicant will either connect to the water on Grand Avenue of putting in a well is less than connecting to the water on option for the applicant. The impact is less than significant.	al Health ha ction of new n would can quire water, or dig a well	is reviewed water treatuse signification but not treatuse. The	this project tment facilit int environi ated water. estimated	The cost of
b) There is a sufficient water supply available to serve the resources. This project has been conditioned to comply with Department of Environmental Health. The impact is less that	the require	ments of the		
Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.				
Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.				
46. Sewer a) Require or result in the construction of new wastewater treatment facilities, including septic systems, or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which would cause significant environmental effects?	•			
b) Result in a determination by the wastewater treat- ment provider that serves or may service the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected	t \Box			

Page 36 of 40

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?				
Source: Department of Environmental Health (DEH) Review	v			
Findings of Fact:				
a-b) The project is an unmanned solar electricity gene wastewater systems. Therefore, the project will have no imp	eration facili pact.	ty, the proj	ject propos	ses no
Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.				
Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.				
47. Solid Waste a) Is the project served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?			\boxtimes	
b) Does the project comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid wastes including the CIWMP (County Integrated Waste Management Plan)?				[] []
Source: Riverside County General Plan, Riverside correspondence Findings of Fact:	e County	Waste Mar	nagement	District
The project site is unmanned and is not anticipated to gen decommissioning. The Riverside County Waste Managem and condition the project for compliance for construdecommissioning of the project site once the use has complete.	nent Departi iction wast	ment has re es and co	viewed the	project
a) The project will not substantially alter existing or fut disposal services. The landfill that will serve the project haproject's anticipated solid waste disposal needs.	ure solid w as sufficient	aste genera capacity to	ation patter accommod	ns and late the
b) The development will comply with federal, state, and loc wastes (including the CIWMP- County Integrated Waste Ma	al statutes a nagement F	and regulation Plan).	ons related	to solid
Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.				
Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.				
48. Utilities Would the project impact the following facilities requiring facilities or the expansion of existing facilities; the consenvironmental effects?	g or resulti struction of	ng in the could	d cause si	of nev
a) Electricity?				

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impac
o) Natural gas?	П		X	П
c) Communications systems?			X	H
d) Storm water drainage?				Ħ
e) Street lighting?		H	X	
Maintenance of public facilities, including roads?				
g) Other governmental services?			X	
g) Other governmental services:		-	<u> </u>	
Source:				
Findings of Fact:				
expansion of existing community utility facilities. Implem ncremental system capacity demand for energy system drainage systems, street lighting systems, maintenance potentially other governmental services. These impacts are the availability of existing public facilities such as drainage treatment systems that support local systems. The applicarrangements with each utility provider to ensure each utilities.	s, commur of public considered e facilities ant or app	nication syste facilities, inc I less than si and wastewa Iicant-in-succ	ems, storm cluding road gnificant ba ater collecti cessor shal	wateds and sed of and
Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.				
Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required. Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.				
Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required. 49. Energy Conservation a) Would the project conflict with any adopted energy conservation plans?				
Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required. 49. Energy Conservation a) Would the project conflict with any adopted energy conservation plans? Source: Staff review				
Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required. 49. Energy Conservation a) Would the project conflict with any adopted energy conservation plans?		conservation	plans. The	, 1
Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required. 49. Energy Conservation a) Would the project conflict with any adopted energy conservation plans? Source: Staff review Findings of Fact: a-b) The proposed project will not conflict with any adopted		conservation	plans. The	, 1
Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required. 49. Energy Conservation a) Would the project conflict with any adopted energy conservation plans? Source: Staff review Findings of Fact: a-b) The proposed project will not conflict with any adopte will have no significant impact.		conservation	plans. The	projec
Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required. 49. Energy Conservation a) Would the project conflict with any adopted energy conservation plans? Source: Staff review Findings of Fact: a-b) The proposed project will not conflict with any adopte will have no significant impact. Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.		conservation	plans. The	
Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required. 49. Energy Conservation a) Would the project conflict with any adopted energy conservation plans? Source: Staff review Findings of Fact: a-b) The proposed project will not conflict with any adopte will have no significant impact. Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required. Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.	ed energy o	conservation	plans. The	

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
eliminate important examples of the major pe California history or prehistory?	riods of			
Source: Staff review, Project Application Materials				
Findings of Fact: Implementation of the proposed post the environment, substantially reduce the habitat populations to drop below self-sustaining levels, three reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or examples of the major periods of California history of	of fish or wildlife s eaten to eliminate a endangered plant o	pecies, caus plant or ani	se a fish or mal commu	wildlife nity, or
51. Does the project have impacts which are ind limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Contively considerable" means that the increffects of a project are considerable when viconnection with the effects of past projects current projects and probable future projects)?	Cumula- emental ewed in s, other			
Source: Staff review, Project Application Materials Findings of Fact: The project does not have impa considerable. 52. Does the project have environmental effects		dually limited	d, but cumu	latively
cause substantial adverse effects on human either directly or indirectly?	beings,			
Source: Staff review, project application				
Findings of Fact: The proposed project would not substantial adverse effects on human beings, either	result in environme directly or indirectly	ental effects v y.	which would	cause
VI. EARLIER ANALYSES				
Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier of Regulations, Section 15063 (c) (3) (D). In this ca	EIR or negative ded	laration as p	er Californi	a Code
Earlier Analyses Used, if any: Not applicable				
Location Where Earlier Analyses, if used, are availa	able for review: Not	applicable		
VII. AUTHORITIES CITED				
	64000 1040	00.05 D.C.		liforni

Authorities cited: Public Resources Code Sections 21083 and 21083.05; References: California Government Code Section 65088.4; Public Resources Code Sections 21080(c), 21080.1, 21080.3, 21082.1, 21083, 21083.05, 21083.3, 21093, 21094, 21095 and 21151; Sundstrom v. County of Mendocino (1988) 202 Cal.App.3d 296; Leonoff v. Monterey Board of Supervisors (1990) 222 Cal.App.3d 1337; Eureka Citizens for Responsible Govt. v. City of Eureka (2007) 147 Cal.App.4th

Potentially	Less than	Less	No
Significant	Significant	Than	Impact
Impact	with	Significant	
3 1111	Mitigation	Impact	
	Incorporated		

357; Protect the Historic Amador Waterways v. Amador Water Agency (2004) 116 Cal.App.4th at 1109; San Franciscans Upholding the Downtown Plan v. City and County of San Francisco (2002) 102 Cal.App.4th 656.

Revised: 9/3/2014 3:59 PM EA.PM36411



Appendix A

CalEEMod Air Quality and Greenhouse Gas Emissions Model Results

CalEEMod Version: CalEEMod.2013.2.2

Page 1 of 29

Date: 1/17/2014 3:54 PM

Ecos Solar

Riverside-South Coast County, Annual

1.0 Project Characteristics

1.1 Land Usage

Land Uses	Size	Metric	Lot Acreage	Floor Surface Area	Population
I lear Defined Indistrial	1.00	User Defined Unit	7.88	0.00	0

1.2 Other Project Characteristics

28	2014		900.0
Precipitation Freq (Days)	Operational Year		N2O Intensity (Ib/MWhr)
2.4			0.029
Wind Speed (m/s)		dison	CH4 Intensity (Ib/MWhr)
Urban	10	Southern California Edison	630.89
Urbanization	Climate Zone	Utility Company	CO2 Intensity (Ib/MWhr)

1.3 User Entered Comments & Non-Default Data

Project Characteristics -

Land Use - Acreage

Construction Phase - Adjustments to schedule

Off-road Equipment - Adjusted equipment

Off-road Equipment - Adjusted equipment

Trips and VMT - *

Table Name	Column Name	Default Value	New Value
tblConstructionPhase	NumDays	20.00	1.00
tblConstructionPhase	NumDays	230.00	30.00
tblConstructionPhase	NumDays	20.00	1.00

Page 2 of 29

PhaseEndDate PhaseEndDate PhaseEndDate
PhaseEndDate PhaseEndDate
PhaseStartDate
PhaseStartDate
PhaseStartDate
PhaseStartDate AcresOfGrading
LotAcreage
HorsePower
HorsePower
LoadFactor
OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmount
OffRoadEquipmentUnitAmoun
WorkerTripNumber
WorkerTripNumber

Page 3 of 29

2.0 Emissions Summary

2.1 Overall Construction **Unmitigated Construction**

CO2e		0.0000 108.5847	108.5847
N20		0.0000	0.0000
CH4 :	F.		0.0309
Fotal CO2	MT/yr	107.9357	107.9357
VBio- CO2		0.0000 107.9357 107.9357 0.0309	107.9357
Bio- CO2 NBio- CO2 Total CO2		0.0000	0.0000 107.9357 107.9357
PM2.5 Total		0.0671	0.0671
Exhaust PM2.5		0.0586	0.0586
Fugitive PM2.5		0.0634 0.0860 8.5800e-	0.0860 8.5800e- 003
PM10 Total		0.0860	0.0860
Exhaust PM10	Jyr	0.0634	0.0634
Fugitive PM10	tons/yr	0.0226	0.0226
305		1.1500e- 003	1.1500e- 003
8		0.7829	0.7829
XON		0.1240 1.2142 0.7829 1.1500e- 0.0226 003	1.2142
ROG		0.1240	0.1240
	Year	2015	Total

Mitigated Construction

Fugitive Exhaust PM10	S02 F		305
tons/yr	tons/yr	Y tonstyr	tons/yr
0.085 0.0634 0.0860	0226 0.0634	1.1500e- 0.0226 0.0634 003	0226 0.0634
0226 0.0634 0.0860	0.0226 0.0634	1.1500e- 0.0226 0.0634 003	0.0226 0.0634

CalEEMod Version: CalEEMod.2013.2.2

Page 4 of 29

Date: 1/17/2014 3:54 PM

	ROG	×ON =	8	802	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PiM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	Bio- CO2 NBio-CO2 Total CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N20	CO2e
Percent Reduction	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.0	0.00	00.0	00:0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

2.2 Overall Operational

Unmitigated Operational

CO2e		3.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	3.0000e- 005
NZO		0.0000	0.000.0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
CH4	yr	0.000.0	0.000.0	0.000.0	0.0000	0.000.0	0.0000
Total CO2	MT/yr	2.0000e- 1	0.000.0	0.0000	0.000.0	0.000.0	2.0000e- 005
Bio- CO2 NBio- CO2 Total CO2		2.0000e- 005	0.000.0	00000	0000'0	0.000.0	2.0000e- 005
Bio- CO2		0.0000	00000	0.0000	0:0000	0.0000	0.0000
PM2.5 Total		0.000.0	0.000.0	0.0000	0.000.0	0.000.0	0.0000
Exhaust PM2.5	ŕ	0.0000	0.0000	0.000.0	0.000.0	0.0000	0.0000
Fugitive PM2.5				0.000			0.0000
PM10 Total		0.0000	0.000	0.0000	00000	0.0000	0.000
Exhaust PM10	ιλγτ	0.000.0	0.000.0	0.000	0.000	0.0000	0.000
Fugitive PM10	tons/yr			0 0000			0.0000
305		0.0000	0.000.0	0.000			0.0000
8		1,0000e- 005	0 0000	0.000			0.0000 1.0000e- 005
×ON		0.0000 1.0000e- 005	0 0000	0.000			0.0000
ROG	7	0.000	0.000.0	0.0000			0.0000
	Category	Area	Energy	Mobile	Waste	Water	Total

Page 5 of 29

2.2 Overall Operational Mitigated Operational

CO2e		3.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	3.0000e- 005
NZO		0.0000 3.	0.0000.0	0.0000.0	0.0000.0	0.0000.0	0.0000 3.
СН4		0.0000	0.0000.0	0.0000.0	0.0000.0	0.0000.0	0.0000
100	MT/yr	2.0000e- 1 0. 005	0.0000	0 0000 0	0,0000	0.0000 0.	2.0000e- 005
Bio- CO2 NBio- CO2 Total CO2		2.0000e- 1 2.0 005 (0.0000	0 0000	0 0000	0.0000	2.0000e- 2.0 005
CO2 NBio		0.00000 2.00	0.0000	00000	00000	0.0000	0.0000
20072			1 	• •			
PM2.5 Total		0.0000	0000'0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Exhaust PM2.5		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Fugitive PM2.5				00000			0.0000
PM10 Total		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	000000	0.000	0.0000
Exhaust PM10	ılyr	0.0000	0.0000	0.000.0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Fugitive PM10	tons/yr			0.0000			0.0000
205		0.0000	0,000	0.0000			0.0000
8		1.0000e- 005	0.0000	00000			1.0000e- 005
×ON		0.0000 0.0000 1.0000 e -	00000	00000			0.0000
ROG		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000			0.0000
	Category	Area	Energy	Mobile	Waste	Water	Total

CO20	0.00
N20	0.00
، CH4	0.00
Bio- CO2 NBio-CO2 Total CG2	0.00
NBIo-CO2	0.00
Blo- CO2	0.00
PM2.6 Total	0.00
Exhaust PM2.5	0.00
Fugitive PM2.5	0.00
PM10 Total	0.00
Exhaust PM10	0.00
Fugitive PM10	0.00
805	0.00
8	0.00
Š.	0.00
ROG	0.00
	Percent Reduction

3.0 Construction Detail

Construction Phase

Phase Number	Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Num Days Num Days Week	Num Days	Phase Description
	Demolition	Demolition	1/1/2015	1/1/2015	5	-	
	Paving	Paving	1/4/2015	2/14/2015	5	30	
	Site Preparation	Site Preparation	1/29/2015	1/29/2015	5	+	
	Grading	Grading	2/12/2015	2/12/2015	5		
	Building Construction		2/15/2015	3/28/2015	5	30	
	Architectural Coating	Architectural Coating	10/1/2015	10/3/2015	5	1:	

Acres of Grading (Site Preparation Phase): 0

Acres of Grading (Grading Phase): 10

Acres of Paving: 0

Residential Indoor: 0; Residential Outdoor: 0; Non-Residential Indoor: 0; Non-Residential Outdoor: 0 (Architectural Coating - sqft)

OffRoad Equipment

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment Type	Amount	Usage Hours	Horse Power	Load Factor
Demolition	Concrete/Industrial Saws		8.00	81	0.73
Demolition	Excavators	8	8.00	162	0.38
Demolition	Rubber Tired Dozers	2	8.00	255	0.40
Paving	Off-Highway Trucks	2	8.00	130	0.36
Paving	Pavers		8.00	125	0.42
Paving	Paving Equipment	2	8.00	130	0.36
Paving	Rollers		8.00	80	0.38
Paving	Rubber Tired Dozers		8.00	255	0.40
Paving	Trenchers		8.00	80	0.50
Site Preparation	Rubber Tired Dozers	e	8.00	255	0.40
Site Preparation	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	4	8.00	97	0.37
Grading	Excavators	-	8.00	162	0.38
Grading	Graders	-	8.00	174	0.41
Grading	Rubber Tired Dozers	T	8.00	255	0.40
Grading	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	r	8.00	126	0.37
Building Construction	Cranes	0	7.00	226	0.29
Building Construction	Forklifts	0	8.00	68	0.20
Building Construction	Generator Sets	0	8.00	84	0.74
Building Construction	Off-Highway Trucks	2	8.00	400	0.38
Building Construction	Other Construction Equipment		8.00	46	0.45
Building Construction	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes		2.00	26	0.37
Building Construction	Welders		8.00	46	0.45
Architectural Coating	Air Compressors		00:9	78	0.48

Trips and VMT

Page 8 of 29

Phase Name	Offroad Equipment Worker Trip V Count Number	Worker Trip Number	endor Trip Number	Hauling Trip Number	Worker Trip Length	Vendor Trip Hauling Trip Length Length	Hauling Trip Length	Worker Vehicle Class	Vendor Vehicle Class	Hauling Vehicle Class
Demolition	9	15.00	00:00	00:00	14.70	06.9		20.00 LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Paving	8	15.00	00.0		14.70	6.90			HDT_Mix	HHDT
Site Preparation	7	18.00	00.0	0.00	14.70	6.90			HDT_Mix	HHDT
Grading	9	15.00	00.0	0.00	14.70	6.90		20.00 LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	HHDT
Building Construction	5	15.00	00.0	00.0	14.70	9.90	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	20.00 LD_Mix	HDT_Mix	ННОТ
Architectural Coating	1	00.00	00.0	00.0	14.70	6.90		20.00 LD_Mix	.HDT_Mix	HHDT

3.1 Mitigation Measures Construction

3.2 Demolition - 2015

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

CO2e	100	1.8827	1.8827
NZO		0.0000	0.0000
CH4	Į,	1 5.1000e- 1	5.1000e- 004
Total CO2	MT/yr	1.8721	1.8721
VBio- CO2		1.8721	1.8721
Bio- CO2 NBio- CO2 Total CO2		00000	0.0000
PM2.5 Total		1.1400 c C	1.1400e- 003
Exhaust PM2.5		1.1400e- 003	1.1400e- 003
Fugitive PM2.5			
PM10 Total		1.2300e- 003	1.2300e- 003
Exhaust PM10	siyr	1.2300e- 1 003	1.2300e- 003
Fugitive PM10	tons/yr		
802		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005
8		0.0180	0.0180
XON		0.0242 0.0180 2.0000 e -	0.0242
ROG		2.2500e- 1	2.2500e- 003
	Category	Off-Road	Total

Date: 1/17/2014 3:54 PM

Page 9 of 29

3.2 Demolition - 2015 Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

District of the last			_	_	_
. CO2e		0.0000	0.0000	0.0727	0.0727
N20		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
CH4	7	0.000.0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
rotal CO2	MT/yr	00000	0.000.0	0.0726	0.0726
Bio- CO2 NBio- CO2 Total CO2			00000	0.0726	0.0726
Bio- CO2		0.000.0	0.000.0	0.0000	0.0000
PM2.5 Total			0.0000	2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005
Exhaust PM2.5		0.0000	0.0000	0.000.0	0.0000
Fugitive PM2.5		0.000.0	0.000.0	2 0000e- (2.0000e- 005
PM10 Total		0.000.0	0.000	8,0000e- 005	8.0000e- 005
Exhaust PM10	/yr	0.000.0	0.000	0.0000	0.0000
Fugitive PM10	tons/yr	0.0000	00000	0.0000 8.0000e- 005	0.0000 8.0000e-
202		0.000	00000	0.0000	0.0000
8		0.0000	0.0000	4,2000e- 004	4.2000e- 004
XON		0.0000	0.0000	4,0000e- 4,2000e- 005 004	3.0000e- 4.0000e- 005 005
ROG		0.0000 0.0000	0.0000	3,0000e- 4	3.0000e- 005
	Category	Hauling	Vendor	Worker	Total

Mitigated Construction On-Site

otal GO2 CH4 N2O GO2e	MT/yr	1.8721 5.1000e 0.0000 1.8827 004	1.8721 5.1000e- 0.0000 1.8827
Bio- CO2 NBio- CO2 Total CO2		0.0000 1.8721 1.8721	0.0000 1.8721
Exhaust PM2.5 PM2.5 Total		1.1400e- 1.1400e- 003 003	1.1400e- 003 003
Fugitive Exh PM2.5 PN			
Total		1.2300e- 003	- 1.2300e- 003
Fugitive Exhaust PM10	tons/yr	1.2300e- 1	1.2300e- 003
205		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005
8		0.0180 2.0000e-	0.0180
X O N		0.0242	0.0242
ROG		2.2500e- 003	2.2500e- 003
	Category	Off-Road	Total

CalEEMod Version: CalEEMod.2013.2.2

Page 10 of 29

Date: 1/17/2014 3:54 PM

Mitigated Construction Off-Site 3.2 Demolition - 2015

CO2e			000000	00 0.0727	00 0.0727
N2O		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
CH4	MT/yr	0.0000	0.000	0.000	0.0000
Total CO2	M	0.0000	0.000.0	0.0726	0.0726
Bio- CO2 NBio- CO2 Total CO2		0.000	0.0000	0.0726	0.0726
Bio- CO2		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
PM2.5 Total		0.000.0	0.000.0	2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005
Exhaust PM2.5		0.0000	0.000	0.0000	0000
Fugitive PM2.5		0.000.0	0 0000	- 2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 0
PM10 Total		0.0000	00000	8.0000e- 005	8.0000e- 2.0
Exhaust PM10	tons/yr	0.000.0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Fugitive PM10	ton	0.0000	0.0000	0 8 0000e-	0.0000 8.0000e- 005
805		0.0000	0.0000	0.00	0.0000
0		0.0000	0.0000	4 2000e- 004	4.2000e- 004
XON		0.0000 0.0000	0.0000	4.0000e- 4.2000e- 005 004	3.0000e- 4.0000e- 4.2000e- 005 005 004
ROG		0.0000	0.0000	3.0000e- 005	3.0000e- 005
	Category	Hauling	Vendor	Worker	Total

3.3 Paving - 2015

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

Total CO2 CH4 N2O	MT/yr	50.7315 0.0152	0.0000 0.00000	50.7315 0.0152 0.0000
Bio- CO2 NBio- CO2 Total CO2		50.7315	0.0000	50.7315
PM2.5 Bio- CC Total		0.0337 0.0000	0.0000 0.0000	0.0337 0.0000
Exhaust PN PM2.5 T		0.0337 0.0	0.0000 0.0	0.0337 0.0
Fugitive PM2.5				
PM10 Total		0.0367	0.0000	0.0367
Exhaust PM10	tons/yr	0.0367	0 0000	0.0367
Fugitive PM10	-			
805		5.3000e-		5.3000e- 004
8		0.4443		0.4443
XON		0.6685 0.4443 5.30006-		0.6685
ROG		0.0628	0.0000	0.0628
	Category	Off-Road	Paving	Total

3.3 Paving - 2015 Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

COZe		0.0000	0.0000	2.1797	2.1797
NZO		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
CH4	λyr	0.000.0	0.000.0	1.1000e- 004	1.1000e- 0 004
Bio-CO2 NBio-CO2 Total CO2	MT/yr	0.0000	0.0000	2.1775	2.1775
NBio-CO2		0.0000	0.0000	2.1775	2.1775
Bio-CO2		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
P.M2.5 Total		0.0000	0.0000	6.7000e- 004	6.7000e- 004
Exhaust PM2.5		0.0000	0000	0000e-	2,0000e- 005
Fugitive PM2.5		0.0000	0.0000	6000e 004	6000e- 004
PM10 Total		0.000.0	0.0000	2.4900e- 003	2.4900e- 6. 003
Exhaust PM10	tons/yr	0.0000	0.0000	2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005
Fugitive PM10	ton	0.0000	000000	e- 2.4700e- 003	2.4700e- 003
S02		0.0000	0.000	3.0000	3.0000e- 005
00		0.0000 0.0000	000	0127	0.0127
XON		0.0000 0.0000.0	00000	. 1.2600e- 0.0	1.2600e- 003
ROG		0.0000	00000	8,6000e- 1 004	8.6000e- 004
	Category	Hauling	Vendor	Worker	Total

Mitigated Construction On-Site

CO2e		51.0495	0.0000	51.0495
N20		0.0000 51.0495	0.0000	0.0000 51.0495
CH4	À.	0.0152	0.0000	0.0152
Total CO2	MT/yr	50.7315	0.000.0	50.7315
Bio- CO2 NBio- CO2 Total CO2		0.0000 50.7315 50.7315	0.0000	50.7315
Bio- CO2		0.0000	0.000.0	0.0000
PM2.5 Total		0.0337	0.000.0	0.0337
Exhaust PM2.5		0.0337	0.000.0	0.0337
Fugitive PM2.5				
PM10 Total		0.0367	0.0000	0.0367
Exhaust PM10	síyr	0.0367	0.0000	0.0367
Fugitive PM10	tons/yr			
302		5.3000e- 004		5.3000e- 004
8		0.4443		0.6685 0.4443 5.3000e-
XON		0.6685 0.4443 5.3000e-		0.6685
ROG		0.0628	0.0000	0.0628
	Category	Off-Road	Paving	Total

Page 12 of 29

Mitigated Construction Off-Site 3.3 Paving - 2015

CO2e		0.0000	0.0000	2.1797	2.1797
N20		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
CH4	yr	0.000.0	0.0000	1.1000e- 004	1.1000e- 004
Total CO2	MT/yr	0.000.0	0.0000	2.1775	2.1775
Bio- CO2 NBio- CO2 Total CO2		0.0000	0.0000	2.1775	2.1775
Bio- CO2		0.000.0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
PM2.5 Total		0.000.0	0.000.0	6.7000e- 004	6.7000e- 004
Exhaust PM2.5		0.000.0	0,000,0	2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005
Fugitive PM2.5		0.000.0	0.000.0	6000e- 004	6.6000e- 004
PM10 Total		0.000.0	0.0000	2.4900e- 6. 003	2.4900e- 003
Exhaust PM10	síyr	00000	0,000,0	2.0000 c 005	2.0000e- 005
Fugitive PM10	tons/yr	0.0000	0.0000		2.4700e- 003
802		0.0000	0.0000	3.0000e- 2.4700e- 005 003	0.0127 3.0000e- 2.4700e- 005 003
00		0.0000	0.0000	0.0127	0.0127
×ON		0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	0.0000	1.2600e- 003	8.6000e- 1.2600e- 004 003
ROG		0.0000	0.0000	8.5000e- 1.2500e- 004 003	8.6000e- 004
	Category	Hauling	Vendor	Worker	Total

3.4 Site Preparation - 2015

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

CO2e		0.0000	1.8768	1.8768
NZO		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
CH4	MT/yr	0.0000	5.6000e- 004	5.6000e- 004
Total CO2	M	0.000.0	1.8651	1.8651
Bio- CO2 NBio- CO2 Total CO2		0.0000	1.8651	1.8651
.Bio-CO2		0.0000	0.0000	0.000
PM2.5 Total		4.9700e- 003	1.4200e- 003	6.3900e- 003
Exhaust PM2.5		00000	1.4200e- 003	1.4200e- 003
Fugitive PM2.5		4.9700e- 0		4.9700e- 1.
PM10 Total		9.0300 6- 003	1,5400e- 003	.0106
Exhaust PM10	s/yr	0.0000	1.5400e- 003	1.5400e- 0
Fugitive PM10	tons/yr	9.0300e- 003		9.0300e- 003
S02			3 2.0000e- 005	0.0213 2.0000e- 9.0300e- 005 003
8			0.0213	0.0213
×ON			0.0284	0.0284
ROG			2.6300e 0.0284 003	2.6300e- 003
	Category	Fugitive Dust	Off-Road	Total

Page 13 of 29

Date: 1/17/2014 3:54 PM

3.4 Site Preparation - 2015 Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

CO2e		0.0000	0.0000	0.0872	0.0872
NZO		0.0000	0.000.0	0.000.0	0.0000
CH4	ıyı	0.000.0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total CO2	MT/yr	0.000.0	0.0000	0.0871	0.0871
Bio- CO2 NBio- CO2 Total CO2		0.0000	0.0000	0.0871	0.0871
Bio- CO2		0.000.0	0.000.0	0.0000	0.0000
PM2.5 Total		0.0000	0.0000	3.0000e- 005	3.0000e- 005
Exhaust PM2.5		0.000.0	0000	0.0000	0.0000
Fugitive PM2.5		0.000.0	0.0000	3.0000e- 0 005	e- 3.0000e- 005
PM10 Total		0.000.0	0.0000	1.0000e- 004	1.0000e- 3.0
Exhaust PM10	tons/yr	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Fugitive FM10	ton	0.0000	0.000.0	00 1.0000e- 004	004 004
SOZ		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.00
00		0.0000	00000	5.1000e- 004	5.1000e- 004
XON		0.0000	00000	5.0000e- 005	3.0000e- 5.0000e- 5.1000e- 005 005 004
ROG		0.0000	0.0000	3.0000e- 5.0000e- 5.1000e- 0.0000 005 005 004	3.0000e- 005
	Category	Hauling	Vendor	Worker	Total

Mitigated Construction On-Site

	ROG	×ON	8	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio-CO2	Bio- CO2 NBio- CO2 Total CO2	Total CO2	CH4	, N2Ø	CO2e
Category					tons/yr	siyr							MT/yr	ىر مر	91	
Fugitive Dust					9.0300e- 003	0.0000	9.0300e- 4.5	4.9700e- 0 003	0000	4.9700 c 003	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Off-Road	2.6300e- 003	0.0284	0.0213 2.0000 c 005	2.0000e- 005		1.5400e- 003	1.5400e- 1 003		1.4200e- 1 003	1.4200e- 003	0.0000	1.8651	1.8651	1 5.6000e- 0 004	0.000.0	1.8768
Total	2.6300e- 003	0.0284	0.0213	0.0284 0.0213 2.0000e- 9.0300e-	9.0300e- 003	1.5400e- 0	.0106	4.9700e- 003	4.9700e- 1.4200e- 003 003	6.3900e- 003	0.000	1.8651	1.8651	5.6000e- 0.	0.0000	1.8768

Page 14 of 29

Mitigated Construction Off-Site 3.4 Site Preparation - 2015

	ROG	×ÖN	8	802	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	Bio- CO2 NBio- CO2 Total CO2	Total CO2	CH4	NZO	COZe
Category					tons/yr	ılyr							MT/yr	,		
Hauling	0.0000	0.0000 0.0000	0.0000 0.0000	0.0000	00000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.000.0	0.0000	0.0000	0.000.0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Vendor	0.0000	0.0000 0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0,000,0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.000.0	0.0000	0.0000	0.000.0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Worker	3.0000e- 005	3.0000e 5.0000e 5.1000e- 005 005 004	5.1000e- 004	0.00	0 1.0000e- 004	0.0000	1.0000e- 004	3.0000e- 0	0.000.0	3.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0871	0.0871	0.000.0	0.0000	0.0872
Total	3.0000e- 005	3.0000e- 5.0000e- 5.1000e- 005 005 004	5.1000e- 004	0.0000	1_0000e- 004	0.0000	1.0000e- 004	3.0000e- 005	0.0000	3.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0871	0.0871	0.0000	0.0000	0.0872

3.5 Grading - 2015

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

1000	os os	SO2 Fug	Fugitive E	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio-CO2	Bio- CO2 NBio- GO2 Total CO2 CH4	Total CO2	CH4	NZO	C02e
200	1 35-3		tons/yr								MT/yr	íyr		
	-	8.3100e- 003	100e- 03	0.0000	8.3100e- 2.2; 003 0	2.2300e- 1 0 003	00000	2.2300e- 003	0.0000	0.0000 1 0.0000	0.000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
0.0133 1.0000e- 005	1 5 40		ļ	1.1600e- 003	1.1600e- 003		1.0700e- 003	1.0700e- 003	0.0000	1.4193	1.4193	4.2000e- 0.	0.0000	1.4282
0.0133 1.0000e- 8.3100e- 005 003	7 W	- 8.3.	03 03	1.1600e- 9. 003	9.4700e- 003	9.4700e- 2.2300e- 003 003	1.0700e- 3.3	3.3000e- 003	0.0000	1.4193	1.4193	4.2000e- 0 004	0.0000	1.4282

Page 15 of 29

Unmitigated Construction Off-Site 3.5 Grading - 2015

CO2e		0.0000	0.0000	0.0727	0.0727
NZO		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
CH4	/yr	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total CO2	MT/yr	0.000.0	0.0000	0.0726	0.0726
NBio- CO2		0.000.0	0.0000	0.0726	0.0726
Bio- CO2 NBio- CO2 Total CO2		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
PM2.5 Total		0.000.0	0.000.0	2.0000 6 005	2.0000e- 005
Exhaust PM2.5		0.000.0	0000	0000	0.0000
Fugitive PM2.5		0.000.0	0.000.0	2.0000e- C	2.0000e- 005
PM10 Total		0.000.0	0.0000	8.0000e- 005	8.0000e- 2.0
Exhaust PM10	λλι	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.000
Fugitive PM10	tons/yr	0.0000	0.0000	8.0000e- 0 005	0.0000 8.0000e- 005
802		0.0000	0.000	0.000	0.0000
8		0.0000	0.0000	4.2000e- 004	4,2000e- 004
XON		0.0000	0.0000	4.0000e- 005	3.0000e- 4.0000e- 005 005
ROG		0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	0.000.0	3.0000e- 14.0000e- 14.2000e- 005 005 004	3.0000e- 005
	Category	Hauling	Vendor	Worker	Total

Mitigated Construction On-Site

CO2e		0.0000	1.4282	1.4282
NZO		0000	0000	0000
CH4	L.		4.2000e- 0	4.2000e- 004
	MT/yr	0.0000	1.4193	1.4193
Bio- CO2 NBio- CO2 Total CO2		0.0000	1.4193	1.4193
Bio- CO2		0.0000	0.0000	0.000.0
PM2.5 Total		2.2300e- 003	1.0700e- 003	3.3000e- 003
Exhaust PM2.5		0000	1.0700e- 003	700e- 003
Fugitive PM2.5		300e-		2.2300e- 1.0 003
PM10 Total		1	1.1600e- 003	4700e- 003
Exhaust PM10	s/yr	0.0000	1.1600e- 003	1.1600e- 003
Fugitive PM10	tons/yr	8.3100e- 003		1.0000e- 8.3100e- 005 003
S02			1.0000e- 005	1.0000e- 005
00			0.0133	0.0133
XON			0.0202	0.0202
ROG			1.9200e- 003	1.9200e- 003
	Category	Fugitive Dust	Off-Road	Total

Page 16 of 29

Date: 1/17/2014 3:54 PM

3.5 Grading - 2015

Mitigated Construction Off-Site

CO2e		0.0000	0.0000	0.0727	0.0727
ö					-
NZO		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
CH4	lyr		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total CO2	MT/yr	0.000.0	0.000.0	0.0726	0.0726
Bio- CO2* NBio- CO2 Total CO2		0.0000	0.0000	0.0726	0.0726
Bio- CO2*		0.000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
PM2.5 Total		0.0000	0.0000	2.0000 6- 005	2.0000e- 005
Exhaust PM2.5		0.0000	0 0000	0,000,0	0.0000
Fugitive PM2.5		00000	0.0000	9- 2.0000e- 1 005	2.0000e- 005
PM10 Total		0.000.0	0.000.0	8.0000e- 005	8.0000e- 005
Exhaust PM10	tons/yr	0.0000	0.000.0	0.0000	0.0000
Fugitive PM10	tons	0.0000	0.000.0	0.0000 8.0000e- 005	8.0000e- 005
802		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	00000 8.0000.0
8		0.0000	0.0000	4 2000e- 004	4.2000e- 004
XON		0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	00000 000000	3.0000e- 4.0000e- 4.2000e- 005 005	3.0000e- 4.0000e- 4.2000e- 005 005
ROG		0.0000	0.000	3.0000e- 005	3.0000e- 005
	Category	Hauling	Vendor	Worker	Total

3.6 Building Construction - 2015

Unmitigated Construction On-Site

C02e		47.4996	47.4996
NZO		0.0000 47.2052 47.2052 0.0140 0.0000 47.4996	0.0000
CH4	γ۲	0.0140	0.0140
	MT/yr	47.2052	47.2052
VBio- CO2		47.2052	0.0000 47.2052 47.2052
Bio- CO2 NBio- CO2 Total CO2		0.0000	0.0000
PM2.5 Total		0.0209	0.0209
Exhaust PM2.5		0.0209	0.0209
Fugitive PM2.5			1-,
PM10 Total		0.0226	0.0226
Exhaust PM10	slyř	0.0226	0.0226
Fugitive PM10	tons/yř		
202		5.0000e- 004	5.0000e- 004
8		0.2572	0.2572
XON		0.4677	0.4677
- ROG		0.0522	0.0522
	Category	Off-Road	Total

Page 17 of 29

Date: 1/17/2014 3:54 PM

3.6 Building Construction - 2015 Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

ROG NOX GO SO2 Fugitive PM10	GO SOZ Fugitive	SO2 Fugitive	Fugitive PM10		Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	Bio- CO2 NBio- CO2 Total CO2	Total CO2	CH4	NZO	CO2e
tons/yr	tons/yr	tons/yr	tons/yr	s/yr								MT/yr	۲,		
0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	0.0000 0.0000	0.0000			0.0000 0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.000.0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	0.0000 0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0000	0.000	00000	0.000.0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
8.6000e- 1.2600e- 0.0127 3.0000e- 2.4700e- 2.0000e- 0.0000e- 0.000	0.0127 3.0000e- 2.4700e- 2.0000e- 005 003 005	4700e- 2.0000e- 003 005	4700e- 2.0000e- 003 005	2.0000e- 005		2.4900e- 6. 003	5000e- 004	2.0000e- 6 005	6.7000e- 004	0.0000	2.1775	2.1775	1.1000 6 - 004	0.0000	2.1797
8.6000e- 1.2600e- 0.0127 3.0000e- 2.4700e- 2.0000e- 0.04 003	0.0127 3.0000e- 2.4700e- 2.0000e- 005	3.0000e- 2.4700e- 2.0000e- 005 003 005	2.4700e- 2.0000e- 003 005	2.0000e- 005		2.4900e- 003	6.6000e-	2.0000e- 6. 005	6.7000e- 004	0.0000	2.1775	2.1776	1.1000e- 004	0.0000	2.1797

Mitigated Construction On-Site

CO2e		47.4995	47.4995
NZO		0.0000	0.0000
CH4	, i	0.0140	0.0140
Total CO2	MT/yr	47.2052	47.2052
Bio- CO2 NBio- CO2 Total CO2		0.0000 47.2052 47.2052 0.0140 0.0000 47.4995	47.2052
Bio- CO2			0.0000
PM2.5 Total		0.0209	0.0209
Exhaust PM2.5		0.0209	0.0209
Fugitive PM2.5			
PM10 Total		0.0226	0.0226
	siyr	0.0226	0.0226
Fugitive Exhaust	tons/yr		
202		5.0000e- 004	5.0000e- 004
8		0.2572	0.2572
NOX .		0.4677	0.0522 0.4677 0.2572 5.0000e-
ROG		0.0522 0.4677 0.2572 5.0000e-	0.0522
	Category	Off-Road	Total

Page 18 of 29

3.6 Building Construction - 2015 Mitigated Construction Off-Site

C02e		0.0000	0.0000	2.1797	2.1797
NZO		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.000
CH4	lyr	0.0000	0.0000	1.1000e- 004	1.1000e- 004
Total CO2	MT/yr	0.0000 0.0000	0.0000	2.1775	2.1775
Bio- CO2 NBio- CO2 Total CO2		0.0000	0.0000	2.1775	2.1775
Bio- CO2		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.000
PM2.5 Total		0.0000	00000	6.7000e- 004	6.7000e- 004
Exhaust PM2.5		0.0000	0.0000	2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005
Fugitive PM2.5		0.0000	00000	6.6000e- 004	6.6000e- 004
PM10 Total		0.0000	00000	2.4900e- 003	2.4900e- 003
Exhaust PM10	s/yr	0.0000	0.0000	2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005
Fugitive PM10	tons/yr	0.0000	0.0000		3.0000e- 2.4700e- 005 003
\$05		0.000	0.0000	3.0000e- 2.4700e- 005 003	3.0000e- 005
8		0.000	0.0000	0127	0.0127
×ON		0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	0.000.0	1.2600e- 003	1.2600e- 003
ROG		0.0000	0.0000	8.6000e- 004	8.6000e- 1.
	Category	Hauling	Vendor	Worker	Total

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2015 **Unmitigated Construction On-Site**

	ROG	XÔN	8	205	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- CO2	Bio- CO2 NBio- CO2 Total CO2	Total CO2	CH4	NZO	CO2e
Category					tons/yr	siyr							MT/yr	λι		
Archit, Coating 10.0000	0.0000					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.0000	0.0000	0.000	0.0000
Off-Road	4.1000e- 004	4.1000e- 2.5700e- 004 003	1.9000e- 003	0.0000		2.2000e- 004	2.2000e- 004		2.2000e- 004	2.2000e- 004	0.0000	0.2553	0.2553	3.0000e- 0	0000	0.2560
Total	4.1000e- 004	4.1000e- 2.5700e- 1.9000e- 004 003 003	1.9000e- 003	0.0000		2.2000e- 004	2.2000e- 004		2.2000e- 004	2.2000e- 004	0.0000	0.2553	0.2553	3.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.2560

Page 19 of 29

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2015 Unmitigated Construction Off-Site

CO2e		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
N20		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
CH4	lyr	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total CO2	MT/yr	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Bio- CO2 NBio- CO2 Total CO2		0.0000	0.000.0	0.0000	0.0000
Bio-CO2		0.0000	0.000.0	0.0000	0.0000
PM2.5 Total		0.000.0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Exhaust PM2.5		0.000.0	0.000.0	0.000	0.0000
Fugitive PM2.5		0.000.0	0.0000	0.000.0	0.0000
PM10 Total		0.000.0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Exhaust PM10	tons/yr	0.000.0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Fugitive PM10	tons	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
302		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
8		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.000
×ON		0.0000 0.00000	0.0000	0.0000 0.0000	0.0000
ROG		0.0000	0.0000	0.000	0.0000
	Category	Hauling	Vendor	Worker	Total

Mitigated Construction On-Site

CO2e		0.000.0	0.2560	0.2560
N20		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
CH4	yr	0.0000 0.0000	3.0000e- 005	3.0000e- 005
Total CO2	MT/yr	0.0000	0.2553	0.2553
VBio- CO2		0.0000	0.2553	0.2553
Bio- CO2 NBio- CO2 Total CO2		0.0000	0.000.0	0.0000
PM2.5 Total		0.0000	2.2000e- 004	2,2000e- 004
Exhaust PM2.5		0.0000	2.2000e- 2 004	2.2000e- 004
Fugitive PM2.5			1	
PM10 Total		0.0000	2.2000e- 004	2.2000e- 004
Exhaust PM10	síyr	0.0000	2.2000e- 004	2.2000e- 004
Fugitive PM10	tons/yr			
205			0.0000	0.0000
8			1.9000e- 003	1.9000e- 003
XON		8	2.5700e- 1	4.1000e- 2.5700e- 1.9000e- 004 003 003
ROG		0.0000	4.1000e- 2.5700e- 1.9000e- 004 003 003	4.1000e- 004
	Category	Archit. Coating 0.0000	Off-Road	Total

Date: 1/17/2014 3:54 PM

Page 20 of 29

3.7 Architectural Coating - 2015 Mitigated Construction Off-Site

CO2e		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
NZO		0.000.0	0.000.0	0.000.0	0.0000
CH4	1.6	0.000.0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total CO2	MT/yr	0.0000 0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Bio- CO2 NBio- CO2 Total CO2			0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Bio- CO2		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.000.0
PM2.5 Total		0.0000	0.000.0	0.0000	0.0000
Exhaust PM2.5	tons/yr	0.000.0	0.0000	0.0000	0.000
Fugitive PM2.5			00000	0.000.0	0.000
PM10 Total		0.0000	00000	00000	0.0000
Exhaust PM10		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Fugitive PM10		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
805		0.0000	0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	0.0000 0.0000	0.0000
8		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
XÔN		0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
ROG		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
	Category	Hauling	Vendor	Worker	Total

4.0 Operational Detail - Mobile

4.1 Mitigation Measures Mobile

C02e		0.0000	0.0000
N20	100	0.000 0.0000 0.0000	0.0000
CH4	yr	0.0000	
Total CO2	MT/yr	0.000.0	0.000.0
VBio- CO2		0.0000	0.0000 0.0000 0.0000
Bio- CO2 NBio- CO2 Total CO2		0.0000	00000
PM2.5 Total		0.0000	0.000 0 0000
Exhaust PM2.5		0.0000	0.0000
Fugitive PM2.5		0.0000	0.000
PM10 Total		0.0000	0.0000
Exhaust PM10	lyr	0.0000	0.0000
Fugitive PM10	tons/yr		00000
		0.000.0	000000
co soz		0.0000	0.000.0
XON		0.000.0	0.000
ROG		0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	0,0000 0,0000 0,0000 0,0000
	Category		Unmitigated

Page 21 of 29

CalEEMod Version: CalEEMod.2013.2.2

4.2 Trip Summary Information

	Ave	Average Daily Trip Rate	ate	Unmitigated	Mitigated
Land Use	Weekday	Saturday	Sunday	Annual VMT	Annual VMT
User Defined Industrial	00:00	00:00	0.00		
Total	00.0	00.00	0.00		

4.3 Trip Type Information

		Miles	一年 大学		Trip %			Trip Purpose %	% es
Land Use	H-W or C-W H-S	H-S or C-C	H-O or C-NW	H-W or C-W	H-S or C-C	S or C-C H-O or C-NW H-W or C-W H-S or C-C H-O or C-NW Primary	Primary	Diverted	Pass-by
User Defined Industrial	16.60	8.40	6.90	00.0	0.00	0.00	0	0	0

0.466361* 0.070248' 0.175019' 0.170752' 0.044803' 0.007511' 0.012464' 0.040207' 0.001012' 0.001075' 0.006379' 0.000925' 0.0032	LDA	LDT1	LDT2	MDV	LHD1	LHD2	MHD	HHD	OBUS	UBUS	MCY	SBUS	MH
	0.466361	0.070248	0.175019	0.170752	0.044803	0.007511	0.012464	0.040207		0.001075	0.006379	<u>ا</u>	0.003245

5.9 Eperany Detail

Historical Energy Use: N

5.1 Mitigation Measures Energy

Page 22 of 29

C02e		00000	0.0000	·	0.0000
NZO		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
CH4	/yr	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total CO2	MT/yr	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Bio- CO2 NBio- CO2 Total CO2		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Bio- CO2		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
PM2.5 Total		0.000.0	0.000.0	0.0000	0.0000
Exhaust PM2.5		0.0000	0,000	0.000	0.0000
Fugitive PM2.5					
PM10 Total		0.0000	0.000	0.000	0.000.0
Exhaust PM10	tons/yr	0.000	0.0000	0.000	0.000
Fugitive PM10	ton			l de	
802				0,000	00000
8				0.0000	0.0000
XON				0.0000	0.0000 0.0000
ROG				0.0000	0.000.0
	Category	Electricity Mitigated	Electricity Unmitigated	NaturalGas Mitigated	NaturalGas Unmitigated

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas

Unmitigated

CO2e		0.0000	0.0000
NZO		0.0000	0.0000
CH4	<u> </u>	0.0000	0.000
Total CO2	MT/yr	0.0000 0.0000	0.0000
VBio- CO2	In the	0.0000 0.0000	0.0000
Bio- CO2 NBio- CO2 Total CO2		0.000.0	0.0000
PM2.5 Total		0.000.0	0.000
Exhaust PM2.5		0.0000	0.0000
Fugitive Exhaust PM2.5			
PM10 Total		0.0000	0.0000
Exhaust PM10	lyr	0.0000	0.0000
SO2 Fugitive PM10	tons/yr		
202		0.0000	0.0000
00		0.0000	0.0000 0.0000
NOX		0.0000	0.000
ROG		00000 000000 000000 000000	0.0000
NaturalGa ROG s Use	kBTU/yr	0	
	Land Use	User Defined Industrial	Total

Page 23 of 29

Date: 1/17/2014 3:54 PM

5.2 Energy by Land Use - NaturalGas Mitigated

C02e		0.0000	0.0000
NZO		0.0000	0.0000
CH4	۸.	0.0000	0.000
Total CO2	MT/yr	0.0000 0.0000	0.0000
VBio-CO2		0.0000	0.0000 0.0000
Bio- CO2 NBio- CO2 Total CO2		0.0000	0.0000
PM2.5 Total		0.0000	0.0000
Exhaust PM2.5		0.000	0.0000
Fugitive PM2.5			
PM10 Total		0.0000	0.0000
Exhaust PM10	s/yr	0.0000	0.000
Fugitive PM10	tons/yr		
		0.0000	0.0000
20S 2 00 3		0.0000	0.0000
XON		0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	0.0000
NaturalGa ROG NOx s Use		0.0000	0.000
NaturalGa s Use	kBTU/yr	0	
	Land Use	User Defined Industrial	Total

5.3 Energy by Land Use - Electricity

Unmitigated

	Electricity Use	Total CO2	£ ,	N20	C02e
Land Use	KWh/yr		IW	MT/yr	
User Defined Industrial	0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000 0.0000	0.0000
Total		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.000

Page 24 of 29

5.3 Energy by Land Use - Electricity

Mitigated

	Electricity Use	Electricity Total CO2 Use	CH4	NZO	C02e
Land Use	kWh/yr		M	MT/yr	
User Defined Industrial	o	0.0000	0.0000 0.0000	0.0000	0.0000 0.0000
Total		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

6.0 Area Detail

6.1 Mitigation Measures Area

COZe		3.0000e- 005	3.0000e- 005
NZO			0.0000
CH4	lyr	0.0000	0.0000
Bio- CO2 NBio- CO2 Total CO2	MT/yr	2.0000e- 12.0000e- 005 005	2.0000e- 005
NBio- CO2		2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005
Bio- CO2		0.0000	0.0000
PM2.5 Total		00000	0.0000
Exhaust PM2.5			0.0000
Fugitive PM2.5			
P.M10 Total		0.0000	0.000.0
Exhaust PtM10	ons/yr	0.0000	0.0000
Fugitive PM10	tons		
S02		0.0000	0.0000
8		1.0000e- 005	1.0000 6 005
XON		0.0000	0.0000
ROG		0.0000 0.0000 1.00006- 0.0000	0.0000 0.0000 1.00006- 0
	Category	Mitigated	Unmitigated

CalEEMod Version: CalEEMod.2013.2.2

Page 25 of 29

Date: 1/17/2014 3:54 PM

6.2 Area by SubCategory

Unmitigated

1	ROG	XON	8	205	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM 10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio- GO2	Bio- CO2 NBio- CO2 Total CO2	Total CO2	CH4	NZO	CO2e
SubCategory					tons/yr	síyr							MT/yr	iyr		
Architectural Coating	0.0000					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.000	0.000.0	0.0000 0.0000	0.0000	0.000.0	0.0000	0.0000
Consumer Products	0.0000					0.000	0.0000		0.0000	00000	0.0000	0.000.0	0.0000	0.000	0.0000	0.0000
Landscaping	0000'0	0.000	1.0000e- 005	0,000		0.0000	00000		0.000.0	0.000.0	0.0000	2.0000e- 2. 005	2.0000e- (0,000.0	0.000.0	3.0000 e- 005
Total	0.0000	0.000	0.0000 0.0000 1.0000e- 0.0000 0.0000	0.0000		0.000	0.000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	2.0000e- 005	2.0000e- 005	0.0000	0.0000	3.0000e- 005

Mitigated

	ROG	ROG NOX	ဝ္ပ	SO2	Fugitive PM10	Exhaust PM10	PM10 Total	Fugitive PM2.5	Exhaust PM2.5	PM2.5 Total	Bio-CO2	Bio- CO2 NBio- CO2 Total CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N20	CO2e
SubCategory					tons/yr	s/yr							MT/yr	λί		
Architectural Coating	0.0000					0.0000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.000	0.000.0	0.000	0.0000	0.0000
Consumer Products	00000					0.000.0	0,000		0.0000	00000	0.0000	0.0000	00000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Landscaping	00000	0.0000 1.0000e-	1.0000e- 005	0.0000		0000 0	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	2.0000e- 2. 005	2.0000e- 0 005	0.000.0	0.0000	3.0000e- 005
Total	0.000	0.0000 1.0000e- 0.0000 005	1.0000e- 005	0.0000		0.000	0.0000		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	2.0000e- 2. 005	2.0000e- 005	0.000	0.0000	3.0000e- 005

7.0 Water Detail

Page 26 of 29

Date: 1/17/2014 3:54 PM

CalEEMod Version: CalEEMod.2013.2.2

NATA:	MT/yr	MT/yr 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000
1011791		0.0000 0.00000

7.2 Water by Land Use

Unmitigated

Larid Use Mgal MT/yr User Defined Industrial Total 0 / 0 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000		Indoor/Out door Use	Indoor/Out Total CO2 door Use	CH4	NZO	CO2e
0/0 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	Land Use	Mgal		LW	íyr	
0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	User Defined Industrial	0/0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
	Total		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

Date: 1/17/2014 3:54 PM

7.2 Water by Land Use

•		ı	
•			
•			
•			
-			
-			

401 Page 1	door Use		TAN	MTA	
Laliu Ose	8				
User Defined Industrial	0/0	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Total		0.0000	0.0000	0.000	0.000

8.0 Waste Detail

8.1 Mitigation Measures Waste

Category/Year

	200 1880	44.0 TM	MT/yr	9700
Mitigated	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Unmitigated	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

Page 28 of 29

8.2 Waste by Land Use

Unmitigated

	Waste Disposed	Total CO2	CH4	NZO	C02e
Land Use	tons		N	MT/yr	
User Defined Industrial	0	0.0000		0.0000 0.0000	0.0000
Total		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000

Mitigated

User Defined

9.0 Operational Offroad

r Hours/Day	ipment Type Number
	r Hours/Day

10.0 Vegetation

Date: 1/17/2014 3:54 PM

Riverside County LMS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Page: 1

Parcel: 463-040-018

CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT Case #: CUP03698

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

EVERY DEPARTMENT

10. EVERY. 1

USE - PROJECT DESCRIPTION

RECOMMND

The use hereby permitted is for construction and use of a 1.25 MW (AC) Solar Photovoltaic Electricity Generation Facility on an approximately 10 acre parcel.

The Photovoltaic panels will be on a ground-mounted fixed-tilt racking system. The PV panels will be installed approximately four feet off the ground and have tilt height of appoximately 7 feet.

A single equipment skid will be installed in a central location in the solar power plant to house the electrical equipment for the Project including the inverters, transformer, protection equipment, and monitoring/communications equipment.

The Project is commonly referred to as the Diamond Valley Solar Project and includes the following associated cases: Public Use Permit No. 921, Change of Zone No. 7813, and Development Agreement No 80.

10. EVERY. 2

USE - HOLD HARMLESS

RECOMMND

The applicant/permittee or any successor-in-interest shall defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the County of Riverside or its agents, officers, and employees (COUNTY) from the following:

- (a) any claim, action, or proceeding against the COUNTY to attack, set aside, void, or annul an approval of the COUNTY, its advisory agencies, appeal boards, or legislative body concerning the CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT; and,
- (b) any claim, action or proceeding against the COUNTY to attack, set aside, void or annul any other decision made by the COUNTY concerning the CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT, including, but not limited to, decisions made in response to California Public Records Act requests.

The COUNTY shall promptly notify the applicant/permittee of any such claim, action, or proceeding and shall cooperate fully in the defense. If the COUNTY fails to promptly notify the applicant/permittee of any such claim, action, or proceeding or fails to cooperate fully in the defense,

Riverside County LMS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Page: 2

Parcel: 463-040-018

CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT Case #: CUP03698

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10. EVERY. 2 USE - HOLD HARMLESS (cont.)

RECOMMND

the applicant/permittee shall not, thereafter, be responsible to defend, indemnify or hold harmless the COUNTY.

The obligations imposed by this condition include, but are not limited to, the following: the applicant/permittee shall pay all legal services expenses the COUNTY incurs in connection with any such claim, action or proceeding, whether it incurs such expenses directly, whether it is ordered by a court to pay such expenses, or whether it incurs such expenses by providing legal services through its Office of County Counsel.

10. EVERY. 3 USE - DEFINITIONS

RECOMMND

The words identified in the following list that appear in all capitals in the attached conditions of Conditional Use Permit No. 3698 shall be henceforth defined as follows:

APPROVED EXHIBIT A = Conditional Use Permit No. 3698, Exhibit A, dated 8-7-14.

APPROVED EXHIBIT L= Conditional Use Permit No. 3698, Exhbit L, pages 1-10, dated 8-7-14.

The words or any combination thereof identified in the following list that appear in the attached conditions of Conditional Use Permit No. 3698 shall be considered equivalent and are identified as follows:

Applicant/Permitee, Permit Holder/Permitee, Applicant, Permit Holder, Permit Holder's, Developer.

10. EVERY. 4 USE - 90 DAYS TO PROTEST

RECOMMND

The project developer has 90 days from the date of approval of these conditions to protest, in accordance with the procedures set forth in Government Code Section 66020, the imposition of any and all fees, dedications, reservations and/or other exactions imposed on this project as a result of this approval or conditional approval of this project.

10. EVERY. 5

USE - DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT

RECOMMND

The use approved under Conditional Use Permit No. 3698 shall not be effective until Development Agreement No. 80

Riverside County LMS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Page: 3

CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT Case #: CUP03698

Parcel: 463-040-018

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10. EVERY. 5

USE - DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT (cont.)

RECOMMND

is effective. All use of Conditional Use Permit No. 3698 shall be done in strict compliance with the provisions of Development Agreement No. 80 and these conditions of approval.

BS GRADE DEPARTMENT

10.BS GRADE. 1 USE -

USE - GENERAL INTRODUCTION

RECOMMND

Improvements such as grading, filling, over excavation and recompaction, and base or paving which require a grading permit are subject to the included Building and Safety Department Grading Division conditions of approval.

10.BS GRADE. 3 USE - O

USE - OBEY ALL GDG REGS

RECOMMND

All grading shall conform to the California Building Code, Ordinance 457, and all other relevant laws, rules, and regulations governing grading in Riverside County and prior to commencing any grading which includes 50 or more cubic yards, the applicant shall obtain a grading permit from the Building and Safety Department.

10.BS GRADE. 4

USE - DISTURBS NEED G/PMT

RECOMMND

Ordinance 457 requires a grading permit prior to clearing, grubbing, or any top soil disturbances related to construction grading.

10.BS GRADE. 6

USE - NPDES INSPECTIONS

RECOMMND

Construction activities including clearing, stockpiling, grading or excavation of land which disturbs less than 1 acre and requires a grading permit or construction Building permit shall provide for effective control of erosion, sediment and all other pollutants year-round. The permit holder shall be responsible for the installation and monitoring of effective erosion and sediment controls. Such controls will be evaluated by the Department of Building and Safety periodically and prior to permit Final to verify compliance with industry recognized erosion control measures.

Construction activities including but not limited to clearing, stockpiling, grading or excavation of land, which disturbs 1 acre or more or on-sites which are part of a

Riverside County LMS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Page: 4

Parcel: 463-040-018

CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT Case #: CUP03698

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.BS GRADE. 6

USE - NPDES INSPECTIONS (cont.)

RECOMMND

larger common plan of development which disturbs less than 1 acre are required to obtain coverage under the construction general permit with the State Water Resources Control Board. You are required to provide proof of WDID# and keep a current copy of the storm water pollution prevention plan (SWPPP) on the construction site and shall be made available to the Department of Building and Safety upon request.

Year-round, Best Management Practices (BMP's) shall be maintained and be in place for all areas that have been graded or disturbed and for all material, equipment and/or operations that need protection. Stabilized Construction Entrances and project perimeter linear barriers are required year round. Removal BMP's (those BMP's which must be temporarily removed during construction activities) shall be in place at the end of each working day.

Monitoring for erosion and sediment control is required and shall be performed by the QSD or QSP as required by the Construction General Permit. Stormwater samples are required for all discharge locations and projects may not exceed limits set forth by the Construction General Permit Numeric Action Levels and/or Numeric Effluent Levels. A Rain Event Action Plan is required when there is a 50% or greater forecast of rain within the 48 hours, by the National Weather Service or whenever rain is imminent. The QSD or QSP must print and save records of the precipitation forecast for the project location area from (http://www.srh.noaa.gov/forecast) and must accompany monitoring reports and sampling test data. A Rain gauge is required on site. The Department of Building and Safety will conduct periodic NPDES inspections of the site throughout the recognized storm season to verify compliance with the Construction General Permit and Stormwater ordinances and regulations.

10.BS GRADE. 7

USE - EROSION CNTRL PROTECT

RECOMMND

Graded but undeveloped land shall provide, in addition to erosion control planting, any drainage facility deemed necessary to control or prevent erosion. Additional erosion protection may be required during the rainy season from October 1, to May 31.

Riverside County LMS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Page: 5

Parcel: 463-040-018

CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT Case #: CUP03698

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.BS GRADE. 8 USE - DUST CONTROL

RECOMMND

All necessary measures to control dust shall be implemented by the developer during grading. A PM10 plan may be required at the time a grading permit is issued.

BS PLNCK DEPARTMENT

10.BS PLNCK. 1

USE - BUILD & SAFETY PLANCK

RECOMMND

Where Riverside County has jurisdiction, the applicant shall obtain all required building permits from the Building Department.

CODE/ORDINANCE REQUIREMENTS:

The applicant shall obtain the required building permit(s) from the building department prior to any construction on the property. All building plans and supporting documentation shall comply with current adopted California Building Codes, Riverside County Ordinances, and California Title 25 regulations in effect at the time of building plan submittal and fee payment to the Building Department. All Building Department plan submittal and fee requirements shall apply.

NOTE: The new updated 2013 California Building Codes will be in effect as of January 1st 2014, as mandated by the state of California. Any building plan and fee payment submitted to the building department on or after January 1st, 2014 will be subject to the new updated California Building Code(s).

PERMIT ISSUANCE:

Per section 105.1 (2010 California Building Code, CBC): Where any owner or authorized agent intends to construct, enlarge, alter , repair, move, demolish or change the occupancy of a building or structure, or to erect, install, enlarge, alter, repair, remove, convert, or replace any electrical, gas, mechanical, or plumbing system, the regulation of which is governed by this code, or to cause any such work to be done, shall first make application to the building official and obtain the required permit. The applicant shall obtain the required building permit(s) from the building department prior to any construction or placement of any building, structure or equipment on the property.

The applicant shall obtain an approved final building inspection and certificate of occupancy from the building

Riverside County LMS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Page: 6

Parcel: 463-040-018

CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT Case #: CUP03698

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.BS PLNCK. 1 USE - BUILD & SAFETY PLANCK (cont.)

RECOMMND

department prior to any use or occupancy of the building, or structure.

At no time shall the approval of the planning case exhibit allow for the construction or use of any building, structure, or equipment. In residential applications, each separate structure will require a separate building permit

E HEALTH DEPARTMENT

10.E HEALTH. 1

INDUSTRIAL HYGIENE-COMMENTS

RECOMMND

A noise study is not required based upon the submitted diagram, zoning and the proposed solar facility. However, this facility shall be required to comply with the following:

- 1. Facility-related noise, as projected to any portion of any surrounding property containing a "sensitive receiver, habitable dwelling, hospital, school, library, or nursing home", must not exceed the following worst-case noise levels: 45 dB(A) 10 minute noise equivalent level ("leq"), between the hours of 10:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m. (nighttime standard) and 65 dB(A) 10 minute leq, between 7:00 a.m. and 10:00 p.m. (daytime standard).
- 2. Whenever a construction site is within one-quarter (1/4) of a mile of an occupied residence or residences, no construction activities shall be undertaken between the hours of 6:00 p.m. and 6:00 a.m. during the months of June through September and between the hours of 6:00 p.m. and 7:00 a.m. during the months of October through May. Exceptions to these standards shall be allowed only with the written consent of the building official.
- 3. All construction vehicles, equipment fixed or mobile shall be equipped with properly operating and maintained mufflers, consistent with the manufacturer's standard. The construction contractor shall place all stationary construction equipment so that emitted noise is directed away from the noise sensitive receptors nearest to the project site.
- 4. During construction, best efforts should be made to locate stockpiling and/or vehicle staging area as far as practicable from existing noise sensitive receptors (residential dwellings) nearest the project site during all

Riverside County LMS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Page: 7

CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT Case #: CUP03698

Parcel: 463-040-018

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.E HEALTH. 1

INDUSTRIAL HYGIENE-COMMENTS (cont.)

RECOMMND

project construction.

For any questions, please contact the Office of Industrial Hygiene at (951) 955-8980.

10.E HEALTH. 2

ENV CLEANUP PROGRAM-COMMENTS

RECOMMND

If previously unidentified contamination or the presence of naturally occurring hazardous material is discovered at the site, assessment, investigation, and/or cleanup may be required. Contact Environmental Cleanups Programs at (951) 955-8982 for further information.

FIRE DEPARTMENT

10.FIRE. 1

USE-#25-GATE ENTRANCES

RECOMMND

ny gate providing access from a road to a driveway shall be located at least 35 feet from the roadway and shall open to allow a vehicle to stop without obstructing traffic on the road. Where a one-way road with a single traffic lane provides access to a gate entrance, a 38 foot turning radius shall be used.

10.FIRE. 2

USE-#88A-AUTO/MAN GATES

RECOMMND

Gate(s) shall be manual or automatic operated, minimum 20 feet in width, with a setback of 35 feet from face of curb/flow line. Gate access shall be equipped with a rapid entry system. Plans shall be submitted to the Fire Department for approval prior to installation. Automatic/manual gate pins shall be rated with shear pin force, not to exceed 30 foot pounds. Automatic gates shall be equipped with emergency backup power. Gates activated by the rapid entry system shall remain open until closed by the rapid entry system.

FLOOD RI DEPARTMENT

10.FLOOD RI. 1 USE FLOOD HAZARD REPORT

RECOMMND

Conditional Use Permit 03698 is a proposal to construct an unmanned solar photovoltaic electricity generation facility on an approximately 10-acre site. The site is located in the Winchester area on the south side of Grand Avenue east of Patterson Avenue. The south property line is adjacent

Riverside County LMS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Page: 8

CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT Case #: CUP03698

Parcel: 463-040-018

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.FLOOD RI. 1 USE FLOOD HAZARD REPORT (cont.)

RECOMMND

to the AT&SF Railroad right of way. Parcel Map 36611, Public Use Permit 921 and Change of Zone 7813 are being processed concurrently with this proposal. The site is Parcel 4 of PM 36611.

As stated in the Flood Hazard Report for PM 36611, the site is subject to sheet flow flooding and the finished floor of all structures shall be elevated 18-inches above the highest adjacent ground. The bottom of the solar panels shall also have an 18-inch clearance above the adjacent ground. The fencing is set back from the property line and should not result in blocking flows or any ponding onto the adjacent properties. However, a storm of unusual magnitude could cause some damage. Chain link, agricultural or wrought iron is acceptable fencing. No solid block or masonry walls shall be allowed.

A Condition of Approval for PM 36611 requires the southerly 54.50-foot of the site be dedicated to the public for the future construction of Line C of the Winchester Master Drainage Plan. No permanent structures, utilities or underground storage tanks shall be permitted within this dedicated area.

The project site is located in the Winchester/North Hemet sub-watershed of the Salt Creek Channel Area Drainage Plan (ADP) where fees have been adopted by the Board of Supervisors. A flood mitigation fee will be assessed for this project and is payable prior to the issuance of any grading or building permits.

10.FLOOD RI. 2

USE DEDICATE R/W-NO STRUCTURES

RECOMMND

The proposed alignment for Line C of the Winchester MDP is along the southerly boundary of the site. The dedicated right of way required is 54.50-feet. This area shall be dedicated to the public for drainage purposes. No permanent structures, utilities or underground storage tanks shall be permitted within this dedication.

10.FLOOD RI. 3

USE ELEVATE FINISH FLOOR

RECOMMND

The finished floor of new structures shall be elevated 18-inches above the highest adjacent ground. Any mobile home/premanufactured building shall be placed on a permanent foundation. The bottom of the solar panels shall

Riverside County LMS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Page: 9

CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT Case #: CUP03698 Parcel: 463-040-018

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.FLOOD RI. 3 USE ELEVATE FINISH FLOOR (cont.)

RECOMMND

also have an 18-inch clearance above the adjacent ground.

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

10.PLANNING. 1

USE - IF HUMAN REMAINS FOUND

RECOMMND

The developer/permit holder or any successor in interest shall comply with the following codes:

Pursuant to State Health and Safety Code Section 7050.5, if human remains are encountered, no further disturbance shall occur until the County Coroner has made the necessary findings as to origin. Further, pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 5097.98 (b), remains shall be left in place and free from disturbance until a final decision as to the treatment and their disposition has been made. If the Riverside County Coroner determines the remains to be Native American, the Native American Heritage Commission shall be contacted by the Coroner within the period specified by law (24 hours) . Subsequently, the Native American Heritage Commission shall identify the "Most Likely Descendant". The Most Likely Descendant shall then make recommendations and engage in consultation with the property owner and the County Archaeologist concerning the treatment of the remains as provided in Public Resources Code Section 5097.98. Human remains from other ethnic/cultural groups with recognized historical associations to the project area shall also be subject to consultation between appropriate representatives from that group and the County Archaeologist.

10.PLANNING. 2

USE - GEO02370

RECOMMND

County Geologic Report (GEO) No. 2370, submitted for this project (PM36611, CUP03698, CZ07813 and PUP00921) was prepared by RCH Engineering, Inc. and is entitled: "Preliminary Geotechnical Soils Evaluation", dated September 3, 2013. In addition, RCH submitted "Review Comments Dated April 24, 2014 for Preliminary Geotechnical Soils Evaluation for the Site Located at 33725 Grand Avenue, Winchester, California, Assessor's parcel Number 463-040-018, Riverside County, . County Geologic Report No. 2370.", dated May 14, 2014. This document is herein incorporated as a part of GEO02370.

GEO02370 concluded:

Page: 10

CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT Case #: CUP03698

Parcel: 463-040-018

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.PLANNING. 2

USE - GEO02370 (cont.)

RECOMMND

- 1. No active faults are known to traverse the subject site.
- 2. The site has a nil potential for liquefaction.
- 3. A negligible amount of seismic-induced settlement is anticipated.
- 4. There is a potential for hydro-consolidation at a level of approximately 3 feet below ground surface.
- 5. Landslides were not observed on or near the subject site.

GEO02370 recommended:

- 1.A deep foundation that will be embedded more than 3 feet.
- 2. The panels are to be supported by Cablofil W6x8.5 steel I-beams that have a minimum embedment depth of 8.5 feet below ground.

GEO No. 2370 satisfies the requirement for a geologic/geotechnical study for Planning/CEQA purposes. GEO No. 2370 is hereby accepted for Planning purposes. Engineering and other Uniform Building Code parameters were not included as a part of this review or approval. This approval is not intended and should not be misconstrued as approval for grading permit. Engineering and other building code parameters should be reviewed and additional comments and/or conditions may be imposed by the City upon application for grading and/or building permits.

10.PLANNING. 3

REN ENG - UTILITY COORDINATION

RECOMMND

The developer/permit holder shall ensure all distribution lines, transmission lines, electrical substations and other interconnection facilities are constructed to the specifications of the utility purveyor and/or building codes. Interconnection shall conform to the procedures and standards established by the Public Utilities Commission or as applicable.

10.PLANNING. 4

REN ENG - FUTURE INTERFERENCE

RECOMMND

If the operation of this facility generates electronic interference with or otherwise impairs the operation of any

Riverside County LMS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Page: 11

CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT Case #: CUP03698

Parcel: 463-040-018

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.PLANNING. 4

REN ENG - FUTURE INTERFERENCE (cont.)

RECOMMND

communication facilities, the developer/permit holder shall take immediate action and consult with County Information Technology staff to develop and implement measures acceptable to the Department of Information Technology.

10.PLANNING. 5

REN ENG - REPLACE OR MODIFY

RECOMMND

The developer/permit holder shall give written notice to the Planning and Building Safety Directors prior to the replacement or modification of any portion of this site as shown on the APPROVED EXHIBITS except for routine maintenance.

Any changes, refinements or amendments to the existing development approvals granted under Conditional Use Permit No. 3698 shall be processed in accordance with the terms of Section 3.4 of Development Agreement No. 80.

10.PLANNING. 6

REN ENG - ON SITE DIST. LINES

RECOMMND

The developer/permit holder shall ensure all on site electrical distribution lines are undergrounded up to the point of step-up or utility interface in the case of an on-site substation.

10.PLANNING. 7

REN ENG - PRODUCTION MONITORIN

RECOMMND

The developer/permit holder shall monitor the solar power plant's power production, including the power production for each array or power block and ensure systems are in place to continue monitoring throughout the life of the permit from the time the facility is connected to the grid and begins selling power. A report of the solar power plant's power production shall be produced within fourth-five (45) days from the date the developer/permit holder receives the request from the County.

10.PLANNING. 8

REN ENG - NO FINAL NO CONNECT

RECOMMND

The developer/permit holder shall ensure that the Department of Building and safety has completed their final inspection prior to connection to the utility purveyor.

A temporary power permit may be pursued from the Department of Building and Safety prior to final inspection for construction and to allow equipment and system testing.

Riverside County LMS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Page: 12

CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT Case #: CUP03698

Parcel: 463-040-018

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.PLANNING. 8

REN ENG - NO FINAL NO CONNECT (cont.)

RECOMMND

The Director of Building and Safety or his designee, may allow the interconnection of individual arrays or power blocks if it is determine that adequate safe guards exist to ensure compliance with all conditions of approval.

10.PLANNING. 9

USE - SOLAR PROJECTS

RECOMMND

- 1. The applicant shall maintain re-vegetated surfaces until a self-sustaining stand of vegetation is re-established and visually adapted to the undisturbed surrounding vegetation. No new disturbance shall be created during operations without prior approval from the County.
- 2. Interim restoration shall be undertaken during the operating life of the project as soon as possible after disturbances.
- 3. Painted facilities shall be kept in good repair and repainted when color fades or flakes.
- 4. The color treatment method used to reduce visual contrast between the backs or non-energy gathering side of the solar panels and the landscape setting shall be kept in good repair, and repaired/retreated when it no longer effectively reduces the visual contrast.
- 5. Where feasible, vehicle mounted lights should be used for night maintenance activities. Wherever feasible, consistent with safety and security, lighting should be kept off when not in use.

10.PLANNING. 10

USE - COMPLY WITH ORD./CODES

RECOMMND

The development of these premises shall comply with the standards of Ordinance No. 348 and all other applicable Riverside County ordinances and State and Federal codes.

The development of the premises shall conform substantially with that as shown on APPROVED EXHIBIT A, unless otherwise amended by these conditions of approval.

10.PLANNING. 11 USE - FEES FOR REVIEW

RECOMMND

Any subsequent submittals required by these conditions approval, including but not limited to grading plan, building plan or mitigation monitoring review, shall be

Riverside County LMS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Page: 13

Parcel: 463-040-018

CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT Case #: CUP03698

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.PLANNING. 11 USE - FEES FOR REVIEW (cont.)

RECOMMND

reviewed on an hourly basis (research fee), or other such review fee as may be in effect at the time of submittal, as required by Ordinance No. 671. Each submittal shall be accompanied with a letter clearly indicating which condition or conditions the submittal is intended to comply with

10. PLANNING. 12 USE - LIGHTING HOODED/DIRECTED

RECOMMND

Any outside lighting shall be hooded and directed so as not to shine directly upon adjoining property or public rights-of-way.

10.PLANNING. 18 USE - NO OUTDOOR ADVERTISING

RECOMMND

No outdoor advertising display, sign or billboard (not including on-site advertising or directional signs) shall be constructed or maintained within the property subject to this approval.

10.PLANNING. 24 USE - RECLAIMED WATER

RECOMMND

The permit holder shall connect to a reclaimed water supply for landscape watering purposes when secondary or reclaimed water is made available to the site.

10.PLANNING. 26 USE - NO RESIDENT OCCUPANCY

RECOMMND

No permanent occupancy shall be permitted within the property approved under this conditional use permit as a principal place of residence. No person, shall use the premises as a permanent mailing address nor be entitled to vote using an address within the premises as a place of residence.

10.PLANNING. 28 USE - EXTERIOR NOISE LEVELS

RECOMMND

Exterior noise levels produced by any use allowed under this permit, including, but not limited to, any outdoor public address system, shall not exceed 45 db(A), 10-minute LEQ, between the hours of 10:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m., and 65 db(A), 10-minute LEQ, at all other times as measured at any residential, hospital, school, library, nursing home or other similar noise sensitive land use. In the event noise exceeds this standard, the permittee or the permittee's successor-in-interest shall take the

Riverside County LMS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Page: 14

CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT Case #: CUP03698

Parcel: 463-040-018

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.PLANNING. 28 USE - EXTERIOR NOISE LEVELS (cont.)

RECOMMND

necessary steps to remedy the situation, which may include discontinued operation of the facilities. he permit holder shall comply with the applicable standards of Ordinance No. 847.

10.PLANNING. 29 USE - NOISE MONITORING REPORTS

RECOMMND

The permit holder may be required to submit periodic noise monitoring reports as determined by the Department of Building and Safety as part of a code enforcement action. Upon written notice from the Department of Building and Safety requiring such a report, the permittee or the permittee's successor-in-interest shall prepare and submit an approved report within thirty (30) calendar days to the Department of Building and Safety, unless more time is allowed through written agreement by the Department of Building and Safety. The noise monitoring report shall be approved by the Office of Industrial Hygiene of the Health Service Agency (the permittee or the permittee's successor-in-interest shall be required to place on deposit sufficient funds to cover the costs of this approval prior to commencing the required report).

10. PLANNING. 31 USE - CAUSES FOR REVOCATION

RECOMMND

In the event the use hereby permitted under this permit,

- a) is found to be in violation of the terms and conditions of this permit,
- b) is found to have been obtained by fraud or perjured testimony, or
- c) is found to be detrimental to the public health, safety or general welfare, or is a public nuisance, this permit shall be subject to the revocation procedures.

10.PLANNING. 32 USE - CEASED OPERATIONS

RECOMMND

In the event the use hereby permitted ceases operation for a period of one (1) year or more, this approval shall become null and void.

10.PLANNING. 36 USE - MT PALOMAR LIGHTING AREA

RECOMMND

Within the Mt. Palomar Special Lighting Area, as defined in Ordinance No. 655, low pressure sodium vapor lighting or overhead high pressure sodium vapor lighting with shields or cutoff luminares, shall be utilized.

Riverside County LMS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Page: 15

CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT Case #: CUP03698

Parcel: 463-040-018

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.PLANNING. 37 USE - ORD 810 O S FEE (1)

RECOMMND

In accordance with Riverside County Ordinance No. 810, to assist in providing revenue to acquire and preserve open space and habitat, an Open Space Mitigation Fee shall be paid for each development project or portion of an expanded development project to be constructed in Western Riverside County. The amount of the fee for commercial or industrial development shall be calculated on the basis of "Project Area," which shall mean the net area, measured in acres, from the adjacent road right-of-way to the limits of the project development.

Any area identified as "NO USE PROPOSED" on the APPROVED EXHIBIT shall not be included in the Project Area.

10.PLANNING. 41 USE - I

USE - BUSINESS LICENSING

RECOMMND

Every person conducting a business within the unincorporated area of Riverside County, as defined in Riverside County Ordinance No. 857, shall obtain a business license. For more information regarding business registration, contact the Business Registration and License Program Office of the Building and Safety Department at www.rctlma.org.buslic.

10.PLANNING, 42

USE - PREVENT DUST & BLOWSAND

RECOMMND

Graded but undeveloped land shall be maintained in a condition so as to prevent a dust and/or blowsand nuisance and shall be either planted with interim landscaping or provided with other wind and water erosion control measures as approved by the Building and Safety Department and the State air quality management authorities.

TRANS DEPARTMENT

10.TRANS. 1

USE - STD INTRO (ORD 461)

RECOMMND

With respect to the conditions of approval for the referenced tentative exhibit, the landowner shall provide all street improvements, street improvement plans and/or road dedications set forth herein in accordance with Riverside County Road Improvement Standards (Ordinance 461). It is understood that the exhibit correctly shows acceptable centerline elevations, all existing easements, traveled ways, and drainage courses with appropriate Q's, and that their omission or unacceptability may require the

Riverside County LMS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Page: 16

CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT Case #: CUP03698

Parcel: 463-040-018

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.TRANS 1

USE - STD INTRO (ORD 461) (cont.)

RECOMMND

exhibit to be resubmitted for further consideration. This ordinance and all conditions of approval are essential parts and a requirement occurring in ONE is as binding as though occurring in all. All questions regarding the true meaning of the conditions shall be referred to the Transportation Department.

10.TRANS. 2

USE - COUNTY WEB SITE

RECOMMND

Additional information, standards, ordinances, policies, and design guidelines can be obtained from the Transportation Department Web site: http://rctlma.org/trans/. If you have questions, please call the Plan Check Section at (951) 955-6527.

10.TRANS. 3

USE - TS/EXEMPT

RECOMMND

The Transportation Department has not required a traffic study for the subject project. The Transportation Department has determined that the project is exempt from traffic study requirements.

10.TRANS. 4

USE - SUBMIT FINAL WOMP

RECOMMND

In compliance with the currently effective Municipal Stormwater Permit issued by the Santa Ana Regional Water Quality Control Board [Order No. R8-2010-0033, et seq.], and beginning January 1, 2005, all projects that 1) are located within the drainage boundary (watershed) of the Santa Ana River; and 2) require discretionary approval by the County of Riverside must comply with the Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) for Urban Runoff. The WQMP addresses post-development water quality impacts from new development and significant redevelopment projects. The WQMP provides detailed guidelines and templates to assist the applicant in completing the necessary documentation and calculations. These documents are available on-line at: www.rcflood.org/npdes.

To comply with the WQMP, applicants must prepare and submit a "Project Specific" WQMP. At a minimum, the WQMP must: a) identify the post-project pollutants associated with the development proposal together with any adverse hydrologic impacts to receiving waters; b) identify site-specific mitigation measures or Best Management Practices (BMPs) for the identified impacts including site design, source

Riverside County LMS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Page: 17

CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT Case #: CUP03698

Parcel: 463-040-018

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.TRANS. 4

USE - SUBMIT FINAL WQMP (cont.)

RECOMMND

control and treatment control post-development BMPs; and c) identify a sustainable funding and maintenance mechanism for the aforementioned BMPs. A template for this report is included as 'Exhibit A' in the WQMP.

The applicant has submitted a report that meets the criteria for a Preliminary Project Specific. However, in order to meet the requirements of a Final Project Specific WQMP, the report must be completed in substantial conformance to the Preliminary Project Specific WQMP. Also, the applicant should note that, if the project requires a Section 401 Water Quality certification, the Regional Water Quality Control Board may require additional water quality measures.

10.TRANS. 5

USE - LANDSCAPE ROMTS (LS)

RECOMMND

The developer/permit holder shall ensure that all common area landscaping is healthy, free of weeds, disease and pests and all plant materials are maintained in a viable growth condition.

Prior to the installation or rehabilitation of 2,500 square feet or more of landscaped area, the developer/ permit holder/landowner shall:

- 1) Submit landscape and irrigation plans to the County Transportation Department, Landscape Section for review and approval. Such plans shall be submitted with a completed Agreement for Payment of Costs of Application Processing form (IP application) with the applicable current fee as determined by the County, comply with Ordinance No. 859 and be prepared in accordance with the County of Riverside Guide to California Friendly Landscaping guidelines. Emphasis shall be placed on using low water use plant species that are drought tolerant;
- 2) Ensure all landscape and irrigation plans are in conformance with the approved conceptual landscape exhibit;
- 3) Ensure all landscaping is provided with a weather-based irrigation controller(s) as defined by County Ordinance No. 859; and,

Riverside County LMS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Page: 18

Parcel: 463-040-018

CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT Case #: CUP03698

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.TRANS. 5

USE - LANDSCAPE RQMTS (LS) (cont.)

RECOMMND

4) Ensure that irrigation plans which may use reclaimed water conform with the requirements of the local water purveyor; and,

The developer/permit holder is responsible for the maintenance, viability and upkeep of all slopes, landscaped areas, and irrigation systems until the successful completion of the installation inspection or those operations become the responsibility of the individual property owner(s), a property owner's association, or any other successor-in-interest, whichever occurs later.

10.TRANS. 6

USE - ENCROACHMENT PERMIT

RECOMMND

An encroachment permit must be obtained from the Transportation Department prior to the commencement of any work within the County road right-of-way.

20. PRIOR TO A CERTAIN DATE

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

20.PLANNING. 2

USE - LIFE OF THE PERMIT

RECOMMND

The life of Conditional Use Permit No. 3698 shall be effective the date that Development Agreement No. 80 is effective and shall continue for a period of thirty years thereafter, approximately December 1, 2044. This permit shall thereafter be null and void and of no effect whatsoever unless the permit and Development Agreement have been extended pursuant to the provisions of Development Agreement No. 80 and the "Existing Land Use Regulations" referenced in Development Agreement No. 80.

20.PLANNING. 4

USE - EXPIRATION DATE-CUP/PUP

RECOMMND

This approval shall be used within two (2) years of the approval date; otherwise, it shall become null and void and of no effect whatsoever. By use is meant the beginning of substantial construction contemplated by this approval within two (2) year period which is thereafter diligently pursued to completion or to the actual occupancy of existing buildings or land under the terms of the authorized use. Prior to the expiration of the two year period, the permittee may request a one (1) year extension of time in which to begin substantial construction or use

Riverside County LMS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Page: 19

CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT Case #: CUP03698

Parcel: 463-040-018

20. PRIOR TO A CERTAIN DATE

20.PLANNING. 4

USE - EXPIRATION DATE-CUP/PUP (cont.)

RECOMMND

of this permit. Should the one year extension be obtained and no substantial construction or use of this permit be initiated within three (3) years of the approval date this permit, shall become null and void.

60. PRIOR TO GRADING PRMT ISSUANCE

BS GRADE DEPARTMENT

60.BS GRADE. 1 USE - NPDES/SWPPP

RECOMMND

Prior to issuance of any grading or construction permits whichever comes first - the applicant shall provide the Building and Safety Department evidence of compliance with the following: "Effective March 10, 2003 owner operators of grading or construction projects are required to comply with the N.P.D.E.S. (National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System) requirement to obtain a construction permit from the State Water Resource Control Board (SWRCB). The permit requirement applies to grading and construction sites of "ONE" acre or larger. The owner operator can comply by submitting a "Notice of Intent" (NOI), develop and implement a STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN (SWPPP) and a monitoring program and reporting plan for the construction site. For additional information and to obtain a copy of the NPDES State Construction Permit contact the SWRCB at www.swrcb.ca.gov.

Additionally, at the time the county adopts, as part of any ordinance, regulations specific to the N.P.D.E.S., this project (or subdivision) shall comply with them.

60.BS GRADE. 2 USE - GRADING SECURITY

RECOMMND

Grading in excess of 199 cubic yards will require a performance security to be posted with the Building and Safety Department.

60.BS GRADE. 4 USE - GEOTECH/SOILS RPTS

RECOMMND

Geotechnical soils reports, required in order to obtain a grading permit, shall be submitted to the Building and Safety Department for review and approval prior to issuance of a grading permit. All grading shall be in conformance with the recommendations of the geotechnical/soils reports as approved by Riverside County.* *The geotechnical/soils,

Riverside County LMS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Page: 20

CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT Case #: CUP03698

Parcel: 463-040-018

60. PRIOR TO GRADING PRMT ISSUANCE

60.BS GRADE. 4

USE - GEOTECH/SOILS RPTS (cont.)

RECOMMND

compaction and inspection reports will be reviewed in accordance with the RIVERSIDE COUNTY GEOTECHNICAL GUIDELINES FOR REVIEW OF GEOTECHNICAL AND GEOLOGIC REPORTS.

60.BS GRADE. 6

USE - DRAINAGE DESIGN Q100

RECOMMND

All drainage facilities shall be designed n accordance with the Riverside County Flood Control & Water District's or Coachella Valley Water District's conditions of approval regarding this application. If not specifically addressed in their conditions, drainage shall be designed to accommodate 100 year storm flows.

60.BS GRADE, 12

USE - PRE-CONSTRUCTION MTG

RECOMMND

Upon receiving grading plan approval and prior to the issuance of a grading permit, the applicant is required to schedule a pre-construction meeting with the Building and Safety Department Environmental Compliance Division.

60.BS GRADE. 13

USE- BMP CONST NPDES PERMIT

RECOMMND

Prior to the issuance of a grading permit, the owner / applicant shall obtain a BMP (Best Management Practices) Permit for the monitoring of the erosion and sediment control BMPs for the site. The Department of Building and Safety will conduct NPDES (National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System) inspections of the site based on Risk Level to verify compliance with the Construction General Permit, Stormwater ordinances and regulations until completion of the construction activities, permanent stabilization of the site and permit final.

60.BS GRADE, 14

USE - SWPPP REVIEW

RECOMMND

Grading and construction sites of "ONE" acre or larger required to develop a STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN (SWPPP) - the owner/applicant shall submit the SWPPP to the Building and Safety Department Environmental Compliance Division for review and approval prior to issuance of a grading permit.

60.BS GRADE. 15

USE - IF WQMP IS REQUIRED

RECOMMND

If a Water Quality Management Plan is required, the owner / applicant shall submit to the Building & Safety Department

Riverside County LMS CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Page: 21

CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT Case #: CUP03698

Parcel: 463-040-018

60. PRIOR TO GRADING PRMT ISSUANCE

60.BS GRADE. 15 USE - IF WOMP IS REQUIRED (cont.)

RECOMMND

Engineering Division evidence that the project - specific Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) has been approved by the Riverside County Flood Control District or Riverside County Transportation Department and that all approved water quality treatment control BMPs have been included on the grading plan.

EPD DEPARTMENT

60.EPD. 1

EPD - 30 DAY BURROWING OWL SUR

RECOMMND

Pursuant to Objective 6 and Objective 7 of the Species Account for the Burrowing Owl included in the Western Riverside County Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan, within 30 days prior to the issuance of a grading permit, a pre-construction presence/absence survey for the burrowing owl shall be conducted by a qualified biologist and the results of this presence/absence survey shall be provided in writing to the Environmental Programs Department. If it is determined that the project site is occupied by the Burrowing Owl, take of "active" nests shall be avoided pursuant to the MSHCP and the Migratory Bird Treaty Act. However, when the Burrowing Owl is present, relocation outside of the nesting season (March 1 through August 31) by a qualified biologist shall be required. The County Biologist shall be consulted to determine appropriate type of relocation (active or passive) and translocation sites. Occupation of this species on the project site may result in the need to revise grading plans so that take of "active" nests is avoided or alternatively, a grading permit may be issued once the species has been actively relocated.

If the grading permit is not obtained within 30 days of the survey a new survey shall be required.

60.EPD. 2

EPD-MBTA SURVEY

RECOMMND

Birds and their nests are protected by the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (MBTA) and California Department of Fish and Game (CDFG) Codes. Since the project supports suitable nesting bird habitat, removal of vegetation or any other potential nesting bird habitat disturbances shall be conducted outside of the avian nesting season (February 1st through August 31st). If habitat must be cleared during the nesting season, a preconstruction nesting bird survey