

**SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS
RIVERSIDE DISTRICT COURT FINANCING CORPORATION
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

121



FROM: Executive Office

SUBMITTAL DATE:
October 23, 2014

SUBJECT: Annual Report on Investment Portfolio (Riverside District Court Financing Corporation)

RECOMMENDED MOTION: Receive and File the Annual Investment Report for the Riverside District Court Financing Corporation

BACKGROUND: Board Policy B-21 requires that all funds held in trust outside the County Treasury have an annual report on its investments prepared for review by the Investment Oversight Committee and the fund's governing board. In accordance with that policy, the attached Annual Investment Report was reviewed by the Investment Oversight committee on July 24, 2014, and is now being presented for the governing board's review.

ExeDepartmental Concurrence

Lani Sioson

Lani Sioson
Principal Management Analyst

FINANCIAL DATA	Current Fiscal Year:	Next Fiscal Year:	Total Cost:	Ongoing Cost:	POLICY/CONSENT (per Exec. Office)
COST	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	Consent X Policy <input type="checkbox"/>
NET COUNTY COST	\$ N/A	N N/A	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	

SOURCE OF FUNDS: N/A

Budget Adjustment: No
For Fiscal Year: FY 13-14

C.E.O. RECOMMENDATION:

APPROVE

BY: *Ivan M. Chand*

County Executive Office Signature

Ivan M. Chand 10/27/2014

MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

- ☐ A-30
- ☐ Positions Added
- ☐ 4/5 Vote
- ☐ Change Order

Prev. Agn. Ref.:

District: All

Agenda Number:

5-1

**COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
DISTRICT COURT FINANCING CORPORATION
REPORT ON INVESTMENT PORTFOLIO**

June 30, 2014

PURPOSE:

The following report will be provided annually by the Riverside County Executive Office to the members of the Riverside District Court Financing Corporation, Board of Supervisors, County Executive Officer, County Auditor-Controller, and quarterly to the County Investment Oversight Committee; and, as requested, to any member of the public interested in the information.

The report will consider two essential areas involving Riverside District Court Financing Corporation's management of the portfolio; namely (1) the preservation of principal in the funds invested, the cost (i.e. book value) vs. the current market value of the securities in the portfolio, and (2) the liquidity position of the portfolio as of the report date.

PORTFOLIO:

The following is the composition of the portfolio ranked in accordance with the perceived market risk of the securities within the portfolio. Also displayed is the book and current market value of the securities in the portfolio reported by Riverside District Court Financing Corporation's fiscal agent (i.e., BNY) as of June 30, 2014.

Risk	Type	Cost	Market	%
1.0	Cash	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%
1.0	U.S. Treasury Bills	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%
1.0	U.S. Treasury Notes	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%
1.1	Federal Agency Securities (FNMA & FHLB)	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%
1.2	Investment Agreements (Gov't Coll)	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%
1.2	Repurchase Agreements (Gov't Coll)	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%
1.3	Money Market Fund	\$1,175,494.47	\$1,175,494.47	100.00%
1.3	Tax-Exempt Money Market Fund	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%
1.3	Commercial Paper (A-1/P-1)	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%
1.3	Bankers Acceptances	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%
1.4	State, local govt. bonds, notes, etc.	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%
2.0	Reverse Repurchase Agreements	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%
	Total	\$1,175,494.47	\$1,175,494.47	100.00%
	Paper (Loss)/Gain		\$0.00	0.00%

**COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
DISTRICT COURT FINANCING CORPORATION
REPORT ON INVESTMENT PORTFOLIO
June 30, 2014**

Generally, the level of risk takes into account two major components; the default or credit risk and the market risk associates with the probability that the security will be affected by market changes in short-term interest rates. Risk ranking have been assigned with 1.0 being the lowest level of risk and 2.0 being the highest.


- 1.0 U.S. and Federal Agency Securities directly backed and fully guaranteed by the United States Treasury are considered the safest.
- 1.1 Next in order of safety are those Federal Agency securities that have the ability to borrow from the United States Treasury.
- 1.2 The third category are those securities that have some form of government collateral backing (i.e. Investment Agreements, Repurchase Agreements and federally chartered agencies (i.e. Federal Farm Credit).
- 1.3 The fourth category evaluates the credit worthiness, capitalization and the time duration of the investment. Money markets, both taxable and tax-exempt, fall under this category.
- 1.4 The fifth category constitutes those that have state and local government collateral backing.
- 2.0 The sixth category reflects the risks associates with the Executive Office having loaned securities to a brokerage firm in meeting temporary cash flow needs by way of Reverse-Repurchase Agreements and the fact that the County could be subject to margin calls should the collateral have a reduced market value. Margin calls can affect the liquidity position of the portfolio in meeting current expenditure requirements.

LIQUIDITY:

As of June 30, 2014 the liquidity position of the portfolio based on the book value was:

Maturities less than 1 Year	\$1,175,494.47	100.00%
Maturities 1 to 2 Years	\$0.00	0.00%
Maturities 2 to 3 Years	\$0.00	0.00%
Maturities 3 to 4 Years	\$0.00	0.00%
Maturities 4 to 5 Years	\$0.00	0.00%
Maturities Greater than 5 Years	\$0.00	0.00%
Weighted Average Maturity (1 Day) Total:	\$1,175,494.47	100.00%

I certify that the above information is true and correct as of the date of this report.



Lani Sioson
Senior Management Analyst

County of Riverside Executive Office
Statement of Investments as of: June 30, 2014
Issuing Authority: District Court Financing Corporation
Investment Portfolio: U.S. District Court Project

ACCOUNT NAME	PAR VALUE # of SHARES	SECURITY PURCHASED	MATURITY DATE	COUPON RATE	YIELD TO MATURITY	BOOK VALUE	MARKET VALUE	RISK RATING	RATING
Acct # 804154 GSA Certificate D/S	\$238,187.30	Federated Prime Obligations	7/1/14		0.02%	\$238,187.30	\$238,187.30	1.3	AAA/Aaa
<i>Sub-Total</i>	\$238,187.30					\$238,187.30	\$238,187.30		
Acct # 804156 GSA Certificate Pmts	\$102,549.52	Federated Prime Obligations Fun	7/1/14	variable	0.01%	\$102,549.52	\$102,549.52	1.3	AAA/Aaa
<i>Sub-Total</i>	\$102,549.52					\$102,549.52	\$102,549.52		
Acct # 804161 GSA Certificate Excess Pm	\$55,570.20	Federated Prime Obligations Fun	7/1/14	variable	0.01%	\$55,570.20	\$55,570.20	1.3	AAA/Aaa
<i>Sub-Total</i>	\$55,570.20					\$55,570.20	\$55,570.20		
Acct # 804162 Reserve Fund	\$779,187.45	Federated Prime Obligations Fun	7/1/14	variable	0.02%	\$779,187.45	\$779,187.45	1.3	AAA/Aaa
<i>Sub-Total</i>	\$779,187.45					\$779,187.45	\$779,187.45		
Grand Total	\$1,175,494.47					\$1,175,494.47	\$1,175,494.47		

**COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
DISTRICT COURT FINANCING CORPORATION
REPORT ON INVESTMENT PORTFOLIO
June 30, 2014**

PURPOSE:

The following report will be provided annually by the Riverside County Executive Office to the members of the Riverside District Court Financing Corporation, Board of Supervisors, County Executive Officer, County Auditor-Controller, and quarterly to the County Investment Oversight Committee; and, as requested, to any member of the public interested in the information.

The report will consider two essential areas involving Riverside District Court Financing Corporation's management of the portfolio; namely (1) the preservation of principal in the funds invested, the cost (i.e. book value) vs. the current market value of the securities in the portfolio, and (2) the liquidity position of the portfolio as of the report date.

PORTFOLIO:

The following is the composition of the portfolio ranked in accordance with the perceived market risk of the securities within the portfolio. Also displayed is the book and current market value of the securities in the portfolio reported by Riverside District Court Financing Corporation's fiscal agent (i.e., BNY) as of June 30, 2014.

Risk	Type	Cost	Market	%
1.0	Cash	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%
1.0	U.S. Treasury Bills	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%
1.0	U.S. Treasury Notes	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%
1.1	Federal Agency Securities (FNMA & FHLB)	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%
1.2	Investment Agreements (Gov't Coll)	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%
1.2	Repurchase Agreements (Gov't Coll)	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%
1.3	Money Market Fund	\$1,175,494.47	\$1,175,494.47	100.00%
1.3	Tax-Exempt Money Market Fund	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%
1.3	Commercial Paper (A-1/P-1)	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%
1.3	Bankers Acceptances	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%
1.4	State, local govt. bonds, notes, etc.	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%
2.0	Reverse Repurchase Agreements	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%
	Total	\$1,175,494.47	\$1,175,494.47	100.00%
	Paper (Loss)/Gain		\$0.00	0.00%

**COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
DISTRICT COURT FINANCING CORPORATION
REPORT ON INVESTMENT PORTFOLIO
June 30, 2014**

Generally, the level of risk takes into account two major components; the default or credit risk and the market risk associates with the probability that the security will be affected by market changes in short-term interest rates. Risk ranking have been assigned with 1.0 being the lowest level of risk and 2.0 being the highest.


- 1.0 U.S. and Federal Agency Securities directly backed and fully guaranteed by the United States Treasury are considered the safest.
- 1.1 Next in order of safety are those Federal Agency securities that have the ability to borrow from the United States Treasury.
- 1.2 The third category are those securities that have some form of government collateral backing (i.e. Investment Agreements, Repurchase Agreements and federally chartered agencies (i.e. Federal Farm Credit).
- 1.3 The fourth category evaluates the credit worthiness, capitalization and the time duration of the investment. Money markets, both taxable and tax-exempt, fall under this category.
- 1.4 The fifth category constitutes those that have state and local government collateral backing.
- 2.0 The sixth category reflects the risks associates with the Executive Office having loaned securities to a brokerage firm in meeting temporary cash flow needs by way of Reverse-Repurchase Agreements and the fact that the County could be subject to margin calls should the collateral have a reduced market value. Margin calls can affect the liquidity position of the portfolio in meeting current expenditure requirements.

LIQUIDITY:

As of June 30, 2014 the liquidity position of the portfolio based on the book value was:

Maturities less than 1 Year	\$1,175,494.47	100.00%
Maturities 1 to 2 Years	\$0.00	0.00%
Maturities 2 to 3 Years	\$0.00	0.00%
Maturities 3 to 4 Years	\$0.00	0.00%
Maturities 4 to 5 Years	\$0.00	0.00%
Maturities Greater than 5 Years	\$0.00	0.00%
Weighted Average Maturity (1 Day) Total:	\$1,175,494.47	100.00%

I certify that the above information is true and correct as of the date of this report.



Lani Sioson
Senior Management Analyst

County of Riverside Executive Office
Statement of Investments as of: June 30, 2014
Issuing Authority: District Court Financing Corporation
Investment Portfolio: U.S. District Court Project

ACCOUNT NAME	PAR VALUE # of SHARES	SECURITY PURCHASED	MATURITY DATE	COUPON RATE	YIELD TO MATURITY	BOOK VALUE	MARKET VALUE	RISK RATING	RATING
Acct # 804154 GSA Certificate D/S	\$238,187.30	Federated Prime Obligations	7/1/14		0.02%	\$238,187.30	\$238,187.30	1.3	AAA/Aaa
Sub-Total	\$238,187.30					\$238,187.30	\$238,187.30		
Acct # 804156 GSA Certificate Pmts	\$102,549.52	Federated Prime Obligations Fun	7/1/14	variable	0.01%	\$102,549.52	\$102,549.52	1.3	AAA/Aaa
Sub-Total	\$102,549.52					\$102,549.52	\$102,549.52		
Acct # 804161 GSA Certificate Excess Pm	\$55,570.20	Federated Prime Obligations Fun	7/1/14	variable	0.01%	\$55,570.20	\$55,570.20	1.3	AAA/Aaa
Sub-Total	\$55,570.20					\$55,570.20	\$55,570.20		
Acct # 804162 Reserve Fund	\$779,187.45	Federated Prime Obligations Fun	7/1/14	variable	0.02%	\$779,187.45	\$779,187.45	1.3	AAA/Aaa
Sub-Total	\$779,187.45					\$779,187.45	\$779,187.45		
Grand Total	\$1,175,494.47					\$1,175,494.47	\$1,175,494.47		