

**SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

961



**FROM:** Economic Development Agency

**SUBMITTAL DATE:**  
November 23, 2010

**SUBJECT:** Proposed Mead Valley Community Center Renovation Project – Consulting Services Agreement

**RECOMMENDED MOTION:** That the Board of Supervisors:

1. Conduct a public hearing in accordance with Health and Safety Code Section 33679;
2. Make the following findings pursuant to Section 33445 of the Health and Safety Code:
  - a. The construction of the proposed Mead Valley Community Center will benefit the Mead Valley Sub-Area of the I-215 Corridor Redevelopment Project Area by helping to eliminate blight within the project area by enhancing community services;
  - b. No other reasonable means of financing the project are available to the community due to the fact that the current economic crisis has substantially reduced the community's revenues to fund the project;

(Continued)

*Lisa Brandl for*  
Robert Field  
Assistant County Executive Officer/EDA  
By Lisa Brandl, Managing Director

<b>FINANCIAL DATA</b>	Current F.Y. Total Cost:	\$ 1,303,920	In Current Year Budget:	Yes
	Current F.Y. Net County Cost:	\$ 0	Budget Adjustment:	No
	Annual Net County Cost:	\$ 0	For Fiscal Year:	2010/11

**COMPANION ITEM ON BOARD OF DIRECTORS AGENDA:** Yes

<b>SOURCE OF FUNDS:</b> I-215 Corridor Redevelopment Project Area Capital Improvement Funds – Mead Valley Sub-Area	<b>Positions To Be Deleted Per A-30</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<b>Requires 4/5 Vote</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**C.E.O. RECOMMENDATION:** APPROVE

BY: *Jennifer L. Sargent*  
County Executive Office Signature

County Executive Office Signature

**MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

On motion of Supervisor Stone, seconded by Supervisor Benoit and duly carried by unanimous vote, IT WAS ORDERED that the above matter is approved as recommended.

Ayes: Buster, Tavaglione, Stone, Benoit and Ashley  
Nays: None  
Absent: None  
Date: December 14, 2010  
xc: EDA, RDA, Auditor

Kecia Harper-Ihem  
Clerk of the Board  
By: *[Signature]*  
Deputy

(Comp. Item 4.10)

Prev. Agn. Ref.: 3.31, 8/31/10

District: 1

Agenda Number: **3.28**

FISCAL PROCEDURES APPROVED  
ROBERT E. BYRD, AUDITOR-CONTROLLER  
DATE: 12/2/10  
BY: Samuel Wang  
Department of Finance  
DATE: 11-17-10  
BY: Anita C. Willis  
FORM APPROVED COUNTY COUNSEL

Dep't Recomm.: ☐ Consent ☒ Policy  
Per Exec. Ofc.: ☐ Consent ☒ Policy

**RECOMMENDED MOTION:** (Continued)

- c. The payment of funds for the cost of the project is consistent with the Implementation Plan for the project area and is necessary to effectuate the purpose of the project area's Redevelopment Plan which calls for the construction of a community center facility; and
3. Consent to the payments of Redevelopment funds for the project.

**BACKGROUND:**

The Redevelopment Agency is proposing to renovate the existing 5.42-acre community center property that will include an adjacent 1.44-acre property to the easterly side totaling 6.86 acres. The community center is located at 21091 Rider Street located between Brown Street and Lee Street in the unincorporated community of Mead Valley. The proposed project involves the demolition of the existing facilities and the design and construction of a new full service community center facility consisting of approximately 30,000 square feet. The full scope of construction includes the demolition of the existing facilities and construction of child care classrooms, community room, senior center, medical/dental clinic, kitchen, multi-purpose recreational field, basketball court, community garden, tot-lot, parking lot, landscaping, and off-site street and sewer infrastructure improvements.

Final plans and specifications are expected to be completed in the third quarter of 2011 and staff will return to the Board of Directors to request approval of the plans and specifications at that time.

A Request of Qualifications (RFQ) for design services was released on June 21, 2010, and proposals were due on July 15, 2010. The Agency received 22 proposals and after a thorough review of each consultants experience and knowledge, references, fees, and schedule, the Agency selected TKE Engineering and Planning.

Agency staff recommends that the Board make the aforementioned findings, consent to the payments of the Redevelopment funds for the project, and approve the agreement so the Redevelopment Agency may proceed with engineering and design of the improvements.

**Attachments:**

Summary Report

Proof of Publication for Public Hearing