

RIVERSIDE COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Carolyn Syms Luna
Director

TO: Office of Planning and Research (OPR)
P.O. Box 3044
Sacramento, CA 95812-3044
 County of Riverside County Clerk

FROM: Riverside County Planning Department
 4080 Lemon Street, 12th Floor
P. O. Box 1409
Riverside, CA 92502-1409

38686 El Cerrito Road
Palm Desert, California 92211

SUBJECT: Filing of Notice of Determination in compliance with Section 21152 of the California Public Resources Code.

EA42414 Change of Zone No. 7753; Tentative Parcel Map No. 36357; Plot Plan No. 24801

Project Title/Case Numbers

Jay Olivas
County Contact Person

951-955-1195
Phone Number

N/A
State Clearinghouse Number (if submitted to the State Clearinghouse)

Coachella Valley Housing Coalition
Project Applicant

45-701 Monroe Street, Ste. G, Indio, CA 92201
Address

Northerly of Avenue 64, easterly of Lincoln Street, westerly of Date Palm Street, extended, in Mecca, CA.
Project Location

Change of Zone from A-2-10 to R-3 on a 10 acre portion of a 20 acre lot; Tentative Parcel Map to divide 20 acres into two 10 acre lots; Plot Plan to construct 80 multiple family dwellings up to 25 feet in height and 5,400 square foot community building up to 32 feet in height, including exercise path, carports, garages, and site improvements.
Project Description

This is to advise that the Riverside County Board of Supervisors, as the lead agency, has approved the above-referenced project on August 16, 2011, and has the following determinations regarding that project:

1. The project WILL NOT have a significant effect on the environment.
2. A Mitigated Negative Declaration was prepared for the project pursuant to the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act (\$2,044.00 + \$64.00).
3. Mitigation measures WERE made a condition of the approval of the project.
4. A Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Plan/Program WAS adopted.
5. A statement of Overriding Considerations WAS NOT adopted for the project.

This is to certify that the Mitigated Negative Declaration, with comments, responses, and record of project approval is available to the general public at: Riverside County Planning Department, 4080 Lemon Street, 12th Floor, Riverside, CA 92501.

Signature

Title


Date

Date Received for Filing and Posting at OPR: _____

DM/rj
Revised 8/25/2009
Y:\Planning Master Forms\CEQA Forms\NOD Form.doc

Please charge deposit fee case#: ZEA42414 ZCFG05781

FOR COUNTY CLERK'S USE ONLY



RIVERSIDE COUNTY
PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Carolyn Syms Luna
Director

MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION

Project/Case Number: Change of Zone No. 7753; Tentative Parcel Map No. 36357; Plot Plan No. 24801

Based on the Initial Study, it has been determined that the proposed project, subject to the proposed mitigation measures, will not have a significant effect upon the environment.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION, LOCATION, AND MITIGATION MEASURES REQUIRED TO AVOID POTENTIALLY SIGNIFICANT EFFECTS. (see Environmental Assessment and Conditions of Approval)

COMPLETED/REVIEWED BY:

By: Jay Olivas Title: Project Planner Date: July 18, 2011

Applicant/Project Sponsor: Coachella Valley Housing Coalition Date Submitted: December 9, 2010

ADOPTED BY: Board of Supervisors

Person Verifying Adoption: _____ Date: _____

The Mitigated Negative Declaration may be examined, along with documents referenced in the initial study, if any, at:

Riverside County Planning Department 4080 Lemon Street, 12th Floor, Riverside, CA 92501

For additional information, please contact Jay Olivas, Project Planner at (951) 955-1195.

Revised: 10/16/07
Y:\Planning Master Forms\CEQA Forms\Mitigated Negative Declaration.doc

Please charge deposit fee case#: ZEA42414 ZCFG05781

FOR COUNTY CLERK'S USE ONLY

Empty rectangular box for County Clerk's use.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
SPECIALIZED DEPARTMENT RECEIPT
Permit Assistance Center

* REPRINTED * I1003379

| | | |
|--|--|--|
| 4080 Lemon Street Second Floor Riverside, CA 92502 (951) 955-3200 | 39493 Los Alamos Road Suite A Murrieta, CA 92563 (951) 600-6100 | 38686 El Cerrito Road Palm Desert, CA 92211 (760) 863-8277 |
|--|--|--|

Received from: COACHELL VALLEY HOUSING \$64.00
paid by: CK 39782
paid towards: CFG05781 CALIF FISH & GAME: DOC FEE
CFG FEE FOR EA42414
at parcel #: 63950 LINCOLN ST INDO
appl type: CFG3

By _____ Dec 09, 2010 10:15
JCMITCHE posting date Dec 09, 2010

| Account Code | Description | Amount |
|--------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| 658353120100208100 | CF&G TRUST: RECORD FEES | \$64.00 |

Overpayments of less than \$5.00 will not be refunded!

Additional info at www.rctlma.org

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
SPECIALIZED DEPARTMENT RECEIPT
Permit Assistance Center

J* REPRINTED * I1003379

4080 Lemon Street
Second Floor
Riverside, CA 92502
(951) 955-3200

39493 Los Alamos Road
Suite A
Murrieta, CA 92563
(951) 694-5242

38686 El Cerrito Rd
Indio, CA 92211
(760) 863-8271

Received from: COACHELL VALLEY HOUSING \$64.00
paid by: CK 39782
CFG FEE FOR EA42414
paid towards: CFG05781 CALIF FISH & GAME: DOC FEE
at parcel: 63950 LINCOLN ST INDO
appl type: CFG3

By _____ Dec 09, 2010 10:15
JCMITCHE posting date Dec 09, 2010

| Account Code | Description | Amount |
|--------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| 658353120100208100 | CF&G TRUST: RECORD FEES | \$64.00 |

Overpayments of less than \$5.00 will not be refunded!

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
SPECIALIZED DEPARTMENT RECEIPT
Permit Assistance Center

J* REPRINTED * I1101751

4080 Lemon Street
Second Floor
Riverside, CA 92502
(951) 955-3200

39493 Los Alamos Road
Suite A
Murrieta, CA 92563
(951) 694-5242

38686 El Cerrito Rd
Indio, CA 92211
(760) 863-8271

Received from: COACHELL VALLEY HOUSING \$33.75
paid by: CK 7906
CFG FEE FOR EA42414
paid towards: CFG05781 CALIF FISH & GAME: DOC FEE
at parcel: 63950 LINCOLN ST INDO
appl type: CFG3

By _____ Jul 14, 2011 10:41
JCMITCHE posting date Jul 14, 2011

| Account Code | Description | Amount |
|-------------------|-------------|---------|
| 58353120100208100 | CF&G TRUST | \$33.75 |

Overpayments of less than \$5.00 will not be refunded!

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
SPECIALIZED DEPARTMENT RECEIPT
Permit Assistance Center

* REPRINTED * I1101751

4080 Lemon Street
Second Floor
Riverside, CA 92502
(951) 955-3200

39493 Los Alamos Road
Suite A
Murrieta, CA 92563
(951) 600-6100

38686 El Cerrito Road
Palm Desert, CA 92211
(760) 863-8277

Received from: COACHELL VALLEY HOUSING \$33.75
paid by: CK 7906
paid towards: CFC05781 CALIF FISH & GAME: DOC FEE
CFG FEE FOR EA42414
at parcel #: 63950 LINCOLN ST INDO
appl type: CFG3

By _____ Jul 14, 2011 10:41
JCMITCHE posting date Jul 14, 2011

| Account Code | Description | Amount |
|--------------------|-------------|---------|
| 658353120100208100 | CF&G TRUST | \$33.75 |

Overpayments of less than \$5.00 will not be refunded!

Additional info at www.rctlma.org

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
SPECIALIZED DEPARTMENT RECEIPT
Permit Assistance Center

* REPRINTED * I1101774

4080 Lemon Street
Second Floor
Riverside, CA 92502
(951) 955-3200

39493 Los Alamos Road
Suite A
Murrieta, CA 92563
(951) 600-6100

38686 El Cerrito Road
Palm Desert, CA 92211
(760) 863-8277

Received from: COACHELL VALLEY HOUSING \$2,010.25
paid by: CK 40657
paid towards: CFG05781 CALIF FISH & GAME: DOC FEE
CFG FEE FOR EA42414
at parcel #: 63950 LINCOLN ST INDO
apl type: CFG3

By _____ Jul 19, 2011 08:29
JCMITCHE posting date Jul 19, 2011

| Account Code | Description | Amount |
|--------------------|-------------|------------|
| 658353120100208100 | CF&G TRUST | \$2,010.25 |

Overpayments of less than \$5.00 will not be refunded!

Additional info at www.rctlma.org

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
SPECIALIZED DEPARTMENT RECEIPT
Permit Assistance Center

J* REPRINTED * I1101774

4080 Lemon Street
Second Floor
Riverside, CA 92502
(951) 955-3200

39493 Los Alamos Road
Suite A
Murrieta, CA 92563
(951) 694-5242

38686 El Cerrito Rd
Indio, CA 92211
(760) 863-8271

Received from: COACHELL VALLEY HOUSING \$2,010.25
paid by: CK 40657
CFG FEE FOR EA42414
paid towards: CFG05781 CALIF FISH & GAME: DOC FEE
at parcel: 63950 LINCOLN ST INDO
appl type: CFG3

By _____ Jul 19, 2011 08:29
JCMITCHE posting date Jul 19, 2011

| Account Code | Description | Amount |
|--------------------|-------------|------------|
| 658353120100208100 | CF&G TRUST | \$2,010.25 |

Overpayments of less than \$5.00 will not be refunded!

Agenda Item No.:
Area Plan: Eastern Coachella Valley
Zoning District: Lower Coachella Valley
Supervisorial District: Fourth
Project Planner: Jay Olivas
Board of Supervisors: August 16, 2011

FAST TRACK NO. 2010-19
CHANGE OF ZONE NO. 7753
TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP NO. 36357
PLOT PLAN NO. 24801
E.A. Number: 42414
Applicant: Coachella Valley Housing Coalition
Engineer/Rep: McFadden Associates

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE PLANNING DEPARTMENT STAFF REPORT

PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION:

Change of Zone from Heavy Agriculture – 10 Acre Minimum (A-2-10) to General Residential (R-3) on an approximate 10 acre portion of a 20 gross acre lot. Tentative Parcel Map (Schedule H) to divide 20 gross acres into two (2) 10 acre lots with one lot containing an existing mobile home park (CUP03500) and one lot proposed for multiple family dwellings. A Plot Plan proposes to construct 80 multiple family dwellings (6-8 townhomes per cluster) for rental purposes up to 25 feet in height with 5,400 square foot community building up to 32 feet in height, including exercise path, carports, garages, and associated site improvements.

The project is located northerly of Avenue 64, easterly of Lincoln Street, westerly of Date Palm Street, extended in the community of Mecca.

ISSUES OF POTENTIAL CONCERN:

Issues of potential concern for the project include apartment units vs. ownership units, water quality management plan, tile drains, drainage study and community design guidelines. The issues have been addressed with recommended conditions of approval. The applicant and staff reviewed Countywide design guidelines as well as the Mecca Design Guidelines for project compliance.

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS:

- | | | |
|----|--|---|
| 1. | Existing General Plan Land Use (Ex. #5): | Medium High Density Residential (MHDR) (5-8 D.U./Ac); High Density Residential (HDR) (8-14 D.U./Ac.) |
| 2. | Existing Zoning (Ex. #3): | Mobile Home Subdivisions and Mobile Home Parks (R-T); General Agriculture – 10 Acre Minimum (A-2-10) |
| 3. | Surrounding Zoning (Ex. #3): | Residential Incentive (R-6), Heavy Agriculture (A-2-10), Manufacturing Medium (M-M), Industrial Park (I-P), Light Agriculture (A-1-5) |
| 4. | Existing Land Use (Ex. #1): | Existing Mobile Home Park, Vacant Land |
| 5. | Surrounding Land Use (Ex. #1): | Single Family Residential, Agriculture, Vacant Land |
| 6. | Project Data: | Total Acreage: 20 Gross Acres (Apartment Complex is on easterly 10 Acre Portion) Proposed Apartment Complex: 80 units Bldg. Sq. Ft.: 5,400 sq. ft Community Building Bldg. Height: Up to 32 feet Exist Mobilehome Park (CUP03500): 53 units |

7. Environmental Concerns: See attached environmental assessment

RECOMMENDATIONS:

ADOPTION of a **MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION** for **ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT NO. 42414**, based on the findings incorporated in the initial study and the conclusion that the project will not have a significant effect on the environment; and,

TENTATIVE APPROVAL of **CHANGE OF ZONE NO. 7753**, proposing to change the site's zoning classification from Heavy Agriculture – 10 Acre Minimum (A-2-10) to General Residential (R-3) on a 10 acre portion of a 20 acre site based on Zoning Exhibit #3, based upon final adoption by the Board of Supervisors; and,

APPROVAL of **TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP NO. 36357**, subject to the attached Conditions of Approval; and,

APPROVAL of **PLOT PLAN NO. 24801**, subject to the attached Conditions of Approval; and based upon the findings and conclusions incorporated in the staff report.

CONCLUSIONS:

1. The proposed project is in conformance with the Community Development: Medium High Density Residential (CD: MHDR) (5-8 D.U./Ac.) and Community Development: High Density Residential (HDR) (8-14 D.U./Ac.) Land Use Designations, and with all other elements of the Riverside County General Plan.
2. The proposed project is consistent with the existing Mobile Home Subdivisions and Mobile Home Parks (R-T) zone and proposed General Residential (R-3) zone classifications of Ordinance No. 348, and with all other applicable provisions of Ordinance No. 348.
3. The public's health, safety and general welfare are protected through project design.
4. The proposed tentative parcel map (PM36357) will be consistent with the Schedule H requirements of Ordinance No. 460, and with all other applicable provisions of Ordinance No. 460.
5. The proposed project is conditionally compatible with the present and future logical development of the area.
6. The project will not have a significant effect on the environment and there is no evidence that the project will have adverse effects on wildlife resources.

FINDINGS: The following findings are in addition to those incorporated in the summary of findings, and the attached environmental assessment, which is incorporated herein by reference.

1. The existing land use designations consist of Medium High Density Residential (MHDR) (5-8 D.U./Ac.) and High Density Residential (HDR) (8-14 D.U./Ac.). The MHDR portion is consistent with an existing Mobile Home Park with an approximate gross density of 5.3 D.U./Ac. within the allowed MHDR density range. The HDR portion is consistent with the proposed project for an apartment complex due to a proposed gross density of approximately 8.0 D.U./Ac. within the allowed HDR density range.
2. The project site is currently zoned R-T and A-2-10 with surrounding zoning consisting of R-6, A-2-10, M-M, I-P, and A-1-5. The proposed project would be compatible with surrounding zones due to zone change from A-2-10 to R-3 (CZ07753) on a 10 acre portion since the R-3 zone is compatible with multiple family dwelling projects.
3. The project is consistent with the proposed R-3 zone (CZ07753) since multiple family dwelling projects are conditionally consistent subject to a plot plan permit.
4. The westerly 10 acre portion of the site consists of an existing mobile home park with 53 units previously approved under CUP03500 in 2007. The easterly 10 acre portion of the site consists of vacant land proposed for 80 multiple family dwelling units under PP24801.
5. Surrounding land uses consist of single family residences, agriculture land, and vacant land. The multiple family dwelling project (PP24801) is consistent with existing surrounding land uses in that the proposed project is conditioned to provide perimeter walls and fencing, desert landscaping and building/parking lot improvements.
6. The project design of the multi-family dwellings (PP24801) includes perimeter exercise trail, interior walks, and children's play areas, which will contribute to a sustainable community.
7. The proposed tentative parcel map (PM36357) is consistent with the existing general plan designations of MHDR and HDR in that there is an pre-existing Mobile Home Park on the westerly 10 acre portion of the site and there is a proposed multiple family dwelling project (PP24801) on the easterly 10 acre portion of site which is proposed concurrently with PM36357 which will divide the 20 acre site into two 10 acre parcels logically separating each project.
8. Domestic water and sanitation is proposed to be provided by the Coachella Valley Water District. Domestic water and sanitation shall be provided in conformance with the water and sewer land use standards of the General Plan.
9. The primary access to the site is from Lincoln Street (100' r-o-w) and Avenue 64 (60' r-o-w). The project will provide appropriate street and off-site traffic mitigation, such as, Transportation Uniform Mitigation Fees (TUMF), in compliance with the requirements of the circulation element of the General Plan.
10. The project is approximately one mile from a fire station. The project will provide appropriate fire protection improvements, such as fire hydrants and a water system, in conformance with the fire services policies of the General Plan.
11. The project is not located within the sphere of influence of any city. However, it is located within the boundaries of the Mecca Community Council which reviewed the project.

12. The initial study performed pursuant to Environmental Assessment No. 42414 identified the following potentially significant impacts:
 - a. Hydrology/Water Quality

These listed impacts will be fully mitigated by the measures indicated in the initial study, conditions of approval, and attached government agency letters. No other significant impacts were identified.
13. The project is within the Coachella Valley Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan, but is not located within a Conservation Area of that plan. This project fulfills the requirements of that plan through conformance with Ordinance No. 875.
14. The housing element has identified special needs housing for farm workers and low to very low income households. Policy 1.2 of the Riverside County Housing Element ensures the availability of suitable sites for the development of affordable housing to meet the needs of all household income levels, including farm workers and other special needs populations.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS:

1. As of this writing (7/18/11), no letters in favor or opposition have been received from the general public.
2. The subject site consists of Assessor's Parcel Numbers 727-030-041.
3. The project was filed with the Planning Department on December 13, 2010.

**RIVERSIDE COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT
CZ07753 PM36357 PP24801
VICINITY/POLICY AREAS**

Supervisor Benoit
District 4

Date Drawn: 7/14/11
Vicinity Map



Zoning Area: Lower Coachella Valley

Township/Range: T7SR9E

Section: 5

DISCLAIMER: On October 7, 2003, the County of Riverside adopted a new General Plan providing new land use designations for unincorporated Riverside County parcels. The new General Plan may contain different types of land use than is provided for under existing zoning. For further information, please contact the Riverside County Planning Department offices in Riverside at (951) 955-3200 (Western County), or in Indio at (760) 863-8277 (Eastern County) or website at <http://www.tlms.ca.us/indioex.html>

Assessors Bk. Pg. 727-03
Thomas Bros. Pg. 5592 F5
Edition 2009



Feet


RIVERSIDE COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT

CZ07753 PM36357 PP24801

Supervisor Benoit
District 4

Date Drawn: 7/14/11
Exhibit 1

LAND USE



Zoning Area: Lower Coachella Valley
Township/Range: T7SR9E
Section: 5

Assessors Bk. Pg. 727-03
Thomas Bros. Pg. 5592 F5
Edition 2009



DISCLAIMER: On October 7, 2003, the County of Riverside adopted a new General Plan providing new land use designations for unincorporated Riverside County parcels. The new General Plan may contain different types of land use than is provided for under existing zoning. For further information, please contact the Riverside County Planning Department offices in Riverside at (951) 955-3200 (Western County), or in Indio at (760) 863-8277 (Eastern County) or website at <http://www.timsa.co.riverside.ca.us/index.html>



RIVERSIDE COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT

CZ07753 PM36357 PP24801

PROPOSED ZONING

Supervisor Benoit
District 4

Date Drawn: 7/14/11
Exhibit 3




Zoning Area: Lower Coachella Valley
Township/Range: T7SR9E
Section: 5

Assessors Bk. Pg. 727-03
Thomas Bros. Pg. 5592 F5
Edition 2009



DISCLAIMER: On October 7, 2003, the County of Riverside adopted a new General Plan providing new land use designations for unincorporated Riverside County parcels. The new General Plan may contain different types of land use than is provided for under existing zoning. For further information, please contact the Riverside County Planning Department offices in Riverside at (951) 955-3200 (Western County), or in Indio at (760) 863-8277 (Eastern County) or website at <http://www.tlma.co.riverside.ca.us/index.html>




RIVERSIDE COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT

CZ07753 PM36357 PP24801

EXISTING GENERAL PLAN


Supervisor Benoit
District 4

Date Drawn: 7/14/11
Exhibit 5



Zoning Area: Lower Coachella Valley
Township/Range: T7SR9E
Section: 5

Assessors Bk. Pg. 727-03
Thomas Bros. Pg. 5592 F5
Edition 2009



DISCLAIMER: On October 7, 2003, the County of Riverside adopted a new General Plan providing new land use designations for unincorporated Riverside County parcels. The new General Plan may contain different types of land use than is provided for under existing zoning. For further information, please contact the Riverside County Planning Department offices in Riverside at (951) 955-3200 (Western County), or in Indio at (760) 863-8277 (Eastern County) or website at <http://www.tlma.co.riverside.ca.us/index.html>

PROJECT DESCRIPTION
THIS PROJECT IS FOR THE REVISION OF THE GRADING AND DRAINAGE PLAN FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE 2.51 ACRES OF LAND IN THE WEST HALF OF SECTION 31, T31S, R10E, S48. THE GRADING AND DRAINAGE PLAN IS TO BE SUBMITTED TO THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL.

ASSASSOR PARCEL NUMBERS
APN 81-221-002

BASIS OF BEARINGS
THE BEARINGS AND DISTANCES FOR THE PROPERTY LINES ARE AS SHOWN ON THE RECORDED SURVEY MAP OF THE WEST HALF OF SECTION 31, T31S, R10E, S48, RECORDED AT THE COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA, ON 03-21-1964.

LEGAL DESCRIPTION
2.51 ACRES OF LAND, MORE OR LESS, BEING THE WEST HALF OF SECTION 31, T31S, R10E, S48, COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA, AS SHOWN ON THE RECORDED SURVEY MAP OF THE WEST HALF OF SECTION 31, T31S, R10E, S48, RECORDED AT THE COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA, ON 03-21-1964.


OWNER
FARROW HOLDINGS, INC.
2000 SOUTH GARDEN AVENUE, SUITE 100
RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA 92507

APPLICANT
FARROW HOLDINGS, INC.
2000 SOUTH GARDEN AVENUE, SUITE 100
RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA 92507


ENGINEER
WILLIAM W. BENTLEY, INC.
300 EAST BROADWAY
RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA 92507

ESTIMATES GRADING QUANTITIES
1. EXISTING GRADING QUANTITIES: 1,200 CU YD CUT, 1,200 CU YD FILL, 1,200 CU YD SUBGRADE.
2. EXISTING DRAINAGE QUANTITIES: 1,200 CU YD CUT, 1,200 CU YD FILL, 1,200 CU YD SUBGRADE.

LEGEND
SYMBOLS AND LINE TYPES FOR THE GRADING AND DRAINAGE PLAN.



DATE PREPARED: DECEMBER 9, 2010
PIASECO DE LOS HEROS III
TENTATIVE PARCEL MAP NO. 36357
IN THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA



GENERAL NOTES
1. EXISTING GRADE IS SHOWN BY DASHED LINES.
2. PROPOSED GRADE IS SHOWN BY SOLID LINES.
3. EXISTING DRAINAGE IS SHOWN BY DASHED LINES.
4. PROPOSED DRAINAGE IS SHOWN BY SOLID LINES.

EASEMENTS
THIS PROJECT IS SUBJECT TO THE EASEMENTS AND ENCUMBRANCES SHOWN ON THE RECORDED SURVEY MAP OF THE WEST HALF OF SECTION 31, T31S, R10E, S48, RECORDED AT THE COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA, ON 03-21-1964.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION
THIS PROJECT IS FOR THE REVISION OF THE GRADING AND DRAINAGE PLAN FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE 2.51 ACRES OF LAND IN THE WEST HALF OF SECTION 31, T31S, R10E, S48. THE GRADING AND DRAINAGE PLAN IS TO BE SUBMITTED TO THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL.

ASSASSOR PARCEL NUMBERS
APN 81-221-002

BASIS OF BEARINGS
THE BEARINGS AND DISTANCES FOR THE PROPERTY LINES ARE AS SHOWN ON THE RECORDED SURVEY MAP OF THE WEST HALF OF SECTION 31, T31S, R10E, S48, RECORDED AT THE COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA, ON 03-21-1964.

LEGAL DESCRIPTION
2.51 ACRES OF LAND, MORE OR LESS, BEING THE WEST HALF OF SECTION 31, T31S, R10E, S48, COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA, AS SHOWN ON THE RECORDED SURVEY MAP OF THE WEST HALF OF SECTION 31, T31S, R10E, S48, RECORDED AT THE COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA, ON 03-21-1964.

OWNER
FARROW HOLDINGS, INC.
2000 SOUTH GARDEN AVENUE, SUITE 100
RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA 92507


APPLICANT
FARROW HOLDINGS, INC.
2000 SOUTH GARDEN AVENUE, SUITE 100
RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA 92507

ENGINEER
WILLIAM W. BENTLEY, INC.
300 EAST BROADWAY
RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA 92507


ESTIMATES GRADING QUANTITIES
1. EXISTING GRADING QUANTITIES: 1,200 CU YD CUT, 1,200 CU YD FILL, 1,200 CU YD SUBGRADE.
2. EXISTING DRAINAGE QUANTITIES: 1,200 CU YD CUT, 1,200 CU YD FILL, 1,200 CU YD SUBGRADE.

LEGEND
SYMBOLS AND LINE TYPES FOR THE GRADING AND DRAINAGE PLAN.

Table with 2 columns: DATE and DESCRIPTION. It contains several rows of data, likely a schedule of values or a table of contents.




Pavilion D



community bldg.

a community building and (80) townhouses for: coachella valley housing coalition paseo de los heroes III 64th avenue mecca, california 92254



Vicinity map

project data

CURRENT ZONING A-2-D
 PROPOSED ZONING R-3

| Landscaping | Civil | Applicant/Exhibit Preparer | Owner |
|---|--|---|---|
| HERMANN & ASSOCIATES 78-205 Hwy. 111, PMB. 332 Beverly Hills, California 90205 Telephone: (760) 777-9331 | RIFF ENGINEERING CO. 1223 University Ave., Ste. 340 Beverly Hills, California 90205 Telephone: (818) 752-0707 | CHRISTOPHER M. FADSEN, ARCHITECT 42-632 Moorpark Road, Suite 102 Palm Desert, California 92211 Telephone: (760) 347-3571 | COACHELLA VALLEY HOUSING COALITION Attn: Chris Fadsen / Amy Ann Burns 42-632 Moorpark Road, Suite 102 Palm Desert, California 92211 Telephone: (760) 347-3571 |

SITE AREA TABULATIONS

| | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Total Lot Area | 432,428 sq. ft. or 9,889 sqm. |
| Total Bldg. Coverage | 238,649 sq. ft. or 5,521 sqm. |
| Community Bldg. Coverage | 23,048 sq. ft. or 534 sqm. |
| Community Bldg. Footprint | 5,315 sq. ft. or 123 sqm. |
| Total Hardtopped Area | 26,177 sq. ft. or 2,416 sqm. |
| Hardtopped Area | 12,672 sq. ft. or 1,169 sqm. |
| Nonhardtopped Area | 13,505 sq. ft. or 1,247 sqm. |
| Community Area Walkway/Plaza | 7,288 sq. ft. or 673 sqm. |
| Community Area | 6,217 sq. ft. or 574 sqm. |
| Permitting/Parking Lot | 20,911 sq. ft. or 1,938 sqm. |
| Permitting/Parking | 130,457 sq. ft. or 12,092 sqm. |
| Landscaping | 52,072 sq. ft. or 4,806 sqm. |

R.O.C. and C.V.D. Government 52,072 sq. ft. or 4,806 sqm.
 (Includes Hardtopped, Parking, and Landscaping)

* Based on Survey and Community Plan prepared by Riff Engineering dated Nov. 4, 2010.

Sheet Index

| CODE | CONFORMANCE |
|---|---------------------|
| 2010 California Building Code | Based on 2009 IBC |
| 2010 California Mechanical Code | Based on 2009 IMC |
| 2010 California Electrical Code | Based on 2009 NEC |
| 2010 California Energy Code | Based on 2009 CEC |
| 2010 California Fire Code | Based on 2009 FBC |
| 2010 California Green Building Standards Code | Based on 2009 CABES |

BUILDING TYPES

| Table 1001.1 | Table 1001.2 |
|-------------------|--------------------|
| Construction Type | III-B (Separated) |
| Occupancy - R-3/J | III-B (Separated) |
| Fire Sprinklers | Community Building |
| Construction Type | III-B (Separated) |
| Occupancy - R-3/J | III-B (Separated) |
| Fire Sprinklers | III-B (Separated) |

mecca submittal set

Ownership of documents: The Architect, Consultant, Engineer, Architectural Designer, and other project participants shall retain the ownership of the project. All rights and design shall be reserved by the Architect, Consultant, Engineer, Architectural Designer, and other project participants.

| Sheet No. | Description |
|-----------|--|
| PT1 | ARCHITECTURAL TITLE SHEET |
| PPF1 | PROPOSED SITE PLAN |
| PPF2 | AREA CALCULATIONS and PRELIMINARY LANDSCAPE PLAN |
| L-1 | PRELIMINARY LANDSCAPE PLAN |
| PPF1 | PROPOSED FLOOR PLAN - PLAN A |
| PPF2 | PROPOSED FLOOR PLAN - PLAN B |
| PPFD | PROPOSED FLOOR PLAN - PLAN C & D |
| PPFE | PROPOSED PAVILION 'D' FLR. PLAN (TYP. 6-4-PLEX) |
| PPFE | PROPOSED PAVILION 'E' FLR. PLAN (TYP. 6-4-PLEX) |
| PELD | PROPOSED ELEVATIONS |
| PELE | PROPOSED ELEVATIONS (TYP. 6-4-PLEX) |
| PRFD | PROPOSED ROOF PLAN (TYP. 6-4-PLEX) |
| PRFE | PROPOSED ROOF PLAN (TYP. 6-4-PLEX) |
| CPF1 | PROPOSED MAIN LEVEL FLOOR PLAN |
| CPF2 | PROPOSED UPPER LEVEL FLOOR PLAN |
| CEL1 | PROPOSED BUILDING ELEVATIONS |
| CRF1 | PROPOSED ROOF PLAN |

PRESENTATION TITLE SHEET

OWNER
 Coachella Valley Housing Coalition
 42-632 Moorpark Road, Suite 102
 Palm Desert, CA 92211
 (760) 347-3571
 Attn: Amy Ann Burns

APPLICANT/EXHIBIT PREPARER
 Hermann & Associates
 78-205 Hwy. 111, PMB. 332
 Beverly Hills, CA 90205
 (760) 777-9331
 Attn: Chris Fadsen
 Bob Willey

CONTRACT 2011

DESIGN THRU CONSTRUCTION ADMINISTRATION
 Architecture / Planning / Interiors

ARCHITECT
 Christopher M. Fadsen, Architect
 42-632 Moorpark Road, Suite 102
 Palm Desert, California 92211
 (760) 347-3571

A COACHELLA VALLEY HOUSING COALITION
 42-632 Moorpark Road, Suite 102
 Palm Desert, California 92211
 (760) 347-3571

heroes III
Paseo de los

PT.1

DATE Feb. 3, 2011
DRAWN MCK
CHECKED MCK

This drawing may not be reproduced in whole or part without the expressed written consent of the Architect. Identifying marks and symbols have been incorporated in this drawing to be used for the construction.

OWNER
 Downtown Valley Housing Coalition
 4501 15th St., Suite 201
 Oakland, CA 94612
 (781) 547-5157
 www.dvhc.org
 Mary Ann Thomas

APPLICANT/DESIGNER/PROVIDER
 Includes Address:
 46-833 Main St., #202
 Pacific Heights, CA 94115
 (415) 774-4444
 Alt: Chris McFadden
 Bob Wainwright


DATE: 04/11/11
 JOB NO.: 11-001
 SHEET NO.: 11-001
 SHEET TOTAL: 11-001

Architects Planning Historics
 CHRISTOPHER ARCHITECT
 46-833 Main St., #202
 Pacific Heights, CA 94115
 Tel: (781) 546-8014
 Fax: (781) 546-0963
 Mecon, California 92234

Heroes III
 Paseo de los
 A Community Building and (20) Townhouses
 4501 15th St., Suite 201
 Oakland, CA 94612
 (781) 547-5157
 www.dvhc.org

PSP2
EXHIBIT 'C'

This drawing may not be reproduced in whole or part without the expressed written consent of the Architect. Identifying marks and symbols have been incorporated in this drawing to facilitate construction. ** Unless the context of the Architect. Identifying marks and symbols have been incorporated in this drawing to facilitate construction. **



| AREA TABULATIONS | | AREA TABULATIONS | | AREA TABULATIONS | | AREA TABULATIONS | | AREA TABULATIONS | | AREA TABULATIONS | | AREA TABULATIONS | | AREA TABULATIONS | | AREA TABULATIONS | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------|------------------|-----------|--|------------------|------------------|-----------|--|------------------|------------------|-----------|--|------------------|------------------|-----------|--|------------------|------------|-----------|--|-----|------|------|
| Dwelling Unit | Floor Level S.F. | Main Upper | Unit Type | Dwelling Unit | Floor Level S.F. | Main Upper | Unit Type | Dwelling Unit | Floor Level S.F. | Main Upper | Unit Type | Dwelling Unit | Floor Level S.F. | Main Upper | Unit Type | Dwelling Unit | Floor Level S.F. | Main Upper | Unit Type | | | | |
| A1 (Plan 'A') 2-Bed | 720 | 578 | 192 | A7 (Plan 'A') 2-Bed | 720 | 578 | 192 | A13 (Plan 'A') 2-Bed | 720 | 578 | 192 | A19 (Plan 'A') 2-Bed | 720 | 578 | 192 | A25 (Plan 'A') 2-Bed | 720 | 578 | 192 | A31 (Plan 'A') 2-Bed | 720 | 578 | 192 |
| A2 (Plan 'A') 3-Bed | 720 | 578 | 192 | A8 (Plan 'A') 3-Bed | 720 | 578 | 192 | A14 (Plan 'A') 3-Bed | 720 | 578 | 192 | A20 (Plan 'A') 3-Bed | 720 | 578 | 192 | A26 (Plan 'A') 3-Bed | 720 | 578 | 192 | A32 (Plan 'A') 3-Bed | 720 | 578 | 192 |
| A3 (Plan 'A') 4-Bed | 720 | 578 | 192 | A9 (Plan 'A') 4-Bed | 720 | 578 | 192 | A15 (Plan 'A') 4-Bed | 720 | 578 | 192 | A21 (Plan 'A') 4-Bed | 720 | 578 | 192 | A27 (Plan 'A') 4-Bed | 720 | 578 | 192 | A33 (Plan 'A') 4-Bed | 720 | 578 | 192 |
| A4 (Plan 'A') 5-Bed | 720 | 578 | 192 | A10 (Plan 'A') 5-Bed | 720 | 578 | 192 | A16 (Plan 'A') 5-Bed | 720 | 578 | 192 | A22 (Plan 'A') 5-Bed | 720 | 578 | 192 | A28 (Plan 'A') 5-Bed | 720 | 578 | 192 | A34 (Plan 'A') 5-Bed | 720 | 578 | 192 |
| A5 (Plan 'A') 6-Bed | 720 | 578 | 192 | A11 (Plan 'A') 6-Bed | 720 | 578 | 192 | A17 (Plan 'A') 6-Bed | 720 | 578 | 192 | A23 (Plan 'A') 6-Bed | 720 | 578 | 192 | A29 (Plan 'A') 6-Bed | 720 | 578 | 192 | A35 (Plan 'A') 6-Bed | 720 | 578 | 192 |
| A6 (Plan 'A') 7-Bed | 720 | 578 | 192 | A12 (Plan 'A') 7-Bed | 720 | 578 | 192 | A18 (Plan 'A') 7-Bed | 720 | 578 | 192 | A24 (Plan 'A') 7-Bed | 720 | 578 | 192 | A30 (Plan 'A') 7-Bed | 720 | 578 | 192 | A36 (Plan 'A') 7-Bed | 720 | 578 | 192 |
| Pavilion 'A' Total/Ft. | | 4320 | 2737 | Pavilion 'A' Total/Ft. | | 4320 | 2737 | Pavilion 'A' Total/Ft. | | 4320 | 2737 | Pavilion 'A' Total/Ft. | | 4320 | 2737 | Pavilion 'A' Total/Ft. | | 4320 | 2737 | Pavilion 'A' Total/Ft. | | 4320 | 2737 |
| Pavilion 'A' Total S.F. (Including Garage) | | 8005 | n.t. | Pavilion 'A' Total S.F. (Including Garage) | | 8005 | n.t. | Pavilion 'A' Total S.F. (Including Garage) | | 8005 | n.t. | Pavilion 'A' Total S.F. (Including Garage) | | 8005 | n.t. | Pavilion 'A' Total S.F. (Including Garage) | | 8005 | n.t. | Pavilion 'A' Total S.F. (Including Garage) | | 8005 | n.t. |

PAVILION PLAN COMBINATIONS and LAYOUTS 1/32" = 1'-0"


1

2

Not to Scale

PAVILION AREA TABULATIONS

PASEO III PRELIMINARY LANDSCAPE PLAN



TRASH ENCLOSURE
WITH VINES ON WALL

SHADE STRUCTURE
WITH BENCHES


BBQ

MEANDERING
DECOMPOSED
GRANITE PATH

SPLIT FACE PLASTER
W/ FIRE CAST CONCRETE CAP

FIRE CAST CONCRETE CAP

SPLITFACE BLOCK WALL
STREET SIDE ONLY



THEME MASONRY BLOCK WALL
ELEVATION - TYPICAL

THEME MASONRY BLOCK WALL
WITH VINES ON WALL

TUBULAR STEEL VIEW FENCE

PERIMETER
LANDSCAPE BUFFER

EXERCISE EQUIP. - TBD

PLANT LEGEND

| SYMBOL | PLANT NAME | QTY |
|----------|--------------|-----|
| (Symbol) | ... (Symbol) | ... |

| SYMBOL | PLANT NAME | QTY |
|----------|------------|-----|
| (Symbol) | ... | ... |



Howe & Jacobs
7805 REVERA BL
SUITE 300
LAURELS
LA 27063
TEL 270 776 2200
FAX 270 776 2202
WWW.HOANDJ.COM



SCALE 1" = 40'

L-1

DATED 12/24/10
REV. DATED 3/1/11

OWNER
 Coachella Valley Housing Coalition
 45-201 Monroe Street
 Palm Springs, CA 92262
 (760) 347-3357
 Altin, Wren, Pauls
 16772 Vista Towers
 Palm Springs, CA 92262

APPLICANT/DESIGNER/DESIGNER
 Christopher M. Fadden
 Architect
 42-830 Meade Pl., #102 Palm Desert, CA 92211
 (760) 346-8014 Fax: (760) 346-0825
 Altin, Bob, Wasky
 Palm Springs, CA 92262
 A.P.N. # 727-030-041
 CONTRACT: 2011



Architecture Planning Interiors Engineering
 CHRISTOPHER M. FADDEN
 ARCHITECT
 42-830 Meade Pl., #102 Palm Desert, CA 92211
 Tel: (760) 346-8014 Fax: (760) 346-0825
 Meade, California 92251

Paseo de los Heroes III
 A Community Building and (20) Townhouses for
 PASO DE LOS HEROES III
 649 Avenue
 Meade, California 92251

PPFP2

FLOOR PLANS -- UNIT B

DRAWN
 CHECKED
 DATE
 Feb. 1, 2011
 SCALE
 1/8" = 1'-0"
 VERTICAL
 OFF/LOO
 SHEET NO.



OWNER:
 Pasadena Valley Housing Coalition
 48-701 Marlene Street
 Pasadena, CA 91107
 (714) 794-1237
 Alt: Mary Ann Torres


APPLICANT/DESIGN PREPARED BY:
 Architecture Planning
 1333 Marlene Street, Suite 102
 Pasadena, CA 91107
 (714) 794-1237
 Alt: Mary Ann Torres
 APN: 722-002-041
 COMMENTS: 2011

Architect: Planning, History, Architecture
 CHRISTOPHER R. FADEN
 42-535 Marlene Pkwy #102, Suite 102
 Pasadena, CA 91107
 (714) 794-1237
 Alt: Mary Ann Torres

pasero de los heroes III

A Community Housing and Development
 COMPELLA VALLEY HOUSING COALITION
 480 Marlene
 PASADENA, CA 91107
 (714) 794-1237
 Alt: Mary Ann Torres


DATE: 01/20/11
 SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"
 SHEET NO.: PPP3



| CODE CRITERIA: | |
|----------------------|-------|
| Type of Construction | V-2-B |
| Organization | R-3 |

| AREA TABULATIONS | |
|------------------|--------------|
| 3 Bedroom | 1182 sq. ft. |
| Living Area | 288 sq. ft. |
| Garage | 288 sq. ft. |

PLAN C - ONE STORY (1182 Sq. Ft.)



| CODE CRITERIA: | |
|----------------------|-------|
| Type of Construction | V-2-B |
| Organization | R-3 |

| AREA TABULATIONS | |
|------------------|--------------|
| 4 Bedroom | 1286 sq. ft. |
| Living Area | 288 sq. ft. |
| Garage | 288 sq. ft. |

PLAN D - ONE STORY (4 BEDROOM) (1286 Sq. Ft.)

OWNER
 Coachman Valley Housing Coalition
 48-331 Valley Road, Suite 201
 Alamo, CA 94501
 (760) 342-3157
 APPLICANT/DESIGN PREPARER
 ARCHITECTURE PLANNING INTERIORS
 CHRISTOPHER A. FADEN
 ARCHITECT
 42-53 Mainland Pk., #102 Palm Desert, CA 92211
 Tel: (760) 346-0014 Fax: (760) 566-0043
 A.P.N. # 737-030-041
 COMMENTS: 2011

heroes III



Paseo de los

A Community Building and (80) Townhomes for
 COACHELLA VALLEY HOUSING COALITION
 48-331 Valley Road, Suite 201
 Alamo, California 94501

| STANDARDS | |
|-----------------------|--------|
| Interiors - Walling | Rating |
| Aluminum - Walling | STD |
| Aluminum - Ceiling | STD |
| Aluminum - Assemblies | STD |

| CODE | |
|----------------------|-------|
| Type of Construction | V - B |
| Occupancy | E - 3 |
| Classification | U |

| CRITERIA | |
|----------------------|-------|
| Type of Construction | V - B |
| Occupancy | E - 3 |
| Classification | U |



AVILION 'D' FLOOR PLAN (8-FLEX) PPD EXHIBIT 'C'

UNSER
 CONSULTING ARCHITECTS
 4000 Valley Road, Suite 200
 Irvine, CA 92617
 (714) 440-8800
 AIA: 1000 Main Plaza
 New York, NY 10019

APPLICANT/CLIENT PREPARED
 Marjorie Aronson
 4000 Valley Road, Suite 200
 Irvine, CA 92617
 (714) 440-8800
 AIA: 1000 Main Plaza
 New York, NY 10019

ARCHITECT
 CHRISTOPHER M. FADEN
 ARCHITECT
 C 7827
 4000 Valley Road, Suite 200
 Irvine, CA 92617
 (714) 440-8800

DATE: 8/27/03
 PROJECT: COMMUNITY BLDG

Architects Planning Interiors Engineering
 CHRISTOPHER M. FADEN
 ARCHITECT
 C 7827
 4000 Valley Road, Suite 200
 Irvine, CA 92617
 (714) 440-8800


4000 Valley Road, Suite 200
 Irvine, CA 92617
 (714) 440-8800
 AIA: 1000 Main Plaza
 New York, NY 10019

heroes III

A COMMUNITY BUILDING AND (80) TOWNHOUSE FOR
 PASADENA ACTIVITY HOUSING COALITION
 6500 Avenue B
 Mission, California 92254

CFPI
 EXHIBIT 'C'

DATE: 8/27/03
 DRAWN: [Signature]
 CHECKED: [Signature]




| AREA TABULATIONS | |
|------------------------------|---------------|
| COMMUNITY BUILDING | |
| Lobby/Office/Storage/Utility | 300 sq. ft. |
| Office | 24 sq. ft. |
| Storage | 100 sq. ft. |
| Utility | 46 sq. ft. |
| Mechanical | 40 sq. ft. |
| Total Square Footage | 5,313 sq. ft. |
| TOTAL AREA TABULATIONS | |
| Upper Level | 1,330 sq. ft. |
| Lower Level | 4,043 sq. ft. |
| Total | 5,373 sq. ft. |

| STAIRS | |
|--------------|-----|
| Location | 100 |
| Approach | 100 |
| Pre-casting | 100 |
| Form-casting | 100 |
| Assemblies | 100 |
| Members | 100 |

MAIN LEVEL FLOOR PLAN - COMMUNITY BUILDING

THIS DRAWING IS THE PROPERTY OF CHRYSLER CREDIT CORPORATION AND IS NOT TO BE REPRODUCED OR TRANSMITTED IN ANY FORM OR BY ANY MEANS, ELECTRONIC OR MECHANICAL, INCLUDING PHOTOCOPYING, RECORDING, OR BY ANY INFORMATION STORAGE AND RETRIEVAL SYSTEM, WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF CHRYSLER CREDIT CORPORATION.



UPPER LEVEL FLOOR PLAN - RESIDENCE

1/4" = 1'-0"

CFP2
EXHIBIT 'C'

DATE: Feb. 1, 2011
 SCALE: 1/4" = 1'-0"
 SHEET NO.: 001102
 JOB NO.: 001102

Paseo de los heroes III

A Community Building and (20) Townhomes for
 PASO DE LOS HEROES III
 64th Avenue
 Mexico, California 92254

Architects: Planning Architects
 CHRISTOPHER A. FADDER
 ARCHITECT
 C 17027
 42-625 Main St., #222 Palm Desert, CA 92211
 TEL: (760) 346-8014 Fax: (760) 296-0983

OWNER
 Coronado Valley Housing Coalition
 46-791 Marlene Street
 Corona, CA 92626
 TEL: (760) 346-2157
 FAX: (760) 346-2157
 Attn: Bill Paschke
 APPLICANT/CLIENT REPRESENTATIVE
 Information Architects
 46-791 Marlene Street
 Corona, CA 92626
 TEL: (760) 346-2157
 FAX: (760) 346-2157
 Attn: Bill Paschke
 APPLICANT/CLIENT REPRESENTATIVE

*** (Unless this document is a BOLDLINE print on colored background specified on the TITLE SHEET, it is NOT to be used for construction. This drawing may not be reproduced in whole or part without the expressed written consent of the Architect. Identifying marks and symbols have been incorporated to match construction with this requirement. ***

| | |
|--------------|--------------|
| DATE | Feb. 1, 2011 |
| SCALE | 1/8" = 1'-0" |
| PROJECT NO. | 000100 |
| SHEET NO. | 000100 |
| PROJECT NAME | HEROES III |

PFPE
EXHIBIT 'C'

paséo de los heroes III

A Community Building and (90) Townhouses for
COUNTESSA VILAS HOUSING COOPERATIVE
45th Avenue
Paséo de los Heroes III
Mexico, California 92254


Architects Planning Interior Engineering
CHRISTOPHER M. R. ARCHITECT
C 1937
45-595 Avenida P-1, P.O. Box 2000
Paséo de los Heroes III, CA 92211

OWNER
Countryside Valley Housing Cooperative
10000 Valley Blvd., Suite 100
Pasadena, CA 91104
(714) 799-3159
www.cvhc.org

APPLICANT/EXHIBIT PREPARED BY
Interior Architecture
Paséo de los Heroes III, P.O. Box 2000
Paséo de los Heroes III, CA 92211
(714) 344-3014
www.paséo.com

DATE: 2/23/2011

This drawing may not be reproduced in whole or part without the expressed written consent of the architect. Identifying marks and gridlines have been incorporated to facilitate correspondence with this requirement.



OWNER
 Conchita, Mike, Nancy, Cecilia
 42-701 Marston Street
 (760) 344-2327
 Alt#: Brian Pevsike
 Mary Ann Horns

APPLICANT/DESIGN PREPARED
 Multiflex Architects
 4200 Marston Ct., #202
 Palm Springs, CA 92262
 (760) 344-0914
 Alt#: Bob Whaley

ASAP / 777-036-0411
 CONTRACT: 2011



Architects Planning Writers Engineers
 CHRISTOPHER M. FADDEEN ARCHITECT
 C 17627
 42-830 Wicks Pl., #102 Palm Desert, CA 92211
 Tel: (760) 346-9014 Fax: (760) 346-9003

heroes III
 Paseo de los
 A Community Building and (90) Townhomes for
 CONCHITA VALLEY HOUSING COALITION
 849 Avenue 11
 Palm Springs, CA 92262
 Meese, California 92254

PFPI

DRAWN
 CHECKED
 DATE
 Feb. 3, 2011
 SCALE
 1/4" = 1'-0"
 DATE
 02/10/10
 SHEET NO.

FLOOR PLANS -- UNIT A
 * * * Unless this document is REPRODUCED, printed on colored background specified on the TITLE SHEET it NOT to be used for construction. * * *
 This drawing may not be reproduced in whole or part without the expressed written consent of the Architect. Identifying marks and symbols have been incorporated to protect construction.



OWNER
 Hacienda Valley Housing Coalition
 45-201 Maraca Street
 Los Angeles, CA 90021
 (310) 374-3357
 Mailing: Hacienda Valley
 Housing Coalition
 45-201 Maraca Street
 Los Angeles, CA 90021
 (310) 374-3357
 Mailing: Hacienda Valley
 Housing Coalition
 45-201 Maraca Street
 Los Angeles, CA 90021
 (310) 374-3357

ARCHITECT/DESIGN PROFESSIONAL
 ARCHITECTURE PLANNING INTERIORS ENGINEERING
 CHRISTOPHER W. FADDEA
 ARCHITECT
 45-201 Maraca Street, Suite 201
 Los Angeles, CA 90021
 (310) 374-3357
 FAX: (310) 374-3357
 A.P.N. # 722-000-041
 CONTRACT # 2011





A COMPANY HOLDING AND (SO) TOWNSHIPS IN
 PASADENA WELLS HOUSING COALITION
 1001 WELLS BLVD
 PASADENA, CA 92369
 (909) 799-0333
 (909) 799-0334
 RECORD CONTRACT # 2211

Heroes III
Paseo de los

PROJECT
 CHECKED
 DATE
 SCALE
 SHEET NO.
 SHEET NO.
PELE
 EXHIBIT 'B'

PROPOSED EXT. ELEVATIONS - PAVILION 'E'

This drawing may not be reproduced in whole or part without the expressed written consent of the architect. Identifying marks and printed notes have been incorporated to maintain consistency with this requirement.



PROJECTS PREPARED BY ARCHITECTURE PLANNING INTERIORS ENGINEERING, FEBRUARY 23, 2011

OWNER
 Covadonga Valley Housing District
 45-781 Marston Street
 Alhambra, CA 91803
 (714) 345-3357
 FAX: (714) 345-3357

ARCHITECT/DESIGNER
 CHRISTOPHER F. PADDEN
 ARCHITECT
 42-835 Main St., #102
 Palm Beach, CA 92261
 (714) 345-3357
 FAX: (714) 345-3357
 ANI Sub-Warranty

APN: 722-030-041
 CONTRACT: 2011

Architecture Planning Interiors Engineering
 CHRISTOPHER F. PADDEN
 ARCHITECT
 C 718737
 42-835 Main St., #102 Palm Beach, CA 92261
 Tel: (714) 345-3357 Fax: (714) 345-3357

Heroes III
Paseo de los

A Community Building and (80) Townhomes for
 PASO DE LOS HEROES
 649 Avenue
 Mission, California 92554

DATE
 FEB. 1, 2011


CHECKED
 DRAWN

PROJECT NO.
 10000

SHEET NO.
 10000

PRPD

PROPOSED ROOF PLAN -- PAVILION 'D' and 'E' * * * (Unless this document is a BLUELINE print on colored background specified on the TITLE SHEET it is NOT to be used for construction) * * *



ROOF NOTES

- All heights (in parentheses) are from finish floor at entry.
- U.S.A. = Type of Rafters and/or Beams.
- U.S.B. = Type of Ridge.
- U.S.C. = Type of Ridge.
- U.S.D. = Type of Ridge.
- U.S.E. = Type of Ridge.
- U.S.F. = Type of Ridge.
- U.S.G. = Type of Ridge.
- U.S.H. = Type of Ridge.
- U.S.I. = Type of Ridge.
- U.S.J. = Type of Ridge.
- U.S.K. = Type of Ridge.
- U.S.L. = Type of Ridge.
- U.S.M. = Type of Ridge.
- U.S.N. = Type of Ridge.
- U.S.O. = Type of Ridge.
- U.S.P. = Type of Ridge.
- U.S.Q. = Type of Ridge.
- U.S.R. = Type of Ridge.
- U.S.S. = Type of Ridge.
- U.S.T. = Type of Ridge.
- U.S.U. = Type of Ridge.
- U.S.V. = Type of Ridge.
- U.S.W. = Type of Ridge.
- U.S.X. = Type of Ridge.
- U.S.Y. = Type of Ridge.
- U.S.Z. = Type of Ridge.

1. All heights (in parentheses) are from finish floor at entry.

2. U.S.A. = Type of Rafters and/or Beams.

3. U.S.B. = Type of Ridge.

4. Common girth all assembly wants to mesh, verify transitions unless specifically detailed otherwise.

5. All flat roof areas and have a minimum 1/4" per ft. positive surface slope towards drain.

Height Spans Approved End
 Height (feet) --- Notes

--- Height ten Feet Floor at Entry (-)

CELL
EXHIBIT 'B'

SHEET NO. 081100
SCALE 1/8" = 1'-0"
DATE 1/20/11
DRAWN BY [Signature]

Heroes III
Paseo de los

A Community Building and (20) Townhomes for
CONCELA VALLEY HOUSING COALITION
484 Heroes
Pasadena, California 92354

Architecture: Pennington Architects
Pennington Architects
11000 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1000
Beverly Hills, CA 90210
Tel: (310) 274-1100
Fax: (310) 274-1101

Contract: 101

OWNER: Conceala Valley Housing Coalition
484 Heroes Street
Pasadena, CA 92354
Tel: (714) 341-3357
Fax: (714) 341-3357


ARCHITECT/DESIGNER: Pennington Architects
11000 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1000
Beverly Hills, CA 90210
Tel: (310) 274-1100
Fax: (310) 274-1101

MECHANICAL ENGINEER: [Signature]
11000 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1000
Beverly Hills, CA 90210
Tel: (310) 274-1100
Fax: (310) 274-1101

STRUCTURAL ENGINEER: [Signature]
11000 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1000
Beverly Hills, CA 90210
Tel: (310) 274-1100
Fax: (310) 274-1101

SOILS: [Signature]
11000 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1000
Beverly Hills, CA 90210
Tel: (310) 274-1100
Fax: (310) 274-1101

PROF. EXT. ELEVATIONS - COMMUNITY BLDG.



OWNER
 Conchita Valley Housing Coalition
 46-781 Marine Street
 (760) 341-3357
 Attn: Peter Poulos
 Attn: Elizabeth Poulos

ARCHITECT/DESIGN FIRM
 Pasadena Architects
 46-781 Marine Street, #102
 Palm Desert, CA 92241
 Attn: Chris Anderson
 Attn: Bob Weisley

CONTRACT 2011
 A.P.N. # 797-030-041

ARCHITECTURE Planning Brothers
 ENGINEERING
 CHRISTOPHER M. FADEN
 ARCHITECT
 C TRUST
 42-620 Main St., #102 Palm Desert, CA 92211
 Tel: (760) 346-8014 Fax: (760) 346-0863

Passos de los heroes III
 A Community Building and (50) Townhomes for
 PASOS DE LOS HEROES III
 54th Avenue
 Mecca, California 92254


CRP1

DRAWN
CHECKED
DATE Feb. 1, 2011
PROJECT
JOB NO.
DATE
SCALE
SHEET NO.

HOOF PLAN and DETAILS — DAYCARE

... Unless this document is a **RECORD** print on colored background specified on the **TITLE SHEET** it is NOT to be used for construction. This drawing may not be reproduced in whole or part without the expressed written consent of the Architect. Identifying marks and symbols have been incorporated to maintain conformance with the requirements.

- ROOF NOTES**
1. All heights (in parenthesis) are from finish floor of entry.
 2. () = Height of Parapet and/or from. () = Top of Ridge () = Top of Roof
 3. Flashing Counterflash of roof to vertical wall terminate within specifically detailed otherwise.
 4. Concrete base at plumbing vents to make slope.
 5. All flat roof areas shall have a minimum 1/4" per ft positive surface slope towards drain.
- Height Above Approved Pad
 Height from Finish Floor of Entry (-)



ROOF PLAN

1/8"=1'-0"

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM: INITIAL STUDY

Environmental Assessment (E.A.) Number: 42414
Project Case Type (s) and Number(s): Change of Zone No. 7753 / Tentative Parcel Map No. 36357 / Plot Plan No. 24801
Lead Agency Name: County of Riverside Planning Department
Address: P.O. Box 1409, Riverside, CA 92502-1409
Contact Person: Jay Olivas
Telephone Number: (951) 955-1195
Applicant's Name: Coachella Valley Housing Coalition
Applicant's Address: 45-701 Monroe Street, Ste. G, Indio, CA 92201
Engineer's Name: Rick Engineering
Engineer's Address: 1770 Iowa Street, Ste. 100 Riverside, CA 92507

I. PROJECT INFORMATION

A. Project Description: Change of Zone from A-2-10 to R-3 on an approximate 10 acre portion of a 20 acre lot, tentative parcel map (Schedule H) to divide an 20 gross acres into two 10 acre lots with one lot containing an existing mobile home park (CUP03500) and one lot proposed for multiple family residential units, and a plot plan that proposes 80 multiple family rental units (6-8 townhomes per cluster) up to 25 feet in height with 5,300 square foot community building up to 32 feet in height, exercise path, pedestrian walkways, carports, garages, and associated site improvements. Primary road access is proposed from Avenue 64. Project grading consists of approximately 15,000 cubic yards of cut/fill.

B. Type of Project: Site Specific ; Countywide ; Community ; Policy .

C. Total Project Area: 20 Gross Acres

| | | | |
|------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Residential Acres: 20 | Lots: 2 | Units: 133 | Projected Residents: Est. 360 |
| Commercial Acres: | Lots: | Sq. Ft. of Bldg. Area: | Est. No. of Employees: |
| Industrial Acres: | Lots: | Sq. Ft. of Bldg. Area: | Est. No. of Employees: |
| Other: n/a | | | |

D. Assessor's Parcel No(s): 727-030-014

E. Street References: Northerly of Avenue 64, easterly of Lincoln Street in Mecca, CA.

F. Section, Township & Range Description or reference/attach a Legal Description:
Township 7 South, Range 9 East, Section 5

G. Brief description of the existing environmental setting of the project site and its surroundings: This project site is located on a 20 gross acre site containing an existing 53 unit mobile home park on a 10 acre portion and vacant land on the remaining portion. Surrounding land consists of single family residences, crops, and vacant land.

II. APPLICABLE GENERAL PLAN AND ZONING REGULATIONS

A. General Plan Elements/Policies:

- 1. Land Use:** The project implements Policy LU 4.1, requiring new developments to be located and designed to visually enhance, not degrade, the character of the surrounding

area. The proposed project would be located within the High Density Residential (8-14 DU/AC) land use designation, and other applicable land use policies within the General Plan.

2. **Circulation:** The project has adequate circulation to the site and is therefore consistent with the Circulation Element of the General Plan. The proposed project meets all other applicable circulation policies of the General Plan.
3. **Multipurpose Open Space:** No natural open space land was required to be preserved within the boundaries of this project. The proposed project meets with all other applicable Multipurpose Open Space element policies.
4. **Safety:** The proposed project is not located within any special hazard zone (including FEMA flood zone, fault zone, high fire hazard area, dam inundation zone, area with high liquefaction potential, etc.). The proposed project has allowed for sufficient provision of emergency response services to the future residents of this project through the project design and payment of development impact fees. The proposed project meets with all other applicable Safety element policies.
5. **Noise:** Sufficient mitigation against any foreseeable noise sources in the area have been provided for in the design of the project. The project will not generate noise levels in excess of standards established in the General Plan or noise ordinance. The project meets all other applicable Noise Element Policies.
6. **Housing:** The proposed project meets all applicable Housing Element Policies.
7. **Air Quality:** The proposed project has been conditioned to control any fugitive dust during grading and construction activities. The proposed project meets all other applicable Air Quality element policies.

B. General Plan Area Plan(s): Eastern Coachella Valley

C. Foundation Component(s): Community Development

D. Land Use Designation(s): Medium High Density Residential (MHDR) (5-8 D.U./Ac.) and High Density Residential (HDR) (8-14 D.U./Ac.)

E. Overlay(s), if any: Not Applicable

F. Policy Area(s), if any: Not Applicable

G. Adjacent and Surrounding Area Plan(s), Foundation Component(s), Land Use Designation(s), and Overlay(s) and Policy Area(s), if any: The project site is surrounded by properties which are designated Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD:MDR) (2-5 Dwelling Units per Acre), High Density Residential (CD:HDR) (8-14 D.U./Ac.) and Agriculture (AG) (10 Acre minimum).

H. Adopted Specific Plan Information

1. **Name and Number of Specific Plan, if any:** Not Applicable

2. **Specific Plan Planning Area, and Policies, if any:** Not Applicable

I. Existing Zoning: R-T and A-2-10

J. Proposed Zoning, if any: General Residential R-3 on the easterly 10 acre portion

K. Adjacent and Surrounding Zoning: The project site is surrounded by properties which are zoned R-6, A-2-10, M-M, I-P, and A-1-5

III. ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED

The environmental factors checked below (x) would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" or "Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

- | | | |
|---|---|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Aesthetics | <input type="checkbox"/> Hazards & Hazardous Materials | <input type="checkbox"/> Recreation |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Agriculture & Forest Resources | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Hydrology / Water Quality | <input type="checkbox"/> Transportation / Traffic |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Air Quality | <input type="checkbox"/> Land Use / Planning | <input type="checkbox"/> Utilities / Service Systems |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Biological Resources | <input type="checkbox"/> Mineral Resources | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Cultural Resources | <input type="checkbox"/> Noise | <input type="checkbox"/> Other: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Geology / Soils | <input type="checkbox"/> Population / Housing | <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory Findings of Significance |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Greenhouse Gas Emissions | <input type="checkbox"/> Public Services | |

IV. DETERMINATION

On the basis of this initial evaluation:

A PREVIOUS ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/NEGATIVE DECLARATION WAS NOT PREPARED

I find that the proposed project **COULD NOT** have a significant effect on the environment, and a **NEGATIVE DECLARATION** will be prepared.

I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project, described in this document, have been made or agreed to by the project proponent. **A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION** will be prepared.

I find that the proposed project **MAY** have a significant effect on the environment, and an **ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT** is required.

A PREVIOUS ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/NEGATIVE DECLARATION WAS PREPARED

I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, **NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED** because (a) all potentially significant effects of the proposed project have been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or Negative Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards, (b) all potentially significant effects of the proposed project have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or Negative Declaration, (c) the proposed project will not result in any new significant environmental effects not identified in the earlier EIR or Negative Declaration, (d) the proposed project will not substantially increase the severity of the environmental effects identified in the earlier EIR or Negative Declaration, (e) no considerably different mitigation measures have been identified and (f) no mitigation measures found infeasible have become feasible.

I find that although all potentially significant effects have been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or Negative Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards, some changes or additions are necessary but none of the conditions described in California Code of Regulations, Section 15162 exist. An **ADDENDUM** to a previously-certified EIR or Negative Declaration has been prepared and will be considered by the approving body or bodies.

I find that at least one of the conditions described in California Code of Regulations, Section 15162 exist, but I further find that only minor additions or changes are necessary to make the previous EIR adequately apply to the project in the changed situation; therefore a **SUPPLEMENT TO THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT** is required that need only contain the information necessary to make the previous EIR adequate for the project as revised.

I find that at least one of the following conditions described in California Code of Regulations, Section 15162, exist and a **SUBSEQUENT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT** is required: (1) Substantial changes are proposed in the project which will require major revisions of the previous EIR or negative declaration due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects; (2) Substantial changes have occurred with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken which will require major revisions of the previous EIR or negative declaration due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects; or (3) New information of substantial importance, which was not known and could not have been known with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time the previous EIR was certified as complete or the negative declaration was adopted, shows any the following:(A) The project will have one or more significant effects not discussed in the previous EIR or negative declaration;(B) Significant effects previously examined will be substantially more severe than shown in the previous EIR or negative declaration;(C) Mitigation measures or alternatives previously found not to be feasible would in fact be feasible, and would substantially reduce one or more significant effects of the project, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measures or alternatives; or,(D) Mitigation measures or alternatives which are considerably different from those analyzed in the previous EIR or negative declaration would substantially reduce one or more significant effects of the project on the environment, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measures or alternatives.


Signature

July 18, 2011
Date

Jay Olivas
Printed Name

For Carolyn Syms Luna, Planning Director

V. ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES ASSESSMENT

In accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (Public Resources Code Section 21000-21178.1), this Initial Study has been prepared to analyze the proposed project to determine any potential significant impacts upon the environment that would result from construction and implementation of the project. In accordance with California Code of Regulations, Section 15063, this Initial Study is a preliminary analysis prepared by the Lead Agency, the County of Riverside, in consultation with other jurisdictional agencies, to determine whether a Negative Declaration, Mitigated Negative Declaration, or an Environmental Impact Report is required for the proposed project. The purpose of this Initial Study is to inform the decision-makers, affected agencies, and the public of potential environmental impacts associated with the implementation of the proposed project.

| | Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|--|--------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| AESTHETICS Would the project | | | | |
| 1. Scenic Resources | | | | |
| a) Have a substantial effect upon a scenic highway corridor within which it is located? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings and unique or landmark features; obstruct any prominent scenic vista or view open to the public; or result in the creation of an aesthetically offensive site open to public view? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure C-9 "Scenic Highways"

Findings of Fact: The project site is not located along a Scenic Highway. The design of the proposed apartment complex will be compatible with the existing setting in the surrounding area due to project design with varied architecture and landscaping, and therefore, will have a less than significant impact as a result of its implementation. The proposed project will not substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings and unique or landmark features, or obstruct a prominent scenic vista or view open to the public, as these features do not exist on the project site. Additionally, the project will not result in the creation of an aesthetically offensive site open to public view as the project was conditioned to incorporate landscaping as shown on approved conceptual planting plan, Exhibit L. Compliance with the conditions of approval on file in the County Land Management System (LMS), including 10 .PLANNING. 1 – Comply With Ord./Codes and 80 .PLANNING. 14 – Landscape Plot Plan (PP24801).

Mitigation: No mitigation required.

Monitoring: No monitoring required.

| | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 2. Mt. Palomar Observatory | | | | |
| a) Interfere with the nighttime use of the Mt. Palomar Observatory, as protected through Riverside County Ordinance No. 655? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

| Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|
|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|

Source: GIS database, Ord. No. 655 (Regulating Light Pollution)

Findings of Fact:

a) According to GIS database, the project site is located 47.51 miles away from Mt. Palomar Observatory and is not subject to Ordinance 655.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No mitigation measures are required.

3. Other Lighting Issues

a) Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?

b) Expose residential property to unacceptable light levels?

Source: On-site Inspection, Project Application Description

Findings of Fact: The creation of residential use will bring increased light and glare due to reflective light from parked vehicles, illuminated signs, street lamps, security lighting and nighttime vehicle traffic. All lighting equipment/devices will be hooded and directed so as to prevent glare and light from shining directly upon adjoining properties and road right-of-ways. Monitoring shall be provided by the Planning Department (landscape review) and the Department of Building and Safety and through Ordinance No. 348.

Mitigation: None required

Monitoring: None required

AGRICULTURE & FOREST RESOURCES Would the project

4. Agriculture

a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland) as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?

b) Conflict with existing agricultural zoning, agricultural use or with land subject to a Williamson Act contract or land within a Riverside County Agricultural Preserve?

c) Cause development of non-agricultural uses within 300 feet of agriculturally zoned property (Ordinance No. 625 "Right-to-Farm")?

d) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use?

| Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|
|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure OS-2 "Agricultural Resources," GIS database, and Project Application Materials

Findings of Fact: The project is affected by agriculture programs and land use standards of the Riverside County General Plan. The project site is designated as farmland of "local importance", "other lands", and "urban-built land". The project is adjacent to, or within 300 feet of agricultural zones (A-1, A-2, C/V, A-D and A-P). Due to existing mobile home park and perimeter treatment such as walls/fencing for the apartment complex, the project will provide a buffer from adjacent agricultural operations. In addition, the majority of surrounding land is designated in a Community Development Foundation and adoption of the change of zone the property will be consistent with the Riverside County General Plan. Compliance with conditions of approval on file in LMS, including 50.PLANNING.9 – ECS Note Right to Farm (PM36357) and 90.PLANNING. 18 – Wall/Berm Required (PP14801).

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

| | Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|---|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 5. Forest | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| a) Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Govt. Code section 51104(g))? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| b) Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| c) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of forest land to non-forest use? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure OS-3 "Parks, Forests and Recreation Areas," and Project Application Materials.

Findings of Fact:

a) The project is not located within the boundaries of a forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Govt. Code section 51104(g)). Therefore, the proposed project will not impact land designated as forest land, timberland, or timberland zoned Timberland Production.

b) According to General Plan, the project is not located within forest land and will not result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use; therefore, no impact will occur as a result of the proposed project.

c) The project will not involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of forest land to non-forest use.

| Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|
|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

AIR QUALITY Would the project

6. Air Quality Impacts

| | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b) Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d) Expose sensitive receptors which are located within 1 mile of the project site to project substantial point source emissions? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| e) Involve the construction of a sensitive receptor located within one mile of an existing substantial point source emitter? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| f) Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

Source: SCAQMD CEQA Air Quality Handbook Table 6-2

Findings of Fact: Appendix G of the current State CEQA Guidelines indicates that a project will significantly impact air quality if the project violates any ambient air quality standard, contributes substantially to an existing air quality violation, or exposes sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations.

a) The project site is located in the South Coast Air Basin (SCAB). The South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) Governing Board adopted its most recent Air Quality Management Plan (AQMP) for the SCAB on August 1, 2003. The AQMP is a plan for the regional improvement of air quality. As part of adoption of the County's General Plan in 2003, the General Plan EIR (SCH No. 2002051143) analyzed the General Plan growth projections for consistency with the AQMP and concluded that the General Plan is consistent with the SCAQMD's AQMP. The project is consistent with the County General Plan and would therefore be consistent with the SCAQMD's AQMP.

b-c) The South Coast Air Basin (SCAB) is in a non-attainment status for federal ozone standards, federal carbon monoxide standards, and state and federal particulate matter standards. Any development in the SCAB, including the proposed Project, would cumulatively contribute to these pollutant violations.

The project is consistent with the General Plan and the Western Coachella Valley Area Plan land use designations. The General Plan (2003) is a policy document that reflects the County's vision for the future of Riverside County. The General Plan is organized into eight separate elements, including an Air Quality Element. The purpose of the Air Quality Element is to protect County residents from the

| Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|
|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|

harmful effects of poor air quality. The Air Quality Element identifies goals, policies, and programs that are meant to balance actions regarding land use, circulation, and other issues with their potential effects on air quality. The Air Quality Element, in conjunction with local and regional air quality planning efforts, addresses ambient air quality standards set forth by the Federal Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the California Air Resources Board (CARB). Potential air quality impacts resulting from the proposed Project would not exceed emissions projected by the Air Quality Element. The County is charged with implementing the policies in the General Plan Air Quality Element, which are focused on reducing concentrations of criteria pollutants, reducing negative impacts to sensitive receptors, reducing mobile and stationary pollutant sources, increasing energy conservation and efficiency, improving the jobs to housing balance, and facilitating multi-jurisdictional coordination for the improvement of air quality.

Implementation of the project would not impact air quality beyond the levels documented in EIR No. 441 prepared for the General Plan. The project would impact air quality in the short-term during construction and in the long-term through operation. In accordance with standard county requirements, dust control measures and maintenance of construction equipment shall be utilized on the property to limit the amount of particulate matter generated. These are standard requirements and are not considered mitigation pursuant to CEQA.

The proposed project would primarily impact air quality through increased automotive emissions. Single projects typically do not generate enough traffic and associated air pollutants to violate clean air standards or contribute enough air pollutants to be considered a cumulatively considerable significant impact. Operational impacts associated with the project would be expected to result in emissions of VOC, NOX, CO, PM10, PM2.5 and SOX. Operational emissions would result from vehicle emissions, fugitive dust associated with vehicle travel, combustion emissions associated with natural gas use, emission related to electricity generation, and landscape equipment maintenance emissions. In the long term, emissions of VOC, NOX, CO, PM10 and PM2.5 and could exceed SCAQMD significance thresholds (in pounds per day). However, with compliance with standard requirements for use of low VOC paints and compliance with California Energy Commission Title 24 requirements for building energy efficiency, direct and cumulative air quality impacts would be reduced to a level below significance. These are standard requirements and are not considered mitigation pursuant to CEQA. Therefore, the impact is considered less than significant.

d) A sensitive receptor is a person in the population who is particularly susceptible to health effects due to exposure to an air contaminant than is the population at large. Sensitive receptors (and the facilities that house them) in proximity to localized CO sources, toxic air contaminants or odors are of particular concern. High levels of CO are associated with major traffic sources, such as freeways and major intersections, and toxic air contaminants are normally associated with manufacturing and commercial operations. Land uses considered to be sensitive receptors include long-term health care facilities, rehabilitation centers, convalescent centers, retirement homes, residences, schools, playgrounds, child care centers, and athletic facilities. Surrounding land uses are not considered substantial point source emitters or a sensitive receptor.

e) Surrounding land uses do not include significant localized CO sources, toxic air contaminants, or odors. An unmanned telecommunications facility is not considered a substantial point source emitter or a sensitive receptor.

f) The project will not create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people.

| Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|
|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES Would the project

7. Wildlife & Vegetation

| | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| a) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Conservation Community Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state conservation plan? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any endangered, or threatened species, as listed in Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations (Sections 670.2 or 670.5) or in Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations (Sections 17.11 or 17.12)? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U. S. Wildlife Service? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| e) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| f) Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| g) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

Source: GIS database, WRC-MSHCP and/or CV-MSHCP, Environmental Programs Department (EPD) review

Findings of Fact: The project may be influenced by wildlife and vegetation issues as identified in the General Plan. The project site is partially developed and there is potential for biological impacts which can be addressed with burrowing owl survey and nesting bird survey prior to grading permit issuance.

The project is located in the fee area of the Coachella Valley Multi-Species Habitat Conservation Plan (CVMSHCP). The CVMSHCP became effective October 1, 2008; payment of mitigation fees will be pursuant to Ordinance No. 875. The project site does not conflict with the provisions of any of the above adopted Habitat Conservation Plans, Natural Conservation Community Plan, or other approved

| Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|
|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|

local, regional, or state conservation plan. Impacts associated with the project are less than significant.

The project site does not conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Conservation Community Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state conservation plan.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures required.

CULTURAL RESOURCES Would the project

8. Historic Resources

| | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| a) Alter or destroy an historic site? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in California Code of Regulations, Section 15064.5? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

Source: On-site Inspection, Project Application Materials

Findings of Fact:

a-b) The site is partially disturbed. The project does not propose the disturbance of a historic site or the demolishing of historic structures. The project will not cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in California Code of Regulations, Section 15064.5. The project will have no impact.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

9. Archaeological Resources

| | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| a) Alter or destroy an archaeological site. | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to California Code of Regulations, Section 15064.5? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d) Restrict existing religious or sacred uses within the potential impact area? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

Source: Project Application Materials

Findings of Fact:

a) Site disturbance has already occurred with the use of the site. The project site does not contain an archaeological site. Therefore, the project will not alter or destroy an archaeological site.

b) The proposed project is not expected to impact archaeological resources. If, however, during ground disturbing activities, unique cultural resources are discovered, all ground disturbances shall

| Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|
|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|

halt until a meeting is held between the developer, archaeologist, and Native American representative to discuss the significance of the find. (COA 10.PLANNING.2) (PP24801). Therefore, the project will not alter or destroy an archaeological site or cause a substantive adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource.

c) There may be a possibility that ground disturbing activities will expose human remains. The project is subject to State Health and Safety Code Section 7050.5 if human remains are discovered during ground disturbing activities. (COA 10.PLANNING.1) (PP24801). This is a standard condition and not considered mitigation for CEQA purposes. Therefore, the impact is considered less than significant.

d) The project will not restrict existing religious or sacred uses within the potential impact area. Therefore, there is no impact.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

10. Paleontological Resources

a) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource, or site, or unique geologic feature?

Source: GIS database, County Geologist review

Findings of Fact:

a) According to GIS database, this site has been mapped as having a high potential for paleontological resources.

Mitigation: Compliance with the conditions of approval in the LMS, including submittal of a paleontological report by a qualified paleontologist to be reviewed by the County Geologist as indicated in the LMS by 60 Planning 4-Paleo Primp and Monitor (PM36357).

Monitoring: Monitoring shall be provided by the County Geologist.

GEOLOGY AND SOILS Would the project

11. Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zone or County Fault Hazard Zones

a) Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death?

b) Be subject to rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault?

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure S-2 "Earthquake Fault Study Zones," GIS database, County Geologist review (GEO02246)

| Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|
|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|

Findings of Fact:

a-b) According to Figure S-2, no active faults are known to traverse the subject site. The project site does not lie within a State of California Earthquake Fault Hazard Zone (formerly called an Alquist-Priolo Special Studies Zone). The principal seismic hazard that could affect the site is ground shaking resulting from an earthquake occurring along several major active or potentially active faults in southern California. California Building Code (CBC) requirements pertaining to development will mitigate the potential impact to less than significant. As CBC requirements are applicable to all development, they are not considered mitigation for CEQA implementation purposes.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

12. Liquefaction Potential Zone

a) Be subject to seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure S-3 "Generalized Liquefaction", GIS Database, County Geologist review (GEO02246)

Findings of Fact:

a) According to the County Geologist, the potential for liquefaction is high at this site. The project will have less than significant impact based on review of County Geological Report No. 2246.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

13. Ground-shaking Zone

Be subject to strong seismic ground shaking?

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure S-4 "Earthquake-Induced Slope Instability Map," and Figures S-13 through S-21 (showing General Ground Shaking Risk), County Geologist review (GEO02246)

Findings of Fact:

There are no known active or potentially active faults that traverse the site and the site is not located within an Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zone. The principal seismic hazard that could affect the site is ground shaking resulting from an earthquake occurring along several major active or potentially active faults in southern California. California Building Code (CBC) requirements pertaining to development will mitigate the potential impact to less than significant. As CBC requirements are applicable to all development, they are not considered mitigation for CEQA implementation purposes.

| Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|
|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No mitigation measures are required.

14. Landslide Risk

a) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, collapse, or rockfall hazards?

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure S-5 "Regions Underlain by Steep Slope", Geologist review (GEO02246)

Findings of Fact:

a) According to the County Geologist, landslides are not a potential hazard to the site. The project will have no impact.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

15. Ground Subsidence

a) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in ground subsidence?

Source: GIS database, County Geologist review (GEO02246)

Findings of Fact:

a) According to GIS database, the site is located in an area susceptible to subsidence. However, GEO02246 concluded that subsidence in the area will not cause any differential settlement or cracking of the foundation and will therefore have a minimal impact on the proposed development.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

16. Other Geologic Hazards

a) Be subject to geologic hazards, such as seiche, mudflow, or volcanic hazard?

Source: Project Application Materials, County Geologist review (GEO02246)

a) According to the County Geologist, tsunamis and seiching are not potential hazards to the site. The project will have no impact.

| Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|
|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

17. Slopes

| | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| a) Change topography or ground surface relief features? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| b) Create cut or fill slopes greater than 2:1 or higher than 10 feet? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| c) Result in grading that affects or negates subsurface sewage disposal systems? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

Source: Project Application Materials, Building and Safety – Grading Review

Findings of Fact:

a-c) Standard conditions of approval have been added stating that a grading permit is required prior to construction grading if more than 50 cubic yards. These conditions are not considered unique mitigation and are not required for CEQA implementation purposes. The project will have no impact.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

18. Soils

| | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| a) Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Section 1802.3.2 of the California Building Code (2007), creating substantial risks to life or property? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

Source: General Plan figure S-6 “Engineering Geologic Materials Map”, Project Application Materials, Building and Safety Grading review

a) The development of the site could result in the loss of topsoil from grading activities, but not in a manner that would result in significant amounts of soil erosion. Implementation of Best Management Practices (BMPs) would reduce the impact to below a level of significance. Impacts would be less than significant.

b) The project may be located on fine sand and/or expansive soil; however, California Building Code (CBC) requirements pertaining to residential development will mitigate the potential impact to less

| Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|
|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|

than significant. As CBC requirements are applicable to all development, they are not considered mitigation for CEQA implementation purposes.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

19. Erosion

a) Change deposition, siltation, or erosion that may modify the channel of a river or stream or the bed of a lake?

b) Result in any increase in water erosion either on or off site?

Source: Flood Control District review, Project Application Materials

Findings of Fact:

a) Implementation of the proposed project will involve grading and various construction activities. Standard construction procedures, and federal, state and local regulations implemented in conjunction with the site's storm water pollution prevention plan (SWPPP) and its Best Management Practices (BMPs) required under the National Pollution Discharge System (NPDES) general construction permit, will minimize potential for erosion during construction. These practices will keep substantial amounts of soil material from eroding from the project site and prevent deposition within receiving waters located downstream.

b) The potential for on-site erosion will increase due to grading and excavating activities during the construction phase. However, BMPs will be implemented for maintaining water quality and reducing erosion.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

20. Wind Erosion and Blowsand from project either on or off site.

a) Be impacted by or result in an increase in wind erosion and blowsand, either on or off site?

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure S-8 "Wind Erosion Susceptibility Map," Ord. 460, Sec. 14.2 & Ord. 484

Findings of Fact:

a) The project site lies within a high to moderate wind erosion susceptibility area. The project could be influenced by wind erosion and blowsand issues during project construction. Blowsand can create drifting sand dunes and can act as an abrasive on metal, glass and wood surfaces such as cars, windows, and siding of existing homes. The project is required to prevent dust and blowsand. With the incorporation of this condition (COA 10.PLANNING.17) (PP24801), the project will have a less than significant impact.

| Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|
|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS Would the project

21. Greenhouse Gas Emissions

a) Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?

b) Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?

Source: Project application materials

Findings of Fact:

a) The project is for a new apartment complex with energy efficient building codes. The construction activities shall meet California building codes and meet energy efficiency standards. Therefore, greenhouse gas emissions generated during construction will be minimal.

b) The project will not conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases. The project will have less than significant impact.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS Would the project

22. Hazards and Hazardous Materials

a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?

b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?

c) Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or an emergency evacuation plan?

d) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?

e) Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?

| Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|
|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|

Source: Project Application Materials

Findings of Fact:

a-e) The project does not create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment. It will also not impair the implementation or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or an emergency evacuation plan. The project will have no impact on emitting, or handling hazardous waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school. The project is not located on a list of hazardous materials sites.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

| 23. Airports | Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|--|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| a) Result in an inconsistency with an Airport Master Plan? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| b) Require review by the Airport Land Use Commission? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| c) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| d) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, or heliport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure S-19 "Airport Locations," GIS database

a) The project site is not located within an Airport Influence Area, the project will not result in an inconsistency with the Airport Master Plan.

b) The project site is not located within the vicinity of a private airport and will not require review by the Airport Land Use Commission.

c) The project is not located within an airport land use plan and would not result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area.

d) The project is not within the vicinity of a private airstrip, or heliport and would not result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

| | Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|--|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|
|--|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|

24. Hazardous Fire Area

a) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure S-11 "Wildfire Susceptibility," GIS database

Findings of Fact:

a) The project site is not located in a high fire area. The project will have no impact.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY Would the project

25. Water Quality Impacts

a) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner that would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?

b) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?

c) Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?

d) Create or contribute runoff water that would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?

e) Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area, as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?

f) Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?

g) Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?

h) Include new or retrofitted stormwater Treatment Control Best Management Practices (BMPs) (e.g. water quality treatment basins, constructed treatment wetlands), the operation of which could result in significant environmental effects (e.g. increased vectors or odors)?

| Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|
|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|

Source: Riverside County Flood Control District Flood Hazard Report/Condition; Preliminary Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) by Rick Engineering dated 6/29/11; Project Drainage Study.

Findings of Fact:

- a) The site shall not substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner that would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site. Therefore, the impact is considered less than significant.
- b) The project may violate water quality standards and waste discharge requirement, however, this impact is considered less than significant with mitigation incorporated based on the Preliminary Water Quality Management Plan which has been reviewed and cleared by the County Flood Control District and due to the requirement for a Final WQMP prior to grading permit issuance.
- c) The project will not substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted).
- d) Due to the amount of impervious surfaces within the project site, this proposal will slightly increase flow rates on downstream property owners. Therefore, some new flood control facilities and water quality mitigation will be required. Therefore, the impact is considered less than significant
- e) The project site is not located within a 100 year flood zone. Therefore, the project shall not place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area, as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map.
- f) The project site is not located within a 100 year flood zone. Therefore, the project shall not place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows.
- g) The project is not anticipated to otherwise substantially degrade water quality. The project has been conditioned to provide to the Building and Safety Department evidence of compliance with the N.P.D.E.S. (National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System) requirement and to obtain a construction permit from the State Water Resource Control Board (SWRCB) prior to issuance of any grading or construction permit (COA 60. BS GRADE.1) (PP24801). This is a standard condition of approval and is not considered mitigation pursuant to CEQA.
- h) The project does include new or retrofitted stormwater Treatment Control Best Management Practices (BMPs) (e.g. water quality treatment basins), the operation of which could result in less than significant environmental effects (e.g. increased vectors or odors).

Mitigation: Compliance with the conditions of approval on file in the LMS, including COA 60.FLOOD.2 Submit Final WQMP (PP24801).

Monitoring: Monitoring measures are required by the Riverside County Flood Control District, Coachella Valley Water District, and County Environmental Health Department.

| Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|
|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|

26. Floodplains

Degree of Suitability in 100-Year Floodplains. As indicated below, the appropriate Degree of Suitability has been checked.

NA - Not Applicable U - Generally Unsuitable R - Restricted

| | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| a) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner that would result in flooding on- or off-site? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b) Changes in absorption rates or the rate and amount of surface runoff? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam (Dam Inundation Area)? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d) Changes in the amount of surface water in any water body? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure S-9 "100- and 500-Year Flood Hazard Zones," Figure S-10 "Dam Failure Inundation Zone," Riverside County Flood Control District Flood Hazard Report/Condition, GIS database

Findings of Fact:

- a) The project is considered free from ordinary storm flood hazard. The project will partially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, but will not include the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner that would result in flooding on- or off-site. The project will have less than significant impact.
- b) The project is considered free from ordinary storm flood hazard. The project will result in changes in absorption rates and amount of surface runoff. The project will have less than significant impact.
- c) The project is considered free from ordinary storm flood hazard. The project will not expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam (Dam Inundation Area). Therefore, the project will have less than significant impact.
- d) The project will not cause changes in the amount of surface water in any water body. Therefore, the project will have less than significant impact.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

LAND USE/PLANNING Would the project

27. Land Use

| | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| a) Result in a substantial alteration of the present or planned land use of an area? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b) Affect land use within a city sphere of influence | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

| Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|
|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|

and/or within adjacent city or county boundaries?

Source: RCIP, GIS database, Project Application Materials

Findings of Fact:

a) The proposed use is in compliance with the current land use of Medium High Density Residential (MHDR) (5-8 D.U./Ac.) and High Density Residential (HDR) (8-14 D.U./Ac.) and the policies of the Eastern Coachella Valley Area Plan. The General Plan requires that all residential development at the Community Development densities have available public facilities and services. The proposed Parcel Map and Plot Plan for an affordable 80 unit apartment complex is conditioned to provide water, sanitation, and fire and road improvements. The project will have a less than significant impact as it likely will not result in the substantial alteration of the present or planned land use of an area.

b) The project is not located within a city sphere of influence (SOI).

c) The project was reviewed by the Mecca Community Council.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

28. Planning

a) Be consistent with the site's existing or proposed zoning?

b) Be compatible with existing surrounding zoning?

c) Be compatible with existing and planned surrounding land uses?

d) Be consistent with the land use designations and policies of the Comprehensive General Plan (including those of any applicable Specific Plan)?

e) Disrupt or divide the physical arrangement of an established community (including a low-income or minority community)?

Source: Riverside County General Plan Land Use Element, Staff review, GIS database

Findings of Fact:

a-b) The project will be consistent with the site's existing R-T zone and proposed zoning to R-3 on the easterly 10 acre portion. The project is surrounded by properties which are zoned R-6, A-2-10, M-M, I-P, and A-1-5.

c) The proposed parcel map to create two 10 acre lots and plot plan for 80 apartment units will be designed in conformance with community design standards and improvements. As a result, the project will be compatible with existing surrounding zoning and with existing and planned surrounding land uses. Impacts are less than significant.

| Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|
|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|

d-e) The project is consistent with the land use designations and policies of the General Plan. In addition, the project will not disrupt or divide the physical arrangement of an established community.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required

MINERAL RESOURCES Would the project

29. Mineral Resources

| | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region or the residents of the State? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c) Be an incompatible land use located adjacent to a State classified or designated area or existing surface mine? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| d) Expose people or property to hazards from proposed, existing or abandoned quarries or mines? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure OS-5 "Mineral Resources Area"

a-b) According to General Plan Figure OS-5, the proposed project is located in an area that is designated is an area where mineral deposits could exist however the significance of the deposits is undetermined. In addition, the project site is a partially developed mobile home park and potential impacts were covered under the grading permit for the mobile home park. Therefore, the project will have a less than significant impact.

c-d) The proposed project is not located within the vicinity of a surface mine, therefore it is not subject to creating an incompatible land use. The proposed project will have no impact with regard to incompatible land uses located adjacent to an existing surface mine. The proposed project is not located within the vicinity of any quarries or mines which may pose a risk for people or property. The proposed project will have no impact with regard to exposure to quarries or mines. Therefore, there is no impact.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

NOISE Would the project result in

Definitions for Noise Acceptability Ratings

Where indicated below, the appropriate Noise Acceptability Rating(s) has been checked.

NA - Not Applicable A - Generally Acceptable B - Conditionally Acceptable
 C - Generally Unacceptable D - Land Use Discouraged

30. Airport Noise

| | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| a) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport would the | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|

| Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|
|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|

project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?

NA A B C D

b) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?

NA A B C D

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure S-19 "Airport Locations," County of Riverside Airport Facilities Map

Findings of Fact:

a) The project site is not located within two miles of a public airport or public use airport that would expose people residing on the project site to excessive noise levels.

b) The project is not located within the vicinity of a private airport and would not expose people residing on the project site or area to excessive noise levels.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

31. Railroad Noise

NA A B C D

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure C-1 "Circulation Plan", GIS database, On-site Inspection

Findings of Fact: There are railroad tracks in the vicinity of this project site, but impacts would be less than significant due to the project setbacks and compliance with applicable building codes.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

32. Highway Noise

NA A B C D

Source: On-site Inspection, Project Application Materials

Findings of Fact: The subject property is adjacent to Lincoln Street and Avenue 64, however, due to setbacks and perimeter fencing/walls, highway noise impacts will be less than significant.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

| Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|
|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|

33. Other Noise

NA A B C D

Source: Project Application Materials, GIS database

Findings of Fact: No additional noise sources have been identified near the project site that would contribute a significant amount of noise to the project. There will be no impact.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

34. Noise Effects on or by the Project

a) A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?

b) A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?

c) Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?

d) Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive ground-borne vibration or ground-borne noise levels?

Source: Riverside County General Plan, Table N-1 ("Land Use Compatibility for Community Noise Exposure"); Project Application Materials

Findings of Fact:

a) Although the project will increase the ambient noise level in the immediate vicinity during construction, and the general ambient noise level will increase slightly after project completion, the impacts are not considered significant.

b) All noise generated during project construction and the operation of the site must comply with the County's noise standards, which restricts construction (short-term) and operational (long-term) noise levels. The project will have a less than significant impact.

c-d) The project would not expose persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local General Plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies or expose persons to or generation of excessive ground-borne vibration or ground-borne noise levels. The project will have a less than significant impact.

Compliance with the July 14, 2011 transmittal letter from Department of Public Health, Office of Industrial Hygiene.

| Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|
|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No mitigation measures are required.

POPULATION AND HOUSING Would the project

35. Housing

| | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| a) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| b) Create a demand for additional housing, particularly housing affordable to households earning 80% or less of the County's median income? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| d) Affect a County Redevelopment Project Area? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| e) Cumulatively exceed official regional or local population projections? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| f) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Source: Project Application Materials, GIS database, Riverside County General Plan Housing Element

Findings of Fact:

a) The project consists of an existing mobile home park with 53 units and proposed apartment complex for 80 units. The scope of the development is not substantial enough to displace a number of housing since the number of units is increasing with an approximate population of a minimum of 360 persons.

b) The project is located within a Redevelopment Area. The project will have no impact.

c) The project will not cumulatively exceed official regional or local population projections. The project will have no impact.

d) The project could potentially encourage additional residential development in the area since there will be partially improved.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

| Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|
|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|

PUBLIC SERVICES Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered government facilities or the need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:

36. Fire Services

Source: Riverside County General Plan Safety Element

The project area is serviced by the Riverside County Fire Department. Any potential significant effects will be mitigated by the payment of standard fees to the County of Riverside. The project will not directly physically alter existing facilities or result in the construction of new facilities. Any construction of new facilities required by the cumulative effects of surrounding projects would have to meet all applicable environmental standards. The project shall comply with County Ordinance No. 659 to mitigate the potential effects to fire services. (COA 90.PLANNING.20) (PP24801). This is a standard Condition of Approval and pursuant to CEQA is not considered mitigation.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

37. Sheriff Services

Source: Riverside County General Plan

The proposed area is serviced by the Riverside County Sheriff's Department. The proposed project would not have an incremental effect on the level of sheriff services provided in the vicinity of the project area. Any construction of new facilities required by the cumulative effects of this project and surrounding projects would have to meet all applicable environmental standards. This is a standard Condition of Approval and pursuant to CEQA, is not considered mitigation. Compliance with Sheriff's transmittal letter dated February 4, 2011.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

38. Schools

Source: Palm Springs Unified School District correspondence, GIS database

Findings of Fact: The project will not physically alter existing facilities or result in the construction of new or physically altered facilities. The proposed project is located within the Coachella Valley Unified School District. Any construction of new facilities required by the cumulative effects of this project and surrounding projects would have to meet all applicable environmental standards. This project has been conditioned to comply with School Mitigation Impact fees in order to mitigate the potential effects to school services. (COA 80.PLANNING.11) (PP24801). This is a standard Condition of Approval and pursuant to CEQA, is not considered mitigation.

| Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|
|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

39. Libraries

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|

Source: Riverside County General Plan

The proposed project will not create a significant incremental demand for library services. The project will not require the provision of new or altered government facilities at this time. Any construction of new facilities required by the cumulative effects of surrounding projects would have to meet all applicable environmental standards. This is a standard Condition of Approval and pursuant to CEQA is not considered mitigation.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

40. Health Services

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|

Source: Riverside County General Plan

The use of the proposed project area would not cause a significant impact on health services. The site is located within the service parameters of County health centers. The project will not physically alter existing facilities or result in the construction of new or physically altered facilities. The project will have no impact. Any construction of new facilities required by the cumulative effects of this project and surrounding projects would have to meet all applicable environmental standards.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

RECREATION

41. Parks and Recreation

a) Would the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|

b) Would the project include the use of existing neighborhood or regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|

c) Is the project located within a Community Service Area (CSA) or recreation and park district with a Community Parks and Recreation Plan (Quimby fees)?

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|

| Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|
|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|

Source: GIS database, Ord. No. 460, Section 10.35 (Regulating the Division of Land – Park and Recreation Fees and Dedications), Ord. No. 659 (Establishing Development Impact Fees), Parks & Open Space Department Review

- a) The project would include recreational facilities and/or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment.
- b) The project would include the use of existing neighborhood or regional parks or other recreation facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated.
- c) The project is located within a county service area. The project will have a less than significant impact.

Mitigation: Compliance with the Desert Recreation District transmittal letter dated January 27, 2011 (PM36357).

Monitoring: Monitoring measures are required from Planning Department and Desert Recreation District.

| | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 42. Recreational Trails | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|

Source: Riverside County General Plan

Findings of Fact: No designated recreational trails on Avenue 64 or east side of Lincoln Street.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No mitigation measures are required.

TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC Would the project

| | | | | |
|------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 43. Circulation | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
|------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|

a) Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing a measure of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation, including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit?

| | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| b) Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including, but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|

| | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| c) Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|

| | Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|---|--------------------------------|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| d) Alter waterborne, rail or air traffic? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| e) Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g. farm equipment)? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| f) Cause an effect upon, or a need for new or altered maintenance of roads? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| g) Cause an effect upon circulation during the project's construction? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| h) Result in inadequate emergency access or access to nearby uses? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| i) Conflict with adopted policies, plans or programs regarding public transit, bikeways or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise substantially decrease the performance or safety of such facilities? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

Source: Riverside County General Plan

Findings of Fact:

a) The project will generate additional traffic to the area and regional transportation system including along Lincoln Street and Avenue 64. Sufficient street right away along Avenue 64 shall be conveyed for public use to provide for a 30 foot half width right of way (COA 80.TRANS.4). The project will not conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing a measure of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system. The impact is less than significant.

b) The project will not conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including, but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways.

c-d) The project does not propose any design issues that would cause a change in air traffic patterns, alter waterborne, or rail and air traffic. The project will have no impact.

e-f) The project will not substantially increase hazards due to a design feature or cause an effect upon a need for new or altered maintenance of roads.

g) The project site will cause an effect upon circulation during the project's construction; however, impacts are considered less than significant.

h) The project will not cause inadequate emergency access or access to nearby uses.

i) The project site will not conflict with adopted policies, plans or programs regarding public transit, bikeways or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise substantially decrease the performance or safety of such facilities.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

| | Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|--|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|
|--|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|

44. Bike Trails

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|

Source: Riverside County General Plan

Findings of Fact: No bike trails designated along Avenue 64 or east side of Lincoln Street.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

UTILITY AND SERVICE SYSTEMS Would the project

45. Water

a) Require or result in the construction of new water treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which would cause significant environmental effects?

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|

b) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|

Source: Department of Environmental Health Review

Findings of Fact: The proposed project will require the construction of new water treatment facilities.

Mitigation: Compliance with the conditions of approval on file in the LMS, including 10 E HEALTH 1 (CVWD Water and Sewer Service) (PP24801).

Monitoring: Monitoring measures are required by the Environmental Health Department.

46. Sewer

a) Require or result in the construction of new wastewater treatment facilities, including septic systems, or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which would cause significant environmental effects?

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|

b) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider that serves or may service the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|

Source: Department of Environmental Health Review

Findings of Fact: The proposed project will require the construction of new sanitation treatment facilities.

Mitigation: Compliance with the conditions of approval on file in the LMS, including 10 E HEALTH 1 (CVWD Water and Sewer Service) (PP24801).

Monitoring: Monitoring measures are required by the Environmental Health Department.

| | Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|--|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|
|--|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|

47. Solid Waste

a) Is the project served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?

b) Does the project comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid wastes including the CIWMP (County Integrated Waste Management Plan)?

Source: Riverside County General Plan, Riverside County Waste Management District correspondence

Findings of Fact:

a-b) The project will be served by Riverside County Waste Management Department. The proposed project will not require or result in the construction of new landfill facilities, including the expansion of existing facilities. Compliance with the Riverside County Waste transmittal letter dated January 14, 2011.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

48. Utilities

Would the project impact the following facilities requiring or resulting in the construction of new facilities or the expansion of existing facilities; the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?

| | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| a) Electricity? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| b) Natural gas? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| c) Communications systems? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| d) Storm water drainage? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| e) Street lighting? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| f) Maintenance of public facilities, including roads? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| g) Other governmental services? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Source: Riverside County General Plan

Findings of Fact:

a-g) No letters have been received eliciting responses that the proposed project would require substantial new facilities or expand facilities.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

| Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|
|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------|

49. Energy Conservation

a) Would the project conflict with any adopted energy conservation plans?

Source: Riverside County General Plan

Findings of Fact:

a-b) The proposed project will not project conflict with any adopted energy conservation plans.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE

50. Does the project have the potential to substantially degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal, or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?

Source: Staff review, Project Application Materials

Findings of Fact: Implementation of the proposed project would not substantially degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife populations to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, or reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal, or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory.

51. Does the project have impacts which are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, other current projects and probable future projects)?

Source: Staff review, Project Application Materials

Findings of Fact: The project does not have impacts which are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable.

| | Potentially Significant Impact | Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated | Less Than Significant Impact | No Impact |
|---|--------------------------------|--|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 52. Does the project have environmental effects that will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

Source: Staff review, project application

Findings of Fact: The proposed project would not result in environmental effects which would cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly.

VI. EARLIER ANALYSES

Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration as per California Code of Regulations, Section 15063 (c) (3) (D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:

Earlier Analyses Used, if any:

- SCAQMD CEQA Air Quality Handbook
- RCIP: Riverside County Integrated Project.
- RCLIS: Riverside County Land Information System
- Geology: Geology Report No. 2246
- CUP03500/EA40712

Location Where Earlier Analyses, if used, are available for review:

Location: County of Riverside Planning Department
4080 Lemon Street, 12th Floor
Riverside, CA 92505

VII. AUTHORITIES CITED

Authorities cited: Public Resources Code Sections 21083 and 21083.05; References: California Government Code Section 65088.4; Public Resources Code Sections 21080(c), 21080.1, 21080.3, 21082.1, 21083, 21083.05, 21083.3, 21093, 21094, 21095 and 21151; *Sundstrom v. County of Mendocino* (1988) 202 Cal.App.3d 296; *Leonoff v. Monterey Board of Supervisors* (1990) 222 Cal.App.3d 1337; *Eureka Citizens for Responsible Govt. v. City of Eureka* (2007) 147 Cal.App.4th 357; *Protect the Historic Amador Waterways v. Amador Water Agency* (2004) 116 Cal.App.4th at 1109; *San Franciscans Upholding the Downtown Plan v. City and County of San Francisco* (2002) 102 Cal.App.4th 656.

File: EA.PP24801

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM36357

Parcel: 727-030-041

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

EVERY DEPARTMENT

10. EVERY. 2 MAP - PROJECT DESCRIPTION

RECOMMND

The land division hereby permitted is to divide 20 acres into two 10 acre parcels (Schedule H) with one lot containing an existing mobilehome park and one lot proposed for multiple family residential units, located within Assessors Parcel Number 727-030-041.

10. EVERY. 3 MAP - HOLD HARMLESS

RECOMMND

The applicant/permittee or any successor-in-interest shall defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the County of Riverside or its agents, officers, and employees (COUNTY) from the following:

(a) any claim, action, or proceeding against the COUNTY to attack, set aside, void, or annul an approval of the COUNTY, its advisory agencies, appeal boards, or legislative body concerning the TENTATIVE MAP or FINAL MAP, which action is brought within the time period provided for in California Government Code Section 66499.37; and,

(b) any claim, action or proceeding against the COUNTY to attack, set aside, void or annul any other decision made by the COUNTY concerning the TENTATIVE MAP or FINAL MAP, including, but not limited to, decisions made in response to California Public Records Act requests.

The COUNTY shall promptly notify the applicant/permittee of any such claim, action, or proceeding and shall cooperate fully in the defense. If the COUNTY fails to promptly notify the applicant/permittee of any such claim, action, or proceeding or fails to cooperate fully in the defense, the applicant/permittee shall not, thereafter, be responsible to defend, indemnify or hold harmless the COUNTY.

The obligations imposed by this condition include, but are not limited to, the following: the applicant/permittee shall pay all legal services expenses the COUNTY incurs in connection with any such claim, action or proceeding, whether it incurs such expenses directly, whether it is ordered by a court to pay such expenses, or whether it incurs such expenses by providing legal services through its Office of County Counsel.

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM36357

Parcel: 727-030-041

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10. EVERY. 4 MAP - DEFINITIONS RECOMMND

The words identified in the following list that appear in all capitals in the attached conditions of Tentative Parcel Map No. 36357 shall be henceforth defined as follows:

TENTATIVE MAP = Tentative Parcel Map No. 36357 dated December 9, 2010.

FINAL MAP = Final Map or Parcel Map for the TENTATIVE MAP whether recorded in whole or in phases.

BS GRADE DEPARTMENT

10.BS GRADE. 1 MAP - GENERAL INTRODUCTION RECOMMND

Improvements such as grading, filling, stockpiling, over excavation and recompaction, and base or paving which require a grading permit are subject to the included Building and Safety Department conditions of approval.

10.BS GRADE. 3 MAP - OBEY ALL GDG REGS RECOMMND

All grading shall conform to the California Building Code, Ordinance 457, and all other relevant laws, rules, and regulations governing grading in Riverside County and prior to commencing any grading which includes 50 or more cubic yards, the applicant shall obtain a grading permit from the Building and Safety Department.

10.BS GRADE. 4 MAP - DISTURBS NEED G/PMT RECOMMND

Ordinance 457 requires a grading permit prior to clearing, grubbing, or any top soil disturbances related to construction grading.

10.BS GRADE. 5 MAP - PRE-CONSTRUCTION RECOMMND

Prior to conducting any clearing, stockpiling, grading or excavation, the applicant is required to schedule a pre-construction meeting with the Building and Safety Department Environmental Compliance Division.

10.BS GRADE. 6 MAP - NPDES INSPECTIONS RECOMMND

Construction activities including clearing, stockpiling, grading or excavation of land which disturbs less than 1

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM36357

Parcel: 727-030-041

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.BS GRADE. 6 MAP - NPDES INSPECTIONS (cont.)

RECOMMND

acre and requires a grading permit or construction Building permit shall provide for effective control of erosion, sediment and all other pollutants year-round. The permit holder shall be responsible for the installation and monitoring of effective erosion and sediment controls. Such controls will be evaluated by the Department of Building and Safety periodically and prior to permit Final to verify compliance with industry recognized erosion control measures.

Construction activities including but not limited to clearing, stockpiling, grading or excavation of land, which disturbs 1 acre or more or on-sites which are part of a larger common plan of development which disturbs less than 1 acre are required to obtain coverage under the construction general permit with the State Water Resources Control Board. You are required to provide proof of WDID# and keep a current copy of the storm water pollution prevention plan (SWPPP) on the construction site and shall be made available to the Department of Building and Safety upon request.

Year-round, Best Management Practices (BMP's) shall be maintained and be in place for all areas that have been graded or disturbed and for all material, equipment and/or operations that need protection. Stabilized Construction Entrances and project perimeter linear barriers are required year round. Removal BMP's (those BMP's which must be temporarily removed during construction activities) shall be in place at the end of each working day.

Monitoring for erosion and sediment control is required and shall be performed by the QSD or QSP as required by the Construction General Permit. Stormwater samples are required for all discharge locations and projects may not exceed limits set forth by the Construction General Permit Numeric Action Levels and/or Numeric Effluent Levels. A Rain Event Action Plan is required when there is a 50% or greater forecast of rain within the 48 hours, by the National Weather Service or whenever rain is imminent. The QSD or QSP must print and save records of the precipitation forecast for the project location area from (<http://www.srh.noaa.gov/forecast>) and must accompany monitoring reports and sampling test data. A Rain gauge is required on site. The Department of Building and Safety will conduct periodic NPDES inspections of the site

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM36357

Parcel: 727-030-041

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.BS GRADE. 6 MAP - NPDES INSPECTIONS (cont.) (cont.) RECOMMND

throughout the recognized storm season to verify compliance with the Construction General Permit and Stormwater ordinances and regulations.

10.BS GRADE. 7 MAP - EROS CNTRL PROTECT RECOMMND

Graded but undeveloped land shall provide, in addition to erosion control planting, any drainage facility deemed necessary to control or prevent erosion. Additional erosion protection may be required during the rainy season from October 1, to May 31.

10.BS GRADE. 8 MAP - DUST CONTROL RECOMMND

All necessary measures to control dust shall be implemented by the developer during grading. A PM10 plan may be required at the time a grading permit is issued.

10.BS GRADE. 9 MAP - 2:1 MAX SLOPE RATIO RECOMMND

Graded slopes shall be limited to a maximum steepness ratio of 2:1 (horizontal to vertical) unless otherwise approved.

10.BS GRADE. 10 MAP - SLOPE STABL'TY ANLYS RECOMMND

A slope stability report shall be submitted and approved by the County Geologist for all proposed cut or fill slopes over 30 feet in vertical height or cut slopes steeper than 2:1 (horizontal to vertical) - unless addressed in a previous report. Fill slopes shall not be steeper than 2:1 (horizontal to vertical).

10.BS GRADE. 11 MAP - MINIMUM DRNAGE GRADE RECOMMND

Minimum drainage grade shall be 1% except on portland cement concrete where .35% shall be the minimum.

10.BS GRADE. 12 MAP - DRNAGE & TERRACING RECOMMND

Provide drainage facilities and terracing in conformance with the California Building Code's chapter on "EXCAVATION & GRADING".

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM36357

Parcel: 727-030-041

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.BS GRADE. 13 MAP - SLOPE SETBACKS RECOMMND

Observe slope setbacks from buildings & property lines per the California Building Code as amended by Ordinance 457.

10.BS GRADE. 14 MAP - SLOPES IN FLOODWAY RECOMMND

Graded slopes which infringe into the 100 year storm flood way boundaries, shall be protected from erosion, or other flood hazards, by a method acceptable to the Building & Safety Department Engineer - which may include Riverside County Flood Control & Water Conservation District's review and approval. However, no graded slope will be allowed which in the professional judgment of the Building and Safety Engineer blocks, concentrates or diverts drainage flows.

10.BS GRADE. 16 MAP - PVT RD GDG PMT RECOMMND

Constructing a private road requires a grading permit. All private roads which are conditioned to be paved shall conform to Ordinance 457 base and paving and inspection requirements.

10.BS GRADE. 17 MAP - FAULT LOCATIONS RECOMMND

Prior to issuance of any building permit on any lot located within the "Fault Hazard Zone" and its included setback area, the applicant shall have a licensed professional, qualified to do so, clearly delineate in the field the portions of that lot which are located within the "Fault Hazard Zone." No structures or portions thereof shall be located in those areas.

10.BS GRADE. 21 MAP - MANUFACTURED SLOPES RECOMMND

Plant and irrigate all manufactured slopes equal to or greater than 3 feet in vertical height with drought tolerant grass or ground cover; slopes 15 feet or greater in vertical height shall also be planted with drought tolerant shrubs or trees in accordance with the requirements of Ordinance 457.

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM36357

Parcel: 727-030-041

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

E HEALTH DEPARTMENT

10.E HEALTH. 1 RETENTION BASINS - NO VECTORS RECOMMND

Any proposed retention basin must be constructed and maintained in manner that prevents the breeding of vectors (i.e. mosquitos, etc.) and does not cause any vector nuisances.

10.E HEALTH. 2 CVWD WATER AND SEWER SERVICE RECOMMND

Parcel Map#36357 is proposing Coachella Valley Water District (CVWD) water and sewer service. It is the responsibility of the developer to ensure that all requirements to obtain water and sewer service are met with CVWD as well as all other applicable agencies.

10.E HEALTH. 3 TITLE 25 REQUIREMENTS RECOMMND

All mobile home parks must comply with Title 25 standards. A permit for park maintenance shall be maintained with the Department of Environmental Health (DEH).

FIRE DEPARTMENT

10.FIRE. 1 MAP-#50-BLUE DOT REFLECTORS RECOMMND

Blue retroreflective pavement markers shall be mounted on private streets, public streets and driveways to indicate location of fire hydrants. Prior to installation, placement of markers must be approved by the Riverside County Fire Department.

10.FIRE. 2 MAP*-#16-HYDRANT/SPACING RECOMMND

Schedule _ fire protection approved standard fire hydrants, (6"x4"x2 1/2") located one at each street intersection and spaced no more than 500 feet apart in any direction, with no portion of any lot frontage more than 500 feet from a hydrant. Minimum fire flow shall be 1000 GPM for 2 hour duration at 20 PSI. Shall include perimeter streets at each intersection and spaced 1,000 feet apart.

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM36357

Parcel: 727-030-041

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

FLOOD RI DEPARTMENT

10.FLOOD RI. 1 MAP FLOOD HAZARD RPT 1/27/11

RECOMMND

Parcel Map No. 36357 proposes a Schedule H tentative parcel map to divide an 20 gross acres into two (2) 10 acre lots with one (1) lot containing an existing mobile home park (CUP3500/Parcel 1) and one (1) lot proposed for multiple family residential units (Parcel 2). The site is located in the Mecca area, north of Avenue 64, east of Lincoln Street, and west of Date Palm Street. This case is associated with Plot Plan No. 24801 and Change of Zone 7753. The case is also related to CUP3500, which the District did not review.

Site improvements associated with Plot Plan No. 24801 (Parcel 2) will include the necessary drainage improvements to protect the site from flood hazard along with appropriate features necessary to mitigate the site's impact on water quality. Therefore, the District does not object to this proposal.

The development of Parcel 2 adversely impacts water quality. To mitigate for these impacts, a Project Specific Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) shall be submitted to the District prior to the issuance of permits. All submittals shall be date stamped by the engineer and include a completed Flood Control Deposit Based Fee Worksheet and the appropriate plan check fee deposit.

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

10.PLANNING. 1 GEN - IF HUMAN REMAINS FOUND

RECOMMND

The developer/permit holder or any successor in interest shall comply with the following codes for the life of this project:

If human remains are encountered, State Health and Safety Code Section 7050.5 states that no further disturbance shall occur until the County Coroner has made the necessary findings as to origin. Further, pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 5097.98 (b), remains shall be left in place and free from disturbance until a final decision as to the treatment and their disposition has been made. If the Riverside County Coroner determines the remains to be Native American, the Native American Heritage Commission shall be contacted within the period specified by law.

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM36357

Parcel: 727-030-041

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.PLANNING. 1 GEN - IF HUMAN REMAINS FOUND (cont.) RECOMMND

Subsequently, the Native American Heritage Commission shall identify the "Most Likely Descendant." The Most Likely Descendant shall then make recommendations and engage in consultation with the County and the property owner concerning the treatment of the remains as provided in Public Resources Code Section 5097.98. Human remains from other ethnic/cultural groups with recognized historical associations to the project area shall also be subject to consultation between appropriate representatives from that group and the County Planning /Director.

10.PLANNING. 2 GEN - INADVERTANT ARCHAEO FIND RECOMMND

The developer/permit holder or any successor in interest shall comply with the following for the life of this project:

If during ground disturbance activities, cultural resources are discovered that were not assessed by the archaeological reports and/or environmental assessment conducted prior to project approval, the following procedures shall be followed. A cultural resources site is defined, for this condition, as being three or more artifacts in close association with each other, but may include fewer artifacts if the area of the find is determined to be of significance due to its sacred or cultural importance.

1.All ground disturbance activities within 100 feet of the discovered cultural resource shall be halted until a meeting is convened between the developer, the project archaeologist, the Native American tribal representative (or other appropriate ethnic/cultural group representative), and the Planning Director to discuss the significance of the find.

2.At the meeting, the significance of the discoveries shall be discussed and after consultation with the Native American tribal (or other appropriate ethnic/cultural group representative) and the archaeologist, a decision is made, with the concurrence of the Planning Director, as to the appropriate mitigation (documentation, recovery, avoidance, etc) for the cultural resource.

3.Further ground disturbance shall not resume within the area of the discovery until an agreement has been reached by all parties as to the appropriate preservation or

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM36357

Parcel: 727-030-041

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.PLANNING. 2 GEN - INADVERTANT ARCHAEO FIND (cont.) RECOMMND

mitigation measures.

10.PLANNING. 3 MAP - MAP ACT COMPLIANCE RECOMMND

This land division shall comply with the State of California Subdivision Map Act and to all requirements of County Ordinance No. 460, Schedule H, unless modified by the conditions listed herein.

10.PLANNING. 4 MAP - FINAL MAP PREPARER RECOMMND

The FINAL MAP shall be prepared by a licensed land surveyor or registered civil engineer.

10.PLANNING. 5 MAP - FEES FOR REVIEW RECOMMND

Any subsequent review/approvals required by the conditions of approval, including but not limited to grading or building plan review or review of any mitigation monitoring requirement, shall be reviewed on an hourly basis, or other appropriate fee, as listed in county Ordinance No. 671. Each submittal shall be accompanied with a letter clearly indicating which condition or conditions the submittal is intended to comply with.

10.PLANNING. 6 MAP - ZONING STANDARDS RECOMMND

Lots created by this TENTATIVE MAP shall be in conformance with the development standards of the existing R-T zone portion and proposed R-3 zone portion.

10.PLANNING. 7 MAP - ORD NO. 659 (DIF) RECOMMND

Prior to the issuance of either a certificate of occupancy or prior to building permit final inspection, the applicant shall comply with the provisions of Riverside County Ordinance No. 659, which requires the payment of the appropriate fee set forth in the Ordinance. Riverside County Ordinance No. 659 has been established to set forth policies, regulations and fees related to the funding and construction of facilities necessary to address the direct and cumulative environmental effects generated by new development projects described and defined in this Ordinance, and it establishes the authorized uses of the fees collected.

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM36357

Parcel: 727-030-041

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.PLANNING. 7 MAP - ORD NO. 659 (DIF) (cont.)

RECOMMND

The fee shall be paid for each residential unit to be constructed within this land division. In the event Riverside County Ordinance No. 659 is rescinded, this condition will no longer be applicable. However, should Riverside County Ordinance No. 659 be rescinded and superseded by a subsequent mitigation fee ordinance, payment of the appropriate fee set forth in that ordinance shall be required.

10.PLANNING. 9 MAP - ORD 875 CVMSHCP FEE (1)

RECOMMND

Prior to the issuance of either a certificate of occupancy or prior to building permit final inspection approval, the holder of the construction permit(s) shall comply with the provisions of Riverside County Ordinance No. 875, which requires payment of the appropriate fee set forth in that ordinance. Riverside County Ordinance No. 875 has been established to set forth policies, regulations and fees related to the funding and acquisition of open space and habitat necessary to address the direct and cumulative environmental effects generated by new development projects described and defined in the ordinance within the Coachella Valley and surrounding mountains.

The fee shall be paid for each residential unit to be constructed within a residential land division. The amount of the fee for commercial and industrial projects shall be calculated on the bases of "Project Area".

In the event Riverside County Ordinance No. 875 is rescinded, this condition will no longer be applicable. However, should Riverside County Ordinance No. 875 be rescinded and superseded by a subsequent mitigation fee ordinance, payment of the appropriate fee set forth in that ordinance shall be required.

10.PLANNING. 10 MAP - GEO02246

RECOMMND

County Geologic Report (GEO) No. 2246 submitted for this project (PM36357) was prepared by Sladden Engineering and is entitled "Geotechnical Investigation, Proposed Paseo De Los Heroes III, Residential Development, North of 64th Avenue & East of Lincoln Street, Mecca Area, Riverside County, California", dated December 1, 2010. In addition, Sladden submitted "Response to County of Riverside Review Comments dated March 24, 2011, County geologic Report No. .

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM36357

Parcel: 727-030-041

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.PLANNING. 10 MAP - GEO02246 (cont.)

RECOMMND

2246", dated April 6, 2011. This document is herein incorporated as a part of GEO02246.

GEO02246 concluded:

1.No known faults are currently mapped on or projecting towards the site.

2.Risks associated with primary surface ground rupture should be considered low.

3.Nearby active faults are expected to produce strong seismic shaking during the design lie of the proposed project.

4.The potential for seismic settlements (liquefaction induced) impacting the site during a major seismic event on the nearby San Andreas Fault is considerable.

5.Seismically induced settlements are anticipated to be on the order of 2.68 inches. The maximum differential settlement is anticipated to be less than 1.5 inches.

6.Risk associated with tsunamis and seiches is considered negligible.

7.Risks associated with slope instability (slope failure, landsliding, rock falls) are considered low.

8.Risks associated with subsidence is considered low.

9.Risks associated with debris flows should be considered low.

10.Risks associated with volcanic hazards are considered remote.

GEO02246 recommended:

1.Remedial grading and recompaction for the proposed building pad areas.

2.Use of post-tensioned slab foundation systems.

GEO02246satisfies the requirement for a Geologic Study for Planning / CEQA purposes. GEO02246is hereby accepted for

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM36357

Parcel: 727-030-041

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.PLANNING. 10 MAP - GEO02246 (cont.) (cont.) RECOMMND

Planning purposes. This approval is not intended, and should not be misconstrued as approval for grading permit. Engineering and other building code parameters will be reviewed and additional comments and/or conditions may be imposed by the Building and Safety Department upon application for grading and/or building permits.

An environmental constraints sheet (ECS) shall be prepared relative to the liquefaction hazard potential as described elsewhere in this conditions set.

TRANS DEPARTMENT

10.TRANS. 1 MAP - TS/EXEMPT RECOMMND

The Transportation Department has not required a traffic study for the subject project. It has been determined that the project is exempt from traffic study requirements.

10.TRANS. 2 MAP - DRAINAGE 2 RECOM

The land divider shall accept and properly dispose of all off-site drainage flowing onto or through the site. In the event the Transportation Department permits the use of streets for drainage purposes, the provisions of Article XI of Ordinance No. 460 will apply. Should the quantities exceed the street capacity or the use of streets be prohibited for drainage purposes, the subdivider shall provide adequate drainage facilities and/or appropriate easements as approved by the Transportation Department.

10.TRANS. 6 MAP - STD INTRO 3(ORD 460/461) RECOMMND

With respect to the conditions of approval for the referenced tentative exhibit, the land divider shall provide all street improvements, street improvement plans and/or road dedications set forth herein in accordance with Ordinance 460 and Riverside County Road Improvement Standards (Ordinance 461). It is understood that the tentative map correctly shows acceptable centerline elevations, all existing easements, traveled ways, and drainage courses with appropriate Q's, and that their omission or unacceptability may require the map to be resubmitted for further consideration. These Ordinances and all conditions of approval are essential parts and a requirement occurring in ONE is as binding as though

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM36357

Parcel: 727-030-041

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.TRANS. 6 MAP - STD INTRO 3(ORD 460/461) (cont.) RECOMMND

occurring in all. All questions regarding the true meaning of the conditions shall be referred to the Transportation Department.

10.TRANS. 7 MAP - COUNTY WEB SITE RECOMMND

Additional information, standards, ordinances, policies, and design guidelines can be obtained from the Transportation Department Web site:
<http://rctlma.org/trans/>. If you have questions, please call the Plan Check Section at (951) 955-6527.

10.TRANS. 8 MAP - FLOOD HAZARD REPORT 1 RECOMMND

This is a proposal to develop a 10 acre site into 80 multiple family residential units (APN 727-030-041). The site is located on the east side of Lincoln Street, north of 64TH Ave in the unincorporated territory of Mecca, County of Riverside, State of California. The project proponent shall provide mitigation measures to be incorporated into the development to prevent flooding of the site or downstream properties. These measures shall require on-site retention of the incremental increase of runoff from the 100-year storm event.

10.TRANS. 9 MAP - RETENTION AND STORAGE RECOMMND

For retention basin sizing and calculations refer to letter and exhibit dated July 18, 2011 from Alan French to Majeed Farshad.

10.TRANS. 10 MAP - PERP DRAIN PATT/FACILITY RECOMMND

Development of this property shall be coordinated with the development of adjacent properties to ensure that off-site watercourses remain unobstructed and storm waters are not diverted from one watershed to another. This may require the construction of temporary and or permanent drainage facilities or offsite construction and grading.

10.TRANS. 11 MAP - ORD 460 10-YR/100-YR RECOMMND

The 10-year storm flow shall be contained within the curb and the 100-year storm flow shall be contained within the street right-of-way. In either situation the Flow-depth-times Flow-velocity factor shall be less than or

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM36357

Parcel: 727-030-041

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.TRANS. 11 MAP - ORD 460 10-YR/100-YR (cont.) RECOMMND

equal to 6. Curb heights shall be limited to a maximum of 8 inches. The typical street section shall be in accordance with Ordinance 460 and Ordinance 461.

10.TRANS. 12 MAP - RETENTION BASIN MAINTEN RECOMMND

The owner of the project site shall be responsible for the maintenance of the drainage facilities (including the retention basin) viable maintenance mechanism acceptable to the County should be provided for retention basin. The owner/developer shall obtain approval from Riverside County Transportation Department regarding the maintenance of the retention basin and underground systems, which shall include the language that the owner will maintain and clean the basin a minimum two times a year. These maintenance wording shall be shown on the title sheet of improvement plans.

10.TRANS. 13 MAP - EASEMENT FOR DRAINAGE RECOMMND

The project proponent will prepare and record easements for drainage purposed by separate instrument to the benefit of public, for areas where drainage facilities and other drainage appurtenances are required and/or where drainage flow patterns must be maintained to convey flood plain water. All drainage easements shall be recorded by separate instrument and noted as follows, "Drainage Easement - no building, obstructions, or encroachments are allowed."

50. PRIOR TO MAP RECORDATION

E HEALTH DEPARTMENT

50.E HEALTH. 1 ENV. ASSESSMENT PHASE I STUDY RECOMMND

An Environmental Assessment (EA) Phase I study is required. Please submit an EA Phase I study and applicable review fees to the Department of Environmental Health Environmental Cleanups Program (ECP). Please be advised that an EA Phase II study may be required pending ECP's review of the EA Phase I study. For further information, please contact ECP at (951) 955-8982.

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM36357

Parcel: 727-030-041

50. PRIOR TO MAP RECORDATION

FIRE DEPARTMENT

50.FIRE. 1 MAP-#53-ECS-WTR PRIOR/COMBUS RECOMMND

Ecs map must be stamped by the Riverside County Surveyor with the following note: The required water system, including fire hydrants, shall be installed and accepted by the appropriate water agency prior to any combustible building material placed on an individual lot.

50.FIRE. 2 MAP-#98-ECS-HYD/WTR TANK RECOMMND

Ecs map must be stamped by the Riverside County Surveyor with the following note: Prior to the issuance of a building permit, a water system for fire protection must be provided, either: 1) a domestic water system with an approved fire hydrant within 500' of the property line, or 2) a private well system with a water storage tank of sufficient size, as approved by the Riverside County Fire Department.

50.FIRE. 3 MAP-#47-SECONDARY ACCESS RECOMMND

In the interest of Public Safety, the project shall provide an Alternate or Secondary Access(s). Said Alternate or Secondary Access(s) shall have concurrence and approval of both the Transportation Department and the Riverside County Fire Department.

FLOOD RI DEPARTMENT

50.FLOOD RI. 1 MAP WQMP NOTE ON ECS-PARCEL 2 RECOMMND

A notice of the WQMP requirements shall be placed on the environmental constraint sheet and final map. The exact wording of the note shall be as follows:

NOTICE OF WQMP REQUIREMENTS:

The development of Parcel 2 adversely impacts water quality. To mitigate for these impacts, a Project Specific Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) shall be submitted to the District prior to the issuance of permits. All submittals shall be date stamped by the engineer and include a completed Flood Control Deposit Based Fee Worksheet and the appropriate plan check fee deposit.

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM36357

Parcel: 727-030-041

50. PRIOR TO MAP RECORDATION

50.FLOOD RI. 2 MAP SUBMIT ECS & FINAL MAP RECOMMND

A copy of the environmental constraint sheet and the final map shall be submitted to the District for review and approval. All submittals shall be date stamped by the engineer and include the appropriate plan check fee.

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

50.PLANNING. 1 MAP - PREPARE A FINAL MAP RECOMMND

After the approval of the TENTATIVE MAP and prior to the expiration of said map, the land divider shall cause the real property included within the TENTATIVE MAP, or any part thereof, to be surveyed and a FINAL MAP thereof prepared in accordance with the current County Transportation Department - Survey Division requirements, the conditionally approved TENTATIVE MAP, and in accordance with Article IX of County Ordinance No. 460.

50.PLANNING. 2 MAP - SURVEYOR CHECK LIST RECOMMND

The County Transportation Department - Survey Division shall review any FINAL MAP and ensure compliance with the following:

A. All lots on the FINAL MAP shall be in substantial conformance with the approved TENTATIVE MAP relative to size and configuration.

B. All lots on the FINAL MAP shall have a minimum lot size of 10 gross acres.

C. All lot sizes and dimensions on the FINAL MAP shall be in conformance with the development standards of the existing R-T zone and proposed R-3 zone, and with the Riverside County General Plan.

50.PLANNING. 3 MAP - REQUIRED APPLICATIONS RECOMMND

No FINAL MAP shall record until Change of Zone No. 7753 has been approved and adopted by the Board of Supervisors and has been made effective. This land division shall conform with the development standards of the designations and/or zones ultimately applied to the property.

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM36357

Parcel: 727-030-041

50. PRIOR TO MAP RECORDATION

50.PLANNING. 5 MAP - ECS SHALL BE PREPARED RECOMMND

The land divider shall prepare an Environmental Constraints Sheet (ECS) in accordance with Section 2.2. E. & F. of County Ordinance No. 460, which shall be submitted as part of the plan check review of the FINAL MAP.

50.PLANNING. 6 MAP - ECS AFFECTED LOTS RECOMMND

The following note shall be placed on the FINAL MAP:
"Environmental Constraint Sheet affecting this map is on file in the County of Riverside Transportation Department - Survey Division, in E.C.S. Book ____, Page ____. This affects Parcel Nos. 1 and 2."

50.PLANNING. 8 MAP - DESERT REC DISTRICT RECOMMND

Prior to the recordation of the FINAL MAP, the land divider shall submit to the Planning Director a duly and completely executed agreement with the Desert Recreation District which demonstrates to the satisfaction of the County that the land divider has provided for payment of parks and recreation fees and/or dedication of land for the proposed land division in accordance with Section 10.35 of Ordinance No. 460.

50.PLANNING. 9 MAP - ECS NOTE RIGHT-TO-FARM RECOMMND

The following Environmental Constraints Note shall be placed on the ECS:

"Parcel Nos. 1 and 2, as shown on this map, are located partly or wholly within, or within 300 feet of, land zoned for primarily agricultural purposes by the County of Riverside. It is the declared policy of the County of Riverside that no agricultural activity, operation, or facility, or appurtenance thereof, conducted or maintained for commercial purposes in the unincorporated area of the County, and in a manner consistent with proper and accepted customs and standards, as established and followed by similar agricultural operations in the same locality, shall be or become a nuisance, private or public, due to any changed condition in or about the locality, after the same has been in operation for more than three (3) years, if it wasn't a nuisance at the time it began. The term "agricultural activity, operation or facility, or appurtenances thereof" includes, but is not limited to, the cultivation and tillage of the soil, dairying, the

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM36357

Parcel: 727-030-041

50. PRIOR TO MAP RECORDATION

50.PLANNING. 9 MAP - ECS NOTE RIGHT-TO-FARM (cont.)

RECOMMND

production, cultivation, growing and harvesting of any apiculture, or horticulture, the raising of livestock, fur bearing animals, fish or poultry, and any practices performed by a farmer or on a farm as incident to, or in conjunction with, such farming operations, including preparation for market, delivery to storage or to market, or to carriers for transportation to market."

In the event the number of lots, or the configuration of lots, of the FINAL MAP differs from that shown on the approved TENTATIVE MAP, the actual language used above shall reflect those lots which are partly or wholly within 300 feet of agriculturally zoned (A-1, A-2, A-P, A-D) properties.

50.PLANNING. 11 MAP - ECS NOTE LIQUEFACTION

RECOMMND

The following environmental constraints information and note shall be placed on the ECS:

A. The Area of Potential Liquefaction shall be delineated on the ECS as approved by the Planning Department.

B. A note shall be placed on the ECS stating: "This property is affected by potential liquefaction. Structures for human occupancy shall not be allowed in the Area of Potential Liquefaction without an approved liquefaction report or approved mitigation of the hazard. This constraint affects Parcel Nos. 1 through 2, as shown on this Environmental Constraints Sheet, the original of which is on file at the office of the Riverside County Surveyor."

50.PLANNING. 12 MAP - ECS PALEO

RECOMMND

An environmental constraints sheet (ECS) shall be prepared for this project. The ECS shall indicate the area of the project site that has been mapped as having a HIGH AND UNDETERMINED potential to contain paleontological resources (i.e. significant nonrenewable fossil material). This may include the entirety of site. In addition, a note shall be placed on the ECS as follows:

"This site, as delineated on this ECS map and as indicated in the County's General Plan, has been mapped as having a high and undetermined potential for containing significant

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM36357

Parcel: 727-030-041

50. PRIOR TO MAP RECORDATION

50.PLANNING. 12 MAP - ECS PALEO (cont.) RECOMMND

nonrenewable fossil material. The proposed project's potential to impact paleontological resources has been determined to be possible. Therefore, mitigation of this potential impact in the form of monitoring of all site earth-moving activities and collection/curation of all significant fossils unearthed is required unless proven unnecessary through comprehensive literature research and site inspection."

50.PLANNING. 13 MAP- ECS NOTE ARCHAEOLOGICAL RECOMMND

he following Environmental Constraints note shall be placed on the ECS:

"County Archaeological Report no. PD-A-3500 was prepared for this property in 2005 by Archaeological Advisory Group and is on file at the County of Riverside Planning Department. The property is subject to surface alteration restrictions based on the results of the report."

50.PLANNING. 14 MAP - ECS LIQUEFACTION RECOMMND

An environmental constraints sheet (ECS) shall be prepared for this project. The ECS shall indicate the area of the project site that is subject to the potential hazard of liquefaction (may include entirety of site). In addition, a note shall be placed on the ECS as follows:

"This site, as delineated on this ECS map and as indicated in County Geologic Report (GEO) No. 2246, is subject to the potential hazard of liquefaction. Therefore, mitigation of this hazard, in the form of remedial grading and/or structural design improvements, is required prior to placement of settlement sensitive structures on this site."

TRANS DEPARTMENT

50.TRANS. 3 MAP - EASEMENT/SUR RECOMMND

Any easement not owned by a public utility, public entity or subsidiary, not relocated or eliminated prior to final map approval, shall be delineated on the final map in addition to having the name of the easement holder, and the nature of their interests, shown on the map.

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM36357

Parcel: 727-030-041

50. PRIOR TO MAP RECORDATION

50.TRANS. 9 MAP - R-O-W DEDICATED RECOMMND

Sufficient public street right-of-way along 64th Avenue shall be dedicated for public use to provide for a 30-foot half-width right-of-way.

50.TRANS. 17 MAP- CORNER CUT-BACK RECOMMND

All corner cutbacks shall be applied per Standard 805, Ordinance 461, except for corners at Entry streets intersecting with General Plan roads, they shall be applied per Exhibit 'C' of the Countywide Design Guidelines.

50.TRANS. 21 MAP - STREET NAME SIGN RECOMMND

The land divider shall install street name sign(s) in accordance with County Standard No. 816 as directed by the Transportation Department.

50.TRANS. 24 MAP - INTERSECTION/50' TANGENT RECOMMND

All centerline intersections shall be at 90 degrees, plus or minus 5 degrees, with a minimum 50' tangent, measured from flowline/curbface or as approved by the Transportation Planning and Development Review Division Engineer.

50.TRANS. 25 MAP - DRAIN EASEMENT RECOMMND

The project proponent will prepare and record easements for drainage purposes by separate instrument to the benefit of public, for areas where drainage facilities and other drainage appurtenances are required and/or where drainage flow patterns must be maintained to convey flood plain water. All drainage easements shall be recorded by separate instrument and noted as follows, "Drainage Easement - no building, obstructions, or encroachments are allowed."

50.TRANS. 26 MAP - DRAINAGE IMPROV COMPLETE RECOMMND

All drainage improvements including the construction of drainage swales, storm drains, inlet structures, and retention basins are required to be completed prior to occupancy.

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM36357

Parcel: 727-030-041

50. PRIOR TO MAP RECORDATION

50.TRANS. 27 MAP - EASEMENT FOR DRAINAGE 2 RECOMMND

The project proponent will prepare and record easements for drainage purposes by separate instrument to the benefit of public, for areas where drainage facilities and other drainage appurtenances are required and/or where drainage flow patterns must be maintained to convey flood plain water. All drainage easements shall be recorded by separate instrument and noted as follows, "Drainage Easement - no building, obstructions, or encroachments are allowed."

50.TRANS. 28 MAP - OWNER MAINTEN NOTICE RECOMMND

The owner of the project site shall be responsible for the maintenance of the drainage facility (including the surface retention basin and the underground systems). A viable maintenance mechanism acceptable to the County should be provided for retention basin and underground systems. The owner/developer shall obtain approval from Riverside County Transportation Department regarding the maintenance of the retention basin and underground retention systems, which shall include the language that the owner will maintain and clean the manholes/inlets minimum two times a year and also pump out the debris from the manholes/inlets two times a year. These maintenance wording shall be shown on the title sheet of improvement plans.

50.TRANS. 29 MAP - RETENTION AND STORAGE RECOMMND

For retention basin sizing and calculations refer to letter and exhibit dated July 18, 2011 from Alan French to Majeed Farshad.

50.TRANS. 30 MAP - FLOODWAYS ECS RECOMMND

A note shall be placed on the Environmental Constraint Sheet (ECS) stating: "Prior to the development of each lot within this land division Drainage Easements shall be defined and recorded by this map to the benefit of Riverside County over said areas for flood control purposes. Maintenance of said Drainage Easements will be performed by Property Owners Association or as agreed to by the Director of Transportation".

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM36357

Parcel: 727-030-041

60. PRIOR TO GRADING PRMT ISSUANCE

BS GRADE DEPARTMENT

60.BS GRADE. 1 MAP - NO PRECISE GRDG

RECOMMND

A PRECISE GRADING PERMIT WILL NOT BE ISSUED , BY THE BUILDING AND SAFETY DEPARTMENT, FOR PARCEL 2 OF THIS SUBDIVISION - UNLESS AN APPROPRIATE LAND USE PERMIT HAS ALSO BEEN ISSUED AND APPROVED, BY THE PLANNING DEPARTMENT, FOR THAT SAME PARCEL.

60.BS GRADE. 2 MAP - NPDES/SWPPP

RECOMMND

Prior to issuance of any grading or construction permits - whichever comes first - the applicant shall provide the Building and Safety Department evidence of compliance with the following: "Effective March 10, 2003 owner operators of grading or construction projects are required to comply with the N.P.D.E.S. (National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System) requirement to obtain a construction permit from the State Water Resource Control Board (SWRCB). The permit requirement applies to grading and construction sites of "ONE" acre or larger. The owner operator can comply by submitting a "Notice of Intent" (NOI), develop and implement a STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN (SWPPP) and a monitoring program and reporting plan for the construction site. For additional information and to obtain a copy of the NPDES State Construction Permit contact the SWRCB at (916) 657-1146.

Additionally, at the time the county adopts, as part of any ordinance, regulations specific to the N.P.D.E.S., this project (or subdivision) shall comply with them.

60.BS GRADE. 3 MAP - GRADING SECURITY

RECOMMND

Grading in excess of 199 cubic yards will require a performance security to be posted with the Building and Safety Department. Single Family Dwelling units graded one lot per permit and proposing to grade less than 5,000 cubic yards are exempt.

60.BS GRADE. 4 MAP - IMPORT/EXPORT

RECOMMND

In instances where a grading plan involves import or export, prior to obtaining a grading permit, the applicant shall have obtained approval for the import/export location from the Building and Safety Department.

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM36357

Parcel: 727-030-041

60. PRIOR TO GRADING PRMT ISSUANCE

60.BS GRADE. 4 MAP - IMPORT/EXPORT (cont.) RECOMMND

A separate stockpile permit is required for the import site. It shall be authorized in conjunction with an approved construction project and shall comply with the requirements of Ordinance 457.

If an Environmental Assessment, prior to issuing a grading permit, did not previously approve either location, a Grading Environmental Assessment shall be submitted to the Planning Director for review and comment and to the Building and Safety Department Director for approval.

Additionally, if the movement of import / export occurs using county roads, review and approval of the haul routes by the Transportation Department may be required.

60.BS GRADE. 5 MAP - GEOTECH/SOILS RPTS RECOMMND

Geotechnical soils reports, required in order to obtain a grading permit, shall be submitted to the Building and Safety Department's Grading Division for review and approval prior to issuance of a grading permit. All grading shall be in conformance with the recommendations of the geotechnical/soils reports as approved by Riverside County.* *The geotechnical/soils, compaction and inspection reports will be reviewed in accordance with the RIVERSIDE COUNTY GEOTECHNICAL GUIDELINES FOR REVIEW OF GEOTECHNICAL AND GEOLOGIC REPORTS.

60.BS GRADE. 7 MAP - DRNAGE DESIGN Q100 RECOMMND

All drainage facilities shall be designed in accordance with the Riverside County Flood Control & Water District's letter regarding this application, or if not specifically addressed in their letter, to accommodate 100 year storm flows.

60.BS GRADE. 8 MAP - OFFSITE GDG ONUS RECOMMND

Prior to the issuance of a grading permit, it shall be the sole responsibility of the owner/applicant to obtain any and all proposed or required easements and/or permissions necessary to perform the grading herein proposed.

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM36357

Parcel: 727-030-041

60. PRIOR TO GRADING PRMT ISSUANCE

60.BS GRADE. 9 MAP - NOTRD OFFSITE LTR RECOMMND

A notarized letter of permission, from the affected property owners or easement holders, is required for any proposed off site grading.

60.BS GRADE. 11 MAP - APPROVED WQMP RECOMMND

Prior to the issuance of a grading permit, the owner / applicant shall submit to the Building & Safety Department Engineering Division evidence that the project - specific Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) has been approved by the Riverside County Flood Control District and that all approved water quality treatment controlled BMPs have been included on the grading plan.

EPD DEPARTMENT

60.EPD. 1 EPD - MBTA SURVEY RECOMMND

IF VEGETATION CLEARANCE OR GRADING ON THIS SITE SHALL OCCUR DURING THE NESTING SEASON (FEB 1-AUG 31) A PRECONSTRUCTION NESTING BIRD SURVEY MUST BE CONDUCTED WITHIN 30 DAYS PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF GROUND DISTURBANCE ACTIVITIES. THIS SURVEY MUST BE CONDUCTED BY A QUALIFIED BIOLOGIST HOLDING AN MOU WITH RIVERSIDE COUNTY AND SUBMITTED TO EPD FOR REVIEW.

FLOOD RI DEPARTMENT

60.FLOOD RI. 8 MAP SUBMIT FINAL WQMP-PARCEL 2 RECOMMND

A copy of the project specific WQMP shall be submitted to the District for review and approval.

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

60.PLANNING. 1 GEN - CULTURAL RESOURCES PROFE RECOMMND

As a result of information contained in archaeological report PD-A-4273, prepared in 2005 by Archaeological Advisory Group for CUP 3500 on the same parcel, the potential for subsurface cultural deposits exists as the project is located within a surrounding area with a high density of prehistoric sites, including a large native American village site associated with the Cabazon Band of Mission Indians.

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM36357

Parcel: 727-030-041

60. PRIOR TO GRADING PRMT ISSUANCE

60.PLANNING. 1

GEN - CULTURAL RESOURCES PROFE (cont.)

RECOMMND

Therefore, prior to the issuance of grading permits, the developer/permit holder shall retain and enter into a monitoring and mitigation service contract with a qualified Archaeologist for services. This professional shall be known as the "Project Monitor." The Project Monitor shall be included in the pre-grade meetings to provide cultural/historical sensitivity training including the establishment of set guidelines for ground disturbance in sensitive areas with the grading contractors and special interest monitors. The Project Monitor shall manage and oversee monitoring for all initial ground disturbing activities and excavation of each portion of the project site including clearing, grubbing, tree removals, grading, trenching, stockpiling of materials, rock crushing, structure demolition and etc. The Project Monitor shall have the authority to temporarily divert, redirect or halt the ground disturbance activities to allow identification, evaluation, and potential recovery of cultural resources in coordination with the special interest monitors.

The developer/permit holder shall submit a fully executed copy of the contract to the Riverside County Planning Department to ensure compliance with this condition of approval. Upon verification, the Planning Department shall clear this condition.

NOTE:

1)The Project Monitor is responsible for implementing mitigation using standard professional practices for cultural resources. The Professional shall consult with the County, developer/permit holder and special interest group monitor throughout the process.

2)This agreement shall not modify any condition of approval or mitigation measure.

60.PLANNING. 3

GEN - TRIBAL MONITORING

RECOMMND

As a result of communication from the Cabazon Band of Mission Indians, and information contained in archaeological report PD-A-4273, the project is located in a highly sensitive area for subsurface prehistoric native American cultural deposits. Therefore, tribal monitoring is required for all clearing and grubbing, tree removals, mass/rough grading, and utility backbone trenching.

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM36357

Parcel: 727-030-041

60. PRIOR TO GRADING PRMT ISSUANCE

60.PLANNING. 3

GEN - TRIBAL MONITORING (cont.)

RECOMMND

Prior to the issuance of grading permits, the developer/permit holder shall enter into an agreement and retain a monitor designated by the Cabazon Band of Mission Indians. This group shall be known as the Tribal Monitor for this project. The agreement shall address the treatment and ultimate disposition of cultural resources which may include repatriation and/or curation in a Riverside County approved curation facility.

The Tribal Monitor(s) shall be allowed on-site during all initial ground disturbing activities and excavation of each portion of the project site including clearing, grubbing, tree removals, grading, trenching, stockpiling of materials, rock crushing, structure demolition and etc. The Tribal Monitor(s) shall have the limited authority to temporarily divert, redirect or halt the ground disturbance activities to allow identification, evaluation, and potential recovery of cultural resources in coordination with the Project Archaeologist.

The developer/permit holder shall submit a fully executed copy of the contract to the Riverside County Planning Department to ensure compliance with this condition of approval. Upon verification, the Planning Department shall clear this condition.

NOTE:

1) The Archaeological Monitor is responsible for implementing mitigation and standard professional practices for cultural resources, and shall consult with the County and developer/permit holder throughout the process. The Tribal Monitor is responsible to the Cabazon Band of Mission Indians for consultation purposes.

2) Tribal monitoring does not replace any required Cultural Resources monitoring, but rather serves as a supplement for consultation and advisory purposes for Tribal interests only.

3) This agreement shall not modify any condition of approval or mitigation measure.

4) The developer/permit holder shall contact the Planning Director for consideration of this condition after forty-five (45) days, if an agreement with the tribe has not been met. The Applicant / Permit Holder must

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM36357

Parcel: 727-030-041

60. PRIOR TO GRADING PRMT ISSUANCE

60.PLANNING. 3 GEN - TRIBAL MONITORING (cont.) (cont.) RECOMMND

demonstrate a good faith effort to seek the agreement with the Cabazon Band.

5)Should repatriation be preferred, it shall not occur until after the Phase IV monitoring report has been submitted to the Riverside County Planning Department. Should curation be preferred, the developer/permit holder is responsible for all costs. The Project Archaeologist shall be allowed to perform their analytical work prior to repatriation or curation.

60.PLANNING. 4 MAP - PALEO PRIMP & MONITOR RECOMMND

This site is mapped in the County's General Plan as having a High and undetermined potential for paleontological resources (fossils). Proposed project site grading/earthmoving activities could potentially impact this resource. HENCE:

PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF GRADING PERMITS:

1.The applicant shall retain a qualified paleontologist approved by the County of Riverside to create and implement a project-specific plan for monitoring site grading/earthmoving activities (project paleontologist).

2.The project paleontologist retained shall review the approved development plan and grading plan and shall conduct any pre-construction work necessary to render appropriate monitoring and mitigation requirements as appropriate. These requirements shall be documented by the project paleontologist in a Paleontological Resource Impact Mitigation Program (PRIMP). This PRIMP shall be submitted to the County Geologist for review and approval prior to issuance of a Grading Permit.

Information to be contained in the PRIMP, at a minimum and in addition to other industry standard and Society of Vertebrate Paleontology standards, are as follows:

1.Description of the proposed site and planned grading operations.

2.Description of the level of monitoring required for all earth-moving activities in the project area.

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM36357

Parcel: 727-030-041

60. PRIOR TO GRADING PRMT ISSUANCE

60.PLANNING. 4

MAP - PALEO PRIMP & MONITOR (cont.)

RECOMMND

3. Identification and qualifications of the qualified paleontological monitor to be employed for grading operations monitoring.

4. Identification of personnel with authority and responsibility to temporarily halt or divert grading equipment to allow for recovery of large specimens.

5. Direction for any fossil discoveries to be immediately reported to the property owner who in turn will immediately notify the County Geologist of the discovery.

6. Means and methods to be employed by the paleontological monitor to quickly salvage fossils as they are unearthed to avoid construction delays.

7. Sampling of sediments that are likely to contain the remains of small fossil invertebrates and vertebrates.

8. Procedures and protocol for collecting and processing of samples and specimens.

9. Fossil identification and curation procedures to be employed.

10. Identification of the permanent repository to receive any recovered fossil material. * The County of Riverside must be consulted on the repository/museum to receive the fossil material and a written agreement between the property owner/developer and the repository must be in place prior to site grading.

11. All pertinent exhibits, maps and references.

12. Procedures for reporting of findings.

13. Identification and acknowledgement of the developer for the content of the PRIMP as well as acceptance of financial responsibility for monitoring, reporting and curation fees.

All reports shall be signed by the project paleontologist and all other professionals responsible for the report's content (eg. Professional Geologist), as appropriate. Two wet-signed original copies of the report(s) shall be submitted to the office of the County Geologist along with a copy of this condition and the grading plan for

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM36357

Parcel: 727-030-041

60. PRIOR TO GRADING PRMT ISSUANCE

60.PLANNING. 4 MAP - PALEO PRIMP & MONITOR (cont.) (cont.) RECOMMND

appropriate case processing and tracking. These documents should not be submitted to the project Planner, the Plan Check staff, the Land Use Counter or any other County office. In addition, the applicant shall submit proof of hiring (i.e. copy of executed contract, retainer agreement, etc.) a project paleontologist for the in-grading implementation of the PRIMP.

TRANS DEPARTMENT

60.TRANS. 1 MAP - DRAINAGE SUBMIT PLANS RECOMMND

The project proponent shall comply with Riverside County Ordinance 458.12 as amended in the preparation of on-site flood protection. The project proponent shall submit plans for grading, landscaping, and irrigation systems, any other necessary documentation along with supporting hydrologic and hydraulic calculations to Riverside County Transportation and CVWD for review and approval. The developer shall pay all fees as required by Riverside County Transportation Department and CVWD.

60.TRANS. 2 MAP - SUBMIT PLANS 2 RECOMMND

Per letter dated July 18, 2011, the project proponent shall submit plans for grading, landscaping, and irrigation systems to Coachella Valley Water District for review and approval. This review is for ensuring efficient water management.

60.TRANS. 3 MAP - EASEMENT FOR DRAINAGE RECOMMND

The project proponent will prepare and record easements for drainage purposed by separate instrument to the benefit of public, for areas where drainage facilities and other drainage appurtenances are required and/or where drainage flow patterns must be maintained to convey flood plain water. All drainage easements shall be recorded by separate instrument and noted as follows, "Drainage Easement - no building, obstructions, or encroachments are allowed."

60.TRANS. 4 MAP - EROSION CONTROL RECOMMND

Temporary erosion control measures shall be implemented immediately following site grading to prevent depositions

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM36357

Parcel: 727-030-041

60. PRIOR TO GRADING PRMT ISSUANCE

60.TRANS. 4 MAP - EROSION CONTROL (cont.) RECOMMND

of debris onto downstream properties, public right-of-way, or drainage facilities. Plans showing these measures shall be submitted to Riverside County Transportation Department for review prior to the start of any site grading.

60.TRANS. 5 MAP - RETENTION AND STORAGE RECOMMND

For retention basin sizing and calculations refer to letter and exhibit dated July 18, 2011 from Alan French to Majeed Farshad.

70. PRIOR TO GRADING FINAL INSPECT

BS GRADE DEPARTMENT

70.BS GRADE. 1 MAP - ROUGH GRADE APPROVAL RECOMMND

Prior to the issuance of any building permit, the applicant shall obtain rough grade approval and/or approval to construct from the Building and Safety Department. The Building and Safety Department must approve the completed grading of your project before a building permit can be issued. Rough Grade approval can be accomplished by complying with the following:

1.Submitting a "Wet Signed" copy of the Soils Compaction Report containing substantiating data from the Soils Engineer (registered geologist or certified geologist, civil engineer or geotechnical engineer as appropriate) for his/her certification of the project.

2.Submitting a "Wet Signed" copy of the Rough Grade certification from a Registered Civil Engineer certifying that the grading was completed in conformance with the approved grading plan.

3.Submitting a Contractors Statement of Conformance form (284-259).

4.Requesting a Rough Grade Inspection and obtaining rough grade approval from a Riverside County inspector.

08/01/11
16:48

Riverside County LMS
CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Page: 31

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM36357

Parcel: 727-030-041

70. PRIOR TO GRADING FINAL INSPECT

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

70.PLANNING. 1 MAP - PALEO MONITORING REPORT

RECOMMND

PRIOR TO GRADING FINAL:

The applicant shall submit to the County Geologist one wet-signed copy of the Paleontological Monitoring Report prepared for site grading operations at this site. The report shall be certified by the professionally-qualified Paleontologist responsible for the content of the report. This Paleontologist must be on the County's Paleontology Consultant List. The report shall contain a report of findings made during all site grading activities and an appended itemized list of fossil specimens recovered during grading (if any) and proof of accession of fossil materials into the pre-approved museum repository. In addition, all appropriate fossil location information shall be submitted to the Western Center, the San Bernardino County Museum and Los Angeles County Museum of Natural History, at a minimum, for incorporation into their Regional Locality Inventories.

80. PRIOR TO BLDG PRMT ISSUANCE

BS GRADE DEPARTMENT

80.BS GRADE. 1 MAP - NO BP'S W/O L.U. PRMT

RECOMMND

NO BUILDING PERMITS TO BE ISSUED , BY THE BUILDING AND SAFETY DEPARTMENT, FOR PARCEL 2 OF THIS SUBDIVISION - UNLESS AN APPROPRIATE LAND USE PERMIT HAS ALSO BEEN ISSUED AND APPROVED, BY THE PLANNING DEPARTMENT, FOR THAT SAME PARCEL.

E HEALTH DEPARTMENT

80.E HEALTH. 1 PUBLIC/SEMIPUBLIC POOLS/SPA

RECOMMND

For any proposed public or semipublic swimming pool/spa, a set of three complete plans for the swimming pool/spa must be submitted to verify compliance with the California Administrative Code, the California Health and Safety Code and the Uniform Plumbing Code.

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM36357

Parcel: 727-030-041

80. PRIOR TO BLDG PRMT ISSUANCE

FIRE DEPARTMENT

80.FIRE. 1 MAP-#50C-TRACT WATER VERIFICA RECOMMND

The required water system, including all fire hydrant(s), shall be installed and accepted by the appropriate water agency and the Riverside County Fire Department prior to any combustible building material placed on an individual lot. Contact the Riverside County Fire Department to inspect the required fire flow, street signs, all weather surface, and all access and/or secondary. Approved water plans must be a the job site.

80.FIRE. 2 MAP - SECONDARY/ALTER ACCESS RECOMMND

In the interest of Public Safety, the project shall provide An Alternate or Secondary Access(s). Said Alternate or Secondary Access(s) shall have concurrence and approval of both the Transportation Department and the Riverside County Fire Department. Alternate and/or Secondary Access(s) shall be completed and inspected per the approved plans.

FLOOD RI DEPARTMENT

80.FLOOD RI. 4 MAP SUBMIT FINAL WQMP-PARCEL 2 RECOMMND

A copy of the project specific WQMP shall be submitted to the District for review and approval.

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

80.PLANNING. 1 MAP - SCHOOL MITIGATION RECOMMND

Impacts to the Coachella Valley Unified School District shall be mitigated in accordance with California State law.

80.PLANNING. 3 MAP - COORDINATE WITH PP24801 RECOMMND

Building permits, and all other construction permits, within this land division shall coordinate with Plot Plan No. 24801. The extent of coordination shall be determined by the Planning Department should the referenced permit expire, lapse or otherwise become null and void or applicable conditions of approval of the referenced permit be deemed MET or NOT APPLY.

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM36357

Parcel: 727-030-041

80. PRIOR TO BLDG PRMT ISSUANCE

80.PLANNING. 4 MAP - FEE BALANCE

RECOMMND

Prior to issuance of building permits, the Planning Department shall determine if the deposit based fees are in a negative balance. If so, any outstanding fees shall be paid by the applicant/developer.

TRANS DEPARTMENT

80.TRANS. 1 MAP - TUMF

RECOMMND

Prior to the issuance of a building permit, the applicant shall pay the Transportation Uniform Mitigation Fee (TUMF) in accordance with the fee schedule in effect at the time of issuance, pursuant to Ordinance No. 673.

90. PRIOR TO BLDG FINAL INSPECTION

BS GRADE DEPARTMENT

90.BS GRADE. 1 MAP - NO PRECISE GRD APRVL

RECOMMND

A PRECISE GRADING INSPECTION WILL NOT BE PERFORMED, BY THE BUILDING AND SAFETY DEPARTMENT, FOR PARCEL 2 OF THIS SUBDIVISION - ALL PRECISE GRADE INSPECTIONS TO BE PERFORMED UNDER THE PRECISE GRADE PERMIT ISSUED UNDER APPROPRIATE LAND USE PERMIT, FOR THAT SAME PARCEL.

E HEALTH DEPARTMENT

90.E HEALTH. 1 USE - HAZMAT BUS PLAN

RECOMMND

The facility will require a business emergency plan for the storage of hazardous materials, including swimming pool chemicals, greater than 55 gallons, 200 cubic feet or 500 pounds, or any acutely hazardous materials or extremely hazardous substances.

90.E HEALTH. 2 USE - HAZMAT REVIEW

RECOMMND

If further review of the site indicates additional environmental health issues, the Hazardous Materials Management Division reserves the right to regulate the business in accordance with applicable County Ordinances.

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM36357

Parcel: 727-030-041

90. PRIOR TO BLDG FINAL INSPECTION

90.E HEALTH. 3 USE - HAZMAT CONTACT

RECOMMND

Contact a Hazardous Materials Specialist, Hazardous Materials Management Division, at (951) 358-5055 for any additional requirements.

FIRE DEPARTMENT

90.FIRE. 1 MAP - VERIFICATION INSPECTION

RECOMMND

PRIOR TO MOVING INTO THE RESIDENCE YOU SHALL CONTACT THE RIVERSIDE COUNTY FIRE DEPARTMENT TO SCHEDULE AN INSPECTION FOR THE ITEMS THAT WERE SHOWN AT THE BUILDING PERMIT ISSUANCE IE: ACCESS, ADDRESSING, WATER SYSTEM AND/OR FUEL MODIFICATION.

Riverside office (951)955-4777
Indio office (760)863-8886

FLOOD RI DEPARTMENT

90.FLOOD RI. 2 MAP BMP - EDUCATION

RECOM

The developer shall distribute environmental awareness education materials on general good housekeeping practices that contribute to protection of stormwater quality to all initial residents. The developer may obtain NPDES Public Educational Program materials from the District's NPDES Section by either the District's website www.floodcontrol.co.riverside.ca.us, e-mail fcnpdes@co.riverside.ca.us, or the toll free number 1-800-506-2555. Please provide Project number, number of units and location of development. Note that there is a five-day minimum processing period requested for all orders.

The developer must provide to the District's PLAN CHECK Department a notarized affidavit stating that the distribution of educational materials to the tenants is assured prior to the issuance of occupancy permits.

90.FLOOD RI. 3 MAP IMPLEMENT WQMP

RECOMMND

All structural BMPs described in the project-specific WQMP shall be constructed and installed in conformance with approved plans and specifications. It shall be demonstrated that the applicant is prepared to implement all non-structural BMPs described in the approved project

PARCEL MAP Parcel Map #: PM36357

Parcel: 727-030-041

90. PRIOR TO BLDG FINAL INSPECTION

90.FLOOD RI. 3 MAP IMPLEMENT WQMP (cont.)

RECOMMND

specific WQMP and that copies of the approved project-specific WQMP are available for the future owners/occupants. The District will not release occupancy permits for any portion of the project exceeding 80% of the total recorded residential lots within the map or phase within the map prior to the completion of these tasks.

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

90.PLANNING. 1 GEN - CULTURAL RESOURCES RPT

RECOMMND

Prior to final inspection of the first building permit, the developer/permit holder shall prompt the Cultural Resources Professional to submit two (2) copies of a Phase IV Cultural Resources Monitoring Report that complies with the Riverside County Planning Department's requirements for such reports. The report shall include evidence of the required cultural/historical sensitivity training for the construction staff held during the pre-grade meeting. The Planning Department shall review the report to determine adequate mitigation compliance. Provided the report is adequate, the Planning Department shall clear this condition.


90.PLANNING. 2 MAP - QUIMBY FEES

RECOMMND

The land divider/permit holder shall present certification to the Riverside County Planning Department that payment of parks and recreation fees and/or dedication of land for park use in accordance with Section 10.35 of County Ordinance No. 460 has taken place. Said certification shall be obtained from the Desert Recreation District.



COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
TRANSPORTATION AND
LAND MANAGEMENT AGENCY



Transportation Department

Juan C. Perez, P.E.
Director of Transportation

To: Majeed Farshad

Date: July 18, 2011

From: Alan French *AF*

RE: Recommended Conditions of Approval for
PP24801, Paseo De Los Heroes III, also Parcel Map 36357

Transportation Plan Check Riverside has received the following data:

1. Preliminary Drainage Report for PM 36357, PP 24801 (Dated December 6, 2010)
2. Preliminary Drainage Report for PM 36357, PP 24801 (Revised June 28, 2011)

Transportation has completed the review of the above report and has prepared the drainage related conditions for the project. The proponent proposes to collect the incremental increase of runoff of the 100 year event from the site, convey it and use an on-site retention basin to mitigate and disperse the flows. The following are the recommended Conditions of Approval for drainage improvements for this project:

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10. TRANS USE – FLOOD HAZARD REPORT 1

This is a proposal to develop a 10 acre site into 80 multiple family residential units (APN 727-030-041). The site is located on the east side of Lincoln Street, north of 64TH Ave in the unincorporated territory of Mecca, County of Riverside, State of California. The project proponent shall provide mitigation measures to be incorporated into the development to prevent flooding of the site or downstream properties. These measures shall require on-site retention of the incremental increase of runoff from the 100-year storm event.

10. TRANS USE – RETENTION AND STORAGE

Per the Preliminary Hydrology Study (dated December 2010, revised June 2011) the developer/engineer has calculated the incremental increase of storm water runoff from

the 100 year event as follows:

| 100-year Event | 3-hr Duration | 6-hr Duration | 24-hr Duration |
|-----------------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Exist Volume – ac-ft | 0.66 | 0.68 | 0.49 |
| Developed Volume – ac-ft | 1.28 | 1.47 | 1.73 |

For the durations above the critical volume is for the 24-hr duration event. The total storage of 1.24 ac-ft is required to retain the storm volume from the 100 year event.

The basin storage capacity is 1.24 ac-ft, equal to the 1.24 ac-ft storm volume from the 100 year, 24-hour duration storm event with 1 foot of freeboard.

Based on the calculations in the report and the proposed facilities, the project proponent will have provided enough storage capacity for the project to retain on-site all the storm runoff in the 100 year event.

10. TRANS USE – PERP DRAIN PATT/FACILITY

Development of this property shall be coordinated with the development of adjacent properties to ensure that off-site watercourses remain unobstructed and storm waters are not diverted from one watershed to another. This may require the construction of temporary and or permanent drainage facilities or offsite construction and grading.

10. TRANS USE – ORD 460 10-YR/100-YR

The 10-year storm flow shall be contained within the curb and the 100-year storm flow shall be contained within the street right-of-way. In either situation the Flow-depth-times Flow-velocity factor shall be less than or equal to 6. Curb heights shall be limited to a maximum of 8 inches. The typical street section shall be in accordance with Ordinance 460 and Ordinance 461.

10. TRANS USE – RETENTION BASIN MAINTEN

The owner of the project site shall be responsible for the maintenance of the drainage facilities (including the retention basin) viable maintenance mechanism acceptable to the County should be provided for retention basin. The owner/developer shall obtain approval from Riverside County Transportation Department regarding the maintenance of the retention basin and underground systems, which shall include the language that the owner will maintain and clean the basin a minimum two times a year. These

maintenance wording shall be shown on the title sheet of improvement plans.

10. TRANS USE – EASEMENT FOR DRAINAGE

The project proponent will prepare and record easements for drainage purposed by separate instrument to the benefit of public, for areas where drainage facilities and other drainage appurtenances are required and/or where drainage flow patterns must be maintained to convey flood plain water. All drainage easements shall be recorded by separate instrument and noted as follows, "Drainage Easement – no building, obstructions, or encroachments are allowed."

60. PRIOR TO GRADING PRMT ISSUANCE

60. TRANS USE – DRAINAGE SUBMIT PLANS

The project proponent shall comply with Riverside County Ordinance 458.12 as amended in the preparation of on-site flood protection. The project proponent shall submit plans for grading, landscaping, and irrigation systems, any other necessary documentation along with supporting hydrologic and hydraulic calculations to Riverside County Transportation and CVWD for review and approval. The developer shall pay all fees as required by Riverside County Transportation Department and CVWD.

60. TRANS USE – SUBMIT PLANS 2

Per letter dated July 18, 2011, the project proponent shall submit plans for grading, landscaping, and irrigation systems to Coachella Valley Water District for review and approval. This review is for ensuring efficient water management.

60. TRANS USE – EASEMENT FOR DRAINAGE

The project proponent will prepare and record easements for drainage purposed by separate instrument to the benefit of public, for areas where drainage facilities and other drainage appurtenances are required and/or where drainage flow patterns must be maintained to convey flood plain water. All drainage easements shall be recorded by separate instrument and noted as follows, "Drainage Easement – no building, obstructions, or encroachments are allowed."

60. TRANS USE – EROSION CONTROL

Temporary erosion control measures shall be implemented immediately following site grading to prevent depositions of debris onto downstream properties, public right-of-way, or drainage facilities. Plans showing these measures shall be submitted to Riverside County Transportation Department for review prior to the start of any site grading.

80. TRANS PRIOR TO BLDG PRMT ISSUANCE

80. TRANS USE – DRAIN EASMT

The project proponent will prepare and record easements for drainage purposes by separate instrument to the benefit of public, for areas where drainage facilities and other drainage appurtenances are required and/or where drainage flow patterns must be maintained to convey flood plain water. All drainage easements shall be recorded by separate instrument and noted as follows, "Drainage Easement - no building, obstructions, or encroachments are allowed."

90. PRIOR TO BLDG FINAL INSPECTION

90. TRANS USE – DRAINAGE IMPR COMPLETE

All drainage improvements including the construction of drainage swales, storm drains, inlet structures, and retention basins are required to be completed prior to occupancy.


90. TRANS USE – EASEMENT FOR DRAINAGE 2

The project proponent will prepare and record easements for drainage purposes by separate instrument to the benefit of public, for areas where drainage facilities and other drainage appurtenances are required and/or where drainage flow patterns must be maintained to convey flood plain water. All drainage easements shall be recorded by separate instrument and noted as follows, "Drainage Easement – no building, obstructions, or encroachments are allowed."

90. TRANS USE – OWNER MAINT NOTICE

The owner of the project site shall be responsible for the maintenance of the drainage facility (including the surface retention basin and the underground systems). A viable

maintenance mechanism acceptable to the County should be provided for retention basin and underground systems. The owner/developer shall obtain approval from Riverside County Transportation Department regarding the maintenance of the retention basin and underground retention systems, which shall include the language that the owner will maintain and clean the manholes/inlets minimum two times a year and also pump out the debris from the manholes/inlets two times a year. These maintenance wording shall be shown on the title sheet of improvement plans.



BASIN VOLUME EXHIBIT
SCALE: 1" = 40'



RICK
ENGINEERING COMPANY
Riverside

1770 IOWA AVENUE - SUITE 100
RIVERSIDE, CA 92507
951.782.0707
(FAX) 951.782.0723

rickengineering.com

San Diego - Orange - San Luis Obispo - Bakersfield - Sacramento - Phoenix - Tucson

© 2011 Rick Engineering Company



Established in 1918 as a public agency
Coachella Valley Water District

Directors:

Peter Nelson, President - Div. 4
John P. Powell, Jr., Vice President - Div. 3
Patricia A. Larson - Div. 2
Debi Livesay - Div. 5
Franz W. De Klotz - Div. 1

Officers:

Steven B. Robbins, General Manager-Chief Engineer
Julia Fernandez, Board Secretary

Redwine and Sherrill, Attorneys

July 18, 2011

File: 0163.1
0421.1
0721.1

Jay Olivas
Riverside County Planning Department
4080 Lemon Street, 9th Floor
Riverside, CA 92501

Dear Mr. Olivas:

Subject: Change of Zone No. 7753/TPM 36357/Plot Plan No. 24801 (FTA No. 2010-19)

This letter supersedes District's letter dated February 24, 2011.

This area is protected from regional stormwater flows by a system of channels and dikes, and may be considered safe from regional stormwater flows except in rare instances.

This project lies within the area of the Eastern Coachella Valley Master Stormwater Planning Project, which will provide flood protection to the communities of Thermal, Vista Santa Rosa, Oasis, Mecca and North Shore. The District, in cooperation with Riverside County and the Torres Martinez Desert Cahuilla Indian Tribe, are in the early stages of this planning effort. Upon completion of the design phase, developers and property owners within the area may be required to dedicate right-of-way for flood control facilities and/or participate in the financing of a portion of these facilities.

This area is designated Zone D on the Federal Flood Insurance Rate Maps which are in effect at this time. Zone D is defined as an area of undetermined but possible risk of flood hazard.

The county shall require mitigation measures to be incorporated into the development to prevent flooding of the site or downstream properties. These measures shall require on-site retention of the incremental increase of runoff from the 100-year storm.

Design for retention basins for this area must consider high groundwater levels and clay soils.

This project lies within the Study Area Boundary of the Coachella Valley Water Management Plan (September 2002).

The District will provide domestic water and sanitation service to this area and such service will be subject to the satisfaction of terms and conditions established by the District and exercised from time to time, including but not limited to fees and charges, water conservation measures, etc.

The District has recently completed domestic water hydraulic modeling studies for other projects located in the Mecca area. The hydraulic modeling studies show that there is no surplus capacity in the domestic water system for the proposed development's domestic water demand and fire flow requirements without the installation of significant offsite infrastructure improvements.

The District may need additional facilities to provide for the orderly expansion of its domestic water and sanitation systems. These facilities may include pipelines, wells, reservoirs, booster pumping stations, lift stations, treatment plants and other facilities. The developer may be required to install these facilities and provide land and/or easements on which some of these facilities will be located. These sites shall be shown on the tract map as lots and/or easements to be deeded to the District for such purpose.

This notice of domestic water and sanitation service availability can only be used and relied upon for the specific property for which it was issued and shall expire three (3) years from date of issuance.

Domestic water and sanitation service remains at all times subject to changes in regulations adopted by the District's Board of Directors including reductions in or suspensions of service.

We request the appropriate public agency to withhold the issuance of a grading permit for TPM 36357 until drainage issues are finalized with the District. There may be conflicts with the existing facilities within TPM 36357. These conflicts include but are not limited to proposed improvements within Lincoln Avenue 64 Drain Easement, existing tile drain lines that serve others, and construction of new private tile lines that will ultimately discharge into District tile drain system.

Surface and subsurface drainage facilities in the vicinity of this project were designed and constructed for agricultural drainage. The District will consider use of these drainage facilities for urban drainage if (1) the surface and subsurface drainage facilities can physically handle the new urban drainage, (2) the area is incorporated into the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit and Waste Discharge Requirements for the discharge of stormwater in the Whitewater River Watershed, which is known as the MS4 Permit and (3) the project is annexed into a future district(s) for recovery of capital and operation/maintenance costs associated with the new urban drainage system.

Jay Olivas
Riverside County
Planning Department

3

July 18, 2011

The District may need replacement or additional drainage facilities to provide for the orderly expansion of the drainage system. These facilities may include pipelines, channels, pump stations and other facilities. The developer may be required to install these facilities and provide land and/or easements on which some of these facilities will be located. These sites shall be shown on the tract map as lots and/or easements to be deeded to the District for such purpose.


Plans for grading, landscaping and irrigation systems shall be submitted to the District for review. This review is for ensuring efficient water management.

The project lies within the Lower Whitewater River Subbasin Area of Benefit. Groundwater production within the area of benefit is subject to a replenishment assessment in accordance with the State Water Code.

All water wells owned or operated by an entity producing more than 25 acre-feet of water during any year must be equipped with a water-measuring device. A District Water Production Metering Agreement is required to ensure District staff regularly read and maintain this water-measuring device.

If you have any questions please call Tesfaye Demissie, Stormwater Engineer, extension 2605.

Yours very truly,



Mark L. Johnson
Director of Engineering

cc: Majeed Farshad
Riverside County Department of Transportation
38-686 El Cerrito Road
Palm Desert, CA 92211

Alan French
Riverside County Department of Transportation
4080 Lemon Street, 8th Floor
Riverside, CA 92501

Mike Mistica
County of Riverside, Department of Environmental Health
P.O. Box 1206, Riverside, CA 92502

TD:ch/eng/sw/11/July/Change of Zone 7753-TPM 36357-superseded

bc: Tommy Fowlkes
Tsfaye Demissie

TD:ch/eng/sw/11/July/Change of Zone 7753-TPM 36357-superseded



Established in 1918 as a public agency

Coachella Valley Water District

Directors:

Patricia A. Larson, President
Peter Nelson, Vice President
Tellis Codekas
Franz W. De Klotz
Russell Kitahara

Officers:

Steven B. Robbins, General Manager-Chief Engineer
Julia Fernandez, Board Secretary

February 24, 2011

Redwine and Sherrill, Attorneys

File: 0163.1
0421.1
0721.1

Jay Olivas
Riverside County Planning Department
4080 Lemon Street, 9th Floor
Riverside, CA 92501

Dear Mr. Olivas:

Subject: Change of Zone No. 7753/TPM 36357/Plot Plan No. 2480 (FTA No. 2010-19)

This area is protected from regional stormwater flows by a system of channels and dikes, and may be considered safe from regional stormwater flows except in rare instances.

This project lies within the area of the Eastern Coachella Valley Master Stormwater Planning Project, which will provide flood protection to the communities of Thermal, Vista Santa Rosa, Oasis, Mecca and North Shore. The District, in cooperation with Riverside County and the Torres Martinez Desert Cahuilla Indian Tribe, are in the early stages of this planning effort. Upon completion of the design phase, developers and property owners within the area may be required to dedicate right-of-way for flood control facilities and/or participate in the financing of a portion of these facilities.

This area is designated Zone D on the Federal Flood Insurance Rate Maps which are in effect at this time. Zone D is defined as an area of undetermined but possible risk of flood hazard.

The county shall require mitigation measures to be incorporated into the development to prevent flooding of the site or downstream properties. These measures shall require on-site retention of the incremental increase of runoff from the 100-year storm.

Design for retention basins for this area must consider high groundwater levels and clay soils.

This project lies within the Study Area Boundary of the Coachella Valley Water Management Plan (September 2002).

The District will provide domestic water and sanitation service to this area and such service will be subject to the satisfaction of terms and conditions established by the District and exercised from time to time, including but not limited to fees and charges, water conservation measures, etc.

The District has recently completed domestic water hydraulic modeling studies for other projects located in the Mecca area. The hydraulic modeling studies show that there is no surplus capacity in the domestic water system for the proposed development's domestic water demand and fire flow requirements without the installation of significant offsite infrastructure improvements.

The District may need additional facilities to provide for the orderly expansion of its domestic water and sanitation systems. These facilities may include pipelines, wells, reservoirs, booster pumping stations, lift stations, treatment plants and other facilities. The developer may be required to install these facilities and provide land and/or easements on which some of these facilities will be located. These sites shall be shown on the tract map as lots and/or easements to be deeded to the District for such purpose.

This notice of domestic water and sanitation service availability can only be used and relied upon for the specific property for which it was issued and shall expire three (3) years from date of issuance.

Domestic water and sanitation service remains at all times subject to changes in regulations adopted by the District's Board of Directors including reductions in or suspensions of service.

This area is underlain with agricultural drainage lines. There are District and Private facilities not shown on the development plans. There may be conflicts with these facilities. We request the appropriate public agency to withhold the approval of the Tentative Parcel Map 36357 until utility clearance have been completed with the District. The District conflicts include but are not limited to Lincoln-Avenue 64 Drain and Lincoln Street Drain. The Private conflicts include but are not limited to Tile Drain 359. The District requests the County to require the developer to verify the replacement of Lincoln-Avenue 64 Drain and Lincoln Street Drain per District Plan No. 37507 adjacent to Parcel 1 of Tentative Parcel Map No. 36357.

Surface and subsurface drainage facilities in the vicinity of this project were designed and constructed for agricultural drainage. The District will consider use of these drainage facilities for urban drainage if (1) the surface and subsurface drainage facilities can physically handle the new urban drainage, (2) the area is incorporated into the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit and Waste Discharge Requirements for the discharge of stormwater in the Whitewater River Watershed, which is known as the MS4 Permit and (3) the project is annexed into a future district(s) for recovery of capital and operation/maintenance costs associated with the new urban drainage system.

February 24, 2011

The District may need replacement or additional drainage facilities to provide for the orderly expansion of the drainage system. These facilities may include pipelines, channels, pump stations and other facilities. The developer may be required to install these facilities and provide land and/or easements on which some of these facilities will be located. These sites shall be shown on the tract map as lots and/or easements to be deeded to the District for such purpose.


Plans for grading, landscaping and irrigation systems shall be submitted to the District for review. This review is for ensuring efficient water management.

The project lies within the Lower Whitewater River Subbasin Area of Benefit. Groundwater production within the area of benefit is subject to a replenishment assessment in accordance with the State Water Code.

All water wells owned or operated by an entity producing more than 25 acre-feet of water during any year must be equipped with a water-measuring device. A District Water Production Metering Agreement is required to ensure District staff regularly read and maintain this water-measuring device.

If you have any questions please call Joe Cook, Domestic Water Engineer, extension 2292.

Yours very truly,



Mark L. Johnson
Director of Engineering

cc: Majeed Farshad
Riverside County Department of Transportation
38-686 El Cerrito Road
Palm Desert, CA 92211

Alan French
Riverside County Department of Transportation
4080 Lemon Street, 8th Floor
Riverside, CA 92501

Mike Mistica
County of Riverside, Department of Environmental Health
P.O. Box 1206, Riverside, CA 92502