

**SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

721



FROM: County Counsel

SUBMITTAL DATE:
February 7, 2012

SUBJECT: Waiver of Conflict of Interest

RECOMMENDED MOTION: That the Board of Supervisors consent to the waiver of conflict of interest and authorize County Counsel to sign the letter of consent of waiver for the law firm of Best Best & Krieger to represent the Western Riverside County Regional Conservation Authority ("RCA") in the Long Beach Equities Property real estate conveyance transaction.

BACKGROUND: Currently, the County retains the law firm of Best Best & Krieger ("BBK") to represent the County's interests in a number of environmental transactions and California Environmental Quality Act litigation. BBK also desires to now represent RCA on the Long Beach Equities Properties (Assessor's Parcel Numbers 390-110-006 & 390-120-016) real estate conveyance transaction. In this matter, BBK plans to represent RCA in advising on and reviewing a memorandum of understanding agreement, grant deed and other related documentation relevant to the acceptance of the real property interest in favor of RCA. (continued on page 2)

Departmental Concurrence

Pamela J. Walls

Pamela J. Walls, County Counsel

FINANCIAL DATA	Current F.Y. Total Cost:	\$	In Current Year Budget:	N/A
	Current F.Y. Net County Cost:	\$	Budget Adjustment:	N/A
	Annual Net County Cost:	\$	For Fiscal Year:	N/A

SOURCE OF FUNDS:	Positions To Be Deleted Per A-30	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Requires 4/5 Vote	<input type="checkbox"/>

C.E.O. RECOMMENDATION:

APPROVE

County Executive Office Signature

BY: *Denise C. Harden*
Denise C. Harden

MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

On motion of Supervisor Tavaglione, seconded by Supervisor Stone and duly carried by unanimous vote, IT WAS ORDERED that the above matter is approved as recommended.

Ayes: Buster, Tavaglione, Stone, Benoit and Ashley
Nays: None
Absent: None
Date: February 7, 2012
xc: Co.Co., RCA

Kecia Harper-Ihem
Clerk of the Board
By: *[Signature]*
Deputy

Prev. Agn. Ref.:

District: 1

Agenda Number:

3.7

ATTACHMENTS FILED WITH THE CLERK OF THE BOARD

- Policy
- Policy
- Consent
- Consent

Dept's Recomm.:
Per Exec. Ofc.:

BACKGROUND (continued):

The request for a conflict of interest waiver by the law firm of BBK is prompted by Rule 3-310 of the California Rules of Professional Conduct, which provides, in pertinent part:

“(C) A member [of the Bar] shall not, without the informed written consent of each client:

- (1) Accept representation of more than one client in a matter in which the interests of the clients potentially conflict; or
- (2) Accept or continue representation of more than one client in a matter in which the interests of the clients actually conflict; or
- (3) Represent a client in a matter and at the same time in a separate matter accept as a client a person or entity whose interest in the first matter is adverse to the client in the first matter.”

County Counsel, the Economic Development Agency, Real Estate Division staff have reviewed the attached waiver of conflict of interest letter and do not believe a conflict of interest would arise in connection with the firm's representation of RCA or that the County's interests would be adversely affected by the firm's representation of RCA in this matter concurrently with representing and advising the County on CEQA issues and litigation.

Attachment:
Conflict of Interest Request Letter

BEST BEST & KRIEGER

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

INDIAN WELLS
(760) 568-2611

IRVINE
(949) 263-2600

LOS ANGELES
(213) 617-8100

ONTARIO
(909) 989-8584

3750 University Avenue, Suite 400
Post Office Box 1028
Riverside, California 92502-1028
(951) 686-1450
(951) 686-3083 Fax
BBKlaw.com

SACRAMENTO
(916) 325-4000

SAN DIEGO
(619) 525-1300

WALNUT CREEK
(925) 977-3300

Michelle Ouellette
(951) 826-8373
Michelle.Ouellette@bbklaw.com
File No. 26493.00286

January 5, 2012

Pamela J. Walls
County Counsel
Office of County Counsel
County of Riverside
3960 Orange Street, Suite 500
Riverside, CA 92501-3674

Re: Informed Consent – Conveyance of the Long Beach Equities Property to the Western Riverside County Regional Conservation Authority (APNs 390-110-006 & 390-120-016)

Dear Pam:

The Western Riverside County Regional Conservation Authority (“RCA”) has asked Best Best & Krieger (“BBK”), as its general counsel, to review an MOU, grant deed and other documents related to the County of Riverside’s conveyance of the above mentioned property to RCA. As you know, we also have on occasion provided legal services to the County of Riverside (“County”). Since BBK has represented both RCA and the County, it is necessary that we obtain waiver letters regarding BBK’s involvement in this matter. The purpose of this letter is to formally review with you the nature of this waiver.

RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

Rule 3-310 of the California Rules of Professional Conduct provides in pertinent part:

- (C) A member [of the Bar] shall not, without the informed written consent of each client:
- (1) Accept representation of more than one client in a matter in which the interests of the clients potentially conflict; or
 - (2) Accept or continue representation of more than one client in a matter in which the interests of the clients actually conflict; or

Pamela J. Walls
January 5, 2012
Page 2

- (3) Represent a client in a matter and at the same time in a separate matter accept as a client a person or entity whose interest in the first matter is adverse to the client in the first matter.
- (D) A member who represents two or more clients shall not enter into an aggregate settlement of the claims of or against the clients without the informed written consent of each client.

OUR REPRESENTATION

In this matter, BBK plans to represent RCA in reviewing the MOU, grant deed and other documentation related to the conveyance of the property. RCA will not represent the County in this matter.

ADVERSE CONSEQUENCES

We are obliged to inform you of any actual or reasonably foreseeable adverse effects of this representation. It is possible that:

- We may be tempted to favor the interests of one client over the other.
- Our exercise of independent judgment on behalf of the County may be impaired or clouded by our relationship with RCA and vice versa.
- We may not be able to present the appropriate position, claims or defenses for a client in order to avoid taking adverse positions to the other client.
- We may be restricted from forcefully advocating a client's position for fear of alienating the other client.
- Disputes may arise between both clients regarding tactics, objectives or resolution of this matter because of our joint representation of both clients.
- We may be forced to withdraw from representing either or both clients because of disputes or further conflicts of interest which could increase either or both clients' attorney's fees and costs.
- There may be an appearance of impropriety in our representation of both clients simultaneously.

BEST BEST & KRIEGER
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

Pamela J. Walls
January 5, 2012
Page 3

YOUR CONSENT

In order for us to continue our involvement in this matter, we ask you to sign this consent letter. It is understood that this consent will not waive any protection that you may have with regard to attorney-client communications with us in this matter. Those communications will remain confidential and will not be disclosed to any third party without your consent.

I believe that you are familiar with the factual background in this matter, and I have given you a sufficiently-detailed description for obtaining informed written consent. However, if you believe that there is any other information that you or I need to have before such consent can be granted, please let me know immediately.


In the event that circumstances change or we become aware of new information that requires a new consent from the parties, you will be notified of that fact immediately, and continued representation will be subject to the informed written consent of involved parties.

I should emphasize that you are entitled to and should consider obtaining an independent legal opinion regarding the advisability of signing this consent form.

Your execution of this consent form will constitute an acknowledgment of full disclosure in compliance with the requirements of Section 3-310 of the California Rules of Professional Conduct previously quoted in this letter.

A copy of this letter is enclosed for your files. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call.

Very truly yours,



Michelle Ouellette
of BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP

MO:whs

AGREED AND ACCEPTED:

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

By: _____
Pamela J. Walls, County Counsel

Dated: _____