# SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA





FROM: TLMA - Transportation Department

SUBMITTAL DATE: December 28, 2011

SUBJECT: 2011/2012 Annual Edition, Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)

RECOMMENDED MOTION: The Department respectfully requests that the Board of Supervisors:

- 1) Approve and adopt the Transportation Improvement Program, 2011/2012 Edition, and;
- 2) Authorize the Transportation Department to submit an amended Measure "A", Local Streets and Roads Program (as reflected by this TIP) to the Riverside County Transportation Commission, and:
- 3) Authorize the transfer of approved 1B projects between funding cycles in order to optimize the delivery of projects and use of 1B funds, and;

Juan C. Perez **Director of Transportation** 

(Continued On Attached Pages)

**FINANCIAL** 

DATA

**Current F.Y. Net County Cost: Annual Net County Cost:** 

\$ N/A \$ N/A For Fiscal Year: \$ N/A

In Current Year Budget: **Budget Adjustment:** 

N/A N/A 2011/12- 13/14

SOURCE OF FUNDS: Varies by project

Positions To Be **Deleted Per A-30** 

There are no General Funds used in this program.

Requires 4/5 Vote

C.E.O. RECOMMENDATION:

**APPROVE** 

**County Executive Office Signature** 

Policy Ø V

Departmental Concurrence

Dep't Recomm.: Exec. Ofc.:

MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

On motion of Supervisor Ashley, seconded by Supervisor Buster and duly carried, IT WAS ORDERED that the above matter is approved as recommended.

Ayes:

Buster, Stone, Benoit and Ashley

Nays:

None

Absent: **Tavaglione** 

Date:

January 10, 2012

XC:

Transp., EO

Kecia Harper-Ihem

Prev. Agn. Ref.

District: All

Agenda Number:

ATTACHMENTS FILED WITH THE CI ERK DE THE BOADD The Honorable Board of Supervisors

RE: 2011/2012 Annual Edition, Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)

December 28, 2011

Page 2 of 4

- 4) Authorize the use of Western Riverside County DIF Traffic Signal Funds (Fund No. 30503) in the amount of \$10,297,000 and Eastern Riverside County DIF Traffic Signal Funds (Fund No. 30502) in the amount of \$2,572,000 for construction of traffic signals as listed on Attachment A, and;
- 5) Direct the Executive Office to transfer DIF funds to Transportation Fund No. 31692 (Western DIF Signal Funds) and Fund No. 31691 (Eastern DIF Signal Funds) pursuant to submitted billings for traffic signal projects, and;
- 6) Authorize the Director of Transportation to take all necessary administrative actions to implement the TIP.

**BACKGROUND:** The TIP is the County's funding plan for Transportation Capital projects. The TIP has been programmed in accordance with the Board-approved "Ground Rules" for allocation of General Highway funding contained herein.

The Transportation Department has approximately \$202 million in projects either under construction or soon to be, including several large bridges and interchanges. It has been a banner year for project delivery. We have taken advantage of Federal Stimulus Funds, development fees collected during the "Boom Years", and low bid prices due to the soft economy.

However, we continue through a trend of downsized expectations on the timing of delivery of capacity – expansion infrastructure projects. The combination of huge drops in development fee revenues; lower Measure "A" projections, the lack of a new Federal Transportation Bill, and continued uncertainty at the State level on the flow of basic operating funds and Transportation Bond funds, plus the economic downturn, will stretch our ability to continue timely delivery of projects that will be needed to serve our County in the future. We will continue to work closely with our partners at RCTC, CVAG, WRCOG, and our Cities to assess priorities and shift funding to optimize project delivery.

#### TIP Summary

This program includes projects funded by General Highway Funds, Special Districts, State and Federal Funds, special programs and other agencies. No County General funds are included in this Program. The TIP is a multi-fund source document updated annually, with periodic amendments throughout the year, which the Department uses to more efficiently manage its numerous projects and financial resources.

The Transportation Department is seeing significant repercussions related to the economic downturn on a number of projects that are in progress and planned for the near future. After many years of increases, the TIP total is now just over \$1.2 billion. This amount does not include the need for an additional \$1.7 billion required for all listed projects to be fully funded. Last years' TIP included funding at \$1.5 billion and had an unfunded amount of \$0.9 billion.

Given this anticipated reduction in future funding, we have changed the format of our TIP this year (from the previous versions that attempted to forecast for several years) to focus on the next two years and identify future fiscal year funding where its been committed or needed to complete a currently active project. We are not including future projects that are part of our development fee programs, but are not in an active state.

The Honorable Board of Supervisors

RE: 2011/2012 Annual Edition, Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)

December 28, 2011

Page 3 of 4

The County is required to submit a five year Measure "A" Local Streets and Roads Program to RCTC each June in conformance with the Measure "A" Expenditure Plan, General Provision 5a. The most recent Measure "A" Program was approved by RCTC on October 12, 2011. This 2011/2012 edition of the TIP will supersede the previously submitted Measure "A" Program.

## Impacts of State Budget on County Budget

State budget issues continue to impact transportation funding programs. In March 2010, the Governor signed ABX8-9 to replace voter approved Proposition 42 (sales tax on gasoline) funds with an increase in Gas Tax (Highway Users Tax Account, HUTA, an excise tax). Prop 42 funds were one of our main sources for pavement preservation activities. An April 2010 projection estimated Riverside County's share of new HUTA funds at \$16M. Actual receipts were \$13.1M.

The State distributed to Riverside County in FY 10/11 the remainder of funds authorized under Proposition 1B for local Streets and Roads. The County has received at total of \$50M over four allocation cycles. In keeping with State guidelines, the Board of Supervisors previously approved a list of roads that are eligible for using the 1B funds. Those projects are programmed within. Approximately \$20M has been spent to date and the remaining \$30M will be expended by end of FY 13/14. The Proposition 1-B funding will help us with our "deferred maintenance" needs and is being proposed for roadway repair and resurfacing projects. We are focusing our Prop. 1B Funds on major arterials that carry significant traffic. The funds must be used exclusively for local streets and roads.

The State has struggled to meet the cash flow demands of other Proposition 1B programs. Twice in the past year the Transportation Department has sought Board approval to keep project delivery on schedule by use of local transportation funds when allocation of programmed State 1B funds were deferred. Subsequent bond sales have yielded an allocation of \$10M to the Van Buren Blvd/I-215 interchange and an allocation of \$1M to Indian Truck Trail/I-15 interchange.

### Impacts of the Development Slow-Down on Transportation Funding

The Transportation Department relies heavily on development fees to fund major capacity expansions to the road system and freeway interchange projects. These include the Western and Eastern Transportation Uniform Mitigation Fee (TUMF) programs, the Development Impact Fee (DIF) Program for Roads and Signals, and the use of Road and Bridge Benefit Districts (RBBD's) in four high-growth areas of the County (Mira Loma and Eastvale, Menifee, Scott Road Corridor, and Southwest). We continue to see a sharp drop-off in these fee revenues, coupled with reduction in our fund balances due to delivery of several large and expensive projects. Limited development fee fund balances are impacting our ability to continue with engineering and environmental work on fee-funded projects to get them shelf-ready for construction. In areas where the RBBD's overlap with the recently incorporated Cities, the Department is working with these Cities to utilize collected revenues to deliver projects that were initiated when in County jurisdiction.

#### **Impacts of Incorporations**

The Measure A extension, approved by voters in 2005, is now in effect and has brought reductions in funding allocation shares going to local streets and roads. This, along with recent incorporations and the economic down turn, is yielding a drop in annual revenue from \$14 million to \$4.7 million over the past three years.

The Honorable Board of Supervisors

RE: 2011/2012 Annual Edition, Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)

December 28, 2011

Page 4 of 4

This TIP includes projects that are within the boundary of the new Cities of Wildomar (which encompasses 104 road miles), Menifee (210 road miles), Eastvale (71 road miles) and Jurupa Valley (313 road miles). The Transportation Department has indicated its willingness to continue to provide expertise on capital project delivery to the new cities, and is forging a cooperative contract relationship with the cities. Funding for those projects comes from development fees collected in those areas, regional programs, or local contributions from the Cities.

### **Utility Undergrounding Projects**

The Transportation Department has been informed by Southern California Edison (SCE) that they are utilizing significantly higher estimates of costs for utility undergrounding projects. The cost increases are likely to result in no new undergrounding projects being undertaken for a number of years. The County may need to utilize SCE's program of mortgaging future Rule 20A allocations in order to complete the approved Underground Utility District projects.

## Traffic Signal DIF Funds

The list of traffic signal projects on Attachment "A" includes both new and active projects proposed to be funded with Development Impact Fees, specifically the Western Riverside County Traffic Signal Funds and Eastern Riverside County Traffic Signal Funds. Funds will be transferred from the Executive Office to the Transportation Department as costs are incurred. In addition to these projects, the Transportation Department and Developers are working on other traffic signal projects in each Supervisorial District.

Attachment A Page 1
Signal Projects Funded/Proposed to be funded by DIF Signal Funds

PROJECT NUMBER		PROJECT LOCATIONS	DI	F FUND AMT.	SUPV. DISTRICT
		Western County DIF Traffic Signal Pi	roje	ects	
C10647	1	Cajalco Rd and Alexander St	\$	25,000	1
B90998	2	Clark Street & Old Elsinore Rd	\$	146,000	1
C00533	3	Grand Ave & Blackwell Blvd	\$	50,000	1
C20129	4	Washington Street and Krameria Ave	\$	500,000	1
C00509	5	Van Buren Blvd. (Signal Equip Modifications)	\$	17,000	1
B20469	6	Bedford Cyn Rd and El Cerrito Rd	\$	570,000	2
B70767	7	El Cerritos Rd & Temescal Canyon Rd	\$	474,000	2
B90961	8	Limonite Ave & Downey St	\$	300,000	2
C10625	9	Limonite Ave & Etiwanda Ave	\$	600,000	2
B60460	10	Magnolia Ave & Neece St	\$	583,000	2
B70788	11	Magnolia Ave @ BNSF RR Xing	\$	251,000	2
B60459	12	Market St & Agua Mansa Rd	\$	383,000	2
B40512	13	Rubidoux Blvd & Market St (Mod)	\$	391,000	2
B90943	14	Ruibidoux Blvd & 28th St	\$	413,000	2
B80680	15	Schleisman Rd & Hellman Ave	\$	235,000	2
B90950	16	Auld Rd & Briggs Rd	\$	235,000	3
B90949	17	Auld Rd & Leon Rd	\$	235,000	3
B90951	18	Benton Rd & Pourroy Rd	\$	185,000	3
B20472	19	Clinton Keith Rd (Antelope Rd to SH79)	\$	600,000	3
B60452	20	Leon Rd & Scott Rd	\$	500,000	3
C20128	21	Murrieta Hot Spr Rd & Willows Ave	\$	346,000	3
C20139	22	Stanford St & Mayberry Ave	\$	516,000	3
B60457	23	Washington St & Abelia St	\$	235,000	3
B60456	24	Washington St & Yates Rd	\$	235,000	3
B20421	25	Iowa Ave & Main St	\$	69,000	5
B90953	26	Main St & Michgan Ave	\$	412,000	5
B90952	27	Antelope and Ellis	\$	235,000	5
B90946	28	San Timateo Cyn Rd & Live Oak Cyn Rd	\$	500,000	5
C10624	29	Ramona Expwy & Lakeview Ave	\$	571,000	5
A50220	30	Rte 74 & Sherman Rd	\$	385,000	5
B80676	31	Traffic Signal Coordination	\$	100,000	1,2,3
		Programmed Projects Total	\$	10,297,000	

Attachment A Page 2
Signal Projects Funded/Proposed to be funded by DIF Signal Funds

PROJECT NUMBER		PROJECT LOCATIONS	DIF	FUND AMT.	SUPV. DISTRICT					
Eastern County DIF Traffic Signal Projects										
C00537	32	42nd Ave & Lima Hall Rd	\$	86,000	4					
B20439	33	66th & Harrison	\$	200,000	4					
C20132	34	Grapefruit Blved & 4th St	\$	427,000	4					
B90955	35	Harrison Ave (Old SR86) & 74th Ave	\$	219,000	4					
A80373	36	I-10 & Date Plam Interchange	\$	250,000	4					
B20388	37	I-10 & Jefferson Ave Interchange	\$	250,000	4					
A80372	38	I-10 & Indian Ave Interchange	\$	250,000	5					
B90977	39	North Indian Canyon Dr & 18th Ave	\$	235,000	5					
A40581	40	Indian Ave & Pierson Blvd	\$	250,000	5					
C20151	41	Ramon Rd & Monterey Ave	\$	391,000	4					
B80676	42	Traffic Signal Coordination	\$	100,000	4,5					
		Programmed Projects Total	\$	2,572,000						