SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SUBMITTAL DATE:
August 27, 2014

FROM: County Counsel

SUBJECT: Conflicts Waiver

RECOMMENDED MOTION: That the Board approve the letter waiver of conflict of interest and authorize County Counsel to sign the waiver for Best Best & Krieger LLP to represent the Successor Agency to the Former Redevelopment Agency of the City of Palm Springs (the "Successor Agency") in an action against the California Department of Finance ("DOF") regarding certain items listed on the Successor Agency's Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule ("ROPS") for the period of July 1, 2014 through December 31, 2014 that were rejected by DOF. The County is an effected "taxing entity" and therefore will likely be named as a real party in interest in the matter.

BACKGROUND: The County has retained Best Best & Krieger LLP to act as legal counsel on several matters. Best Best & Krieger LLP also desires to represent the Successor Agency to the Former Redevelopment Agency of the City of Palm Springs in litigation against the DOF and the Riverside County Auditor Controller in an action (continued next page) PRIAMOS, County Counsel \$ In Current Year Budget: Current F.Y. Total Cost: **FINANCIAL Budget Adjustment:** \$ **Current F.Y. Net County Cost: DATA** For Fiscal Year: \$ **Annual Net County Cost:** Positions To Be SOURCE OF FUNDS: Deleted Per A-30 Requires 4/5 Vote **C.E.O. RECOMMENDATION: APPROVE** 8 26 2014 **County Executive Office Signature** MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS On motion of Supervisor Jeffries, seconded by Supervisor Ashley and duly carried, IT WAS ORDERED that the above matter is approved as recommended. Jeffries, Stone, Ashley and Benoit Ayes: None Kecia Harper-Ihem Nays: Absent: **Tavaglione** September 9, 2014 Date: Co.Co., BB&K, COBcm XC:

District:

Agenda Number:

Dep't Recomm.:

Per Exec. Ofc.

Prev. Agn. Ref.:

Policy

Consent

Policy

Consent

County Counsel Re: Conflicts Waiver August 27, 2014 Page 2

challenging the DOF rejection of certain items listed in the July 1, 2014 through December 31, 2014 ROPS. Because the jurisdictional boundaries of the County overlap the boundaries of the Former Redevelopment Agency, the County qualifies as an effected "taxing entity" of the Former Redevelopment Agency project areas. Therefore, the County will likely be named as a real party in interest in the litigation.

County Counsel staff has reviewed the attached waiver of conflict of interest letter and does not believe that the County's interests would be adversely effected or that a conflict of interest would arise in connection with Best Best & Krieger LLP's representation of the Successor Agency in the DOF litigation. The Successor Agency is not a party to any action or other matter currently being handled by Best Best & Krieger LLP on behalf of the County, and counsel representing the County will not be handling the Successor Agency matter; nor will Best Best & Krieger LLP have access to County files in relation to the Successor Agency matter.

The request for a conflicts waiver by Best Best & Krieger LLP is prompted by Rule 3-310 of the California Rules of Professional Conduct, which provides, in pertinent part:

- "(C) A member [of the Bar] shall not, without the informed written consent of each client:
- (1) Accept representation of more than one client in a matter in which the interests of the clients potentially conflict; or
- (2) Accept or continue representation of more than one client in a matter in which the interests of the clients actually conflict; or
- (3) Represent a client in a matter and at the same time in a separate matter accept as a client a person or entity whose interest in the first matter is adverse to the client in the first matter."

Attachment: Conflict Waiver Letter from Best Best & Krieger LLP

County Counsel Re: Conflicts Waiver August 27, 2014 Page 2

challenging the DOF rejection of certain items listed in the July 1, 2014 through December 31, 2014 ROPS. Because the jurisdictional boundaries of the County overlap the boundaries of the Former Redevelopment Agency, the County qualifies as an effected "taxing entity" of the Former Redevelopment Agency project areas. Therefore, the County will likely be named as a real party in interest in the litigation.

County Counsel staff has reviewed the attached waiver of conflict of interest letter and does not believe that the County's interests would be adversely effected or that a conflict of interest would arise in connection with Best Best & Krieger LLP's representation of the Successor Agency in the DOF litigation. The Successor Agency is not a party to any action or other matter currently being handled by Best Best & Krieger LLP on behalf of the County, and counsel representing the County will not be handling the Successor Agency matter; nor will Best Best & Krieger LLP have access to County files in relation to the Successor Agency matter.

The request for a conflicts waiver by Best Best & Krieger LLP is prompted by Rule 3-310 of the California Rules of Professional Conduct, which provides, in pertinent part:

- "(C) A member [of the Bar] shall not, without the informed written consent of each client:
- (1) Accept representation of more than one client in a matter in which the interests of the clients potentially conflict; or
- (2) Accept or continue representation of more than one client in a matter in which the interests of the clients actually conflict; or
- (3) Represent a client in a matter and at the same time in a separate matter accept as a client a person or entity whose interest in the first matter is adverse to the client in the first matter."

Attachment: Conflict Waiver Letter from Best Best & Krieger LLP

BK

Indian Wells (760) 568-2611

Irvine (949) 263-2600 Los Angeles

(213) 617-8100 Ontario (909) 989-8584

BEST BEST & KRIEGER 3

3390 University Avenue, 5th Floor, P.O. Box 1028, Riverside, CA 92502 Phone: (951) 686-1450 | Fax: (951) 686-3083 | www.bbklaw.com Sacramento (916) 325-4000 San Diego (619) 525-1300 Walnut Creek (925) 977-3300 Washington, DC (202) 785-0600

Jeff S. Ballinger (951) 826-8219 jeff.ballinger@bbklaw.com

August 1, 2014

<u>Via E-Mail</u>

gpriamos@co.riverside.ca.us

Greg Priamos, County Counsel Riverside County Counsel's Office 3960 Orange St Riverside, CA 92501

Re:

Successor Agency to the Community Redevelopment Agency of the City

of Palm Springs/ County of Riverside; Conflict Waiver

Dear Greg:

Congratulations and welcome to your new position as Riverside County Counsel!

As you know, Best Best & Krieger LLP has provided special legal services to the County of Riverside over the years. BBK also provides legal services to local public agencies within the Inland Empire and beyond. This includes representing the many successor agencies in their lawsuits against the State Department of Finance.

Recently, one of my partners, a Redevelopment Law expert, Brent Hawkins, was asked by the Successor Agency to the Community Redevelopment Agency for the City of Palm Springs to represent that Successor Agency. The matter involves potential litigation against the DoF. Although the DoF is the primary party with a position adverse to the Palm Springs Successor Agency, because of the role of the Riverside County Auditor in distributing funds under the AB 26x1/AB 1484 wind-down process, successor agencies throughout the State are required to name the applicable County Auditors in their lawsuits against the DoF.

As a result, from a purely technical perspective, there is a potential that County of Riverside and the Successor Agency's interests could conflict in any such DoF litigation by the Palm Springs Successor Agency, creating a conflict of interest for BBK. When a potential or actual conflict of interest exists, a law firm is ethically obligated to disclose the conflict to the clients and obtain their waiver and written consent to continue with the representation.



BEST BEST & KRIEGER 3

Greg Priamos, County Counsel Riverside County Counsel's Office August 1, 2014 Page 2

RULES OF PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT

Rule 3-310 of the California Rules of Professional Conduct provides in pertinent part:

- (C) A member [of the Bar] shall not, without the informed written consent of each client:
 - (1) Accept representation of more than one client in a matter in which the interests of the clients potentially conflict; or
 - (2) Accept or continue representation of more than one client in a matter in which the interests of the clients actually conflict; or
 - (3) Represent a client in a matter and at the same time in a separate matter accept as a client a person or entity whose interest in the first matter is adverse to the client in the first matter.
- (D) A member who represents two or more clients shall not enter into an aggregate settlement of the claims of or against the clients without the informed written consent of each client.

OUR REPRESENTATION

In this matter, we will represent the interests of the Successor Agency to the Community Redevelopment Agency for the City of Palm Springs. This will involve naming the County Auditor as a defendant and serving the County. We will continue to represent the County in special legal counsel matters while our other client's interests are adverse to the County Auditor's. A list of the active matters that BBK is working on for the County is enclosed with this letter. However, be assured that we will have separate attorneys representing the County on those other, separate matters that the County has, or will in the future, assign to BBK.

ADVERSE CONSEQUENCES

We are obliged to inform you of any actual or reasonably foreseeable adverse effects of our representation of the County and the Successor Agency to the Palm Springs Community Redevelopment Agency under these circumstances. It is impossible to foresee every possible circumstance that might occur, however, some of the following could occur:

We may be tempted to favor the interests of one client over another.

BK

BEST BEST & KRIEGER 3

Greg Priamos, County Counsel Riverside County Counsel's Office August 1, 2014 Page 3

- Our exercise of independent judgment to the County of Riverside in unrelated matters may be impaired or clouded by our relationship with the Successor Agency to the Palm Springs Community Redevelopment Agency.
- We may be forced to withdraw from representing either or both clients because of disputes or further conflicts of interest which could increase either or both clients' attorney's fees and costs.
- There may be an appearance of impropriety in our representation of both clients simultaneously.

YOUR CONSENT

It is understood that this consent will not waive any protection that you may have with regard to attorney-client communications with us. Those communications will remain confidential and will not be disclosed to any third party without your consent.

I believe that you are familiar with the factual background in this matter, and I have given you a sufficiently-detailed description for obtaining informed written consent. However, if you believe that there is any other information that you or I need to have before such consent can be granted, please let me know immediately.

In the event that circumstances change or we become aware of new information that requires a new consent from the parties, you will be notified of that fact immediately, and continued representation will be subject to the informed written consent of involved parties. However, BBK will not seek another conflict waiver for any additional special legal counsel work that the County asks BBK to perform while the Palm Springs Successor Agency litigation is pending.

I should emphasize that you are entitled to and should consider obtaining an independent legal opinion regarding the advisability of signing this consent form.

Your execution of this consent form will constitute an acknowledgment of full disclosure in compliance with the requirements of Section 3-310 of the California Rules of Professional Conduct previously quoted in this letter. Further, your execution of this consent form will also warrant that you are authorized to execute this consent form on behalf of the City of Riverside.



BEST BEST & KRIEGER 3

Greg Priamos, County Counsel Riverside County Counsel's Office August 1, 2014 Page 4

A copy of this letter is enclosed for your files. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey S. Ballinger, Partner Municipal Law Practice Group Leader BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP

Enclosure

AGREED AND ACCEPTED:

By: _____

Dated: _____

BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP

Active County of Riverside Client/Matter Listing As of 8/1/2014

	Open Date
A CASA CANDING AND	
	05/31/07
PARTY OF THE PROCESSION OF AND DECEMBER OF THE PARTY OF T	
60580.00003 MAGNOLIA AVENUE GRADE SEPARATION PROJECT	1/04/11
And a color of the	
25137.00000 GENERAL	5/09/01
25137.00004 HOMELAND-ROMOLAND CFD	4/21/04
25137.00005 2014 NOTES 0	3/07/14
25137.00006 2014 NOTES - TAX MATTERS	3/11/14
Presentation of the present of the p	
29907.00001 GENERAL BUSINESS 1	1/08/13
WARREST THE ACTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROP	
29868.00001 GENERAL BUSINESS	0.20.02
Advisor (G. Capperson) (An Argin Capperson (Capperson Capperson Capperson Capperson (Capperson Capperson Capperson Capperson Capperson (Capperson Capperson	9/30/13
25183 00005 CENEDAL DI AN LIDDATE	8/18/09
25183 00006 V HAZY COUTAS	3/01/12
25183 00010 WINE COUNTRY EIR	5/16/12
25183.00011 CAJALCO ROAD PROJECT	1/29/13
25183.00013 ACORN CORPORATION ASSET ACQUISITION	0/23/13
28391.00003 ASSIST IN THE PERSONNEL INVESTIGATION PROCESSES 0	6/27/13
28391.00004 PERFORM INTERNAL INVESTIGATION OF PUBLIC DEFENDER ALLEGED OF	7/01/13
28391 00005 CRAND HIPV REPORT	5/09/14
vives divisible constraints and the constraints of	11/2011
29734.00003 RIVERSIDE COUNTY AND RIVERSIDE COUNTY PFA 2013 REFUNDING 0	7/26/13
20734 00004 PINERCIDE COUNTY AND DIVERSIDE COUNTY	7/31/13
29734 00005 PEA 2014 DECINIDING TARE CERTIFO & D.	5/06/14
29734 00006 PEA 2014 RECURDING TARS COURS D. C. D. TANAN TARS	5/12/14

Riverside County Board of Supervisors Request to Speak

Submit request to Clerk of Board (right of podium), Speakers are entitled to three (3) minutes, subject to Board Rules listed on the reverse side of this form.

SPEAKER'S NAME	- GARRY	CERDINT	
Address: (only if	follow-up mail respo	nse requested)	
City:	Zip:		
Phone #:			
Date: 9Th SEP	<u> </u>	2-19	
PLEASE STATE YOUR POSITION BELOW:			
Position on "Regular" (non-appealed) Agenda Item:			
Support	Oppose	Neutral	
Note: If you are here for an agenda item that is filed for "Appeal", please state separately your position on the appeal below:			
Support	Oppose	Neutral	
I give my 3 minutes to:			