

**SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

*616B*



**FROM:** TLMA – Planning Department

**SUBMITTAL DATE:**  
July 25, 2014

**SUBJECT: CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT NO. 3689** – Intent to Adopt a Negative Declaration – Applicant: Brad and Jacqueline Rechtfertig - Engineer/Rep: Paul Stevens – Third/Third Supervisorial District – Idyllwild Zoning District – Remap Area Plan – Community Development: Commercial Retail (CR), Medium Density Residential (MDR) - Location: Northerly of Pinecrest Road, southerly of Forest Knoll Drive, westerly of Jameson Drive, and North Circle Drive – 1.31 Gross Acres – Zoning: Village Tourist Residential (R-3A)

**RECOMMENDED MOTION:** That the Board of Supervisors:

**RECEIVE AND FILE** The Notice of Decision for the above referenced case acted on by the Planning Commission August 20, 2014.

The Planning Department Recommended Approval; and,  
**THE PLANNING COMMISSION APPROVED BY A 5-0 VOTE:**

(Continued on next page)

\_\_\_\_\_  
Juan C Perez  
TLMA Agency Director/ Interim  
Planning Director

Departmental Concurrence

FINANCIAL DATA	Current Fiscal Year:	Next Fiscal Year:	Total Cost:	Ongoing Cost:	POLICY/CONSENT (per Exec. Office)
COST	\$	\$	\$	\$	Consent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Policy <input type="checkbox"/>
NET COUNTY COST	\$	\$	\$	\$	

<b>SOURCE OF FUNDS:</b> Deposit based funds	<b>Budget Adjustment:</b>
	For Fiscal Year:

**C.E.O. RECOMMENDATION:**

APPROVE  
BY:   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Alex Gann

County Executive Office Signature

**MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

On motion of Supervisor Tavaglione, seconded by Supervisor Ashley and duly carried by unanimous vote, IT WAS ORDERED that the above matter of approval is received and filed as recommended.

Ayes: Jeffries, Tavaglione, Stone, Benoit and Ashley  
Nays: None  
Absent: None  
Date: September 30, 2014  
xc: Planning, Applicant

Kecia Harper-Ihem  
Clerk of the Board  
By:   
\_\_\_\_\_  
Deputy

- A-30
- Positions Added
- 4/5 Vote
- Change Order

Prev. Agn. Ref.: \_\_\_\_\_ District: 3/3 Agenda Number:

**1-3**

**SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

**FORM 11: Conditional Use Permit No. 3689**

**DATE: July 25, 2014**

**PAGE: Page 2 of 2**

**ADOPTED** the **NEGATIVE DECLARATION** for **ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT NO. 42539**, based on the findings incorporated in the initial study and the conclusion that the project will not have a significant effect on the environment; and,

**APPROVED CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT NO. 3689**, subject to the attached conditions of approval, and based upon the findings and conclusions incorporated in the Planning Commission staff report.


**BACKGROUND:**

The Conditional Use Permit proposes to establish a resort/hotel by adding four (4) additional bedrooms for a total of 9 guestrooms within the first floor area of an existing three-story Bed & Breakfast located on 1.31 acres. An existing attached caretaker's unit will continue to remain on the property and will not be part of the resort/hotel proposal. All modifications will be interior and do not propose to add any square footage to the structure. One accessible parking space will be provided within the existing parking area, for a total of 12 parking spaces reserved for resort/hotel guests.

The project is located northerly of Pinecrest Road, easterly of Jameson Road, westerly of North Circle Drive and southerly of Forest Knoll in the area of Idyllwild within the County of Riverside.

**ATTACHMENTS:**

A. **AUGUST 20, 2014 PLANNING COMMISSION STAFF REPORT**



# RIVERSIDE COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Original Negative Declaration/Notice of Determination was routed to County Clerks for posting on.

10/7/14  
Date

KB  
Initial

**Juan C. Perez**  
Interim Planning Director

TO:  Office of Planning and Research (OPR)  
P.O. Box 3044  
Sacramento, CA 95812-3044  
 County of Riverside County Clerk

FROM: Riverside County Planning Department  
 4080 Lemon Street, 12th Floor  
P. O. Box 1409  
Riverside, CA 92502-1409

38686 El Cerrito Road  
Palm Desert, California 92211

**SUBJECT: Filing of Notice of Determination in compliance with Section 21152 of the California Public Resources Code.**

EA 42539/CUP03689  
Project Title/Case Numbers

Lisa Edwards  
County Contact Person

951-955-1888  
Phone Number

N/A  
State Clearinghouse Number (if submitted to the State Clearinghouse)

Brad and Jacqueline Rechtfertig  
Project Applicant

PO Box 4216 Idyllwild, CA 92549  
Address

54820 Pine Crest Avenue Idyllwild, CA 92549  
Project Location

Conditional Use Permit for an existing Bed & Breakfast to add four (4) additional guest rooms.  
Project Description

This is to advise that the Riverside County Planning Commission, as the lead agency, has approved the above-referenced project on June 18, 2014, and has made the following determinations regarding that project:

1. The project WILL NOT have a significant effect on the environment.
2. A Negative Declaration was prepared for the project pursuant to the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act (\$2,181.25 + \$50.00) and ref the independent judgment of the Lead Agency.
3. Mitigation measures WERE NOT made a condition of the approval of the project.
4. A Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Plan/Program WAS NOT adopted.
5. A statement of Overriding Considerations WAS NOT adopted for the project.
6. Findings were made pursuant to the provisions of CEQA.

This is to certify that the Negative Declaration, with comments, responses, and record of project approval is available to the general public at: Riverside County Planning Department, 4080 Lemon Street, 12th Floor, Riverside, CA 92501.

*[Handwritten Signature]*  
Signature

Project Planner  
Title

May 22, 2014  
Date


Date Received for Filing and Posting at OPR: \_\_\_\_\_


DM/dm Revised 5/13/2014  
Y:\Planning Master Forms\CEQA Forms\NOD Form.doc

Please charge deposit fee case#: ZEA42539 ZCFG05915 .

FOR COUNTY CLERK'S USE ONLY

SEP 30 2014 1-3





**RIVERSIDE COUNTY**  
**PLANNING DEPARTMENT**

*Juan C. Perez*  
*Interim Planning Director*

**NEGATIVE DECLARATION**

Project/Case Number: CUP03689

Based on the Initial Study, it has been determined that the proposed project will not have a significant effect upon the environment.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION, LOCATION (see Environmental Assessment).

COMPLETED/REVIEWED BY:

By: Lisa Edwards Title: Project Planner Date: May 22, 2014

Applicant/Project Sponsor: Brad and Jacqueline Rechtfertig Date Submitted: August 30, 2012

ADOPTED BY: Planning Commission

Person Verifying Adoption: *[Signature]* Date: 9/30/14

The Negative Declaration may be examined, along with documents referenced in the initial study, if any, at:

Riverside County Planning Department, 4080 Lemon Street, 12th Floor, Riverside, CA 92501

For additional information, please contact Lisa Edwards at 951-955-1888.

Revised: 10/16/07  
Y:\Planning Master Forms\CEQA Forms\Negative Declaration.doc

Please charge deposit fee case#: ZEA42539 ZCFG05915

FOR COUNTY CLERK'S USE ONLY

SEP 30 2014 1-3

[Empty rectangular box for County Clerk's use]

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE  
SPECIALIZED DEPARTMENT RECEIPT  
Permit Assistance Center

M\* REPRINTED \* R1207642

4080 Lemon Street  
Second Floor  
Riverside, CA 92502  
(951) 955-3200

39493 Los Alamos Road  
Suite A  
Murrieta, CA 92563  
(951) 694-5242

38686 El Cerrito Rd  
Indio, CA 92211  
(760) 863-8271

\*\*\*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\*


Received from: RECHTFERTIG BRAD \$64.00  
paid by: CK 1383  
EA42539  
paid towards: CFG05915 CALIF FISH & GAME: DOC FEE  
at parcel: 54820 PINE CREST AVE IDYL  
appl type: CFG3

By \_\_\_\_\_ Aug 30, 2012 11:49  
MGARDNER posting date Aug 30, 2012

\*\*\*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\*

Account Code	Description	Amount
658353120100208100	CF&G TRUST: RECORD FEES	\$64.00

Overpayments of less than \$5.00 will not be refunded!



**RIVERSIDE COUNTY**  
**PLANNING DEPARTMENT**

*Juan C. Perez*  
*Interim Planning Director*

616 B

**DATE: July 25, 2014**

**TO: Clerk of the Board of Supervisors**

**FROM: Planning Department - Riverside Office**

**SUBJECT: Conditional Use Permit No. 3689**

(Charge your time to these case numbers)

**The attached item(s) require the following action(s) by the Board of Supervisors:**

- Place on Administrative Action (Receive & File; EOT)
    - Labels provided If Set For Hearing
      - 10 Day  20 Day  30 day
  - Place on Consent Calendar
  - Place on Policy Calendar (Resolutions; Ordinances; PNC)
  - Place on Section Initiation Proceeding (GPIP)
  - Set for Hearing (Legislative Action Required; CZ, GPA, SP, SPA)
  - Publish in Newspaper:
    - \*\*SELECT Advertisement\*\***
    - \*\*SELECT CEQA Determination\*\***
      - 10 Day  20 Day  30 day
  - Notify Property Owners (app/agencies/property owner labels provided)
- Controversial:  YES  NO

**Designate Newspaper used by Planning Department for Notice of Hearing: NA**

**Documents to be sent to County Clerk's Office for Posting within five days:**

Notice of Determination and Neg Dec Forms  
California Department of Fish & Wildlife Receipt (CFG05915)

**Do not send these documents to the County Clerk for posting until the Board has taken final action on the subject cases.**

**3 Extra sets were taken to:  
Clerk of the Board**

Riverside Office · 4080 Lemon Street, 12th Floor  
P.O. Box 1409, Riverside, California 92502-1409  
(951) 955-3200 · Fax (951) 955-1811

Desert Office · 77-588 Duna Court, Suite H  
Palm Desert, California 92211  
(760) 863-8277 · Fax (760) 863-7040

"Planning Our Future... Preserving Our Past"

MS

Agenda Item No.: **2.2**  
Area Plan: Riverside Extended Mountain  
Zoning District: Idyllwild  
Supervisory District: Third/Third  
Project Planner: Lisa Edwards  
Planning Commission Hearing: August 20,  
2014  
Continued from: July 16, 2014

CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT NO. 3689  
EA No. 42539  
Applicant: Brad and Jacqueline Rechtfertig  
Engineer/Representative: Paul Stevens

## COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE PLANNING DEPARTMENT STAFF REPORT

### PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION:

The Conditional Use Permit proposes to establish a resort/hotel by adding four (4) additional bedrooms for a total of 9 guestrooms within the first floor area of an existing three-story Bed & Breakfast located on 1.31 acres. An existing attached caretaker's unit will continue to remain on the property and will not be part of the resort/hotel proposal. All modifications will be interior and do not propose to add any square footage to the structure. One accessible parking space will be provided within the existing parking area, for a total of 12 parking spaces reserved for resort/hotel guests.

The project is located northerly of Pinecrest Road, easterly of Jameson Road, westerly of North Circle Drive and southerly of Forest Knoll in the area of Idyllwild within the County of Riverside.

### ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:

The item was continued based on a public comment at the July 16, 2014 hearing from Deidre Vail regarding rain overflow issues and/or stoppage caused by debris related to a seasonal creek that she believes was caused by the construction improvements from the Bed & Breakfast. In response, staff was directed by the Commission to conduct a site visit and the item was continued to the August 20, 2014 meeting. Henry Olivo of Flood Control, along with Commissioner John Petty, met at the site and determined that the problem was not caused by construction activity of the project site.

### RECOMMENDATIONS:

**ADOPTION** of a **NEGATIVE DECLARATION** for **ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT NO. 42539**, based on the findings incorporated in the initial study and the conclusion that the project will not have a significant effect on the environment; and,

**APPROVAL** of **CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT NO. 3689**, subject to the attached conditions of approval, and based upon the findings and conclusions incorporated in the staff report.

WJ

3.2

Agenda Item No.:  
Area Plan: Riverside Extended Mountain  
Zoning District: Idyllwild  
Supervisory District: Third/Third  
Project Planner: Lisa Edwards  
Planning Commission Hearing: July 16, 2014

CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT NO. 3689  
EA No. 42539  
Applicant: Brad and Jacqueline Rechtfertig  
Engineer/Representative: Paul Stevens

**COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE PLANNING DEPARTMENT  
STAFF REPORT**

**PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION:**

The Conditional Use Permit proposes to establish a resort/hotel by adding four (4) additional bedrooms for a total of 9 guestrooms within the first floor area of an existing three-story Bed & Breakfast located on 1.31 acres. An existing attached caretaker's unit will continue to remain on the property and will not be part of the resort/hotel proposal. All modifications will be interior and do not propose to add any square footage to the structure. One accessible parking space will be provided within the existing parking area, for a total of 12 parking spaces reserved for resort/hotel guests.

The project is located northerly of Pinecrest Road, easterly of Jameson Road, westerly of North Circle Drive and southerly of Forest Knoll in the area of Idyllwild within the County of Riverside.

**ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:**

Plot Plan No. 24925 was previously approved on November 28, 2011 for a modification of an existing Bed & Breakfast to an "Inn" to allow a total of five (5) guest rooms.

**SUMMARY OF FINDINGS:**

- 1. Existing General Plan Land Use: Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD:MDR) (2 to 5 DU/AC).
- 2. Surrounding General Plan Land Use: Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD:MDR) (2 to 5 DU/AC) to the north, west and south, Community Development: Commercial Retail (CD:CR) (0.2-0.35 Dwelling Unit Per Acre) to the east.
- 3. Existing Zoning: Village Tourist Residential (R-3-A)
- 4. Surrounding Zoning: One-Family Dwellings Mountain Resort (R-1-A 9,000) to the north, Village Tourist Residential (R-3-A) to the west and south, and Scenic Highway Commercial (C-P-S) to the east.
- 5. Existing Land Use: Bed & Breakfast with attached caretaker's unit
- 6. Surrounding Land Use: Commercial development to the east; Single-family residences to the north, west, and south.
- 7. Project Data: Total Acreage: 1.31 acres  
Project Size: 1<sup>st</sup> Floor Area - 2,907 square feet, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Area - 2,930, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor Area- 2,700

MS



8. Environmental Concerns: See Attached Environmental Assessment

**RECOMMENDATIONS:**

**ADOPTION** of a **NEGATIVE DECLARATION** for **ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT NO. 42539**, based on the findings incorporated in the initial study and the conclusion that the project will not have a significant effect on the environment; and,

**APPROVAL** of **CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT NO. 3689**, subject to the attached conditions of approval, and based upon the findings and conclusions incorporated in the staff report.

**FINDINGS:** The following findings are in addition to those incorporated in the summary of findings and in the attached environmental assessment, which is incorporated herein by reference.

1. The project site is designated Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD:MDR) (2 to 5 DU/AC) within the Village Tourist Policy Area which allows for resort/hotel development with caretaker's unit.
2. The proposed use is consistent with Medium Density Residential land use which allows for resort/hotel with caretaker's unit.
3. The proposed resort/hotel use is surrounded by Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD:MDR) (2 to 5 DU/AC) to the north, west and south, Community Development: Commercial Retail (CD:CR) (0.2-0.35 Dwelling Unit Per Acre) to the east.
4. The Village Tourist Residential (R-3-A) zone permits primarily residential uses and some secondary commercial uses.
5. The proposed resort/hotel is consistent with the development standards set forth in the Village Tourist Residential (R-3-A) zone.
6. The proposed resort/hotel is consistent with the Village Tourist Policy Area.
7. The proposed resort/hotel use is consistent with the lot size, setbacks, building height, parking, and roof mounted equipment screening standards set forth in the Village Tourist Residential (R-3-A) zone.
8. The proposed resort/hotel use (as identified in the Section 8.25.d.) is conditionally permitted in the Village Tourist Residential (R-3-A) zone based on County Ordinance No. 348.
9. The surrounding zoning is One-Family Dwellings Mountain Resort (R-1-A 9,000) to the north, Village Tourist Residential (R-3-A) to the west and south, and Scenic Highway Commercial (C-P-S) to the east.
10. The project site consists of a 5-bedroom three-story Inn adjacent to commercial development to the east and single-family residences to the north, west, and south.
11. The potential air quality impacts resulting from the proposed project would not exceed emissions projected by the Air Quality element since there is no new added square footage and the proposed use is a resort/hotel similar to existing multi-family housing.

12. Based on the size and proposed use, the project will not generate trips above the emissions (e.g., ROC, NO<sub>x</sub>, CO, PM<sub>10</sub>) threshold that was identified in the 2003 General Plan EIR. The proposed project will generate 13 peak hour (AM and PM) trips as identified in the ITE Trip Generation 9<sup>th</sup> Edition and does not exceed the 100 trip threshold for the peak hours.
13. All projects must comply with 2011 Riverside County Congestion Management Program dated December 14, 2011.
14. This project site is not located within a Criteria Area Cell Group. However, the Planning staff has conferred with the Environmental Programs Division staff and determined the project fulfills the requirements of the Western Riverside County Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan (WRCMSHCP).
15. Pursuant to Public Resources Code Division 13, Section 21083.3, Subsection 1, the General Plan has been designated to accommodate a specific density of development and an EIR (EIR No. 441) was approved for that planning action. The subject site does not contain any features that would create environmental impacts that would be peculiar to the subject parcels, beyond what was studied in the General Plan EIR.
16. Environmental Assessment No. 42539 concluded that there are no potentially significant impacts from the project proposal.

**CONCLUSIONS:**

1. The proposed project is in conformance with the Riverside County Comprehensive General Plan.
2. The proposed project is consistent with the Village Tourist Residential (R-3-A) zoning classification of Ordinance No. 348, and with all other applicable provisions of Ordinance No. 348.
3. The public's health, safety, and general welfare are protected through project design.
4. The proposed project is clearly compatible with the present and future logical development of the area.
5. The proposed project was evaluated in Environmental Assessment No. 42539 and found to have less than significant impact and General Plan in EIR No. 441 evaluated the residential density and use for this site.
6. The proposed project will not have a significant effect on the environment.
7. The proposed project will not preclude reserve design for the Western Riverside County Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan (WRCMSHCP).

**INFORMATIONAL ITEMS:**

1. As of writing of this report, staff has not received any opposition, favor, or neutral comments from the public.

2. The project site is not located within:
  - a. A City Sphere of Influence;
  - b. A Specific Plan;
  - c. An Agricultural Preserve;
  - d. A Subsidence Area;
  - e. A Flood Zone;
  - f. A Liquefaction area;
  - g. The Western TUMF (Transportation Uniform Mitigation Fee Ord. 824);
  - h. The Stephens Kangaroo Rat Fee Area;
  - i. A Fault Zone; or,
  - j. An Airport Influence Area.
  
3. The project site is located within:
  - a. A County Service Area No. 36 (Idyllwild);
  - b. A High Fire Area;
  - c. The DIF (Development Impact Fee Area Ord. 659) Remap; and,
  - d. The Boundaries of the Hemet Unified School District.
  
4. The subject site is currently designated as Assessor's Parcel Numbers 563-100-010-2.

# RIVERSIDE COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT

## CUP03689

### VICINITY MAP

Supervisor Stone  
District 3



The County of Riverside assumes no warranty or legal responsibility for the information contained on this map. Data and information represented on this map is subject to change without notice. The County of Riverside is not responsible for any errors, omissions, or other inaccuracies that may appear on this map. Do not copy or reuse this map.



Orthophotos Flown 2/11 (WR, CV) or 4/07 (REMAP, Blythe)  
Printed by ledwards on 5/20/2014





# RIVERSIDE COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT CUP03689




Supervisor Stone  
District 1

## EXISTING ZONING



Orthophotos Flown 2/11 (WR, CV) or 4/07 (REMAP, Blythe)  
Printed by mstraitte on 5/29/2014

The County of Riverside assumes no warranty or legal responsibility for the information contained on this map. Data and information represented on this map is subject to updates, modifications and may not be complete or appropriate for all purposes. County GIS and other sources should be queried for the most current information. Do not copy or resell this map.





# RIVERSIDE COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT

## CUP03689

### EXISTING GENERAL PLAN

Supervisor Stone  
District 3

N



# RIVERSIDE COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT


## CUP03689

### LAND USES

N



Supervisor Stone  
District 1



Orthophotos Flown 2/11 (WR, CV) or 4/07 (REMAP, Blythe)  
 Printed by mstraitte on 5/29/2014



The County of Riverside assumes no warranty or legal responsibility for the information contained on this map. Data and information represented on this map is subject to updates, modifications and may not be complete or appropriate for all purposes. County GIS and other sources should be queried for the most current information. Do not copy or resell this map.

**Title: Rechtfertig Resort Hotel**

**PROJECT DATA:**

Date: July 31, 2012  
 Applicant: Jacqueline and Brad Rechtfertig  
 54820 Pinecrest Road  
 San Jose, CA 95124  
 (951) 688-2383

Owner: Same as applicant

Prepared by: Same as applicant

Engineer: The Stevens Group  
 39746 Castle Avenue  
 Murrieta, CA 92562  
 (951) 877-4848

**Project Description:** Conditional Use Permit to change use classification from "Dwelling, bed and breakfast" (6 guestrooms) to resort hotel (8 guestrooms) on project currently under construction.

An addition is currently being built on the property classified as a "Dwelling, bed and breakfast" under PP 24625 and BAR 110071. Owners seek a C.U.P. to add 8 guestrooms to the existing structure. A C.U.P. is required for this zoning and use. (Article VIII, section 8.25 (d)(7))

Related cases:  
 P12 plan 24625  
 BAR 110071 (building permit)  
 BOR 110100 (grading permit)  
 WQMP (Water Quality Mgmt. Plan, final)

Note: This project has been planned and coordinated with Planning and Building and Safety for this project. WQMP ADI requirements for 8 rooms are the same as the same for 9 rooms and have been built to accommodate 8 guestrooms. Interior spaces (i.e. first floor "storage room" have been designed to accommodate 8 guestrooms. Utilities have also been re-designed to accommodate 8 guestrooms. WQMP is designed to handle 8 guestrooms.

**SITE DATA:**

APN: 663-100-010  
 Address: 54820 Pinecrest Road, Inyohfield  
 Residence 1709 sq.ft. (existing, owner occupied)  
 1st Floor 2700 sq.ft. (under construction)  
 2nd Floor 2700 sq.ft. (under construction)  
 Total lot area: 17.1% improvement 4720 sq.ft.  
 Total paved area: 1800 sq.ft.  
 Total open space area: 38,778 sq.ft.


**Utilities available:**

- Water: From Valley Water District
- Electric: SoCal Edison
- Cable: Verizon
- Gas: PG&E
- School District: Hemet Unified School District

**Notes:**

- 1) Not within a Specific Plan
- 2) Not within a fault zone
- 3) Not within 1/4 mile of a fault
- 4) Not within 1/4 mile of a fault
- 5) Liquefaction-no potential for liquefaction exists


Existing Land use: CR / MDR
Proposed Land use: CR / MDR
Exhibit Amendments:



VICINITY MAP





**EXHIBIT A  
 Site Plan**



**CASE #: CUP03689**  
**EXHIBIT: A**  
**DATED: 3/21/13**  
**PLANNER: H.P. KANG**



**CASE #: CUP03689**  
**EXHIBIT: B**  
**DATED: 3/21/13**  
**PLANNER: H.P. KANG**





**EXHIBIT B**  
 THIS PAGE SHOWS  
 CURRENT APPROVED  
 PLANS OF BUILDING  
 UNDER CONSTRUCTION.  
 NO CHANGES TO  
 EXISTING FOUNDATION,  
 SECOND OR THIRD  
 FLOOR, OR DRIVEWAY/  
 PARKING ARE NEEDED  
 TO ACCOMMODATE  
 ADDITIONAL ROOMS.  
 \*HOUSEKEEPER'S ROOM\*  
 (THIRD FLOOR) WILL  
 BECOME QUESTROOM 6

Date: 7-31-2013  
 Exhibit prepared by:  
 Brad Reinfertig  
 15700 S. Main Street  
 Hayward, CA 94549

Owner: same  
 Site Address:  
 6420 Pinacrest Rd.  
 Hayward, CA 94549

Exhibit Amendments:



**Rechferftig Resort Hotel**

**EXHIBIT C**  
**1<sup>st</sup> Floor plan (proposed)**  
 Date: July 31, 2012  
 Exhibit prepared by: Reed Rechtigle  
 54820 Pinacrest Road  
 Idyllwild, CA 92549  
 (951)852-2383


Owner: same

Drawing prepared by: The Stevens Group  
 39745 Castle Ave  
 Mirra, CA 92562  
 (951)677-6666


Site Address: 54820 Pinacrest Road  
 Idyllwild, CA 92549

EXHIBIT AMENDMENTS:

Note: Existing septic system is sized to handle additional guestrooms.



**CASE #: CUP03689**  
**EXHIBIT: C**  
**DATED: 3/21/13**  
**PLANNER: H.P. LONG**



EXISTING LIMPKEEPER'S RESIDENCE

UTILITY 18'11" x 12'6"

Workout Room 15'6" x 18'1"

Conference Room 20'11" x 18'4"

BATH 15'1" x 8'4"

FUTURE ELV. 8'9" x 6'1"

HALL 30'2" x 5'1"

IN AND OUTSIDE FIRE APPLICABLE PER CBC 2010 AND NFPA 13D

Guestroom 7 (proposed)

Guestroom 8 (proposed)

Guestroom 9 (proposed)

Window wells fire egress requirements

3" DRAIN TO PLINTE

ALLOW WELL TO BE OPEN TO AIRWAY SPACE TO AVOID SMOKE (IF ANY)

CEILING

LIVING AREA 2007 SQ. FT.

5W X 4H EGRESS WINDOW

F.O.

4" TO 6" DIA. W/ 1/2" DIA. W/

WINDOW WELL DETAIL N.T.S.




# PRECISE GRADING PLAN

PARCEL 2  
 INSTRUMENT #015193  
 REC. 1/14/99 O.R.  
 PORTION OF THE S.W. 1/4 OF THE NE. 1/4 OF  
 FRACTIONAL SECTION 7, T5S, R3E SBBM

NEIGHBORING PARCELS  
 QUANTITIES  
 CUT = 300 CY  
 FILL = 260 CY

OWNER: BRAD RECHTERKING  
 MAILING ADDRESS: 54820 Pleasant Drive, Ayerfield, CA. 92549  
 PHONE: (619) 798-1771  
 SITE ADDRESS: 54820 Pleasant Drive, Ayerfield, CA. 92549

APN: 565-100-010  
 ENGINEER: PAUL STEVENS  
 ADDRESS: 39745 CASTLE AVE., MURRIETA, CA. 92563  
 LICENSE #: 43008 EXP. DATE: 3/31/2012



LEGEND  
 F.G. FINISHED GRADE ELEVATION  
 F.F. FINISHED FLOOR ELEVATION  
 P.L. PROPOSED LINE  
 R.W. RIGHT OF WAY  
 E EXISTING ELEVATION  
 D DRAINAGE PATH / SWALE  
 S SURFACE ELEVATION  
 S.D. SLOPE DATA


NOTE:  
 THE ENGINEER HAS REVIEWED THE CONSTRUCTION DRAWINGS AND HAS FOUND THEM TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CITY OF MURRIETA ORDINANCES AND THE STATE ENGINEERING ACT (CHAPTER 91, DIVISION 91.5, CIVIL ENGINEERING). THERE IS NO WORK IN THE PLAN ON THIS GRADING PLAN.

- NOTE:  
 1. DRIVEWAY SURFACE SHALL BE ABLE TO SUPPORT 75,000 LBS.  
 2. VEGETATION SHALL BE MAINTAINED FOR 100 FT. AROUND ALL STRUCTURES PER RIV. CO. ORDINANCE 787.29  
 3. DRIVEWAY GRADIS NOT TO EXCEED 15%.

NOTE:  
 THE ENGINEER HAS REVIEWED THE CONSTRUCTION DRAWINGS AND HAS FOUND THEM TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CITY OF MURRIETA ORDINANCES AND THE STATE ENGINEERING ACT (CHAPTER 91, DIVISION 91.5, CIVIL ENGINEERING). THERE IS NO WORK IN THE PLAN ON THIS GRADING PLAN.

NOTE:  
 THE ENGINEER WHO PREPARED AND BONDED THIS GRADING PLAN HAS VERIFIED THAT THE PROPOSED DRAINAGE IS CONSISTENT WITH THE NATURAL DRAINAGE PATTERN OF THE SITE AND WILL NOT AFFECT THE ADJACENT PROPERTY.

DISCLAIMER  
 NOTE:  
 WORK CONTAINED WITHIN THIS PLAN SHALL NOT COMMENCE UNTIL AN ENCUMBRANCE PERMIT AND/OR A GRADING PERMIT HAS BEEN ISSUED.



DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING AND SAFETY  
 GRADING NOTES (REVISED)

GENERAL  
 1. All work shall conform to the 2010 California Building Code Chapter 18, 18.1.1. Appendix Chapter 17 as amended by C.B. 477.  
 2. All property corner data by survey shall be shown on the plan to the nearest 1/100th of a foot.  
 3. All work shall be done in accordance with the approved plan. All work shall be done in accordance with the approved plan and all work shall be done in accordance with the approved plan.  
 4. The contractor shall be responsible for obtaining all necessary permits and for obtaining all necessary permits and for obtaining all necessary permits.  
 5. The contractor shall be responsible for obtaining all necessary permits and for obtaining all necessary permits and for obtaining all necessary permits.  
 6. The contractor shall be responsible for obtaining all necessary permits and for obtaining all necessary permits and for obtaining all necessary permits.

CONSTRUCTION  
 1. All work shall be done in accordance with the approved plan and all work shall be done in accordance with the approved plan and all work shall be done in accordance with the approved plan.  
 2. The contractor shall be responsible for obtaining all necessary permits and for obtaining all necessary permits and for obtaining all necessary permits.  
 3. The contractor shall be responsible for obtaining all necessary permits and for obtaining all necessary permits and for obtaining all necessary permits.  
 4. The contractor shall be responsible for obtaining all necessary permits and for obtaining all necessary permits and for obtaining all necessary permits.

BRUSH AND WEED CONTROL  
 1. All brush and weeds shall be removed from the site prior to the start of construction.  
 2. The contractor shall be responsible for obtaining all necessary permits and for obtaining all necessary permits and for obtaining all necessary permits.  
 3. The contractor shall be responsible for obtaining all necessary permits and for obtaining all necessary permits and for obtaining all necessary permits.

CONSTRUCTION  
 1. All work shall be done in accordance with the approved plan and all work shall be done in accordance with the approved plan and all work shall be done in accordance with the approved plan.  
 2. The contractor shall be responsible for obtaining all necessary permits and for obtaining all necessary permits and for obtaining all necessary permits.  
 3. The contractor shall be responsible for obtaining all necessary permits and for obtaining all necessary permits and for obtaining all necessary permits.

CONSTRUCTION  
 1. All work shall be done in accordance with the approved plan and all work shall be done in accordance with the approved plan and all work shall be done in accordance with the approved plan.  
 2. The contractor shall be responsible for obtaining all necessary permits and for obtaining all necessary permits and for obtaining all necessary permits.  
 3. The contractor shall be responsible for obtaining all necessary permits and for obtaining all necessary permits and for obtaining all necessary permits.

CONSTRUCTION  
 1. All work shall be done in accordance with the approved plan and all work shall be done in accordance with the approved plan and all work shall be done in accordance with the approved plan.  
 2. The contractor shall be responsible for obtaining all necessary permits and for obtaining all necessary permits and for obtaining all necessary permits.  
 3. The contractor shall be responsible for obtaining all necessary permits and for obtaining all necessary permits and for obtaining all necessary permits.

CONSTRUCTION  
 1. All work shall be done in accordance with the approved plan and all work shall be done in accordance with the approved plan and all work shall be done in accordance with the approved plan.  
 2. The contractor shall be responsible for obtaining all necessary permits and for obtaining all necessary permits and for obtaining all necessary permits.  
 3. The contractor shall be responsible for obtaining all necessary permits and for obtaining all necessary permits and for obtaining all necessary permits.

CONSTRUCTION  
 1. All work shall be done in accordance with the approved plan and all work shall be done in accordance with the approved plan and all work shall be done in accordance with the approved plan.  
 2. The contractor shall be responsible for obtaining all necessary permits and for obtaining all necessary permits and for obtaining all necessary permits.  
 3. The contractor shall be responsible for obtaining all necessary permits and for obtaining all necessary permits and for obtaining all necessary permits.

PREPARED BY: PAUL STEVENS, R.C.E.  
 39745 CASTLE AVE.  
 MURRIETA, CA 92562

DATE: APRIL 2008  
 ELEVATION: 848.00

DATE: APRIL 2008  
 ELEVATION: 848.00

DATE: APRIL 2008  
 ELEVATION: 848.00

APPROVED BY: [Signature]

DATE: [Blank]

DATE: [Blank]

SEAL-COUNTY  
 COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE  
 TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT  
 APPROVED BY: [Signature]

DATE: [Blank]

DATE: [Blank]

CASE #: CUP03689  
 DATED: 3/21/13  
 PLANNER: H.P. KANG

BGR 110150  
 APPLICANT

PRECISE GRADING PLAN  
 PARCEL 2  
 INSTRUMENT #015193  
 REC. 1/14/99 O.R.

FOR: [Blank] COUNTY: [Blank]  
 SHEET NO: 1 OF 1 SHEETS

DATE: APRIL 2008  
 ELEVATION: 848.00

DATE: APRIL 2008  
 ELEVATION: 848.00

DATE: APRIL 2008  
 ELEVATION: 848.00

DATE: APRIL 2008  
 ELEVATION: 848.00

DATE: APRIL 2008  
 ELEVATION: 848.00

DATE: APRIL 2008  
 ELEVATION: 848.00

DATE: APRIL 2008  
 ELEVATION: 848.00

DATE: APRIL 2008  
 ELEVATION: 848.00

DATE: APRIL 2008  
 ELEVATION: 848.00

DATE: APRIL 2008  
 ELEVATION: 848.00

DATE: APRIL 2008  
 ELEVATION: 848.00

DATE: APRIL 2008  
 ELEVATION: 848.00

DATE: APRIL 2008  
 ELEVATION: 848.00

DATE: APRIL 2008  
 ELEVATION: 848.00

DATE: APRIL 2008  
 ELEVATION: 848.00

DATE: APRIL 2008  
 ELEVATION: 848.00

DATE: APRIL 2008  
 ELEVATION: 848.00

DATE: APRIL 2008  
 ELEVATION: 848.00

DATE: APRIL 2008  
 ELEVATION: 848.00

DATE: APRIL 2008  
 ELEVATION: 848.00

BGR 110150  
 APPLICANT

BGR 110150  
 APPLICANT

BGR 110150  
 APPLICANT

BGR 110150  
 APPLICANT

BGR 110150  
 APPLICANT

BGR 110150  
 APPLICANT

# COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

## ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM: INITIAL STUDY

**Environmental Assessment (E.A.) Number:** 42539  
**Project Case Type (s) and Number(s):** Conditional Use Permit No. 03689  
**Lead Agency Name:** County of Riverside Planning Department  
**Address:** P.O. Box 1409, Riverside, CA 92502-1409  
**Contact Person:** Lisa Edwards  
**Telephone Number:** (951) 955-1888  
**Applicant's Name:** Brad Rechtfertig  
**Applicant's Address:** P.O. Box 4216, Idyllwild, CA 92549  
**Engineer's Name:** Paul Stevens  
**Engineer's Address:** 39745 Castile Ave., Murrieta, CA 92562

### I. PROJECT INFORMATION

**A. Project Description:** The Conditional Use Permit proposes to establish a resort/hotel by adding four (4) additional bedrooms for a total of 9 guestrooms within the first floor area of an existing three-story Bed & Breakfast (8,537 sq. ft.) located on 1.31 acres. An existing attached caretaker's unit will continue to remain on the property and will not be part of the resort/hotel proposal. All modifications will be interior and do not propose to add any square footage to the structure.

**B. Type of Project:** Site Specific ; Countywide ; Community ; Policy .

**C. Total Project Area:** 1.31 acre parcel

Residential Acres:	Lots:	Units:	Projected No. of Residents:
Commercial Acres:	Lots:	Sq. Ft. of Bldg. Area:	Est. No. of Employees:
Industrial Acres:	Lots:	Sq. Ft. of Bldg. Area:	Est. No. of Employees:
Other:			

**D. Assessor's Parcel No(s):** 563-100-010

**E. Street References:** At the southeast corner of S. Circle Drive and Highway 243 in the community of Homeland within the County of Riverside.

**F. Section, Township & Range Description or reference/attach a Legal Description:**  
Assessor's Parcel Number 563-100-010, Section 7, Township 5S, Rnage 3E

**G. Brief description of the existing environmental setting of the project site and its surroundings:** The project site is located in the community of Idyllwild, located along State Highway 243 and east of the City of Hemet. This area has historically included smaller mountainous lot rural community uses. The site currently contains one two-story structure with rear parking. The site is surrounded by residential development to the northeast and southeast, commercial development to the northeast, and vacant to northeast.

### II. APPLICABLE GENERAL PLAN AND ZONING REGULATIONS

#### A. General Plan Elements/Policies:

**1. Land Use:** The proposed project meets the requirements for the Community Development: Commercial Retail (CD: CR) (0.20-0.35 Floor Area Ratio) and Community Development:

Medium Density Residential (CD: MDR) (2-5 DU per Acre) land use designations. The proposed project meets the General Plan and all applicable land use policies.

2. **Circulation:** Adequate circulation facilities exist and are proposed to serve the proposed project. The proposed project meets with all other applicable circulation policies of the General Plan.
  3. **Multipurpose Open Space:** No natural open space land was required to be preserved within the boundaries of this project. The proposed project meets with all other applicable Multipurpose Open Space element policies.
  4. **Safety:** The proposed project is located within a high fire hazard area. The proposed project is not located within any other special hazard zone (including FEMA flood zone, fault zone, dam inundation zone, area with high liquefaction potential, etc.). The proposed project has allowed for sufficient provision of emergency response services to the project through the project design and payment of development impact fees. The proposed project meets with all other applicable Safety element policies.
  5. **Noise:** Sufficient mitigation against any foreseeable noise sources in the area have been provided for in the design of the project. The project meets all other applicable Noise Element Policies.
  6. **Housing:** The proposed project meets with all applicable Housing element policies.
  7. **Air Quality:** The proposed project has been conditioned to control any fugitive dust during grading and construction activities. The proposed project meets all other applicable Air Quality element policies.
- B. General Plan Area Plan(s):** Remap Area Plan
- C. Foundation Component(s):** Community Development (CD)
- D. Land Use Designation(s):** Commercial Retail (CD: CR) (0.20-0.35 Floor Area Ratio) and (CD:MDR) (2-5 Dwelling Units per Acre)
- E. Overlay(s), if any:** Not Applicable
- F. Policy Area(s), if any:** Village Tourist Policy Area
- G. Adjacent and Surrounding Area Plan(s), Foundation Component(s), Land Use Designation(s), and Overlay(s) and Policy Area(s), if any:** The project site is surrounded by properties which are designated Community Development: Commercial Retail (CD:CR) to the east, Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD:MDR) to the north, west and south.
- H. Adopted Specific Plan Information**
1. **Name and Number of Specific Plan, if any:** Not Applicable
  2. **Specific Plan Planning Area, and Policies, if any:** Not Applicable
- I. Existing Zoning:** Scenic Highway Commercial (C-P-S) and Village Tourist Residential (R-3A)

J. Proposed Zoning, if any: N/A

K. **Adjacent and Surrounding Zoning:** The project site is surrounded by properties which are zoned Scenic Highway Commercial (C-P-S) to the east and Village Tourist Residential (R-3A) to the north, west and south.

### III. ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS POTENTIALLY AFFECTED

The environmental factors checked below ( x ) would be potentially affected by this project, involving at least one impact that is a "Potentially Significant Impact" or "Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated" as indicated by the checklist on the following pages.

- |   |  |   |
|---|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Aesthetics                     | <input type="checkbox"/> Hazards & Hazardous Materials | <input type="checkbox"/> Recreation                         |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Agriculture & Forest Resources | <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrology / Water Quality     | <input type="checkbox"/> Transportation / Traffic           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Air Quality                    | <input type="checkbox"/> Land Use / Planning           | <input type="checkbox"/> Utilities / Service Systems        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Biological Resources           | <input type="checkbox"/> Mineral Resources             | <input type="checkbox"/> Other:                             |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Cultural Resources             | <input type="checkbox"/> Noise                         | <input type="checkbox"/> Other:                             |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Geology / Soils                | <input type="checkbox"/> Population / Housing          | <input type="checkbox"/> Mandatory Findings of Significance |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Greenhouse Gas Emissions       | <input type="checkbox"/> Public Services               |   |

### IV. DETERMINATION

On the basis of this initial evaluation:

#### A PREVIOUS ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/NEGATIVE DECLARATION WAS NOT PREPARED

I find that the proposed project **COULD NOT** have a significant effect on the environment, and a **NEGATIVE DECLARATION** will be prepared.

I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because revisions in the project, described in this document, have been made or agreed to by the project proponent. **A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION** will be prepared.

I find that the proposed project **MAY** have a significant effect on the environment, and an **ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT** is required.

#### A PREVIOUS ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT/NEGATIVE DECLARATION WAS PREPARED

I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, **NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED** because (a) all potentially significant effects of the proposed project have been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or Negative Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards, (b) all potentially significant effects of the proposed project have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or Negative Declaration, (c) the proposed project will not result in any new significant environmental effects not identified in the earlier EIR or Negative Declaration, (d) the proposed project will not substantially increase the severity of the environmental effects identified in the earlier EIR or Negative Declaration, (e) no considerably different mitigation measures have been identified and (f) no mitigation measures found infeasible have become feasible.

I find that although all potentially significant effects have been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or Negative Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards, some changes or additions are necessary but none of the conditions described in California Code of Regulations, Section 15162 exist. An **ADDENDUM** to a previously-certified EIR or Negative Declaration has been prepared and will be considered by the approving body or bodies.

I find that at least one of the conditions described in California Code of Regulations, Section 15162 exist, but I further find that only minor additions or changes are necessary to make the previous EIR adequately apply to the project in the changed situation; therefore a **SUPPLEMENT TO THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT** is required that need only contain the information necessary to make the previous EIR adequate for the project as revised.

I find that at least one of the following conditions described in California Code of Regulations, Section 15162, exist and a **SUBSEQUENT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT** is required: (1) Substantial changes are proposed in the project which will require major revisions of the previous EIR or negative declaration due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects; (2) Substantial changes have occurred with respect to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken which will require major revisions of the previous EIR or negative declaration due to the involvement of new significant environmental effects or a substantial increase in the severity of previously identified significant effects; or (3) New information of substantial importance, which was not known and could not have been known with the exercise of reasonable diligence at the time the previous EIR was certified as complete or the negative declaration was adopted, shows any the following:(A) The project will have one or more significant effects not discussed in the previous EIR or negative declaration;(B) Significant effects previously examined will be substantially more severe than shown in the previous EIR or negative declaration;(C) Mitigation measures or alternatives previously found not to be feasible would in fact be feasible, and would substantially reduce one or more significant effects of the project, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measures or alternatives; or,(D) Mitigation measures or alternatives which are considerably different from those analyzed in the previous EIR or negative declaration would substantially reduce one or more significant effects of the project on the environment, but the project proponents decline to adopt the mitigation measures or alternatives.

*Lisa Edwards*

Signature

May 22, 2014

Date

Lisa Edwards

For Juan C. Perez, TLMA Director/Interim  
Planning Director

Printed Name



**V. ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES ASSESSMENT**

In accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (Public Resources Code Section 21000-21178.1), this Initial Study has been prepared to analyze the proposed project to determine any potential significant impacts upon the environment that would result from construction and implementation of the project. In accordance with California Code of Regulations, Section 15063, this Initial Study is a preliminary analysis prepared by the Lead Agency, the County of Riverside, in consultation with other jurisdictional agencies, to determine whether a Negative Declaration, Mitigated Negative Declaration, or an Environmental Impact Report is required for the proposed project. The purpose of this Initial Study is to inform the decision-makers, affected agencies, and the public of potential environmental impacts associated with the implementation of the proposed project.

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
<b>AESTHETICS</b> Would the project				
<b>1. Scenic Resources</b>				
a) Have a substantial effect upon a scenic highway corridor within which it is located?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings and unique or landmark features; obstruct any prominent scenic vista or view open to the public; or result in the creation of an aesthetically offensive site open to public view?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure C-9 "Scenic Highways"

Findings of Fact:

a) The project site is located on the west side of Pine Crest Avenue which is not designated by the General Plan as a State Designated Scenic Highway. Though this street is listed as scenic resources, the area of Idyllwild is scenic and includes the view of the mountains and forest. The proposed Resort/Hotel building would be subject to the latest adopted building code and through design the visual impact is minimal in preserving and protecting the scenic area. The project is setback approximately 95 feet from the right-of-way and provides native forest area (existing pine trees) in between the street and the Resort/Hotel building which will minimize the impact to the scenic area. Additionally, the existing maximum height of the building is at 40 vertical feet to the roof pitch.

b) The proposed project will not substantially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, trees, rock outcroppings and unique or landmark features, open to the public, as these features do not exist on the project site. Additionally, the project will not result in the creation of an aesthetically offensive site open to public view.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No mitigation measures are required.

<b>2. Mt. Palomar Observatory</b>				
a) Interfere with the nighttime use of the Mt. Palomar Observatory, as protected through Riverside County	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Ordinance No. 655?

Source: GIS database, Ord. No. 655 (Regulating Light Pollution)

Findings of Fact:

a) According to the GENERAL PLAN, the project site is located approximately 28 miles away from the Mt. Palomar Observatory; which is within the designated 45-mile (ZONE B) Special Lighting Area that surrounds the Mt. Palomar Observatory. Ordinance No. 655 contains approved materials and methods of installation, definition, general requirements, requirements for lamp source and shielding, prohibition and exceptions. With incorporation of project lighting requirements of the Riverside County Ordinance No. 655 into the proposed project, this impact will be reduced to a less than significant impact. (COA 10.PLANNING.30) This is a standard condition of approval and therefore is not considered mitigation pursuant to CEQA.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No mitigation measures are required.

**3. Other Lighting Issues**

a) Create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?

b) Expose residential property to unacceptable light levels?

Source: On-site Inspection, Project Application Description

Findings of Fact:

a-b) The project will not create a new source of substantial light or glare which would adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area, or expose residential property to unacceptable levels of light or glare. Adjacent residential properties will not be exposed to unacceptable light levels. Any lighting on site is required to be shielded and directed away from any residential properties. Light created from potential increased traffic to the site may increase as well as interior lighting associated with the proposed resort/hotel use. This lighting will be shielded from the neighboring residential properties per building code and Mt. Palomar Observatory Ordinance No. 655. The ordinance contains approved materials and methods of installation, definition, general requirements, requirements for lamp source and shielding, prohibition, and exceptions. With incorporation of the standard conditions of approval for project lighting requirements of the Riverside County Ordinance No. 655 into the proposed project, this impact will be reduced to a less than significant impact. (COA 10.PLANNING.6 and 10.PLANNING.30) and is therefore not considered mitigation pursuant to CEQA.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

**AGRICULTURE & FOREST RESOURCES** Would the project

**4. Agriculture**

a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland) as shown on

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?				
b) Conflict with existing agricultural zoning, agricultural use or with land subject to a Williamson Act contract or land within a Riverside County Agricultural Preserve?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Cause development of non-agricultural uses within 300 feet of agriculturally zoned property (Ordinance No. 625 "Right-to-Farm")?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Source: GIS database, and Project Application Materials.

Findings of Fact:

- a) According to GIS database, the project is located in mountain area is not mapped as "Farmland". Therefore, the project will not convert a Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance to non-agricultural use. The project will have no significant impact.
- b) According to GIS database, the project is not located within an Agriculture Preserve or under a Williamson Act contract; therefore, no impact will occur as a result of the proposed project.
- c) The project site is not surrounded by agriculturally zoned land (A-1, A-2, A-P, A-D & C/V) and will not cause development of non-agricultural uses within 300 feet of agriculturally zoned property. Therefore, the project site, the project will not cause development of a non-agricultural use within 300 feet of agriculturally zoned property.
- d) The project will not involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

<b>5. Forest</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
a) Conflict with existing zoning for, or cause rezoning of, forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Govt. Code section 51104(g))?				
b) Result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of forest land to non-forest use?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure OS-3 "Parks, Forests and Recreation Areas," and Project Application Materials.

Findings of Fact:

a) The proposed project proposes to convert an existing 8,537 square foot 3-story "Dwelling, bed and breakfast" building to "Resort Hotel" 9 unit resort/hotel with one caretaker's unit on approximately 1.31 acres with no new square footage to this proposal and all modifications are interior. The project is not located within the boundaries of a forest land (as defined in Public Resources Code Section 12220(g)), timberland (as defined by Public Resources Code section 4526), or timberland zoned Timberland Production (as defined by Govt. Code section 51104(g)). Therefore, the proposed project will not impact land designated as forest land, timberland, or timberland zoned Timberland Production.

b) The proposed project proposes to convert an existing 8,537 square foot 3-story "Dwelling, bed and breakfast" building to "Resort Hotel" 9 unit resort/hotel with one caretaker's unit on approximately 1.31 acres with no new square footage to this proposal and all modifications are interior with one additional accessible parking space to the rear of the property. The project is not located within forest land and will not result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use; therefore, no impact will occur as a result of the proposed project.

c) The project will not involve other changes in the existing environment which, due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of forest land to non-forest use.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

**AIR QUALITY** Would the project

**6. Air Quality Impacts**

a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Expose sensitive receptors which are located within 1 mile of the project site to project substantial point source emissions?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Involve the construction of a sensitive receptor located within one mile of an existing substantial point source emitter?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Source: SCAQMD CEQA Air Quality Handbook

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

**Findings of Fact:** CEQA Guidelines indicate that a project will significantly impact air quality if the project violates any ambient air quality standard, contributes substantially to an existing air quality violation, or exposes sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations.

a) The project site is located in the South Coast Air Basin (SCAB). The South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) adopted its most recent Air Quality Management Plan (AQMP) for the SCAB on August 1, 2003. The AQMP is a plan for the regional improvement of air quality. As part of adoption of the County's General Plan in 2003, the General Plan's EIR (No. 441, SCH No. 2002051143) analyzed the General Plan growth projections for consistency with the AQMP and concluded that the General Plan is consistent with the SCAQMD's AQMP. The project is consistent with the County General Plan and would therefore be consistent with the SCAQMD's AQMP.

b-c) The South Coast Air Basin (SCAB) is in a non-attainment status for federal ozone standards, federal carbon monoxide standards, and state and federal particulate matter standards. Any development in the SCAB, including the proposed project, would cumulatively contribute to these pollutant violations.

The project is consistent with the General Plan and the Remap Area Plan land use designations. The General Plan (2003) is a policy document that reflects the County's vision for the future of Riverside County. The General Plan is organized into eight separate elements, including an Air Quality Element. The purpose of the Air Quality Element is to protect County residents from the harmful effects of poor air quality. The Air Quality Element identifies goals, policies, and programs that are meant to balance actions regarding land use, circulation, and other issues with their potential effects on air quality. The Air Quality Element, in conjunction with local and regional air quality planning efforts, addresses ambient air quality standards set forth by the Federal Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the California Air Resources Board (CARB). Potential air quality impacts resulting from the proposed project would not exceed emissions projected by the Air Quality Element since they are not adding any new square footage. The County is charged with implementing the policies in the General Plan Air Quality Element, which are focused on reducing concentrations of criteria pollutants, reducing negative impacts to sensitive receptors, reducing mobile and stationary pollutant sources, increasing energy conservation and efficiency, improving the jobs to housing balance, and facilitating multi-jurisdictional coordination for the improvement of air quality.

Implementation of the project would not impact air quality beyond the levels documented in EIR No. 441 prepared for the General Plan. The project would impact air quality in the short-term during construction and in the long-term through operation. In accordance with standard county requirements, dust control measures and maintenance of construction equipment shall be utilized on the property to limit the amount of particulate matter generated. These are standard requirements and are not considered mitigation pursuant to CEQA.

The proposed project would primarily impact air quality through increased automotive emissions. Projects of this type do not generate enough traffic and associated air pollutants to violate clean air standards or contribute enough air pollutants to be considered a cumulatively considerable significant impact. Therefore, the impacts to air quality are considered less than significant.

d) A sensitive receptor is a person in the population who is particularly susceptible to health effects due to exposure to an air contaminant than is the population at large. Sensitive receptors (and the facilities that house them) in proximity to localized CO sources, toxic air contaminants or odors are of

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

particular concern. High levels of CO are associated with major traffic sources, such as freeways and major intersections, and toxic air contaminants are normally associated with manufacturing and commercial operations. Land uses considered to be sensitive receptors include long-term health care facilities, rehabilitation centers, convalescent centers, retirement homes, residences, schools, playgrounds, child care centers, and athletic facilities. Surrounding land uses include residential, which is considered a sensitive receptor, however, the project is not expected to generate substantial point source emissions. The project will not include manufacturing uses or generate significant odors.

e) Surrounding land uses do not include significant localized CO sources, toxic air contaminants, or odors. An 8,537 square foot resort/hotel building is not considered a substantial point source emitter or a sensitive receptor.

f) The project will not create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

**BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES** Would the project

**7. Wildlife & Vegetation**

a) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Conservation Community Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state conservation plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any endangered, or threatened species, as listed in Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations (Sections 670.2 or 670.5) or in Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations (Sections 17.11 or 17.12)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U. S. Wildlife Service?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act (including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc.) through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
g) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?

Source: GIS database, WRCMSHCP

Findings of Fact:

a) The project site does not conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Conservation Community Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state conservation plan. The project site is a previously built parcel in a mountain village area. The area is currently being used as a bed and breakfast resort. Therefore, project will have less than significant impact.

b-c) The proposal will not disturb since the the proposed conversion is all within the existing structure. The site is not anticipated to have habitat modifications, on any endangered, or threatened species, as listed in Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations (Sections 670.2 or 670.5) or in Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations (Sections 17.11 or 17.12). The project will have a less than significant impact.

d) The project will not result in the adverse impacts on MSHCP-listed plant or animal species. Natural watercourses are not present on the site. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and CDFG jurisdictional waters of the US wetlands and streambeds are not present. The project will not interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites. Therefore, there is no significant impact.

e-f) The project site does not contain riverine/riparian areas or vernal pools. Therefore, there is no significant impact.

g) The proposed project will not conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance. Therefore, there is no significant impact.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

**CULTURAL RESOURCES** Would the project

8. Historic Resources				
a) Alter or destroy an historic site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in California Code of Regulations, Section 15064.5?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Source: On-site Inspection, Project Application Materials

Findings of Fact:

a-b) The proposed project proposes to convert an existing 8,537 square foot 3-story "Dwelling, bed and breakfast" building to "Resort Hotel" 9 unit resort/hotel with one caretaker's unit on approximately 1.31 acres with no new square footage to this proposal and all modifications are interior. The project

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

does not propose to alter or destroy a historic site or cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in California Code of Regulations, Section 15064.5. The project will have no significant impact.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

**9. Archaeological Resources**

a) Alter or destroy an archaeological site.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to California Code of Regulations, Section 15064.5?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Restrict existing religious or sacred uses within the potential impact area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Source: Project Application Materials

Findings of Fact:

a-b) Site disturbance has already occurred from previous construction of 8,537 square foot 3-story building and associated parking areas. The project is not anticipated to alter or destroy an archaeological site. If, however, during ground disturbing activities, unique cultural resources are discovered, all ground disturbances shall halt until a meeting is held between the developer, archaeologist, and Native American representative to discuss the significance of the find. Therefore, the project will not alter or destroy an archaeological site or cause a substantive adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource.

c) There may be a possibility that ground disturbing activities will expose human remains. The project is subject to State Health and Safety Code Section 7050.5 if human remains are discovered during ground disturbing activities. This is a standard condition and not considered mitigation for CEQA purposes. Therefore, the impact is considered less than significant.

d) The project will not restrict existing religious or sacred uses within the potential impact area. Therefore, there is no impact.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required

**10. Paleontological Resources**

a) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource, or site, or unique geologic feature?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------

Source: GIS database



Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

**Findings of Fact:**

a) According to GIS database, this site has been mapped as having a low potential for paleontological resources. There may be a possibility that ground disturbing activities will expose fossil specimens. Therefore, a Paleontological Monitoring Report shall be submitted to the County Geologist for site grading operation. This is a standard condition and not considered mitigation for CEQA purposes. Therefore, the impact is considered less than significant.

**Mitigation:** No mitigation measures are required.

**Monitoring:** No monitoring measures are required

**GEOLOGY AND SOILS** Would the project

<b>11. Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zone or County Fault Hazard Zones</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
a) Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Be subject to rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

**Source:** Riverside County General Plan Figure S-2 "Earthquake Fault Study Zones," GIS database

**Findings of Fact:**

a-b) No active faults are known to traverse the subject site. The project site does not lie within a State of California Earthquake Fault Hazard Zone (formerly called an Alquist-Priolo Special Studies Zone). Additionally, the project is subject to the California Building Code (CBC) requirements pertaining to commercial development and thereby mitigating any potential impact to less than significant. As CBC requirements are applicable to all commercial development they are not considered mitigation for CEQA implementation purposes. Therefore, the impact is considered less than significant.

**Mitigation:** No mitigation measures are required.

**Monitoring:** No monitoring measures are required.

<b>12. Liquefaction Potential Zone</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
a) Be subject to seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

**Source:** Riverside County General Plan Figure S-3 "Generalized Liquefaction", GIS Database

**Findings of Fact:**

a) The project is located within an area of no potential for liquefaction. Adherence to the California Building Code (CBC) will mitigate any potential liquefaction that might exist on the site. As CBC

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

requirements are applicable to all commercial development they are not considered mitigation for CEQA implementation purposes.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

**13. Ground-shaking Zone**

Be subject to strong seismic ground shaking?

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure S-4 "Earthquake-Induced Slope Instability Map," and Figures S-13 through S-21 (showing General Ground Shaking Risk)

Findings of Fact:

There are no known active or potentially active faults that traverse the site and the site is not located within an Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zone. The principal seismic hazard that could affect the site is ground shaking resulting from an earthquake occurring along several major active or potentially active faults in southern California. The project is not located within a very high ground shaking risk area. California Building Code (CBC) requirements pertaining to commercial development will mitigate the potential impact to less than significant. As CBC requirements are applicable to all commercial development they are not considered mitigation for CEQA implementation purposes.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No mitigation measures are required.

**14. Landslide Risk**

a) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, collapse, or rockfall hazards?

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure S-5 "Regions Underlain by Steep Slope"

Findings of Fact:

a) The project site is located on generally sloped land with minimal possibilities of resulting in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, collapse, or rock fall hazards. In addition, no further information is provided to suggest that the project would be located on unstable soil. The project will have less than significant impact.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

**15. Ground Subsidence**

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

a) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in ground subsidence?

Source: GIS database, Riverside County General Plan Figure S-7 "Documented Subsidence Areas".

Findings of Fact:

a) According to GIS database, the project site is not located in an area with potential subsidence, Adherence to the California Building Code (CBC) will mitigate any possible subsidence potential that might exists on the site to less than significant. As CBC requirements are applicable to all commercial development they are not considered mitigation for CEQA implementation purposes.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

**16. Other Geologic Hazards**

a) Be subject to geologic hazards, such as seiche, mudflow, or volcanic hazard?

Source: Project Application Materials

a) The project site is not located near any large bodies of water or in a known volcanic area; therefore, the project site is not subject to geologic hazards, such as seiche, mudflow, or volcanic hazard.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

**17. Slopes**

a) Change topography or ground surface relief features?

b) Create cut or fill slopes greater than 2:1 or higher than 10 feet?

c) Result in grading that affects or negates subsurface sewage disposal systems?

Source: Project Application Materials, Building and Safety – Grading Review

Findings of Fact:

a) The project site is generally flat land with no slope present on the site. The proposed resort/hotel facility will not change topography or ground surface relief features. Therefore, the project will not have an impact.

b) The project will not cut or fill slopes greater than 2:1 or create a slope higher than 10 feet.

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

c) The project will not result in grading that affects or negates subsurface sewage disposal systems.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

**18. Soils**

a) Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Section 1802.3.2 of the California Building Code (2007), creating substantial risks to life or property?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting use of septic tanks or alternative waste water disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of waste water?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Source: General Plan figure S-6 "Engineering Geologic Materials Map", Project Application Materials, Building and Safety Grading review

a) The development of the site will not result in the loss of topsoil from grading activities and not in a manner that would result in significant amounts of soil erosion. Implementation of Best Management Practices (BMPs) would reduce the impact to below a level of significance. Impacts would be less than significant.

b) The project may be located on expansive soil; however, California Building Code (CBC) requirements pertaining to commercial development will mitigate the potential impact to less than significant. As CBC requirements are applicable to all development, they are not considered mitigation for CEQA implementation purposes.

c) The proposed project proposes to convert an existing 8,537 square foot 3-story building to a 9-unit resort/hotel with one caretaker's unit on approximately 1.31 acres with no new square footage to this proposal and all modifications are interior. The existing project is being served with public water and sewer system. Therefore, there is no impact anticipated with this project.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

**19. Erosion**

a) Change deposition, siltation, or erosion that may modify the channel of a river or stream or the bed of a lake?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Result in any increase in water erosion either on or off site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Source: Flood Control District review, Project Application Materials

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

**Findings of Fact:**

- a) The proposed project is not located in the vicinity of a stream or lake, will not change deposition, siltation, or erosion that may modify the channel of a river, stream, or the bed of a lake. The project will have no significant impact.
- b) The proposed project is not likely to increase in water erosion either on or off site; therefore, the project will have less than significant impact.

**Mitigation:** No mitigation measures are required.

**Monitoring:** No monitoring measures are required.

**20. Wind Erosion and Blowsand from project either on or off site.**                       

- a) Be impacted by or result in an increase in wind erosion and blowsand, either on or off site?

**Source:** Riverside County General Plan Figure S-8 "Wind Erosion Susceptibility Map," Ord. 460, Sec. 14.2 & Ord. 484

**Findings of Fact:**

- a) The site is located in an area of Low Wind Erodibility rating. The General Plan, Safety Element Policy for Wind Erosion requires buildings and structures to be designed to resist wind loads which are covered by the California Building Code. With such compliance, the project will not result in an increase in wind erosion and blowsand, either on or off site. The project will have less than significant impact.

**Mitigation:** No mitigation measures are required.

**Monitoring:** No monitoring measures are required.

**GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS** Would the project

**21. Greenhouse Gas Emissions**                       

- a) Generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment?

- b) Conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases?

**Source:** Project application materials.

**Findings of Fact:**

- a) The proposed project proposes to convert an existing 8,537 square foot 3-story "Dwelling, bed and breakfast" building to "Resort Hotel" 9-unit resort/hotel with one caretaker's unit on approximately 1.31 acres with no new square footage to this proposal and all modifications are interior. Based on existing

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

structures and no new addition of any square footage, the proposed project does not exceed the threshold set by South Coast Air Basin (SCAB) and Southeast Desert Air Basin (SEDAB). The construction activities will involve light duty equipment and labor. However, the construction of this size (under 10,000 square foot) will not have a significant impact on the air quality of the area. Therefore, greenhouse gas emissions generated during construction phase are minimal. In addition, the powering of the resort/hotel will not require an extensive amount of electricity. Therefore, project is not anticipated to generate greenhouse gas emissions, either directly or indirectly, that may have a significant impact on the environment.

b) The project will not conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases. The project will have less than significant impact.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

**HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS** Would the project

**22. Hazards and Hazardous Materials**

a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or an emergency evacuation plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Source: Project Application Materials

Findings of Fact:

a) The project will not create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials.

b) The project will not create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment.

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

c) The project will not impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or an emergency evacuation plan.

d) The project site is not located within one-quarter mile of an existing school. The proposed remodel of the existing facility does not emit and/or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste. Therefore, there is no impact.

e) The project is not located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

**23. Airports**

a) Result in an inconsistency with an Airport Master Plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Require review by the Airport Land Use Commission?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, or heliport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure S-19 "Airport Locations," GIS database

a) The project site is not located within the vicinity of any public or private airport; therefore, the project will not result in an inconsistency with an Airport Master Plan. The closest airport is Hemet-Ryan Airport which is located approximately 10 miles west of the project site.

b) The project site is not located within the vicinity of any public or private airport; therefore will not require review by the Airport Land Use Commission.

c) The project is not located within an airport land use plan and would not result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area. The closest airport is Hemet-Ryan Airport which is located approximately 10 miles west of the project site.

d) The project is not within the vicinity of a private airstrip, or heliport and would not result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area. The closest airport is Hemet-Ryan Airport which is located approximately 10 miles west of the project site.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

**24. Hazardous Fire Area**

a) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with wildlands?

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure S-11 "Wildfire Susceptibility," GIS database

Findings of Fact:

a) The project is located in a high fire hazard area. The project shall adhere to all Fire Department requirements for projects located within high fire hazard areas. This is a standard condition of approval and is not considered mitigation under CEQA.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

**HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY** Would the project

**25. Water Quality Impacts**

a) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner that would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site?

b) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?

c) Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?

d) Create or contribute runoff water that would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?

e) Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area, as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?

f) Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures which would impede or redirect flood flows?

g) Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?

h) Include new or retrofitted stormwater Treatment



Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

Control Best Management Practices (BMPs) (e.g. water quality treatment basins, constructed treatment wetlands), the operation of which could result in significant environmental effects (e.g. increased vectors or odors)?

Source: Riverside County Flood Control District Flood Hazard Report/Condition.

Findings of Fact:

a)-b) The proposed project proposes to convert an existing 8,537 square foot 3-story "Dwelling, bed and breakfast" building to "Resort Hotel" 9 unit resort/hotel with one caretaker's unit on approximately 1.31 acres with no new square footage to this proposal and all modifications are interior. Through this process, the site will not alter the drainage from its current natural flow to Pinecrest Avenue.

Additionally, the site does not contain nor alter the course a stream or river in a manner that would result in substantial erosion or siltation on- or off-site. Therefore, there is no impact anticipated.

c) The project will not substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted). Therefore, the impact is considered less than significant.

d) Due to the amount of impervious surfaces within the project site, this proposal will not increase flow rates on downstream property owners. Therefore, no new flood control facilities or water quality mitigation will be required. Therefore, the impact is considered less than significant.

e) The project site is not located within a 100 year flood zone and no new housing is proposed with this project. Therefore, the project shall not place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area, as mapped on a federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map No. 06065C1540G or other flood hazard delineation map.

f) The project site is not located within a 100 year flood zone within Zone X. Therefore, the project will not place structures within a 100-year flood hazard area which would impede or redirect flood flows.

g-h) The project will not substantially degrade water quality or include new or retrofitted stormwater Treatment Control Best Management Practices (BMPs) (e.g. water quality treatment basins, constructed treatment wetlands), the operation of which could result in significant environmental effects (e.g. increased vectors and odors). Therefore, there is no impact.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

**26. Floodplains**

Degree of Suitability in 100-Year Floodplains. As indicated below, the appropriate Degree of Suitability has been checked.

NA - Not Applicable  U - Generally Unsuitable  R - Restricted

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
a) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner that would result in flooding on- or off-site?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Changes in absorption rates or the rate and amount of surface runoff?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam (Dam Inundation Area)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Changes in the amount of surface water in any water body?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure S-9 "100- and 500-Year Flood Hazard Zones," Figure S-10 "Dam Failure Inundation Zone," GIS database, FEMA Issued Flood Map

Findings of Fact:

a) Because of the small size and limited development of the project site, the project will not substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner that would result in flooding on- or off-site. Additionally, the property is located in Zone X, and it is determined to be outside the 0.2% annual chance floodplain [FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) with effective date of August 28, 2008, Map No. 06065C1540G, Panel 1540 of 3805]. Therefore, the project will have less than significant impact.

b) Because of the small size and limited development of the project site, the project will not result in changes in absorption rates or the rate and amount of surface runoff within a floodplain. Therefore, the project will have less than significant impact.

c) The project will not expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam. Therefore, the project will have less than significant impact.

d) Because of the small size and limited development of the project site, the project will not cause changes in the amount of surface water in any water body. Therefore, the project will have less than significant impact.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

**LAND USE/PLANNING** Would the project

**27. Land Use**

a) Result in a substantial alteration of the present or planned land use of an area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Affect land use within a city sphere of influence and/or within adjacent city or county boundaries?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

Source: General Plan and GIS database

Findings of Fact:

a) The proposed use is in compliance with the current land use of Community Development: Commercial Retail (CD: CR) (0.20-0.35 Floor Area Ratio) and Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD: MDR) (2-5 DU per Acre) in the REMAP Area Plan. The project will have a less than significant impact as it likely will not result in the substantial alteration of the present or planned land use of an area.

b) The project is not adjacent to a city boundary and not in a sphere of influence. Therefore, the project will not have significant impact.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

**28. Planning**

a) Be consistent with the site's existing or proposed zoning?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Be compatible with existing surrounding zoning?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Be compatible with existing and planned surrounding land uses?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Be consistent with the land use designations and policies of the Comprehensive General Plan (including those of any applicable Specific Plan)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e) Disrupt or divide the physical arrangement of an established community (including a low-income or minority community)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Source: Riverside County General Plan Land Use Element, Staff review, GIS database

Findings of Fact:

a-b) The project will be consistent with the site's zoning for the proposed resort/hotel remodel. The project site is surrounded by properties which are zoned Village Tourist Residential (R-3A) and Scenic Highway Commercial (C-P-S) zonings along Pinecrest Avenue and south east and west of the project site. The General Plan designation for all properties along Pinecrest Avenue is Community Development: Commercial Retail (CD:CR) (0.2 to 0.35 Floor Area Ratio). It is the future plan for this area along Pinecrest Avenue and N Circle Drive (eastern street) to be developed as commercial retail developments. Therefore, the project will have no significant impact.

c) The proposed commercial development will be consistent with existing commercial developments along Pinecrest Avenue including but not limited to vacation resort, gift shop, retail store. As a result, the project will be compatible with existing surrounding zoning and with existing and planned surrounding land uses. Impacts are less than significant.

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

d-e) The project is consistent with the land use designations and policies of the General Plan. In addition, the project will not disrupt or divide the physical arrangement of an established community. The project will have no significant impact.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required

**MINERAL RESOURCES** Would the project

**29. Mineral Resources**

a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region or the residents of the State?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

c) Be an incompatible land use located adjacent to a State classified or designated area or existing surface mine?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

d) Expose people or property to hazards from proposed, existing or abandoned quarries or mines?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure OS-5 "Mineral Resources Area"

a) The project site is within unstudied area for Mineral Resources Area. The General Plan identifies policies that encourage protection for existing mining operations and for appropriate management of mineral extraction. A significant impact that would constitute a loss of availability of a known mineral resource would include unmanaged extraction or encroach on existing extraction. No existing or abandoned quarries or mines exist in the area surrounding the project site. The project does not propose any mineral extraction on the project site. Any mineral resources on the project site will be unavailable for the life of the project; however, the project will not result in the permanent loss of significant mineral resources.

b) The project will not result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource in an area classified or designated by the State that would be of value to the region or the residents of the State. The project will not result in the loss of availability of a locally important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan.

c) The project will not be an incompatible land use located adjacent to a State classified or designated area or existing surface mine.

d) The project will not expose people or property to hazards from proposed, existing or abandoned quarries or mines.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

**NOISE** Would the project result in

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

**Definitions for Noise Acceptability Ratings**

Where indicated below, the appropriate Noise Acceptability Rating(s) has been checked.

NA - Not Applicable                      A - Generally Acceptable                      B - Conditionally Acceptable  
 C - Generally Unacceptable              D - Land Use Discouraged

**30. Airport Noise**

a) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?

NA     A     B     C     D

b) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?

NA     A     B     C     D

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure S-19 "Airport Locations," County of Riverside Airport Facilities Map

Findings of Fact:

a) The project site is not located within an airport land use plan or within two miles of a public airport or public use airport that would expose people residing on the project site to excessive noise levels. The closest airport (Hemet Ryan Airport) is located approximately 10 miles west from the project site. Therefore, there is no impact anticipated.

b) The project is not located within the vicinity of a private airstrip and would not expose people residing on the project site to excessive noise levels. The closest airport (Hemet Ryan Airport) is located approximately 10 miles west from the project site. Therefore, there is no impact anticipated.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

**31. Railroad Noise**

NA     A     B     C     D                                                                                        

Source: Riverside County General Plan Figure C-1 "Circulation Plan", GIS database, On-site Inspection

Findings of Fact: The project site is not located adjacent to a rail line. The project has no significant impact.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
<b>32. Highway Noise</b>				
NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A <input type="checkbox"/> B <input type="checkbox"/> C <input type="checkbox"/> D <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Source: On-site Inspection, Project Application Materials

Findings of Fact: The project site is located adjacent to a highway. The closest highway is Highway 243 which is located approximately 1/2 mile west of the project site. The next closest freeway is 10 Freeways is approximately 12.5 miles north from the project site.

The existing noise on the project site and surrounding areas is primarily created by the amount of traffic on adjacent SH-243. The proposal is for the 9 unit resort/hotel from a 5 unit resort/hotel. Therefore, the proposed addition of 4 additional rooms to an existing resort/hotel use would not add any additional noise levels. In addition, the noise generated by Highway 243 is predicted to be within acceptable limits for commercial uses within the proposed commercial hotel use. There will be no significant impact.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

<b>33. Other Noise</b>				
NA <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> A <input type="checkbox"/> B <input type="checkbox"/> C <input type="checkbox"/> D <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Source: Project Application Materials, GIS database

Findings of Fact: No additional noise sources have been identified near the project site that would contribute a significant amount of noise to the project. There will be no significant impact.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

<b>34. Noise Effects on or by the Project</b>				
a) A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Exposure of persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Exposure of persons to or generation of excessive ground-borne vibration or ground-borne noise levels?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Source: Riverside County General Plan, Table N-1 ("Land Use Compatibility for Community Noise Exposure"); Project Application Materials

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

**Findings of Fact:**

a) Although the project will increase the ambient noise level in the immediate vicinity during construction, and the general ambient noise level will not increase after project completion, the impacts are not considered significant within the commercial zoning areas.

b) All noise generated during project construction and the operation of the site must comply with the County's noise standards, which restricts construction (short-term) and operational (long-term) noise levels. These may include but are not limited to hours of construction, hours of operation, hours of delivery, use of noise reducing equipments (e.g.: mufflers and engine shrouds), orientation of the main entrance, and setbacks. The operation of the resort/hotel will occur all within the enclosed structure and will not have excess noise beyond the normal vehicular noise added by the hotel guests. Therefore, the project will have a less than significant impact. These are standard conditions of approval, and therefore is not considered mitigation pursuant to CEQA.

c-d) The project would not expose persons to or generation of noise levels in excess of standards established in the local General Plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies or expose persons to or generation of excessive ground-borne vibration or ground-borne noise levels. The project will have a less than significant impact.

**Mitigation:** No mitigation measures are required.

**Monitoring:** No mitigation measures are required.

**POPULATION AND HOUSING** Would the project

**35. Housing**

a) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
b) Create a demand for additional housing, particularly housing affordable to households earning 80% or less of the County's median income?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
d) Affect a County Redevelopment Project Area?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Cumulatively exceed official regional or local population projections?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Source:** Project Application Materials, GIS database, Riverside County General Plan Housing Element

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

Findings of Fact:

- a) The proposed project proposes to convert an existing 8,537 square foot 3-story "Dwelling, bed and breakfast" building to "Resort Hotel" 9 unit resort/hotel with one caretaker's unit on approximately 1.31 acres with no new square footage to this proposal and all modifications are interior. The project will be converting exiting B&B to resort/hotel and will not displace any number of existing homes to necessitate any replacement housing elsewhere. Therefore, the project will have less than significant impact.
- b) The project will not create a demand for additional housing, particularly housing affordable to households earning 80% or less of the County's median income. The project will have no significant impact.
- c) The project will not displace substantial number of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere. The project will have no significant impact.
- d) The project is not located within a Redevelopment Area and the State of California (Governor Brown) has dissolved the Redevelopment Agencies of all funding and responsibility. Therefore, the project will not affect a County Redevelopment Project Area. The project will have no significant impact.
- e) The project will not cumulatively exceed official regional or local population projections. The project will have no significant impact.
- f) The project could potentially encourage additional residential development in the area since there will be commercial retail service, but the development would have to be consistent with the land uses designated by the General Plan. The project will have less than significant impact.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

**PUBLIC SERVICES** Would the project result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered government facilities or the need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:

**36. Fire Services**

Source: Riverside County General Plan Safety Element

The proposed project will have an incremental impact on the demand for fire services. Prior to the issuance of a certificate of occupancy, the applicant shall comply with the provisions of Ordinance No. 659, which require payment of the appropriate fees set forth in the Ordinance. Ordinance 659 sets forth policies, regulations, and fees related to the funding and construction of facilities necessary to address direct and cumulative environmental effects generated by new development. With compliance to Ordinance No. 659, impact to fire services will be less than significant.



Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

Additionally, the project will not result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered government facilities or the need for new or physically altered governmental facilities. Any construction of new facilities required by the cumulative effects will have to meet all applicable environmental standards.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

**37. Sheriff Services**

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------

Source: Riverside County General Plan

The proposed project will have an incremental impact on the demand for sheriff services. Prior to the issuance of a certificate of occupancy, the applicant shall comply with the provisions of Ordinance No. 659, which require payment of the appropriate fees set forth in the Ordinance. Ordinance 659 sets forth policies, regulations, and fees related to the funding and construction of facilities necessary to address direct and cumulative environmental effects generated by new development. With compliance to Ordinance No. 659 and the mitigation measures, impact to sheriff services will be less than significant.

Additionally, the project will not result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered government facilities or the need for new or physically altered governmental facilities. Any construction of new facilities required by the cumulative effects will have to meet all applicable environmental standards.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

**38. Schools**

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------

Source: Hemet Unified School District, GIS database

Findings of Fact: The project will not physically alter existing facilities or result in the construction of new or physically altered facilities. The proposed project is located within the Hemet Unified School District. Any construction of new facilities required by the cumulative effects of this project and surrounding projects would have to meet all applicable environmental standards. This project has been conditioned to comply with School Mitigation Impact fees in order to mitigate the potential effects to school services. This is a standard condition of approval and pursuant to CEQA is not considered mitigation.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

**39. Libraries**

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

Source: Riverside County General Plan

The proposed project will have an incremental impact on the demand for library services. Prior to the issuance of a certificate of occupancy, the applicant shall comply with the provisions of Ordinance No. 659, which require payment of the appropriate fees set forth in the Ordinance. Ordinance 659 sets forth policies, regulations, and fees related to the funding and construction of facilities necessary to address direct and cumulative environmental effects generated by new development. With compliance to Ordinance No. 659, impact to library services will be less than significant.

Additionally, the project will not result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered government facilities or the need for new or physically altered governmental facilities. Any construction of new facilities required by the cumulative effects will have to meet all applicable environmental standards.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

**40. Health Services**

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------

Source: Riverside County General Plan

The use of the proposed lease area would not cause an impact on health services. The site is located within the service parameters of County health centers. The project will not physically alter existing facilities or result in the construction of new or physically altered facilities. The project will have no impact. Any construction of new facilities required by the cumulative effects of this project and surrounding projects would have to meet all applicable environmental standards.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

**RECREATION**

**41. Parks and Recreation**

a) Would the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

b) Would the project include the use of existing neighborhood or regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

c) Is the project located within a Community Service Area (CSA) or recreation and park district with a Community Parks and Recreation Plan (Quimby fees)?

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

Source: GIS database, Ord. No. 659 (Establishing Development Impact Fees), Parks & Open Space Department Review

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

a) The proposed project proposes to convert an existing 8,537 square foot 3-story "Dwelling, bed and breakfast" building to "Resort Hotel" 9 unit resort/hotel with one caretaker's unit on approximately 1.31 acres with no new square footage to this proposal and all modifications are interior at the north side of Pinecrest Avenue in the community of Idyllwild within the County of Riverside. The project would not include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities which might have an adverse physical effect on the environment. The project will have no significant impact.

b) The project would not include the use of existing neighborhood or regional parks or other recreation facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated. The project will have no significant impact.

c) The project is located within a County Service Area No. 36 (Street Lighting District and Park & Recreation District). The project will have no significant impact on recreation and park district with a Community Parks and Recreation Plan.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

**42. Recreational Trails**

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

Source: Riverside County General Plan

Findings of Fact: The project (a request to convert existing building to resort/hotel) does not create a need or impact a recreational trail in the vicinity of the project. The project will have no significant impact.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No mitigation measures are required.

**TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC** Would the project

**43. Circulation**

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------

a) Conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing a measure of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system, taking into account all modes of transportation, including mass transit and non-motorized travel and relevant components of the circulation system, including but not limited to intersections, streets, highways and freeways, pedestrian and bicycle paths, and mass transit?

b) Conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including, but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

c) Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?				
d) Alter waterborne, rail or air traffic?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
e) Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible uses (e.g. farm equipment)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) Cause an effect upon, or a need for new or altered maintenance of roads?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
g) Cause an effect upon circulation during the project's construction?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
h) Result in inadequate emergency access or access to nearby uses?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
i) Conflict with adopted policies, plans or programs regarding public transit, bikeways or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise substantially decrease the performance or safety of such facilities?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Source: Riverside County General Plan

Findings of Fact:

- a) The project will generate minimal traffic to the area and regional transportation system. The project will not conflict with an applicable plan, ordinance or policy establishing a measure of effectiveness for the performance of the circulation system. The impact is less than significant.
- b) The project will not conflict with an applicable congestion management program, including, but not limited to level of service standards and travel demand measures, or other standards established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways. The project will have no impact.
- c-d) The project does not propose any design issues that would cause a change in air traffic patterns, alter waterborne, or rail and air traffic. The project will have no impact.
- e-f) The project will not substantially increase hazards due to a design feature or cause an effect upon a need for new or altered maintenance of roads. The project will have no impact.
- g) The project site will cause an effect upon circulation during the project's construction; however, impacts are considered less than significant.
- h) The project will not cause inadequate emergency access or access to nearby uses. The project will have no impact.
- i) The project site will not conflict with adopted policies, plans or programs regarding public transit, bikeways or pedestrian facilities, or otherwise substantially decrease the performance or safety of such facilities. The project will have no impact.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

**44. Bike Trails**

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

Source: Riverside County General Plan

Findings of Fact: The project does not incorporate any bicycle racks based on the use. The proposed resort/hotel use is generally not accessible with a bicycle from the lower valley and the resident caretaker lives on site, eliminating the need for employee trips. Therefore, the project will not have any impact to the bike trails.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

**UTILITY AND SERVICE SYSTEMS** Would the project

**45. Water**

a) Require or result in the construction of new water treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which would cause significant environmental effects?

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

b) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------

Source: Department of Environmental Health Review

Findings of Fact:

a-b) The project is currently served by Idyllwild Water District (IWD). The project will not physically alter existing facilities or result in the construction of new or physically altered facilities. Any construction of new facilities required by the cumulative effects of this project and surrounding projects would have to meet all applicable environmental standards.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

**46. Sewer**

a) Require or result in the construction of new wastewater treatment facilities, including septic systems, or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which would cause significant environmental effects?

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

b) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider that serves or may service the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?

Source: Department of Environmental Health Review

Findings of Fact:

a-b) The project is currently served by Idyllwild Water District (IWD) sewer services area. The project will not physically alter existing facilities or result in the construction of new or physically altered facilities. Any construction of new facilities required by the cumulative effects of this project and surrounding projects would have to meet all applicable environmental standards.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

**47. Solid Waste**

a) Is the project served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?

b) Does the project comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid wastes including the CIWMP (County Integrated Waste Management Plan)?

Source: Riverside County General Plan, Riverside County Waste Management District correspondence

Findings of Fact:

a-b) According to the Riverside County Waste Management Department, the proposed project has the potential to impact landfill capacity from the generation of solid waste during construction. The project will not physically alter existing facilities or result in the construction of new or physically altered facilities. Any construction of new facilities required by the cumulative effects of this project and surrounding projects would have to meet all applicable environmental standards.

Mitigation: No mitigation measures are required.

Monitoring: No monitoring measures are required.

**48. Utilities**

Would the project impact the following facilities requiring or resulting in the construction of new facilities or the expansion of existing facilities; the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?

a) Electricity?

b) Natural gas?

c) Communications systems?

d) Storm water drainage?

	Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
e) Street lighting?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
f) Maintenance of public facilities, including roads?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
g) Other governmental services?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Source: Riverside County General Plan

**Findings of Fact:**

a-g) Implementation of the project will result in an incremental system capacity demand for energy systems, communication systems, storm water drainage systems, street lighting systems, maintenance of public facilities, including roads and potentially other governmental services. Each of the utility systems, including collection of solid waste, is available at the project site and lines will have to be extended onto the site, which will already be disturbed by grading and other construction activities. These impacts are considered less than significant based on the availability of existing public facilities that support local systems. The project will not conflict with adopted energy conservation plans.

Compliance with the requirements of Southern California Edison, Southern California Gas, Verizon, Riverside County Flood Control and Riverside County Transportation Department will ensure that potential impacts to utility systems are reduced to a less than significant level. Note street lighting must conform to the Palomar lighting standards (see discussion under Aesthetics). Based on data available at this time, no offsite utility improvements will be required to support this project.

**Mitigation:** No mitigation measures are required.

**Monitoring:** No monitoring measures are required.

**49. Energy Conservation**

a) Would the project conflict with any adopted energy conservation plans?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------

Source: Riverside County General Plan, Project Application Materials

a-b) The proposed project will not conflict with any adopted energy conservation plans. The project will have no significant impact.

**Mitigation:** No mitigation measures are required.

**Monitoring:** No monitoring measures are required.

**MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE**

50. Does the project have the potential to substantially degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal, or	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------	--	------------------------------	-----------

eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?

Source: Staff review, Project Application Materials

Findings of Fact: Implementation of the proposed project would not substantially degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife populations to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, or reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal, or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory.

51. Does the project have impacts which are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? ("Cumulatively considerable" means that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, other current projects and probable future projects)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

Source: Staff review, Project Application Materials

Findings of Fact: The project does not have impacts which are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable.

52. Does the project have environmental effects that will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
---	--------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------

Source: Staff review, project application

Findings of Fact: The proposed project would not result in environmental effects which would cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly.

**VI. EARLIER ANALYSES**

Earlier analyses may be used where, pursuant to the tiering, program EIR, or other CEQA process, an effect has been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or negative declaration as per California Code of Regulations, Section 15063 (c) (3) (D). In this case, a brief discussion should identify the following:

Earlier Analyses Used, if any: Not applicable

Location Where Earlier Analyses, if used, are available for review: Not applicable

**VII. AUTHORITIES CITED**

Authorities cited: Public Resources Code Sections 21083 and 21083.05; References: California Government Code Section 65088.4; Public Resources Code Sections 21080(c), 21080.1, 21080.3, 21082.1, 21083, 21083.05, 21083.3, 21093, 21094, 21095 and 21151; *Sundstrom v. County of Mendocino* (1988) 202 Cal.App.3d 296; *Leonoff v. Monterey Board of Supervisors* (1990) 222



---

Potentially Significant Impact	Less than Significant with Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact
--------------------------------------	--	---------------------------------------	--------------

---

Cal.App.3d 1337; *Eureka Citizens for Responsible Govt. v. City of Eureka* (2007) 147 Cal.App.4th 357; *Protect the Historic Amador Waterways v. Amador Water Agency* (2004) 116 Cal.App.4th at 1109; *San Franciscans Upholding the Downtown Plan v. City and County of San Francisco* (2002) 102 Cal.App.4th 656.

File: EA.PP42539  
Revised: 5/22/2014 3:36 PM

CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT Case #: CUP03689

Parcel: 563-100-010

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

EVERY DEPARTMENT

10. EVERY. 1 USE - PROJECT DESCRIPTION

RECOMMND

The use hereby permitted is for a proposed resort/hotel to add four additional guestrooms on the first floor of an existing Bed & Breakfast.

10. EVERY. 2 USE - HOLD HARMLESS

RECOMMND

The applicant/permittee or any successor-in-interest shall defend, indemnify, and hold harmless the County of Riverside or its agents, officers, and employees (COUNTY) from the following:

(a) any claim, action, or proceeding against the COUNTY to attack, set aside, void, or annul an approval of the COUNTY, its advisory agencies, appeal boards, or legislative body concerning the CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT; and,

(b) any claim, action or proceeding against the COUNTY to attack, set aside, void or annul any other decision made by the COUNTY concerning the CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT, including, but not limited to, decisions made in response to California Public Records Act requests.

The COUNTY shall promptly notify the applicant/permittee of any such claim, action, or proceeding and shall cooperate fully in the defense. If the COUNTY fails to promptly notify the applicant/permittee of any such claim, action, or proceeding or fails to cooperate fully in the defense, the applicant/permittee shall not, thereafter, be responsible to defend, indemnify or hold harmless the COUNTY.

The obligations imposed by this condition include, but are not limited to, the following: the applicant/permittee shall pay all legal services expenses the COUNTY incurs in connection with any such claim, action or proceeding, whether it incurs such expenses directly, whether it is ordered by a court to pay such expenses, or whether it incurs such expenses by providing legal services through its Office of County Counsel.

ADDITIONAL USE PERMIT Case #: CUP03689

Parcel: 563-100-010

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10. EVERY. 3 USE - DEFINITIONS

RECOMMND

The words identified in the following list that appear in all capitals in the attached conditions of Conditional Use Permit No. 3689 shall be henceforth defined as follows:

APPROVED EXHIBIT A (site plan) dated 3/21/13 and EXHIBIT B&C (floor plans and elevations) dated 9/24/12 = Conditional Use Permit No. 3689.

10. EVERY. 4 USE - 90 DAYS TO PROTEST

RECOMMND

The project developer has 90 days from the date of approval of these conditions to protest, in accordance with the procedures set forth in Government Code Section 66020, the imposition of any and all fees, dedications, reservations and/or other exactions imposed on this project as a result of this approval or conditional approval of this project.

10. EVERY. 5 USE - BOS B-29 POLICY

RECOMMND

In order to secure public health, safety, and welfare, this project shall be subject to the requirements of Board of Supervisors Policy Number B-29.

BS GRADE DEPARTMENT

10.BS GRADE. 1 USE - GENERAL INTRODUCTION

RECOMMND

Conditional Use Permit No. 03689 proposes to change the use classification from a "Dwelling, bed and breakfast" to a "Resort Hotel".

This site was previously approved under Plot Plan No.24925 and Grading permit BGR110150 for PP24925 is currently in issued status. The site has been graded and no additional grading is proposed for CUP03689. The Grading Division does not object to this proposal with the following included conditions of approval.

10.BS GRADE. 3 USE - OBEY ALL GDG REGS

RECOMMND

All grading shall conform to the California Building Code, Ordinance 457, and all other relevant laws, rules, and regulations governing grading in Riverside County and prior to commencing any grading which includes 50 or more cubic yards, the applicant shall obtain a grading permit from the Building and Safety Department.

CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT Case #: CUP03689

Parcel: 563-100-010

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.BS GRADE. 6

USE - NPDES INSPECTIONS

RECOMMND

Construction activities including clearing, stockpiling, grading or excavation of land which disturbs less than 1 acre and requires a grading permit or construction Building permit shall provide for effective control of erosion, sediment and all other pollutants year-round. The permit holder shall be responsible for the installation and monitoring of effective erosion and sediment controls. Such controls will be evaluated by the Department of Building and Safety periodically and prior to permit Final to verify compliance with industry recognized erosion control measures.

Construction activities including but not limited to clearing, stockpiling, grading or excavation of land, which disturbs 1 acre or more or on-sites which are part of a larger common plan of development which disturbs less than 1 acre are required to obtain coverage under the construction general permit with the State Water Resources Control Board. You are required to provide proof of WDID# and keep a current copy of the storm water pollution prevention plan (SWPPP) on the construction site and shall be made available to the Department of Building and Safety upon request.

Year-round, Best Management Practices (BMP's) shall be maintained and be in place for all areas that have been graded or disturbed and for all material, equipment and/or operations that need protection. Stabilized Construction Entrances and project perimeter linear barriers are required year round. Removal BMP's (those BMP's which must be temporarily removed during construction activities) shall be in place at the end of each working day.

Monitoring for erosion and sediment control is required and shall be performed by the QSD or QSP as required by the Construction General Permit. Stormwater samples are required for all discharge locations and projects may not exceed limits set forth by the Construction General Permit Numeric Action Levels and/or Numeric Effluent Levels. A Rain Event Action Plan is required when there is a 50% or greater forecast of rain within the 48 hours, by the National Weather Service or whenever rain is imminent. The QSD or QSP must print and save records of the precipitation forecast for the project location area from (<http://www.srh.noaa.gov/forecast>) and must accompany monitoring reports and sampling test data. A Rain gauge is

ADDITIONAL USE PERMIT Case #: CUP03689

Parcel: 563-100-010

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.BS GRADE. 6 USE - NPDES INSPECTIONS (cont.) RECOMMND

required on site. The Department of Building and Safety will conduct periodic NPDES inspections of the site throughout the recognized storm season to verify compliance with the Construction General Permit and Stormwater ordinances and regulations.

10.BS GRADE. 8 USE - DUST CONTROL RECOMMND

All necessary measures to control dust shall be implemented by the developer during grading. A PM10 plan may be required at the time a grading permit is issued.

BS PLNCK DEPARTMENT

10.BS PLNCK. 1 USE - BUILD & SAFETY PLNCK RECOMMND

This project was given an incorrect building permit number designation at the land use intake desk. The project is to construct an additional three story addition to an existing residence for the purpose to use as a bed & breakfast facility.

Per an agreement with the owner/applicant, the bottom floor will not contain any bedrooms at this time. The bottom floor addition contains a conference room, utility room, workout room and three storage rooms. The owner/applicant shall obtain an approved final inspection approval for the current BAR permit as a residential room addition.

Prior to the use as a bed & breakfast facility and/or the conversional use of any portion of the building, a new BNR building permit type shall be obtained from the building department.

E HEALTH DEPARTMENT

10.E HEALTH. 1 POTABLE WATER SVC-FERN VALLEY RECOMMND

Conditional Use Permit#3689 (CUP 3689) is proposing to receive potable service from Fern Valley Water District. It is the responsibility of the developer to ensure that all requirements to obtain potable water service are met with Fern Valley Water District as well as all other applicable agencies.

CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT Case #: CUP03689

Parcel: 563-100-010

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

FLOOD RI DEPARTMENT

10.FLOOD RI. 1 USE FLOOD HAZARD REPORT

RECOMMND

CUP 3689 is a proposal to change use classification from a bed and breakfast to Resort Hotel on 1.31 acres lot in Idyllwild Area. The site is located northerly of Pine Crest Drive, southerly of Forest Knoll Drive, westerly of Jameson Drive, and North Circle Drive.

The site is subject to runoff from a drainage area of 10 acres from the west. It appears that the proposed building is on a higher ground and is considered free from ordinary storm flood hazard. However, a storm of unusual magnitude could cause some damage. New construction should comply with all applicable ordinances.

Previously PP 24925 was reviewed and conditioned by the District on this site. A final Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) was approved by the District for the plot plan.

Since no new impervious area is proposed no water quality mitigation is required with this proposal. The District has no objection to this proposal.

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

10.PLANNING. 2 USE - LOW PALEO

RECOMMND

According to the County's General Plan, this site has been mapped as having a "Low Potential" for paleontological resources. This category encompasses lands for which previous field surveys and documentation demonstrates a low potential for containing significant paleontological resources subject to adverse impacts. As such, this project is not anticipated to require any direct mitigation for paleontological resources. However, should fossil remains be encountered during site development:

1.All site earthmoving shall be ceased in the area of where the fossil remains are encountered. Earthmoving activities may be diverted to other areas of the site.

2.The owner of the property shall be immediately notified of the fossil discovery who will in turn immediately notify the County Geologist of the discovery.

3.The applicant shall retain a qualified paleontologist approved by the County of Riverside.

ADDITIONAL USE PERMIT Case #: CUP03689

Parcel: 563-100-010

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10. PLANNING. 2 USE - LOW PALEO (cont.)

RECOMMND

4. The paleontologist shall determine the significance of the encountered fossil remains.

5. Paleontological monitoring of earthmoving activities will continue thereafter on an as-needed basis by the paleontologist during all earthmoving activities that may expose sensitive strata. Earthmoving activities in areas of the project area where previously undisturbed strata will be buried but not otherwise disturbed will not be monitored. The supervising paleontologist will have the authority to reduce monitoring once he/she determines the probability of encountering any additional fossils has dropped below an acceptable level.

6. If fossil remains are encountered by earthmoving activities when the paleontologist is not onsite, these activities will be diverted around the fossil site and the paleontologist called to the site immediately to recover the remains.

7. Any recovered fossil remains will be prepared to the point of identification and identified to the lowest taxonomic level possible by knowledgeable paleontologists. The remains then will be curated (assigned and labeled with museum\* repository fossil specimen numbers and corresponding fossil site numbers, as appropriate; places in specimen trays and, if necessary, vials with completed specimen data cards) and catalogued, an associated specimen data and corresponding geologic and geographic site data will be archived (specimen and site numbers and corresponding data entered into appropriate museum repository catalogs and computerized data bases) at the museum repository by a laboratory technician. The remains will then be accessioned into the museum repository fossil collection, where they will be permanently stored, maintained, and, along with associated specimen and site data, made available for future study by qualified scientific investigators. \* Per the County of Riverside "SABER Policy", paleontological fossils found in the County of Riverside should, by preference, be directed to the Western Science Center in the City of Hemet.

8. The property owner and/or applicant on whose land the paleontological fossils are discovered shall provide appropriate funding for monitoring, reporting, delivery and curating the fossils at the institution where the fossils

CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT Case #: CUP03689

Parcel: 563-100-010

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.PLANNING. 2 USE - LOW PALEO (cont.) (cont.) RECOMMND

will be placed, and will provide confirmation to the County that such funding has been paid to the institution.

10.PLANNING. 3 USE - INADVERTANT ARCHAEO FIND RECOMMND

The developer/permit holder or any successor in interest shall comply with the following for the life of this permit:

If during ground disturbance activities, cultural resources\* are discovered that were not assessed by the archaeological report(s) and/or environmental assessment conducted prior to project approval, the following procedures shall be followed:

1.All ground disturbance activities within 100 feet of the discovered cultural resource shall be halted until a meeting is convened between the developer, the project archaeologist\*\*, the Native American tribal representative (or other appropriate ethnic/cultural group representative), and the County Archaeologist to discuss the significance of the find.

2.At the meeting, the significance of the discoveries shall be discussed and after consultation with the Native American tribal (or other appropriate ethnic/cultural group representative) and the archaeologist, a decision is made, with the concurrence of the County Archaeologist, as to the appropriate mitigation (documentation, recovery, avoidance, etc) for the cultural resource.

3.Further ground disturbance shall not resume within the area of the discovery until an agreement has been reached by all parties as to the appropriate mitigation measures.

\* A cultural resources site is defined, for this condition, as being three or more artifacts in close association with each other, but may include fewer artifacts if the area of the find is determined to be of significance due to its sacred or cultural importance.

\*\* If not already employed by the project developer, a County approved archaeologist shall be employed by the project developer to assess the value/importance of the cultural resource.



ADDITIONAL USE PERMIT Case #: CUP03689

Parcel: 563-100-010

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.PLANNING. 4 USE - IF HUMAN REMAINS FOUND

RECOMMND

IF HUMAN REMAINS ARE FOUND ON THIS SITE:

The developer/permit holder or any successor in interest shall comply with the following codes for the life of this project:

Pursuant to State Health and Safety Code Section 7050.5, if human remains are encountered, no further disturbance shall occur until the County Coroner has made the necessary findings as to origin. Further, pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 5097.98 (b), remains shall be left in place and free from disturbance until a final decision as to the treatment and their disposition has been made. If the Riverside County Coroner determines the remains to be Native American, the Native American Heritage Commission shall be contacted within the period specified by law. Subsequently, the Native American heritage Commission shall identify the "Most Likely Descendant". The Most Likely Descendant shall then make recommendations and engage in consultation with the property owner and the County Archaeologist concerning the treatment of the remains as provided in Public Resources Code Section 5097.98. Human remains from other ethnic/cultural groups with recognized historical associations to the project area shall also be subject to consultation between appropriate representatives from that group and the County Archaeologist.

10.PLANNING. 5 USE - COMPLY WITH ORD./CODES

RECOMMND

The development of these premises shall comply with the standards of Ordinance No. 348 and all other applicable Riverside County ordinances and State and Federal codes.

The development of the premises shall conform substantially with that as shown on APPROVED EXHIBIT A, unless otherwise amended by these conditions of approval.

10.PLANNING. 6 USE - FEES FOR REVIEW

RECOMMND

Any subsequent submittals required by these conditions of approval, including but not limited to grading plan, building plan or mitigation monitoring review, shall be reviewed on an hourly basis (research fee), or other such review fee as may be in effect at the time of submittal, as required by Ordinance No. 671. Each submittal shall be accompanied with a letter clearly indicating which

CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT Case #: CUP03689

Parcel: 563-100-010

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.PLANNING. 6 USE - FEES FOR REVIEW (cont.) RECOMMND

condition or conditions the submittal is intended to comply with.

10.PLANNING. 7 USE - LIGHTING HOODED/DIRECTED RECOMMND

Any outside lighting shall be hooded and directed so as not to shine directly upon adjoining property or public rights-of-way.

10.PLANNING. 11 USE - BASIS FOR PARKING RECOMMND

Parking for this project was determined primarily on the basis of County Ordinance No. 348, Section 18.12. a.(2).b), 1 space/room, AND 2 spaces/resident manager.

10.PLANNING. 21 USE - NO RESIDENT OCCUPANCY RECOMMND

No permanent occupancy shall be permitted within the property approved under this conditional use permit as a principal place of residence except the caretaker's residence as shown on the APPROVED EXHIBIT A. No person, shall use the premises as a permanent mailing address nor be entitled to vote using an address within the premises as a place of residence.

10.PLANNING. 22 USE - MAINTAIN LICENSING RECOMMND

At all times during the conduct of the permitted use the permittee shall maintain and keep in effect valid licensing or equivalent agency as provided by law. Should such licensing be denied, expire or lapse at any time in the future, this permit shall become null and void.

10.PLANNING. 23 USE - EXTERIOR NOISE LEVELS RECOMMND

Exterior noise levels produced by any use allowed under this permit, including, but not limited to, any outdoor public address system, shall not exceed 45 db(A), 10-minute LEQ, between the hours of 10:00 p.m. to 7:00 a.m., and 65 db(A), 10-minute LEQ, at all other times as measured at any residential, hospital, school, library, nursing home or other similar noise sensitive land use. In the event noise exceeds this standard, the permittee or the permittee's successor-in-interest shall take the necessary steps to remedy the situation, which may include discontinued operation of the facilities. The permit

ADDITIONAL USE PERMIT Case #: CUP03689

Parcel: 563-100-010

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.PLANNING. 23 USE - EXTERIOR NOISE LEVELS (cont.) RECOMMND

holder shall comply with the applicable standards of Ordinance No. 847.

10.PLANNING. 24 USE - NOISE MONITORING REPORTS RECOMMND

The permit holder may be required to submit periodic noise monitoring reports as determined by the Department of Building and Safety as part of a code enforcement action. Upon written notice from the Department of Building and Safety requiring such a report, the permittee or the permittee's successor-in-interest shall prepare and submit an approved report within thirty (30) calendar days to the Department of Building and Safety, unless more time is allowed through written agreement by the Department of Building and Safety. The noise monitoring report shall be approved by the Office of Industrial Hygiene of the Health Service Agency (the permittee or the permittee's successor-in-interest shall be required to place on deposit sufficient funds to cover the costs of this approval prior to commencing the required report).

10.PLANNING. 26 USE - CAUSES FOR REVOCATION RECOMMND

In the event the use hereby permitted under this permit,  
a) is found to be in violation of the terms and conditions of this permit,  
b) is found to have been obtained by fraud or perjured testimony, or  
c) is found to be detrimental to the public health, safety or general welfare, or is a public nuisance, this permit shall be subject to the revocation procedures.

10.PLANNING. 27 USE - CEASED OPERATIONS RECOMMND

In the event the use hereby permitted ceases operation for a period of one (1) year or more, this approval shall become null and void.

10.PLANNING. 31 USE - MT PALOMAR LIGHTING AREA RECOMMND

Within the Mt. Palomar Special Lighting Area, as defined in Ordinance No. 655, low pressure sodium vapor lighting or overhead high pressure sodium vapor lighting with shields or cutoff luminaires, shall be utilized.

CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT Case #: CUP03689

Parcel: 563-100-010

10. GENERAL CONDITIONS

10.PLANNING. 32 USE - ORD 810 O S FEE (1)

RECOMMND

In accordance with Riverside County Ordinance No. 810, to assist in providing revenue to acquire and preserve open space and habitat, an Open Space Mitigation Fee shall be paid for each development project or portion of an expanded development project to be constructed in Western Riverside County. The amount of the fee for commercial or industrial development shall be calculated on the basis of "Project Area," which shall mean the net area, measured in acres, from the adjacent road right-of-way to the limits of the project development.

Any area identified as "NO USE PROPOSED" on the APPROVED EXHIBIT shall not be included in the Project Area.

10.PLANNING. 36 USE - BUSINESS LICENSING

RECOMMND

Every person conducting a business within the unincorporated area of Riverside County, as defined in Riverside County Ordinance No. 857, shall obtain a business license. For more information regarding business registration, contact the Business Registration and License Program Office of the Building and Safety Department at [www.rctlma.org.buslic](http://www.rctlma.org.buslic).

20. PRIOR TO A CERTAIN DATE

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

20.PLANNING. 4 USE - EXPIRATION DATE-CUP/PUP

RECOMMND

This approval shall be used within two (2) years of the approval date; otherwise, it shall become null and void and of no effect whatsoever. By use is meant the beginning of substantial construction contemplated by this approval within two (2) year period which is thereafter diligently pursued to completion or to the actual occupancy of existing buildings or land under the terms of the authorized use. Prior to the expiration of the two year period, the permittee may request a one (1) year extension of time in which to begin substantial construction or use of this permit. Should the one year extension be obtained and no substantial construction or use of this permit be initiated within three (3) years of the approval date this permit, shall become null and void.

ADDITIONAL USE PERMIT Case #: CUP03689

Parcel: 563-100-010

20. PRIOR TO A CERTAIN DATE

20.PLANNING. 6 USE - EXISTING STRUCTURE CHECK RECOMMND

WITHIN SIXTY (60) DAYS OF THE EFFECTIVE DATE OF THIS PERMIT, the permittee or the permittee's successors-in-interest shall apply to the Building and Safety Department for all necessary permits, including the submission of all required documents and fees for any plan check review as determined by the Director of the Department of Building and Safety, to ensure that all existing buildings, structures and uses are in compliance with Ordinance No. 348 and Ordinance No. 457 and the conditions of approval of this permit.

60. PRIOR TO GRADING PRMT ISSUANCE

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

60.PLANNING. 10 USE - FEE STATUS RECOMMND

Prior to the issuance of grading permits for Conditional Use Permit No. 3689, the Planning Department shall determine the status of the deposit based fees. If the fees are in a negative status, the permit holder shall pay the outstanding balance.

80. PRIOR TO BLDG PRMT ISSUANCE

E HEALTH DEPARTMENT

80.E HEALTH. 2 PUBLIC/SEMIPUBLIC FOOD FACILTY RECOMMND

For any public or semi-public food facility, a total of 3 complete set of plans for each food establishment are needed including a fixture schedule, a finish schedule, and a plumbing schedule in order to ensure compliance with current State and Local regulations.

80.E HEALTH. 3 USE - E.HEALTH CLEARANCE REQ. RECOMMND

ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH CLEARANCE IS REQUIRED PRIOR TO THE ISSUANCE OF THIS BUILDING PERMIT.

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

80.PLANNING. 4 USE - CONFORM TO FLOOR PLANS RECOMMND

Floor plans shall be in substantial conformance with that shown on APPROVED EXHIBITS B & C.

CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT Case #: CUP03689

Parcel: 563-100-010

80. PRIOR TO BLDG PRMT ISSUANCE

80.PLANNING. 5 USE - ROOF EQUIPMENT SHIELDING RECOMMND

Roof mounted equipment shall be shielded from ground view. Screening material shall be subject to Planning Department approval.

80.PLANNING. 18 USE - LIGHTING PLANS RECOMMND

All parking lot lights and other outdoor lighting shall be shown on electrical plans submitted to the Department of Building and Safety for plan check approval and shall comply with the requirements of Riverside County Ordinance No. 655 and the Riverside County Comprehensive General Plan.

80.PLANNING. 19 USE - FEE STATUS RECOMMND

Prior to issuance of building permits for Conditional Use Permit No. 3689, the Planning Department shall determine the status of the deposit based fees for project. If the case fees are in a negative state, the permit holder shall pay the outstanding balance.

80.PLANNING. 20 USE - REC & PARK DIST MITIG. RECOMMND

The permit holder shall enter into an agreement with the County Service Area No. (CSA) 152 provide for the payment of park and recreation mitigation fees and/or dedication of land as identified in the District's Master Plan, and shall submit sufficient written evidence to the Riverside County Department of Building and Safety that the park and recreation mitigation fees and/or dedication for land have been provided to the District.

80.PLANNING. 21 USE - MAXIMUM GUESTROOMS RECOMMND

A maximum of 9 guestrooms are allowed under this permit.

80.PLANNING. 22 USE - REC & PARK DIST MITIG. RECOMMND

The permit holder shall enter into an agreement with the County Service Area No. (CSA) 152 to provide for the payment of park and recreation mitigation fees and/or dedication of land as identified in the District's Master Plan, and shall submit sufficient written evidence to the Riverside County Department of Building and Safety that the park and recreation mitigation fees and/or dedication for land have been provided to the District.

ADDITIONAL USE PERMIT Case #: CUP03689

Parcel: 563-100-010

90. PRIOR TO BLDG FINAL INSPECTION

BS GRADE DEPARTMENT

90.BS GRADE. 1 USE - WQMP BMP INSPECTION

RECOMMND

Prior to final building inspection, the applicant shall obtain inspection of all treatment control BMPs and/or clearance from the Building and Safety Department. All structural BMPs described in the project - specific WQMP and indicated on the approved grading plan shall be constructed and installed in conformance with the approved plans and specifications. The Building and Safety Department must inspect and approve the completed WQMP treatment control BMPs for your project before a building final can be obtained.

90.BS GRADE. 2 USE - WQMP BMP CERT REQ'D

RECOMMND

Prior to final building inspection, the applicant/owner shall submit a "Wet Signed" copy of the Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) Certification from a Registered Civil Engineer certifying that the project - specific WQMP treatment control BMPs have been installed in accordance with the approved WQMP.

90.BS GRADE. 3 USE - BMP GPS COORDINATES

RECOMMND

Prior to final building inspection, the applicant/owner shall provide the Department of Building Safety with GPS coordinates for the location of the project - specific WQMP treatment control BMPs.

90.BS GRADE. 4 USE - BMP REGISTRATION

RECOMMND

Prior to final building inspection, the applicant/owner shall register the project - specific WQMP treatment control BMPs with the Department of Building Safety Business Registration Division. Any person or entity that owns or operates a commercial and/or industrial facility shall register such facility for annual inspections.

90.BS GRADE. 5 USE - REQ'D GRADING INSP'S

RECOMMND

The developer / applicant shall be responsible for obtaining the following inspections required by Ordinance 457.

1. Precise grade inspection of entire permit area.

CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT Case #: CUP03689

Parcel: 563-100-010

90. PRIOR TO BLDG FINAL INSPECTION

90.BS GRADE. 5                   USE - REQ'D GRADING INSP'S (cont.)                   RECOMMND

- a.Precise Grade Inspection
- c.Inspection of completed onsite storm drain facilities
- d.Inspection of the WQMP treatment control BMPs

90.BS GRADE. 6                   USE - PRECISE GRDG APPROVAL                   RECOMMND

Prior to final building inspection, the applicant shall obtain precise grade approval and/or clearance from the Building and Safety Department. The Building and Safety Department must approve the precise grading of your project before a building final can be obtained. Precise Grade approval can be accomplished by complying with the following:

- 1.Requesting and obtaining approval of all required grading inspections.
- 2.Submitting a "Wet Signed" copy of the Soils Compaction Report from the Soils Engineer (registered geologist or certified geologist, civil engineer or geotechnical engineer as appropriate) for the sub-grade and base of all paved areas.
- 3.Submitting a "Wet Signed" copy of the Sub-grade (rough) Certification from a Registered Civil Engineer certifying that the sub-grade was completed in conformance with the approved grading plan.
- 4.Submitting a "Wet Signed" copy of the Precise (Final) Grade Certification for the entire site from a Registered Civil Engineer certifying that the precise grading was completed in conformance with the approved grading plan.
- 5.Submitting a "Wet Signed" copy of the Certification certifying the installation of any onsite storm drain systems not inspected by Riverside County Flood Control District or the Riverside County Transportation Department.
- 6.Submitting a "Wet Signed" copy of the Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) Certification from a Registered Civil Engineer certifying that the Water Quality Management Plan treatment control BMPs have been installed in accordance with the approved WQMP.

Prior to release for building final, the applicant shall have met all precise grade requirements to obtain Building



ADDITIONAL USE PERMIT Case #: CUP03689

Parcel: 563-100-010

90. PRIOR TO BLDG FINAL INSPECTION

90.BS GRADE. 6 USE - PRECISE GRDG APPROVAL (cont.)

RECOMMND

and Safety Department clearance.

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

90.PLANNING. 3 USE - PARKING PAVING MATERIAL

RECOMMND

A minimum of twelve (12) parking spaces shall be provided as shown on the APPROVED EXHIBIT A, unless otherwise approved by the Planning Department. The parking area shall be surfaced with asphaltic concrete or concrete to current standards as approved by the Department of Building and Safety.

90.PLANNING. 4 USE - ACCESSIBLE PARKING

RECOMMND

A minimum of one (1) accessible parking space for persons with disabilities shall be provided as shown on APPROVED EXHIBIT A. Each parking space reserved for persons with disabilities shall be identified by a permanently affixed reflectorized sign constructed of porcelain on steel, beaded text or equal, displaying the International Symbol of Accessibility. The sign shall not be smaller than 70 square inches in area and shall be centered at the interior end of the parking space at a minimum height of 80 inches from the bottom of the sign to the parking space finished grade, or centered at a minimum height of 36 inches from the parking space finished grade, ground, or sidewalk. A sign shall also be posted in a conspicuous place, at each entrance to the off-street parking facility, not less than 17 inches by 22 inches, clearly and conspicuously stating the following:

"Unauthorized vehicles not displaying distinguishing placards or license plates issued for physically handicapped persons may be towed away at owner's expense. Towed vehicles may be reclaimed at \_\_\_ or by telephoning \_\_\_."

In addition to the above requirements, the surface of each parking space shall have a surface identification sign duplicating the symbol of accessibility in blue paint of at least 3 square feet in size.

CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT Case #: CUP03689

Parcel: 563-100-010

90. PRIOR TO BLDG FINAL INSPECTION

90.PLANNING. 8 USE - ROOF EQUIPMENT SHIELDING RECOMMND

Roof-mounted equipment shall be shielded from ground view. Screening material shall be subject to Planning Department approval.

90.PLANNING. 12 USE - CURBS ALONG PLANTERS RECOMMND

A six inch high curb with a twelve (12) inch wide walkway shall be constructed along planters on end stalls adjacent to automobile parking areas. Public parking areas shall be designed with permanent curb, bumper, or wheel stop or similar device so that a parked vehicle does not overhang required sidewalks, planters, or landscaped areas.

90.PLANNING. 15 USE - TRASH ENCLOSURES RECOMMND

One (1) trash enclosure which is adequate to enclose a minimum of two (2) bins shall be located as shown on the APPROVED EXHIBIT A, and shall be constructed prior to the issuance of occupancy permits. The enclosure(s) shall be a minimum of six (6) feet in height and shall be made with masonry block and a solid gate which screens the bins from external view. Additional enclosed area for collection of recyclable materials shall be located within, near or adjacent to each trash and rubbish disposal area. The recycling collection area shall be a minimum of fifty percent (50%) of the area provided for the trash/rubbish enclosure(s) or as approved by the Riverside County Waste Management Department. All recycling bins shall be labeled with the universal recycling symbol and with signage indicating to the users the type of material to be deposited in each bin.

90.PLANNING. 16 USE - EXISTING STRUCTURES RECOMMND

All existing buildings, structures and uses on the entire property shall conform to all the applicable requirements of Ordinance No. 348 and Ordinance No. 457, and the conditions of this permit.

90.PLANNING. 24 USE - CONDITION COMPLIANCE RECOMMND

The Department of Building and Safety shall verify that the Development Standards of this approval and all other preceding conditions have been complied with prior to any use allowed by this permit.

ADDITIONAL USE PERMIT Case #: CUP03689

Parcel: 563-100-010

90. PRIOR TO BLDG FINAL INSPECTION

90.PLANNING. 27 USE - ORD 810 O S FEE (2)

RECOMMND

Prior to the issuance of a certificate of occupancy, or upon building permit final inspection prior to use or occupancy for cases without final inspection or certificate of occupancy (such as an SMP)], whichever comes first, the applicant shall comply with the provisions of Riverside County Ordinance No. 810, which requires the payment of the appropriate fee set forth in the Ordinance. The amount of the fee will be based on the "Project Area" as defined in the Ordinance and the aforementioned Condition of Approval. The Project Area for Conditional Use Permit No. 3689 is calculated to be 1.31 net acres. In the event Riverside County Ordinance No. 810 is rescinded, this condition will no longer be applicable. However, should Riverside County Ordinance No. 810 be rescinded and superseded by a subsequent mitigation fee ordinance, payment of the appropriate fee set forth in that ordinance shall be required.

90.PLANNING. 28 USE - ORD NO. 659 (DIF)

RECOMMND

Prior to the issuance of either a certificate of occupancy or prior to building permit final inspection, the applicant shall comply with the provisions of Riverside County Ordinance No. 659, which requires the payment of the appropriate fee set forth in the Ordinance. Riverside County Ordinance No. 659 has been established to set forth policies, regulations and fees related to the funding and installation of facilities and the acquisition of open space and habitat necessary to address the direct and cumulative environmental effects generated by new development project described and defined in this Ordinance, and it establishes the authorized uses of the fees collected.

The amount of the fee for commercial or industrial development shall be calculated on the basis of the "Project Area," as defined in the Ordinance, which shall mean the net area, measured in acres, from the adjacent road right-of-way to the limits of the project development. The Project Area for Conditional Use Permit No. 3689 has been calculated to be 1.31 net acres.

In the event Riverside County Ordinance No. 659 is rescinded, this condition will no longer be applicable. However, should Riverside County Ordinance No. 659 be rescinded and superseded by a subsequent mitigation fee

05/29/14  
07:03

Riverside County LMS  
CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

Page: 19

CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT Case #: CUP03689

Parcel: 563-100-010

90. PRIOR TO BLDG FINAL INSPECTION

90.PLANNING. 28 USE - ORD NO. 659 (DIF) (cont.)

RECOMMND

ordinance, payment of the appropriate fee set forth in that ordinance shall be required.

LAND DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE/  
DEVELOPMENT REVIEW TEAM  
INITIAL CASE TRANSMITTAL  
RIVERSIDE COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT - RIVERSIDE  
P.O. Box 1409  
Riverside, CA 92502-1409

DATE: October 30, 2012

TO:

Riv. Co. Transportation Dept.  
Riv. Co. Environmental Health Dept.  
Riv. Co. Public Health – Industrial Hygiene  
Riv. Co. Flood Control District  
Riv. Co. Fire Department  
Riv. Co. Fire Department- Idyllwild  
Riv. Co. Building & Safety – Grading  
Riv. Co. Building & Safety – Plan Check

Regional Parks & Open Space District.  
Riv. Co. Environmental Programs Division  
P.D. Geology Section  
P.D. Landscaping Section  
P.D. Archaeology Section  
Riverside Transit Agency  
Riv. Co. Sheriff's Dept.  
Riv. Co. Waste Management Dept.

3rd District Supervisor  
3rd District Planning Commissioner  
Hemet Unified School District  
Rancho California Water Dist.  
Southern California Edison  
Southern California Gas Co.

**CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT NO. 3689** – EA42539 – Applicant: Brad and Jacqueline Rechtfertig - Engineer/Rep: Paul Stevens – Third/Third Supervisorial District – Idyllwild Zoning District – Remap Area Plan – Community Development: Commercial Retail (CR), Medium Density Residential (MDR) - Location: Northerly of Pinecrest Road, southerly of Forest Knoll Drive, westerly of Jameson Drive, and North Circle Drive – 1.31 Gross Acres – Zoning: Scenic Highway Commercial (C-P-S), Village Tourist Residential (R-3A) - **REQUEST:** The conditional use permit proposes to change use classification from a "Dwelling, bed and breakfast" with 5 guestrooms to a "Resort Hotel" by adding 4 additional guestrooms APN: 563-100-010 - Related Cases: PP24925

Please review the attached map(s) and/or exhibit(s) for the above-described project. This case is scheduled for a **DRT meeting on November 8, 2012**. All LDC/DRT Members please have draft conditions in the Land Management System on or before the above date. If it is determined that the attached map(s) and/or exhibit(s) are not acceptable, please have corrections in the system and DENY the routing on or before the above date. Once the route is complete, and the approval screen is approved with or without corrections, the case can be scheduled for a public hearing.

All other transmitted entities, please have your comments, questions and recommendations to the Planning Department on or before the above date. Your comments/recommendations/conditions are requested so that they may be incorporated in the staff report for this particular case.

Should you have any questions regarding this project, please do not hesitate to contact **H.P. Kang**, Project Planner, at (951) 955-1888 or email at **hpkang@rctlma.org / MAILSTOP# 1070**.

COMMENTS:

DATE: \_\_\_\_\_ SIGNATURE: \_\_\_\_\_

PLEASE PRINT NAME AND TITLE: \_\_\_\_\_

TELEPHONE: \_\_\_\_\_

*If you do not include this transmittal in your response, please include a reference to the case number and project planner's name. Thank you.*

LAND DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE/  
DEVELOPMENT REVIEW TEAM  
2ND CASE TRANSMITTAL  
RIVERSIDE COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT - RIVERSIDE  
P.O. Box 1409  
Riverside, CA 92502-1409

DATE: March 25, 2013

TO:

Riv. Co. Transportation Dept.  
Riv. Co. Environmental Health Dept.  
Riv. Co. Public Health – Industrial Hygiene  
Riv. Co. Flood Control District  
Riv. Co. Fire Department  
Riv. Co. Fire Department- Idyllwild  
Riv. Co. Building & Safety – Grading  
Riv. Co. Building & Safety – Plan Check

Regional Parks & Open Space District.  
Riv. Co. Environmental Programs Division  
P.D. Geology Section  
P.D. Landscaping Section  
P.D. Archaeology Section  
Riverside Transit Agency  
Riv. Co. Sheriff's Dept.  
Riv. Co. Waste Management Dept.

3rd District Supervisor  
3rd District Planning Commissioner  
Hemet Unified School District  
Rancho California Water Dist.  
Southern California Edison  
Southern California Gas Co.

**CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT NO. 3689** – EA42539 – Applicant: Brad and Jacqueline Rechtfertig - Engineer/Rep: Paul Stevens – Third/Third Supervisorial District – Idyllwild Zoning District – Remap Area Plan – Community Development: Commercial Retail (CR), Medium Density Residential (MDR) - Location: Northerly of Pinecrest Road, southerly of Forest Knoll Drive, westerly of Jameson Drive, and North Circle Drive – 1.31 Gross Acres – Zoning: Scenic Highway Commercial (C-P-S), Village Tourist Residential (R-3A) - **REQUEST:** The conditional use permit proposes to change use classification from a "Dwelling, bed and breakfast" with 5 guestrooms to a "Resort Hotel" by adding 4 additional guestrooms APN: 563-100-010 - Related Cases: PP24925

Please review the attached map(s) and/or exhibit(s) for the above-described project. This case is NOT scheduled for a **DRT meeting**. However, please review and send any comments to me **ASAP** and have draft conditions in the Land Management System. This is the applicant's way of resolving the WQMP issues we had on earlier plan. If it is determined that the attached map(s) and/or exhibit(s) are not acceptable, please have corrections in the system and DENY the routing on or before the above date. Once the route is complete, and the approval screen is approved with or without corrections, the case can be scheduled for a public hearing.

All other transmitted entities, please have your comments, questions and recommendations to the Planning Department on or before the above date. Your comments/recommendations/conditions are requested so that they may be incorporated in the staff report for this particular case.

Should you have any questions regarding this project, please do not hesitate to contact **H.P. Kang**, Project Planner, at **(951) 955-1888** or email at **hpkang@rctlma.org / MAILSTOP# 1070**.

COMMENTS:


DATE: \_\_\_\_\_ SIGNATURE: \_\_\_\_\_

PLEASE PRINT NAME AND TITLE: \_\_\_\_\_

TELEPHONE: \_\_\_\_\_

*If you do not include this transmittal in your response, please include a reference to the case number and project planner's name. Thank you.*

RIVERSIDE COUNTY  
PLANNING DEPARTMENT



Carolyn Syms Luna  
Director

APPLICATION FOR LAND USE AND DEVELOPMENT

CHECK ONE AS APPROPRIATE:

- PLOT PLAN
- CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT
- TEMPORARY USE PERMIT
- REVISED PERMIT
- PUBLIC USE PERMIT
- VARIANCE

INCOMPLETE APPLICATIONS WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED.

CASE NUMBER: CUP03689 DATE SUBMITTED: \_\_\_\_\_

**APPLICATION INFORMATION**

Applicant's Name: Brad + Jacqueline Rechtfertig E-Mail: sunshinehomes@yahoo.com

Mailing Address: PO Box 4216  
Idyllwild City CA State 92549 ZIP

Daytime Phone No: (619) 708-1771 Fax No: ( ) \_\_\_\_\_

Engineer/Representative's Name: Paul Stevens E-Mail: \_\_\_\_\_

Mailing Address: 39745 Castile Ave  
Murrieta City CA State 92562 ZIP

Daytime Phone No: (951) 677-4646 Fax No: ( ) \_\_\_\_\_

Property Owner's Name: Same as applicant E-Mail: \_\_\_\_\_

Mailing Address: \_\_\_\_\_  
Street  
\_\_\_\_\_  
City State ZIP

Daytime Phone No: ( ) \_\_\_\_\_ Fax No: ( ) \_\_\_\_\_

If the property is owned by more than one person, attach a separate page that reference the application case number and lists the names, mailing addresses, and phone numbers of all persons having an interest in the real property or properties involved in this application.

Riverside Office · 4080 Lemon Street, 12th Floor  
P.O. Box 1409, Riverside, California 92502-1409  
(951) 955-3200 · Fax (951) 955-1811

Desert Office · 38686 El Cerrito Road  
Palm Desert, California 92211  
(760) 863-8277 · Fax (760) 863-7555

"Planning Our Future... Preserving Our Past"

**APPLICATION FOR LAND USE AND DEVELOPMENT**

The Planning Department will primarily direct communications regarding this application to the person identified above as the Applicant. The Applicant may be the property owner, representative, or other assigned agent.

**AUTHORIZATION FOR CONCURRENT FEE TRANSFER**

The signature below authorizes the Planning Department and TLMA to expedite the refund and billing process by transferring monies among concurrent applications to cover processing costs as necessary. Fees collected in excess of the actual cost of providing specific services will be refunded. If additional funds are needed to complete the processing of your application, you will be billed, and processing of the application will cease until the outstanding balance is paid and sufficient funds are available to continue the processing of the application. The applicant understands the deposit fee process as described above, and that there will be NO refund of fees which have been expended as part of the application review or other related activities or services, even if the application is withdrawn or the application is ultimately denied.

All signatures must be originals ("wet-signed"). Photocopies of signatures are not acceptable.

Brad Rechtfertig  
PRINTED NAME OF APPLICANT      [Signature]  
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT

**AUTHORITY FOR THIS APPLICATION IS HEREBY GIVEN:**

I certify that I am/we are the record owner(s) or authorized agent and that the information filed is true and correct to the best of my knowledge. An authorized agent must submit a letter from the owner(s) indicating authority to sign the application on the owner's behalf.

All signatures must be originals ("wet-signed"). Photocopies of signatures are not acceptable.

Brad Rechtfertig  
PRINTED NAME OF PROPERTY OWNER(S)      [Signature]  
SIGNATURE OF PROPERTY OWNER(S)  
Jacqueline Rechtfertig  
PRINTED NAME OF PROPERTY OWNER(S)      [Signature]  
SIGNATURE OF PROPERTY OWNER(S)

If the property is owned by more than one person, attach a separate sheet that references the application case number and lists the printed names and signatures of all persons having an interest in the property.

See attached sheet(s) for other property owners signatures.

**PROPERTY INFORMATION:**

Assessor's Parcel Number(s): 563-100-010  
Section: 7 Township: 55 Range: 3E  
Approximate Gross Acreage: 1.31  
General location (nearby or cross streets): North of Pinecrest Road, South of



**APPLICATION FOR LAND USE AND DEVELOPMENT**

Forest Knoll Drive, East of Jameson Drive, West of North Creek Drive

Thomas Brothers map, edition year, page number, and coordinates: 2010 / 814 d5

Proposal (describe project, indicate the number of proposed lots/parcels, units, and the schedule of the subdivision, Vesting Map, PRD):

We are currently building an addition to our home that will be 5 bedroom dwelling, bed + breakfast. We want to add 4 addition rooms for a total of 9 guestrooms.

Related cases filed in conjunction with this request:

PP 24925  
BAR 110071  
BGR 110150

Is there a previous development application filed on the same site: Yes  No

If yes, provide Case No(s). \_\_\_\_\_ (Parcel Map, Zone Change, etc.)

E.A. No. (if known) \_\_\_\_\_ E.I.R. No. (if applicable): \_\_\_\_\_

Have any special studies or reports, such as a traffic study, biological report, archaeological report, geological or geotechnical reports, been prepared for the subject property? Yes  No

If yes, indicate the type of report(s) and provide a copy: \_\_\_\_\_

Is water service available at the project site: Yes  No

If "No," how far must the water line(s) be extended to provide service? (No. of feet/miles) \_\_\_\_\_

Will the proposal eventually require landscaping either on-site or as part of a road improvement or other common area improvements? Yes  No

Is sewer service available at the site? Yes  No

If "No," how far must the sewer line(s) be extended to provide service? (No. of feet/miles) \_\_\_\_\_

Will the proposal result in cut or fill slopes steeper than 2:1 or higher than 10 feet? Yes  No

How much grading is proposed for the project site? none

Estimated amount of cut = cubic yards: \_\_\_\_\_

Estimated amount of fill = cubic yards \_\_\_\_\_

Does the project need to import or export dirt? Yes  No

PROPERTY OWNERS CERTIFICATION FORM

I Lisa Edwards, certify that on May 13, 2014  
the attached property owners list was prepared by County Arc Map  
APN(s) or case numbers CU03689  
for Company or Individual's Name PLANNING DEPARTMENT  
Distance Buffered 600 feet.

Pursuant to application requirements furnished by the Riverside County Planning Department, said list is a complete and true compilation of the owners of the subject property and all other property owners within 600 feet of the property involved, or if that area yields less than 25 different owners, all property owners within a notification area expanded to yield a minimum of 25 different owners, to a maximum notification area of 2,400 feet from the project boundaries, based upon the latest equalized assessment rolls. If the project is a subdivision with identified off-site access/improvements, said list includes a complete and true compilation of the names and mailing addresses of the owners of all property that is adjacent to the proposed off-site improvement/alignment.


I further certify that the information filed is true and correct to the best of my knowledge. I understand that incorrect or incomplete information may be grounds for rejection or denial of the application.

NAME: Lisa Edwards lisa edwards  
TITLE: Project Planner  
ADDRESS: 4080 Lemon Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor, Riverside CA 92501  
TELEPHONE: 951-955-1888

# CUP03689 (600 Foot Buffer)



-  Case Owner Buffer
-  Case Boundary
-  Parcel Boundaries
-  Surrounding Owner Parcels



Maps and data are to be used for reference purposes only. Map features are approximate, and are not necessarily accurate to surveying or engineering standards. The County of Riverside makes no warranty or guarantee as to the content (the source is often third party), accuracy, timeliness, or completeness of any of the data provided, and assumes no legal responsibility for the information contained on this map. Any use of this product with respect to accuracy and precision shall be the sole responsibility of the user.

THOMAS J AHEARN  
11064 VIACHA DR  
SAN DIEGO, CA. 92124

ALPENGLow  
P O BOX 1171  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

DAVID J ALT  
P O BOX 4125  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

ARMEN BARANIAN  
760 WALDORF RD  
LA CANADA, CA. 91011

RANDALL A BARNES  
14002 DORNART DR  
POWAY, CA. 92064

RICHARD A BOETTCHER  
C/O ERIC BOETTCHER  
P O BOX 896  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

BOMPADANNY PROP  
C/O BRUCE N DICKINSON  
606 N LARCHMONT BLV NO 4G  
LOS ANGELES, CA. 90004

JAMES RICHARD BRANNAN  
P O BOX 3729  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

C ROLLIN BUCHANNAN  
P O BOX 316  
MTN CENTER, CA. 92561

EDWARD J CASS  
2455 PRESIDIO DR  
SAN DIEGO, CA. 92103

SANDERS J CHASE  
7809 MELROSE AVE  
LOS ANGELES, CA. 90046

REDMOND G CORBETT  
P O BOX 1591  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

MICHAEL G CROW  
C/O KEITH L NELSON  
6 OWEN CT  
IRVINE, CA. 92715

LEROY C DAVIDSON  
27777 CORNELL ST  
HEMET, CA. 92544

JULIE B DECKER  
3224 RANCHO ARROBA  
CARLSBAD, CA. 92009

STEVEN M DEMOTS  
P O BOX 3665  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

DONALD J DIMANNO  
P O BOX 5714  
RIVERSIDE, CA. 92517

MICHAEL S DOBRENSKY  
74151 PEPPERGRASS ST  
PALM DESERT, CA. 92260

WILHELM U DUELKEN  
P O BOX 3628  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

HEBER G DUNN  
2985 VISTA WAY  
HEMET, CA. 92544

JON H DUNN  
42531 RANCHO MIRAGE LANE  
RANCHO MIRAGE, CA. 92270

EPISCOPAL DIOCESE OF SAN DIEGO  
P O BOX 506  
2728 SIXTH AVE  
SAN DIEGO, CA. 92103

JANICE L FAST  
P O BOX 548  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

FERN VALLEY WATER DIST  
FERN VALLEY WATER DIST  
0  
. . 0

FERN VALLEY WATER DIST  
FERN VALLEY WATER DIST  
P O BOX 3039  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

FERN VALLEY WATER DISTRICT  
P O BOX 3039  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

BARNABY E FINCH  
P O BOX 1702  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

FISERV ISS & CO  
P O BOX 173859  
DENVER, CO. 80217

DAVID C FLYNN  
1101 W NORTH ST  
ANAHEIM, CA. 92801

ROBERT J FROETSCHER  
42700 ST GEORGE  
BERMUDA DUNES, CA. 92201

JANICE A GODKE  
10082 EDGEWOOD LN  
GARDEN GROVE, CA. 92840

JOHN W GRAHAM  
P O BOX 1543  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

WILLIAM D HATCHER  
22750 RUNNING RABBIT CT  
CANYON LAKE, CA. 92587

WENDY RAE HILL  
4407 MANCHESTER AVE 103  
ENCINITAS, CA. 92024

STEVEN L HOLLDNER  
P O BOX 1459  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

HURWORTH  
77186 CASA DEL SOL  
LA QUINTA, CA. 92353

IDYLLWILD ALL YEAR RESORT  
BOX 147  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

IDYLLWILD CO WATER DIST  
IDYLLWILD CO WATER DIST  
0  
, . 0

HANS J ILIEW  
12440 SIERRA ROJO RD  
VALLEY CENTER, CA. 92082

JAMES H JENNISON  
P O BOX 571  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

SYLVIA SUE JOHNSON  
C/O MARGARET WITHINGTON SMITH  
3616 OAKWOOD  
RIVERSIDE, CA. 92506

PETER LANG  
54830 N CIRCLE DR  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

AURENCE M LAVIN  
3677 WELLINGTON  
LOS ANGELES, CA. 90016

WILLIAM M LOWMAN  
P O BOX 900  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

JANICE LYLE  
P O BOX 3753  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

J RODGERS MAGEE  
P O BOX 9118  
LA JOLLA, CA. 92038

THOMAS RICHARD MANN  
C/O ROBERT MANN  
3435 WILSHIRE BLV STE 2900  
LOS ANGELES, CA. 90010

RAYMOND LEE MARQUETTE  
31497 TULETTE LN  
WINCHESTER, CA. 92596

DON GENE MCFALL  
2508 S CALLE FIERRO  
PALM SPRINGS, CA. 92264

ROBERT H MCINTYRE  
2229 S CALIENTE DR  
PALM SPRINGS, CA. 92264

JAMES A METTLER  
P O BOX 894  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

BETTY JO MILLER  
3003 214TH ST SE  
BOTHELL, WA. 98021

MARC H MONTGOMERY  
30260 PINON PINE LN  
JUNIPER HILLS, CA. 93543

STEVEN R MOULTON  
P O BOX 3286  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

WALTER J MUELLER  
16256 AVENIDA SUAVIDAD  
SAN DIEGO, CA. 92128

KEVIN W ODENBAUGH  
73850 DINAH SHORE NO 103  
PALM DESERT, CA. 92211

RICHARD A OLSON  
P O BOX 215  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

MANHAR J PATEL  
12641 WOODGREEN AVE  
LOS ANGELES, CA. 90066

CHRISTIAN B PEDERSEN  
16320 SWARTZ CANYON RD  
RAMONA, CA. 92065

ANNE MARIE B PRESCOTT  
P O BOX 3035  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

MARY TERESA PRICE  
P O BOX 1773  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

ROBERT JORDAN PRIEFER  
P O BOX 1008  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

MICHAEL RATZ  
15348 AVENIDA RORRAS  
SAN DIEGO, CA. 92128

BRAD PATRICK RECHTFERTIG  
P O BOX 4216  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

JEAN L REID  
P O BOX 1095  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

RICHARD B RENNICK  
67633 N NATOMA DR  
CATHEDRAL CY, CA. 92234

CHARLES V RION  
6318 CLARA LEE AVE  
SAN DIEGO, CA. 92120

KENNETH W RUGGLES  
78753 ALLIANCE WAY  
PALM DESERT, CA. 92211

BONNIE L SCHERER  
P O BOX A  
PALM DESERT, CA. 92261

JAMES H SCOTT  
48100 TWIN PINES ROAD  
BANNING, CA. 92220



CHARRON WILLIAMSON SEITZ  
27942 CABO ROSA  
MISSION VIEJO, CA. 92692

KEITH RONALD SHIRLEY  
P O BOX 813  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

HERMAN SAMUEL SMITH  
24672 DEVONPORT CIR  
LAGUNA HILLS, CA. 92653

ROBERT C SMITH  
31901 CIRCLE DR  
LAGUNA BEACH, CA. 92677

EARL SOMERVILLE  
P O BOX 1063  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

SHANE THOMAS STEWART  
P O BOX 243  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

C E STREETER  
P O BOX 3150  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

JOD C STRUTZEL  
C/O J C STRUTZEL  
9586 SHAMROCK AVE  
FOUNTAIN VALLEY, CA. 92708

MARK S TARNOWSKI  
7813 GABACHO ST  
CARLSBAD, CA. 92009

ROBERT J THERIEAU  
P O BOX 3290  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

J LANE TILSON  
P O BOX 221  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

JEFFREY L TONJES  
2579 S PEQUENO CIR  
PALM SPRINGS, CA. 92264

JOSEPH SCOTTON VAIL  
20110 LANDALUCE LN  
WALNUT, CA. 91789

CHARLES VANORDEN  
P O BOX 329  
LA QUINTA, CA. 92247

CHARLES VANORDEN  
SAM  
P O BOX 329  
LA QUINTA, CA. 92247

WILLIAM D WAGSTAFF  
P O BOX 1680  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

NORMAN J WALKER  
P O BOX 3444  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

MAN LEE WANG  
3400 CLIPPER DR  
CHINO HILLS, CA. 91709

GARY S WEBER  
P O BOX 7  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

DONALD W ZEIGLER  
148 E MIDWAY  
SAN JACINTO, CA. 92583

Applicant, Eng, Outside Agencies 5/13/14 – CUP03689

Hemet Unified School District,  
Professional Development Service  
Center  
1700 W. Acacia Ave.  
Hemet, CA 92545

ATTN: Michael McCoy  
Riverside Transit Agency  
1825 3rd St.  
P.O. Box 59968  
Riverside, CA 92517-1968

ATTN: Stanley Sniff, Sheriff  
Sheriff's Department, Riverside County  
Mail Stop 1450

Southern California Edison  
2244 Walnut Grove Ave., Rm 312  
P.O. Box 600  
Rosemead, CA 91770

ATTN: Tim Pearce, Region Planner  
Southern California Gas Transmission  
251 E. 1st St.  
Beaumont, CA 92223-2903

Waste Resources Management,  
Riverside County  
Mail Stop 5950

Applicant:  
Brad & Jacqueline Rechtfertig  
PO Box 4216  
Idyllwild, CA 92549

Engineer:  
Paul Stevens  
39745 Castile Avenue  
Murrieta, CA 92562

Rancho California Water District  
42135 Winchester Rd.  
P.O. Box 9017  
Temecula, CA 92590-4800

Applicant:  
Brad & Jacqueline Rechtfertig  
PO Box 4216  
Idyllwild, CA 92549

Engineer:  
Paul Stevens  
39745 Castile Avenue  
Murrieta, CA 92562

**PROPERTY OWNERS CERTIFICATION FORM**


I Lisa Edwards, certify that on May 13, 2014  
the attached property owners list was prepared by County Arc Map  
APN(s) or case numbers CUP03689  
for Company or Individual's Name PLANNING DEPARTMENT  
Distance Buffered 600 feet.





Pursuant to application requirements furnished by the Riverside County Planning Department, said list is a complete and true compilation of the owners of the subject property and all other property owners within 600 feet of the property involved, or if that area yields less than 25 different owners, all property owners within a notification area expanded to yield a minimum of 25 different owners, to a maximum notification area of 2,400 feet from the project boundaries, based upon the latest equalized assessment rolls. If the project is a subdivision with identified off-site access/improvements, said list includes a complete and true compilation of the names and mailing addresses of the owners of all property that is adjacent to the proposed off-site improvement/alignment.


I further certify that the information filed is true and correct to the best of my knowledge. I understand that incorrect or incomplete information may be grounds for rejection or denial of the application.

NAME: Lisa Edwards lwallace  
TITLE: Project Planner  
ADDRESS: 4080 Lemon Street, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor, Riverside CA 92501  
TELEPHONE: 951-955-1888

# CUP03689 (600 Foot Buffer)



-  Case Owner Buffer
-  Case Boundary
-  Parcel Boundaries
-  Surrounding Owner Parcels



Printed by ledwards on 5/13/2014

Maps and data are to be used for reference purposes only. Map features are approximate, and are not necessarily accurate to surveying or engineering standards. The County of Riverside makes no warranty or guarantee as to the content (the source is often third party), accuracy, timeliness, or completeness of any of the data provided, and assumes no legal responsibility for the information contained on this map. Any use of this product with respect to accuracy and precision shall be the sole responsibility of the user.

Hemet Unified School District,  
Professional Development Service  
Center  
1791 W. Acacia Ave.  
Hemet, CA 92545

ATTN: Michael McCoy  
Riverside Transit Agency  
1825 3rd St.  
P.O. Box 59968  
Riverside, CA 92517-1968

ATTN: Stanley Sniff, Sheriff  
Sheriff's Department, Riverside County  
Mail Stop 1450

Southern California Edison  
2244 Walnut Grove Ave., Rm 312  
P.O. Box 600  
Rosemead, CA 91770

ATTN: Tim Pearce, Region Planner  
Southern California Gas Transmission  
251 E. 1st St.  
Beaumont, CA 92223-2903

Waste Resources Management,  
Riverside County  
Mail Stop 5950

Applicant:  
Brad & Jacqueline Rechtfertig  
PO Box 4216  
Idyllwild, CA 92549

Engineer:  
Paul Stevens  
39745 Castile Avenue  
Murrieta, CA 92562

Rancho California Water District  
42135 Winchester Rd.  
P.O. Box 9017  
Temecula, CA 92590-4800

Applicant:  
Brad & Jacqueline Rechtfertig  
PO Box 4216  
Idyllwild, CA 92549

Engineer:  
Paul Stevens  
39745 Castile Avenue  
Murrieta, CA 92562

CUP03529

THOMAS J AHEARN  
11064 VIACHA DR  
SAN DIEGO, CA. 92124

ALPENGLow  
P O BOX 1171  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

DAVID J ALT  
P O BOX 4125  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

ARMEN BARANIAN  
760 WALDORF RD  
LA CANADA, CA. 91011

RANDALL A BARNES  
14002 DORNART DR  
POWAY, CA. 92064

RICHARD A BOETTCHER  
C/O ERIC BOETTCHER  
P O BOX 896  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

BOMPADANNY PROP  
C/O BRUCE N DICKINSON  
606 N LARCHMONT BLV NO 4G  
LOS ANGELES, CA. 90004

JAMES RICHARD BRANNAN  
P O BOX 3729  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

C ROLLIN BUCHANNAN  
P O BOX 316  
MTN CENTER, CA. 92561

EDWARD J CASS  
2455 PRESIDIO DR  
SAN DIEGO, CA. 92103

SANDERS J CHASE  
7809 MELROSE AVE  
LOS ANGELES, CA. 90046

REDMOND G CORBETT  
P O BOX 1591  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

MICHAEL G CROW  
C/O KEITH L NELSON  
6 OWEN CT  
IRVINE, CA. 92715

LEROY C DAVIDSON  
27777 CORNELL ST  
HEMET, CA. 92544



CUP03639

JULIE B DECKER  
3224 RANCHO ARROBA  
CARLSBAD, CA. 92009

STEVEN M DEMOTS  
P O BOX 3665  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

DONALD J DIMANNO  
P O BOX 5714  
RIVERSIDE, CA. 92517

MICHAEL S DOBRENSKY  
74151 PEPPERGRASS ST  
PALM DESERT, CA. 92260

WILHELM U DUELKEN  
P O BOX 3628  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

HEBER G DUNN  
2985 VISTA WAY  
HEMET, CA. 92544

JON H DUNN  
42531 RANCHO MIRAGE LANE  
RANCHO MIRAGE, CA. 92270

EPISCOPAL DIOCESE OF SAN DIEGO  
P O BOX 506  
2728 SIXTH AVE  
SAN DIEGO, CA. 92103

JANICE L FAST  
P O BOX 548  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

FERN VALLEY WATER DIST  
FERN VALLEY WATER DIST  
0  
. . 0

FERN VALLEY WATER DIST  
FERN VALLEY WATER DIST  
P O BOX 3039  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

FERN VALLEY WATER DISTRICT  
P O BOX 3039  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

BARNABY E FINCH  
P O BOX 1702  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

FISERV ISS & CO  
P O BOX 173859  
DENVER, CO. 80217







CUP0039

DAVID C FLYNN  
1101 W NORTH ST  
ANAHEIM, CA. 92801

ROBERT J FROETSCHER  
42700 ST GEORGE  
BERMUDA DUNES, CA. 92201

JANICE A GODKE  
10082 EDGEWOOD LN  
GARDEN GROVE, CA. 92840

JOHN W GRAHAM  
P O BOX 1543  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

WILLIAM D HATCHER  
22750 RUNNING RABBIT CT  
CANYON LAKE, CA. 92587

WENDY RAE HILL  
4407 MANCHESTER AVE 103  
ENCINITAS, CA. 92024

STEVEN L HOLDBER  
P O BOX 1459  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

HURWORTH  
77186 CASA DEL SOL  
LA QUINTA, CA. 92353

IDYLLWILD ALL YEAR RESORT  
BOX 147  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

IDYLLWILD CO WATER DIST  
IDYLLWILD CO WATER DIST  
0  
. . 0

HANS J ILIEW  
12440 SIERRA ROJO RD  
VALLEY CENTER, CA. 92082

JAMES H JENNISON  
P O BOX 571  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

SYLVIA SUE JOHNSON  
C/O MARGARET WITHINGTON SMITH  
3616 OAKWOOD  
RIVERSIDE, CA. 92506

PETER LANG  
54830 N CIRCLE DR  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549





CUP03689

LAURENCE M LAVIN  
3677 WELLINGTON  
LOS ANGELES, CA. 90016

WILLIAM M LOWMAN  
P O BOX 900  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

JANICE LYLE  
P O BOX 3753  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

J RODGERS MAGEE  
P O BOX 9118  
LA JOLLA, CA. 92038

THOMAS RICHARD MANN  
C/O ROBERT MANN  
3435 WILSHIRE BLV STE 2900  
LOS ANGELES, CA. 90010

RAYMOND LEE MARQUETTE  
31497 TULETTE LN  
WINCHESTER, CA. 92596

DON GENE MCFALL  
2508 S CALLE FIERRO  
PALM SPRINGS, CA. 92264

ROBERT H MCINTYRE  
2229 S CALIENTE DR  
PALM SPRINGS, CA. 92264

JAMES A METTLER  
P O BOX 894  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

BETTY JO MILLER  
3003 214TH ST SE  
BOTHHELL, WA. 98021

MARC H MONTGOMERY  
30260 PINON PINE LN  
JUNIPER HILLS, CA. 93543

STEVEN R MOULTON  
P O BOX 3286  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

WALTER J MUELLER  
16256 AVENIDA SUAVIDAD  
SAN DIEGO, CA. 92128

KEVIN W ODENBAUGH  
73850 DINAH SHORE NO 103  
PALM DESERT, CA. 92211





CUP03G89

RICHARD A OLSON  
P O BOX 215  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

MANHAR J PATEL  
12641 WOODGREEN AVE  
LOS ANGELES, CA. 90066

CHRISTIAN B PEDERSEN  
16320 SWARTZ CANYON RD  
RAMONA, CA. 92065

ANNE MARIE B PRESCOTT  
P O BOX 3035  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

MARY TERESA PRICE  
P O BOX 1773  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

ROBERT JORDAN PRIEFER  
P O BOX 1008  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

MICHAEL RATZ  
15348 AVENIDA RORRAS  
SAN DIEGO, CA. 92128

BRAD PATRICK RECHTFERTIG  
P O BOX 4216  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

JEAN L REID  
P O BOX 1095  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

RICHARD B RENNICK  
67633 N NATOMA DR  
CATHEDRAL CY, CA. 92234

CHARLES V RION  
6318 CLARA LEE AVE  
SAN DIEGO, CA. 92120

KENNETH W RUGGLES  
78753 ALLIANCE WAY  
PALM DESERT, CA. 92211

BONNIE L SCHERER  
P O BOX A  
PALM DESERT, CA. 92261

JAMES H SCOTT  
48100 TWIN PINES ROAD  
BANNING, CA. 92220





CUP06699

CHARRON WILLIAMSON SEITZ  
27942 CABO ROSA  
MISSION VIEJO, CA. 92692

KEITH RONALD SHIRLEY  
P O BOX 813  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

HERMAN SAMUEL SMITH  
24672 DEVONPORT CIR  
LAGUNA HILLS, CA. 92653

ROBERT C SMITH  
31901 CIRCLE DR  
LAGUNA BEACH, CA. 92677

EARL SOMERVILLE  
P O BOX 1063  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

SHANE THOMAS STEWART  
P O BOX 243  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

C E STREETER  
P O BOX 3150  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

JOD C STRUTZEL  
C/O J C STRUTZEL  
9586 SHAMROCK AVE  
FOUNTAIN VALLEY, CA. 92708

MARK S TARNOWSKI  
7813 GABACHO ST  
CARLSBAD, CA. 92009

ROBERT J THERIEAU  
P O BOX 3290  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

J LANE TILSON  
P O BOX 221  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

JEFFREY L TONJES  
2579 S PEQUENO CIR  
PALM SPRINGS, CA. 92264

JOSEPH SCOTTON VAIL  
20110 LANDALUCE LN  
WALNUT, CA. 91789

CHARLES VANORDEN  
P O BOX 329  
LA QUINTA, CA. 92247



CUP000009

CHARLES VANORDEN  
SAM  
P O BOX 329  
LA QUINTA, CA. 92247

WILLIAM D WAGSTAFF  
P O BOX 1680  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

NORMAN J WALKER  
P O BOX 3444  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

MAN LEE WANG  
3400 CLIPPER DR  
CHINO HILLS, CA. 91709

GARY S WEBER  
P O BOX 7  
IDYLLWILD, CA. 92549

DONALD W ZEIGLER  
148 E MIDWAY  
SAN JACINTO, CA. 92583

