

18 June 2014

City of Menifee  
29714 Haun Road  
Menifee, CA 92586

RE: Initiation of Annexation of Rural Properties East of Briggs Road into the City of Menifee

Dear Council Members,

We would respectfully request that the City of Menifee consider annexing the properties contained in the three square mile area bounded by Scott Road on the South, Briggs Road on the West, Leon Road on the East and Old Newport Road on the North.

The homeowners moved to this community to enjoy a rural lifestyle and relied on the fact that the County's General Plan supported and encouraged the keeping of animals and intensive equestrian uses. A recent decision of the Board of Supervisors has put all of this in jeopardy. The Board has allowed a General Plan Amendment application to proceed which proposes 800+/- small urban lots with an aquatic center on 170 acres in the middle of this rural area over the objections of virtually all of the surrounding residents. The home owners living within a 1/4 mile of this project, who have enjoyed this rural area for over a collective 1,100 years, submitted a petition to the Board requesting denial of this project to protect our way of life and property values. The Board's decision to ignore the desires of local residents over the wishes of an out of town developer who lives 100 miles from the site on property that has been owned for less than 6 months is very troubling. There is no support from any of the residents adjacent to this project for the creation of a highly urbanized area in this rural community.

This proposed annexation covers 3 square miles and contains 282 lots with an average lot size of 6 acres. This proposed GPA would construct, on less than 2 percent of the 3 square miles, potentially 800 homes quadrupling the 282 lots that exist today. The proposed GPA creates a highly urbanized housing project that does not allow horses and discourages intensive animal keeping. There are 1000's of small lot subdivision home sites similar to this proposal within 2 miles of this site. The proposal to add more small lots in the last remaining rural area of this community is unwarranted. What reasonable plan places a highly urban use in the middle of a rural area?

Therefore we would request that the City of Menifee consider initiating an annexation of the 3 square miles to protect and preserve this area from urban encroachment, enlarge and enhance the rural areas designated on the City's General Plan and retain the rural natural of this community.

Thank you for your consideration and respectfully submitted,

Glen C. Sorum

Date: 06-13-2014

Patricia Ann Sorum

Date: 06-13-2014

We have lived at 32335 LINDENBERGER RD. for 20 years.

MENIFEE, CA.

18 June 2014

City of Menifee  
29714 Haun Road  
Menifee, CA 92586

RE: Initiation of Annexation of Rural Properties East of Briggs Road into the City of Menifee

Dear Council Members,

We would respectfully request that the City of Menifee consider annexing the properties contained in the three square mile area bounded by Scott Road on the South, Briggs Road on the West, Leon Road on the East and Old Newport Road on the North.

The homeowners moved to this community to enjoy a rural lifestyle and relied on the fact that the County's General Plan supported and encouraged the keeping of animals and intensive equestrian uses. A recent decision of the Board of Supervisors has put all of this in jeopardy. The Board has allowed a General Plan Amendment application to proceed which proposes 800+/- small urban lots with an aquatic center on 170 acres in the middle of this rural area over the objections of virtually all of the surrounding residents. The home owners living within a ½ mile of this project, who have enjoyed this rural area for over a collective 1,100 years, submitted a petition to the Board requesting denial of this project to protect our way of life and property values. The Board's decision to ignore the desires of local residents over the wishes of an out of town developer who lives 100 miles from the site on property that has been owned for less than 6 months is very troubling. There is no support from any of the residents adjacent to this project for the creation of a highly urbanized area in this rural community.

This proposed annexation covers 3 square miles and contains 282 lots with an average lot size of 6 acres. This proposed GPA would construct, on less than 2 percent of the 3 square miles, potentially 800 homes quadrupling the 282 lots that exist today. The proposed GPA creates a highly urbanized housing project that does not allow horses and discourages intensive animal keeping. There are 1000's of small lot subdivision home sites similar to this proposal within 2 miles of this site. The proposal to add more small lots in the last remaining rural area of this community is unwarranted. What reasonable plan places a highly urban use in the middle of a rural area?

Therefore we would request that the City of Menifee consider initiating an annexation of the 3 square miles to protect and preserve this area from urban encroachment, enlarge and enhance the rural areas designated on the City's General Plan and retain the rural natural of this community.

Thank you for your consideration and respectfully submitted,

Robert Lee

Date: 6-13-14

Marilyn Soules

Date: 6-13-14

We have lived at 3000 Briggs Rd for 30 years.

18 June 2014

City of Menifee  
29714 Haun Road  
Menifee, CA 92586

RE: Initiation of Annexation of Rural Properties East of Briggs Road into the City of Menifee

Dear Council Members,



We would respectfully request that the City of Menifee consider annexing the properties contained in the three square mile area bounded by Scott Road on the South, Briggs Road on the West, Leon Road on the East and Old Newport Road on the North.

The homeowners moved to this community to enjoy a rural lifestyle and relied on the fact that the County's General Plan supported and encouraged the keeping of animals and intensive equestrian uses. A recent decision of the Board of Supervisors has put all of this in jeopardy. The Board has allowed a General Plan Amendment application to proceed which proposes 800+/- small urban lots with an aquatic center on 170 acres in the middle of this rural area over the objections of virtually all of the surrounding residents. The home owners living within a ½ mile of this project, who have enjoyed this rural area for over a collective 1,100 years, submitted a petition to the Board requesting denial of this project to protect our way of life and property values. The Board's decision to ignore the desires of local residents over the wishes of an out of town developer who lives 100 miles from the site on property that has been owned for less than 6 months is very troubling. There is no support from any of the residents adjacent to this project for the creation of a highly urbanized area in this rural community.

This proposed annexation covers 3 square miles and contains 282 lots with an average lot size of 6 acres. This proposed GPA would construct, on less than 2 percent of the 3 square miles, potentially 800 homes quadrupling the 282 lots that exist today. The proposed GPA creates a highly urbanized housing project that does not allow horses and discourages intensive animal keeping. There are 1000's of small lot subdivision home sites similar to this proposal within 2 miles of this site. The proposal to add more small lots in the last remaining rural area of this community is unwarranted. What reasonable plan places a highly urban use in the middle of a rural area?

Therefore we would request that the City of Menifee consider initiating an annexation of the 3 square miles to protect and preserve this area from urban encroachment, enlarge and enhance the rural areas designated on the City's General Plan and retain the rural natural of this community.

Thank you for your consideration and respectfully submitted,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
  
\_\_\_\_\_

Date: 6-15-14

Date: 6-15-14

We have lived at 30100 Maxine Ln for 15 years.

18 June 2014

City of Menifee  
29714 Haun Road  
Menifee, CA 92586

RE: Initiation of Annexation of Rural Properties East of Briggs Road into the City of Menifee

Dear Council Members,

We would respectfully request that the City of Menifee consider annexing the properties contained in the three square mile area bounded by Scott Road on the South, Briggs Road on the West, Leon Road on the East and Old Newport Road on the North.

The homeowners moved to this community to enjoy a rural lifestyle and relied on the fact that the County's General Plan supported and encouraged the keeping of animals and intensive equestrian uses. A recent decision of the Board of Supervisors has put all of this in jeopardy. The Board has allowed a General Plan Amendment application to proceed which proposes 800+/- small urban lots with an aquatic center on 170 acres in the middle of this rural area over the objections of virtually all of the surrounding residents. The home owners living within a ½ mile of this project, who have enjoyed this rural area for over a collective 1,100 years, submitted a petition to the Board requesting denial of this project to protect our way of life and property values. The Board's decision to ignore the desires of local residents over the wishes of an out of town developer who lives 100 miles from the site on property that has been owned for less than 6 months is very troubling. There is no support from any of the residents adjacent to this project for the creation of a highly urbanized area in this rural community.

This proposed annexation covers 3 square miles and contains 282 lots with an average lot size of 6 acres. This proposed GPA would construct, on less than 2 percent of the 3 square miles, potentially 800 homes quadrupling the 282 lots that exist today. The proposed GPA creates a highly urbanized housing project that does not allow horses and discourages intensive animal keeping. There are 1000's of small lot subdivision home sites similar to this proposal within 2 miles of this site. The proposal to add more small lots in the last remaining rural area of this community is unwarranted. What reasonable plan places a highly urban use in the middle of a rural area?

Therefore we would request that the City of Menifee consider initiating an annexation of the 3 square miles to protect and preserve this area from urban encroachment, enlarge and enhance the rural areas designated on the City's General Plan and retain the rural natural of this community.

Thank you for your consideration and respectfully submitted,

Laura M. Velasco  
Jane Velasco  
We have lived at 33080 Leon Rd  
owned Winchester, CA  
92596

Date: 6-17-14

Date: 6-17-14

for 23 years.

18 June 2014

City of Menifee  
29714 Haun Road  
Menifee, CA 92586

RE: Initiation of Annexation of Rural Properties East of Briggs Road into the City of Menifee

Dear Council Members,

We would respectfully request that the City of Menifee consider annexing the properties contained in the three square mile area bounded by Scott Road on the South, Briggs Road on the West, Leon Road on the East and Old Newport Road on the North.

The homeowners moved to this community to enjoy a rural lifestyle and relied on the fact that the County's General Plan supported and encouraged the keeping of animals and intensive equestrian uses. A recent decision of the Board of Supervisors has put all of this in jeopardy. The Board has allowed a General Plan Amendment application to proceed which proposes 800+/- small urban lots with an aquatic center on 170 acres in the middle of this rural area over the objections of virtually all of the surrounding residents. The home owners living within a 1/2 mile of this project, who have enjoyed this rural area for over a collective 1,100 years, submitted a petition to the Board requesting denial of this project to protect our way of life and property values. The Board's decision to ignore the desires of local residents over the wishes of an out of town developer who lives 100 miles from the site on property that has been owned for less than 6 months is very troubling. There is no support from any of the residents adjacent to this project for the creation of a highly urbanized area in this rural community.

This proposed annexation covers 3 square miles and contains 282 lots with an average lot size of 6 acres. This proposed GPA would construct, on less than 2 percent of the 3 square miles, potentially 800 homes quadrupling the 282 lots that exist today. The proposed GPA creates a highly urbanized housing project that does not allow horses and discourages intensive animal keeping. There are 1000's of small lot subdivision home sites similar to this proposal within 2 miles of this site. The proposal to add more small lots in the last remaining rural area of this community is unwarranted. What reasonable plan places a highly urban use in the middle of a rural area?

Therefore we would request that the City of Menifee consider initiating an annexation of the 3 square miles to protect and preserve this area from urban encroachment, enlarge and enhance the rural areas designated on the City's General Plan and retain the rural natural of this community.

Thank you for your consideration and respectfully submitted,

*Dorinda M. Williams*

Date: 6/14/14

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

We have lived at \_\_\_\_\_ for 24 years.

18 June 2014

City of Menifee  
29714 Haun Road  
Menifee, CA 92586

RE: Initiation of Annexation of Rural Properties East of Briggs Road into the City of Menifee

Dear Council Members,

We would respectfully request that the City of Menifee consider annexing the properties contained in the three square mile area bounded by Scott Road on the South, Briggs Road on the West, Leon Road on the East and Old Newport Road on the North.

The homeowners moved to this community to enjoy a rural lifestyle and relied on the fact that the County's General Plan supported and encouraged the keeping of animals and intensive equestrian uses. A recent decision of the Board of Supervisors has put all of this in jeopardy. The Board has allowed a General Plan Amendment application to proceed which proposes 800+/- small urban lots with an aquatic center on 170 acres in the middle of this rural area over the objections of virtually all of the surrounding residents. The home owners living within a 1/2 mile of this project, who have enjoyed this rural area for over a collective 1,100 years, submitted a petition to the Board requesting denial of this project to protect our way of life and property values. The Board's decision to ignore the desires of local residents over the wishes of an out of town developer who lives 100 miles from the site on property that has been owned for less than 6 months is very troubling. There is no support from any of the residents adjacent to this project for the creation of a highly urbanized area in this rural community.

This proposed annexation covers 3 square miles and contains 282 lots with an average lot size of 6 acres. This proposed GPA would construct, on less than 2 percent of the 3 square miles, potentially 800 homes quadrupling the 282 lots that exist today. The proposed GPA creates a highly urbanized housing project that does not allow horses and discourages intensive animal keeping. There are 1000's of small lot subdivision home sites similar to this proposal within 2 miles of this site. The proposal to add more small lots in the last remaining rural area of this community is unwarranted. What reasonable plan places a highly urban use in the middle of a rural area?

Therefore we would request that the City of Menifee consider initiating an annexation of the 3 square miles to protect and preserve this area from urban encroachment, enlarge and enhance the rural areas designated on the City's General Plan and retain the rural natural of this community.

Thank you for your consideration and respectfully submitted,

Randy Dennis Date: 6/15/14  
Rosemarie Dennis Date: 6/15/14  
We have lived at 31930 Briggs Rd for 14 years.  
+ 31780 Briggs Rd for 11 yrs

18 June 2014

City of Menifee  
29714 Haun Road  
Menifee, CA 92586

RE: Initiation of Annexation of Rural Properties East of Briggs Road into the City of Menifee

Dear Council Members,

We would respectfully request that the City of Menifee consider annexing the properties contained in the three square mile area bounded by Scott Road on the South, Briggs Road on the West, Leon Road on the East and Old Newport Road on the North.

The homeowners moved to this community to enjoy a rural lifestyle and relied on the fact that the County's General Plan supported and encouraged the keeping of animals and intensive equestrian uses. A recent decision of the Board of Supervisors has put all of this in jeopardy. The Board has allowed a General Plan Amendment application to proceed which proposes 800+/- small urban lots with an aquatic center on 170 acres in the middle of this rural area over the objections of virtually all of the surrounding residents. The home owners living within a ½ mile of this project, who have enjoyed this rural area for over a collective 1,100 years, submitted a petition to the Board requesting denial of this project to protect our way of life and property values. The Board's decision to ignore the desires of local residents over the wishes of an out of town developer who lives 100 miles from the site on property that has been owned for less than 6 months is very troubling. There is no support from any of the residents adjacent to this project for the creation of a highly urbanized area in this rural community.

This proposed annexation covers 3 square miles and contains 282 lots with an average lot size of 6 acres. This proposed GPA would construct, on less than 2 percent of the 3 square miles, potentially 800 homes quadrupling the 282 lots that exist today. The proposed GPA creates a highly urbanized housing project that does not allow horses and discourages intensive animal keeping. There are 1000's of small lot subdivision home sites similar to this proposal within 2 miles of this site. The proposal to add more small lots in the last remaining rural area of this community is unwarranted. What reasonable plan places a highly urban use in the middle of a rural area?

Therefore we would request that the City of Menifee consider initiating an annexation of the 3 square miles to protect and preserve this area from urban encroachment, enlarge and enhance the rural areas designated on the City's General Plan and retain the rural natural of this community.

Thank you for your consideration and respectfully submitted,

Sharon Gambill

Date: 6/15/14

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

We have lived at 31820 Briggs for 16 years.

18 June 2014

City of Menifee  
29714 Haun Road  
Menifee, CA 92586

RE: Initiation of Annexation of Rural Properties East of Briggs Road into the City of Menifee

Dear Council Members,

We would respectfully request that the City of Menifee consider annexing the properties contained in the three square mile area bounded by Scott Road on the South, Briggs Road on the West, Leon Road on the East and Old Newport Road on the North.

The homeowners moved to this community to enjoy a rural lifestyle and relied on the fact that the County's General Plan supported and encouraged the keeping of animals and intensive equestrian uses. A recent decision of the Board of Supervisors has put all of this in jeopardy. The Board has allowed a General Plan Amendment application to proceed which proposes 800+/- small urban lots with an aquatic center on 170 acres in the middle of this rural area over the objections of virtually all of the surrounding residents. The home owners living within a ½ mile of this project, who have enjoyed this rural area for over a collective 1,100 years, submitted a petition to the Board requesting denial of this project to protect our way of life and property values. The Board's decision to ignore the desires of local residents over the wishes of an out of town developer who lives 100 miles from the site on property that has been owned for less than 6 months is very troubling. There is no support from any of the residents adjacent to this project for the creation of a highly urbanized area in this rural community.

This proposed annexation covers 3 square miles and contains 282 lots with an average lot size of 6 acres. This proposed GPA would construct, on less than 2 percent of the 3 square miles, potentially 800 homes quadrupling the 282 lots that exist today. The proposed GPA creates a highly urbanized housing project that does not allow horses and discourages intensive animal keeping. There are 1000's of small lot subdivision home sites similar to this proposal within 2 miles of this site. The proposal to add more small lots in the last remaining rural area of this community is unwarranted. What reasonable plan places a highly urban use in the middle of a rural area?

Therefore we would request that the City of Menifee consider initiating an annexation of the 3 square miles to protect and preserve this area from urban encroachment, enlarge and enhance the rural areas designated on the City's General Plan and retain the rural natural of this community.

Thank you for your consideration and respectfully submitted,

V. Osorio

Date: 17 June 2014

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

We have lived at 31822 Briggs Rd for 17 years.  
Menifee, CA 92584



18 June 2014

City of Menifee  
29714 Haun Road  
Menifee, CA 92586

RE: Initiation of Annexation of Rural Properties East of Briggs Road into the City of Menifee

Dear Council Members,


We would respectfully request that the City of Menifee consider annexing the properties contained in the three square mile area bounded by Scott Road on the South, Briggs Road on the West, Leon Road on the East and Old Newport Road on the North.

The homeowners moved to this community to enjoy a rural lifestyle and relied on the fact that the County's General Plan supported and encouraged the keeping of animals and intensive equestrian uses. A recent decision of the Board of Supervisors has put all of this in jeopardy. The Board has allowed a General Plan Amendment application to proceed which proposes 800+/- small urban lots with an aquatic center on 170 acres in the middle of this rural area over the objections of virtually all of the surrounding residents. The home owners living within a 1/2 mile of this project, who have enjoyed this rural area for over a collective 1,100 years, submitted a petition to the Board requesting denial of this project to protect our way of life and property values. The Board's decision to ignore the desires of local residents over the wishes of an out of town developer who lives 100 miles from the site on property that has been owned for less than 6 months is very troubling. There is no support from any of the residents adjacent to this project for the creation of a highly urbanized area in this rural community.

This proposed annexation covers 3 square miles and contains 282 lots with an average lot size of 6 acres. This proposed GPA would construct, on less than 2 percent of the 3 square miles, potentially 800 homes quadrupling the 282 lots that exist today. The proposed GPA creates a highly urbanized housing project that does not allow horses and discourages intensive animal keeping. There are 1000's of small lot subdivision home sites similar to this proposal within 2 miles of this site. The proposal to add more small lots in the last remaining rural area of this community is unwarranted. What reasonable plan places a highly urban use in the middle of a rural area?

Therefore we would request that the City of Menifee consider initiating an annexation of the 3 square miles to protect and preserve this area from urban encroachment, enlarge and enhance the rural areas designated on the City's General Plan and retain the rural natural of this community.

Thank you for your consideration and respectfully submitted,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Neil J. Burling

Date: 6-18-14

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

We have lived at 34119 KELLER FLAT DR for 57 years.

18 June 2014

City of Menifee  
29714 Haun Road  
Menifee, CA 92586

RE: Initiation of Annexation of Rural Properties East of Briggs Road into the City of Menifee

Dear Council Members,

We would respectfully request that the City of Menifee consider annexing the properties contained in the three square mile area bounded by Scott Road on the South, Briggs Road on the West, Leon Road on the East and Old Newport Road on the North.

The homeowners moved to this community to enjoy a rural lifestyle and relied on the fact that the County's General Plan supported and encouraged the keeping of animals and intensive equestrian uses. A recent decision of the Board of Supervisors has put all of this in jeopardy. The Board has allowed a General Plan Amendment application to proceed which proposes 800+/- small urban lots with an aquatic center on 170 acres in the middle of this rural area over the objections of virtually all of the surrounding residents. The home owners living within a ¼ mile of this project, who have enjoyed this rural area for over a collective 1,100 years, submitted a petition to the Board requesting denial of this project to protect our way of life and property values. The Board's decision to ignore the desires of local residents over the wishes of an out of town developer who lives 100 miles from the site on property that has been owned for less than 6 months is very troubling. There is no support from any of the residents adjacent to this project for the creation of a highly urbanized area in this rural community.

This proposed annexation covers 3 square miles and contains 282 lots with an average lot size of 6 acres. This proposed GPA would construct, on less than 2 percent of the 3 square miles, potentially 800 homes quadrupling the 282 lots that exist today. The proposed GPA creates a highly urbanized housing project that does not allow horses and discourages intensive animal keeping. There are 1000's of small lot subdivision home sites similar to this proposal within 2 miles of this site. The proposal to add more small lots in the last remaining rural area of this community is unwarranted. What reasonable plan places a highly urban use in the middle of a rural area?

Therefore we would request that the City of Menifee consider initiating an annexation of the 3 square miles to protect and preserve this area from urban encroachment, enlarge and enhance the rural areas designated on the City's General Plan and retain the rural natural of this community.

Thank you for your consideration and respectfully submitted,

Lynn Penney  
32085 Lindenbark Rd

Date: 6-18-14  
Date: 6-18-14

We have lived at Menifee for 25 years.

18 June 2014

City of Menifee  
29714 Haun Road  
Menifee, CA 92586

RE: Initiation of Annexation of Rural Properties East of Briggs Road into the City of Menifee

Dear Council Members,


We would respectfully request that the City of Menifee consider annexing the properties contained in the three square mile area bounded by Scott Road on the South, Briggs Road on the West, Leon Road on the East and Old Newport Road on the North.

The homeowners moved to this community to enjoy a rural lifestyle and relied on the fact that the County's General Plan supported and encouraged the keeping of animals and intensive equestrian uses. A recent decision of the Board of Supervisors has put all of this in jeopardy. The Board has allowed a General Plan Amendment application to proceed which proposes 800+/- small urban lots with an aquatic center on 170 acres in the middle of this rural area over the objections of virtually all of the surrounding residents. The home owners living within a 1/2 mile of this project, who have enjoyed this rural area for over a collective 1,100 years, submitted a petition to the Board requesting denial of this project to protect our way of life and property values. The Board's decision to ignore the desires of local residents over the wishes of an out of town developer who lives 100 miles from the site on property that has been owned for less than 6 months is very troubling. There is no support from any of the residents adjacent to this project for the creation of a highly urbanized area in this rural community.

This proposed annexation covers 3 square miles and contains 282 lots with an average lot size of 6 acres. This proposed GPA would construct, on less than 2 percent of the 3 square miles, potentially 800 homes quadrupling the 282 lots that exist today. The proposed GPA creates a highly urbanized housing project that does not allow horses and discourages intensive animal keeping. There are 1000's of small lot subdivision home sites similar to this proposal within 2 miles of this site. The proposal to add more small lots in the last remaining rural area of this community is unwarranted. What reasonable plan places a highly urban use in the middle of a rural area?

Therefore we would request that the City of Menifee consider initiating an annexation of the 3 square miles to protect and preserve this area from urban encroachment, enlarge and enhance the rural areas designated on the City's General Plan and retain the rural natural of this community.

Thank you for your consideration and respectfully submitted,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
BRIAN PENNY

Date: 6/18/14

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

We have lived at 32085 LINDEN ROAD for 25 years.

RD MENIFE 92584

18 June 2014

City of Menifee  
29714 Haun Road  
Menifee, CA 92586

RE: Initiation of Annexation of Rural Properties East of Briggs Road into the City of Menifee

Dear Council Members,

We would respectfully request that the City of Menifee consider annexing the properties contained in the three square mile area bounded by Scott Road on the South, Briggs Road on the West, Leon Road on the East and Old Newport Road on the North.

The homeowners moved to this community to enjoy a rural lifestyle and relied on the fact that the County's General Plan supported and encouraged the keeping of animals and intensive equestrian uses. A recent decision of the Board of Supervisors has put all of this in jeopardy. The Board has allowed a General Plan Amendment application to proceed which proposes 800+/- small urban lots with an aquatic center on 170 acres in the middle of this rural area over the objections of virtually all of the surrounding residents. The home owners living within a ½ mile of this project, who have enjoyed this rural area for over a collective 1,100 years, submitted a petition to the Board requesting denial of this project to protect our way of life and property values. The Board's decision to ignore the desires of local residents over the wishes of an out of town developer who lives 100 miles from the site on property that has been owned for less than 6 months is very troubling. There is no support from any of the residents adjacent to this project for the creation of a highly urbanized area in this rural community.

This proposed annexation covers 3 square miles and contains 282 lots with an average lot size of 6 acres. This proposed GPA would construct, on less than 2 percent of the 3 square miles, potentially 800 homes quadrupling the 282 lots that exist today. The proposed GPA creates a highly urbanized housing project that does not allow horses and discourages intensive animal keeping. There are 1000's of small lot subdivision home sites similar to this proposal within 2 miles of this site. The proposal to add more small lots in the last remaining rural area of this community is unwarranted. What reasonable plan places a highly urban use in the middle of a rural area?

Therefore we would request that the City of Menifee consider initiating an annexation of the 3 square miles to protect and preserve this area from urban encroachment, enlarge and enhance the rural areas designated on the City's General Plan and retain the rural natural of this community.

Thank you for your consideration and respectfully submitted,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Kelly R. Cross

Date: 6-16-14

Date: 6-16-14

We have lived at 32065 HEATHEN LANE for 12 years.

18 June 2014

City of Menifee  
29714 Haun Road  
Menifee, CA 92586

RE: Initiation of Annexation of Rural Properties East of Briggs Road into the City of Menifee

Dear Council Members,


We would respectfully request that the City of Menifee consider annexing the properties contained in the three square mile area bounded by Scott Road on the South, Briggs Road on the West, Leon Road on the East and Old Newport Road on the North.

The homeowners moved to this community to enjoy a rural lifestyle and relied on the fact that the County's General Plan supported and encouraged the keeping of animals and intensive equestrian uses. A recent decision of the Board of Supervisors has put all of this in jeopardy. The Board has allowed a General Plan Amendment application to proceed which proposes 800+/- small urban lots with an aquatic center on 170 acres in the middle of this rural area over the objections of virtually all of the surrounding residents. The home owners living within a 1/2 mile of this project, who have enjoyed this rural area for over a collective 1,100 years, submitted a petition to the Board requesting denial of this project to protect our way of life and property values. The Board's decision to ignore the desires of local residents over the wishes of an out of town developer who lives 100 miles from the site on property that has been owned for less than 6 months is very troubling. There is no support from any of the residents adjacent to this project for the creation of a highly urbanized area in this rural community.

This proposed annexation covers 3 square miles and contains 282 lots with an average lot size of 6 acres. This proposed GPA would construct, on less than 2 percent of the 3 square miles, potentially 800 homes quadrupling the 282 lots that exist today. The proposed GPA creates a highly urbanized housing project that does not allow horses and discourages intensive animal keeping. There are 1000's of small lot subdivision home sites similar to this proposal within 2 miles of this site. The proposal to add more small lots in the last remaining rural area of this community is unwarranted. What reasonable plan places a highly urban use in the middle of a rural area?

Therefore we would request that the City of Menifee consider initiating an annexation of the 3 square miles to protect and preserve this area from urban encroachment, enlarge and enhance the rural areas designated on the City's General Plan and retain the rural natural of this community.

Thank you for your consideration and respectfully submitted,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Robert Van Costendoupe

Date:

Date: 6/17/14

We have lived at Menifee for 23 years.

18 June 2014

City of Menifee  
29714 Haun Road  
Menifee, CA 92586

RE: Initiation of Annexation of Rural Properties East of Briggs Road into the City of Menifee

Dear Council Members,

We would respectfully request that the City of Menifee consider annexing the properties contained in the three square mile area bounded by Scott Road on the South, Briggs Road on the West, Leon Road on the East and Old Newport Road on the North.

The homeowners moved to this community to enjoy a rural lifestyle and relied on the fact that the County's General Plan supported and encouraged the keeping of animals and intensive equestrian uses. A recent decision of the Board of Supervisors has put all of this in jeopardy. The Board has allowed a General Plan Amendment application to proceed which proposes 800+/- small urban lots with an aquatic center on 170 acres in the middle of this rural area over the objections of virtually all of the surrounding residents. The home owners living within a ½ mile of this project, who have enjoyed this rural area for over a collective 1,100 years, submitted a petition to the Board requesting denial of this project to protect our way of life and property values. The Board's decision to ignore the desires of local residents over the wishes of an out of town developer who lives 100 miles from the site on property that has been owned for less than 6 months is very troubling. There is no support from any of the residents adjacent to this project for the creation of a highly urbanized area in this rural community.

This proposed annexation covers 3 square miles and contains 282 lots with an average lot size of 6 acres. This proposed GPA would construct, on less than 2 percent of the 3 square miles, potentially 800 homes quadrupling the 282 lots that exist today. The proposed GPA creates a highly urbanized housing project that does not allow horses and discourages intensive animal keeping. There are 1000's of small lot subdivision home sites similar to this proposal within 2 miles of this site. The proposal to add more small lots in the last remaining rural area of this community is unwarranted. What reasonable plan places a highly urban use in the middle of a rural area?

Therefore we would request that the City of Menifee consider initiating an annexation of the 3 square miles to protect and preserve this area from urban encroachment, enlarge and enhance the rural areas designated on the City's General Plan and retain the rural natural of this community.

Thank you for your consideration and respectfully submitted,

*Patricia L. Murphy-Boyd*  
*Leslie Murphy-Boyd*

Date: 6/17/14  
Date: \_\_\_\_\_

We have lived at 32027 Hemlock for 10 years.

MENIFEE, CA 92584

18 June 2014

City of Menifee  
29714 Haun Road  
Menifee, CA 92586

RE: Initiation of Annexation of Rural Properties East of Briggs Road into the City of Menifee

Dear Council Members,


We would respectfully request that the City of Menifee consider annexing the properties contained in the three square mile area bounded by Scott Road on the South, Briggs Road on the West, Leon Road on the East and Old Newport Road on the North.

The homeowners moved to this community to enjoy a rural lifestyle and relied on the fact that the County's General Plan supported and encouraged the keeping of animals and intensive equestrian uses. A recent decision of the Board of Supervisors has put all of this in jeopardy. The Board has allowed a General Plan Amendment application to proceed which proposes 800+/- small urban lots with an aquatic center on 170 acres in the middle of this rural area over the objections of virtually all of the surrounding residents. The home owners living within a 1/2 mile of this project, who have enjoyed this rural area for over a collective 1,100 years, submitted a petition to the Board requesting denial of this project to protect our way of life and property values. The Board's decision to ignore the desires of local residents over the wishes of an out of town developer who lives 100 miles from the site on property that has been owned for less than 6 months is very troubling. There is no support from any of the residents adjacent to this project for the creation of a highly urbanized area in this rural community.

This proposed annexation covers 3 square miles and contains 282 lots with an average lot size of 6 acres. This proposed GPA would construct, on less than 2 percent of the 3 square miles, potentially 800 homes quadrupling the 282 lots that exist today. The proposed GPA creates a highly urbanized housing project that does not allow horses and discourages intensive animal keeping. There are 1000's of small lot subdivision home sites similar to this proposal within 2 miles of this site. The proposal to add more small lots in the last remaining rural area of this community is unwarranted. What reasonable plan places a highly urban use in the middle of a rural area?

Therefore we would request that the City of Menifee consider initiating an annexation of the 3 square miles to protect and preserve this area from urban encroachment, enlarge and enhance the rural areas designated on the City's General Plan and retain the rural natural of this community.

Thank you for your consideration and respectfully submitted,

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Lisa Van Oostendorp

Date: 6/17/14  
Date: 6/17/14

We have lived at in Menifee for 23 years.

18 June 2014

City of Menifee  
29714 Haun Road  
Menifee, CA 92586

RE: Initiation of Annexation of Rural Properties East of Briggs Road into the City of Menifee

Dear Council Members,

We would respectfully request that the City of Menifee consider annexing the properties contained in the three square mile area bounded by Scott Road on the South, Briggs Road on the West, Leon Road on the East and Old Newport Road on the North.

The homeowners moved to this community to enjoy a rural lifestyle and relied on the fact that the County's General Plan supported and encouraged the keeping of animals and intensive equestrian uses. A recent decision of the Board of Supervisors has put all of this in jeopardy. The Board has allowed a General Plan Amendment application to proceed which proposes 800+/- small urban lots with an aquatic center on 170 acres in the middle of this rural area over the objections of virtually all of the surrounding residents. The home owners living within a ½ mile of this project, who have enjoyed this rural area for over a collective 1,100 years, submitted a petition to the Board requesting denial of this project to protect our way of life and property values. The Board's decision to ignore the desires of local residents over the wishes of an out of town developer who lives 100 miles from the site on property that has been owned for less than 6 months is very troubling. There is no support from any of the residents adjacent to this project for the creation of a highly urbanized area in this rural community.

This proposed annexation covers 3 square miles and contains 282 lots with an average lot size of 6 acres. This proposed GPA would construct, on less than 2 percent of the 3 square miles, potentially 800 homes quadrupling the 282 lots that exist today. The proposed GPA creates a highly urbanized housing project that does not allow horses and discourages intensive animal keeping. There are 1000's of small lot subdivision home sites similar to this proposal within 2 miles of this site. The proposal to add more small lots in the last remaining rural area of this community is unwarranted. What reasonable plan places a highly urban use in the middle of a rural area?

Therefore we would request that the City of Menifee consider initiating an annexation of the 3 square miles to protect and preserve this area from urban encroachment, enlarge and enhance the rural areas designated on the City's General Plan and retain the rural natural of this community.

Thank you for your consideration and respectfully submitted,

Dennis F. Turk  
DENNIS F. TURK

Date: 5/18/14  
Date: \_\_\_\_\_

We have lived at 34156 Winchester for 5 years.  
Rd. 92586 92586



18 June 2014

City of Menifee  
29714 Haun Road  
Menifee, CA 92586

RE: Initiation of Annexation of Rural Properties East of Briggs Road into the City of Menifee

Dear Council Members,

We would respectfully request that the City of Menifee consider annexing the properties contained in the three square mile area bounded by Scott Road on the South, Briggs Road on the West, Leon Road on the East and Old Newport Road on the North.

The homeowners moved to this community to enjoy a rural lifestyle and relied on the fact that the County's General Plan supported and encouraged the keeping of animals and intensive equestrian uses. A recent decision of the Board of Supervisors has put all of this in jeopardy. The Board has allowed a General Plan Amendment application to proceed which proposes 800+/- small urban lots with an aquatic center on 170 acres in the middle of this rural area over the objections of virtually all of the surrounding residents. The home owners living within a 1/2 mile of this project, who have enjoyed this rural area for over a collective 1,100 years, submitted a petition to the Board requesting denial of this project to protect our way of life and property values. The Board's decision to ignore the desires of local residents over the wishes of an out of town developer who lives 100 miles from the site on property that has been owned for less than 6 months is very troubling. There is no support from any of the residents adjacent to this project for the creation of a highly urbanized area in this rural community.

This proposed annexation covers 3 square miles and contains 282 lots with an average lot size of 6 acres. This proposed GPA would construct, on less than 2 percent of the 3 square miles, potentially 800 homes quadrupling the 282 lots that exist today. The proposed GPA creates a highly urbanized housing project that does not allow horses and discourages intensive animal keeping. There are 1000's of small lot subdivision home sites similar to this proposal within 2 miles of this site. The proposal to add more small lots in the last remaining rural area of this community is unwarranted. What reasonable plan places a highly urban use in the middle of a rural area?

Therefore we would request that the City of Menifee consider initiating an annexation of the 3 square miles to protect and preserve this area from urban encroachment, enlarge and enhance the rural areas designated on the City's General Plan and retain the rural natural of this community.

Thank you for your consideration and respectfully submitted,

Randy Williams

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

We have lived at 3500 Scenic Hills Dr for 14 years.  
Winchester CA 92586

18 June 2014

City of Menifee  
29714 Haun Road  
Menifee, CA 92586

RE: Initiation of Annexation of Rural Properties East of Briggs Road into the City of Menifee

Dear Council Members,

We would respectfully request that the City of Menifee consider annexing the properties contained in the three square mile area bounded by Scott Road on the South, Briggs Road on the West, Leon Road on the East and Old Newport Road on the North.

The homeowners moved to this community to enjoy a rural lifestyle and relied on the fact that the County's General Plan supported and encouraged the keeping of animals and intensive equestrian uses. A recent decision of the Board of Supervisors has put all of this in jeopardy. The Board has allowed a General Plan Amendment application to proceed which proposes 800+/- small urban lots with an aquatic center on 170 acres in the middle of this rural area over the objections of virtually all of the surrounding residents. The home owners living within a ½ mile of this project, who have enjoyed this rural area for over a collective 1,100 years, submitted a petition to the Board requesting denial of this project to protect our way of life and property values. The Board's decision to ignore the desires of local residents over the wishes of an out of town developer who lives 100 miles from the site on property that has been owned for less than 6 months is very troubling. There is no support from any of the residents adjacent to this project for the creation of a highly urbanized area in this rural community.

This proposed annexation covers 3 square miles and contains 282 lots with an average lot size of 6 acres. This proposed GPA would construct, on less than 2 percent of the 3 square miles, potentially 800 homes quadrupling the 282 lots that exist today. The proposed GPA creates a highly urbanized housing project that does not allow horses and discourages intensive animal keeping. There are 1000's of small lot subdivision home sites similar to this proposal within 2 miles of this site. The proposal to add more small lots in the last remaining rural area of this community is unwarranted. What reasonable plan places a highly urban use in the middle of a rural area?

Therefore we would request that the City of Menifee consider initiating an annexation of the 3 square miles to protect and preserve this area from urban encroachment, enlarge and enhance the rural areas designated on the City's General Plan and retain the rural natural of this community.

Thank you for your consideration and respectfully submitted,

R. Powell

Date: 5/12/14

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

We have lived at 81340 Sunrise Hills for 4 years.

18 June 2014

City of Menifee  
29714 Haun Road  
Menifee, CA 92586

RE: Initiation of Annexation of Rural Properties East of Briggs Road into the City of Menifee

Dear Council Members,

We would respectfully request that the City of Menifee consider annexing the properties contained in the three square mile area bounded by Scott Road on the South, Briggs Road on the West, Leon Road on the East and Old Newport Road on the North.

The homeowners moved to this community to enjoy a rural lifestyle and relied on the fact that the County's General Plan supported and encouraged the keeping of animals and intensive equestrian uses. A recent decision of the Board of Supervisors has put all of this in jeopardy. The Board has allowed a General Plan Amendment application to proceed which proposes 800+/- small urban lots with an aquatic center on 170 acres in the middle of this rural area over the objections of virtually all of the surrounding residents. The home owners living within a ½ mile of this project, who have enjoyed this rural area for over a collective 1,100 years, submitted a petition to the Board requesting denial of this project to protect our way of life and property values. The Board's decision to ignore the desires of local residents over the wishes of an out of town developer who lives 100 miles from the site on property that has been owned for less than 6 months is very troubling. There is no support from any of the residents adjacent to this project for the creation of a highly urbanized area in this rural community.

This proposed annexation covers 3 square miles and contains 282 lots with an average lot size of 6 acres. This proposed GPA would construct, on less than 2 percent of the 3 square miles, potentially 800 homes quadrupling the 282 lots that exist today. The proposed GPA creates a highly urbanized housing project that does not allow horses and discourages intensive animal keeping. There are 1000's of small lot subdivision home sites similar to this proposal within 2 miles of this site. The proposal to add more small lots in the last remaining rural area of this community is unwarranted. What reasonable plan places a highly urban use in the middle of a rural area?

Therefore we would request that the City of Menifee consider initiating an annexation of the 3 square miles to protect and preserve this area from urban encroachment, enlarge and enhance the rural areas designated on the City's General Plan and retain the rural natural of this community.

Thank you for your consideration and respectfully submitted,

James E. Hall

Date: 6-18-14

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

We have lived at 24650 EIB ST for 3 years.

18 June 2014

City of Menifee  
29714 Haun Road  
Menifee, CA 92586

RE: Initiation of Annexation of Rural Properties East of Briggs Road into the City of Menifee

Dear Council Members,

We would respectfully request that the City of Menifee consider annexing the properties contained in the three square mile area bounded by Scott Road on the South, Briggs Road on the West, Leon Road on the East and Old Newport Road on the North.

The homeowners moved to this community to enjoy a rural lifestyle and relied on the fact that the County's General Plan supported and encouraged the keeping of animals and intensive equestrian uses. A recent decision of the Board of Supervisors has put all of this in jeopardy. The Board has allowed a General Plan Amendment application to proceed which proposes 800+/- small urban lots with an aquatic center on 170 acres in the middle of this rural area over the objections of virtually all of the surrounding residents. The home owners living within a 1/2 mile of this project, who have enjoyed this rural area for over a collective 1,100 years, submitted a petition to the Board requesting denial of this project to protect our way of life and property values. The Board's decision to ignore the desires of local residents over the wishes of an out of town developer who lives 100 miles from the site on property that has been owned for less than 6 months is very troubling. There is no support from any of the residents adjacent to this project for the creation of a highly urbanized area in this rural community.

This proposed annexation covers 3 square miles and contains 282 lots with an average lot size of 6 acres. This proposed GPA would construct, on less than 2 percent of the 3 square miles, potentially 800 homes quadrupling the 282 lots that exist today. The proposed GPA creates a highly urbanized housing project that does not allow horses and discourages intensive animal keeping. There are 1000's of small lot subdivision home sites similar to this proposal within 2 miles of this site. The proposal to add more small lots in the last remaining rural area of this community is unwarranted. What reasonable plan places a highly urban use in the middle of a rural area?

Therefore we would request that the City of Menifee consider initiating an annexation of the 3 square miles to protect and preserve this area from urban encroachment, enlarge and enhance the rural areas designated on the City's General Plan and retain the rural natural of this community.

Thank you for your consideration and respectfully submitted,

  
\_\_\_\_\_

Date: 6-18-14  
Date: \_\_\_\_\_

We have lived at 24650 FIR ST for 3 years.

18 June 2014

City of Menifee  
29714 Haun Road  
Menifee, CA 92586

RE: Initiation of Annexation of Rural Properties East of Briggs Road into the City of Menifee

Dear Council Members,

We would respectfully request that the City of Menifee consider annexing the properties contained in the three square mile area bounded by Scott Road on the South, Briggs Road on the West, Leon Road on the East and Old Newport Road on the North.

The homeowners moved to this community to enjoy a rural lifestyle and relied on the fact that the County's General Plan supported and encouraged the keeping of animals and intensive equestrian uses. A recent decision of the Board of Supervisors has put all of this in jeopardy. The Board has allowed a General Plan Amendment application to proceed which proposes 800+/- small urban lots with an aquatic center on 170 acres in the middle of this rural area over the objections of virtually all of the surrounding residents. The home owners living within a ½ mile of this project, who have enjoyed this rural area for over a collective 1,100 years, submitted a petition to the Board requesting denial of this project to protect our way of life and property values. The Board's decision to ignore the desires of local residents over the wishes of an out of town developer who lives 100 miles from the site on property that has been owned for less than 6 months is very troubling. There is no support from any of the residents adjacent to this project for the creation of a highly urbanized area in this rural community.

This proposed annexation covers 3 square miles and contains 282 lots with an average lot size of 6 acres. This proposed GPA would construct, on less than 2 percent of the 3 square miles, potentially 800 homes quadrupling the 282 lots that exist today. The proposed GPA creates a highly urbanized housing project that does not allow horses and discourages intensive animal keeping. There are 1000's of small lot subdivision home sites similar to this proposal within 2 miles of this site. The proposal to add more small lots in the last remaining rural area of this community is unwarranted. What reasonable plan places a highly urban use in the middle of a rural area?

Therefore we would request that the City of Menifee consider initiating an annexation of the 3 square miles to protect and preserve this area from urban encroachment, enlarge and enhance the rural areas designated on the City's General Plan and retain the rural natural of this community.

Thank you for your consideration and respectfully submitted,

Austin Williams

Date: 6/18/14

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

We have lived at 31400 Science Hills Dr for 12 years.

18 June 2014

City of Menifee  
29714 Haun Road  
Menifee, CA 92586

RE: Initiation of Annexation of Rural Properties East of Briggs Road into the City of Menifee

Dear Council Members,


We would respectfully request that the City of Menifee consider annexing the properties contained in the three square mile area bounded by Scott Road on the South, Briggs Road on the West, Leon Road on the East and Old Newport Road on the North.

The homeowners moved to this community to enjoy a rural lifestyle and relied on the fact that the County's General Plan supported and encouraged the keeping of animals and intensive equestrian uses. A recent decision of the Board of Supervisors has put all of this in jeopardy. The Board has allowed a General Plan Amendment application to proceed which proposes 800+/- small urban lots with an aquatic center on 170 acres in the middle of this rural area over the objections of virtually all of the surrounding residents. The home owners living within a ½ mile of this project, who have enjoyed this rural area for over a collective 1,100 years, submitted a petition to the Board requesting denial of this project to protect our way of life and property values. The Board's decision to ignore the desires of local residents over the wishes of an out of town developer who lives 100 miles from the site on property that has been owned for less than 6 months is very troubling. There is no support from any of the residents adjacent to this project for the creation of a highly urbanized area in this rural community.

This proposed annexation covers 3 square miles and contains 282 lots with an average lot size of 6 acres. This proposed GPA would construct, on less than 2 percent of the 3 square miles, potentially 800 homes quadrupling the 282 lots that exist today. The proposed GPA creates a highly urbanized housing project that does not allow horses and discourages intensive animal keeping. There are 1000's of small lot subdivision home sites similar to this proposal within 2 miles of this site. The proposal to add more small lots in the last remaining rural area of this community is unwarranted. What reasonable plan places a highly urban use in the middle of a rural area?

Therefore we would request that the City of Menifee consider initiating an annexation of the 3 square miles to protect and preserve this area from urban encroachment, enlarge and enhance the rural areas designated on the City's General Plan and retain the rural natural of this community.

Thank you for your consideration and respectfully submitted,

  
Peggie Redondo

Date: 6-18-2014

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

We have lived at 34180 Elliot Rd for 13 years.

18 June 2014

City of Menifee  
29714 Haun Road  
Menifee, CA 92586

RE: Initiation of Annexation of Rural Properties East of Briggs Road into the City of Menifee

Dear Council Members,

We would respectfully request that the City of Menifee consider annexing the properties contained in the three square mile area bounded by Scott Road on the South, Briggs Road on the West, Leon Road on the East and Old Newport Road on the North.

The homeowners moved to this community to enjoy a rural lifestyle and relied on the fact that the County's General Plan supported and encouraged the keeping of animals and intensive equestrian uses. A recent decision of the Board of Supervisors has put all of this in jeopardy. The Board has allowed a General Plan Amendment application to proceed which proposes 800+/- small urban lots with an aquatic center on 170 acres in the middle of this rural area over the objections of virtually all of the surrounding residents. The home owners living within a ½ mile of this project, who have enjoyed this rural area for over a collective 1,100 years, submitted a petition to the Board requesting denial of this project to protect our way of life and property values. The Board's decision to ignore the desires of local residents over the wishes of an out of town developer who lives 100 miles from the site on property that has been owned for less than 6 months is very troubling. There is no support from any of the residents adjacent to this project for the creation of a highly urbanized area in this rural community.

This proposed annexation covers 3 square miles and contains 282 lots with an average lot size of 6 acres. This proposed GPA would construct, on less than 2 percent of the 3 square miles, potentially 800 homes quadrupling the 282 lots that exist today. The proposed GPA creates a highly urbanized housing project that does not allow horses and discourages intensive animal keeping. There are 1000's of small lot subdivision home sites similar to this proposal within 2 miles of this site. The proposal to add more small lots in the last remaining rural area of this community is unwarranted. What reasonable plan places a highly urban use in the middle of a rural area?

Therefore we would request that the City of Menifee consider initiating an annexation of the 3 square miles to protect and preserve this area from urban encroachment, enlarge and enhance the rural areas designated on the City's General Plan and retain the rural natural of this community.

Thank you for your consideration and respectfully submitted,

Blythe Ashcraft  
Blythe Ashcraft

Date: 6.18.14

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

We have lived at 33570 Mona Ln for 2 years.

Winchester 92596

18 June 2014

City of Menifee  
29714 Haun Road  
Menifee, CA 92586

RE: Initiation of Annexation of Rural Properties East of Briggs Road into the City of Menifee

Dear Council Members,


We would respectfully request that the City of Menifee consider annexing the properties contained in the three square mile area bounded by Scott Road on the South, Briggs Road on the West, Leon Road on the East and Old Newport Road on the North.

The homeowners moved to this community to enjoy a rural lifestyle and relied on the fact that the County's General Plan supported and encouraged the keeping of animals and intensive equestrian uses. A recent decision of the Board of Supervisors has put all of this in jeopardy. The Board has allowed a General Plan Amendment application to proceed which proposes 800+/- small urban lots with an aquatic center on 170 acres in the middle of this rural area over the objections of virtually all of the surrounding residents. The home owners living within a ½ mile of this project, who have enjoyed this rural area for over a collective 1,100 years, submitted a petition to the Board requesting denial of this project to protect our way of life and property values. The Board's decision to ignore the desires of local residents over the wishes of an out of town developer who lives 100 miles from the site on property that has been owned for less than 6 months is very troubling. There is no support from any of the residents adjacent to this project for the creation of a highly urbanized area in this rural community.

This proposed annexation covers 3 square miles and contains 282 lots with an average lot size of 6 acres. This proposed GPA would construct, on less than 2 percent of the 3 square miles, potentially 800 homes quadrupling the 282 lots that exist today. The proposed GPA creates a highly urbanized housing project that does not allow horses and discourages intensive animal keeping. There are 1000's of small lot subdivision home sites similar to this proposal within 2 miles of this site. The proposal to add more small lots in the last remaining rural area of this community is unwarranted. What reasonable plan places a highly urban use in the middle of a rural area?

Therefore we would request that the City of Menifee consider initiating an annexation of the 3 square miles to protect and preserve this area from urban encroachment, enlarge and enhance the rural areas designated on the City's General Plan and retain the rural natural of this community.

Thank you for your consideration and respectfully submitted,

  
\_\_\_\_\_

Date: 6/16/2014  
Date: \_\_\_\_\_

We have lived at \_\_\_\_\_ for \_\_\_\_\_ years.



18 June 2014

City of Menifee  
29714 Haun Road  
Menifee, CA 92586

RE: Initiation of Annexation of Rural Properties East of Briggs Road into the City of Menifee

Dear Council Members,


We would respectfully request that the City of Menifee consider annexing the properties contained in the three square mile area bounded by Scott Road on the South, Briggs Road on the West, Leon Road on the East and Old Newport Road on the North.

The homeowners moved to this community to enjoy a rural lifestyle and relied on the fact that the County's General Plan supported and encouraged the keeping of animals and intensive equestrian uses. A recent decision of the Board of Supervisors has put all of this in jeopardy. The Board has allowed a General Plan Amendment application to proceed which proposes 800+/- small urban lots with an aquatic center on 170 acres in the middle of this rural area over the objections of virtually all of the surrounding residents. The home owners living within a ½ mile of this project, who have enjoyed this rural area for over a collective 1,100 years, submitted a petition to the Board requesting denial of this project to protect our way of life and property values. The Board's decision to ignore the desires of local residents over the wishes of an out of town developer who lives 100 miles from the site on property that has been owned for less than 6 months is very troubling. There is no support from any of the residents adjacent to this project for the creation of a highly urbanized area in this rural community.

This proposed annexation covers 3 square miles and contains 282 lots with an average lot size of 6 acres. This proposed GPA would construct, on less than 2 percent of the 3 square miles, potentially 800 homes quadrupling the 282 lots that exist today. The proposed GPA creates a highly urbanized housing project that does not allow horses and discourages intensive animal keeping. There are 1000's of small lot subdivision home sites similar to this proposal within 2 miles of this site. The proposal to add more small lots in the last remaining rural area of this community is unwarranted. What reasonable plan places a highly urban use in the middle of a rural area?

Therefore we would request that the City of Menifee consider initiating an annexation of the 3 square miles to protect and preserve this area from urban encroachment, enlarge and enhance the rural areas designated on the City's General Plan and retain the rural natural of this community.

Thank you for your consideration and respectfully submitted,

  
\_\_\_\_\_

Date: 6/16/14

Date: 6/16/14

We have lived at 29725 Merjanian Rd for 2 years.

18 June 2014

City of Menifee  
29714 Haun Road  
Menifee, CA 92586

RE: Initiation of Annexation of Rural Properties East of Briggs Road into the City of Menifee

Dear Council Members,

We would respectfully request that the City of Menifee consider annexing the properties contained in the three square mile area bounded by Scott Road on the South, Briggs Road on the West, Leon Road on the East and Old Newport Road on the North.

The homeowners moved to this community to enjoy a rural lifestyle and relied on the fact that the County's General Plan supported and encouraged the keeping of animals and intensive equestrian uses. A recent decision of the Board of Supervisors has put all of this in jeopardy. The Board has allowed a General Plan Amendment application to proceed which proposes 800+/- small urban lots with an aquatic center on 170 acres in the middle of this rural area over the objections of virtually all of the surrounding residents. The home owners living within a ½ mile of this project, who have enjoyed this rural area for over a collective 1,100 years, submitted a petition to the Board requesting denial of this project to protect our way of life and property values. The Board's decision to ignore the desires of local residents over the wishes of an out of town developer who lives 100 miles from the site on property that has been owned for less than 6 months is very troubling. There is no support from any of the residents adjacent to this project for the creation of a highly urbanized area in this rural community.

This proposed annexation covers 3 square miles and contains 282 lots with an average lot size of 6 acres. This proposed GPA would construct, on less than 2 percent of the 3 square miles, potentially 800 homes quadrupling the 282 lots that exist today. The proposed GPA creates a highly urbanized housing project that does not allow horses and discourages intensive animal keeping. There are 1000's of small lot subdivision home sites similar to this proposal within 2 miles of this site. The proposal to add more small lots in the last remaining rural area of this community is unwarranted. What reasonable plan places a highly urban use in the middle of a rural area?

Therefore we would request that the City of Menifee consider initiating an annexation of the 3 square miles to protect and preserve this area from urban encroachment, enlarge and enhance the rural areas designated on the City's General Plan and retain the rural natural of this community.

Thank you for your consideration and respectfully submitted,

  
\_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
Date: 6-16-14

We have lived at 29610 Mejanian Rd for 20 years.  
Menifee, CA

23 April 2014

Riverside County Board of Supervisors  
4080 Lemon Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Riverside, CA 92501

RE: Request for Denial of GPA 1129  
Meeting Date 4/29/2014

Dear Supervisors,

We are home owners adjacent to this project and are categorically opposed to GPA 1129. We do not support this application for an Extraordinary Foundation Amendment to amend the General Plan Land Use Designation from Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR) (2 Acre Minimum) to Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD:MDR) (2-5 DU/Ac).

This proposal is located in the center of a large area reserved as a rural area by the County, Menifee and Murrieta. There are no extraordinary reasons to justify moving this application forward at this time or any time in the future. This rural community should not be adversely affected by the detrimental effects of this application on our way of life.

This proposal will place high density urban development (5 homes to the acre) in the middle of a rural area that is preserved by the General Plan as an Estate Residential area (2 acre Lots) and will destroy the rural nature of our community. There are a total of over 20,000 small lot home sites (7,000 existing and 13,000 approved but not constructed) in the 2 miles surrounding this site. The proposal to add 600 more small lots homes within this Rural Policy Area is not needed or warranted and should be denied by the Board.

We would support a development that complies with the General Plan and constructs 2-acre Estate Residential homes on this property.

Please honor the Riverside County Strategic Plan stated mission and goals and protect the Vision of the General Plan by denying this request and preserving this area for rural uses.

Respectfully submitted

Wade Richards  
30735 Garbani Rd -

We have been at this property for 20 years

23 April 2014

Riverside County Board of Supervisors  
4080 Lemon Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Riverside, CA 92501

RE: Request for Denial of GPA 1129  
Meeting Date 4/29/2014

Dear Supervisors,

We are home owners adjacent to this project and are categorically opposed to GPA 1129. We do not support this application for an Extraordinary Foundation Amendment to amend the General Plan Land Use Designation from Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR) (2 Acre Minimum) to Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD:MDR) (2-5 DU/Ac).

This proposal is located in the center of a large area reserved as a rural area by the County, Menifee and Murrieta. There are no extraordinary reasons to justify moving this application forward at this time or any time in the future. This rural community should not be adversely affected by the detrimental effects of this application on our way of life.

This proposal will place high density urban development (5 homes to the acre) in the middle of a rural area that is preserved by the General Plan as an Estate Residential area (2 acre Lots) and will destroy the rural nature of our community. There are a total of over 20,000 small lot home sites (7,000 existing and 13,000 approved but not constructed) in the 2 miles surrounding this site. The proposal to add 600 more small lots homes within this Rural Policy Area is not needed or warranted and should be denied by the Board.

We would support a development that complies with the General Plan and constructs 2-acre Estate Residential homes on this property.

Please honor the Riverside County Strategic Plan stated mission and goals and protect the Vision of the General Plan by denying this request and preserving this area for rural uses.

Respectfully submitted

Gretchen A. Herndon 4/27/14  
30940 Garbani Rd., Winchester 92596

We have been at this property for 40 years

23 April 2014

Riverside County Board of Supervisors  
4080 Lemon Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Riverside, CA 92501

RE: Request for Denial of GPA 1129  
Meeting Date 4/29/2014

Dear Supervisors,

We are home owners adjacent to this project and are categorically opposed to GPA 1129. We do not support this application for an Extraordinary Foundation Amendment to amend the General Plan Land Use Designation from Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR) (2 Acre Minimum) to Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD:MDR) (2-5 DU/Ac).

This proposal is located in the center of a large area reserved as a rural area by the County, Menifee and Murrieta. There are no extraordinary reasons to justify moving this application forward at this time or any time in the future. This rural community should not be adversely affected by the detrimental effects of this application on our way of life.

This proposal will place high density urban development (5 homes to the acre) in the middle of a rural area that is preserved by the General Plan as an Estate Residential area (2 acre Lots) and will destroy the rural nature of our community. There are a total of over 20,000 small lot home sites (7,000 existing and 13,000 approved but not constructed) in the 2 miles surrounding this site. The proposal to add 600 more small lots homes within this Rural Policy Area is not needed or warranted and should be denied by the Board.

We would support a development that complies with the General Plan and constructs 2-acre Estate Residential homes on this property.

Please honor the Riverside County Strategic Plan stated mission and goals and protect the Vision of the General Plan by denying this request and preserving this area for rural uses.

Respectfully submitted

Samuel L. Hernandez      4-27-14

30940 GARBAVI RD,      WINCHESTER 92596

We have been at this property for 40 years

23 April 2014

Riverside County Board of Supervisors  
4080 Lemon Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Riverside, CA 92501

RE: Request for Denial of GPA 1129  
Meeting Date 4/29/2014

Dear Supervisors,

We are home owners adjacent to this project and are categorically opposed to GPA 1129. We do not support this application for an Extraordinary Foundation Amendment to amend the General Plan Land Use Designation from Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR) (2 Acre Minimum) to Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD:MDR) (2-5 DU/Ac).

This proposal is located in the center of a large area reserved as a rural area by the County, Menifee and Murrieta. There are no extraordinary reasons to justify moving this application forward at this time or any time in the future. This rural community should not be adversely affected by the detrimental effects of this application on our way of life.

This proposal will place high density urban development (5 homes to the acre) in the middle of a rural area that is preserved by the General Plan as an Estate Residential area (2 acre Lots) and will destroy the rural nature of our community. There are a total of over 20,000 small lot home sites (7,000 existing and 13,000 approved but not constructed) in the 2 miles surrounding this site. The proposal to add 600 more small lots homes within this Rural Policy Area is not needed or warranted and should be denied by the Board.

We would support a development that complies with the General Plan and constructs 2-acre Estate Residential homes on this property.

Please honor the Riverside County Strategic Plan stated mission and goals and protect the Vision of the General Plan by denying this request and preserving this area for rural uses.

Respectfully submitted

 \_\_\_\_\_ 4-26-14

\_\_\_\_\_  
We have been at this property for 25 years

23 April 2014

Riverside County Board of Supervisors  
4080 Lemon Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Riverside, CA 92501

RE: Request for Denial of GPA 1129  
Meeting Date 4/29/2014

Dear Supervisors,

We are home owners adjacent to this project and are categorically opposed to GPA 1129. We do not support this application for an Extraordinary Foundation Amendment to amend the General Plan Land Use Designation from Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR) (2 Acre Minimum) to Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD:MDR) (2-5 DU/Ac).

This proposal is located in the center of a large area reserved as a rural area by the County, Menifee and Murrieta. There are no extraordinary reasons to justify moving this application forward at this time or any time in the future. This rural community should not be adversely affected by the detrimental effects of this application on our way of life.

This proposal will place high density urban development (5 homes to the acre) in the middle of a rural area that is preserved by the General Plan as an Estate Residential area (2 acre Lots) and will destroy the rural nature of our community. There are a total of over 20,000 small lot home sites (7,000 existing and 13,000 approved but not constructed) in the 2 miles surrounding this site. The proposal to add 600 more small lots homes within this Rural Policy Area is not needed or warranted and should be denied by the Board.

We would support a development that complies with the General Plan and constructs 2-acre Estate Residential homes on this property.

Please honor the Riverside County Strategic Plan stated mission and goals and protect the Vision of the General Plan by denying this request and preserving this area for rural uses.

Respectfully submitted

 4-21-14

\_\_\_\_\_  
We have been at this property for 2 years

23 April 2014

Riverside County Board of Supervisors  
4080 Lemon Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Riverside, CA 92501

RE: Request for Denial of GPA 1129  
Meeting Date 4/29/2014

Dear Supervisors,

We are home owners adjacent to this project and are categorically opposed to GPA 1129. We do not support this application for an Extraordinary Foundation Amendment to amend the General Plan Land Use Designation from Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR) (2 Acre Minimum) to Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD:MDR) (2-5 DU/Ac).

This proposal is located in the center of a large area reserved as a rural area by the County, Menifee and Murrieta. There are no extraordinary reasons to justify moving this application forward at this time or any time in the future. This rural community should not be adversely affected by the detrimental effects of this application on our way of life.

This proposal will place high density urban development (5 homes to the acre) in the middle of a rural area that is preserved by the General Plan as an Estate Residential area (2 acre Lots) and will destroy the rural nature of our community. There are a total of over 20,000 small lot home sites (7,000 existing and 13,000 approved but not constructed) in the 2 miles surrounding this site. The proposal to add 600 more small lots homes within this Rural Policy Area is not needed or warranted and should be denied by the Board.

We would support a development that complies with the General Plan and constructs 2-acre Estate Residential homes on this property.

Please honor the Riverside County Strategic Plan stated mission and goals and protect the Vision of the General Plan by denying this request and preserving this area for rural uses.

Respectfully submitted

Marsha Beall 4-28-14  
30811 GARBARU Rd - Winchester

We have been at this property for 26 years



23 April 2014

Riverside County Board of Supervisors  
4080 Lemon Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Riverside, CA 92501

RE: Request for Denial of GPA 1129  
Meeting Date 4/29/2014

Dear Supervisors,

We are home owners adjacent to this project and are categorically opposed to GPA 1129. We do not support this application for an Extraordinary Foundation Amendment to amend the General Plan Land Use Designation from Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR) (2 Acre Minimum) to Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD:MDR) (2-5 DU/Ac).


This proposal is located in the center of a large area reserved as a rural area by the County, Menifee and Murrieta. There are no extraordinary reasons to justify moving this application forward at this time or any time in the future. This rural community should not be adversely affected by the detrimental effects of this application on our way of life.

This proposal will place high density urban development (5 homes to the acre) in the middle of a rural area that is preserved by the General Plan as an Estate Residential area (2 acre Lots) and will destroy the rural nature of our community. There are a total of over 20,000 small lot home sites (7,000 existing and 13,000 approved but not constructed) in the 2 miles surrounding this site. The proposal to add 600 more small lots homes within this Rural Policy Area is not needed or warranted and should be denied by the Board.

We would support a development that complies with the General Plan and constructs 2-acre Estate Residential homes on this property.

Please honor the Riverside County Strategic Plan stated mission and goals and protect the Vision of the General Plan by denying this request and preserving this area for rural uses.

Respectfully submitted

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
30811 GARBAI ROAD, WINCHESTER

4/27/14  
\_\_\_\_\_

We have been at this property for 26 years

23 April 2014

Riverside County Board of Supervisors  
4080 Lemon Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Riverside, CA 92501

RE: Request for Denial of GPA 1129  
Meeting Date 4/29/2014

Dear Supervisors,

We are home owners adjacent to this project and are categorically opposed to GPA 1129. We do not support this application for an Extraordinary Foundation Amendment to amend the General Plan Land Use Designation from Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR) (2 Acre Minimum) to Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD:MDR) (2-5 DU/Ac).


This proposal is located in the center of a large area reserved as a rural area by the County, Menifee and Murrieta. There are no extraordinary reasons to justify moving this application forward at this time or any time in the future. This rural community should not be adversely affected by the detrimental effects of this application on our way of life.

This proposal will place high density urban development (5 homes to the acre) in the middle of a rural area that is preserved by the General Plan as an Estate Residential area (2 acre Lots) and will destroy the rural nature of our community. There are a total of over 20,000 small lot home sites (7,000 existing and 13,000 approved but not constructed) in the 2 miles surrounding this site. The proposal to add 600 more small lots homes within this Rural Policy Area is not needed or warranted and should be denied by the Board.

We would support a development that complies with the General Plan and constructs 2-acre Estate Residential homes on this property.

Please honor the Riverside County Strategic Plan stated mission and goals and protect the Vision of the General Plan by denying this request and preserving this area for rural uses.

Respectfully submitted

 - Randy Dennis  
31830 Briggs Rd, Menifee, CA

We have been at this property for 14 years

23 April 2014

Riverside County Board of Supervisors  
4080 Lemon Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Riverside, CA 92501

RE: Request for Denial of GPA 1129  
Meeting Date 4/29/2014

Dear Supervisors,

We are home owners adjacent to this project and are categorically opposed to GPA 1129. We do not support this application for an Extraordinary Foundation Amendment to amend the General Plan Land Use Designation from Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR) (2 Acre Minimum) to Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD:MDR) (2-5 DU/Ac).

This proposal is located in the center of a large area reserved as a rural area by the County, Menifee and Murrieta. There are no extraordinary reasons to justify moving this application forward at this time or any time in the future. This rural community should not be adversely affected by the detrimental effects of this application on our way of life.

This proposal will place high density urban development (5 homes to the acre) in the middle of a rural area that is preserved by the General Plan as an Estate Residential area (2 acre Lots) and will destroy the rural nature of our community. There are a total of over 20,000 small lot home sites (7,000 existing and 13,000 approved but not constructed) in the 2 miles surrounding this site. The proposal to add 600 more small lots homes within this Rural Policy Area is not needed or warranted and should be denied by the Board.

We would support a development that complies with the General Plan and constructs 2-acre Estate Residential homes on this property.

Please honor the Riverside County Strategic Plan stated mission and goals and protect the Vision of the General Plan by denying this request and preserving this area for rural uses.

Respectfully submitted

  
\_\_\_\_\_

We have been at this property for 20 years

23 April 2014

Riverside County Board of Supervisors  
4080 Lemon Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Riverside, CA 92501

RE: Request for Denial of GPA 1129  
Meeting Date 4/29/2014

Dear Supervisors,

We are home owners adjacent to this project and are categorically opposed to GPA 1129. We do not support this application for an Extraordinary Foundation Amendment to amend the General Plan Land Use Designation from Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR) (2 Acre Minimum) to Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD:MDR) (2-5 DU/Ac).

This proposal is located in the center of a large area reserved as a rural area by the County, Menifee and Murrieta. There are no extraordinary reasons to justify moving this application forward at this time or any time in the future. This rural community should not be adversely affected by the detrimental effects of this application on our way of life.

This proposal will place high density urban development (5 homes to the acre) in the middle of a rural area that is preserved by the General Plan as an Estate Residential area (2 acre Lots) and will destroy the rural nature of our community. There are a total of over 20,000 small lot home sites (7,000 existing and 13,000 approved but not constructed) in the 2 miles surrounding this site. The proposal to add 600 more small lots homes within this Rural Policy Area is not needed or warranted and should be denied by the Board.

We would support a development that complies with the General Plan and constructs 2-acre Estate Residential homes on this property.

Please honor the Riverside County Strategic Plan stated mission and goals and protect the Vision of the General Plan by denying this request and preserving this area for rural uses.

Respectfully submitted

Jeff Miasie      4/23/14  
JEFF MIASIE

We have been at this property for 24 years

29735 Keape Circle  
Menifee Ca 92584

23 April 2014

Riverside County Board of Supervisors  
4080 Lemon Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Riverside, CA 92501

RE: Request for Denial of GPA 1129  
Meeting Date 4/29/2014

Dear Supervisors,

We are home owners adjacent to this project and are categorically opposed to GPA 1129. We do not support this application for an Extraordinary Foundation Amendment to amend the General Plan Land Use Designation from Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR) (2 Acre Minimum) to Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD:MDR) (2-5 DU/Ac).


This proposal is located in the center of a large area reserved as a rural area by the County, Menifee and Murrieta. There are no extraordinary reasons to justify moving this application forward at this time or any time in the future. This rural community should not be adversely affected by the detrimental effects of this application on our way of life.

This proposal will place high density urban development (5 homes to the acre) in the middle of a rural area that is preserved by the General Plan as an Estate Residential area (2 acre Lots) and will destroy the rural nature of our community. There are a total of over 20,000 small lot home sites (7,000 existing and 13,000 approved but not constructed) in the 2 miles surrounding this site. The proposal to add 600 more small lots homes within this Rural Policy Area is not needed or warranted and should be denied by the Board.

We would support a development that complies with the General Plan and constructs 2-acre Estate Residential homes on this property.

Please honor the Riverside County Strategic Plan stated mission and goals and protect the Vision of the General Plan by denying this request and preserving this area for rural uses.

Respectfully submitted

  
\_\_\_\_\_ 4.25.14

\_\_\_\_\_

We have been at this property for 15 years

23 April 2014

Riverside County Board of Supervisors  
4080 Lemon Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Riverside, CA 92501

RE: Request for Denial of GPA 1129  
Meeting Date 4/29/2014

Dear Supervisors,

We are home owners adjacent to this project and are categorically opposed to GPA 1129. We do not support this application for an Extraordinary Foundation Amendment to amend the General Plan Land Use Designation from Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR) (2 Acre Minimum) to Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD:MDR) (2-5 DU/Ac).


This proposal is located in the center of a large area reserved as a rural area by the County, Menifee and Murrieta. There are no extraordinary reasons to justify moving this application forward at this time or any time in the future. This rural community should not be adversely affected by the detrimental effects of this application on our way of life.

This proposal will place high density urban development (5 homes to the acre) in the middle of a rural area that is preserved by the General Plan as an Estate Residential area (2 acre Lots) and will destroy the rural nature of our community. There are a total of over 20,000 small lot home sites (7,000 existing and 13,000 approved but not constructed) in the 2 miles surrounding this site. The proposal to add 600 more small lots homes within this Rural Policy Area is not needed or warranted and should be denied by the Board.

We would support a development that complies with the General Plan and constructs 2-acre Estate Residential homes on this property.

Please honor the Riverside County Strategic Plan stated mission and goals and protect the Vision of the General Plan by denying this request and preserving this area for rural uses.

Respectfully submitted

 \_\_\_\_\_ 4-25-14

\_\_\_\_\_

We have been at this property for 15 years

23 April 2014

Riverside County Board of Supervisors  
4080 Lemon Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Riverside, CA 92501

RE: Request for Denial of GPA 1129  
Meeting Date 4/29/2014

Dear Supervisors,

We are home owners adjacent to this project and are categorically opposed to GPA 1129. We do not support this application for an Extraordinary Foundation Amendment to amend the General Plan Land Use Designation from Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR) (2 Acre Minimum) to Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD:MDR) (2-5 DU/Ac).


This proposal is located in the center of a large area reserved as a rural area by the County, Menifee and Murrieta. There are no extraordinary reasons to justify moving this application forward at this time or any time in the future. This rural community should not be adversely affected by the detrimental effects of this application on our way of life.

This proposal will place high density urban development (5 homes to the acre) in the middle of a rural area that is preserved by the General Plan as an Estate Residential area (2 acre Lots) and will destroy the rural nature of our community. There are a total of over 20,000 small lot home sites (7,000 existing and 13,000 approved but not constructed) in the 2 miles surrounding this site. The proposal to add 600 more small lots homes within this Rural Policy Area is not needed or warranted and should be denied by the Board.

We would support a development that complies with the General Plan and constructs 2-acre Estate Residential homes on this property.

Please honor the Riverside County Strategic Plan stated mission and goals and protect the Vision of the General Plan by denying this request and preserving this area for rural uses.

Respectfully submitted

 \_\_\_\_\_ 4-25-14  
\_\_\_\_\_

We have been at this property for 13 years

23 April 2014

Riverside County Board of Supervisors  
4080 Lemon Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Riverside, CA 92501

RE: Request for Denial of GPA 1129  
Meeting Date 4/29/2014

Dear Supervisors,

We are home owners adjacent to this project and are categorically opposed to GPA 1129. We do not support this application for an Extraordinary Foundation Amendment to amend the General Plan Land Use Designation from Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR) (2 Acre Minimum) to Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD:MDR) (2-5 DU/Ac).

This proposal is located in the center of a large area reserved as a rural area by the County, Menifee and Murrieta. There are no extraordinary reasons to justify moving this application forward at this time or any time in the future. This rural community should not be adversely affected by the detrimental effects of this application on our way of life.

This proposal will place high density urban development (5 homes to the acre) in the middle of a rural area that is preserved by the General Plan as an Estate Residential area (2 acre Lots) and will destroy the rural nature of our community. There are a total of over 20,000 small lot home sites (7,000 existing and 13,000 approved but not constructed) in the 2 miles surrounding this site. The proposal to add 600 more small lots homes within this Rural Policy Area is not needed or warranted and should be denied by the Board.

We would support a development that complies with the General Plan and constructs 2-acre Estate Residential homes on this property.

Please honor the Riverside County Strategic Plan stated mission and goals and protect the Vision of the General Plan by denying this request and preserving this area for rural uses.

Respectfully submitted

Edwards Sanchez      4/25/14  
29935 Maxine Ln      Menifee CA 92584

We have been at this property for 10 years



23 April 2014

Riverside County Board of Supervisors  
4080 Lemon Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Riverside, CA 92501

RE: Request for Denial of GPA 1129  
Meeting Date 4/29/2014

Dear Supervisors,

We are home owners adjacent to this project and are categorically opposed to GPA 1129. We do not support this application for an Extraordinary Foundation Amendment to amend the General Plan Land Use Designation from Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR) (2 Acre Minimum) to Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD:MDR) (2-5 DU/Ac).

This proposal is located in the center of a large area reserved as a rural area by the County, Menifee and Murrieta. There are no extraordinary reasons to justify moving this application forward at this time or any time in the future. This rural community should not be adversely affected by the detrimental effects of this application on our way of life.

This proposal will place high density urban development (5 homes to the acre) in the middle of a rural area that is preserved by the General Plan as an Estate Residential area (2 acre Lots) and will destroy the rural nature of our community. There are a total of over 20,000 small lot home sites (7,000 existing and 13,000 approved but not constructed) in the 2 miles surrounding this site. The proposal to add 600 more small lots homes within this Rural Policy Area is not needed or warranted and should be denied by the Board.

We would support a development that complies with the General Plan and constructs 2-acre Estate Residential homes on this property.

Please honor the Riverside County Strategic Plan stated mission and goals and protect the Vision of the General Plan by denying this request and preserving this area for rural uses.

Respectfully submitted

Meria E Sanchez      4/26/14  
26935 Maxine Ln Menifee, CA 92584

We have been at this property for 10 years

23 April 2014

Riverside County Board of Supervisors  
4080 Lemon Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Riverside, CA 92501

RE: Request for Denial of GPA 1129  
Meeting Date 4/29/2014

Dear Supervisors,

We are home owners adjacent to this project and are categorically opposed to GPA 1129. We do not support this application for an Extraordinary Foundation Amendment to amend the General Plan Land Use Designation from Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR) (2 Acre Minimum) to Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD:MDR) (2-5 DU/Ac).

This proposal is located in the center of a large area reserved as a rural area by the County, Menifee and Murrieta. There are no extraordinary reasons to justify moving this application forward at this time or any time in the future. This rural community should not be adversely affected by the detrimental effects of this application on our way of life.

This proposal will place high density urban development (5 homes to the acre) in the middle of a rural area that is preserved by the General Plan as an Estate Residential area (2 acre Lots) and will destroy the rural nature of our community. There are a total of over 20,000 small lot home sites (7,000 existing and 13,000 approved but not constructed) in the 2 miles surrounding this site. The proposal to add 600 more small lots homes within this Rural Policy Area is not needed or warranted and should be denied by the Board.

We would support a development that complies with the General Plan and constructs 2-acre Estate Residential homes on this property.

Please honor the Riverside County Strategic Plan stated mission and goals and protect the Vision of the General Plan by denying this request and preserving this area for rural uses.

Respectfully submitted

*Nemes N Martin-Gallego*      04-25-2014  
29841 MAXINE LN      MENIFEE, CA 92584

We have been at this property for 9 years

23 April 2014

Riverside County Board of Supervisors  
4080 Lemon Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Riverside, CA 92501

RE: Request for Denial of GPA 1129  
Meeting Date 4/29/2014

Dear Supervisors,

We are home owners adjacent to this project and are categorically opposed to GPA 1129. We do not support this application for an Extraordinary Foundation Amendment to amend the General Plan Land Use Designation from Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR) (2 Acre Minimum) to Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD:MDR) (2-5 DU/Ac).


This proposal is located in the center of a large area reserved as a rural area by the County, Menifee and Murrieta. There are no extraordinary reasons to justify moving this application forward at this time or any time in the future. This rural community should not be adversely affected by the detrimental effects of this application on our way of life.

This proposal will place high density urban development (5 homes to the acre) in the middle of a rural area that is preserved by the General Plan as an Estate Residential area (2 acre Lots) and will destroy the rural nature of our community. There are a total of over 20,000 small lot home sites (7,000 existing and 13,000 approved but not constructed) in the 2 miles surrounding this site. The proposal to add 600 more small lots homes within this Rural Policy Area is not needed or warranted and should be denied by the Board.

We would support a development that complies with the General Plan and constructs 2-acre Estate Residential homes on this property.

Please honor the Riverside County Strategic Plan stated mission and goals and protect the Vision of the General Plan by denying this request and preserving this area for rural uses.

Respectfully submitted



4-25-2014

298 91 MAXINE LN

MENIFEE CA 92584

We have been at this property for 9 years

23 April 2014

Riverside County Board of Supervisors  
4080 Lemon Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Riverside, CA 92501

RE: Request for Denial of GPA 1129  
Meeting Date 4/29/2014

Dear Supervisors,

We are home owners adjacent to this project and are categorically opposed to GPA 1129. We do not support this application for an Extraordinary Foundation Amendment to amend the General Plan Land Use Designation from Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR) (2 Acre Minimum) to Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD:MDR) (2-5 DU/Ac).


This proposal is located in the center of a large area reserved as a rural area by the County, Menifee and Murrieta. There are no extraordinary reasons to justify moving this application forward at this time or any time in the future. This rural community should not be adversely affected by the detrimental effects of this application on our way of life.

This proposal will place high density urban development (5 homes to the acre) in the middle of a rural area that is preserved by the General Plan as an Estate Residential area (2 acre Lots) and will destroy the rural nature of our community. There are a total of over 20,000 small lot home sites (7,000 existing and 13,000 approved but not constructed) in the 2 miles surrounding this site. The proposal to add 600 more small lots homes within this Rural Policy Area is not needed or warranted and should be denied by the Board.

We would support a development that complies with the General Plan and constructs 2-acre Estate Residential homes on this property.

Please honor the Riverside County Strategic Plan stated mission and goals and protect the Vision of the General Plan by denying this request and preserving this area for rural uses.

Respectfully submitted

  
\_\_\_\_\_

We have been at this property for 14 years

Supervisors

PA 1129

We are home owners adjacent to this project and are categorically opposed to GPA 1129. We do not support this application for an Extraordinary Foundation Amendment to amend the General Plan Land Use Designation from Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR) (2 Acre Minimum) to Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD:MDR) (2-5 DU/Ac).


This proposal is located in the center of a large area reserved as a rural area by the County, Menifee and Murrieta. There are no extraordinary reasons to justify moving this application forward at this time or any time in the future. This rural community should not be adversely affected by the detrimental effects of this application on our way of life.

This proposal will place high density urban development (5 homes to the acre) in the middle of a rural area that is preserved by the General Plan as an Estate Residential area (2 acre Lots) and will destroy the rural nature of our community. There are a total of over 20,000 small lot home sites (7,000 existing and 13,000 approved but not constructed) in the 2 miles surrounding this site. The proposal to add 600 more small lots homes within this Rural Policy Area is not needed or warranted and should be denied by the Board.

We would support a development that complies with the General Plan and constructs 2-acre Estate Residential homes on this property.

Please honor the Riverside County Strategic Plan stated mission and goals and protect the Vision of the General Plan by denying this request and preserving this area for rural uses.

Respectfully submitted

Carlos Curdas  4/26/14  
29722 Merjanion Rd, Menifee Ca 92584

We have been at this property for 4 years

Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

IN RE: [Name of Debtor]

Chapter 11

The Debtor, [Name of Debtor], a corporation organized under the laws of the State of New York, has filed with the Court a Chapter 11 reorganization plan (the "Plan") and a motion for the Court to confirm the Plan (the "Motion"). The Plan provides for the liquidation of the Debtor's assets and the distribution of the proceeds thereof to its creditors.

The Plan provides that the Debtor's assets shall be sold and the proceeds thereof distributed to its creditors in accordance with the following priority scheme: (1) secured creditors; (2) unsecured creditors; (3) equity holders.

The Plan also provides that the Debtor shall pay certain administrative expenses and professional fees out of its assets. The Plan further provides that the Debtor shall pay certain taxes and other obligations out of its assets.

The Debtor requests that the Court confirm the Plan and grant the Motion.

The Debtor certifies that the Plan is in its best interests and that it complies with the requirements of the Bankruptcy Code.

Respectfully,  
[Signature]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

IN RE: [Name of Debtor]

1

23 April 2014

Riverside County Board of Supervisors  
4080 Lemon Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Riverside, CA 92501

RE: Request for Denial of GPA 1129  
Meeting Date 4/29/2014

Dear Supervisors,

We are home owners adjacent to this project and are categorically opposed to GPA 1129. We do not support this application for an Extraordinary Foundation Amendment to amend the General Plan Land Use Designation from Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR) (2 Acre Minimum) to Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD:MDR) (2-5 DU/Ac).

This proposal is located in the center of a large area reserved as a rural area by the County, Menifee and Murrieta. There are no extraordinary reasons to justify moving this application forward at this time or any time in the future. This rural community should not be adversely affected by the detrimental effects of this application on our way of life.

This proposal will place high density urban development (5 homes to the acre) in the middle of a rural area that is preserved by the General Plan as an Estate Residential area (2 acre Lots) and will destroy the rural nature of our community. There are a total of over 20,000 small lot home sites (7,000 existing and 13,000 approved but not constructed) in the 2 miles surrounding this site. The proposal to add 600 more small lots homes within this Rural Policy Area is not needed or warranted and should be denied by the Board.

We would support a development that complies with the General Plan and constructs 2-acre Estate Residential homes on this property.

Please honor the Riverside County Strategic Plan stated mission and goals and protect the Vision of the General Plan by denying this request and preserving this area for rural uses.

Respectfully submitted

LUIS RAMIREZ      4-23-14  
31764 BRIGGS ST.      31764

We have been at this property for 9 years

23 April 2014

Riverside County Board of Supervisors  
4080 Lemon Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Riverside, CA 92501

RE: Request for Denial of GPA 1129  
Meeting Date 4/29/2014

Dear Supervisors,

We are home owners adjacent to this project and are categorically opposed to GPA 1129. We do not support this application for an Extraordinary Foundation Amendment to amend the General Plan Land Use Designation from Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR) (2 Acre Minimum) to Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD:MDR) (2-5 DU/Ac).

This proposal is located in the center of a large area reserved as a rural area by the County, Menifee and Murrieta. There are no extraordinary reasons to justify moving this application forward at this time or any time in the future. This rural community should not be adversely affected by the detrimental effects of this application on our way of life.

This proposal will place high density urban development (5 homes to the acre) in the middle of a rural area that is preserved by the General Plan as an Estate Residential area (2 acre Lots) and will destroy the rural nature of our community. There are a total of over 20,000 small lot home sites (7,000 existing and 13,000 approved but not constructed) in the 2 miles surrounding this site. The proposal to add 600 more small lots homes within this Rural Policy Area is not needed or warranted and should be denied by the Board.

We would support a development that complies with the General Plan and constructs 2-acre Estate Residential homes on this property.

Please honor the Riverside County Strategic Plan stated mission and goals and protect the Vision of the General Plan by denying this request and preserving this area for rural uses.

Respectfully submitted

Connie J. DePhillips      4/29/14

We have been at this property for 26 years



23 April 2014

Riverside County Board of Supervisors  
4080 Lemon Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Riverside, CA 92501

RE: Request for Denial of GPA 1129  
Meeting Date 4/29/2014

Dear Supervisors,

We are home owners adjacent to this project and are categorically opposed to GPA 1129. We do not support this application for an Extraordinary Foundation Amendment to amend the General Plan Land Use Designation from Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR) (2 Acre Minimum) to Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD:MDR) (2-5 DU/Ac).

This proposal is located in the center of a large area reserved as a rural area by the County, Menifee and Murrieta. There are no extraordinary reasons to justify moving this application forward at this time or any time in the future. This rural community should not be adversely affected by the detrimental effects of this application on our way of life.

This proposal will place high density urban development (5 homes to the acre) in the middle of a rural area that is preserved by the General Plan as an Estate Residential area (2 acre Lots) and will destroy the rural nature of our community. There are a total of over 20,000 small lot home sites (7,000 existing and 13,000 approved but not constructed) in the 2 miles surrounding this site. The proposal to add 600 more small lots homes within this Rural Policy Area is not needed or warranted and should be denied by the Board.

We would support a development that complies with the General Plan and constructs 2-acre Estate Residential homes on this property.

Please honor the Riverside County Strategic Plan stated mission and goals and protect the Vision of the General Plan by denying this request and preserving this area for rural uses.

Respectfully submitted

JEFF SIVESIND / 29630 GARLAND LN  
MENIFEE CA 92584  
4-23-14

We have been at this property for 20 years

23 April 2014

Riverside County Board of Supervisors  
4080 Lemon Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Riverside, CA 92501

RE: Request for Denial of GPA 1129  
Meeting Date 4/29/2014

Dear Supervisors,

We are home owners adjacent to this project and are categorically opposed to GPA 1129. We do not support this application for an Extraordinary Foundation Amendment to amend the General Plan Land Use Designation from Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR) (2 Acre Minimum) to Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD:MDR) (2-5 DU/Ac).

This proposal is located in the center of a large area reserved as a rural area by the County, Menifee and Murrieta. There are no extraordinary reasons to justify moving this application forward at this time or any time in the future. This rural community should not be adversely affected by the detrimental effects of this application on our way of life.

This proposal will place high density urban development (5 homes to the acre) in the middle of a rural area that is preserved by the General Plan as an Estate Residential area (2 acre Lots) and will destroy the rural nature of our community. There are a total of over 20,000 small lot home sites (7,000 existing and 13,000 approved but not constructed) in the 2 miles surrounding this site. The proposal to add 600 more small lots homes within this Rural Policy Area is not needed or warranted and should be denied by the Board.

We would support a development that complies with the General Plan and constructs 2-acre Estate Residential homes on this property.

Please honor the Riverside County Strategic Plan stated mission and goals and protect the Vision of the General Plan by denying this request and preserving this area for rural uses.

Respectfully submitted

Frank Komberg  
29620 Granada Lane Menifee Ca 92584  
Franka Komberg 4-23-14

We have been at this property for 16 years

23 April 2014

Riverside County Board of Supervisors  
4080 Lemon Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Riverside, CA 92501

RE: Request for Denial of GPA 1129  
Meeting Date 4/29/2014

Dear Supervisors,

We are home owners adjacent to this project and are categorically opposed to GPA 1129. We do not support this application for an Extraordinary Foundation Amendment to amend the General Plan Land Use Designation from Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR) (2 Acre Minimum) to Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD:MDR) (2-5 DU/Ac).

This proposal is located in the center of a large area reserved as a rural area by the County, Menifee and Murrieta. There are no extraordinary reasons to justify moving this application forward at this time or any time in the future. This rural community should not be adversely affected by the detrimental effects of this application on our way of life.

This proposal will place high density urban development (5 homes to the acre) in the middle of a rural area that is preserved by the General Plan as an Estate Residential area (2 acre Lots) and will destroy the rural nature of our community. There are a total of over 20,000 small lot home sites (7,000 existing and 13,000 approved but not constructed) in the 2 miles surrounding this site. The proposal to add 600 more small lots homes within this Rural Policy Area is not needed or warranted and should be denied by the Board.

We would support a development that complies with the General Plan and constructs 2-acre Estate Residential homes on this property.

Please honor the Riverside County Strategic Plan stated mission and goals and protect the Vision of the General Plan by denying this request and preserving this area for rural uses.

Respectfully submitted

Janet Bomberger      4-23-14  
Janet Bomberger      4-23-14

We have been at this property for 16 years

23 April 2014

Riverside County Board of Supervisors  
4080 Lemon Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Riverside, CA 92501

RE: Request for Denial of GPA 1129  
Meeting Date 4/29/2014

Dear Supervisors,

We are home owners adjacent to this project and are categorically opposed to GPA 1129. We do not support this application for an Extraordinary Foundation Amendment to amend the General Plan Land Use Designation from Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR) (2 Acre Minimum) to Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD:MDR) (2-5 DU/Ac).

This proposal is located in the center of a large area reserved as a rural area by the County, Menifee and Murrieta. There are no extraordinary reasons to justify moving this application forward at this time or any time in the future. This rural community should not be adversely affected by the detrimental effects of this application on our way of life.

This proposal will place high density urban development (5 homes to the acre) in the middle of a rural area that is preserved by the General Plan as an Estate Residential area (2 acre Lots) and will destroy the rural nature of our community. There are a total of over 20,000 small lot home sites (7,000 existing and 13,000 approved but not constructed) in the 2 miles surrounding this site. The proposal to add 600 more small lots homes within this Rural Policy Area is not needed or warranted and should be denied by the Board.

We would support a development that complies with the General Plan and constructs 2-acre Estate Residential homes on this property.

Please honor the Riverside County Strategic Plan stated mission and goals and protect the Vision of the General Plan by denying this request and preserving this area for rural uses.

Respectfully submitted

J.R. King 4-23-14  
29615 Garland Lane

We have been at this property for 24 years

23 April 2014

Riverside County Board of Supervisors  
4080 Lemon Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Riverside, CA 92501

RE: Request for Denial of GPA 1129  
Meeting Date 4/29/2014

Dear Supervisors,

We are home owners adjacent to this project and are categorically opposed to GPA 1129. We do not support this application for an Extraordinary Foundation Amendment to amend the General Plan Land Use Designation from Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR) (2 Acre Minimum) to Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD:MDR) (2-5 DU/Ac).

This proposal is located in the center of a large area reserved as a rural area by the County, Menifee and Murrieta. There are no extraordinary reasons to justify moving this application forward at this time or any time in the future. This rural community should not be adversely affected by the detrimental effects of this application on our way of life.

This proposal will place high density urban development (5 homes to the acre) in the middle of a rural area that is preserved by the General Plan as an Estate Residential area (2 acre Lots) and will destroy the rural nature of our community. There are a total of over 20,000 small lot home sites (7,000 existing and 13,000 approved but not constructed) in the 2 miles surrounding this site. The proposal to add 600 more small lots homes within this Rural Policy Area is not needed or warranted and should be denied by the Board.

We would support a development that complies with the General Plan and constructs 2-acre Estate Residential homes on this property.

Please honor the Riverside County Strategic Plan stated mission and goals and protect the Vision of the General Plan by denying this request and preserving this area for rural uses.

Respectfully submitted

Catherine Paulsen      4-23-14  
29615 Garland Lane      \_\_\_\_\_

We have been at this property for 24 years

23 April 2014

Riverside County Board of Supervisors  
4080 Lemon Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Riverside, CA 92501

RE: Request for Denial of GPA 1129  
Meeting Date 4/29/2014

Dear Supervisors,

We are home owners adjacent to this project and are categorically opposed to GPA 1129. We do not support this application for an Extraordinary Foundation Amendment to amend the General Plan Land Use Designation from Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR) (2 Acre Minimum) to Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD:MDR) (2-5 DU/Ac).

This proposal is located in the center of a large area reserved as a rural area by the County, Menifee and Murrieta. There are no extraordinary reasons to justify moving this application forward at this time or any time in the future. This rural community should not be adversely affected by the detrimental effects of this application on our way of life.

This proposal will place high density urban development (5 homes to the acre) in the middle of a rural area that is preserved by the General Plan as an Estate Residential area (2 acre Lots) and will destroy the rural nature of our community. There are a total of over 20,000 small lot home sites (7,000 existing and 13,000 approved but not constructed) in the 2 miles surrounding this site. The proposal to add 600 more small lots homes within this Rural Policy Area is not needed or warranted and should be denied by the Board.

We would support a development that complies with the General Plan and constructs 2-acre Estate Residential homes on this property.

Please honor the Riverside County Strategic Plan stated mission and goals and protect the Vision of the General Plan by denying this request and preserving this area for rural uses.

Respectfully submitted

Jorge Hernandez 4-23-14  
32090 GENEVA LN 92584

We have been at this property for 2 years

23 April 2014

Riverside County Board of Supervisors  
4080 Lemon Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Riverside, CA 92501

RE: Request for Denial of GPA 1129  
Meeting Date 4/29/2014

Dear Supervisors,

We are home owners adjacent to this project and are categorically opposed to GPA 1129. We do not support this application for an Extraordinary Foundation Amendment to amend the General Plan Land Use Designation from Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR) (2 Acre Minimum) to Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD:MDR) (2-5 DU/Ac).


This proposal is located in the center of a large area reserved as a rural area by the County, Menifee and Murrieta. There are no extraordinary reasons to justify moving this application forward at this time or any time in the future. This rural community should not be adversely affected by the detrimental effects of this application on our way of life.

This proposal will place high density urban development (5 homes to the acre) in the middle of a rural area that is preserved by the General Plan as an Estate Residential area (2 acre Lots) and will destroy the rural nature of our community. There are a total of over 20,000 small lot home sites (7,000 existing and 13,000 approved but not constructed) in the 2 miles surrounding this site. The proposal to add 600 more small lots homes within this Rural Policy Area is not needed or warranted and should be denied by the Board.

We would support a development that complies with the General Plan and constructs 2-acre Estate Residential homes on this property.

Please honor the Riverside County Strategic Plan stated mission and goals and protect the Vision of the General Plan by denying this request and preserving this area for rural uses.

Respectfully submitted

  
32090 Geneva Ln., 92584      4-23-14

We have been at this property for 2 years

23 April 2014

Riverside County Board of Supervisors  
4080 Lemon Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Riverside, CA 92501

RE: Request for Denial of GPA 1129  
Meeting Date 4/29/2014

Dear Supervisors,

We are home owners adjacent to this project and are categorically opposed to GPA 1129. We do not support this application for an Extraordinary Foundation Amendment to amend the General Plan Land Use Designation from Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR) (2 Acre Minimum) to Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD:MDR) (2-5 DU/Ac).


This proposal is located in the center of a large area reserved as a rural area by the County, Menifee and Murrieta. There are no extraordinary reasons to justify moving this application forward at this time or any time in the future. This rural community should not be adversely affected by the detrimental effects of this application on our way of life.

This proposal will place high density urban development (5 homes to the acre) in the middle of a rural area that is preserved by the General Plan as an Estate Residential area (2 acre Lots) and will destroy the rural nature of our community. There are a total of over 20,000 small lot home sites (7,000 existing and 13,000 approved but not constructed) in the 2 miles surrounding this site. The proposal to add 600 more small lots homes within this Rural Policy Area is not needed or warranted and should be denied by the Board.

We would support a development that complies with the General Plan and constructs 2-acre Estate Residential homes on this property.

Please honor the Riverside County Strategic Plan stated mission and goals and protect the Vision of the General Plan by denying this request and preserving this area for rural uses.

Respectfully submitted

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
32061 Geneva Ln. \_\_\_\_\_

4-23-14

We have been at this property for 11 years



23 April 2014

Riverside County Board of Supervisors  
4080 Lemon Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Riverside, CA 92501

RE: Request for Denial of GPA 1129  
Meeting Date 4/29/2014

Dear Supervisors,

We are home owners adjacent to this project and are categorically opposed to GPA 1129. We do not support this application for an Extraordinary Foundation Amendment to amend the General Plan Land Use Designation from Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR) (2 Acre Minimum) to Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD:MDR) (2-5 DU/Ac).

This proposal is located in the center of a large area reserved as a rural area by the County, Menifee and Murrieta. There are no extraordinary reasons to justify moving this application forward at this time or any time in the future. This rural community should not be adversely affected by the detrimental effects of this application on our way of life.

This proposal will place high density urban development (5 homes to the acre) in the middle of a rural area that is preserved by the General Plan as an Estate Residential area (2 acre Lots) and will destroy the rural nature of our community. There are a total of over 20,000 small lot home sites (7,000 existing and 13,000 approved but not constructed) in the 2 miles surrounding this site. The proposal to add 600 more small lots homes within this Rural Policy Area is not needed or warranted and should be denied by the Board.

We would support a development that complies with the General Plan and constructs 2-acre Estate Residential homes on this property.

Please honor the Riverside County Strategic Plan stated mission and goals and protect the Vision of the General Plan by denying this request and preserving this area for rural uses.

Respectfully submitted

Ricardo Hernandez 4-23-14  
32067 GENOVA LN.

We have been at this property for 11 years

23 April 2014

Riverside County Board of Supervisors  
4080 Lemon Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Riverside, CA 92501

RE: Request for Denial of GPA 1129  
Meeting Date 4/29/2014

Dear Supervisors,

We are home owners adjacent to this project and are categorically opposed to GPA 1129. We do not support this application for an Extraordinary Foundation Amendment to amend the General Plan Land Use Designation from Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR) (2 Acre Minimum) to Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD:MDR) (2-5 DU/Ac).

This proposal is located in the center of a large area reserved as a rural area by the County, Menifee and Murrieta. There are no extraordinary reasons to justify moving this application forward at this time or any time in the future. This rural community should not be adversely affected by the detrimental effects of this application on our way of life.

This proposal will place high density urban development (5 homes to the acre) in the middle of a rural area that is preserved by the General Plan as an Estate Residential area (2 acre Lots) and will destroy the rural nature of our community. There are a total of over 20,000 small lot home sites (7,000 existing and 13,000 approved but not constructed) in the 2 miles surrounding this site. The proposal to add 600 more small lots homes within this Rural Policy Area is not needed or warranted and should be denied by the Board.

We would support a development that complies with the General Plan and constructs 2-acre Estate Residential homes on this property.

Please honor the Riverside County Strategic Plan stated mission and goals and protect the Vision of the General Plan by denying this request and preserving this area for rural uses.

Respectfully submitted

MARIA RAMIREZ      4-23 14  
31784 BRIGGS S.F.      \_\_\_\_\_

We have been at this property for 9 years

23 April 2014

Riverside County Board of Supervisors  
4080 Lemon Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Riverside, CA 92501

RE: Request for Denial of GPA 1129  
Meeting Date 4/29/2014

Dear Supervisors,

We are home owners adjacent to this project and are categorically opposed to GPA 1129. We do not support this application for an Extraordinary Foundation Amendment to amend the General Plan Land Use Designation from Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR) (2 Acre Minimum) to Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD:MDR) (2-5 DU/Ac).

This proposal is located in the center of a large area reserved as a rural area by the County, Menifee and Murrieta. There are no extraordinary reasons to justify moving this application forward at this time or any time in the future. This rural community should not be adversely affected by the detrimental effects of this application on our way of life.

This proposal will place high density urban development (5 homes to the acre) in the middle of a rural area that is preserved by the General Plan as an Estate Residential area (2 acre Lots) and will destroy the rural nature of our community. There are a total of over 20,000 small lot home sites (7,000 existing and 13,000 approved but not constructed) in the 2 miles surrounding this site. The proposal to add 600 more small lots homes within this Rural Policy Area is not needed or warranted and should be denied by the Board.

We would support a development that complies with the General Plan and constructs 2-acre Estate Residential homes on this property.

Please honor the Riverside County Strategic Plan stated mission and goals and protect the Vision of the General Plan by denying this request and preserving this area for rural uses.

Respectfully submitted

HILDA HERNANDEZ      4-23-14  
32061 GENOVA LN      \_\_\_\_\_

We have been at this property for 11 years

23 April 2014

Riverside County Board of Supervisors  
4080 Lemon Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Riverside, CA 92501

RE: Request for Denial of GPA 1129  
Meeting Date 4/29/2014

Dear Supervisors,

We are home owners adjacent to this project and are categorically opposed to GPA 1129. We do not support this application for an Extraordinary Foundation Amendment to amend the General Plan Land Use Designation from Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR) (2 Acre Minimum) to Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD:MDR) (2-5 DU/Ac).

This proposal is located in the center of a large area reserved as a rural area by the County, Menifee and Murrieta. There are no extraordinary reasons to justify moving this application forward at this time or any time in the future. This rural community should not be adversely affected by the detrimental effects of this application on our way of life.

This proposal will place high density urban development (5 homes to the acre) in the middle of a rural area that is preserved by the General Plan as an Estate Residential area (2 acre Lots) and will destroy the rural nature of our community. There are a total of over 20,000 small lot home sites (7,000 existing and 13,000 approved but not constructed) in the 2 miles surrounding this site. The proposal to add 600 more small lots homes within this Rural Policy Area is not needed or warranted and should be denied by the Board.

We would support a development that complies with the General Plan and constructs 2-acre Estate Residential homes on this property.

Please honor the Riverside County Strategic Plan stated mission and goals and protect the Vision of the General Plan by denying this request and preserving this area for rural uses.

Respectfully submitted

Gabriel Hernandez      4-23-14  
31784 BRIGGS ST.      \_\_\_\_\_

We have been at this property for 9 years

23 April 2014

Riverside County Board of Supervisors  
4080 Lemon Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Riverside, CA 92501

RE: Request for Denial of GPA 1129  
Meeting Date 4/29/2014

Dear Supervisors,

We are home owners adjacent to this project and are categorically opposed to GPA 1129. We do not support this application for an Extraordinary Foundation Amendment to amend the General Plan Land Use Designation from Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR) (2 Acre Minimum) to Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD:MDR) (2-5 DU/Ac).

This proposal is located in the center of a large area reserved as a rural area by the County, Menifee and Murrieta. There are no extraordinary reasons to justify moving this application forward at this time or any time in the future. This rural community should not be adversely affected by the detrimental effects of this application on our way of life.

This proposal will place high density urban development (5 homes to the acre) in the middle of a rural area that is preserved by the General Plan as an Estate Residential area (2 acre Lots) and will destroy the rural nature of our community. There are a total of over 20,000 small lot home sites (7,000 existing and 13,000 approved but not constructed) in the 2 miles surrounding this site. The proposal to add 600 more small lots homes within this Rural Policy Area is not needed or warranted and should be denied by the Board.

We would support a development that complies with the General Plan and constructs 2-acre Estate Residential homes on this property.

Please honor the Riverside County Strategic Plan stated mission and goals and protect the Vision of the General Plan by denying this request and preserving this area for rural uses.

Respectfully submitted



4-24-14

We have been at this property for 25 years

23 April 2014

Riverside County Board of Supervisors  
4080 Lemon Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Riverside, CA 92501

RE: Request for Denial of GPA 1129  
Meeting Date 4/29/2014

Dear Supervisors,

We are home owners adjacent to this project and are categorically opposed to GPA 1129. We do not support this application for an Extraordinary Foundation Amendment to amend the General Plan Land Use Designation from Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR) (2 Acre Minimum) to Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD:MDR) (2-5 DU/Ac).


This proposal is located in the center of a large area reserved as a rural area by the County, Menifee and Murrieta. There are no extraordinary reasons to justify moving this application forward at this time or any time in the future. This rural community should not be adversely affected by the detrimental effects of this application on our way of life.

This proposal will place high density urban development (5 homes to the acre) in the middle of a rural area that is preserved by the General Plan as an Estate Residential area (2 acre Lots) and will destroy the rural nature of our community. There are a total of over 20,000 small lot home sites (7,000 existing and 13,000 approved but not constructed) in the 2 miles surrounding this site. The proposal to add 600 more small lots homes within this Rural Policy Area is not needed or warranted and should be denied by the Board.

We would support a development that complies with the General Plan and constructs 2-acre Estate Residential homes on this property.

Please honor the Riverside County Strategic Plan stated mission and goals and protect the Vision of the General Plan by denying this request and preserving this area for rural uses.

Respectfully submitted

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
4-24-18  
\_\_\_\_\_

We have been at this property for 14 years

23 April 2014

Riverside County Board of Supervisors  
4080 Lemon Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Riverside, CA 92501

RE: Request for Denial of GPA 1129  
Meeting Date 4/29/2014

Dear Supervisors,

We are home owners adjacent to this project and are categorically opposed to GPA 1129. We do not support this application for an Extraordinary Foundation Amendment to amend the General Plan Land Use Designation from Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR) (2 Acre Minimum) to Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD:MDR) (2-5 DU/Ac).


This proposal is located in the center of a large area reserved as a rural area by the County, Menifee and Murrieta. There are no extraordinary reasons to justify moving this application forward at this time or any time in the future. This rural community should not be adversely affected by the detrimental effects of this application on our way of life.

This proposal will place high density urban development (5 homes to the acre) in the middle of a rural area that is preserved by the General Plan as an Estate Residential area (2 acre Lots) and will destroy the rural nature of our community. There are a total of over 20,000 small lot home sites (7,000 existing and 13,000 approved but not constructed) in the 2 miles surrounding this site. The proposal to add 600 more small lots homes within this Rural Policy Area is not needed or warranted and should be denied by the Board.

We would support a development that complies with the General Plan and constructs 2-acre Estate Residential homes on this property.

Please honor the Riverside County Strategic Plan stated mission and goals and protect the Vision of the General Plan by denying this request and preserving this area for rural uses.

Respectfully submitted

  
\_\_\_\_\_

4-26-14  
\_\_\_\_\_

We have been at this property for \_\_\_\_\_ years

23 April 2014

Riverside County Board of Supervisors  
4080 Lemon Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Riverside, CA 92501

RE: Request for Denial of GPA 1129  
Meeting Date 4/29/2014

Dear Supervisors,

We are home owners adjacent to this project and are categorically opposed to GPA 1129. We do not support this application for an Extraordinary Foundation Amendment to amend the General Plan Land Use Designation from Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR) (2 Acre Minimum) to Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD:MDR) (2-5 DU/Ac).


This proposal is located in the center of a large area reserved as a rural area by the County, Menifee and Murrieta. There are no extraordinary reasons to justify moving this application forward at this time or any time in the future. This rural community should not be adversely affected by the detrimental effects of this application on our way of life.

This proposal will place high density urban development (5 homes to the acre) in the middle of a rural area that is preserved by the General Plan as an Estate Residential area (2 acre Lots) and will destroy the rural nature of our community. There are a total of over 20,000 small lot home sites (7,000 existing and 13,000 approved but not constructed) in the 2 miles surrounding this site. The proposal to add 600 more small lots homes within this Rural Policy Area is not needed or warranted and should be denied by the Board.

We would support a development that complies with the General Plan and constructs 2-acre Estate Residential homes on this property.

Please honor the Riverside County Strategic Plan stated mission and goals and protect the Vision of the General Plan by denying this request and preserving this area for rural uses.

Respectfully submitted

      4-24-14

We have been at this property for 21 years



23 April 2014

Riverside County Board of Supervisors  
4080 Lemon Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Riverside, CA 92501

RE: Request for Denial of GPA 1129  
Meeting Date 4/29/2014

Dear Supervisors,

We are home owners adjacent to this project and are categorically opposed to GPA 1129. We do not support this application for an Extraordinary Foundation Amendment to amend the General Plan Land Use Designation from Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR) (2 Acre Minimum) to Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD:MDR) (2-5 DU/Ac).

This proposal is located in the center of a large area reserved as a rural area by the County, Menifee and Murrieta. There are no extraordinary reasons to justify moving this application forward at this time or any time in the future. This rural community should not be adversely affected by the detrimental effects of this application on our way of life.

This proposal will place high density urban development (5 homes to the acre) in the middle of a rural area that is preserved by the General Plan as an Estate Residential area (2 acre Lots) and will destroy the rural nature of our community. There are a total of over 20,000 small lot home sites (7,000 existing and 13,000 approved but not constructed) in the 2 miles surrounding this site. The proposal to add 600 more small lots homes within this Rural Policy Area is not needed or warranted and should be denied by the Board.

We would support a development that complies with the General Plan and constructs 2-acre Estate Residential homes on this property.

Please honor the Riverside County Strategic Plan stated mission and goals and protect the Vision of the General Plan by denying this request and preserving this area for rural uses.

Respectfully submitted

Tom Lopez

4-26-14

We have been at this property for 1 years

23 April 2014

Riverside County Board of Supervisors  
4080 Lemon Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Riverside, CA 92501

RE: Request for Denial of GPA 1129  
Meeting Date 4/29/2014

Dear Supervisors,

We are home owners adjacent to this project and are categorically opposed to GPA 1129. We do not support this application for an Extraordinary Foundation Amendment to amend the General Plan Land Use Designation from Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR) (2 Acre Minimum) to Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD:MDR) (2-5 DU/Ac).


This proposal is located in the center of a large area reserved as a rural area by the County, Menifee and Murrieta. There are no extraordinary reasons to justify moving this application forward at this time or any time in the future. This rural community should not be adversely affected by the detrimental effects of this application on our way of life.

This proposal will place high density urban development (5 homes to the acre) in the middle of a rural area that is preserved by the General Plan as an Estate Residential area (2 acre Lots) and will destroy the rural nature of our community. There are a total of over 20,000 small lot home sites (7,000 existing and 13,000 approved but not constructed) in the 2 miles surrounding this site. The proposal to add 600 more small lots homes within this Rural Policy Area is not needed or warranted and should be denied by the Board.

We would support a development that complies with the General Plan and constructs 2-acre Estate Residential homes on this property.

Please honor the Riverside County Strategic Plan stated mission and goals and protect the Vision of the General Plan by denying this request and preserving this area for rural uses.

Respectfully submitted

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
DATE

4-24-14  
DATE

We have been at this property for 3 years

23 April 2014

Riverside County Board of Supervisors  
4080 Lemon Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Riverside, CA 92501

RE: Request for Denial of GPA 1129  
Meeting Date 4/29/2014

Dear Supervisors,

We are home owners adjacent to this project and are categorically opposed to GPA 1129. We do not support this application for an Extraordinary Foundation Amendment to amend the General Plan Land Use Designation from Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR) (2 Acre Minimum) to Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD:MDR) (2-5 DU/Ac).


This proposal is located in the center of a large area reserved as a rural area by the County, Menifee and Murrieta. There are no extraordinary reasons to justify moving this application forward at this time or any time in the future. This rural community should not be adversely affected by the detrimental effects of this application on our way of life.

This proposal will place high density urban development (5 homes to the acre) in the middle of a rural area that is preserved by the General Plan as an Estate Residential area (2 acre Lots) and will destroy the rural nature of our community. There are a total of over 20,000 small lot home sites (7,000 existing and 13,000 approved but not constructed) in the 2 miles surrounding this site. The proposal to add 600 more small lots homes within this Rural Policy Area is not needed or warranted and should be denied by the Board.

We would support a development that complies with the General Plan and constructs 2-acre Estate Residential homes on this property.

Please honor the Riverside County Strategic Plan stated mission and goals and protect the Vision of the General Plan by denying this request and preserving this area for rural uses.

Respectfully submitted

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
TREVOR DAVIES  
\_\_\_\_\_

We have been at this property for 25 years

23 April 2014

Riverside County Board of Supervisors  
4080 Lemon Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Riverside, CA 92501

RE: Request for Denial of GPA 1129  
Meeting Date 4/29/2014

Dear Supervisors,

We are home owners adjacent to this project and are categorically opposed to GPA 1129. We do not support this application for an Extraordinary Foundation Amendment to amend the General Plan Land Use Designation from Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR) (2 Acre Minimum) to Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD:MDR) (2-5 DU/Ac).

This proposal is located in the center of a large area reserved as a rural area by the County, Menifee and Murrieta. There are no extraordinary reasons to justify moving this application forward at this time or any time in the future. This rural community should not be adversely affected by the detrimental effects of this application on our way of life.


This proposal will place high density urban development (5 homes to the acre) in the middle of a rural area that is preserved by the General Plan as an Estate Residential area (2 acre Lots) and will destroy the rural nature of our community. There are a total of over 20,000 small lot home sites (7,000 existing and 13,000 approved but not constructed) in the 2 miles surrounding this site. The proposal to add 600 more small lots homes within this Rural Policy Area is not needed or warranted and should be denied by the Board.

We would support a development that complies with the General Plan and constructs 2-acre Estate Residential homes on this property.

Please honor the Riverside County Strategic Plan stated mission and goals and protect the Vision of the General Plan by denying this request and preserving this area for rural uses.

Respectfully submitted

HENRY JANSSENS



Wendy JANSSENS



We have been at this property for 7 years

23 April 2014

Riverside County Board of Supervisors  
4080 Lemon Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Riverside, CA 92501

RE: Request for Denial of GPA 1129  
Meeting Date 4/29/2014

Dear Supervisors,

We are home owners adjacent to this project and are categorically opposed to GPA 1129. We do not support this application for an Extraordinary Foundation Amendment to amend the General Plan Land Use Designation from Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR) (2 Acre Minimum) to Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD:MDR) (2-5 DU/Ac).

This proposal is located in the center of a large area reserved as a rural area by the County, Menifee and Murrieta. There are no extraordinary reasons to justify moving this application forward at this time or any time in the future. This rural community should not be adversely affected by the detrimental effects of this application on our way of life.

This proposal will place high density urban development (5 homes to the acre) in the middle of a rural area that is preserved by the General Plan as an Estate Residential area (2 acre Lots) and will destroy the rural nature of our community. There are a total of over 20,000 small lot home sites (7,000 existing and 13,000 approved but not constructed) in the 2 miles surrounding this site. The proposal to add 600 more small lots homes within this Rural Policy Area is not needed or warranted and should be denied by the Board.

We would support a development that complies with the General Plan and constructs 2-acre Estate Residential homes on this property.

Please honor the Riverside County Strategic Plan stated mission and goals and protect the Vision of the General Plan by denying this request and preserving this area for rural uses.

Respectfully submitted

Michael C. De 4-27-2014  
Gregory H. Beube 4/27/2014

We have been at this property for 25 years

23 April 2014

Riverside County Board of Supervisors  
4080 Lemon Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Riverside, CA 92501

RE: Request for Denial of GPA 1129  
Meeting Date 4/29/2014

Dear Supervisors,

We are home owners adjacent to this project and are categorically opposed to GPA 1129. We do not support this application for an Extraordinary Foundation Amendment to amend the General Plan Land Use Designation from Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR) (2 Acre Minimum) to Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD:MDR) (2-5 DU/Ac).

This proposal is located in the center of a large area reserved as a rural area by the County, Menifee and Murrieta. There are no extraordinary reasons to justify moving this application forward at this time or any time in the future. This rural community should not be adversely affected by the detrimental effects of this application on our way of life.

This proposal will place high density urban development (5 homes to the acre) in the middle of a rural area that is preserved by the General Plan as an Estate Residential area (2 acre Lots) and will destroy the rural nature of our community. There are a total of over 20,000 small lot home sites (7,000 existing and 13,000 approved but not constructed) in the 2 miles surrounding this site. The proposal to add 600 more small lots homes within this Rural Policy Area is not needed or warranted and should be denied by the Board.

We would support a development that complies with the General Plan and constructs 2-acre Estate Residential homes on this property.

Please honor the Riverside County Strategic Plan stated mission and goals and protect the Vision of the General Plan by denying this request and preserving this area for rural uses.

Respectfully submitted

Terry L. Massie Massie  
Terry L. Massie \_\_\_\_\_

We have been at this property for 24 years

29735 Kempe Circle  
Menifee Ca 92584

23 April 2014

Riverside County Board of Supervisors  
4080 Lemon Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Riverside, CA 92501

RE: Request for Denial of GPA 1129  
Meeting Date 4/29/2014

Dear Supervisors,

We are home owners adjacent to this project and are categorically opposed to GPA 1129. We do not support this application for an Extraordinary Foundation Amendment to amend the General Plan Land Use Designation from Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR) (2 Acre Minimum) to Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD:MDR) (2-5 DU/Ac).


This proposal is located in the center of a large area reserved as a rural area by the County, Menifee and Murrieta. There are no extraordinary reasons to justify moving this application forward at this time or any time in the future. This rural community should not be adversely affected by the detrimental effects of this application on our way of life.


This proposal will place high density urban development (5 homes to the acre) in the middle of a rural area that is preserved by the General Plan as an Estate Residential area (2 acre Lots) and will destroy the rural nature of our community. There are a total of over 20,000 small lot home sites (7,000 existing and 13,000 approved but not constructed) in the 2 miles surrounding this site. The proposal to add 600 more small lots homes within this Rural Policy Area is not needed or warranted and should be denied by the Board.

We would support a development that complies with the General Plan and constructs 2-acre Estate Residential homes on this property.

Please honor the Riverside County Strategic Plan stated mission and goals and protect the Vision of the General Plan by denying this request and preserving this area for rural uses.

Respectfully submitted

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jon Stevens, 4/23/14

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jon Stevens, 4/23/14

We have been at this property for 25 years

23 April 2014

Riverside County Board of Supervisors  
4080 Lemon Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Riverside, CA 92501

RE: Request for Denial of GPA 1129  
Meeting Date 4/29/2014

Dear Supervisors,

We are home owners adjacent to this project and are categorically opposed to GPA 1129. We do not support this application for an Extraordinary Foundation Amendment to amend the General Plan Land Use Designation from Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR) (2 Acre Minimum) to Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD:MDR) (2-5 DU/Ac).

This proposal is located in the center of a large area reserved as a rural area by the County, Menifee and Murrieta. There are no extraordinary reasons to justify moving this application forward at this time or any time in the future. This rural community should not be adversely affected by the detrimental effects of this application on our way of life.

This proposal will place high density urban development (5 homes to the acre) in the middle of a rural area that is preserved by the General Plan as an Estate Residential area (2 acre Lots) and will destroy the rural nature of our community. There are a total of over 20,000 small lot home sites (7,000 existing and 13,000 approved but not constructed) in the 2 miles surrounding this site. The proposal to add 600 more small lots homes within this Rural Policy Area is not needed or warranted and should be denied by the Board.

We would support a development that complies with the General Plan and constructs 2-acre Estate Residential homes on this property.

Please honor the Riverside County Strategic Plan stated mission and goals and protect the Vision of the General Plan by denying this request and preserving this area for rural uses.

Respectfully submitted

Glenn C. Sorum      04-23-2014

Patricia A. Sorum      4-23-14

We have been at this property for 20 years



23 April 2014

Riverside County Board of Supervisors  
4080 Lemon Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Riverside, CA 92501

RE: Request for Denial of GPA 1129  
Meeting Date 4/29/2014

Dear Supervisors,

We are home owners adjacent to this project and are categorically opposed to GPA 1129. We do not support this application for an Extraordinary Foundation Amendment to amend the General Plan Land Use Designation from Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR) (2 Acre Minimum) to Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD:MDR) (2-5 DU/Ac).

This proposal is located in the center of a large area reserved as a rural area by the County, Menifee and Murrieta. There are no extraordinary reasons to justify moving this application forward at this time or any time in the future. This rural community should not be adversely affected by the detrimental effects of this application on our way of life.

This proposal will place high density urban development (5 homes to the acre) in the middle of a rural area that is preserved by the General Plan as an Estate Residential area (2 acre Lots) and will destroy the rural nature of our community. There are a total of over 20,000 small lot home sites (7,000 existing and 13,000 approved but not constructed) in the 2 miles surrounding this site. The proposal to add 600 more small lots homes within this Rural Policy Area is not needed or warranted and should be denied by the Board.

We would support a development that complies with the General Plan and constructs 2-acre Estate Residential homes on this property.

Please honor the Riverside County Strategic Plan stated mission and goals and protect the Vision of the General Plan by denying this request and preserving this area for rural uses.

Respectfully submitted

Marilyn Scales      4-23-14  
Judith Scales      4-23-14

We have been at this property for 37 years

23 April 2014

Riverside County Board of Supervisors  
4080 Lemon Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Riverside, CA 92501

RE: Request for Denial of GPA 1129  
Meeting Date 4/29/2014

Dear Supervisors,

We are home owners adjacent to this project and are categorically opposed to GPA 1129. We do not support this application for an Extraordinary Foundation Amendment to amend the General Plan Land Use Designation from Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR) (2 Acre Minimum) to Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD:MDR) (2-5 DU/Ac).



This proposal is located in the center of a large area reserved as a rural area by the County, Menifee and Murrieta. There are no extraordinary reasons to justify moving this application forward at this time or any time in the future. This rural community should not be adversely affected by the detrimental effects of this application on our way of life.

This proposal will place high density urban development (5 homes to the acre) in the middle of a rural area that is preserved by the General Plan as an Estate Residential area (2 acre Lots) and will destroy the rural nature of our community. There are a total of over 20,000 small lot home sites (7,000 existing and 13,000 approved but not constructed) in the 2 miles surrounding this site. The proposal to add 600 more small lots homes within this Rural Policy Area is not needed or warranted and should be denied by the Board.

We would support a development that complies with the General Plan and constructs 2-acre Estate Residential homes on this property.

Please honor the Riverside County Strategic Plan stated mission and goals and protect the Vision of the General Plan by denying this request and preserving this area for rural uses.

Respectfully submitted

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
  
\_\_\_\_\_

4-23-14

4/23/14

We have been at this property for 15 years

23 April 2014

Riverside County Board of Supervisors  
4080 Lemon Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Riverside, CA 92501

RE: Request for Denial of GPA 1129  
Meeting Date 4/29/2014

Dear Supervisors,

We are home owners adjacent to this project and are categorically opposed to GPA 1129. We do not support this application for an Extraordinary Foundation Amendment to amend the General Plan Land Use Designation from Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR) (2 Acre Minimum) to Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD:MDR) (2-5 DU/Ac).



This proposal is located in the center of a large area reserved as a rural area by the County, Menifee and Murrieta. There are no extraordinary reasons to justify moving this application forward at this time or any time in the future. This rural community should not be adversely affected by the detrimental effects of this application on our way of life.

This proposal will place high density urban development (5 homes to the acre) in the middle of a rural area that is preserved by the General Plan as an Estate Residential area (2 acre Lots) and will destroy the rural nature of our community. There are a total of over 20,000 small lot home sites (7,000 existing and 13,000 approved but not constructed) in the 2 miles surrounding this site. The proposal to add 600 more small lots homes within this Rural Policy Area is not needed or warranted and should be denied by the Board.

We would support a development that complies with the General Plan and constructs 2-acre Estate Residential homes on this property.

Please honor the Riverside County Strategic Plan stated mission and goals and protect the Vision of the General Plan by denying this request and preserving this area for rural uses.

Respectfully submitted

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
*John Doe*      *20/15 April*  
  
\_\_\_\_\_  
*Jane Smith*      *-11*

We have been at this property for 20 years

23 April 2014

Riverside County Board of Supervisors  
4080 Lemon Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Riverside, CA 92501

RE: Request for Denial of GPA 1129  
Meeting Date 4/29/2014

Dear Supervisors,

We are home owners adjacent to this project and are categorically opposed to GPA 1129. We do not support this application for an Extraordinary Foundation Amendment to amend the General Plan Land Use Designation from Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC:EDR) (2 Acre Minimum) to Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD:MDR) (2-5 DU/Ac).


This proposal is located in the center of a large area reserved as a rural area by the County, Menifee and Murrieta. There are no extraordinary reasons to justify moving this application forward at this time or any time in the future. This rural community should not be adversely affected by the detrimental effects of this application on our way of life.

This proposal will place high density urban development (5 homes to the acre) in the middle of a rural area that is preserved by the General Plan as an Estate Residential area (2 acre Lots) and will destroy the rural nature of our community. There are a total of over 20,000 small lot home sites (7,000 existing and 13,000 approved but not constructed) in the 2 miles surrounding this site. The proposal to add 600 more small lots homes within this Rural Policy Area is not needed or warranted and should be denied by the Board.

We would support a development that complies with the General Plan and constructs 2-acre Estate Residential homes on this property.

Please honor the Riverside County Strategic Plan stated mission and goals and protect the Vision of the General Plan by denying this request and preserving this area for rural uses.

Respectfully submitted

  
Brenda Stake, 4-24-14

We have been at this property for 3 years