

**SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA**



154

FROM: TLMA - Transportation Department

SUBMITTAL DATE:
May 12, 2016

SUBJECT: Landscaping and Lighting Maintenance District No. 89-1-Consolidated (L&LMD No. 89-1-C), unincorporated countywide Zones and Street Lighting Zones. All Districts [~~\$1,562,831~~]; L&LMD No. 89-1-C - 100% Annual

RECOMMENDED MOTION: That the Board of Supervisors adopt the following Resolutions:

- Resolution No. 2016-117 a Resolution of the County of Riverside ordering preparation of the Engineer's Report regarding the proposed assessments to be levied and collected for fiscal year 2016-17 within Zones 1, 3, 8, 10, 11, 15, 19, 24, 26, 28, 29, 31, 36, 39, 43, 44, 45, 53, 55, 57, 58, 66, 68, 72, 74, 83, 84, 86, 87, 89, 91, 92, 94, 97, 100, 103, 109, 110, 112, 119, 123, 124, 135, 138, 145, 146, 148, 149, 152, 153, 154, 155, 157, 160, 161, 162, 164, 166, 168, 171, 172, 174, 175, 180, 187, 188, 190, 191, 192, and 193 and Street Lighting Zones 2, 3, 4, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 20, 21, 22, 25, 29, 30, 31, 36, 37, 38, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 61, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 81, 82, 85, 89, 95, 97, 99, 101, 103, 104, 107, 108, 109 and 110 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C .

Patricia Romo
Assistant Director of Transportation

Juan C. Perez
Director of Transportation and Land Management

FINANCIAL DATA	Current Fiscal Year:	Next Fiscal Year:	Total Cost:	Ongoing Cost:	POLICY/CONSENT (per Exec. Office)
COST	\$ 0	\$ 1,562,831	\$ N/A	\$ 1,562,831	Consent <input type="checkbox"/> Policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
NET COUNTY COST	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	

SOURCE OF FUNDS: L&LMD No. 89-1-C - 100%
There are no General Funds used in this project.

Budget Adjustment:	N/A
For Fiscal Year:	16/17

C.E.O. RECOMMENDATION: APPROVE
BY:
Tina Grande
County Executive Office Signature

MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

On motion of Supervisor Jeffries, seconded by Supervisor Ashley and duly carried by unanimous vote, IT WAS ORDERED that the above resolutions are adopted as recommended, and that the matter is set for public hearing on Tuesday, July 12, 2016, at 9:00 a.m. or as soon as possible thereafter.

Ayes: Jeffries, Tavaglione, Washington, Benoit and Ashley
Nays: None
Absent: None
Date: May 24, 2016
xc: Transp., COB(2)

Kecia Harper-Ihem
Clerk of the Board
By:
Deputy

Prev. Agn. Ref.: District: All Agenda Number:

3-20

FORM APPROVED COUNTY COUNSEL 5/6/16
BY: GREGORY P. PRIAMOS DATE

Departmental Concurrence

A-30
 4/5 Vote
 Positions Added
 Change Order

SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FORM 11: Landscaping and Lighting Maintenance District No. 89-1-Consolidated (L&LMD No. 89-1-C),
Unincorporated Countywide Zones and Street Lighting Zones. All Districts; [\$1,562,831- Total Cost]; L&LMD No.
89-1-C 100%

DATE: May 12, 2016

PAGE: 2 of 3

RECOMMENDED MOTION (continued):

2. Resolution No. 2016-118, a Resolution of the County of Riverside declaring its intention to levy and collect assessments within Zones 1, 3, 8, 10, 11, 15, 19, 24, 26, 28, 29, 31, 36, 39, 43, 44, 45, 53, 55, 57, 58, 66, 68, 72, 74, 83, 84, 86, 87, 89, 91, 92, 94, 97, 100, 103, 109, 110, 112, 119, 123, 124, 135, 138, 145, 146, 148, 149, 152, 153, 154, 155, 157, 160, 161, 162, 164, 166, 168, 171, 172, 174, 175, 180, 187, 188, 190, 191, 192 and 193, and Street Lighting Zones 2, 3, 4, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 20, 21, 22, 25, 29, 30, 31, 36, 37, 38, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 61, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 81, 82, 85, 89, 95, 97, 99, 101, 103, 104, 107, 108, 109 and 110 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C for fiscal year 2016-17, setting the time and place of the public hearing on the annual assessment and ordering notice of the public hearing to be given consistent with the Landscaping and Lighting Act of 1972.

BACKGROUND:

Summary

At the direction of the County of Riverside (County) Board of Supervisors (Board), L&LMD No. 89-1-C was formed in 1994 by Resolution No. 94-389 and authorized to levy an annual assessment to pay for the installation and maintenance of landscaping and streetlights within L&LMD No. 89-1-C. Since that date, L&LMD No. 89-1-C has approved and included the following improvements such as the maintenance and servicing of landscaping, trails, fossil filters, bio-swales, streetlights, traffic signals, bridge lights, and graffiti abatement, all zone specific.

The Landscaping and Lighting Maintenance District No. 89-1-Consolidated (District) maintains and services 127 locations throughout the County. The District contains 67 separate assessment zones and 57 street lighting assessment zones as described in Attachment A.

The combined Annual Engineer's Report for fiscal year 2016-2017 was prepared in compliance with the requirement of Article 4, Chapter, 1, of the Landscaping and Lighting Act of 1972, which is Part 2, Division 15 of the California Streets and Highways Code. The County initiates proceedings for the annual levy of assessments by passing a resolution, which proposes the annual levy under the Landscaping and Lighting Act of 1972. This resolution also describes the improvements, describes the location of the District and finally orders an engineer, who is a registered professional engineer, certified by the State of California, to prepare and file a detailed report.

In November 1996, California voters passed Proposition 218, Right to Vote on Taxes Act which added Articles XIII C and XIII D to the California Constitution, new procedures must be followed to levy annual assessments under the Landscaping and Lighting Act of 1972. A County may levy annual assessments for an assessment district after complying with the requirements of the Landscaping and Lighting Act of 1972, and the provisions of Proposition 218 Right to Vote on Taxes Act.

Consistent with the Board's direction regarding compliance with Article XIID of the California Constitution and the Landscaping and Lighting Act of 1972, the attached resolutions have been prepared and a public hearing scheduled for 9:00a.m. on July 12, 2016 to receive testimony for and against the proposed assessments.

Impact on Residents and Businesses

Only the property owners within the boundaries of each Zone within L&LMD No. 89-1-C are impacted by the assessments collected for the maintenance of the improvements within these zones, as described in Attachment A and the Engineer's Report.

SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FORM 11: Landscaping and Lighting Maintenance District No. 89-1-Consolidated (L&LMD No. 89-1-C),
Unincorporated Countywide Zones and Street Lighting Zones. All Districts; [\$1,562,831- Total Cost]; L&LMD No.
89-1-C 100%

DATE: May 12, 2016

PAGE: 3 of 3

Depending on the time the Zones were annexed into L&LMD No. 89-1-C, and the type of improvements, the mailed assessment ballot proposition approved by the property owners provides for these assessments and that either no inflation factor was established (Zones 1 and 3), a two percent (2%) inflation factor was established, or the annual assessment may be increased each year by the greater of two percent (2%) or the cumulative percentage increase, if any, in the Consumer Price Index (CPI-U), or the Consumer Price Index for electricity (CPI-U "for electricity"), for the Los Angeles-Riverside-Orange County California Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area (the "Index") as the Index stands as of March of each calendar year.

SUPPLEMENTAL:

Additional Fiscal Information

The annual budget for fiscal year 2016-17 totals \$1,562,831. For Fiscal Year 2016-17 the inflation factor, when appropriate, will be two percent (2%).

The inflation factor affects the maximum assessment, which is the maximum allowable assessment to be placed on a parcel, acre, or equivalent dwelling unit (EDU) within L&LMD No. 89-1-C. Zones may be assessed at the maximum assessment if needed, however some zones are assessed less depending on overall annual maintenance costs and existing reserves, if any.

Contract History and Price Reasonableness

N/A

ATTACHMENTS:

Attachment A

Resolution No. 2016-117

Resolution No. 2016-118

Engineer's Report (Volumes 1-3)

2 RESOLUTION NO. 2016-117

3 RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS ORDERING PREPARATION OF ENGINEER'S
4 REPORT REGARDING PROPOSED ASSESSMENTS TO BE LEVIED AND COLLECTED FOR
5 FISCAL YEAR 2016-2017 WITHIN ZONES 1, 3, 8, 10, 11, 15, 19, 24, 26, 28, 29, 31, 36, 39, 43, 44,
6 45, 53, 55, 57, 58, 66, 68, 72, 74, 83, 84, 86, 87, 89, 91, 92, 94, 97, 100, 103, 109, 110, 112, 119, 123,
7 124, 135, 138, 145, 146, 148, 149, 152, 153, 154, 155, 157, 160, 161, 162, 164, 166, 168, 171, 172, ,
8 174, 175, 180, 187, 188, 190, 191 AND 193, AND STREET LIGHTING ZONES 2, 3, 4, 9, 11, 12, 13,
9 14, 16, 20, 21, 22, 25, 29, 30, 31, 36, 37, 38, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 61,
10 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 81, 82, 85, 89, 95, 97, 99, 101, 103, 104, 107, 108, 109 AND
11 110 OF LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NO. 89-1-CONSOLIDATED OF
12 THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE PURSUANT TO THE LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING ACT OF 1972

13 WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors (hereinafter the "Board of Supervisors") of the County of
14 Riverside (hereinafter the "County") has conducted proceedings for and has established Landscaping
15 and Lighting Maintenance District No. 89-1-Consolidated of the County of Riverside, State of California
16 (hereinafter "L&LMD No. 89-1-C") pursuant to the Landscaping and Lighting Act of 1972, Part 2
17 (commencing with Section 22500) of Division 15 of the Streets and Highways Code (hereinafter the
18 "Streets and Highways Code"); for the installation and planting of landscaping; the installation of multi-
19 purpose trails; the installation of fencing; the installation of fossil filters; the installation of irrigation or
20 electrical facilities; and the maintenance and servicing of landscaping, irrigation or electrical facilities,
21 multi-purpose trail, fencing, fossil filter, bio-swale, streetlight, bridge light, and traffic signal
22 improvements, and graffiti abatement; and the provision of electricity for streetlights, bridge lights, and
23 traffic signals within the public rights-of-way; and

24 WHEREAS, L&LMD No. 89-1-C presently consists of 70 Assessment Zones (hereinafter "Zone 1",
25 "Zone 3", "Zone 8", "Zone 10", "Zone 11", "Zone 15", "Zone 19", "Zone 24", "Zone 26", "Zone 28",
26 "Zone 29", "Zone 31", "Zone 36", "Zone 39", "Zone 43", "Zone 44", "Zone 45", "Zone 53", "Zone 55",
"Zone 57", "Zone 58", "Zone 66", "Zone 68", "Zone 72", "Zone 74", "Zone 83", "Zone 84", "Zone 86",
"Zone 87", "Zone 89", "Zone 91", "Zone 92", "Zone 94", "Zone 97", "Zone 100", "Zone 103",
"Zone 109", "Zone 110", "Zone 112", "Zone 119", "Zone 123", "Zone 124", "Zone 135", "Zone 138",
"Zone 145", "Zone 146", "Zone 148", "Zone 149", "Zone 152", "Zone 153", "Zone 154", "Zone 155",

FORM APPROVED COUNTY COUNSEL
BY *[Signature]* 5/5/16
DATE
DALLA K. GARDNER

1 "Zone 157", "Zone 160", "Zone 161", "Zone 162", "Zone 164", "Zone 166", "Zone 168", "Zone 171",
2 "Zone 172", "Zone 174", "Zone 175", "Zone 180", "Zone 187", "Zone 188", "Zone 190", "Zone 191",
3 "Zone 192", and "Zone 193", and collectively "Zones"); and 58 Street Lighting Assessment Zones
4 (hereinafter "Street Lighting Zone 2", "Street Lighting Zone 3", "Street Lighting Zone 4", "Street
5 Lighting Zone 9", "Street Lighting Zone 11", "Street Lighting Zone 12", "Street Lighting Zone 13",
6 "Street Lighting Zone 14", "Street Lighting Zone 16", "Street Lighting Zone 20", "Street Lighting
7 Zone 21", "Street Lighting Zone 22", "Street Lighting Zone 25", "Street Lighting Zone 29", "Street
8 Lighting Zone 30", "Street Lighting Zone 31", "Street Lighting Zone 36", "Street Lighting Zone 37",
9 "Street Lighting Zone 38", "Street Lighting Zone 42", "Street Lighting Zone 43", "Street Lighting
10 Zone 44", "Street Lighting Zone 45", "Street Lighting Zone 46", "Street Lighting Zone 47", "Street
11 Lighting Zone 48", "Street Lighting Zone 52", "Street Lighting Zone 53", "Street Lighting Zone 54",
12 "Street Lighting Zone 55", "Street Lighting Zone 56", "Street Lighting Zone 57", "Street Lighting
13 Zone 58", "Street Lighting Zone 61", "Street Lighting Zone 64", "Street Lighting Zone 65", "Street
14 Lighting Zone 66", "Street Lighting Zone 67", "Street Lighting Zone 68", "Street Lighting Zone 72",
15 "Street Lighting Zone 74", "Street Lighting Zone 75", "Street Lighting Zone 76", "Street Lighting
16 Zone 78", "Street Lighting Zone 81", "Street Lighting Zone 82", "Street Lighting Zone 85", "Street
17 Lighting Zone 89", "Street Lighting Zone 95", "Street Lighting Zone 97", "Street Lighting Zone 99",
18 "Street Lighting Zone 101", "Street Lighting Zone 103", "Street Lighting Zone 104", "Street Lighting
19 Zone 107", "Street Lighting Zone 108", "Street Lighting Zone 109" and "Street Lighting Zone 110", and
20 collectively "Street Lighting Zones"); and

21 **WHEREAS**, it is necessary that the Board of Supervisors adopt a resolution pursuant to Section
22 22622 of the Streets and Highways Code, ordering the preparation and filing of an Engineer's Report
23 (hereinafter the "Report") in accordance with Article 4 (commencing with Section 22565) of the Streets
24 and Highways Code with regard to the assessments which are proposed to be levied on assessable
25 lots and parcels of land within Zones 1, 3, 8, 10, 11, 15, 19, 24, 26, 28, 29, 31, 36, 39, 43, 44, 45, 53,
26 55, 57, 58, 66, 68, 72, 74, 83, 84, 86, 87, 89, 91, 92, 94, 97, 100, 103, 109, 110, 112, 119, 123, 124,

1 135, 138, 145, 146, 148, 149, 152, 153, 154, 155, 157, 160, 161, 162, 164, 166, 168, 171, 172, 174,
2 175, 180, 187, 188, 190, 191, 192 and 193, and Street Lighting Zones 2, 3, 4, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 20,
3 21, 22, 25, 29, 30, 31, 36, 37, 38, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 61, 64, 65, 66,
4 67, 68, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 81, 82, 85, 89, 95, 97, 99, 101, 103, 104, 107, 108, 109 and 110 of
5 L&LMD No. 89-1-C for the 2016-17 fiscal year;

6 **NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, FOUND, DETERMINED, AND ORDERED** by the
7 Board of Supervisors of the County assembled in regular session on May 24, 2016 as follows:

8 **Section 1. Improvements.** The improvements authorized for Zones 1, 3, 8, 10, 11, 15, 19,
9 24, 26, 28, 29, 31, 36, 39, 43, 45, 53, 57, 58, 66, 68, 74, 83, 84, 87, 91, 92, 97, 100, 103, 112, 119,
10 135, 138, 148, 152, 153, 154, 160, 161, 166, 171 and 188 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C are:

- 11 (a) The installation and planting of landscaping, including trees, shrubs, grass and other
12 ornamental vegetation; and,
- 13 (b) The installation of irrigation and electrical facilities; and
- 14 (c) The maintenance or servicing of any of the foregoing.

15 In addition, Zones 11, 28, 29, 43, 74, 91, 92, 100, and 112 are authorized to provide the following:

- 16 (a) Weed abatement and debris clean-up of multi-purpose trails;
- 17 (b) Maintenance, repair and/or replacement of fencing.

18 In addition, Zones 74, 84, 91, 92, 97, 100, 103, 112, and 119 are authorized to provide the following:

- 19 (a) Providing graffiti abatement services to walls and structures within the public right-of-
20 way including incidental costs and expenses.

21 In addition, Zones 15, 19, 53, 57, 58, and 84 are authorized to provide the following:

- 22 (a) Maintenance, repair and/or replacement of fossil filters within catch basins within the
23 public right-of-way including incidental costs and expenses.

1 In addition, Zone 68 is authorized to provide the following:

- 2 (a) Maintenance and servicing of bio-swales within the public right-of-way including the
3 removal of petroleum hydrocarbons and other pollutants from water runoff.

4 In addition Zones 135 and 161 are authorized to provide the following:

- 5 (a) The maintenance and servicing of traffic signals within the public right-of-way including
6 incidental costs and expenses.

7 In addition, Zones 43, 58, 135, 148, 154, 160, 166 and 188 are authorized to provide the following:

- 8 (a) The maintenance and servicing of streetlights within the public right-of-ways including
9 incidental costs and expenses.

10 **Section 2. Improvements.** The improvements authorized for Zones 44, 55, 86, 89, 94,
11 110, 149, 162, 168, 172, 187, 190, 191 and 193 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C are:

- 12 (a) Maintenance, repair and/or replacement of fossil filters within catch basins within the
13 public right-of-way including incidental costs and expenses.

14 In addition Zones 86, 89, 94, 149, 187, 191 and 193 are authorized to provide the following:

- 15 (a) The maintenance and servicing of streetlights within the public right-of-way including
16 incidental costs and expenses.

17 In addition Zones 86, 149, 162, 172, 187, 191 and 193 are authorized to provide the following:

- 18 (a) The maintenance and servicing of traffic signals within the public right-of-way including
19 incidental costs and expenses.

20 **Section 3. Improvements.** The improvements authorized for Zones 123, 124, 145, 146,
21 155, 174, 175, 180 and 192 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C are:

- 22 (a) The maintenance and servicing of traffic signals within the public right-of-way including
23 incidental costs and expenses.

24 In addition Zones 123, 124, 146, 174, 180 and 192 are authorized to provide the following:
25
26

1 (a) The maintenance and servicing of streetlights within the public right-of-way including
2 incidental costs and expenses.

3 **Section 4. Improvements.** The improvements authorized for Zones 157 and 164 of
4 L&LMD No. 89-1-C are:

5 (a) Maintenance and servicing of bio-swales within the public right-of-way including the
6 removal of petroleum hydrocarbons and other pollutants from water runoff.

7 **Section 5. Improvements.** The improvements authorized for Street Lighting Zones 2, 3, 4,
8 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 20, 21, 22, 25, 29, 30, 31, 36, 37, 38, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 52, 53, 54, 55,
9 56, 57, 58, 61, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 81, 82, 85, 89, 95, 97, 99, 101, 103, 104, 107,
10 108, 109 and 110 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C are:


11 (a) The maintenance and servicing of streetlights within the public right-of-way including
12 incidental costs and expenses.

13 **Section 6. Report.** The Director of Transportation of the County, or his designee, is hereby
14 designated Engineer (hereinafter the "Engineer") and is ordered to prepare and file with the Clerk of
15 the Board of Supervisors the Report with regard to the assessments proposed to be levied on
16 assessable lots and parcels of land within Zones 1, 3, 8, 10, 11, 15, 19, 24, 26, 28, 29, 31, 36, 39, 43,
17 44, 45, 53, 55, 57, 58, 66, 68, 72, 74, 83, 84, 86, 87, 89, 91, 92, 94, 97, 100, 103, 109, 110, 112, 119,
18 123, 124, 135, 138, 145, 146, 148, 149, 152, 153, 154, 155, 157, 160, 161, 162, 164, 166, 168, 171,
19 172, 174, 175, 180, 187, 188, 190, 191, 192 and 193, and Street Lighting Zones 2, 3, 4, 9, 11, 12, 13,
20 14, 16, 20, 21, 22, 25, 29, 30, 31, 36, 37, 38, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 61,
21 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 81, 82, 85, 89, 95, 97, 99, 101, 103, 104, 107, 108, 109 and 110
22 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C to pay the costs of the maintenance and servicing of landscaping, multi-purpose
23 trail, fencing, fossil filter, bio-swale, streetlight, bridge light, and traffic signal improvements, and graffiti
24 abatement; and the provision of electricity for streetlights, bridge lights, and traffic signals for the
2016-17 fiscal year, pursuant to Sections 22565 through 22574 of the Streets and Highways Code.

25 ROLL CALL:

26 Ayes: Jeffries, Tavaglione, Washington, Benoit and Ashley
Nays: None
Absent: None

The foregoing is certified to be a true copy of a
resolution duly adopted by said Board of Super-
visors on the date therein set forth.

KECIA HARPER-IHEM Clerk of said Board
By  Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 2016-118

RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS DECLARING INTENTION TO LEVY AND COLLECT ASSESSMENTS WITHIN ZONES 1, 3, 8, 10, 11, 15, 19, 24, 26, 28, 29, 31, 36, 39, 43, 44, 45, 53, 55, 57, 58, 66, 68, 72, 74, 83, 84, 86, 87, 89, 91, 92, 94, 97, 100, 103, 109, 110, 112, 119, 123, 124, 135, 138, 145, 146, 148, 149, 152, 153, 154, 155, 157, 160, 161, 162, 164, 166, 168, 171, 172, 174, 175, 180, 187, 188, 190, 191, 192 AND 193, AND STREET LIGHTING ZONES 2, 3, 4, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 20, 21, 22, 25, 29, 30, 31, 36, 37, 38, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 61, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 81, 82, 85, 89, 95, 97, 99, 101, 103, 104, 107, 108, 109 AND 110 OF THE LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NO. 89-1-CONSOLIDATED OF THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE PURSUANT TO THE LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING ACT OF 1972 FOR THE MAINTENANCE AND SERVICING OF LANDSCAPING, MULTI-PURPOSE TRAILS, FENCING, FOSSIL FILTERS, BIO-SWALES, GRAFFITI ABATEMENT, TRAFFIC SIGNALS, BRIDGE LIGHTS, AND STREETLIGHTS FOR FISCAL YEAR 2016-17; AND GIVING NOTICE OF AND SETTING THE TIME AND PLACE OF THE PUBLIC HEARING ON THE ANNUAL ASSESSMENT AND ORDERING NOTICE OF THE PUBLIC HEARING TO BE PUBLISHED

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors (hereinafter the "Board of Supervisors") of the County of Riverside (hereinafter the "County") has conducted proceedings for and has established Landscaping and Lighting Maintenance District No. 89-1-Consolidated of the County of Riverside, State of California (hereinafter "L&LMD No. 89-1-C") pursuant to the Landscaping and Lighting Act of 1972, which is Part 2 (commencing with Section 22500) of Division 15 of the Streets and Highways Code (hereinafter the "Streets and Highways Code"), for the installation and planting of landscaping; the installation of multi-purpose trails; the installation of fencing; the installation of fossil filters; the installation of irrigation or electrical facilities; the maintenance and servicing of such landscaping, multi-purpose trail, fencing, fossil filters and irrigation or electrical improvements; and the provision of electricity to streetlights, traffic signals, and bridge lights within the public rights-of-way; and

WHEREAS, as ordered by the Board of Supervisors, the Director of Transportation of the County has filed with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors an Engineer's Report (hereinafter the "Report ") regarding the assessments to be levied and collected within 70 Assessment Zones (hereinafter "Zone 1", "Zone 3", "Zone 8", "Zone 10", "Zone 11", "Zone 15", "Zone 19", "Zone 24", "Zone 26", "Zone 28", "Zone 29", "Zone 31", "Zone 36", "Zone 39", "Zone 43", "Zone 44", "Zone 45",

FORM APPROVED COUNTY COUNSEL
 BY *[Signature]*
 DATE 5/5/16
 DATE A. GARRINER

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

1 "Zone 53", "Zone 55", "Zone 57", "Zone 58", "Zone 66", "Zone 68", "Zone 72", "Zone 74", "Zone 83",
2 "Zone 84", "Zone 86", "Zone 87", "Zone 89", "Zone 91", "Zone 92", "Zone 94", "Zone 97", "Zone 100",
3 "Zone 103", "Zone 109", "Zone 110", "Zone 112", "Zone 119", "Zone 123", "Zone 124", "Zone 135",
4 "Zone 138", "Zone 145", "Zone 146", "Zone 148", "Zone 149", "Zone 152", "Zone 153", "Zone 154",
5 "Zone 155", "Zone 157", "Zone 160", "Zone 161", "Zone 162", "Zone 164", "Zone 166", "Zone 168",
6 "Zone 171", "Zone 172", "Zone 174", "Zone 175", "Zone 180", "Zone 187", "Zone 188", "Zone 190",
7 "Zone 191", "Zone 192", and "Zone 193", and collectively "Zones"); and 58 Street Lighting Assessment
8 Zones (hereinafter "Street Lighting Zone 2", "Street Lighting Zone 3", "Street Lighting Zone 4", "Street
9 Lighting Zone 9", "Street Lighting Zone 11", "Street Lighting Zone 12", "Street Lighting Zone 13",
10 "Street Lighting Zone 14", "Street Lighting Zone 16", "Street Lighting Zone 20", "Street Lighting
11 Zone 21", "Street Lighting Zone 22", "Street Lighting Zone 25", "Street Lighting Zone 29", "Street
12 Lighting Zone 30", "Street Lighting Zone 31", "Street Lighting Zone 36", "Street Lighting Zone 37",
13 "Street Lighting Zone 38", "Street Lighting Zone 42", "Street Lighting Zone 43", "Street Lighting
14 Zone 44", "Street Lighting Zone 45", "Street Lighting Zone 46", "Street Lighting Zone 47", "Street
15 Lighting Zone 48", "Street Lighting Zone 52", "Street Lighting Zone 53", "Street Lighting Zone 54",
16 "Street Lighting Zone 55", "Street Lighting Zone 56", "Street Lighting Zone 57", "Street Lighting
17 Zone 58", "Street Lighting Zone 61", "Street Lighting Zone 64", "Street Lighting Zone 65", "Street
18 Lighting Zone 66", "Street Lighting Zone 67", "Street Lighting Zone 68", "Street Lighting Zone 72",
19 "Street Lighting Zone 74", "Street Lighting Zone 75", "Street Lighting Zone 76", "Street Lighting
20 Zone 78", "Street Lighting Zone 81", "Street Lighting Zone 82", "Street Lighting Zone 85", "Street
21 Lighting Zone 89", "Street Lighting Zone 95", "Street Lighting Zone 97", "Street Lighting Zone 99",
22 "Street Lighting Zone 101", "Street Lighting Zone 103", "Street Lighting Zone 104", Street Lighting
23 Zone 107", "Street Lighting Zone 108", "Street Lighting Zone 109" and "Street Lighting Zone 110", and
24 collectively "Street Lighting Zones") of L&LMD No. 89-1-C for fiscal year 2016-17 to pay the costs of
25 maintenance services and improvements as identified in the preceding recital, and the Report has
26 been presented to and considered by the Board of Supervisors; and

1 **WHEREAS**, the Report filed with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors states that the
2 assessments to be levied in the respective Zones and Street Lighting Zones for fiscal year 2016-17
3 are in an amount that is the same as levied in fiscal year 2015-16, or increased by two percent (2%)
4 more than the assessments levied for fiscal year 2015-16 (based on the cumulative percentage
5 increase in the CPI-U from March 2015 through March 2016), and are consistent with the terms of the
6 ballot proposition approving the annexation of each zone; and

7 **WHEREAS**, it is necessary that the Board of Supervisors adopt a resolution of intention
8 pursuant to Section 22624 of the Streets and Highways Code, which fixes and gives notice, pursuant
9 to Section 22626(a) of the Streets and Highways Code, of the time and place of a public hearing on
10 said Report and the annual assessments for fiscal year 2016-17;

11 **NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, FOUND, DETERMINED AND ORDERED** by the
12 Board of Supervisors of the County of Riverside assembled in regular session on July 12, 2016 as
13 follows:

14 **Section 1. Findings.** The Board of Supervisors finds after reviewing the Report that:

- 15 (a) The foregoing recitals are true and correct: The Report for Zones 1, 3, 8, 10, 11, 15, 19,
16 24, 26, 28, 29, 31, 36, 39, 43, 44, 45, 53, 55, 57, 58, 66, 68, 72, 74, 83, 84, 86, 87, 89, 91, 92, 94, 97,
17 100, 103, 109, 110, 112, 119, 123, 124, 135, 138, 145, 146, 148, 149, 152, 153, 154, 155, 157, 160,
18 161, 162, 164, 166, 168, 171, 172, 174, 175, 180, 187, 188 190, 191, 192 and 193, and Street Lighting
19 Zones 2, 3, 4, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 20, 21, 22, 25, 29, 30, 31, 36, 37, 38, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48,
20 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 61, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 81, 82, 85, 89, 95, 97, 99, 101,
21 103, 104, 107, 108, 109 and 110 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C contains all matters required by Section 22565
22 through 22574 of the Streets and Highways Code and may, therefore, be approved by the Board of
23 Supervisors; and
- 24 (b) The 2016-17 fiscal year assessment within Zone 1 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$178.52 per acre;
25 and within Zone 3 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$77.68 per parcel; and within Zone 8 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C
26 is \$48.22 per EDU; and within Zone 10 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$396.04 per acre; and within Zone 11

1 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$133.17 per parcel; and within Zone 15 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$408.75 per
2 parcel; and within Zone 19 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$208.70 per parcel; and within Zone 24 of L&LMD
3 No. 89-1-C is \$131.45 per parcel; and within Zone 26 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$144.18 per parcel; and
4 within Zone 28 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$113.55 per parcel; and within Zone 29 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C
5 is \$90.54 per parcel; and within Zone 31 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$305.50 per parcel; and within
6 Zone 36 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$119.44 per parcel; and within Zone 39 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is
7 \$55.58 per parcel; and within Zone 43 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$162.95 per parcel; and within Zone 44
8 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$61.51 per parcel; and within Zone 45 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$262.22 per
9 parcel; and within Zone 53 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$451.91 per parcel; and within Zone 55 of L&LMD
10 No. 89-1-C is \$70.37 per parcel; and within Zone 57 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$292.50 per parcel; and
11 within Zone 58 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$759.29 per parcel; and within Zone 66 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C
12 is \$238.71 per parcel; and within Zone 68 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$660.99 per parcel; and within
13 Zone 72 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$239.15 per parcel; and within Zone 74 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is
14 \$609.26 per parcel; and within Zone 83 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$532.41 per acre; and within Zone 84
15 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$268.96 per parcel; and within Zone 86 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$220.32 per
16 parcel; and within Zone 87 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$224.56 per acre; and within Zone 89 of L&LMD
17 No. 89-1-C is \$102.89 per acre; and within Zone 91 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$624.36 per parcel; and
18 within Zone 92 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$683.02 per parcel; and within Zone 94 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C
19 is \$821.56 per acre; and within Zone 97 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$361.62 per parcel; and within
20 Zone 100 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$834.11 per parcel; and within Zone 103 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is
21 \$57.62 per parcel; and within Zone 109 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$7.93 per parcel; and within Zone 110
22 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$112.12 per parcel; and within Zone 112 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$402.66 per
23 parcel; and within Zone 119 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$1,082.64 per parcel; and within Zone 123 of
24 L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$438.80 per acre; and within Zone 124 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$725.36 per
25 acre; and within Zone 135 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$16.98 per EDU; and within Zone 138 of L&LMD
26 No. 89-1-C is \$1,281.31 per acre; and within Zone 145 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$131.27 per acre; and

1 within Zone 146 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$877.06 per acre; and within Zone 148 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C
2 is \$130.75 per acre; and within Zone 149 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$455.94 per acre; and within
3 Zone 152 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$717.94 per acre; and within Zone 153 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is
4 \$1,840.11 per acre; and within Zone 154 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$147.80 per acre; and within
5 Zone 155 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$510.86 per acre; and within Zone 157 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is
6 \$905.83 per acre; and within Zone 160 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$138.48 per acre; and within
7 Zone 161 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$402.11 per acre; and within Zone 162 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is
8 \$112.66 per parcel; and within Zone 164 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$100.58 per acre; and within
9 Zone 166 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$345.87 per acre; and within Zone 168 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is
10 \$64.75 per parcel; and within Zone 171 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$2,121.77 per acre; and within
11 Zone 172 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$100.19 per parcel; and within Zone 174 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is
12 \$254.65 per acre; and within Zone 175 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$4,205.82 per parcel; and within
13 Zone 180 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$917.08 per parcel; and within Zone 187 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is
14 \$67.17 per parcel; and within Zone 188 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$796.95 per parcel; and within
15 Zone 190 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$24.48 per parcel; and within Zone 191 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is
16 \$258.06 per acre; and within Zone 192 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$419.22 per acre; and within
17 Zone 193 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$78.54 per acre; and within Street Lighting Zone 2 of L&LMD No.
18 89-1-C is \$178.99 per parcel; and within Street Lighting Zone 3 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$275.91 per
19 parcel; and within Street Lighting Zone 4 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$54.56 per parcel; and within Street
20 Lighting Zone 9 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$108.06 per acre; and within Street Lighting Zone 11 of
21 L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$68.33 per acre; and within Street Lighting Zone 12 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is
22 \$127.55 per acre; and within Street Lighting Zone 13 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$201.61 per acre; and
23 within Street Lighting Zone 14 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$277.64 per parcel; and within Street Lighting
24 Zone 16 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$20.79 per acre; and within Street Lighting Zone 20 of L&LMD No.
25 89-1-C is \$37.89 per parcel; and within Street Lighting Zone 21 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$58.43 per
26 parcel; and within Street Lighting Zone 22 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$44.72 per acre; and within Street

1 Lighting Zone 25 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$120.96 per acre; and within Street Lighting Zone 29 of
2 L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$184.23 per acre; and within Street Lighting Zone 30 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is
3 \$6.84 per acre; and within Street Lighting Zone 31 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$197.45 per acre; and
4 within Street Lighting Zone 36 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$52.88 per acre; and within Street Lighting
5 Zone 37 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$83.85 per acre; and within Street Lighting Zone 38 of L&LMD No.
6 89-1-C is \$849.59 per acre; and within Street Lighting Zone 42 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$185.25 per
7 acre; and within Street Lighting Zone 43 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$86.23 per parcel; and within Street
8 Lighting Zone 44 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$237.94 per acre; and within Street Lighting Zone 45 of
9 L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$29.49 per acre; and within Street Lighting Zone 46 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is
10 \$43.30 per acre; and within Street Lighting Zone 47 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$71.97 per acre; and
11 within Street Lighting Zone 48 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$53.20 per acre; and within Street Lighting
12 Zone 52 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$121.33 per acre; and within Street Lighting Zone 53 of L&LMD No.
13 89-1-C is \$39.10 per acre; and within Street Lighting Zone 54 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$155.16 per
14 acre; and within Street Lighting Zone 55 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$217.26 per acre; and within Street
15 Lighting Zone 56 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$3.70 per acre; and within Street Lighting Zone 57 of
16 L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$96.34 per acre; and within Street Lighting Zone 58 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is
17 \$3.77 per acre; and within Street Lighting Zone 61 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$65.13 per acre; and
18 within Street Lighting Zone 64 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$1,917.62 per acre; and within Street Lighting
19 Zone 65 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$152.58 per acre; and within Street Lighting Zone 66 of L&LMD No.
20 89-1-C is \$442.97 per acre; and within Street Lighting Zone 67 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$303.09 per
21 acre; and within Street Lighting Zone 68 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$2.68 per acre; and within Street
22 Lighting Zone 72 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$127.83 per acre; and within Street Lighting Zone 74 of
23 L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$447.39 per acre; and within Street Lighting Zone 75 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is
24 \$112.68 per acre; and within Street Lighting Zone 76 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$434.35 per acre; and
25 within Street Lighting Zone 78 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$142.14 per acre; and within Street Lighting
26 Zone 81 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$353.74 per acre; and within Street Lighting Zone 82 of L&LMD No.

1 89-1-C is \$544.92 per acre; and within Street Lighting Zone 85 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$157.20 per
2 acre; and within Street Lighting Zone 89 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$26.31 per acre; and within Street
3 Lighting Zone 95 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$236.25 per acre; and within Street Lighting Zone 97 of
4 L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$230.99 per acre; and within Street Lighting Zone 99 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is
5 \$76.83 per acre; and within Street Lighting Zone 101 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$1,095.36 per acre; and
6 within Street Lighting Zone 103 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$395.49 per acre; and within Street Lighting
7 Zone 104 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$58.60 per acre; and within Street Lighting Zone 107 of L&LMD No.
8 89-1-C is \$57.78 per parcel; and within Street Lighting Zone 108 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$205.02 per
9 parcel; and within Street Lighting Zone 109 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$402.01 per parcel; and within
10 Street Lighting Zone 110 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C is \$856.80 per parcel;

11 The Report proposes no increase in the assessment per acre, or per parcel in Zones 1 and 3
12 over the assessment levied for fiscal year 2015-16. The Report proposes a two percent (2%)
13 inflationary increase in the assessment per acre, per unit or per parcel as applicable in Zones 8, 10,
14 11, 15, 19, 24, 26, 28, 29, 31, 36, 39, 43, 44, 45, 53, 55, 57, 58, 66, 68, 72, 74, 83, 84, 86, 87, 89, 91,
15 92, 94, 97, 100, 103, 109, 110, 112, 119, 123, 124, 135, 145, 146, 148, 138, 149, 152, 153, 154, 155,
16 157, 160, 161, 162, 164, 166, 168, 171, 172, 174, 175, 180, 187, 188, 190, 191, 192 and 193 over the
17 assessment levied for fiscal year 2015-16 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the
18 qualified electors when establishing said zones. The Report proposes a two percent (2%) inflationary
19 increase in the assessment per acre, per unit or per parcel as applicable in Street Lighting Zones 2, 3,
20 4, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 20, 21, 22, 25, 29, 30, 31, 36, 37, 38, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 52, 53, 54, 55,
21 56, 57, 58, 61, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 81, 82, 85, 89, 95, 97, 99, 101, 103, 104, 107,
22 108, 109 and 110 over the assessment levied for fiscal year 2015-16, based on the cumulative
23 percentage increase in the CPI-U from March 2015 through March 2016, and is consistent with ballot
24 proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zones.

25 **Section 2. Intent.** The Board of Supervisors declares that it intends to levy assessments on
26 all lots and parcels of assessable land within Zones 1, 3, 8, 10, 11, 15, 19, 24, 26, 28, 29, 31, 36, 39,

1 43, 44, 45, 53, 55, 57, 58, 66, 68, 72, 74, 83, 84, 86, 87, 89, 91, 92, 94, 97, 100, 103, 109, 110, 112,
2 119, 123, 124, 135, 138, 145, 146, 148, 149, 152, 153, 154, 155, 157, 160, 161, 162, 164, 166, 168,
3 171, 172, 174, 175, 180, 187, 188, 190, 191, 192 and 193, and Street Lighting Zones 2, 3, 4, 9, 11, 12,
4 13, 14, 16, 20, 21, 22, 25, 29, 30, 31, 36, 37, 38, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58,
5 61, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 81, 82, 85, 89, 95, 97, 99, 101, 103, 104, 107, 108, 109 and
6 110 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C. There are no parcels or lots within said zones that are owned by a federal
7 or state governmental agency or another local agency. The assessments will be collected at the same
8 time and in the same manner as property taxes are collected, and all laws providing for the collection
9 and enforcement of property taxes shall apply to the collection and enforcement of the assessments.

10 **Section 3. Description of Services and Improvements to be Provided.** The
11 maintenance service and improvements authorized within Zones 1, 3, 8, 10, 11, 15, 19, 24, 26, 28, 29,
12 31, 36, 39, 43, 44, 45, 53, 55, 57, 58, 66, 68, 72, 74, 83, 84, 86, 87, 89, 91, 92, 94, 97, 100, 103, 109,
13 110, 112, 119, 123, 124, 135, 138, 145, 146, 148, 149, 152, 153, 154, 155, 157, 160, 161, 162, 164,
14 166, 168, 171, 172, 174, 175, 180, 187, 188, 190, 191, 192 and 193, and Street Lighting Zones 2, 3, 4,
15 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 20, 21, 22, 25, 29, 30, 31, 36, 37, 38, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 52, 53, 54, 55,
16 56, 57, 58, 61, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 81, 82, 85, 89, 95, 97, 99, 101, 103, 104, 107,
17 108, 109 and 110 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C are:

- 18 (a) The installation and planting of landscaping, including trees, shrubs, grass and other
19 ornamental vegetation;
20 (b) The installation of multi-purpose trails;
21 (c) The installation of fencing;
22 (d) The installation of fossil filters;
23 (e) The installation of bio-swales;
24 (f) The installation of irrigation or electrical facilities;
25 (g) The maintenance or servicing of any of the foregoing; and
26

- 1 (h) Graffiti abatement.
- 2 (i) The maintenance or servicing of and provision of electricity for traffic signals within the
- 3 public rights-of-way.
- 4 (j) The maintenance or servicing of and provision of electricity for bridge lights within the
- 5 public rights-of-way.
- 6 (k) The provision of electricity to streetlights within the public rights-of-way.

7 **Section 4. Improvements.** The improvements authorized for Zones 1, 3, 8, 10, 11, 15, 19,
8 24, 26, 28, 29, 31, 36, 39, 43, 45, 53, 57, 58, 66, 68, 74, 83, 84, 87, 91, 92, 97, 100, 103, 112, 119,
9 135, 138, 148, 152, 153, 154, 160, 161, 166, 171, and 188 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C are:

- 10 (a) The installation and planting of landscaping, including trees, shrubs, grass and other
- 11 ornamental vegetation; and,
- 12 (b) The installation of irrigation and electrical facilities; and
- 13 (c) The maintenance or servicing of any of the foregoing.

14 In addition, Zones 11, 28, 29, 43, 74, 91, 92, 100, and 112 are authorized to provide the following:

- 15 (a) Weed abatement and debris clean-up of multi-purpose trails;
- 16 (b) Maintenance, repair and/or replacement of fencing.

17 In addition, Zones 74, 84, 91, 92, 97, 100, 103, 112, and 119 are authorized to provide the following:

- 18 (a) Providing graffiti abatement services to walls and structures within the public right-of-
- 19 way including incidental costs and expenses.

20 In addition, Zones 15, 19, 53, 57, 58, and 84 are authorized to provide the following:

- 21 (a) Maintenance, repair and/or replacement of fossil filters within catch basins within the
- 22 public right-of-way including incidental costs and expenses.

23 In addition, Zone 68 is authorized to provide the following:

- 24 (a) Maintenance and servicing of bio-swales within the public right-of-way including the
- 25 removal of petroleum hydrocarbons and other pollutants from water runoff.

26 In addition Zones 81, 135, and 161 are authorized to provide the following:

- 1 (a) The maintenance and servicing of traffic signals within the public right-of-way including
2 incidental costs and expenses.

3 In addition, Zones 43, 58, 135, 148, 154, 160, 166, and 188 are authorized to provide the following:

- 4 (a) The maintenance and servicing of streetlights within the public right-of-ways including
5 incidental costs and expenses.

6 **Section 5. Improvements.** The improvements authorized for Zones 44, 55, 86, 89, 94, 110, 149,
7 162, 168, 172, 187, 190, 191 and 193 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C are:

- 8 (a) Maintenance, repair and/or replacement of fossil filters within catch basins within the
9 public right-of-way including incidental costs and expenses.

10 In addition Zones 86, 89, 94, 149, 187, 191 and 193 are authorized to provide the following:

- 11 (a) The maintenance and servicing of streetlights within the public right-of-way including
12 incidental costs and expenses.

13 In addition Zones 86, 149, 162, 172, 187, 191 and 193 are authorized to provide the following:

- 14 (a) The maintenance and servicing of traffic signals within the public right-of-way including
15 incidental costs and expenses.

16 **Section 6. Improvements.** The improvements authorized for Zones 123, 124, 145, 146,
17 155, 174, 175, 180, 191 and 192 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C are:

- 18 (a) The maintenance and servicing of traffic signals within the public right-of-way including
19 incidental costs and expenses.

20 In addition Zones 123, 124, 146, 174, 180, and 190 are authorized to provide the following:

- 21 (a) The maintenance and servicing of streetlights within the public right-of-way including
22 incidental costs and expenses.

23 **Section 7. Improvements.** The improvements authorized for Zones 157 and 164 of
24 L&LMD No. 89-1-C are:

- 25 (a) Maintenance and servicing of bio-swales within the public right-of-way including the
26 removal of petroleum hydrocarbons and other pollutants from water runoff.

1 **Section 8. Improvements.** The improvements authorized for Street Lighting Zones 2, 3, 4,
2 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 20, 21, 22, 25, 29, 30, 31, 36, 37, 38, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 52, 53, 54, 55,
3 56, 57, 58, 61, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 81, 82, 85, 89, 95, 97, 99, 101, 103, 104, 107,
4 108, 109 and 110 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C are:

- 5 (a) The maintenance and servicing of streetlights within the public right-of-way including
6 incidental costs and expenses.

7 **Section 9. The District.** The district is identified as "Landscaping and Lighting Maintenance
8 District No. 89-1-Consolidated of the County of Riverside, State of California". The boundaries of
9 Zones 1, 3, 8, 10, 11, 15, 19, 24, 26, 28, 29, 31, 36, 39, 43, 44, 45, 53, 55, 57, 58, 66, 68, 72, 74, 83,
10 84, 86, 87, 89, 91, 92, 94, 97, 100, 103, 109, 110, 112, 119, 123, 124, 135, 138, 145, 146, 148, 149,
11 152, 153, 154, 155, 157, 160, 161, 162, 164, 166, 168, 171, 172, 174, 175, 180, 187, 188, 190, 191,
12 192 and 193, and Street Lighting Zones 2, 3, 4, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 20, 21, 22, 25, 29, 30, 31, 36, 37,
13 38, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 61, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 81,
14 82, 85, 89, 95, 97, 99, 101, 103, 104, 107, 108, 109 and 110 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C are located within
15 Riverside County and are described and shown in the Report.

16 **Section 10. Report.** The Report, which is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
17 and which has been presented to the Board of Supervisors, is hereby approved. Reference is made to
18 the Report for a full and detailed description of the improvements, the boundaries of the District, and
19 any zones therein, and the annual assessments to be levied upon assessable lots and parcels within
20 Zones 1, 3, 8, 10, 11, 15, 19, 24, 26, 28, 29, 31, 36, 39, 43, 44, 45, 53, 55, 57, 58, 66, 68, 72, 74, 83,
21 84, 86, 87, 89, 91, 92, 94, 97, 100, 103, 109, 110, 112, 119, 123, 124, 135, 138, 145, 146, 148, 149,
22 152, 153, 154, 155, 157, 160, 161, 162, 164, 166, 168, 171, 172, 174, 175, 180, 187, 188, 190, 191,
23 192 and 193, and Street Lighting Zones 2, 3, 4, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 20, 21, 22, 25, 29, 30, 31, 36, 37,
24 38, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 61, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 81,
25 82, 85, 89, 95, 97, 99, 101, 103, 104, 107, 108, 109 and 110 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C.

26

1 **Section 11. Public Hearing.** A Public Hearing will be held on July 12, 2016 at 9:00 a.m. at
2 the meeting room of the Board of Supervisors of the County at 4080 Lemon Street, 1st Floor,
3 Riverside, California regarding the Report and the proposed assessments to be levied for fiscal year
4 2016-17 within Zones 1, 3, 8, 10, 11, 15, 19, 24, 26, 28, 29, 31, 36, 39, 43, 44, 45, 53, 55, 57, 58, 66,
5 68, 72, 74, 83, 84, 86, 87, 89, 91, 92, 94, 97, 100, 103, 109, 110, 112, 119, 123, 124, 135, 138, 145,
6 146, 148, 149, 152, 153, 154, 155, 157, 160, 161, 162, 164, 166, 168, 171, 172, 174, 175, 180, 187,
7 188, 190, 191, 192 and 193, and Street Lighting Zones 2, 3, 4, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 20, 21, 22, 25, 29,
8 30, 31, 36, 37, 38, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 61, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 72, 74,
9 75, 76, 78, 81, 82, 85, 89, 95, 97, 99, 101, 103, 104, 107, 108, 109 and 110 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C.


10 **Section 12. Information.** Any property owner desiring additional information regarding
11 Zones 1, 3, 8, 10, 11, 15, 19, 24, 26, 28, 29, 31, 36, 39, 43, 44, 45, 53, 55, 57, 58, 66, 68, 72, 74, 83,
12 84, 86, 87, 89, 91, 92, 94, 97, 100, 103, 109, 110, 112, 119, 123, 124, 135, 138, 145, 146, 148, 149,
13 152, 153, 154, 155, 157, 160, 161, 162, 164, 166, 168, 171, 172, 174, 175, 180, 187, 188, 190, 191,
14 192 and 193, and Street Lighting Zones 2, 3, 4, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 20, 21, 22, 25, 29, 30, 31, 36, 37,
15 38, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 61, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 81,
16 82, 85, 89, 95, 97, 99, 101, 103, 104, 107, 108, 109 and 110 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C, the Report, or the
17 proposed assessments shall contact Ms. Brigitte Hahn, Senior Engineering Technician, Riverside
18 County Transportation Department, at 4080 Lemon Street, 8th Floor, Riverside, California, or by
19 telephone at (951) 955-6263.

20 **Section 13. Notice of the Public Hearing.** Notices of the public hearing shall be given
21 consistent with Section 22626(a) of the Streets and Highways Code. The notice of the public hearing
22 shall be given by causing a certified copy of this Resolution to be published once in an appropriate
23 newspaper at least ten (10) days prior to July 12, 2016. Said publication of this Resolution is to be
24 effected by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors of the County.

25 ROLL CALL:

26 Ayes: Jeffries, Tavaglione, Washington, Benoit and Ashley
Nays: None
Absent: None

The foregoing is certified to be a true copy of a
resolution duly adopted by said Board of Super-
visors on the date therein set forth.

KEVIN HARPER, JEM Clerk of said Board
By:  Deputy

ATTACHMENT A

The District contains 69 separate zones of benefits and 58 street lighting zones of benefits:

- Zone 1 – is located in the Bermuda Dunes area
- Zone 3 – is located in the Menifee, Sun City, and Nuevo area
- Zone 8 – is located in the Home Gardens area
- Zone 10 – is located in the Glen Ivy Hot Springs area
- Zone 11 – is located in the Woodcrest area
- Zone 15 – is located in the La Sierra area
- Zone 19 – is located in the Murrieta Hot Springs area
- Zone 24 – is located in the Temecula area
- Zone 26 – is located in the Woodcrest area
- Zone 28 – is located in the West Elsinore area
- Zone 29 – is located in the Lakeland Village area
- Zone 31 – is located in the Hemet area
- Zone 36 – is located in the Thermal area
- Zone 39 – is located in the Mead Valley area
- Zone 43 – is located in the El Cerrito area
- Zone 44 – is located in the Menifee area
- Zone 45 – is located in the Lake Hills area
- Zone 53 – is located in the French Valley area
- Zone 55 – is located in the French Valley area
- Zone 57 – is located in the Rancho California area
- Zone 58 – is located in the Woodcrest area
- Zone 64 – is located in the Menifee area
- Zone 66 – is located in the Homeland area
- Zone 68 – is located in the Woodcrest area
- Zone 72 – is located in the Highgrove area
- Zone 74 – is located in the Lakehills, Riverside, and Woodcrest area
- Zone 83 – is located in the Bermuda Dunes and Del Web Sun City area
- Zone 84 – is located in the Mead Valley area
- Zone 86 – is located in the Winchester area
- Zone 87 – is located in the Thousand Palms area
- Zone 89 – is located in the Mead Valley area
- Zone 91 – is located in the East Hemet area
- Zone 92 – is located in the Thermal area
- Zone 94 – is located in the Mead Valley area
- Zone 97 – is located in the Glenn Valley area
- Zone 100 – is located in the Rancho California area
- Zone 103 – is located in the Lake Elsinore and West Elsinore area
- Zone 109 – is located in the French Valley area
- Zone 110 – is located in the Lake Elsinore area
- Zone 112 – is located in the Woodcrest area
- Zone 119 – is located in the East Hemet area
- Zone 123 – is located in the Woodcrest area
- Zone 124 – is located in the Mead Valley area
- Zone 135 – is located in the Glen Ivy Hot Springs area
- Zone 138 – is located in the Mecca area
- Zone 145 – is located in the Mead Valley area
- Zone 146 – is located in the Highgrove area
- Zone 148 – is located in the Horsetheif Canyon area
- Zone 149 – is located in the Bermuda Dunes area

ATTACHMENT A

- Zone 152 – is located in the Glen Ivy Hot Springs area
- Zone 153 – is located in the Lakeland Village area
- Zone 154 – is located in the Rancho California area
- Zone 155 – is located in the French Valley area
- Zone 157 – is located in the Rancho California area
- Zone 160 – is located in the Anza area
- Zone 161 – is located in the Glen Valley area
- Zone 162 – is located in the French Valley area
- Zone 164 – is located in the Rancho California area
- Zone 166 – is located in the Hundred Palms area
- Zone 168 – is located in the Glen Ivy Hot Springs/Horsethief Canyon area
- Zone 171 – is located in the French Valley area
- Zone 172 – is located in the French Valley area
- Zone 174 – is located in the Mead Valley area
- Zone 175 – is located in the Cabazon area
- Zone 180 – is located in the Mead Valley area
- Zone 187 – is located in the French Valley area
- Zone 188 – is located in the Valle Vista area
- Zone 190 – is located in the French Valley area
- Zone 191 – is located in the French Valley area
- Zone 192 – is located in the Woodcrest area
- Zone 193 – is located in the Mead Valley area
- Street Lighting Zone 2 – is located in the Anza area
- Street Lighting Zone 3 – is located in the Mead Valley area
- Street Lighting Zone 4 – is located in the Thousand Palms area
- Street Lighting Zone 9 – is located in the Murrieta Hot Springs area
- Street Lighting Zone 11 – is located in the Homeland area
- Street Lighting Zone 12 – is located in the Murrieta Hot Springs area
- Street Lighting Zone 13 – is located in the Bermuda Dunes area
- Street Lighting Zone 14 – is located in the Cherry Valley area
- Street Lighting Zone 16 – is located in the Glen Ivy Hot Springs area
- Street Lighting Zone 20 – is located in the Cherry Valley area
- Street Lighting Zone 21 – is located in the Glen Ivy Hot Springs area
- Street Lighting Zone 22 – is located in the Mead Valley area
- Street Lighting Zone 25 – is located in the Home Gardens area
- Street Lighting Zone 29 – is located in the Thousand Palms area
- Street Lighting Zone 30 – is located in the Vista Santa Rosa area
- Street Lighting Zone 31 – is located in the Bermuda Dunes area
- Street Lighting Zone 36 – is located in the Thousand Palms area
- Street Lighting Zone 37 – is located in the French Valley area
- Street Lighting Zone 38 – is located in the Thousand Palms area
- Street Lighting Zone 42 – is located in the Glen Ivy Hot Springs area
- Street Lighting Zone 43 – is located in the Cherry Valley area
- Street Lighting Zone 44 – is located in the Rancho California area
- Street Lighting Zone 45 – is located in the Hemet and Valle Vista area
- Street Lighting Zone 46 – is located in the Homeland area
- Street Lighting Zone 47 – is located in the Glen Ivy Hot Springs area
- Street Lighting Zone 48 – is located in the Romoland area
- Street Lighting Zone 52 – is located in the Cathedral City area
- Street Lighting Zone 53 – is located in the Horsethief Canyon area
- Street Lighting Zone 54 – is located in the Rancho Mirage area

ATTACHMENT A

Street Lighting Zone 55 – is located in the Murrieta area
Street Lighting Zone 56 – is located in the Thermal area
Street Lighting Zone 57 – is located in the Murrieta area
Street Lighting Zone 58 – is located in the Rancho Mirage area
Street Lighting Zone 61 – is located in the Thousand Palms area
Street Lighting Zone 64 – is located in the Thousand Palms area
Street Lighting Zone 65 – is located in the Mead Valley area
Street Lighting Zone 66 – is located in the Home Gardens area
Street Lighting Zone 67 – is located in the Bermuda Dunes area
Street Lighting Zone 68 – is located in the Thousand Palms area
Street Lighting Zone 72 – is located in the East Hemet area
Street Lighting Zone 74 – is located in the Thousand Palms area
Street Lighting Zone 75 – is located in the Horsethief Canyon area
Street Lighting Zone 76 – is located in the Home Gardens area
Street Lighting Zone 78 – is located in the Valle Vista area
Street Lighting Zone 81 – is located in the Mecca area
Street Lighting Zone 82 – is located in the East Hemet area
Street Lighting Zone 85 – is located in the French Valley area
Street Lighting Zone 89 – is located in the Rancho California area
Street Lighting Zone 95 – is located in the Mead Valley area
Street Lighting Zone 97 – is located in the Mead Valley area
Street Lighting Zone 99 – is located in the Juniper Flats area
Street Lighting Zone 101 – is located in the Southeast Desert Hot Springs area
Street Lighting Zone 103 – is located in the North Palm Springs area
Street Lighting Zone 104 – is located in the West Blythe area
Street Lighting Zone 107 – is located in the Thousand Palms area
Street Lighting Zone 108 – is located in the Mead Valley area
Street Lighting Zone 109 – is located in the Woodcrest area
Street Lighting Zone 110 – is located in the Winchester area

Zone 1

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is no proposed increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 1. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 1 of the District is \$178.52 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there are 450 parcels.

Zone 1 was established 19 years ago with an assessment of \$178.52 per acre per year and did not establish an inflation factor. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$14,777.89.

Zone 3

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is no proposed increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 3. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 3 of the District is \$77.68 per parcel and there are 314 parcels.

Zone 3 was established 18 years ago with an assessment of \$77.68 per parcel per year and did not establish an inflation factor. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$24,391.52.

ATTACHMENT A

Zone 8

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 8 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 8 of the District is \$48.22 per EDU (Equivalent Dwelling Unit) and there are 2,462 parcels.

1 Single Family Unit	=	1 EDU	=	\$ 48.22	per year
1 Multi-Family Unit	=	0.7 EDU	=	\$ 33.75	per year
1 Commercial acre	=	8 EDUs	=	\$ 385.76	per year/per acre
1 Industrial acre	=	4 EDUs	=	\$ 192.88	per year/per acre
1,000 sq. ft. of Church building	=	0.5 EDUs	=	\$ 24.11	per year/per acre

Additionally \$22,000 in Ad Valorem monies is provided to Zone 8 annually.

Zone 8 was established 16 years ago with an assessment of \$41.16 per EDU per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$131,294.38.

Zone 10

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 10 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 10 of the District is \$396.04 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there are 31 parcels.

Zone 10 was established 15 years ago with an assessment of \$321.32 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$30,506.96.

Zone 11

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 11 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 11 of the District is \$133.17 per parcel and there are 243 parcels.

Zone 11 was established 12 years ago with an assessment of \$109.00 per parcel per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$32,360.31.

Zone 15

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 15 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 15 of the District is \$408.75 per parcel and there are 121 parcels.

Zone 15 was established 13 years ago with an assessment of \$345.50 per parcel per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$49,458.75.

ATTACHMENT A

Zone 19

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 19 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 19 of the District is \$208.70 per parcel and there are 185 parcels.

Zone 19 was established 14 years ago with an assessment of \$152.02 per parcel per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$38,609.50.

Zone 24

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 24 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 24 of the District is \$131.45 per parcel and there are 314 parcels.

Zone 24 was established 13 years ago with an assessment of \$100.00 per parcel per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$41,275.30.

Zone 26

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 26 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 26 of the District is \$144.18 per parcel and there are 417 parcels.

Zone 26 was established 13 years ago with an assessment of \$111.46 per parcel per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$60,123.06.

Zone 28

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 28 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 28 of the District is \$113.55 per parcel and there are 98 parcels.

Zone 28 was established 13 years ago with an assessment of \$87.76 per parcel per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$11,127.90.

Zone 29

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 29 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 29 of the District is \$90.54 per parcel and there are 130 parcels.

Zone 29 was established 13 years ago with an assessment of \$70.00 per parcel per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$11,770.20.

ATTACHMENT A

Zone 31

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 31 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 31 of the District is \$305.50 per parcel and there are 60 parcels.

Zone 31 was established 13 years ago with an assessment of \$250.00 per parcel per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$18,330.00.

Zone 36

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 36 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 36 of the District is \$119.44 per parcel and there are 106 parcels.

Zone 36 was established 12 years ago with an assessment of \$92.30 per parcel per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$12,660.64.

Zone 39

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 39 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 39 of the District is \$55.58 per parcel and there are 128 parcels.

Zone 39 was established 12 years ago with an assessment of \$47.00 per parcel per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$7,114.24.

Zone 43

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 43 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 43 of the District is \$162.95 per parcel and there are 516 parcels.

Zone 43 was established 11 years ago with an assessment of \$140.50 per parcel per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$84,082.20.

Zone 44

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 44 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 44 of the District is \$61.51 per parcel and there are 1,173 parcels.

Zone 44 was established 11 years ago with an assessment of \$55.72 per parcel per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$72,151.23.

ATTACHMENT A

Zone 45

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 45 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 45 of the District is \$262.22 per parcel and there are 209 parcels.

Zone 45 was established 11 years ago with an assessment of \$206.72 per parcel per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$54,803.98.

Zone 53

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 53 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 53 of the District is \$451.91 per parcel and there are 32 parcels.

Zone 53 was established 11 years ago with an assessment of \$356.24 per parcel per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$14,461.12.

Zone 55

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 55 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 55 of the District is \$70.37 per parcel and there are 86 parcels.

Zone 55 was established 11 years ago with an assessment of \$65.12 per parcel per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$6,051.82.

Zone 57

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 57 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 57 of the District is \$292.50 per parcel and there are 54 parcels.

Zone 57 was established 10 years ago with an assessment of \$230.56 per parcel per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$15,795.00.

Zone 58

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 58 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 58 of the District is \$759.29 per parcel and there are 9 parcels.

Zone 58 was established 10 years ago with an assessment of \$641.78 per parcel per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$6,833.61.

ATTACHMENT A

Zone 66

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 66 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 66 of the District is \$238.71 per parcel and there are 31 parcels.

Zone 66 was established 10 years ago with an assessment of \$216.22 per parcel per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$7,400.01.

Zone 68

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 68 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 68 of the District is \$660.99 per parcel and there are 23 parcels.

Zone 68 was established 10 years ago with an assessment of \$586.96 per parcel per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$15,202.77.

Zone 72

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 72 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 72 of the District is \$239.15 per parcel and there are 447 parcels.

Zone 72 was established 8 years ago with an assessment of \$212.38 per parcel per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$106,900.05.

Zone 74

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 74 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 74 of the District is \$609.26 per parcel and there are 206 parcels.

Zone 74 was established 9 years ago with an assessment of \$525.26 per parcel per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$125,507.56.

Zone 83

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 83 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 83 of the District is \$532.41 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there are 2 parcels.

Zone 83 was established 9 years ago with an assessment of \$454.44 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$6,601.88.

ATTACHMENT A

Zone 84

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 84 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 84 of the District is \$268.96 per parcel and there are 128 parcels.

Zone 84 was established 9 years ago with an assessment of \$238.84 per parcel per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$34,426.88.

Zone 86

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 86 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 86 of the District is \$220.32 per parcel and there are 68 parcels.

Zone 86 was established 9 years ago with an assessment of \$195.66 per parcel per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$14,981.76.

Zone 87

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 86 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 86 of the District is \$224.56 per arce and there are 167.49 acres.

Zone 87 was established 9 years ago with an assessment of \$199.42 per parcel per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$37,611.54.

Zone 89

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 89 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 89 of the District is \$102.89 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there are 17 parcels.

Zone 89 was established 9 years ago with an assessment of \$89.56 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$4,818.34.

Zone 91

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 91 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 91 of the District is \$624.36 per parcel and there are 25 parcels.

Zone 91 was established 9 years ago with an assessment of \$565.52 per parcel per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$15,609.00.

ATTACHMENT A

Zone 92

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 92 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 92 of the District is \$683.02 per parcel and there are 22 parcels.

Zone 92 was established 9 years ago with an assessment of \$606.54 per parcel per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$15,026.44.

Zone 94

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 94 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 94 of the District is \$821.56 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there are 2 parcels.

Zone 94 was established 9 years ago with an assessment of \$744.12 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$6,293.15.

Zone 97

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 97 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 97 of the District is \$361.62 per parcel and there are 132 parcels.

Zone 97 was established 9 years ago with an assessment of \$305.66 per parcel per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$47,733.84.

Zone 100

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 100 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 100 of the District is \$834.11 per parcel and there are 37 parcels.

Zone 100 was established 8 years ago with an assessment of \$733.50 per parcel per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$30,862.07.

Zone 103

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 103 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 103 of the District is \$57.62 per parcel and there are 136 parcels.

Zone 103 was established 8 years ago with an assessment of \$51.18 per parcel per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$7,836.32.

ATTACHMENT A

Zone 109

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 109 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 109 of the District is \$7.93 per parcel and there are 426 parcels.

Zone 109 was established 8 years ago with an assessment of \$6.10 per parcel per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$3,378.18.

Zone 110

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 110 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 110 of the District is \$112.12 per parcel and there are 85 parcels.

Zone 110 was established 8 years ago with an assessment of \$99.58 per parcel per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$9,530.20.

Zone 112

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 112 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 112 of the District is \$402.66 per parcel and there are 131 parcels.

Zone 112 was established 8 years ago with an assessment of \$357.58 per parcel per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$52,748.46.

Zone 119

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 119 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 119 of the District is \$1,082.64 per parcel and there are 5 parcels.

Zone 119 was established 7 years ago with an assessment of \$980.60 per parcel per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$5,413.20.

Zone 123

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 123 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 123 of the District is \$438.80 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there is 1 parcel.

Zone 123 was established 7 years ago with an assessment of \$336.72 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$2,132.57.

ATTACHMENT A

Zone 124

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 124 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 124 of the District is \$725.36 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there are 4 parcels.

Zone 124 was established 7 years ago with an assessment of \$556.60 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$2,466.22.

Zone 135

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 135 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 135 of the District is \$16.98 per EDU (Equivalent Dwelling Unit) and there are 267 parcels.

1 Single Family Unit	=	1 EDU	=	\$ 16.98	per year
1 Commercial acre	=	8 EDUs	=	\$ 135.84	per year/per acre

Zone 135 was established 7 years ago with an assessment of \$14.52 per EDU per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$5,500.84.

Zone 138

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 138 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 138 of the District is \$1,281.31 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there is 1 parcel.

Zone 138 was established 7 years ago with an assessment of \$1,126.74 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$23,473.60.

Zone 145

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 145 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 145 of the District is \$131.27 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there are 15 parcels.

Zone 145 was established 6 years ago with an assessment of \$103.76 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$3,658.49.

ATTACHMENT A

Zone 146

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 146 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 146 of the District is \$877.06 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there are 2 parcels.

Zone 146 was established 6 years ago with an assessment of \$693.12 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$1,192.80.

Zone 148

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 148 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 148 of the District is \$130.75 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there is 1 parcel.

Zone 148 was established 6 years ago with an assessment of \$106.44 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$405.33.

Zone 149

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 149 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 149 of the District is \$455.94 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there is 1 parcel.

Zone 149 was established 6 years ago with an assessment of \$412.96 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$1,732.57.

Zone 152

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 152 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 152 of the District is \$717.94 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there is 1 parcel.

Zone 152 was established 5 years ago with an assessment of \$650.26 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$531.28.

ATTACHMENT A

Zone 153

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 153 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 153 of the District is \$1,840.11 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there is 1 parcel.

Zone 153 was established 5 years ago with an assessment of \$1,666.66 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$460.03.

Zone 154

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 154 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 154 of the District is \$147.80 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there is 1 parcel.

Zone 154 was established 5 years ago with an assessment of \$133.86 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$728.65.

Zone 155

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 155 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 155 of the District is \$510.86 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there is 1 parcel.

Zone 155 was established 5 years ago with an assessment of \$415.82 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$710.10.

Zone 157

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 157 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 157 of the District is \$905.83 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there is 1 parcel.

Zone 157 was established 5 years ago with an assessment of \$820.46 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$7,971.30.

ATTACHMENT A

Zone 160

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 160 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 160 of the District is \$138.48 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there is 1 parcel.

Zone 160 was established 4 years ago with an assessment of \$127.94 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$409.90.

Zone 161

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 161 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 161 of the District is \$402.11 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there are 8 parcels.

Zone 161 was established 4 years ago with an assessment of \$364.22 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$6,027.63.

Zone 162

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 162 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 162 of the District is \$112.66 per parcel and there are 249 parcels.

Zone 162 was established 4 years ago with an assessment of \$104.08 per parcel per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$28,052.34.

Zone 164

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 164 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 164 of the District is \$100.58 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there is 1 parcel.

Zone 164 was established 4 years ago with an assessment of \$92.94 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$2,046.80.

Zone 166

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 166 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 166 of the District is \$345.87 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there are 2 parcels.

ATTACHMENT A

Zone 166 was established 4 years ago with an assessment of \$319.54 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$504.97.

Zone 168

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 168 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 168 of the District is \$64.75 per parcel and there are 261 parcels.

Zone 168 was established 4 years ago with an assessment of \$59.82 per parcel per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$16,899.75.

Zone 171

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 171 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 171 of the District is \$2,121.77 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there are 6 parcels.

Zone 171 was established 4 years ago with an assessment of \$1,960.20 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$9,823.80.

Zone 172

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 172 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 172 of the District is \$100.19 per parcel and there are 502 parcels.

Zone 172 was established 4 years ago with an assessment of \$92.56 per parcel per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$50,295.38.

Zone 174

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 174 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 174 of the District is \$254.65 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there are 5 parcels.

Zone 174 was established 4 years ago with an assessment of \$211.42 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$1,765.38.

ATTACHMENT A

Zone 175

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 175 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 175 of the District is \$4,205.82 per parcel and there is 1 parcel.

Zone 175 was established 3 years ago with an assessment of \$4,042.50 per parcel per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$4,205.82.

Zone 180

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 180 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 180 of the District is \$1,203.20 per parcel and there are 2 parcels.

Zone 180 was established 3 years ago with an assessment of \$881.48 per parcel per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$2,406.40.

Zone 187

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 187 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 187 of the District is \$67.17 per parcel and there are 103 parcels.

Zone 187 was established 2 years ago with an assessment of \$882.48 per parcel per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$6,918.51.

Zone 188

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 188 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 188 of the District is \$7,205.81 per parcel and there is 1 parcel.

Zone 188 was established 2 years ago with an assessment of \$883.48 per parcel per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$7,205.81.

Zone 190

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 190 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 190 of the District is \$24.48 per parcel and there are 41 parcels.

Zone 190 was established 2 years ago with an assessment of \$884.48 per parcel per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$1,003.68.

ATTACHMENT A

Zone 191

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 191 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 191 of the District is \$258.06 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there are 11 parcels.

Zone 191 was established 2 years ago with an assessment of \$253.00 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$14,459.10.

Zone 192

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 192 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 192 of the District is \$419.22 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there are 10 parcels.

Zone 192 was established 2 years ago with an assessment of \$411.00 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$1,924.22.

Zone 193

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Zone 193 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Zone 193 of the District is \$78.54 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there are 18 parcels.

Zone 193 was established 2 years ago with an assessment of \$75.00 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$7,897.91.

Street Lighting Zone 2

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Street Lighting Zone 2 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Street Lighting Zone 2 of the District is \$178.99 per parcel and there is 1 parcel.

Street Lighting Zone 2 was established 10 years ago with an assessment of \$120.00 per parcel per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$178.99.

ATTACHMENT A

Street Lighting Zone 3

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Street Lighting Zone 3 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Street Lighting Zone 3 of the District is \$275.91 per parcel and there are 3 parcels.

Street Lighting Zone 3 was established 11 years ago with an assessment of \$544.00 per parcel per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$827.73.

Street Lighting Zone 4

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Street Lighting Zone 4 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Street Lighting Zone 4 of the District is \$54.56 per parcel and there are 10 parcels.

Street Lighting Zone 4 was established 11 years ago with an assessment of \$366.00 per parcel per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$545.60.

Street Lighting Zone 9

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Street Lighting Zone 9 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Street Lighting Zone 9 of the District is \$108.06 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there are 16 parcels.

Street Lighting Zone 9 was established 11 years ago with an assessment of \$71.01 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$1,753.81.

Street Lighting Zone 11

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Street Lighting Zone 11 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Street Lighting Zone 11 of the District is \$68.33 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there is 1 parcel.

Street Lighting Zone 11 was established 11 years ago with an assessment of \$44.96 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$302.70.

ATTACHMENT A

Street Lighting Zone 12

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Street Lighting Zone 12 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Street Lighting Zone 12 of the District is \$127.55 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there are 4 parcels.

Street Lighting Zone 12 was established 11 years ago with an assessment of \$83.84 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$1,376.26.

Street Lighting Zone 13

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Street Lighting Zone 13 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Street Lighting Zone 13 of the District is \$201.61 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there are 2 parcels.

Street Lighting Zone 13 was established 11 years ago with an assessment of \$132.50 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$1,953.60.

Street Lighting Zone 14

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Street Lighting Zone 14 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Street Lighting Zone 14 of the District is \$277.64 per parcel and there is 1 parcel.

Street Lighting Zone 14 was established 11 years ago with an assessment of \$182.48 per parcel per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$277.64.

Street Lighting Zone 16

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Street Lighting Zone 16 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Street Lighting Zone 16 of the District is \$20.79 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there are 28 parcels.

Street Lighting Zone 16 was established 11 years ago with an assessment of \$13.70 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$1,601.45.

ATTACHMENT A

Street Lighting Zone 20

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Street Lighting Zone 20 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Street Lighting Zone 20 of the District is \$37.89 per parcel and there are 20 parcels.

Street Lighting Zone 20 was established 11 years ago with an assessment of \$24.94 per parcel per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$757.80.

Street Lighting Zone 21

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Street Lighting Zone 21 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Street Lighting Zone 21 of the District is \$58.43 per parcel and there are 5 parcels.

Street Lighting Zone 21 was established 11 years ago with an assessment of \$41.60 per parcel per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$292.15.

Street Lighting Zone 22

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Street Lighting Zone 22 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Street Lighting Zone 22 of the District is \$44.72 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there are 2 parcels.

Street Lighting Zone 22 was established 11 years ago with an assessment of \$29.43 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$891.72.

Street Lighting Zone 25

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Street Lighting Zone 25 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Street Lighting Zone 25 of the District is \$120.96 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there is 1 parcel.

Street Lighting Zone 25 was established 11 years ago with an assessment of \$87.76 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$287.88.

ATTACHMENT A

Street Lighting Zone 29

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Street Lighting Zone 29 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Street Lighting Zone 29 of the District is \$184.23 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there are 14 parcels.

Street Lighting Zone 29 was established 10 years ago with an assessment of \$123.52 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$1,551.22.

Street Lighting Zone 30

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Street Lighting Zone 30 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Street Lighting Zone 30 of the District is \$6.84 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there are 10 parcels.

Street Lighting Zone 30 was established 10 years ago with an assessment of \$ 4.62 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$393.30.

Street Lighting Zone 31

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Street Lighting Zone 31 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Street Lighting Zone 31 of the District is \$197.45 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there are 2 parcels.

Street Lighting Zone 31 was established 10 years ago with an assessment of \$132.40 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$290.25.

Street Lighting Zone 36

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Street Lighting Zone 36 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Street Lighting Zone 36 of the District is \$52.88 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there are 2 parcels.

Street Lighting Zone 36 was established 10 years ago with an assessment of \$34.46 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$595.43.

ATTACHMENT A

Street Lighting Zone 37

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Street Lighting Zone 37 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Street Lighting Zone 37 of the District is \$83.85 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there is 1 parcel.

Street Lighting Zone 37 was established 10 years ago with an assessment of \$56.24 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$777.29.

Street Lighting Zone 38

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Street Lighting Zone 38 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Street Lighting Zone 38 of the District is \$849.59 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there is 1 parcel.

Street Lighting Zone 38 was established 10 years ago with an assessment of \$569.44 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$305.85.

Street Lighting Zone 42

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Street Lighting Zone 42 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Street Lighting Zone 42 of the District is \$185.25 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there is 1 parcel.

Street Lighting Zone 42 was established 10 years ago with an assessment of \$126.66 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$555.75.

Street Lighting Zone 43

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Street Lighting Zone 43 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Street Lighting Zone 43 of the District is \$86.23 per parcel and there are 5 parcels.

Street Lighting Zone 43 was established 10 years ago with an assessment of \$57.80 per parcel per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$431.15.

ATTACHMENT A

Street Lighting Zone 44

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Street Lighting Zone 44 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Street Lighting Zone 44 of the District is \$237.94 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there is 1 parcel.

Street Lighting Zone 44 was established 10 years ago with an assessment of \$159.50 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$1,163.53.

Street Lighting Zone 45

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Street Lighting Zone 45 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Street Lighting Zone 45 of the District is \$29.49 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there are 3 parcels.

Street Lighting Zone 45 was established 10 years ago with an assessment of \$19.78 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$300.21.

Street Lighting Zone 46

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Street Lighting Zone 46 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Street Lighting Zone 46 of the District is \$43.30 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there is 1 parcel.

Street Lighting Zone 46 was established 10 years ago with an assessment of \$29.06 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$356.79.

Street Lighting Zone 47

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Street Lighting Zone 47 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Street Lighting Zone 47 of the District is \$71.97 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there are 28 parcels.

Street Lighting Zone 47 was established 10 years ago with an assessment of \$48.28 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$5,543.85.

ATTACHMENT A

Street Lighting Zone 48

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Street Lighting Zone 48 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Street Lighting Zone 48 of the District is \$53.20 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there are 3 parcels.

Street Lighting Zone 48 was established 10 years ago with an assessment of \$35.68 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$945.36.

Street Lighting Zone 52

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Street Lighting Zone 52 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Street Lighting Zone 52 of the District is \$121.33 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there are 16 parcels.

Street Lighting Zone 52 was established 10 years ago with an assessment of \$82.96 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$1,061.64.

Street Lighting Zone 53

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Street Lighting Zone 53 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Street Lighting Zone 53 of the District is \$39.10 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there are 7 parcels.

Street Lighting Zone 53 was established 8 years ago with an assessment of \$28.84 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$447.70.

Street Lighting Zone 54

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Street Lighting Zone 54 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Street Lighting Zone 54 of the District is \$155.16 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there are 3 parcels.

Street Lighting Zone 54 was established 10 years ago with an assessment of \$104.02 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$882.86.

ATTACHMENT A

Street Lighting Zone 55

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Street Lighting Zone 55 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Street Lighting Zone 55 of the District is \$217.26 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there are 4 parcels.

Street Lighting Zone 55 was established 10 years ago with an assessment of \$145.66 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$856.00.

Street Lighting Zone 56

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Street Lighting Zone 56 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Street Lighting Zone 56 of the District is \$3.70 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there are 10 parcels.

Street Lighting Zone 56 was established 10 years ago with an assessment of \$ 2.52 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$212.75.

Street Lighting Zone 57

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Street Lighting Zone 57 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Street Lighting Zone 57 of the District is \$96.34 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there are 11 parcels.

Street Lighting Zone 57 was established 10 years ago with an assessment of \$64.60 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$1,444.14.

Street Lighting Zone 58

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Street Lighting Zone 58 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Street Lighting Zone 58 of the District is \$3.77 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there are 7 parcels.

Street Lighting Zone 58 was established 10 years ago with an assessment of \$ 2.62 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$631.44.

ATTACHMENT A

Street Lighting Zone 61

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Street Lighting Zone 61 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Street Lighting Zone 61 of the District is \$65.13 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there are 2 parcels.

Street Lighting Zone 61 was established 8 years ago with an assessment of \$48.04 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$403.15.

Street Lighting Zone 64

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Street Lighting Zone 64 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Street Lighting Zone 64 of the District is \$1,917.62 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there is 1 parcel.

Street Lighting Zone 64 was established 8 years ago with an assessment of \$1,414.30 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$1,188.92.

Street Lighting Zone 65

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Street Lighting Zone 65 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Street Lighting Zone 65 of the District is \$152.58 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there are 2 parcels.

Street Lighting Zone 65 was established 8 years ago with an assessment of \$114.78 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$1,754.67.

Street Lighting Zone 66

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Street Lighting Zone 66 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Street Lighting Zone 66 of the District is \$442.97 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there is 1 parcel.

Street Lighting Zone 66 was established 8 years ago with an assessment of \$326.74 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$890.37.

ATTACHMENT A

Street Lighting Zone 67

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Street Lighting Zone 67 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Street Lighting Zone 67 of the District is \$303.09 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there is 1 parcel.

Street Lighting Zone 67 was established 8 years ago with an assessment of \$223.58 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$2,236.80.

Street Lighting Zone 68

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Street Lighting Zone 68 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Street Lighting Zone 68 of the District is \$2.68 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there are 13 parcels.

Street Lighting Zone 68 was established 8 years ago with an assessment of \$ 2.00 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$1,136.16.

Street Lighting Zone 72

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Street Lighting Zone 72 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Street Lighting Zone 72 of the District is \$127.83 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there is 1 parcel.

Street Lighting Zone 72 was established 8 years ago with an assessment of \$94.30 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$447.41.

Street Lighting Zone 74

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Street Lighting Zone 74 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Street Lighting Zone 74 of the District is \$447.39 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there is 1 parcel.

Street Lighting Zone 74 was established 8 years ago with an assessment of \$330.00 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$447.39.

ATTACHMENT A

Street Lighting Zone 75

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Street Lighting Zone 75 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Street Lighting Zone 75 of the District is \$112.68 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there is 1 parcel.

Street Lighting Zone 75 was established 8 years ago with an assessment of \$83.12 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$447.34.

Street Lighting Zone 76

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Street Lighting Zone 76 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Street Lighting Zone 76 of the District is \$434.35 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there are 4 parcels.

Street Lighting Zone 76 was established 8 years ago with an assessment of \$320.38 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$421.32.

Street Lighting Zone 78

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Street Lighting Zone 78 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Street Lighting Zone 78 of the District is \$142.14 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there is 1 parcel.

Street Lighting Zone 78 was established 7 years ago with an assessment of \$106.96 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$1,732.69.

Street Lighting Zone 81

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Street Lighting Zone 81 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Street Lighting Zone 81 of the District is \$353.74 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there is 1 parcel.

Street Lighting Zone 81 was established 7 years ago with an assessment of \$266.12 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$1,234.55.

ATTACHMENT A

Street Lighting Zone 82

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Street Lighting Zone 82 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Street Lighting Zone 82 of the District is \$544.92 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there is 1 parcel.

Street Lighting Zone 82 was established 7 years ago with an assessment of \$409.94 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$844.63.

Street Lighting Zone 85

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Street Lighting Zone 85 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Street Lighting Zone 85 of the District is \$157.20 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there is 1 parcel.

Street Lighting Zone 85 was established 7 years ago with an assessment of \$118.28 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$877.18.

Street Lighting Zone 89

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Street Lighting Zone 89 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Street Lighting Zone 89 of the District is \$26.31 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there is 1 parcel.

Street Lighting Zone 89 was established 6 years ago with an assessment of \$20.20 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$415.43.

Street Lighting Zone 95

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Street Lighting Zone 95 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Street Lighting Zone 95 of the District is \$236.25 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there is 1 parcel.

Street Lighting Zone 95 was established 6 years ago with an assessment of \$181.32 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$429.98.

ATTACHMENT A

Street Lighting Zone 97

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Street Lighting Zone 97 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Street Lighting Zone 97 of the District is \$230.99 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there are 3 parcels.

Street Lighting Zone 97 was established 5 years ago with an assessment of \$184.36 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$413.47.

Street Lighting Zone 99

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Street Lighting Zone 99 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Street Lighting Zone 99 of the District is \$76.83 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there is 1 parcel.

Street Lighting Zone 99 was established 5 years ago with an assessment of \$61.34 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$826.69.

Street Lighting Zone 101

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Street Lighting Zone 101 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Street Lighting Zone 101 of the District is \$1,095.36 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there is 1 parcel.

Street Lighting Zone 101 was established 4 years ago with an assessment of \$891.60 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$744.84.

Street Lighting Zone 103

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Street Lighting Zone 103 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Street Lighting Zone 103 of the District is \$395.49 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there is 1 parcel.

Street Lighting Zone 103 was established 4 years ago with an assessment of \$321.94 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$1,629.42.

ATTACHMENT A

Street Lighting Zone 104

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is a proposed two percent (2%) inflationary increase in the maximum assessment for Street Lighting Zone 104 and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zone. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Street Lighting Zone 104 of the District is \$58.60 per acre. The actual rate property owners pay is based on the parcel size and there is 1 parcel.

Street Lighting Zone 104 was established 4 years ago with an assessment of \$47.72 per acre per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$810.44.

Street Lighting Zone 107

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is no proposed increase in the maximum assessment for Street Lighting Zone 107. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Street Lighting Zone 107 of the District is \$57.78 per parcel and there are 19 parcels.

Street Lighting Zone 107 was established 2 years ago with an assessment of \$386.40 per parcel per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$1,097.82.

Street Lighting Zone 108

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is no proposed increase in the maximum assessment for Street Lighting Zone 108. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Street Lighting Zone 108 of the District is \$205.02 per parcel and there is 1 parcel.

Street Lighting Zone 108 was established 2 years ago with an assessment of \$386.40 per parcel per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$205.02.

Street Lighting Zone 109

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is no proposed increase in the maximum assessment for Street Lighting Zone 109. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Street Lighting Zone 109 of the District is \$402.01 per parcel and there is 1 parcel.

Street Lighting Zone 109 was established 2 years ago with an assessment of \$386.40 per parcel per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$402.01.

Street Lighting Zone 110

For fiscal year 2016-17, there is no proposed increase in the maximum assessment for Street Lighting Zone 110. The proposed maximum assessment for fiscal year 2016-17 for Street Lighting Zone 110 of the District is \$856.80 per parcel and there is 1 parcel.

Street Lighting Zone 110 was established 2 years ago with an assessment of \$840.00 per parcel per year. The proposed budget for fiscal year 2016-17 is \$856.80.

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT



ENGINEER'S REPORT FISCAL YEAR 2016-2017

FOR

LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NO. 89-1-CONSOLIDATED

VOLUME 1

ZONES 1, 3, 8, 10, 11, 15, 19, 24, 26, 28, 29, 31, 36, 39,
43, 44, 45, 53, 55, 57, 58, 66, 68, 72, 74, 83, 84, 86, 87,
89, 91, 92, 94, AND 97

Prepared by
Psomas
1500 Iowa Ave., Ste. 210
Riverside, CA 92507
(951) 787-8421

APRIL 2016

AGENCY: COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT

**PROJECT: LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING MAINTENANCE DISTRICT
NO. 89-1-CONSOLIDATED**

**TO: BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

**ENGINEER'S REPORT
FISCAL YEAR 2016-17**

**LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING ACT OF 1972, DIVISION 15 OF THE
STREETS AND HIGHWAYS CODE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 22565 through 22574 of the Landscaping and Lighting Act of 1972, said act being Part 2 of Division 15 of the Streets and Highways Code of the State of California, Section 4 of Article XIII(D) of the California Constitution, and direction from the Board of Supervisors of Riverside County, California submitted herewith is the Engineer's Report for Landscaping and Lighting Maintenance District No. 89-1-Consolidated ("Report") consisting of five parts.

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of the County of Riverside, State of California, did, pursuant to the provisions of the Landscaping and Lighting Act of 1972, being Division 15 of the Streets and Highways Code of the State of California, adopt Resolution No. 94-389 to initiate proceedings to form a special assessment district known and designated as:

Landscaping and Lighting Maintenance District No. 89-1-Consolidated ("L&LMD No. 89-1-C" or "District"); and,

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors, did direct the appointed Engineer to make and file an annual Report, in accordance with Article 4 (commencing with Section 22565) of Chapter 1 of Part 2 of Division 15 of the Streets and Highways Code, being an article of the aforementioned Landscaping and Lighting Act of 1972.

WHEREAS, Section 22567 of said Article 4 states the Report shall consist of the following:

- a. Maintenance plans for the improvements
- b. An estimate of the costs of the maintenance of the improvements
- c. A diagram for the assessment district
- d. An assessment of the estimated costs of the maintenance of the improvements

I do hereby assess and apportion the total amount of the costs and expenses upon several parcels of land within said designated area liable therefore and benefited thereby, in proportion to the estimated benefits that each parcel receives, respectively, from said services.

NOW, THEREFORE, I, the appointed ENGINEER, acting on behalf of the County of Riverside Transportation Department, pursuant to the Landscaping and Lighting Act of 1972, do hereby submit the following:

1. Pursuant to the provisions of law, the costs and expenses of the District have been assessed upon the parcels of land in the District benefited thereby in direct proportion and relation to the estimated benefits to be received by each of said parcels. For particulars as to the identification of said parcel, reference is made to the Assessment Diagram, a reduced copy of which is included herein.
2. As required by law, a Diagram is filed herewith, showing the District, as well as the boundaries and dimensions of the respective parcels and subdivisions of land within said District as the same exist each of which subdivisions of land or parcels or lots, respectively, have been given a separate number upon said Diagram and in the Assessment Roll contained herein.
3. The separate numbers given the subdivisions and parcels of land, as shown on said Assessment Diagram and Assessment Roll, correspond with the numbers assigned to each parcel by the Riverside County Assessor. Reference is made to the County Assessment Roll for a description of the lots or parcels.
4. There are no parcels or lots within Zones 1, 3, 8, 10, 11, 15, 19, 24, 26, 28, 29, 31, 36, 39, 43, 44, 45, 53, 55, 57, 58, 66, 68, 72, 74, 83, 84, 86, 87, 89, 91, 92, 94, and 97, that are owned by a federal, state or other local governmental agency that will benefit from the services to be provided by the assessments to be collected.

In conclusion, it is my opinion that the assessments for Landscaping and Lighting Maintenance District No. 89-1-Consolidated have been spread in direct accordance with the benefits that each parcel receives from the items being financed.

DATED this 29th day of April, 2016



PSOMAS

STEVEN B. FRIESON
PROFESSIONAL CIVIL ENGINEER 42110
ENGINEER OF WORK
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS PAGE I - 1

An Executive Summary of the procedures for the preparation of the Engineer's Report, a breakdown of the number of zones and locations within L&LMD No. 89-1-C, a history of each zone and location as well as description of improvements and maintenance information within L&LMD No. 89-1-C.

Executive Summary

A.	Introduction	I – 1
B.	Breakdown	I – 2
C.	Changes to District Zones	I – 3
D.	Description of Improvements and Services	I – 4
E.	Maintenance Plans	I – 9

PART II METHOD OF APPORTIONMENT PAGE II - 1

A narrative of the property benefits from the improvements and the method of calculating each property's proportional special benefit and annual assessment.

PART III ESTIMATE OF COSTS AND EXPENSES PAGE III - 1

An estimate of the costs and expenses of maintaining the landscaping, multi-purpose trails, fencing, graffiti abatement, fossil filters, bio-swales, streetlights, traffic signals, and bridge lights, including incidental costs and expenses in connection therewith for Fiscal Year 2016–17, is as set forth on the lists thereof, attached hereto.

PART IV ASSESSMENT DIAGRAMS PAGE IV - 1

A diagram showing the District, the lines and dimensions of each parcel of land within said District, as the same exists on the maps of the Assessor of Riverside County for Fiscal Year 2016-17, is filed in the Office of the Riverside County Transportation Department. A reduced copy thereof is filed herewith and made a part hereof. Any changes in organization for said District (i.e. annexation) is discussed in Part I.

PART V ASSESSMENT ROLLS PAGE V - 1

A detailed listing of each parcel to be assessed for Fiscal Year 2016-17, along with the individual amounts to be assessed.

PART I – VOLUME 1
PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS
FISCAL YEAR 2016-17
LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING MAINTENANCE DISTRICT
NO. 89-1-CONSOLIDATED
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

A. Introduction

This Combined Annual Engineer's Report is prepared in compliance with the requirements of Article 4, Chapter 1, of the Landscaping and Lighting Act of 1972 ("1972 Act"), which is Part 2, Division 15 of the California Streets and Highways Code.

Since the Passage of Proposition 218, Right to Vote on Taxes Act, which added Articles XIII(C) and XIII(D) to the California Constitution, new procedures must be followed to levy annual assessments under the 1972 Act.

Proposition 218 requires that all annual assessments be supported by a detailed Engineer's Report ("Report") prepared by a registered professional engineer. The Report includes the information required by law.

The 1972 Act provides for the annual levy of assessments for the Landscaping and Lighting Maintenance District No. 89-1-Consolidated ("District") for the express purpose of installing, maintaining, and servicing landscaping, trails, fossil filters, bio-swales, streetlights, traffic signals, bridge lights, and graffiti abatement improvements. The costs associated with the installation, maintenance, and servicing of the improvements may be assessed against those properties which are specially benefited by the installation, maintenance, and servicing. A County may levy annual assessments for an assessment district after complying with the requirements of the 1972 Act, and the provisions in Proposition 218.

The County initiates proceedings for the annual levy of assessments by passing a resolution, which proposes the annual levy under the 1972 Act. This resolution also describes the improvements, describes the location of the District, and finally orders an engineer, who is a registered professional engineer, certified by the State of California, to prepare and file a detailed report.

The Report prepared by the engineer must include maintenance plans for the improvements. The Report must also include an estimate of the costs of the improvements, a diagram, i.e., map of the District showing the boundary of the District, and an assessment of the estimated costs of the improvements against the parcels or lots which benefit from the improvements. Once the Report is completed, it is presented to the County Board of Supervisors for its review and approval as presented, or it may be modified and approved.

After the Report is preliminarily approved, the County Board of Supervisors may adopt a Resolution of Intention, which declares its intent to levy the annual assessments against parcels within the existing District, describes the improvements, and refers to the Report for details of the District. The Resolution of Intention also sets a time and place for a hearing on the annual levy of assessments for the District.

In accordance with Proposition 218, property owners of the assessed parcels must approve any new or increased assessments. Zones included in this Report have been previously approved by property owners in accordance with Proposition 218.

B. Breakdown

Currently there are 150 locations within 128 L&LMD No. 89-1-C Zones: 58 street lighting assessment zones (58 locations) and 70 assessment zones (92 locations).

There are 69 maintained locations; 2 dormant locations; and 21 locations within the County which have no improvements and are, therefore, not yet maintained; exclusive of street lighting zones.

The Combined Annual Engineer's Report will cover the 128 existing Zones. New or pending Zones will be presented to the Board of Supervisors under separate reports.

Total number of Locations: 150

Assessment Zones: 70 (92 locations)

Street Lighting Assessment Zones: 58 (58 locations)

Total number of Maintained Locations: 69, within 70 Assessment Zones

Total number of Maintained Street Lighting Zones: 47

The designation of the existing District and Zones is as follows:

Volumes:

Volume 1: Zones 1, 3, 8, 10, 11, 15, 19, 24, 26, 28, 29, 31, 36, 39, 43, 44, 45, 53, 55, 57, 58, 66, 68, 72, 74, 83, 84, 86, 87, 89, 91, 92, 94, and 97.

Volume 2: Zones 100, 103, 109, 110, 112, 119, 123, 124, 135, 138, 145, 146, 148, 149, 152, 153, 154, 155, 157, 160, 161, 162, 164, 166, 168, 171, 172, 174, 175, 180, 187, 188, 190, 191, 192 and 193.

Volume 3: Street Lighting Zones 2, 3, 4, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 20, 21, 22, 25, 29, 30, 31, 36, 37, 38, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 61, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 81, 82, 85, 89, 95, 97, 99, 101, 103, 104, 107, 108, 109 and 110.

Assessment Units:

Acreage based: Zones 1, 10, 83, 87, 89, 94, 123, 124, 138, 145, 146, 148, 149, 152, 153, 154, 155, 157, 160, 161, 164, 166, 171, 174, 191, 192, 193 and Street Lighting Zones 9, 11, 12, 13, 16, 22, 25, 29, 30, 31, 36, 37, 38, 42, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 61, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 72, 74, 75, 76, 78, 81, 82, 85, 89, 95, 97, 99, 101, 103, and 104.

Parcel based: Zones 3, 11, 15, 19, 24, 26, 28, 29, 31, 36, 39, 43, 44, 45, 53, 55, 57, 58, 66, 68, 72, 74, 84, 86, 91, 92, 97, 100, 103, 109, 110, 112, 119, 162, 168, 172, 175, 180, 187, 188, and 190 and Street Lighting Zones 2, 3, 4, 14, 20, 21, 43, 107, 108, 109 and 110.

EDU based: Zones 8 and 135.

Maintained Zones/Locations: Zone 1, Zone 3 Locations 2, 9, 44 and 48, Zone 8, Zone 10, Zone 11 Locations 1 and 2, Zone 15 Locations 1 through 4, Zone 19, Zone 24 Locations 1 through 3, Zone 26 Locations 1 through 5, Zone 28, Zone 29 Location 1, Zone 31 Locations 1 thru 3, Zone 36 Locations 1 and 2, Zone 39, Zone 43, Zone 44 Locations 2D and 2G, Zone 45, Zone 53, Zone 55, Zone 57, Zone 58, Zone, 72, Zone 74, Zone 83, Zone 89, Zone 91, Zone 94, Zone 97, Zone 100, Zone 109, Zone 112, Zone 119, Zone 123, Zone 124, Zone 135, Zone 138, Zone 145, Zone 146, Zone 149, Zone 154, Zone 155, Zone 157, Zone 162, Zone 164, Zone 166, Zone 168, Zone 172, Zone 174, Zone 175, Zone 180, Zone 187, Zone 188, Zone 190, Zone 192 and Street Lighting Zones 3, 4, 9, 13, 14, 16, 20, 21, 22, 25, 29, 30, 31, 36, 37, 38, 42, 43, 44, 45, 47, 48, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 61, 64, 65, 66, 67, 74, 75, 76, 78, 81, 82, 85, 89, 95, 97, 101, 103, 108, and 109.

Not Yet Maintained Zones/Locations (improvements have not yet been installed and/or accepted for maintenance): Zone 26 Location 6, Zone 44 Locations 2B, 2C, 3A, and 3D, Zone 66, Zone 68, Zone 84, Zone 86, Zone 87, Zone 92, Zone 103, Zone 110, Zone 148, Zone 152, Zone 153, Zone 160, Zone 161, Zone 171, Zone 191, Zone 193 and Street Lighting Zones 2, 11, 12, 46, 58, 68, 72, 99, 104, and 107.

Zones with Dormant Improvements: 154, 160, and 171, and Street Lighting Zones 2, 11, 12, 46, and 72.

Zero Assessment: Zone 26 Location 6, Zone 31 Location 3, Zone 44 Locations 2B, 2C, 3A, and 3D, Zone 68, Zone 84, Zone 86, Zone 87, Zone 92, Zone 103, Zone 110, Zone 112, Zone 124, Zone 148, Zone 152, Zone 153, Zone 160, Zone 161, Zone 171, and Street Lighting Zones 2, 11, 12, 46, 58, 68, 72, 99, 104, 107 and 110.

C. Changes to District Zones

There are no changes to District Zones for Fiscal Year 2016-17.

D. Description of Improvements and Services

The improvements for the District and Zones may be generally described as follows:

The installation, maintenance and servicing of ornamental structures, fences, multi-purpose trails, landscaping, including trees, shrubs, grass, and other ornamental vegetation, and appurtenant facilities, including irrigation systems, street lighting, traffic signals, and bridge lights, and drainage devices (bio swales and fossil filters), located in public places within the boundaries of the Zones. Maintenance means the furnishing of services and materials for the ordinary and usual maintenance, operation, and servicing of any improvement, including: repair, removal, or replacement of all or part of any improvement; providing for the life, growth, health, and beauty of landscaping, including cultivation, irrigation, trimming, spraying, fertilizing, or treating for disease or injury; the removal of trimmings, rubbish, debris, and other solid waste; the cleaning, sandblasting, and painting of walls and other improvements to remove or cover graffiti. Servicing means the furnishing of electricity for the lighting and operation of any ornamental structures, landscaping, and appurtenant facilities; water for the irrigation and control of the landscaping, and the maintenance of any of the ornamental structures, landscaping and appurtenant facilities.

Article XIII(D) of the California Constitution defines "maintenance and operation expenses" as "the cost of rent, repair, replacement, rehabilitation, fuel, power, electrical current, care and supervision necessary to properly operate and maintain a permanent public improvement". The District's funding includes, but is not limited to, the removal, repair, replacement and appurtenances, electrical energy, supplies, engineering and incidental costs relating to the maintenance and operation of the improvements benefiting the District's parcels.

Description of Improvements per Zone

Maintenance of improvements to be funded by **Zone 1** generally includes landscaping improvements within public right-of-ways of:

42nd Ave

Maintenance of improvements to be funded by **Zone 3** generally includes landscaping improvements within public right-of-ways of:

Ball Rd	El Vira Dr	Menifee Rd	Sherman Rd
Bedrock Ct	Grand Ave	Ontario Wy	Winnipeg Pl
Central Ave	Mapes Rd	San Jacinto Ave	

Maintenance of improvements to be funded by **Zone 8** generally includes landscaping and streetlights within public right-of-ways of:

Indiana Ave	Magnolia Ave	Neece St
Lincoln St	McKinley St	

Maintenance of improvements to be funded by **Zone 10** generally includes landscaping improvements within public right-of-ways of:

Temescal Canyon Rd

Maintenance of improvements to be funded by **Zone 11** generally includes landscaping, multi-purpose trail and fencing improvements within public right-of-ways of:

**Ave C
Carpinus Dr**

**Cole Ave
Elkhorn Rd**

**Hawkhill Ave
Wood Rd**

Maintenance of improvements to be funded by **Zone 15** generally includes landscaping and fossil filter improvements within public right-of-ways of:

**Apple Canyon Ln
Berry St**

**Dufferin Ave
La Sierra Ave**

**Lyon Ave
Victoria Ave**

Maintenance of improvements to be funded by **Zone 19** generally includes landscaping and fossil filter improvements within public right-of-ways of:

**Bodega Dr
Ken Field Dr**

**Murrieta Hot Springs Rd
San Anselmo Dr**

**Sausalito Way
Willows Ave**

Maintenance of improvements to be funded by **Zone 24** generally includes landscaping improvements within public right-of-ways of:

**Cedar Creek Wy
Horizon View St**

**Pacific Sunset Dr
SH 79**

Temecula Creek Rd

Maintenance of improvements to be funded by **Zone 26** generally includes landscaping improvements within public right-of-ways of:

**Markham Dr
Mariposa Ave**

**Nandina Ave
Roosevelt St**

Washington St

Maintenance of improvements to be funded by **Zone 28** generally includes landscaping and multi-purpose trail improvements within public right-of-ways of:

Grand Ave

Maintenance of improvements to be funded by **Zone 29** generally includes landscaping and multi-purpose trail improvements within public right-of-ways of:

Grand Ave

Maintenance of improvements to be funded by **Zone 31** generally includes landscaping improvements within public right-of-ways of:

Acacia Ave

Meridian St

Stetson Ave

Maintenance of improvements to be funded by **Zone 36** generally includes landscaping improvements within public right-of-ways of:

65th Ave

Jackson St

Maintenance of improvements to be funded by **Zone 39** generally includes landscaping improvements within public right-of-ways of:

Clark St

Dawes St

Windstone Way

Maintenance of improvements to be funded by **Zone 43** generally includes landscaping, multi-purpose trail, fencing and streetlight improvements within public right-of-ways of:

Knabe Rd

Retreat Pky

Weirick Rd

Maintenance of improvements to be funded by **Zone 44** generally includes fossil filter improvements within public right-of-ways of:

Adeline Way

Constance Rd

Hannah Ct

Manu Cir

Aubrey Cir

Crooked Trl

Hennie Cir

Melinda St

Audrey St

Dallas Cir

Isabelle Ln

Monaco Ct

Bola Ct

Desparado Ct

Jean Nicholas Rd

Nellie Way

Canteen Cir

Domenigoni Pky

Josephine Rd

Quail Garden Ct

Catherine Ct

Emily Dr

Laura St

Silverdale Ln

Charity Ln

Gabriella Cir

Lava Tree Ln

Tonya Pl

Charlotte Cir

Grotto Hills Dr

Leon Rd

Topeka Cir

Ventura Way

Wendy Ln

Wyatt Ln

Vineyard Green Ct **Whisper Heights Pkwy**

Maintenance of improvements to be funded by **Zone 45** generally includes landscaping improvements within public right-of-ways of:

La Sierra Ave

McCallister Pkwy

Maintenance of improvements to be funded by **Zone 53** generally includes landscaping and fossil filter improvements within public right-of-ways of:

Auld Rd

Pepper Tree St

Maintenance of improvements to be funded by **Zone 55** generally includes fossil filter improvements within public right-of-ways of:

Kailua Dr Kohala Dr Tahoe St Waimea Way

Maintenance of improvements to be funded by **Zone 57** generally includes landscaping and fossil filter improvements within public right-of-ways of:

Bluewood Cir Horizon View St SH 79 Temecula Creek Rd

Maintenance of improvements to be funded by **Zone 58** generally includes landscaping and streetlight improvements within public right-of-ways of:

Albarian St Graceful Ct Graceful Way Van Buren Blvd

Maintenance of improvements to be funded by **Zone 66** generally includes landscape improvements within public right-of-ways of:

Juniper Flats Rd Western View Dr

Maintenance of improvements to be funded by **Zone 68** generally includes landscaping and bio-swale improvements within public right-of-ways of:

Van Buren Blvd

Maintenance of improvements to be funded by **Zone 72** generally includes fossil filter and traffic signal improvements within public right-of-ways of:

Barrel Rd Gray Owl Dr Palmyrita Ave Spring Mountain Rd
Blueoak Rd Highgrove Pl Pigeon Pass Rd Steamboat St
Boilerhouse Ct Lyon Rd Pitchfork Dr Stockyard Rd
Center St Mt. Vernon Ave Prairie Dr Symphony Dr
Crooked Branch St Opera Loop Silver Spot Rd Woodwind Dr
Gold Piece Rd Opus Dr Spring St

Maintenance of improvements to be funded by **Zone 74** generally includes landscaping, multi-purpose trail, fencing, and graffiti abatement improvements within public right-of-ways of:

Hillsmont Ln McAllister Pkwy

Maintenance of improvements to be funded by **Zone 83** generally includes landscape improvements within public right-of-ways of:

Varner Rd

Maintenance of improvements to be funded by **Zone 84** generally includes landscaping, fossil filter and graffiti abatement improvements within public right-of-ways of:

**Norrisgrove Dr
Patterson Ave
Rider St**

**Street A
Street D
Street G**

**Street H
Street I
Sunny Canyon St**

Maintenance of improvements to be funded by **Zone 86** generally fossil filter, traffic signal, and bridge light improvements within public right-of-ways of:

**Collingreen Ct
Domenigoni Pky
Greenfield Dr**

**Leon Rd
Olive Ave
Winchester Hill Dr**

Windy Willow Ln

Maintenance of improvements to be funded by **Zone 87** generally includes landscape improvements within public right-of-ways of:

Varner Rd

Maintenance of improvements to be funded by **Zone 89** generally includes fossil filter and streetlight improvements within public right-of-ways of:

Harvill Ave

Daytona Cv

Maintenance of improvements to be funded by **Zone 91** generally includes landscaping, multi-purpose trail, and graffiti abatement improvements within public right-of-ways of:

Amen St

Lake St

Mayberry Ave

Maintenance of improvements to be funded by **Zone 92** generally includes landscaping, multi-purpose trail, and graffiti abatement improvements within public right-of-ways of:

54th Ave

Calhoun St

Maintenance of improvements to be funded by **Zone 94** generally includes fossil filter and streetlight improvements within public right-of-ways of:

A St

Nuevo Rd

Maintenance of improvements to be funded by **Zone 97** generally includes parkway landscaping, graffiti abatement, and future median landscaping improvements within public right-of-ways of:

**Cajalco Rd
Carpinus Dr**

**Deer Run Rd
Granite Ridge Dr**

Mountain Shadow Ln

E. Maintenance Plans

Plans for the improvements for the Zones are voluminous and are not bound in this Report but by this reference are incorporated and made a part of this Report. The Plans for maintained zones are on file in the office of the Riverside County Transportation Department, 4080 Lemon Street, 2nd Floor, Riverside, CA 92501, where they are available for public inspection. Reduced maps of the maintained improvements are in Part IV, Assessment Diagrams of this Report.

ZONE	PLAN NUMBER
Zone 1	902-VV
Zone 3 Loc 2	857-X
Zone 3 Loc 9	839-SS/-TT
Zone 3 Loc 44	NOT AVAILABLE
Zone 3 Loc 48	939-R
Zone 8	933-XX
Zone 10	935-T
Zone 11 Loc 1	933-D
Zone 11 Loc 2	936-LL/-MM
Zone 15 Loc 1	928-F
Zone 15 Loc 2	932-UU
Zone 15 Loc 3	928-F/932-UU
Zone 15 Loc 4	940-W
Zone 19	925-FF/-GG
Zone 24 Loc 1	928-TT
Zone 24 Loc 2	931-N
Zone 24 Loc 3	932-AA
Zone 26 Loc 1	930-G/-H
Zone 26 Loc 2	933-VV
Zone 26 Loc 3	942-QQ
Zone 26 Loc 4	936-U
Zone 26 Loc 5	941-WW
Zone 28	923-CC
Zone 29 Loc 1	927-Z
Zone 31 Loc 1	931-A
Zone 31 Loc 2	941-EE
Zone 31 Loc 3	NOT AVAILABLE
Zone 36 Loc 1	925-H
Zone 36 Loc 2	949-P
Zone 39	903-I/934-I
Zone 43	932-Z/936-I/-L/-AA/-FF
Zone 44 Loc 2D	943-C
Zone 44 Loc 2G	941-SS
Zone 45	933-HH/937-U
Zone 53	936-VV
Zone 55	938-PP
Zone 57	937-DD
Zone 58	940-J

ZONE	PLAN NUMBER
Zone 74	943-N
Zone 83	944-K
Zone 89	945-KK
Zone 91	944-M
Zone 94	946-DD
Zone 97	937-B/945-SS/947-XX

PART II – VOLUME 1
METHOD OF APPORTIONMENT
FISCAL YEAR 2016–17
LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING MAINTENANCE DISTRICT
NO. 89-1-CONSOLIDATED
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA

The 1972 Act permits the establishment of assessment districts by agencies for the purpose of providing certain public improvements, which include the construction, maintenance, and servicing of public lights, landscaping, dedicated easements for landscape use, and appurtenant facilities. The 1972 Act further provides that assessments may be apportioned upon all assessable lots or parcels of land within an assessment district in proportion to the estimated benefits to be received by each lot or parcel from the improvements rather than assessed value.

“The net amount to be assessed upon lands within an assessment district may be apportioned by any formula or method which fairly distributes the net amount among all assessable lots or parcels in proportion to the estimated benefits to be received by each such lot or parcel from the improvements.”

The formula used for calculating assessments reflects the composition of the parcels and the improvements and services provided by the District to fairly apportion the costs based on the estimated benefit to each parcel.

In addition, Article XIII(D) of the California Constitution (“Article”) requires that a parcel’s assessment may not exceed the reasonable cost of the proportional special benefit conferred on that parcel. The Article provides that only special benefits are assessable, and the County must separate the general benefits from the special benefits conferred on a parcel. A special benefit is a particular and distinct benefit over and above general benefits conferred on the public at large, including real property within the district. The general enhancement of property value does not constitute a special benefit.

Special Benefit

The maintenance of landscaping, multi-purpose trails, fencing, fossil filters, bio-swales, streetlights, traffic signals, and bridge lights, and graffiti abatement provides direct and special benefit to those properties located within Landscaping and Lighting Maintenance District No. 89-1-Consolidated (“L&LMD No. 89-1-C” or “District”).

Each and every lot or parcel within the District, receives a particular and distinct benefit from the improvements over and above general benefits conferred by the improvements. First, the improvements were conditions of approval for the creation or development of the parcels. In order to create or develop the parcels, the County required the original developer to install and/or guarantee the maintenance of the improvements, and appurtenant facilities serving the lots or parcels. Therefore, each and every lot or parcel within the District could not have been developed in the absence of the installation and expected maintenance of these facilities.

In addition, the improvements continue to confer a particular and distinct special benefit upon parcels within the District because of the nature of the improvements. The proper maintenance of landscaping, multi-purpose trails, fencing, fossil filters, bio-swales, streetlights, traffic signals, and bridge lights, and graffiti abatement, and appurtenant facilities specially benefit parcels within the District by moderating temperatures, providing oxygenation, attenuating noise from adjacent streets and controlling dust for those properties in close proximity to the landscaping. Improved erosion and water quality control, dust abatement, increased public safety (e.g., control sight distance restrictions and fire hazards), improved neighborhood property protection and aesthetics, controlling or restricting the flow of traffic into and out of the development, increasing public safety for both pedestrians and the motoring public, and increasing traffic safety by improving visibility. The spraying and treating of landscaping for disease reduces the likelihood of insect infestation and other diseases spreading to landscaping located throughout the properties within the District. Streetlights also provide safety for pedestrians and motorists living and owning property in the District during the nighttime hours, and to assign rights-of-way for the safety of pedestrians and motorists by defining a specific path during all hours of the day.

Streets are constructed for the safe and convenient travel of vehicles and pedestrians. They also provide an area for underground and overhead utilities. These elements are a distinct and special benefit to all developed parcels in the District. Streetlights are installed on and are for street purposes and are maintained and serviced to allow the street to perform to the standards it was designed.

Streetlights are determined to be an integral part of "streets" as a "permanent public improvement." One of the principal purposes of fixed roadway lighting is to create a nighttime environment conducive to quick, accurate, and comfortable seeing for the user of the facility. These factors, if attained, combine to improve traffic safety and achieve efficient traffic movement. Fixed lighting can enable the motorist to see detail more distinctly and to react safely toward roadway and traffic conditions present on or near the roadway facility.

The system of streets within the District is established to provide access to each parcel in the District. Streetlights provide a safer street environment for owners of the parcels served. If the parcels were not subdivided to provide individual parcels to owners within the District, there would be no need for a system of streets with streetlights. Therefore, the installation of streetlights is for the express, special benefit of the parcels within the District.

The proper maintenance of the landscaping, ornamental structures, and appurtenant facilities reduces property-related crimes (especially vandalism) against properties in the District through the screening of properties within the District from arterial streets.

Finally, the proper maintenance of landscaping, multi-purpose trails, fencing, fossil filters, bio-swales, and graffiti abatement, and appurtenant structures improves the attractiveness of the properties within the District. This provides a positive visual experience each and every time a trip is made to or from the property and provides an enhanced quality of life and sense of well-being for properties within the District.

Traffic signal assessments are based on traffic studies and/or trip counts. For specific zone benefits, see Part III Estimate of Costs and Expenses.

Because all benefiting properties consist of a uniform land use, it is determined that all lots or parcels benefit equally from the improvements and the costs and expenses for the provision of electricity for the streetlights and traffic signals and the maintenance of landscaping, multi-purpose trails, fencing, fossil filters, bio-swales, traffic signals, and bridge lights, and graffiti abatement are apportioned on a per acre, per Equivalent Dwelling Unit (EDU), or per parcel basis.

Based on the benefits described above, landscaping, multi-purpose trails, fencing, fossil filters, bio-swales, streetlights, traffic signals, and bridge lights, and graffiti abatement are an integral part of the quality of life of the District. This quality of life is a special benefit to those parcels that are not government owned easements, easements, and flood channel parcels. Government-owned easement, utility easement and flood channel parcels do not benefit from the improvements due to their use and lack of habitation on such parcels. Parcels of this nature are usually vacant narrow strips of land or flood control channels and therefore do not generate or experience pedestrian or vehicular traffic. Nor do these types of parcels support dwelling units or other structures that would promote frequent use of the parcels by the traveling public. As a result of this lack of activity on such parcels they do not receive any benefit from landscaping, multi-purpose trails, fencing, fossil filters, bio-swales, streetlights, traffic signals, and bridge lights, and graffiti abatement and are not assessed.

Special Benefit of Landscaping and Lighting Maintenance District No. 89-1-Consolidated Authorized Improvements and Services:

- The installation and planting of landscaping, including trees, shrubs, grass and other ornamental vegetation;
- The installation or construction of statuary, fountains and other ornamental structures and facilities;
- The installation or construction of public lighting facilities, including, but not limited to, traffic signals;
- The installation or construction of any facilities which are appurtenant to any of the foregoing, or which are necessary or convenient for the maintenance or servicing thereof, including, but not limited to, grading, clearing, removal of debris, the installation or construction of curbs, gutters, walls, sidewalks or paving, water irrigation, drainage or electrical facilities, and;
- The maintenance or servicing, or both, of any of the foregoing.

General Benefit

The total benefit from the works of improvement is a combination of the special benefits to the parcels within the District and the general benefits to the public at large and to adjacent property owners. The portion of the total landscaping, multi-purpose trails, fencing, fossil filters, bio-swales, streetlights, traffic signals, and bridge lights, and graffiti abatement maintenance costs associated with general benefits will not be assessed to the parcels in the District, but will be paid from other County Funds. Because the landscaping, multi-purpose trails, fencing, fossil filters, bio-swales, streetlights, traffic signals, and bridge lights, and graffiti abatement improvements are located immediately adjacent to properties within the District and are maintained solely for the benefit of the properties within the District, any benefit received by properties outside of the District will be in the proportions stated under Special Benefit. As a result, no property is assessed in excess of the reasonable cost of the proportional special benefit conferred on that property. However, some traffic signal improvements provide general and specific benefit. It was previously determined that the costs and expenses for the traffic signal improvements are less than the County's total maintenance cost. Percentages of the County's total maintenance costs were previously determined from budgets at the time of annexation by Riverside County Transportation Department. These percentages are noted on the applicable Fiscal Year 2016-17 budgets for those Zones with traffic signal improvements in Part III, Estimate of Costs and Expenses. Therefore, only the costs directly related to the specific benefit of the traffic signal improvements will be assessed to the applicable Zones.

PART III – VOLUME 1

**ESTIMATE OF COSTS AND EXPENSES
FISCAL YEAR 2016-17
LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING MAINTENANCE DISTRICT
NO. 89-1-CONSOLIDATED
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA**

The cost estimate contains each of the items specified in Section 22569 of the 1972 Act.

The 1972 Act provides that the estimated costs of the improvements shall include the total cost of the improvements for the entire Fiscal Year (2016-17), including incidental expenses, which may include operating reserves.

The 1972 Act also provides that the amount of any surplus, deficit, or contribution be included in the estimated cost of improvements. The net amount to be assessed on the lots or parcels within the Zones is the total cost of installation, maintenance, and servicing with adjustments either positive or negative for reserves, surpluses, deficits, and/or contributions.

The inflation factor, for those zones with an inflation factor in place, may increase budgets for Zones, which have not been accepted for maintenance, as if assessed. However, the amount to levy may be credited resulting in a zero assessment.

Each succeeding fiscal year the maximum assessment for Zone 8 may be subject to an annual adjustment of two percent and the maximum assessment for Zones 10, 11, 15, 19, 24, 26, 28, 29, 31, 36, 39, 43, 44, 45, 53, 55, 57, 58, 66, 68, 72, 74, 83, 84, 86, 87, 89, 91, 92, 94, and 97 may be subject to an annual adjustment that is the greater of two percent or the cumulative percentage increase, if any, in the Consumer Price Index for all Urban Consumers (CPI-U) for All Items or the CPI-U for Electricity (as applicable) for the Los Angeles-Riverside-Orange County California Standard Metropolitan Statistical area ("Index") published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the United States Department of Labor. The annual CPI-U for All Items or CPI-U for Electricity adjustment will be based on the cumulative increase, if any, in the Index as it stands on March of each year over the base Index. Any increase larger than the greater of two percent, the CPI-U for All Items, or CPI-U for Electricity annual adjustment requires a majority approval of all the property owners within each of the benefit zones in the District.

There is no proposed increase in the maximum assessment per acre or per parcel in Zones 1 and 3 over the maximum assessment for Fiscal Year 2015-16, as these zones did not institute an inflation factor when established.

There is a two percent proposed inflationary increase in the maximum assessment per acre, per EDU or per parcel as applicable in Zones 8, 10, 11, 15, 19, 24, 26, 28, 29, 31, 36, 39, 43, 44, 45, 53, 55, 57, 58, 66, 68, 72, 74, 83, 84, 86, 87, 89, 91, 92, 94, and 97 over the maximum assessment for Fiscal Year 2015-16, and is consistent with ballot proposition approved by the qualified electors when establishing said zones.

**LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NO. 89-1-CONSOLIDATED
ZONE 1
ANNUAL BUDGET
FISCAL YEAR 2016-17**

MAINTENANCE OF IMPROVEMENTS

FUNCTION	PROJECTED ANNUAL COST	NO. OF ACRES	COST PER ACRE
Landscaping	\$ 12,260.00 /	82.78	\$ 148.10
Water	\$ 1,900.00 /	82.78	\$ 22.95
Backflow Certification	\$ 240.00 /	82.78	\$ 2.90
Electricity	\$ 180.00 /	82.78	\$ 2.17
Field Inspection/Management	\$ 231.21 /	82.78	\$ 2.79
Repair and Replacement	\$ 1,528.81 /	82.78	\$ 18.47
TOTAL MAINTENANCE COSTS	\$ 16,340.02		COST PER ACRE \$ 197.38

INCIDENTAL COSTS

FUNCTION	PROJECTED ANNUAL COST	NO. OF ACRES	COST PER ACRE
Administrative Costs	\$ 1,960.80 /	82.78	\$ 23.69
Contingency	\$ 1,634.00 /	82.78	\$ 19.74
Capital Improvements	\$ 18,140.30 /	82.78	\$ 219.14
TOTAL INCIDENTAL COSTS	\$ 21,735.10		COST PER ACRE \$ 262.57

TOTAL PROJECTED COSTS **\$ 38,075.13** **COST PER ACRE \$ 459.95**

MAXIMUM ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 14,777.89**
MAXIMUM PER ACRE ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 178.52**

ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 14,777.89**
PER ACRE ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 178.52**

**LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NO. 89-1-CONSOLIDATED
 ZONE 3
 ANNUAL BUDGET
 FISCAL YEAR 2016-17**

MAINTENANCE OF IMPROVEMENTS

FUNCTION	PROJECTED ANNUAL COST	NO. OF PARCELS	COST PER PARCEL
Landscaping	\$ 9,676.76 /	314	\$ 30.82
Water	\$ 13,000.00 /	314	\$ 41.40
Backflow Certification	\$ 350.00 /	314	\$ 1.11
Tree Trimming	\$ 1,362.92 /	314	\$ 4.34
Electricity	\$ 1,500.00 /	314	\$ 4.78
Field Inspection/Management	\$ 928.71 /	314	\$ 2.96
Repair and Replacement	\$ 3,505.89 /	314	\$ 11.17
TOTAL MAINTENANCE COSTS	\$ 30,324.28	COST PER PARCEL	\$ 96.58

INCIDENTAL COSTS

FUNCTION	PROJECTED ANNUAL COST	NO. OF PARCELS	COST PER PARCEL
Administrative Costs	\$ 3,638.91 /	314	\$ 11.59
Contingency	\$ 3,032.43 /	314	\$ 9.66
Capital Improvements	\$ 28,934.74 /	314	\$ 92.15
TOTAL INCIDENTAL COSTS	\$ 35,606.08	COST PER PARCEL	\$ 113.40
TOTAL PROJECTED COSTS	\$ 65,930.37	COST PER PARCEL	\$ 209.98

MAXIMUM ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17	\$ 24,391.52
MAXIMUM PER PARCEL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17	\$ 77.68

ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17	\$ 24,391.52
PER PARCEL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17	\$ 77.68

**LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NO. 89-1-CONSOLIDATED
ZONE 8
ANNUAL BUDGET
FISCAL YEAR 2016-17**

MAINTENANCE OF IMPROVEMENTS

FUNCTION	PROJECTED ANNUAL COST	NO. OF EDUS	COST PER EDU
Landscaping	\$ 69,268.94 /	2722.82	\$ 25.44
Water	\$ 21,746.19 /	2722.82	\$ 7.99
Backflow Certification	\$ 375.35 /	2722.82	\$ 0.14
Monument Lighting	\$ 1,354.10 /	2722.82	\$ 0.50
Tree Trimming	\$ 25,000.00 /	2722.82	\$ 9.18
Streetlights 5800 HSPV	\$ 12,071.18 /	2722.82	\$ 4.43
Streetlights 9500 HPSV	\$ 44,793.40 /	2722.82	\$ 16.45
Streetlights 22000 HPSV	\$ 16,441.33 /	2722.82	\$ 6.04
Electricity	\$ 827.47 /	2722.82	\$ 0.30
Field Inspection/Management	\$ 1,561.28 /	2722.82	\$ 0.57
Repair and Replacement	\$ 7,855.31 /	2722.82	\$ 2.88
TOTAL MAINTENANCE COSTS	\$ 201,294.56		COST PER EDU \$ 73.92

INCIDENTAL COSTS

FUNCTION	PROJECTED ANNUAL COST	NO. OF EDUS	COST PER EDU
Administrative Costs	\$ 24,155.35 /	2722.82	\$ 8.87
Contingency	\$ 20,129.46 /	2722.82	\$ 7.39
Ad Valorem Fund Allocation*	\$ (22,000.00) /	2722.82	\$ (8.08)
Capital Improvements	\$ - /	2722.82	\$ -
TOTAL INCIDENTAL COSTS	\$ 22,284.80		COST PER EDU \$ 8.18

TOTAL PROJECTED COSTS **\$ 223,579.36** **COST PER EDU \$ 82.10**

MAXIMUM ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 \$ 131,294.38
MAXIMUM PER EDU ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 \$ 48.22

ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 \$ 131,294.38
PER EDU ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 \$ 48.22

*For the 402 streetlights that were formerly annexed to CSA 30 and CSA 52.

**LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NO. 89-1-CONSOLIDATED
ZONE 10
ANNUAL BUDGET
FISCAL YEAR 2016-17**

MAINTENANCE OF IMPROVEMENTS

FUNCTION	PROJECTED ANNUAL COST	NO. OF ACRES	COST PER ACRE
Landscaping	\$ 7,709.39 /	77.03	\$ 100.08
Water	\$ 3,672.68 /	77.03	\$ 47.68
Calsense Subscription and Monitoring	\$ 185.71 /	77.03	\$ 2.41
Tree Trimming	\$ 1,337.12 /	77.03	\$ 17.36
Electricity	\$ 326.44 /	77.03	\$ 4.24
Field Inspection/Management	\$ 7,408.59 /	77.03	\$ 96.18
Repair and Replacement	\$ 3,875.64 /	77.03	\$ 50.31
TOTAL MAINTENANCE COSTS	\$ 24,515.57		COST PER ACRE \$ 318.26

INCIDENTAL COSTS

FUNCTION	PROJECTED ANNUAL COST	NO. OF ACRES	COST PER ACRE
Administrative Costs	\$ 2,941.87 /	77.03	\$ 38.19
Contingency	\$ 2,451.56 /	77.03	\$ 31.83
Capital Improvements	\$ 4,000.00 /	77.03	\$ 51.93
TOTAL INCIDENTAL COSTS	\$ 9,393.43		COST PER ACRE \$ 121.95

TOTAL PROJECTED COSTS **\$ 33,909.00** **COST PER ACRE \$ 440.21**

MAXIMUM ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 \$ 30,506.96
MAXIMUM PER ACRE ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 \$ 396.04

ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 \$ 30,506.96
PER ACRE ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 \$ 396.04

**LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NO. 89-1-CONSOLIDATED
ZONE 11
ANNUAL BUDGET
FISCAL YEAR 2016-15**

MAINTENANCE OF IMPROVEMENTS

FUNCTION	PROJECTED ANNUAL COST	NO. OF PARCELS	COST PER PARCEL
Landscaping/Trails/Fence	\$ 6,175.24 /	243	\$ 25.41
Water	\$ 21,840.87 /	243	\$ 89.88
Calsense Subscription and Monitoring	\$ 371.42 /	243	\$ 1.53
Backflow Certification	\$ 169.79 /	243	\$ 0.70
Tree Trimming	\$ 4,800.00 /	243	\$ 19.75
Electricity	\$ 983.76 /	243	\$ 4.05
Field Inspection/Management	\$ - /	243	\$ -
Repair and Replacement	\$ 1,122.15 /	243	\$ 4.62
TOTAL MAINTENANCE COSTS	\$ 35,463.24	COST PER PARCEL	\$ 145.94

INCIDENTAL COSTS

FUNCTION	PROJECTED ANNUAL COST	NO. OF PARCELS	COST PER PARCEL
Administrative Costs	\$ 4,255.59 /	243	\$ 17.51
Contingency	\$ 3,546.32 /	243	\$ 14.59
Capital Improvements	\$ - /	243	\$ -
TOTAL INCIDENTAL COSTS	\$ 7,801.91	COST PER PARCEL	\$ 32.10
TOTAL PROJECTED COSTS	\$ 43,265.15	COST PER PARCEL	\$ 178.04

MAXIMUM ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 32,360.31**
MAXIMUM PER PARCEL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 133.17**

ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 32,360.31**
PER PARCEL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 133.17**

**LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NO. 89-1-CONSOLIDATED
ZONE 15
ANNUAL BUDGET
FISCAL YEAR 2016-17**

MAINTENANCE OF IMPROVEMENTS

FUNCTION	PROJECTED ANNUAL COST	NO. OF PARCELS	COST PER PARCEL
Landscaping	\$ 16,605.60 /	121	\$ 137.24
Water	\$ 6,527.98 /	121	\$ 53.95
Calsense Subscription and Monitoring	\$ 297.14 /	121	\$ 2.46
Backflow Certification	\$ 169.79 /	121	\$ 1.40
Tree Trimming	\$ 3,226.07 /	121	\$ 26.66
Fossil Filters	\$ 1,648.37 /	121	\$ 13.62
Electricity	\$ 1,619.78 /	121	\$ 13.39
Field Inspection/Management	\$ 810.88 /	121	\$ 6.70
Repair and Replacement	\$ 2,800.83 /	121	\$ 23.15
TOTAL MAINTENANCE COSTS	\$ 33,706.45	COST PER PARCEL	\$ 278.57

INCIDENTAL COSTS

FUNCTION	PROJECTED ANNUAL COST	NO. OF PARCELS	COST PER PARCEL
Administrative Costs	\$ 4,044.77 /	121	\$ 33.43
Contingency	\$ 3,370.64 /	121	\$ 27.86
Capital Improvements	\$ 1,000.00 /	121	\$ 8.26
TOTAL INCIDENTAL COSTS	\$ 8,415.42	COST PER PARCEL	\$ 69.55

TOTAL PROJECTED COSTS **\$ 42,121.87** **COST PER PARCEL** **\$ 348.12**

MAXIMUM ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 49,458.75**
MAXIMUM PER PARCEL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 408.75**

ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 42,121.87**
PER PARCEL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 348.12**

**LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NO. 89-1-CONSOLIDATED
ZONE 19
ANNUAL BUDGET
FISCAL YEAR 2016-17**

MAINTENANCE OF IMPROVEMENTS

FUNCTION	PROJECTED ANNUAL COST	NO. OF PARCELS	COST PER PARCEL
Landscaping	\$ 7,099.34 /	185	\$ 38.37
Water	\$ 4,539.91 /	185	\$ 24.54
Calsense Subscription and Monitoring	\$ 371.42 /	185	\$ 2.01
Backflow Certification	\$ 84.90 /	185	\$ 0.46
Tree Trimming	\$ 2,473.68 /	185	\$ 13.37
Fossil Filters	\$ 1,114.27 /	185	\$ 6.02
Electricity	\$ 659.08 /	185	\$ 3.56
Field Inspection/Management	\$ 10,042.58 /	185	\$ 54.28
Repair and Replacement	\$ 4,644.16 /	185	\$ 25.10
TOTAL MAINTENANCE COSTS	\$ 31,029.34		COST PER PARCEL \$ 167.71

INCIDENTAL COSTS

FUNCTION	PROJECTED ANNUAL COST	NO. OF PARCELS	COST PER PARCEL
Administrative Costs	\$ 3,723.52 /	185	\$ 20.13
Contingency	\$ 3,102.93 /	185	\$ 16.77
Capital Improvements	\$ 10,000.00 /	185	\$ 54.05
TOTAL INCIDENTAL COSTS	\$ 16,826.45		COST PER PARCEL \$ 90.95
TOTAL PROJECTED COSTS	\$ 47,855.79		COST PER PARCEL \$ 258.66

MAXIMUM ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 \$ 38,609.50
MAXIMUM PER PARCEL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 \$ 208.70

ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 \$ 38,609.50
PER PARCEL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 \$ 208.70

**LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NO. 89-1-CONSOLIDATED
ZONE 24
ANNUAL BUDGET
FISCAL YEAR 2016-17**

MAINTENANCE OF IMPROVEMENTS

FUNCTION	PROJECTED ANNUAL COST	NO. OF PARCELS	COST PER PARCEL
Landscaping	\$ 14,764.65 /	314	\$ 47.02
Water	\$ 3,809.20 /	314	\$ 12.13
Calsense Subscription and Monitoring	\$ 495.22 /	314	\$ 1.58
Backflow Certification	\$ 265.30 /	314	\$ 0.84
Tree Trimming	\$ 9,363.60 /	314	\$ 29.82
Electricity	\$ 1,758.80 /	314	\$ 5.60
Field Inspection/Management	\$ 660.57 /	314	\$ 2.10
Repair and Replacement	\$ 3,281.66 /	314	\$ 10.45
TOTAL MAINTENANCE COSTS	\$ 34,399.01	COST PER PARCEL	\$ 109.54

INCIDENTAL COSTS

FUNCTION	PROJECTED ANNUAL COST	NO. OF PARCELS	COST PER PARCEL
Administrative Costs	\$ 4,127.88 /	314	\$ 13.15
Contingency	\$ 3,439.90 /	314	\$ 10.96
Capital Improvements	\$ 10,000.00 /	314	\$ 31.85
TOTAL INCIDENTAL COSTS	\$ 17,567.78	COST PER PARCEL	\$ 55.96
TOTAL PROJECTED COSTS	\$ 51,966.79	COST PER PARCEL	\$ 165.50

MAXIMUM ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 \$ 41,275.30
MAXIMUM PER PARCEL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 \$ 131.45

ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 \$ 41,275.30
PER PARCEL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 \$ 131.45

**LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NO. 89-1-CONSOLIDATED
ZONE 26
ANNUAL BUDGET
FISCAL YEAR 2016-17**

MAINTENANCE OF IMPROVEMENTS

FUNCTION	PROJECTED ANNUAL COST	NO. OF PARCELS	COST PER PARCEL
Landscaping	\$ 12,534.29 /	417	\$ 30.06
Water	\$ 9,776.07 /	417	\$ 23.44
Backflow Certification	\$ 169.79 /	417	\$ 0.41
Tree Trimming	\$ - /	417	\$ -
Electricity	\$ 2,483.12 /	417	\$ 5.95
Field Inspection/Management	\$ 1,192.43 /	417	\$ 2.86
Repair and Replacement	\$ 26,793.98 /	417	\$ 64.25
TOTAL MAINTENANCE COSTS	\$ 52,949.68		COST PER PARCEL \$ 126.97

INCIDENTAL COSTS

FUNCTION	PROJECTED ANNUAL COST	NO. OF PARCELS	COST PER PARCEL
Administrative Costs	\$ 6,353.96 /	417	\$ 15.24
Contingency	\$ 5,294.97 /	417	\$ 12.70
Capital Improvements	\$ 10,000.00 /	417	\$ 23.98
TOTAL INCIDENTAL COSTS	\$ 21,648.93		COST PER PARCEL \$ 51.92

TOTAL PROJECTED COSTS **\$ 74,598.61** **COST PER PARCEL** **\$ 178.89**

MAXIMUM ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 60,123.06**
MAXIMUM PER PARCEL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 144.18**

ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 60,123.06**
PER PARCEL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 144.18**

Location 6 of Zone 26 is not yet maintained. Should that status remain the same prior to submission of fixed charges to the County of Riverside Auditor-Controller's Office, the assessment for 52 parcels will not be submitted and therefore not collected. The projected per parcel amounts include Location 6.

**LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NO. 89-1-CONSOLIDATED
ZONE 28
ANNUAL BUDGET
FISCAL YEAR 2016-17**

MAINTENANCE OF IMPROVEMENTS

FUNCTION	PROJECTED ANNUAL COST	NO. OF PARCELS	COST PER PARCEL
Landscaping/Trails	\$ 3,352.41 /	98	\$ 34.21
Water	\$ 1,199.41 /	98	\$ 12.24
Calsense Subscription and Monitoring	\$ 185.71 /	98	\$ 1.90
Backflow Certification	\$ 89.98 /	98	\$ 0.92
Tree Trimming	\$ 2,041.76 /	98	\$ 20.83
Electricity	\$ 326.73 /	98	\$ 3.33
Field Inspection/Management	\$ 5,004.84 /	98	\$ 51.07
Repair and Replacement	\$ 670.48 /	98	\$ 6.84
TOTAL MAINTENANCE COSTS	\$ 12,871.33		COST PER PARCEL \$ 131.34

INCIDENTAL COSTS

FUNCTION	PROJECTED ANNUAL COST	NO. OF PARCELS	COST PER PARCEL
Administrative Costs	\$ 1,544.56 /	98	\$ 15.76
Contingency	\$ 1,287.13 /	98	\$ 13.13
Capital Improvements	\$ - /	98	\$ -
TOTAL INCIDENTAL COSTS	\$ 2,831.69		COST PER PARCEL \$ 28.89

TOTAL PROJECTED COSTS **\$ 15,703.02** **COST PER PARCEL** **\$ 160.23**

MAXIMUM ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 11,127.90**
MAXIMUM PER PARCEL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 113.55**

ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 11,127.90**
PER PARCEL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 113.55**

**LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NO. 89-1-CONSOLIDATED
ZONE 29
ANNUAL BUDGET
FISCAL YEAR 2016-17**

MAINTENANCE OF IMPROVEMENTS

FUNCTION	PROJECTED ANNUAL COST	NO. OF PARCELS	COST PER PARCEL
Landscaping/Trails	\$ 2,783.29 /	130	\$ 21.41
Water	\$ 1,901.80 /	130	\$ 14.63
Calsense Subscription and Monitoring	\$ 185.71 /	130	\$ 1.43
Backflow Certification	\$ 587.40 /	130	\$ 4.52
Tree Trimming	\$ 3,469.09 /	130	\$ 26.69
Electricity	\$ 328.68 /	130	\$ 2.53
Field Inspection/Management	\$ 5,004.84 /	130	\$ 38.50
Repair and Replacement	\$ 556.66 /	130	\$ 4.28
TOTAL MAINTENANCE COSTS	\$ 14,817.48	COST PER PARCEL	\$ 113.99

INCIDENTAL COSTS

FUNCTION	PROJECTED ANNUAL COST	NO. OF PARCELS	COST PER PARCEL
Administrative Costs	\$ 1,778.10 /	130	\$ 13.68
Contingency	\$ 1,481.75 /	130	\$ 11.40
Capital Improvements	\$ - /	130	\$ -
TOTAL INCIDENTAL COSTS	\$ 3,259.85	COST PER PARCEL	\$ 25.08

TOTAL PROJECTED COSTS **\$ 18,077.32** **COST PER PARCEL** **\$ 139.07**

MAXIMUM ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 11,770.20**
MAXIMUM PER PARCEL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 90.54**

ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 11,770.20**
PER PARCEL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 90.54**

**LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NO. 89-1-CONSOLIDATED
ZONE 31
ANNUAL BUDGET
FISCAL YEAR 2016-17**

MAINTENANCE OF IMPROVEMENTS

FUNCTION	PROJECTED ANNUAL COST	NO. OF PARCELS	COST PER PARCEL
Landscaping	\$ 3,577.84 /	60	\$ 59.63
Water	\$ 890.41 /	60	\$ 14.84
Backflow Certification	\$ 296.97 /	60	\$ 4.95
Tree Trimming	\$ - /	60	-
Electricity	\$ 648.60 /	60	\$ 10.81
Field Inspection/Management	\$ 357.78 /	60	\$ 5.96
Repair and Replacement	\$ 7,161.60 /	60	\$ 119.36
TOTAL MAINTENANCE COSTS	\$ 12,933.20		COST PER PARCEL \$ 215.55

INCIDENTAL COSTS

FUNCTION	PROJECTED ANNUAL COST	NO. OF PARCELS	COST PER PARCEL
Administrative Costs	\$ 1,551.98 /	60	\$ 25.87
Contingency	\$ 1,293.32 /	60	\$ 21.56
Capital Improvements	\$ 3,000.00 /	60	\$ 50.00
TOTAL INCIDENTAL COSTS	\$ 5,845.30		COST PER PARCEL \$ 97.43

TOTAL PROJECTED COSTS **\$ 18,778.51** **COST PER PARCEL \$ 312.98**

MAXIMUM ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 18,330.00**
MAXIMUM PER PARCEL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 305.50**

ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 18,330.00**
PER PARCEL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 305.50**

**LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NO. 89-1-CONSOLIDATED
ZONE 36
ANNUAL BUDGET
FISCAL YEAR 2016-17**

MAINTENANCE OF IMPROVEMENTS

FUNCTION	PROJECTED ANNUAL COST	NO. OF PARCELS	COST PER PARCEL
Landscaping	\$ 3,200.26 /	106	\$ 30.19
Water	\$ 572.78 /	106	\$ 5.40
Calsense Subscription and Monitoring	\$ 185.71 /	106	\$ 1.75
Backflow Certification	\$ 305.63 /	106	\$ 2.88
Electricity	\$ 169.13 /	106	\$ 1.60
Field Inspection/Management	\$ 199.62 /	106	\$ 1.88
Repair and Replacement	\$ 5,651.22 /	106	\$ 53.31
TOTAL MAINTENANCE COSTS	\$ 10,284.36		COST PER PARCEL \$ 97.01

INCIDENTAL COSTS

FUNCTION	PROJECTED ANNUAL COST	NO. OF PARCELS	COST PER PARCEL
Administrative Costs	\$ 1,234.12 /	106	\$ 11.64
Contingency	\$ 1,028.44 /	106	\$ 9.70
Capital Improvements	\$ 5,000.00 /	106	\$ 47.17
TOTAL INCIDENTAL COSTS	\$ 7,262.56		COST PER PARCEL \$ 68.51

TOTAL PROJECTED COSTS **\$ 17,546.91** **COST PER PARCEL \$ 165.52**

MAXIMUM ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 \$ 12,660.64
MAXIMUM PER PARCEL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 \$ 119.44

ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 \$ 12,660.64
PER PARCEL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 \$ 119.44

LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NO. 89-1-CONSOLIDATED
ZONE 39
ANNUAL BUDGET
FISCAL YEAR 2016-17

MAINTENANCE OF IMPROVEMENTS

FUNCTION	PROJECTED ANNUAL COST	NO. OF PARCELS	COST PER PARCEL
Landscaping	\$ 2,446.90 /	128	\$ 19.12
Water	\$ 1,478.94 /	128	\$ 11.55
Backflow Certification	\$ 42.45 /	128	\$ 0.33
Tree Trimming	\$ - /	128	\$ -
Electricity	\$ 325.21 /	128	\$ 2.54
Field Inspection/Management	\$ 244.69 /	128	\$ 1.91
Repair and Replacement	\$ 1,179.45 /	128	\$ 9.21
TOTAL MAINTENANCE COSTS	\$ 5,717.63	COST PER PARCEL	\$ 44.66

INCIDENTAL COSTS

FUNCTION	PROJECTED ANNUAL COST	NO. OF PARCELS	COST PER PARCEL
Administrative Costs	\$ 686.12 /	128	\$ 5.36
Contingency	\$ 571.76 /	128	\$ 4.47
Capital Improvements	\$ 5,000.00 /	128	\$ 39.06
TOTAL INCIDENTAL COSTS	\$ 6,257.88	COST PER PARCEL	\$ 48.89

TOTAL PROJECTED COSTS **\$ 11,975.51** **COST PER PARCEL** **\$ 93.55**

MAXIMUM ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 7,114.24**
MAXIMUM PER PARCEL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 55.58**

ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 7,114.24**
PER PARCEL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 55.58**

LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NO. 89-1-CONSOLIDATED
ZONE 43
ANNUAL BUDGET
FISCAL YEAR 2016-17

MAINTENANCE OF IMPROVEMENTS

FUNCTION	PROJECTED ANNUAL COST	NO. OF PARCELS	COST PER PARCEL
Landscaping/Trails/Fence	\$ 23,707.03 /	516	\$ 45.94
Water	\$ 16,215.72 /	516	\$ 31.43
Calsense Subscription and Monitoring	\$ 371.42 /	516	\$ 0.72
Tree Trimming	\$ 8,744.35 /	516	\$ 16.95
Streetlights LS-1 9500 Lumen HPSV	\$ 2,380.60 /	516	\$ 4.61
Streetlights LS-1 22000 Lumen HPSV	\$ 1,551.64 /	516	\$ 3.01
Electricity	\$ 136.97 /	516	\$ 0.27
Field Inspection/Management	\$ 2,370.70 /	516	\$ 4.59
Repair and Replacement	\$ 12,090.58 /	516	\$ 23.43
TOTAL MAINTENANCE COSTS	\$ 67,569.02	COST PER PARCEL	\$ 130.95

INCIDENTAL COSTS

FUNCTION	PROJECTED ANNUAL COST	NO. OF PARCELS	COST PER PARCEL
Administrative Costs	\$ 8,108.28 /	516	\$ 15.71
Contingency	\$ 6,756.90 /	516	\$ 13.09
Capital Improvements	\$ 10,000.00 /	516	\$ 19.38
TOTAL INCIDENTAL COSTS	\$ 24,865.18	COST PER PARCEL	\$ 48.18

TOTAL PROJECTED COSTS	\$ 92,434.20	COST PER PARCEL	\$ 179.13
------------------------------	---------------------	------------------------	------------------

MAXIMUM ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17	\$ 84,082.20
MAXIMUM PER PARCEL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17	\$ 162.95

ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17	\$ 84,082.20
PER PARCEL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17	\$ 162.95

**LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NO. 89-1-CONSOLIDATED
ZONE 44
ANNUAL BUDGET
FISCAL YEAR 2016-17**

MAINTENANCE OF IMPROVEMENTS

FUNCTION	PROJECTED ANNUAL COST	NO. OF PARCELS	COST PER PARCEL
Fossil Filters	\$ 4,571.68 /	1173	\$ 3.90
Field Inspection/Management	\$ 91.43 /	1173	\$ 0.08
Repair and Replacement	\$ 1,224.00 /	1173	\$ 1.04
TOTAL MAINTENANCE COSTS	\$ 5,887.12		COST PER PARCEL \$ 5.02

INCIDENTAL COSTS

FUNCTION	PROJECTED ANNUAL COST	NO. OF PARCELS	COST PER PARCEL
Administrative Costs	\$ 706.45 /	1173	\$ 0.60
Contingency	\$ 588.71 /	1173	\$ 0.50
Capital Improvements	\$ 6.73 /	1173	\$ 0.01
TOTAL INCIDENTAL COSTS	\$ 1,301.90		COST PER PARCEL \$ 1.11

TOTAL PROJECTED COSTS **\$ 7,189.02** **COST PER PARCEL \$ 6.13**

MAXIMUM ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 72,151.23**
MAXIMUM PER PARCEL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 61.51**

ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 7,189.02**
PER PARCEL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 6.12**

Locations 2B, 2C, 3A, & 3D of Zone 44 are not yet maintained. Should that status remain the same prior to submission of fixed charges to the County of Riverside Auditor-Controller's Office, the assessment for 997 parcels will not be submitted and therefore not collected. The projected per parcel amounts include all Locations.

Due to reserves, the zone will be assessed at the minimum amount to record the assessment.

**LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NO. 89-1-CONSOLIDATED
ZONE 45
ANNUAL BUDGET
FISCAL YEAR 2016-17**

MAINTENANCE OF IMPROVEMENTS

FUNCTION	PROJECTED ANNUAL COST	NO. OF PARCELS	COST PER PARCEL
Landscaping	\$ 22,171.51 /	209	\$ 106.08
Water	\$ 11,755.87 /	209	\$ 56.25
Calsense Subscription and Monitoring	\$ 148.57 /	209	\$ 0.71
Backflow Certification	\$ 84.90 /	209	\$ 0.41
Tree Trimming	\$ - /	209	\$ -
Electricity	\$ 345.47 /	209	\$ 1.65
Field Inspection/Management	\$ 602.71 /	209	\$ 2.88
Repair and Replacement	\$ 8,932.22 /	209	\$ 42.74
TOTAL MAINTENANCE COSTS	\$ 44,041.24	COST PER PARCEL	\$ 210.72

INCIDENTAL COSTS

FUNCTION	PROJECTED ANNUAL COST	NO. OF PARCELS	COST PER PARCEL
Administrative Costs	\$ 5,284.95 /	209	\$ 25.29
Contingency	\$ 4,404.12 /	209	\$ 21.07
Capital Improvements	\$ 15,000.00 /	209	\$ 71.77
TOTAL INCIDENTAL COSTS	\$ 24,689.07	COST PER PARCEL	\$ 118.13

TOTAL PROJECTED COSTS **\$ 68,730.32** **COST PER PARCEL** **\$ 328.85**

MAXIMUM ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 54,803.98**
MAXIMUM PER PARCEL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 262.22**

ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 54,803.98**
PER PARCEL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 262.22**

**LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NO. 89-1-CONSOLIDATED
ZONE 53
ANNUAL BUDGET
FISCAL YEAR 2016-17**

MAINTENANCE OF IMPROVEMENTS

FUNCTION	PROJECTED ANNUAL COST	NO. OF PARCELS	COST PER PARCEL
Landscaping	\$ 5,286.26 /	32	\$ 165.20
Water	\$ 1,479.85 /	32	\$ 46.25
Calsense Subscription and Monitoring	\$ 185.71 /	32	\$ 5.80
Backflow Certification	\$ 42.45 /	32	\$ 1.33
Fossil Filters	\$ 545.62 /	32	\$ 17.05
Electricity	\$ 329.38 /	32	\$ 10.29
Field Inspection/Management	\$ 528.63 /	32	\$ 16.52
Repair and Replacement	\$ 3,222.98 /	32	\$ 100.72
TOTAL MAINTENANCE COSTS	\$ 11,620.87	COST PER PARCEL	\$ 363.16

INCIDENTAL COSTS

FUNCTION	PROJECTED ANNUAL COST	NO. OF PARCELS	COST PER PARCEL
Administrative Costs	\$ 1,394.50 /	32	\$ 43.58
Contingency	\$ 1,162.09 /	32	\$ 36.32
Capital Improvements	\$ 1,879.92 /	32	\$ 58.75
TOTAL INCIDENTAL COSTS	\$ 4,436.51	COST PER PARCEL	\$ 138.65

TOTAL PROJECTED COSTS **\$ 16,057.38** **COST PER PARCEL** **\$ 501.81**

MAXIMUM ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 14,461.12**
MAXIMUM PER PARCEL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 451.91**

ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 14,461.12**
PER PARCEL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 451.91**

**LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NO. 89-1-CONSOLIDATED
ZONE 55
ANNUAL BUDGET
FISCAL YEAR 2016-17**

MAINTENANCE OF IMPROVEMENTS

FUNCTION	PROJECTED ANNUAL COST	NO. OF PARCELS	COST PER PARCEL
Fossil Filters	\$ 1,645.93 /	86	\$ 19.14
Field Inspection/Management	\$ 164.59 /	86	\$ 1.91
Repair and Replacement	\$ 329.19 /	86	\$ 3.83
TOTAL MAINTENANCE COSTS	\$ 2,139.71		COST PER PARCEL \$ 24.88

INCIDENTAL COSTS

FUNCTION	PROJECTED ANNUAL COST	NO. OF PARCELS	COST PER PARCEL
Administrative Costs	\$ 256.77 /	86	\$ 2.99
Contingency	\$ 213.97 /	86	\$ 2.49
Capital Improvements	\$ 1,000.00 /	86	\$ 11.63
TOTAL INCIDENTAL COSTS	\$ 1,470.74		COST PER PARCEL \$ 17.11

TOTAL PROJECTED COSTS **\$ 3,610.45** **COST PER PARCEL \$ 41.99**

MAXIMUM ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 6,051.82**
MAXIMUM PER PARCEL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 70.37**

ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 1,100.80**
PER PARCEL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 12.80**

**LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NO. 89-1-CONSOLIDATED
ZONE 57
ANNUAL BUDGET
FISCAL YEAR 2016-17**

MAINTENANCE OF IMPROVEMENTS

FUNCTION	PROJECTED ANNUAL COST	NO. OF PARCELS	COST PER PARCEL
Landscaping	\$ 5,948.72 /	54	\$ 110.16
Water	\$ 958.12 /	54	\$ 17.74
Calsense Subscription and Monitoring	\$ 247.61 /	54	\$ 4.59
Backflow Certification	\$ 42.45 /	54	\$ 0.79
Tree Trimming	\$ 2,120.29 /	54	\$ 39.26
Fossil Filters	\$ 291.54 /	54	\$ 5.40
Electricity	\$ 917.11 /	54	\$ 16.98
Field Inspection/Management	\$ 594.87 /	54	\$ 11.02
Repair and Replacement	\$ 1,571.73 /	54	\$ 29.11
TOTAL MAINTENANCE COSTS	\$ 12,692.44	COST PER PARCEL	\$ 235.05

INCIDENTAL COSTS

FUNCTION	PROJECTED ANNUAL COST	NO. OF PARCELS	COST PER PARCEL
Administrative Costs	\$ 1,523.09 /	54	\$ 28.21
Contingency	\$ 1,269.24 /	54	\$ 23.50
Capital Improvements	\$ 5,000.00 /	54	\$ 92.59
TOTAL INCIDENTAL COSTS	\$ 7,792.34	COST PER PARCEL	\$ 144.30
TOTAL PROJECTED COSTS	\$ 20,484.77	COST PER PARCEL	\$ 379.35

MAXIMUM ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 15,795.00**
MAXIMUM PER PARCEL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 292.50**

ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 15,795.00**
PER PARCEL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 292.50**

**LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NO. 89-1-CONSOLIDATED
ZONE 58
ANNUAL BUDGET
FISCAL YEAR 2016-17**

MAINTENANCE OF IMPROVEMENTS

FUNCTION	PROJECTED ANNUAL COST	NO. OF PARCELS	COST PER PARCEL
Landscaping	\$ 1,840.43 /	9	\$ 204.49
Water	\$ 2,300.33 /	9	\$ 255.59
Backflow Certification	\$ 42.45 /	9	\$ 4.72
Tree Trimming	\$ - /	9	\$ -
Streetlights LS-1 8000 Lumen LPSV	\$ 784.71 /	9	\$ 87.19
Streetlights LS-1 22500 Lumen LPSV	\$ 1,280.32 /	9	\$ 142.26
Electricity	\$ 324.29 /	9	\$ 36.03
Field Inspection/Management	\$ 184.04 /	9	\$ 20.45
Repair and Replacement	\$ 368.09 /	9	\$ 40.90
TOTAL MAINTENANCE COSTS	\$ 7,124.66		COST PER PARCEL \$ 791.63

INCIDENTAL COSTS

FUNCTION	PROJECTED ANNUAL COST	NO. OF PARCELS	COST PER PARCEL
Administrative Costs	\$ 854.96 /	9	\$ 95.00
Contingency	\$ 712.47 /	9	\$ 79.16
Capital Improvements	\$ 2,000.00 /	9	\$ 222.22
TOTAL INCIDENTAL COSTS	\$ 3,567.42		COST PER PARCEL \$ 396.38

TOTAL PROJECTED COSTS **\$ 10,692.08** **COST PER PARCEL \$ 1,188.01**

MAXIMUM ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 \$ 6,833.61
MAXIMUM PER PARCEL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 \$ 759.29

ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 \$ 6,833.61
PER PARCEL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 \$ 759.29

**LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NO. 89-1-CONSOLIDATED
 ZONE 66
 ANNUAL BUDGET
 FISCAL YEAR 2016-17**

MAINTENANCE OF IMPROVEMENTS

FUNCTION	PROJECTED ANNUAL COST	NO. OF PARCELS	COST PER PARCEL
Landscaping	\$ 2,590.00 /	31	\$ 83.55
Water	\$ 1,480.00 /	31	\$ 47.74
Backflow Certification	\$ 52.02 /	31	\$ 1.68
Electricity	\$ 222.00 /	31	\$ 7.16
Field Inspection/Management	\$ 334.48 /	31	\$ 10.79
Repair and Replacement	\$ 1,386.03 /	31	\$ 44.71
TOTAL MAINTENANCE COSTS	\$ 6,064.53		COST PER PARCEL \$ 195.63

INCIDENTAL COSTS

FUNCTION	PROJECTED ANNUAL COST	NO. OF PARCELS	COST PER PARCEL
Administrative Costs	\$ 727.74 /	31	\$ 23.48
Contingency	\$ 606.45 /	31	\$ 19.56
Capital Improvements	\$ 5,000.00 /	31	\$ 161.29
TOTAL INCIDENTAL COSTS	\$ 6,334.20		COST PER PARCEL \$ 204.33
TOTAL PROJECTED COSTS	\$ 12,398.72		COST PER PARCEL \$ 399.96

MAXIMUM ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 \$ 7,400.01
MAXIMUM PER PARCEL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 \$ 238.71

ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 \$ 7,400.01
PER PARCEL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 \$ 238.71

Zone 66 is not yet maintained and is in the inspection stage.

**LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NO. 89-1-CONSOLIDATED
ZONE 68
ANNUAL BUDGET
FISCAL YEAR 2016-17**

MAINTENANCE OF IMPROVEMENTS

FUNCTION	PROJECTED ANNUAL COST	NO. OF PARCELS	COST PER PARCEL
Landscaping/Bio-Swales	\$ 5,472.97 /	23	\$ 237.96
Water	\$ 3,040.54 /	23	\$ 132.20
Backflow Certification	\$ 52.02 /	23	\$ 2.26
Electricity	\$ 456.08 /	23	\$ 19.83
Field Inspection/Management	\$ 687.32 /	23	\$ 29.88
Repair and Replacement	\$ 2,751.26 /	23	\$ 119.62
TOTAL MAINTENANCE COSTS	\$ 12,460.19		COST PER PARCEL \$ 541.75

INCIDENTAL COSTS

FUNCTION	PROJECTED ANNUAL COST	NO. OF PARCELS	COST PER PARCEL
Administrative Costs	\$ 1,495.22 /	23	\$ 65.01
Contingency	\$ 1,246.02 /	23	\$ 54.17
Capital Improvements	\$ 5,000.00 /	23	\$ 217.39
TOTAL INCIDENTAL COSTS	\$ 7,741.24		COST PER PARCEL \$ 336.57
TOTAL PROJECTED COSTS	\$ 20,201.44		COST PER PARCEL \$ 878.32

MAXIMUM ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 \$ 15,202.77
MAXIMUM PER PARCEL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 \$ 660.99

ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 \$ 15,202.77
PER PARCEL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 \$ 660.99

Zone 68 is not yet maintained.

**LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NO. 89-1-CONSOLIDATED
ZONE 72
ANNUAL BUDGET
FISCAL YEAR 2016-17**

MAINTENANCE OF IMPROVEMENTS

FUNCTION	PROJECTED ANNUAL COST	NO. OF PARCELS	COST PER PARCEL
Traffic Signal #1454 Mt Vernon Ave/Palmyrita Ave	\$ 9,261.94 /	447	\$ 20.72
Traffic Signal #1455 Mt Vernon Ave/Pigeon Pass Rd	\$ 12,040.52 /	447	\$ 26.94
Traffic Signal #1456 Mt Vernon Ave/Spring St	\$ 12,040.52 /	447	\$ 26.94
Traffic Signal #1457 Mt Vernon Ave/Center St	\$ 12,040.52 /	447	\$ 26.94
Traffic Signal Spring Mountain Rd/Pigeon Pass Rd	\$ 46,309.68 /	447	\$ 103.60
Fossil Filters	\$ 10,562.14 /	447	\$ 23.63
Field Inspection/Management	\$ 1,056.21 /	447	\$ 2.36
Repair and Replacement	\$ 844.97 /	447	\$ 1.89
TOTAL MAINTENANCE COSTS	\$ 104,156.48	COST PER PARCEL	\$ 233.02

INCIDENTAL COSTS

FUNCTION	PROJECTED ANNUAL COST	NO. OF PARCELS	COST PER PARCEL
Administrative Costs	\$ 12,498.78 /	447	\$ 27.96
Contingency	\$ 10,415.65 /	447	\$ 23.30
Capital Improvements	\$ - /	447	\$ -
TOTAL INCIDENTAL COSTS	\$ 22,914.43	COST PER PARCEL	\$ 51.26

TOTAL PROJECTED COSTS **\$ 127,070.91** **COST PER PARCEL** **\$ 284.28**

MAXIMUM ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FY16-17 **\$ 106,900.05**
MAXIMUM PER PARCEL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 239.15**

ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 106,900.05**
PER PARCEL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 239.15**

Zone 72 is not yet maintained. Should that status remain the same prior to submission of fixed charges to the County of Riverside Auditor-Controller's Office, the assessment for 447 parcels will not be submitted and therefore not collected.

**LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NO. 89-1-CONSOLIDATED
ZONE 74
ANNUAL BUDGET
FISCAL YEAR 2016-17**

MAINTENANCE OF IMPROVEMENTS

FUNCTION	PROJECTED ANNUAL COST		NO. OF PARCELS	COST PER PARCEL
Landscaping/Trails/Fence	\$ 22,440.00 /		206	\$ 108.93
Water	\$ 5,004.34 /		206	\$ 24.29
Calsense Subscription and Monitoring	\$ 291.31 /		206	\$ 1.41
Backflow Certification	\$ 208.08 /		206	\$ 1.01
Tree Trimming	\$ 13,473.18 /		206	\$ 65.40
Graffiti Abatement	\$ 104.04 /		206	\$ 0.51
Electricity	\$ 612.96 /		206	\$ 2.98
Field Inspection/Management	\$ 2,887.77 /		206	\$ 14.02
Repair and Replacement	\$ 4,080.00 /		206	\$ 19.81
TOTAL MAINTENANCE COSTS	\$ 49,101.69		COST PER PARCEL	\$ 238.36

INCIDENTAL COSTS

FUNCTION	PROJECTED ANNUAL COST		NO. OF PARCELS	COST PER PARCEL
Administrative Costs	\$ 5,892.20 /		206	\$ 28.60
Contingency	\$ 4,910.17 /		206	\$ 23.84
Capital Improvements	\$ 607.92 /		206	\$ 2.95
TOTAL INCIDENTAL COSTS	\$ 11,410.29		COST PER PARCEL	\$ 55.39

TOTAL PROJECTED COSTS	\$ 60,511.98	COST PER PARCEL	\$ 293.75
------------------------------	---------------------	------------------------	------------------

MAXIMUM ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FY16-17	\$ 125,507.56
MAXIMUM PER PARCEL ASSESSMENT FY16-17	\$ 609.26

ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17	\$ 60,511.98
PER PARCEL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17	\$ 293.74

**LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NO. 89-1-CONSOLIDATED
ZONE 83
ANNUAL BUDGET
FISCAL YEAR 2016-17**

MAINTENANCE OF IMPROVEMENTS

FUNCTION	PROJECTED ANNUAL COST	NO. OF ACRES	COST PER ACRE
Landscaping	\$ 1,980.58 /	12.4	\$ 159.72
Water	\$ 1,320.39 /	12.4	\$ 106.48
Backflow Certification	\$ 52.02 /	12.4	\$ 4.20
Tree Trimming	\$ 330.10 /	12.4	\$ 26.62
Electricity	\$ 198.06 /	12.4	\$ 15.97
Field Inspection/Management	\$ 298.41 /	12.4	\$ 24.07
Repair and Replacement	\$ 1,230.84 /	12.4	\$ 99.26
TOTAL MAINTENANCE COSTS	\$ 5,410.39		COST PER ACRE \$ 436.32

INCIDENTAL COSTS

FUNCTION	PROJECTED ANNUAL COST	NO. OF ACRES	COST PER ACRE
Administrative Costs	\$ 649.25 /	12.4	\$ 52.36
Contingency	\$ 541.04 /	12.4	\$ 43.63
Capital Improvements	\$ 1,000.00 /	12.4	\$ 80.65
TOTAL INCIDENTAL COSTS	\$ 2,190.29		COST PER ACRE \$ 176.64

TOTAL PROJECTED COSTS **\$ 7,600.68** **COST PER ACRE \$ 612.96**

MAXIMUM ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 \$ 6,601.88
MAXIMUM PER ACRE ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 \$ 532.41

ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 \$ 6,601.88
PER ACRE ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 \$ 532.41

**LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NO. 89-1-CONSOLIDATED
ZONE 84
ANNUAL BUDGET
FISCAL YEAR 2016-17**

MAINTENANCE OF IMPROVEMENTS

FUNCTION	PROJECTED ANNUAL COST	NO. OF PARCELS	COST PER PARCEL
Landscaping	\$ 9,356.58 /	128	\$ 73.10
Water	\$ 4,577.93 /	128	\$ 35.77
Backflow Certification	\$ 53.06 /	128	\$ 0.41
Tree Trimming	\$ 1,910.30 /	128	\$ 14.92
Graffiti Abatement	\$ 305.19 /	128	\$ 2.38
Fossil Filters	\$ 1,945.32 /	128	\$ 15.20
Electricity	\$ 915.59 /	128	\$ 7.15
Field Inspection/Management	\$ 3,051.95 /	128	\$ 23.84
Repair and Replacement	\$ 6,103.89 /	128	\$ 47.69
TOTAL MAINTENANCE COSTS	\$ 28,219.81		COST PER PARCEL \$ 220.46

INCIDENTAL COSTS

FUNCTION	PROJECTED ANNUAL COST	NO. OF PARCELS	COST PER PARCEL
Administrative Costs	\$ 3,386.38 /	128	\$ 26.46
Contingency	\$ 2,821.98 /	128	\$ 22.05
Capital Improvements	\$ - /	128	\$ -
TOTAL INCIDENTAL COSTS	\$ 6,208.36		COST PER PARCEL \$ 48.51
TOTAL PROJECTED COSTS	\$ 34,428.17		COST PER PARCEL \$ 268.97

MAXIMUM ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 \$ 34,426.88
MAXIMUM PER PARCEL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 \$ 268.96

ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 \$ 34,426.88
PER PARCEL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 \$ 268.96

Zone 84 is not yet maintained. Should that status remain the same prior to submission of fixed charges to the County of Riverside Auditor-Controller's Office, the assessment for 128 parcels will not be submitted and therefore not collected.

**LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NO. 89-1-CONSOLIDATED
ZONE 86
ANNUAL BUDGET
FISCAL YEAR 2016-17**

MAINTENANCE OF IMPROVEMENTS

FUNCTION	PROJECTED ANNUAL COST	NO. OF PARCELS	COST PER PARCEL
Traffic Signal #1350 Leon Rd/Domenigoni Pky	\$ 530.60 /	68	\$ 7.80
Traffic Signal Leon Rd/Olive Ave	\$ 530.60 /	68	\$ 7.80
Bridge Lights 22500 LPSV	\$ 5,790.44 /	68	\$ 85.15
Fossil Filters	\$ 2,877.53 /	68	\$ 42.32
Field Inspection/Management	\$ 287.75 /	68	\$ 4.23
Repair and Replacement	\$ 575.51 /	68	\$ 8.46
TOTAL MAINTENANCE COSTS	\$ 10,592.44		COST PER PARCEL \$ 155.76

INCIDENTAL COSTS

FUNCTION	PROJECTED ANNUAL COST	NO. OF PARCELS	COST PER PARCEL
Administrative Costs	\$ 1,271.09 /	68	\$ 18.69
Contingency	\$ 1,059.24 /	68	\$ 15.58
Capital Improvements	\$ 2,500.00 /	68	\$ 36.76
TOTAL INCIDENTAL COSTS	\$ 4,830.34		COST PER PARCEL \$ 71.03
TOTAL PROJECTED COSTS	\$ 15,422.77		COST PER PARCEL \$ 226.79

MAXIMUM ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 \$ 14,981.76
MAXIMUM PER PARCEL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 \$ 220.32

ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 \$ 14,981.76
PER PARCEL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 \$ 220.32

Zone 86 is not yet maintained. Should that status remain the same prior to submission of fixed charges to the County of Riverside Auditor-Controller's Office, the assessment for 68 parcels will not be submitted and therefore not collected.

**LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NO. 89-1-CONSOLIDATED
ZONE 87
ANNUAL BUDGET
FISCAL YEAR 2016-17**

MAINTENANCE OF IMPROVEMENTS

FUNCTION	PROJECTED ANNUAL COST	NO. OF ACRES	COST PER ACRE
Landscaping	\$ 11,283.46 /	167.49	\$ 67.37
Water	\$ 6,158.43 /	167.49	\$ 36.77
Backflow Certification	\$ 53.06 /	167.49	\$ 0.32
Tree Trimming	\$ 3,555.18 /	167.49	\$ 21.23
Electricity	\$ 376.12 /	167.49	\$ 2.25
Field Inspection/Management	\$ 1,880.58 /	167.49	\$ 11.23
Repair and Replacement	\$ 7,522.31 /	167.49	\$ 44.91
TOTAL MAINTENANCE COSTS	\$ 30,829.13		COST PER ACRE \$ 184.08

INCIDENTAL COSTS

FUNCTION	PROJECTED ANNUAL COST	NO. OF ACRES	COST PER ACRE
Administrative Costs	\$ 3,699.50 /	167.49	\$ 22.09
Contingency	\$ 3,082.91 /	167.49	\$ 18.41
Capital Improvements	\$ - /	167.49	\$ -
TOTAL INCIDENTAL COSTS	\$ 6,782.41		COST PER ACRE \$ 40.50

TOTAL PROJECTED COSTS **\$ 37,611.54** **COST PER ACRE \$ 224.58**

MAXIMUM ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 37,611.55**
MAXIMUM PER ACRE ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 224.56**

ANNUAL ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 37,611.54**
PER ACRE ASSESSMENT FY 16-17 **\$ 224.56**

Zone 87 is not yet maintained. Should that status remain the same prior to submission of fixed charges to the County of Riverside Auditor-Controller's Office, the assessment for 7 parcels totaling 167.49 acres will not be submitted and therefore not collected.