SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FROM: TLMA – Planning Department





SUBJECT: FIRST EXTENSION OF TIME FOR TENTATIVE TRACT MAP NO. 31444M2 -

Applicant: Graperoad, LLC - Third Supervisorial District - Rancho California Zoning Area - Southwest Area Plan: Agriculture: Agriculture (AG:AG) (10-acre minimum) - Location: Southeasterly of Rancho California Road, westerly of Camino Del Vino, and southerly of Monte de Oro - 220.9 acres - Zoning: Wine Country - Winery (WC-W) - Approved project description: Schedule D subdivision of 220.9 acres into 24 residential lots, 4 winery lots and 3 production lots. The winery lots vary in size from 10 acres to 25 acres. The production lots also vary in size from 5 acres to 15.9 acres. The minimum lot size for the residential lots is 5 acres. On each residential lot a percentage of the acreage remaining outside the building envelope will consist of agricultural easements planted in vineyards. There will be 4 agricultural easements over the production and residential lots. - REQUEST: Extension of Time to January 31st, 2017 - First Extension. Deposit Based Fees 100%

RECOMMENDED MOTION: The Planning Department recommends that the Board of Supervisors:

(Continued	on	next	page)
١		•		P-3-/

Steve Weiss, AICP Planning Director

Departmental Concurrence

(Continued on next page)

Juan C. Perez **TLMA Director**

FINANCIAL DATA Current Fiscal Year:

FINANCIAL DATA	Current Fiscal Year:	Next Fiscal Year:	Total Cost:	Ongoing Cost:	(per Exec Office)
COST	\$ N/A.	\$ N/A.	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	Consent Policy
NET COUNTY COST	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	Consent Policy
SOURCE OF FUNDS: Deposit based funds Budget Adjustment: N/A					
				For Fiscal Year	: N/A

C.E.O. RECOMMENDATION:

County Executive Office Signature

MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Change Order	On motion of Supervisor Tavaglione, seconded by Supervisor Washington and o					
nge (carried by unanimous vote, IT WAS ORDERED that the above matter of approval is received and filed as recommended.				
Cha	Ayes:	Jeffries, Tavaglione, Washington				
	Nays:	None	Kecia Harper-Ihem			
11	Absent:	None	Clerk of the Board			

Date: XC:

Prev. Agn. Ref.:

July 26, 2016 Planning

District: 3 Agenda Number:

POLICY/CONSENT

Positions Added

4/5 Vote

SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA FORM 11: FIRST EXTENSION OF TIME FOR TENTATIVE TRACT MAP NO. 31444M2

DATE: July 14, 2016 **PAGE:** Page 2 of 2

RECEIVE AND FILE the Planning Commission Notice of Decision for the above referenced case acted on by the Planning Commission on July 20, 2016. The Tentative Tract Map No. 31444M2 will now expire on January 31, 2017.

BACKGROUND:

Summary

The Tentative Tract Map No 31444 was originally approved by the Planning Commission on January 25, 2006. It proceeded to the Board of Supervisors along with Change of Zone 6934 and both were approved on January 31, 2006.

The first minor change for Tentative Tract Map No. 31444M1 was approved at Planning Commission on February 20, 2008 and also proceeded to the Board of Supervisors along with Change of Zone 7624 and both were approved on September 2, 2008.

The second minor change for Tentative Tract Map No. 31444M2 was approved by the Planning Commission on July 16, 2014.

The County Planning Department, as part of the review of this Extension of Time request has determined it necessary to recommend the addition of three (3) new conditions of approval in order to be able to make a determination that the project does not adversely affect the general health, safety and welfare of the public.

The applicant was informed of these recommended conditions and has agreed to accept them.

The Tentative Tract Map also benefited from Senate Bill No. 1185 (SB1185), Assembly Bill No. 333 (AB333), Assembly Bill No. 208 (AB208), and Assembly Bill No. 116 (AB116), which granted statutory extensions of time for tentative maps statewide.

The Planning Commission heard the first extension of time for Tentative Tract Map No. 31444M2 on July 20, 2016.

Board Action

The Planning Commission's decision is final and no action by the Board of Supervisors is required unless the applicant or an interested person files a complete appeal application within 10 days of this notice appearing on the Board's agenda.

Impact on Citizens and Businesses

The impacts of this project have been evaluated through the environmental review and public hearing process by Planning Department and the Planning Commission.

ATTACHMENTS:

A. PLANNING COMMISSION STAFF REPORT



RIVERSIDE COUNTY DIANNING DEDADTM

PLANIN	ING DEPARTMENT
Steve Weiss, AICP Planning Director	1/26/2016
DATE: July 14, 2016	90
TO: Clerk of the Board of Supervisors	3
FROM: Planning Department - Riverside Office	
SUBJECT: FIRST EXTENSION OF TIME FOR T (Charge your time)	TENTATIVE TRACT NO. 31444M2 ne to these case numbers)
The attached item(s) require the following act Place on Administrative Action (Receive & File; EOT) □Labels provided If Set For Hearing □10 Day □20 Day □30 day Place on Consent Calendar Place on Policy Calendar (Resolutions; Ordinances; PNC) Place on Section Initiation Proceeding (GPIP)	ion(s) by the Board of Supervisors: Set for Hearing (Legislative Action Required; CZ, GPA, SP, SPA) Publish in Newspaper: **SELECT Advertisement** **SELECT CEQA Determination** 10 Day 20 Day 30 day Notify Property Owners (app/agencies/property owner labels provided)

Please schedule on the July 26, 2016 BOS Agenda

3 Extra sets were taken to: Clerk of the Board

Riverside Office · 4080 Lemon Street, 12th Floor P.O. Box 1409, Riverside, California 92502-1409 (951) 955-3200 · Fax (951) 955-1811

Desert Office · 77-588 El Duna Ct. Suite H Palm Desert, California 92211 (760) 863-8277 · Fax (760) 863-7040

"Planning Our Future... Preserving Our Past"

ORIGINAL

Agenda Item No.


Area Plan: Southwest

Zoning Area: Rancho California Supervisorial District: Third Project Planner: Tim Wheeler

Planning Commission Hearing: July 20, 2016

TENTATIVE TRACT MAP NO. 31444M2

FIRST EXTENSION OF TIME Applicant: Graperoad, LLC



COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE PLANNING DEPARTMENT EXTENSION OF TIME STAFF REPORT

The applicant of the subject case has requested an extension of time to allow the recordation of the final map to subdivide 220.9 acres into 24 residential lots, 4 winery lots and 3 production lots. The winery lots vary in size from 10 acres to 25 acres. The production lots also vary in size from 5 acres to 15.9 acres. The minimum lot size for the residential lots is 5 acres. On each residential lot a percentage of the acreage remaining outside the building envelope will consist of agricultural easements planted in vineyards. There will be 4 agricultural easements over the production and residential lots.

Unless specifically requested by the applicant, this request will not be discussed at the time it is presented to the Planning Commission as a consent calendar item.

CEQA: The subject case has conformed to the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act, and all impacts have been analyzed in order to protect the public health, safety and welfare. No new environmental documentation is required prior to the extension of time.

GENERAL PLAN: Unless otherwise noted, the subject case had been determined to be consistent with the General Plan and all of its elements.

REQUEST:

FIRST EXTENSION OF TIME REQUEST for TENTATIVE TRACT MAP NO. 31444M2

BACKGROUND:

The Tentative Tract Map No 31444 was originally approved by the Planning Commission on January 25, 2006. It proceeded to the Board of Supervisors along with Change of Zone 6934 and both were approved on January 31, 2006.



The first minor change for Tentative Tract Map No. 31444M1 was approved at Planning Commission on February 20, 2008 and also proceeded to the Board of Supervisors along with Change of Zone 7624 and both were approved on September 2, 2008.

The second minor change for Tentative Tract Map No. 31444M2 was approved by the Planning Commission on July 16, 2014.

The County Planning Department, as part of the review of this Extension of Time request has determined it necessary to recommend the addition of three (3) new conditions of approval in order to be able to make a determination that the project does not adversely affect the general health, safety and welfare of the public.

The applicant was informed of these recommended conditions and has agreed to accept them. Included in this staff report package are the recommended conditions of approval, and the correspondence from the Extension of Time applicant (dated July 8, 2016) indicating the acceptance of the three (3) recommended conditions.

FURTHER PLANNING CONSIDERATIONS:

EFFECT OF Senate Bill No. 1185 (SB1185): On July 15, 2008, AB208 was signed into law, which grants a one-time extension of existing subdivision maps so developers can build immediately when the demand for housing goes up. It gives developers an automatic 12 month extension on previously approved subdivision maps set to expire between July 15, 2008 and January 1, 2011.

EFFECT OF Assembly Bill No. 333 (AB333): On July 15, 2009, AB333 was signed into law, which grants a one-time extension of existing subdivision maps so developers can build immediately when the demand for housing goes up. It gives developers an automatic 24 month extension on previously approved subdivision maps set to expire between July 15, 2009 and January 1, 2012.

EFFECT OF Assembly Bill No. 208 (AB208): On July 13, 2011, AB208 was signed into law, which grants a one-time extension of existing subdivision maps so developers can build immediately when the demand for housing goes up. It gives developers an automatic 24 month extension on previously approved subdivision maps set to expire between July 13, 2011 and January 1, 2014.

EFFECT OF Assembly Bill No. 116 (AB116): On July 11, 2013, AB116 was signed into law, which grants a one-time extension of existing subdivision maps so developers can build immediately when the demand for housing goes up. It gives developers an automatic 24 month extension on previously approved subdivision maps set to expire between January 1, 2000 and July 11, 2013.

Therefore, upon an approval action by the Planning Commission, subsequent receive and file action by the Board of Supervisors, and the conclusion of the 10-day appeal period, the tentative map's expiration date will become January 31, 2017. If a final map has not been recorded prior this date, a second extension of time request must be filed 180 days prior to map expiration.

RECOMMENDATION:

APPROVAL of the FIRST EXTENSION OF TIME REQUEST for TENTATIVE TRACT MAP NO. 31444M2, extending the expiration date and to reflect SB1185, AB333, AB208, and AB116 benefits to January 31, 2017, subject to all the previously approved and/or amended Conditions of Approval with the applicant's consent.

Date Drawn: 06/04/14 Vicinity BENTON Vail Lake Policy, Area - Residential District Vicinity, Policy Areas and Overlays TR31444M2 CZ07827 LAKE SKINNER POREL RD E SPRINGS RD Zoning Area: Rancho California Township/Range: T7SR2W Section: 24 & 25 WALCOTTLN Supervisor Stone TEMECULA District 3

ANNING DEPARTMENT

RIVERSIDE COUNTY

Thomas Bros. Pg.: 960 C1 Edition: 2009 Assessors Bk. Pg.: 942 21 & 22

6,000 0 1,500 3,000

RIVERSIDE COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT


TR31444M2 CZ07827

Supervisor Stone District 3

LAND USES

Date Drawn: 06/04/14

Exhibit 1



ning Area: Rancho California wnship/Range: T7SR2W

Section: 24 & 25

DISCLAMER: On October 7, 2003, the County of Riverside adopted a new Genesia Plans providing new land use designations for unincorporated Riverside County parasis. The new Connect Plans may contain different types of land use than its provide for under existing proving. For further information, please contact the Riverside County Planning Department offices by Riverside (1979) 965-9200 (Western County), or in Plans Desert at (1909) 965-9200 (Western County) or in Plans Desert at (1909) 965-9200 (Western County) or in Plans Desert at (1909) 965-9200 (Western County) or weither at http://planning.itma.org



Assessors Bk. Pg.: 942 21 & 22 Thomas Bros. Pg.: 960 C1

Edition: 2009




1,000

1,500

RIVERSIDE COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT TR31444M2 CZ07827 Supervisor Stone Date Drawn: 06/04/14 **EXISTING ZONING** District 3 Exhibit 2 CN/ CM MONTE DE OROIRO CW-10 ON GIV-10 THEODIT THE 220-9 AC CN-10 ON-3 GN/3 C/V410 GN-20 GM C/VI-5 C/V-5 CATHODER STICO CN-10 CW R-A-5 R-A-5 Ŕ-A-2 1/2 R-A-5 R=A=2 1/2 ning Area: Rancho California Assessors Bk. Pg.: 942 21 & 22 Jwnship/Range: T7SR2W Thomas Bros. Pg.: 960 C1 Section: 24 & 25 Edition: 2009 DISCLAMER: On October 7, 2003, the County of Riverside seasons a new General Plan-providing new land use designations for unincorporated Riverside County pancies. The ne General Plann may contain different types of land use libral is purified for under existing zo-for further information, pieces contact the Riverside County Planning Department citibus it Riverside at 1054 1065-2010 (Neessen County), or in Plann Desen at (780) 853-8277 (East County) or website at https://planning.tims.org 500 1,000 1,500

RIVERSIDE COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT TR31444M2 CZ07827 Supervisor Stone Date Drawn: 06/04/14 **PROPOSED ZONING** District 3 Exhibit 3 CM CW MONTE DE ORO, RD CN-10 CM G/V-10 WC-W (Civ-10 and Civ-5) G)/10 220 9 AC QNA5 Q/\}5 CIVERO GN1-20 Civ CN43 C/V-5 CARRIED IN STREET R-A-5 R-A-5 R-A-2 1/2 R-A 5 R=A-2 1/2 ning Area: Rancho California Assessors Bk. Pg.: 942 21 & 22 Jwnship/Range: T7SR2W Thomas Bros. Pg.: 960 C1 Section: 24 & 25 Edition: 2009 OISCLAMBER: On Outside 7, 2003, the County of Riverside adopted a new General Plani persisting new land use designations for uninsurpressed Riverside County parcels. The new General Plan ray control different plans of the use that is privated in the designation of the plans of **25**0 500 1,000 1,500

RIVERSIDE COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT TR31444M2 CZ07827 Supervisor Stone Date Drawn: 06/04/14 **EXISTING GENERAL PLAN** District 3 Exhibit 5 MONTE DE ORO.RD PACALIEORNIA.RD 2UEL TUSCANT WAY 220.9 AC CALLE TEQUILA CAMPING DEL SING AG ning Area: Rancho California Assessors Bk. Pg.: 942 21 & 22 .Jwnship/Range: T7SR2W Thomas Bros. Pg.: 960 C1 Section: 24 & 25 Edition: 2009 DISCLAIMER: On October 7, 2003, the County of Biverside adopted a new General Plan-providing new land use designations for unincorporates Biverside County parents. The new General Plan may contain offerent types of shall be than in provided for under shallow For further information, please contact the Rhemide County Planning Department offices in Reversible of COUNTY 1956-2020 (Whitehin County), or in Palm Depart of (750) 963-90277 (Saster County) or web site at: http://planning.tms.org 250 500 1,000 1,500



Extension of Time Environmental Determination

Project	Case Number:	TR31444M2
Original E.A. Number:		EA42684
Extensi	ion of Time No.:	First
Origina	Approval Date:	January 31, 2006
Project Oro.	Location: Southea	st of Rancho California Road, west of Camino Del Vino, and south of Monte de
	Description: Sche	edule D - Subdivide 220.9 acres into 24 residential lots, 4 winery lots and 3
product	tion lots. The winer	y lots vary in size from 10 acres to 25 acres. The production lots also vary in
size iro	m 5 acres to 15.8	acres. The minimum lot size for the residential lots is 5 acres. On each
residen	tial lot a percenta	ge of the acreage remaining outside the building envelope will consist of
agricuiti	urai easements pla	inted in vineyards. There will be 4 agricultural easements over the production
and res	idential lots.	
On Jan	uary 31, 2006, this	s *SELECT* and its original environmental assessment/environmental impact
report v	vas reviewed to de	etermine: 1) whether any significant or potentially significant changes in the
original	proposal nave occ	curred; 2) whether its environmental conditions or circumstances affecting the
propose	a development na	we changed. As a result of this evaluation, the following determination has
been ma	ade:	
_ !	find that although t	the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, NO NEW
	TME, because all no	OCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED PRIOR TO APPROVAL OF THE EXTENSION OF otentially significant effects (a) have been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or
1	Negative Declaration	pursuant to applicable legal standards and (b) have been avoided or mitigated
	dursuant to that earlie	F EIR or Negative Declaration and the project's original conditions of approval
	Ting that although th	e proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, and there are
	which the project is u	ally significant environmental changes or other changes to the circumstances under
Т	O APPROVAL OF	Indertaken, NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED PRIOR THE EXTENSION OF TIME, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been
d	idequately analyzed	In an earlier EIR or Negative Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards and
(1	b) nave been avoide	or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or Negative Declaration and revisions to the
	projects original cond	Itions of approval which have been made and agreed to by the project propagant
	ircumstances under	one or more potentially significant environmental changes or other changes to the which the project is undertaken, which the project's original conditions of approval
L n	nay not address, an	d for which additional required mitigation measures and/or conditions of approval
G	annot be determined	at this time. Therefore, AN ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT/INITIAL STUDY IS
I .	KEQUIRED IN Order to	O determine what additional mitigation measures and/or conditions of approval if any
	lay be needed, and	whether or not at least one of the conditions described in California Code of
е	nvironmental assess	15162 (necessitating a Supplemental or Subsequent E.I.R.) exist. Additionally, the ment/initial study shall be used to determine WHETHER OR NOT THE EXTENSION
	IL LIME SHOOFD BE	E RECOMMENDED FOR APPROVAL.
1	find that the original	project was determined to be exempt from CEOA and the proposed project will not
1 10	ave a significant ene	ct on the environment, therefore NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS O ARPROVAL OF THE EXTENSION OF TIME.
	/ 1	THE EXTENSION OF THE
	/	The second second
	1 ~	of h
Signatur		Date: <u>July 8, 2016</u>
	Tim Wheeler, Ur	ban Regional Planner III For Steve Weiss, Planning Director

From: steve converse [mailto:steveconverse@hotmail.com]

Sent: Friday, July 08, 2016 10:13 AM

To: Harris, Dionne

Cc: Miguel Villasenor; Lantis, Richard; Nanthavongdouangsy, Phayvanh; Darla Kravitz

Subject: RE: 2ND EOT TR31444M2 Recommended Conditions For Acceptance

Dionne,

The new conditions are acceptable. Can you please complete the EOT?

Sincerely,

Steve Converse, AIA

Graperoad, LLC

From: DHarris@rctlma.org

To: steveconverse@hotmail.com

CC: TWHEELER@rctlma.org

Subject: 2ND EOT TR31444M2 Recommended Conditions For Acceptance

Date: Fri, 10 Jun 2016 19:25:14 +0000

Attn: Steve Converse

Graperoad, LLC

30343 Canwood St. STE 206

Agoura, CA 91301

RE: SECOND EXTENSION OF TIME REQUEST for TENTATIVE TRACT MAP No. 31444M2.

The County Planning Department has transmitted this extension of time request to the Land Development Committee (LDC) for comments on June 2, 2016. The LDC has determined it necessary to recommend the addition of six (6) new conditions of approval in order to be able to make a determination that the project does not adversely affect the general health, safety and welfare of the public

Please review the proposed conditions of approval attached in this correspondence. If these conditions are acceptable, then submit a short written letter/memo/email that clearly references this case, the acceptance of each condition by name and number, and clearly state that you, the Extension of Time Applicant, accept these conditions. This documentation will then be included in the staff report package. The attached document is a copy of the recommended conditions which are identified as follows:

/50. Flood #10/ /80. E Health #4/90. E Health #3/

If the addition of the conditions is not acceptable, please notify me so we can discuss your concerns. If the issue cannot be resolved, then I will direct you to contact the individual Department representative to discuss this matter further.

Once the conditions have been accepted, I will begin preparing the staff report package for a Planning Commission hearing as a consent item. County Ordinance requires that conditions added thru the extension of time process are presented to and accepted by the applicant. If you, the EOT applicant, is unable to accept these conditions, the Planning Department will recommend denial of this extension of time request. An opportunity will, if requested, be provided for arguments to be made to the hearing body justifying why this request should be approved without the recommended conditions of approval.

I am eager to move this case forward and continue the extension of time process. If you have not contacted me within thirty (30) days, I will begin preparing this case with a recommendation of denial. I need one of two items to proceed:

- Correspondence from you, the EOT applicant, accepting the recommended conditions per the directions provided above; or,
- 2) Correspondence from you, the EOT applicant, advising me of the concerns with the recommended conditions. If the concern still exists after our discussion, then direction on how to approach the issue will be given and additional time will be provided until the issue is resolved.

If you have any questions, comments, or concerns regarding this email, please feel free to contact me as indicated below.

Thank you so much!

Dionne Harris

Urban Regional Planner I

Riverside County Planning Department

Page: 1

.CT MAP Tract #: TR31444M2

Parcel: 942-210-004

50. PRIOR TO MAP RECORDATION

FLOOD RI DEPARTMENT

50.FLOOD RI. 10 MAP WQMP IS REQUIRED FOR EOT2

RECOMMND

In order to comply with the County's Municipal Storm Sewer System (MS4) Permit, this development is required to mitigate its water quality impacts. A project specific preliminary Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) shall be submitted to the District for review and approval. This may require reconfiguration of the tract layout.

80. PRIOR TO BLDG PRMT ISSUANCE

E HEALTH DEPARTMENT

80.E HEALTH. 4

EOT2 - E. HEALTH CLEARANCE REO.

RECOMMND

ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH CLEARANCE IS REQUIRED PRIOR TO THE ISSUANCE OF THIS BUILDING PERMIT.

PRIOR TO BLDG FINAL INSPECTION

E HEALTH DEPARTMENT

90.E HEALTH. 3 EOT2-E.HEALTH CLEARANCE REQ

RECOMMND

Environmental Health Clearance prior to final inspection.



RIVERSIDE COUNTY

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Carolyn Syms Luna Director

APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

THIS APPLICATION MUST	BE ACCOMPANIE	D BY APPROPE	HATE FILING FE	ES
INCOMPLETE APPLICATIONS WILL NOT BE ACC	CEPTED.			1
APPLICATION INFORMATION			/	
CASE NUMBER: TR 31444-1	12	DATE SUBMIT	TED:	3/16
Assessor's Parcel Number(s): 942				
EXTENSION REQUEST First			Fourth	Fifth
Phased Final Map	Attach evidence o	of public improved	ment or financing	expenditures.
NOTE: Land divisions may obtain a mand Public Use Permits may obtain exsubstantial construction does not exceed Plans may obtain extensions of time construction does not exceed a maximobtain extensions of time only to the exceed a maximum of three years frowith a land division may be used during Date of Original Approval: Date of Original Approval: Date of Original Approval: Applicant's Name: Description	tensions of time or sed a maximum of the control of the extended from the original decipation the same period of the same perio	ily to the extent three years from the period from the original od in which the vision date, except of time that the late.	hat the period in the original decis in which to be decision date. Variance is to be at that a variance and division may	which to begin sion date. Plot gin substantial Variances may used does not in connection be used.
Daytime Phone No: ((6)		x No: (818) 7	106-8340	2
Property Owner's Name: Same		E-Mail:		
Mailing Address:				
	Street	586		
City	State	2	TP .	
Daytime Phone No: ()	Fa	ex No: ()		
P.O. Box 1409, Riverside, California 92	2th Floor 502-1409		38696 El Cerrito Ros t, California 92211	ad .

(951) 955-3200 · Fax (951) 955-1811

(760) 863-8277 · Fax (760) 863-7555

If the property is owned by more than one person, attach a separate page that reference the application case number and lists the names, mailing addresses, and phone numbers of all persons having an interest in the real property or properties involved in this application.

The Planning Department will primarily direct communications regarding this application to the person identified above as the Applicant. The Applicant may be the property owner, representative, or other assigned agent.

All approvals of extension of time must be consistent with the pertinent elements of the Riverside County General Plan, the Riverside County Land Use Ordinance (Ordinance No. 348), and the Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan (MSHCP).

An extension of time for a land division based on the filing of a phased final map shall not be granted unless the Planning Department determines that the requisite funds have been expanded to construct, improve, or finance the construction of public improvements outside the boundaries of the land division. Any other extension of time for a land division shall not be granted unless the land division conforms to the Comprehensive General Plan, is consistent with existing zoning, conforms to the currently applicable schedule of improvements specified by the Riverside County Land Division Ordinance (Ordinance No. 348) and does not affect the general health, safety, and welfare of the public. If required to bring the subject land division into conformance with current general plan, Ordinance No. 460 and public health, safety, and welfare requirements, additional conditions of approval may be imposed upon approval of an extension of time request.

I hereby request an extension of time for the above referenced project, and I acknowledge that if the basis for extension is something other than the filing of a phased final map, additional conditions of approval may be imposed upon approval of the extension of time and that I may refuse to accept additional conditions of approval only in writing prior to action by the Planning Director, or in writing or in person prior to action by the Planning Commission.

Steve Convence

PHINTED NAME OF APPLICANT

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT

AUTHORITY FOR THIS APPLICATION IS HEREBY GIVEN:

I certify that I am/we are the record owner(s) or authorized agent and that the information filed is true and correct to the best of my knowledge. An authorized agent must submit a letter from the owner(s) indicating authority to sign the application on the owner's behalf.

All signatures must be originals ("wet-signed"). Photocopies of signatures are not acceptable.

PRINTED NAME OF PROPERTY OWNER(S)

SIGNATURE OF PROPERTY SWINER(S)

PHINTED NAME OF PROPERTY OWNER(S)

SIGNATURE OF PROPERTY OWNER(S)

If the subject property is owned by persons who have not signed as owners above, attach a separate sheet that references the application case number and lists the printed names and signatures of all persons having an interest in the property.