## SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

**MEETING DATE:** 

Tuesday, November 8, 2016

FROM: EXECUTIVE OFFICE:

SUBJECT:

EXECUTIVE OFFICE: Annual Report on Investment Portfolio for the Riverside

District Court Financing Corporation. [\$0]

**RECOMMENDED MOTION:** That the Board of Supervisors:

1. Receive and file the Annual Investment Report for the Riverside District Court Financing

Corporation.

Consent

Imelda Delos Santos 10/31/20

### **BACKGROUND:**

### **Summary**

Board Policy B-21 requires that all funds held in the County Treasury and those held outside the County Treasury prepare an annual report on its investments prepared for review by the Investment Oversight Committee and the funds' governing board. In compliance with this policy, the attached annual investment is prepared and presented for review by the Investment Oversight Committee on July 28, 2016, and now by the Board of Supervisors as the funds' governing board.

### Impact on Residents and Businesses

No impact on residents and businesses.

FINANCIAL DATA	Current Fi	scal Year:	Next Fis	cal Year:	Total Cost:	Ongoing Cost
COST	\$	0	\$	0	<b>\$</b> o	\$ 0
NET COUNTY COST	\$	0	\$	0	\$0	\$ 0
SOURCE OF FUNDS			1		Budget Adjust	tment: No
SOURCE OF FUNDS	•				For Fiscal Yea	r: 15-16

C.E.O. RECOMMENDATION: [CEO use]

### MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE PUBLIC FINANCING AUTHORITY

On motion of Director Tavaglione, seconded by Director Benoit and duly carried by unanimous vote, IT WAS ORDERED that the above matter is received and filed as recommended.

Ayes:

Jeffries, Tavaglione, Washington, Benoit and Ashley

Nays:

None

Absent:

None

Date:

November 8, 2016

XC:

E.O.

Kecia Harper-Ihem Clerk of the Board By Deputy

# COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE DISTRICT COURT FINANCING CORPORATION REPORT ON INVESTMENT PORTFOLIO June 30, 2016

### PURPOSE:

The following report will be provided annually by the Riverside County Executive Office to the members of the Riverside District Court Financing Corporation, Board of Supervisors, County Executive Officer, County Auditor-Controller, and quarterly to the County Investment Oversight Committee; and, as requested, to any member of the public interested in the information.

The report will consider two essential areas involving Riverside District Court Financing Corporation's management of the portfolio; namely (1) the preservation of principal in the funds invested, the cost (i.e. book value) vs. the current market value of the securities in the portfolio, and (2) the liquidity position of the portfolio as of the report date.

#### **PORTFOLIO:**

The following is the composition of the portfolio ranked in accordance with the perceived market risk of the securities within the portfolio. Also displayed is the book and current market value of the securities in the portfolio reported by Riverside District Court Financing Corporation's fiscal agent (i.e., BNY) as of June 30, 2016.

Risk	Type	Cost	Market	%.
1.0	Cash	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%
1.0	U.S. Treasury Bills	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%
1.0	U.S. Treasury Notes	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%
1.1	Federal Agency Securities (FNMA & FHLB)	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%
1.2	Investment Agreements (Gov't Coll)	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%
1.2	Repurchase Agreements (Gov't Coll)	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%
1.3	Money Market Fund	\$1,397,740.91	\$1,397,740.91	100.00 %
1.3	Tax-Exempt Money Market Fund	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%
1.3	Commercial Paper (A-1/P-1)	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%
1.3	Bankers Acceptances	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%
1.4	State, local govt. bonds, notes, etc.	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%
2.0	Reverse Repurchase Agreements	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.00%
	Total	\$1,397,740.91	\$1,397,740.91	100.00%
	Paper (Loss)/Gain		\$0.00	0.00%

# COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE DISTRICT COURT FINANCING CORPORATION REPORT ON INVESTMENT PORTFOLIO June 30, 2016

Generally, the level of risk takes into account two major components; the default or credit risk and the market risk associates with the probability that the security will be affected by market changes in short-term interest rates. Risk ranking have been assigned with 1.0 being the lowest level of risk and 2.0 being the highest.

- 1.0 U.S. and Federal Agency Securities directly backed and fully guaranteed by the United States Treasury are considered the safest.
- 1.1 Next in order of safety are those Federal Agency securities that have the ability to borrow from the United States Treasury.
- 1.2 The third categories are those securities that have some form of government collateral backing (i.e. Investment Agreements, Repurchase Agreements and federally chartered agencies (i.e. Federal Farm Credit).
- 1.3 The fourth category evaluates the credit worthiness, capitalization and the time duration of the investment. Money markets, both taxable and tax-exempt, fall under this category.
- 1.4 The fifth category constitutes those that have state and local government collateral backing.
- 2.0 The sixth category reflects the risks associates with the Executive Office having loaned securities to a brokerage firm in meeting temporary cash flow needs by way of Reverse-Repurchase Agreements and the fact that the County could be subject to margin calls should the collateral have a reduced market value. Margin calls can affect the liquidity position of the portfolio in meeting current expenditure requirements.

### LIQUIDITY:

As of June 30, 2016 the liquidity position of the portfolio based on the book value was:

Maturities less than 1 Year	\$1,397,740.91	100.00%
Maturities 1 to 2 Years	\$0.00	0.00%
Maturities 2 to 3 Years	\$0.00	0.00%
Maturities 3 to 4 Years	\$0.00	0.00%
Maturities 4 to 5 Years	\$0.00	0.00%
Maturities Greater than 5 Years	\$0.00	0.00%
Weighted Average Maturity (1 Day) Total:	\$1,397,740.91	100.00%

I certify that the above information is true and correct as of the date of this report.

Imelda Delos Santos Management Analyst

The rees

County of Riverside Executive Office
Statement of Investments as of: 6/30/16

June 30, 2016

Issuing Authority: District Court Financing Corporation

Investment Portfolio: U.S. District Court Project

		\$4.3 <b>9</b> 7.7748.93	\$1,397,740.91			1 8 1 8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		80 397 740 90	() 中国 () () () () () () () () () () () () ()
		87724,45 <b>6</b> ,743	977 <u>24-4</u> 95 (4					37241.436 14	
AAA/Aaa	1.3	\$2,196.28	\$2,196.28	0.37%	variable	7/1/16	Federated Prime Oblig Fund	\$2,196.28	
AAA/Aaa	1.3	\$722,259.86	\$722,259.86	0.37%	variable	7/1/16	Federated Prime Oblig Fund	\$722,259.86	<i>Acct</i> # 804162 Reserve Fund
		12280 305 <b>39</b>	\$250.306 RS					3280 905.89	The second secon
AAAVAaa	1.3	\$470.63	\$470.63	0.37%	variable	7/1/16	Federated Prime Oblig Fund	\$470.63	
AAA/Aaa	1.3	\$280,436.26	\$280,436.26	0.37%	variable	7/1/16	Federated Prime Oblig Fund	\$280,436.26	Acct # 804161 GSA Certificate Excess Pm
	4	19202. 326.88	3202,32636					3202.326.38	CONTROL OF THE STATE OF
Aaa	1.3	\$238.97	\$238.97	0.37%	variable	7/1/16	Federated Prime Oblig Fund	\$238.97	
AAA/Aaa	1.3	\$202,287.69	\$202,287.69	0.37%	variable	7/1/16	Federated Prime Oblig Fund	\$202,287.69	Acat # 804156 GSA Certificate Pmt
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		\$189351 <u>722</u>	. 3680.361.222			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<u>: 35</u> 11-361-331-3	でいていませんというというという
AAA/Aaa	1.3	\$176,818.24	\$176,818.24	0.37%	variable	7/1/16	\$176,818.24 Federated Prime Oblig Fund	\$176,818.24	
AAA/Aaa	1.3	\$13,032.98	\$13,032.98	0.37%	variable	7/1/16	Federated Prime Oblig Fund	\$13,032.98	Accr# 804154 GSA Cert D/S
RATING	RISK RATING	BOOK VALUE MARKET VALUE	BOOK VALUE	YIELD TO MATURITY	COUPON RATE	MATURITY DATE	SECURITY PURCHASED	PAR VALUE # of SHARES	ACCOUNT NAME