SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA



16.3 (ID # 3712)

MEETING DATE:

Tuesday, March 14, 2017

FROM: TLMA-PLANNING:

SUBJECT: TRANSPORTATION & LAND MANAGEMENT AGENCY/PLANNING: GENERAL PLAN INITIATION PROCEEDINGS FOR GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT NO. 1193 (Foundation and Entitlement/Policy) – APPLICANT: Edward L. Wright – ENGINEER/REPRESENTATIVE: Bratene Construction & Engineering – First Supervisorial District – Elsinore Area Plan – Cleveland Zoning Area – ZONE: Rural Residential (R-R) – LOCATION: Generally located north of Saint Gallen Way, west of Calle De Lobo, south of the Cleveland National Forest, and east of Calle De Companero – PROJECT SIZE: 57.12 gross acres – REQUEST: Adopt an order initiating the proceedings for General Plan Amendment No. 1193, that proposes to amend the project site's General Plan Foundation Component from Open Space (OS) to Rural (R) and amend its Land Use Designation from Rural (RUR) (20 acre minimum) to Rural Residential (RR) (5 acre minimum), on one parcel, totaling 57.12 gross acres. Applicant Fees 100%.

RECOMMENDED MOTION: Staff recommends that the Board of Supervisors:

1. <u>Adopt</u> an order initiating proceedings for General Plan Amendment No. 1193, based on information provided by the applicant and comments received from the Planning Commission and General Plan Advisory Committee.

ACTION: Policy

nt 3/2/201

MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

On motion of Supervisor Jeffries, seconded by Supervisor Tavaglione and duly carried by unanimous vote, IT WAS ORDERED that the above matter is approved as recommended to adopt an order initiating the proceedings to allow further review.

Ayes:

Jeffries, Tavaglione, Washington and Ashley

Nays:

None

Absent: Date:

None

XC:

March 14, 2017 Planning, Applicant

Page 1 of 4

ID# 3712

Kecia Harper-Ihem

Deputy

SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

| | . фриза | | .0070 | | For Fiscal Ye | ar: N/A |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|----------|-----------|--------------|---------------|--------------|
| SOURCE OF FUNDS: Applicant Fees 100% | | | | Budget Adjus | stment: No | |
| NET COUNTY COST | \$ | N/A | \$ | N/A | \$ N/A | \$ N/A |
| COST | \$ | N/A | \$ | N/A | \$ N/A | \$ N/A |
| FINANCIAL DATA | Current Fisca | il Year: | Next Fisc | al Year: | Total Cost: | Ongoing Cost |

C.E.O. RECOMMENDATION: Approve

BACKGROUND:

Project Scope

General Plan Amendment No. 1193 is a General Plan Regular Foundation Component Amendment to change the project site's General Plan Foundation Component from Open Space (OS) to Rural (R) and to amend its Land Use Designation from Rural (RUR) (20 acre minimum) to Rural Residential (RR) (5 acre minimum), on one parcel, totaling 57.12 gross acres. The project site is generally located north of Saint Gallen Way, west of Calle De Lobo, south of the Cleveland National Forest, and is within the Elsinore Area Plan. The application for this Foundation Component General Plan Amendment was submitted during the application window for the 2016 General Plan Review Cycle.

General Plan Initiation Process

Prior to a private application for a General Plan Regular Foundation Component Amendment being processed by the Planning Department, the application is required to go through the General Plan Initiation Process (GPIP) process. The GPIP process includes receiving comments on the proposed amendment from the General Plan Advisory Committee (GPAC) and the Planning Commission. These comments are then provided to the Board of Supervisors. At this initial stage of the process, specific details of the Foundation General Plan Amendment and any accompanying implementing project are not considered, and public hearings are not required before the Planning Commission or the Board of Supervisors. The GPIP process provides an opportunity for the applicant to hear comments related to his or her proposed project before embarking on the land use and environmental review process. At this time, the Board of Supervisors will only be considering whether to initiate proceedings for the proposed Foundation Component General Plan Amendment. If the Board of Supervisors adopts an order initiating proceedings for the proposed Amendment, the proposed Amendment will then go through the land use review process including applicable environmental review, Tribal consultation, and public hearings. The initiation of proceedings by the Board of Supervisors does not commit the County to a certain course of action and shall not imply any such amendment will be approved. The Board retains full discretion under the California Environmental Quality Act when reviewing the proposed Amendment during the land use review process.

Justification for Foundation Component Amendment

SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Pursuant to the Riverside County General Plan, Chapter 11: Administration Element and Article II, Section 2.5 of Ordinance No. 348, related to General Plan Regular Foundation Component Amendments, specific findings are required to approve a Foundation Component Amendment. These include findings that new conditions or circumstances exist that justify modifying the General Plan, that the modification does not conflict with the overall County Vision and that the modification would not create an internal inconsistency among the other General Plan Elements. The application for Foundation Component Amendments requires the applicant to provide information describing a new condition or circumstance that justifies modifying the General Plan. Such information has been provided by the applicant and is included with this report package.

General Plan Advisory Committee

This application was considered by the General Plan Advisory Committee (GPAC) during a public meeting on August 18, 2016, Agenda Item 3.9, and was recommended for initiation to the Planning Commission by a majority, with one abstention.

During the GPAC meeting, staff explained that this property and the adjacent property to the west, were both previously submitted for a potential Foundation Component Amendment during the 2008 cycle (GPA00988). Both properties were initiated by the Board of Supervisors for a Foundation Component amendment from Open Space (OS) to Rural (R) and a Land Use Designation change from Rural (RUR) to Rural Residential (RR), on June 29, 2010. After initiation and prior to final adoption, this property was removed from the process and only the adjacent property to the west was adopted for the change. This Foundation Component Amendment application is the same request as the previous amendment request during the 2008 cycle.

Planning Commission

This application was considered by the Planning Commission during a public meeting on October 19, 2016, Agenda Item 2.9, and the following comments were provided by the Planning Commissioners:

During the Planning Commission meeting, staff again explained the relationship between this project site and the adjacent project site to the west, which were both initiated for a Foundation Component change in 2008. The Planning Commission felt the proposed Foundation Component change was appropriate, however, the Commission had several questions regarding access to the site and potential fire issues. Access to the area is available directly from the south through the property to the west. Additional access points are available from the southeast to this project site, whereby the adjoining property on the west could be connected. The applicant is aware that the project site is located within a high

SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

fire area and that he would be closely working with Fire services to ensure adequate fire mitigation is incorporated into the design of the residential tract.

Impact on Citizens and Businesses

None at this time. Should the Board of Supervisors initiate this General Plan Foundation Component Amendment application, an appropriate level of land use review and environmental analysis will be conducted in conjunction with the amendment and with any implementing project.

SUPPLEMENTAL:

Additional Fiscal Information

All fees are paid by the applicant. There is no general fund obligation.

Contract History and Price Reasonableness

N/A

ATTACHMENTS:

Attachment A - Exhibits

Attachment B - BOS Report Package

Attachment C - PC Report Package

Attachment D - GPAC Report Package

Tina Grande, Principal Management Analyst 3/8/2017

Date Drawn: 08/02/2016 Vicinity Map Author: Vinnie Nguyen SANTA ROSA PLATEAUPOLICY AREA RIVERSIDE COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT GPA01193 CORTE JINETE WICHNITY/POLICY AREAS ATINDA BONITA ONEWATED E COMPANIENC Boimo Mam Zoning Area: Cleveland Supervisor: Jeffries District 1




4,000

1,000 2,000


Feet

RIVERSIDE COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT **GPA01193** Supervisor: Jeffries Date Drawn: 08/02/2016 District 1 LAND USE Exhibit 1 WAG **SFIRES SFRES** WAG 57.12 AC WAG SFIRES SFIRES SFRES AVENIDADE! SFIRES SF RES SF RES Zoning Area: Cleveland Author: Vinnie Nguyen DISCLAIMER. On October 7, 2003, the County of Riverside adopted a new General Plan providing new land use designations for unincorporated Riverside County paceds. The new General Plan may contain different type of land use than is provided for under existing sorting. For Harber information, phase contact the Riverside County Planning Department offices in Riverside at (95 1955-220) (Western County) or Plan Desert at (760:863-827? (Eastern County) or Website 1957/Planning County or Plan Desert at (760:863-827? (Eastern County) or Website 1957/Planning County or Plan Desert at (760:863-827? (Eastern County) or Website 1957/Planning County or Plan Desert at (760:863-827) (Eastern County) or Website 1957/Planning County or Plan Desert at (760:863-827) (Eastern County) or Website 1957/Planning County or Plan Desert at (760:863-827) (Eastern County) or Website 1957/Planning County or Planning County 400 800 1,600 Feet



RIVERSIDE COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT GPA01193 PROPOSED GENERAL PLAN Date Drawn: 08/02/2016 Exhibit 6

Supervisor: Jeffries



BOSReport Package

Meeting Date: Tuesday, March 14, 2017



PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTE ORDER OCTOBER 19, 2016

I. AGENDA ITEM 2.9

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT NO. 1193 (FOUNDATION AND ENTITLEMENT/POLICY) – APPLICANT: Edward L. Wright – ENGINEER/REPRESENTATIVE: Bratene Construction & Engineering – First Supervisorial District – Elsinore Area Plan – Cleveland Zoning Area – ZONE: Rural Residential (R-R) – LOCATION: Generally located north of Saint Gallen Way, west of Calle De Lobo, south of Cleveland National Forest, and east of Calle De Companero – PROJECT SIZE: 57.12 gross acres.

II. PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

Proposal to amend the project site's General Plan Foundation Component from Open Space (OS) to Rural (R) and amend its Land Use Designation from Rural (RUR) to Rural Residential (RR), on one parcel, totaling 57.12 gross acres.

III. MEETING SUMMARY:

The following staff presented the subject proposal: Project Planner: John Hildebrand at (951) 955-1888 or email jhildebr@rctlma.org.

- ✓ Oz Bratene, applicant's representative, was in favor of the proposal.
- ✓ No one spoke in a neutral position or in opposition.

IV. CONTROVERSIAL ISSUES:

None

V. PLANNING COMMISSION ACTION:

Public Comments: Closed

A vote of 4.0 (Commissioner Valdivia was absent)

RECOMMENDS THE ITEM MOVE FORWARD TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

The entire discussion of this agenda item can be found on CD. For a copy of the CD, please contact Mary Stark, TLMA Commission Secretary, at (951) 955-7436 or email at mcstark@rctlma.org.

ENDANGERED HABITATS LEAGUE

DEDICATED TO ECOSYSTEM PROTECTION AND SUSTAINABLE LAND USE



October 17, 2016

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

Planning Commission County of Riverside 4080 Lemon St Riverside CA 92501

RE: Items 2.1 - 2.12: General Plan Initiation Proceedings, October 19, 2016

Dear Chair and Members of the Commission

Endangered Habitats League (EHL) appreciates the opportunity to submit written testimony on the General Plan Initiation Proceedings. We served on the General Plan Advisory Committee (GPAC) but, in some cases, EHL positions have been refined since the GPAC votes.

Proposals before you that do not have compelling planning merit and that do not reflect significant changes in circumstances should not move forward to full environmental review. The burden of proof is upon the applicant and/or Planning Department to affirmatively establish such facts.

General comments

EHL is concerned that the Planning Department has not provided 1) the most basic information as to whether more intensive uses are justified or 2) guidelines to determine whether the proposals – individually or collectively – move the County in the right direction.

Basic and necessary information includes the *housing capacity* present but unbuilt in the County and Cities' General Plans. Prior information indicates that there is a huge *overcapacity* of housing that argues against all but the most strategic increases. Other missing information includes the cumulative traffic implications of the proposed General Plan amendments. We urge you to request such information before considering these proposals.

Factors in assessing proposals should include whether the jobs-housing balance is improved or worsened, whether the greater intensity of use will be served by transit now or realistically in the future, whether vehicles miles traveled would be below current averages and put greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions on a lowered trajectory, whether the new development will be subject to high fire hazard, and whether it conflicts with the MSHCP. While we hope that the Planning Department will offer its professional

guidance, if not, the Commission should independently formulate a series of guiding principles for GPA initiation. A piecemeal approach is not adequate.

EHL's recommendations are based upon compelling planning rationale, jobshousing balance, transit availability, vehicle miles traveled and GHG emissions, the folly of putting more and more homes in the path of wildfire, and biological considerations. In some cases, we have identified missing information needed for justification or suggested modifications. We hope that this Commission will take a hard look at the County's future and chart a more sustainable path for the County's present and future citizens than simply perpetuating current trends.

Also, we are disappointed in the staff reports for these items. As best I can tell, there is only a brief staff recommendation and complete deferral to applicants for justification in terms of the requisite General Plan findings. In contrast, during the last GPA cycle, staff provided its own independent and reasoned analyses, and its recommendations were grounded in facts and discussion. This was far more valuable.

Specific comments

2.1 GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT NO. 1167 – Southwest Area Plan – Santa Rosa Plateau Policy Area and Walker Basin Policy Area –573 gross acres – REQUEST: Proposal to amend a portion of the project site's General Plan Foundation Component from Open Space (OS) to Community Development (CD) and to change its Land Use Designation from Recreation (R) to Commercial Retail (CR) for the purpose of establishing a small commercial support area and the creation of a Specific Plan

Support initiation

This proposal is consistent with the General Plan as revised

2.2 GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT NO. 1169 – Elsinore Area Plan – Temescal Zoning District – ZONE: Natural Assets (NA), Watercourse, Watershed, and Conservation Areas (W-1), and Rural Residential (R-R) – LOCATION: Generally located North of I-15, east of Canyon Circle, and surrounding Corona Lake – PROJECT SIZE: 548 gross acres – REQUEST: Proposal to amend and reconfigure portions of the project site's General Plan Foundation Components from Open Space (OS) and Rural (RUR) to Community Development (CD) and amend its Land Use Designations from Rural (RUR) and Rural Residential (RR) to Conservation (C), Medium High Density Residential (MHDR), and High Density Residential (HDR)

More information needed

The project has the positive potential to shift density from natural lands, consolidate development at relatively high densities, and protect significant open space. After obtaining more information from the applicant, we now concur that

MSHCP consistency can be achieved – and even produce net biological benefits with some redesign.

However a case has not been made this is a priority location for additional housing capacity, in terms of ameliorating jobs-housing imbalance, having access to current or future transit, and/or reducing average per capita vehicle miles traveled and GHG emissions. Simply being near a freeway or being adjacent to similar development are insufficient planning rationales.

If a more compelling planning case can be made, we would support initiation under the condition that alternatives considered include a site design that not only achieves MSHCP consistency but enhances biological resources and riparian connectivity around the lake.

2.3 GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT NO. 1172 – Lake Mathews/Woodcrest Area Plan – Woodcrest Zoning District – ZONE: Residential- Agriculture (R-A) – Location: Northerly of Van Buren Boulevard, southerly of Iris Avenue, easterly of Gamble, and westerly of Chicago Avenue – PROJECT SIZE: 1.87 gross acres – REQUEST: Proposal to amend the project site's Foundation Component from Rural Community (RC) to Community Development (CD) and amend its Land Use Designation from Very Low Density Residential (VLDR) to Commercial Retail (CR), on one parcel

More information needed

The Planning Department should provide an objective determination of whether additional commercial retail capacity beyond that already in the General Plan is needed in this location.

2.4 GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT NO. 1173 – Mead Valley Area Plan – Mead Valley Zoning District – ZONE: Light Agriculture (A-1-1) – LOCATION: Generally located east of Day Street, north of Nance Street, west of Decker Road, and south of Oleander Avenue – PROJECT SIZE: 19.16 gross acres – REQUEST: Proposal to amend a 4.2 acre portion of the project site's General Plan Foundation Component from Rural Community (RC) to Community Development (CD) and amend its Land Use Designation from Very Low Density Residential (VLDR) (1 acre minimum) to Light Industrial (LI), on one parcel

Support initiation

The changes proposed reduce conflicts between residential and business park uses.

2.5 GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT NO. 1175—Mead Valley Area Plan—Good Hope Zoning Area—Zoning: R-R (Rural Residential)—Location: North of Highway 74, south of Mountain Avenue, east of Betty Road, and west of Marie Street—6.59 gross acres—REQUEST: Proposal to amend the project site's General Plan Foundation

Component from Rural Community (RC) to Community Development (CD) and amend its Land Use Designation from Very Low Density Residential (VLDR) to Light Industrial (LI), on two parcels

More information needed

The Planning Department should provide an objective determination of whether additional light industrial capacity beyond that already in the General Plan is needed in this location.

2.6 GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT NO. 1185 – Temescal Valley Area Plan – Glen Ivy Zoning Area – ZONE: Controlled Development (W-2) and (W-2-10), One-Family Dwellings (R-1), General Commercial (C-1/C-P), and Mineral Resources & Related Manufacturing (M-R-A) – LOCATION: Generally located southwest of I-15 Freeway, south of Glen Ivy Road, and northeast of the Cleveland National Forest – PROJECT SIZE: 82.5 gross acres – REQUEST: Proposal to amend the project site's General Plan Foundation Component from Rural (R) and Open Space (OS) to Community Development (CD), and amend its Land Use Designations from Rural Mountainous (RM), Mineral Resources (MR), Low Density Residential (LDR), and Commercial Tourist (CT) to Mixed Use Area (MUA) for the purpose of establishing a Specific Plan over the Glen Ivy Hot Springs Resort, on six parcels

Oppose initiation unless modified

We understand that staff has proposed the use of a flexible Mixed Use Area designation for the entire site. In any case, while the eventual substitution of a Specific Plan for the current mix of low-density rural and commercial uses has the potential to consolidate development and create natural open space, the current site design fails. We are concerned that the proposed medium density "resort housing" lacks planning justification. There has been no showing that increased housing capacity in this location advances any of the planning goals outlined above. Instead, the "Resort/Wellness Retreat" should go forward absent the adjacent housing or, at a minimum, consolidate lesser development at higher density on a much smaller footprint immediately adjacent to the resort. This is far more consistent with actual "resort housing" than the suburban tracts proposed. Such a design would also enhance the surrounding Conserved Habitat and increase the amenity value of the resort.

2.7 GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT NO. 1189 – Lake Mathews/Woodcrest Area Plan – Lake Mathews Zoning District – ZONE: Light Agriculture (A-1-5) and Residential Agriculture (R-A-1) – LOCATION: North of Cajalco Road, west of La Sierra, south of Tin Mine Road, and east of Eagle Canyon Road – PROJECT SIZE: 36 gross acres – REQUEST: Proposal to amend the project site's General Plan Foundation Component from Open Space (OS) to Community Development (CD) and amend its Land Use Designation from Conservation Habitat (CH) to Commercial Retail (CR) and Low Density Residential (LDR), on two parcels

Oppose initiation unless modified

According to the applicant, the Open Space Conservation Habitat was applied in error and the property is not part of the MSHCP preserve. If correct, this justifies the initiation of a GPA. However, the proposal for Community Development and a mix of low density residential and commercial retail is excessive and out of character with surrounding Rural. Instead, a Technical Amendment can be processed that simply corrects the current designation.

2.8 GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT NO. 1192 — Lake Mathews/Woodcrest Area Plan – Lake Mathews Zoning District – ZONE: Residential Agricultural (R-A-5) – LOCATION: Generally located northeast of Van Buren Boulevard, east of Firethorn Avenue, and west of Regency Ranch Road – PROJECT SIZE: 10.3 gross acres – REQUEST: Proposal to amend the project site's General Plan Foundation Component from Rural Community (RC) to Community Development (CD) and amend its Land Use Designation from Very Low Density Residential (VLDR) to Commercial Retail (CR), on three parcels

More information needed

The Planning Department should provide an objective determination of whether additional commercial retail capacity beyond that already in the General Plan is needed in this location.

2.9 GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT NO. 1193 – Elsinore Area Plan – Cleveland Zoning Area – ZONE: Rural Residential (R-R) – LOCATION: Generally located north of Saint Gallen Way, west of Calle De Lobo, south of Cleveland National Forest, and east of Calle De Companero – PROJECT SIZE: 57.12 gross acres – REQUEST: Proposal to amend the project site's General Plan Foundation Component from Open Space (OS) to Rural (R) and amend its Land Use Designation from Rural (RUR) to Rural Residential (RR), on one parcel

Oppose initiation

This is a meritless proposal to change properly designated Open Space-Rural to Rural residential, increasing the density by a factor of four. Open Space Rural was correctly applied due to constraints such as severe fire hazard. Please don't put more and more life and property at risk of wildfire.

2.10 GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT NO. 1196 – Lake Mathews/Woodcrest Area Plan – Mead Valley Zoning District – ZONE: Light Agriculture (A-1-1) and Residential Agriculture (R-A-1) – POLICY AREA: Cajalco Wood – LOCATION: South of Markham Street, east of Wood Road, west of Luck Lane, and north of Cajalco Road – PROJECT SIZE: 238.5 gross acres – REQUEST: Proposal to amend the project site's General Plan Foundation Component from Rural Community (RC) to Community

Development (CD) and to amend its Land Use Designation from Very Low Density Residential (VLDR) to Low Density Residential (LDR) and Medium Density Residential (MDR), on 14 parcels

More information needed

This is a proposal to replace a dysfunction Rural Community designation with Community Development within Mead Valley. It could be considered "infill" of sorts that uses urbanized land more efficiently. However, a strong planning rationale has not been made in terms of this being a priority location for additional housing capacity, ameliorating jobs-housing imbalance, having access to current or future transit, and/or reducing average per capita vehicle miles traveled and GHG emissions. If this case can be made, then we would support initiation.

2.11 GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT NO. 1198 – Mead Valley Area Plan – Mead Valley Zoning District – ZONE: Light Agriculture (A-1-1) – LOCATION: North of Oakwood Street, south of Cajalco Expressway, east of Tyler Road, and west of Seaton Avenue – PROJECT SIZE: 23 gross acres – REQUEST: Proposal to amend the project site's General Plan Foundation Component from Rural Community (RC) to Community Development (CD) and to amend its Land Use Designation from Very Low Density Residential (VLDR) to Medium Density Residential (MDR), on one parcel

More information needed

This is a proposal to replace a dysfunction Rural Community designation with Community Development within Mead Valley. It could be considered "infill" of sorts that uses urbanized land more efficiently. However, a strong planning rationale has not been made in terms of this being a priority location for additional housing capacity, ameliorating jobs-housing imbalance, having access to current or future transit, and/or reducing average per capita vehicle miles traveled and GHG emissions. If this case can be made, then we would support initiation.

2.12 GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT NO. 1200 – Lake Mathews/Woodcrest Area Plan – Woodcrest Zoning District – ZONE: Residential Agricultural (R-A) – LOCATION: North of Krameria Avenue, south of Van Buren Boulevard, west of Porter Avenue, and east of Gardner Avenue – PROJECT SIZE: 1.91 gross acres – REQUEST: Proposal to amend the project site's General Plan Foundation Component from Rural Community (RC) to Community Development (CD) and to amend its Land Use Designation from Very Low Density Residential (VLDR) to Light Industrial (LI), on one parcel.

Support initiation

This is a proposal to conform the existing land use, which appears compatible with the surrounding area.

Thank you for considering our views.

Yours truly,

Dan Silver

Executive Director

PC Report Package

Meeting Date: Wednesday, October 19, 2016

Agenda Item No.:

Area Plan: Elsinore

Supervisorial District: First

Project Planner: John Earle Hildebrand III

General Plan Amendment No. 1193 Property Owner: Edward & Nora Wright

Applicant: Edward & Nora Wright

Representative:

Bratene

Construction

&

Engineering

| Steve Ways | |
|--|--|
| Steve Weiss, AICP Planning Director | |

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE PLANNING DEPARTMENT STAFF REPORT

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: General Plan Amendment No. 1193 is a General Plan Regular Foundation Component Amendment to change the project site's General Plan Foundation Component from Open Space (OS) to Rural (R) and to amend its Land Use Designation from Rural (RUR) (20 acre minimum) to Rural Residential (RR) (5 acre minimum), on one parcel, totaling 57.12 gross. The application for this amendment was submitted during the application window for the 2016 General Plan Review Cycle.

LOCATION: Generally located north of Saint Gallen Way, west of Calle De Lobo, and south of the Cleveland National Forest.

PROJECT APNs: 901-180-003

GENERAL PLAN INITIATION PROCESS (GPIP): Prior to a private application for a General Plan Regular Foundation Component Amendment being processed by the Planning Department, the application is required to go through the GPIP process. This process includes receiving comments on the proposed amendment from the General Plan Advisory Committee (GPAC) and the Planning Commission. These comments are then provided to the Board of Supervisors. At this initial stage of the process, specific details of the Foundation General Plan Amendment and any accompanying implementing project are not considered, and public hearings are not required before the Planning Commission or the Board of Supervisors. The Board of Supervisors will only be considering whether to initiate proceedings for the proposed Foundation General Plan Amendment. If the Board of Supervisors adopts an order initiating proceedings for the proposed Amendment, the proposed Amendment will then go through the land use review process including applicable environmental review, Tribal consultation, and public hearings. The initiation of proceedings by the Board of Supervisors does not commit the County to a certain course of action and shall not imply any such amendment will be approved. The Board retains full discretion under the California Environmental Quality Act when reviewing the proposed Amendment during the land use review process.

JUSTIFICATION FOR FOUNDATION COMPONENT AMENDMENT - APPLICANT PROVIDED: Pursuant to the Riverside County General Plan, Chapter 11: Administration Element, "Required and Optional Findings" subsection, evidence demonstrating new conditions or circumstances is required to justify a Foundation Component Amendment. Article II, Section 2.5 of Ordinance No. 348 related to General Plan Foundation Component Amendments - Regular, provides further details regarding the General Plan Initiation ("GPIP") process and restates the requirement to provide new circumstances or conditions as consideration for a Foundation Component General Plan Amendment. Each Foundation Component Amendment application includes information describing a new condition or circumstance, which has been provided by the applicant, and is restated below:

The proposed General Plan Amendment is to restore the opportunity to create 5-acre (min.) homesites on this 57 acre parcel, within the constraints of the development process. The request is to change from Open Space – Rural (OS-RUR) (20 acre min.) to Rural Residential (R-RR) (5 acre min).

This property represents a significant investment by the owner under an understanding that residential development could be pursued with possibly 5-acre minimum home-sites, as we understand was the case prior to the current General Plan Designation.

The following reasons are listed as arguments in favor of amending the general Plan Designation to restore the opportunity to prove that 5-acre minimum lot size development is feasible in a reasonable manner:

- 1. Properties immediately adjacent to the south and west are currently designated as Rural Residential R-R (5 acre minimum), and properties immediately to the east are currently zoned Rural Agricultural R-A-5 (5 acre minimum).
- 2. The 5-acre minimum designation is consistent with the entire La Cresta area, which is the community to which these properties are really connected, even though that area is across the Area Boundary in the Southwest Area Land Use Plan.
- 3. Access is available to the parcel via Saint Gallen Way, and will be available via Calle De Companero, as committed by Mr. Kurt Rietsch, owner of the westerly adjacent property. Both property owners are eager to develop a joint circulating road system to satisfy typical Fire Department concerns. Owner Rietsch also owns the adjacent parcel (APN 901-180-004) which is at the current northern terminus of Calle De Companero, and he will dedicate right-of-way through that property to access the subject parcel.
- 4. The terrain of the subject parcel is essentially the same, or gentler, as the terrain of the adjacent properties, APN 901-180-001 & -004, which are currently designated Rural Residential R-R (5 acre minimum). In addition, this terrain is very similar, arguably gentler, than the terrain of the recently developed La Cresta Highlands, which is allowed to process subdivisions to 5 acre home-sites.
- 5. Electric and phone facilities are currently available in Calle De Companero and Saint Gallen Way, and can be easily extended to the subject parcel.
- 6. Water is only available by means of wells since these parcels are part of an isolated group of properties lying outside the Rancho California Water District, but adequate aquifers have been located, and drilled wells presently service the water needs of several residents on the adjacent parcels to the south. RCWD does have a hydrant at the current northern end of Calle De Companero.
- Additional home-sites will result in additional tax revenues for the County, and will provide additional opportunities for more families to enjoy the enviable lifestyle unique to the La Cresta area.
- 8. The parcel is a natural extension of the 5-acre designations of the adjacent properties and deserves the opportunity to demonstrate that the responsible development can support much more than the current restriction of one (1) home-site per 20 acres. The checks and

balances of the subdivision process can easily prevent irresponsible development and will force any owner to meet the same stringent requirements as enforced in the entire adjacent La Cresta area. No special treatment is requested; just the equal opportunity to show how these properties can be developed in compliance with County regulations and guidelines.

GENERAL PLAN ADVISORY COMMITTEE ACTION: This application was considered by the General Plan Advisory Committee ("GPAC") during a public meeting on August 18, 2016 and was recommended for initiation to the Planning Commission by a majority, and there were two votes of no.

During the meeting, staff explained that this property and the adjacent property to the west, were both previously submitted for a potential Foundation Component Amendment during the 2008 cycle (GPA00988). Both properties were initiated by the Board of Supervisors for a Foundation Component amendment from Open Space (OS) to Rural (R) and a Land Use Designation change from Rural (RUR) to Rural Residential (RR), on June 29, 2010. After initiation and prior to final adoption, this property was removed from the process and only the adjacent property to the west was adopted for the change. This Foundation Component Amendment application is the same request as the previous amendment request.

Also during the meeting, the applicant spoke on behalf of project and further elaborated the desire of the property owner go through the process again, for the purpose of developing both properties with residential. Additional items discussed by the GPAC were related to the site being in a high fire location as well as potential access issues. The applicant stated that any development in the area would require coordination with the fire department. The applicant also showed how the site will be accessed by way of several different locations to the south.

PROJECT SITE INFORMATION:

1. Existing Foundation Component:

Open Space (OS)

2. Proposed Foundation Component:

Rural (R)

3. Existing General Plan Designation:

Rural (RUR)

4. Proposed General Plan Designation:

Rural Residential (RR)

5. Surrounding General Plan Designations:

North - Conservation Habitat (CH) East - Rural Mountainous (RM) South - Rural Residential (RR)

West - Rural (RUR)

6. Existing Zoning Classification:

R-R (Rural Residential)

7. Surrounding Zoning Classifications:

North, south, and west – R-R (Rural Residential), east - R-A-5 (Rural – Agricultural)

8. Existing Land Use:

Vacant Land

9. Surrounding Land Uses:

Single Family Residences, and Vacant Land

10. Project Size (Gross Acres):

57.12

RECOMMENDATION: Based upon the information provided with the initial application package and discussions about the project during the GPAC meeting, the Planning Director recommends the adoption of an order initiating proceedings for General Plan Amendment No. 1193 and seeks comments from the Planning Commission on the amendment which will be provided to the Board of Supervisors.

General Plan Amendment No. 1193 Planning Commission Staff Report Page 4 of 4

The initiation of proceedings by the Board of Supervisors for the amendment of the General Plan, or any element thereof, shall not imply any such amendment will be approved.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS:

- 1. During the time of Planning Commission staff report preparation, no public correspondence in support or opposition had been received.
- 2. The project site is not located within:
 - a. Sphere of Influence; or
 - b. MSHCP criteria cell or conservation boundary; or
 - c. Agricultural preserve; or
 - d. An Airport Influence Area ("AIA"); or
 - e. A potential liquefaction zone; or
 - f. A potential subsidence area.
- 3. The project site is located within:
 - a. A special flood hazard area; and
 - b. A half-mile of a fault line or fault zone; and
 - c. Very high fire hazard area; and
 - d. State Responsibility Area for fire protection service.



GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT COMMITTEE MINUTE ORDER AUGUST 18, 2016

I. AGENDA ITEM 3.9

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT NO. 1193 (Foundation and Entitlement/Policy) — APPLICANT: Edward L. Wright — ENGINEER/REPRESENTATIVE: Bratene Construction & Engineering — First Supervisorial District — Elsinore Area Plan — Cleveland Zoning Area — ZONE: Rural Residential (R-R) — LOCATION: Generally located north of Saint Gallen Way, west of Calle De Lobo, south of Cleveland National Forest, and east of Calle De Companero — PROJECT SIZE: 57.12 gross acres — REQUEST: Proposal to amend the project site's General Plan Foundation Component from Open Space (OS) to Rural (R) and amend its Land Use Designation from Rural (RUR) to Rural Residential (RR), on one parcel, totaling 57.12 gross acres — PROJECT PLANNER: John Hildebrand at (951) 955-1888 or email ihildebr@rctlma.org — APN: 901-180-003.

II. DISCUSSION:

III. GPAC ACTION:

Motion by Mr. Cousins Second by Ms. Martin

Mr. Silver and Mr. Roos voted no. Absent: Mr. Gutierrez, Mr. Rosenthal.

Members voted to move this forward.

The entire discussion of this agenda item can be found on CD. For a copy of the CD, please contact Mary Stark, TLMA Commission Secretary, at (951) 955-7436 or email at mcstark@rctlma.org.

NOTICE OF PUBLIC MEETING

A PUBLIC MEETING has been scheduled, pursuant to Riverside County Land Use Ordinance No. 348, before the RIVERSIDE COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION to consider the project shown below:

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT NO. 1193 (Foundation and Entitlement/Policy) — APPLICANT: Edward L. Wright — ENGINEER/REPRESENTATIVE: Bratene Construction & Engineering — First Supervisorial District — Elsinore Area Plan — Cleveland Zoning Area — ZONE: Rural Residential (R-R) — LOCATION: Generally located north of Saint Gallen Way, west of Calle De Lobo, south of Cleveland National Forest, and east of Calle De Companero — PROJECT SIZE: 57.12 gross acres — REQUEST: Proposal to amend the project site's General Plan Foundation Component from Open Space (OS) to Rural (R) and amend its Land Use Designation from Rural (RUR) to Rural Residential (RR), on one parcel, totaling 57.12 gross acres — PROJECT PLANNER: John Hildebrand at (951) 955-1888 or email ihildebr@rctima.org — APN: 901-180-003.

TIME OF MEETING:

9:00am (or as soon as possible thereafter)

DATE OF MEETING: PLACE OF MEETING:

Wednesday, October 19, 2016

RIVERSIDE COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CENTER

BOARD CHAMBERS, 1ST FLOOR

4080 LEMON STREET RIVERSIDE, CA 92501

For further information regarding this project, please contact Project Planner John Earle Hildebrand III at (951) 955-1888 or e-mail jhildebr@rctlma.org, or go to the County Planning Department's Planning Commission agenda web page at: http://planning.rctlma.org/PublicHearings.aspx


The case file for the proposed project may be viewed Monday through Friday, from 8:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M. at the County of Riverside Planning Department office, located at 4080 Lemon St. 12th Floor, Riverside, CA 92501. For further information or an appointment, contact the project planner.

Any person wishing to comment on the proposed project may do so in writing between the date of this notice and the public meeting; or, may appear and be heard at the time and place noted above. All comments received prior to the public meeting will be submitted to the Planning Commission, who will consider such comments, in addition to any oral testimony, before making a decision on the proposed project.

Be advised that as a result of public meetings and comment, the Planning Commission may amend, in whole or in part, the proposed project. Accordingly, the designations, development standards, design or improvements, or any properties or lands within the boundaries of the proposed project, may be changed in a way other than specifically proposed.

Please send all written correspondence to:

RIVERSIDE COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT Attn: John Earle Hildebrand III P.O. Box 1409, Riverside, CA 92502-1409



GPAC Report Package

Meeting Date: Thursday, August 18, 2016



GENERAL PLAN ADVISORY COMMITTEE GPIP REPORT

August 18, 2016

Foundation GPA No.: 1193

Supervisorial District: First

Area Plan: Elsinore

Zoning Area/District: Cleveland Area

Property Owner(s): Edward and Nora Wright

Project Representative(s): Bratene Construction & Engineering

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Proposal to amend the project site's General Plan Foundation Component from Open Space (OS) to Rural (R) and amend its Land Use Designation from Rural (RUR) to Rural Residential (RR), on one parcel, totaling 57.12 gross.

LOCATION: Generally located north of Saint Gallen Way, approximately 850 west of Calle De Lobo, south of Cleveland National Forest, and east of Calle De Companero

PROJECT APN: 901-180-003




Figure 1: Project Location Map

PROJECT DETAILS: This project is a proposal to amend the project site's General Plan Foundation Component from Open Space (OS) to Rural (R) and amend its Land Use Designation from Rural (RUR) to Rural Residential (RR), on one parcel, totaling 57.12 gross. This General Plan Amendment does not include an accompanying implementing project.

<u>LAND USE CHANGE DISCUSSION – APPLICANT PROVIDED</u>: The proposed General Plan Amendment is to restore the opportunity to create 5-acre (min.) home-sites on this 57 acre parcel, within the constraints of the development process. The request is to change from Open Space – Rural (OS-RUR) (20 acre min.) to Rural Residential (R-RR) (5 acre min).

This property represents a significant investment by the owner under an understanding that residential development could be pursued with possibly 5-acre minimum home-sites, as we understand was the case prior to the current General Plan Designation.

The following reasons are listed as arguments in favor of amending the general Plan Designation to restore the opportunity to prove that 5-acre minimum lot size development is feasible in a reasonable manner:

- Properties immediately adjacent to the south and west are currently designated as Rural Residential R-R (5 acre minimum), and properties immediately to the east are currently zoned Rural Agricultural R-A-5 (5 acre minimum).
- The 5-acre minimum designation is consistent with the entire La Cresta area, which is the community to which these properties are really connected, even though that area is across the Area Boundary in the Southwest Area Land Use Plan.
- 3. Access is available to the parcel via Saint Gallen Way, and will be available via Calle De Companero, as committed by Mr. Kurt Rietsch, owner of the westerly adjacent property. Both property owners are eager to develop a joint circulating road system to satisfy typical Fire Department concerns. Owner Rietsch also owns the adjacent parcel (APN 901-180-004) which is at the current northern terminus of Calle De Companero, and he will dedicate right-of-way through that property to access the subject parcel.
- 4. The terrain of the subject parcel is essentially the same, or gentler, as the terrain of the adjacent properties, APN 901-180-001 & -004, which are currently designated Rural Residential R-R (5 acre minimum). In addition, this terrain is very similar, arguably gentler, than the terrain of the recently developed La Cresta Highlands, which is allowed to process subdivisions to 5 acre home-sites.
- 5. Electric and phone facilities are currently available in Calle De Companero and Saint Gallen Way, and can be easily extended to the subject parcel.
- 6. Water is only available by means of wells since these parcels are part of an isolated group of properties lying outside the Rancho California Water District, but adequate aquifers have been located, and drilled wells presently service the water needs of several residents on the adjacent parcels to the south. RCWD does have a hydrant at the current northern end of Calle De Companero.

- 7. Additional home-sites will result in additional tax revenues for the County, and will provide additional opportunities for more families to enjoy the enviable lifestyle unique to the La Cresta area.
- 8. The parcel is a natural extension of the 5-acre designations of the adjacent properties and deserves the opportunity to demonstrate that the responsible development can support much more than the current restriction of one (1) home-site per 20 acres. The checks and balances of the subdivision process can easily prevent irresponsible development and will force any owner to meet the same stringent requirements as enforced in the entire adjacent La Cresta area. No special treatment is requested; just the equal opportunity to show how these properties can be developed in compliance with County regulations and guidelines.

TECHNICAL APPENDIX:

General Information:

| Project Area (Gross Acres): | 57.12 |
|-----------------------------|---------------|
| Number of Parcels: | 1 |
| Sphere of Influence: | No |
| Policy Area: | No |
| Overlay: | No |
| | 2 (29m) (20%) |

| Land | l Use | e and | l Zoni | ina: |
|------|-------|-------|--------|------|
| | | | | |

| 201 100 M (Sec. 1 of Sec. | Service Control of the Control of th |
|---|--|
| Existing Foundation Component: | Open Space (OS) |
| Proposed Foundation Component: | Rural (R) |
| Existing General Plan Land Use: | Rural (RUR) |
| Proposed General Plan Land Use: | Rural Residential (RR) |
| Surrounding General Plan Land Use | |
| North: | Conservation Habitat (CH) |
| East: | Rural Mountainous (RM) |
| South: | Rural Residential (RR) |
| West; | Rural (RUR) |
| Existing Zoning Classification: | R-R (Rural – Residential) |
| Change of Zone Required: | |
| Surrounding Zoning Classification | |
| North: | R-R (Rural – Residential) |
| East: | R-A-5 (Rural – Agricultural) |
| South: | R-R (Rural – Residential) |
| West: | R-R (Rural – Residential) |
| | |

| Existing Development and Use: | Vacant |
|---------------------------------|--|
| Surrounding Development and Use | |
| North: | Vacant |
| East: | Single Family Residences and Vacant |
| South: | Single Family Residences |
| West: | Vacant |
| | and the second s |

Environmental Information:

| WRCMSHCP Criteria Cell: | The parcel for GPA01193 is not located within a Criteria Cell; therefore, this GPA will not be required to file a HANS application. If/when there is an implementing project, the site(s) will still need to show consistency with the MSHCP, which could potentially result in small portions of conservation based on compliance with Sections 6.1.2, 6.1.3, 6.1.4, and 6.3.2 of the Plan. |
|---------------------------------|--|
| CVMSHCP Conservation Boundary: | No |
| Airport Influence Area ("AIA"): | No |
| Agricultural Preserve: | No |
| Farmland Importance: | Other Land |
| Fire Hazard Area: | Very High |
| Fire Responsibility Area: | State Responsibility |
| Special Flood Hazard Area: | Yes - Northeastern corner of property lies within a RCFC flood plain |
| Liquefaction Area: | No |
| Subsidence Area: | No |
| Fault Line: | No |
| Fault Zone: | Not within a half-mile |
| Paleontological Sensitivity: | Low Potential |

Utility Information:

| | No – (Wells) Rancho California Water District is the area service provider | |
|--|--|--|
| Sewer Service: No - (Septic) area service pr | Rancho California Water District is the ovider | |

Date Drawn: 08/02/2016 Vicinity Map SANTA ROSA PLATEAU POLICY AREA RIVERSIDE COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT GPA01193 VICINITY/POLICY AREAS ATINDA BONTA OXENVAMOO EQUENTO ON EUMONIVA Supervisor: Jeffries District 1


Author: Vinnie Nguyen

0 1,000 2,000 4,000 Feet

Zoning Area: Cleveland

Description: On Creater 7, 2003, the Number of Enemials addepted a new Recognition for the managed for the managed for the control of the managed for the control of the core Agreeable for the control of the control

RIVERSIDE COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT **GPA01193** Supervisor: Jeffries Date Drawn: 08/02/2016 LAND USE District 1 Exhibit 1 WAG SFIRES SFRES WAG 57.12AC WAG SFIRES **AVENIDADE**A SFIRES SF RES SF RES Zoning Area: Cleveland Author: Vinnie Nguyen DISCLAIMER: On October 7, 2003, the County of Riverside adopted a new General Plan providing new land use designations for unincorporated Riverside County purcels. The new General Plan may contain different type of land use than is provided for under existing 2016s. For further information, please contact the Riverside County Planning Department offices in Riverside at (951)985-3200 (Western County) or in Palm Depart at (760)863-8277 (Bastern County) or Website (all Additional Planning County). 800 1,600 Feet





RIVERSIDE COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT GPA01193


Supervisor: Jeffries District 1


PROPOSED GENERAL PLAN


Date Drawn: 08/02/2016 Exhibit 6











Order: 25655 Doc: CA;RV;ABP;901.

Alliance Lenders



PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Steve Weiss, AICP Planning Director

APPLICATION FOR FOUNDATION COMPONENT AMENDMENT TO THE RIVERSIDE COUNTY GENERAL PLAN

INCOMPLETE APPLICATIONS WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED

| I. | GENERAL INFORMATION: | GPA | 0119 | ~ |
|----|----------------------|-----|------|---|
|----|----------------------|-----|------|---|

| APPLICATION IN | FORMATION: | | | | |
|--------------------|--|--|---------------------|----------------|-------------|
| Applicant Name: _ | 上上 | NOIGHT | | | |
| Contact Pers | on: | | E-Mail: | edmoraras. | 60=26621.50 |
| Mailing Addre | ess: <u>307</u> | LEEANN | LANE | | |
| | // CAD/A | Sine | 92024 | <u> </u> | |
| Daytime Phor | ne No: (<u>760</u> | 443-464 | S Fax No: (| ZIF | |
| Engineer/Represen | itative Name: | BRATENE | CONSTRUCTION | j é Engnéei | 425 |
| Contact Perso | on: <u>(72</u> | BRATEN | <u>グを</u> E-Mail: (| proteneconst e | proling. no |
| Mailing Addre | ss: <u>4/625</u> | ENTERPL | SE GRUESO | 114 # B-2 | |
| <u> </u> | CULA Giv | Stre ———————————————————————————————————— | et 92 | 590 | |
| Daytime Phon | ie No: (<u>957</u>) | 241-2542 | Fax No: (| | |
| Property Owner Nai | me: <u>சில</u> ் | IND & NOR | 4 WRIGHT, 7 | | te Wales |
| Contact Perso | n: <u>/ </u> | VICIGHT | E-Mail: <u>ed</u> : | nora (2 strage | vey 70057 |
| Mailing Addres | ss: <u>307</u> | LEEANN | LANG | <i>*</i> | |
| <u> </u> | CAD/A | Stree A | et 9202 ste | 4 | |
| Daytime Phone | e No: (<u>760</u>) | 443-4645 | Fax No: (| <i>ZIF</i> | |
| | | | | | |

Riverside Office · 4080 Lemon Street, 12th Floor P.O. Box 1409, Riverside, California 92502-1409 (951) 955-3200 · Fax (951) 955-1811

Desert Office · 77-588 El Duna Court, Suite H Palm Desert, California 92211 (760) 863-8277 · Fax (760) 863-7555

Check this box if additional persons or entities have an ownership interest in the subject property(ies) in addition to that indicated above; and attach a separate sheet that references the General Plan Amendment type and number and list those names, mailing addresses, phone and fax numbers, and email addresses; and provide signatures of those persons or entities having an interest in the real property(ies) involved in this application.

AUTHORITY FOR THIS APPLICATION IS HEREBY GIVEN:

I certify that I am/we are the record owner(s) or authorized agent, and that the information filed is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, and in accordance with Govt. Code Section 65105, acknowledge that in the performance of their functions, planning agency personnel may enter upon any land and make examinations and surveys, provided that the entries, examinations, and surveys do not interfere with the use of the land by those persons lawfully entitled to the possession thereof.

(If an authorized agent signs, the agent must submit a letter signed by the owner(s) indicating authority to sign on the owner(s)'s behalf, and if this application is submitted electronically, the "wet-signed" signatures must be submitted to the Planning Department after submittal but before the General Plan Amendment is ready for public hearing.)

PRINTED NAME OF PROPERTY OWNER(S)

PRINTED NAME OF PROPERTY OWNER(S)

PRINTED NAME OF PROPERTY OWNER(S)

SIGNATURE OF PROPERTY OWNER(S)

SIGNATURE OF PROPERTY OWNER(S)

The Planning Department will primarily direct communications regarding this application to the person identified above as the Applicant. The Applicant may be the property owner, representative, or other assigned agent.

AUTHORIZATION FOR CONCURRENT FEE TRANSFER

The applicant authorizes the Planning Department and TLMA to expedite the refund and billing process by transferring monies among concurrent applications to cover processing costs as necessary. Fees collected in excess of the actual cost of providing specific services will be refunded. If additional funds are needed to complete the processing of this application, the applicant will be billed, and processing of the application will cease until the outstanding balance is paid and sufficient funds are available to continue the processing of the application. The applicant understands the deposit fee process as described above, and that there will be NO refund of fees which have been expended as part of the application review or other related activities or services, even if the application is withdrawn or the application is ultimately denied.

| PROPERTY INFORMAT | ION: | | |
|--|---|--------------------------------------|--------------|
| Assessor's Parcel Number | er(s): 901-180-003 | | |
| Approximate Gross Acrea | age: 57.12 Ac. | | _ |
| General location (nearby | or cross streets): North of SAINT | GALLEN WAY South of | _ \f |
| CLEVELAND NATI EN | EST East of CAUE DE CAMPANERO | West of CALLE DE LOBO | *× |
| | undation Component(s):OPEN C | | e. |
| Proposed General Plan F | oundation Component(s): RURAL | | - |
| Existing General Plan Lan | nd Use Designation(s):RURAL | (PUR) - 20 M. min | 104- |
| | and Use Designation(s): Rurac To | | |
| General Plan Policy Area(| (s) (if any): ELSINGRE ARE | 4 | _ |
| Existing Zoning Classification | tion(s): R-R | | _ |
| Provide details of the prop | oosed General Plan Amendment (attach s | eparate pages if needed): | - |
| THE TROPOSED C | SEVERAL TLAN AMENDMENT | 11 70 RESTORE THE | |
| OFFORTURITY 7 | " CREATE 5-ACRE (HIS.) | HOMESITES ON THE | • |
| 57 A-CRE PHACE | EL, WITHIN THE GUSTRAIN | TS OF THE DEVELOPMENT | |
| PRACESS. THE | PEQUEST IS TO CHANGE FOR | 100505045 /2000 (20) | |
| TO " BURAL R | BSIDENTIAL (SAC. HIN.) | - OPENSTACE/ PANGE (20) | !: <i>**</i> |
| | pment application(s) filed on the same site | e: Yes 🗆 No 🔀 | |
| If yes, provide Application | | | |
| | (e.g. Tentative Parcel Map, Zone Change, e | (c) | |
| Initial Study (EA) No. (if kn | | o. (if applicable): | |
| Have any special studies o geological or geotechnical | or reports, such as a traffic study, biologic reports, been prepared for the subject pr | | , |
| | report(s) and provide signed copy(ies): _ | | |
| Name of Company or Distric | ct serving the area the project site is located | Are facilities/services available at | |
| (if none, write "none.") Electric Company | | the project site? Yes No | |
| Gas Company | So. CAUF. EDICON | | |
| Telephone Company | NONE | X | |
| Water Company/District | FRONTIER | × | ; |
| | RANCHO WATER | | |

| Name of Company or District serving the area the project site is located (if none, write "none.") | Are facilities/services available at |
|--|---|
| Sewer District NONE | the project site? Yes No |
| If "No," how far away are the nearest facilities/services? (No. of feet/m | niles): |
| | |
| Is the Foundation Component General Plan Amendment located within | n any of the following watersheds? |
| □ Santa Ana River/San Jacinto Valley Ø SAN JUA | V |
| Santa Margarita River | |
| ☐ Whitewater River | |
| Please refer to Riverside County's Map My County website to dete within any of these watersheds (using the Geographic Layer – Watersi (http://webintprod.agency.tlma.co.riverside.ca.us/MMC_Viewer/Custor | (a. a. a.) |
| f any of these watersheds are checked, click on the adjacent hyperling Form. Complete the form and attach a copy as part of this application | |
| HAZARDOUS WASTE SITE DISCLOSURE ST | TATEMENT |
| Sovernment Code Section 65962.5 requires the applicant for an specified state-prepared lists of hazardous waste sites and submit agency indicating whether the project is located on or near an ider application shall be accepted as complete without this signed statemen | a signed statement to the local |
| (we) certify that I (we) have investigated our project with respect to inazardous waste site and that my (our) answers are true and correct My (Our) investigation has shown that: | ts location on or near an identified to the best of my (our) knowledge. |
| The project is not located on or near an identified hazardous waste | e site. |
| The project is located on or near an identified hazardous waste stazardous waste site(s) on an attached sheet. | |
| Owner/Representative (1) | Date 6/01/16 |
| Owner/Representative (2) | Date |

II. GENERAL PLAN FOUNDATION COMPONENT AMENDMENT JUSTIFICATION:

| justify a Founda would satisfy the (Please be spec | Riverside County G gs" subsection, evide tion Component Ame ese required Foundati iffic. Attach separate p | nce demonstr ndment. Provi on Componer pages if needs | rating new con ide details of th at Amendment i | ditions or circu e new conditio | imetancee ie | · ranifemal to |
|--|--|--|---|---|---------------------------------------|--|
| SER_ | MTTHCHED. | Syeet | | _ | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| | | | | ······································ | | <u> </u> |
| | | | | | | <u></u> |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| **** | | | | | | ************************************** |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| | | | - | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

II. JUSTIFICATION FOR AMENDMENT - Parcel: 901-180-003

This property represents a significant investment by the owner under an understanding that residential development could be pursued with possibly 5-acre minimum homesites, as we understand was the case prior to the current General Plan Designation.

The following reasons are listed as arguments in favor of amending the General Plan Designation to restore the opportunity to prove that 5-acre minimum lot size development is feasible in a responsible manner:

- Properties immediately adjacent to the south and west are currently designated as Rural-RR (5-acre minimum), and properties immediately to the east are currently zoned R-A-5 (5-acre minimum).
- 2. The 5-acre minimum designation is consistent with the entire La Cresta area, which is the community to which these properties are really connected, even though that area is across the Area Boundary in the Southwest Area Land Use Plan.
- 3. Access is available to the parcel via Saint Gallen Way, and will be available via Calle De Campanero, as committed by Mr. Kurt Rietsch, owner of the westerly adjacent property. Both property owners are eager to develop a joint circulating road system to satisfy typical Fire Department concerns. Owner Rietsch also owns the adjacent parcel (APN 901-180-004) which is at the current northern terminus of Calle De Companero, and he will dedicate right-of-way through that property to access the subject parcel.
- 4. The terrain of the subject parcel is essentially the same, or gentler, as the terrain of the adjacent parcels, APN 901-180-001 & -004, which are currently designated RUR-RR (5-acre minimum). In addition, this terrain is very similar, arguably gentler, than the terrain of the recently developed La Cresta Highlands, which is allowed to process subdivisions to 5-acre home-sites.
- Electric and phone facilities are currently available in Calle De Companero and Saint Gallen Way, and can easily be extended to the subject parcel.
- 6. Water is only available by means of wells since these parcels are part of an isolated group of properties lying outside the Rancho California Water District, but adequate aquifers have been located, and drilled wells presently service the water needs of several residents on the adjacent parcels to the south. RCWD does have a hydrant at the current northern end of Calle De Companero.
- Additional home-sites will result in additional tax revenues for the County, and will provide additional opportunities for more families to enjoy the enviable lifestyle unique to the La Cresta area.
- 8. This parcel is a natural extension of the 5-acre designations of the adjacent properties and deserve the opportunity to demonstrate that responsible development can support much more that the current restriction of one (1) home-site per 20 acres. The checks and balances of the subdivision process can easily prevent irresponsible development and will force any owner to meet the same stringent requirements as enforced in the entire adjacent La Cresta area. No special treatment is requested, just the equal opportunity to show how these properties can be developed in compliance with County regulations and guidelines.

OTHER TYPES OF GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENTS:

| Would the proposed Foundation Component Amendment result in a conflict with any part of the Riverside County General Plan? If so, describe in detail the conflict. (Attach separate pages in | | | *** ***** * ******** | | 12 . | | |
|--|------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------------------------|
| needed) | Riverside County | ed Foundation General Plan? | Component If so, desc | Amendment cribe in detail | result in a | conflict with | any part of the parate pages if |

| No CONF | Lety. | | | | |
|---------|-------|------|---|---|-------------|
| · | | | | *************************************** | |
| | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| | | | | | j, |
| | | | | | |
| * | | | | | |
| - | | | , | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| | | | | | |
| - | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

NOTES:

Ш.

- Please see the 2016 property owner initiated Regular General Plan Foundation Component Amendment (FGPA) Process approved by the Riverside County Board of Supervisors on March 8, 2016.
- 2. Most Riverside County entitlement application fees are Deposit Based Fees ("DBF"). The FGPA initial application filing fee is \$10,000.00. This application fee includes the review of the FGPA through the GPIP process only. Each case is unique; therefore, additional funds may be requested should unanticipated circumstances arise during the course of the GPIP review process.

Furthermore:

- If an accompanying implementing project application is submitted concurrently, additional fees pursuant to Ordinance No. 348, which are specified on the Planning Department website and based upon the application type, shall be required upon submittal.
- Should the FGPA application be initiated by the Board of Supervisors at the conclusion of the GPIP process, additional General Plan Amendment fees, to complete the adoption process, shall be required.
- 3. Application submittal items a for Foundation General Plan Amendment:
 - This completed application form.
 - Application filing fees,
 - Site map showing the project area and extent.
 - Any additional maps/plans relevant to illustrate the project area location.

NOTICE OF PUBLIC MEETING

A PUBLIC MEETING has been scheduled, pursuant to Riverside County Land Use Ordinance No. 348, before the RIVERSIDE COUNTY GENERAL PLAN ADVISORY COMMITTEE to consider the project shown below:

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT NO. 1193 (Foundation and Entitlement/Policy) – APPLICANT: Edward L. Wright – ENGINEER/REPRESENTATIVE: Bratene Construction & Engineering – First Supervisorial District – Elsinore Area Plan – Cleveland Zoning Area – ZONE: Rural Residential (R-R) – LOCATION: Generally located north of Saint Gallen Way, west of Calle De Lobo, south of Cleveland National Forest, and east of Calle De Companero – PROJECT SIZE: 57.12 gross acres – REQUEST: Proposal to amend the project site's General Plan Foundation Component from Open Space (OS) to Rural (R) and amend its Land Use Designation from Rural (RUR) to Rural Residential (RR), on one parcel, totaling 57.12 gross acres – PROJECT PLANNER: John Hildebrand at (951) 955-1888 or email iniIdebr@rctIma.org – APN: 901-180-003.

TIME OF MEETING:

1:00pm (or as soon as possible thereafter)

DATE OF MEETING: PLACE OF MEETING:

Thursday, August 18, 2016 Riverside County Flood Control

1995 Market Street Riverside, CA 92501

For further information regarding this project, please contact John Hildebrand at (951) 955-1888 or e-mail ihildebr@rctlma.org, or go to the County Planning Department's GENERAL PLAN ADVISORY COMMITTEE agenda web page at:

http://planning.rctlma.org/PublicHearings/GeneralPlanAdvisoryCommittee.aspx

The case file for the proposed project may be viewed Monday through Friday, from 8:00 A.M. to 5:00 P.M. at the Planning Department office, located at 4080 Lemon St. 12th Floor, Riverside, CA 92501.

Any person wishing to comment on the proposed project may do so in writing between the date of this notice and the public meeting; or, may appear and be heard at the time and place noted above. All comments received prior to the public meeting will be submitted to the GENERAL PLAN ADVISORY COMMITTEE, who will consider such comments, in addition to any oral testimony, before making a decision on the proposed project.


Be advised that as a result of public meetings and comment, the GENERAL PLAN ADVISORY COMMITTEE may amend, in whole or in part, the proposed project. Accordingly, the designations, development standards, design or improvements, or any properties or lands within the boundaries of the proposed project, may be changed in a way other than specifically proposed.

Please send all written correspondence to:

RIVERSIDE COUNTY PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Attn: John Hildebrand

P.O. Box 1409, Riverside, CA 92502-1409



GPA01193 - Applicant

Edward Wright 307 Lee Ann Lane Encinitas, CA 92024

GPA01193 - Applicant

Edward Wright 307 Lee Ann Lane Encinitas, CA 92024

GPA01193 - Applicant

Edward Wright 307 Lee Ann Lane Encinitas, CA 92024

GPA01193 - Applicant

Edward Wright 307 Lee Ann Lane Encinitas, CA 92024

GPA01193 - Applicant

Edward Wright 307 Lee Ann Lane Encinitas, CA 92024

GPA01193 - Applicant

Edward Wright 307 Lee Ann Lane Encinitas, CA 92024

GPA01193 - Applicant

Edward Wright 307 Lee Ann Lane Encinitas, CA 92024

GPA01193 - Applicant

Edward Wright 307 Lee Ann Lane Encinitas, CA 92024

GPA01193 - Applicant

Edward Wright 307 Lee Ann Lane Encinitas, CA 92024

GPA01193 - Applicant

Edward Wright 307 Lee Ann Lane Encinitas, CA 92024 GPA01193 - Owner

Edward Wright 307 Lee Ann Lane Encinitas, CA 92024

GPA01193 - Owner

Edward Wright 307 Lee Ann Lane Encinitas, CA 92024

GPA01193 - Owner

Edward Wright 307 Lee Ann Lane Encinitas, CA 92024

GPA01193 - Owner

Edward Wright 307 Lee Ann Lane Encinitas, CA 92024

GPA01193 - Owner

Edward Wright 307 Lee Ann Lane Encinitas, CA 92024

GPA01193 - Owner

Edward Wright 307 Lee Ann Lane Encinitas, CA 92024

GPA01193 - Owner

Edward Wright 307 Lee Ann Lane Encinitas, CA 92024

GPA01193 - Owner

Edward Wright 307 Lee Ann Lane Encinitas, CA 92024

GPA01193 - Owner

Edward Wright 307 Lee Ann Lane Encinitas, CA 92024

GPA01193 - Owner

Edward Wright 307 Lee Ann Lane Encinitas, CA 92024 GPA01193 - Representative

Bratene Construction & Engineering c/o Oz Bratene 41625 Enterprise Circle South, #B-2 Temecula, CA 92590

GPA01193 - Representative

Bratene Construction & Engineering c/o Oz Bratene
41625 Enterprise Circle South, #B-2
Temecula, CA 92590

GPA01193 - Representative

Bratene Construction & Engineering c/o Oz Bratene 41625 Enterprise Circle South, #B-2 Temecula, CA 92590

GPA01193 – Representative

Bratene Construction & Engineering c/o Oz Bratene 41625 Enterprise Circle South, #B-2 Temecula, CA 92590

GPA01193 - Representative

Bratene Construction & Engineering c/o Oz Bratene 41625 Enterprise Circle South, #B-2 Temecula, CA 92590

GPA01193 - Representative

Bratene Construction & Engineering c/o Oz Bratene
41625 Enterprise Circle South, #B-2
Temecula, CA 92590

GPA01193 - Representative

Bratene Construction & Engineering c/o Oz Bratene 41625 Enterprise Circle South, #B-2 Temecula, CA 92590

GPA01193 - Representative

Bratene Construction & Engineering c/o Oz Bratene 41625 Enterprise Circle South, #B-2 Temecula, CA 92590

GPA01193 - Representative

Bratene Construction & Engineering c/o Oz Bratene 41625 Enterprise Circle South, #B-2 Temecula, CA 92590

GPA01193 - Representative

Bratene Construction & Engineering c/o Oz Bratene 41625 Enterprise Circle South, #B-2 Temecula, CA 92590

ENDANGERED HABITATS LEAGUE DEDICATED TO ECOSYSTEM PROTECTION AND SUSTAINABLE LAND USE



March 13, 2017

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

The Hon. John Tavaglione, Chair Riverside County Board of Supervisors 4080 Lemon St. Riverside CA 92501

RE: Items 16.1-16.6, General Plan Initiation Proceedings, March 14, 2017

Dear Chairman Tavaglione and Members of the Board:

Endangered Habitats League (EHL) appreciates the opportunity to submit written testimony on the General Plan Initiation Proceedings. We were honored to serve on the General Plan Advisory Committee (GPAC) which reviewed these proposals. Proposals that do not have compelling planning merit and that do not reflect significant changes in circumstances should not move forward to full environmental review.

General comments

Prior to your consideration of initiating environmental review, EHL urges the Planning Department to provide: 1) the basic information necessary to determine whether the more intensive proposed uses are justified, and 2) guidelines to assess whether the proposals – individually or collectively – move the County in the right planning direction.

Basic and necessary information includes the *housing capacity* present but unbuilt in the County and Cities' General Plans. Prior information indicates that there is a huge *overcapacity* of housing that argues against all but the most strategic increases. Other missing information includes the cumulative traffic implications of the proposed General Plan amendments. We urge you to request such information.

Factors in assessing proposals should include whether the jobs-housing balance is improved or worsened, whether the greater intensity of use will be served by transit now or realistically in the future, whether vehicles miles traveled would be below current averages and put greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions on a lowered trajectory, proximity of infrastructure and services, whether the new development will be subject to high fire hazard, and whether it conflicts with the MSHCP or otherwise impacts intact natural lands. We hope that the Planning Department will offer its professional guidance. If not, your Board should independently formulate *guiding principles* for GPA initiation. A piecemeal approach is not adequate.

EHL's recommendations are based upon presence of a planning rationale, jobshousing balance, transit availability, vehicle miles traveled and GHG emissions, the folly of putting more and more homes in the path of wildfire, and biological considerations. In some cases, we have identified missing information or suggested modifications. We hope that your Board will take a hard look at the County's future and chart a more sustainable path for the County's present and future citizens than simply perpetuating current trends.

Also, the staff reports for these items are brief and inappropriately defer to the applicants for the requisite findings, rather than providing independent staff analysis.

Specific comments

16.1 GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT NO. 1189 – Lake Mathews/Woodcrest Area, 36 gross acres – REQUEST: Proposal to amend the project site's General Plan Foundation Component from Open Space (OS) to Community Development (CD) and amend its Land Use Designation from Conservation Habitat (CH) to Commercial Retail (CR) and Low Density Residential (LDR), on two parcels

Oppose initiation unless modified

According to the applicant, the Open Space Conservation Habitat was applied in error and the property is not part of the MSHCP preserve. If correct, this justifies the initiation of a GPA. However, the proposal for Community Development and a mix of low density residential and commercial retail is excessive and out of character with surrounding Rural. Instead, a Technical Amendment can be processed that simply corrects the current designation.

16.2 GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT NO. 1192 — Lake Mathews/Woodcrest Area, 10.3 gross acres – REQUEST: Proposal to amend the project site's General Plan Foundation Component from Rural Community (RC) to Community Development (CD) and amend its Land Use Designation from Very Low Density Residential (VLDR) to Commercial Retail (CR), on three parcels

More information needed

The Planning Department should provide an objective determination of whether additional commercial retail capacity beyond that already in the General Plan is needed in this location.

16.3 GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT NO. 1193 – Elsinore Area Plan, 7.12 gross acres – REQUEST: Proposal to amend the project site's General Plan Foundation Component from Open Space (OS) to Rural (R) and amend its Land Use Designation from Rural (RUR) to Rural Residential (RR), on one parcel

Oppose initiation

This is a meritless proposal to change properly designated Open Space-Rural to Rural residential, increasing the density by a factor of four. Open Space Rural was correctly applied due to constraints such as severe fire hazard. Please don't put more and more life and property at risk of wildfire.

16.4 GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT NO. 1196 – Lake Mathews/Woodcrest Area, 238.5 gross acres – REQUEST: Proposal to amend the project site's General Plan Foundation Component from Rural Community (RC) to Community Development (CD) and to amend its Land Use Designation from Very Low Density Residential (VLDR) to Low Density Residential (LDR) and Medium Density Residential (MDR), on 14 parcels

More information needed

This is a proposal to replace a dysfunction Rural Community designation with Community Development within Mead Valley. It could be considered "infill" of sorts that uses urbanized land more efficiently. However, a strong planning rationale has not been made in terms of this being a priority location for additional housing capacity, ameliorating jobs-housing imbalance, having access to current or future transit, and/or reducing average per capita vehicle miles traveled and GHG emissions. If this case can be made, then we would support initiation.

16.5 GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT NO. 1198 – Mead Valley Area, 3 gross acres – REQUEST: Proposal to amend the project site's General Plan Foundation Component from Rural Community (RC) to Community Development (CD) and to amend its Land Use Designation from Very Low Density Residential (VLDR) to Medium Density Residential (MDR), on one parcel

More information needed

This is a proposal to replace a dysfunction Rural Community designation with Community Development within Mead Valley. It could be considered "infill" of sorts that uses urbanized land more efficiently. However, a strong planning rationale has not been made in terms of this being a priority location for additional housing capacity, ameliorating jobs-housing imbalance, having access to current or future transit, and/or reducing average per capita vehicle miles traveled and GHG emissions. If this case can be made, then we would support initiation.

16.6 GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT NO. 1200 – Lake Mathews/Woodcrest Area, 1.91 gross acres – REQUEST: Proposal to amend the project site's General Plan Foundation Component from Rural Community (RC) to Community Development (CD) and to amend its Land Use Designation from Very Low Density Residential (VLDR) to Light Industrial (LI), on one parcel.

Support initiation

This is a proposal to conform the existing land use, which appears compatible with the surrounding area.

Thank you for considering our views.

Yours truly,

Dan Silver

Executive Director

Maxwell, Sue

From:

Dan Silver <dsilverla@me.com>

Sent:

Monday, March 13, 2017 10:18 AM

To:

Tavaglione, John; Jeffries, Kevin; Ashley, Marion; district3@rcbos.org; Benoit, John; COB

Cc:

Johnson, George; Perez, Juan; Weiss, Steven; Scott Hildebrandt; Bowie, Desiree; Clack, Shellie;

Balderrama, Olivia; Field, John; Magee, Robert; Pradetto, Joe; Balderrama, Olivia

Subject:

Items 16.1-16.6, General Plan Initiation Proceedings, March 14 2017

Attachments:

EHL-BoS-Items16.1-16.6-GPIPs-3.14.17.pdf

Follow Up Flag:

Follow up Flagged

Flag Status:

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

March 13, 2017

The Hon John Tavaglione, Chair Riverside County Board of Supervisors 4080 Lemon St Riverside CA 92501

RE: Items 16.1-16.6, General Plan Initiation Proceedings, March 14 2017

Dear Chairman Tavaglione and Members of the Board:

Endangered Habitats League appreciates the opportunity to submit the enclosed written testimony.

Thank you for your consideration

Sincerely, Dan Silver

Dan Silver, Executive Director **Endangered Habitats League** 8424 Santa Monica Blvd., Suite A 592 Los Angeles, CA 90069-4267

213-804-2750 dsilverla@me.com www.ehleague.org



OFFICE OF CLERK OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS 1st FLOOR, COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CENTER P.O. BOX 1147, 4080 LEMON STREET RIVERSIDE, CA 92502-1147

PHONE: (951) 955-1060 FAX: (951) 955-1071 KECIA HARPER-IHEM
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

KIMBERLY A. RECTOR Assistant Clerk of the Board

March 7, 2017

THE PRESS ENTERPRISE ATTN: LEGALS P.O. BOX 792 RIVERSIDE, CA 92501

E-MAIL: legals@pe.com FAX: (951) 368-9018

RE: NOTICE OF PUBLIC MEETING: GPA 1193

To Whom It May Concern:

Attached is a copy for publication in your newspaper for One (1) time on Friday, March 10, 2017.

We require your affidavit of publication immediately upon completion of the last publication.

Your invoice must be submitted to this office, WITH TWO CLIPPINGS OF THE PUBLICATION.

NOTE: PLEASE COMPOSE THIS PUBLICATION INTO A SINGLE COLUMN FORMAT.

Thank you in advance for your assistance and expertise.

Sincerely,

Cecilia Gil

Board Assistant to:

KECIA HARPER-IHEM, CLERK OF THE BOARD

Gil, Cecilia

From:

Legals < legals@pe.com>

Sent:

Tuesday, March 7, 2017 8:59 AM

To:

Gil, Cecilia

Subject:

Re: FOR PUBLICATION: GPA 1193

Received for publication on 3/10. Proof with cost to follow.

Nick Eller

Legal Advertising Phone: <u>951-368-9222</u> / Fax: <u>951-368-9018</u> / E-mail: <u>legals@pe.com</u>

Please Note: Deadline is 10:30 AM, three (3) business days prior to the date you would like to publish. **Additional days required for larger ad sizes**

Employees of The Press-Enterprise are not able to give legal advice of any kind

The Press-Enterprise PE.com/La Prensa

On Tue, Mar 7, 2017 at 8:41 AM, Gil, Cecilia < CCGIL@rivco.org > wrote:

Good morning! Attached is a Notice of Public Meeting, for publication on Friday, March 10, 2017. Please confirm. THANK YOU!

Cecilia Gil

Board Assistant

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

4080 Lemon St., 1st Floor, Room 127

Riverside, CA 92501

(951) 955-8464 Fax (951) 955-1071

Mail Stop# 1010

ccgil@rivco.org

http://rivcocob.org/

CERTIFICATE OF POSTING

(Original copy, duly executed, must be attached to the original document at the time of filing)

I, Cecilia Gil, Board Assistant to Kecia Harper-Ihem, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, for the County of Riverside, do hereby certify that I am not a party to the within action or proceeding; that on March 7, 2017, I forwarded to Riverside County Clerk & Recorder's Office a copy of the following document:

NOTICE OF PUBLIC MEETING

GPA 1193

to be posted in the office of the County Clerk at 2724 Gateway Drive, Riverside, California 92507. Upon completion of posting, the County Clerk will provide confirmation of posting.

Board Agenda Date: March 14, 2017 @ 10:30 A.M.

| SIGNATURE: | <u>Cecilia Gil</u> | DATE: | March 7, 2017 | |
|------------|--------------------|-------|---------------|--|
| | Cecilia Gil | | | |

Gil, Cecilia

From:

Kennemer, Bonnie

kenneme@asrclkrec.com>

Sent:

Tuesday, March 7, 2017 8:49 AM

To:

Gil, Cecilia; Buie, Tammie; Garrett, Nancy; Meyer, Mary Ann

Subject:

RE: FOR POSTING: GPA 1193

Good Morning,

The notice has been received and will be posted today.

Thank you, Bonnie

From: Gil, Cecilia [mailto:CCGIL@RIVCO.ORG]

Sent: Tuesday, March 07, 2017 8:42 AM

To: Buie, Tammie <tbuie@asrclkrec.com>; Garrett, Nancy <ngarrett@asrclkrec.com>; Kennemer, Bonnie

<bkenneme@asrclkrec.com>; Meyer, Mary Ann <MaMeyer@asrclkrec.com>

Subject: FOR POSTING: GPA 1193

Good morning! Notice of Public Meeting is attached for POSTING. Please confirm. THANK YOU!

Cecilia Gil

Board Assistant
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
4080 Lemon St., 1st Floor, Room 127
Riverside, CA 92501
(951) 955-8464 Fax (951) 955-1071
Mail Stop# 1010
ccgil@rivco.org
http://rivcocob.org/



NOTICE: This communication is intended for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and may contain **information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure** under applicable law. If the reader of this communication is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this communication to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by reply email or by telephone and immediately delete this communication and all its attachments.

Confidentiality Disclaimer

NOTICE OF PUBLIC MEETING BEFORE THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY ON A GENERAL PLAN INITIATION PROCEEDINGS FOR A GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT IN THE ELSINORE / CLEVELAND AREA PLAN, FIRST SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that a public meeting will be held before the Board of Supervisors of Riverside County, California, on the 1st Floor Board Chambers, County Administrative Center, 4080 Lemon Street, Riverside, on **Tuesday, March 14, 2017 at 10:30 A.M.** or as soon as possible thereafter, to consider initiation proceedings for the application submitted by Edward L. Wright – Bratene Construction & Engineering, on **General Plan Amendment No. 1193**, which proposes to amend the General Plan Foundation Component from Open Space (OS) to Rural (R) and amend the land use from Rural (RUR) (20 acre minimum) to Rural Residential (RR) (5 acre minimum), on one parcel, totaling 57.12 gross acres ("the project"). The project is located north of Saint Gallen Way, west of Calle De Lobo, south of Cleveland National Forest, and east of Calle De Companero in the Elsinore Area Plan – Cleveland Zoning Area, First Supervisorial District.

The Planning Commission recommended that the Board of Supervisors adopt an order initiating proceedings for **General Plan Amendment No. 1193**.

The project case file may be viewed from the date of this notice until the public hearing, Monday through Friday, from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. at the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors at 4080 Lemon Street, 1st Floor, Riverside, California 92501, and at the Riverside County Planning Department at 4080 Lemon Street, 12th Floor, Riverside, California 92501.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION REGARDING THIS PROJECT, PLEASE CONTACT JOHN HILDEBRAND, PROJECT PLANNER, AT (951) 955-1888 OR EMAIL inidebr@rctlma.org.

Any person wishing to testify in support of or in opposition to the project may do so in writing between the date of this notice and the public meeting, or may appear and be heard at the time and place noted above. All written comments received prior to the public meeting will be submitted to the Board of Supervisors and the Board of Supervisors will consider such comments, in addition to any oral testimony, before making a decision on the project.

If you challenge the above item in court, you may be limited to raising only those issues you or someone else raised at the public meeting described in this notice, or in written correspondence to the Planning Commission or Board of Supervisors at, or prior to, the public meeting. Be advised that as a result of the public meeting and the consideration of all public comment, written and oral, the Board of Supervisors may amend, in whole or in part, the project and/or the related environmental document. Accordingly, the designations, development standards, design or improvements, or any properties or lands within the boundaries of the project, may be changed in a way other than specifically proposed.

Please send all written correspondence to: Clerk of the Board, 4080 Lemon Street, 1st Floor, Post Office Box 1147, Riverside, CA 92502-1147

Alternative formats available upon request to individuals with disabilities. If you require reasonable accommodation, please contact Lisa Wagner at (951) 955-1063 or email at LWagner@rivco.org, 72 hours prior to the meeting.

Dated: March 7, 2017 Kecia Harper-Ihem, Clerk of the Board By: Cecilia Gil, Board Assistant

RIVERSIDE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS Request to Speak

Submit request to the Clerk of Board. Speakers are entitled to three (3) minutes, subject to Board Rules listed on the reverse side of this form.

| Date: March 14, 2017 | Agenda #: 16-3 |
|---|---|
| SPEAKER'S NAME: | BRATENE (Print Name) |
| Address:(Only required if follow-up in | nail response is requested) |
| City: | Zip: |
| Phone #: | Email: |
| I AM: ☐ The Applicant | ☐ A Neighbor |
| Applicant's Representative | Other Interested Party |
| PLEASE INDICATE YOUR POSITION | BELOW: U-A- T. ANONED |
| ☐ I wish to speak ☐ I DO NOT wis☐ I wish to speak with a Media Pres | No NEED TO SPEAK. BELOW: HERE TO ANSWER Sentation ANY QUESTIONS |
| I YIELD my 3 minutes to the follow (Maximum 2 Yields per Speaker) | |
| | |
| (Name) | |
| Position on Agenda Item: In Favor | ☐ Opposed |

BOARD RULES

Requests to Address Board on "Agenda" Items:

You may request to be heard on a published agenda item. Requests to be heard must be submitted to the Clerk of the Board before the scheduled meeting time.

Power Point Presentations/Printed Material:

Speakers who intend to conduct a formalized Power Point presentation or provide printed material must notify the Clerk of the Board's Office, 24 hours in advance of the Board meeting, insuring that the Clerk's Office has sufficient copies of all printed materials and at least one (1) copy of the Power Point CD. Copies of printed material given to the Clerk will be provided to each Supervisor. If you have the need to use the overhead "Elmo" projector at the Board meeting, please insure your material is clear and with proper contrast, notifying the Clerk well ahead of the meeting, of your intent to use the Elmo.

Individual Speaker Limits:

Individual speakers are limited to a maximum of three (3) minutes. Please step up to the podium when the Chairman calls your name and begin speaking immediately. The Chairman adheres to a strict three (3) minutes per speaker. Note: If you intend to give your time to a "Group/Organized Presentation", please state so clearly at the very bottom of the reverse side of this form.

Group/Organized Presentations:

Group/organized presentations with more than one (1) speaker will be limited to nine (9) minutes at the Chairman's discretion. The organizer of the presentation will automatically receive the first three (3) minutes, with the remaining six (6) minutes relinquished by other speakers, as requested by them on a completed "Request to Speak" form, and clearly indicated at the front bottom of the form.

Addressing the Board & Acknowledgement by Chairman:

The Chairman will determine what order the speakers will address the Board, and will call on all speakers in pairs. The first speaker should immediately step to the podium and begin addressing the Board. The second speaker should take up a position in the front row to quickly step up to the podium after the preceding speaker. This is to afford an efficient and timely Board meeting, giving all attendees the opportunity to make their case. Speakers are prohibited from making personal attacks, and/or using coarse, crude, profane or vulgar language while speaking to the Board members, staff, the general public and/or meeting participants. Such behavior, at the discretion of the Board Chairman may result in removal from the Board Chambers by Sheriff Deputies.

*PLEASE TURN OFF ALL CELL PHONES AND PAGERS WHILE THE PUBLIC HEARING IS IN SESSION OR SWITCH THEM TO VIBRATE AND ANSWER CALLS AFTER LEAVING THE ROOM.



FOR BILLING INQUIRIES: CALL (951) 368-9710 EMAIL billinginquiry@pe.com

THE PRESS-ENTERPRISE

| DATE | ORDER NIMMER PONumber | PRODUCT | SIZE | Amount |
|---------|-----------------------|--------------|-----------|--------|
| 3/10/17 | 0010913279 | PE Riverside | 3 x 69 Li | 304.20 |

Invoice text: GPA 1193

CLERK / BO ARO OF SUPERVISORS

Planning 16.3 of 03/14/17

Placed by: Cecilia Gil

Legal Advertising Memo Invoice

BALANCE DUE 304.20

| SALESCONTACT INFORMATION | | | ADVERTISER INFORMATION | |
|--------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| Nick Eller | BILLING DATE | BILLED ACCOUNT NUMBER | ADVERTISER/CLIENT NUMBER | ADVERTISER/CLIENT NAME |
| 951-368-9229 | 03/10/2017 | 5209148 | 5209148 | BOARD OF SUPERVISORS |



THE PRESS-ENTERPRISE

Legal Advertising Memo Invoice

PLEASE DETACH AND RETURN THIS PORTION WITH YOUR REMITTANCE

| 그 그래를 즐거지다는 회사를 가는 것 | ADVERTISER/CLIENT NAME | |
|----------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| | BOARD OF SUPERVISORS | |
| BILLING DATE | BILLED ACCOUNT NUMBER | ADVERTISER/CLIENT NUMBER |
| 03/10/2017 | 5209148 | 5209148 |
| BALANCE DUE | ORDER NUMBER | TERMS OF PAYMENT |
| 304,20 | 0010913279 | DUE UPON RECEIPT |

BILLING ACCOUNT NAME AND ADDRESS

REMITTANCE ADDRESS

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE 'PO BOX 1147' RIVERSIDE, CA 92502 CALIFORNIA NEWSPAPERS PARTNERSHIP Riverside Press-Enterprise PO BOX 54880 LOS ANGELES CA 90054-0880

THE PRESS-ENTERPRISE

1825 Chicago Ave, Suite 100 Riverside, CA 92507 951-684-1200 951-368-9018 FAX

PROOF OF PUBLICATION (2010, 2015.5 C.C.P)

Publication(s): The Press-Enterprise

PROOF OF PUBLICATION OF

Ad Desc.: GPA 1193 /

I am a citizen of the United States. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to or interested in the above entitled matter. I am an authorized representative of THE PRESS-ENTERPRISE, a newspaper in general circulation, printed and published daily in the County of Riverside, and which newspaper has been adjudicated a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of the County of Riverside, State of California, under date of April 25, 1952, Case Number 54446, under date of March 29, 1957, Case Number 65673, under date of August 25, 1995, Case Number 267864, and under date of September 16, 2013, Case Number RIC 1309013; that the notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy, has been published in said newspaper in accordance with the instructions of the person(s) requesting publication, and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, to wit:

03/10/2017

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: March 10, 2017 At: Riverside, California

Legal Advertising Representative, The Press-Enterprise

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE PO BOX 1147 RIVERSIDE, CA 92502

Ad Number: 0010913279-01

P.O. Number:

Ad Copy:

NOTICE OF PUBLIC MEETING BEFORE THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY ON A GENERAL PLAN INITIATION PROCEEDINGS FOR A GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT IN THE ELSINORE / CLEVELAND AREA PLAN, FIRST SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that a public meeting will be held before the Board of Supervisors of Riverside County, California, on the 1st Floor Board Chambers, County Administrative Center, 4080 Lemon Street, Riverside, on Tuesday, March 14, 2017 at 10:30 A.M. or as soon as possible thereafter, to consider initiation proceedings for the application submitted by Edward L. Wright – Bratene Construction & Engineering, on General Plan Amendment No. 1193, which proposes to amend the General Plan Foundation Component from Open Space (OS) to Rural (R) and amend the land use from Rural (RUR) (20 acre minimum) to Rural Residential (RR) (5 acre minimum), on one parcel, totaling 57.12 gross acres ("the project"). The project is located north of Saint Gallen Way, west of Calle De Lobo, south of Cleveland National Forest, and east of Calle De Companero in the Elsinore Area Plan – Cleveland Zoning Area, First Supervisorial District.

The Planning Commission recommended that the Board of Supervisors adopt an order initiating proceedings for **General Plan Amendment No. 1193.**

The project case file may be viewed from the date of this notice until the public hearing. Monday through Friday, from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. at the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors at 4080 Lemon Street, 1st Floor, Riverside, California 92501, and at the Riverside County Planning Department at 4080 Lemon Street, 12th Floor, Riverside, California 92501.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION REGARDING THIS PROJECT, PLEASE CONTACT JOHN HILDEBRAND, PROJECT PLANNER, AT (951) 955-1888 OR EMAIL ihildebr@rctlma.org.

Any person wishing to testify in support of or in opposition to the project may do so in writing between the date of this notice and the public meeting, or may appear and be heard at the time and place noted above. All written comments received prior to the public meeting will be submitted to the Board of Supervisors and the Board of Supervisors will consider such comments, in addition to any oral testimony, before making a decision on the project.

If you challenge the above item in court, you may be limited to raising only those issues you or someone else raised at the public meeting described in this notice, or in written correspondence to the Planning Commission or Board of Supervisors at, or prior to, the public meeting. Be advised that as a result of the public meeting and the consideration of all public comment, written and oral, the Board of Supervisors may amend, in whole or in part, the project and/or the related environmental document. Accordingly, the designations, development standards, design or improvements, or any properties or lands within the boundaries of the project, may be changed in a way other than specifically proposed.

Please send all written correspondence to: Clerk of the Board, 4080 Lemon Street, 1st Floor, Post Office Box 1147, Riverside, CA 92502-1147

Alternative formats available upon request to individuals with disabilities. If you require reasonable accommodation, please contact Lisa Wagner at (951) 955-1063 or email at LWagner@rivco.org, 72 hours prior to the meeting.

Dated: March 7, 2017 Kecia Harper-Ihem, Clerk of the Board By: Cecilia Gil, Board Assistant

3/10