


**SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA**



**ITEM
3.29
(ID # 4201)**

MEETING DATE:
Tuesday, May 9, 2017


FROM : SHERIFF-CORONER-PA:

SUBJECT: Sheriff-Coroner-PA Approval of Contract Law Enforcement Rates for FY2016-17.
[Districts All], [\$0 – Contract Law Enforcement 100%]

RECOMMENDED MOTION: That the Board of Supervisors:

1. Approve the rates as listed on Attachments A, B and C for contract law enforcement personnel, facilities and vehicle mileage for FY 2016-17.


ACTION: Policy


Will Taylor 4/27/2017

MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

On motion of Supervisor Ashley, seconded by Supervisor Tavaglione and duly carried by unanimous vote, IT WAS ORDERED that the above matter is approved as recommended.

Ayes: Jeffries, Tavaglione, Washington and Ashley
Nays: None
Absent: None
Date: May 9, 2017
xc: Sheriff

Kecia Harper-Ihem
Clerk of the Board
By: 
Deputy

**SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE,
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

FINANCIAL DATA	Current Fiscal Year:	Next Fiscal Year:	Total Cost:	Ongoing Cost
COST	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
NET COUNTY COST	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
SOURCE OF FUNDS: Contract Law Enforcement 100%			Budget Adjustment:	No
			For Fiscal Year:	16/17

C.E.O. RECOMMENDATION:

BR17-046

BACKGROUND:

Summary

The Riverside County Sheriff's Department has a long history in providing contract policing services to communities large and small. The Government Code requires the Sheriff's Department only charge its actual costs incurred without any profit margin. The Sheriff's Department operates a flexible menu for selecting services uniquely suited to each community, and no two of the seventeen cities contract for police services in the same manner.

The Sheriff's contract rate is computed only on our exact costs without any "profit margin" each year. By law, the Sheriff cannot subsidize any city and the cities cannot subsidize county operations. Each year the Sheriff's Department does an analysis of just its patrol operations and determines real costs effectively through its sheer size. Other requirements of the Sheriff such as jail operations, court operations, and coroner operations are not involved in the determination of the yearly contract rates.

The contract law enforcement rates are adjusted annually. The fully supported rate increased 5.14% from last fiscal year's rate. This is primarily due to increases in negotiated sworn salaries and benefits, and County Internal Service Fees. If approved, the personnel and mileage rates are retroactive to July 1, 2016. All contract entities will receive billing adjustments from that date.

All personnel costs are current fiscal year costs incurred and services and supplies (Class II) are real costs incurred in the previous fiscal year. Salaries and benefits for all Sheriff's personnel are negotiated directly between the various labor groups and the Board of Supervisors. The largest cost component in the contract rate are personnel costs. In Fiscal Year 11-12, the Board of Supervisors negotiated multi-year contracts with all county labor groups in order to achieve pension reform as widely reported in the media.

The fully supported contract law enforcement rates include the entire systemic costs involving the running of a police department. They include the cost of the patrol deputies, detectives that support the deputies, supervisors, and managers that oversee those police operations just as

**SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE,
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

any police department would incur. The rate also includes dispatch services, recruiting, training, and clerical support all based on agreed upon service hours contracted for by the entity.

The Facility rate is an annual amount charged to Sheriff's contract entities for expenses at the buildings that house stations, Central and Coachella Valley Dispatch, Administration, Accounting and Finance, Information Services Bureau, Personnel, the Technical Services Bureau, the Central Homicide Unit and the Contracts and Grants Unit. This rate is calculated separately for each contract entity and varies according to the level of service provided.

The Sheriff's Department meets periodically throughout the year with all our contract city partners to share information, provide planning projections, and the proposed rates based upon extensive analysis. After review and input from each contract city partner, those rates are sent to the Board of Supervisors for final approval. Once approved, the rate is effective for the entire Fiscal Year 16-17.

SUPPLEMENTAL:

Additional Fiscal Information

Attachment A: This table details contract personnel rates charged cities, school districts, county service areas and Tribal groups. Lastly, Attachment A details hourly differentials for K-9 and motor officers and vehicle mileage rates.

Attachment B: This table includes the law enforcement personnel rates for the Riverside University Medical Center.

Attachment C: This table includes the annual facility charge billed to cities, the Morongo Band and county service areas. Also included is a brief explanation of significant year-to-year variances.

Impact on Residents and Businesses

Contract law enforcement is a practical means of obtaining quality service at a very reasonable cost. The Riverside County Sheriff's Department has been contracting law enforcement services since 1964. Contract law enforcement has evolved into a sophisticated method for contract partners to meet their statutory obligation to supply a total law enforcement program for their community. The Riverside County Sheriff's Department offers a wide range of programs and service levels to meet the specialized needs and population sizes of the communities within Riverside County.

ATTACHMENTS:

**SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE,
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

**ATTACHMENT A. CONTRACT LAW ENFORCEMENT RATES
ATTACHMENT B. HOSPITAL CONTRACT LAW ENFORCEMENT RATES
ATTACHMENT C. ANNUAL FACILITY COST CHARGED CONTRACT ENTITIES**


Marla Pendleton, Internal Audits Principal Accountant 4/27/2017

RIVERSIDE COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT
 FY 2016-2017 CONTRACT LAW ENFORCEMENT RATES

Position	2016/2017		2015/2016		Percentage Change	
	Rate per Hour	O/T Rate per Hour	Rate per Hour	O/T Rate per Hour	Rate per Hour	O/T Rate per Hour
Sheriff's Patrol Officer (SUP-B)	168.45	71.71	160.22	70.18	5.14%	2.18%
Sheriff's Patrol Officer (SDC-B)	144.17	71.71	137.14	70.18	5.12%	2.18%
Sheriff's Patrol Corporal (SUP)	178.63	81.98	170.65	80.93	4.68%	1.30%
Sheriff's Patrol Corporal (SDC)	152.88	81.98	146.06	80.93	4.68%	1.30%
Tribal Patrol Officer (TSUP-B)	150.77	71.71	142.05	70.18	6.14%	2.18%
Tribal Patrol Officer (TSDC-B)	129.03	71.71	121.58	70.18	6.13%	2.18%
Intermediate Patrol Officer (ISUP-B)	120.46	71.71	113.15	70.18	6.46%	2.18%
Intermediate Patrol Officer (ISDC-B)	103.10	71.71	96.85	70.18	6.45%	2.18%
Sheriff's Corporal (UDP)	101.50	81.98	97.08	80.93	4.55%	1.30%
School Resource Officer (UDP-B)	90.85	71.71	86.28	70.18	5.30%	2.18%
Sheriff's Deputy (UDP)	89.95	70.83	85.25	69.16	5.51%	2.42%
Sheriff's Deputy (UDC)	76.98	70.83	72.97	69.16	5.50%	2.42%
Sheriff's Corporal (UDC)	86.86	81.98	83.09	80.93	4.54%	1.30%
Sheriff's Investigator	99.62	91.40	90.05	88.90	10.63%	2.81%
Sheriff's Sergeant	108.82	102.82	100.15	98.51	8.66%	4.38%
Sheriff's Lieutenant	126.13	0.00	113.25	0.00	11.37%	
Sheriff's Captain	140.45	0.00	128.82	0.00	9.03%	
Sheriff Service Officer II	39.60	30.54	36.77	30.23	7.70%	1.02%
Community Services Officer I	49.34	42.12	44.61	40.08	10.60%	5.09%
Community Services Officer II	55.40	50.37	51.54	48.50	7.49%	3.85%

Other Rates as noted:	2016/2017		2015/2016		Percentage Change	
Differentials: Traffic/Motor Sgt	2.44	/ Hour	2.44	/ Hour	0.00%	
Differentials: K9 & Motor Officer	1.65	/ Hour	1.60	/ Hour	3.12%	
Differentials: K9 Daily Special Rate	11.79	/ Day	11.44	/ Day	3.06%	
Vehicles: Black & White Units:	0.98	/ Mile	0.94	/ Mile	4.26%	
Vehicles: Plain Units:	0.62	/ Mile	0.57	/ Mile	8.77%	
Vehicles: Other:	0.62	/ Mile	0.57	/ Mile	8.77%	

RIVERSIDE COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT
 FY 2016-2017 CONTRACT LAW ENFORCEMENT RATES

Position	2016/2017	2016/2017	2015/2016	2015/2016	Percentage Change	
	Rate per Hour	O/T Rate per Hour	Rate per Hour	O/T Rate per Hour	Rate per Hour	O/T Rate per Hour
Sheriff 911 Comm Officer II	48.51	49.60	47.91	45.90	1.25%	8.07%
Sr Sheriff 911 Comm Officer	60.13	64.91	59.91	63.25	0.37%	2.62%
Sheriff Communications Supv	73.67	81.98	70.15	79.11	5.02%	3.63%
Crime Analyst	57.82	59.20	52.59	55.99	9.94%	5.74%
Sr Crime Analyst	64.35	69.87	61.08	67.04	5.35%	4.23%
Crime Analyst Supervisor	73.09	78.53	69.20	77.19	5.62%	1.74%
Accounting Assistant II	41.48	39.50	34.25	32.49	21.11%	21.57%
Sr Accounting Asst	41.70	39.47	39.79	39.73	4.80%	-0.66%
Accounting Technician I	44.21	42.45	42.39	43.43	4.29%	-2.26%
Accounting Technician II	53.05	54.01	49.90	53.40	6.31%	1.15%
Office Assistant II	33.29	28.93	31.05	28.46	7.21%	1.65%
Office Assistant III	39.22	36.53	36.67	35.59	6.95%	2.63%
Supv Office Assistant I	40.38	39.06	37.02	36.78	9.08%	6.19%
Supv Office Assistant II	43.35	41.48	40.14	40.24	8.00%	3.08%
Aircraft Mechanic	65.50	71.31	62.66	69.05	4.53%	3.27%
Supv Aircraft Mechanic	74.65	82.23	69.07	76.54	8.08%	7.43%
Forensic Photo Lab Technician	46.55	47.17	42.40	43.03	9.79%	9.61%
Forensic Technician II	58.96	59.97	54.48	56.84	8.22%	5.50%
Supv Forensic Technician	70.85	78.50	68.85	76.22	2.90%	2.99%
Media Production Specialist	49.07	52.64	47.20	51.74	3.96%	1.75%
Public Information Specialist	58.19	62.02	56.34	60.96	3.28%	1.74%

ATTACHMENT -- B
HOSPITAL

RIVERSIDE COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT
FY 2016-2017 HOSPITAL CONTRACT LAW ENFORCEMENT RATES

Position	2016/2017	2016/2017	2015/2016	2015/2016	Percentage Change	
	Rate per Hour	O/T Rate per Hour	Rate per Hour	O/T Rate per Hour	Rate per Hour	O/T Rate per Hour
Hospital Rates						
Hospital Patrol Officer (HUUP)	84.95	70.83	81.22	69.16	4.59%	2.42%
Hospital K9 Officer (HUDC)	72.70	70.83	69.52	69.16	4.57%	2.42%
Hospital Sheriff's Sergeant	104.55	102.82	96.71	98.51	8.11%	4.38%
Hospital Correctional Deputy II	57.65	54.17	54.73	51.94	5.34%	4.29%
Hospital Correctional Corporal	70.83	69.28	68.85	68.87	2.88%	2.02%
Hospital Correctional Sergeant	83.77	81.88	76.90	78.26	8.93%	4.62%
Hospital Sheriff Service Officer II	35.16	30.54	33.36	27.21	5.40%	1.02%
Hospital Community Service Officer I	44.90	42.12	41.20	40.08	8.98%	5.09%
Hospital Community Service Officer II	50.96	50.37	48.13	48.50	5.88%	3.85%
Hospital Accounting Assistant II	42.20	39.50	34.64	32.49	21.82%	21.57%

Position Codes on Attachment A & B


(SUP-B)	Supported Undedicated Productive - Blended
(SDC-B)	Supported Dedicated Compensated - Blended
(SUP)	Supported Undedicated Productive
(SDC)	Supported Dedicated Compensated
(TSUP-B)	Tribal Supported Undedicated Productive - Blended
(TSDC-B)	Tribal Supported Dedicated Compensated - Blended
(ISUP-B)	Intermediate Supported Undedicated Productive - Blended
(ISDC-B)	Intermediate Supported Dedicated Compensated - Blended
(UDP-B)	Unsupported Dedicated Productive - Blended
(UDP)	Unsupported Dedicated Productive
(UDC)	Unsupported Dedicated Compensated
(HUUP)	Hospital Unsupported Undedicated Productive
(HUDC)	Hospital Unsupported Dedicated Compensated

ATTACHMENT C

**ANNUAL FACILITY COST CHARGED CONTRACT ENTITIES
FY 2015-16 TO FY 2016-17 FACILITY COST COMPUTATIONS**

CONTRACT ENTITY	FY 2015-16	FY 2016-17	CHANGE (\$)	CHANGE (%)
Calimesa	\$43,920.60	\$35,482.93	-\$8,437.67	-19.21%
Canyon Lake	\$51,943.44	\$53,200.08	\$1,256.64	2.42%
Coachella	\$143,741.56	\$163,284.01	\$19,542.45	13.60%
Eastvale	\$127,150.42	\$140,172.60	\$13,022.18	10.24%
Indian Wells	\$64,077.06	\$63,451.09	-\$625.97	-0.98%
Jurupa Valley	\$296,369.02	\$285,569.64	-\$10,799.38	-3.64%
Lake Elsinore	\$182,432.17	\$176,784.29	-\$5,647.88	-3.10%
La Quinta	\$282,309.41	\$303,655.42	\$21,346.01	7.56%
Menifee	\$412,556.35	\$414,439.26	\$1,882.91	0.46%
Moreno Valley	\$112,995.49	\$104,411.50	-\$8,583.99	-7.60%
Norco	\$38,768.96	\$39,309.09	\$540.13	1.39%
Palm Desert	\$340,764.45	\$336,017.89	-\$4,746.56	-1.39%
Perris	\$495,920.93	\$514,981.93	\$19,061.00	3.84%
Rancho Mirage	\$121,791.48	\$118,850.18	-\$2,941.30	-2.42%
San Jacinto	\$25,279.53	\$26,404.85	\$1,125.32	4.45%
Temecula	\$254,331.14	\$251,046.32	-\$3,284.82	-1.29%
Wildomar	\$40,821.31	\$43,548.88	\$2,727.57	6.68%
Morongo Band	\$7,436.02	\$6,822.64	-\$613.38	-8.25%
De Luz CSD	\$870.04	\$810.20	-\$59.84	-6.88%
Southern Coachella Valley CSD	\$2,235.09	\$2,091.74	-\$143.35	-6.41%
Jurupa CSD	\$873.02	\$810.20	-\$62.82	-7.20%

Explanation of variances exceeding +/-15% or \$10,000 ANNUAL CHARGE TO CONTRACT ENTITY
City of Calimesa decreased 19.21%. This decrease is the result of a decrease in building maintenance charges from EDA.
City of Coachella increased \$19,542.45. This increase is the result of an increase in building maintenance costs from EDA.
City of Eastvale increased \$13,022.18. This increase is the result of an additional 10 hours of service per day and one motor officer added to the city's contract.
City of Jurupa Valley decreased \$10,799.38. This decrease is the result of a decrease in classified personnel serving the Jurupa Valley contract.
City of La Quinta increased \$21,346.01. This increase is the result of an increase in building maintenance costs from EDA.
City of Perris increased \$19,061.00. This increase is a result of a decrease in sworn personnel at the station.



Office of the Mayor

City Hall
14177 Frederick Street
P.O. Box 88005
Moreno Valley, CA 92552-0805
Phone: 951.413.3008
Fax: 951.413.3760
www.moval.org

May 8, 2017

Honorable Marion Ashley
4080 Lemon Street, 5th Floor
Riverside, CA 92501

Subject: Unsustainable Increases in the Contract Police Rate

Dear Supervisor Ashley,

I am writing to convey the City of Moreno Valley's serious concerns regarding Item 3.29 "Approval of Contract Law Enforcement Rates for FY 2016-17" scheduled to be heard before the Board of Supervisors tomorrow. We urge you to cast a vote in opposition to the proposed rates.

It's very important to note that the following excerpt from the Sheriff's Department staff report is not accurate in stating that proposed rates are presented to contract cities and that these rates are presented to the Board of Supervisors "After review and input from each contract city partner..."

Meetings with contract cities are informational, at best, without any opportunity for review or input that would alter the rates in a meaningful way. As has been pointed out by contract cities in recent years, there's no "Seat at the Table" where decisions are made that significantly affect contract rates for law enforcement services.

There was no mechanism for the contract cities to provide input regarding these cost increases. Instead, the contract cities learned of a potential 8 -10 percent FY 2017-18 increase during a March 29 PowerPoint presentation less than six weeks ago. These increases – which potentially represent twice the normal increase – were simply announced to the cities. When questions are asked of Sheriff's Department fiscal staff, city representatives are routinely informed that:

- The Board of Supervisors, rather than the Sheriff's Department, bears full responsibility for personnel cost increases;
- The Information Technology Department, rather than the Sheriff's Department, bears full responsibility for radio system cost increases; and

4201
5/9/17 3.29
2017-5-135618

- The Facilities Management Department, rather than the Sheriff's Department, bears full responsibility for facilities costs increases.

The messages are clear: The Sheriff's Department is not accountable for any cost increases, and contract cities have no choice but to budget for millions of dollars in annual rate hikes.

We were alarmed to hear that contract cities representatives were told by Sheriff's Department staff on March 29 that the 2017-18 rate increase would have been only 3-5 percent if the County was not reducing staffing to .75 sworn personnel per 1,000 residents in the unincorporated area. We're told that because the County is reducing its patrol levels, cities must now bear the burden of administrative support costs. *Contract cities cannot be expected to absorb costs for administrative staff that should instead be decreased to correspond with unincorporated area sworn staffing levels.*

The City of Moreno Valley has been recognized on a national level for its fiscal responsibility. The City Council just approved a two-year balanced budget based on a 6 percent increase to the Sheriff's contract based on prior cost increases and incorporating a buffer. The other Sheriff's contract cities have approved their budgets or are well on their way. Unfortunately, this provides cities no time to adjust their budgets.

The City of Moreno Valley and other cities are contractually required to provide the Sheriff's Department with a 12 month notice of a 10 percent reduction in service level. However, the County annually implements multi-million dollar cost increases which are retroactive for the preceding 9 months.

Govt. Code section 51350 prohibits the Sheriff's Department from charging more than the cost of specific services provided. The County is also prohibited by law from charging for services it would have incurred, such as a Countywide radio system, regardless of whether it has contract cities.

Yet, the City of Moreno Valley is consistently saddled with costs which benefit the County as a whole including department recruiting, a two-to-one sworn to support staff ratio, and the Countywide emergency radio system which replaced a system that continued to meet the needs of deputies patrolling Moreno Valley neighborhoods. Passing along costs that the Sheriff's Department requires to fulfil its countywide responsibilities runs contrary to Govt. Code section 51350.

Since Fiscal Year 2013/14, the insurance charges passed along in the City of Moreno Valley's police rates have doubled; our cities costs for the Countywide emergency radio system (Public Safety Enterprise Communications System - PSEC), have tripled.

PSEC costs bear specific mention.

- The County unincorporated area – as well as the non-contract cities - benefit from the PSEC system which allows agencies to communicate with each other

across jurisdictional lines. Unfortunately, the City of Moreno Valley is paying \$214/month per radio while non-contract cities of Riverside, Corona, Banning and Murrieta pay just \$32/month. That is in addition to the portion the City of Moreno Valley is already paying for the PSEC through the hourly deputy rate.

- If the City of Moreno Valley were not a contract city, Riverside County's costs to achieve interoperability wouldn't be any more or any less. With the benefit of system interoperability being analogous to Countywide services including SWAT, HDT, Helicopter, how can Moreno Valley be expected to bear any incremental costs associated with interoperability? In fact, the City of Moreno Valley, as a contract city, is being required to bear more of the cost to provide access to non-contract cities.

We know that the Board of Supervisors understands the contract cities' perspective. The Board has expressed a similar position with regard to the growing administrative overhead charges levied on the County as part of its contract for Fire Protection services provided by Cal-Fire. We are simply asking that the County work with contract cities in the manner that the Board expects from State Fire officials.

I urge you to take these concerns into consideration regarding Item 3.29 "Approval of Contract Law Enforcement Rates for FY 2016-17" at tomorrow's Board of Supervisors meeting.

The City of Moreno Valley simply cannot be expected to afford to cover these exorbitant costs.

Sincerely,



Yxstian A. Gutierrez
Mayor

Cc: City Council
Board of Supervisors
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

Maxwell, Sue

From: Maxwell, Sue
Sent: Tuesday, May 09, 2017 8:01 AM
To: 'Kimberly Sutherland'
Cc: COB-Agenda (COB-Agenda@rivco.org); Young, Alisa; SheriffAdmin; District2; District3; District4 Supervisor John J Benoit; District5; Supervisor Jeffries - 1st District
Subject: Public Comment Opposing Approval of Contract for Law Enforcement Rates for FY 2016-17 (5-9-2017 Item 3.29 MT 4201)
Attachments: Letter to Supervisor Ashley.pdf


Good morning Kimberly,

The Riverside County Clerk of the Board of Supervisors received a copy of your email to Supervisor Ashley and will distribute and file for today's Meeting regarding Agenda Item 3.29.

With warm regard,

Sue Maxwell

Board Assistant
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
4080 Lemon Street, 1st Floor, Room 127
Riverside, CA 92501
(951) 955-1069 Fax (951) 955-1071
Mail Stop #1010
smaxwell@rivco.org
<http://rivcocob.org/>



NOTICE: This communication is intended for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and may contain **information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure** under applicable law. If the reader of this communication is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this communication to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by reply email or by telephone and immediately delete this communication and all its attachments.

From: Kimberly Sutherland [mailto:kimberlys@moval.org]
Sent: Monday, May 08, 2017 5:55 PM
To: District5 <District5@Rivco.org>
Cc: COB <COB@RIVCO.ORG>
Subject: Letter from the City of Moreno Valley regarding Item 3.29 Approval of Contract Law Enforcement Rates for FY 2016-17

Supervisor Ashley,

Please see the attached letter from the City of Moreno Valley regarding Item 3.29 Approval of Contract Law Enforcement Rates for FY 2016-17.

Respectfully,

Kimberly Sutherland

Kimberly Sutherland


Public Information & Intergovernmental Relations Officer

City Manager's Office

City of Moreno Valley

p: 951.413.3042 | e: kimberlys@moval.org W: www.moval.org

14177 Frederick St., Moreno Valley, CA 92553



RIVERSIDE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Request to Speak

Submit request to the Clerk of Board. Speakers are entitled to three (3) minutes, subject to Board Rules listed on the reverse side of this form.

Date: 5-10-17 Agenda #: 3.29
3.3
SPEAKER'S NAME: DAVID MARQUEZ ^{CITY COUNCIL MEMBER}
(Print Name)
Address: 14177 FREDERICK ST
(Only required if follow-up mail response is requested)
City: MORENO VALLEY Zip: 92555
Phone #: 951-413-3008 Email: _____

I AM:

- The Applicant A Neighbor
 Applicant's Representative Other Interested Party

PLEASE INDICATE YOUR POSITION BELOW:

- I wish to speak I DO NOT wish to speak
 I wish to speak with a Media Presentation
 I YIELD my 3 minutes to the following speaker:
(Maximum 2 Yields per Speaker)

(Name)

Position on Agenda Item:

- In Favor Neutral Opposed

BOARD RULES

Requests to Address Board on "Agenda" Items:

You may request to be heard on a published agenda item. Requests to be heard must be submitted to the Clerk of the Board before the scheduled meeting time.

Power Point Presentations/Printed Material:

Speakers who intend to conduct a formalized Power Point presentation or provide printed material must notify the Clerk of the Board's Office, 24 hours in advance of the Board meeting, insuring that the Clerk's Office has sufficient copies of all printed materials and at least one (1) copy of the Power Point CD. Copies of printed material given to the Clerk will be provided to each Supervisor. If you have the need to use the overhead "Elmo" projector at the Board meeting, please insure your material is clear and with proper contrast, notifying the Clerk well ahead of the meeting, of your intent to use the Elmo.

Individual Speaker Limits:

Individual speakers are limited to a maximum of three (3) minutes. Please step up to the podium when the Chairman calls your name and begin speaking immediately. The Chairman adheres to a strict three (3) minutes per speaker. ***Note: If you intend to give your time to a "Group/Organized Presentation", please state so clearly at the very bottom of the reverse side of this form.***

Group/Organized Presentations:

Group/organized presentations with more than one (1) speaker will be limited to nine (9) minutes at the Chairman's discretion. The organizer of the presentation will automatically receive the first three (3) minutes, with the remaining six (6) minutes relinquished by other speakers, as requested by them on a completed "Request to Speak" form, and clearly indicated at the front bottom of the form.

Addressing the Board & Acknowledgement by Chairman:

The Chairman will determine what order the speakers will address the Board, and will call on all speakers in pairs. The first speaker should immediately step to the podium and begin addressing the Board. The second speaker should take up a position in the front row to quickly step up to the podium after the preceding speaker. This is to afford an efficient and timely Board meeting, giving all attendees the opportunity to make their case. Speakers are prohibited from making personal attacks, and/or using coarse, crude, profane or vulgar language while speaking to the Board members, staff, the general public and/or meeting participants. Such behavior, at the discretion of the Board Chairman may result in removal from the Board Chambers by Sheriff Deputies.

***PLEASE TURN OFF ALL CELL PHONES AND PAGERS WHILE THE PUBLIC HEARING IS IN SESSION OR SWITCH THEM TO VIBRATE AND ANSWER CALLS AFTER LEAVING THE ROOM.**

RIVERSIDE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Request to Speak

Submit request to the Clerk of Board. Speakers are entitled to three (3) minutes, subject to Board Rules listed on the reverse side of this form.

Date: 5/21/17 Agenda #: 3.29

SPEAKER'S NAME: Marshall Eyermann, CFO
(Print Name)

Address: _____
(Only required if follow-up mail response is requested)

City: Moreno Valley Zip: _____

Phone #: _____ Email: _____

I AM:

- The Applicant A Neighbor
 Applicant's Representative Other Interested Party

PLEASE INDICATE YOUR POSITION BELOW:

- I wish to speak I DO NOT wish to speak
 I wish to speak with a Media Presentation

- I YIELD my 3 minutes to the following speaker:
(Maximum 2 Yields per Speaker)

(Name)

Position on Agenda Item:

- In Favor Neutral Opposed

BOARD RULES

Requests to Address Board on "Agenda" Items:

You may request to be heard on a published agenda item. Requests to be heard must be submitted to the Clerk of the Board before the scheduled meeting time.

Power Point Presentations/Printed Material:

Speakers who intend to conduct a formalized Power Point presentation or provide printed material must notify the Clerk of the Board's Office, 24 hours in advance of the Board meeting, insuring that the Clerk's Office has sufficient copies of all printed materials and at least one (1) copy of the Power Point CD. Copies of printed material given to the Clerk will be provided to each Supervisor. If you have the need to use the overhead "Elmo" projector at the Board meeting, please insure your material is clear and with proper contrast, notifying the Clerk well ahead of the meeting, of your intent to use the Elmo.

Individual Speaker Limits:

Individual speakers are limited to a maximum of three (3) minutes. Please step up to the podium when the Chairman calls your name and begin speaking immediately. The Chairman adheres to a strict three (3) minutes per speaker. **Note: If you intend to give your time to a "Group/Organized Presentation", please state so clearly at the very bottom of the reverse side of this form.**

Group/Organized Presentations:

Group/organized presentations with more than one (1) speaker will be limited to nine (9) minutes at the Chairman's discretion. The organizer of the presentation will automatically receive the first three (3) minutes, with the remaining six (6) minutes relinquished by other speakers, as requested by them on a completed "Request to Speak" form, and clearly indicated at the front bottom of the form.

Addressing the Board & Acknowledgement by Chairman:

The Chairman will determine what order the speakers will address the Board, and will call on all speakers in pairs. The first speaker should immediately step to the podium and begin addressing the Board. The second speaker should take up a position in the front row to quickly step up to the podium after the preceding speaker. This is to afford an efficient and timely Board meeting, giving all attendees the opportunity to make their case. Speakers are prohibited from making personal attacks, and/or using coarse, crude, profane or vulgar language while speaking to the Board members, staff, the general public and/or meeting participants. Such behavior, at the discretion of the Board Chairman may result in removal from the Board Chambers by Sheriff Deputies.

***PLEASE TURN OFF ALL CELL PHONES AND PAGERS WHILE THE PUBLIC HEARING IS IN SESSION OR SWITCH THEM TO VIBRATE AND ANSWER CALLS AFTER LEAVING THE ROOM.**