

SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA



ITEM
9.3
(ID # 4654)

MEETING DATE:
Tuesday, August 29, 2017


FROM : TLMA-TRANSPORTATION:

SUBJECT: TRANSPORTATION AND LAND MANAGEMENT AGENCY/ TRANSPORTATION
DEPARTMENT: Public Hearing and Adoption of Resolution No. 2017-131
Approving Amendment No. 9 to the Menifee Valley Road and Bridge Benefit
District; District 3; CEQA Exempt; [\$0]

RECOMMENDED MOTION: That the Board of Supervisors:

1. Conduct the Public Hearing and after closing the Public Hearing, adopt Resolution No. 2017-131 approving Amendment No. 9 to the Menifee Valley Road and Bridge Benefit District; and
2. Find that the adoption of Resolution No. 2017-131 is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant to CEQA Guidelines Section 15061(b)(3) and 15308 based on the findings and analysis contained in the attached Notice of Exemption; and
3. Direct the Clerk of the Board to file the attached Notice of Exemption with the County Clerk within five days after the adoption of Resolution No. 2017-131.


ACTION: Policy


Patricia Romo, Director of Transportation 8/3/2017

MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

On motion of Supervisor Washington, seconded by Supervisor Jeffries and duly carried, IT WAS ORDERED that the above matter is approved as recommended.

Ayes: Jeffries, Washington, Perez and Ashley
Nays: None
Absent: Tavaglione
Date: August 29, 2017
xc: Transp., Recorder

Kecia Harper-Ihem
Clerk of the Board
By 
Deputy

**SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE,
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

FINANCIAL DATA	Current Fiscal Year:	Next Fiscal Year:	Total Cost:	Ongoing Cost
COST	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
NET COUNTY COST	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
SOURCE OF FUNDS: N/A. No general funds will be used.			Budget Adjustment: No	
			For Fiscal Year: N/A	

C.E.O. RECOMMENDATION: Approve

BACKGROUND:

Summary

On July 25, 2017, the County of Riverside Board of Supervisors introduced Resolution 2017-130 relating to proposed Amendment No. 9 to the Menifee Valley Road and Bridge Benefit District and set a Public Hearing for August 29, 2017.

The Menifee Valley Road and Bridge Benefit District (District) was established in May 1988 and subsequently amended several times over the years, with the last amendment occurring in September 2006. The District was formed to fund specific, regional road and bridge improvements determined to provide a benefit to the developing properties within portions of the Sun City/Menifee and Harvest Valley/Winchester areas of Riverside County.

This proposed Amendment No. 9 to the District is concerned with updating Zone E, which is the only remaining Zone left within the unincorporated County boundaries following the incorporation of the City of Menifee in October 2008. Since the incorporation of the City of Menifee, District Zones B, C, D, F and a small portion of Zone E are now within the City's boundaries and the City collects the respective Road and Bridge Benefit District (RBBB) fees within those Zones. However, the majority of Zone E still remains within the unincorporated County jurisdiction. A District Boundary Map for Zone E is attached.

The property owners/developers within Zone E of the District participated with the County in the dissolution of the Salt Creek Bridges Community Facilities District (CFD) 05-1, which occurred in December 2016. This CFD was intended to provide financing towards the construction of the Salt Creek Bridges at Leon Road and Rice Road within Zone E. However, due to the economic downturn, CFD 05-1 was never funded. The Salt Creek Bridges are currently listed as needed facilities in the Menifee Valley RBBB, but with a lesser cost contribution, as it was planned for CFD 05-1 to fund a majority of the Salt Creek Bridges. The County, with the concurrence of the developers, is now proposing to adjust the Zone E fee rates to include the costs for the Salt Creek Bridges that were to otherwise have been funded by CFD 05-1. Also, the Salt Creek Bridges are not covered under the Transportation Uniform Mitigation Fee (TUMF) Program.

The proposed rates in Zone E are also being adjusted for those properties within CFD 03-1 (Newport Road Extension), which provided funding for the completed Newport Road Extension Project between Menifee Road and SR-79 (Winchester Road). This segment of roadway is now

**SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE,
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

named Domenigoni Parkway. The amount of funds that this facility received from CFD 03-1 and from the TUMF Program are now excluded from the RBBB rate calculation in accordance with a separate agreement between the County and Western Riverside Council of Governments (WRCOG). However, a portion of Zone E, which is not within CFD 03-1, will still be responsible to cover the portion of the Newport Road Extension costs that were not funded by this CFD and TUMF.

In addition, the County is proposing to adjust the cost estimate for the District's share in the Newport Road Interchange at I-215 to conform with the RBBB obligation amount specified in a cooperative agreement with the City of Menifee for that facility (executed in June 2014 and amended in March 2015).

The County of Riverside Transportation Department has prepared a report entitled "Analysis Report for Amendment No. 9 to the Menifee Valley Road and Bridge Benefit District, May 2017" that proposes to: make adjustments to the estimated construction costs for identified facilities to be funded by the Menifee Valley RBBB; update the land use assumptions to reflect an update of the Riverside County General Plan under General Plan Amendment No. 960; and make the associated adjustments to the District fee schedule, which is represented in the following table.

Proposed Menifee Valley RBBB Fee Schedule

Type	Zone E1 (No CFD)	Zone E2 (CFD 03-1)	Zone E3 (No CFD)	Zone E4 (CFD 03-1)
Residential (per du)	\$4,656	\$4,016	\$4,656	\$4,016
Commercial, Office Commercial, Industrial (per acre)	\$5,497	\$5,497	\$5,497	\$5,497

Impact on Residents and Businesses

At the time Salt Creek Bridges CFD 05-1 was formed within the District's Zone E, the County was experiencing tremendous development growth, and it was anticipated that CFD bonds could be sold supported by a tax levy on land with approved new residential development projects that were entitled but not yet constructed. Credits would be issued against the Menifee Valley Road and Bridge Benefit District fees for those developments that contributed to the special tax levy. That ability to sell bonds, supported by taxes levied on proposed but unbuilt units, became a casualty of the economic downturn, which made it extremely difficult, if not impossible, to market such bonds. Even today, with an improving economy, it remains difficult to sell bonds against undeveloped land.

New development in the Winchester area that were previously required to participate in CFD 05-1 are now free of this assessment and will pay Menifee Valley RBBB fees as they develop,

SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE,
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

rather than waiting for the right economic conditions to sell CFD bonds. This will help new development to move forward on a "pay as you go" approach.

SUPPLEMENTAL:

Additional Fiscal Information

N/A

Contract History and Price Reasonableness

N/A

ATTACHMENTS:

Menifee Valley RBBB Map

Resolution No. 2017-131

Analysis Report for Amendment No. 9 to the Menifee Valley RBBB

Notice of Exemption




Dale Gardner

8/10/2017



Tina Grande, Principal Management Analyst

8/18/2017



Gregory H. Priapos, Director County Counsel

8/11/2017

PLEASE COMPLETE THIS INFORMATION

RECORDING REQUESTED BY:

KECIA HARPER-IHEM, CLERK OF THE BOARD
RIVERSIDE CO. CLERK OF THE BOARD
4080 LEMON STREET, 1ST FLOOR CAC
P O BOX 1147 – RIVERSIDE, CA 92502

MAIL STOP # 1010

AND WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO:

RETURN TO: STOP #1010
RIVERSIDE COUNTY CLERK OF THE BOARD
P. O. BOX 1147 – RIVERSIDE, CA 92502

2017-0368163

09/05/2017 03:43 PM

Customer Copy Label

The paper to which this label is affixed
has not been compared with the
filed/recorded document

Peter Aldana
County Of Riverside
Assessor-County Clerk-Recorder

THIS SPACE FOR RECORDERS USE ONLY

RESOLUTION NO. 2017-131

Title of Document

**RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
APPROVING AMENDMENT NO. 9 TO THE MENIFEE VALLEY ROAD AND BRIDGE
BENEFIT DISTRICT AND ITS FINDINGS, AND
FIND THE AMENDMENT CATEGORICALLY EXEMPT PER
CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT (CEQA) GUIDELINES
(AMENDMENT NO. 9)**

(THIRD SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT)

(Transportation Department ~ Item 9.3 of 08/29/17)

THIS PAGE ADDED TO PROVIDE ADEQUATE SPACE
FOR RECORDING INFORMATION

COPY

2 **RESOLUTION NO. 2017-131**

3 **RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**
4 **APPROVING AMENDMENT NO. 9 TO THE MENIFEE VALLEY ROAD AND BRIDGE**
5 **BENEFIT DISTRICT AND ITS FINDINGS, AND**
6 **FIND THE AMENDMENT CATEGORICALLY EXEMPT PER**
7 **CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT (CEQA) GUIDELINES**
8 **(AMENDMENT NO. 9)**

9 WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors (the "Board") of the County of Riverside (the "County")
10 pursuant to Section 66484 of the California Government Code and Section 10.30 of Riverside County
11 Ordinance No. 460, as amended, (the "Ordinance"), has established a program whereby an area of benefit
12 may be established to charge a fee to defray the actual or estimated costs for the construction of bridges
13 and major thoroughfares as a condition of approval of a final map or as a condition of issuing a building
14 permit; and

15 WHEREAS, the Board adopted Resolution No. 88-242 on May 24, 1988, pursuant to Subsection
16 D of Section 10.30 of the Ordinance, establishing the Menifee Valley Road and Bridge Benefit District
17 (the "District") and a fee schedule for said District regarding the approved designated improvements; and

18 WHEREAS, the "Rules and Regulations for the Administration of Road and Bridge Benefit
19 Districts," as adopted by Resolution No. 85-92 on April 2, 1985, and subsequently amended, provides that
20 the boundaries of a road and bridge benefit district may be adjusted from time to time in response to
21 changed conditions, that new improvements may be designated as improvements to be constructed by a
22 road and bridge benefit district to address changed conditions and that the County's Director of
23 Transportation, as administrator, shall review a road and bridge benefit district annually to determine if
24 any revisions to the geographical boundaries or modifications of the designated improvements should be
25 considered by the Board; and

26 WHEREAS, the City of Menifee incorporated since the last amendment to the District and the
27 City's boundaries now include all of Zones B, C, D, F and a portion of Zone E, leaving only the majority
28 portion of Zone E within the unincorporated County jurisdiction; and

FORM APPROVED COUNTY COUNSEL
BY: Dalea Gardner 8/28/17
DATE

1 WHEREAS, the boundaries of the District Zone E are reflected in the attached map of the Menifee
2 Valley RBBB (Zone E) as Exhibit A.

3 WHEREAS, the property owners/developers within Zone E of the District participated with the
4 County in the dissolution of Community Facilities District (CFD) 05-1 (Salt Creek Bridges at Leon Road
5 and Rice Road), which occurred in December 2016; and

6 WHEREAS, the Salt Creek Bridges are currently listed as needed facilities in the Menifee Valley
7 RBBB, but with a lesser cost contribution, as it was planned for CFD 05-1 to fund a majority of the Salt
8 Creek Bridges, and for which the County is now proposing to adjust the Zone E fee rates to include the
9 full costs for the Salt Creek Bridges due to the dissolution of CFD 05-1; and

10 WHEREAS, the County is proposing to adjust the cost estimate for the District's share in the
11 Newport Road Interchange at I-215 to conform with the RBBB obligation amount specified in a
12 cooperative agreement with the City of Menifee for that facility (executed in June 2014 and amended in
13 March 2015); and

14 WHEREAS, the County is proposing to adjust the District's share of the Newport Road Extension
15 (Menifee Road to State Route 79) to no longer include the Newport Road Extension CFD 03-1
16 contribution, since that contribution is now covered through Transportation Uniform Mitigation Fee
17 (TUMF) credits; and

18 WHEREAS, the County's Transportation Department has prepared a report entitled "Analysis
19 Report for Amendment No. 9 to the Menifee Valley Road and Bridge Benefit District, May 2017" that
20 proposes to make adjustments to the estimated construction costs for identified facilities to be funded by
21 the Menifee Valley District, update the land use assumptions to reflect an update of the Riverside County
22 General Plan under General Plan Amendment No. 960, and make the associated adjustments to the
23 District fee schedules; and

24 WHEREAS, the Transportation Department has prepared a Notice of Exemption for Amendment
25 No. 9 to the Menifee Valley District based upon the finding that there is no substantial evidence that
26 Amendment 9 to the Menifee Valley Road and Bridge Benefit District will have a significant effect on the
27 environment; and

28 WHEREAS, on July 25, 2017, Resolution 2017-130 was adopted declaring the Board's intention

1 to amend the Menifee Valley Road and Bridge Benefit District and hold a public hearing to consider to
2 the approval of Amendment 9 to the Menifee Valley District; and

3 WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 65091 of the California Government Code, a public hearing was
4 duly noticed and date set for August 29, 2017 to consider the approval of Amendment No. 9 to the
5 Menifee Valley Road and Bridge Benefit District and to consider any written or oral protests that
6 interested parties may have with regard to Amendment No. 9 to the District.

7
8 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, DETERMINED, FOUND AND ORDERED by the
9 County of Riverside Board of Supervisors, as follows:

10 **Section 1.** The above recitals are true and correct.

11 **Section 2.** A public hearing was opened and closed on August 29, 2017. All protests and
12 objections of every kind and nature be, and the same hereby are, overruled and denied, and it is
13 determined that said protests and objections are made by the owners of less than one-half (1/2) of the
14 property within the boundaries of the Menifee Valley District to be assessed for the identified facilities.

15 **Section 3.** The District has been designed in a manner to distribute the cost of the development
16 and construction of the improvements on an equitable basis among benefiting properties. The fee
17 levels for this District are determined based on the estimated improvement costs and projected
18 future development potential of each zone. The fee schedule for the District is calculated by distributing
19 the estimated construction cost of all facilities identified for a Zone among all land use designations in the
20 Zone. Revenues received by the District are applied against the revenues needed to cover estimated
21 project cost. The District fees are a one-time charge paid to the Transportation Department at the time of
22 issuance of a certificate of occupancy or upon final inspection, whichever comes first. Properties that
23 have already been developed are not subject to District fees.

24 **Section 4.** A description of the improvements, estimated costs and Zone E share comparisons are
25 attached as Exhibit B, "Menifee Valley RBBB Facilities Summary (Zone E)"; and Exhibit C, "District
26 Facilities and Comparisons by Zone E".

27 **Section 5.** Amendment No. 9 to the Menifee Valley RBBB includes verification of residential
28 densities that reflect the designations of the Sun City/Menifee and Harvest Valley/Winchester Area Plans,

1 and Specific Plans. An inventory of the existing level of development within Zone E was conducted
2 using aerial photographs and Geographic Information System (GIS) analysis. The projections of
3 development potential were based on the difference between the existing land use inventory and the likely
4 build out level of the Area Plans and Specific Plans. A midpoint range of the Area Plans' residential land
5 use allocation designation was assumed as a likely build-out level based on historical patterns. Portions
6 of the District are within the "SR-79 Policy Area" established by the 2003 General Plan, which calls for a
7 9% reduction below the General Plan Land Use mid-point to reduce traffic impacts in the Policy Area.
8 The midpoint range of 3.5 dwelling units per acre for a future build-out is reduced by the 9%, and
9 equates to 3.19 dwelling units per acre for a future build-out within the SR-79 Policy Area.

10 In determining the likely residential build-out within Specific Plans, a factor of 85% of the
11 maximum number of permitted dwelling units was used as a build-out assumption where no Tentative
12 Tract Map has been approved. Where a Tentative Tract Map has been approved, the actual residential lot
13 count of that map was used after taking into account some reductions due to the need to provide detention
14 basins required to comply with the County's Water Quality Management Program (WQMP). Commercial
15 and industrial land uses were determined based on designations in the Area Plans and Specific Plans,
16 and were assumed to build-out at the full acreage allocated.

17 **Section 6.** The Analysis Report ("Final Plan") for Amendment No. 9 to the Meniffee Valley Road
18 and Bridge Benefit District proposes to make adjustments to the estimated construction costs for
19 identified facilities to be funded by the Meniffee Valley RBBB, update the land use assumptions to reflect
20 an update of the Riverside County General Plan under General Plan Amendment No. 960, and make the
21 associated adjustments to the District fee schedule. The Board hereby adopts and approves each of the
22 proposals identified in the Analysis Report/Final Plan; and adopts and levies the proposed fees set forth in
23 Exhibit D to this Resolution.

1 **Section 7.** The proposed District fee rate changes are primarily due to adjustments as a result of
2 the dissolution of the Leon Road and Rice Road bridges CFD 05-1 and the additional funding needed to
3 replace the revenue that was to have come from CFD 05-1. Other adjustments are attributed to updated
4 project costs and changes in demographics. The proposed and existing fee schedules for Zone E are
5 represented in Exhibit D and Exhibit E, respectively.

6 The fee rate schedules for Zones B, C and F are no longer included in the County's RBBD fee rate
7 schedules for the District, since those zones are now within the City of Menifee and the City collects the
8 respective RBBD fees within those zones.

9 **Section 8.** The Board of Supervisors find the adoption of Amendment No. 9 to the Menifee
10 Valley Road and Bridge Benefit District is Categorically Exempt pursuant to California Environmental
11 Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines Section 15061(b)(3) in that it can be seen with certainty there is no
12 substantial evidence that the Amendment will have a significant effect on the environment.

13 **Section 9.** The Clerk of the Board is authorized and directed to file the CEQA Notice of
14 Exemption with the Office of the County Clerk and Recorder of the County of Riverside.

15 **Section 10.** The Clerk of the Board is authorized and directed to cause a certified copy of this
16 Resolution to be filed with the Office of the County Clerk and Recorder of the County of Riverside.

17 **Section 11.** The effective date of this Resolution shall be August 29, 2017.

18 //

19 //

20 //

21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
2) ss.
3 COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE)
4

5 I, Kecia Harper-Ihem, Clerk of the Board of the County of Riverside, California, do hereby certify
6 that the foregoing Resolution No. 2017-131 was duly adopted by the Board of Supervisors of said County
7 at a meeting of said Board held on the 29 day of August, 2017, and that it was so adopted by the
8 following vote:

- 9
- 10 AYES: Jeffries, Washington, Perez and Ashley
- 11 NOES: None
- 12 ABSTAIN: None
- 13 ABSENT: Tavaglione
- 14




15
16  _____, Deputy
17 Clerk of the Board
18 County of Riverside
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

EXHIBIT A


MENIFEE VALLEY Road and Bridge Benefit District (Zone E)



Disclaimer: Maps and data are to be used for reference purposes only. Map features are approximate, and are not necessarily accurate to surveying or engineering standards. The County of Riverside makes no warranty or guarantee as to the accuracy, timeliness, or completeness of any of the data provided, and assumes no legal responsibility for the information contained on this map. Any use of this product with respect to accuracy and precision shall be the sole responsibility of the user.

EXHIBIT A-1
(For Reference Only)

MENIFEE VALLEY
Road and Bridge Benefit District



- Notes:**
1. Zones B, C, D and F have been incorporated within the City of Menifee and the City collects the RBBB fees within those zones.
 2. Portions of Zone E fall within both the City and the County. Each jurisdiction collects RBBB fees within their respective portions of Zone E.

EXHIBIT B

MENIFEE VALLEY RBBB FACILITIES SUMMARY (ZONE E)

	Name of Facility	Increase in Lanes	Facility Type	Total Estimated Project Cost	RBBB Share	Zone E Share	Admin Fee 5%	Adjusted Zone E Budget	Zone E %	Total Length (Linear Lane Feet)	RBBB Credit/Reimbursement Per Linear Foot/Lane	Zone(s)
1	Newport Rd Interchange @ I-215		Interchange	49,708,000	7,196,000	1,782,672	-89,134	1,693,538	4.0%		N/A	B,C,D,E
2	Holland Road Overpass @ I-215	4	Overpass	10,000,000	5,000,000	1,900,000	-95,000	1,805,000	4.3%		N/A	B,C,E
3	Newport Rd (Meniffee Rd to SR-79)	6	Road	22,224,171	4,615,644	1,504,260	-75,213	1,429,047	3.4%		N/A	E
4	Leon Road Bridge @ Salt Creek	6	Bridge	15,468,714	15,468,714	15,468,714	-773,436	14,695,278	35.1%		N/A	E
4a	Leon Road (North of bridge to Olive Ave)	6	Road	4,073,865	4,073,865	4,073,865	-203,693	3,870,172	9.2%	6 Lanes @ 1,113'=6,678'	\$580	E
4b	Leon Road (South of bridge to Newport Rd/Domenigoni Pkwy)	6	Road	1,195,932	1,195,932	1,195,932	-59,797	1,136,135	2.7%	6 Lanes @ 914'=5,484'	\$207	E
4c	Leon Road Traffic Signals (3)		Traffic Signal	748,348	748,348	748,348	-37,417	710,931	1.7%		\$236,977 per T.S.	E
5	Rice Road Bridge @ Salt Creek	4	Bridge	9,934,532	9,934,532	9,934,532	-496,727	9,437,805	22.5%		N/A	E
5a	Rice Road (Olive Ave to Newport Rd/Domenigoni Pkwy, excluding the bridge)	4	Road	7,266,808	7,266,808	7,266,808	-363,340	6,903,468	16.5%	4 Lanes @ 1,920'=7,680'	\$899	E
5b	Rice Road Traffic Signal @ Newport Rd/Domenigoni Pkwy		Traffic Signal	249,253	249,253	249,253	-12,463	236,790	0.6%		\$236,790 per T.S.	E
	Totals			120,869,623	55,749,096	44,124,384	-2,206,219	41,918,165	100%			
	Total Revenues Received						-1,473,848					
	Total Revenues Needed						42,650,536					

EXHIBIT C

DISTRICT FACILITIES AND COMPARISONS BY ZONE E

	Facility	Proposed Zone E Share	Existing Zone E Share
1	Newport Road Interchange @ I-215 (Zone E Share)	1,782,672	4,456,720
2	Holland Road Overpass @ I-215 (Zone E Share)	1,900,000	1,900,000
3	Newport Rd (Menifee Rd. to SR-79) (Zone E1/E3 Share)	1,504,260	3,888,616
4	Leon Road Bridge @ Salt Creek	21,486,859	16,241,630
5	Rice Road Bridge @ Salt Creek	17,450,593	12,258,370
	Total Zone E Share Cost Estimate	44,124,384	38,745,336
	Total Revenues Received	(1,473,848)	
	Remaining Zone E Needs Cost Estimate	42,650,536	

DETAILS

1	Newport Road Interchange @ I-215	Total Estimate	Zone E Share
	Zone B 14.4%; Zone C 29.9%; Zone D 30.9% Shares	5,413,328	
	Zone E Share 24.8%	1,782,672	1,782,672
	Total RBBB Share (Zones: B, C, D, E)	7,196,000	
	Developer Contributions	435,000	
	TUMF Budget	8,278,000	
	Measure A	51,000	
	Surface Transportation Program (STP)	14,625,000	
	Utility Companies	848,000	
	City of Menifee	17,875,000	
	City of Menifee (Additional Funding for 15% Contingency)	400,000	
	Totals	49,708,000	1,782,672

2	Holland Road Overpass @ I-215	Total Estimate	Zone E Share
	Zone B Share 21%	1,050,000	
	Zone C Share 41%	2,050,000	
	Zone E Share 38%	1,900,000	1,900,000
	Totals	5,000,000	1,900,000

3	Newport Road Extension (Menifee Rd to SR-79) (6 Lanes)	Total Estimate	Zone E Share
	CFD 03-1 Contribution	17,608,527	
	RBBB Contribution (Zones D & E)	4,615,644	
	Zone D share = 14% of Total Estimate = \$3,111,384		
	Zone E share = 86% of Total Est - CFD Contribution = \$1,504,260		1,504,260
	Totals	22,224,171	1,504,260

4	Leon Road Bridge @ Salt Creek	Total Estimate	Zone E Share
	6-Lane Bridge and Roadway	21,486,859	21,486,859
	DIF Share	341,000	
	Totals	21,827,859	21,486,859

5	Rice Road Bridge @ Salt Creek	Total Estimate	Zone E Share
	4-Lane Bridge and Roadway	17,450,593	17,450,593
	DIF Share	341,000	
	Totals	17,791,593	17,450,593

EXHIBIT D
PROPOSED RATES

Menifee Valley RBBB (Zone E)

TYPE	ZONE E1 ⁽²⁾ (No CFD)	ZONE E2 ⁽²⁾ (CFD 03-1)	ZONE E3 (No CFD)	ZONE E4 (CFD 03-1)
Residential RBBB Fee (per du) ⁽¹⁾	\$4,656	\$4,016	\$4,656	\$4,016
<i>Residential TUMF Credit (per du)⁽³⁾</i>	\$0	(\$1,775)	\$0	(\$1,775)
Retail Commercial, Service, Office, Industrial RBBB Fee (per gross ac) ⁽¹⁾	\$5,497	\$5,497	\$5,497	\$5,497
<i>Retail Commercial TUMF Credit (per SF GFA)⁽³⁾</i>	\$0	(\$2.10)	\$0	(\$2.10)

Notes:

1. Zones B, C, D and F are no longer shown, since they have been incorporated within the City of Menifee and the City collects the RBBB fees within those zones.
2. Portions of Zone E fall within both the County of Riverside and the City of Menifee. Each jurisdiction collects RBBB fees within their respective portion of Zone E.
3. Residential developments within the Newport Road Extension CFD 03-1 are eligible for TUMF credits in accordance with the Memorandum of Understanding between WRCOG and the County of Riverside for CFD 03-1, dated 10-28-2014.

Community Facilities District (CFD):

CFD 03-1 – Newport Road Extension (Domenigoni Parkway)

EXHIBIT E

EXISTING RATES

Menifee Valley RBBB

Resolution No. 2006-359 (9/12/06)

Effective 12/6/2016 (In conjunction with Ordinances 933 and 867.1)⁽⁶⁾

TYPE	ZONE E1 ⁽²⁾ (No CFD)	ZONE E2 ⁽²⁾ (CFD 03-1)	ZONE E3 ⁽⁴⁾ (No CFD)	ZONE E4 ⁽⁴⁾ (CFD 03-1)
Residential RBBB Fee (per du) ⁽¹⁾	\$5,074	\$2,918	\$5,074 ⁽⁵⁾	\$2,918 ⁽⁵⁾
<i>Residential TUMF Credit (per du)⁽³⁾</i>	\$0	(\$1,775)	\$0	(\$1,775)
Retail Commercial, Service, Office, Industrial RBBB Fee (per gross ac) ⁽¹⁾	\$6,945	\$6,945	\$6,945	\$6,945
<i>Retail Commercial TUMF Credit (per SF GFA)⁽³⁾</i>	\$0	(\$2.10)	\$0	(\$2.10)

Notes:

1. Zones B, C, D and F are no longer shown, since they have been incorporated within the City of Menifee and the City collects the RBBB fees within those zones.
2. Portions of Zone E fall within both the County of Riverside and the City of Menifee. Each jurisdiction collects RBBB fees within their respective portion of Zone E.
3. Residential developments within the Newport Road Extension CFD 03-1 are eligible for TUMF credits in accordance with the Memorandum of Understanding between WRCOG and the County of Riverside for CFD 03-1, dated 10-28-2014.
4. Zones E3 and E4 formerly included CFD 05-1, which was dissolved by the County on December 6, 2016 (Ordinances 933 and 867.1).
5. The residential rates for Zones E3 and E4 reflect the cessation of CFD 05-1.
6. Rates are pending future adjustments to include the Salt Creek Bridges costs that were formerly covered by CFD 05-1.

Community Facilities Districts (CFD):

CFD 03-1 – Newport Road Extension (Domenigoni Parkway)

CFD 05-1 – Salt Creek Bridges (at Leon Rd and Rice Rd) (Dissolved)

PLEASE COMPLETE THIS INFORMATION
RECORDING REQUESTED BY:

**KECIA HARPER-IHEM, CLERK OF THE BOARD
RIVERSIDE CO. CLERK OF THE BOARD
4080 LEMON STREET, 1ST FLOOR CAC
P O BOX 1147 - RIVERSIDE, CA 92502**

MAIL STOP # 1010

AND WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO:

**RETURN TO: STOP #1010
RIVERSIDE COUNTY CLERK OF THE BOARD
P. O. BOX 1147 - RIVERSIDE, CA 92502**

2017-0368162

09/05/2017 03:43 PM Fee: \$ 0.00

Page 1 of 3

Recorded in Official Records
County of Riverside
Peter Aldana
Assessor-County Clerk-Recorder



126						R	A	Exam: 320	
Page	DA	PCOR	Misc	Long	RFD	1st Pg	Adtl Pg	Cert	CC
3	1								
SIZE	NCOR	SMF	NCHG	T:	NCHBCC				

NOTICE OF COMPLETION

To be recorded with County Recorder
within 10 days after completion.
No recording fee.

Notice is hereby given by the undersigned owner, a public entity of the State of California, that a public work of improvement has been completed, as follows:

Project title or description of work: North San Jacinto Group 1, Various Streets Resurfacing Project

Date of Completion: Date of this notice 08/29/17

Nature of owner: County of Riverside, public entity

Interest or estate of owner: Public Roadway

Address of owner: 4080 Lemon Street, 8th Floor, Riverside, CA 92501

Name of contractor: All American Asphalt

Street or legal description of site: North San Jacinto Group 1 Various Streets, Communities of Soboba Hot Springs, Project No. C2-0150, Township 4S Range 1E Section 30, Township 4S Range 1W Section 25, County Road Book Page No. 102

Dated: August 29, 2017

Owner: County of Riverside
(Name of Public Entity)

By:
Chairman, Board of Supervisors
John Tavaglione

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
 ss
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE)

I am the Chairman of the governing board of the County of Riverside, the public entity which executed the foregoing notice and on whose behalf I make this verification; I have read this notice, know its contents, and the same is true. I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.


Executed at Riverside, California on 08/29/17 (Date)

Chairman of the Board of Supervisors
John Tavaglione

ATTEST:
KECIA HARPER-IHEM, Clerk
By:
DEPUTY

08.29.17 9.3

FORM APPROVED COUNTY COUNSEL
BY:
MARSHAL VICTOR DATE
2017-9-136812



PETER ALDANA
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
ASSESSOR-COUNTY CLERK-RECORDER

Recorder
P.O. Box 751
Riverside, CA 92502-0751
(951) 486-7000


www.riversideacr.com

CERTIFICATION

Pursuant to the provisions of Government Code 27361.7, I certify under the penalty of perjury that the following is a true copy of illegible wording found in the attached document:

(Print or type the page number(s) and wording below):

CLARIFICATION OF THE SEAL for the Riverside County Board of Supervisors
(embossed on document)



Date:


8-29-17

Signature:


Karen Barton

Print Name:

Karen Barton, Board Assistant, Riverside County Clerk of the Board



COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
TRANSPORTATION AND
LAND MANAGEMENT AGENCY
Transportation Department
NOTICE OF EXEMPTION



Juan C. Perez, P.E., T.E.
Director of Transportation

August 2, 2017

PROJECT TITLE: Adoption of Resolution No. 2017-131 Approving Amendment No. 9 to the Menifee Valley Road and Bridge Benefit District
Work Order #ZA10106, Task Code #Z1035

PROJECT SPONSOR: Riverside County Transportation Department

PROJECT LOCATION: Located in Western Riverside County, Menifee area

SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT: Third

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: The Riverside County Transportation Department proposes to amend the Menifee Valley Road and Bridge District (RBBB). The Menifee Valley Road and Bridge Benefit District (District) was established in May 1988 and subsequently amended several times over the years, with the last amendment occurring in September 2006. The District was formed to fund specific, regional road and bridge improvements determined to provide a benefit to the developing properties within portions of the Sun City/Menifee and Harvest Valley/Winchester areas of Riverside County.

On July 25, 2017, the County of Riverside Board of Supervisors introduced Resolution 2017-130 relating to proposed Amendment No. 9 to the Menifee Valley Road and Bridge Benefit District and set a Public Hearing for August 29, 2017.

This proposed Amendment No. 9 to the District is concerned with updating Zone E, which is the only remaining Zone left within the unincorporated County boundaries following the incorporation of the City of Menifee in October 2008. Since the incorporation of the City of Menifee, District Zones B, C, D, F and a small portion of Zone E are now within the City's boundaries and the City collects the respective Road and Bridge Benefit District (RBBB) fees within those Zones. However, the majority of Zone E still remains within the unincorporated County jurisdiction. A District Boundary Map for Zone E is attached.

The property owners/developers within Zone E of the District participated with the County in the dissolution of the Salt Creek Bridges Community Facilities District (CFD) 05-1, which occurred in December 2016. This CFD was intended to provide financing towards the construction of the Salt Creek Bridges at Leon Road and Rice Road within Zone E. However, due to the economic downturn, CFD 05-1 was never funded. The Salt Creek Bridges are currently listed as needed facilities in the Menifee Valley RBBB, but with a lesser cost contribution, as it was planned for CFD 05-1 to fund a majority of the Salt Creek Bridges. The County, with the concurrence of the developers, is now proposing to adjust the Zone E fee rates to include the costs for the Salt Creek Bridges that were to otherwise have been funded by CFD 05-1. Also, the Salt Creek Bridges are not covered under the Transportation Uniform Mitigation Fee (TUMF) Program.

The proposed rates in Zone E are also being adjusted for those properties within CFD 03-1 (Newport Road Extension), which provided funding for the completed Newport Road Extension Project between Menifee Road and SR-79 (Winchester Road). This segment of roadway is now named Domenigoni Parkway. The amount of funds that this facility received from CFD 03-1 and from the Transportation Uniform Mitigation Fee (TUMF) Program are now excluded from the RBBB rate calculation in accordance with a separate agreement between the County and Western Riverside Council of Governments (WRCOG). However, a portion of Zone E, which is not within CFD 03-1, will still be

responsible to cover the portion of the Newport Road Extension costs that were not funded by this CFD and TUMF.

In addition, the County is proposing to adjust the cost estimate for the District's share in the Newport Road Interchange at I-215 to conform to a cooperative agreement with the City of Menifee for that facility (executed in June 2014 and amended in March 2015).

The County's Transportation Department has prepared a report entitled "Analysis Report for Amendment No. 9 to the Menifee Valley Road and Bridge Benefit District, May 2017" that proposes to: make adjustments to the estimated construction costs for identified facilities to be funded by the Menifee Valley RBBB; update the land use assumptions to reflect an update of the Riverside County General Plan under General Plan Amendment No. 960; and make the associated adjustments to the District fee schedule.

The Riverside County Transportation Department Staff has determined that the Ordinance qualifies for a Categorical Exemption per the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines.

The Riverside County Transportation Department has found that the above-described Ordinance is exempt from the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), based on the following:

California Environmental Quality Act Guidelines:



Section 15061(b)(3) – General Rule Exemption – The Ordinance is covered by the general rule that CEQA applies only to actions which have the potential for causing a significant effect on the environment. The Ordinance involves dissolving a funding mechanism that is no longer viable, and therefore it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity in question may have a significant effect on the environment.

Section 15308 – Actions by Regulatory Agencies for Protection of the Environment – Class 8 consists of actions taken by regulatory agencies, as authorized by state or local ordinance, to assure the maintenance, restoration, enhancement, or protection of the environment where the regulatory process involves procedures for protection of the environment. Construction activities and relaxation of standards allowing environmental degradation are not included in this exemption.

By: Jan Bulinski, Senior Transportation Planner

Signed: *Russell Williams*
Russell Williams, Environmental Division Manager

Menifee Valley - Road and Bridge Benefit District (Zone E)



Disclaimer: Maps and data are to be used for reference purposes only. Map features are approximate, and are not necessarily accurate to surveying or engineering standards. The County of Riverside makes no warranty or guarantee as to the content (the source is often third party), accuracy, timeliness, or completeness of any of the data provided, and assumes no legal responsibility for the information contained on this map. Any use of this product with respect to accuracy and precision shall be the sole responsibility of the user.



RIVERSIDE COUNTY CLERK & RECORDER

**AUTHORIZATION
TO BILL
BY JOURNAL VOUCHER**

-TO BE FILLED IN BY SUBMITTING AGENCY-
537280-20000-3130500000 ZA10106 Z1035

AUTHORIZATION NUMBER: W.O.#ZA10106, Task Code Z1035

AMOUNT: \$64.00

DATE: August 2, 2017

AGENCY: Riverside County Transportation Department

THIS AUTHORIZES THE COUNTY CLERK & RECORDER TO ISSUE A VOUCHER FOR PAYMENT OF ALL FILING AND HANDLING FEES FOR THE ACCOMPANYING DOCUMENT(S).

NUMBER OF DOCUMENTS INCLUDED: One (1)

AUTHORIZED BY: Russell Williams, Environmental Division Manager

Signature: *Russell Williams*

PRESENTED BY: Jan Bulinski


-TO BE FILLED IN BY COUNTY CLERK-

ACCEPTED BY: _____

DATE: _____

RECEIPT # (S) _____


Menifee Valley - Road and Bridge Benefit District (Zone E)



Disclaimer: Maps and data are to be used for reference purposes only. Map features are approximate, and are not necessarily accurate to surveying or engineering standards. The County of Riverside makes no warranty or guarantee as to the content (the source is often third party), accuracy, timeliness, or completeness of any of the data provided, and assumes no legal responsibility for the information contained on this map. Any use of this product with respect to accuracy and precision shall be the sole responsibility of the user.



ANALYSIS REPORT



FOR

**AMENDMENT NO. 9 TO THE MENIFEE VALLEY
ROAD AND BRIDGE BENEFIT DISTRICT**

May 2017

**COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT**
4080 Lemon Street, 8th Floor
Riverside, California 92501

MENIFEE VALLEY ROAD AND BRIDGE BENEFIT DISTRICT

Table of Contents

I.	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
II.	BACKGROUND	1
III.	LAND USE ASSUMPTIONS	2
IV.	FACILITIES IDENTIFIED FOR FUNDING	3
	A. Summary of Facilities and District Share Cost Estimates.....	3
	B. Highway Interchange/Overcrossing Improvements	5
	C. Roadway Improvements.....	5
	D. Bridge Improvements	6
V.	ADJUSTMENTS DUE TO INCREASES/DECREASES IN ESTIMATED FACILITIES COST	7
VI.	RESULTING ADJUSTMENTS TO THE FEE SCHEDULE	7
	A. Overview of Proposed Amendment.....	7
	B. Proposed Fee Schedules and Projected Revenues	8
	C. Proposed and Existing Fee Rate Comparisons.....	9
VII.	FINDINGS.....	10
VIII.	RECOMMENDATION	10

Exhibits

EXHIBIT A – Maps.....	A-1
District Boundary Map (Zone E).....	A-2
District Boundary Map (All Zones).....	A-3
EXHIBIT B – Menifee Valley RBBB Facilities Summary.....	B-1
EXHIBIT C – District Facilities and Comparisons by Zone E.....	C-1
EXHIBIT D – Legal Description (Zone E).....	D-1
EXHIBIT E – Fee Rate Schedules (Proposed and Existing).....	E-1

I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Menifee Valley Road and Bridge Benefit District (District) was formed to fund specific, regional road and bridge improvements determined to provide a benefit to the developing properties within portions of the Sun City/Menifee and Harvest Valley/Winchester areas of Riverside County. This amendment is concerned with updating Zone E, which is the only zone that is left in the unincorporated County after the incorporation of the City of Menifee. Two relatively small portions of Zone E are within the City of Menifee and this report assumes that the updated rates for Zone E will also be applied to those portions by the City. District boundary maps are included in Exhibit A.

The proposed rates in Zone E are adjusted for those properties within the Community Facilities District 03-1 (CFD 03-1) (Newport Road Extension), which provided funding for the now completed Newport Road Extension Project between Menifee Road and SR-79 (Winchester Road). The funding amounts from CFD 03-1 and Transportation Uniform Mitigation Fee (TUMF) for this facility are now excluded from the Road and Bridge Benefit District (RBBB) rate calculation in accordance with a separate agreement between the County and Western Riverside Council of Governments (WRCOG). However, a portion of Zone E, which is not within CFD 03-1, will be responsible to cover the portion of the Newport Road Extension costs that were not funded by this CFD and TUMF. As a result, those properties will have a slightly higher fee rate than the properties within this CFD. This is equitable, since the properties outside of CFD 03-1 also benefit from the Newport Road Extension.

The proposed rates for Zone E of the District are intended to include the full costs of two bridges crossing Salt Creek at Leon Road and Rice Road. Previously, Community Facilities District 05-1 (CFD 05-1) (Salt Creek Bridges) was formed to finance a portion of the costs of these bridges. However, due to the economic downturn, CFD 05-1 was never funded. Given the current difficulty in marketing bonds on undeveloped land, it has been determined that these facilities can be better delivered by including them in the RBBB. The County, with the concurrence of the developers, dissolved CFD 05-1 in December 2016 and now wishes to amend the Menifee Valley RBBB to include the costs for Salt Creek Bridges that were to otherwise be funded by CFD 05-1. As a result, the costs of these two bridges have been assumed to be equally shared by all properties within Zone E. Also, the Salt Creek Bridges are not covered under the TUMF Program.

II. BACKGROUND

The Menifee Valley Road and Bridge Benefit District was established by the Board of Supervisors on May 24, 1988, by Resolution No. 85-92, pursuant to Section 66484 of the California Government Code and Section 10.30 of the Riverside County Ordinance No. 460, as amended. The procedures for the formation and amendment of a Road and Bridge Benefit District were established by Resolution No. 85-92, *Rules and Regulations for Administration of Road and Bridge Benefit Districts*, as adopted by the

Board of Supervisors on April 2, 1985. These Rules and Regulations require that a District be examined to determine whether adjustments are needed to the boundaries, the designated facilities and/or the fee schedule in response to inflationary and other cost adjustments affecting the estimated development and construction costs.

The District has been designed in a manner to distribute the cost of the development and construction of the improvements on an equitable basis among benefiting properties. The fee levels for this District are determined based on the estimated improvement costs and projected future development potential of each zone. The District fees are a one-time charge paid to the Transportation Department at the time of issuance of a certificate of occupancy or upon final inspection, whichever comes first. Properties that have already been developed are not subject to District fees.

III. LAND USE ASSUMPTIONS

Since the last amendment to the Menifee Valley District, the most significant change affecting the District was that all but one Zone (Zone E) were incorporated into the City of Menifee. As a result, the City has assumed responsibility for collecting RBBB fees within Zones A, B, C, D and F, and a small portion of Zone E. The County has the responsibility to collect RBBB fees within the majority of Zone E only.

Other potential land use changes affecting the District include the adoption by the Board of Supervisors of an update of the Riverside County General Plan under General Plan Amendment No. 960 (GPA No. 960). In general the land use designations did not change significantly within Zone E of the District. The Circulation Element update includes the facilities identified for funding by the District and the traffic analysis done as part of the adoption of GPA No. 960 continues to show a need for the facilities funded by the District.

As part of this amendment to the Menifee Valley District, the residential densities have been verified that they reflect the designations of the Sun City/Menifee and Harvest Valley/Winchester Area Plans, and Specific Plans. An inventory of the existing level of development within Zone E was conducted using aerial photographs and Geographic Information System (GIS) analysis. The projections of development potential were based on the difference between the existing land use inventory and the likely build out level of the Area Plans and Specific Plans. A midpoint range of the Area Plans' residential land use allocation designation was assumed as a likely build-out level based on historical patterns. For example, a 50-acre vacant site with an Area Plan land use designation of Medium Density residential (2 to 5 dwelling units per acre) equates to 3.5 dwelling units per acre for a future build-out level of 175 dwelling units. Portions of the District are within the "SR-79 Policy Area" established by the 2003 General Plan, which calls for a 9% reduction below the General Plan Land Use mid-point to reduce traffic impacts in the Policy Area. The midpoint range of 3.5 dwelling units per acre for a future build-out is reduced by the 9%, and equates to 3.19 dwelling units per acre for a future build-out within the SR-79 Policy Area.

In determining the likely residential build-out within Specific Plans, a factor of 85% of the maximum number of permitted dwelling units was used as a build-out assumption where no Tentative Tract Map has been approved. Where a Tentative Tract Map has been approved, the actual residential lot count of that map was used after taking into account some reductions due to the need to provide detention basins required to comply with the County's Water Quality Management Program (WQMP). Commercial and industrial land uses were determined based on designations in the Area Plans and Specific Plans, and were assumed to build-out at the full acreage allocated. The proposed land use projections in this report have also been revised to reflect the most recent amendments to Specific Plans.

IV. FACILITIES IDENTIFIED FOR FUNDING

The typical street improvement sections are based on the current Riverside County Transportation Department Improvement Standards for Urban Arterial and Arterial Highways. The District will fund improvements to roadways based on the County standard curb to curb only, unless otherwise specifically stated herein. The construction of roadway frontage improvements, e.g., (sidewalks, curb and gutter, and landscaping) will be the responsibility of the adjoining property owners. Unless otherwise specified herein, the District will not fund activities that do not result in ultimate improvements such as throw away tapers or interim projects.

Except where otherwise stated, the facility improvement costs are estimated costs and the actual costs of the facilities may be higher or lower than indicated. Facilities' budgets include a factor of about 45% that is intended to cover: construction cost contingency; design engineering; contributions to the Multi-Species Habitat Conservation Plan for coverage of facilities under that plan; preliminary survey; construction inspections and management; and District administration costs. A 5% administration cost will be maintained in the District Fund for the management and administration of the District.

A. Summary of Facilities and District Share Cost Estimates

The following tables provide a listing of each facility identified for funding within the Menifee Valley Road and Bridge Benefit District. Table 1 summarizes the estimated share of facilities costs proposed to be funded by the District (all Zones) and the current cost estimates previously adopted for the District in July 2006. Table 2 lists the estimated share of facilities costs proposed to be funded by Zone E only.

Table 1 – Facilities and District Share Cost Estimates (All Zones)

	Facility	Proposed District Share	Existing District Share
1.	Newport Road Interchange @ I-215	\$7,196,000	\$13,293,000
2.	Holland Road Overpass @ I-215	\$5,000,000	\$5,000,000
3.	Murrieta Road/Valley Blvd. (Holland to McCall)	\$2,700,000	\$2,700,000
4.	Newport Road (Goetz to Murrieta Rd.)	\$3,000,000	\$3,000,000
5.	Newport Road (Menifee Rd. to SR-79)*	\$4,615,644	\$24,608,527
6.	Murrieta Road/Valley Blvd. Bridge	\$10,000,000	\$10,000,000
7.	Goetz Road Bridge	\$5,000,000	\$5,000,000
8.	Leon Road Bridge (6 Lanes, including roadway)	\$21,486,859	\$16,241,630
9.	Rice Road Bridge (4 Lanes, including roadway)	\$17,450,593	\$12,258,370
	Total District Share Cost Estimate (All Zones)	\$76,449,096	\$92,101,527
	<i>Fees Collected/Interest Earned**(All Zones)</i>	<i>(17,711,554)</i>	<i>(17,711,554)</i>
	Remaining District Needs Cost Est (All Zones)	\$58,737,542	\$74,389,973

Shaded items are only funded by zones within the City of Menifee.

*The proposed District share amount excludes contributions by CFD 03-1 to the Newport Road (Menifee Road to SR-79) Project. The CFD contribution is no longer included, since that contribution is covered through TUMF credits.

**Revenues reported by the County as of April 9, 2016.

Table 2 – Facilities and District Share Cost Estimates (Zone E only)

	Facility	Proposed Zone E Share
1.	Newport Road Interchange @ I-215	\$1,782,672
2.	Holland Road Overpass @ I-215	\$1,900,000
3.	Murrieta Road/Valley Blvd. (Holland to McCall)	\$0
4.	Newport Road (Goetz to Murrieta Rd.)	\$0
5.	Newport Road (Menifee Rd. to SR-79)	\$1,504,260
6.	Murrieta Road/Valley Blvd. Bridge	\$0
7.	Goetz Road Bridge	\$0
8.	Leon Road Bridge (6 Lanes, including roadway)	\$21,486,859
9.	Rice Road Bridge (4 Lanes, including roadway)	\$17,450,593
	Total Zone E Share Cost Estimate	\$44,124,384
	<i>Fees Collected/Interest Earned**(Zone E)</i>	<i>(1,473,848)</i>
	Remaining Zone E Needs Cost Estimate	\$42,650,536

Shaded items are only funded by zones within the City of Menifee.

**Revenues reported by the County as of April 9, 2016.

The following facility descriptions identify each facility's location and/or limits, its cost sharing percentage, if any, the facility's construction type and cost estimate. Additional facility summary details are further provided in Exhibit B and Exhibit C.

B. Highway Interchange/Overcrossing Improvements

1. Newport Road @ I-215 Interchange – The total projected cost of the interchange improvement currently under construction is \$48,448,000. The County is party to an agreement with the City of Menifee that has apportioned this cost to a variety of funding sources, including \$435,000 in Developer Contributions, \$8,278,000 from TUMF, \$51,000 from Measure A, \$14,625,000 from federal Surface Transportation Program (STP), \$848,000 from Utility Companies, \$17,875,000 from the City of Menifee and an additional \$400,000 from the City of Menifee for contingencies. The District portion under the agreement is \$5,936,000. Additionally, the District previously paid \$1,260,000 toward an interim improvement of the Interchange completed in 2004. Therefore the total budget of the Interchange for the District is \$7,196,000. The District's apportionment will provide funding from Zone B at 14.4%, Zone C at 29.9%, Zone D at 30.9%, and Zone E at 24.8%. The Zone E share is \$1,782,672.

Although this facility has now been constructed, the District needs to continue to collect funding to repay the District for advancing funds from other zones.

2. Holland Road Overpass @ I-215 – The District's contribution to this facility has been set at \$5 million. The respective District's apportionment will provide funding by Zone B at 21%, Zone C at 41%, and Zone E at 38%. The Zone E share is \$1,900,000.

C. Roadway Improvements

1. Murrieta Road/Valley Blvd. (Holland Rd to McCall Blvd) is a Menifee RBBB facility that is only funded by Zones that are now within the City of Menifee and is not funded by Zone E.
2. Newport Road (Goetz Rd to Murrieta Rd) is a Menifee RBBB facility that is only funded by Zones that are now within the City of Menifee and is not funded by Zone E.
3. Newport Road/Domenigoni Parkway (Menifee Road to SR-79) has been constructed as a six (6)-lane Urban Arterial extending approximately 4.4 miles between Menifee Road and State Route 79. The total cost to construct the entire segment of Newport Road/Domenigoni Parkway to ultimate standards (curb to curb),

including the curb and gutter for the median, was \$22,224,171. CFD 03-1 contributed \$17,608,527 in funding for this facility and the District will fund the remainder of this facility with \$3,111,384 (14% of the total cost) coming from funds previously collected for Zone D prior to the incorporation of the City of Menifee and \$1,504,260 coming from funds to be collected in the future from Zone E properties that are outside of CFD 03-1.

Western Riverside Council of Governments (WRCOG) and the County entered into a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) that provides that only those properties within CFD 03-1 are eligible for any remaining TUMF credits for this facility, and all available TUMF credits for the facility have been reserved to offset the funding contribution of the CFD. The credits have been allocated this way due to the fact that the CFD advanced funding that made it possible for this facility to be constructed before the development impacts requiring this mitigation had occurred. As a result, the costs of this facility that are covered under the RBBB program do not overlap with the TUMF program.

D. Bridge Improvements

1. Murrieta Road/Valley Blvd Bridge is a Menifee RBBB facility that is only funded by Zones that are now in the City of Menifee and is not funded by Zone E.
2. Goetz Road Bridge is a Menifee RBBB facility that was only funded by Zones that are now in the City of Menifee and is not funded by Zone E.
3. Leon Road Bridge at Salt Creek will be constructed as a six (6) lane bridge, which will be 110' wide and 520' long. Funding for the construction of a six (6) lane Urban Arterial Highway between Olive Avenue and Newport Road is included in the cost estimate. Funding from CFD 05-1 was to have contributed to this facility. However, this CFD was not able to generate bond revenue and was dissolved through a separate action. The District will fund this facility entirely from Zone E. The estimated cost for this bridge is \$21,486,859. This cost includes a contingency of 20% for the bridge and 15% for the roadway components.
4. Rice Road Bridge at Salt Creek will be constructed as a four-lane bridge, which will be 64' wide and 520' long. Funding for the construction of a four (4) lane Secondary Highway between Olive Avenue and Newport Road is included in the cost estimate. Funding from CFD 05-1 was to have contributed to this facility. However, this CFD was not able to generate bond revenue and was dissolved through a separate action. The District will fund this facility entirely from Zone E. The

estimated cost for this bridge is \$17,450,593. This cost includes a contingency of 20% for the bridge and 15% for the roadway components.

V. ADJUSTMENTS DUE TO INCREASES/DECREASES IN ESTIMATED FACILITIES COST

The County is proposing to adjust the cost estimate for the Menifee Valley RBBB share in the Newport Road Interchange at I-215 to conform to a cooperative agreement with the City of Menifee for that facility (executed in June 2014 and amended in March 2015). Also, adjustments for the previous interim interchange improvement expenses paid for by the District prior to the City's incorporation have been accounted for in this proposed adjustment.

It is also proposed to adjust the District share of the Newport Road Extension (Menifee Road to State Route 79) to no longer include the CFD 03-1 contribution, since that contribution is covered through TUMF credits. The properties within CFD 03-1 are considered to have paid their share of the costs for that facility and all remaining costs allocated to the RBBB for this facility will only apply to the properties within Zones E1 and E3.

In addition, the Salt Creek Bridges CFD 05-1 was dissolved in December 2016 and the RBBB rates of Zone E will need to be adjusted to cover the full cost of those bridges. This will result in Zones E1 and E3 having the same rate, and Zones E2 and E4 having the same rate. Developed properties within the boundary of dissolved CFD 05-1 will no longer receive credits that would cause any distinctions in the rates to be paid inside and outside of that boundary.

The cost estimates for all other facilities within the District remain unchanged.

VI. RESULTING ADJUSTMENTS TO THE FEE SCHEDULE

A. Overview of Proposed Amendment

The last amendment to the Menifee Valley District was adopted in June 2008. Since then several changes have occurred. On October 1, 2008 the City of Menifee incorporated. As a result, the County now only collects District fees within the unincorporated portion of Zone E.

The County formed Community Facilities District (CFD) 05-1 with various property owners in order to finance the construction of two bridges across Salt Creek at Leon Road and Rice Road. However, the CFD for the bridges was never funded due to the economic downturn and was dissolved in December 2016. As a result, the District will now include the costs of those facilities that were to otherwise be

funded by CFD 05-1.

The County and the City of Menifee entered into a cooperative agreement in June 2014 and an amendment in March 2015 that outlined the funding for the Newport Road/I-215 Interchange improvements. The District share of the current estimated costs and previous costs of interim improvements to the Interchange are lower than the District share anticipated in 2008, so the proposed District budget for the interchange is being reduced.

The costs of Newport Road Extension between Menifee Road and SR-79 in the District have been reduced to only include the actual costs paid by the District that exceeded the funding provided by CFD 03-1, which was formed and funded for that facility. Since the properties within CFD 03-1 paid for their share of that facility through this CFD, the District share will only be applied to the properties outside of this CFD in Zones E1 and E3.

B. Proposed Fee Schedules and Projected Revenues

The fee schedule for the Menifee Valley District is calculated by distributing the estimated construction cost of all facilities identified for a Zone among all land use designations in the Zone. Revenues received by the District are applied against the revenues needed to cover estimated project cost. Revenues and land use projections were calculated through March 31, 2016.

In the case of Zone E, four (4) different fee schedules were created in 2008 to account for the two CFDs (CFDs 03-1 and 05-1) that would contribute funding to an extension of Newport Road and the Leon Road and Rice Road Bridges. However, under this amendment the CFD for Leon Road and Rice Road Bridges (CFD 05-1) has been dissolved and will not contribute funding for the Bridges.

The following Table 3 lists the proposed fee schedules along with the projected revenue for each land use designation in Zone E of the Menifee Valley RBBB.

Table 3 – Proposed Fee and Revenue Projections (Zone E)

Description	County Units	City Units	Totals	Unit	Proposed Fee Per Unit	Projected Revenue
Residential (Less Developed DUs) Zone E1	148	749	897	du	\$4,656	\$4,176,432
Residential (Less Developed DUs) Zone E2 (Newport Rd CFD 03-1)	9	487	496	du	\$4,016	\$1,991,936
Residential (Less Developed DUs) Zone E3	1,456		1,456	du	\$4,656	\$6,779,136
Residential (Less Developed DUs) Zone E4 (Newport Rd CFD 03-1)	7,087		7,087	du	\$4,016	\$28,461,392
Residential Subtotals	8,700	1,236	9,936			\$41,408,896
Commercial/Office/Industrial Zones E1 through E4	212	16	228	acre	\$5,497	\$1,253,316
	Revenue Subtotal					\$42,662,212
	Previously Collected Revenues					\$1,473,848
	Total Proposed Revenues					\$44,136,060

C. Proposed and Existing Fee Rate Comparisons

The proposed District fee rate changes are primarily due to adjustments as a result of the dissolution of the Leon Road and Rice Road bridges CFD 05-1. The proposed fees reflect the additional funding needed to replace the revenue that was to have come from CFD 05-1. Other adjustments are attributed to updated project costs and demographics.

The fee rate schedules for Zones B, C and F are no longer included in this report, since those zones are now within the City of Menifee and the City collects the respective RBBB fees within those zones. The following Table 4 compares the proposed and existing Zone E rates.

TABLE 4 – Zone E Rate Comparisons

Description	Unit	Proposed Fee/Unit	Existing Fee/Unit*
Residential - Zone E1 (No CFD)	du	\$4,656	\$5,074
Residential - Zone E2 (CFD 03-1)	du	\$4,016	\$2,918
Residential - Zone E3 (No CFD)	du	\$4,656	\$5,074
Residential - Zone E4 (CFD 03-1)	du	\$4,016	\$2,918
Commercial/Office/Industrial	ac	\$5,497	\$6,945

* The existing residential rates for Zones E3 and E4 reflect the cessation of CFD 05-1.

VII. FINDINGS

1. Since the last amendment to the Menifee Valley Road and Bridge Benefit District, the City of Menifee incorporated and now includes all of Zones B, C, D and F and a portion of Zone E within its boundaries, leaving only the majority of Zone E within the unincorporated County. A legal description of Zone E is included as Exhibit D.
2. Under this proposed amendment, Salt Creek Bridges CFD 05-1 has been dissolved and the RBBB rates of Zone E have been adjusted to reflect the removal of CFD 05-1 and the integration of the full cost of these bridges.
3. The District's share of the Newport Road Extension (Menifee Road to State Route 79) has been adjusted to no longer include the CFD 03-1 contribution, since that contribution is covered through TUMF credits. The properties within CFD 03-1 are considered to have paid their share of the costs for that facility and all remaining costs allocated to the RBBB for this facility will only apply to the properties within Zones E1 and E3.
4. The County and the City of Menifee entered into a cooperative agreement in June 2014 and an amendment in March 2015 that outlined the funding for the Newport Road/I-215 Interchange improvements. The District share of the current estimated costs and previous costs of interim improvements to the Interchange are lower than the District share anticipated in 2008, so the proposed District budget for the interchange is being reduced.
5. The estimated construction costs of the facilities have been updated to reflect the addition/removal, or modification of facilities within the Menifee Valley District.
6. The recommended change in RBBB fee schedules for this District will provide adequate funding to cover the District's contribution toward the construction of the proposed regional transportation improvement projects identified in this report.
7. The proposed and existing Menifee Valley RBBB fee rates are shown in Exhibit E.

VIII. RECOMMENDATION


The Transportation Department recommends the adoption of the proposed Amendment No. 9 to the Menifee Valley Road and Bridge Benefit District and to adjust the RBBB fees in Zone E as indicated in this Report.

EXHIBIT A

Maps

MENIFEE VALLEY

Road and Bridge Benefit District (Zone E)




DRAFT - SUBJECT TO CHANGE
5/24/2016



Disclaimer: Maps and data are to be used for reference purposes only. Map features are approximate, and are not necessarily accurate to surveying or engineering standards. The County of Riverside makes no warranty or guarantee as to the content (the source is often third party), accuracy, timeliness, or completeness of any of the data provided, and assumes no legal responsibility for the information contained on this map. Any use of this product with respect to accuracy and precision shall be the sole responsibility of the user.



MENIFEE VALLEY Road and Bridge Benefit District



Disclaimer: Maps and data are to be used for reference purposes only. Map features are approximate, and are not necessarily accurate to surveying or engineering standards. The County of Riverside makes no warranty or guarantee as to the content (the source is often third party), accuracy, timeliness, or completeness of any of the data provided, and assumes no legal responsibility for the information contained on this map. Any use of this product with respect to accuracy and precision shall be the sole responsibility of the user.



- Notes:**
1. Zones B, C, D and F have been incorporated within the City of Menifee and the City collects the RBBB fees within those zones.
 2. Portions of Zone E fall within both the City and the County. Each jurisdiction collects RBBB fees within their respective portions of Zone E.

EXHIBIT B

Menifee Valley RBBB Facilities Summary (Zone E)

MENIFEE VALLEY RBBB FACILITIES SUMMARY (ZONE E)

	Name of Facility	Increase in Lanes	Facility Type	Total Estimated Project Cost	RBBB Share	Zone E Share	Admin Fee 5%	Adjusted Zone E Budget	Zone E %	Total Length (Linear Lane Feet)	RBBB Credit/Reimbursement Per Linear Foot/Lane	Zone(s)
1	Newport Rd Interchange @ I-215		Interchange	49,708,000	7,196,000	1,782,672	-89,134	1,693,538	4.0%		N/A	B,C,D,E
2	Holland Road Overpass @ I-215	4	Overpass	10,000,000	5,000,000	1,900,000	-95,000	1,805,000	4.3%		N/A	B,C,E
3	Newport Rd (Meniffee Rd to SR-79)	6	Road	22,224,171	4,615,644	1,504,260	-75,213	1,429,047	3.4%		N/A	E
4	Leon Road Bridge @ Salt Creek	6	Bridge	15,468,714	15,468,714	15,468,714	-773,436	14,695,278	35.1%		N/A	E
4a	Leon Road (North of bridge to Olive Ave)	6	Road	4,073,865	4,073,865	4,073,865	-203,693	3,870,172	9.2%	6 Lanes @ 1,113'=6,678'	\$580	E
4b	Leon Road (South of bridge to Newport Rd/Domenigoni Pkwy)	6	Road	1,195,932	1,195,932	1,195,932	-59,797	1,136,135	2.7%	6 Lanes @ 914'=5,484'	\$207	E
4c	Leon Road Traffic Signals (3)		Traffic Signal	748,348	748,348	748,348	-37,417	710,931	1.7%		\$236,977 per T.S.	E
5	Rice Road Bridge @ Salt Creek	4	Bridge	9,934,532	9,934,532	9,934,532	-496,727	9,437,805	22.5%		N/A	E
5a	Rice Road (Olive Ave to Newport Rd/Domenigoni Pkwy, excluding the bridge)	4	Road	7,266,808	7,266,808	7,266,808	-363,340	6,903,468	16.5%	4 Lanes @ 1,920'=7,680'	\$899	E
5b	Rice Road Traffic Signal @ Newport Rd/Domenigoni Pkwy		Traffic Signal	249,253	249,253	249,253	-12,463	236,790	0.6%		\$236,790 per T.S.	E
	Totals			120,869,623	55,749,096	44,124,384	-2,206,219	41,918,165	100%			
	Total Revenues Received					-1,473,848						
	Total Revenues Needed					42,650,536						

EXHIBIT C

District Facilities and Comparisons by Zone E

DISTRICT FACILITIES AND COMPARISONS BY ZONE E

	Facility	Proposed Zone E Share	Existing Zone E Share
1	Newport Road Interchange @ I-215 (Zone E Share)	1,782,672	4,456,720
2	Holland Road Overpass @ I-215 (Zone E Share)	1,900,000	1,900,000
3	Newport Rd (Meniffee Rd. to SR-79) (Zone E1/E3 Share)	1,504,260	3,888,616
4	Leon Road Bridge @ Salt Creek	21,486,859	16,241,630
5	Rice Road Bridge @ Salt Creek	17,450,593	12,258,370
Total Zone E Share Cost Estimate		44,124,384	38,745,336
Total Revenues Received		(1,473,848)	
Remaining Zone E Needs Cost Estimate		42,650,536	

DETAILS

1	Newport Road Interchange @ I-215	Total Estimate	Zone E Share
	Zone B 14.4%; Zone C 29.9%; Zone D 30.9% Shares	5,413,328	
	Zone E Share 24.8%	1,782,672	1,782,672
	Total RBBB Share (Zones: B, C, D, E)	7,196,000	
	Developer Contributions	435,000	
	TUMF Budget	8,278,000	
	Measure A	51,000	
	Surface Transportation Program (STP)	14,625,000	
	Utility Companies	848,000	
	City of Meniffee	17,875,000	
	City of Meniffee (Additional Funding for 15% Contingency)	400,000	
	Totals	49,708,000	1,782,672

2	Holland Road Overpass @ I-215	Total Estimate	Zone E Share
	Zone B Share 21%	1,050,000	
	Zone C Share 41%	2,050,000	
	Zone E Share 38%	1,900,000	1,900,000
	Totals	5,000,000	1,900,000

3	Newport Road Extension (Meniffee Rd to SR-79) (6 Lanes)	Total Estimate	Zone E Share
	CFD 03-1 Contribution	17,608,527	
	RBBB Contribution (Zones D & E)	4,615,644	
	Zone D share = 14% of Total Estimate = \$3,111,384		
	Zone E share = 86% of Total Est - CFD Contribution = \$1,504,260		1,504,260
	Totals	22,224,171	1,504,260

4	Leon Road Bridge @ Salt Creek	Total Estimate	Zone E Share
	6-Lane Bridge and Roadway	21,486,859	21,486,859
	DIF Share	341,000	
	Totals	21,827,859	21,486,859

5	Rice Road Bridge @ Salt Creek	Total Estimate	Zone E Share
	4-Lane Bridge and Roadway	17,450,593	17,450,593
	DIF Share	341,000	
	Totals	17,791,593	17,450,593

EXHIBIT D

Legal Description (Zone E)

**MENIFEE VALLEY ROAD AND
BRIDGE BENEFIT DISTRICT
ZONE "E"**

BEING ALL OF SECTIONS 31, 32 AND 33, PORTIONS OF SECTIONS 28, 29 AND 30, TOWNSHIP 5 SOUTH, RANGE 2 WEST, S.B.M.; ALL OF SECTIONS 4, 5 AND 6, TOWNSHIP 6 SOUTH, RANGE 2 WEST, S.B.M.; PORTIONS OF SECTIONS 25 AND 36, TOWNSHIP 5 SOUTH, RANGE 3 WEST, S.B.M.; ALL OF SECTION 1, TOWNSHIP 6 SOUTH, RANGE 3 WEST, S.B.M., BEING MORE PARTICULARLY DESCRIBED AS FOLLOWS:

BEGINNING AT THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SAID SECTION 33, TOWNSHIP 5 SOUTH, RANGE 2 WEST;

THENCE SOUTHERLY ALONG THE EAST LINE OF SAID SECTION 33 TOWNSHIP 5 SOUTH, RANGE 2 WEST AND THE EAST LINE OF SAID SECTION 4 TOWNSHIP 6 SOUTH, RANGE 2 WEST TO THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF SAID SECTION 4;

THENCE WESTERLY ALONG THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID SECTIONS 4, 5, AND 6, OF TOWNSHIP 6 SOUTH, RANGE 2 WEST AND THE SOUTH LINE OF SAID SECTION 1, TOWNSHIP 6 SOUTH, RANGE 3 WEST TO THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF SAID SECTION 1;

THENCE NORTHERLY ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID SECTION 1 TO THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID SECTION 1;

THENCE EASTERLY ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID SECTION 1 TO THE SOUTH ONE-QUARTER CORNER OF SAID SECTION 36, TOWNSHIP 5 SOUTH, RANGE 3 WEST;

THENCE N 00°30'19" E ALONG THE NORTH-SOUTH SECTION LINE OF SAID SECTION 36 A DISTANCE OF 1325.74 FEET TO THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF THE SOUTH HALF OF THE SOUTHEAST ONE-QUARTER OF SAID SECTION 36;

THENCE S 89°18'54" E ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID SOUTH HALF OF THE SOUTHEAST ONE-QUARTER OF SAID SECTION 36, A DISTANCE OF 2622.30 FEET TO THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SAID SOUTH HALF OF THE SOUTHEAST ONE-QUARTER OF SAID SECTION 36, ALSO BEING THE SOUTHEAST CORNER OF PARCEL 32 OF PARCEL MAP 21838, ON FILE IN PARCEL MAP BOOK 146, PAGES 1 THROUGH 26 INCLUSIVE, OFFICIAL RECORDS OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA;

THENCE NORTHERLY ALONG THE EAST LINES OF SAID SECTION 36 AND SECTION 25, TOWNSHIP 5 SOUTH, RANGE 3 WEST, AND THE EAST LINE OF SAID PARCEL 32, A DISTANCE OF 3942.20 FEET TO THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SAID PARCEL 32;

THENCE N 69°02'18" W, ALONG THE NORTHERLY LINES OF SAID PARCEL 32 AND PARCEL 34 OF SAID PARCEL MAP, A DISTANCE OF 119.66 FEET TO AN ANGLE POINT IN SAID NORTHERLY LINE OF PARCEL 34;

THENCE N 74°50'52" W, ALONG SAID NORTHERLY LINE OF PARCEL 34, A DISTANCE OF 1243.52 FEET TO AN ANGLE POINT IN SAID NORTHERLY LINE;

THENCE N 0°20'32" E, A DISTANCE OF 1,087.02 FEET TO THE MOST NORTHERLY NORTHEAST CORNER OF SAID PARCEL 34;

THENCE N 89°11'21" W ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID PARCEL 34 AND ITS WESTERLY PROLONGATION, A DISTANCE OF 1318.43 FEET TO A POINT ON THE EASTERLY LINE OF LOT "I" OF SAID PARCEL MAP;

THENCE N 0°09'43" E ALONG SAID EASTERLY LINE OF LOT "I", A DISTANCE OF 5.07 FEET TO THE NORTHEAST CORNER THEREOF;

THENCE N 88°55'47" W ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID LOT "I" AND THE NORTHERLY LINE OF PARCEL 30 OF SAID PARCEL MAP, A DISTANCE OF 1319.29 FEET TO AN ANGLE POINT IN SAID NORTHERLY LINE OF PARCEL 30;

THENCE N 0°00'08" W, ALONG SAID NORTHERLY LINE, A DISTANCE OF 1306.19 FEET TO THE MOST NORTHERLY NORTHEAST CORNER OF SAID PARCEL 30, ALSO BEING THE EAST-WEST CENTER-SECTION LINE OF SAID SECTION 25, TOWNSHIP 5 SOUTH, RANGE 3 WEST;

THENCE EASTERLY ALONG THE EAST-WEST CENTER-SECTION LINE OF SAID SECTION 25, TO THE WEST ONE-QUARTER CORNER OF SAID SECTION 30, TOWNSHIP 5 SOUTH, RANGE 2 WEST;

THENCE EASTERLY ALONG THE EAST-WEST CENTER-SECTION LINE OF SAID SECTION 30 TO THE WEST ONE-QUARTER CORNER OF SAID SECTION 29, TOWNSHIP 5 SOUTH, RANGE 2 WEST;

THENCE N 89°47'22" E ALONG THE EAST-WEST CENTER-SECTION LINE OF SAID SECTION 29, A DISTANCE OF 400.54 FEET TO THE EAST LINE OF PARCEL 2 OF PARCEL MAP 6517 ON FILE IN PARCEL MAP BOOK 22, PAGE 6, OFFICIAL RECORDS OF SAID COUNTY;

THENCE N0°07'27" WALONG THE EASTERLY LINE OF SAID PARCEL 2 A DISTANCE OF 1293.68 FEET TO AN ANGLE POINT IN SAID EASTERLY LINE;

THENCE N49°50'05" WALONG SAID EASTERLY LINE A DISTANCE OF 40.91 FEET TO THE NORTH LINE OF SAID PARCEL 2;

THENCE S89°47'22" WALONG SAID NORTH LINE A DISTANCE OF 368.81 TO THE WEST LINE OF SAID SECTION 29;

THENCE N0°07'27" WALONG SAID WEST LINE A DISTANCE OF 1336.32 FEET TO THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF SAID SECTION 29 ALSO BEING A POINT ON THE CENTERLINE OF GRAND AVENUE;

THENCE EASTERLY ALONG SAID CENTERLINE OF GRAND AVENUE TO THE NORTH ONE-QUARTER CORNER OF SAID SECTION 29;

THENCE S0°56'35" WALONG THE NORTH-SOUTH CENTER-SECTION LINE OF SAID SECTION 29, A DISTANCE OF 1332.24 FEET TO THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF PARCEL MAP 5986 ON FILE IN PARCEL MAP BOOK 16, PAGE 78, OFFICIAL RECORDS OF SAID COUNTY;

THENCE N89°54'52" W ALONG THE NORTHERLY LINE OF SAID PARCEL MAP 5986 A DISTANCE OF 362.64 FEET TO THE NORTHWEST CORNER OF PARCEL 3 OF SAID PARCEL MAP 5986;

THENCE S0°56'21" W ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID PARCEL 3 TO THE EAST-WEST CENTER-SECTION LINE OF SAID SECTION 29;

THENCE EASTERLY ALONG SAID CENTER-SECTION LINE TO THE SOUTHWEST CORNER OF THE SOUTHEAST ONE-QUARTER OF THE NORTHEAST ONE-QUARTER OF SAID SECTION 29;

THENCE NORTHERLY ALONG THE WEST LINE OF SAID SOUTHEAST ONE-QUARTER OF THE NORTHEAST ONE-QUARTER OF SAID SECTION 29 TO THE NORTHEAST CORNER THEREOF;

THENCE EASTERLY ALONG THE NORTH LINE OF SAID SOUTHEAST ONE-QUARTER OF THE NORTHEAST ONE-QUARTER OF SAID SECTION 29 TO THE EAST LINE OF SAID SECTION 29;

THENCE SOUTHERLY ALONG SAID EAST LINE TO THE WEST ONE-QUARTER CORNER OF SAID SECTION 28;

THENCE EASTERLY ALONG THE EAST-WEST CENTER-SECTION LINE OF SAID SECTION 28 TO THE CENTERLINE OF FARNSWORTH STREET;

THENCE SOUTHERLY ALONG SAID CENTERLINE OF FARNSWORTH STREET TO THE NORTH LINE OF THE SOUTH HALF OF THE SOUTHWEST ONE-QUARTER OF THE SOUTHEAST ONE-QUARTER OF SAID SECTION 28;

THENCE EASTERLY ALONG SAID NORTH LINE TO THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF SAID SOUTH HALF;


THENCE SOUTHERLY ALONG THE EAST LINE OF SAID SOUTH HALF TO THE NORTH LINE OF SAID SECTION 33;

THENCE EASTERLY ALONG SAID NORTH LINE OF SECTION 33 TO THE **POINT OF BEGINNING.**

CONTAINING 7.2 SQUARE MILES, MORE OR LESS.

APPROVED BY: *Edward D. Hunt*

DATE: *8-3-2006*



REVISED 7-19-06

EXHIBIT E

Fee Rate Schedules

PROPOSED RATES

Menifee Valley RBBB (Zone E)

TYPE	ZONE E1 ⁽²⁾ (No CFD)	ZONE E2 ⁽²⁾ (CFD 03-1)	ZONE E3 (No CFD)	ZONE E4 (CFD 03-1)
Residential RBBB Fee (per du)⁽¹⁾	\$4,656	\$4,016	\$4,656	\$4,016
<i>Residential TUMF Credit (per du)⁽³⁾</i>	\$0	(\$1,775)	\$0	(\$1,775)
Retail Commercial, Service, Office, Industrial RBBB Fee (per gross ac)⁽¹⁾	\$5,497	\$5,497	\$5,497	\$5,497
<i>Retail Commercial TUMF Credit (per SF GFA)⁽³⁾</i>	\$0	(\$2.10)	\$0	(\$2.10)

Notes:

1. Zones B, C, D and F are no longer shown, since they have been incorporated within the City of Menifee and the City collects the RBBB fees within those zones.
2. Portions of Zone E fall within both the County of Riverside and the City of Menifee. Each jurisdiction collects RBBB fees within their respective portion of Zone E.
3. Residential developments within the Newport Road Extension CFD 03-1 are eligible for TUMF credits in accordance with the Memorandum of Understanding between WRCOG and the County of Riverside for CFD 03-1, dated 10-28-2014.

Community Facilities District (CFD):

CFD 03-1 – Newport Road Extension (Domenigoni Parkway)

EXISTING RATES

Menifee Valley RBBB

Resolution No. 2006-359 (9/12/06)

Effective 12/6/2016 (In conjunction with Ordinances 933 and 867.1)⁽⁶⁾

TYPE	ZONE E1 ⁽²⁾ (No CFD)	ZONE E2 ⁽²⁾ (CFD 03-1)	ZONE E3 ⁽⁴⁾ (No CFD)	ZONE E4 ⁽⁴⁾ (CFD 03-1)
Residential RBBB Fee (per du)⁽¹⁾	\$5,074	\$2,918	\$5,074⁽⁵⁾	\$2,918⁽⁵⁾
<i>Residential TUMF Credit (per du)⁽³⁾</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>(\$1,775)</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>(\$1,775)</i>
Retail Commercial, Service, Office, Industrial RBBB Fee (per gross ac)⁽¹⁾	\$6,945	\$6,945	\$6,945	\$6,945
<i>Retail Commercial TUMF Credit (per SF GFA)⁽³⁾</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>(\$2.10)</i>	<i>\$0</i>	<i>(\$2.10)</i>

Notes:

1. Zones B, C, D and F are no longer shown, since they have been incorporated within the City of Menifee and the City collects the RBBB fees within those zones.
2. Portions of Zone E fall within both the County of Riverside and the City of Menifee. Each jurisdiction collects RBBB fees within their respective portion of Zone E.
3. Residential developments within the Newport Road Extension CFD 03-1 are eligible for TUMF credits in accordance with the Memorandum of Understanding between WRCOG and the County of Riverside for CFD 03-1, dated 10-28-2014.
4. Zones E3 and E4 formerly included CFD 05-1, which was dissolved by the County on December 6, 2016 (Ordinances 933 and 867.1).
5. The residential rates for Zones E3 and E4 reflect the cessation of CFD 05-1.
6. Rates are pending future adjustments to include the Salt Creek Bridges costs that were formerly covered by CFD 05-1.

Community Facilities Districts (CFD):

- CFD 03-1 – Newport Road Extension (Domenigoni Parkway)
- CFD 05-1 – Salt Creek Bridges (at Leon Rd and Rice Rd) (Dissolved)

SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA



ITEM
3.58
(ID # 4652)

MEETING DATE:
Tuesday, July 25, 2017


FROM : TLMA-TRANSPORTATION:

SUBJECT: TRANSPORTATION AND LAND MANAGEMENT AGENCY/ TRANSPORTATION:
Introduction of Resolution No. 2017-130 Relating to Proposed Amendment No. 9
to the Menifee Valley Road and Bridge Benefit District. District 3; [\$0] [Set for
Public Hearing – Clerk to Advertise]

RECOMMENDED MOTION: That the Board of Supervisors:

1. Adopt Resolution No. 2017-130 introducing Amendment No. 9 to the Menifee Valley Road and Bridge Benefit District; and
2. Set a Public Hearing for Amendment No. 9 to the Menifee Valley Road and Bridge Benefit District for August 29, 2017.


ACTION: Clerk to Advertise, Set for Hearing, Policy


Patricia Romo, Director of Transportation 6/27/2017

MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

On motion of Supervisor Jeffries, seconded by Supervisor Tavaglione and duly carried by unanimous vote, IT WAS ORDERED that the above matter is approved as recommended, and is set for public hearing Tuesday, August 29, 2017 at 9:00 a.m. or as soon as possible thereafter.

Ayes: Jeffries, Tavaglione, Washington, Perez and Ashley
Nays: None
Absent: None
Date: July 25, 2017
xc: Transp., COB

Kecia Harper-Ihem
Clerk of the Board
By: 
Deputy

**SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE,
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

FINANCIAL DATA	Current Fiscal Year:	Next Fiscal Year:	Total Cost:	Ongoing Cost
COST	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
NET COUNTY COST	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
SOURCE OF FUNDS: N/A. No general funds will be used.			Budget Adjustment: No	
			For Fiscal Year: N/A	

C.E.O. RECOMMENDATION: Approve

BACKGROUND:

Summary

The Menifee Valley Road and Bridge Benefit District (District) was established in May 1988 and subsequently amended several times over the years, with the last amendment occurring in September 2006. The District was formed to fund specific, regional road and bridge improvements determined to provide a benefit to the developing properties within portions of the Sun City/Menifee and Harvest Valley/Winchester areas of Riverside County.

Amendment No. 9 to the District proposes to update Zone E, which is the only remaining Zone left within the unincorporated County boundaries following the incorporation of the City of Menifee in October 2008. Since the incorporation of the City of Menifee, District Zones B, C, D, F and a small portion of Zone E are now within the City's boundaries and the City collects the respective Road and Bridge Benefit District (RBBB) fees within those Zones. However, the majority of Zone E still remains within the unincorporated County jurisdiction. A District Boundary Map for Zone E is attached.

The property owners/developers within Zone E of the District participated with the County in the dissolution of the Salt Creek Bridges Community Facilities District (CFD) 05-1, which occurred in December 2016. This CFD was intended to provide financing towards the construction of the Salt Creek Bridges at Leon Road and Rice Road within Zone E. However, due to the economic downturn, CFD 05-1 was never funded. The Salt Creek Bridges are currently listed as needed facilities in the Menifee Valley RBBB, but with a lesser cost contribution, as it was planned for CFD 05-1 to fund a majority of the Salt Creek Bridges. The County, with the concurrence of the developers, is now proposing to adjust the Zone E fee rates to include the costs for the Salt Creek Bridges that were to otherwise have been funded by CFD 05-1. Also, the Salt Creek Bridges are not covered under the Transportation Uniform Mitigation Fee (TUMF) Program.

The proposed rates in Zone E are also being adjusted for those properties within CFD 03-1 (Newport Road Extension), which provided funding for the completed Newport Road Extension Project between Menifee Road and SR-79 (Winchester Road). This segment of roadway is now named Domenigoni Parkway. The amount of funds that this facility received from CFD 03-1 and from the TUMF Program are now excluded from the RBBB rate calculation in accordance with a separate agreement between the County and Western Riverside Council of Governments (WRCOG). However, a portion of Zone E, which is not within CFD 03-1, will still be responsible

**SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE,
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

to cover the portion of the Newport Road Extension costs that were not funded by this CFD and TUMF.

In addition, the County is proposing to adjust the cost estimate for the District's share in the Newport Road Interchange at I-215 to conform to a cooperative agreement with the City of Menifee for that facility (executed in June 2014 and amended in March 2015).

The County of Riverside Transportation Department has prepared a report entitled "Analysis Report for Amendment No. 9 to the Menifee Valley Road and Bridge Benefit District, May 2017" that proposes to: make adjustments to the estimated construction costs for identified facilities to be funded by the Menifee Valley RBBB; update the land use assumptions to reflect an update of the Riverside County General Plan under General Plan Amendment No. 960; and make the associated adjustments to the District fee schedule, which is represented in the following table.

Proposed Menifee Valley RBBB Fee Schedule

Type	Zone E1 (No CFD)	Zone E2 (CFD 03-1)	Zone E3 (No CFD)	Zone E4 (CFD 03-1)
Residential (per du)	\$4,656	\$4,016	\$4,656	\$4,016
Commercial, Office Commercial, Industrial (per acre)	\$5,497	\$5,497	\$5,497	\$5,497

Impact on Residents and Businesses

At the time Salt Creek Bridges CFD 05-1 was formed within the District's Zone E, the County was experiencing tremendous development growth, and it was anticipated that CFD bonds could be sold supported by a tax levy on land with approved new residential development projects that were entitled but not yet constructed. Credits would be issued against the Menifee Valley Road and Bridge Benefit District fees for those developments that contributed to the special tax levy. That ability to sell bonds, supported by taxes levied on proposed but unbuilt units, became a casualty of the economic downturn, which made it extremely difficult, if not impossible, to market such bonds. Even today, with an improving economy, it remains difficult to sell bonds against undeveloped land.

New development in the Winchester area that were previously required to participate in CFD 05-1 are now free of this assessment and will pay Menifee Valley RBBB fees as they develop, rather than waiting for the right economic conditions to sell CFD bonds. This will help new development to move forward on a "pay as you go" approach.

SUPPLEMENTAL:

Additional Fiscal Information

N/A

SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE,
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Contract History and Price Reasonableness

N/A

ATTACHMENTS:

Menifee Valley RBBD Map

Resolution No. 2017-130

Analysis Report for Amendment No. 9 to the Menifee Valley RBBD


Dale Gardner

7/7/2017


Tina Grande, Principal Management Analyst

7/18/2017


Gregory V. Priamos, Director County Counsel

7/10/2017

2 RESOLUTION NO. 2017-130

3 RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
4 DECLARING ITS INTENTION TO AMEND THE MENIFEE VALLEY ROAD AND
5 BRIDGE BENEFIT DISTRICT, INCLUDING ADJUSTING FEE SCHEDULES AND
6 DISTRICT FACILITIES COST ESTIMATES

7 (AMENDMENT NO. 9)

8 WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors (the "Board") of the County of Riverside (the "County")
9 pursuant to Section 66484 of the California Government Code and Section 10.30 of Riverside County
10 Ordinance No. 460, as amended, (the "Ordinance"), has established a program whereby an area of benefit
11 may be established to charge a fee to defray the actual or estimated costs for the construction of bridges
12 and major thoroughfares as a condition of approval of a final map or as a condition of issuing a building
13 permit; and

14 WHEREAS, the Board adopted Resolution No. 88-242 on May 24, 1988, pursuant to Subsection
15 D of Section 10.30 of the Ordinance, establishing the Menifee Valley Road and Bridge Benefit District
16 (the "District") and a fee schedule for said District regarding the approved designated improvements; and

17 WHEREAS, the "Rules and Regulations for the Administration of Road and Bridge Benefit
18 Districts," as adopted by Resolution No. 85-92 on April 2, 1985, and subsequently amended, provides that
19 the boundaries of a road and bridge benefit district may be adjusted from time to time in response to
20 changed conditions, that new improvements may be designated as improvements to be constructed by a
21 road and bridge benefit district to address changed conditions and that the County's Director of
22 Transportation, as administrator, shall review a road and bridge benefit district annually to determine if
23 any revisions to the geographical boundaries or modifications of the designated improvements should be
24 considered by the Board; and

25 WHEREAS, the City of Menifee incorporated since the last amendment to the District and the
26 City's boundaries now include all of Zones B, C, D, F and a portion of Zone E, leaving only the majority
27 portion of Zone E within the unincorporated County jurisdiction; and

28 WHEREAS, the boundaries of the District Zone E are reflected in the attached map of the Menifee

FORM APPROVED COUNTY COUNSEL
BY: Dale A. Gardner 7/7/17
DATE

1 Valley RBBB (Zone E) as Exhibit A.

2 WHEREAS, the property owners/developers within Zone E of the District participated with the
3 County in the dissolution of Community Facilities District (CFD) 05-1 (Salt Creek Bridges at Leon Road
4 and Rice Road), which occurred in December 2016; and

5 WHEREAS, the Salt Creek Bridges are currently listed as needed facilities in the Menifee Valley
6 RBBB, but with a lesser cost contribution, as it was planned for CFD 05-1 to fund a majority of the Salt
7 Creek Bridges, and for which the County is now proposing to adjust the Zone E fee rates to include the
8 full costs for the Salt Creek Bridges due to the dissolution of CFD 05-1; and

9 WHEREAS, the County is proposing to adjust the cost estimate for the District's share in the
10 Newport Road Interchange at I-215 to conform to a cooperative agreement with the City of Menifee for
11 that facility (executed in June 2014 and amended in March 2015); and

12 WHEREAS, the County is proposing to adjust the District's share of the Newport Road Extension
13 (Menifee Road to State Route 79) to no longer include the Newport Road Extension CFD 03-1
14 contribution, since that contribution is now covered through Transportation Uniform Mitigation Fee
15 (TUMF) credits; and

16 WHEREAS, the County's Transportation Department has prepared a report entitled "Analysis
17 Report for Amendment No. 9 to the Menifee Valley Road and Bridge Benefit District, May 2017" that
18 proposes to make adjustments to the estimated construction costs for identified facilities to be funded by
19 the Menifee Valley District, update the land use assumptions to reflect an update of the Riverside County
20 General Plan under General Plan Amendment No. 960, and make the associated adjustments to the
21 District fee schedules; and

22 WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 65091 of the California Government Code, a public hearing shall
23 be duly noticed and date set to consider adoption of Amendment No. 9 to the Menifee Valley Road and
24 Bridge Benefit District and to consider any written or oral protests that interested parties may have with
25 regard to Amendment No. 9 to the District.

26
27 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, DETERMINED, FOUND AND ORDERED by the
28 County of Riverside Board of Supervisors, as follows:

1 **Section 1.** The above recitals are true and correct.

2 **Section 2.** Notice is hereby given that a public hearing shall be held by the Board of Supervisors
3 in the Board Chambers on the 1st Floor at 4080 Lemon Street, Riverside, California, 92501 on August 29,
4 2017 at 9:00 AM regarding the proposed Amendment No. 9 to the Menifee Valley Road and Bridge
5 Benefit District (RBBD), at which time all interested parties are invited to appear and speak in regards to
6 the proposed Amendment No. 9 to the Menifee Valley RBBD. Written comments regarding this
7 Amendment may be submitted to the Clerk of the Board at 4080 Lemon Street, 1st Floor, Riverside,
8 California, 92501, prior to the close of the Public Hearing.

9 **Section 3.** The District has been designed in a manner to distribute the cost of the development
10 and construction of the improvements on an equitable basis among benefiting properties. The fee
11 levels for this District are determined based on the estimated improvement costs and projected
12 future development potential of each zone. The fee schedule for the District is calculated by distributing
13 the estimated construction cost of all facilities identified for a Zone among all land use designations in the
14 Zone. Revenues received by the District are applied against the revenues needed to cover estimated
15 project cost. The District fees are a one-time charge paid to the Transportation Department at the time of
16 issuance of a certificate of occupancy or upon final inspection, whichever comes first. Properties that
17 have already been developed are not subject to District fees.

18 **Section 4.** A description of the improvements, estimated costs and Zone E share comparisons are
19 attached as Exhibit B, “Menifee Valley RBBD Facilities Summary (Zone E)”; and Exhibit C, “District
20 Facilities and Comparisons by Zone E”.

21 **Section 5.** Amendment No. 9 to the Menifee Valley RBBD includes verification of residential
22 densities that reflect the designations of the Sun City/Menifee and Harvest Valley/Winchester Area Plans,
23 and Specific Plans. An inventory of the existing level of development within Zone E was conducted
24 using aerial photographs and Geographic Information System (GIS) analysis. The projections of
25 development potential were based on the difference between the existing land use inventory and the likely
26 build out level of the Area Plans and Specific Plans. A midpoint range of the Area Plans’ residential land
27 use allocation designation was assumed as a likely build-out level based on historical patterns. Portions
28 of the District are within the “SR-79 Policy Area” established by the 2003 General Plan, which calls for a

1 9% reduction below the General Plan Land Use mid-point to reduce traffic impacts in the Policy Area.
2 The midpoint range of 3.5 dwelling units per acre for a future build-out is reduced by the 9%, and
3 equates to 3.19 dwelling units per acre for a future build-out within the SR-79 Policy Area.

4 In determining the likely residential build-out within Specific Plans, a factor of 85% of the
5 maximum number of permitted dwelling units was used as a build-out assumption where no Tentative
6 Tract Map has been approved. Where a Tentative Tract Map has been approved, the actual residential lot
7 count of that map was used after taking into account some reductions due to the need to provide detention
8 basins required to comply with the County's Water Quality Management Program (WQMP). Commercial
9 and industrial land uses were determined based on designations in the Area Plans and Specific Plans,
10 and were assumed to build-out at the full acreage allocated.

11 **Section 6.** The Analysis Report for Amendment No. 9 to the Menifee Valley Road and Bridge
12 Benefit District proposes to make adjustments to the estimated construction costs for identified facilities
13 to be funded by the Menifee Valley RBBB, update the land use assumptions to reflect an update of the
14 Riverside County General Plan under General Plan Amendment No. 960, and make the associated
15 adjustments to the District fee schedule.

16 **Section 7.** The proposed District fee rate changes are primarily due to adjustments as a result of
17 the dissolution of the Leon Road and Rice Road bridges CFD 05-1 and the additional funding needed to
18 replace the revenue that was to have come from CFD 05-1. Other adjustments are attributed to updated
19 project costs and changes in demographics. The proposed and existing fee schedules for Zone E are
20 represented in Exhibit D and Exhibit E, respectively.

21 The fee rate schedules for Zones B, C and F are no longer included in the County's RBBB fee rate
22 schedules for the District, since those zones are now within the City of Menifee and the City collects the
23 respective RBBB fees within those zones.

24 //

25 //

26 //


27

28

1 STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
2) ss.
3 COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE)
4

5 I, Kecia Harper-Ihem, Clerk of the Board of the County of Riverside, California, do hereby certify
6 that the foregoing Resolution No. 2017-130 was duly adopted by the Board of Supervisors of said County
7 at a meeting of said Board held on the 25th day of July, 2017, and that it was so adopted by the following
8 vote:

9
10 AYES: Jeffries, Tavaglione, Washington, Perez and Ashley
11 NOES: None
12 ABSTAIN: None
13 ABSENT: None
14

15
16  , Deputy
17 Clerk of the Board
18 County of Riverside
19

20 The foregoing is certified to be a true copy of a resolution duly
21 adopted by said Board of Supervisors on the date therein set forth.



22 KECIA HARPER-IHEM, Clerk of said Board
23 By  Deputy
24
25
26
27
28

EXHIBIT A


MENIFEE VALLEY Road and Bridge Benefit District (Zone E)



DRAFT - SUBJECT TO CHANGE
5/24/2016

EXHIBIT A-1
(For Reference Only)

MENIFEE VALLEY
Road and Bridge Benefit District



- Notes:**
1. Zones B, C, D and F have been incorporated within the City of Menifee and the City collects the RBBB fees within those zones.
 2. Portions of Zone E fall within both the City and the County. Each jurisdiction collects RBBB fees within their respective portions of Zone E.

EXHIBIT B

MENIFEE VALLEY RBBB FACILITIES SUMMARY (ZONE E)

	Name of Facility	Increase in Lanes	Facility Type	Total Estimated Project Cost	RBBB Share	Zone E Share	Admin Fee 5%	Adjusted Zone E Budget	Zone E %	Total Length (Linear Lane Feet)	RBBB Credit/Reimbursement Per Linear Foot/Lane	Zone(s)
1	Newport Rd Interchange @ I-215		Interchange	49,708,000	7,196,000	1,782,672	-89,134	1,693,538	4.0%		N/A	B,C,D,E
2	Holland Road Overpass @ I-215	4	Overpass	10,000,000	5,000,000	1,900,000	-95,000	1,805,000	4.3%		N/A	B,C,E
3	Newport Rd (Menifee Rd to SR-79)	6	Road	22,224,171	4,615,644	1,504,260	-75,213	1,429,047	3.4%		N/A	E
4	Leon Road Bridge @ Salt Creek	6	Bridge	15,468,714	15,468,714	15,468,714	-773,436	14,695,278	35.1%		N/A	E
4a	Leon Road (North of bridge to Olive Ave)	6	Road	4,073,865	4,073,865	4,073,865	-203,693	3,870,172	9.2%	6 Lanes @ 1,113'=6,678'	\$580	E
4b	Leon Road (South of bridge to Newport Rd/Domenigoni Pkwy)	6	Road	1,195,932	1,195,932	1,195,932	-59,797	1,136,135	2.7%	6 Lanes @ 914'=5,484'	\$207	E
4c	Leon Road Traffic Signals (3)		Traffic Signal	748,348	748,348	748,348	-37,417	710,931	1.7%		\$236,977 per T.S.	E
5	Rice Road Bridge @ Salt Creek	4	Bridge	9,934,532	9,934,532	9,934,532	-496,727	9,437,805	22.5%		N/A	E
5a	Rice Road (Olive Ave to Newport Rd/Domenigoni Pkwy, excluding the bridge)	4	Road	7,266,808	7,266,808	7,266,808	-363,340	6,903,468	16.5%	4 Lanes @ 1,920'=7,680'	\$899	E
5b	Rice Road Traffic Signal @ Newport Rd/Domenigoni Pkwy		Traffic Signal	249,253	249,253	249,253	-12,463	236,790	0.6%		\$236,790 per T.S.	E
	Totals			120,869,623	55,749,096	44,124,384	-2,206,219	41,918,165	100%			
	Total Revenues Received						-1,473,848					
	Total Revenues Needed						42,650,536					

EXHIBIT C

DISTRICT FACILITIES AND COMPARISONS BY ZONE E

	Facility	Proposed Zone E Share	Existing Zone E Share
1	Newport Road Interchange @ I-215 (Zone E Share)	1,782,672	4,456,720
2	Holland Road Overpass @ I-215 (Zone E Share)	1,900,000	1,900,000
3	Newport Rd (Menifee Rd. to SR-79) (Zone E1/E3 Share)	1,504,260	3,888,616
4	Leon Road Bridge @ Salt Creek	21,486,859	16,241,630
5	Rice Road Bridge @ Salt Creek	17,450,593	12,258,370
Total Zone E Share Cost Estimate		44,124,384	38,745,336
Total Revenues Received		(1,473,848)	
Remaining Zone E Needs Cost Estimate		42,650,536	

DETAILS

1	Newport Road Interchange @ I-215	Total Estimate	Zone E Share
	Zone B 14.4%; Zone C 29.9%; Zone D 30.9% Shares	5,413,328	
	Zone E Share 24.8%	1,782,672	1,782,672
	Total RBBB Share (Zones: B, C, D, E)	7,196,000	
	Developer Contributions	435,000	
	TUMF Budget	8,278,000	
	Measure A	51,000	
	Surface Transportation Program (STP)	14,625,000	
	Utility Companies	848,000	
	City of Menifee	17,875,000	
	City of Menifee (Additional Funding for 15% Contingency)	400,000	
	Totals	49,708,000	1,782,672

2	Holland Road Overpass @ I-215	Total Estimate	Zone E Share
	Zone B Share 21%	1,050,000	
	Zone C Share 41%	2,050,000	
	Zone E Share 38%	1,900,000	1,900,000
	Totals	5,000,000	1,900,000

3	Newport Road Extension (Menifee Rd to SR-79) (6 Lanes)	Total Estimate	Zone E Share
	CFD 03-1 Contribution	17,608,527	
	RBBB Contribution (Zones D & E)	4,615,644	
	Zone D share = 14% of Total Estimate = \$3,111,384		
	Zone E share = 86% of Total Est - CFD Contribution = \$1,504,260		1,504,260
	Totals	22,224,171	1,504,260

4	Leon Road Bridge @ Salt Creek	Total Estimate	Zone E Share
	6-Lane Bridge and Roadway	21,486,859	21,486,859
	DIF Share	341,000	
	Totals	21,827,859	21,486,859

5	Rice Road Bridge @ Salt Creek	Total Estimate	Zone E Share
	4-Lane Bridge and Roadway	17,450,593	17,450,593
	DIF Share	341,000	
	Totals	17,791,593	17,450,593

EXHIBIT D
PROPOSED RATES

Menifee Valley RBBB (Zone E)

TYPE	ZONE E1 ⁽²⁾ (No CFD)	ZONE E2 ⁽²⁾ (CFD 03-1)	ZONE E3 (No CFD)	ZONE E4 (CFD 03-1)
Residential RBBB Fee (per du)⁽¹⁾	\$4,656	\$4,016	\$4,656	\$4,016
<i>Residential TUMF Credit (per du)⁽³⁾</i>	\$0	(\$1,775)	\$0	(\$1,775)
Retail Commercial, Service, Office, Industrial RBBB Fee (per gross ac)⁽¹⁾	\$5,497	\$5,497	\$5,497	\$5,497
<i>Retail Commercial TUMF Credit (per SF GFA)⁽³⁾</i>	\$0	(\$2.10)	\$0	(\$2.10)

Notes:

1. Zones B, C, D and F are no longer shown, since they have been incorporated within the City of Menifee and the City collects the RBBB fees within those zones.
2. Portions of Zone E fall within both the County of Riverside and the City of Menifee. Each jurisdiction collects RBBB fees within their respective portion of Zone E.
3. Residential developments within the Newport Road Extension CFD 03-1 are eligible for TUMF credits in accordance with the Memorandum of Understanding between WRCOG and the County of Riverside for CFD 03-1, dated 10-28-2014.

Community Facilities District (CFD):

CFD 03-1 – Newport Road Extension (Domenigoni Parkway)

EXHIBIT E

EXISTING RATES

Menifee Valley RBBB

Resolution No. 2006-359 (9/12/06)

Effective 12/6/2016 (In conjunction with Ordinances 933 and 867.1)⁽⁶⁾

TYPE	ZONE E1 ⁽²⁾ (No CFD)	ZONE E2 ⁽²⁾ (CFD 03-1)	ZONE E3 ⁽⁴⁾ (No CFD)	ZONE E4 ⁽⁴⁾ (CFD 03-1)
Residential RBBB Fee (per du)⁽¹⁾	\$5,074	\$2,918	\$5,074⁽⁵⁾	\$2,918⁽⁵⁾
<i>Residential TUMF Credit (per du)⁽³⁾</i>	\$0	(\$1,775)	\$0	(\$1,775)
Retail Commercial, Service, Office, Industrial RBBB Fee (per gross ac)⁽¹⁾	\$6,945	\$6,945	\$6,945	\$6,945
<i>Retail Commercial TUMF Credit (per SF GFA)⁽³⁾</i>	\$0	(\$2.10)	\$0	(\$2.10)

Notes:

1. Zones B, C, D and F are no longer shown, since they have been incorporated within the City of Menifee and the City collects the RBBB fees within those zones.
2. Portions of Zone E fall within both the County of Riverside and the City of Menifee. Each jurisdiction collects RBBB fees within their respective portion of Zone E.
3. Residential developments within the Newport Road Extension CFD 03-1 are eligible for TUMF credits in accordance with the Memorandum of Understanding between WRCOG and the County of Riverside for CFD 03-1, dated 10-28-2014.
4. Zones E3 and E4 formerly included CFD 05-1, which was dissolved by the County on December 6, 2016 (Ordinances 933 and 867.1).
5. The residential rates for Zones E3 and E4 reflect the cessation of CFD 05-1.
6. Rates are pending future adjustments to include the Salt Creek Bridges costs that were formerly covered by CFD 05-1.

Community Facilities Districts (CFD):

CFD 03-1 – Newport Road Extension (Domenigoni Parkway)

CFD 05-1 – Salt Creek Bridges (at Leon Rd and Rice Rd) (Dissolved)



OFFICE OF THE
CLERK OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
1st FLOOR, COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CENTER
P.O. BOX 1147, 4080 LEMON STREET
RIVERSIDE, CA 92502-1147
PHONE: (951) 955-1060 FAX: (951) 955-1071

KECIA HARPER-IHEM
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

KIMBERLY A. RECTOR
Assistant Clerk of the Board

August 11, 2017

THE PRESS ENTERPRISE
ATTN: LEGALS
PO BOX 792
RIVERSIDE, CA 92501

TEL: (951) 368-9229
E-MAIL: legals@pe.com

**RE: NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING: RESOLUTION 2017-130 PROPOSED AMENDMENT
NO. 9 TO THE MENIFEE VALLEY RD & BRIDGE BENEFIT DISTRICT**

To Whom It May Concern:

Attached is a copy for publication in your newspaper for ONE (1) TIME on **Thursday, August 17, 2017**.

We require your affidavit of publication immediately upon completion of the last publication.

Your invoice must be submitted to this office, **WITH TWO CLIPPINGS OF THE PUBLICATION**.

NOTE: PLEASE COMPOSE THIS PUBLICATION INTO A 1/8 PAGE DISPLAY AD

Thank you in advance for your assistance and expertise.

Sincerely,

Cecilia Gil

Board Assistant to:
KECIA HARPER-IHEM, Clerk of the Board

Gil, Cecilia

From: Legals <legals@pe.com>
Sent: Thursday, August 10, 2017 4:07 PM
To: Gil, Cecilia
Subject: Re: FOR PUBLICATION: 1/8 Page AD Resolution 2017-130

Received for publication on 8/17, though this will not fit in an 1/8th page. It will be several full pages.

Proof with cost to follow.

Nick Eller

Modified Deadlines For Labor Day

<u>Publish Date</u>	<u>Deadline</u>
Wed 9/6	Thurs 8/31
Thurs 9/7	Fri 9/1

Legal Advertising Phone: **951-368-9222** / Fax: 951-368-9018 / E-mail: legals@pe.com

Deadline is 10:30 AM, three (3) business days prior to the date you would like to publish.

****Additional days required for larger ad sizes****

****Employees of The Press-Enterprise are not able to give legal advice of any kind****

The Press-Enterprise PE.com / La Prensa

On Thu, Aug 10, 2017 at 3:40 PM, Gil, Cecilia <CCGIL@rivco.org> wrote:

Good afternoon,

Please publish the attached Notice on a 1/8 Page Display Ad, on Thursday, August 17, 2017. Please confirm. THANK YOU!

Cecilia Gil

Board Assistant

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

4080 Lemon St., 1st Floor, Room 127

Riverside, CA 92501

(951) 955-8464 Fax (951) 955-1071

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING BEFORE THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that a public hearing at which all interested persons will be heard, will be held before the Board of Supervisors of Riverside County, California, on the 1st Floor, County Administrative Center, Board of Supervisors Chambers, 4080 Lemon Street, Riverside, on **Tuesday, August 29, 2017 at 9:00 a.m.**, or as soon as possible thereafter, to consider the following:

RESOLUTION NO. 2017-130
RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
DECLARING ITS INTENTION TO AMEND THE MENIFEE VALLEY ROAD AND BRIDGE
BENEFIT DISTRICT, INCLUDING ADJUSTING FEE SCHEDULES AND
DISTRICT FACILITIES COST ESTIMATES
(AMENDMENT NO. 9)

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors (the "Board") of the County of Riverside (the "County") pursuant to Section 66484 of the California Government Code and Section 10.30 of Riverside County Ordinance No. 460, as amended, (the "Ordinance"), has established a program whereby an area of benefit may be established to charge a fee to defray the actual or estimated costs for the construction of bridges and major thoroughfares as a condition of approval of a final map or as a condition of issuing a building permit; and

WHEREAS, the Board adopted Resolution No. 88-242 on May 24, 1988, pursuant to Subsection D of Section 10.30 of the Ordinance, establishing the Meniffee Valley Road and Bridge Benefit District (the "District") and a fee schedule for said District regarding the approved designated improvements; and

WHEREAS, the "Rules and Regulations for the Administration of Road and Bridge Benefit Districts," as adopted by Resolution No. 85-92 on April 2, 1985, and subsequently amended, provides that the boundaries of a road and bridge benefit district may be adjusted from time to time in response to changed conditions, that new improvements may be designated as improvements to be constructed by a road and bridge benefit district to address changed conditions and that the County's Director of Transportation, as administrator, shall review a road and bridge benefit district annually to determine if any revisions to the geographical boundaries or modifications of the designated improvements should be considered by the Board; and

WHEREAS, the City of Meniffee incorporated since the last amendment to the District and the City's boundaries now include all of Zones B, C, D, F and a portion of Zone E, leaving only the majority portion of Zone E within the unincorporated County jurisdiction; and

WHEREAS, the boundaries of the District Zone E are reflected in the attached map of the Meniffee Valley RBBB (Zone E) as Exhibit A.

WHEREAS, the property owners/developers within Zone E of the District participated with the County in the dissolution of Community Facilities District (CFD) 05-1 (Salt Creek Bridges at Leon Road and Rice Road), which occurred in December 2016; and

WHEREAS, the Salt Creek Bridges are currently listed as needed facilities in the Meniffee Valley RBBB, but with a lesser cost contribution, as it was planned for CFD 05-1 to fund a majority of the Salt Creek Bridges, and for which the County is now proposing to adjust the Zone E fee rates to include the full costs for the Salt Creek Bridges due to the dissolution of CFD 05-1; and

WHEREAS, the County is proposing to adjust the cost estimate for the District's share in the Newport Road Interchange at I-215 to conform with the RBBB obligation amount specified in a cooperative agreement with the City of Meniffee for that facility (executed in June 2014 and amended in March 2015); and

WHEREAS, the County is proposing to adjust the District's share of the Newport Road Extension (Menifee Road to State Route 79) to no longer include the Newport Road Extension CFD 03-1 contribution, since that contribution is now covered through Transportation Uniform Mitigation Fee (TUMF) credits; and

WHEREAS, the County's Transportation Department has prepared a report entitled "Analysis Report for Amendment No. 9 to the Menifee Valley Road and Bridge Benefit District, May 2017" that proposes to make adjustments to the estimated construction costs for identified facilities to be funded by the Menifee Valley District, update the land use assumptions to reflect an update of the Riverside County General Plan under General Plan Amendment No. 960, and make the associated adjustments to the District fee schedules; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 65091 of the California Government Code, a public hearing shall be duly noticed and date set to consider adoption of Amendment No. 9 to the Menifee Valley Road and Bridge Benefit District and to consider any written or oral protests that interested parties may have with regard to Amendment No. 9 to the District.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, DETERMINED, FOUND AND ORDERED by the County of Riverside Board of Supervisors, as follows:

Section 1. The above recitals are true and correct.

Section 2. Notice is hereby given that a public hearing shall be held by the Board of Supervisors in the Board Chambers on the 1st Floor at 4080 Lemon Street, Riverside, California, 92501 on August 29, 2017 at 9:00 AM regarding the proposed Amendment No. 9 to the Menifee Valley Road and Bridge Benefit District (RBBB), at which time all interested parties are invited to appear and speak in regards to the proposed Amendment No. 9 to the Menifee Valley RBBB. Written comments regarding this Amendment may be submitted to the Clerk of the Board at 4080 Lemon Street, 1st Floor, Riverside, California, 92501, prior to the close of the Public Hearing.

Section 3. The District has been designed in a manner to distribute the cost of the development and construction of the improvements on an equitable basis among benefiting properties. The fee levels for this District are determined based on the estimated improvement costs and projected future development potential of each zone. The fee schedule for the District is calculated by distributing the estimated construction cost of all facilities identified for a Zone among all land use designations in the Zone. Revenues received by the District are applied against the revenues needed to cover estimated project cost. The District fees are a one-time charge paid to the Transportation Department at the time of issuance of a certificate of occupancy or upon final inspection, whichever comes first. Properties that have already been developed are not subject to District fees.

Section 4. A description of the improvements, estimated costs and Zone E share comparisons are attached as Exhibit B, "Menifee Valley RBBB Facilities Summary (Zone E)"; and Exhibit C, "District Facilities and Comparisons by Zone E".

Section 5. Amendment No. 9 to the Menifee Valley RBBB includes verification of residential densities that reflect the designations of the Sun City/Menifee and Harvest Valley/Winchester Area Plans, and Specific Plans. An inventory of the existing level of development within Zone E was conducted using aerial photographs and Geographic Information System (GIS) analysis. The projections of development potential were based on the difference between the existing land use inventory and the likely build out level of the Area Plans and Specific Plans. A midpoint range of the Area Plans' residential land use allocation designation was assumed as a likely build-out level based on historical patterns. Portions of the District are within the "SR-79 Policy Area" established by the 2003 General Plan, which calls for a 9% reduction below the General Plan Land Use mid-point to reduce traffic impacts in the Policy Area. The midpoint range of 3.5 dwelling units per acre for a future build-out is reduced by the 9%, and equates to 3.19 dwelling units per acre for a future build-out within the SR-79 Policy Area.

In determining the likely residential build-out within Specific Plans, a factor of 85% of the maximum number of permitted dwelling units was used as a build-out assumption where no Tentative Tract Map has been approved. Where a Tentative Tract Map has been approved, the actual residential lot count of that map was used after taking into account some reductions due to the need to provide detention basins required to comply with the County's Water Quality Management Program (WQMP). Commercial and industrial land uses were determined based on designations in the Area Plans and Specific Plans, and were assumed to build-out at the full acreage allocated.

Section 6. The Analysis Report for Amendment No. 9 to the Menifee Valley Road and Bridge Benefit District proposes to make adjustments to the estimated construction costs for identified facilities to be funded by the Menifee Valley RBBB, update the land use assumptions to reflect an update of the Riverside County General Plan under General Plan Amendment No. 960, and make the associated adjustments to the District fee schedule.

Section 7. The proposed District fee rate changes are primarily due to adjustments as a result of the dissolution of the Leon Road and Rice Road bridges CFD 05-1 and the additional funding needed to replace the revenue that was to have come from CFD 05-1. Other adjustments are attributed to updated project costs and changes in demographics. The proposed and existing fee schedules for Zone E are represented in Exhibit D and Exhibit E, respectively.

The fee rate schedules for Zones B, C and F are no longer included in the County's RBBB fee rate schedules for the District, since those zones are now within the City of Menifee and the City collects the respective RBBB fees within those zones.

(INSERT EXHIBITS A, B, C, D & E HERE)

ROLL CALL:

AYES: Jeffries, Tavaglione, Washington, Perez, and Ashley
NAYS: None
ABSENT: None

The foregoing is certified to be a true copy of a resolution duly adopted by said Board of Supervisors on July 25, 2017.

Kecia Harper-Ihem, Clerk of said Board
By: Cecilia Gil, Board Assistant

Any person affected by the above matter(s) may submit written comments to the Clerk of the Board before the public hearing or may appear and be heard in support of or opposition to the project at the time of the hearing. If you challenge the above item(s) in court, you may be limited to raising only those issues you or someone else raised at the public hearing described in this notice, or in written correspondence, to the Board of Supervisors at, or prior to, the public hearing.

Alternative formats available upon request to individuals with disabilities. If you require reasonable accommodation, please contact Lisa Wagner at (951) 955-1063, at least 72 hours prior to the hearing.

Please send all written correspondence to: Clerk of the Board, 4080 Lemon Street, 1st Floor, Post Office Box 1147, Riverside, CA 92502-1147

Dated: August 11, 2017 Kecia Harper-Ihem, Clerk of the Board
By: Cecilia Gil, Board Assistant

EXHIBIT A

MENIFEE VALLEY Road and Bridge Benefit District (Zone E)





EXHIBIT A-1 (For Reference Only)


MENIFEE VALLEY Road and Bridge Benefit District



Menifee Valley Road & Bridge Benefit District

	Zone B		Zone E2
	Zone C		Zone E3
	Zone D		Zone E4
	Zone E1		Zone E5
	Zone E6		Zone F

- Road Improvements
- Bridge Improvements
- Interchange Improvements
- Overcrossing Improvements
- Cities
- Streams



Disclaimer: Maps and data are to be used for reference purposes only. Map features are approximate, and are not necessarily accurate to surveying or engineering standards. The County of Riverside makes no warranty or guarantee as to the content (the source is often third party), accuracy, timeliness, or completeness of any of the data provided, and assumes no legal responsibility for the information contained on this map. Any use of this product with respect to accuracy and precision shall be the sole responsibility of the user.



- Notes:**
1. Zones B, C, D and F have been incorporated within the City of Menifee and the City collects the RBBB fees within those zones.
 2. Portions of Zone E fall within both the City and the County. Each jurisdiction collects RBBB fees within their respective portions of Zone E.

EXHIBIT B

MENIFEE VALLEY RBBB FACILITIES SUMMARY (ZONE E)

	Name of Facility	Increase in Lanes	Facility Type	Total Estimated Project Cost	RBBB Share	Zone E Share	Admin Fee 5%	Adjusted Zone E Budget	Zone E %	Total Length (Linear Lane Feet)	RBBB Credit/Reimbursement Per Linear Foot/Lane	Zone(s)
1	Newport Rd Interchange @ I-215		Interchange	49,708,000	7,196,000	1,782,672	-89,134	1,693,538	4.0%		N/A	B,C,D,E
2	Holland Road Overpass @ I-215	4	Overpass	10,000,000	5,000,000	1,900,000	-95,000	1,805,000	4.3%		N/A	B,C,E
3	Newport Rd (Menifee Rd to SR-79)	6	Road	22,224,171	4,615,644	1,504,260	-75,213	1,429,047	3.4%		N/A	E
4	Leon Road Bridge @ Salt Creek	6	Bridge	15,468,714	15,468,714	15,468,714	-773,436	14,695,278	35.1%		N/A	E
4a	Leon Road (North of bridge to Olive Ave)	6	Road	4,073,865	4,073,865	4,073,865	-203,693	3,870,172	9.2%	6 Lanes @ 1,113'=6,678'	\$580	E
4b	Leon Road (South of bridge to Newport Rd/Domenigoni Pkwy)	6	Road	1,195,932	1,195,932	1,195,932	-59,797	1,136,135	2.7%	6 Lanes @ 914'=5,484'	\$207	E
4c	Leon Road Traffic Signals (3)		Traffic Signal	748,348	748,348	748,348	-37,417	710,931	1.7%		\$236,977 per T.S.	E
5	Rice Road Bridge @ Salt Creek	4	Bridge	9,934,532	9,934,532	9,934,532	-496,727	9,437,805	22.5%		N/A	E
5a	Rice Road (Olive Ave to Newport Rd/Domenigoni Pkwy, excluding the bridge)	4	Road	7,266,808	7,266,808	7,266,808	-363,340	6,903,468	16.5%	4 Lanes @ 1,920'=7,680'	\$899	E
5b	Rice Road Traffic Signal @ Newport Rd/Domenigoni Pkwy		Traffic Signal	249,253	249,253	249,253	-12,463	236,790	0.6%		\$236,790 per T.S.	E
	Totals			120,869,623	55,749,096	44,124,384	-2,206,219	41,918,165	100%			
	Total Revenues Received					-1,473,848						
	Total Revenues Needed					42,650,536						

EXHIBIT C

DISTRICT FACILITIES AND COMPARISONS BY ZONE E

	Facility	Proposed Zone E Share	Existing Zone E Share
1	Newport Road Interchange @ I-215 (Zone E Share)	1,782,672	4,456,720
2	Holland Road Overpass @ I-215 (Zone E Share)	1,900,000	1,900,000
3	Newport Rd (Menifee Rd. to SR-79) (Zone E1/E3 Share)	1,504,260	3,888,616
4	Leon Road Bridge @ Salt Creek	21,486,859	16,241,630
5	Rice Road Bridge @ Salt Creek	17,450,593	12,258,370
	Total Zone E Share Cost Estimate	44,124,384	38,745,336
	Total Revenues Received	(1,473,848)	
	Remaining Zone E Needs Cost Estimate	42,650,536	

DETAILS

1	Newport Road Interchange @ I-215	Total Estimate	Zone E Share
	Zone B 14.4%; Zone C 29.9%; Zone D 30.9% Shares	5,413,328	
	Zone E Share 24.8%	1,782,672	1,782,672
	Total RBBB Share (Zones: B, C, D, E)	7,196,000	
	Developer Contributions	435,000	
	TUMF Budget	8,278,000	
	Measure A	51,000	
	Surface Transportation Program (STP)	14,625,000	
	Utility Companies	848,000	
	City of Menifee	17,875,000	
	City of Menifee (Additional Funding for 15% Contingency)	400,000	
	Totals	49,708,000	1,782,672

2	Holland Road Overpass @ I-215	Total Estimate	Zone E Share
	Zone B Share 21%	1,050,000	
	Zone C Share 41%	2,050,000	
	Zone E Share 38%	1,900,000	1,900,000
	Totals	5,000,000	1,900,000

3	Newport Road Extension (Menifee Rd to SR-79) (6 Lanes)	Total Estimate	Zone E Share
	CFD 03-1 Contribution	17,608,527	
	RBBB Contribution (Zones D & E)	4,615,644	
	Zone D share = 14% of Total Estimate = \$3,111,384		
	Zone E share = 86% of Total Est - CFD Contribution = \$1,504,260		1,504,260
	Totals	22,224,171	1,504,260

4	Leon Road Bridge @ Salt Creek	Total Estimate	Zone E Share
	6-Lane Bridge and Roadway	21,486,859	21,486,859
	DIF Share	341,000	
	Totals	21,827,859	21,486,859

5	Rice Road Bridge @ Salt Creek	Total Estimate	Zone E Share
	4-Lane Bridge and Roadway	17,450,593	17,450,593
	DIF Share	341,000	
	Totals	17,791,593	17,450,593

EXHIBIT D PROPOSED RATES

Menifee Valley RBBB (Zone E)

TYPE	ZONE E1 ⁽²⁾ (No CFD)	ZONE E2 ⁽²⁾ (CFD 03- 1)	ZONE E3 (No CFD)	ZONE E4 (CFD 03- 1)
Residential RBBB Fee (per du)⁽¹⁾	\$4,656	\$4,016	\$4,656	\$4,016
<i>Residential TUMF Credit (per du)⁽³⁾</i>	\$0	(\$1,775)	\$0	(\$1,775)
Retail Commercial, Service, Office, Industrial RBBB Fee (per gross ac)⁽¹⁾	\$5,497	\$5,497	\$5,497	\$5,497
<i>Retail Commercial TUMF Credit (per SF GFA)⁽³⁾</i>	\$0	(\$2.10)	\$0	(\$2.10)

Notes:

1. Zones B, C, D and F are no longer shown, since they have been incorporated within the City of Menifee and the City collects the RBBB fees within those zones.
2. Portions of Zone E fall within both the County of Riverside and the City of Menifee. Each jurisdiction collects RBBB fees within their respective portion of Zone E.
3. Residential developments within the Newport Road Extension CFD 03-1 are eligible for TUMF credits in accordance with the Memorandum of Understanding between WRCOG and the County of Riverside for CFD 03-1, dated 10-28-2014.

Community Facilities District (CFD):

CFD 03-1 – Newport Road Extension (Domenigoni Parkway)

EXHIBIT E EXISTING RATES

Menifee Valley RBBB

Resolution No. 2006-359 (9/12/06)

Effective 12/6/2016 (In conjunction with Ordinances 933 and 867.1)⁽⁶⁾

TYPE	ZONE E1 ⁽²⁾ (No CFD)	ZONE E2 ⁽²⁾ (CFD 03- 1)	ZONE E3 ⁽⁴⁾ (No CFD)	ZONE E4 ⁽⁴⁾ (CFD 03-1)
Residential RBBB Fee (per du) ⁽¹⁾	\$5,074	\$2,918	\$5,074 ⁽⁵⁾	\$2,918 ⁽⁵⁾
Residential TUMF Credit (per du) ⁽³⁾	\$0	(\$1,775)	\$0	(\$1,775)
Retail Commercial, Service, Office, Industrial RBBB Fee (per gross ac) ⁽¹⁾	\$6,945	\$6,945	\$6,945	\$6,945
Retail Commercial TUMF Credit (per SF GFA) ⁽³⁾	\$0	(\$2.10)	\$0	(\$2.10)

Notes:

1. Zones B, C, D and F are no longer shown, since they have been incorporated within the City of Menifee and the City collects the RBBB fees within those zones.
2. Portions of Zone E fall within both the County of Riverside and the City of Menifee. Each jurisdiction collects RBBB fees within their respective portion of Zone E.
3. Residential developments within the Newport Road Extension CFD 03-1 are eligible for TUMF credits in accordance with the Memorandum of Understanding between WRCOG and the County of Riverside for CFD 03-1, dated 10-28-2014.
4. Zones E3 and E4 formerly included CFD 05-1, which was dissolved by the County on December 6, 2016 (Ordinances 933 and 867.1).
5. The residential rates for Zones E3 and E4 reflect the cessation of CFD 05-1.
6. Rates are pending future adjustments to include the Salt Creek Bridges costs that were formerly covered by CFD 05-1.

Community Facilities Districts (CFD):

CFD 03-1 – Newport Road Extension (Domenigoni Parkway)

CFD 05-1 – Salt Creek Bridges (at Leon Rd and Rice Rd) (Dissolved)

Gil, Cecilia

From: Gardner, Dale
Sent: Tuesday, July 25, 2017 4:10 PM
To: Toki, Asia; Gil, Cecilia
Cc: Higa, Glenn; Lewis, Gail
Subject: RE: July 25, 2017, Item 3.58, Notice of public hearing

GC Section 65091 provides that when notice would have to be mailed to more than 1,000 persons, the local agency may, instead of mailed notice, place a display advertisement of at least 1/8 page in at least one newspaper of general circulation within the local agency at least 10 days prior to the hearing. Publishing the Resolution in the display ads meets the Code's requirement for notice.

GC 65091 provides:

If the number of owners to whom notice would be mailed or delivered pursuant to this paragraph or paragraph (1) is greater than 1,000, a local agency, in lieu of mailed or delivered notice, may provide notice by placing a display advertisement of at least one-eighth page in at least one newspaper of general circulation within the local agency in which the proceeding is conducted at least 10 days prior to the hearing....

Cecilia, could you please publish the resolution in a display ad as required by the Code. That should be sufficient legal notice.

DALE A. GARDNER
Deputy County Counsel
County of Riverside
Phone: (951) 955-6361
Fax: (951) 955-6363
Email: dagardne@RIVCO.ORG

NOTICE: This communication is intended for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and may contain attorney/client information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this communication is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this communication to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by reply email or by telephone and immediately delete this communication and all its attachments.

From: Toki, Asia
Sent: Tuesday, July 25, 2017 4:02 PM
To: Gil, Cecilia <CCGIL@RIVCO.ORG>
Cc: Gardner, Dale <DAGardne@RIVCO.ORG>; Higa, Glenn <GHIGA@RIVCO.ORG>; Lewis, Gail <GLEWIS@RIVCO.ORG>
Subject: July 25, 2017, Item 3.58, Notice of public hearing

Hi Cecilia,

By the counsel of Dale Gardner, the notice given through Resolution 2017-130 fulfills the requirement of Gov. Code Section 65091. Please contact Dale should you have further questions regarding the subject matter.

Received
Via email

Winchester-Homeland Municipal Advisory Council

www.WinchesterMac.org

August 19, 2017

Board of Supervisors
County Administration Center
4080 Lemon Street
Riverside, CA 92501

Response
re: receipt
by KAN

Re: Resolution No. 2017-131 and Amendment #9 Menifee Valley Road and Bridge Benefit District.

Dear Chairman Tavaglione and Honorable Board Members,

On Thursday, August 10, 2017 at our Winchester Homeland Municipal Advisory Council meeting we discussed Resolution No. 2017-130 and Proposed Amendment #9 to the Menifee Valley Road and Bridge Benefit District (MV-RBBD). We had approximately 30 community members present. At our meeting, Mr. Glenn Higa with the County of Riverside Transportation Department was our guest and made a presentation on the MV-RBBD. We appreciated his attendance at our meeting and his participation in the discussion.

There were many questions and concerns raised by the community which the Winchester-Homeland MAC board felt should be memorialized and brought to the Board of Supervisors' attention.

Winchester Hills Specific Plan created a CFD to fund the Salt Creek Bridges CFD-05-1. The CFD-05-1 was dissolved in 2016. The bridges to be funded were the Leon Road and the Rice Road Bridges. These bridges were in the Conditions of Approval for the Winchester Hills Specific Plan 293, approved in 1996. See attached condition 50. Trans 009. We realize that the funding mechanism has now been proposed to be shifted by adding them to the Zone E of the MV-RBBD. It appears that this condition has been relieved to be spread over more than just the Winchester Hills Specific Plan. The total number of lots in the Winchester Hills Specific Plan are 5354. The question asked at the meeting was, when will these bridges be built? No date was given. The project costs for both Leon and Rice Bridges is \$38,937,452.00, per the projections in the county. Based on the fee per du on Exhibit "D" page 10 of the resolution (see attached copy) at an average of \$4,300.00 per unit it will take 9,000 units to be permitted and fees paid to arrive at this figure. Meanwhile, Rice Road today is non-functional and the bridge that was there has been torn out and demolished. If Salt Creek is flowing all traffic will be placed on Domenigoni Parkway, which raises both health and safety concerns. Attached is an exhibit showing that the Community will not have an all-weather crossing of Salt Creek for almost 4

8/29/17

9.3

miles from Briggs Road to Winchester Road until 9,000 plus homes pay into the RBBD. What does this lack of crossings do to fire and safety, circulation, traffic and access issues to the community with 9,000 new homes and no bridges?


Another issue was that Zone E is part of the Menifee Valley RBBD. In the mission statement for the Winchester-Homeland MAC it is a goal to grow into a model city. The majority of the E Zone is in the county with Zone B, C, D & F and E-2 in the City of Menifee. Can the remainder of Zone E be separated from the Menifee Valley RBBD? The City of Menifee's boundary is currently Briggs Road and we would want to continue protecting the unincorporated Winchester area from their encroachment.

We appreciate the opportunity through the MAC forum to participate and advise our Third District Supervisor, Chuck Washington on these important community development issues.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Dirk Meredith', with a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Dirk Meredith
Winchester-Homeland MAC
Vice Chairman



Rice
Road
Bridge

Leon
Road
Bridge

4 miles of Salt Creek Channel without an All-Weather Crossing until
9,000+ new homes are constructed and pay RBBB Fees
Specific Plan 293 only has 5,000+ homes

MICHAEL F. ROWE
CALIFORNIA CHAPARRAL REAL ESTATE
32981 SIMPSON ROAD
WINCHESTER, CALIF. 92596
951-926-2444

AUGUST 29, 2017

MENIFEE ROAD AND BENEFIT DISTRICT
RESOLUTION NO. 2017-130. AMENDMENT #9

LOCAL WINCHESTER ISSUES: AUGUST 29, 2017

1. REPLACEMENT OF PRIOR CFD-05-01 WITH A PROPOSED AMENDMENT #9 CFD

Winchester Hills Specific Plan 293 COA specifically addressed the Leon Road and Rice Road bridges and other related infrastructure issues. It was stipulated to the Winchester Community at the time of processing the specific plan for County approval that the Leon and Rice Rd. bridges would be built before any housing development would receive recordation of any subdivision or parcel map. This requirement was addressed in the COA. The fact that CFD-05-1 was not funded or bonded does not relieve the obligation to comply with the COA by all ownerships within the Winchester Hills Specific Plan.

Prior to the recordation of any subdivision or parcel map for any portion of the specific plan, the project proponent shall provide a funding mechanism for the following road improvements. Funding may be provided by annexing to an existing Road and Bridge Benefit District or through the formation of a new District or such similar mechanism as approved by the Transportation Department. Construction shall be conditioned on individual tracts as needed to satisfy infrastructure needs:

- a. Construct Patton Road as an Urban Arterial (6 lanes divided) from Winchester Road to Newport Road, as identified on page 79 of the traffic study.*
- b. Construct Briggs Road as an Arterial Highway (4 lanes divided) from Simpson Road to Newport Road, as identified on Page 79 of the traffic study. This includes construction of the ultimate bridge required across the Salt Creek Channel.*
- c. Construct Leon Road as an Arterial Highway (4 lanes divided) from Grand Avenue to Holland Road, as identified on page 79 of the traffic study.*
- d. Construct the ultimate bridge required for Rice Road, over the Salt Creek Channel, as identified on page 79 of the traffic study.*
- e. Construct the ultimate bridge required for Leon Road over the Salt Creek Channel as identified on page 79 of the traffic study.*

2. FINANCIAL BENEFITS:

Implementation of Amendment # 9 would relieve the Winchester Hills Specific Plan and its various ownership interests of the financial burden of complying with the COA for the specific plan. Current investors would receive immediate substantial financial relief +- \$38,000,000 (\$7,200.00 per unit) to enhance their profit margins. Rather than the Specific Plan contributing \$38,000,000 to the improvement cost their contribution would be +-\$23,000,000 (4,300 per unit) a savings to the Winchester Hills Specific Plan ownership of +-\$16,000,000. It would also delay the construction until

such time as the needed funds had been collected from actual development imposed fees spread over a much larger area of development than the Winchester Hills Specific Plan (+9000 units vs 5354 units) as well as it would entirely eliminate the infrastructure funding participation requirement from a portion of the Specific Plan itself..

3. REQUIRED TRAFFIC AND SAFETY ISSUES:

As development was initiated and progressed homeowners would not have the benefit of being provided adequate traffic mitigation for ingress/egress safety related issues of these infrastructure requirements that had been the financial responsibility of the Winchester hills Specific plan ownership prior to allowing impacted development.

TRANSFER OF FINANCIAL BURDEN:

Required CFD funding would be spread over a larger area without those additional impacted property owners having proper notice, input or response to the CFD financial burden, economic impact being placed on their property ownership at the economic relief/benefit of the Winchester Hills Specific Plan property ownership.

SUMMARY

1. Winchester Specific Plan 293 was approved in 1996 (21 years ago) consisting of 5354 Residential units. Development of these units was to be conditioned upon providing funding for the Leon Rd. and Rice Rd. bridges. It was also conditioned that these bridges would be built as needed for construction of individual tracks to satisfy infrastructure needs (CFD-05-1). This CFD was never funded and was dissolved in 2016

2. Resolution No. 2017-130 and proposed amendment #9 are now being processed to satisfy the COA of the Specific Plan as approved in 1996.

This amendment would expand the RBBB far beyond the physical limits of the Winchester Hills Specific plan and impact hundreds of acres of land outside the specific plan thereby imposing considerable financial impact upon the owners outside the original plan area. Substantially reducing the Land Residual value by over \$4,300 per unit of development. At 5 units per acre a reduction in value of \$21,500 per acre.

3. Benefits of approval to the Winchester Hills Specific Plan owners to fund the anticipated +- \$39,000,000 bridge and related needs costs.

Eliminates some of the Winchester Hills plan from the fee area.

Reduces Specific Plan fee expenses based on a total of 5,300 units from +-\$7,300 per unit (+-\$38,000,000) to +-\$4,300 per unit(+-\$22,000,000) a savings of +-\$16,000,000 contributing to increasing the land residual value and increasing the profits of plan owners and investors within the Winchester Hills ownership.

4. Impacts upon property outside the Winchester Hills Specific Plan area.

Imposes fees to fund the area E bridges of +-\$4,300 per unit of development resulting in economic loss to property ownership at the benefit of the Winchester Hills owners.

Substantially reducing the Land Residual value by over \$4,300 per unit of development. At 5 units per acre a reduction in value of +-\$21,500 per acre.

5. Since 1996 the County has been processing and approving subdivision, parcel maps and issuing grading permits for projects within the area of the Winchester Hills Specific Plan without funding being in place to actually build the required bridges.

I respectfully request and recommend that this Resolution No. 2017-130 and amendment #9 not be approved and that the Winchester Hills Specific Plan be required to fund and build the bridges as required by the COA of 1996 plan approval before any residential development proceeds and that the needs for infrastructure be funded and construction be in place before any Certificated of Occupancy are issued.


Should you have any questions or require clarification I am available.

Sincerely:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "M. Rowe", written over the word "Sincerely:".

Michael F. Rowe

Menifee Valley - Road and Bridge Benefit District (Zone E)



Disclaimer: Maps and data are to be used for reference purposes only. Map features are approximate, and are not necessarily accurate to surveying or engineering standards. The County of Riverside makes no warranty or guarantee as to the content, the source is often third party, accuracy, timeliness, or completeness of any of the data provided, and assumes no legal responsibility for the information contained on this map. Any use of this product with respect to accuracy and precision shall be the sole responsibility of the user.



From: Domenigoni Brothers Ranch/Sky Canyon Enterprises <sky.canyon@verizon.net>

To: winchestercompanies <winchestercompanies@verizon.net>

Subject: Follow-up to items raised at the Winchester MAC

Date: Thu, Aug 24, 2017 5:10 pm

Attachments: Winchester Hills SP 293 COA.pdf (554K)

From: Higa, Glenn [<mailto:GHIGA@RIVCO.ORG>]

Sent: Thursday, August 24, 2017 4:00 PM

To: Domenigoni Brothers Ranch/Sky Canyon Enterprises

Cc: Balderrama, Olivia; Hellweg, Opal; Romo, Patricia

Subject: Follow-up to items raised at the Winchester MAC

Hi Andy,

This is a follow-up on the two items raised at the Winchester MAC on August 10th.

Item 1. Attached is the original Winchester Specific Plan (SP 293) Conditions of Approval that was amended and approved by the Board on May 14, 1996. Condition No. 2 lists facilities including the Salt Creek Bridges at Leon Road and Rice Road as infrastructure needs for this SP. Condition No. 2 also states:

“Prior to the recordation of any subdivision or parcel map for any portion of the specific plan, the project proponent shall provide a funding mechanism for the following road improvements. Funding may be provided by annexing to an existing Road and Bridge Benefit District or through the formation of a new District or such similar mechanism as approved by the Transportation Department. Construction shall be conditioned on individual tracts as needed to satisfy infrastructure needs.”

There was a concern that this condition was removed at some point with one of the SP amendments. We did find that this condition was no longer appearing beginning with SP 293 Amendment No. 2. We did a lot of research and were not able to find out why the condition is no longer appearing in the SP Conditions, but we found that the condition is showing up at the Tentative Map stage. The following condition drops down to the Tentative Map level:

“The subdivider shall comply with the requirements set forth in the Riverside County Transportation Department’s letter dated 5/14/96, a copy of which is attached. (Amended by the Board of Supervisors at its hearing on 5/14/96).”

By reference to the Department’s letter dated 5/14/96, the condition is still being carried forward on individual tracts.

There was also a belief that the original SP conditions required the developers to build the Salt Creek Bridges before any homes were constructed, but this requirement was dropped somewhere along the line. Upon review of the original SP 293 Conditions, it does not appear that the original conditions reflect this understanding.

Item 2. A request was made to see if it is possible to change the name of the Menifee Valley RBBB to Winchester Hills RBBB (or other appropriate name) to reflect that the remaining unincorporated County area of this RBBB is now predominately within the Winchester area. We checked with our County Counsel and he believes it is possible to change the name through an amendment process. We are not sure yet on how we will square a name change with the County Recorder and if there will be 2 different RBBB names that show up on title reports of the property owners. But we can figure all that out as we proceed.

Andy, where we will need your help is in getting support from the property owners for the RBBB name change and getting a consensus on what the new name should be (Winchester Hills RBBB?). Just let me know and we’ll start working on the process.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Best regards,

Glenn Higa, P.E.
Engineering Division Manager
Riverside County Transportation Dept.
4080 Lemon Street, 8th Floor
Riverside, CA 92501
(951) 955-0043

ghiga@rivco.org

Please note that my email has changed

How are we doing? Click the link to tell us

Confidentiality Disclaimer

This email is confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual(s) to whom it is addressed. The information contained in this message may be privileged and confidential and protected from disclosure.

If you are not the author's intended recipient, be advised that you have received this email in error and that any use, dissemination, forwarding, printing, or copying of this email is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error please delete all copies, both electronic and printed, and contact the author immediately.

County of Riverside California

9.3 ✓

RIVERSIDE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Request to Speak

Submit request to the Clerk of Board. Speakers are entitled to three (3) minutes, subject to Board Rules listed on the reverse side of this form.

Date: 8-29-17 Agenda #: 9.3

SPEAKER'S NAME: Michael Rouse
(Print Name)

Address: 37987 Simpson rd
(Only required if follow-up mail response is requested)

City: Waukegan Zip: 92096

Phone #: 957-976-2444 Email: _____

I AM:

- The Applicant
- A Neighbor
- Applicant's Representative
- Other Interested Party

PLEASE INDICATE YOUR POSITION BELOW:

- I wish to speak
- I DO NOT wish to speak
- I wish to speak with a Media Presentation

I YIELD my 3 minutes to the following speaker:
(Maximum 2 Yields per Speaker)

Michael Rouse
(Name)

Position on Agenda Item:

- In Favor
- Neutral
- Opposed

9.3V

RIVERSIDE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Request to Speak

Submit request to the Clerk of Board. Speakers are entitled to three (3) minutes, subject to Board Rules listed on the reverse side of this form.

Date: Aug 29-17 Agenda #: 952

SPEAKER'S NAME: CHARLES L. LOREN
(Print Name)

Address: 3152 N. SAN WY
(Only required if follow-up mail response is requested)

City: WINDYBUSH Zip: 92598

Phone #: 951-926-2222 Email: _____

I AM:

- The Applicant A Neighbor
- Applicant's Representative Other Interested Party

PLEASE INDICATE YOUR POSITION BELOW:

- I wish to speak I DO NOT wish to speak
- I wish to speak with a Media Presentation

I YIELD my 3 minutes to the following speaker:
(Maximum 2 Yields per Speaker)

(Name)

Position on Agenda Item:

- In Favor Neutral Opposed