

**SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA**



ITEM
1.10
(ID # 6443)

MEETING DATE:

Tuesday, March 13, 2018

FROM : TLMA-PLANNING:

SUBJECT: TRANSPORTATION & LAND MANAGEMENT AGENCY/PLANNING: RECEIVE AND FILE THE PLANNING COMMISSION'S DECISION TO APPROVE THE SECOND EXTENSION OF TIME for TENTATIVE TRACT MAP NO. 33687 – Applicant: McCanna Hills, LLC – Fifth Supervisorial District – Nuevo Zoning Area – Lakeview/Nuevo Area Plan: Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD-MDR) (2-5 DU/AC) and Open Space: Conservation (OS-C) – Location: Northerly of Nuevo Road, southerly of Sunset Avenue, bisected by Foothill Avenue, and is bordered to the north and west by the McCanna Hills Specific Plan No. 246A1 – 67.16 Gross Acres – Zoning: Specific Plan (SP251) – APPROVED PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Schedule "A" subdivision of 67.16 acres into 309 residential lots with a minimum lot size of 4,000 sq. ft., five (5) water quality basins, 18 Open Space lots totaling 4.9 acres, and three (3) park lots totaling 5.44 acres – REQUEST: SECOND EXTENSION OF TIME REQUEST for TENTATIVE TRACT MAP NO. 33687, extending the expiration date to September 12, 2018. [Applicant Fees 100%.]

RECOMMENDED MOTION: That the Board of Supervisors:

1. **RECEIVE AND FILE** the Planning Commission's Notice of Decision for the above referenced case acted on by the Planning Commission on January 17, 2018. The Tentative Tract Map No. 33687 will now expire on September 12, 2018.

ACTION: Consent

Charissa Leach, Assistant TLMA Director

3/5/2018

MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

On motion of Supervisor Jeffries, seconded by Supervisor Perez and duly carried by unanimous vote, IT WAS ORDERED that the above matter of approval is received and filed as recommended.

Ayes: Jeffries, Tavaglione, Washington, Perez and Ashley
Nays: None
Absent: None
Date: March 13, 2018
xc: Planning, Applicant

Kecia Harper-Ihem
Clerk of the Board
By:
Deputy

1.10

**SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE,
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

FINANCIAL DATA	Current Fiscal Year:	Next Fiscal Year:	Total Cost:	Ongoing Cost
COST	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	\$ N/A
NET COUNTY COST	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	\$ N/A
SOURCE OF FUNDS: Applicant Fees 100%			Budget Adjustment: N/A	
			For Fiscal Year: N/A	

C.E.O. RECOMMENDATION: Approve

BACKGROUND:

Summary

Tentative Tract Map No. 33687 was originally approved by the Planning Commission on July 26, 2006. It proceeded to the Board of Supervisors along with Specific Plan No. 251 and Change of Zone No. 7181 where all applications were approved on September 12, 2006.

The First Extension of Time was approved by the Planning Commission on July 19, 2017.

The Second Extension of Time was received on August 2, 2017, ahead of the expiration date of September 12, 2017. The applicant and the County discussed conditions of approval and reached consensus on October 16, 2017.

The County Planning Department, as part of the review of this Extension of Time request, recommends no further conditions of approval will be issued due to the seven (7) previous conditions of approval that were provided and approved on July 19, 2017. The applicant was informed of this recommendation and has agreed to accept them.

The Tentative Tract Map also benefited from Senate Bill No. 1185 (SB1185), Assembly Bill No. 333 (AB333), Assembly Bill No. 208 (AB208), and Assembly Bill No. 116 (AB116), which granted statutory extensions of time for tentative maps statewide.

The Planning Commission heard the second extension of time for Tentative Tract Map No. 33687 on January 17, 2018. The Planning Commission approved the project by a 5-0 vote.

Board Action

The Planning Commission's decision is final and no action by the Board of Supervisors is required unless the applicant or an interested person files a complete appeal application within 10 days of this notice appearing on the Board's agenda.

Impact on Citizens and Businesses

The impacts of this project have been evaluated through the environmental review and public hearing process by the Planning Department and the Planning Commission.

**SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE,
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

**Supplemental
Additional Fiscal Information**

All fees are paid by the applicant. There is no General Fund obligation.

ATTACHMENTS:

- A. **PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTES**
- B. **PLANNING COMMISSION STAFF REPORT**


Scott Bruckner 3/5/2018



**PLANNING COMMISSION
MINUTE ORDER
JANUARY 17, 2018**

I. AGENDA ITEM 1.3

SECOND EXTENSION OF TIME REQUEST for TENTATIVE TRACT MAP NO. 33687 – Applicant: McCanna Hills, LLC – Fifth Supervisorial District – Nuevo Zoning Area – Lakeview/Nuevo Area Plan: Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD-MDR) (2-5 DU/AC) – Open Space: Conservation (OS-C) – Location: Northerly of Nuevo Road, southerly of Sunset Avenue, bisected by Foothill Avenue, and bordered to the north and west by the McCanna Hills Specific Plan No. 246A1 – 67.16 Gross Acres – Zoning: Specific Plan (SP251) – Approved Project Description: Schedule "A" Subdivision of 67.16 acres into 309 residential lots with a minimum lot size of 4,000 sq. ft., five (5) water quality basins, 18 open space lots totaling 4.9 acres, and three (3) park lots totaling 5.44 acres.


II. PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

Second Extension of Time Request for Tentative Tract Map No. 33687, extending the expiration date to September 12, 2020.

III. PLANNING COMMISSION ACTION:

Motion by Commissioner Shaffer, 2nd by Commissioner Taylor-Berger
A vote of 5-0

APPROVED - Second Extension of Time Request for Tentative Tract Map No. 33687, extending the expiration date to September 12, 2020.



**COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
PLANNING DEPARTMENT
EXTENSION OF TIME REPORT**

Agenda Item No.:

1.3

Planning Commission Hearing: January 17, 2018

PROPOSED PROJECT

Case Number(s): TR33687

Applicant(s): McCanna Hills, LLC

Area Plan: Lakeview/Nuevo

Zoning Area/District: Nuevo Area

Representative(s): N/A

Supervisory District: Fifth District

Project Planner: Gabriel Villalobos


Charissa Leach, P.E.
Assistant TLMA Director

PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION

The applicant of the subject case has requested an extension of time to allow for the recordation of the final map to subdivide 67.16 acres into 309 residential lots with a minimum lot size of 4,000 sq. ft., 5 water quality basins, 18 Open Space lots totaling 4.9 acres, and 3 park lots totaling 5.44 acres.

PROJECT RECOMMENDATION

APPROVAL of the **SECOND EXTENSION OF TIME REQUEST** for **TENTATIVE TRACT MAP NO. 33687**, extending the expiration date and to reflect SB1185, AB333, AB208, and AB116 benefits to September 12, 2018, subject to all the previously approved and amended Conditions of Approval, with the applicant's consent.

PROJECT LOCATION MAP



Figure 1: Project Location Map

PROJECT BACKGROUND AND ANALYSIS

Background

Tentative Tract Map No. 33687 was originally approved at Planning Commission on July 26, 2006. It proceeded to the Board of Supervisors along with Specific Plan No. 251 and Change of Zone No. 7181 where all applications were approved on September 12, 2006.

The First Extension of Time was approved at Planning Commission on July 19, 2017.

The Second Extension of Time was received August 2, 2017, ahead of the expiration date of September 12, 2017. The applicant and the County discussed conditions of approval and reached consensus on October 16, 2017.

The County Planning Department, as part of the review of this Extension of Time request, recommends no further conditions of approval will be issued due to the seven (7) previous conditions of approval that were provided and approved on July 19, 2017. The applicant was informed of this recommendation and has agreed to accept. Included in this staff report package is the recommendation of no further conditions of approval, and the correspondence from the Extension of Time applicant (dated October 16, 2017) indicating the acceptance of the determination.

Unless specifically requested by the applicant, this Extension of Time request will not be discussed at the time it is presented to the Planning Commission as a consent calendar item.

State Bills

EFFECT OF Senate Bill No. 1185 (SB1185): On July 15, 2008, AB208 was signed into law, which grants a one-time extension of existing subdivision maps so developers can build immediately when the demand for housing goes up. It gives developers an automatic 12 month extension on previously approved subdivision maps set to expire between July 15, 2008 and January 1, 2011.

EFFECT OF Assembly Bill No. 333 (AB333): On July 15, 2009, AB333 was signed into law, which grants a one-time extension of existing subdivision maps so developers can build immediately when the demand for housing goes up. It gives developers an automatic 24 month extension on previously approved subdivision maps set to expire between July 15, 2009 and January 1, 2012.

EFFECT OF Assembly Bill No. 208 (AB208): On July 13, 2011, AB208 was signed into law, which grants a one-time extension of existing subdivision maps so developers can build immediately when the demand for housing goes up. It gives developers an automatic 24 month extension on previously approved subdivision maps set to expire between July 13, 2011 and January 1, 2014.

EFFECT OF Assembly Bill No. 116 (AB116): On July 11, 2013, AB116 was signed into law, which grants a one-time extension of existing subdivision maps so developers can build immediately when the demand for housing goes up. It gives developers an automatic 24 month extension on previously approved subdivision maps set to expire between January 1, 2000 and July 11, 2013.

Riverside County Map Extensions

Pursuant to County of Riverside Ordinance No. 460 (Subdivision Regulations), tentative tract and parcel maps have an initial life-span approval of 3-years. In addition, a maximum of 5, 1-year extensions may be approved, upon a timely filed extension request, allowing for a total tentative map life-span approval of 8-years. On September 12, 2017, the Board of Supervisors approved an amendment to Ordinance 460,

replacing the extension time frames to allow for 2, 3-year extensions, for a total tentative map life-span of 9-years.

As a result, the total number years a map may be extended is 6 years. The 1st extension of time granted 1 year. Upon an approval action by the Planning Commission, subsequent receive and file action by the Board of Supervisors, and the conclusion of the 10-day appeal period, this second extension of time will grant another 3 years, pushing the tentative tract map's expiration date to September 12, 2020. If a final map has not been recorded prior this date, the third extension of time request must be filed 30-days prior to map expiration. The third extension of time will grant another 2 years, pushing the final expiration date of the tentative tract map to September 12, 2022.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

The subject case has conformed to the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), and all impacts have been analyzed in order to protect the public health, safety, and welfare. No changes to the approved map are proposed and as a result, no new environmental documentation is required prior to an Extension of Time approval.

FINDINGS

In order for the County to approve a proposed project, the following findings are required to be made:

Extension of Time Findings

1. This Tentative Tract Map has been found to be consistent with the Riverside County General Plan, pursuant to the originally approved findings and conditions of approval. This Extension of Time proposes no changes to the map design and is therefore still found to be consistent.
2. This Tentative Tract Map has been found to be consistent with Ordinance No. 348 (Zoning Code) and Ordinance No. 460 (Subdivision Regulations), pursuant to the originally approved findings and conditions of approval. This Extension of Time proposes no changes to the map design and is therefore still found to be consistent.
3. No changes to the approved map are proposed in conjunction with this Extension of Time. All impacts have been analyzed in order to protect the public health, safety, and welfare.

Vicinity Map

2nd EOT for TR33687



- Legend**
- ☐ City Boundaries
 - ☐ Cities
 - roads
 - highways
 - HWY
 - INTERCHANGE
 - INTERSTATE
 - OFFRAMP
 - ONRAMP
 - USHWY
 - roads
 - Major Roads
 - Arterial
 - Collector
 - Residential
 - counties
 - cities
 - hydrographylines
 - waterbodies
 - Lakes
 - Rivers

Notes

"IMPORTANT" Maps and data are to be used for reference purposes only. Map features are approximate, and are not necessarily accurate to surveying or engineering standards. The County of Riverside makes no warranty or guarantee as to the content (the source is often third party), accuracy, timeliness, or completeness of any of the data provided, and assumes no legal responsibility for the information contained on this map. Any use of this product with respect to accuracy and precision shall be the sole responsibility of the user.

REPORT PRINTED ON... 10/27/2017 11:56:56 AM



© Riverside County RCIT GIS



0 2,289 4,578 Feet



**TENTATIVE TRACT NO. 33687
LAKE NUEVO VILLAGE
County of Riverside, State of California
FOR AMENDMENT 2—REVISED**

[illegible]

<p>  文部科学省 教育部 </p>	<p>  厚生労働省 衛生部 </p>	<p>  農林水産省 農林部 </p>	<p>  経済産業省 經濟部 </p>	<p>  内閣府 內政部 </p>	<p>  法務省 司法部 </p>	<p>  文部科学省 教育部 </p>	<p>  厚生労働省 衛生部 </p>	<p>  農林水産省 農林部 </p>	<p>  経済産業省 經濟部 </p>	<p>  内閣府 內政部 </p>	<p>  法務省 司法部 </p>	<p>  文部科学省 教育部 </p>	<p>  厚生労働省 衛生部 </p>	<p>  農林水産省 農林部 </p>	<p>  経済産業省 經濟部 </p>	<p>  内閣府 內政部 </p>	<p>  法務省 司法部 </p>
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Extension of Time Environmental Determination

Project Case Number: TR33687
Original E.A. Number: 40244
Extension of Time No.: Second
Original Approval Date: September 12, 2006
Project Location: North of Nuevo Road, South of Sunset Avenue, bisected by Foothill Avenue, and is bordered to the North and West by the McCanna Hills Specific Plan No. 246A1
Project Description: Schedule "A" Subdivision of 67.16 acres into 309 residential lots with a minimum lot size of 4,000 sq. ft., 5 water quality basins, 18 Open Space lots totaling 4.9 acres, and 3 park lots total 5.44 acres.

On September 12, 2006, this Tentative Tract Map and its original environmental assessment/environmental impact report was reviewed to determine: 1) whether any significant or potentially significant changes in the original proposal have occurred; 2) whether its environmental conditions or circumstances affecting the proposed development have changed. As a result of this evaluation, the following determination has been made:

<input type="checkbox"/>	I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED PRIOR TO APPROVAL OF THE EXTENSION OF TIME, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or Negative Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or Negative Declaration and the project's original conditions of approval.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, and there are one or more potentially significant environmental changes or other changes to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken, NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED PRIOR TO APPROVAL OF THE EXTENSION OF TIME, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or Negative Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or Negative Declaration and revisions to the project's original conditions of approval which have been made and agreed to by the project proponent.
<input type="checkbox"/>	I find that there are one or more potentially significant environmental changes or other changes to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken, which the project's original conditions of approval may not address, and for which additional required mitigation measures and/or conditions of approval cannot be determined at this time. Therefore, AN ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT/INITIAL STUDY IS REQUIRED in order to determine what additional mitigation measures and/or conditions of approval, if any, may be needed, and whether or not at least one of the conditions described in California Code of Regulations, Section 15162 (necessitating a Supplemental or Subsequent E.I.R.) exist. Additionally, the environmental assessment/initial study shall be used to determine WHETHER OR NOT THE EXTENSION OF TIME SHOULD BE RECOMMENDED FOR APPROVAL.
<input type="checkbox"/>	I find that the original project was determined to be exempt from CEQA, and the proposed project will not have a significant effect on the environment, therefore NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED PRIOR TO APPROVAL OF THE EXTENSION OF TIME.

Signature: Gabriel Villalobos
Gabriel Villalobos, Project Planner

Date: November 29, 2017
For Charissa Leach, Assistant TLMA Director

Ortuno, Arturo

From: Mark Burkes <mburkes@npland.com>
Sent: Monday, October 16, 2017 8:50 AM
To: Ortuno, Arturo
Cc: Jeff Belger, Vyctoria Luong
Subject: FW: 2nd EOT TR33687 Recommended Conditions

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

Good Morning Arturo,

Please see the email below from Jeff Bulger, the ownership's asset manager. Will this suffice?

Mark Burkes
Bristol Land Company LLC



100 Bayview Circle, Suite 2200, Newport Beach, CA 92660

Direct: 949.945.2297 Cell: 714.497.9399
Main: 949.945.2290 Fax: 949.945.2561
mburkes@npland.com | npland.com

From: Jeff Belger
Sent: Monday, October 16, 2017 8:42 AM
To: Mark Burkes <mburkes@npland.com>; Vyctoria Luong <VLuong@ihpinc.com>
Subject: RE: 2nd EOT TR33687 Recommended Conditions

Mark, please forward this e-mail to the City.

I, the Extension of Time Applicant, accept the determination of no further conditions of approval.

Thanks,

Jeff

Jeff Belger | Vice President


IHP Capital Partners
100 Bayview Circle, Suite 2000
Newport Beach, CA 92660
949-851-2121 main
949-655-7021 direct
jbelger@ihpinc.com

From: Mark Burkes
Sent: Sunday, October 15, 2017 8:46 AM
To: Jeff Belger <JBelger@ihpinc.com>; Vyctoria Luong <VLuong@ihpinc.com>
Subject: FW: 2nd EOT TR33687 Recommended Conditions

Jeff,

Can you get me a letter/memo/email from IHP as requested below? We are good with no new conditions.

Mark Burkes
Bristol Land Company LLC



100 Bayview Circle, Suite 2200, Newport Beach, CA 92660

Direct: [949.945.2297](tel:949.945.2297) Cell: [714.497.9399](tel:714.497.9399)
Main: [949.945.2290](tel:949.945.2290) Fax: [949.945.2561](tel:949.945.2561)
mburkes@npland.com | npland.com

From: Ortuno, Arturo [<mailto:AOrtuno@rivco.org>]
Sent: Wednesday, October 11, 2017 10:43 AM
To: Mark Burkes <mburkes@npland.com>
Subject: 2nd EOT TR33687 Recommended Conditions

Attn: Mark Burkes
McCanna Hills, LLC
100 Bayview Circle, Suite 2000
Newport Beach, CA 92660

RE: SECOND EXTENSION OF TIME REQUEST for TENTATIVE TRACT MAP No. 33687.

The County Planning Department has determined it necessary to recommend no further conditions of approval in order to be able to make a determination that the project does not adversely affect the general health, safety and welfare of the public.

If this determination is acceptable, then submit a short written letter/memo/email that clearly references this case, and clearly state that you, the Extension of Time Applicant, accept the determination of no further conditions of approval. This documentation will then be included in the staff report package.

If the determination of no further conditions of approval is not acceptable, please notify me so we can discuss your concerns. If the issue cannot be resolved, then I will direct you to contact the individual Department representative to discuss this matter further.

Once the determination has been accepted, I will begin preparing the staff report package for a Planning Commission hearing as a consent item. If you, the EOT applicant, is unable to accept this determination, the Planning Department will recommend denial of this extension of time request. An opportunity will, if requested, be provided for arguments to be made to the hearing body justifying why this request should be approved without the recommended determination.

I am eager to move this case forward and continue the extension of time process. If you have not contacted me within thirty (30) days, I will begin preparing this case with a recommendation of denial. I need one of two items to proceed:

- 1) Correspondence from you, the EOT applicant, accepting the recommended conditions per the directions provided above; or,
- 2) Correspondence from you, the EOT applicant, advising me of the concerns with the recommended conditions. If the concern still exists after our discussion, then direction on how to approach the issue will be given and additional time will be provided until the issue is resolved.

If you have any questions, comments, or concerns regarding this email, please feel free to contact me as indicated below.

Arturo Ortuño
Riverside County Planning Dept.
4080 Lemon Street – 12th Floor
Riverside, CA 92501
951-955-0314



How are we doing? Click the Link and tell us

Confidentiality Disclaimer

This email is confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual(s) to whom it is addressed. The information contained in this message may be privileged and confidential and protected from disclosure. If you are not the author's intended recipient, be advised that you have received this email in error and that any use, dissemination, forwarding, printing, or copying of this email is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error please delete all copies, both electronic and printed, and contact the author immediately.

County of Riverside California

Disclaimer: IHP Capital Partners, a registered investment adviser with the Securities and Exchange Commission, archives and may review outgoing and incoming e-mail and may produce any e-mail at the request of regulators. This transmission should not be construed as a solicitation or an offer to buy or sell securities. E-mail transmissions cannot be guaranteed to be secure or error free as information may be intercepted, corrupted, lost, destroyed, arrive late or incomplete, or contain viruses. IHP does not accept any liability for the contents of this e-mail message or any actions taken on the basis of this message. If you would like to receive this information in an alternative method, please contact the sender. This e-mail and any files transmitted with it may be confidential and are intended solely for the use of the recipient(s) to whom they are addressed, even if addressed incorrectly. If you are not the intended recipient you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this e-mail, but instead should notify the sender immediately by e-mail if you have received this by mistake and delete this e-mail from your system.