

Maxwell, Sue

From: Maxwell, Sue
Sent: Friday, April 20, 2018 8:57 AM
To: COB-Agenda (COB-Agenda@rivco.org); George Johnson (GAJohnson@RIVCO.ORG); Leach, Charissa (cleach@RIVCO.ORG); Perez, Juan (JCPEREZ@RIVCO.ORG); Young, Alisa; District 4 Supervisor V. Manuel Perez (District4@RIVCO.ORG); District2; District3; District5; Supervisor Jeffries - 1st District (district1@rivco.org)
Cc: Russell Brady (rbrady@RIVCO.ORG); Rector, Kimberly; BOYDD, April; Williams, Lorraine D
Subject: April 24, 2018 Item 18.1 Public Comments Opposing Condos in Temescal Canyon (Planning)
Attachments: Memo to BOS - Public Comments.pdf; Public Comments 4-19.pdf

Good morning,

Please see the attached memorandum and 99 Public Comments opposing the April 24, 2018 Board Meeting Agenda Item 18.1 for re-zoning and building of 80 condominium units in Temescal Canyon.

This has been electronically filed with Back-Up for Item 18.1.

Thank you kindly,

Sue Maxwell

Board Assistant
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
4080 Lemon Street, 1st Floor, Room 127
Riverside, CA 92501
(951) 955-1069 Fax (951) 955-1071
Mail Stop #1010
smaxwell@rivco.org
<http://rivcocob.org/>
<https://www.facebook.com/RivCoCOB/>



NOTICE: This communication is intended for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and may contain **information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure** under applicable law. If the reader of this communication is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this communication to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by reply email or by telephone and immediately delete this communication and all its attachments.

From: Brady, Russell
Sent: Thursday, April 19, 2018 6:09 PM
To: COB <COB@RIVCO.ORG>
Cc: Perez, Juan <JCPEREZ@RIVCO.ORG>; Leach, Charissa <cleach@rivco.org>
Subject: 4/24 - Item 18.1 Memo

See attached memo with related attachment to distribute immediately to Supervisors related to Item 18.1 on the April 24th agenda. Please confirm when this has been distributed.

Thanks

Russell Brady
Riverside County Planning
4080 Lemon Street 12th Floor
Riverside, CA 92501
951-955-3025



How are we doing? Click the Link and tell us



Charissa Leach, P.E.
Assistant TLMA Director

RIVERSIDE COUNTY

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Memorandum

DATE: April 19, 2018

TO: Board of Supervisors

FROM: Russell Brady, Project Planner

RE: Item 18.1 – Public Comments

Since completion of the Form 11 package, staff has received the attached additional comments in opposition to the proposed project for consideration by the Board of Supervisors.

Brady, Russell

From: Interesting <higena@att.net>
Sent: Friday, April 13, 2018 2:50 PM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: Temescal Valley Condo project

Mr. Brady,

I have lived in Temescal Valley since 1987 and have enjoyed the slower growth than most cities. However, Temescal Valley residents are loosing their quality of life each time a home or a large business is added that will add more traffic, bad air and the visual impact. There has to come a time when too much is too much. That time is now.

Respectfully,

gena

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

Brady, Russell

From: Meta Maynard <meta_maynard@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Friday, April 13, 2018 5:36 PM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: Temescal Valley Condo Project
Attachments: Planning Commission Letter - Sycamore Creek.docx

Good afternoon Mr. Brady,

Please review the attached letter with my opposition in regard to the proposed Temescal Valley Condo Project.

Respectfully,

Meta Maynard
Resident, Sycamore Creek

April 13, 2018

Riverside County Planning Commission
c/o Russell Brady
Planning Department (12th Floor)
Riverside County Administration Center
4080 Lemon Street
Riverside, CA 92501

Re: Temescal Valley Condo Project
Tentative Tract Map No. 37153 Plot Plan

Dear Planning Commissioners:

This letter shall serve as opposition to the proposed change of the General Plan Land Use Designation from Community Development: Business Park to Community Development: Medium High Density Residential and the proposed zone change from Commercial Office to Planned Residential (R-4). The Plot Plan proposes a total of 80 two-story, single-family detached condominium units which will have a significant negative effect on the environment and the Sycamore Creek community.

It is unfortunate that this infill project is being proposed at a time: 1) when the Temescal Valley is in its development infancy; 2) when traffic studies are not required for a project of this size; 3) when it prevents the development of needed commercial businesses that support the community and County; 4) when traffic mitigation is needed along the heavily congested Temescal Canyon Road; 5) when the ingress and egress of Temescal Valley industrial business trucks e.g. cement, asphalt and aggregate (18 wheel) is heavily impacted by traffic along Temescal Canyon Road.

Since the Temescal Valley is devoid of commercial, industrial, or retail jobs as well as fixed route public transportation, community residents must utilize their vehicles to commute to and from their jobs in Riverside County and Orange County. In addition, their vehicle use is essential to transport their children to and from the Corona-Norco Unified School District intermediate school and high schools (Santiago High School and Centennial High School) on a daily basis. The heavily congested traffic on the Interstate 15 and Temescal Canyon Road has resulted in vehicle single direction transit times in excess of half an hour to travel six miles, and has had a significant negative impact on air quality, noise levels and the level of service on Temescal Valley roads. With the exception of some minor Temescal Canyon road improvements, north of the interchange of Interstate 15 and Temescal Canyon Road there are no major transportation projects planned that would mitigate the heavily impacted traffic congestion in the Temescal Valley in next two decades.

Infill development is the process of developing vacant or under-used parcels within existing urban areas that are already largely developed. Ideally, infill development involves more than the piecemeal development of individual lots. Unfortunately this infill project is not consistent with the surrounding well-functioning neighborhoods. The currently approved land use (Community Development: Business Park) received wide Temescal Valley community support, while this land use change has been overwhelmingly rejected by the Temescal Valley community.

This project provides no unique housing benefit to the community given the robust housing development currently underway with the construction of 192 new homes in Sycamore Creek and 1,433

homes in Terramor. Both the Sycamore Creek and Terramor residential development projects are within a quarter mile and half mile, respectively, of this infill project. Two miles from this infill project are these residential unit projects: 1) Hunt/Lawson (54 residential homes); 2) Lee Lake Community General Plan Amendment No. 1122 highest density residential (HHDR 258 apartments); 3) Lakeside Specific Plan (up to 410 residential dwelling units); 4) GOCO Retreat Temescal Valley (approximately 90 residential units). Within five miles of this infill project are the active residential development projects of: 1) Arantine Hills (1,806 residential homes); 2) Dos Lagos (approximately 800 apartments); 3) Forest Boundary (50 residential homes); 4) Alberhill Villages (8,244 residential homes); 5) Tentative Tract Map No. 30760 (285 single family residential units). This infill project deprives the Temescal Valley community of needed community services and amenities that were previously approved for this site. This project needs an Environmental Impact Report as well as a Traffic Study.

If the County insists on going forward with this infill residential project, let this letter serve as insistence that this project be conditioned upon an Environmental Impact Report and the expansion of the Temescal Canyon Road to two lanes in either direction (total of four lanes) from where the Temescal Canyon Road passes under Interstate 15 to the northern interchange of the Temescal Canyon Road and Interstate 15.

Respectfully,

Brady, Russell

From: Bob Sesulka <bsesulka@ca.rr.com>
Sent: Friday, April 13, 2018 5:55 PM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: Condos

Have you driven on the the freeways later , we definitely do not need more cars until they upgrade the public and freeways . Let's do everything to stop these Condos from going in at this time . 😞

Sent from my iPhone

Brady, Russell

From: Cliff Stotts <cliffstotts@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, April 13, 2018 6:02 PM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: Temescal Valley Condo project

April 13, 2018

Riverside County Planning Commission
c/o Russell Brady
Planning Department (12th Floor)
Riverside County Administration Center
4080 Lemon Street
Riverside, CA 92501

Re: Temescal Valley Condo Project
Tentative Tract Map No. 37153 Plot Plan

Dear Planning Commissioners:

This letter shall serve as opposition to the proposed change of the General Plan Land Use Designation from Community Development: Business Park to Community Development: Medium High Density Residential and the proposed zone change from Commercial Office to Planned Residential (R-4). The Plot Plan proposes a total of 80two-story, single-family detached condominium units which will have a significant negative effect on the environment and the Sycamore Creek community.

It is unfortunate that this infill project is being proposed at a time: 1) when the Temescal Valley is in its development infancy; 2) when traffic studies are not required for a project of this size; 3) when it prevents the development of needed commercial businesses that support the community and County; 4) when traffic mitigation is needed along the heavily congested Temescal Canyon Road; 5) when the ingress and egress of Temescal Valley industrial business trucks e.g. cement, asphalt and aggregate (18 wheel) is heavily impacted by traffic along Temescal Canyon Road.

Since the Temescal Valley is devoid of commercial, industrial, or retail jobs as well as fixed route public transportation, community residents must utilize their vehicles to commute to and from their jobs in Riverside County and Orange County. In addition, their vehicle use is essential to transport their children to and from the Corona-Norco Unified School District intermediate school and high schools (Santiago High School and Centennial High School) on a daily basis. The heavily congested traffic on the Interstate 15 and Temescal Canyon Road has resulted in vehicle single direction transit times in excess of half an hour to travel six miles, and has had a significant negative impact on air quality, noise levels and the level of service on Temescal Valley roads. With the exception of some minor Temescal Canyon road improvements, north of the interchange

of Interstate 15 and Temescal Canyon Road there are no major transportation projects planned that would mitigate the heavily impacted traffic congestion in the Temescal Valley in next two decades.

Infill development is the process of developing vacant or under-used parcels within existing urban areas that are already largely developed. Ideally, infill development involves more than the piecemeal development of individual lots. Unfortunately this infill project is not consistent with the surrounding well-functioning neighborhoods. The currently approved land use (Community Development: Business Park) received wide Temescal Valley community support, while this land use change has been overwhelmingly rejected by the Temescal Valley community.

This project provides no unique housing benefit to the community given the robust housing development currently underway with the construction of 192 new homes in Sycamore Creek and 1,433 homes in Terramor. Both the Sycamore Creek and Terramor residential development projects are within a quarter mile and half mile, respectively, of this infill project. Two miles from this infill project are these residential unit projects: 1) Hunt/Lawson (54 residential homes); 2) Lee Lake Community General Plan Amendment No. 1122 highest density residential (HHDR 258 apartments); 3) Lakeside Specific Plan (up to 410 residential dwelling units); 4) GOCO Retreat Temescal Valley (approximately 90 residential units). Within five miles of this infill project are the active residential development projects of: 1) Arantine Hills (1,806 residential homes); 2) Dos Lagos (approximately 800 apartments); 3) Forest Boundary (50 residential homes); 4) Alberhill Villages (8,244 residential homes); 5) Tentative Tract Map No. 30760 (285 single family residential units). This infill project deprives the Temescal Valley community of needed community services and amenities that were previously approved for this site. This project needs an Environmental Impact Report as well as a Traffic Study.

If the County insists on going forward with this infill residential project, let this letter serves as insistence that this project be conditioned upon an Environmental Impact Report and the expansion of the Temescal Canyon Road to two lanes in either direction (total of four lanes) from where the Temescal Canyon Road passes under Interstate 15 to the northern interchange of the Temescal Canyon Road and Interstate 15.

Respectfully,
Cliff Stotts

Brady, Russell

From: LTN N <ltn403@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 13, 2018 6:07 PM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: Temescal Valley Condo Project

April 13, 2018

Riverside County Planning Commission
c/o Russell Brady
Planning Department (12 th Floor)
Riverside County Administration Center
4080 Lemon Street
Riverside, CA 92501
Re: Temescal Valley Condo Project
Tentative Tract Map No. 37153 Plot Plan

Dear Planning Commissioners:

This letter shall serve as opposition to the proposed change of the General Plan Land Use Designation from Community Development: Business Park to Community Development: Medium High Density Residential and the proposed zone change from Commercial Office to Planned Residential (R-4). The Plot Plan proposes a total of 80 two-story, single-family detached condominium units which will have a significant negative effect on the environment and the Sycamore Creek community. It is unfortunate that this infill project is being proposed at a time: 1) when the Temescal Valley is in its development infancy; 2) when traffic studies are not required for a project of this size; 3) when it prevents the development of needed commercial businesses that support the community and County; 4) when traffic mitigation is needed along the heavily congested Temescal Canyon Road; 5) when the

ingress and egress of Temescal Valley industrial business trucks e.g. cement, asphalt and aggregate (18 wheel) is heavily impacted by traffic along Temescal Canyon Road.

Since the Temescal Valley is devoid of commercial, industrial, or retail jobs as well as fixed route public transportation, community residents must utilize their vehicles to commute to and from their jobs in Riverside County and Orange County. In addition, their vehicle use is essential to transport their children to and from the Corona-Norco Unified School District intermediate school and high schools (Santiago High School and Centennial High School) on a daily basis. The heavily congested traffic on the Interstate 15 and Temescal Canyon Road has resulted in vehicle single direction transit times in excess of half an hour to travel six miles, and has had a significant negative impact on air quality, noise levels and the level of service on Temescal Valley roads. With the exception of some minor Temescal Canyon road improvements, north of the interchange of Interstate 15 and Temescal Canyon Road there are no major transportation projects planned that would mitigate the heavily impacted traffic congestion in the Temescal Valley in next two decades. Infill development is the process of developing vacant or under-used parcels within existing urban areas

that are already largely developed. Ideally, infill development involves more than the piecemeal development of individual lots. Unfortunately this infill project is not consistent with the surrounding well-functioning

neighborhoods. The currently approved land use (Community Development: Business Park) received wide Temescal Valley community support, while this land use change has been overwhelmingly rejected by the Temescal Valley community. This project provides no unique housing benefit to the community given the robust housing development currently underway with the construction of 192 new homes in Sycamore Creek and 1,433 homes in Terramor. Both the Sycamore Creek and Terramor residential development projects are within a quarter mile and half mile, respectively, of this infill project. Two miles from this infill project are these residential unit projects: 1) Hunt/Lawson (54 residential homes); 2) Lee Lake Community General Plan Amendment No. 1122 highest density residential (HHDR 258 apartments); 3) Lakeside Specific Plan (up to 410 residential dwelling units); 4) GOCO Retreat Temescal Valley (approximately 90 residential units). Within five miles of this infill project are the active residential development projects of: 1) Arantine Hills (1,806 residential homes); 2) Dos Lagos (approximately 800 apartments); 3) Forest Boundary (50 residential homes); 4) Alberhill Villages (8,244 residential homes); 5) Tentative Tract Map No. 30760 (285 single family residential units). This infill project deprives the Temescal Valley community of needed community services and amenities that were previously approved for this site. This project needs an Environmental Impact Report as well as a Traffic Study. If the County insists on going forward with this infill residential project, let this letter serve as insistence that this project be conditioned upon an Environmental Impact Report and the expansion of the Temescal Canyon Road to two lanes in either direction (total of four lanes) from where the Temescal Canyon Road passes under Interstate 15 to the northern interchange of the Temescal Canyon Road and Interstate 15.

Respectfully,

Linh Nguyen.

Brady, Russell

From: housam jarrar <cytogenetics@live.com>
Sent: Friday, April 13, 2018 6:29 PM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: Valley Condo Project
Attachments: Planning Commission Letter - Sycamore Creek.docx

Dear sir.

Please consider the attached message to your consideration for the condo project being considered by the board of supervisors. As a resident of the neighbourhood I hope our sincere concerns are taken into consideration. Thank you for your time.

Housam Jarrar
25767 Lacebark rd
Corona CA 92883

Get [Outlook for Android](#)

April 13, 2018

Riverside County Planning Commission
c/o Russell Brady
Planning Department (12th Floor)
Riverside County Administration Center
4080 Lemon Street
Riverside, CA 92501

Re: Temescal Valley Condo Project
Tentative Tract Map No. 37153 Plot Plan

Dear Planning Commissioners:

This letter shall serve as opposition to the proposed change of the General Plan Land Use Designation from Community Development: Business Park to Community Development: Medium High Density Residential and the proposed zone change from Commercial Office to Planned Residential (R-4). The Plot Plan proposes a total of 80 two-story, single-family detached condominium units which will have a significant negative effect on the environment and the Sycamore Creek community.

It is unfortunate that this infill project is being proposed at a time: 1) when the Temescal Valley is in its development infancy; 2) when traffic studies are not required for a project of this size; 3) when it prevents the development of needed commercial businesses that support the community and County; 4) when traffic mitigation is needed along the heavily congested Temescal Canyon Road; 5) when the ingress and egress of Temescal Valley industrial business trucks e.g. cement, asphalt and aggregate (18 wheel) is heavily impacted by traffic along Temescal Canyon Road.

Since the Temescal Valley is devoid of commercial, industrial, or retail jobs as well as fixed route public transportation, community residents must utilize their vehicles to commute to and from their jobs in Riverside County and Orange County. In addition, their vehicle use is essential to transport their children to and from the Corona-Norco Unified School District intermediate school and high schools (Santiago High School and Centennial High School) on a daily basis. The heavily congested traffic on the Interstate 15 and Temescal Canyon Road has resulted in vehicle single direction transit times in excess of half an hour to travel six miles, and has had a significant negative impact on air quality, noise levels and the level of service on Temescal Valley roads. With the exception of some minor Temescal Canyon road improvements, north of the interchange of Interstate 15 and Temescal Canyon Road there are no major transportation projects planned that would mitigate the heavily impacted traffic congestion in the Temescal Valley in next two decades.

Infill development is the process of developing vacant or under-used parcels within existing urban areas that are already largely developed. Ideally, infill development involves more than the piecemeal development of individual lots. Unfortunately this infill project is not consistent with the surrounding well-functioning neighborhoods. The currently approved land use (Community Development: Business Park) received wide Temescal Valley community support, while this land use change has been overwhelmingly rejected by the Temescal Valley community.

This project provides no unique housing benefit to the community given the robust housing development currently underway with the construction of 192 new homes in Sycamore Creek and 1,433

homes in Terramor. Both the Sycamore Creek and Terramor residential development projects are within a quarter mile and half mile, respectively, of this infill project. Two miles from this infill project are these residential unit projects: 1) Hunt/Lawson (54 residential homes); 2) Lee Lake Community General Plan Amendment No. 1122 highest density residential (HHDR 258 apartments); 3) Lakeside Specific Plan (up to 410 residential dwelling units); 4) GOCO Retreat Temescal Valley (approximately 90 residential units). Within five miles of this infill project are the active residential development projects of: 1) Arantine Hills (1,806 residential homes); 2) Dos Lagos (approximately 800 apartments); 3) Forest Boundary (50 residential homes); 4) Alberhill Villages (8,244 residential homes); 5) Tentative Tract Map No. 30760 (285 single family residential units). This infill project deprives the Temescal Valley community of needed community services and amenities that were previously approved for this site. This project needs an Environmental Impact Report as well as a Traffic Study.

If the County insists on going forward with this infill residential project, let this letter serve as insistence that this project be conditioned upon an Environmental Impact Report and the expansion of the Temescal Canyon Road to two lanes in either direction (total of four lanes) from where the Temescal Canyon Road passes under Interstate 15 to the northern interchange of the Temescal Canyon Road and Interstate 15.

Respectfully,

Brady, Russell

From: alaina Manuel <alaina392@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 13, 2018 6:44 PM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: Temescal valley condo project

April 13, 2018

Riverside County Planning Commission
c/o Russell Brady
Planning Department (12th Floor)
Riverside County Administration Center
4080 Lemon Street
Riverside, CA 92501

Re: Temescal Valley Condo Project
Tentative Tract Map No. 37153 Plot Plan

Dear Planning Commissioners:

This letter shall serve as opposition to the proposed change of the General Plan Land Use Designation from Community Development: Business Park to Community Development: Medium High Density Residential and the proposed zone change from Commercial Office to Planned Residential (R-4). The Plot Plan proposes a total of 80two-story, single-family detached condominium units which will have a significant negative effect on the environment and the Sycamore Creek community.

It is unfortunate that this infill project is being proposed at a time: 1) when the Temescal Valley is in its development infancy; 2) when traffic studies are not required for a project of this size; 3) when it prevents the development of needed commercial businesses that support the community and County; 4) when traffic mitigation is needed along the heavily congested Temescal Canyon Road; 5) when the ingress and egress of Temescal Valley industrial business trucks e.g. cement, asphalt and aggregate (18 wheel) is heavily impacted by traffic along Temescal Canyon Road.

Since the Temescal Valley is devoid of commercial, industrial, or retail jobs as well as fixed route public transportation, community residents must utilize their vehicles to commute to and from their jobs in Riverside County and Orange County. In addition, theirvehicle use is essential to transport their children to and from the Corona-Norco Unified School District intermediate school and high schools (Santiago High School and Centennial High School) on a daily basis. The heavily congested traffic on the Interstate 15 and Temescal Canyon Road has resulted in vehicle single direction transit times in excess of half an hour to travel six miles, and has had a significant negative impact on air quality, noise levels and the level of service on Temescal Valley roads. With the exception of some minor Temescal Canyon road improvements, north of the interchange of Interstate 15 and Temescal

Canyon Road there are no major transportation projects planned that would mitigate the heavily impacted traffic congestion in the Temescal Valley in next two decades.

Infill development is the process of developing vacant or under-used parcels within existing urban areas that are already largely developed. Ideally, infill development involves more than the piecemeal development of individual lots. Unfortunately this infill project is not consistent with the surrounding well-functioning neighborhoods. The currently approved land use (Community Development: Business Park) received wide Temescal Valley community support, while this land use change has been overwhelmingly rejected by the Temescal Valley community.

This project provides no unique housing benefit to the community given the robust housing development currently underway with the construction of 192 new homes in Sycamore Creek and 1,433 homes in Terramor. Both the Sycamore Creek and Terramor residential development projects are within a quarter mile and half mile, respectively, of this infill project. Two miles from this infill project are these residential unit projects: 1) Hunt/Lawson (54 residential homes); 2) Lee Lake Community General Plan Amendment No. 1122 highest density residential (HHDR 258 apartments); 3) Lakeside Specific Plan (up to 410 residential dwelling units); 4) GOCO Retreat Temescal Valley (approximately 90 residential units). Within five miles of this infill project are the active residential development projects of: 1) Arantine Hills (1,806 residential homes); 2) Dos Lagos (approximately 800 apartments); 3) Forest Boundary (50 residential homes); 4) Alberhill Villages (8,244 residential homes); 5) Tentative Tract Map No. 30760 (285 single family residential units). This infill project deprives the Temescal Valley community of needed community services and amenities that were previously approved for this site. This project needs an Environmental Impact Report as well as a Traffic Study.

If the County insists on going forward with this infill residential project, let this letter serves as insistence that this project be conditioned upon an Environmental Impact Report and the expansion of the Temescal Canyon Road to two lanes in either direction (total of four lanes) from where the Temescal Canyon Road passes under Interstate 15 to the northern interchange of the Temescal Canyon Road and Interstate 15.

Respectfully,

Alaina Manuel

Brady, Russell

From: d1anuj <j.halim777@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 13, 2018 7:32 PM
To: Brady, Russell
Cc: Genevieve Ginelsa
Subject: Temescal Valley Condo Project

April 13, 2018

Riverside County Planning Commission
c/o Russell Brady
Planning Department (12th Floor)
Riverside County Administration Center
4080 Lemon Street
Riverside, CA 92501

Re: Temescal Valley Condo Project
Tentative Tract Map No. 37153 Plot Plan

Dear Planning Commissioners:

This letter shall serve as opposition to the proposed change of the General Plan Land Use Designation from Community Development: Business Park to Community Development: Medium High Density Residential and the proposed zone change from Commercial Office to Planned Residential (R-4). The Plot Plan proposes a total of 80two-story, single-family detached condominium units which will have a significant negative effect on the environment and the Sycamore Creek community.

It is unfortunate that this infill project is being proposed at a time: 1) when the Temescal Valley is in its development infancy; 2) when traffic studies are not required for a project of this size; 3) when it prevents the development of needed commercial businesses that support the community and County; 4) when traffic mitigation is needed along the heavily congested Temescal Canyon Road; 5) when the ingress and egress of Temescal Valley industrial business trucks e.g. cement, asphalt and aggregate (18 wheel) is heavily impacted by traffic along Temescal Canyon Road.

Since the Temescal Valley is devoid of commercial, industrial, or retail jobs as well as fixed route public transportation, community residents must utilize their vehicles to commute to and from their jobs in Riverside County and Orange County. In addition, theirvehicle use is essential to transport their children to and from the Corona-Norco Unified School District intermediate school and high schools (Santiago High School and Centennial High School) on a daily basis. The heavily congested traffic on the Interstate 15 and Temescal Canyon Road has resulted in vehicle single direction transit times in excess of half an hour to travel six miles, and has had a significant negative impact on air quality, noise levels and the level of service on Temescal

Valley roads. With the exception of some minor Temescal Canyon road improvements, north of the interchange of Interstate 15 and Temescal Canyon Road there are no major transportation projects planned that would mitigate the heavily impacted traffic congestion in the Temescal Valley in next two decades.

Infill development is the process of developing vacant or under-used parcels within existing urban areas that are already largely developed. Ideally, infill development involves more than the piecemeal development of individual lots. Unfortunately this infill project is not consistent with the surrounding well-functioning neighborhoods. The currently approved land use (Community Development: Business Park) received wide Temescal Valley community support, while this land use change has been overwhelmingly rejected by the Temescal Valley community.

This project provides no unique housing benefit to the community given the robust housing development currently underway with the construction of 192 new homes in Sycamore Creek and 1,433 homes in Terramor. Both the Sycamore Creek and Terramor residential development projects are within a quarter mile and half mile, respectively, of this infill project. Two miles from this infill project are these residential unit projects: 1) Hunt/Lawson (54 residential homes); 2) Lee Lake Community General Plan Amendment No. 1122 highest density residential (HHDR 258 apartments); 3) Lakeside Specific Plan (up to 410 residential dwelling units); 4) GOCO Retreat Temescal Valley (approximately 90 residential units). Within five miles of this infill project are the active residential development projects of: 1) Arantine Hills (1,806 residential homes); 2) Dos Lagos (approximately 800 apartments); 3) Forest Boundary (50 residential homes); 4) Alberhill Villages (8,244 residential homes); 5) Tentative Tract Map No. 30760 (285 single family residential units). This infill project deprives the Temescal Valley community of needed community services and amenities that were previously approved for this site. This project needs an Environmental Impact Report as well as a Traffic Study.

If the County insists on going forward with this infill residential project, let this letter serves as insistence that this project be conditioned upon an Environmental Impact Report and the expansion of the Temescal Canyon Road to two lanes in either direction (total of four lanes) from where the Temescal Canyon Road passes under Interstate 15 to the northern interchange of the Temescal Canyon Road and Interstate 15.

Respectfully,

Junaid and Vanita Halim
11429 Valley Oak Ln
Corona, CA 92883

Brady, Russell

From: Viv K <pepper1281@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, April 13, 2018 7:52 PM
To: Brady, Russell
Cc: Viv K; Yahoo Mail; Rossy Barajas; larchijr@hotmail.com
Subject: Temescal Valley Condo Project Tentative Tract Map No. 37153 Plot Plan

Dear Planning Commissioners Committee,

We are residents that live in Sycamore Creek Community and we among several of our neighbors oppose the Temescal Valley Condo Project simply because of the increased volume of traffic and the congestion of travel from our community via Temescal Canyon Rd.

Most of our neighbors prefer Temescal Canyon Rd because of the congestion of I-15. Yet alone, travel of the parents to and from the school to pick of their children.

The increased traffic from our neighboring Terramor Community residents, the concrete company industrial truck traffic, traffic to Tom's Farm will only be more labor intensive if these condos are added to this area. If possible, some type of traffic counter can be used to monitor the traffic on Temescal Canyon Rd would support this opposition.

We hope that you will consider to oppose this condo project from being built. Temescal Canyon Rd is already overloaded with traffic, dust & do not need anymore congestion.

Thank you in advance for this consideration.

Best Regards,

Vivian & Neil King
Sycamore Creek Community Resident

Brady, Russell

From: Eileen Filloy <efilloy@live.com>
Sent: Friday, April 13, 2018 8:58 PM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: Temescal Valley Condo Project

Re: Temescal Valley Condo Project
Tentative Tract Map No. 37153 Plot Plan

Dear Planning Commissioner/s:

I wholeheartedly oppose the proposed change of the General Plan Land Use Designation from Community Development: Business Park to Community Development: Medium High Density Residential and the proposed zone change from Commercial Office to Planned Residential (R-4). The Plot Plan proposing a two-story, single-family detached condominium of 80 units will have a significant negative effect on the environment and the Sycamore Creek community.

This infill project is being proposed at a time: 1) when the Temescal Valley is in its development infancy; 2) when traffic studies are not required for a project of this size; 3) when it prevents the development of needed commercial businesses that support the community and County; 4) when traffic mitigation is needed along the heavily congested Temescal Canyon Road; 5) when the ingress and egress of Temescal Valley industrial business trucks e.g. cement, asphalt and aggregate (18 wheel) is heavily impacted by traffic along Temescal Canyon Road.

Since the Temescal Valley is devoid of commercial, industrial, or retail jobs as well as fixed route public transportation, community residents must utilize their vehicles to commute to and from their jobs in Riverside County and Orange County. In addition, their vehicle use is essential to transport their children to and from the Corona-Norco Unified School District intermediate school and high schools (Santiago High School and Centennial High School) on a daily basis. The heavily congested traffic on the Interstate 15 and Temescal Canyon Road has resulted in vehicle single direction transit times in excess of half an hour to travel six miles, and has had a significant negative impact on air quality, noise levels and the level of service on Temescal Valley roads. With the exception of some minor Temescal Canyon road improvements, north of the interchange of Interstate 15 and Temescal Canyon Road there are no major transportation projects planned that would mitigate the heavily impacted traffic congestion in the Temescal Valley in next two decades.

Infill development is the process of developing vacant or under-used parcels within existing urban areas that are already largely developed. Ideally, infill development involves more than the piecemeal development of individual lots. Unfortunately this infill project is not consistent with the surrounding well-functioning neighborhoods. The currently approved land use (Community Development: Business Park) received wide Temescal Valley community support, while this land use change has been overwhelmingly rejected by the Temescal Valley community.

This project provides no unique housing benefit to the community given the robust housing development currently underway with the construction of 192 new homes in Sycamore Creek and 1,433 homes in Terramor. Both the Sycamore Creek and Terramor residential development projects are within a quarter mile and half mile, respectively, of this infill project. Two miles from this infill project are these residential unit projects: 1) Hunt/Lawson (54 residential homes); 2) Lee Lake Community General Plan Amendment No. 1122 highest density residential (HHDR 258 apartments); 3) Lakeside Specific Plan (up to 410 residential dwelling units); 4) GOCO Retreat Temescal Valley (approximately 90 residential units). Within five miles of this infill project are the active residential development projects of: 1) Arantine Hills (1,806 residential homes); 2) Dos Lagos (approximately 800 apartments); 3) Forest Boundary (50 residential homes); 4) Alberhill Villages (8,244 residential homes); 5) Tentative Tract Map No. 30760 (285 single family residential units). This infill project deprives the Temescal Valley community of needed community services and amenities that were previously approved for this site. This project needs an Environmental Impact Report as well as a Traffic Study.

If the County insists on going forward with this infill residential project, let this letter serve as insistence that this project be conditioned upon an Environmental Impact Report and the expansion of the Temescal Canyon Road to two lanes in either direction (total of four lanes) from where the Temescal Canyon Road passes under Interstate 15 to the northern interchange of the Temescal Canyon Road and Interstate 15.

Respectfully,
Eileen Filloy

Brady, Russell

From: Nathan Fowler <Nate@pacificcapital.com>
Sent: Friday, April 13, 2018 10:06 PM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: Temescal Canyon Condominium Proposal

April 13, 2018

Riverside County Planning Commission
c/o Russell Brady
Planning Department (12th Floor)
Riverside County Administration Center
4080 Lemon Street
Riverside, CA 92501

Re: Temescal Valley Condo Project
Tentative Tract Map No. 37153 Plot Plan

Dear Planning Commissioners:

This letter shall serve as opposition to the proposed change of the General Plan Land Use Designation from Community Development: Business Park to Community Development: Medium High Density Residential and the proposed zone change from Commercial Office to Planned Residential (R-4). The Plot Plan proposes a total of 80two-story, single-family detached condominium units which will have a significant negative effect on the environment and the Sycamore Creek community.

It is unfortunate that this infill project is being proposed at a time: 1) when the Temescal Valley is in its development infancy; 2) when traffic studies are not required for a project of this size; 3) when it prevents the development of needed commercial businesses that support the community and County; 4) when traffic mitigation is needed along the heavily congested Temescal Canyon Road; 5) when the ingress and egress of Temescal Valley industrial business trucks e.g. cement, asphalt and aggregate (18 wheel) is heavily impacted by traffic along Temescal Canyon Road.

Since the Temescal Valley is devoid of commercial, industrial, or retail jobs as well as fixed route public transportation, community residents must utilize their vehicles to commute to and from their jobs in Riverside County and Orange County. In addition, theirvehicle use is essential to transport their children to and from the Corona-Norco Unified School District intermediate school and high schools (Santiago High School and Centennial High School) on a daily basis. The heavily congested traffic on the Interstate 15 and Temescal Canyon Road has resulted in vehicle single direction transit times in excess of half an hour to travel six miles, and has had a significant negative impact on air quality, noise levels and the level of service on Temescal Valley roads. With the exception of some minor Temescal Canyon road improvements, north of the interchange

of Interstate 15 and Temescal Canyon Road there are no major transportation projects planned that would mitigate the heavily impacted traffic congestion in the Temescal Valley in next two decades.

Infill development is the process of developing vacant or under-used parcels within existing urban areas that are already largely developed. Ideally, infill development involves more than the piecemeal development of individual lots. Unfortunately this infill project is not consistent with the surrounding well-functioning neighborhoods. The currently approved land use (Community Development: Business Park) received wide Temescal Valley community support, while this land use change has been overwhelmingly rejected by the Temescal Valley community.

This project provides no unique housing benefit to the community given the robust housing development currently underway with the construction of 192 new homes in Sycamore Creek and 1,433 homes in Terramor. Both the Sycamore Creek and Terramor residential development projects are within a quarter mile and half mile, respectively, of this infill project. Two miles from this infill project are these residential unit projects: 1) Hunt/Lawson (54 residential homes); 2) Lee Lake Community General Plan Amendment No. 1122 highest density residential (HHDR 258 apartments); 3) Lakeside Specific Plan (up to 410 residential dwelling units); 4) GOCO Retreat Temescal Valley (approximately 90 residential units). Within five miles of this infill project are the active residential development projects of: 1) Arantine Hills (1,806 residential homes); 2) Dos Lagos (approximately 800 apartments); 3) Forest Boundary (50 residential homes); 4) Alberhill Villages (8,244 residential homes); 5) Tentative Tract Map No. 30760 (285 single family residential units). This infill project deprives the Temescal Valley community of needed community services and amenities that were previously approved for this site. This project needs an Environmental Impact Report as well as a Traffic Study.

If the County insists on going forward with this infill residential project, let this letter serves as insistence that this project be conditioned upon an Environmental Impact Report and the expansion of the Temescal Canyon Road to two lanes in either direction (total of four lanes) from where the Temescal Canyon Road passes under Interstate 15 to the northern interchange of the Temescal Canyon Road and Interstate 15.

Respectfully,



Ask about our [FINANCIAL LIFE INSPECTION®](#) (featured in the Wall Street Journal).

Brady, Russell

From: Michael Wong <mwebay1@att.net>
Sent: Friday, April 13, 2018 10:38 PM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: Temescal Valley Condo Project

Riverside County Planning Commission

c/o Russell Brady

Planning Department (12th Floor)

Riverside County Administration Center

4080 Lemon Street

Riverside, CA 92501

Re: Temescal Valley Condo Project

Tentative Tract Map No. 37153 Plot Plan

Dear Planning Commissioners:

This letter shall serve as opposition to the proposed change of the General Plan Land Use Designation from Community Development: Business Park to Community Development: Medium High Density Residential and the proposed zone change from Commercial Office to Planned Residential (R-4). The Plot Plan proposes a total of 80 two-story, single-family detached condominium units which will have a significant negative effect on the environment and the Sycamore Creek community.

It is unfortunate that this infill project is being proposed at a time: 1) when the Temescal Valley is in its development infancy; 2) when traffic studies are not required for a project of this size; 3) when it prevents the development of needed commercial businesses that support the community and County; 4) when traffic mitigation is needed along the heavily congested Temescal Canyon Road; 5) when the ingress and egress of Temescal Valley industrial business trucks e.g. cement, asphalt and aggregate (18 wheel) is heavily impacted by traffic along Temescal Canyon Road.

Since the Temescal Valley is devoid of commercial, industrial, or retail jobs as well as fixed route public transportation, community residents must utilize their vehicles to commute to and from their jobs in Riverside County and Orange County. In addition, their vehicle use is essential to transport their children to and from the Corona-Norco Unified School District intermediate school and high schools (Santiago High School and Centennial High School) on a daily basis. The heavily congested traffic on the Interstate 15 and Temescal Canyon Road has resulted in vehicle single direction transit times in excess of half an hour to travel six miles, and has had a significant negative impact on air quality, noise levels and the level of service on Temescal Valley roads. With the exception of some minor Temescal Canyon road improvements, north of the interchange of Interstate 15 and Temescal Canyon Road there are no major transportation projects planned that would mitigate the heavily impacted traffic congestion in the Temescal Valley in next two decades.

Infill development is the process of developing vacant or under-used parcels within existing urban areas that are already largely developed. Ideally, infill development involves more than the piecemeal development of individual lots. Unfortunately this infill project is not consistent with the surrounding well-functioning neighborhoods. The currently approved land use (Community Development: Business Park) received wide Temescal Valley community support, while this land use change has been overwhelmingly rejected by the Temescal Valley community.

This project provides no unique housing benefit to the community given the robust housing development currently underway with the construction of 192 new homes in Sycamore Creek and 1,433 homes in Terramor. Both the Sycamore Creek and Terramor residential development projects are within a quarter mile and half mile, respectively, of this infill project. Two miles from this infill project are these residential unit projects: 1) Hunt/Lawson (54 residential homes); 2) Lee Lake Community General Plan Amendment No. 1122 highest density residential (HHDR 258 apartments); 3) Lakeside Specific Plan (up to 410 residential dwelling units); 4) GOCO Retreat Temescal Valley (approximately 90 residential units). Within five miles of this infill project are the active residential development projects of: 1) Arantine Hills (1,806 residential homes); 2) Dos Lagos (approximately 800 apartments); 3) Forest Boundary (50 residential homes); 4) Alberhill Villages (8,244 residential homes); 5) Tentative Tract Map No. 30760 (285 single family residential units). This infill project deprives the Temescal Valley community of needed community services and amenities that were previously approved for this site. This project needs an Environmental Impact Report as well as a Traffic Study.

If the County insists on going forward with this infill residential project, let this letter serves as insistence that this project be conditioned upon an Environmental Impact Report and the expansion of the Temescal Canyon Road to two lanes in either direction (total of four lanes) from where the Temescal Canyon Road passes under Interstate 15 to the northern interchange of the Temescal Canyon Road and Interstate 15.

Respectfully,

Michael Wong

Brady, Russell

From: Lisa <lisaserra999@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 14, 2018 6:57 AM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: Temescal condo Hearing

This area is already grid lock we certainly do not need more condos, the infrastructure cannot handle this. This area should stay commercially zoned. I have to say the apartments that have been built by Dos Lagos are an eye sore, most people in the area feel the same. We do not need another housing project in or near Temescal canyon.

Lisa M. Serra
Sent from my iPad

Brady, Russell

From: Keith Rouleau <lepompier889@yahoo.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 14, 2018 7:47 AM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: Temescal Valley Condo Project

Mr. Brady.

Please accept this email as my personal comment concerning the proposed plans to build an 80 unit condominium adjacent to Campbell ranch Road and Temescal Valley Road.

I strongly oppose this venture.

As a Member of the Sycamore Creek HOA, Temescal Canyon Road is sometimes my only way to circumvent the mess that I-15 North has become when I drive to work.

This project will increase traffic on TCR making it as much an obstacle as the I-15.

With the amount of building that is occurring in Dos Lagos, as well as West Cajalco, it is time to say, "Enough is enough! We're saturated and quality of life will only suffer.

Please vote no on this building project.

Regards,
Keith D Rouleau
25877 Basil Court.

Brady, Russell

From: Mandry,Chris <CMandry@penskeautomotive.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 14, 2018 9:00 AM
To: Brady, Russell
Cc: ntowning@yahoo.com
Subject: Temescal Valley Condo Project

To Whom it concerns,

This area is being highly over-developed with condo's and apartments and I believe that it's a safety hazard should there be any act of God or disaster where our community needs to evacuate.

As it is, we in this community already experience massive traffic problems on these back roads whenever there is a vehicle accident on the 15 Freeway. It's sometimes impossible to exit the community for several hours and it's concerning that anyone is considering any new housing developments.

There is not enough road infrastructure to support more development, there are not enough on/off ramps on the 15 fwy, the interchange to the 91 fwy is a nightmare in the morning and evening, I can go on.

I have a growing family of 4.5 children and we love our house and our neighborhood. I would hate to think that something might happen should there be any kind of accident or natural disaster and we are trapped; I would hate to see our home's value diminish because this becomes area develops a reputation for being a traffic nightmare and apartment community where nobody wants to move to.

Please consider this before developing any further.

Thank you

Chris Mandry
Concerned Resident
Mulberry Rd Corona CA 92883
9513331800

Over 40 Brands. Nearly 40,000 Vehicles.
<http://PenskeCars.com>

Penske Automotive Group and its subsidiaries will never sell or rent your email address in violation of applicable laws. To Opt-Out of future communications or to manage your information with us, click <http://optout.penskeautomotive.com> and inform us of the opt-out or change. Alternatively, you may send your written request to:

Penske Automotive Group
E-Commerce Department
2555 Telegraph Road, Bloomfield Hills, MI 48302.

This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. Please delete all copies if you are not the intended recipient.

Brady, Russell

From: sang-p <sang-p@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Saturday, April 14, 2018 9:36 AM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: Temescal Valley Condo Project

Hello,

I am a resident in Temescal Valley, in the Sycamore Creek. I disapprove of this project because the morning commutes are already bad in the nearby I15. The bumper to bumper traffic is already so stressful. We don't need to add more traffic.

Thank you

Julee Park

Sent from my T-Mobile 4G LTE Device

Brady, Russell

From: Ricky Patterson <rickypatterson@ymail.com>
Sent: Saturday, April 14, 2018 11:14 AM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: Temescal Valley Condo Project

Mr. Brady,

I am unable to deliver my thoughts in person. I am hopeful that you consider the serious negative impact to traffic in and around my community of Sycamore Creek when you make your decision. We do not have many options to travel northbound out of our community, and adding 800 trips by the proposed residents daily, at a minimum, will be substantial for us. Adding to the concern for the trips is the limited options for expanding the roadways in between Sycamore Creek and the 15 freeway. If you cannot solve the traffic impacts, BEFORE building would commence, then I would ask you to vote "NO" on this project. Thank you.

Brady, Russell

From: Yolanda Slover <y.slover@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Saturday, April 14, 2018 11:40 AM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: Temescal Valley condo project

I do not agree with the proposed condo project. It is a nightmare trying to commute every morning from Temescal to the freeway as it is. Adding more housing means adding more cars, more congestion to the already overwhelming amount of construction in our area. The condos are an altogether bad idea.

Sincerely,

A concerned resident of Temescal Valley, Yolanda

Brady, Russell

From: JON SLOVER <jonslover@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Sunday, April 15, 2018 1:28 AM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: Temescal Valley Condo Project

April 13, 2018

Riverside County Planning Commission
c/o Russell Brady
Planning Department (12th Floor)
Riverside County Administration Center
4080 Lemon Street
Riverside, CA 92501

Re: Temescal Valley Condo Project
Tentative Tract Map No. 37153 Plot Plan

Dear Planning Commissioners:

This letter shall serve as opposition to the proposed change of the General Plan Land Use Designation from Community Development: Business Park to Community Development: Medium High Density Residential and the proposed zone change from Commercial Office to Planned Residential (R-4). The Plot Plan proposes a total of 80 two-story, single-family detached condominium units which will have a significant negative effect on the environment and the Sycamore Creek community.

It is unfortunate that this infill project is being proposed at a time: 1) when the Temescal Valley is in its development infancy; 2) when traffic studies are not required for a project of this size; 3) when it prevents the development of needed commercial businesses that support the community and County; 4) when traffic mitigation is needed along the heavily congested Temescal Canyon Road; 5) when the ingress and egress of Temescal Valley industrial business trucks e.g. cement, asphalt and aggregate (18 wheel) is heavily impacted by traffic along Temescal Canyon Road.

Since the Temescal Valley is devoid of commercial, industrial, or retail jobs as well as fixed route public transportation, community residents must utilize their vehicles to commute to and from their jobs in Riverside County and Orange County. In addition, their vehicle use is essential to transport their children to and from the Corona-Norco Unified School District intermediate school and high schools (Santiago High School and Centennial High School) on a daily basis. The heavily congested traffic on the Interstate 15 and Temescal Canyon Road has resulted in vehicle single direction transit times in excess of half an hour to travel six miles, and has had a significant negative impact on air quality, noise levels and the level of service on Temescal Valley roads. With the exception of some minor Temescal Canyon road improvements, north of the interchange of Interstate 15 and Temescal Canyon Road there are no major transportation projects planned that would mitigate the heavily impacted traffic congestion in the Temescal Valley in next two decades.

Infill development is the process of developing vacant or under-used parcels within existing urban areas that are already largely developed. Ideally, infill development involves more than the piecemeal

development of individual lots. Unfortunately this infill project is not consistent with the surrounding well-functioning neighborhoods. The currently approved land use (Community Development: Business Park) received wide Temescal Valley community support, while this land use change has been overwhelmingly rejected by the Temescal Valley community.

This project provides no unique housing benefit to the community given the robust housing development currently underway with the construction of 192 new homes in Sycamore Creek and 1,433 homes in Terramor. Both the Sycamore Creek and Terramor residential development projects are within a quarter mile and half mile, respectively, of this infill project. Two miles from this infill project are these residential unit projects: 1) Hunt/Lawson (54 residential homes); 2) Lee Lake Community General Plan Amendment No. 1122 highest density residential (HHDR 258 apartments); 3) Lakeside Specific Plan (up to 410 residential dwelling units); 4) GOCO Retreat Temescal Valley (approximately 90 residential units). Within five miles of this infill project are the active residential development projects of: 1) Arantine Hills (1,806 residential homes); 2) Dos Lagos (approximately 800 apartments); 3) Forest Boundary (50 residential homes); 4) Alberhill Villages (8,244 residential homes); 5) Tentative Tract Map No. 30760 (285 single family residential units). This infill project deprives the Temescal Valley community of needed community services and amenities that were previously approved for this site. This project needs an Environmental Impact Report as well as a Traffic Study.

If the County insists on going forward with this infill residential project, let this letter serves as insistence that this project be conditioned upon an Environmental Impact Report and the expansion of the Temescal Canyon Road to two lanes in either direction (total of four lanes) from where the Temescal Canyon Road passes under Interstate 15 to the northern interchange of the Temescal Canyon Road and Interstate 15.

Respectfully,

Jon Slover
Temescal Valley resident since 2005

Brady, Russell

From: J G <jgelerahotmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 15, 2018 10:21 AM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: Temescal Valley Condo Project

I reject the condominium project immensely this will cause further stress on our roads and our daily commute to work. It would simply make living here harder and takes away the natural beauty that allured us in the first place. Please consider if you lived here would you want this happen in your neighborhood.

Thank you
James & Stephanie Gelera
25192 Lemongrass st
Corona ca 92883

Sent from my iPad

Brady, Russell

From: Bettina <dz_yvtt@yahoo.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 15, 2018 11:07 AM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: Re: Temescal Valley Condo Project Tentative Tract Map No. 37153 Plot Plan

Business Park to Community Development: Medium High Density Residential and the proposed zone change from Commercial Office to Planned Residential (R-4). The Plot Plan proposes a total of 80two-story, single-family detached condominium units which will have a significant negative effect on the environment and the Sycamore Creek community.

It is unfortunate that this infill project is being proposed at a time: 1) when the Temescal Valley is in its development infancy; 2) when traffic studies are not required for a project of this size; 3) when it prevents the development of needed commercial businesses that support the community and County; 4) when traffic mitigation is needed along the heavily congested Temescal Canyon Road; 5) when the ingress and egress of Temescal Valley industrial business trucks e.g. cement, asphalt and aggregate (18 wheel) is heavily impacted by traffic along Temescal Canyon Road.

Since the Temescal Valley is devoid of commercial, industrial, or retail jobs as well as fixed route public transportation, community residents must utilize their vehicles to commute to and from their jobs in Riverside County and Orange County. In addition, theirvehicle use is essential to transport their children to and from the Corona-Norco Unified School District intermediate school and high schools (Santiago High School and Centennial High School) on a daily basis. The heavily congested traffic on the Interstate 15 and Temescal Canyon Road has resulted in vehicle single direction transit times in excess of half an hour to travel six miles, and has had a significant negative impact on air quality, noise levels and the level of service on Temescal Valley roads. With the exception of some minor Temescal Canyon road improvements, north of the interchange of Interstate 15 and Temescal Canyon Road there are no major transportation projects planned that would mitigate the heavily impacted traffic congestion in the Temescal Valley in next two decades.

Infill development is the process of developing vacant or under-used parcels within existing urban areas that are already largely developed. Ideally, infill development involves more than the piecemeal development of individual lots. Unfortunately this infill project is not consistent with the surrounding well-functioning neighborhoods. The currently approved land use (Community Development: Business Park) received wide Temescal Valley community support, while this land use change has been overwhelmingly rejected by the Temescal Valley community.

This project provides no unique housing benefit to the community given the robust housing development currently underway with the construction of 192 new homes in Sycamore Creek and 1,433 homes in Terramor. Both the Sycamore Creek and Terramor residential development projects are within a quarter mile and half mile, respectively, of this infill project. Two miles from this infill project are these residential unit projects: 1) Hunt/Lawson (54 residential homes); 2) Lee Lake Community General Plan Amendment No. 1122

highest density residential (HHDR 258 apartments); 3) Lakeside Specific Plan (up to 410 residential dwelling units); 4) GOCO Retreat Temescal Valley (approximately 90 residential units). Within five miles of this infill project are the active residential development projects of: 1) Arantine Hills (1,806 residential homes); 2) Dos Lagos (approximately 800 apartments); 3) Forest Boundary (50 residential homes); 4) Alberhill Villages (8,244 residential homes); 5) Tentative Tract Map No. 30760 (285 single family residential units). This infill project deprives the Temescal Valley community of needed community services and amenities that were previously approved for this site. This project needs an Environmental Impact Report as well as a Traffic Study.

If the County insists on going forward with this infill residential project, let this letter serve as insistence that this project be conditioned upon an Environmental Impact Report and the expansion of the Temescal Canyon Road to two lanes in either direction (total of four lanes) from where the Temescal Canyon Road passes under Interstate 15 to the northern interchange of the Temescal Canyon Road and Interstate 15.

Respectfully,
Bettina diaz

Sent from my iPhone

Brady, Russell

From: Stefani Williams <stefani3@mac.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 15, 2018 11:15 AM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: Temescal Valley Condo Project

April 15, 2018

Riverside County Planning Commission
c/o Russell Brady
Planning Department (12th Floor)
Riverside County Administration Center
4080 Lemon Street
Riverside, CA 92501

Re: Temescal Valley Condo Project
Tentative Tract Map No. 37153 Plot Plan

Dear Planning Commissioners:

I love this letter that my community members wrote! I fully support their sentiments expressed. I'm certain you are receiving plenty of letters exactly like this template! I know numbers speak volumes. I'd also like to add a simple expression of personal frustration.

When I moved here with my family in October of 2011 my daughter was 7 months old. We bought our brand new house in Sycamore Creek. Our family has grown and so has our community. One reason we moved here was to escape the congestion of West Los Angeles. We got tired of planning any outing including a basic trip to the grocery store or post office around heavy traffic times. I find myself doing the exact, same thing now. It's so frustrating!

I remember having a conversation with my husband speculating that our community will grow and there will be so many more restaurants and shops. Unfortunately this is not the case. It seems it is just more and more houses. I don't have a problem with a growing community but perhaps before it continues to grow some infrastructure expansion could take place. I've had 3 neighbors move because of traffic woes. Please take action and add more lanes everywhere! Our quality of life is being negatively impacted!

This letter shall serve as opposition to the proposed change of the General Plan Land Use Designation from Community Development: Business Park to Community Development: Medium High Density Residential and the proposed zone change from Commercial Office to Planned Residential (R-4). The Plot Plan proposes a total

of 80two-story, single-family detached condominium units which will have a significant negative effect on the environment and the Sycamore Creek community.

It is unfortunate that this infill project is being proposed at a time: 1) when the Temescal Valley is in its development infancy; 2) when traffic studies are not required for a project of this size; 3) when it prevents the development of needed commercial businesses that support the community and County; 4) when traffic mitigation is needed along the heavily congested Temescal Canyon Road; 5) when the ingress and egress of Temescal Valley industrial business trucks e.g. cement, asphalt and aggregate (18 wheel) is heavily impacted by traffic along Temescal Canyon Road.

Since the Temescal Valley is devoid of commercial, industrial, or retail jobs as well as fixed route public transportation, community residents must utilize their vehicles to commute to and from their jobs in Riverside County and Orange County. In addition, their vehicle use is essential to transport their children to and from the Corona-Norco Unified School District intermediate school and high schools (Santiago High School and Centennial High School) on a daily basis. The heavily congested traffic on the Interstate 15 and Temescal Canyon Road has resulted in vehicle single direction transit times in excess of half an hour to travel six miles, and has had a significant negative impact on air quality, noise levels and the level of service on Temescal Valley roads. With the exception of some minor Temescal Canyon road improvements, north of the interchange of Interstate 15 and Temescal Canyon Road there are no major transportation projects planned that would mitigate the heavily impacted traffic congestion in the Temescal Valley in next two decades.

Infill development is the process of developing vacant or under-used parcels within existing urban areas that are already largely developed. Ideally, infill development involves more than the piecemeal development of individual lots. Unfortunately this infill project is not consistent with the surrounding well-functioning neighborhoods. The currently approved land use (Community Development: Business Park) received wide Temescal Valley community support, while this land use change has been overwhelmingly rejected by the Temescal Valley community.

This project provides no unique housing benefit to the community given the robust housing development currently underway with the construction of 192 new homes in Sycamore Creek and 1,433 homes in Terramor. Both the Sycamore Creek and Terramor residential development projects are within a quarter mile and half mile, respectively, of this infill project. Two miles from this infill project are these residential unit projects: 1) Hunt/Lawson (54 residential homes); 2) Lee Lake Community General Plan Amendment No. 1122 highest density residential (HHDR 258 apartments); 3) Lakeside Specific Plan (up to 410 residential dwelling units); 4) GOCO Retreat Temescal Valley (approximately 90 residential units). Within five miles of this infill project are the active residential development projects of: 1) Arantine Hills (1,806 residential homes); 2) Dos Lagos (approximately 800 apartments); 3) Forest Boundary (50 residential homes); 4) Alberhill Villages (8,244 residential homes); 5) Tentative Tract Map No. 30760 (285 single family residential units). This infill project deprives the Temescal Valley community of needed community services and amenities that were previously approved for this site. This project needs an Environmental Impact Report as well as a Traffic Study.

If the County insists on going forward with this infill residential project, let this letter serves as insistence that this project be conditioned upon an Environmental Impact Report and the expansion of the Temescal Canyon Road to two lanes in either direction (total of four lanes) from where the Temescal Canyon Road passes under Interstate 15 to the northern interchange of the Temescal Canyon Road and Interstate 15.

Respectfully,
Stefani Williams

Sent from my iPhone

Brady, Russell

From: REGINALD THOMPSON <rntgolf@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Sunday, April 15, 2018 12:25 PM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: Temescal Valley Condo Project"

The Temescal Valley Condo Project would only continue to hamper the already congested roadways in the valley to a near no go. Currently, you have no idea of how long it takes to exit the valley driving northbound. In the fifteen years I have lived here I used to be able to drive to Caljalco Parkway on average in 10 minutes. This has now grown to 35 minute average 7 day a week all day. This has become the new norm 7 days a week. The traffic is at a daily stand still. Adding more traffic will only exert more pressure to an already crowed roadway and Interstate. **Quality of life should have a major importance in the decision making process, much more than a tax base.**

Reginald Thompson
11396 Figree Terrace Rd
Temescal Valley

Brady, Russell

From: Jose Duarte <pduarte95@att.net>
Sent: Sunday, April 15, 2018 12:36 PM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: Condominium proposal

Russell Brady:

Dear Mr Brady, I have been a resident of Sycamore Creek for over 12 years and have witnessed this beautiful community become "almost" unlivable due to our current traffic congestion nightmare. I used to leave to work at 8am and now must leave by 6am just to escape the unbearable traffic along Campbell Road and temescal canyon road. I, along with many other residents are at the end of our rope and in fear of losing our homes because of the heartaches caused by our horrible traffic. It is for this reason that we ask that any new housing proposals be denied until we have the necessary infrastructure, although I don't see where, to allow residents to live normal lives. To allow more residential buildings would be negligent and demoralizing to what was once a beautiful place to live. Another difficulty has been the amount of school hours our children have missed because of the current traffic issues. How can young children thrive in a community that makes them late for school on a regular basis? As a result, it's disgusting to see that some of the hand picked members of the planning commission have shown such irresponsible support for this development. I for one am going to see which planning commissioners ignore residents well being and find out who appointed them so we can can ban together make better choices, come election time. Please, enough is enough as it's time to put common sense before the almighty dollar that comes from money hungry developers. Thank you so much for your service and I hope you do what's just, when the county makes their final decision.

Again, thank you for your time.

Sent from my iPhone

Brady, Russell

From: Sandy Wolf <sandymwolf@msn.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 15, 2018 3:16 PM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: Temescal Valley Condo Project

April 13, 2018

Riverside County Planning Commission
c/o Russell Brady
Planning Department (12th Floor)
Riverside County Administration Center
4080 Lemon Street
Riverside, CA 92501

Re: Temescal Valley Condo Project
Tentative Tract Map No. 37153 Plot Plan

Dear Planning Commissioners:

This letter shall serve as opposition to the proposed change of the General Plan Land Use Designation from Community Development: Business Park to Community Development: Medium High Density Residential and the proposed zone change from Commercial Office to Planned Residential (R-4). The Plot Plan proposes a total of 80 two-story, single-family detached condominium units which will have a significant negative effect on the environment and the Sycamore Creek community.

It is unfortunate that this infill project is being proposed at a time: 1) when the Temescal Valley is in its development infancy; 2) when traffic studies are not required for a project of this size; 3) when it prevents the development of needed commercial businesses that support the community and County; 4) when traffic mitigation is needed along the heavily congested Temescal Canyon Road; 5) when the ingress and egress of Temescal Valley industrial business trucks e.g. cement, asphalt and aggregate (18 wheel) is heavily impacted by traffic along Temescal Canyon Road.

Since the Temescal Valley is devoid of commercial, industrial, or retail jobs as well as fixed route public transportation, community residents must utilize their vehicles to commute to and from their jobs in Riverside County and Orange County. In addition, their vehicle use is essential to transport their children to and from the Corona-Norco Unified School District intermediate school and high schools (Santiago High School and Centennial High School) on a daily basis. The heavily congested traffic on the Interstate 15 and Temescal Canyon Road has resulted in vehicle single direction transit times in excess of half an hour to travel six miles, and has had a significant negative impact on air quality, noise levels and the level of service on Temescal Valley roads. With the exception of some minor Temescal Canyon road improvements, north of the interchange of Interstate 15 and Temescal Canyon Road there are no major transportation projects planned that would mitigate the heavily impacted traffic congestion in the Temescal Valley in next two decades.

Infill development is the process of developing vacant or under-used parcels within existing urban areas that are already largely developed. Ideally, infill development involves more than the piecemeal development of individual lots. Unfortunately this infill project is not consistent with the surrounding well-functioning neighborhoods. The currently approved land use (Community Development: Business Park) received wide Temescal Valley community support, while this land use change has been overwhelmingly rejected by the Temescal Valley community.

This project provides no unique housing benefit to the community given the robust housing development currently underway with the construction of 192 new homes in Sycamore Creek and 1,433 homes in Terramor. Both the Sycamore Creek and Terramor residential development projects are within a quarter mile and half mile, respectively, of this infill project. Two miles from this infill project are these residential unit projects: 1) Hunt/Lawson (54 residential homes); 2) Lee Lake Community General Plan

Amendment No. 1122 highest density residential (HHDR 258 apartments); 3) Lakeside Specific Plan (up to 410 residential dwelling units); 4) GOCO Retreat Temescal Valley (approximately 90 residential units). Within five miles of this infill project are the active residential development projects of: 1) Arantine Hills (1,806 residential homes); 2) Dos Lagos (approximately 800 apartments); 3) Forest Boundary (50 residential homes); 4) Alberhill Villages (8,244 residential homes); 5) Tentative Tract Map No. 30760 (285 single family residential units). This infill project deprives the Temescal Valley community of needed community services and amenities that were previously approved for this site. This project needs an Environmental Impact Report as well as a Traffic Study.

If the County insists on going forward with this infill residential project, let this letter serve as insistence that this project be conditioned upon an Environmental Impact Report and the expansion of the Temescal Canyon Road to two lanes in either direction (total of four lanes) from where the Temescal Canyon Road passes under Interstate 15 to the northern interchange of the Temescal Canyon Road and Interstate 15.

Respectful , Sandra M. Wolf 11431 Chinaberry st Corona Ca. 92883

Brady, Russell

From: Debbie George <TheAcornCap@outlook.com>
Sent: Sunday, April 15, 2018 4:42 PM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: Temescal Valley Condo Project

Dear Mr. Brady,

I am writing to urge you to take moves against the proposed condominium project at Temescal Canyon and Campbell Ranch Road. Temescal Valley is already inundated with traffic from commuters traveling through the valley between the urban areas on either side of the canyon. The freeway is already predictably gridlocked. The high density housing that is not yet complete at Dos Lagos and the housing development at TerraMor are both adding to the congestion day by day. Added freeway widening has not been able to keep up with the extra burden of high density housing. Congestion adds up to half an hour for commuting. Our narrow valley is flanked by two mountain ranges that restrict air flow. Residents in the valley must travel through the canyon to reach medical care. There is no other way to commute in our valley except by car. When trying to get out of the valley residents already often turn around and go back when they reach the Temescal Canyon/Campbell Ranch Road intersection. It is that congested! Please, please do what you can to stop this high density project!

Thank you,
Debbie George
Temescal Valley Resident
Horsethief Canyon community

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

Brady, Russell

From: Katiina Dull <kat@dull.com>
Sent: Monday, April 16, 2018 8:03 AM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: Temescal Valley Condo Project

April 13, 2018

Riverside County Planning Commission
c/o Russell Brady
Planning Department (12th Floor)
Riverside County Administration Center
4080 Lemon Street
Riverside, CA 92501

Re: Temescal Valley Condo Project
Tentative Tract Map No. 37153 Plot Plan

Dear Planning Commissioners:

This letter shall serve as opposition to the proposed change of the General Plan Land Use Designation from Community Development: Business Park to Community Development: Medium High Density Residential and the proposed zone change from Commercial Office to Planned Residential (R-4). The Plot Plan proposes a total of 80 two-story, single-family detached condominium units which will have a significant negative effect on the environment and the Sycamore Creek community.

It is unfortunate that this infill project is being proposed at a time: 1) when the Temescal Valley is in its development infancy; 2) when traffic studies are not required for a project of this size; 3) when it prevents the development of needed commercial businesses that support the community and County; 4) when traffic mitigation is needed along the heavily congested Temescal Canyon Road; 5) when the ingress and egress of Temescal Valley industrial business trucks e.g. cement, asphalt and aggregate (18 wheel) is heavily impacted by traffic along Temescal Canyon Road.

Since the Temescal Valley is devoid of commercial, industrial, or retail jobs as well as fixed route public transportation, community residents must utilize their vehicles to commute to and from their jobs in Riverside County and Orange County. In addition, their vehicle use is essential to transport their children to and from the Corona-Norco Unified School District intermediate school and high schools (Santiago High School and Centennial High School) on a daily basis. The heavily congested traffic on the Interstate 15 and Temescal Canyon Road has resulted in vehicle single direction transit times in excess of half an hour to travel six miles, and has had a significant negative impact on air quality, noise levels and the level of service on Temescal Valley roads. With the exception of some minor Temescal Canyon road improvements, north of the interchange of Interstate 15 and Temescal Canyon Road there are no major transportation projects planned that would mitigate the heavily impacted traffic congestion in the Temescal Valley in next two decades.

Infill development is the process of developing vacant or under-used parcels within existing urban areas that are already largely developed. Ideally, infill development involves more than the piecemeal development of individual lots. Unfortunately this infill project is not consistent with the surrounding well-functioning neighborhoods. The currently approved land use (Community Development: Business Park) received wide Temescal Valley community support, while this land use change has been overwhelmingly rejected by the Temescal Valley community.

This project provides no unique housing benefit to the community given the robust housing development currently underway with the construction of 192 new homes in Sycamore Creek and 1,433 homes in Terramor. Both the Sycamore Creek and Terramor residential development projects are within a quarter mile and half mile, respectively, of this infill project. Two miles from this infill project are these residential unit projects: 1) Hunt/Lawson (54 residential homes); 2) Lee Lake Community General Plan Amendment No. 1122 highest density residential (HHDR 258 apartments); 3) Lakeside Specific Plan (up to 410 residential dwelling units); 4) GOCO Retreat Temescal Valley (approximately 90 residential units). Within five miles of this infill project are the active residential development projects of: 1) Arantine Hills (1,806 residential homes); 2) Dos Lagos (approximately 800 apartments); 3) Forest Boundary (50 residential homes); 4) Alberhill Villages (8,244 residential homes); 5) Tentative Tract Map No. 30760 (285 single family residential units). This infill project deprives the Temescal Valley community of needed community services and amenities that were previously approved for this site. This project needs an Environmental Impact Report as well as a Traffic Study.

If the County insists on going forward with this infill residential project, let this letter serve as insistence that this project be conditioned upon an Environmental Impact Report and the expansion of the Temescal Canyon Road to two lanes in either direction (total of four lanes) from where the Temescal Canyon Road passes under Interstate 15 to the northern interchange of the Temescal Canyon Road and Interstate 15.

Respectfully,

Katiina Dull

Brady, Russell

From: Que Borack <queborack@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, April 16, 2018 9:29 AM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: Temescal Valley Condo Project
Attachments: Planning Commission Letter - Sycamore Creek.docx

Dear, Planning Commissioners,

Please see attached for my oppose to the proposed of the Temescal Valley Condo Project.

Sincerely,

Que Borack.

April 13, 2018

Riverside County Planning Commission
c/o Russell Brady
Planning Department (12th Floor)
Riverside County Administration Center
4080 Lemon Street
Riverside, CA 92501

Re: Temescal Valley Condo Project
Tentative Tract Map No. 37153 Plot Plan

Dear Planning Commissioners:

This letter shall serve as opposition to the proposed change of the General Plan Land Use Designation from Community Development: Business Park to Community Development: Medium High Density Residential and the proposed zone change from Commercial Office to Planned Residential (R-4). The Plot Plan proposes a total of 80 two-story, single-family detached condominium units which will have a significant negative effect on the environment and the Sycamore Creek community.

It is unfortunate that this infill project is being proposed at a time: 1) when the Temescal Valley is in its development infancy; 2) when traffic studies are not required for a project of this size; 3) when it prevents the development of needed commercial businesses that support the community and County; 4) when traffic mitigation is needed along the heavily congested Temescal Canyon Road; 5) when the ingress and egress of Temescal Valley industrial business trucks e.g. cement, asphalt and aggregate (18 wheel) is heavily impacted by traffic along Temescal Canyon Road.

Since the Temescal Valley is devoid of commercial, industrial, or retail jobs as well as fixed route public transportation, community residents must utilize their vehicles to commute to and from their jobs in Riverside County and Orange County. In addition, their vehicle use is essential to transport their children to and from the Corona-Norco Unified School District intermediate school and high schools (Santiago High School and Centennial High School) on a daily basis. The heavily congested traffic on the Interstate 15 and Temescal Canyon Road has resulted in vehicle single direction transit times in excess of half an hour to travel six miles, and has had a significant negative impact on air quality, noise levels and the level of service on Temescal Valley roads. With the exception of some minor Temescal Canyon road improvements, north of the interchange of Interstate 15 and Temescal Canyon Road there are no major transportation projects planned that would mitigate the heavily impacted traffic congestion in the Temescal Valley in next two decades.

Infill development is the process of developing vacant or under-used parcels within existing urban areas that are already largely developed. Ideally, infill development involves more than the piecemeal development of individual lots. Unfortunately this infill project is not consistent with the surrounding well-functioning neighborhoods. The currently approved land use (Community Development: Business Park) received wide Temescal Valley community support, while this land use change has been overwhelmingly rejected by the Temescal Valley community.

This project provides no unique housing benefit to the community given the robust housing development currently underway with the construction of 192 new homes in Sycamore Creek and 1,433

homes in Terramor. Both the Sycamore Creek and Terramor residential development projects are within a quarter mile and half mile, respectively, of this infill project. Two miles from this infill project are these residential unit projects: 1) Hunt/Lawson (54 residential homes); 2) Lee Lake Community General Plan Amendment No. 1122 highest density residential (HHDR 258 apartments); 3) Lakeside Specific Plan (up to 410 residential dwelling units); 4) GOCO Retreat Temescal Valley (approximately 90 residential units). Within five miles of this infill project are the active residential development projects of: 1) Arantine Hills (1,806 residential homes); 2) Dos Lagos (approximately 800 apartments); 3) Forest Boundary (50 residential homes); 4) Alberhill Villages (8,244 residential homes); 5) Tentative Tract Map No. 30760 (285 single family residential units). This infill project deprives the Temescal Valley community of needed community services and amenities that were previously approved for this site. This project needs an Environmental Impact Report as well as a Traffic Study.

If the County insists on going forward with this infill residential project, let this letter serve as insistence that this project be conditioned upon an Environmental Impact Report and the expansion of the Temescal Canyon Road to two lanes in either direction (total of four lanes) from where the Temescal Canyon Road passes under Interstate 15 to the northern interchange of the Temescal Canyon Road and Interstate 15.

Respectfully,

Que Borack, resident of Sycamore Creek Community.

Brady, Russell

From: James <jperlez@hotmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 16, 2018 9:45 AM
To: Brady, Russell
Cc: c p; James
Subject: Temescal Valley Condo Project
Attachments: Planning Commission Letter - Sycamore Creek.docx

April 13, 2018

Riverside County Planning Commission
c/o Russell Brady
Planning Department (12th Floor)
Riverside County Administration Center
4080 Lemon Street
Riverside, CA 92501

Re: Temescal Valley Condo Project
Tentative Tract Map No. 37153 Plot Plan

Dear Planning Commissioners:

This letter shall serve as opposition to the proposed change of the General Plan Land Use Designation from Community Development: Business Park to Community Development: Medium High Density Residential and the proposed zone change from Commercial Office to Planned Residential (R-4). The Plot Plan proposes a total of 80 two-story, single-family detached condominium units which will have a significant negative effect on the environment and the Sycamore Creek community.

It is unfortunate that this infill project is being proposed at a time: 1) when the Temescal Valley is in its development infancy; 2) when traffic studies are not required for a project of this size; 3) when it prevents the development of needed commercial businesses that support the community and County; 4) when traffic mitigation is needed along the heavily congested Temescal Canyon Road; 5) when the ingress and egress of Temescal Valley industrial business trucks e.g. cement, asphalt and aggregate (18 wheel) is heavily impacted by traffic along Temescal Canyon Road.

Since the Temescal Valley is devoid of commercial, industrial, or retail jobs as well as fixed route public transportation, community residents must utilize their vehicles to commute to and from their jobs in Riverside County and Orange County. In addition, their vehicle use is essential to transport their children to and from the Corona-Norco Unified School District intermediate school and high schools (Santiago High School and Centennial High School) on a daily basis. The heavily congested traffic on the Interstate 15 and Temescal Canyon Road has resulted in vehicle single direction transit times in excess of half an hour to travel six miles, and has had a significant negative impact on air quality, noise levels and the level of service on Temescal Valley roads. With the exception of some minor Temescal Canyon road improvements, north of the interchange of Interstate 15 and Temescal Canyon Road there are no major transportation projects planned that would mitigate the heavily impacted traffic congestion in the Temescal Valley in next two decades.

Infill development is the process of developing vacant or under-used parcels within existing urban areas that are already largely developed. Ideally, infill development involves more than the piecemeal development of individual lots. Unfortunately this infill project is not consistent with the surrounding well-functioning neighborhoods. The currently approved land use (Community Development: Business Park) received wide Temescal Valley community support, while this land use change has been overwhelmingly rejected by the Temescal Valley community.

This project provides no unique housing benefit to the community given the robust housing development currently underway with the construction of 192 new homes in Sycamore Creek and 1,433

homes in Terramor. Both the Sycamore Creek and Terramor residential development projects are within a quarter mile and half mile, respectively, of this infill project. Two miles from this infill project are these residential unit projects: 1) Hunt/Lawson (54 residential homes); 2) Lee Lake Community General Plan Amendment No. 1122 highest density residential (HHDR 258 apartments); 3) Lakeside Specific Plan (up to 410 residential dwelling units); 4) GOCO Retreat Temescal Valley (approximately 90 residential units). Within five miles of this infill project are the active residential development projects of: 1) Arantine Hills (1,806 residential homes); 2) Dos Lagos (approximately 800 apartments); 3) Forest Boundary (50 residential homes); 4) Alberhill Villages (8,244 residential homes); 5) Tentative Tract Map No. 30760 (285 single family residential units). This infill project deprives the Temescal Valley community of needed community services and amenities that were previously approved for this site. This project needs an Environmental Impact Report as well as a Traffic Study.

If the County insists on going forward with this infill residential project, let this letter serve as insistence that this project be conditioned upon an Environmental Impact Report and the expansion of the Temescal Canyon Road to two lanes in either direction (total of four lanes) from where the Temescal Canyon Road passes under Interstate 15 to the northern interchange of the Temescal Canyon Road and Interstate 15.

Respectfully,

James Perlez and Namrata Patel
Sycamore Creek Homeowners

Brady, Russell

From: ELIZABETH GRONCKI <lizzzye76@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, April 16, 2018 10:22 AM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: Re: Opposition of 80 unit condominium project

April 13, 2018

Riverside County Planning Commission
c/o Russell Brady
Planning Department (12th Floor)
Riverside County Administration Center
4080 Lemon Street
Riverside, CA 92501

Re: Temescal Valley Condo Project
Tentative Tract Map No. 37153 Plot Plan

Dear Planning Commissioners:

This letter shall serve as opposition to the proposed change of the General Plan Land Use Designation from Community Development: Business Park to Community Development: Medium High Density Residential and the proposed zone change from Commercial Office to Planned Residential (R-4). The Plot Plan proposes a total of 80 two-story, single-family detached condominium units which will have a significant negative effect on the environment and the Sycamore Creek community.

It is unfortunate that this infill project is being proposed at a time: 1) when the Temescal Valley is in its development infancy; 2) when traffic studies are not required for a project of this size; 3) when it prevents the development of needed commercial businesses that support the community and County; 4) when traffic mitigation is needed along the heavily congested Temescal Canyon Road; 5) when the ingress and egress of Temescal Valley industrial business trucks e.g. cement, asphalt and aggregate (18 wheel) is heavily impacted by traffic along Temescal Canyon Road.

Since the Temescal Valley is devoid of commercial, industrial, or retail jobs as well as fixed route public transportation, community residents must utilize their vehicles to commute to and from their jobs in Riverside County and Orange County. In addition, their vehicle use is essential to transport their children to and from the Corona-Norco Unified School District intermediate school and high schools (Santiago High School and Centennial High School) on a daily basis. The heavily congested traffic on the Interstate 15 and Temescal Canyon Road has resulted in vehicle single direction transit times in excess of half an hour to travel six miles, and has had a significant negative impact on air quality, noise levels and the level of service on Temescal Valley roads. With the exception of some minor Temescal Canyon road improvements, north of the interchange of Interstate 15 and Temescal Canyon Road there are no major transportation projects planned that would mitigate the heavily impacted traffic congestion in the Temescal Valley in next two decades.

Infill development is the process of developing vacant or under-used parcels within existing urban areas that are already largely developed. Ideally, infill development involves more than the piecemeal development of individual lots. Unfortunately this infill project is not consistent with the surrounding well-functioning neighborhoods. The currently approved land use (Community Development: Business Park) received wide Temescal Valley community support, while this land use change has been overwhelmingly rejected by the Temescal Valley community.

This project provides no unique housing benefit to the community given the robust housing development currently underway with the construction of 192 new homes in Sycamore Creek and 1,433 homes in Terramor. Both the Sycamore Creek and Terramor residential development projects are within a quarter mile and half mile, respectively, of this infill project. Two miles from this infill project are these residential unit projects: 1) Hunt/Lawson (54 residential homes); 2) Lee Lake Community General Plan Amendment No. 1122 highest density residential (HHDR 258 apartments); 3) Lakeside Specific Plan (up to 410 residential dwelling units); 4) GOCO Retreat Temescal Valley (approximately 90 residential units). Within five miles of this infill project are the active residential development projects of: 1) Arantine Hills (1,806 residential homes); 2) Dos Lagos (approximately 800 apartments); 3) Forest Boundary (50 residential homes); 4) Alberhill Villages (8,244 residential homes); 5) Tentative Tract Map No. 30760 (285 single family residential units). This infill project deprives the Temescal Valley community of needed community services and amenities that were previously approved for this site. This project needs an Environmental Impact Report as well as a Traffic Study.

If the County insists on going forward with this infill residential project, let this letter serve as insistence that this project be conditioned upon an Environmental Impact Report and the expansion of the Temescal Canyon Road to two lanes in either direction (total of four lanes) from where the Temescal Canyon Road passes under Interstate 15 to the northern interchange of the Temescal Canyon Road and Interstate 15.

Respectfully,

Elizabeth Groncki

Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 16, 2018, at 9:49 AM, Brady, Russell <rbrady@RIVCO.ORG> wrote:

The attachment I am not able to open. If you are able to convert it to a PDF or Word file that would work.

Thank you.

Russell Brady
Riverside County Planning
4080 Lemon Street 12th Floor
Riverside, CA 92501
951-955-3025
<image001.jpg>

How are we doing? Click the Link and tell us

From: ELIZABETH GRONCKI [<mailto:lizzzye76@yahoo.com>]
Sent: Saturday, April 14, 2018 8:19 AM
To: Brady, Russell <rbrady@RIVCO.ORG>
Subject: Opposition of 80 unit condominium project

Please see attached.

Confidentiality Disclaimer

This email is confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual(s) to whom it is addressed. The information contained in this message may be privileged and confidential and protected from disclosure. If you are not the author's intended recipient, be advised that you have received this email in error and that any use, dissemination, forwarding, printing, or copying of this email is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error please delete all copies, both electronic and printed, and contact the author immediately.

Brady, Russell

From: Amanda Hukkanen <Amanda.Hukkanen@hpcomminc.com>
Sent: Monday, April 16, 2018 11:47 AM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: Temescal Valley Condo Project
Attachments: COMMUTE TO SANTIAGO.PNG

Hi Russell,

This email is to log my opinion as a local homeowner that I am against the 80 unit condominium project adjacent to the intersection of Temescal Canyon Road and Campbell Ranch Road. Currently, the morning traffic from my house to Santiago High School is anywhere from 16min – 35mins. And that is just to go 9.7 miles! At 30 mins, that is an average speed of 20 miles per hour on the I15N. Adding more people will just make it worse. Attached is the Google Map data to support this claim.

Thank you,

Amanda Hukkanen

Homeowner

11486 Sparrow Ln, Temescal Valley, CA

Amanda.hukkanen@hpcomminc.com

951-296-4032

Brady, Russell

From: Jennifer Meixner <jennmeixner@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 16, 2018 3:41 PM
To: Brady, Russell
Cc: Kevin Meixner
Subject: Temescal Valley Condominium Project
Attachments: Planning Commission Letter - Sycamore Creek.docx

Please see the attached letter regarding our concerns about the Temescal Valley Condominium Project.

Regards,

Kevin and Jennifer Meixner

April 13, 2018

Riverside County Planning Commission
c/o Russell Brady
Planning Department (12th Floor)
Riverside County Administration Center
4080 Lemon Street
Riverside, CA 92501

Re: Temescal Valley Condo Project
Tentative Tract Map No. 37153 Plot Plan

Dear Planning Commissioners:

This letter shall serve as opposition to the proposed change of the General Plan Land Use Designation from Community Development: Business Park to Community Development: Medium High Density Residential and the proposed zone change from Commercial Office to Planned Residential (R-4). The Plot Plan proposes a total of 80 two-story, single-family detached condominium units which will have a significant negative effect on the environment and the Sycamore Creek community.

It is unfortunate that this infill project is being proposed at a time: 1) when the Temescal Valley is in its development infancy; 2) when traffic studies are not required for a project of this size; 3) when it prevents the development of needed commercial businesses that support the community and County; 4) when traffic mitigation is needed along the heavily congested Temescal Canyon Road; 5) when the ingress and egress of Temescal Valley industrial business trucks e.g. cement, asphalt and aggregate (18 wheel) is heavily impacted by traffic along Temescal Canyon Road.

Since the Temescal Valley is devoid of commercial, industrial, or retail jobs as well as fixed route public transportation, community residents must utilize their vehicles to commute to and from their jobs in Riverside County and Orange County. In addition, their vehicle use is essential to transport their children to and from the Corona-Norco Unified School District intermediate school and high schools (Santiago High School and Centennial High School) on a daily basis. The heavily congested traffic on the Interstate 15 and Temescal Canyon Road has resulted in vehicle single direction transit times in excess of half an hour to travel six miles, and has had a significant negative impact on air quality, noise levels and the level of service on Temescal Valley roads. With the exception of some minor Temescal Canyon road improvements, north of the interchange of Interstate 15 and Temescal Canyon Road there are no major transportation projects planned that would mitigate the heavily impacted traffic congestion in the Temescal Valley in next two decades.

Infill development is the process of developing vacant or under-used parcels within existing urban areas that are already largely developed. Ideally, infill development involves more than the piecemeal development of individual lots. Unfortunately this infill project is not consistent with the surrounding well-functioning neighborhoods. The currently approved land use (Community Development: Business Park) received wide Temescal Valley community support, while this land use change has been overwhelmingly rejected by the Temescal Valley community.

This project provides no unique housing benefit to the community given the robust housing development currently underway with the construction of 192 new homes in Sycamore Creek and 1,433

homes in Terramor. Both the Sycamore Creek and Terramor residential development projects are within a quarter mile and half mile, respectively, of this infill project. Two miles from this infill project are these residential unit projects: 1) Hunt/Lawson (54 residential homes); 2) Lee Lake Community General Plan Amendment No. 1122 highest density residential (HHDR 258 apartments); 3) Lakeside Specific Plan (up to 410 residential dwelling units); 4) GOCO Retreat Temescal Valley (approximately 90 residential units). Within five miles of this infill project are the active residential development projects of: 1) Arantine Hills (1,806 residential homes); 2) Dos Lagos (approximately 800 apartments); 3) Forest Boundary (50 residential homes); 4) Alberhill Villages (8,244 residential homes); 5) Tentative Tract Map No. 30760 (285 single family residential units). This infill project deprives the Temescal Valley community of needed community services and amenities that were previously approved for this site. This project needs an Environmental Impact Report as well as a Traffic Study.

If the County insists on going forward with this infill residential project, let this letter serve as insistence that this project be conditioned upon an Environmental Impact Report and the expansion of the Temescal Canyon Road to two lanes in either direction (total of four lanes) from where the Temescal Canyon Road passes under Interstate 15 to the northern interchange of the Temescal Canyon Road and Interstate 15.

Respectfully,

Kevin and Jennifer Meixner
11314 Hutton Rd
Corona, CA 92883

Brady, Russell

From: Vickie Singley <singley26@msn.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 17, 2018 6:24 AM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: Condo project.

ARE YOU F##KING KIDDING. God, look at the freeways now. Someone is on the take, because this doesn't make any sense!!! 🤔

Get [Outlook for Android](#)

Brady, Russell

From: Shawn Tizabi <shawntizabi@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 17, 2018 2:51 PM
To: Brady, Russell; KSpiegel@coronaca.gov; District2
Subject: "Temescal Valley Condo Project" in the subject line

Hello Russell Brady and all,

Ragarding "Temescal Valley Condo Project"

The 80 unit condominium project adjacent to the intersection of Temescal Canyon Road and Campbell Ranch Road in Corona/Temescal Valley.

It is very possible that the condos will generate approximately 800 more daily vehicle trips on Temescal Canyon Road. This is not a pleasant thought for those of us who have sat in early-morning commute traffic at Indian Truck Trail and the I-15, and nearby roadways. The bumper-to-bumper congestion to get on the freeway should NOT be the "new normal" when we commute to work, or drive our kids to school. Along with this there will be many new HAZARDS arising. I assure you that the children will have more cars to stay away from, we will have more accidents, upset people and lower vibrations due to the worsen traffic. I understand progress and making developments, I understand money and how it all works, but to expose such a community to extra problems and congestion is ridiculous, unsafe and the wrong choice. With this said all elected officials are voted by the constituents to defend and protect the community from harm. It is our right to live in harmony, yet the elected officials and workers of the city, county and state are allowing this action against the will of the community on our tax dollars!

This not the America we were taught about!!!

Thank you

Shawn T

949-689-2654

See you at the Board meeting all

Brady, Russell

From: Sahnaz Barnhart <shahnazbarnhart6645@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 17, 2018 3:02 PM
To: Brady, Russell; KSpiegel@coronaca.gov; District2
Subject: "Temescal Valley Condo Project"

hi all,

Mr Russell Brady, I was told you are in charge of the project on Temescal canyon regarding the condos. This is not wanted, or even liked by us that live here. Why would you guys allow such a thing, for money of course. But our future children, and current residents are not happy and will be in more danger and longer traffic, But you government people don't live here so you may not care, but WE PARENTS and grandparents CARE.

So stop your project, move on to the city limits to build more money makers.

Mrs Barnhart
Larkspur ct

Brady, Russell

From: magandang genina <magandanggenina@hotmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 17, 2018 4:03 PM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: Temescal Valley Condo Project
Attachments: attachment 1.docx; ATT00001.htm

April 13, 2018

Riverside County Planning Commission
c/o Russell Brady
Planning Department (12th Floor)
Riverside County Administration Center
4080 Lemon Street
Riverside, CA 92501

Re: Temescal Valley Condo Project
Tentative Tract Map No. 37153 Plot Plan

Dear Planning Commissioners:

This letter shall serve as opposition to the proposed change of the General Plan Land Use Designation from Community Development: Business Park to Community Development: Medium High Density Residential and the proposed zone change from Commercial Office to Planned Residential (R-4). The Plot Plan proposes a total of 80 two-story, single-family detached condominium units which will have a significant negative effect on the environment and the Sycamore Creek community.

It is unfortunate that this infill project is being proposed at a time: 1) when the Temescal Valley is in its development infancy; 2) when traffic studies are not required for a project of this size; 3) when it prevents the development of needed commercial businesses that support the community and County; 4) when traffic mitigation is needed along the heavily congested Temescal Canyon Road; 5) when the ingress and egress of Temescal Valley industrial business trucks e.g. cement, asphalt and aggregate (18 wheel) is heavily impacted by traffic along Temescal Canyon Road.

Since the Temescal Valley is devoid of commercial, industrial, or retail jobs as well as fixed route public transportation, community residents must utilize their vehicles to commute to and from their jobs in Riverside County and Orange County. In addition, their vehicle use is essential to transport their children to and from the Corona-Norco Unified School District intermediate school and high schools (Santiago High School and Centennial High School) on a daily basis. The heavily congested traffic on the Interstate 15 and Temescal Canyon Road has resulted in vehicle single direction transit times in excess of half an hour to travel six miles, and has had a significant negative impact on air quality, noise levels and the level of service on Temescal Valley roads. With the exception of some minor Temescal Canyon road improvements, north of the interchange of Interstate 15 and Temescal Canyon Road there are no major transportation projects planned that would mitigate the heavily impacted traffic congestion in the Temescal Valley in next two decades.

Infill development is the process of developing vacant or under-used parcels within existing urban areas that are already largely developed. Ideally, infill development involves more than the piecemeal development of individual lots. Unfortunately this infill project is not consistent with the surrounding well-functioning neighborhoods. The currently approved land use (Community Development: Business Park) received wide Temescal Valley community support, while this land use change has been overwhelmingly rejected by the Temescal Valley community.

This project provides no unique housing benefit to the community given the robust housing development currently underway with the construction of 192 new homes in Sycamore Creek and 1,433

homes in Terramor. Both the Sycamore Creek and Terramor residential development projects are within a quarter mile and half mile, respectively, of this infill project. Two miles from this infill project are these residential unit projects: 1) Hunt/Lawson (54 residential homes); 2) Lee Lake Community General Plan Amendment No. 1122 highest density residential (HHDR 258 apartments); 3) Lakeside Specific Plan (up to 410 residential dwelling units); 4) GOCO Retreat Temescal Valley (approximately 90 residential units). Within five miles of this infill project are the active residential development projects of: 1) Arantine Hills (1,806 residential homes); 2) Dos Lagos (approximately 800 apartments); 3) Forest Boundary (50 residential homes); 4) Alberhill Villages (8,244 residential homes); 5) Tentative Tract Map No. 30760 (285 single family residential units). This infill project deprives the Temescal Valley community of needed community services and amenities that were previously approved for this site. This project needs an Environmental Impact Report as well as a Traffic Study.

If the County insists on going forward with this infill residential project, let this letter serve as insistence that this project be conditioned upon an Environmental Impact Report and the expansion of the Temescal Canyon Road to two lanes in either direction (total of four lanes) from where the Temescal Canyon Road passes under Interstate 15 to the northern interchange of the Temescal Canyon Road and Interstate 15.

Respectfully,

Brady, Russell

From: Aleta Thompson <afcarrot65@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 17, 2018 6:34 PM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: Temescal Vally condo project

I reside in sycamore Creek and I am extremely frustrated by the traffic in the area. I've lived in this community for 13 years & I'm seriously considering moving out of Riverside county. The obvious disregard by riverside county for current residents to navigate through the quagmire of street & freeway traffic is appalling. Stop trying to rake in more tax dollars and consider the plight of residents struggling with current conditions. I urge you to vote against the temescal Valley condo project.

Aleta Thompson
Sent from my iPhone

Brady, Russell

From: Nick <nickdwren@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 17, 2018 9:27 PM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: Temescal Valley condo project

It is absolutely ridiculous to add 80 more condos to the area. It already takes half an hour to go from Temescal canyon to Cajalco Rd. And the new apartments at Dos Lagos and Housing at Teramor aren't even complete. Corona needs to stop seeking tax money and take care of the current residents. People already use Temescal Valley as an attempted shortcut to get around the 15.

Sent from my iPhone

Brady, Russell

From: Melissa Charvis <melissa.charvis@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 17, 2018 9:35 PM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: Temescal Valley Condo Project - Opposed

Mr. Brady,

I'm writing to express my opposition to the 83 condos being proposed for the space at Temescal Canyon / Campbell Ranch Roads.

I am a resident of Painted Hills in Temescal Valley and already have to fight an abundant amount of traffic every morning on Temescal Canyon Road in order to exit my own community; along with the gridlock on the 15 freeway at all hours of the day, as a result of the already overcrowded area.

With the apartments going in by Dos Lagos, I'm already dreading the additional traffic implications in the near future.

Our roads are not ready for 83 more families in cramped quarters.

Also, just looking at their tract map, it looks like a safety concern - only 1 entrance/exit? That looks extremely dangerous in case of an emergency. If that single opening is blocked, those residents have no way to exit.

I also agree with the commissioner's comment from the February 7 meeting, in which he stated that firefighters might have difficulty gaining access to the condos with the cramped motor courts. I also see a flaw in the layout in regards to guest parking. Even if residents are forced to park inside their garage (which is unlikely to be followed), where would their guests park?

No matter what, my family and I are strongly opposed to this development. Can't we retain a small piece of natural land without the need to build on it?

I hope you take our community's opinions into consideration and vote against this project.

Thank you for your time,

Melissa Charvis

Brady, Russell

From: Stephanie Liuag <sliuag@cnsd.k12.ca.us>
Sent: Tuesday, April 17, 2018 10:41 PM
To: Brady, Russell

Hello!

I'm writing to voice my concern about the 80 condo proposal in the Temescal Valley. The roads are already crowded enough and will continue to become more crowded once the housing next to Dos Lagos is complete. Additionally, the housing project at the bottom of Cajalco will create even more road congestion. Please don't build anymore homes. We need more shops, restaurants, and stores for the residents that are already here. If you don't believe that the roads are crowded, hop on the 15 or 91 during peak hours or even on the weekend. The side roads getting to these freeways is just horrid! Please don't build anymore homes!!!

Stephanie 😊

Statement of Confidentiality: The contents of this e-mail message and any attachments are intended solely for the addressee. The information may also be confidential and/or legally privileged. This transmission is sent for the sole purpose of delivery to the intended recipient. If you have received this transmission in error, any use, reproduction, or dissemination of this transmission is strictly prohibited.

Brady, Russell

From: Debbie Kaatz <debkaatz@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 18, 2018 6:18 AM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: Campbell Ranch Road Condos

Hi Mr. Brady,

Since I can not attend the meeting on April 24th regarding the condo project at Cambell Ranch Road and Indian Truck Trail this is my vote of NO. As a resident of Temescal Valley for 60 years I have seen the changes. Many are good but some are bad. Yes the traffic is the main reason I am opposed to the condo project. This area is already so compacted with the new Teramor project as well as others in our valley. Yes we are blooming here but too rapidly for the surrounding roads and freeways. If transportation were easier I would be more willing to approve the project but that is not the case. If I were to pick it would be commercial. We can use more grocery, gas stations and retail in this area.

Sincerely,
Debbie Kaatz
Heavenly Acres Ranch
12427 Bosley Ln
Corona, CA 92883
714-401-1850

Brady, Russell

From: Lori Gray <lorikeenan@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Wednesday, April 18, 2018 6:49 AM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: 80 Condos at Temescal Canyon and Campbell Ranch Roads

I am sending this and a resident of Sycamore Creek, who commutes daily to Rancho Cucamonga using both Campbell Ranch and Temescal Canyon to get to the freeway.

This proposed development is too dense and should not be built. The zoning change from commercial office to residential is not in the best interest of Temescal Valley. There are many issues that still need to be addressed. There is only one entrance/exit street and all interior streets end in cul-de-sacs and dead-end alleys, these facts create a real safety issue. How will emergency vehicles access?? And having people live so close to the freeway will impact their health! It also would increase peak time traffic congestion in an area that is already heavily impacted. No allowance has been made for pedestrian traffic outside the neighborhood. The Project's targeted market is young families with children and no allowance has been made for a safe way for them to walk to school (Todd Academy). The signed and striped crosswalk is on the wrong side of the Campbell Ranch Road intersection and there is no sidewalk on either side of Campbell Ranch Road for the school kids to use.

A commercial center in that same area would be more of a benefit to the Temescal Valley. Money spent locally and jobs for the people who live nearby.

Please reconsider the current project and put something there that the Temescal Valley can actually use and benefit from.

Thank you,
Lori Gray

Brady, Russell

From: Crystal Barney <crystalbarney@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 18, 2018 7:29 AM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: Temescal valley condo project

Good morning,

I am writing in regard to the Temescal Valley condo project being proposed at the intersection of campbell ranch road and temescal canyon.

I am concerned this project was recommended to the board of supervisors for approval of zone change. Why? How does adding more residential benefit the community when we are lacking in infrastructure and badly needed commercial development?

Further, the consultant was asked to submit a redesign and said a continuance (from November) would be sufficient time to comply with that request but then failed to do so. He had months to prepare the redesign. Approving this project just shows developers it is okay not to comply when asked for a redesign, when it is not. If the project is killed it is their own fault for failure to comply. Moving forward with approval sends the wrong message to developers and sets a precedence for the future behavior of developers.

Regards,

Crystal Barney
Temescal Valley resident

Brady, Russell

From: michael hinckley <mrhinckley@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Wednesday, April 18, 2018 7:42 AM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: Temescal Valley Condo Project
Attachments: Planning Commission Letter - Sycamore Creek.docx

Attached is my letter concerning opposition to the Temescal Valley Condo Project, Tentative Tract Map #37153 Plot Plan

April 13, 2018

Riverside County Planning Commission
c/o Russell Brady
Planning Department (12th Floor)
Riverside County Administration Center
4080 Lemon Street
Riverside, CA 92501

Re: Temescal Valley Condo Project
Tentative Tract Map No. 37153 Plot Plan

Dear Planning Commissioners:

This letter shall serve as opposition to the proposed change of the General Plan Land Use Designation from Community Development: Business Park to Community Development: Medium High Density Residential and the proposed zone change from Commercial Office to Planned Residential (R-4). The Plot Plan proposes a total of 80 two-story, single-family detached condominium units which will have a significant negative effect on the environment and the Sycamore Creek community.

It is unfortunate that this infill project is being proposed at a time: 1) when the Temescal Valley is in its development infancy; 2) when traffic studies are not required for a project of this size; 3) when it prevents the development of needed commercial businesses that support the community and County; 4) when traffic mitigation is needed along the heavily congested Temescal Canyon Road; 5) when the ingress and egress of Temescal Valley industrial business trucks e.g. cement, asphalt and aggregate (18 wheel) is heavily impacted by traffic along Temescal Canyon Road.

Since the Temescal Valley is devoid of commercial, industrial, or retail jobs as well as fixed route public transportation, community residents must utilize their vehicles to commute to and from their jobs in Riverside County and Orange County. In addition, their vehicle use is essential to transport their children to and from the Corona-Norco Unified School District intermediate school and high schools (Santiago High School and Centennial High School) on a daily basis. The heavily congested traffic on the Interstate 15 and Temescal Canyon Road has resulted in vehicle single direction transit times in excess of half an hour to travel six miles, and has had a significant negative impact on air quality, noise levels and the level of service on Temescal Valley roads. With the exception of some minor Temescal Canyon road improvements, north of the interchange of Interstate 15 and Temescal Canyon Road there are no major transportation projects planned that would mitigate the heavily impacted traffic congestion in the Temescal Valley in next two decades.

Infill development is the process of developing vacant or under-used parcels within existing urban areas that are already largely developed. Ideally, infill development involves more than the piecemeal development of individual lots. Unfortunately this infill project is not consistent with the surrounding well-functioning neighborhoods. The currently approved land use (Community Development: Business Park) received wide Temescal Valley community support, while this land use change has been overwhelmingly rejected by the Temescal Valley community.

This project provides no unique housing benefit to the community given the robust housing development currently underway with the construction of 192 new homes in Sycamore Creek and 1,433

homes in Terramor. Both the Sycamore Creek and Terramor residential development projects are within a quarter mile and half mile, respectively, of this infill project. Two miles from this infill project are these residential unit projects: 1) Hunt/Lawson (54 residential homes); 2) Lee Lake Community General Plan Amendment No. 1122 highest density residential (HHDR 258 apartments); 3) Lakeside Specific Plan (up to 410 residential dwelling units); 4) GOCO Retreat Temescal Valley (approximately 90 residential units). Within five miles of this infill project are the active residential development projects of: 1) Arantine Hills (1,806 residential homes); 2) Dos Lagos (approximately 800 apartments); 3) Forest Boundary (50 residential homes); 4) Alberhill Villages (8,244 residential homes); 5) Tentative Tract Map No. 30760 (285 single family residential units). This infill project deprives the Temescal Valley community of needed community services and amenities that were previously approved for this site. This project needs an Environmental Impact Report as well as a Traffic Study.

If the County insists on going forward with this infill residential project, let this letter serve as insistence that this project be conditioned upon an Environmental Impact Report and the expansion of the Temescal Canyon Road to two lanes in either direction (total of four lanes) from where the Temescal Canyon Road passes under Interstate 15 to the northern interchange of the Temescal Canyon Road and Interstate 15.

Respectfully,
Michael A. Hinckley
24987 Coral Canyon Road
Corona, C A 92883

Brady, Russell

From: Elliot L <elim888@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 18, 2018 7:55 AM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: Temescal Valley condo project

Good morning Mr. Brady,

I am writing this message to express disagreement about adding more residential properties to Temescal Valley, specifically the condo project. One thing we have noticed since moving to the valley last September is the increase in traffic and gridlock. To get anywhere around town takes time. Adding 83 condos with more residents will just compound the problem, not improve it. Not to mention any safety issues driving in and out of that planned residential area.

We have even resorted to reluctantly spending our money elsewhere at stores in Lake Elsinore or other cities south of Temescal Valley. The traffic has gotten that bad going North on the 15, even on weekends it's really bad. This is the time when we want to go somewhere and spend time with our family in TV, quite frustrating when you have to deal with traffic to get anywhere and not many choices of businesses locally to visit.

What we prefer is more businesses in TV. As a resident, I want to spend my money in the city of TV and contribute to the city's economy. How are we supposed to do this if we are adding more residential properties? We also need more local jobs around town in TV, adding a commercial center instead would solve this issue too.

Please consider our concerns and thank you for reading.

Sincerely,
Elliot Lim and the Lim Family

Brady, Russell

From: Diane Rutherford <diane_ru@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 18, 2018 8:11 AM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: Please reconsider the building of 80 condos in Temescal Valley!

To who it may concern,
Please reconsider the building of 80 condos off Temescal Canyon Road!
That would add to our traffic problem and would not benefit our beautiful community! I live adjacent to it and would block the beautiful view of the mountains and gorgeous surroundings!

Thank you,
A very concerned
Diane Rutherford
10295 Dusty Lane Ct
Temescal Valley, Ca

Sent from my iPhone

Brady, Russell

From: Paul Reiter <preiter@libertydentalplan.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 18, 2018 8:28 AM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: Project Opposition Letter for 80 Proposed Condominiums at Temescal Canyon

Dear Mr. Brady,

I live in Temescal Valley off of Weirick road and I would like to submit my strong opposition to the proposed 80 condominiums at Temescal Canyon. It is clear that the developer is trying to cram as many condominiums into this acreage as possible with no concern for the impact on our community or for the people that would live there. In looking at the map I am deeply concerned that the fact that there is only one exit and entrance for so many condominiums. It seems to me like very poor planning and could represent a significant danger to the residents in an emergency.

It is my understanding that the land is currently zoned for commercial use and that the owner is requesting a zone change to build these condos. As you know, Temescal Valley is a very narrow valley which is heavily impacted by the ever increasing use of the 15 freeway. We should be doing whatever it takes to protect land zoned for commercial use and not making it easier for developers to build more and more homes. We need jobs closer to home. This project would only add to an already very frustrating commute.

The developer seems to make the case that they would be doing our valley a favor by widening Temescal Canyon road. Develops are required to make these improvements and it is in no way doing us a favor. The widening of Temescal Canyon road will ultimately happen with or without this project going forward.

As the county planner, I strongly encourage you to join with us in our strong opposition to this project. With your help and backing we can prevent this and other poorly conceived projects from destroying our beautiful valley.

Sincerely,
Paul Reiter
8960 Sugarcane Court
Temescal Valley, CA 92883

Confidentiality Notice: This email transmission may contain confidential health information or other information that is privileged and/or confidential and which may be subject to legal restrictions and penalties regarding its unauthorized disclosure or other use. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution, or reliance upon the contents of this e-mail is strictly prohibited. If you have received this e-mail transmission in error, please reply to the sender, so that arrangements can be made for proper delivery, and then please permanently delete the e-mail (and all attachments) from your e-mail and computer systems.

Brady, Russell

From: Terry Morairty <tpmora@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Wednesday, April 18, 2018 10:54 AM
To: Brady, Russell
Cc: Jannlee watson
Subject: 80 condo project.

Hello Mr. Brady.

I am writing in opposition to the 80 condominiums being planned at Temescal Canyon and Butterfield Estates Road. The obvious is the traffic congestion. With our freeways and roads already gridlocked it would be irresponsible to add more housing without upgrading the infrastructure to support it.

The not so obvious is the plan itself. As a former wild land firefighter and 3800 gallon water tender operator we are taught in fire training not to go into an unsafe area where we might get ourselves and our crew trapped. This would be an unsafe area with only one way in and one way out and all roads ending in cul-de-sacs. Also with some condo's back in long single driveways. In the event of a fire it would be very unsafe to bring a fire tender or firetruck into this neighborhood with residents trying to evacuate and emergency vehicles trying to get in. Please, for the safety of the residents and the emergency crews I ask that you deny this project.

Thank you.

Terry Morairty
Temescal Valley
951-277-2545

Brady, Russell

From: David Gray <marriedbythelake@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 18, 2018 11:06 AM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: Re: Tentative Tract Map No. 37153

Dear County Planner Russell Brady: .

Re: Tentative Tract Map No. 37153

I am writing again in regards to the proposed zoning change for the property at the intersection of Temescal Canyon Road and Campbell Ranch Road. The area is currently zoned commercial, and a developer is trying to change it to residential to build 80 condos. While the previous plan had 83 condos and the developer has made some minor changes to reduce it to 80 condos and to address a couple other minor issues, my concerns about this development still remain.

I do not think this zoning change should be approved. As I noted in my previous email, the infrastructure in the area is not sufficient for additional residential units at this time. There haven't been any changes to the infrastructure in the past 6 months that would accommodate this development.

There are many issues that still need to be addressed. There is only one entrance/exit street and all interior streets end in cul-de-sacs and dead-end alleys, these facts create a real safety issue. It also would increase peak time traffic congestion in an area that is already heavily impacted. No allowance has been made for pedestrian traffic outside the neighborhood. The Project's targeted market is young families with children and no allowance has been made for a safe way for them to walk to school (Todd Academy). The signed and striped crosswalk is on the wrong side of the Campbell Ranch Road intersection and there is no sidewalk on either side of Campbell Ranch Road for the school kids to use.

In addition, with continued residential development ongoing in other areas of Temescal Valley, we desperately need additional commercial centers to support the growing community. There are few locations within the valley for residents to do their shopping, dining out, and entertainment. A commercial center in this location with some small shops and restaurants would be much more beneficial to the valley.

Another option would be an assisted living facility, which I understand would be allowed under the current zoning. There does not seem to be a facility of this sort nearby and would be a great asset to the area.

I still do NOT support the requested zoning change or the proposed residential development until these issues can be addressed.

Thank you for your consideration.

Cathy Kibria

On Mon, Oct 23, 2017 at 10:34 AM, David Gray <marriedbythelake@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear County Planner Russell Brady: .

Re: Tentative Tract Map No. 37153

I oppose this project because the infrastructure in the area is not sufficient for additional development. The only major street through Temescal Valley is Temescal Canyon Road (TCR). Traffic on TCR is already unbearable and will only get worse as projects on Cajalco Road and I-15 get underway. This project does not provide desperately needed widening of TCR. In addition, the Temescal Valley Area Map calls for a freeway interchange at the Temescal Canyon/Campbell Ranch intersection. Building this development would detrimentally affect any chances of that interchange being considered much less built.

I am also concerned about the fact that there appears to be only one entrance/exit road to this proposed development. What happens if there is an emergency, such as a fast-moving wildfire? Much, if not all, of Temescal Valley lies in a very high fire danger area. The poor infrastructure in the valley, combined with traffic issues and the lack of additional exit points from the proposed development would prevent those residents from evacuating quickly.

Another concern is that the entrance/exit road to this development also does not line up with the existing Campbell Ranch intersection. Will a traffic signal be installed at that new road? If not, and residents will instead be required to stop at a stop sign to exit their community, they will face significant delays, due to the aforementioned traffic issues on TCR that already cause the same issues for other residents in local communities, including Painted Hills, Canyon Oaks, Butterfield, and Sycamore Creek. Either way, the misalignment of the proposed development entrance and Campbell Ranch will cause significant traffic issues in addition to current issues already faced on TCR.

There are no local middle or high schools in Temescal Valley, so all the families trying to get their children to school in Corona on time are leaving up to two hours earlier than the school's start time in order to arrive on time, and even then often are late, due to these traffic issues. There is little public school busing available, and little other public transportation available either. This project does not appear to include any recreational facilities or trails that would benefit the community. In order to accommodate and sustain additional development in this community, these are all vital services that need to be added and built up.

I do not and will support ANY development in the proposed tract at the intersection of TCR and Campbell Ranch until these issues are adequately addressed.

Thank you for your consideration.

Cathy Kibria

Brady, Russell

From: Dirk And Merrill Waayers <mdwaayers@verizon.net>
Sent: Wednesday, April 18, 2018 12:06 PM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: Proposed Painted Hills Condo Development

To Russel Brady,

I am commenting concerning the Proposed Painted Hills Condo Development in the Sycamore Creek area of Riverside County. I am opposed to this development as it is very dense in the designs I have seen. The traffic volume in the area cannot accommodate any more vehicles, whether on surface streets or especially the 15 Freeway! Please deny this planned development and any further developments in the area unless you plan some extensive widening of the 15 freeway through this corridor.

Sincerely,

Dirk and Merrill Waayers
Residents of Horsethief Canyon

Brady, Russell

From: Sef Haddad <sef.haddad@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 18, 2018 12:14 PM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: Proposed Condominiums @ Temescal Canyon and Campbell Ranch

Mr. Brady,

I hope this email finds you doing well. I live in Sycamore Creek and own a home in Montecito Ranch, both in Temescal Valley. We are in desperate need of commercial retail spaces, not additional homes or multi-family projects. I respectfully request you reject this new project and push for more commercial type of projects that serve the needs of the existing homeowners in the area.

Thank you,
Sef Haddad

Brady, Russell

From: James Kunkel <jimkunkel@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Wednesday, April 18, 2018 3:06 PM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: Temescal Canyon and Campbell Ranch roads Condos

Dear Sir or Madame,

My wife and I wish to voice our extreme opposition to the potential development of another large condominium complex in our area. It is our opinion that the potential hazards produced by traffic gridlock in, and around the Temescal valley area are already out of control. Further development without adequate provisions in place to accommodate the additional traffic load, would greatly exacerbate an already unacceptable situation.

It is our sincere wish that further uncontrolled expansion be halted.

Sincerely,
James W. Kunkel
Sung-Lim Kunkel
8869 Lemonwood Dr.
Corona, CA 92883

Brady, Russell

From: Yvette Martinez <ykmartinez_7@hotmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 18, 2018 4:45 PM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: Temescal Canyon Condo Project

Mr Brady,

My name is Yvette Martinez and I have lived in wild rose ranch near Knabe since 1993. When we moved here we were Orange County transplants. We loved our neighborhood but in the last 5 years Corona has built on every empty lot and orange grove. This being said, the traffic is so bad that me and my family can't even get out of my neighborhood on any given day. Please stop the building so us loyal residents are able to move freely to local establishments. Thank you, sincerely

Yvette and Paul Martinez
22913 Mission Bells st
Corona ca 92883

Brady, Russell

From: Michael Brazeau <michael@brazeau.net>
Sent: Wednesday, April 18, 2018 4:59 PM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: Feedback on Temescal Valley Condo Project

Hello Mr. Brady,

I am opposed to the Temescal Valley Condo Project for many reasons. With its single entrance, dead end streets and crowded motor courts, this proposed development seems like a project-style dense development and flies in the face of the beauty and open space appeal of the Temescal Valley. This development is just too dense and brings nothing of value to Temescal Valley or the surrounding area. On top of this, all of these residents will be required to use existing freeway on and off ramps that are already overcrowded. We can barely get our kids to school in time, sometimes taking an hour to go 5 miles to Santiago High School.

Perhaps the site would be better suited to a new High School, like one that was budgeted for in this area many years ago but hasn't materialized. If you keep approving residential development without addressing the infrastructure in this area, everyone is going to leave (faster than they already are) and you will lose a huge amount of property tax revenue as housing prices drop due to decline in value caused by overcrowding and poor infrastructure.

Please do not approve this project.

Thank You,

Michael Brazeau
714.496.3381

Brady, Russell

From: Andrea Wiggins <andrea_wiggins@icloud.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 18, 2018 5:49 PM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: Tract Map No. 37153

To whom this may concern,

As a Temescal Valley resident, I was informed there is a proposal for 83 condos on 9 acres. This makes me very concerned because at this point and time, that area cannot handle any more traffic in the morning. I take my children to school and it takes me 45 minutes from Sycamore Creek to go 11 miles. It takes 15 minutes just to get to Tom's Farm. That area in no way is ready for more high density housing.

It has been suggested that land be used as a senior assisted living center which makes more sense and would not have such a large impact on our traffic situation compared to 83 units.

Please keep the Temescal Valley residents in mind. We love where we live but the traffic is starting to become unbearable.

Thank you,

Andrea Wiggins
Sycamore Creek Resident

Sent from my iPhone

Brady, Russell

From: Judi Cervantes <judicervantes-100@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 18, 2018 6:47 PM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: Condos - Temescal Canyon and Campbell Ranch Roads

I have been a resident in Temescal Valley since 1999. Originally commuting to Irvine, **over the years have been able to move my commute to 3 exits up the freeway. This often takes 45 minutes to go 5 miles!**

This is not quality of life and not what the residents of this great community deserve. The continued development in this area is diminishing our lives as well as our safety!

PLEASE VOTE NO ON THIS PROJECT !!

Sincerely,

Judith Cervantes
8815 Crest View Drive
Corona, CA 92883
951.283.4935

Brady, Russell

From: Candice Gilliatt <gilliatt.c@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 18, 2018 8:50 PM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: Condos in TV

I am writing this email to voice major concerns about 80 condo development projected for Campbell rd in Temescal Valley.

This area cannot bear another development. There are many new homes and apartments going up in Temescal Valley, however the infrastructure is not even in place to support the pending influx with what has already broken ground, not to mention future builds. Temescal Valley lacks frontage roads to mitigate congestive freeways, junior high and high school for TV residents which means more families shuffling kids into Corona and overcrowding at those feeder schools. A simple 5 mile drive from the 91 and 15 can already take 30 minutes.

Before any additional development happens, this community needs to push pause and take a hard look at the master plan, traffic flow, density, and impact of lifestyle for existing and future residents. We must look ahead 10 years.

Not doing so and letting the developers bear some of the cost of needed infrastructure would be foolish and a potential waste of resources.

Please let me know if I can help prevent the build of these condos in any other way.

Best regards,
Candice Gilliatt

Brady, Russell

From: Jim <jimeedee@aol.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 18, 2018 9:08 PM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: 80 condos Temescal canyon/Campbell rd

With so Many homes going up in the Temescal Valley I don't see how the 15 freeway can handle more traffic. Has anyone within the planning department actually experienced the congestion on that freeway and still continue to consider building more it's unconscionable. I realize how important it is for people to have a place to live but how do you expect people to get to their job or get their children to school on time. It just doesn't happen. I've lived in the area for eight years and can't believe how bad traffic has become. The growth needs to be controlled so the freeway can catch up.

Sincerely yours,
Jim Deinzer
Glen Ivy Ca

Sent from my iPad

Brady, Russell

From: Phil Lance <lancedad@pacbell.net>
Sent: Wednesday, April 18, 2018 9:45 PM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: 80 condos at Campbell ranch rd

Sir, This area's infrastructure simply cannot support additional high density housing. The existing traffic is now in a gridlock situation, and highway construction currently underway is likely to do little to mitigate the existing problem. It certainly will not support this proposed project.

Sent from my iPad

Brady, Russell

From: Suzanne Macenas <suzannemacenas@icloud.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 18, 2018 10:03 PM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: Condos

You can't possible justify the building of more condos in the area! We can't accommodate the traffic as it is, the roads are all ready congested to their limit. I know for a fact that people are moving out of this area to Arizona due to the horrible planning that has taken place.

I say please don't do this. Do the right thing and build something for the community that will make Temescal Valley a great place to live, like a sports park.

Suzanne Macenas
Trilogy Resident

Sent from my iPhone

Brady, Russell

From: Sharon Redditt <bowlergirls73@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 18, 2018 10:35 PM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: 80 units development

This project should not happen. The area is already over populated with traffic. We in Temescal Valley are opposed to this project.

Brady, Russell

From: Eleftheria Lantzourakis <tverikakis@icloud.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 19, 2018 5:36 AM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: Opposition of condo project homes

Please please please do not make this residential! Traffic insanity living here now is at its peak. Where and how will emergency vehicles and residents get on and off to freeways? The population increase is not and will not demote thefts, accidents etc. It will promote them.

Regards,

Terri Lantzourakis

Montecito Ranch

Temescal Valley home owner

Sent from my iPhone

Brady, Russell

From: Paul Neidhardt <paulneid@twc.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 19, 2018 8:59 AM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: "Temescal Valley condo project"

I have only lived in the Temescal Valley for a little over 2 years and in that time I have seen my quality of life change dramatically. Most of the change has to do with the continued approval of new developments that have impacted all the current residents ability to enjoy this beautiful area. It is almost impossible to access the freeway at most hours of the daytime, and on the weekends it is impossible. Our air quality has been degraded due to the pollution as a result of the increase in traffic. I would like the planning commission to take a break and study all the current residents ability to enjoy or be able to enjoy what they have paid taxes for when they decided to relocate to this Valley in the first place.

Please stop all this development until you can figure a way to improve the movement of vehicles and improve the quality of our air.

Respectfully yours,

Paul Neidhardt
9098 Yani Court
Temescal Valley, CA 92883
(951) 338-0428

Brady, Russell

From: Andrea.Brian Morrison <amorrison8@outlook.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 19, 2018 9:26 AM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: Temescal Valley Condo Project

Hello, I'm emailing you today about the Temescal Valley condo project. I am opposed to this project for so many reasons. We came here about 10 years ago from Mission Viejo as I fell in love with the scenic views, the friendly people and a true sense of community here. (Things you can't associate with OC so much anymore.) But since we've been here, we've seen a lot of the points we like get developed over, squeezed out or just simply over-congested. The roads around here have gone to hell with quality and quantity. The freeways are congested so much so that a simple 5-10 minute grocery run can take 30 minutes one way! The apartments being built by Dos Lagos, the condos being built off Foothill, Terramor being developed by the ever growing Sycamore Creek... where and how are we to accommodate such a spike in housing in one small area? We definitely don't have the roads to support this... Or the schools! Is anyone considering these affects to our community?! Terribly disappointed in the recent developments, we're considering moving already. Isn't the board supposed to LOOK OUT for our community and NOT let these things happen instead of encouraging more and more housing developments in an already congested area?! Please consider the local children and what their future might look like here. We're not painting a pretty picture.

Sincerely,
Andrea Morrison

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

Brady, Russell

From: Sandy Skalla <sandyskalla@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 19, 2018 10:58 AM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: 80 Condos at Temescal Canyon and Campbell Ranch Roads

Dear Mr. Brady,

I wish to express my disapproval of the proposed 80 condominiums. I live in Montecito Ranch. Unfortunately, the morning drive on Knabe Rd between Forest Boundary Rd and the 15 freeway, and Temescal Canyon Rd between Trilogy Parkway and the 15 freeway has become ridiculous with traffic. The construction of the commercial buildings on Knabe will assuredly bring more traffic to our area as I don't yet see how the additional future traffic will be accommodated. If 80 condominiums are constructed at the proposed site, I fear that leaving the house is going to be unbearable due to the increased traffic that will inevitably result. Already at times it takes me an hour to get onto the freeway in the morning, and the on ramp is only 2.9 miles from my house!

Please consider what this will do to the residents of this area. We moved here due to the tranquility and serene environment. I understand development in California is inevitable but please consider the effects of your actions. I think you would reconsider such a plan if YOU lived here for in Temescal Valley.

If this plan can not be avoided by any means, please make some changes/improvements in the roads leading to/from the freeway, to/from this area. Add lanes, expand the on ramps, do something please to help alleviate the already congested area we live in!

Thank you.
Sandy Skalla

Sent from my iPad

Brady, Russell

From: JANE SNIDER <jasnider@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Thursday, April 19, 2018 1:45 PM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: Condo Project - Temescal Canyon and Campbell Ranch Roads

I am totally opposed to this project.

Within the proposed complex

1. streets are extremely narrow and will be difficult for residents as well as Fire and Trash equipment to drive
2. parking is limited
3. curbing and sidewalks are not included - children will have no protection in walking to school
4. traffic is extremely heavy in this area and with only 1 way in and out, will be extremely more dangerous than it already is

Temescal Valley has many needs and this is not the best use of the property.

Jane Snider
24597 Gleneagles Drive
Temescal Valley, CA 92883

Brady, Russell

From: Susan Rogers <rogers.susan4@icloud.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 19, 2018 2:06 PM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: condos in south corona

Traffic is so heavy here in south corona that we cannot count on getting to hospital in Corona, doctors appointments> Please do not allow further building along or near the 15 freeway! This is such a bad idea and makes our life so miserable. Please contact me at 951 8335398. Thank you, Susan and Austin Rogers.

Brady, Russell

From: drs090651@aol.com
Sent: Thursday, April 19, 2018 2:10 PM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: Condo's at Temescal Canyon and Campbell Ranch

Mr. Brady,

This email is against the proposed general plan change to this area. The owner of the property previously wanted a plan change for light industrial and he got it. Now he wants a general plan change to go back to housing. The problem with the proposed plan it puts a lot of people in a small area, does not make sufficient changes in the roads to accommodate the number of cars that will be added to the area. Just in case you haven't heard the traffic in this area is terrible as parents have to take their children to school and pick them up and the truck traffic from the mine, cement plant, and the asphalt plant. With this in mind, I do not believe the current residents should have to bear the additional traffic because the owner of the land made a bad business decision and paid too much and has to build 80 homes in 9 acres to make a profit. The citizens of Temescal Valley made suggestions as to the businesses we would be willing to support. Consider this a no vote for the change in the general plan.

Dan Smith
25298 Noble Canyon St.
Temescal Valley, CA 92883

(951) 818-9992

Brady, Russell

From: SCBrantner <scbrantner@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 19, 2018 3:50 PM
To: Brady, Russell
Subject: NO CONDOS AT TEMESCAL AND CAMPBELL RANCH

Dear Russell Brady,

I have been a resident of Temescal Valley in the Montecito Ranch Community for almost 15 years now. I've seen this valley grow tremendously over this time! So much, in fact, that it takes way too long in the mornings to exit our surrounding communities and get to the freeway. Once we have made it to the 15, the traffic STILL not over!!

We cannot take anymore people moving into this area! I would shutter to think if there is an emergency during the morning traffic times, help would not be able to get it or we would not be able to get out! Jobs are very scarce in this valley. We need more shops and businesses, not more residents!

A very concerned Temescal Valley resident,
Cheryl Brantner

[Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android](#)