

**SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA**



**ITEM  
1.6  
(ID # 7794)**

**MEETING DATE:**

Tuesday, August 28, 2018


**FROM :** TLMA-PLANNING:

**SUBJECT:** TRANSPORTATION & LAND MANAGEMENT AGENCY/PLANNING: RECEIVE AND FILE THE PLANNING COMMISSION'S DECISION TO APPROVE THE SECOND EXTENSION OF TIME for TENTATIVE TRACT MAP NO. 29328 – Applicant: Romoland Watson 206, LLC – Third Supervisorial District – Homeland Zoning Area – Harvest Valley/Winchester Area Plan: Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD:MDR) (2-5 du/ac), Business Park (CD:BP) (0.25-0.60 FAR) – Location: North of Highway 74, east of Sultanas Rd., south of Varela Ln., west of Leon Rd. – 19.32 Acres – Zoning: SP Zone (SP#260) – APPROVED PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Schedule “A” subdivision of 19.32 net acres into 74 single family residential lots with a minimum lot size of 7,200 square feet with one (1) detention basin and a 12' wide community trail located within the SH-74 right-of-way – REQUEST: SECOND EXTENSION OF TIME REQUEST for TENTATIVE TRACT MAP NO. 29328, extending the expiration date to July 11, 2021. [Applicant Fees 100%.]

**RECOMMENDED MOTION:** That the Board of Supervisors:

1. **RECEIVE AND FILE** the Planning Commission'S Notice of Decision for the above referenced case acted on by the Planning Commission on June 20, 2018. The Tentative Tract Map No. 29328 will now expire on July 11, 2021.

**ACTION:** Consent



Charissa Leach, Assistant TLMA Director


8/20/2018

---

**MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

On motion of Supervisor Jeffries, seconded by Supervisor Perez and duly carried by unanimous vote, IT WAS ORDERED that the above matter of approval is received and filed as recommended.

Ayes: Jeffries, Tavaglione, Washington, Perez and Ashley  
Nays: None  
Absent: None  
Date: August 28, 2018  
xc: Planning, Applicant

Kecia Harper-Ihem  
Clerk of the Board  
By   
Deputy

**SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE,  
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

| <b>FINANCIAL DATA</b>                       | <b>Current Fiscal Year:</b> | <b>Next Fiscal Year:</b> | <b>Total Cost:</b>            | <b>Ongoing Cost</b> |
|---|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|
| <b>COST</b>                                 | \$ N/A                      | \$ N/A                   | \$ N/A                        | \$ N/A              |
| <b>NET COUNTY COST</b>                      | \$ N/A                      | \$ N/A                   | \$ N/A                        | \$ N/A              |
| <b>SOURCE OF FUNDS:</b> Applicant Fees 100% |                             |                          | <b>Budget Adjustment:</b> N/A |                     |
|   |                             |                          | <b>For Fiscal Year:</b> N/A   |                     |

**C.E.O. RECOMMENDATION:** Approve

**BACKGROUND:**

**Summary**

Tentative Tract Map No. 29328 was originally approved by the Planning Commission on July 11, 2007. It proceeded to the Board of Supervisors where it was approved on August 28, 2007.

The Second Extension of Time was received on May 25, 2018, ahead of the expiration date of July 11, 2018. The applicant and the County discussed conditions of approval and reached consensus on May 31, 2018.

The County Planning Department, as part of the review of this Extension of Time request, recommends no additional conditions of approval, in order to be able to make a determination that the project does not adversely affect the general health, safety, and welfare of the public. The applicant was informed of this.

The Tentative Tract Map also benefited from Senate Bill No. 1185 (SB1185), Assembly Bill No. 333 (AB333), Assembly Bill No. 208 (AB208), and Assembly Bill No. 116 (AB116), which granted statutory extensions of time for tentative maps statewide.

The Planning Commission heard the second extension of time for Tentative Tract Map No. 29328 on June 20, 2018. The Planning Commission approved the project by a 5-0 vote.

**Board Action**

The Planning Commission's decision is final and no action by the Board of Supervisors is required unless the applicant or an interested person files a complete appeal application within 10 days of this notice appearing on the Board's agenda.

**Impact on Citizens and Businesses**

The impacts of this project have been evaluated through the environmental review and public hearing process by the Planning Department and the Planning Commission.

**Supplemental**

**Additional Fiscal Information**

All fees are paid by the applicant. There is no General Fund obligation.

**SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE,  
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

**ATTACHMENTS:**

- A. **PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTES**
- B. **PLANNING COMMISSION STAFF REPORT**

  
Scott Bruckner 8/20/2018



**PLANNING COMMISSION  
MINUTE ORDER  
JUNE 20, 2018**

- I. AGENDA ITEM 1.7**  
**SECOND EXTENSION OF TIME REQUEST for TENTATIVE TRACT MAP NO. 29328** – Applicant: Romoland Watson 206, LLC – Third Supervisorial District – Homeland Zoning Area – Harvest Valley/Winchester Area Plan: Community Development: Medium Density Residential (CD-MDR) (2-5 du/ac) – Business Park (CD-BP) (0.25-0.60 FAR) – Location: Northerly of Highway 74, easterly of Sultanas Road, southerly of Varela Lane, and westerly of Leon Road – 19.63 Acres – Zoning: Specific Plan (SP260) – Approved Project Description: Schedule “A” subdivision of 19.63 acres into 74 single family residential lots with a minimum lot size of 7,200 sq. ft. and one (1) detention basin.
- II. PROJECT DESCRIPTION:**  
Second Extension of Time Request for Tentative Tract Map No. 29328, extending the expiration date to July 11, 2021.
- III. PLANNING COMMISSION ACTION:**  
Motion by Commissioner Hake, 2<sup>nd</sup> by Commissioner Sanchez  
A vote of 5-0

**APPROVED** Second Extension of Time Request for Tentative Tract Map No. 29328, extending the expiration date to July 11, 2021.



**COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE  
PLANNING DEPARTMENT  
EXTENSION OF TIME REPORT**

Agenda Item No.:

1.7

Planning Commission Hearing: June 20, 2018

**PROPOSED PROJECT**

Case Number(s): TR29328

Applicant(s):

Area Plan: Harvest Valley/Winchester

Romoland Watson 206, LLC

Zoning Area/District: Homeland Area

c/o Peter Pitassi

Supervisory District: Third District

Project Planner: Gabriel Villalobos

Charissa Leach, P.E.  
Assistant TLMA Director

**PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION**

The applicant of the subject case has requested an extension of time to allow for the recordation of the final map to subdivide 19.32 net acres into 74 single family residential lots with a minimum lot size of 7,200 square feet with 1 detention basin and a 12' wide community trail located within the SH-74 right-of-way. The project is located north of Highway 74, east of Sultanas Rd, south of Varela Ln, and west of Leon Rd.

**PROJECT RECOMMENDATION**

**APPROVAL** of the **SECOND EXTENSION OF TIME REQUEST** for **TENTATIVE TRACT MAP NO. 29328**, extending the expiration date and to reflect SB1185, AB333, AB208, and AB116 benefits to July 11, 2021, subject to all the previously approved and amended Conditions of Approval, with the applicant's consent.

**PROJECT LOCATION MAP**




Figure 1: Project Location Map

**PROJECT BACKGROUND AND ANALYSIS**

**Background**

Tentative Tract Map No. 29328 was originally approved at Planning Commission on July 11, 2007. It proceeded to the Board of Supervisors where it was approved on August 28, 2007.

The Second Extension of Time was received May 25, 2018, ahead of the expiration date of July 11, 2018. The applicant and the County discussed conditions of approval and reached consensus on May 31, 2018.

The County Planning Department, as part of the review of this Extension of Time request, recommends the addition of no new conditions of approval, in order to be able to make a determination that the project does not adversely affect the general health, safety, and welfare of the public. The applicant was informed of these recommended conditions and has agreed to accept them. Included in this staff report package are the recommended conditions of approval, and the correspondence from the Extension of Time applicant (May 31, 2018) indicating the acceptance of no new recommended conditions.

Unless specifically requested by the applicant, this Extension of Time request will not be discussed at the time it is presented to the Planning Commission as a consent calendar item.

**State Bills**

**EFFECT OF Senate Bill No. 1185 (SB1185):** On July 15, 2008, AB208 was signed into law, which grants a one-time extension of existing subdivision maps so developers can build immediately when the demand for housing goes up. It gives developers an automatic 12 month extension on previously approved subdivision maps set to expire between July 15, 2008 and January 1, 2011.

**EFFECT OF Assembly Bill No. 333 (AB333):** On July 15, 2009, AB333 was signed into law, which grants a one-time extension of existing subdivision maps so developers can build immediately when the demand for housing goes up. It gives developers an automatic 24 month extension on previously approved subdivision maps set to expire between July 15, 2009 and January 1, 2012.

**EFFECT OF Assembly Bill No. 208 (AB208):** On July 13, 2011, AB208 was signed into law, which grants a one-time extension of existing subdivision maps so developers can build immediately when the demand for housing goes up. It gives developers an automatic 24 month extension on previously approved subdivision maps set to expire between July 13, 2011 and January 1, 2014.

**EFFECT OF Assembly Bill No. 116 (AB116):** On July 11, 2013, AB116 was signed into law, which grants a one-time extension to existing subdivision maps so developers can build immediately when the demand for housing goes up. It gives developers an automatic 24 month extension on maps approved after January 1, 2000 and that have not expired prior to July 11, 2013.

**Riverside County Tentative Map Extensions**

Pursuant to County of Riverside Ordinance No. 460 (Subdivision Regulations), Tentative Tract and Tentative Parcel Maps have an initial life-span approval of 3-years. Tentative Map extensions may be granted, upon a timely filed extension request and include 2 separate, 3-year extensions, for a total Tentative Map life-span of 9-years. As a result, the total number years a map may be extended is 6 years.

On September 12, 2017, the Board of Supervisors adopted an amendment to Ordinance No. 460 (Subdivision Regulations), allowing for the 2 separate, 3-year extensions. Prior to the amendment, 5 separate, 1-year extensions, for a total Tentative Map life-span of 8-years, was permissible.

The 1<sup>st</sup> extension of time granted 1 year. This, 2<sup>nd</sup> extension will grant another 3 years. The remaining number of years available to extend this tentative map after this approval will be 2 years and will expire on July 11, 2023.

Therefore, upon an approval action by the Planning Commission, subsequent receive and file action by the Board of Supervisors, and the conclusion of the 10-day appeal period, this Tentative Map's expiration date will become July 11, 2021. If a Final Map has not been recorded prior to this date, the next extension of time request must be filed 30-days prior to map expiration.

### **ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW**

The subject case has conformed to the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), and all impacts have been analyzed in order to protect the public health, safety, and welfare. No changes to the approved map are proposed and as a result, no new environmental documentation is required prior to an Extension of Time approval.

### **FINDINGS**



**In order for the County to approve a proposed project, the following findings are required to be made:**

#### *Extension of Time Findings*

1. This Tentative Tract Map has been found to be consistent with the Riverside County General Plan, pursuant to the originally approved findings and conditions of approval. This Extension of Time proposes no changes to the map design and is therefore still found to be consistent.
2. This Tentative Tract Map has been found to be consistent with Ordinance No. 348 (Land Use) and Ordinance No. 460 (Subdivision Regulations), pursuant to the originally approved findings and conditions of approval. This Extension of Time proposes no changes to the map design and is therefore still found to be consistent.
3. No changes to the approved Tentative Tract Map are proposed in conjunction with this Extension of Time. All impacts have been analyzed in order to protect the public health, safety, and welfare.

# 2nd EOT for TR29328

## Vicinity Map





**Legend**

- Parcels
- County Centerlines
- Blueline Streams
- City Areas

**Notes**

**\*IMPORTANT\*** Maps and data are to be used for reference purposes only. Map features are approximate, and are not necessarily accurate to surveying or engineering standards. The County of Riverside makes no warranty or guarantee as to the content (the source is often third party), accuracy, timeliness, or completeness of any of the data provided, and assumes no legal responsibility for the information contained on this map. Any use of this product with respect to accuracy and precision shall be the sole responsibility of the user.

REPORT PRINTED ON... 6/5/2018 10:15:41 AM


© Riverside County GIS





# TENTATIVE MAP

## TRACT No. 29328



**Underground Services Alert**

CALL TOLL FREE 1-800-277-2800

THIS WARNING DATA RELAYED FROM DSD

**PRIVATE ENGINEERING NOTE**

PREPARED UNDER THE SUPERVISION OF

REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

EXPIRES: 04-20-07

**PSOMAS**

2010 Bona Vista Avenue Suite 100 Brea, CA 92623

TEL: (949) 452-5300

SALES AS SHOWN

DATE: 02/28/07

PLANS PROVIDED BY:

DATE: 02/28/07

SCALE: AS SHOWN

DATE: 02/28/07

**TRACT 29328**

**TENTATIVE MAP**

DATE: 02/28/07


FILE NO: 080-010

SECTION A-A

DATE: 02/28/07

SCALE: AS SHOWN

# CIRCULATION EXHIBIT



## VICINITY MAP

NTS

PRIMARY ACCESS: BRANSON LANE TO WATSON ROAD TO SULTANS ROAD TO HWY 74  
 SECONDARY ACCESS: ANNA LYNN LANE TO LEON TO HWY 74

Underground Service Alert  
 TOLL FREE  
 1-800  
 227-2800  
 THIS MESSAGE IS FOR INFORMATION ONLY

PRIVATE ENGINEERING NOTE  
 [Redacted text]



PREPARED UNDER THE DIRECTION OF:  
 PROJECT LEAD:  
 DATE: 11-13-07  
 SHEET NO. 3 OF 3

PLANS PREPARED BY:  
**P S OMAS**  
 2910 West Avenue Suite 100, Phoenix, AZ 85017  
 PHONE: (602) 947-4400 FAX: (602) 947-3378  
 EMAIL: PS@PSOMAS.COM  
 DATE: 07/20/07

| NO. | REVISION | DATE | BY | CHECKED BY |
|-----|----------|------|----|------------|
|     |          |      |    |            |
|     |          |      |    |            |
|     |          |      |    |            |

BEING A SUBDIVISION OF PARCEL 8 OF PARCEL 104, AS NOT RECORDED IN BOOK 07, PAGE 100, COUNTY OF CALIFORNIA, LOCATED IN SECTION 7, T. 36. N. R. 26. W. S. 13. E.

TRACT 29328  
 CIRCULATION EXHIBIT  
 FOR: PESTANA HOMES

PROJ. NO.  
 SHEET: 3 OF 3  
 FILE NO.: 1988010

# Extension of Time Environmental Determination

Project Case Number: TR29328  
 Original E.A. Number: 38503  
 Extension of Time No.: 2<sup>nd</sup> EOT  
 Original Approval Date: July 11, 2007

Project Location: North of Highway 74, East of Sultanas Rd, South of Varela Ln, West of Leon Rd  
 Project Description: Schedule "A" subdivision of 19.32 net acres into 74 single family residential lots with a minimum lot size of 7,200 square feet with 1 detention basin and a 12' wide community trail located within the SH-74 right-of-way

On July 11, 2007, this Tentative Tract Map and its original environmental assessment/environmental impact report was reviewed to determine: 1) whether any significant or potentially significant changes in the original proposal have occurred; 2) whether its environmental conditions or circumstances affecting the proposed development have changed. As a result of this evaluation, the following determination has been made:

|                                     |   |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, <b>NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED PRIOR TO APPROVAL OF THE EXTENSION OF TIME</b> , because all potentially significant effects (a) have been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or Negative Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or Negative Declaration and the project's original conditions of approval.  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, and there are one or more potentially significant environmental changes or other changes to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken, <b>NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED PRIOR TO APPROVAL OF THE EXTENSION OF TIME</b> , because all potentially significant effects (a) have been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or Negative Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or Negative Declaration and revisions to the project's original conditions of approval which have been made and agreed to by the project proponent.  |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | I find that there are one or more potentially significant environmental changes or other changes to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken, which the project's original conditions of approval may not address, and for which additional required mitigation measures and/or conditions of approval cannot be determined at this time. Therefore, <b>AN ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT/INITIAL STUDY IS REQUIRED</b> in order to determine what additional mitigation measures and/or conditions of approval, if any, may be needed, and whether or not at least one of the conditions described in California Code of Regulations, Section 15162 (necessitating a Supplemental or Subsequent E.I.R.) exist. Additionally, the environmental assessment/initial study shall be used to determine <b>WHETHER OR NOT THE EXTENSION OF TIME SHOULD BE RECOMMENDED FOR APPROVAL</b> . |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | I find that the original project was determined to be exempt from CEQA, and the proposed project will not have a significant effect on the environment, therefore <b>NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED PRIOR TO APPROVAL OF THE EXTENSION OF TIME</b> .  |

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Gabriel Villalobos, Project Planner

Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
 For Charissa Leach, Assistant TLMA Director

**Villalobos, Gabriel**

---

**From:** Peter Pitassi <PPitassi@diversifiedpacific.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 31, 2018 5:32 PM  
**To:** Villalobos, Gabriel  
**Cc:** Jason Holt  
**Subject:** RE: Recommended Conditions for TR29328 2nd EOT

Gabriel;

Thanks for the email. Since there are no additional conditions being imposed on the map, we have no objections and wish to proceed with the EOT application.

Thanks,  
Pete

**Peter J. Pitassi, AIA, LEED AP**  
**Senior Vice President**  
**Community Design and Forward Planning**  
**Diversified Pacific Communities**  
10621 Civic Center Drive  
Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730  
Main/909-481-1150 x234  
Fax/909-481-1151

Direct/909-373-2616  
Cell/909-456-6083  
Direct Fax /909-255-7918  
[ppitassi@diversifiedpacific.com](mailto:ppitassi@diversifiedpacific.com)



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This electronic mail message and any attachments are intended only for the use of the addressee(s) named above and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not an intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this e-mail to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you received this e-mail message in error, please immediately notify the sender by replying to this message or by telephone. Thank you.

---

**From:** Villalobos, Gabriel [mailto:GVillalo@rivco.org]  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 31, 2018 1:02 PM  
**To:** Peter Pitassi  
**Subject:** Recommended Conditions for TR29328 2nd EOT

**Attn:** Romoland Watson 206, LLC  
c/o Peter Pitassi  
10621 Civic Center Dr  
Rancho Cucamonga, CA 91730

**RE: SECOND EXTENSION OF TIME REQUEST for TENTATIVE TRACT MAP No. 29328.**

The County Planning Department has determined it necessary to recommend the addition of no new conditions of approval in order to be able to make a determination that the project does not adversely affect the general health, safety and welfare of the public.

If these conditions are acceptable, then submit a short written letter/memo/email that clearly references this case, the acceptance of each condition by name and number, and clearly state that you, the Extension of Time Applicant, accept these conditions. This documentation will then be included in the staff report package.

If the addition of the conditions is not acceptable, please notify me so we can discuss your concerns. If the issue cannot be resolved, then I will direct you to contact the individual Department representative to discuss this matter further.

Once the conditions have been accepted, I will begin preparing the staff report package for a Planning Commission hearing as a consent item. County Ordinance requires that conditions added thru the extension of time process are presented to and accepted by the applicant. If you, the EOT applicant, is unable to accept these conditions, the Planning Department will recommend denial of this extension of time request. An opportunity will, if requested, be provided for arguments to be made to the hearing body justifying why this request should be approved without the recommended conditions of approval.

I am eager to move this case forward and continue the extension of time process. If you have not contacted me within thirty (30) days, I will begin preparing this case with a recommendation of denial. I need one of two items to proceed:

- 1) Correspondence from you, the EOT applicant, accepting the recommended conditions per the directions provided above; or,
- 2) Correspondence from you, the EOT applicant, advising me of the concerns with the recommended conditions. If the concern still exists after our discussion, then direction on how to approach the issue will be given and additional time will be provided until the issue is resolved.

If you have any questions, comments, or concerns regarding this email, please feel free to contact me as indicated below.

**Gabriel Villalobos**

Riverside County Planning  
4080 Lemon Street 12th Floor  
Riverside, CA 92501  
951-955-6184



**How are we doing? Click the Link and tell us**

**Confidentiality Disclaimer**

This email is confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual(s) to whom it is addressed. The information contained in this message may be privileged and confidential and protected from disclosure. If you are not the author's intended recipient, be advised that you have received this email in error and that any use, dissemination, forwarding, printing, or copying of this email is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error please delete all copies, both electronic and printed, and contact the author immediately.

**County of Riverside California**