SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE. STATE OF CALIFORNIA



1.9 (ID # 7796)

MEETING DATE:

Tuesday, August 28, 2018

FROM: TLMA-PLANNING:

SUBJECT: TRANSPORTATION & LAND MANAGEMENT AGENCY/PLANNING: RECEIVE AND FILE THE PLANNING COMMISSION'S DECISION TO APPROVE THE FIFTH EXTENSION OF TIME for TENTATIVE TRACT MAP NO. 31314 – Applicant: Advanced Civil Group – Third Supervisorial District – Rancho California Zoning Area – Southwest Area Plan: Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC-EDR) (2 acre min.) – Location: North of Vito Way, east of Calle Cabernet, West of Anza Road – 52.34 Acres – Zoning: Residential Agriculutral, 2 acre min. (R-A-2) – APPROVED PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Subdivide 52.34 acres into 19 single family lots with a two (2) acre minimum lot size – REQUEST: FIFTH EXTENSION OF TIME REQUEST for TENTATIVE TRACT MAP NO. 31314, extending the expiration date to June 8, 2020. [Applicant Fees 100%.]

RECOMMENDED MOTION: That the Board of Supervisors:

 RECEIVE AND FILE the Planning Commission's Notice of Decision for the above referenced case acted on by the Planning Commission on June 20, 2018. The Tentative Tract Map No. 31314 will now expire on June 8, 2020.

ACTION: Consent

MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

8/20/2018

On motion of Supervisor Jeffries, seconded by Supervisor Perez and duly carried by unanimous vote, IT WAS ORDERED that the above matter of approval is received and filed as recommended.

Ayes:

Jeffries, Tavaglione, Washington, Perez and Ashley

Nays:

None

Absent:

None

Date:

August 28, 2018

XC:

Planning, Applicant

Page 1 of 3

ID# 7796

Kecia Harper-Ihem Clerk of the Board

. .

SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FINANCIAL DATA	Current Fiscal Year:	Next Fiscal Year:	Total Cost:	Ongoing Cost	
COST	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	
NET COUNTY COST	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	
SOURCE OF FUNDS: Applicant Fees 100%			Budget Adjus	Budget Adjustment: N/A	
			For Fiscal Ye	ar: N/A	

C.E.O. RECOMMENDATION: Approve

BACKGROUND:

Summary

Tentative Tract Map No. 31314 was originally approved by the Planning Commission on February 25, 2004. It proceeded to the Board of Supervisors along with Change of Zone No. 6806 where both applications were approved on June 8, 2004.

The Fifth Extension of Time was received on June 1, 2018, ahead of the expiration date of June 8, 2018. The applicant and the County discussed conditions of approval and reached consensus on June 6, 2018.

The County Planning Department, as part of the review of this Extension of Time request, recommends no additional conditions of approval, in order to be able to make a determination that the project does not adversely affect the general health, safety, and welfare of the public. The applicant was informed of this.

The Tentative Tract Map also benefited from Senate Bill No. 1185 (SB1185), Assembly Bill No. 333 (AB333), Assembly Bill No. 208 (AB208), and Assembly Bill No. 116 (AB116), which granted statutory extensions of time for tentative maps statewide.

The Planning Commission heard the fifth extension of time for Tentative Tract Map No. 31314 on June 20, 2018. The Planning Commission approved the project by a 5-0 vote.

Board Action

The Planning Commission's decision is final and no action by the Board of Supervisors is required unless the applicant or an interested person files a complete appeal application within 10 days of this notice appearing on the Board's agenda.

Impact on Citizens and Businesses

The impacts of this project have been evaluated through the environmental review and public hearing process by the Planning Department and the Planning Commission.

Supplemental

Additional Fiscal Information

SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

All fees are paid by the applicant. There is no General Fund obligation.

ATTACHMENTS:

- A. PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTES
- B. PLANNING COMMISSION STAFF REPORT

Scott Bruckner 8/20/2018



PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTE ORDER JUNE 20, 2018

I. AGENDA ITEM 1.8

FIFTH EXTENSION OF TIME REQUEST for TENTATIVE TRACT MAP NO. 31314 – Applicant: Advanced Civil Group – Third Supervisorial District – Rancho California Zoning Area – Southwest Area Plan: Rural Community: Estate Density Residential (RC-EDR) (2 acre min.) – Location: Northerly of Vito Way, easterly of Calle Cabernet, and westerly of Anza Road – 52.34 Acres – Zoning: Residential Agriculutral – 2 acre min. (R-A-2) – Approved Project Description: Subdivide 52.34 acres into 19 single family lots with a two (2) acre minimum lot size.

II. PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

Fifth Extension of Time Request for Tentative Tract Map No. 31314, extending the expiration date to June 8, 2020.

III. PLANNING COMMISSION ACTION:

Motion by Commissioner Hake, 2nd by Commissioner Sanchez A vote of 5-0

<u>APPROVED</u> Fifth Extension of Time Request for Tentative Tract Map No. 31314, extending the expiration date to June 8, 2020.



COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE PLANNING DEPARTMENT EXTENSION OF TIME REPORT

Agenda Item No.:

1.8

Planning Commission Hearing: June 20, 2018

PROPOSED PROJECT

TR31314

Area Plan:

Southwest

Case Number(s):

Zoning Area/District: Rancho California Area

Supervisorial District: Third District

Project Planner:

Gabriel Villalobos

Applicant(s):

Advanced Civil Group

c/o Steven Austin

Charissa Leach, P.E. Assistant TLMA Director

PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION

The applicant of the subject case has requested an extension of time to allow for the recordation of the final map to subdivide 52.34 acres into nineteen (19) single family lots with a two (2) acre minimum lot size. The project is located north of Vito Way, east of Calle Cabernet, and west of Anza Road.

PROJECT RECOMMENDATION

APPROVAL of the FIFTH EXTENSION OF TIME REQUEST for TENTATIVE TRACT MAP NO. 31314, extending the expiration date and to reflect SB1185, AB333, AB208, and AB116 benefits to June 8, 2020, subject to all the previously approved and amended Conditions of Approval, with the applicant's consent.

PROJECT LOCATION MAP



Figure 1: Project Location Map

FIFTH EXTENSION OF TIME REQUEST for TENTATIVE TRACT MAP NO. 31314 Planning Commission Extension of Time Report: June 20, 2018 Page 2 of 3

PROJECT BACKGROUND AND ANALYSIS

Background

Tentative Tract Map No. 31314 was originally approved at Planning Commission on February 25, 2004. It proceeded to the Board of Supervisors along with Change of Zone No. 6806 where both applications were approved on June 8, 2004.

The Fifth Extension of Time was received June 1, 2018, ahead of the expiration date of June 8, 2018. The applicant and the County discussed conditions of approval and reached consensus on June 6, 2018.

The County Planning Department, as part of the review of this Extension of Time request, recommends the addition of no new conditions of approval, in order to be able to make a determination that the project does not adversely affect the general health, safety, and welfare of the public. The applicant was informed of these recommended conditions and has agreed to accept them. Included in this staff report package are the recommended conditions of approval, and the correspondence from the Extension of Time applicant (June 6, 2018) indicating the acceptance of no new recommended conditions.

Unless specifically requested by the applicant, this Extension of Time request will not be discussed at the time it is presented to the Planning Commission as a consent calendar item.

State Bills

EFFECT OF Senate Bill No. 1185 (SB1185): On July 15, 2008, AB208 was signed into law, which grants a one-time extension of existing subdivision maps so developers can build immediately when the demand for housing goes up. It gives developers an automatic 12 month extension on previously approved subdivision maps set to expire between July 15, 2008 and January 1, 2011.

EFFECT OF Assembly Bill No. 333 (AB333): On July 15, 2009, AB333 was signed into law, which grants a one-time extension of existing subdivision maps so developers can build immediately when the demand for housing goes up. It gives developers an automatic 24 month extension on previously approved subdivision maps set to expire between July 15, 2009 and January 1, 2012.

EFFECT OF Assembly Bill No. 208 (AB208): On July 13, 2011, AB208 was signed into law, which grants a one-time extension of existing subdivision maps so developers can build immediately when the demand for housing goes up. It gives developers an automatic 24 month extension on previously approved subdivision maps set to expire between July 13, 2011 and January 1, 2014.

EFFECT OF Assembly Bill No. 116 (AB116): On July 11, 2013, AB116 was signed into law, which grants a one-time extension to existing subdivision maps so developers can build immediately when the demand for housing goes up. It gives developers an automatic 24 month extension on maps approved after January 1, 2000 and that have not expired prior to July 11, 2013.

Riverside County Tentative Map Extensions

Pursuant to County of Riverside Ordinance No. 460 (Subdivision Regulations), Tentative Tract and Tentative Parcel Maps have an initial life-span approval of 3-years. Tentative Map extensions may be granted, upon a timely filed extension request and include 2 separate, 3-year extensions, for a total Tentative Map life-span of 9-years. As a result, the total number years a map may be extended is 6 years.

FIFTH EXTENSION OF TIME REQUEST for TENTATIVE TRACT MAP NO. 31314 Planning Commission Extension of Time Report: June 20, 2018 Page 3 of 3

On September 12, 2017, the Board of Supervisors adopted an amendment to Ordinance No. 460 (Subdivision Regulations), allowing for the 2 separate, 3-year extensions. Prior to the amendment, 5 separate, 1-year extensions, for a total Tentative Map life-span of 8-years, was permissible.

The 1st, 2nd, 3rd, and 4th extensions of time each granted 1 year for a total of 4 years. This, 5th extension will grant another 2 years. There are no remaining number of years available to extend this tentative map after this approval and will expire on June 8, 2020.

Therefore, upon an approval action by the Planning Commission, subsequent receive and file action by the Board of Supervisors, and the conclusion of the 10-day appeal period, this Tentative Map's expiration date will become June 8, 2020. If a Final Map has not been recorded prior to this date, the next extension of time request must be filed 30-days prior to map expiration.

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

The subject case has conformed to the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), and all impacts have been analyzed in order to protect the public health, safety, and welfare. No changes to the approved map are proposed and as a result, no new environmental documentation is required prior to an Extension of Time approval.

FINDINGS


In order for the County to approve a proposed project, the following findings are required to be made:


Extension of Time Findings

- 1. This Tentative Tract Map has been found to be consistent with the Riverside County General Plan, pursuant to the originally approved findings and conditions of approval. This Extension of Time proposes no changes to the map design and is therefore still found to be consistent.
- 2. This Tentative Tract Map has been found to be consistent with Ordinance No. 348 (Land Use) and Ordinance No. 460 (Subdivision Regulations), pursuant to the originally approved findings and conditions of approval. This Extension of Time proposes no changes to the map design and is therefore still found to be consistent.
- 3. No changes to the approved Tentative Tract Map are proposed in conjunction with this Extension of Time. All impacts have been analyzed in order to protect the public health, safety, and welfare.

Template Location: Y:\Planning Master Forms\Templates\Staff Report\Staff_Report_Template_DH_PC_EOT.docx

Template Revision: 03/21/18





Extension of Time Environmental Determination

Original E.A. Number: 39054 Extension of Time No.: 5th EOT Original Approval Date: June 8, 2004 Project Location: North of Vito Way, East of Calle Cabernet, West of Anza Road Project Description: Subdivide 52,34 acres into nineteen (19) single family lots with a two (2) acre minimum lot size On June 8, 2004, this Tentative Tract Map and its original environmental assessment/environmental impact report was reviewed to determine: 1) whether any significant or potentially significant changes in the original proposal have occurred; 2) whether its environmental conditions or circumstances affecting the proposed development have changed. As a result of this evaluation, the following determination has been made: I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED PRIOR TO APPROVAL OF THE EXTENSION OF TIME, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or Negative Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or Negative Declaration and the project's original conditions of approval. I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, and there are one or more potentially significant environmental changes or other changes to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken, NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED PRIOR
Original Approval Date: June 8, 2004 Project Location: North of Vito Way, East of Calle Cabernet, West of Anza Road Project Description: Subdivide 52.34 acres into nineteen (19) single family lots with a two (2) acre minimum lot size On June 8, 2004, this Tentative Tract Map and its original environmental assessment/environmental impact report was reviewed to determine: 1) whether any significant or potentially significant changes in the original proposal have occurred; 2) whether its environmental conditions or circumstances affecting the proposed development have changed. As a result of this evaluation, the following determination has been made: I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED PRIOR TO APPROVAL OF THE EXTENSION OF TIME, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or Negative Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or Negative Declaration and the project's original conditions of approval. I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, and there are one or more potentially significant environmental changes or other changes to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken, NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED PRIOR
Project Location: North of Vito Way, East of Calle Cabernet, West of Anza Road Project Description: Subdivide 52.34 acres into nineteen (19) single family lots with a two (2) acre minimum lot size On June 8, 2004, this Tentative Tract Map and its original environmental assessment/environmental impact report was reviewed to determine: 1) whether any significant or potentially significant changes in the original proposal have occurred; 2) whether its environmental conditions or circumstances affecting the proposed development have changed. As a result of this evaluation, the following determination has been made: find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED PRIOR TO APPROVAL OF THE EXTENSION OF TIME, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or Negative Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or Negative Declaration and the project's original conditions of approval. I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, and there are one or more potentially significant environmental changes or other changes to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken, NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED PRIOR
Project Location: North of Vito Way, East of Calle Cabernet, West of Anza Road Project Description: Subdivide 52.34 acres into nineteen (19) single family lots with a two (2) acre minimum lot size On June 8, 2004, this Tentative Tract Map and its original environmental assessment/environmental impact report was reviewed to determine: 1) whether any significant or potentially significant changes in the original proposal have occurred; 2) whether its environmental conditions or circumstances affecting the proposed development have changed. As a result of this evaluation, the following determination has been made: find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED PRIOR TO APPROVAL OF THE EXTENSION OF TIME, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or Negative Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or Negative Declaration and the project's original conditions of approval. I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, and there are one or more potentially significant environmental changes or other changes to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken, NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED PRIOR
Project Description: Subdivide 52.34 acres into nineteen (19) single family lots with a two (2) acre minimum lot size On June 8, 2004, this Tentative Tract Map and its original environmental assessment/environmental impact report was reviewed to determine: 1) whether any significant or potentially significant changes in the original proposal have occurred; 2) whether its environmental conditions or circumstances affecting the proposed development have changed. As a result of this evaluation, the following determination has been made: I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED PRIOR TO APPROVAL OF THE EXTENSION OF TIME, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or Negative Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or Negative Declaration and the project's original conditions of approval. I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, and there are one or more potentially significant environmental changes or other changes to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken, NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED PRIOR
On June 8, 2004, this Tentative Tract Map and its original environmental assessment/environmental impact report was reviewed to determine: 1) whether any significant or potentially significant changes in the original proposal have occurred; 2) whether its environmental conditions or circumstances affecting the proposed development have changed. As a result of this evaluation, the following determination has been made: I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED PRIOR TO APPROVAL OF THE EXTENSION OF TIME, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or Negative Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or Negative Declaration and the project's original conditions of approval. I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, and there are one or more potentially significant environmental changes or other changes to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken, NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED PRIOR
impact report was reviewed to determine: 1) whether any significant or potentially significant changes in the original proposal have occurred; 2) whether its environmental conditions or circumstances affecting the proposed development have changed. As a result of this evaluation, the following determination has been made: I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED PRIOR TO APPROVAL OF THE EXTENSION OF TIME, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or Negative Declaration and the project's original conditions of approval. I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, and there are one or more potentially significant environmental changes or other changes to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken, NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED PRIOR
impact report was reviewed to determine: 1) whether any significant or potentially significant changes in the original proposal have occurred; 2) whether its environmental conditions or circumstances affecting the proposed development have changed. As a result of this evaluation, the following determination has been made: I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED PRIOR TO APPROVAL OF THE EXTENSION OF TIME, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or Negative Declaration and the project's original conditions of approval. I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, and there are one or more potentially significant environmental changes or other changes to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken, NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED PRIOR
impact report was reviewed to determine: 1) whether any significant or potentially significant changes in the original proposal have occurred; 2) whether its environmental conditions or circumstances affecting the proposed development have changed. As a result of this evaluation, the following determination has been made: I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED PRIOR TO APPROVAL OF THE EXTENSION OF TIME, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or Negative Declaration and the project's original conditions of approval. I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, and there are one or more potentially significant environmental changes or other changes to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken, NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED PRIOR
the original proposal have occurred; 2) whether its environmental conditions or circumstances affecting the proposed development have changed. As a result of this evaluation, the following determination has been made: I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED PRIOR TO APPROVAL OF THE EXTENSION OF TIME, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or Negative Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or Negative Declaration and the project's original conditions of approval. I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, and there are one or more potentially significant environmental changes or other changes to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken, NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED PRIOR
i find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED PRIOR TO APPROVAL OF THE EXTENSION OF TIME, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or Negative Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or Negative Declaration and the project's original conditions of approval. I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, and there are one or more potentially significant environmental changes or other changes to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken, NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED PRIOR
i find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED PRIOR TO APPROVAL OF THE EXTENSION OF TIME, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or Negative Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or Negative Declaration and the project's original conditions of approval. I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, and there are one or more potentially significant environmental changes or other changes to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken, NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED PRIOR
ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED PRIOR TO APPROVAL OF THE EXTENSION OF TIME, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or Negative Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or Negative Declaration and the project's original conditions of approval. I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, and there are one or more potentially significant environmental changes or other changes to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken, NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED PRIOR
TIME, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or Negative Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or Negative Declaration and the project's original conditions of approval. I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, and there are one or more potentially significant environmental changes or other changes to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken, NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED PRIOR
Negative Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or Negative Declaration and the project's original conditions of approval. I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, and there are one or more potentially significant environmental changes or other changes to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken, NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED PRIOR
I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, and there are one or more potentially significant environmental changes or other changes to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken, NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED PRIOR
one or more potentially significant environmental changes or other changes to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken, NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED PRIOR
which the project is undertaken, NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED PRIOR
TO APPROVAL OF THE EXTENSION OF TIME, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been
adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or Negative Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards and
(b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or Negative Declaration and revisions to the
project's original conditions of approval which have been made and agreed to by the project proponent. I find that there are one or more potentially significant environmental changes or other changes to the
circumstances under which the project is undertaken, which the project's original conditions of approval
may not address, and for which additional required mitigation measures and/or conditions of approval
cannot be determined at this time. Therefore, AN ENV!RONMENTAL ASSESSMENT/INITIAL STUDY IS
REQUIRED in order to determine what additional mitigation measures and/or conditions of approval, if any, may be needed, and whether or not at least one of the conditions described in California Code of
Regulations, Section 15162 (necessitating a Supplemental or Subsequent E.I.R.) exist. Additionally, the
environmental assessment/initial study shall be used to determine WHETHER OR NOT THE EXTENSION
OF TIME SHOULD BE RECOMMENDED FOR APPROVAL.
I find that the original project was determined to be exempt from CEQA, and the proposed project will not have a significant effect on the environment, therefore NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS
REQUIRED PRIOR TO APPROVAL OF THE EXTENSION OF TIME.
Simply Called Willeld Land 10/7/18
Signature: CALMO MAGAMO Date: 0/7/18 Gabriel Villalobos, Project Planner For Charissa Leach, Assistant TLMA Director

Villalobos, Gabriel

From:

Steve Austin <steve@advancedcivilgroup.com>

Sent:

Wednesday, June 06, 2018 3:05 PM

To: Cc: Villalobos, Gabriel Jim Powers; Jim Powers

Subject:

Re: Recommended Conditions for TR31314 5th EOT

Hi Gabriel-

Thank you for the email. Please accept this written email response as accepting the "no additional conditions" for extending TR31314. Please let me know if you need anything more to complete the extension process.

Thank You

R. Steven Austin, PE

Advanced Civil Group

30251 Golden Lantern, Suite E, PMB 251

Laguna Niguel, CA 92677 Office: (866) 338-5778 Mobile: (949) 391-7772 Fax: (866) 338-5778

email: steve@advancedcivilgroup.com

www.advancedcivilgroup.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE This e-mail message is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed, and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by telephone or return e-mail, delete the message from your computer system without reviewing its contents.

On Tue, Jun 5, 2018 at 9:18 AM, Villalobos, Gabriel < GVillalo@rivco.org > wrote:

Attn:

Advanced Civil Group

30251 Golden Lantern, Suite E, PMB 251

Laguna Niguel, CA 92677

RE: FIFTH EXTENSION OF TIME REQUEST for TENTATIVE TRACT MAP No. 31314.

The County Planning Department has determined it necessary to recommend the addition of <u>no new conditions</u> of <u>approval</u> in order to be able to make a determination that the project does not adversely affect the general health, safety and welfare of the public.

If these conditions are acceptable, then submit a short written letter/memo/email that clearly references this case, the acceptance of each condition by name and number, and clearly state that you, the Extension of Time Applicant, accept these conditions. This documentation will then be included in the staff report package.

If the addition of the conditions is not acceptable, please notify me so we can discuss your concerns. If the issue cannot be resolved, then I will direct you to contact the individual Department representative to discuss this matter further.

Once the conditions have been accepted, I will begin preparing the staff report package for a Planning Commission hearing as a consent item. County Ordinance requires that conditions added thru the extension of time process are presented to and accepted by the applicant. If you, the EOT applicant, is unable to accept these conditions, the Planning Department will recommend denial of this extension of time request. An opportunity will, if requested, be provided for arguments to be made to the hearing body justifying why this request should be approved without the recommended conditions of approval.

I am eager to move this case forward and continue the extension of time process. If you have not contacted me within thirty (30) days, I will begin preparing this case with a recommendation of denial. I need one of two items to proceed:

- 1) Correspondence from you, the EOT applicant, accepting the recommended conditions per the directions provided above; or,
 - 2) Correspondence from you, the EOT applicant, advising me of the concerns with the recommended conditions. If the concern still exists after our discussion, then direction on how to approach the issue will be given and additional time will be provided until the issue is resolved.

If you have any questions, comments, or concerns regarding this email, please feel free to contact me as indicated below.

Gabriel Villalobos

Riverside County Planning

4080 Lemon Street 12th Floor

Riverside, CA 92501

951-955-6184



How are we doing? Click the Link and tell us

Confidentiality Disclaimer

This email is confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual(s) to whom it is addressed. The information contained in this message may be privileged and confidential and protected from disclosure.

If you are not the author's intended recipient, be advised that you have received this email in error and that any use, dissemination, forwarding, printing, or copying of this email is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error please delete all copies, both electronic and printed, and contact the author immediately.

County of Riverside California