

SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA



ITEM  
1.17  
(ID # 7792)

MEETING DATE:

Tuesday, August 28, 2018

FROM : TLMA-PLANNING:

SUBJECT: TRANSPORTATION & LAND MANAGEMENT AGENCY/PLANNING: RECEIVE AND FILE THE PLANNING COMMISSION'S DECISION TO APPROVE THE FOURTH EXTENSION OF TIME for TENTATIVE TRACT MAP NO. 32065M1 – Applicant: Raymond Ferrari – Fifth Supervisorial District – Nuevo Zoning Area – Lake Mathews/Woodcrest Area Plan: Rural Community: Low Density Residential (RC-LDR) (1/2 Acre Minimum) – Location: Northerly of Rowley Lane, southerly of Montgomery Avenue and easterly of 6th Street – 64.5 Acres – Zoning: Residential Agriculture (R-A) – APPROVED PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Schedule B - subdivision of 64.5 gross acres into 99 single-family residential lots, two (2) detention basins, and one (1) 16.5 ft. wide access road – REQUEST: FOURTH EXTENSION OF TIME REQUEST for TENTATIVE TRACT MAP NO. 32065M1, extending the expiration date to May 25, 2021. [Applicant Fees 100%.]

RECOMMENDED MOTION: That the Board of Supervisors:

1. RECEIVE AND FILE the Planning Commission's Notice of Decision for the above referenced case acted on by the Planning Commission on June 20, 2018. The Tentative Tract Map No. 32065M1 will now expire on May 25, 2021.

ACTION: Consent

Charissa Leach, Assistant TLMA Director

8/16/2018

---

MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

On motion of Supervisor Jeffries, seconded by Supervisor Perez and duly carried by unanimous vote, IT WAS ORDERED that the above matter of approval is received and filed as recommended.

Ayes: Jeffries, Tavaglione, Washington, Perez and Ashley  
Nays: None  
Absent: None  
Date: August 28, 2018  
xc: Planning, Applicant

Kecia Harper-Ihem  
Clerk of the Board

Deputy

**SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE,  
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

<b>FINANCIAL DATA</b>	<b>Current Fiscal Year:</b>	<b>Next Fiscal Year:</b>	<b>Total Cost:</b>	<b>Ongoing Cost</b>
<b>COST</b>	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	\$ N/A
<b>NET COUNTY COST</b>	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	\$ N/A
<b>SOURCE OF FUNDS:</b> Applicant Fees 100%			<b>Budget Adjustment:</b> N/A	
			<b>For Fiscal Year:</b> N/A	

**C.E.O. RECOMMENDATION:** Approve

**BACKGROUND:**

**Summary**

Tentative Tract Map No. 32065 was originally approved by the Planning Commission on May 25, 2005. It proceeded to the Board of Supervisors where it was approved on August 9, 2005.

A modification to Tentative Tract Map No. 32065 was approved on October 4, 2006 by the Planning Commission. It proceeded to the Board of Supervisors where it was approved on November 14, 2006.

The Fourth Extension of Time was received on May 17, 2018, ahead of the expiration date of May 25, 2018. The applicant and the County discussed conditions of approval and reached consensus on May 23, 2018.

The County Planning Department, as part of the review of this Extension of Time request, recommends no additional conditions of approval in order to be able to make a determination that the project does not adversely affect the general health, safety, and welfare of the public. The applicant was informed of this.

The Tentative Tract Map also benefited from Senate Bill No. 1185 (SB1185), Assembly Bill No. 333 (AB333), Assembly Bill No. 208 (AB208), and Assembly Bill No. 116 (AB116), which granted statutory extensions of time for tentative maps statewide.

The Planning Commission heard the fourth extension of time for Tentative Tract Map No. 32065M1 on June 20, 2018. The Planning Commission approved the project by a 5-0 vote.

**Board Action**

The Planning Commission's decision is final and no action by the Board of Supervisors is required unless the applicant or an interested person files a complete appeal application within 10 days of this notice appearing on the Board's agenda.

**Impact on Citizens and Businesses**

The impacts of this project have been evaluated through the environmental review and public hearing process by the Planning Department and the Planning Commission.

**SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE,  
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

**Supplemental  
Additional Fiscal Information**

All fees are paid by the applicant. There is no General Fund obligation.

**ATTACHMENTS:**

- A. **PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTES**
- B. **PLANNING COMMISSION STAFF REPORT**

  
Scott Bruckner 8/20/2018



**PLANNING COMMISSION  
MINUTE ORDER  
JUNE 20, 2018**

**I. AGENDA ITEM 1.4**

**FOURTH EXTENSION OF TIME REQUEST for TENTATIVE TRACT MAP NO. 32065M1** – Applicant: Raymond Ferrari – Fifth Supervisorial District – Nuevo Zoning Area – Lake Mathews/Woodcrest Area Plan: Rural Community: Low Density Residential (RC-LDR) (1/2 Acre Minimum) – Location: Northerly of Rowley Lane, southerly of Montgomery Avenue, and easterly of 6<sup>th</sup> Street – 64.5 Acres – Zoning: Residential Agricultural (R-A) – Approved Project Description: Schedule “B” subdivision of 64.5 gross acres into 99 single-family residential lots, two (2) detention basins, and one (1) 16.5 wide access road.

**II. PROJECT DESCRIPTION:**


Fourth Extension of Time Request for Tentative Tract Map No. 32065m1, extending the expiration date to May 25, 2021.

**III. PLANNING COMMISSION ACTION:**

Motion by Commissioner Hake, 2<sup>nd</sup> by Commissioner Sanchez

A vote of 5-0

**APPROVED** Fourth Extension of Time Request for Tentative Tract Map No. 32065m1, extending the expiration date to May 25, 2021.



**COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE  
PLANNING DEPARTMENT  
EXTENSION OF TIME REPORT**


**Agenda Item No.:**

**1.4**

**Planning Commission Hearing: June 20, 2018**

**PROPOSED PROJECT**

<b>Case Number(s):</b>	TR32065M1	<b>Applicant(s):</b>	
<b>Area Plan:</b>	Lake Mathews/Woodcrest	<b>Applicant(s):</b>	Raymond Ferrari
<b>Zoning Area/District:</b>	Nuevo Area		
<b>Supervisory District:</b>	Fifth District		
<b>Project Planner:</b>	Gabriel Villalobos		

  
Charissa Leach, P.E.  
Assistant TLMA Director

**PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION**

The applicant of the subject case has requested an extension of time to allow for the recordation of the final map to subdivide 64.5 gross acres into 99 single-family residential lots, two detention basins, and one 16.5 wide access road. The project is located north of Rowley Lane, south of Montgomery Avenue and east of 6th Street.

**PROJECT RECOMMENDATION**

**APPROVAL** of the **FOURTH EXTENSION OF TIME REQUEST** for **TENTATIVE TRACT MAP NO. 32065M1**, extending the expiration date and to reflect SB1185, AB333, AB208, and AB116 benefits to May 25, 2021, subject to all the previously approved and amended Conditions of Approval, with the applicant's consent.

**PROJECT LOCATION MAP**



Figure 1: Project Location Map

**PROJECT BACKGROUND AND ANALYSIS**

**Background**

Tentative Tract Map No. 32065 was originally approved at Planning Commission on May 25, 2005. It proceeded to the Board of Supervisors where it was approved on August 9, 2005.

A modification to Tentative Tract Map No. 32065 was approved on October 4, 2006 by the Planning Commission. It proceeded to the Board of Supervisors where it was approved on November 14, 2006.

The Fourth Extension of Time was received May 17, 2018, ahead of the expiration date of May 25, 2018. The applicant and the County discussed conditions of approval and reached consensus on May 23, 2018.

The County Planning Department, as part of the review of this Extension of Time request, recommends the addition of no new conditions of approval, in order to be able to make a determination that the project does not adversely affect the general health, safety, and welfare of the public. The applicant was informed of these recommended conditions and has agreed to accept them. Included in this staff report package are the recommended conditions of approval, and the correspondence from the Extension of Time applicant (May 23, 2018) indicating the acceptance of the no new recommended conditions.

Unless specifically requested by the applicant, this Extension of Time request will not be discussed at the time it is presented to the Planning Commission as a consent calendar item.

**State Bills**

**EFFECT OF Senate Bill No. 1185 (SB1185):** On July 15, 2008, AB208 was signed into law, which grants a one-time extension of existing subdivision maps so developers can build immediately when the demand for housing goes up. It gives developers an automatic 12 month extension on previously approved subdivision maps set to expire between July 15, 2008 and January 1, 2011.

**EFFECT OF Assembly Bill No. 333 (AB333):** On July 15, 2009, AB333 was signed into law, which grants a one-time extension of existing subdivision maps so developers can build immediately when the demand for housing goes up. It gives developers an automatic 24 month extension on previously approved subdivision maps set to expire between July 15, 2009 and January 1, 2012.

**EFFECT OF Assembly Bill No. 208 (AB208):** On July 13, 2011, AB208 was signed into law, which grants a one-time extension of existing subdivision maps so developers can build immediately when the demand for housing goes up. It gives developers an automatic 24 month extension on previously approved subdivision maps set to expire between July 13, 2011 and January 1, 2014.

**EFFECT OF Assembly Bill No. 116 (AB116):** On July 11, 2013, AB116 was signed into law, which grants a one-time extension to existing subdivision maps so developers can build immediately when the demand for housing goes up. It gives developers an automatic 24 month extension on maps approved after January 1, 2000 and that have not expired prior to July 11, 2013.

**Riverside County Tentative Map Extensions**

Pursuant to County of Riverside Ordinance No. 460 (Subdivision Regulations), Tentative Tract and Tentative Parcel Maps have an initial life-span approval of 3-years. Tentative Map extensions may be granted, upon a timely filed extension request and include 2 separate, 3-year extensions, for a total Tentative Map life-span of 9-years. As a result, the total number years a map may be extended is 6 years.

On September 12, 2017, the Board of Supervisors adopted an amendment to Ordinance No. 460 (Subdivision Regulations), allowing for the 2 separate, 3-year extensions. Prior to the amendment, 5 separate, 1-year extensions, for a total Tentative Map life-span of 8-years, was permissible.

The 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, and 3<sup>rd</sup> extension of time each granted 1 year for a total of 3 years. This, 4<sup>th</sup> extension will grant another 3 years. There are no remaining number of years available to extend this tentative map after this approval and will expire on May 25, 2021.

Therefore, upon an approval action by the Planning Commission, subsequent receive and file action by the Board of Supervisors, and the conclusion of the 10-day appeal period, this Tentative Map's expiration date will become May 25, 2021. If a Final Map has not been recorded prior to this date, the next extension of time request must be filed 30-days prior to map expiration.

### **ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW**

The subject case has conformed to the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), and all impacts have been analyzed in order to protect the public health, safety, and welfare. No changes to the approved map are proposed and as a result, no new environmental documentation is required prior to an Extension of Time approval.

### **FINDINGS**


**In order for the County to approve a proposed project, the following findings are required to be made:**

#### *Extension of Time Findings*

1. This Tentative Tract Map has been found to be consistent with the Riverside County General Plan, pursuant to the originally approved findings and conditions of approval. This Extension of Time proposes no changes to the map design and is therefore still found to be consistent.
2. This Tentative Tract Map has been found to be consistent with Ordinance No. 348 (Land Use) and Ordinance No. 460 (Subdivision Regulations), pursuant to the originally approved findings and conditions of approval. This Extension of Time proposes no changes to the map design and is therefore still found to be consistent.
3. No changes to the approved Tentative Tract Map are proposed in conjunction with this Extension of Time. All impacts have been analyzed in order to protect the public health, safety, and welfare.

# 4th EOT for TR32065M1

## Vicinity Map



**Legend**

- Parcels
- County Centerlines
- Blue-line Streams
- City Areas

**Notes**

"IMPORTANT" Maps and data are to be used for reference purposes only. Map features are approximate, and are not necessarily accurate to surveying or engineering standards. The County of Riverside makes no warranty or guarantee as to the content (the source is often third party), accuracy, timeliness, or completeness of any of the data provided, and assumes no legal responsibility for the information contained on this map. Any use of this product with respect to accuracy and precision shall be the sole responsibility of the user.

0 752 1,505 Feet

REPORT PRINTED ON... 5/30/2018 4:13:22 PM

© Riverside County GIS



May 23, 2018

Riverside County Planning Dept.  
4080 Lemon St. 12th Floor  
Riverside, CA 92501


RE: FOURTH EXTENSION OF TIME REQUEST for TENTATIVE TRACT  
MAP No. 32065

Dear Sirs:

This letter is a response to your request for our acceptance of the conditions by the planning department for the above referenced project. As there were "no new conditions of approval" stated for this project, I am in complete agreement, and accept and appreciate that no new conditions have been put on the project. Thank you, and I look forward to final approval of this extension.

Sincerely,

Ray Ferrari



NO.	REMARKS
1	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST STREET
2	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST INTERSECTION
3	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST CORNER
4	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST SIDEWALK
5	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST DRIVEWAY
6	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST CURB
7	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST PARKING LOT
8	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST LOT LINE
9	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST PROPERTY LINE
10	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST SETBACK
11	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST FENCE
12	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST DRIVE
13	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST SIDEWALK
14	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST DRIVEWAY
15	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST CURB
16	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST PARKING LOT
17	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST LOT LINE
18	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST PROPERTY LINE
19	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST SETBACK
20	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST FENCE
21	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST DRIVE
22	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST SIDEWALK
23	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST DRIVEWAY
24	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST CURB
25	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST PARKING LOT
26	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST LOT LINE
27	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST PROPERTY LINE
28	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST SETBACK
29	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST FENCE
30	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST DRIVE
31	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST SIDEWALK
32	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST DRIVEWAY
33	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST CURB
34	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST PARKING LOT
35	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST LOT LINE
36	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST PROPERTY LINE
37	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST SETBACK
38	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST FENCE
39	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST DRIVE
40	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST SIDEWALK
41	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST DRIVEWAY
42	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST CURB
43	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST PARKING LOT
44	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST LOT LINE
45	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST PROPERTY LINE
46	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST SETBACK
47	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST FENCE
48	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST DRIVE
49	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST SIDEWALK
50	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST DRIVEWAY
51	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST CURB
52	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST PARKING LOT
53	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST LOT LINE
54	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST PROPERTY LINE
55	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST SETBACK
56	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST FENCE
57	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST DRIVE
58	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST SIDEWALK
59	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST DRIVEWAY
60	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST CURB
61	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST PARKING LOT
62	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST LOT LINE
63	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST PROPERTY LINE
64	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST SETBACK
65	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST FENCE
66	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST DRIVE
67	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST SIDEWALK
68	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST DRIVEWAY
69	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST CURB
70	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST PARKING LOT
71	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST LOT LINE
72	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST PROPERTY LINE
73	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST SETBACK
74	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST FENCE
75	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST DRIVE
76	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST SIDEWALK
77	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST DRIVEWAY
78	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST CURB
79	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST PARKING LOT
80	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST LOT LINE
81	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST PROPERTY LINE
82	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST SETBACK
83	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST FENCE
84	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST DRIVE
85	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST SIDEWALK
86	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST DRIVEWAY
87	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST CURB
88	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST PARKING LOT
89	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST LOT LINE
90	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST PROPERTY LINE
91	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST SETBACK
92	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST FENCE
93	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST DRIVE
94	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST SIDEWALK
95	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST DRIVEWAY
96	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST CURB
97	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST PARKING LOT
98	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST LOT LINE
99	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST PROPERTY LINE
100	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE TO NEAREST SETBACK

**EASEMENTS**

ALL EASEMENTS ARE SHOWN IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE FOLLOWING:

1. EASEMENTS ARE SHOWN IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE FOLLOWING:

2. EASEMENTS ARE SHOWN IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE FOLLOWING:

3. EASEMENTS ARE SHOWN IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE FOLLOWING:

4. EASEMENTS ARE SHOWN IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE FOLLOWING:

5. EASEMENTS ARE SHOWN IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE FOLLOWING:



6. EASEMENTS ARE SHOWN IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE FOLLOWING:

7. EASEMENTS ARE SHOWN IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE FOLLOWING:

8. EASEMENTS ARE SHOWN IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE FOLLOWING:

9. EASEMENTS ARE SHOWN IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE FOLLOWING:

10. EASEMENTS ARE SHOWN IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE FOLLOWING:



**GENERAL NOTES**

1. ALL CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CITY OF BERKELEY ORDINANCES.
2. ALL UTILITIES SHALL BE DEPTH TO CENTERLINE UNLESS OTHERWISE SHOWN.
3. ALL UTILITIES SHALL BE DEPTH TO CENTERLINE UNLESS OTHERWISE SHOWN.
4. ALL UTILITIES SHALL BE DEPTH TO CENTERLINE UNLESS OTHERWISE SHOWN.
5. ALL UTILITIES SHALL BE DEPTH TO CENTERLINE UNLESS OTHERWISE SHOWN.
6. ALL UTILITIES SHALL BE DEPTH TO CENTERLINE UNLESS OTHERWISE SHOWN.
7. ALL UTILITIES SHALL BE DEPTH TO CENTERLINE UNLESS OTHERWISE SHOWN.
8. ALL UTILITIES SHALL BE DEPTH TO CENTERLINE UNLESS OTHERWISE SHOWN.
9. ALL UTILITIES SHALL BE DEPTH TO CENTERLINE UNLESS OTHERWISE SHOWN.
10. ALL UTILITIES SHALL BE DEPTH TO CENTERLINE UNLESS OTHERWISE SHOWN.

**LEGAL DESCRIPTION**

THE TRACT OF LAND, CITY OF BERKELEY, COUNTY OF ALameda, California, more particularly described as follows:

1. TRACT OF LAND, CITY OF BERKELEY, COUNTY OF ALameda, California, more particularly described as follows:

2. TRACT OF LAND, CITY OF BERKELEY, COUNTY OF ALameda, California, more particularly described as follows:

3. TRACT OF LAND, CITY OF BERKELEY, COUNTY OF ALameda, California, more particularly described as follows:

4. TRACT OF LAND, CITY OF BERKELEY, COUNTY OF ALameda, California, more particularly described as follows:

5. TRACT OF LAND, CITY OF BERKELEY, COUNTY OF ALameda, California, more particularly described as follows:

6. TRACT OF LAND, CITY OF BERKELEY, COUNTY OF ALameda, California, more particularly described as follows:

7. TRACT OF LAND, CITY OF BERKELEY, COUNTY OF ALameda, California, more particularly described as follows:

8. TRACT OF LAND, CITY OF BERKELEY, COUNTY OF ALameda, California, more particularly described as follows:

9. TRACT OF LAND, CITY OF BERKELEY, COUNTY OF ALameda, California, more particularly described as follows:

10. TRACT OF LAND, CITY OF BERKELEY, COUNTY OF ALameda, California, more particularly described as follows:

**ENGINEER CONTRACT PERSON**  
 DAVID JEFFERS CONSULTING INC.  
 3500 SHILBURN COURT SUITE 3500, BERKELEY, CA 94705  
 (916) 845-1170, FAX: (916) 845-9337

**CLIENT**  
 DAVID JEFFERS CONSULTING INC.

**PROJECT NO.**  
 32065

**DATE OF MAP**  
 APRIL 12, 2007

**SCALE**  
 AS SHOWN

**PROJECT NAME**  
 REPAIRING FRONT DRIVE

# Extension of Time Environmental Determination

Project Case Number: TR32065  
 Original E.A. Number: 39447  
 Extension of Time No.: 4<sup>th</sup> EOT  
 Original Approval Date: May 25, 2005  
 Project Location: North of Rowley Lane, South of Montgomery Avenue and East of 6th Street  
 Project Description: Schedule B - subdivision of 64.5 gross acres into 99 single-family residential lots, two detention basins, and one 16.5 wide access road.

On May 25, 2005, this Tentative Tract Map and its original environmental assessment/environmental impact report was reviewed to determine: 1) whether any significant or potentially significant changes in the original proposal have occurred; 2) whether its environmental conditions or circumstances affecting the proposed development have changed. As a result of this evaluation, the following determination has been made:

<input type="checkbox"/>	I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, <b>NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED PRIOR TO APPROVAL OF THE EXTENSION OF TIME</b> , because all potentially significant effects (a) have been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or Negative Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or Negative Declaration and the project's original conditions of approval.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, and there are one or more potentially significant environmental changes or other changes to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken, <b>NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED PRIOR TO APPROVAL OF THE EXTENSION OF TIME</b> , because all potentially significant effects (a) have been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or Negative Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or Negative Declaration and revisions to the project's original conditions of approval which have been made and agreed to by the project proponent.
<input type="checkbox"/>	I find that there are one or more potentially significant environmental changes or other changes to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken, which the project's original conditions of approval may not address, and for which additional required mitigation measures and/or conditions of approval cannot be determined at this time. Therefore, <b>AN ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT/INITIAL STUDY IS REQUIRED</b> in order to determine what additional mitigation measures and/or conditions of approval, if any, may be needed, and whether or not at least one of the conditions described in California Code of Regulations, Section 15162 (necessitating a Supplemental or Subsequent E.I.R.) exist. Additionally, the environmental assessment/initial study shall be used to determine <b>WHETHER OR NOT THE EXTENSION OF TIME SHOULD BE RECOMMENDED FOR APPROVAL</b> .
<input type="checkbox"/>	I find that the original project was determined to be exempt from CEQA, and the proposed project will not have a significant effect on the environment, therefore <b>NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED PRIOR TO APPROVAL OF THE EXTENSION OF TIME</b> .

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Gabriel Villalobos, Project Planner

Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
 For Charissa Leach, Assistant TLMA Director