


**SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA**



**ITEM**  
1.17  
(ID # 8401)

**MEETING DATE:**

Tuesday, December 4, 2018

**FROM :** TLMA-PLANNING:

**SUBJECT:** TRANSPORTATION & LAND MANAGEMENT AGENCY/PLANNING: RECEIVE AND FILE THE PLANNING COMMISSION'S DECISION TO APPROVE THE FIFTH EXTENSION OF TIME for TENTATIVE TRACT MAP NO. 31817 – Applicant: Lansing Companies – Fifth Supervisorial District – Nuevo Zoning Area – Lakeview/Nuevo Area Plan: Rural Community – Low Density Residential (RC-LDR) (0.5 acre min.) – Location: North of Central Avenue, east of Rosary Avenue, south of Nuevo Road – 17.2 Acres – Zoning: Residential Agricultural (R-A) – APPROVED PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Schedule “B” subdivision of 17.2 gross acres into 28 single family residential lots with a minimum lot size of 20,000 sq. ft. and one (1) detention basin – REQUEST: FIFTH EXTENSION OF TIME REQUEST for TENTATIVE TRACT MAP NO. 31817, extending the expiration date to August 25, 2020. [Applicant Fees 100%.]

**RECOMMENDED MOTION:** That the Board of Supervisors:

1. **RECEIVE AND FILE** the Planning Commission's Notice of Decision for the above referenced case acted on by the Planning Commission on October 3, 2018. The Tentative Tract Map No. 31817 will now expire on August 25, 2020.

**ACTION:** Consent

Charissa Leach, Assistant TLMA Director 11/20/2018

---

**MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

On motion of Supervisor Jeffries, seconded by Supervisor Perez and duly carried by unanimous vote, IT WAS ORDERED that the above matter of approval is received and filed as recommended.

Ayes: Jeffries, Tavaglione, Washington, Perez and Ashley  
Nays: None  
Absent: None  
Date: December 4, 2018  
xc: Planning, Applicant

Kecia Harper-Ihem  
Clerk of the Board  
By:   
Deputy

**SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE,  
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

<b>FINANCIAL DATA</b>	<b>Current Fiscal Year:</b>	<b>Next Fiscal Year:</b>	<b>Total Cost:</b>	<b>Ongoing Cost</b>
<b>COST</b>	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	\$ N/A
<b>NET COUNTY COST</b>	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	\$ N/A
<b>SOURCE OF FUNDS:</b> Applicant Fees 100%			<b>Budget Adjustment:</b> N/A	
			<b>For Fiscal Year:</b> N/A	

**C.E.O. RECOMMENDATION:** Approve

**BACKGROUND:**

**Summary**

Tentative Tract Map No. 31817 was originally approved by the Planning Commission on August 25, 2004. It proceeded to the Board of Supervisors where it was approved on October 19, 2004.

The First Extension of Time was approved by the Planning Commission on August 20, 2008.

The Second Extension of Time was approved by the Planning Commission on December 2, 2015.

The Third Extension of Time was approved by the Planning Commission on November 16, 2016.

The Fourth Extension of Time was approved by the Planning Commission on August 2, 2017.

The Fifth Extension of Time was received on August 21, 2018, ahead of the expiration date of August 25, 2018. The applicant and the County discussed conditions of approval and reached consensus on September 7, 2018.

The County Planning Department, as part of the review of this Extension of Time request, recommends no additional conditions of approval in order to be able to make a determination that the project does not adversely affect the general health, safety, and welfare of the public. The applicant was informed of these recommended conditions and has agreed to accept them.

The Tentative Tract Map also benefited from Senate Bill No. 1185 (SB1185), Assembly Bill No. 333 (AB333), Assembly Bill No. 208 (AB208), and Assembly Bill No. 116 (AB116), which granted statutory extensions of time for tentative maps statewide.

The Planning Commission heard the fifth extension of time for Tentative Tract Map No. 31817 on October 3, 2018. The Planning Commission approved the project by a 5-0 vote.

**Board Action**

**SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE,  
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

The Planning Commission's decision is final and no action by the Board of Supervisors is required unless the applicant or an interested person files a complete appeal application within 10 days of this notice appearing on the Board's agenda.

**Impact on Citizens and Businesses**

The impacts of this project have been evaluated through the environmental review and public hearing process by the Planning Department and the Planning Commission.

**Supplemental  
Additional Fiscal Information**

All fees are paid by the applicant. There is no General Fund obligation.

**ATTACHMENTS:**

- A. **PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTES**
- B. **PLANNING COMMISSION STAFF REPORT**

  
Scott Brukner 11/26/2018



**PLANNING COMMISSION  
MINUTE ORDER  
OCTOBER 3, 2018**

**I. AGENDA ITEM 1.8**

**FIFTH EXTENSION OF TIME REQUEST for TENTATIVE TRACT MAP NO. 31817** – Applicant: Lansing Companies – Fifth Supervisorial District – Nuevo Zoning Area – Lakeview/Nuevo Area Plan: Rural Community – Low Density Residential (RC-LDR) (0.5 acre min.) – Location: Northerly of Central Avenue, easterly of Rosary Avenue, and southerly of Nuevo Road – 17.2 Acres – Zoning: Residential Agricultural (R-A) – Approved Project Description: Schedule “B” Subdivision of 17.2 gross acres into 28 single family residential lots with a minimum lot size of 20,000 sq. ft. and one (1) detention basin.


**II. PROJECT DESCRIPTION:**

Fifth Extension of Time Request for Tentative Tract Map No. 31817, extending the expiration date to August 25, 2020.

**III. PLANNING COMMISSION ACTION:**

Motion by Commissioner Shaffer, 2<sup>nd</sup> by Commissioner Kroencke  
A vote of 5-0

**APPROVED** Fifth Extension of Time Request for Tentative Tract Map No. 31817, extending the expiration date to August 25, 2020.



**COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE  
PLANNING DEPARTMENT  
EXTENSION OF TIME REPORT**


Agenda Item No.:

1.8

Planning Commission Hearing: October 3, 2018

**PROPOSED PROJECT**

<b>Case Number(s):</b>	TR31817	<b>Applicant(s):</b>	
<b>Area Plan:</b>	Lakeview/Nuevo	<b>Applicant(s):</b>	Lansing Companies
<b>Zoning Area/District:</b>	Nuevo Area	<b>Applicant(s):</b>	c/o Greg Lansing
<b>Supervisory District:</b>	Fifth District		
<b>Project Planner:</b>	Gabriel Villalobos		

  
Charissa Leach, P.E.  
Assistant TLMA Director

**PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION**

The applicant of the subject case has requested an extension of time to allow for the recordation of the final map to subdivide 17.2 gross acres into 28 single family residential lots with a minimum lot size of 20,000 sq. ft. and 1 detention basin. The project is located north of Central Ave, east of Rosary Ave, and south of Nuevo Road.

**PROJECT RECOMMENDATION**

**APPROVAL** of the FIFTH EXTENSION OF TIME REQUEST for TENTATIVE TRACT MAP NO. 31817, extending the expiration date and to reflect SB1185, AB333, AB208, and AB116 benefits to August 25, 2020, subject to all the previously approved and amended Conditions of Approval, with the applicant's consent.

**PROJECT LOCATION MAP**



Figure 1: Project Location Map

## PROJECT BACKGROUND AND ANALYSIS

### **Background**

Tentative Tract Map No. 31817 was originally approved at Planning Commission on August 25, 2004. It proceeded to the Board of Supervisors where it was approved on October 19, 2004.

The First Extension of Time was approved at the Planning Commission on August 20, 2008.

The Second Extension of Time was approved at the Planning Commission on December 2, 2015.

The Third Extension of Time was approved at the Planning Commission on November 16, 2016.

The Fourth Extension of Time was approved at the Planning Commission on August 2, 2017.

The Fifth Extension of Time was received August 21, 2018, ahead of the expiration date of August 25, 2018. The applicant and the County discussed conditions of approval and reached consensus on September 7, 2018.

The County Planning Department, as part of the review of this Extension of Time request, recommends the addition of no new conditions of approval, in order to be able to make a determination that the project does not adversely affect the general health, safety, and welfare of the public. The applicant was informed of these recommended conditions and has agreed to accept them. Included in this staff report package are the recommended conditions of approval, and the correspondence from the Extension of Time applicant (September 7, 2018) indicating the acceptance of no new recommended conditions.

Unless specifically requested by the applicant, this Extension of Time request will not be discussed at the time it is presented to the Planning Commission as a consent calendar item.

### **State Bills**

**EFFECT OF Senate Bill No. 1185 (SB1185):** On July 15, 2008, AB208 was signed into law, which grants a one-time extension to existing subdivision maps so developers can build immediately when the demand for housing goes up. It gives developers an automatic 12 month extension on previously approved subdivision maps set to expire between July 15, 2008 and January 1, 2011.

**EFFECT OF Assembly Bill No. 333 (AB333):** On July 15, 2009, AB333 was signed into law, which grants a one-time extension to existing subdivision maps so developers can build immediately when the demand for housing goes up. It gives developers an automatic 24 month extension on previously approved subdivision maps set to expire between July 15, 2009 and January 1, 2012.

**EFFECT OF Assembly Bill No. 208 (AB208):** On July 13, 2011, AB208 was signed into law, which grants a one-time extension to existing subdivision maps so developers can build immediately when the demand for housing goes up. It gives developers an automatic 24 month extension on previously approved subdivision maps set to expire between July 13, 2011 and January 1, 2014.

**EFFECT OF Assembly Bill No. 116 (AB116):** On July 11, 2013, AB116 was signed into law, which grants a one-time extension to existing subdivision maps so developers can build immediately when the demand for housing goes up. It gives developers an automatic 24 month extension on maps approved after January 1, 2000 and that have not expired prior to July 11, 2013.

**Riverside County Tentative Map Extensions**

Pursuant to County of Riverside Ordinance No. 460 (Subdivision Regulations), Tentative Tract and Tentative Parcel Maps have an initial life-span approval of 3-years. Tentative Map extensions may be granted, upon a timely filed extension request and include 2 separate, 3-year extensions, for a total Tentative Map life-span of 9-years. As a result, the total number years a map may be extended is 6 years.

On September 12, 2017, the Board of Supervisors adopted an amendment to Ordinance No. 460 (Subdivision Regulations), allowing for the 2 separate, 3-year extensions. Prior to the amendment, 5 separate, 1-year extensions, for a total Tentative Map life-span of 8-years, was permissible.

The 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, 3<sup>rd</sup>, and 4<sup>th</sup> extensions of time each granted 1 year for a total of 4 years. This, 5<sup>th</sup> extension will grant another 2 years. There are no remaining number of years available to extend this tentative map after this approval and will expire on August 25, 2020.

Therefore, upon an approval action by the Planning Commission, subsequent receive and file action by the Board of Supervisors, and the conclusion of the 10-day appeal period, this Tentative Map's expiration date will become August 25, 2020. If a Final Map has not been recorded prior to this date, the next extension of time request must be filed 30-days prior to map expiration.

**ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW**

The subject case has conformed to the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), and all impacts have been analyzed in order to protect the public health, safety, and welfare. No changes to the approved map are proposed and as a result, no new environmental documentation is required prior to an Extension of Time approval.

**FINDINGS**

**In order for the County to approve a proposed project, the following findings are required to be made:**

*Extension of Time Findings*

1. This Tentative Tract Map has been found to be consistent with the Riverside County General Plan, pursuant to the originally approved findings and conditions of approval. This Extension of Time proposes no changes to the map design and is therefore still found to be consistent.
2. This Tentative Tract Map has been found to be consistent with Ordinance No. 348 (Land Use) and Ordinance No. 460 (Subdivision Regulations), pursuant to the originally approved findings and conditions of approval. This Extension of Time proposes no changes to the map design and is therefore still found to be consistent.
3. No changes to the approved Tentative Tract Map are proposed in conjunction with this Extension of Time. All impacts have been analyzed in order to protect the public health, safety, and welfare.

# 5th EOT for TR31817

## Vicinity Map



### Legend

- Parcels
- County Centerlines
- Blueline Streams
- ⋯ City Areas

**Notes**

\*IMPORTANT\* Maps and data are to be used for reference purposes only. Map features are approximate, and are not necessarily accurate to surveying or engineering standards. The County of Riverside makes no warranty or guarantee as to the content (the source is often third party), accuracy, timeliness, or completeness of any of the data provided, and assumes no legal responsibility for the information contained on this map. Any use of this product with respect to accuracy and precision shall be the sole responsibility of the user.



0 376 752 Feet

REPORT PRINTED ON... 9/10/2018 5:09:29 PM

© Riverside County GIS





# Extension of Time Environmental Determination

Project Case Number: TR31817  
 Original E.A. Number: 39315  
 Extension of Time No.: 5<sup>th</sup> EOT  
 Original Approval Date: August 25, 2004  
 Project Location: North of Central Ave, East of Rosary Ave, South of Nuevo Road

Project Description: Schedule "B" Subdivision of 17.2 gross acres into 28 single family residential lots with a minimum lot size of 20,000 sq. ft. and 1 detention basin

On August 25, 2004, this Tentative Tract Map and its original environmental assessment/environmental impact report was reviewed to determine: 1) whether any significant or potentially significant changes in the original proposal have occurred; 2) whether its environmental conditions or circumstances affecting the proposed development have changed. As a result of this evaluation, the following determination has been made:

<input type="checkbox"/>	I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED PRIOR TO APPROVAL OF THE EXTENSION OF TIME, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or Negative Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or Negative Declaration and the project's original conditions of approval.
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, and there are one or more potentially significant environmental changes or other changes to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken, NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED PRIOR TO APPROVAL OF THE EXTENSION OF TIME, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or Negative Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or Negative Declaration and revisions to the project's original conditions of approval which have been made and agreed to by the project proponent.
<input type="checkbox"/>	I find that there are one or more potentially significant environmental changes or other changes to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken, which the project's original conditions of approval may not address, and for which additional required mitigation measures and/or conditions of approval cannot be determined at this time. Therefore, AN ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT/INITIAL STUDY IS REQUIRED in order to determine what additional mitigation measures and/or conditions of approval, if any, may be needed, and whether or not at least one of the conditions described in California Code of Regulations, Section 15162 (necessitating a Supplemental or Subsequent E.I.R.) exist. Additionally, the environmental assessment/initial study shall be used to determine WHETHER OR NOT THE EXTENSION OF TIME SHOULD BE RECOMMENDED FOR APPROVAL.
<input type="checkbox"/>	I find that the original project was determined to be exempt from CEQA, and the proposed project will not have a significant effect on the environment, therefore NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED PRIOR TO APPROVAL OF THE EXTENSION OF TIME.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Gabriel Villalobos, Project Planner

Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
 For Charissa Leach, Assistant TLMA Director

**Villalobos, Gabriel**

---

**From:** James Hoxie <jhoxie@lansingcompanies.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, September 07, 2018 11:46 AM  
**To:** Villalobos, Gabriel  
**Cc:** Theodorecc King  
**Subject:** Recommended Conditions for TR31817 5th EOT (Vista Nuevo)

Mr. Villalobos,

The Extension of Time applicant is agreeable to the addition of no new conditions of approval being needed.

Please use this email as our acceptance on this issue. Thank you for your assistance on the extension process.

**Jim Hoxie**



**LANSING  
COMPANIES**

**12671 High Bluff Drive, Ste. 150  
San Diego, CA 92130  
P: 858-523-0719  
F: 858-523-0826**

**Privileged And Confidential Communication.**

This electronic transmission, and any documents attached hereto, (a) are protected by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act (18 USC §§ 2510-2521), (b) may contain confidential and/or legally privileged information, and (c) are for the sole use of the intended recipient named above. If you have received this electronic message in error, please notify the sender and delete the electronic message. Any disclosure, copying, distribution, or use of the contents of the information received in error is strictly prohibited.

Any tax advice contained in the body of this e-mail (and any attachments thereto) was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, by the recipient for the purpose of avoiding penalties that may be imposed under the Internal Revenue Code or applicable state or local tax law provisions.

Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.

**From:** "Villalobos, Gabriel" <GVillalo@rivco.org>  
**Date:** September 7, 2018 at 7:19:46 AM GMT+7  
**To:** "glansing@lansingcompanies.com" <glansing@lansingcompanies.com>  
**Subject:** Recommended Conditions for TR31817 5th EOT  
**Attn:** Lansing Companies  
c/o Greg Lansing  
12671 High Bluff Drive, Suite 150  
San Diego, CA 92130

RE: FIFTH EXTENSION OF TIME REQUEST for TENTATIVE TRACT MAP No. 31817.

The County Planning Department has determined it necessary to recommend the addition of no new conditions of approval in order to be able to make a determination that the project does not adversely affect the general health, safety and welfare of the public.

If these conditions are acceptable, then submit a short written letter/memo/email that clearly references this case, the acceptance of each condition by name and number, and clearly state that you, the Extension of Time Applicant, accept these conditions. This documentation will then be included in the staff report package.

If the addition of the conditions is not acceptable, please notify me so we can discuss your concerns. If the issue cannot be resolved, then I will direct you to contact the individual Department representative to discuss this matter further.

Once the conditions have been accepted, I will begin preparing the staff report package for a Planning Commission hearing as a consent item. County Ordinance requires that conditions added thru the extension of time process are presented to and accepted by the applicant. If you, the EOT applicant, is unable to accept these conditions, the Planning Department will recommend denial of this extension of time request. An opportunity will, if requested, be provided for arguments to be made to the hearing body justifying why this request should be approved without the recommended conditions of approval.

I am eager to move this case forward and continue the extension of time process. If you have not contacted me within thirty (30) days, I will begin preparing this case with a recommendation of denial. I need one of two items to proceed:

- 1) Correspondence from you, the EOT applicant, accepting the recommended conditions per the directions provided above; or,
- 2) Correspondence from you, the EOT applicant, advising me of the concerns with the recommended conditions. If the concern still exists after our discussion, then direction on how to approach the issue will be given and additional time will be provided until the issue is resolved.

If you have any questions, comments, or concerns regarding this email, please feel free to contact me as indicated below.

Gabriel Villalobos  
Riverside County Planning  
4080 Lemon Street 12th Floor  
Riverside, CA 92501  
951-955-6184