SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA



1.6 (ID # 8575)

MEETING DATE:

Tuesday, January 8, 2019

FROM: TLMA-PLANNING:

SUBJECT: TRANSPORTATION & LAND MANAGEMENT AGENCY/PLANNING: RECEIVE AND FILE THE PLANNING COMMISSION'S DECISION TO APPROVE THE SECOND EXTENSION OF TIME for TENTATIVE TRACT MAP NO. 33913 – Applicant: Mountain View Land Development, Inc. – Fifth Supervisorial District – Cherry Valley Zoning District – The Pass Area Plan: Rural Community – Very Low Density Residential (RC-VLDR) (1 acre min.) – Location: North of Orchard Street, east of Nancy Avenue, south of Pass View Drive, west of Mountain View Drive – 18 Acres – Zoning: Light Agriculture (A-1) – APPROVED PROJECT DESCRIPTION: Schedule "B" subdivision of 18 gross acres into 18 residential lots with a minimum lot size of one (1) gross acre – REQUEST: SECOND EXTENSION OF TIME REQUEST for TENTATIVE TRACT MAP NO. 33913, extending the expiration date to September 3, 2021. [Applicant Fees 100%.]

RECOMMENDED MOTION: That the Board of Supervisors:

1. **RECEIVE AND FILE** the Planning Commission's Notice of Decision for the above referenced case acted on by the Planning Commission on November 7, 2018. The Tentative Tract Map No. 33913 will now expire on September 3, 2021.

ACTION: Consent

MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

12/4/2018

On motion of Supervisor Spiegel, seconded by Supervisor Hewitt and duly carried by unanimous vote, IT WAS ORDERED that the above matter of approval is received and filed as recommended.

Ayes:

Jeffries, Spiegel, Washington, Perez and Hewitt

Nays: Absent: None

Date:

None

Dute

January 8, 2019

ssistant TLMA Director

XC:

Planning, Applicant

1.6

Kecia Harper

Clerk of the Board

SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FINANCIAL DATA	rent Fiscal Year: Ne	xt Fiscal Year:	Total Cost:	Ongoing Gast	
COST	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	
NET COUNTY COST	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	\$ N/A	
SOURCE OF FUNDS: Applicant Fees 100%			Budget Adju	Budget Adjustment: N/A	
			For Fiscal Y	For Fiscal Year: N/A	

C.E.O. RECOMMENDATION: Approve

BACKGROUND:

Summary

Tentative Tract Map No. 33913 was originally approved by the Planning Commission on September 3, 2008. It proceeded to the Board of Supervisors where it was received and filed on October 21, 2008.

The First Extension of Time application was approved by the Planning Commission on September 20, 2017, extending the expiration date to September 3, 2018.

The Second Extension of Time was received on September 3, 2018, ahead of the expiration date of September 3, 2018. The applicant and the County discussed conditions of approval and reached consensus on September 21, 2018.

The County Planning Department, as part of the review of this Extension of Time request, recommends no additional conditions of approval in order to be able to make a determination that the project does not adversely affect the general health, safety, and welfare of the public. The applicant was informed of this.

The Tentative Tract Map also benefited from Senate Bill No. 1185 (SB1185), Assembly Bill No. 333 (AB333), Assembly Bill No. 208 (AB208), and Assembly Bill No. 116 (AB116), which granted statutory extensions of time for tentative maps statewide.

The Planning Commission heard the second extension of time for Tentative Tract Map No. 33913 on November 7, 2018. The Planning Commission approved the project by a 4-0 vote (Commissioner Hake was absent).

Board Action

The Planning Commission's decision is final and no action by the Board of Supervisors is required unless the applicant or an interested person files a complete appeal application within 10 days of this notice appearing on the Board's agenda.

Impact on Citizens and Businesses

SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

The impacts of this project have been evaluated through the environmental review and public hearing process by the Planning Department and the Planning Commission.

Supplemental

Additional Fiscal Information

· All fees are paid by the applicant. There is no General Fund obligation.

ATTACHMENTS:

- A. PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTES
- B. PLANNING COMMISSION STAFF REPORT



PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTE ORDER NOVEMBER 7, 2018

I. AGENDA ITEM 1.3

SECOND EXTENSION OF TIME REQUEST for TENTATIVE TRACT MAP NO. 33913 – Applicant: Mountain View Land Development, Inc. – Fifth Supervisorial District – Cherry Valley Zoning District – The Pass Area Plan: Rural Community – Very Low Density Residential (RC-VLDR) (1 acre min.) – Location: Northerly of Orchard Street, easterly of Nancy Avenue, southerly of Pass View Drive, and westerly of Mountain View Drive – 18 Acres – Zoning: Light Agriculture (A-1) – Approved Project Description: Schedule "B" subdivision of 18 gross acres into 18 residential lots with a minimum lot size of one (1) gross acre.

II. PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

Second Extension of Time Request for Tentative Tract Map No. 33913, extending the expiration date to September 3, 2021.

III. PLANNING COMMISSION ACTION:

Motion by Commissioner Shaffer, 2nd by Commissioner Sanchez A vote of 4-0 (Commissioner Hake Absent)

<u>APPROVED</u> Second Extension of Time Request for Tentative Tract Map No. 33913, extending the expiration date to September 3, 2021.



COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE PLANNING DEPARTMENT EXTENSION OF TIME REPORT

Agenda Item No.:

1.3

Planning Commission Hearing: November 7, 2018

ENTORORISM PERONEON

Case Number(s): TR33913

Area Plan: The Pass

Zoning Area/District: Cherry Valley District

Supervisorial District: Fifth District

Project Planner: Gabriel Villalobos

Applicant(s):

Mountain View Land Development, Inc.

c/o Rafik Morgan

Charissa Leach, P.E. Assistant TLMA Director

PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION

The applicant of the subject case has requested an extension of time to allow for the recordation of the final map to subdivide 18 gross acres into 18 residential lots with a minimum lot size of one (1) gross acre. The project is located north of Orchard St, east of Nancy Ave, south of Pass View Dr, and west of Mountain View Dr.

ENDREG RESOLUTION

<u>APPROVAL</u> of the SECOND EXTENSION OF TIME REQUEST for TENTATIVE TRACT MAP NO. 33913, extending the expiration date and to reflect SB1185, AB333, AB208, and AB116 benefits to September 3, 2021, subject to all the previously approved and amended Conditions of Approval, with the applicant's consent.

organisari (algericht) uae




Figure 1: Project Location Map

SECOND EXTENSION OF TIME REQUEST for TENTATIVE TRACT MAP NO. 33913 Planning Commission Extension of Time Report: November 7, 2018 Page 2 of 3

PROJECT BACKGROUND AND ANALYSIS

Background

Tentative Tract Map No. 33913 was originally approved at Planning Commission on September 3, 2008. It proceeded to the Board of Supervisors where it was received and filed on October 21, 2008.

The First Extension of Time application was approved by the Planning Commission on September 20, 2017, extending the expiration date to September 3, 2018.

The Second Extension of Time was received September 3, 2018, ahead of the expiration date of September 3, 2018. The applicant and the County discussed conditions of approval and reached consensus on September 21, 2018.

The County Planning Department, as part of the review of this Extension of Time request, recommends the addition of no new conditions of approval, in order to be able to make a determination that the project does not adversely affect the general health, safety, and welfare of the public. The applicant was informed of these recommended conditions and has agreed to accept them. Included in this staff report package are the recommended conditions of approval, and the correspondence from the Extension of Time applicant (September 3, 2018) indicating the acceptance of no new recommended conditions.

Unless specifically requested by the applicant, this Extension of Time request will not be discussed at the time it is presented to the Planning Commission as a consent calendar item.

State Bills

EFFECT OF Senate Bill No. 1185 (SB1185): On July 15, 2008, AB208 was signed into law, which grants a one-time extension to existing subdivision maps so developers can build immediately when the demand for housing goes up. It gives developers an automatic 12 month extension on previously approved subdivision maps set to expire between July 15, 2008 and January 1, 2011.

EFFECT OF Assembly Bill No. 333 (AB333): On July 15, 2009, AB333 was signed into law, which grants a one-time extension to existing subdivision maps so developers can build immediately when the demand for housing goes up. It gives developers an automatic 24 month extension on previously approved subdivision maps set to expire between July 15, 2009 and January 1, 2012.

EFFECT OF Assembly Bill No. 208 (AB208): On July 13, 2011, AB208 was signed into law, which grants a one-time extension to existing subdivision maps so developers can build immediately when the demand for housing goes up. It gives developers an automatic 24 month extension on previously approved subdivision maps set to expire between July 13, 2011 and January 1, 2014.

EFFECT OF Assembly Bill No. 116 (AB116): On July 11, 2013, AB116 was signed into law, which grants a one-time extension to existing subdivision maps so developers can build immediately when the demand for housing goes up. It gives developers an automatic 24.month extension on maps approved after January 1, 2000 and that have not expired prior to July 11, 2013.

Riverside County Tentative Map Extensions

Pursuant to County of Riverside Ordinance No. 460 (Subdivision Regulations), Tentative Tract and Tentative Parcel Maps have an initial life-span approval of 3-years. Tentative Map extensions may be granted, upon a timely filed extension request and include 2 separate, 3-year extensions, for a total Tentative Map life-span of 9-years. As a result, the total number years a map may be extended is 6 years.

SECOND EXTENSION OF TIME REQUEST for TENTATIVE TRACT MAP NO. 33913 Planning Commission Extension of Time Report: November 7, 2018 Page 3 of 3

On September 12, 2017, the Board of Supervisors adopted an amendment to Ordinance No. 460 (Subdivision Regulations), allowing for the 2 separate, 3-year extensions. Prior to the amendment, 5 separate, 1-year extensions, for a total Tentative Map life-span of 8-years, was permissible.

The 1st extension of time granted 1 year. This, 2nd extension will grant another 3 years. The remaining number of years available to extend this tentative map after this approval will be 2 years and will expire on September 3, 2023.

Therefore, upon an approval action by the Planning Commission, subsequent receive and file action by the Board of Supervisors, and the conclusion of the 10-day appeal period, this Tentative Map's expiration date will become September 3, 2021. If a Final Map has not been recorded prior to this date, the next extension of time request must be filed 30-days prior to map expiration.

ENTARIONINE DE LA RESVIEW

The subject case has conformed to the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), and all impacts have been analyzed in order to protect the public health, safety, and welfare. No changes to the approved map are proposed and as a result, no new environmental documentation is required prior to an Extension of Time approval.


In order for the County to approve a proposed project, the following findings are required to be made:


Extension of Time Findings

- This Tentative Tract Map has been found to be consistent with the Riverside County General Plan, pursuant to the originally approved findings and conditions of approval. This Extension of Time proposes no changes to the map design and is therefore still found to be consistent.
- This Tentative Tract Map has been found to be consistent with Ordinance No. 348 (Land Use) and Ordinance No. 460 (Subdivision Regulations), pursuant to the originally approved findings and conditions of approval. This Extension of Time proposes no changes to the map design and is therefore still found to be consistent.
- 3. No changes to the approved Tentative Tract Map are proposed in conjunction with this Extension of Time. All impacts have been analyzed in order to protect the public health, safety, and welfare.

Template Location: Y:\Planning Master Forms\Templates\Staff Report\Staff_Report_Template_DH_PC_EOT.docx

Template Revision: 06/11/18





Extension of Time Environmental Determination

Project Case Number: TR33913
Original E.A. Number: 41032
Extension of Time No.: 2 nd EOT
Original Approval Date: September 3, 2008
Project Location: <u>North of Orchard St, East of Nancy Ave, South of Pass View Dr, West of Mountain</u> View Dr
Project Description: <u>Schedule "B" subdivision of 18 gross acres into 18 residential lots with a minimun</u> lot size of one (1) gross acre.
On <u>September 3, 2008</u> , this Tentative Tract Map and its original environmental assessment/environmental impact report was reviewed to determine: 1) whether any significant opotentially significant changes in the original proposal have occurred; 2) whether its environmental conditions or circumstances affecting the proposed development have changed. As a result of this evaluation, the following determination has been made:
I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, NO NEV ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED PRIOR TO APPROVAL OF THE EXTENSION OF TIME, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR of Negative Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or Negative Declaration and the project's original conditions of approval.
I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, and there are one or more potentially significant environmental changes or other changes to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken, NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED PRIOR TO APPROVAL OF THE EXTENSION OF TIME, because all potentially significant effects (a) have been adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or Negative Declaration pursuant to applicable legal standards and (b) have been avoided or mitigated pursuant to that earlier EIR or Negative Declaration and revisions to the project's original conditions of approval which have been made and agreed to by the project proponent.
I find that there are one or more potentially significant environmental changes or other changes to the circumstances under which the project is undertaken, which the project's original conditions of approve may not address, and for which additional required mitigation measures and/or conditions of approve cannot be determined at this time. Therefore, AN ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT/INITIAL STUDY IS REQUIRED in order to determine what additional mitigation measures and/or conditions of approval, if any may be needed, and whether or not at least one of the conditions described in California Code of Regulations, Section 15162 (necessitating a Supplemental or Subsequent E.I.R.) exist. Additionally, the environmental assessment/initial study shall be used to determine WHETHER OR NOT THE EXTENSION OF TIME SHOULD BE RECOMMENDED FOR APPROVAL.
I find that the original project was determined to be exempt from CEQA, and the proposed project will not have a significant effect on the environment, therefore NO NEW ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION IS REQUIRED PRIOR TO APPROVAL OF THE EXTENSION OF TIME.
Signature: Date:
Gabriel Villalobos, Project Planner For Charissa Leach, Assistant TLMA Directo

Villalobos, Gabriel

From:

rafikmorgan007@gmail.com

Sent:

Friday, September 21, 2018 1:12 PM

To:

Villalobos, Gabriel

Subject:

Re: Recommended Conditions for TR33913 2nd EOT


Thank you for your email, on behalf of Mountain View Land Development, we concur with your conclusions and confirm that there are <u>no new conditions of approval</u>


Thanks Rafik Morgan



Rafik Morgan

Morgan Accounting Services





760-340-2775

rafikmorgan007@gmail.com

Privacy Notice:

The content of this e-mail is solely directed to the referenced person, and may contain privileged and confidential information protected by state and federal laws. If you are not the intended recipient, or an authorized employee or agent of the intended recipient, you are not authorized to receive copy, disseminate or distribute the contents in or parts thereof to any other person or entity. If this communication arrived in error, please Immediately contact the sender.

From: Villalobos, Gabriel
Date: 9/20/2018 3:38:24 PM
To: rafikmorgan007@gmail.com

Subject: Recommended Conditions for TR33913 2nd EOT

Attn: Rafik Morgan

73-280 Hwy 11, Ste #202

Palm Desert, CA 92660



RE: SECOND EXTENSION OF TIME REQUEST for TENTATIVE TRACT MAP No. 33913.

The County Planning Department has determined it necessary to recommend the addition of <u>no new conditions of approval</u> in order to be able to make a determination that the project does not adversely affect the general health, safety and welfare of the public.



documentation will then be included in the staff report package.

If the addition of the conditions is not acceptable, please notify me so we can discuss your concerns. If the issue cannot be resolved, then I will direct you to contact the individual Department representative to discuss this matter further.

Once the conditions have been accepted, I will begin preparing the staff report package for a Planning Commission hearing as a consent item. County Ordinance requires that conditions added thru the extension of time process are presented to and accepted by the applicant. If you, the EOT applicant, is unable to accept these conditions, the Planning Department will recommend denial of this extension of time request. An opportunity will, if requested, be provided for arguments to be made to the hearing body justifying why this request should be approved without the recommended conditions of approval.

I am eager to move this case forward and continue the extension of time process. If you have not contacted me within thirty (30) days, I will begin preparing this case with a recommendation of denial. I need one of two items to proceed:

- 1) Correspondence from you, the EOT applicant, accepting the recommended conditions per the directions provided above; or,
- 2) Correspondence from you, the EOT applicant, advising me of the concerns with the recommended conditions. If the concern still exists after our discussion, then direction on how to approach the issue will be given and additional time will be provided until the issue is resolved.

If you have any questions, comments, or concerns regarding this email, please feel free to contact me as indicated below.

Gabriel Villalobos

Riverside County Planning

4080 Lemon Street 12th Floor

Riverside, CA 92501

951-955-6184



How are we doing? Click the Link and tell us

Confidentiality Disclaimer

This email is confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual(s) to whom it is addressed. The information contained in this message may be privileged and confidential and protected from disclosure.

If you are not the author's intended recipient, be advised that you have received this email in error and that any use, dissemination, forwarding, printing, or copying of this email is strictly prohibited. If you have acceived this email in error please delete all copies, both electronic and printed, and contact the author immediately.

County of Riverside California