


**SUBMITTAL TO THE FLOOD CONTROL AND
WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA**



ITEM
11.3
(ID # 10097)

MEETING DATE:
Tuesday, July 2, 2019

FROM : FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT:

SUBJECT: FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT: Find Low Bid by RAL Investment Corporation dba Silverstrand Construction as Non-Responsive Due to a Material Irregularity and Reject Bid; Accept Second Low Bid and Award the Contract for the South Norco Channel, Stage 6, Norco MDP Line S-1, Stage 1, and Norco MDP Line S-5, Stage 1, Project Nos. 2-0-00150, 2-0-00163, and 2-0-00165, District 2. [\$7,976,777 - District Funds 100%]

RECOMMENDED MOTION: That the Board of Supervisors:

1. Find that the bid submitted by RAL Investment Corporation dba Silverstrand Construction (RAL) is non-responsive due to a material irregularity identified in the bid proposal documents as further specified in the background;
2. Reject the bid from RAL as non-responsive;
3. Accept the second low bid submitted by the firm of Mamco, Inc. dba Alabbasi for \$7,744,444 for the construction of the above-referenced project;
4. Award the contract to Mamco, Inc. dba Alabbasi and authorize the Chairwoman of the Board of Supervisors of the Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District (District) to execute the Construction Agreement on behalf of the District; and
5. Direct the Clerk of the Board to return three (3) copies of the executed South Norco Channel, Stage 6, Norco MDP Line S-1, Stage 1, and Norco MDP Line S-5, Stage 1 contract documents to the District.

ACTION: Policy

Jason Uhley, GENERAL MGR-CHF FLD CNTRL ENG

6/20/2019

MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

On motion of Supervisor Perez, seconded by Supervisor Hewitt and duly carried, IT WAS ORDERED that the above matter is approved as recommended.

Ayes: Jeffries, Perez and Hewitt
Nays: None
Absent: Spiegel and Washington
Date: July 2, 2019
xc: Flood

Kecia Harper
Clerk of the Board
By:
Deputy

**SUBMITTAL TO THE FLOOD CONTROL AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT BOARD
OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

FINANCIAL DATA	Current Fiscal Year:	Next Fiscal Year:	Total Cost:	Ongoing Cost
COST	\$7,976,777	\$0	\$7,976,777	\$0
NET COUNTY COST	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SOURCE OF FUNDS:			Budget Adjustment: No	
25120 947420 548200 Zone 2 Infrastructure (97%)				
25120 947420 523220 Zone 2 License & Permits (3%)				
			For Fiscal Year: 19/20	

C.E.O. RECOMMENDATION: Approve

BACKGROUND:

Summary

On February 26, 2019 (Agenda Item 11.5, MT#8999), the Board of Supervisors for the District authorized the Clerk of the Board to advertise for construction contract bids for the South Norco Channel, Stage 6, Norco MDP Line S-1, Stage 1, and Norco MDP Line S-5, Stage 1 project.

The District opened bids for South Norco Channel, Stage 6, Norco MDP Line S-1, Stage 1, and Norco MDP Line S-5, Stage 1 on April 3, 2019. At that time, the apparent low bid was submitted by RAL Investment Corporation dba Silverstrand Construction (RAL) for \$7,628,662. The bid documents were reviewed and a material irregularity was identified. A material irregularity identified in a bid proposal submitted by a contractor may not be waived by the Board of Supervisors for the District. RAL failed to execute the Affidavit for Corporate Contractor/Non-Collusion which is required to be executed pursuant to Public Contract Code 7106 and notarized pursuant to District bid requirements. On April 5, 2019 the second low bidder, Mamco, Inc. dba Alabbasi submitted a protest letter (Alabbasi Protest) that also identified the above-mentioned irregularity, as well as alleging other deficiencies with the RAL bid. On May 1, 2019 RAL submitted a rebuttal to the Alabbasi Protest. The District's review of the Alabbasi Protest and RAL rebuttal did not affirm any of the other alleged deficiencies with the RAL bid; however, the District did determine that the deficient Corporate Contractor/Non-Collusion Affidavit is a material irregularity; thus, cannot be waived by the Board.

Based on non-compliance with the applicable law and District bid requirements, District staff have found RAL's bid to be non-responsive and therefore recommend that the Board find the bid to be found as non-responsive due to a material irregularity and be rejected. On May 20, 2019 RAL submitted a letter protesting the District staff's recommendations. The letter was reviewed by District Counsel as well as by the District staff, and the protest by RAL was found to be without sufficient basis and was denied. The second low bid submitted by Mamco, Inc. dba Alabbasi (Contractor) was determined by County Counsel and District staff to be appropriate in form and constitutes a proper basis for award.

On May 28, 2019, a Notice of Intent to Award the contract to Contractor was posted under Public Notices at rcflood.org. Pursuant to Article 3, Section 3.3 of the Instructions to Bidders,

**SUBMITTAL TO THE FLOOD CONTROL AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT BOARD
OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

bidders are provided five (5) days to submit any protest of the intent to award. No protests were received by the deadline.

The Contractor has executed the construction contract and provided the bonds and insurance documents which meet the requirements of the contract.

The fiscal data listed includes this bid amount plus the required Western Riverside County Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan mitigation payment (see Additional Fiscal Information section below).

This is a District-led project that will reduce flooding and remove properties from the floodplain between Second and Fourth Streets east of Corona Circle. The project is expected to benefit local residences and traffic, as well as benefit Norco Intermediate School and Norco High School. The project includes the construction of three storm drain facilities totaling two miles in length in the city of Norco (South Norco Channel, Line S-1, and Line S-5). South Norco Channel, Stage 6 will upgrade an existing interim earthen channel and replace it with a soft bottom trapezoidal channel with concrete sideslopes that can convey the 100-year storm. The portion of South Norco Channel going through Norco High School and Temescal Avenue is an underground storm drain. Line S-1 will collect water from Third Street and convey it in an underground storm drain heading west along the street, then discharge into the improved South Norco Channel. Line S-5 will collect water from Hillside Avenue and Hillside Lane and convey it in an underground storm drain heading southwest along the Norco Intermediate School, discharging into the improved South Norco Channel.

The District is funding 100% of the design and construction with the exception of certain waterline relocations which the City and Western Municipal Water District will jointly fund.

County Counsel has reviewed the construction contract with exhibits and approved as to form.

CEQA Compliance

On January 5, 2016 (Agenda Item 11-4), the Board of Supervisors for the District found that the construction of the South Norco Channel, Stage 6, Norco MDP Line S-1, Stage 1, and Norco MDP Line S-5, Stage 1 project will not have a significant adverse effect on the environment with incorporation of feasible mitigation. Pursuant to the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), the Board of Supervisors adopted a Mitigated Negative Declaration and Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program for the project and directed the Clerk of the Board to file a Notice of Determination with the County Clerk. In accordance with Section 15075 of the State CEQA Guidelines, a Notice of Determination was filed by the Clerk of the Board on January 7, 2016, therefore, nothing further is required under CEQA.

**Prev. Agn. Ref.: MT#8999 11.5 of 02/26/19 Advertise
MT#4034 11.1 of 03/13/18 Amended & Restated Coop Agreement (Norco)
MT#1817 11.1 of 03/07/17 Coop Agreement (WMWD)
11.4 of 01/05/16 Resolution F2016-02/CEQA**

**SUBMITTAL TO THE FLOOD CONTROL AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT BOARD
OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Impact on Residents and Businesses

This project will construct new drainage infrastructure and will retrofit older drainage infrastructure to remedy ongoing flooding problems and increase public safety and quality of life for local residents. This project is funded by ad valorem property tax revenue and entails no new fees, taxes, or bonded indebtedness. Moreover, this project will enable revision of the FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps in the impacted area resulting in a significant reduction in flood insurance premiums. Owners with federally insured home loans may realize savings of several thousand dollars per year.

Additional Fiscal Information

Pursuant to the Implementing Agreement for the Western Riverside County Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan (MSHCP) executed on June 22, 2004, the financial data listed is comprised of the bid amount of \$7,744,444 for the Contractor, plus up to \$232,333 (3% of bid) for MSHCP mitigation, for a total of \$7,976,777 (bid plus 3% amount). The MSHCP Implementing Agreement requires the District to make a payment to the Western Riverside County Regional Conservation Authority for up to 3% of the total capital costs (bid amount described above) as required mitigation for the construction of flood control facilities. The actual mitigation payment that will be made by the District may be reduced based on acquisition of replacement habitat for the benefit of Covered Species, as defined in the Implementing Agreement and the MSHCP.

Contract History and Price Reasonableness

Pursuant to Public Contract Code 21091, invitation of sealed bids was solicited by advertising in print and digital media. The Contractor's bid was reasonable and is approximately 8% below the project engineer's estimate.

ATTACHMENTS:


1. Bid Summary/Abstract
2. Protest Letters and Responses
3. Project Location Map
4. Contract Documents (Sheets XXV through XXXVI) and Certificate of Liability Insurance - 4 copies


Jason Farin, Senior Management Analyst

6/25/2019


Gregory V. Priantos, Director County Counsel

6/20/2019



alabbasi
CONSTRUCTION AND ENGINEERING
Lic. No. 883649

April 05, 2019

RECEIVED
APR 09 2019

BID PROTEST

RIVERSIDE COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL
AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT

Via Electronic Mail (mverdoor@rivco.org) (naolivas@rivco.org) (cmpadres@rivco.org)
And Certified Mail (RRR)

Jason E. Uhley- General Manager-Chief Engineer
Riverside County Flood Control
1995 Market Street
Riverside, CA 92501

Claudio Padres- Chief of Design & Construction
Riverside County Flood Control
1995 Market Street
Riverside, CA 92501

**Re: South Norco Channel, Stage 6, Norco MDP Line S-1, Stage 1 and Norco MDP Line S-5,
Stage 1**

**MAMCO, INC.'S PROTEST OF RAL INVESTMENT CORPORATION'S NON-RESPONSIVE
AND NON-QUALIFYING BID**

Dear Mr. Uhley and Mr. Padres:

We write to the Riverside County flood Control and Water Conservation District (hereinafter "the District") concerning the above-referenced matter. This correspondence shall constitute Mamco, Inc.'s ("Mamco") written protest against the bid of RAL Investment Corporation ("RAL") submitted on April 03, 2019 for the referenced project, and its formal protest of any future award of the project by the County of Riverside to RAL.

I. INTRODUCTION

Mamco was the second low bidder on April 03 with a bid totaling \$7,628,662.84. Mamco protests RAL's bid on the ground that it was non-responsive to the District's bid solicitation package, violates multiple regulations, and California's *Public Contract Code* ("PCC"), and, therefore, is a non-qualifying bid.

II. SUMMARY OF PERTINENT FACTS

- o The District's competitive bid is governed by California's competitive bidding laws and regulations, specifically the PCC;

- o The District's Bid includes, among others:
 - 1) 1.6 QUALIFICATIONS OF BIDDERS. Which reads: **"No award will be made to any bidder who cannot give satisfactory assurance to the District as to its own ability to carry out the contract... by reason of his previous experience as a Contractor on work of the nature contemplated in the contract. The bidders is required to submit record of work of similar nature... unfamiliarity with the type of work may be sufficient cause for rejection of a proposal.**
 - 2) 1.10 REQUIRED SUBMITTALS. Which reads: **"...The following table provides a checklist of submittals required by the Bidder... BID DOCUMENT: Non-Collusion Declaration- SUBMITTAL TIMEFRAME: With Bid Proposal..."**
 - 3) 2.13 DISQUALIFICATION OF BIDDERS. Which reads: **"...Proposals in which the prices obviously are unbalanced may be rejected."**
 - 4) Page XVd- Bid Proposal. Which Reads: **"If bidder is a corporation, corporate seal and attestation shall be provided below."**
 - 5) Page XVI- List of Subcontractors. Which reads: **"...If a portion of an item is to be subcontracted, show what percentage of that item is to be subcontracted."**

- o The Districts public bid opening at 2:00 p.m. on Wednesday, April 03, 2019 produced 17 bidders, the lowest 10 of which are provided below:

1) RAL	\$7,628,662.84
2) Mamco, Inc.	\$7,744,444.00
3) DDH Apple Valley	\$8,367,262.65
4) JM Olvera	\$8,697,992.00
5) Weka, Inc.	\$8,915,424.00
6) Granite Construction	\$8,953,953.00
7) Sully Miller Contracting	\$8,964,000.00
8) KEC Engineering	\$9,335,927.63
9) GRFCO, Inc.	\$9,394,276.00
10) Sukut Construction	\$9,777,777.77

- o Southwest V-Ditch was a listed subcontractor for items 1, 20, 21, 24, 25, 33 and 34.
- o CMB Construction & Engineering was listed as a subcontractor for items 18, 19, 22, 23, 27-27, 59 and 64.
- o RAL Listed on its experience statement six projects completed between April 2016 and June 2018.

III. RAL's DEFECTIVE BID

Here follows identification of the material defects in RAL's bid that, taken alone or in aggregate, mandate the District's rejection of the bid:

A. Failure to Execute Affidavit for Corporate Contractor

Under section 7106 of the PCC, each bidder must include a Non-Collusion Affidavit as a part of its bid. The District's bid documents included page XXI which requires an individual affiant to sign on behalf of the corporation. Kieth Adamek is listed as said individual on the first line of the document, but where Mr. Adamek is supposed to sign and swear to non-collusion, RAL elected instead to write "Please See Attached". Furthermore, RAL failed to provide the required Signature of the Notary administering the oath. RAL did provide a California All-Purpose Acknowledgement attached to the document, but this is the incorrect form. The affidavit requires that a Jurat be attached and **NOT** a Notarial Acknowledgement. Regardless of the Notarial forms included, the document is void since it was not executed.

As additional consideration, we would like for the District to consider historical precedence by the Awarding Body and County's Attorney. On June 6, 2011 Mamco was the apparent low bidder of the Rubidoux Area II Street and Storm Drain Improvement Project with the County of Riverside Economic Development Agency. On or about June 25, 2011 Mamco received notice that its bid would be rejected due to an unexecuted Non-Collusion Affidavit. On June 28, 2011 the Riverside County Board of Supervisors, at the recommendation of the County's Attorney, rejected the bid provided by Mamco. The value of that bid was \$4,965,965. The second bidder was \$447,368, or roughly 10%, higher than Mamco's bid. Regardless of this fact, the County established precedence that a Non-Collusion Affidavit is an absolute critical component of the bid and failure to include and execute such a document automatically disqualifies any potential bidder.

Non-Collusion Affidavits are a material component of every public bid in California. Failure to properly execute such a staple of public bids not only disqualifies a bidder, but it should also serve evidence to the district of RAL's irresponsibility as a bidder.

B. RAL Failed to Provide Proper Attestation of Bid

Page XVd of the bid documents clearly states in plain English, "If bidder is a corporation, corporate seal **and** attestation shall be provided below". This means that the document must be sealed and attested to by a corporate office of the company, usually the secretary of the company. Instead, Mr. Adamek elected to submit the bid under his own authority. Furthermore, please see attached RAL's Statement of Information on file with the Secretary of State which lists only Ricardo Lopez, Alec Lopez and Alan Lopez as Officers of the Company.

Interestingly of note, the Bid Bond was executed by Mr. Alec Lopez, CFO on March 22, 2019; this is nearly two weeks before the bid date. Apparently, Mr. Adamek lacked the authority to execute the Bid Bond, but was authorized to unilaterally execute and submit the Bid. There is no way of verifying if Mr. Lopez, or any officer of the corporation, knew about the Bid and Bid amount at the time of the Bid. With the benefit of hindsight and a 1.5% bid spread margin, we are confident that RAL will provide any

attestation after the bid closing of Mr. Adamek's authority to submit the bid, but any such verification should not be considered. The issue at hand is that RAL is provided a luxury not afforded to other bidders, the ability to take a wait-and-see approach to the submission of its bid. If the submitted bid was too low, it could backout of the bid pleading ignorance of its submission and lack of Mr. Adamek's authority. If the bid is good, remain silent and accept award. Hypothetically, RAL could wait until it receives the Notice of Award before withdrawing its bid claiming no knowledge of said bid, wasting the District's time and jeopardizing the timeline of award of the Contract.

We anticipate that RAL may argue Mr. Lopez's signature of the Bid Bond is evidence of Mr. Lopez's authorization of submission of the Bid, but this argument should not be considered. Bid Bond requests, depending on the Company's size and history with its Surety can be anywhere from a one to three-week process consisting of providing updated financial reporting, budgeting and analysis of the project. Typically, Bid Bonds are requested far in advance of a final decision being made to bid a project. Often, Bid Bonds are simply discarded a few days before bid time for any number of reasons. The fact that Mr. Lopez signed the Bid Bond two weeks before the Bid submission clearly aligns with this possibility and provides no evidence of RAL's authorization of Mr. Adamek to submit the Bid.

In contrast, Mamco's bid was executed by only one individual, attested to by the Secretary of the Corporation, and a Corporate Resolution was attached authorizing the individual signers to execute the Bid. However, bid responsiveness is not intended to be a scale where one bidder is responsive, and another is more or less responsive. Responsiveness is an absolute and simply put, Mamco is the lowest responsive bidder and RAL is non-responsive.

C. RAL Submitted a Skewed and Unbalanced Bid

As a part of the Bidding Procedures, the District clearly states that Skewed and unbalanced bids may be rejected. The reasoning for such a rule is simple, unbalanced bids jeopardize the fair evaluation of bids and can cost the District more money upon performance of the Contract. RAL clearly and intentionally skewed its Bid and the evidence of which is provided by their own listing of Subcontractors. RAL listed Southwest V-Ditch to perform several items of work primarily consisting of sloped channels. However, RAL interestingly listed Southwest V ditch for Bid Item Number 1- Mobilization. Southwest V-Ditch is clearly only performing work related to the Channel Slope Paving, but RAL loaded a portion of Southwest's bid onto item 1. This is a clear and deliberate skewing of the Bid. If the bid quantity for any of these items decrease, RAL is shielded and guaranteed that a portion of its payment for the work associated with this item of work is still paid under item 1. Furthermore, the Bid spread on the items of work that Southwest is listed for between RAL and Mamco totals approximately \$143,000, or roughly 19% on those items of work. The entire bid spread between Mamco and RAL is made up in just six bid items.

D. RAL Lacks Necessary Experience to Perform the Work.

On its Experience Statement, RAL listed six projects completed in the past three years, which per the District's instructions are to be, "...similar in type and magnitude..." At most, three of the projects listed are similar in size, but none appear to be similar in magnitude. All the projects listed appear to be primarily site work and not work within the public ROW. Furthermore, although some of these projects

may have storm drain components, the District's project is a backbone flood control facility providing drainage for hundreds if not thousands of acres of land. In no way can installing the storm drain facilities for a ten acre Lifeguard facility be considered similar in magnitude to a flood control facility servicing an entire community.

Flood control projects have their own challenges which are very different than those of general wet utility work. For one, flood control facilities generally follow existing natural drainage courses or existing channels being upsized. Water control, sequencing and scheduling becomes a very critical component of such work and a contractor that lacks experience in similar flood control work is not qualified to perform a project of this size and magnitude.

Furthermore, we believe RAL's subcontractor listing should also raise questions about their experience and qualifications. Of note, RAL is subcontracting the largest single item of work apart of its bid (item 21) and subcontracting arguably all of the most critical items of work (all cast in place concrete). By subcontracting all the cast-in-place concrete items of work, RAL demonstrates a lack of familiarity with such work and also sacrifices control of the scheduling and sequencing of work.

We also question if RAL fully understands the project specifications and perhaps its own subcontractors. First, Mamco has received quotes from both CMB Structures and Southwest V-Ditch in the past. All of the quotes received from both have generally excluded rebar. However, RAL has not listed a rebar subcontractor. We recommend the District confirm who will be performing Rebar work on the contract. Second, Southwest V-Ditch is a known shotcrete contractor within the industry. However, Section 18 of the specifications which would normally cover air placed concrete is deleted from the specifications thus prohibiting the use of shotcrete. We recommend the District verify that Southwest plans to cast in place all concrete using conventional methods. Lastly, RAL has listed CMB structures for all of item 18. We have reason to believe that RAL may have intended to pre-cast some of the RCB roadway crossings (which the specs do not permit). We recommend the District verify that RAL intends to use CMB for all structural concrete as they have listed them.

We understand that to facilitate competitive public bids the District needs to encourage new contractors to bid on its work, but a project of this size and magnitude is not a project that should be used as a stepping stone into flood control work. Mamco's first three projects with the District were each under \$1 million and averaged just \$412,000. So although facilitating new entrants into the market should be desirable by the District's perspective, experimenting with an unproven and inexperienced contractor on a nearly \$8,000,000 project is not a gamble the District should be willing to take.

IV. ADDITIONAL LEGAL AUTHORITY

Mandatory bid requirements are material elements of a competitive bid. Bid irregularities relating to material requirements constitute material deviations from the bid requirements and violate the competitive bidding laws of California and, therefore, cannot be waived by the District. Accordingly, and for the fore foregoing facts, reasons and legal authority, the District must reject the RAL bid.

Under California's competitive bidding statutes, a contract must be awarded to the lowest **"responsive and responsible" bidder**. To be responsive, a bid **must** conform to the material terms of the bid package. Menefee c. County of Fresno, 163 Cal.App.3d 1175 (1985). A bid that differs materially from the bid specifications **must be rejected**. Stimson v. Hanley, 151 Cal. 379 (1907). Typically, the material terms of a bid are those that affect price, quantity, quality, or delivery and those terms that the bid package clearly identifies as mandatory. Pozar v. Department of Transp., 145 Cal.App.3d 269 (1983). A bid fails to comply materially with the bid package if it give the bidder an unfair competitive advantage over other bidders. Konica Business Machines USA, Inc. v. Regents of University of California, 206 Cal.App3d 449 (1988); Menefee, supra.

RAL's failure to properly execute its bid violates the Districts Biding requirements. RAL was provided an advantage not afforded to other bidders by maintain the ability to backout of its bid anytime should it so chose prior to executing the contract. RAL's failure to execute the Non-Collusion Affidavit violates Sections 7106 of the PCC and automatically renders RAL's bid non-responsive. The District should concur with Mamco's protest, and immediately disqualify RAL from the award.

CONCLUSION

Mamco contends that any award of the contract to RAL is Void. Monterey Mechanical Co. v. Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District (1996) 44 Cal.Ap.4th 1391. In addition, payment of any funds to a bidder other than the actual lowest responsive, responsible bidder would violate the California Constitution. Section 10 of Article XI of the Constitution provides that "a local government body may not... pay a claim under an agreement made without authority of law." Any award of a contract to RAL would be based on RAL's defective non-qualifying bid. If necessary, Mamco may bring an action to enjoin any such payments on any contract awarded to RAL for the project, and to require the return on any such payments to the County. Rubin v. Lollo (1970) 10 Cal.App.3d 1059; Miller v. Mckinnon (1942) 20 Cal.2d 83.

Mamco is a highly qualified local contractor that has successfully performed dozens of similar projects in both scope and magnitude throughout the region. Mamco has assembled a highly qualified team to complete the project and looks forward to the District's award to Mamco.

We expect that the District will carefully re-examine the RAL bid, the facts surrounding the bid, and the legal authority that supports Mamco's position, and then promptly grant this bid protest.

Mamco provided the District with the lowest responsive bid and on the basis should be allowed to perform the contract. Should the city consider awarding the contract to RAL, or any other bidder, we request:

- (1) Under California Government Code section 54954.1 for mailed notice of all meetings of the awarding authority for this contract at which any issues pertaining to the award of that contract are on the agenda for the meeting. If there is any fee for this service, please telephone that information to Mamco immediately, so Mamco can promptly pay the fee.
- (2) To be informed by telephone (951.776.9300) or electronic mail (at Rumzi@alabbasi.biz) as soon as any staff reports or recommendations concerning any issues pertaining to the award of this

contract are available to the public, so Mamco can immediately inspect those reports or recommendations.

- (3) To address the awarding authority for this contract before or during its consideration of any issues pertaining to the award of the contract, which is opportunity, is guaranteed by California Government Code section 54954.3(a).

Very Truly Yours,



Rumzi AlAbbasi
Vice President



**State of California
Secretary of State**

S

Statement of Information

(Domestic Stock and Agricultural Cooperative Corporations)

FEES (Filing and Disclosure): \$25.00.

If this is an amendment, see instructions.

IMPORTANT – READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING THIS FORM

G511084

FILED

In the office of the Secretary of State
of the State of California

MAR-20 2019

1. CORPORATE NAME

RAL INVESTMENT CORPORATION

2. CALIFORNIA CORPORATE NUMBER

C2583074

This Space for Filing Use Only

No Change Statement (Not applicable if agent address of record is a P.O. Box address. See instructions.)

3. If there have been any changes to the information contained in the last Statement of Information filed with the California Secretary of State, or no statement of information has been previously filed, this form must be completed in its entirety.

If there has been no change in any of the information contained in the last Statement of Information filed with the California Secretary of State, check the box and proceed to Item 17.

Complete Addresses for the Following (Do not abbreviate the name of the city. Items 4 and 5 cannot be P.O. Boxes.)

	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE
4. STREET ADDRESS OF PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICE 10065 OLD GROVE ROAD SUITE 200, SAN DIEGO, CA 92131			
5. STREET ADDRESS OF PRINCIPAL BUSINESS OFFICE IN CALIFORNIA, IF ANY 10065 OLD GROVE ROAD SUITE 200, SAN DIEGO, CA 92131			
6. MAILING ADDRESS OF CORPORATION, IF DIFFERENT THAN ITEM 4			

Names and Complete Addresses of the Following Officers (The corporation must list these three officers. A comparable title for the specific officer may be added; however, the preprinted titles on this form must not be altered.)

	ADDRESS	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE
7. CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER/ RICARDO ANDRES LOPEZ	10265 ROOKWOOD DRIVE, SAN DIEGO, CA 92131			
8. SECRETARY ALEC RICARDO LOPEZ	4196 TEXAS STREET, SAN DIEGO, CA 92104			
9. CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER/ ALEC RICARDO LOPEZ	4196 TEXAS STREET, SAN DIEGO, CA 92104			

Names and Complete Addresses of All Directors, including Directors Who are Also Officers (The corporation must have at least one director. Attach additional pages, if necessary.)

	ADDRESS	CITY	STATE	ZIP CODE
10. NAME RICARDO ANDRES LOPEZ	10265 ROOKWOOD DRIVE, SAN DIEGO, CA 92131			
11. NAME ALEC RICARDO LOPEZ	4196 TEXAS STREET, SAN DIEGO, CA 92104			
12. NAME ALAN RICARDO LOPEZ	408 ENCINO COURT, ESCONDIDO, CA 92025			

13. NUMBER OF VACANCIES ON THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS, IF ANY:

Agent for Service of Process If the agent is an individual, the agent must reside in California and Item 15 must be completed with a California street address, a P.O. Box address is not acceptable. If the agent is another corporation, the agent must have on file with the California Secretary of State a certificate pursuant to California Corporations Code section 1505 and Item 15 must be left blank.

14. NAME OF AGENT FOR SERVICE OF PROCESS
ALEC RICARDO LOPEZ

15. STREET ADDRESS OF AGENT FOR SERVICE OF PROCESS IN CALIFORNIA, IF AN INDIVIDUAL CITY
4196 TEXAS STREET, SAN DIEGO, CA 92104

Type of Business

16. DESCRIBE THE TYPE OF BUSINESS OF THE CORPORATION
CONSTRUCTION AND RETAIL

17. BY SUBMITTING THIS STATEMENT OF INFORMATION TO THE CALIFORNIA SECRETARY OF STATE, THE CORPORATION CERTIFIES THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN, INCLUDING ANY ATTACHMENTS, IS TRUE AND CORRECT.

03/20/2019 ALEC RICARDO LOPEZ CFO
DATE TYPE/PRINT NAME OF PERSON COMPLETING FORM TITLE SIGNATURE



Sullivan Hill Rez & Engel
A Professional Law Corporation

RECEIVED
MAY 03 2019

RIVERSIDE COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL
AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT

600 B Street
Suite 1700
San Diego, CA 92101
T 619.233.4100
F 619.231.4372
sullivanhill.com

SHAIENDRA U. KULKARNI
KULKARNI@SULLIVANHILL.COM
619.595.3204

May 1, 2019

VIA CERTIFIED MAIL AND ELECTRONIC MAIL

David Garcia PE, CFM
Engineering Project Manager
Construction Management
Riverside County Flood Control and Water
Conservation District
1995 Market Street
Riverside, CA 92501

**Re: South Norco Channel, Stage 6, Norco MDP Line s-1, Stage 1, and
Norco MDP Line S-5, Stage 1**

Response to Alabbasi Construction and Engineering Bid Protest

District Project Nos.: 2-0-00150; 2-0-00163; and 2-0-00165
Our Client: RAL Investment Corp. d/b/a RAL Construction

Dear Messrs Garcia, Uhley, and Padres:

This firm represents RAL Investment Corp. d/b/a RAL Construction ("RAL") in connection with the bid protest submitted by Mamco, Inc. d/b/a Alabbasi Construction ("Mamco") with respect to the public works construction projects solicited by the Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District (the "District") commonly known as the "South Norco Channel, Stage 6, Norco MDP Line S-1, Stage 1, and Norco MDP Line S-5, Stage 1" and numbered 2-0-00150, 2-0-00163, and 2-0-00165, respectively (collectively referred to herein as the "Projects"). Please direct all future correspondences to RAL concerning the Project to this office.

The purpose of this correspondence is to respond to the bid protest letter dated April 5, 2019 submitted to the District by Mamco protesting the District's finding that RAL was the lowest responsible bidder for the Projects pursuant to the California Public Contracts Code, and awarding the contract for the Projects to RAL.

Mamco's bid protest offers only speculation and conjecture, and reflects a misunderstanding and erroneous application of the relevant legal authority in an attempt to mislead the District to conclude that RAL's bid was not responsive to the District's solicitation package, and that said bid violates California regulatory and statutory law. As explained herein, such a conclusion is neither supported by law or fact. We begin this response with a brief recitation of the applicable law, followed by a point-by-point response to the issues raised in Mamco's bid protest letter.

I. **RAL's Bid Substantially Complied With The District's Bid Specifications Such That The District's Determination May Not Be Disturbed.**

Mamco's bid protest is unmeritorious, speculates as to the existence of a non-existent advantage in RAL's bid, and points to immaterial variance in RAL's bid.

As a threshold matter, RAL maintains that it complied in all ways with the bid specifications of the Projects, however, in the event that certain minor inconsistencies exist between RAL's bid, a bid that substantially complies with a public entity's bid specifications may be accepted when the variance could not have affected the amount of the bid or given the bidder a benefit or advantage not allowed to other bidders. See Konika Business Machines v. Regents of University of California, 206 Cal. App. 3d 449, 454-457 (1988); Ghilotti Construction Co. v. City of Richmond, 45 Cal. App. 4th 897, 904-909 (1996). RAL's bid substantially complied with all of the District's bid specifications and California regulatory and statutory authority. In other words, any variation that could be said to exist between the District's bid specifications and RAL's bid are not as to any specification that could have affected the bid price or given RAL an advantage not available to all other bidders.

A. **RAL Properly Executed The Affidavit For Corporate Subcontractor.**

RAL indisputably complied with section 7106 of the California Public Contracts Code by submitting the Affidavit For Corporate Contractor at page XXI of the District's bid packet.

Section 7106 of the Public Contracts Code requires that "[e]very bid on every public works contract of a public entity shall include a declaration under penalty of perjury under the law of the State of California, in the following form: [statutory language consistent with the "Affidavit For Corporate Contractor" at page XXI of the District's bid packet]." The Code is silent as to how the corporate contractor must bind itself to the affidavit – it merely requires an attestation under penalty of perjury.

RAL substantially complied with section 7106. RAL's bid included the same Affidavit For Corporate Contractor submitted with Mamco's bid. The only difference was that RAL's bid included attached a California All-Purpose Acknowledgment attesting to the authenticity of RAL's Vice President, Keith Adamek's signature. RAL attached said Acknowledgment and referenced it in the signature line of the Affidavit For Corporate

Contractor with the intention of binding RAL to the sworn statements in the Affidavit. Mamco arbitrarily concludes without presenting any legal authority in support that as presented the Affidavit For Corporate Contractor submitted with RAL's bid is void. That is simply not the case. The statute does not prescribe a specific manner to authenticate the affiant's signature.

Finally, Mamco refers to some unknown project from almost 10 years ago to incorrectly suggest that there is precedent for its argument that RAL's bid must be rejected because Mamco's bid on said nearly 10 year old project was rejected for want of the non-collusion affidavit required by section 7106. While RAL does not have and therefore has not reviewed the bid packet to which Mamco refers, the facts as Mamco presents them are clearly distinguishable. According to Mamco its bid was rejected because it did not contain an executed non-collusion affidavit. That is different than the situation presented in RAL's bid, wherein it clearly indicated intent to bind itself to the form and content of the Affidavit For Corporate Contractor.

Thus, Mamco's objection to the manner in which RAL submitted the non-collusion declaration required by section 7106 of the Public Contracts Code does not support granting Mamco's bid protest.

B. RAL's Bid Was Properly Executed And Attested.

There is nothing wrong with the manner in which RAL's bid was executed and attested.

1. RAL's Corporate Seal Was Affixed To Its Bid.

RAL submitted its bid with its corporate seal in the proper location on page XVd of the bid packet, which fact can be confirmed by reference to the District's file. The copy of RAL's bid that Mamco obtained from the District does not have RAL's corporate seal – this likely occurred during duplication of the bid documents. Supporting this possibility, the copy of Mamco's bid that RAL obtained in preparing this response to Mamco's bid protest is similarly devoid of Mamco's corporate seal (Exhibit A).

2. Keith Adamek Is Authorized To Bind RAL Such That The Bid Was Properly Attested.

As reflected in the attached "Amendment to By-Laws of RAL Investment Corporation" Keith Adamek, RAL's Vice President is authorized "to obligate the Corporation into contractual agreements with all entities. . ." (Exhibit B). Thus, Mr. Adamek properly attested to RAL's bid on behalf of the corporation.

Mamco speculates without any basis in fact that Mr. Adamek was not authorized to bind the corporation, and that therefore RAL was afforded an advantage not afforded to other bidders in that it could back out of the bid at any time by disavowing Mr. Adamek's

attestation on RAL's behalf. Mamco's speculation is demonstrably false and does not support its bid protest. Mr. Adamek bound RAL, which did not have any ability to back out of its bid once it was accepted by the District.

Clearly, there is no issue with the manner and form of RAL's attestation of its bid.

C. RAL's Bid Was Neither Skewed Nor Unbalanced.

Mamco speculates again to suggest that RAL's bid was in some way skewed or unbalanced. As with the sufficiency of RAL's attestation, Mamco's speculation is unsupported by fact.

Without a shred of supporting evidence Mamco hypothesizes that because RAL identified subcontractor Southwest V-Ditch as performing a portion of the mobilization cost, that RAL purportedly "clearly and intentionally skewed its bid. . . ." That's simply not the case. The fact is that in its bid to RAL, Southwest V-Ditch bid a certain cost for mobilization. As is right and proper, RAL thus identified that part of its mobilization costs bid was for work to be performed by Southwest V-Ditch. None of RAL's other subcontractors included a cost for mobilization, thus no such costs were reflected by RAL's bid as associated with any other subcontractor.

There is simply no evidence supporting Mamco's baseless charge that RAL has improperly skewed its bid.

D. RAL's Experience Compared With Mamco's Is Irrelevant In Awarding RAL The Subject Contracts.

The only question for the District is whether the experience RAL presented supports the conclusion that it can perform the contracts. The District's bid package requested that RAL identify projects that it has satisfactorily completed *within the past three years* similar in the type and magnitude as the specifications set forth in the District's bid solicitation. RAL did so. The District correctly determined that this information was sufficient to show that RAL could perform the contracts in question. That is the only relevant inquiry. Mamco's bid protest suggests the District should consider Mamco's experience relative to RAL's – a consideration expressly barred by California law. See Inglewood-L.A. County Civic Auth. v. Superior Court, 7 Cal. 3d 861, 867-870 (1972).

Finally on this point, Mamco "recommend[s]" to the District that it look into a number of aspects of RAL's bid. RAL is certain that the District has already addressed this inquiry, and is satisfied that RAL can perform the Projects according to its bid. If, however, the District has any remaining questions RAL is pleased to provide any additional information the District may require.

II. Mamco's Cited Legal Authority Further Supports The District's Decision To Award RAL The Bidded Contracts.

After unsuccessfully reaching for any factual basis that could possibly support its protest, Mamco cites caselaw that actually weighs against its protest.

Mamco correctly states that a responsive bid must conform to the material terms of the bid package; that a bid that differs materially from the bid specifications must be rejected as non-responsive; that typically material terms of a bid are those that affect price, quantity, quality, or delivery and those terms that the bid package clearly identifies as mandatory; and that a bid fails to comply materially with the bid package if the bidder has an unfair competitive advantage over other bidders. (See Mamco's bid protest at page 6.)

Entirely missing from Mamco's protest is any example of a material nonconformance in RAL's bid. This is because RAL's bid materially conforms with the District's specifications. In other words, RAL's bid is responsive to the District's specifications affecting price, quantity, quality, and delivery along with specifications the District has identified as mandatory.

The only example Mamco offered as a legitimate basis to grant its protest – the claim that RAL “was provided an unfair advantage not afforded to other bidders by maintain[ing] the ability to backout [sic] of its bid anytime should it so chose [sic] prior to executing the contract[.]” because, Mamco contends, Keith Adamek is not authorized to bind RAL to its bid – is demonstrably false. As established by the amendment to RAL Investment Corporation's by-laws attached hereto as Exhibit “B,” Mr. Adamek, as RAL's Vice President could *and did* bind RAL to its bid by executing the bid documents.

Any of the items identified in Mamco's bid protest that could possibly be said to be a deviation in RAL's bid from the District's bid specifications are not material – they do not affect the price, quantity, quality, or delivery of the Projects.

III. Conclusion.

In light of the foregoing, RAL hereby demands that the District reject Mamco's bid protest without further process. Mamco has not set out a cognizable basis to believe that a hearing would result in a different result than what the District has already concluded. RAL was the lowest responsible bidder. Mamco's factually unsupported protestations to the contrary cannot change that.

However, if the District does determine that a hearing is required before making a final determination, RAL respectfully requests that the District give RAL adequate notice of any such hearing, or of any meeting at which the subject Projects and/or the award of the subject contracts is to be addressed.

David Garcia PE, CFM
Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District
May 1, 2019
Page 6

Thank you for your consideration. Should you have any questions or comments, please let me know.

Very truly yours,

SULLIVAN HILL REZ & ENGEL
A Professional Law Corporation

By: 
Shailendra U. Kulkarni

SUK/YN

Enclosures: Page XVd of Mamco's Bid Package (Exhibit A); Amendment to By-Laws of RAL Investment Corporation (Exhibit B)

CC: Jason E. Uhley, General Manager – Chief Engineer Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District

Claudio Padres, Chief of Design & Construction Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District

RAL Investment Corporation (*via electronic mail*)

EXHIBIT A

PROPOSAL contd.

SECT. NO.	ITEM NO.	ITEM OF WORK	UNIT	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	TOTAL COST
32	81.	Groundwater Testing	EACH	10	\$ 1,750-	\$ 17,500-
32	82.	Contaminated Groundwater Management (without Discharge)	GAL	3,000	\$ 10-	\$ 30,000-
32	83.	Soil Testing	EACH	15	\$ 250-	\$ 3,750-
32	84.	Contaminated Soil Disposal	C.Y.	30	\$ 275-	\$ 8,250-


TOTAL COST

(State in Figures)

\$ 7,744,444-

For the Total Bid Proposal of:

Mamco, Inc. dba Alabbasi Construction
Name of Contractor


Signature of Contractor's authorized representative

Name: Rumzi Alabbasi

Title: Vice President

764 Ramona Expressway, Suite C
Address

72-1535984
S.S.N. or E.I.N.

Perris, CA 92571
City, State, Zip

883649-A
Contractor's License No. and Classification

951-776-9300 951-776-0404
Telephone Number Fax Number

1000003024
Contractor's DIR Registration No.

estimating@alabbasi.biz
Email

If bidder is a corporation, corporate seal and attestation shall be provided below.

Dated: 4/2/19




EXHIBIT B

**RAL Investment Corporation
11696 Sorrento Valley Rd Suite 200
San Diego, CA 92121**

**AMENDMENT TO BY-LAWS
of
RAL Investment Corporation**

By resolution of the Board of Directors and by resolution of the Shareholders the following Amendments, each pursuant to Article X, Section 1, **amend and add** to the Bylaws as follows:

Article VI, Section 1:

Number: 1. The Roles of the Board of Directors are assigned to individuals as follows:

Ricardo Andres Lopez: Chairman of the Board, CEO, COO, Secretary, President, Shareholder

Alec Ricardo Lopez: Treasurer, CFO, Shareholder

Alan Ricardo Lopez: Executive Vice-President, Shareholder

Domingo Jorge Lopez: Shareholder


Article VI, Section 3:

Number: 1. The Executive Vice President and Vice President of Construction shall have the ability to obligate the Corporation into contractual agreements with all entities or person, on the condition that they be duly employed by the Corporation at the time of obligating the contractual agreement.


Article VI, Section 5:

Number: 1. The Executive Vice President shall have the ability to arrange for the disbursement of funds as directed by the Treasurer and/or CFO.


Dated this day, 8th of August, 2017.




Ricardo A. Lopez
Chairman of the Board



Alec R. Lopez
Treasurer



Alan R. Lopez
Executive VP



Domingo J. Lopez
Shareholder

JASON E. UHLEY
General Manager-Chief Engineer



1995 MARKET STREET
RIVERSIDE, CA 92501
951.955.1200
FAX 951.788.9965
www.rcflood.org

RIVERSIDE COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL
AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT

May 13, 2019

Mr. Keith Adamek
RAL Investment Corporation
dba Silverstrand Construction
10065 Old Grove Road, Suite 200
San Diego, CA 92131

Dear Mr. Adamek:

Re: Bid Proposal for
South Norco Channel, Stage 6,
Norco MDP Line S-1, Stage 1, and
Norco MDP Line S-5, Stage 1
Project Nos. 2-0-00150, 2-0-00163,
and 2-0-00165

On April 3, 2019, the Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District (District) opened bids for the above-referenced project, and your company was the apparent low bidder. Following that date, the District began reviewing the bids for completeness and conformance with the applicable laws and requirements. Based on this review, your bid has been deemed by the District as non-responsive due to material irregularities; specifically, the Affidavit for Corporate Contractor/Non-Collusion was not signed.

Public Contract Code Section 7106 requires the affidavit to be executed under penalty of perjury and submitted with the Bid. Without a signature on the affidavit, the affidavit itself is not executed and, therefore, cannot be acknowledged. The District notes that a notary acknowledgement was included in the bid package, however, the notary acknowledgement does not identify what document it applies to and, therefore, it cannot serve as evidence of proper execution of the referenced affidavit.

As a separate issue, the District received emails from your counsel dated April 22, 2019 and May 13, 2019 requesting all correspondence with Mamco, Inc. dba Alabbasi Construction (Mamco) concerning the bid protest. The records requested have been previously provided. The emails also asked for the opportunity to participate in any hearing that would consider the bid protest submitted by Mamco. The procedure for a bid protest is outlined in Instructions to Bidders Section 3.3 of the Plans and Specifications. The procedure does not require nor does the District intend to hold a hearing to consider the Mamco bid protest.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Claudio M. Padres".

CLAUDIO M. PADRES
Chief of Design and Construction Division

c: Sullivan Hill Rez & Engel
Attn: Shailendra Kulkarni
ec: Kristine Bell-Valdez
Bob Cullen
David Garcia

P8\225658

SullivanHill

Sullivan Hill Rez & Engel
A Professional Law Corporation

RECEIVED
MAY 22 2019

RIVERSIDE COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL
AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT

600 B Street
Suite 1700
San Diego, CA 92101
☎ 619.233.4100
✉ 619.231.4372
sullivanhill.com

SHAILENDRA U. KULKARNI
KULKARNI@SULLIVANHILL.COM
619.595.3204

May 20, 2019

VIA CERTIFIED MAIL AND ELECTRONIC MAIL

Jason E. Uhley
General Manager-Chief Engineer
Riverside County Flood Control and Water
Conservation District
1995 Market Street
Riverside, CA 92501

**Re: South Norco Channel, Stage 6, Norco MDP Line s-1, Stage 1, and
Norco MDP Line S-5, Stage 1**

**Response to District Concurrence With Mamco, Inc. d/b/a Alabbasi
Construction and Engineering Bid Protest**

Formal Notice of Appeal to Riverside County Board of Supervisors

District Project Nos.: 2-0-00150; 2-0-00163; and 2-0-00165
Our Client: RAL Investment Corp. d/b/a RAL Construction

Dear Mr. Uhley:

As you know, this firm represents RAL Investment Corp. d/b/a Silverstrand Construction ("RAL") in connection with the bid protest submitted by Mamco, Inc. d/b/a Al Abbasi Construction ("Mamco") with respect to the public works construction project commonly known as the "South Norco Channel, Stage 6, Norco MDP Line S-1, Stage 1, and Norco MDP Line S-5, Stage 1" (the "Project").

The purpose of this correspondence is to a) request that the Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District (the "District") reconsider and reverse its May 13, 2019, decision letter granting Mamco's bid protest as to the alleged "non-responsiveness" of the "Non-Collusion Affidavit" submitted by RAL as part of its bid (the "Decision Letter"), and, in the alternative, b) formally demand, pursuant to Article 3, Subsection 3.3.4 of the "Instructions to Bidders" section of the bid specifications issued by the District concerning the Project (the "Bid Specifications"), that the Riverside County

Board of Supervisors (the "Board of Supervisors") review and overturn the District's Bid Protest Decision in light of the legal authorities cited hereinbelow.

I. Factual background.

On or about February 26, 2019, the District caused to be published the "Notice Inviting Bids to Contractors" concerning the Project. Therein, the District represented that it would accept bids for the Project until 2:00 p.m. on April 3, 2019, at which point the bids would be opened and the Project would be awarded to the lowest responsive, responsible bidder.

With respect to the requirement that a bidding contractor submit a "Non-Collusion Declaration" as part of its bid, Section 1.10 of the "Instructions to Bidders" provided as follows:

There are a number of forms and other documents required as submittals by the Bidder during the various stages of the project. The following table provides a checklist of submittals required by the Bidder as requested in the Contract Documents.

* * *

Non-Collusion Declaration

Instructions to Bidders at p. VI.

Also included with the Bid Specifications was a blank form entitled "Affidavit for Corporate Contractor" which was to serve as the format of the "Non-Collusion Declaration" to be used by corporate entities such as RAL. Instructions to Bidders at p. XXI. As will be discussed, *infra*, although the referenced "Affidavit for Corporate Contractor" provided by the District called for such "Affidavit" to be signed and notarized by each bidding contractor, the notarization requirement was eliminated by the California Legislature as part of its 2011 amendment to Cal. Pub. Cont. Code § 7106, the California Public Contracting Code section setting forth the required language of "Non-Collusion Declarations." In this way, the "Affidavit for Corporate Contractor" form provided by the District in the Bid Specifications purported to require bidding contractors to perform an act directly contrary to California law.

The District's reference to a "Non-Collusion Declaration" at Section 1.10 of the "Instructions to Bidders" and its inclusion of the above-referenced "Affidavit for Corporate Contractor" form represent the entirety of the Bid Specifications' directions concerning the "Non-Collusion Declaration" requirement. Importantly, nowhere did the Bid Specifications specify a particular manner in which bidding contractors were required to execute such "Non-Collusion Declaration," nor did such Bid Specifications forbid bidding contractors from utilizing any particular method of executing same. As such, in the absence of such

further prescriptions or proscriptions, the Bid Specifications merely required that bidding contractors utilize any method of executing the "Non-Collusion Declaration" that complied with California law.

In keeping with this principle, as part of its bid, rather than having the provided "Affidavit for Corporate Controller" form executed and notarized on the form itself, RAL opted to a) write in "Please see Attached" on the "Affidavit for Corporate Controller" form, and b) physically attach a signed and notarized "California All-Purpose Acknowledgment" form to same.

Consistent with the "Notice Inviting Bids to Contractors," the bids for the Project were opened at approximately 2:00 p.m. on April 3, 2019. It is undisputed that RAL's bid was determined at that time to be the lowest bid submitted, with a total bid price of \$7,628,662.84. Mamco's bid was the next-lowest bid submitted, with a total bid price of base price of \$7,744,444 -- *i.e.*, approximately \$115,781.16 more than RAL's bid.

On or about April 5, 2019, Mamco issued bid protest correspondence to the District (the "Bid Protest Letter"). Therein, among several other irrelevant and/or wholly unfounded legal arguments offered by Mamco as to RAL's bid, Mamco contended that the manner by which RAL executed the "Affidavit for Corporate Contractor" form as part of its bid somehow rendered RAL's bid "non-responsive."

On or about May 13, 2019, the District -- through its General Manager-Chief Engineer, Jason E. Uhley -- issued the Decision Letter. Therein, the District apparently agreed with Mamco's Bid Protest Letter, reasoning that RAL's "bid has been deemed by the District as non-responsive due to material irregularities; specifically, the Affidavit for Corporate Contractor/Non-Collusion was not signed." Id.

However, because any alleged irregularity concerning RAL's submission of the "Non-Collusion Declaration" as part of its bid is "inconsequential" -- such that RAL's bid should be deemed substantially "responsive" pursuant to California law -- the District should reverse its prior Decision Letter and issue a Notice of Intent to Award the contract for the Project to RAL.

In the alternative -- and only to the extent that the District insists upon requiring slavish adherence to an "Affidavit for Corporate Contractor" form that facially violates Cal. Pub. Cont. Code § 7106 -- the District should reject all bids and rebid the Project with Bid Specifications (including, specifically, an updated "Affidavit for Corporate Contractor" form) that do not violate California public bid law.

II. The District should reverse its Decision Letter and award the Project to RAL because RAL's bid substantially complies with the "Non-Collusion Declaration" requirement of the Bid Specifications.

A. RAL's bid is "responsive" to the "Non-Collusion Declaration" requirement of the Bid Specifications because the documents submitted by RAL constitute a "legally enforceable" "Non-Collusion Declaration."

Although the District's Decision Letter suggests that RAL's submission of its signed and notarized "California All-Purpose Acknowledgment" contemporaneously with the "Affidavit for Corporate Contractor" form provided by the District somehow renders RAL's bid "non-responsive due to material irregularities," that contention is not supported by applicable California public bid law.

The court rejected an argument nearly identical to that offered herein by Mamco in Bay Cities Paving & Grading, Inc. v. City of San Leandro, 223 Cal. App. 4th 1181 (2014). Therein, the aggrieved second-lowest bidder ("Bay Cities") initiated a bid protest action seeking to disqualify the bid of the low bidder ("G&B") on the ground that G&B had failed to satisfy the statutory requirement under Cal. Pub. Cont. Code § 20170 that a bid bond be physically included with G&B's sealed bid. In submitting its bid, G&B had included the second page of the two (2)-page form bid bond, but had inadvertently omitted the first page. The awarding entity ("City of San Leandro") had determined that G&B's omission of the first page of the bid bond was an inconsequential deviation from the bid bond requirement of the bid specifications. Bay Cities challenged such determination.

In holding that the City of San Leandro acted properly in determining that G&B had "substantially complied" with the bid bond requirement, the court expressly rejected Bay Cities' statutory violation argument as follows:

Providing a bid security was both a specification of this project as well as a requirement imposed by law. (See, e.g., San Leandro Mun. Code, § 1-5-220; Pub. Contract Code, §§ 20170, 20171.). However, the City determined that the missing page of the G&B bond was an inconsequential deviation from the bidding requirements for this project because the second page of that two-page bid bond, which was included in G&B's original sealed bid package, provided sufficient information to assure the City that G&B had complied with the bid security requirement.

Bay Cities, 223 Cal. App. 4th at 1189-90 (emphasis added) (citations omitted). The Bay Cities court went on to clarify that the applicable legal question governing G&B's substantial compliance with the "bid bond" requirement was "whether the material submitted *at bid opening* would constitute a legally enforceable bid bond." Id. at 1195 (quotations omitted) (italics in original).

Thus, pursuant to Bay Cities, to the extent that the bid documents submitted by RAL, taken together, constitute a "legally enforceable" "Non-Collusion Declaration," any purported deviation by RAL from the "Non-Collusion Declaration" requirement of the Bid Specifications is "inconsequential" within the meaning of California public bid law.

B. The bid documents submitted by RAL constitute a "legally enforceable" "Non-Collusion Declaration."

Applying the rationale of Bay Cities to the instant case, there is no question that the "Affidavit of Corporate Contractor" submitted by RAL as part of its bid "constitute[s] a legally enforceable" "Non-Collusion Declaration."

The court confirmed the enforceability of a "Non-Collusion Declaration" under remarkably similar circumstances to those present here in People v. Resolute Ins. Co., 46 Cal. App. 3d 249 (1975). In Resolute, at issue was whether a "Non-Collusion Declaration" submitted by a surety ("Resolute") as part of a noticed motion seeking to vacate the forfeiture of a bail bond issued by Resolute was enforceable despite certain alleged technical defects in such declaration. Although Resolute had issued the bail bond in connection with a bail hearing for a criminal defendant ("Saltz"), Saltz had subsequently "skipped bail." When the criminal court thereafter ordered that the Resolute bail bond be forfeited, Resolute responded by filing a motion to vacate such forfeiture (*i.e.*, to avoid having the Court keep the bail bond) on the ground, *inter alia*, that Resolute and Saltz had not colluded in Saltz's failure to appear in court to face the criminal charge. As such, as part of the motion papers filed by Resolute, Resolute included a) a properly-executed declaration under penalty of perjury attesting to the fact that Saltz had failed to appear at the appointed date and time, with an instruction for the reader to "See Attached," and b) a "Non-Collusion Declaration" for the purpose of establishing the absence of such collusion.

The District Attorney's office thereafter opposed Resolute's motion to vacate on the ground that the "Non-Collusion Declaration" contained certain technical defects that allegedly rendered it unenforceable -- namely, that the declaration was not dated and did not indicate that it was executed in California. In holding that the "Non-Collusion Declaration" was, in fact, enforceable as a matter of law, the Resolute court explained as follows:

The notice of motion filed by Resolute consisted of a package of papers . . . It is at least arguable that the "See Attached" document, signed and dated in Los Angeles under penalty of perjury, encompassed the undated "Non-Collusion Affidavit." In any event, . . . the declaration is repetitious of the surety's sworn statements in the "Surety's Declaration" . . .

Resolute, 46 Cal. App. 3d 249, 255-56.

Consistent with Resolute, other California courts have repeatedly held that declarations containing purported technical defects were nonetheless wholly enforceable under California law when submitted contemporaneously with other documents containing sworn declarations under penalty of perjury executed by the same party. See Hearn v. Howard, 177 Cal. App. 4th 1193, 1204 (2009) (holding that unsigned declaration submitted contemporaneously with other document that was "properly executed under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California" was legally enforceable); Hicks v. Hicks, 264 Cal. App. 2d 890 (1968) (giving unsigned declaration legal effect as signed declaration when submitted contemporaneously with other properly signed and dated documents).

In light of the foregoing, even to the extent that RAL's submission of its "Affidavit for Corporate Contractor" form contemporaneously with RAL's properly signed and notarized "California All-Purpose Acknowledgment" could potentially be construed as a deviation from the requirements of the Bid Specifications, such deviation should be regarded as "inconsequential" pursuant to Bay Cities, Resolute, and the other California authorities cited hereinabove.

Here, as in Resolute, RAL's bid "consisted of a package of papers" -- of which the "Affidavit for Corporate Contractor" form was merely a component part. Similarly, as in Resolute, RAL's "Affidavit for Corporate Contractor" form also contained a written instruction to "see attached," thereby further incorporating by reference the other documents submitted contemporaneously therewith into such form.

Moreover, as in both Resolute and Hearn, the other documents submitted contemporaneously with RAL's "Affidavit for Corporate Contractor" form reflected the commitment of RAL to be bound by the various declarations and attestations contained within such bid documents. These indications of RAL's assent to be bound included the declaration of RAL's Vice President, Keith Adamek, under penalty of perjury, on RAL's "Statement of Licensure."

Thus, because the component parts of the bid documents submitted by RAL together constitute a "legally enforceable" "Non-Collusion Declaration" within the context of Resolute, Hearn, and other similar authorities, any alleged deviation in RAL's bid from the Bid Specifications regarding such "Non-Collusion Declaration" is "inconsequential" within the meaning of Bay Cities.

C. Equitable considerations weight heavily in favor of regarding any alleged irregularities concerning RAL's "Non-Collusion Declaration" as "inconsequential."

It would be particularly appropriate for the District to regard any alleged irregularities concerning RAL's "Non-Collusion Declaration" as "inconsequential" in light of the noncompliance of the District's own "Affidavit of Corporate Contractor" form with Cal. Pub. Cont. Code § 7106, the California Public Contracting Code section applicable to such declarations.

Whereas the "Affidavit of Corporate Contractor" form provided by the District purports to require that bidding contractors execute *and notarize* such "Affidavit" in order to comply with the "Non-Collusion Declaration" requirement of the Bid Specifications, such requirements no longer comply with California law pursuant to the 2011 amendments to Cal. Pub. Cont. Code § 7106. The post-2011 language of Cal. Pub. Cont. Code § 7106 now dictates a) that public entities are no longer permitted to require that bidding contractors' non-collusion submittals be presented in the form of a notarized affidavit, and b) that such non-collusion submittals must instead take the form of a "declaration under penalty of perjury." *Id.*

Cal. Pub. Cont. Code § 7106 also makes clear that the requirement that a non-collusion submittal take the form of a declaration -- as opposed to an affidavit -- is mandatory: "*Every bid on every public works contract of a public entity shall include a declaration under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California, in the following form . . .*" Emphasis added.

The Bid Specifications formulated by the District appear to acknowledge the "declaration" requirement of the amended Cal. Pub. Cont. Code § 7106, as Section 1.10 of the "Instructions to Bidders" refers to a "Non-Collusion Declaration" -- as opposed to a "Non-Collusion Affidavit." Instructions to Bidders at p. VI (emphasis added). Notwithstanding such acknowledgment, the District nevertheless opted to include in the Bid Specifications an "Affidavit of Corporate Contractor" form that not only a) has apparently not been updated in almost ten (10) years, but also b) purports to require bidding contractors to perform an act that Cal. Pub. Cont. Code § 7106 was specifically amended in 2011 to eliminate as a requirement.

Within the foregoing context, in addition to representing a proper application of California law pursuant to Bay Cities, Resolute, and the other authorities cited above, a waiver by the District of any alleged irregularities concerning RAL's "Non-Collusion Declaration" as "inconsequential" is also supported by equitable considerations. Stated simply, it would be patently unfair for the District to require RAL to slavishly adhere to the requirements of the Bid Specifications regarding non-collusion submittals when such purported requirements reflect the District's own negligent failure to update its bid forms in order to reflect changes to Cal. Pub. Cont. Code § 7106. This is doubly true where, as here, the District's insistence on such a hyper-technical reading of the Bid Specifications

would also result in the unnecessary expenditure of \$115,781.16 more in public funds for the exact same work.

III. In the alternative, the District should reject all bids and re-bid the Project with updated Bid Specifications that do not violate California public bid law.

Contracts that are contrary to express provisions of law are void. Bovard v. Am. Horse Enters., Inc., 201 Cal. App. 3d 832 (1988). A publicly-bid contract awarded without strict compliance with statutes applicable to the bidding process is unenforceable as a matter of law. Miller v. McKinnon, 20 Cal. 2d 83 (1942). "[T]he letting of public contracts universally receives close judicial scrutiny and contracts awarded without strict compliance with bidding requirements will be set aside." Konica Bus. Machs. U.S.A. v. Regents of Univ. of Cal., 206 Cal. App. 3d 449, 456 (1988).

As set forth above, because Bay Cities makes clear that the District may validly exercise its discretion to declare RAL's bid "responsive" so long as "the material submitted at bid opening would constitute a legally enforceable ["Non-Collusion Declaration"]" [Bay Cities, 223 Cal. App. 4th at 1195 (quotations omitted) (italics in original)], and because the bid documents submitted by RAL together constitute a "legally enforceable" "Non-Collusion Declaration" within the context of Resolute, Hearn, and other similar authorities, the District would be wholly justified in awarding the contract for the Project to RAL.

However, to the extent that the District insists upon demanding that bidders such as RAL adhere to the stated requirement of submitting a *notarized affidavit* -- as opposed to a mere declaration -- in order to comply with the non-collusion submittal requirements of the Bid Specifications, such insistence would constitute a direct violation of Cal. Pub. Cont. Code § 7106, which would thus render the Bid Specifications (and the contract, as a whole) void and unenforceable as a matter of law pursuant to Miller and Konica.

In that circumstance, the only manner in which the District could save the contract from unenforceability would be to a) reject all bids, b) revise the District's Bid Specifications in order to bring them into compliance with current California law,¹ and c) re-bid the Project utilizing such updated Bid Specifications.

¹ Again, because the language of the District's "Affidavit of Corporate Contractor" form tracks the pre-2011 language of Cal. Pub. Cont. Code § 7106, it is extremely likely that the District's standard-form bid specifications have failed to comply with the express, mandatory provisions of Cal. Pub. Cont. Code § 7106 for what is now the better part of a decade. This means that every public works contract awarded by the District since January 1, 2012, is likely subject to legal challenge for being void and unenforceable as a matter of California law. Within this context, regardless of the outcome of the instant bid protest by Mamco, the District would be very wise to update its standard-form bid documents.

IV. In the event that the District refuses to award the Project to RAL, RAL demands that the Board of Supervisors review and overturn the District's Bid Protest Decision.

RAL's right to appeal a decision of the District to the Board of Supervisors is set forth in Article 3, Subsection 3.3.4 of the "Instructions to Bidders" section of the Bid Specifications, which provides, in pertinent part: "The written decision of the General Manager-Chief Engineer or his/her designee shall be final, unless overturned by the Board of Supervisors."

RAL again reiterates that the District would be completely legally justified in reversing its Decision Letter and declaring RAL the lowest "responsive" bidder for the Project in light of the new information contained in this letter (and the relevant legal authorities discussed herein).

However, in the event that the District opts not to reverse its prior Decision Letter, RAL hereby appeals the Decision Letter pursuant to Article 3, Subsection 3.3.4 of the "Instructions to Bidders." RAL further demands that a hearing before the Board of Supervisors be set in this matter so that RAL can be afforded notice and a meaningful opportunity to be heard concerning such appeal. RAL reserves all rights.

V. Conclusion.

Based on the foregoing, RAL hereby demands that the District reconsider and reverse its Decision Letter and award the contract for the Project to RAL as the lowest "responsive" bidder.

In the alternative, pursuant to Article 3, Subsection 3.3.4 of the "Instructions to Bidders," RAL hereby formally appeals the Decision Letter and demands that the Board of Supervisors review and overturn the District's Decision Letter in light of the legal authorities cited hereinabove. In connection with such appeal, RAL further demands that a hearing before the Board of Supervisors be set in this matter so that RAL can be afforded notice and a meaningful opportunity to be heard concerning such appeal. RAL reserves all rights.

Jason E. Uhley
Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District
May 20, 2019
Page 10

With kind regards, I remain,

Very truly yours,

SULLIVAN HILL REZ & ENGEL
A Professional Law Corporation

By: 
Shailendra U. Kulkarni

SUK/YN

CC: Claudio M. Padres -- Chief of Design and Construction Division, Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District (*via electronic mail*)

Gregory P. Priamos, Esq. -- Department Head, Riverside County Counsel (*via electronic mail*)

George Johnson -- County Executive Officer (*via electronic mail and certified mail*)

Kevin Jeffries -- Chairman, Riverside County Board of Supervisors/First District Supervisor, Riverside County Board of Supervisors (*via electronic mail*)


Karen Spiegel -- Second District Supervisor, Riverside County Board of Supervisors (*via electronic mail*)

Chuck Washington -- Third District Supervisor, Riverside County Board of Supervisors (*via electronic mail*)

V. Manuel Perez -- Vice Chairman, Riverside County Board of Supervisors/Fourth District Supervisor, Riverside County Board of Supervisors (*via electronic mail*)

Jeff Hewitt -- Fifth District Supervisor, Riverside County Board of Supervisors (*via electronic mail*)

RAL Investment Corporation (*via electronic mail*)



OFFICE OF COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

3960 ORANGE STREET, SUITE 500
RIVERSIDE, CA 92501-3674
TELEPHONE: 951/955-6300
FAX: 951/955-6322 & 951/955-6363

June 5, 2019

SullivanHill
Shailendra U. Kulkarni
600 B Street, Suite 1700
San Diego, CA 92101

RE: Response to Bid Protest Letter
Request for Proposal Project No. 2-0-00150
South Norco Channel, Stage 6, Norco MDP Line S-1, Stage 1 and Norco MDP Line S-5,
Stage 1

Dear Ms. Kulkarni:

The Riverside County Flood and Water Conservation District is in receipt of your protest letters regarding the above referenced RFP dated May 1, 2019 and May 20, 2019. This letter is in response to the Response to Alabbasi Construction and Engineering's (dba Mamco, Inc.) bid protest regarding Project No. 2-0-00150 South Norco Channel, Stage 6, Norco MDP Line S-1, Stage 1 and Norco MDP Line S-5, Stage 1 ("RFP"). With regard to your letter, the County has reviewed issues you presented, and the County provides the following response to your complaint.

In preparation of this response, reviews were completed of the solicitation, proposals and proposal attachments received for the solicitation, bid protest and other documents pertinent to the RFP.

Following a thorough review of the issues and concerns presented, the County finds that RAL Investment Corp., response to the bid protest is unmerited and does not warrant any further action. RAL Investment Corp's response to the protest has been determined to be without sufficient basis and is being denied.

In your letter is a request for notice of any hearing related to the subject project. This request will be treated as an appeal. The appeal is an internal procedure in which the General Manager, or designee, will review all documents and reconsider the protest, response to protest and determinations, there will not be a hearing. A letter with the results of the General Manager's review will be sent to you.


SullivanHill
Shailendra U. Kulkarni
June 5, 2019
Page 2

Please be advised that the award of this contract will ultimately be done before the Flood Control Board of Supervisors. The meeting allows any member of the public to speak on a specific agenda item. The date for this item has not been set, however it will be available at <https://www.rivcocob.org/agendas-and-minutes/>. The documents submitted to by the District will be available as a link within the agenda.

If you have any questions or comments, please feel free to contact this office.

Sincerely,

GREGORY P. PRIAMOS
County Counsel

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'K. Bell-Valdez', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Kristine Bell-Valdez
Supervising Deputy County Counsel

JASON E. UHLEY
General Manager-Chief Engineer



1995 MARKET STREET
RIVERSIDE, CA 92501
951.955.1200
FAX 951.788.9965
www.rcflood.org

RIVERSIDE COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL
AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT

June 17, 2019

SullivanHill
Shailendra U. Kulkarni, Esq.
600 B Street, Suite 1700
San Diego, CA 92101

Dear Ms. Kulkarni:

Re: Response to Bid Protest Appeal Statement
South Norco Channel, Stage 6, Norco
MDP Line S-1, Stage 1 and Norco MDP
Line S-5, Stage 1 Project No. 2-0-00150

I am responding to your letter dated May 20, 2019 regarding South Norco Channel, Stage 6, Norco MDP Line S-1, Stage 1 and Norco MDP Line S-5, Stage 1, Project No. 2-0-00150. Your letter is being treated as a protest pursuant to Provision 3.3.4 of the Instructions to Bidders on the above referenced project and, thus, has been forwarded to me for review.

In evaluating your protest, I have reviewed your above referenced letter, all pertinent documents submitted with your bid, the response determination provided by the Chief of Design and Construction, and have conferred with the Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District's (District) counsel. This review is limited to only those matters brought forward to the District in your letter dated May 20, 2019; any new issues or positions taken subsequent to that letter cannot be considered.

After careful review of all the documentation relating to this protest, I have found that the Chief of Design and Construction's decision was not in error. I affirm the decision made by the Chief of Design and Construction and hereby deny your protest.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "J. Uhley", written over the typed name and title.

JASON E. UHLEY
General Manager-Chief Engineer

CMP:bad
P8/226117

**SOUTH NORCO CHANNEL, STAGE 6,
NORCO MDP LINE S-1, STAGE 1, AND NORCO MDP LINE S-5, STAGE 1
PROJECT NOS. 2-0-00150, 2-0-00163, and 2-0-00165**



This Project will improve the existing earthen channel (South Norco Channel, Stage 6) to ultimate capacity and construct new underground storm drains (Norco MDP Line S-1 and S-5) totaling two miles in length. Once completed, the project will reduce flooding in the area, remove the floodplain between Second and Fourth Streets east of Corona Avenue, provide improved access to Norco Intermediate School and Norco High School during storm events, and serve as the backbone for future storm drain facilities to connect to once they are built.


Bid Summary

Riverside County Flood Control & Water Conservation District
 1995 Market St. Riverside, CA 92501 Phone: 951.955.1200 Fax: 951.788.9965

Project Name: SOUTH NORCO CHANNEL, STAGE 6, NORCO MDP LINE S-1, STAGE 1, AND NORCO MDP LINE S-5, STAGE 1

Project Number: 2-0-0150-06

Bid Open Date: 04/03/2019



Total Contractor Name

Phone

\$7,628,662.84	RAE INVESTMENT CORPORATION DBA SILVERSTRAND CONSTRUCTION	10065 Old Grove Road San Diego CA 92131	858-444-1963	Non-Responsive
\$7,744,444.00	MAMCO, INC. dba ALABBASI	764 W. Ramona Expressway, Suite C Perris CA 92571	951.776.9300	
\$8,367,262.65	DDH APPLE VALLEY CONSTRUCTION, INC.	9312 Deep Creed Road Apple Valley CA 92308	760.247.4810	
\$8,697,992.00	J.M. OLVERA ENGINEERING, INC.	Post Office Box 483 Highland CA 92346	909.425.3907	
\$8,915,424.00	WEKA, INC.	27075 5th Street Highland CA 92346	909.425.8700	
\$8,953,953.00	GRANITE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY	38000 Monroe Street Indio CA 92203	760.775.7500	
\$8,964,000.00	SULLY-MILLER CONTRACTING COMPANY	135 S. State College Boulevard, Suite #400 Brea CA 92821	714.578.9600	
\$9,335,927.63	KEC ENGINEERING	200 N. Sherman Avenue Corona CA 92882	951-734-3010	
\$9,394,276.00	GRFCO, INC.	Post Office Box 7689 Moreno Valley CA 92552	951.657.8887	
\$9,777,777.77	SUKUT CONSTRUCTION, LLC	4010 West Chandler Avenue Santa Ana CA 92704	714.540.5351	
\$9,805,925.00	ORTIZ ENTERPRISES INC	6 Cushing, Suite 200 Irvine CA 92618	949.753.1414	
\$10,117,177.00	ROCKFORCE CONSTRUCTION, LLC	26772 Vista Terrace Lake Forest CA 92630	949.441.5200	
\$10,544,444.00	BOSCO CONSTRUCTORS, INC.	21353 Mayall Street Chatsworth CA 91311	818.700.0304	
\$10,697,764.50	GWINCO CONSTRUCTION & ENGINEERING, INC.	2131 S. Grove Avenue, Suite A Ontario CA 91761-4600	909.930.1693	
\$11,881,929.00	ACT 1 CONSTRUCTION, INC.	444 Sixth Street Norco CA 92860	951.735.1184	
\$11,885,857.00	LONEROCK, INC.	Post Office Box 54503 Irvine CA 92619-4503	949.310.0804	
\$12,888,551.55	GRIFFITH COMPANY	3050 E. Birch Street Brea CA 92821	714.984.5500	
\$8,362,368.00	RCFC Engineer's Estimate			


Bid Abstract

Riverside County Flood Control & Water Conservation District

1995 Market St. Riverside, CA 92501 Phone: 951.955.1200 Fax: 951.788.9965

Project Name: SOUTH NORCO CHANNEL, STAGE 6, NORCO MDP LINE S-1, STAGE 1, AND NORCO MDP LINE S-5,
Project Number: 2-0-0150-06
Bid Open Date: 4/3/2019

Page 1 of 24



Item No. & Description	Unit	Quantity	ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE		RAL INVESTMENT CORPORATION DBA SILVERSTRAND CONSTRUCTION		MAMCO, INC. dba ALABBASI	
			Unit Bid	Total Bid	Unit Bid	Total Bid	Unit Bid	Total Bid
1 MOBILIZATION	L.S.	1	\$400,000.00	\$400,000.00	\$374,586.00	\$374,586.00	\$426,763.60	\$426,763.60
2 WATER CONTROL	L.S.	1	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$101,629.00	\$101,629.00	\$12,000.00	\$12,000.00
3 TRAFFIC CONTROL	L.S.	1	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$152,193.00	\$152,193.00	\$160,000.00	\$160,000.00
4 CLEARING AND MISCELLANEOUS WORK	L.S.	1	\$350,000.00	\$350,000.00	\$204,730.00	\$204,730.00	\$125,000.00	\$125,000.00
5 TRENCH EXCAVATION	C.Y.	20990	\$15.00	\$314,850.00	\$21.51	\$451,494.90	\$17.00	\$356,830.00
6 CHANNEL EXCAVATION	C.Y.	20905	\$12.00	\$250,860.00	\$16.25	\$339,706.25	\$13.00	\$271,765.00
7 BASIN EXCAVATION	C.Y.	1221	\$8.00	\$9,768.00	\$2.88	\$3,516.48	\$10.00	\$12,210.00
8 ASPHALT CONCRETE EXCAVATION	S.F.	72493	\$1.00	\$72,493.00	\$0.55	\$39,871.15	\$0.80	\$57,994.40
9 TRENCH BACKFILL	C.Y.	9942	\$15.00	\$149,130.00	\$30.47	\$302,932.74	\$17.00	\$169,014.00
10 CHANNEL BACKFILL	C.Y.	2194	\$12.00	\$26,328.00	\$23.32	\$51,164.08	\$15.00	\$32,910.00
11 BASIN BACKFILL	C.Y.	13181	\$8.00	\$105,448.00	\$3.25	\$42,838.25	\$3.00	\$39,543.00
12 BACKFILL PREPARATION	C.Y.	500	\$10.00	\$5,000.00	\$5.00	\$2,500.00	\$3.00	\$1,500.00
13 CONTROLLED LOW STRENGTH MATERIAL (CLSM)	C.Y.	2839	\$155.00	\$440,045.00	\$110.05	\$312,431.95	\$123.00	\$349,197.00
14 SLURRY CEMENT BACKFILL	C.Y.	419	\$175.00	\$73,325.00	\$111.71	\$46,806.49	\$130.00	\$54,470.00
15 FILTER MATERIAL	C.Y.	687	\$50.00	\$34,350.00	\$54.92	\$37,730.04	\$45.00	\$30,915.00
16 EQUESTRIAN TRAIL	S.F.	3071	\$5.00	\$15,355.00	\$3.24	\$9,950.04	\$2.00	\$6,142.00
17 TRENCH SAFETY SYSTEM AND FALSEWORK	L.S.	1	\$50,000.00	\$50,000.00	\$81,527.00	\$81,527.00	\$45,000.00	\$45,000.00
18 CLASS "A" CONCRETE, REINFORCED	C.Y.	686	\$650.00	\$445,900.00	\$740.28	\$507,832.08	\$635.00	\$435,610.00
19 CONCRETE BOX	C.Y.	123	\$500.00	\$61,500.00	\$920.79	\$113,257.17	\$675.00	\$83,025.00
20 RECTANGULAR CHANNEL	C.Y.	257	\$500.00	\$128,500.00	\$337.33	\$86,693.81	\$455.00	\$116,935.00
21 CLASS "A" CONCRETE, CHANNEL SPECIAL TRANSITION	C.Y.	1890	\$350.00	\$661,500.00	\$303.27	\$573,180.30	\$355.00	\$670,950.00
22 SLOPE PAVING AND FOOTING	C.Y.	8	\$800.00	\$6,400.00	\$659.25	\$5,274.00	\$860.00	\$6,880.00
23 CLASS "A" CONCRETE, MINOR BULKHEADS	C.Y.	254	\$800.00	\$203,200.00	\$1,451.11	\$368,581.94	\$850.00	\$215,900.00
24 CLASS "A" CONCRETE, TRANSVERSE CUTOFF WALL	C.Y.	21	\$800.00	\$16,800.00	\$813.10	\$17,075.10	\$1,000.00	\$21,000.00

Bid Abstract

Riverside County Flood Control & Water Conservation District

1995 Market St. Riverside, CA 92501 Phone: 951.955.1200 Fax 951.788.9965

Project Name:

SOUTH NORCO CHANNEL, STAGE 6, NORCO MDP LINE S-1, STAGE 1, AND NORCO MDP LINE S-5, STAGE 1


Project Number:

2-0-0150-06

Bid Open Date:

4/3/2019

Page 2 of 24



ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE

RAL INVESTMENT CORPORATION DBA SILVERSTRAND CONSTRUCTION

MAMCO, INC. dba ALABBASI

Item No. & Description	Unit	Quantity	ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE		RAL INVESTMENT CORPORATION DBA SILVERSTRAND CONSTRUCTION		MAMCO, INC. dba ALABBASI	
			Unit Bid	Total Bid	Unit Bid	Total Bid	Unit Bid	Total Bid
25 CLASS "B" CONCRETE, 2' CUTOFF WALL	L.F.	5811	\$6.00	\$34,866.00	\$7.31	\$42,478.41	\$12.00	\$69,732.00
26 CLASS "B" CONCRETE, SIDEWALK	S.F.	470	\$15.00	\$7,050.00	\$5.23	\$2,458.10	\$7.00	\$3,290.00
27 CLASS "B" CONCRETE, MISCELLANEOUS	C.Y.	292	\$450.00	\$131,400.00	\$244.93	\$71,519.56	\$480.00	\$140,160.00
28 TRANSITION STRUCTURE NO. 1	EACH	1	\$5,000.00	\$5,000.00	\$7,582.00	\$7,582.00	\$8,500.00	\$8,500.00
29 TRANSITION STRUCTURE NO. 3	EACH	2	\$7,000.00	\$14,000.00	\$3,461.00	\$6,922.00	\$4,500.00	\$9,000.00
30 JUNCTION STRUCTURE NO. 1	EACH	6	\$6,000.00	\$36,000.00	\$5,274.17	\$31,645.02	\$4,500.00	\$27,000.00
31 JUNCTION STRUCTURE NO. 2	EACH	1	\$3,500.00	\$3,500.00	\$2,417.00	\$2,417.00	\$3,200.00	\$3,200.00
32 JUNCTION STRUCTURE NO. 3	EACH	1	\$7,500.00	\$7,500.00	\$4,395.00	\$4,395.00	\$1,800.00	\$1,800.00
33 JUNCTION STRUCTURE NO. 7	EACH	2	\$5,000.00	\$10,000.00	\$5,494.00	\$10,988.00	\$8,000.00	\$16,000.00
34 JUNCTION STRUCTURE NO. 8	EACH	3	\$10,000.00	\$30,000.00	\$13,185.67	\$39,557.01	\$6,250.00	\$18,750.00
35 MANHOLE NO. 1	EACH	3	\$5,500.00	\$16,500.00	\$4,697.33	\$14,091.99	\$5,500.00	\$16,500.00
36 MANHOLE NO. 2	EACH	10	\$6,500.00	\$65,000.00	\$5,274.20	\$52,742.00	\$5,500.00	\$55,000.00
37 MANHOLE NO. 4	EACH	9	\$7,500.00	\$67,500.00	\$5,658.78	\$50,929.02	\$7,500.00	\$67,500.00
38 18" RCP, CLASS IV	L.F.	275	\$90.00	\$24,750.00	\$46.53	\$12,795.75	\$64.00	\$17,600.00
39 24" RCP, CLASS IV	L.F.	339	\$100.00	\$33,900.00	\$52.84	\$17,912.76	\$74.00	\$25,086.00
40 30" RCP, 1500D	L.F.	251	\$110.00	\$27,610.00	\$69.06	\$17,334.06	\$78.00	\$19,578.00
41 36" RCP, 1500D	L.F.	1590	\$145.00	\$230,550.00	\$83.97	\$133,512.30	\$112.00	\$178,080.00
42 42" RCP, 1500D	L.F.	911	\$160.00	\$145,760.00	\$106.83	\$97,322.13	\$120.00	\$109,320.00
43 48" RCP, 1500D	L.F.	2922	\$200.00	\$584,400.00	\$130.67	\$381,817.74	\$176.00	\$514,272.00
44 38"x24" ELLIPTICAL PIPE, 2000D	L.F.	113	\$180.00	\$20,340.00	\$235.69	\$26,632.97	\$260.00	\$29,380.00
45 AGGREGATE BASE, CLASS 2	C.Y.	1339	\$50.00	\$66,950.00	\$19.91	\$26,659.49	\$37.00	\$49,543.00
46 HOT MIX ASPHALT (HMA)	TONS	2200	\$120.00	\$264,000.00	\$96.23	\$211,706.00	\$105.00	\$231,000.00
47 HOT MIX ASPHALT (HMA) DIKE	L.F.	441	\$9.00	\$3,969.00	\$16.60	\$7,320.60	\$16.00	\$7,056.00
48 TEMPORARY RESURFACING	TONS	750	\$100.00	\$75,000.00	\$73.60	\$55,200.00	\$25.00	\$18,750.00
49 6-FOOT CHAIN LINK FENCE	L.F.	3975	\$25.00	\$99,375.00	\$24.45	\$97,188.75	\$19.00	\$75,525.00

Bid Abstract

Riverside County Flood Control & Water Conservation District

1995 Market St. Riverside, CA 92501 Phone: 951.955.1200 Fax 951.788.9965

Project Name:

SOUTH NORCO CHANNEL, STAGE 6, NORCO MDP LINE S-1, STAGE 1, AND NORCO MDP LINE S-5, STAGE 1

Project Number:

2-0-0150-06

Bid Open Date:

4/3/2019

Page 3 of 24



ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE

RAL INVESTMENT CORPORATION DBA SILVERSTRAND CONSTRUCTION

MAMCO, INC. dba ALABBASI

Item No. & Description	Unit	Quantity	Unit Bid	Total Bid	RAL INVESTMENT CORPORATION DBA SILVERSTRAND CONSTRUCTION		MAMCO, INC. dba ALABBASI	
					Unit Bid	Total Bid	Unit Bid	Total Bid
50 TEMPORARY FENCING	L.F.	1936	\$10.00	\$19,360.00	\$9.10	\$17,617.60	\$11.00	\$21,296.00
51 CABLE RAILING	L.F.	700	\$15.00	\$10,500.00	\$20.88	\$14,616.00	\$50.00	\$35,000.00
52 TRAIL FENCE	L.F.	651	\$40.00	\$26,040.00	\$38.46	\$25,037.46	\$50.00	\$32,550.00
53 REMOVE EXISTING FENCE	L.F.	4111	\$10.00	\$41,110.00	\$4.40	\$18,088.40	\$5.50	\$22,610.50
54 REMOVE EXISTING GATES	PAIR	9	\$200.00	\$1,800.00	\$292.67	\$2,634.03	\$560.00	\$5,040.00
55 REMOVE AND REINSTALL NEW CHAIN LINK FENCE AND NEW SLIDING GATE	L.S.	1	\$30,000.00	\$30,000.00	\$14,566.00	\$14,566.00	\$34,000.00	\$34,000.00
56 10-FOOT DOUBLE DRIVE GATES	PAIR	1	\$1,000.00	\$1,000.00	\$1,538.00	\$1,538.00	\$1,200.00	\$1,200.00
57 12-FOOT DOUBLE DRIVE GATES	PAIR	2	\$1,100.00	\$2,200.00	\$1,758.00	\$3,516.00	\$1,700.00	\$3,400.00
58 14-FOOT DOUBLE DRIVE GATES	PAIR	6	\$1,200.00	\$7,200.00	\$2,087.67	\$12,526.02	\$2,200.00	\$13,200.00
59 MISCELLANEOUS IRON AND STEEL	LBS.	55935	\$2.00	\$111,870.00	\$1.92	\$107,395.20	\$1.50	\$83,902.50
60 REMODEL SEWER SERVICE LATERAL	EACH	23	\$2,000.00	\$46,000.00	\$4,760.39	\$109,488.97	\$2,500.00	\$57,500.00
61 ADJUST MANHOLE TO GRADE	EACH	17	\$500.00	\$8,500.00	\$1,515.29	\$25,759.93	\$550.00	\$9,350.00
62 RETAINING WALL	L.F.	105	\$100.00	\$10,500.00	\$232.94	\$24,458.70	\$90.00	\$9,450.00
63 REMOVE AND DISPOSE ASBESTOS PIPE	L.S.	1	\$1,000.00	\$1,000.00	\$6,092.00	\$6,092.00	\$3,500.00	\$3,500.00
64 RECONSTRUCT SIDEWALK UNDERDRAIN	L.S.	1	\$5,000.00	\$5,000.00	\$4,742.00	\$4,742.00	\$5,000.00	\$5,000.00
65 CONDUIT BANK	L.S.	1	\$5,000.00	\$5,000.00	\$2,991.00	\$2,991.00	\$5,000.00	\$5,000.00
66 REPAIR TRACK FIELD	L.S.	1	\$10,000.00	\$10,000.00	\$15,059.00	\$15,059.00	\$13,000.00	\$13,000.00
67 EXTRA DIRECTED WORK	L.S.	1	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00
68 1/4-TON ROCK	C.Y.	205	\$124.00	\$25,420.00	\$58.38	\$11,967.90	\$72.00	\$14,760.00
69 COBBLE LAYER	C.Y.	1180	\$93.00	\$109,740.00	\$136.74	\$161,353.20	\$162.00	\$191,160.00
70 CRUSHED ROCK	C.Y.	495	\$58.00	\$28,710.00	\$68.78	\$34,046.10	\$44.00	\$21,780.00
71 ROCK PROTECTION FABRIC	S.Y.	4337	\$4.00	\$17,348.00	\$2.10	\$9,107.70	\$4.00	\$17,348.00
72 DUST ABATEMENT	L.S.	1	\$35,000.00	\$35,000.00	\$125,395.00	\$125,395.00	\$255,000.00	\$255,000.00
73 HYDROSEEDING	ACRE	7	\$2,500.00	\$17,500.00	\$1,603.43	\$11,224.01	\$1,800.00	\$12,600.00
74 STORMWATER AND NON-STORMWATER POLLUTION CONTROL	L.S.	1	\$163,542.00	\$163,542.00	\$70,752.00	\$70,752.00	\$68,000.00	\$68,000.00

Bid Abstract

Riverside County Flood Control & Water Conservation District

1995 Market St. Riverside, CA 92501 Phone: 951.955.1200 Fax 951.788.9965

Project Name:

SOUTH NORCO CHANNEL, STAGE 6, NORCO MDP LINE S-1, STAGE 1, AND NORCO MDP LINE S-5, STAGE 1

Project Number:

2-0-0150-06

Bid Open Date:

4/3/2019

Page 4 of 24



ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE

RAL INVESTMENT CORPORATION DBA SILVERSTRAND CONSTRUCTION

MAMCO, INC. dba ALABBASI

Item No. & Description	Unit	Quantity	Unit Bid	Total Bid	Unit Bid	Total Bid	Unit Bid	Total Bid
75 NON-STORMWATER DISCHARGE OR DEWATERING CITY OF NORCO WATERLINE RELOCATIONS	L.S.	1	\$100,000.00	\$100,000.00	\$7,252.00	\$7,252.00	\$1.00	\$1.00
76 WESTERN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT (WMMWD) WATERLINE RELOCATION	L.S.	1	\$175,450.00	\$175,450.00	\$115,398.00	\$115,398.00	\$175,000.00	\$175,000.00
77 12"X6" PRECAST REINFORCED CONCRETE BOX (PRCB) GROUNDWATER INVESTIGATION	L.F.	666	\$691.00	\$460,206.00	\$786.29	\$523,669.14	\$820.00	\$546,120.00
78 SOIL AND GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT SAFETY PLAN (SGMSP) GROUNDWATER TESTING	EACH	5	\$2,500.00	\$12,500.00	\$1,347.40	\$6,737.00	\$2,500.00	\$12,500.00
79 SOIL AND GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT SAFETY PLAN (SGMSP) GROUNDWATER TESTING	L.S.	1	\$25,000.00	\$25,000.00	\$10,982.00	\$10,982.00	\$12,500.00	\$12,500.00
80 CONTAMINATED GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT (WITHOUT DISCHARGE) SOIL TESTING	EACH	10	\$1,000.00	\$10,000.00	\$500.00	\$5,000.00	\$1,750.00	\$17,500.00
81 CONTAMINATED GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT (WITHOUT DISCHARGE) SOIL TESTING	EACH	3000	\$10.00	\$30,000.00	\$2.43	\$7,290.00	\$10.00	\$30,000.00
82 CONTAMINATED SOIL DISPOSAL	GAL	15	\$250.00	\$3,750.00	\$824.07	\$12,361.05	\$250.00	\$3,750.00
83 CONTAMINATED SOIL DISPOSAL	EACH	15	\$250.00	\$3,750.00	\$824.07	\$12,361.05	\$250.00	\$3,750.00
84 CONTAMINATED SOIL DISPOSAL	C.Y.	30	\$115.00	\$3,450.00	\$560.40	\$16,812.00	\$275.00	\$8,250.00
				\$8,362,368.00		\$7,628,662.84		\$7,744,444.00

Bid Abstract

Riverside County Flood Control & Water Conservation District


1995 Market St. Riverside, CA 92501 Phone: 951.955.1200 Fax 951.788.9965

Project Name: SOUTH NORCO CHANNEL, STAGE 6, NORCO MDP LINE S-1, STAGE 1, AND NORCO MDP LINE S-5,
STAGE 1
Project Number: 2-0-0150-06
Bid Open Date: 4/3/2019

Page 5 of 24

DDH APPLE VALLEY CONSTRUCTION, J.M. OLVERA ENGINEERING, INC.

WEKA, INC.



Item No. & Description	Unit	Quantity	Unit Bid	Total Bid	Unit Bid	Total Bid	Unit Bid	Total Bid
1 MOBILIZATION	L.S.	1	\$231,000.00	\$231,000.00	\$700,000.00	\$700,000.00	\$420,484.00	\$420,484.00
2 WATER CONTROL	L.S.	1	\$60,000.00	\$60,000.00	\$15,500.00	\$15,500.00	\$4,000.40	\$4,000.40
3 TRAFFIC CONTROL	L.S.	1	\$39,000.00	\$39,000.00	\$131,000.00	\$131,000.00	\$225,000.00	\$225,000.00
4 CLEARING AND MISCELLANEOUS WORK	L.S.	1	\$159,000.00	\$159,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$194,512.00	\$194,512.00
5 TRENCH EXCAVATION	C.Y.	20990	\$16.70	\$350,533.00	\$21.20	\$444,988.00	\$6.00	\$125,940.00
6 CHANNEL EXCAVATION	C.Y.	20905	\$37.00	\$773,485.00	\$11.00	\$229,955.00	\$9.00	\$188,145.00
7 BASIN EXCAVATION	C.Y.	1221	\$9.40	\$11,477.40	\$18.00	\$21,978.00	\$4.00	\$4,884.00
8 ASPHALT CONCRETE EXCAVATION	S.F.	72493	\$2.10	\$152,235.30	\$1.00	\$72,493.00	\$0.45	\$32,621.85
9 TRENCH BACKFILL	C.Y.	9942	\$25.40	\$252,526.80	\$32.00	\$318,144.00	\$6.00	\$59,652.00
10 CHANNEL BACKFILL	C.Y.	2194	\$49.00	\$107,506.00	\$20.50	\$44,977.00	\$13.00	\$28,522.00
11 BASIN BACKFILL	C.Y.	13181	\$10.30	\$135,764.30	\$8.00	\$105,448.00	\$10.00	\$131,810.00
12 BACKFILL PREPARATION	C.Y.	500	\$710.00	\$355,000.00	\$29.00	\$14,500.00	\$10.00	\$5,000.00
13 CONTROLLED LOW STRENGTH MATERIAL (CLSM)	C.Y.	2839	\$107.00	\$303,773.00	\$111.00	\$315,129.00	\$118.00	\$335,002.00
14 SLURRY CEMENT BACKFILL	C.Y.	419	\$102.00	\$42,738.00	\$145.00	\$60,755.00	\$121.00	\$50,699.00
15 FILTER MATERIAL	C.Y.	687	\$80.00	\$54,960.00	\$49.00	\$33,663.00	\$30.00	\$20,610.00
16 EQUESTRIAN TRAIL	S.F.	3071	\$6.30	\$19,347.30	\$14.00	\$42,994.00	\$2.00	\$6,142.00
17 TRENCH SAFETY SYSTEM AND FALSEWORK	L.S.	1	\$76,000.00	\$76,000.00	\$83,000.00	\$83,000.00	\$106,005.00	\$106,005.00
18 CLASS "A" CONCRETE, REINFORCED CONCRETE BOX	C.Y.	686	\$390.00	\$267,540.00	\$833.50	\$571,781.00	\$1,000.00	\$686,000.00
19 CLASS "A" CONCRETE, RECTANGULAR CHANNEL	C.Y.	123	\$630.00	\$77,490.00	\$699.00	\$85,977.00	\$990.00	\$121,770.00
20 CLASS "A" CONCRETE, SPECIAL ACCESS RAMP AND TRANSITION, AND SPECIAL TRANSITION	C.Y.	257	\$350.00	\$89,950.00	\$492.00	\$126,444.00	\$700.00	\$179,900.00
21 CLASS "A" CONCRETE, CHANNEL SLOPE PAVING AND FOOTING	C.Y.	1890	\$285.00	\$538,650.00	\$412.00	\$778,680.00	\$500.00	\$945,000.00
22 CLASS "A" CONCRETE, COLLARS AND BULKHEADS	C.Y.	8	\$540.00	\$4,320.00	\$1,170.00	\$9,360.00	\$900.00	\$7,200.00
23 CLASS "A" CONCRETE, MINOR STRUCTURES	C.Y.	254	\$480.00	\$121,920.00	\$1,259.00	\$319,786.00	\$1,135.00	\$288,290.00
24 CLASS "A" CONCRETE, TRANSVERSE CUTOFF WALL	C.Y.	21	\$870.00	\$18,270.00	\$958.00	\$20,118.00	\$1,130.00	\$23,730.00
25 CLASS "B" CONCRETE, 2' CUTOFF WALL	L.F.	5811	\$13.60	\$79,029.60	\$33.00	\$191,763.00	\$14.00	\$81,354.00

Bid Abstract

Riverside County Flood Control & Water Conservation District

1995 Market St. Riverside, CA 92501 Phone: 951.955.1200 Fax 951.788.9965

Project Name: SOUTH NORCO CHANNEL, STAGE 6, NORCO MDP LINE S-1, STAGE 1, AND NORCO MDP LINE S-5, STAGE 1


Project Number: 2-0-0150-06

Bid Open Date: 4/3/2019

Page 6 of 24

DDH APPLE VALLEY CONSTRUCTION, J.M. OLVERA ENGINEERING, INC. WEKA, INC.

Item No. & Description	Unit	Quantity	Unit Bid	Total Bid	Unit Bid	Total Bid	Unit Bid	Total Bid
26 CLASS "B" CONCRETE, SIDEWALK	S.F.	470	\$38.00	\$17,860.00	\$15.00	\$7,050.00	\$11.50	\$5,405.00
27 CLASS "B" CONCRETE, MISCELLANEOUS	C.Y.	292	\$290.00	\$84,680.00	\$580.00	\$169,360.00	\$920.00	\$268,640.00
28 TRANSITION STRUCTURE NO. 1	EACH	1	\$9,200.00	\$9,200.00	\$11,700.00	\$11,700.00	\$10,500.00	\$10,500.00
29 TRANSITION STRUCTURE NO. 3	EACH	2	\$3,500.00	\$7,000.00	\$9,017.00	\$18,034.00	\$5,000.00	\$10,000.00
30 JUNCTION STRUCTURE NO. 1	EACH	6	\$5,100.00	\$30,600.00	\$1,816.00	\$10,896.00	\$7,500.00	\$45,000.00
31 JUNCTION STRUCTURE NO. 2	EACH	1	\$2,940.00	\$2,940.00	\$5,870.00	\$5,870.00	\$4,552.00	\$4,552.00
32 JUNCTION STRUCTURE NO. 3	EACH	1	\$3,500.00	\$3,500.00	\$8,400.00	\$8,400.00	\$6,500.00	\$6,500.00
33 JUNCTION STRUCTURE NO. 7	EACH	2	\$8,100.00	\$16,200.00	\$4,100.00	\$8,200.00	\$8,000.00	\$16,000.00
34 JUNCTION STRUCTURE NO. 8	EACH	3	\$18,300.00	\$54,900.00	\$3,759.00	\$11,277.00	\$17,500.00	\$52,500.00
35 MANHOLE NO. 1	EACH	3	\$4,100.00	\$12,300.00	\$7,300.00	\$21,900.00	\$7,200.00	\$21,600.00
36 MANHOLE NO. 2	EACH	10	\$4,700.00	\$47,000.00	\$4,035.00	\$40,350.00	\$8,000.00	\$80,000.00
37 MANHOLE NO. 4	EACH	9	\$5,300.00	\$47,700.00	\$11,931.00	\$107,379.00	\$8,800.00	\$79,200.00
38 18" RCP, CLASS IV	L.F.	275	\$40.00	\$11,000.00	\$133.00	\$36,575.00	\$300.00	\$82,500.00
39 24" RCP, CLASS IV	L.F.	339	\$46.00	\$15,594.00	\$95.00	\$32,205.00	\$260.00	\$88,140.00
40 30" RCP, 1500D	L.F.	251	\$63.00	\$15,813.00	\$133.00	\$33,383.00	\$255.00	\$64,005.00
41 36" RCP, 1500D	L.F.	1590	\$77.00	\$122,430.00	\$126.00	\$200,340.00	\$200.00	\$318,000.00
42 42" RCP, 1500D	L.F.	911	\$110.00	\$100,210.00	\$120.00	\$109,320.00	\$235.00	\$214,085.00
43 48" RCP, 1500D	L.F.	2922	\$125.00	\$365,250.00	\$168.00	\$490,896.00	\$248.00	\$724,656.00
44 38"x24" ELLIPTICAL PIPE, 2000D	L.F.	113	\$118.00	\$13,334.00	\$227.00	\$25,651.00	\$398.00	\$44,974.00
45 AGGREGATE BASE, CLASS 2	C.Y.	1339	\$60.00	\$80,340.00	\$128.00	\$171,392.00	\$51.00	\$68,289.00
46 HOT MIX ASPHALT (HMA)	TONS	2200	\$106.00	\$233,200.00	\$10.00	\$22,000.00	\$120.00	\$264,000.00
47 HOT MIX ASPHALT (HMA) DIKE	L.F.	441	\$14.70	\$6,482.70	\$17.00	\$7,497.00	\$27.50	\$12,127.50
48 TEMPORARY RESURFACING	TONS	750	\$135.00	\$101,250.00	\$111.00	\$83,250.00	\$92.00	\$69,000.00
49 6-FOOT CHAIN LINK FENCE	L.F.	3975	\$24.00	\$95,400.00	\$24.00	\$95,400.00	\$25.00	\$99,375.00
50 TEMPORARY FENCING	L.F.	1936	\$13.00	\$25,168.00	\$9.00	\$17,424.00	\$6.50	\$18,392.00



Bid Abstract

Riverside County Flood Control & Water Conservation District

1995 Market St. Riverside, CA 92501 Phone: 951.955.1200 Fax 951.788.9965

Project Name:

SOUTH NORCO CHANNEL, STAGE 6, NORCO MDP LINE S-1, STAGE 1, AND NORCO MDP LINE S-5, STAGE 1

Project Number:

2-0-0150-06


Bid Open Date:

4/3/2019

Page 7 of 24

DDH APPLE VALLEY CONSTRUCTION, J.M. OLVERA ENGINEERING, INC.

WEKA, INC.



Item No. & Description	Unit	Quantity	Unit Bid	Total Bid	Unit Bid	Total Bid	Unit Bid	Total Bid
51 CABLE RAILING	L.F.	700	\$18.00	\$12,600.00	\$23.75	\$16,625.00	\$21.50	\$15,050.00
52 TRAIL FENCE	L.F.	651	\$17.00	\$11,067.00	\$17.00	\$11,067.00	\$28.00	\$18,228.00
53 REMOVE EXISTING FENCE	L.F.	4111	\$9.00	\$36,999.00	\$10.00	\$41,110.00	\$5.00	\$20,555.00
54 REMOVE EXISTING GATES	PAIR	9	\$270.00	\$2,430.00	\$172.00	\$1,548.00	\$275.00	\$2,475.00
55 REMOVE AND REINSTALL NEW CHAIN LINK FENCE AND NEW SLIDING GATE	L.S.	1	\$33,000.00	\$33,000.00	\$29,900.00	\$29,900.00	\$12,800.00	\$12,800.00
56 10-FOOT DOUBLE DRIVE GATES	PAIR	1	\$2,270.00	\$2,270.00	\$1,110.00	\$1,110.00	\$1,500.00	\$1,500.00
57 12-FOOT DOUBLE DRIVE GATES	PAIR	2	\$1,960.00	\$3,920.00	\$1,332.00	\$2,664.00	\$1,800.00	\$3,600.00
58 14-FOOT DOUBLE DRIVE GATES	PAIR	6	\$1,820.00	\$10,920.00	\$1,554.00	\$9,324.00	\$2,100.00	\$12,600.00
59 MISCELLANEOUS IRON AND STEEL	LBS.	55935	\$1.57	\$87,817.95	\$1.00	\$55,935.00	\$2.00	\$111,870.00
60 REMODEL SEWER SERVICE LATERAL	EACH	23	\$3,800.00	\$87,400.00	\$1,056.00	\$24,288.00	\$2,200.00	\$50,600.00
61 ADJUST MANHOLE TO GRADE	EACH	17	\$670.00	\$11,390.00	\$1,163.00	\$19,771.00	\$500.00	\$8,500.00
62 RETAINING WALL	L.F.	105	\$365.00	\$38,325.00	\$202.00	\$21,210.00	\$188.00	\$19,740.00
63 REMOVE AND DISPOSE ASBESTOS PIPE RECONSTRUCT SIDEWALK UNDERDRAIN CONDUIT BANK	L.S.	1	\$42,000.00	\$42,000.00	\$5,550.00	\$5,550.00	\$1,700.00	\$1,700.00
64 REPAIR TRACK FIELD	L.S.	1	\$20,600.00	\$20,600.00	\$39,960.00	\$39,960.00	\$46,000.00	\$46,000.00
65 EXTRA DIRECTED WORK	L.S.	1	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00
66 1/4-TON ROCK	C.Y.	205	\$184.00	\$37,720.00	\$126.00	\$25,830.00	\$82.00	\$16,810.00
69 COBBLE LAYER	C.Y.	1180	\$167.00	\$197,060.00	\$131.00	\$154,580.00	\$158.00	\$186,440.00
70 CRUSHED ROCK	C.Y.	495	\$105.00	\$51,975.00	\$97.00	\$48,015.00	\$48.00	\$23,760.00
71 ROCK PROTECTION FABRIC	S.Y.	4337	\$4.90	\$21,251.30	\$2.00	\$8,674.00	\$4.00	\$17,348.00
72 DUST ABATEMENT	L.S.	1	\$26,000.00	\$26,000.00	\$11,100.00	\$11,100.00	\$50,000.00	\$50,000.00
73 HYDROSEEDING	ACRE	7	\$2,600.00	\$18,200.00	\$1,620.00	\$11,340.00	\$2,167.75	\$15,174.25
74 STORMWATER AND NON-STORMWATER POLLUTION CONTROL	L.S.	1	\$75,000.00	\$75,000.00	\$16,650.00	\$16,650.00	\$60,000.00	\$60,000.00
75 NON-STORMWATER DISCHARGE OR DEWATERING	L.S.	1	\$18,400.00	\$18,400.00	\$5,550.00	\$5,550.00	\$4,000.00	\$4,000.00

Bid Abstract

Riverside County Flood Control & Water Conservation District

1995 Market St. Riverside, CA 92501 Phone: 951.955.1200 Fax 951.788.9965

Project Name: SOUTH NORCO CHANNEL, STAGE 6, NORCO MDP LINE S-1, STAGE 1, AND NORCO MDP LINE S-5, STAGE 1

Project Number: 2-0-0150-06

Bid Open Date: 4/3/2019

Page 8 of 24

DDH APPLE VALLEY CONSTRUCTION, INC.

J.M. OLVERA ENGINEERING, INC.

Item No. & Description

Unit

Quantity

Unit Bid

Total Bid

Unit Bid

Total Bid

Item No. & Description	Unit	Quantity	Unit Bid	Total Bid	Unit Bid	Total Bid	Unit Bid	Total Bid
76 CITY OF NORCO WATERLINE RELOCATIONS	L.S.	1	\$215,000.00	\$215,000.00	\$277,500.00	\$277,500.00	\$140,000.00	\$140,000.00
77 WESTERN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT (MMWD) WATERLINE RELOCATION	L.S.	1	\$172,000.00	\$172,000.00	\$256,000.00	\$256,000.00	\$186,000.00	\$186,000.00
78 12"x5'H PRECAST REINFORCED CONCRETE BOX (PRCB) GROUNDWATER INVESTIGATION	L.F.	666	\$1,200.00	\$799,200.00	\$791.50	\$527,139.00	\$885.00	\$589,410.00
79 SOIL AND GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT SAFETY PLAN (SGMSP) GROUNDWATER TESTING	EACH	5	\$3,300.00	\$16,500.00	\$2,453.00	\$12,265.00	\$2,850.00	\$14,250.00
80 SOIL AND GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT SAFETY PLAN (SGMSP) GROUNDWATER TESTING	L.S.	1	\$47,000.00	\$47,000.00	\$5,550.00	\$5,550.00	\$8,500.00	\$8,500.00
81 CONTAMINATED GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT (WITHOUT DISCHARGE) SOIL TESTING	EACH	10	\$2,800.00	\$28,000.00	\$1,054.00	\$10,540.00	\$400.00	\$4,000.00
82 CONTAMINATED SOIL DISPOSAL	GAL	3000	\$5.50	\$16,500.00	\$5.20	\$15,600.00	\$4.00	\$12,000.00
83 CONTAMINATED SOIL DISPOSAL	EACH	15	\$2,270.00	\$34,050.00	\$535.00	\$8,025.00	\$400.00	\$6,000.00
84 CONTAMINATED SOIL DISPOSAL	C.Y.	30	\$340.00	\$10,200.00	\$888.00	\$26,640.00	\$250.00	\$7,500.00
				\$8,367,262.65		\$8,697,992.00		\$8,915,424.00



Bid Abstract

Riverside County Flood Control & Water Conservation District

1995 Market St. Riverside, CA 92501 Phone: 951.955.1200 Fax 951.788.9965

SOUTH NORCO CHANNEL, STAGE 6, NORCO MDP LINE S-1, STAGE 1, AND NORCO MDP LINE S-5, STAGE 1

Project Name: SOUTH NORCO CHANNEL, STAGE 6, NORCO MDP LINE S-1, STAGE 1, AND NORCO MDP LINE S-5, STAGE 1
 Project Number: 2-0-0150-06
 Bid Open Date: 4/3/2019



Item No. & Description	Unit	Quantity	Unit Bid	Total Bid	Unit Bid	Total Bid	Unit Bid	Total Bid
1 MOBILIZATION	L.S.	1	\$100,000.00	\$100,000.00	\$647,067.20	\$647,067.20	\$50,000.00	\$50,000.00
2 WATER CONTROL	L.S.	1	\$13,000.40	\$13,000.40	\$85,000.00	\$85,000.00	\$17,000.00	\$17,000.00
3 TRAFFIC CONTROL	L.S.	1	\$155,000.00	\$155,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$68,000.00	\$68,000.00
4 CLEARING AND MISCELLANEOUS WORK	L.S.	1	\$89,988.00	\$89,988.00	\$249,900.00	\$249,900.00	\$108,000.00	\$108,000.00
5 TRENCH EXCAVATION	C.Y.	20990	\$14.00	\$293,860.00	\$16.00	\$335,840.00	\$25.00	\$524,750.00
6 CHANNEL EXCAVATION	C.Y.	20905	\$11.00	\$229,955.00	\$13.00	\$271,765.00	\$22.20	\$464,091.00
7 BASIN EXCAVATION	C.Y.	1221	\$11.00	\$13,431.00	\$47.00	\$57,387.00	\$1.15	\$1,404.15
8 ASPHALT CONCRETE EXCAVATION	S.F.	72493	\$0.70	\$50,745.10	\$0.60	\$43,495.80	\$0.92	\$66,693.56
9 TRENCH BACKFILL	C.Y.	9942	\$42.00	\$417,564.00	\$9.75	\$96,934.50	\$50.00	\$497,100.00
10 CHANNEL BACKFILL	C.Y.	2194	\$15.00	\$32,910.00	\$20.00	\$43,880.00	\$28.00	\$61,432.00
11 BASIN BACKFILL	C.Y.	13181	\$25.00	\$329,525.00	\$5.50	\$72,495.50	\$1.57	\$20,694.17
12 BACKFILL PREPARATION	C.Y.	500	\$24.00	\$12,000.00	\$35.00	\$17,500.00	\$20.00	\$10,000.00
13 CONTROLLED LOW STRENGTH MATERIAL (CLSM) SLURRY CEMENT BACKFILL	C.Y.	2839	\$170.00	\$482,630.00	\$130.00	\$369,070.00	\$168.00	\$476,952.00
14 FILTER MATERIAL	C.Y.	419	\$156.00	\$65,364.00	\$130.00	\$54,470.00	\$139.00	\$58,241.00
15 EQUESTRIAN TRAIL	S.F.	3071	\$7.50	\$23,032.50	\$4.00	\$12,284.00	\$4.35	\$13,358.85
17 TRENCH SAFETY SYSTEM AND FALSEWORK	L.S.	1	\$71,000.00	\$71,000.00	\$50,000.00	\$50,000.00	\$50,000.00	\$50,000.00
18 CLASS "A" CONCRETE, REINFORCED CONCRETE BOX	C.Y.	686	\$1,000.00	\$686,000.00	\$1,200.00	\$823,200.00	\$757.00	\$519,302.00
19 CLASS "A" CONCRETE, RECTANGULAR CHANNEL	C.Y.	123	\$1,200.00	\$147,600.00	\$750.00	\$92,250.00	\$534.00	\$65,682.00
20 CLASS "A" CONCRETE, SPECIAL ACCESS RAMP AND TRANSITION, AND SPECIAL TRANSITION	C.Y.	257	\$715.00	\$183,755.00	\$550.00	\$141,350.00	\$676.00	\$173,732.00
21 CLASS "A" CONCRETE, CHANNEL SLOPE PAVING AND FOOTING	C.Y.	1890	\$500.00	\$945,000.00	\$430.00	\$812,700.00	\$495.00	\$935,550.00
22 CLASS "A" CONCRETE, COLLARS AND BULKHEADS	C.Y.	8	\$650.00	\$5,200.00	\$2,500.00	\$20,000.00	\$2,020.00	\$16,160.00
23 CLASS "A" CONCRETE, MINOR STRUCTURES	C.Y.	254	\$1,100.00	\$279,400.00	\$1,300.00	\$330,200.00	\$1,560.00	\$396,240.00
24 CLASS "A" CONCRETE, TRANSVERSE CUTOFF WALL	C.Y.	21	\$3,500.00	\$73,500.00	\$1,060.00	\$22,260.00	\$1,620.00	\$34,020.00
25 CLASS "B" CONCRETE, 2' CUTOFF WALL	L.F.	5811	\$18.00	\$104,598.00	\$12.50	\$72,637.50	\$15.00	\$87,165.00

GRANITE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY SULLY-MILLER CONTRACTING COMPANY KEC ENGINEERING

Bid Abstract

Riverside County Flood Control & Water Conservation District


1995 Market St. Riverside, CA 92501 Phone: 951.955.1200 Fax 951.788.9965

Project Name: SOUTH NORCO CHANNEL, STAGE 6, NORCO MDP LINE S-1, STAGE 1, AND NORCO MDP LINE S-5, STAGE 1

Project Number: 2-0-0150-06

Bid Open Date: 4/3/2019

Page 10 of 24



Item No. & Description	Unit	Quantity	GRANITE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY		SULLY-MILLER CONTRACTING COMPANY		KEC ENGINEERING	
			Unit Bid	Total Bid	Unit Bid	Total Bid	Unit Bid	Total Bid
26 CLASS "B" CONCRETE, SIDEWALK	S.F.	470	\$10.00	\$4,700.00	\$6.50	\$3,055.00	\$15.50	\$7,285.00
27 CLASS "B" CONCRETE, MISCELLANEOUS	C.Y.	292	\$840.00	\$245,280.00	\$465.00	\$135,780.00	\$586.00	\$171,112.00
28 TRANSITION STRUCTURE NO. 1	EACH	1	\$7,900.00	\$7,900.00	\$14,800.00	\$14,800.00	\$11,700.00	\$11,700.00
29 TRANSITION STRUCTURE NO. 3	EACH	2	\$3,700.00	\$7,400.00	\$4,200.00	\$8,400.00	\$7,000.00	\$14,000.00
30 JUNCTION STRUCTURE NO. 1	EACH	6	\$7,600.00	\$45,600.00	\$7,000.00	\$42,000.00	\$5,800.00	\$34,800.00
31 JUNCTION STRUCTURE NO. 2	EACH	1	\$6,700.00	\$6,700.00	\$3,100.00	\$3,100.00	\$4,100.00	\$4,100.00
32 JUNCTION STRUCTURE NO. 3	EACH	1	\$11,800.00	\$11,800.00	\$3,100.00	\$3,100.00	\$4,100.00	\$4,100.00
33 JUNCTION STRUCTURE NO. 7	EACH	2	\$6,800.00	\$13,600.00	\$5,400.00	\$10,800.00	\$4,000.00	\$8,000.00
34 JUNCTION STRUCTURE NO. 8	EACH	3	\$7,200.00	\$21,600.00	\$8,000.00	\$24,000.00	\$9,700.00	\$29,100.00
35 MANHOLE NO. 1	EACH	3	\$7,200.00	\$21,600.00	\$5,700.00	\$17,100.00	\$7,000.00	\$21,000.00
36 MANHOLE NO. 2	EACH	10	\$8,000.00	\$80,000.00	\$5,600.00	\$56,000.00	\$6,700.00	\$67,000.00
37 MANHOLE NO. 4	EACH	9	\$8,400.00	\$75,600.00	\$6,400.00	\$57,600.00	\$10,850.00	\$97,650.00
38 18" RCP, CLASS IV	L.F.	275	\$62.00	\$17,050.00	\$78.00	\$21,450.00	\$87.00	\$23,925.00
39 24" RCP, CLASS IV	L.F.	339	\$70.00	\$23,730.00	\$103.00	\$34,917.00	\$115.00	\$38,985.00
40 30" RCP, 1500D	L.F.	251	\$90.00	\$22,590.00	\$114.00	\$28,614.00	\$139.00	\$34,889.00
41 36" RCP, 1500D	L.F.	1590	\$100.00	\$159,000.00	\$133.00	\$211,470.00	\$96.00	\$152,640.00
42 42" RCP, 1500D	L.F.	911	\$130.00	\$118,430.00	\$157.00	\$143,027.00	\$131.00	\$119,341.00
43 48" RCP, 1500D	L.F.	2922	\$160.00	\$467,520.00	\$182.00	\$531,804.00	\$157.00	\$458,754.00
44 38"x24" ELLIPTICAL PIPE, 2000D	L.F.	113	\$320.00	\$36,160.00	\$284.00	\$32,092.00	\$300.00	\$33,900.00
45 AGGREGATE BASE, CLASS 2	C.Y.	1339	\$55.00	\$73,645.00	\$38.00	\$50,882.00	\$96.00	\$128,544.00
46 HOT MIX ASPHALT (HMA)	TONS	2200	\$105.00	\$231,000.00	\$87.00	\$191,400.00	\$98.00	\$215,600.00
47 HOT MIX ASPHALT (HMA) DIKE	L.F.	441	\$13.00	\$5,733.00	\$11.00	\$4,851.00	\$17.30	\$7,629.30
48 TEMPORARY RESURFACING	TONS	750	\$106.00	\$79,500.00	\$117.00	\$87,750.00	\$130.00	\$97,500.00
49 6-FOOT CHAIN LINK FENCE	L.F.	3975	\$27.00	\$107,325.00	\$31.00	\$123,225.00	\$33.20	\$131,970.00
50 TEMPORARY FENCING	L.F.	1936	\$17.00	\$32,912.00	\$20.00	\$38,720.00	\$22.20	\$42,979.20

Bid Abstract

Riverside County Flood Control & Water Conservation District

1995 Market St. Riverside, CA 92501 Phone: 951.955.1200 Fax 951.788.9965

Project Name: SOUTH NORCO CHANNEL, STAGE 6, NORCO MDP LINE S-1, STAGE 1, AND NORCO MDP LINE S-5, STAGE 1

Project Number: 2-0-0150-06

Bid Open Date: 4/3/2019

Page 11 of 24



GRANITE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY SULLY-MILLER CONTRACTING COMPANY KEC ENGINEERING

Item No. & Description	Unit	Quantity	Unit Bid	Total Bid	Unit Bid	Total Bid	Unit Bid	Total Bid
51 CABLE RAILING	L.F.	700	\$40.00	\$28,000.00	\$39.00	\$27,300.00	\$40.80	\$28,560.00
52 TRAIL FENCE	L.F.	651	\$30.00	\$19,530.00	\$56.00	\$36,456.00	\$59.40	\$38,669.40
53 REMOVE EXISTING FENCE	L.F.	4111	\$3.50	\$14,388.50	\$10.00	\$41,110.00	\$10.50	\$43,165.50
54 REMOVE EXISTING GATES	PAIR	9	\$1,100.00	\$9,900.00	\$930.00	\$8,370.00	\$987.00	\$8,883.00
55 REMOVE AND REINSTALL NEW CHAIN LINK FENCE AND NEW SLIDING GATE	L.S.	1	\$31,000.00	\$31,000.00	\$21,000.00	\$21,000.00	\$23,138.00	\$23,138.00
56 10-FOOT DOUBLE DRIVE GATES	PAIR	1	\$765.00	\$765.00	\$4,000.00	\$4,000.00	\$4,260.00	\$4,260.00
57 12-FOOT DOUBLE DRIVE GATES	PAIR	2	\$1,000.00	\$2,000.00	\$3,000.00	\$6,000.00	\$3,200.00	\$6,400.00
58 14-FOOT DOUBLE DRIVE GATES	PAIR	6	\$1,000.00	\$6,000.00	\$3,100.00	\$18,600.00	\$3,250.00	\$19,500.00
59 MISCELLANEOUS IRON AND STEEL	LBS.	55935	\$2.00	\$111,870.00	\$2.00	\$111,870.00	\$1.70	\$95,089.50
60 REMODEL SEWER SERVICE LATERAL	EACH	23	\$2,000.00	\$46,000.00	\$3,800.00	\$87,400.00	\$2,200.00	\$50,600.00
61 ADJUST MANHOLE TO GRADE	EACH	17	\$1,000.00	\$17,000.00	\$580.00	\$9,860.00	\$1,100.00	\$18,700.00
62 RETAINING WALL	L.F.	105	\$220.00	\$23,100.00	\$300.00	\$31,500.00	\$137.00	\$14,385.00
63 REMOVE AND DISPOSE ASBESTOS PIPE RECONSTRUCT SIDEWALK UNDERDRAIN CONDUIT BANK	L.S.	1	\$8,700.00	\$8,700.00	\$1,300.00	\$1,300.00	\$7,000.00	\$7,000.00
64 REPAIR TRACK FIELD	L.S.	1	\$9,500.00	\$9,500.00	\$16,500.00	\$16,500.00	\$54,000.00	\$54,000.00
65 EXTRA DIRECTED WORK	L.S.	1	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00
68 1/4-TON ROCK	C.Y.	205	\$200.00	\$41,000.00	\$110.00	\$22,550.00	\$107.00	\$21,935.00
69 COBBLE LAYER	C.Y.	1180	\$150.00	\$177,000.00	\$100.00	\$118,000.00	\$146.00	\$172,280.00
70 CRUSHED ROCK	C.Y.	495	\$100.00	\$49,500.00	\$78.00	\$38,610.00	\$83.00	\$41,085.00
71 ROCK PROTECTION FABRIC	S.Y.	4337	\$4.50	\$19,516.50	\$6.50	\$28,190.50	\$5.00	\$21,685.00
72 DUST ABATEMENT	L.S.	1	\$1,000.00	\$1,000.00	\$37,000.00	\$37,000.00	\$87,000.00	\$87,000.00
73 HYDROSEEDING	ACRE	7	\$4,000.00	\$28,000.00	\$2,600.00	\$18,200.00	\$1,900.00	\$13,300.00
74 STORMWATER AND NON-STORMWATER POLLUTION CONTROL	L.S.	1	\$60,000.00	\$60,000.00	\$26,000.00	\$26,000.00	\$40,000.00	\$40,000.00
75 NON-STORMWATER DISCHARGE OR DEWATERING	L.S.	1	\$120,000.00	\$120,000.00	\$7,700.00	\$7,700.00	\$70,000.00	\$70,000.00

Bid Abstract

Riverside County Flood Control & Water Conservation District


1995 Market St. Riverside, CA 92501 Phone: 951.955.1200 Fax 951.788.9965

Project Name: SOUTH NORCO CHANNEL, STAGE 6, NORCO MDP LINE S-1, STAGE 1, AND NORCO MDP LINE S-5, STAGE 1

Project Number: 2-0-0150-06

Bid Open Date: 4/3/2019

Page 12 of 24



GRANITE CONSTRUCTION COMPANY SULLY-MILLER CONTRACTING COMPANY KEC ENGINEERING

Item No. & Description	Unit	Quantity	Unit Bid	Total Bid	Unit Bid	Total Bid	Unit Bid	Total Bid
76 CITY OF NORCO WATERLINE RELOCATIONS	L.S.	1	\$150,000.00	\$150,000.00	\$140,000.00	\$140,000.00	\$345,000.00	\$345,000.00
77 WESTERN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT (WMWD) WATERLINE RELOCATION	L.S.	1	\$170,000.00	\$170,000.00	\$210,000.00	\$210,000.00	\$320,000.00	\$320,000.00
78 12"X36" PRECAST REINFORCED CONCRETE BOX (PRCB) GROUNDWATER INVESTIGATION	L.F.	666	\$765.00	\$509,490.00	\$860.00	\$572,760.00	\$970.00	\$646,020.00
79 GROUNDWATER INVESTIGATION	EACH	5	\$2,800.00	\$14,000.00	\$1,500.00	\$7,500.00	\$6,080.00	\$30,400.00
80 SOIL AND GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT SAFETY PLAN (SGMSP)	L.S.	1	\$7,500.00	\$7,500.00	\$40,000.00	\$40,000.00	\$19,500.00	\$19,500.00
81 GROUNDWATER TESTING	EACH	10	\$4,000.00	\$40,000.00	\$550.00	\$5,500.00	\$848.00	\$8,480.00
82 CONTAMINATED GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT (WITHOUT DISCHARGE)	GAL	3000	\$17.00	\$51,000.00	\$17.00	\$51,000.00	\$4.35	\$13,050.00
83 SOIL TESTING	EACH	15	\$4,000.00	\$60,000.00	\$370.00	\$5,550.00	\$1,300.00	\$19,500.00
84 CONTAMINATED SOIL DISPOSAL	C.Y.	30	\$115.00	\$3,450.00	\$200.00	\$6,000.00	\$435.00	\$13,050.00
				\$8,953,953.00		\$8,964,000.00		\$9,335,927.63

Bid Abstract

Riverside County Flood Control & Water Conservation District

1995 Market St. Riverside, CA 92501 Phone: 951.955.1200 Fax 951.788.9965

Project Name:

SOUTH NORCO CHANNEL, STAGE 6, NORCO MDP LINE S-1, STAGE 1, AND NORCO MDP LINE S-5, STAGE 1

Project Number:

2-0-0150-06

Bid Open Date:


4/3/2019

Page 13 of 24

GRFCO, INC.

SUKUT CONSTRUCTION, LLC

ORTIZ ENTERPRISES INC



Item No. & Description	Unit	Quantity	Unit Bid	Total Bid	Unit Bid	Total Bid	Unit Bid	Total Bid
1 MOBILIZATION	L.S.	1	\$357,000.00	\$357,000.00	\$1,363,930.51	\$1,363,930.51	\$900,000.00	\$900,000.00
2 WATER CONTROL	L.S.	1	\$5,000.00	\$5,000.00	\$190,000.00	\$190,000.00	\$40,000.00	\$40,000.00
3 TRAFFIC CONTROL	L.S.	1	\$50,000.00	\$50,000.00	\$140,000.00	\$140,000.00	\$150,000.00	\$150,000.00
4 CLEARING AND MISCELLANEOUS WORK TRENCH EXCAVATION	L.S.	1	\$100,000.00	\$100,000.00	\$128,000.00	\$128,000.00	\$215,000.00	\$215,000.00
5 CHANNEL EXCAVATION	C.Y.	20990	\$10.00	\$209,900.00	\$13.25	\$278,117.50	\$15.00	\$314,850.00
6 CHANNEL EXCAVATION	C.Y.	20905	\$28.00	\$585,340.00	\$7.60	\$158,878.00	\$21.00	\$439,005.00
7 BASIN EXCAVATION	C.Y.	1221	\$34.00	\$41,514.00	\$12.00	\$14,652.00	\$48.00	\$58,608.00
8 ASPHALT CONCRETE EXCAVATION	S.F.	72493	\$3.00	\$217,479.00	\$0.92	\$66,693.56	\$2.50	\$181,232.50
9 TRENCH BACKFILL	C.Y.	9942	\$7.00	\$69,594.00	\$15.25	\$151,615.50	\$15.00	\$149,130.00
10 CHANNEL BACKFILL	C.Y.	2194	\$20.00	\$43,880.00	\$13.00	\$28,522.00	\$35.00	\$76,790.00
11 BASIN BACKFILL	C.Y.	13181	\$17.00	\$224,077.00	\$7.80	\$102,811.80	\$1.50	\$19,771.50
12 BACKFILL PREPARATION	C.Y.	500	\$10.00	\$5,000.00	\$9.60	\$4,800.00	\$7.00	\$3,500.00
13 CONTROLLED LOW STRENGTH MATERIAL (CLSM) SLURRY CEMENT BACKFILL	C.Y.	2839	\$40.00	\$113,560.00	\$115.00	\$326,485.00	\$98.00	\$278,222.00
14 FILTER MATERIAL	C.Y.	419	\$100.00	\$41,900.00	\$114.00	\$47,766.00	\$133.00	\$55,727.00
15 EQUESTRIAN TRAIL	S.F.	3071	\$2.00	\$6,142.00	\$4.70	\$14,433.70	\$5.00	\$15,355.00
17 TRENCH SAFETY SYSTEM AND FALSEWORK	L.S.	1	\$1,000,000.00	\$1,000,000.00	\$44,000.00	\$44,000.00	\$50,000.00	\$50,000.00
18 CLASS "A" CONCRETE, REINFORCED CONCRETE BOX	C.Y.	686	\$835.00	\$572,810.00	\$940.00	\$644,840.00	\$1,000.00	\$686,000.00
19 CLASS "A" CONCRETE, RECTANGULAR CHANNEL	C.Y.	123	\$835.00	\$102,705.00	\$970.00	\$119,310.00	\$900.00	\$110,700.00
20 ACCESS RAMP AND TRANSITION, SPECIAL TRANSITION	C.Y.	257	\$360.00	\$92,520.00	\$750.00	\$192,750.00	\$555.00	\$142,635.00
21 CLASS "A" CONCRETE, CHANNEL SLOPE PAVING AND FOOTING	C.Y.	1890	\$330.00	\$623,700.00	\$445.00	\$841,050.00	\$370.00	\$699,300.00
22 CLASS "A" CONCRETE, COLLARS AND BULKHEADS	C.Y.	8	\$400.00	\$3,200.00	\$970.00	\$7,760.00	\$2,400.00	\$19,200.00
23 CLASS "A" CONCRETE, MINOR STRUCTURES	C.Y.	254	\$400.00	\$101,600.00	\$895.00	\$227,330.00	\$970.00	\$246,380.00
24 CLASS "A" CONCRETE, TRANSVERSE CUTOFF WALL	C.Y.	21	\$900.00	\$18,900.00	\$760.00	\$15,960.00	\$1,500.00	\$31,500.00
25 CLASS "B" CONCRETE, 2' CUTOFF WALL	L.F.	5811	\$10.00	\$58,110.00	\$17.00	\$98,787.00	\$23.00	\$133,653.00

Bid Abstract

Riverside County Flood Control & Water Conservation District

1995 Market St. Riverside, CA 92501 Phone: 951.955.1200 Fax 951.788.9965

SOUTH NORCO CHANNEL, STAGE 6, NORCO MDP LINE S-1, STAGE 1, AND NORCO MDP LINE S-5, STAGE 1


Project Name:

Project Number: 2-0-0150-06

Bid Open Date: 4/3/2019

Page 14 of 24

GRFCO, INC. SUKUT CONSTRUCTION, LLC ORTIZ ENTERPRISES INC



Item No. & Description	Unit	Quantity	Unit Bid	Total Bid	Unit Bid	Total Bid	Unit Bid	Total Bid
26 CLASS "B" CONCRETE, SIDEWALK	S.F.	470	\$10.00	\$4,700.00	\$13.00	\$6,110.00	\$11.00	\$5,170.00
27 CLASS "B" CONCRETE, MISCELLANEOUS	C.Y.	292	\$400.00	\$116,800.00	\$535.00	\$156,220.00	\$250.00	\$73,000.00
28 TRANSITION STRUCTURE NO. 1	EACH	1	\$10,000.00	\$10,000.00	\$7,200.00	\$7,200.00	\$4,500.00	\$4,500.00
29 TRANSITION STRUCTURE NO. 3	EACH	2	\$10,000.00	\$20,000.00	\$10,150.00	\$20,300.00	\$4,500.00	\$9,000.00
30 JUNCTION STRUCTURE NO. 1	EACH	6	\$2,000.00	\$12,000.00	\$2,535.00	\$15,210.00	\$4,800.00	\$28,800.00
31 JUNCTION STRUCTURE NO. 2	EACH	1	\$2,000.00	\$2,000.00	\$3,500.00	\$3,500.00	\$2,800.00	\$2,800.00
32 JUNCTION STRUCTURE NO. 3	EACH	1	\$2,000.00	\$2,000.00	\$3,300.00	\$3,300.00	\$3,000.00	\$3,000.00
33 JUNCTION STRUCTURE NO. 7	EACH	2	\$6,000.00	\$12,000.00	\$3,750.00	\$7,500.00	\$10,000.00	\$20,000.00
34 JUNCTION STRUCTURE NO. 8	EACH	3	\$14,000.00	\$42,000.00	\$4,100.00	\$12,300.00	\$8,100.00	\$24,300.00
35 MANHOLE NO. 1	EACH	3	\$4,000.00	\$12,000.00	\$10,400.00	\$31,200.00	\$5,900.00	\$17,700.00
36 MANHOLE NO. 2	EACH	10	\$4,000.00	\$40,000.00	\$11,000.00	\$110,000.00	\$6,500.00	\$65,000.00
37 MANHOLE NO. 4	EACH	9	\$4,000.00	\$36,000.00	\$17,500.00	\$157,500.00	\$6,900.00	\$62,100.00
38 18" RCP, CLASS IV	L.F.	275	\$100.00	\$27,500.00	\$118.00	\$32,450.00	\$140.00	\$38,500.00
39 24" RCP, CLASS IV	L.F.	339	\$120.00	\$40,680.00	\$100.00	\$33,900.00	\$156.00	\$52,884.00
40 30" RCP, 1500D	L.F.	251	\$150.00	\$37,650.00	\$122.00	\$30,622.00	\$215.00	\$53,965.00
41 36" RCP, 1500D	L.F.	1590	\$300.00	\$477,000.00	\$128.00	\$203,520.00	\$178.00	\$283,020.00
42 42" RCP, 1500D	L.F.	911	\$330.00	\$300,630.00	\$146.00	\$133,006.00	\$236.00	\$214,996.00
43 48" RCP, 1500D	L.F.	2922	\$360.00	\$1,051,920.00	\$150.00	\$438,300.00	\$233.00	\$680,826.00
44 38"x24" ELLIPTICAL PIPE, 2000D	L.F.	113	\$420.00	\$47,460.00	\$315.00	\$35,595.00	\$344.00	\$38,872.00
45 AGGREGATE BASE, CLASS 2	C.Y.	1339	\$95.00	\$127,205.00	\$100.00	\$133,900.00	\$80.00	\$107,120.00
46 HOT MIX ASPHALT (HMA)	TONS	2200	\$100.00	\$220,000.00	\$100.00	\$220,000.00	\$86.00	\$189,200.00
47 HOT MIX ASPHALT (HMA) DIKE	L.F.	441	\$20.00	\$8,820.00	\$17.00	\$7,497.00	\$15.00	\$6,615.00
48 TEMPORARY RESURFACING	TONS	750	\$50.00	\$37,500.00	\$104.00	\$78,000.00	\$100.00	\$75,000.00
49 6-FOOT CHAIN LINK FENCE	L.F.	3975	\$32.00	\$127,200.00	\$25.30	\$100,567.50	\$29.00	\$115,275.00
50 TEMPORARY FENCING	L.F.	1936	\$20.00	\$38,720.00	\$8.80	\$17,036.80	\$19.00	\$36,784.00

Bid Abstract

1995 Market St. Riverside, CA 92501 Phone: 951.955.1200 Fax 951.788.9965

Riverside County Flood Control & Water Conservation District

SOUTH NORCO CHANNEL, STAGE 6, NORCO MDP LINE S-1, STAGE 1, AND NORCO MDP LINE S-5, STAGE 1

Project Name:

2-0-0150-06

Project Number:


4/3/2019

GRFCO, INC.

SUKUT CONSTRUCTION, LLC

ORTIZ ENTERPRISES INC

Page 15 of 24



Item No. & Description	Unit	Quantity	Unit Bid	Total Bid	Unit Bid	Total Bid	Unit Bid	Total Bid
51 CABLE RAILING	L.F.	700	\$40.00	\$28,000.00	\$17.60	\$12,320.00	\$35.00	\$24,500.00
52 TRAIL FENCE	L.F.	651	\$60.00	\$39,060.00	\$16.50	\$10,741.50	\$51.00	\$33,201.00
53 REMOVE EXISTING FENCE	L.F.	4111	\$10.00	\$41,110.00	\$9.40	\$38,643.40	\$9.00	\$36,999.00
54 REMOVE EXISTING GATES	PAIR	9	\$900.00	\$8,100.00	\$165.00	\$1,485.00	\$850.00	\$7,650.00
55 REMOVE AND REINSTALL NEW CHAIN LINK FENCE AND NEW SLIDING GATE	L.S.	1	\$20,000.00	\$20,000.00	\$29,800.00	\$29,800.00	\$20,000.00	\$20,000.00
56 10-FOOT DOUBLE DRIVE GATES	PAIR	1	\$4,000.00	\$4,000.00	\$1,320.00	\$1,320.00	\$3,700.00	\$3,700.00
57 12-FOOT DOUBLE DRIVE GATES	PAIR	2	\$3,400.00	\$6,800.00	\$1,540.00	\$3,080.00	\$2,800.00	\$5,600.00
58 14-FOOT DOUBLE DRIVE GATES	PAIR	6	\$3,200.00	\$19,200.00	\$1,765.00	\$10,590.00	\$2,800.00	\$16,800.00
59 MISCELLANEOUS IRON AND STEEL	LBS.	55935	\$1.00	\$55,935.00	\$1.65	\$92,292.75	\$2.00	\$111,870.00
60 REMODEL SEWER SERVICE LATERAL	EACH	23	\$200.00	\$4,600.00	\$3,870.00	\$89,010.00	\$2,600.00	\$59,800.00
61 ADJUST MANHOLE TO GRADE	EACH	17	\$400.00	\$6,800.00	\$1,000.00	\$17,000.00	\$750.00	\$12,750.00
62 RETAINING WALL	L.F.	105	\$115.00	\$12,075.00	\$150.00	\$15,750.00	\$1,100.00	\$115,500.00
63 REMOVE AND DISPOSE ASBESTOS PIPE	L.S.	1	\$1,000.00	\$1,000.00	\$7,000.00	\$7,000.00	\$20,000.00	\$20,000.00
64 RECONSTRUCT SIDEWALK UNDERDRAIN CONDUIT BANK	L.S.	1	\$3,000.00	\$3,000.00	\$1,300.00	\$1,300.00	\$1,000.00	\$1,000.00
65 REPAIR TRACK FIELD	L.S.	1	\$3,000.00	\$3,000.00	\$3,100.00	\$3,100.00	\$25,000.00	\$25,000.00
66 EXTRA DIRECTED WORK	L.S.	1	\$10,000.00	\$10,000.00	\$65,000.00	\$65,000.00	\$10,000.00	\$10,000.00
67 1/4-TON ROCK	C.Y.	205	\$700.00	\$143,500.00	\$60.00	\$12,300.00	\$95.00	\$19,475.00
69 COBBLE LAYER	C.Y.	1180	\$50.00	\$59,000.00	\$137.00	\$161,660.00	\$70.00	\$82,600.00
70 CRUSHED ROCK	C.Y.	495	\$50.00	\$24,750.00	\$94.00	\$46,530.00	\$70.00	\$34,650.00
71 ROCK PROTECTION FABRIC	S.Y.	4337	\$1.00	\$4,337.00	\$2.75	\$11,926.75	\$2.00	\$8,674.00
72 DUST ABATEMENT	L.S.	1	\$50,000.00	\$50,000.00	\$151,000.00	\$151,000.00	\$35,000.00	\$35,000.00
73 HYDROSEEDING	ACRE	7	\$2,600.00	\$18,200.00	\$2,100.00	\$14,700.00	\$2,000.00	\$14,000.00
74 STORMWATER AND NON-STORMWATER POLLUTION CONTROL	L.S.	1	\$2,000.00	\$2,000.00	\$187,000.00	\$187,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00
75 NON-STORMWATER DISCHARGE OR DEWATERING	L.S.	1	\$1,000.00	\$1,000.00	\$143,000.00	\$143,000.00	\$60,000.00	\$60,000.00

Bid Abstract

Riverside County Flood Control & Water Conservation District


1995 Market St. Riverside, CA 92501 Phone: 951.955.1200 Fax 951.788.9965

Project Name: SOUTH NORCO CHANNEL, STAGE 6, NORCO MDP LINE S-1, STAGE 1, AND NORCO MDP LINE S-5, STAGE 1

Project Number: 2-0-0150-06

Bid Open Date: 4/3/2019

Page 16 of 24



GRFCO, INC.

SUKUT CONSTRUCTION, LLC

ORTIZ ENTERPRISES INC

Item No. & Description	Unit	Quantity	Unit Bid	Total Bid	Unit Bid	Total Bid	Unit Bid	Total Bid
76 CITY OF NORCO WATERLINE RELOCATIONS	L.S.	1	\$120,000.00	\$120,000.00	\$280,000.00	\$280,000.00	\$390,000.00	\$390,000.00
77 WESTERN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT (WMMWD) WATERLINE RELOCATION	L.S.	1	\$140,000.00	\$140,000.00	\$235,000.00	\$235,000.00	\$215,000.00	\$215,000.00
78 12"X5'H PRECAST REINFORCED CONCRETE BOX (PRCB) GROUNDWATER INVESTIGATION	L.F.	666	\$1,050.00	\$699,300.00	\$800.00	\$532,800.00	\$965.00	\$642,690.00
79 GROUNDWATER INVESTIGATION	EACH	5	\$500.00	\$2,500.00	\$4,800.00	\$24,000.00	\$750.00	\$3,750.00
80 SOIL AND GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT SAFETY PLAN (SGMSP)	L.S.	1	\$3,000.00	\$3,000.00	\$8,500.00	\$8,500.00	\$10,000.00	\$10,000.00
81 GROUNDWATER TESTING	EACH	10	\$100.00	\$1,000.00	\$800.00	\$8,000.00	\$1,000.00	\$10,000.00
82 CONTAMINATED GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT (WITHOUT DISCHARGE)	GAL	3000	\$10.00	\$30,000.00	\$1.65	\$4,950.00	\$1.00	\$3,000.00
83 SOIL TESTING	EACH	15	\$100.00	\$1,500.00	\$1,300.00	\$19,500.00	\$750.00	\$11,250.00
84 CONTAMINATED SOIL DISPOSAL	C.Y.	30	\$300.00	\$9,000.00	\$385.00	\$11,550.00	\$300.00	\$9,000.00
				\$9,394,276.00		\$9,777,777.77		\$9,805,925.00

Bid Abstract

Riverside County Flood Control & Water Conservation District


1995 Market St. Riverside, CA 92501 Phone: 951.955.1200 Fax 951.788.9965

Project Name: SOUTH NORCO CHANNEL, STAGE 6, NORCO MDP LINE S-1, STAGE 1, AND NORCO MDP LINE S-5, STAGE 1

Project Number: 2-0-0150-06

Bid Open Date: 4/3/2019

Page 17 of 24



Item No. & Description	Unit	Quantity	Unit Bid	Total Bid	Unit Bid	Total Bid	Unit Bid	Total Bid
1 MOBILIZATION	L.S.	1	\$1,000,001.00	\$1,000,001.00	\$400,000.00	\$400,000.00	\$100,000.00	\$100,000.00
2 WATER CONTROL	L.S.	1	\$75,000.00	\$75,000.00	\$196,175.00	\$196,175.00	\$50,000.00	\$50,000.00
3 TRAFFIC CONTROL	L.S.	1	\$75,000.00	\$75,000.00	\$150,000.00	\$150,000.00	\$120,000.00	\$120,000.00
4 CLEARING AND MISCELLANEOUS WORK	L.S.	1	\$155,000.00	\$155,000.00	\$150,000.00	\$150,000.00	\$237,112.00	\$237,112.00
5 TRENCH EXCAVATION	C.Y.	20990	\$15.00	\$314,850.00	\$20.00	\$419,800.00	\$5.00	\$104,950.00
6 CHANNEL EXCAVATION	C.Y.	20905	\$18.00	\$376,290.00	\$12.00	\$250,860.00	\$14.00	\$292,670.00
7 BASIN EXCAVATION	C.Y.	1221	\$12.00	\$14,652.00	\$20.00	\$24,420.00	\$20.00	\$24,420.00
8 ASPHALT CONCRETE EXCAVATION	S.F.	72493	\$1.00	\$72,493.00	\$1.00	\$72,493.00	\$2.00	\$144,986.00
9 TRENCH BACKFILL	C.Y.	9942	\$15.00	\$149,130.00	\$10.00	\$99,420.00	\$12.00	\$119,304.00
10 CHANNEL BACKFILL	C.Y.	2194	\$45.00	\$98,730.00	\$50.00	\$109,700.00	\$55.00	\$120,670.00
11 BASIN BACKFILL	C.Y.	13181	\$8.00	\$105,448.00	\$15.00	\$197,715.00	\$15.00	\$197,715.00
12 BACKFILL PREPARATION	C.Y.	500	\$12.00	\$6,000.00	\$60.00	\$30,000.00	\$25.00	\$12,500.00
13 CONTROLLED LOW STRENGTH MATERIAL (CLSM) SLURRY CEMENT BACKFILL	C.Y.	2839	\$75.00	\$212,925.00	\$150.00	\$425,850.00	\$105.00	\$298,095.00
14 FILTER MATERIAL	C.Y.	419	\$85.00	\$35,615.00	\$150.00	\$62,850.00	\$100.00	\$41,900.00
15 EQUESTRIAN TRAIL	S.F.	3071	\$8.00	\$24,568.00	\$5.00	\$15,355.00	\$5.00	\$15,355.00
17 TRENCH SAFETY SYSTEM AND FALSEWORK	L.S.	1	\$50,000.00	\$50,000.00	\$150,000.00	\$150,000.00	\$125,000.00	\$125,000.00
18 CLASS "A" CONCRETE, REINFORCED CONCRETE BOX	C.Y.	686	\$1,100.00	\$754,600.00	\$850.00	\$583,100.00	\$1,388.00	\$952,168.00
19 CLASS "A" CONCRETE, RECTANGULAR CHANNEL	C.Y.	123	\$1,200.00	\$147,600.00	\$850.00	\$104,550.00	\$1,600.00	\$196,800.00
20 CLASS "A" CONCRETE, SPECIAL ACCESS RAMP AND TRANSITION, AND SPECIAL TRANSITION	C.Y.	257	\$600.00	\$154,200.00	\$950.00	\$244,150.00	\$1,505.00	\$386,785.00
21 CLASS "A" CONCRETE, CHANNEL SLOPE PAVING AND FOOTING	C.Y.	1890	\$450.00	\$850,500.00	\$400.00	\$756,000.00	\$575.00	\$1,086,750.00
22 CLASS "A" CONCRETE, COLLARS AND BULKHEADS	C.Y.	8	\$1,600.00	\$12,800.00	\$1,000.00	\$8,000.00	\$1,200.00	\$9,600.00
23 CLASS "A" CONCRETE, MINOR STRUCTURES	C.Y.	254	\$2,000.00	\$508,000.00	\$900.00	\$228,600.00	\$1,375.00	\$349,250.00
24 CUTOFF WALL	C.Y.	21	\$1,200.00	\$25,200.00	\$1,000.00	\$21,000.00	\$800.00	\$16,800.00
25 CLASS "B" CONCRETE, 2' CUTOFF WALL	L.F.	5811	\$15.00	\$87,165.00	\$15.00	\$87,165.00	\$65.00	\$377,715.00

ROCKFORCE CONSTRUCTION, LLC BOSCO CONSTRUCTORS, INC. GWINCO CONSTRUCTION & ENGINEERING, INC.

Bid Abstract

1995 Market St. Riverside, CA 92501 Phone: 951.955.1200 Fax 951.788.9965

Riverside County Flood Control & Water Conservation District


SOUTH NORCO CHANNEL, STAGE 6, NORCO MDP LINE S-1, STAGE 1, AND NORCO MDP LINE S-5, STAGE 1

Project Name:

Project Number: 2-0-0150-06

Bid Open Date: 4/3/2019

Page 18 of 24



ROCKFORCE CONSTRUCTION, LLC BOSCO CONSTRUCTORS, INC. GWINCO CONSTRUCTION & ENGINEERING, INC.

Item No. & Description	Unit	Quantity	Unit Bid	Total Bid	Unit Bid	Total Bid	Unit Bid	Total Bid
26 CLASS "B" CONCRETE, SIDEWALK	S.F.	470	\$6.50	\$3,055.00	\$10.00	\$4,700.00	\$10.00	\$4,700.00
27 CLASS "B" CONCRETE, MISCELLANEOUS	C.Y.	292	\$690.00	\$201,480.00	\$750.00	\$219,000.00	\$450.00	\$131,400.00
28 TRANSITION STRUCTURE NO. 1	EACH	1	\$13,000.00	\$13,000.00	\$5,000.00	\$5,000.00	\$8,500.00	\$8,500.00
29 TRANSITION STRUCTURE NO. 3	EACH	2	\$4,500.00	\$9,000.00	\$7,500.00	\$15,000.00	\$3,500.00	\$7,000.00
30 JUNCTION STRUCTURE NO. 1	EACH	6	\$1,800.00	\$10,800.00	\$3,500.00	\$21,000.00	\$3,800.00	\$22,800.00
31 JUNCTION STRUCTURE NO. 2	EACH	1	\$2,000.00	\$2,000.00	\$4,000.00	\$4,000.00	\$2,500.00	\$2,500.00
32 JUNCTION STRUCTURE NO. 3	EACH	1	\$2,750.00	\$2,750.00	\$4,500.00	\$4,500.00	\$2,500.00	\$2,500.00
33 JUNCTION STRUCTURE NO. 7	EACH	2	\$10,000.00	\$20,000.00	\$8,000.00	\$16,000.00	\$4,500.00	\$9,000.00
34 JUNCTION STRUCTURE NO. 8	EACH	3	\$12,500.00	\$37,500.00	\$10,000.00	\$30,000.00	\$5,000.00	\$15,000.00
35 MANHOLE NO. 1	EACH	3	\$6,000.00	\$18,000.00	\$3,500.00	\$10,500.00	\$5,700.00	\$17,100.00
36 MANHOLE NO. 2	EACH	10	\$6,500.00	\$65,000.00	\$4,000.00	\$40,000.00	\$4,750.00	\$47,500.00
37 MANHOLE NO. 4	EACH	9	\$8,500.00	\$76,500.00	\$4,500.00	\$40,500.00	\$6,900.00	\$62,100.00
38 18" RCP, CLASS IV	L.F.	275	\$225.00	\$61,875.00	\$130.00	\$35,750.00	\$377.00	\$103,675.00
39 24" RCP, CLASS IV	L.F.	339	\$285.00	\$96,615.00	\$160.00	\$54,240.00	\$297.00	\$100,683.00
40 30" RCP, 1500D	L.F.	251	\$300.00	\$75,300.00	\$165.00	\$41,415.00	\$277.00	\$69,527.00
41 36" RCP, 1500D	L.F.	1590	\$245.00	\$389,550.00	\$200.00	\$318,000.00	\$247.00	\$392,730.00
42 42" RCP, 1500D	L.F.	911	\$340.00	\$309,740.00	\$300.00	\$273,300.00	\$257.00	\$234,127.00
43 48" RCP, 1500D	L.F.	2922	\$300.00	\$876,600.00	\$400.00	\$1,168,800.00	\$297.00	\$867,834.00
44 38"x24" ELLIPTICAL PIPE, 2000D	L.F.	113	\$385.00	\$43,505.00	\$500.00	\$56,500.00	\$377.00	\$42,601.00
45 AGGREGATE BASE, CLASS 2	C.Y.	1339	\$35.00	\$46,865.00	\$100.00	\$133,900.00	\$70.00	\$93,730.00
46 HOT MIX ASPHALT (HMA)	TONS	2200	\$96.00	\$211,200.00	\$125.00	\$275,000.00	\$130.00	\$286,000.00
47 HOT MIX ASPHALT (HMA) DIKE	L.F.	441	\$20.00	\$8,820.00	\$20.00	\$8,820.00	\$20.00	\$8,820.00
48 TEMPORARY RESURFACING	TONS	750	\$60.00	\$45,000.00	\$100.00	\$75,000.00	\$110.00	\$82,500.00
49 6-FOOT CHAIN LINK FENCE	L.F.	3975	\$31.00	\$123,225.00	\$30.00	\$119,250.00	\$30.00	\$119,250.00
50 TEMPORARY FENCING	L.F.	1936	\$10.00	\$19,360.00	\$20.00	\$38,720.00	\$20.00	\$38,720.00

Bid Abstract


1995 Market St. Riverside, CA 92501 Phone: 951.955.1200 Fax 951.788.9965

Riverside County Flood Control & Water Conservation District

SOUTH NORCO CHANNEL, STAGE 6, NORCO MDP LINE S-1, STAGE 1, AND NORCO MDP LINE S-5, STAGE 1

Project Name: SOUTH NORCO CHANNEL, STAGE 6, NORCO MDP LINE S-1, STAGE 1, AND NORCO MDP LINE S-5, STAGE 1
Project Number: 2-0-0150-06
Bid Open Date: 4/3/2019

Page 19 of 24



Item No. & Description	Unit	Quantity	Unit Bid	Total Bid	Unit Bid	Total Bid	Unit Bid	Total Bid
51 CABLE RAILING	L.F.	700	\$40.00	\$28,000.00	\$40.00	\$28,000.00	\$42.00	\$29,400.00
52 TRAIL FENCE	L.F.	651	\$30.00	\$19,530.00	\$60.00	\$39,060.00	\$53.00	\$34,503.00
53 REMOVE EXISTING FENCE	L.F.	4111	\$5.00	\$20,555.00	\$10.00	\$41,110.00	\$10.00	\$41,110.00
54 REMOVE EXISTING GATES	PAIR	9	\$750.00	\$6,750.00	\$1,000.00	\$9,000.00	\$1,000.00	\$9,000.00
55 REMOVE AND REINSTALL NEW CHAIN LINK FENCE AND NEW SLIDING GATE	L.S.	1	\$4,500.00	\$4,500.00	\$20,000.00	\$20,000.00	\$38,000.00	\$38,000.00
56 10-FOOT DOUBLE DRIVE GATES	PAIR	1	\$5,500.00	\$5,500.00	\$4,000.00	\$4,000.00	\$4,000.00	\$4,000.00
57 12-FOOT DOUBLE DRIVE GATES	PAIR	2	\$6,500.00	\$13,000.00	\$3,000.00	\$6,000.00	\$3,000.00	\$6,000.00
58 14-FOOT DOUBLE DRIVE GATES	PAIR	6	\$7,500.00	\$45,000.00	\$3,000.00	\$18,000.00	\$3,000.00	\$18,000.00
59 MISCELLANEOUS IRON AND STEEL	LBS.	55935	\$2.00	\$111,870.00	\$2.00	\$111,870.00	\$1.50	\$83,902.50
60 REMODEL SEWER SERVICE LATERAL	EACH	23	\$1,750.00	\$40,250.00	\$10,000.00	\$230,000.00	\$2,500.00	\$57,500.00
61 ADJUST MANHOLE TO GRADE	EACH	17	\$900.00	\$15,300.00	\$2,500.00	\$42,500.00	\$750.00	\$12,750.00
62 RETAINING WALL	L.F.	105	\$250.00	\$26,250.00	\$200.00	\$21,000.00	\$150.00	\$15,750.00
63 REMOVE AND DISPOSE ASBESTOS PIPE	L.S.	1	\$5,000.00	\$5,000.00	\$15,000.00	\$15,000.00	\$10,000.00	\$10,000.00
64 RECONSTRUCT SIDEWALK UNDERDRAIN CONDUIT BANK	L.S.	1	\$3,500.00	\$3,500.00	\$10,000.00	\$10,000.00	\$5,000.00	\$5,000.00
65 REPAIR TRACK FIELD	L.S.	1	\$4,000.00	\$4,000.00	\$20,000.00	\$20,000.00	\$12,000.00	\$12,000.00
66 EXTRA DIRECTED WORK	L.S.	1	\$25,000.00	\$25,000.00	\$25,000.00	\$25,000.00	\$10,000.00	\$10,000.00
67 1/4-TON ROCK	C.Y.	205	\$70.00	\$14,350.00	\$100.00	\$20,500.00	\$185.00	\$37,925.00
69 COBBLE LAYER	C.Y.	1180	\$100.00	\$118,000.00	\$150.00	\$177,000.00	\$185.00	\$218,300.00
70 CRUSHED ROCK	C.Y.	495	\$65.00	\$32,175.00	\$75.00	\$37,125.00	\$135.00	\$66,825.00
71 ROCK PROTECTION FABRIC	S.Y.	4337	\$5.00	\$21,685.00	\$3.00	\$13,011.00	\$5.00	\$21,685.00
72 DUST ABATEMENT	L.S.	1	\$20,000.00	\$20,000.00	\$50,000.00	\$50,000.00	\$15,000.00	\$15,000.00
73 HYDROSEEDING	ACRE	7	\$2,000.00	\$14,000.00	\$2,850.00	\$19,950.00	\$1,850.00	\$12,950.00
74 STORMWATER AND NON-STORMWATER POLLUTION CONTROL NON-STORMWATER DISCHARGE OR DEWATERING	L.S.	1	\$60,000.00	\$60,000.00	\$50,000.00	\$50,000.00	\$30,000.00	\$30,000.00
75	L.S.	1	\$50,000.00	\$50,000.00	\$75,000.00	\$75,000.00	\$50,000.00	\$50,000.00

ROCKFORCE CONSTRUCTION, LLC BOSCO CONSTRUCTORS, INC. GWINCO CONSTRUCTION & ENGINEERING, INC.

Bid Abstract

Riverside County Flood Control & Water Conservation District

1995 Market St. Riverside, CA 92501 Phone: 951.955.1200 Fax 951.788.9965

SOUTH NORCO CHANNEL, STAGE 6, NORCO MDP LINE S-1, STAGE 1, AND NORCO MDP LINE S-5, STAGE 1

Project Name:

STAGE 1

Project Number:

2-0-0150-06

Bid Open Date:

4/3/2019

Page 20 of 24



ROCKFORCE CONSTRUCTION, LLC

BOSCO CONSTRUCTORS, INC.

GWINCO CONSTRUCTION & ENGINEERING, INC.

Item No. & Description	Unit	Quantity	Unit Bid	Total Bid	Unit Bid	Total Bid	Unit Bid	Total Bid
76 CITY OF NORCO WATERLINE RELOCATIONS	L.S.	1	\$147,000.00	\$147,000.00	\$150,000.00	\$150,000.00	\$251,400.00	\$251,400.00
77 WESTERN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT (MMWD) WATERLINE RELOCATION	L.S.	1	\$220,000.00	\$220,000.00	\$40,000.00	\$40,000.00	\$297,000.00	\$297,000.00
78 12WX6H PRECAST REINFORCED CONCRETE BOX (PRCB) GROUNDWATER INVESTIGATION	L.F.	666	\$800.00	\$532,800.00	\$1,500.00	\$999,000.00	\$1,127.00	\$750,582.00
79 SOIL AND GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT SAFETY PLAN (SGMSP)	EACH	5	\$5,000.00	\$25,000.00	\$1,000.00	\$5,000.00	\$2,500.00	\$12,500.00
80 GROUNDWATER TESTING	L.S.	1	\$15,000.00	\$15,000.00	\$15,000.00	\$15,000.00	\$8,500.00	\$8,500.00
81 CONTAMINATED GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT (WITHOUT DISCHARGE)	EACH	10	\$800.00	\$8,000.00	\$1,000.00	\$10,000.00	\$1,000.00	\$10,000.00
82 SOIL TESTING	GAL	3000	\$7.00	\$21,000.00	\$10.00	\$30,000.00	\$3.00	\$9,000.00
83 CONTAMINATED SOIL DISPOSAL	EACH	15	\$1,200.00	\$18,000.00	\$1,000.00	\$15,000.00	\$750.00	\$11,250.00
84 CONTAMINATED SOIL DISPOSAL	C.Y.	30	\$300.00	\$9,000.00	\$2,000.00	\$60,000.00	\$300.00	\$9,000.00
				\$10,117,177.00		\$10,544,444.00		\$10,697,764.50

Bid Abstract

Riverside County Flood Control & Water Conservation District

1995 Market St. Riverside, CA 92501 Phone: 951.955.1200 Fax 951.788.9965

SOUTH NORCO CHANNEL, STAGE 6, NORCO MDP LINE S-1, STAGE 1, AND NORCO MDP LINE S-5, STAGE 1

Project Name:

Project Number: 2-0-0150-06

Bid Open Date: 4/3/2019

Page 21 of 24

ACT 1 CONSTRUCTION, INC.

LONEROCK, INC.

GRIFFITH COMPANY



Item No. & Description	Unit	Quantity	Unit Bid	Total Bid	Unit Bid	Total Bid	Unit Bid	Total Bid
1 MOBILIZATION	L.S.	1	\$1,459,184.00	\$1,459,184.00	\$550,000.00	\$550,000.00	\$1,000,000.00	\$1,000,000.00
2 WATER CONTROL	L.S.	1	\$60,000.00	\$60,000.00	\$35,000.00	\$35,000.00	\$188,500.00	\$188,500.00
3 TRAFFIC CONTROL	L.S.	1	\$600,000.00	\$600,000.00	\$35,000.00	\$35,000.00	\$139,500.00	\$139,500.00
4 CLEARING AND MISCELLANEOUS WORK	L.S.	1	\$500,000.00	\$500,000.00	\$35,000.00	\$35,000.00	\$354,000.00	\$354,000.00
5 TRENCH EXCAVATION	C.Y.	20990	\$30.00	\$629,700.00	\$10.00	\$209,900.00	\$42.50	\$892,075.00
6 CHANNEL EXCAVATION	C.Y.	20905	\$20.00	\$418,100.00	\$10.00	\$209,050.00	\$19.00	\$397,195.00
7 BASIN EXCAVATION	C.Y.	1221	\$10.00	\$12,210.00	\$35.00	\$42,735.00	\$7.65	\$9,340.65
8 ASPHALT CONCRETE EXCAVATION	S.F.	72493	\$1.00	\$72,493.00	\$2.00	\$144,986.00	\$3.30	\$239,226.90
9 TRENCH BACKFILL	C.Y.	9942	\$30.00	\$298,260.00	\$10.00	\$99,420.00	\$45.40	\$451,366.80
10 CHANNEL BACKFILL	C.Y.	2194	\$10.00	\$21,940.00	\$10.00	\$21,940.00	\$41.40	\$90,831.60
11 BASIN BACKFILL	C.Y.	13181	\$10.00	\$131,810.00	\$10.00	\$131,810.00	\$5.65	\$74,472.65
12 BACKFILL PREPARATION	C.Y.	500	\$6.00	\$3,000.00	\$25.00	\$12,500.00	\$52.50	\$26,250.00
13 CONTROLLED LOW STRENGTH MATERIAL (CLSM) SLURRY CEMENT BACKFILL	C.Y.	2839	\$200.00	\$567,800.00	\$100.00	\$283,900.00	\$128.00	\$363,392.00
14 FILTER MATERIAL	C.Y.	687	\$70.00	\$48,090.00	\$67.00	\$46,029.00	\$108.00	\$74,196.00
15 EQUESTRIAN TRAIL	S.F.	3071	\$5.00	\$15,355.00	\$3.00	\$9,213.00	\$46.50	\$142,801.50
17 TRENCH SAFETY SYSTEM AND FALSEWORK	L.S.	1	\$250,000.00	\$250,000.00	\$350,000.00	\$350,000.00	\$64,200.00	\$64,200.00
18 CLASS "A" CONCRETE, REINFORCED CONCRETE BOX	C.Y.	686	\$1,424.00	\$976,864.00	\$1,100.00	\$754,600.00	\$971.00	\$666,106.00
19 CLASS "A" CONCRETE, RECTANGULAR CHANNEL	C.Y.	123	\$1,059.00	\$130,257.00	\$1,100.00	\$135,300.00	\$803.00	\$98,769.00
20 CLASS "A" CONCRETE, SPECIAL ACCESS RAMP AND TRANSITION, AND SPECIAL TRANSITION	C.Y.	257	\$307.00	\$78,899.00	\$1,000.00	\$257,000.00	\$693.00	\$178,101.00
21 CLASS "A" CONCRETE, CHANNEL SLOPE PAVING AND FOOTING	C.Y.	1890	\$350.00	\$661,500.00	\$1,000.00	\$1,890,000.00	\$514.00	\$971,460.00
22 CLASS "A" CONCRETE, COLLARS AND BULKHEADS	C.Y.	8	\$1,500.00	\$12,000.00	\$1,000.00	\$8,000.00	\$2,570.00	\$20,560.00
23 CLASS "A" CONCRETE, MINOR STRUCTURES	C.Y.	254	\$1,234.00	\$313,436.00	\$1,000.00	\$254,000.00	\$1,340.00	\$340,360.00
24 CLASS "A" CONCRETE, TRANSVERSE CUTOFF WALL	C.Y.	21	\$740.00	\$15,540.00	\$1,000.00	\$21,000.00	\$2,830.00	\$59,430.00
25 CLASS "B" CONCRETE, 2' CUTOFF WALL	L.F.	5811	\$30.00	\$174,330.00	\$60.00	\$348,660.00	\$27.20	\$158,059.20

Bid Abstract

1995 Market St. Riverside, CA 92501 Phone: 951.955.1200 Fax 951.788.9965

Riverside County Flood Control & Water Conservation District

Project Name: SOUTH NORCO CHANNEL, STAGE 6, NORCO MDP LINE S-1, STAGE 1, AND NORCO MDP LINE S-5, STAGE 1

Project Number: 2-0-0150-06


Bid Open Date: 4/3/2019

Page 22 of 24

ACT 1 CONSTRUCTION, INC.

LONEROCK, INC.

GRIFFITH COMPANY



Item No. & Description	Unit	Quantity	Unit Bid	Total Bid	Unit Bid	Total Bid	Unit Bid	Total Bid
26 CLASS "B" CONCRETE, SIDEWALK	S.F.	470	\$14.23	\$6,688.10	\$7.00	\$3,290.00	\$8.95	\$4,206.50
27 CLASS "B" CONCRETE, MISCELLANEOUS	C.Y.	292	\$300.00	\$87,600.00	\$850.00	\$248,200.00	\$502.00	\$146,584.00
28 TRANSITION STRUCTURE NO. 1	EACH	1	\$11,238.00	\$11,238.00	\$30,000.00	\$30,000.00	\$3,350.00	\$3,350.00
29 TRANSITION STRUCTURE NO. 3	EACH	2	\$3,900.00	\$7,800.00	\$30,000.00	\$60,000.00	\$4,470.00	\$8,940.00
30 JUNCTION STRUCTURE NO. 1	EACH	6	\$1,600.00	\$9,600.00	\$15,000.00	\$90,000.00	\$8,090.00	\$48,540.00
31 JUNCTION STRUCTURE NO. 2	EACH	1	\$1,600.00	\$1,600.00	\$5,000.00	\$5,000.00	\$3,800.00	\$3,800.00
32 JUNCTION STRUCTURE NO. 3	EACH	1	\$2,400.00	\$2,400.00	\$5,000.00	\$5,000.00	\$3,800.00	\$3,800.00
33 JUNCTION STRUCTURE NO. 7	EACH	2	\$5,000.00	\$10,000.00	\$15,000.00	\$30,000.00	\$5,810.00	\$11,620.00
34 JUNCTION STRUCTURE NO. 8	EACH	3	\$11,000.00	\$33,000.00	\$15,000.00	\$45,000.00	\$7,810.00	\$23,430.00
35 MANHOLE NO. 1	EACH	3	\$2,600.00	\$7,800.00	\$15,000.00	\$45,000.00	\$6,700.00	\$20,100.00
36 MANHOLE NO. 2	EACH	10	\$4,900.00	\$49,000.00	\$12,500.00	\$125,000.00	\$7,260.00	\$72,600.00
37 MANHOLE NO. 4	EACH	9	\$6,600.00	\$59,400.00	\$12,500.00	\$112,500.00	\$10,600.00	\$95,400.00
38 18" RCP, CLASS IV	L.F.	275	\$75.00	\$20,625.00	\$150.00	\$41,250.00	\$167.00	\$45,925.00
39 24" RCP, CLASS IV	L.F.	339	\$100.00	\$33,900.00	\$200.00	\$67,800.00	\$186.00	\$63,054.00
40 30" RCP, 1500D	L.F.	251	\$140.00	\$35,140.00	\$250.00	\$62,750.00	\$257.00	\$64,507.00
41 36" RCP, 1500D	L.F.	1590	\$150.00	\$238,500.00	\$350.00	\$556,500.00	\$213.00	\$338,670.00
42 42" RCP, 1500D	L.F.	911	\$175.00	\$159,425.00	\$400.00	\$364,400.00	\$282.00	\$256,902.00
43 48" RCP, 1500D	L.F.	2922	\$200.00	\$584,400.00	\$430.00	\$1,256,460.00	\$278.00	\$812,316.00
44 38"x24" ELLIPTICAL PIPE, 2000D	L.F.	113	\$200.00	\$22,600.00	\$450.00	\$50,850.00	\$411.00	\$46,443.00
45 AGGREGATE BASE, CLASS 2	C.Y.	1339	\$60.00	\$80,340.00	\$45.00	\$60,255.00	\$98.80	\$132,293.20
46 HOT MIX ASPHALT (HMA)	TONS	2200	\$126.00	\$277,200.00	\$100.00	\$220,000.00	\$174.00	\$382,800.00
47 HOT MIX ASPHALT (HMA) DIKE	L.F.	441	\$16.00	\$7,056.00	\$15.00	\$6,615.00	\$16.90	\$7,452.90
48 TEMPORARY RESURFACING	TONS	750	\$150.00	\$112,500.00	\$100.00	\$75,000.00	\$167.00	\$125,250.00
49 6-FOOT CHAIN LINK FENCE	L.F.	3975	\$23.00	\$91,425.00	\$35.00	\$139,125.00	\$31.80	\$126,405.00
50 TEMPORARY FENCING	L.F.	1936	\$8.00	\$15,488.00	\$10.00	\$19,360.00	\$21.20	\$41,043.20

Bid Abstract

Riverside County Flood Control & Water Conservation District

1995 Market St. Riverside, CA 92501 Phone: 951.955.1200 Fax 951.788.9965

Project Name:

SOUTH NORCO CHANNEL, STAGE 6, NORCO MDP LINE S-1, STAGE 1, AND NORCO MDP LINE S-5, STAGE 1

Project Number:

2-0-0150-06

Bid Open Date:


4/3/2019

Page 23 of 24

ACT 1 CONSTRUCTION, INC.

LONEROCK, INC.

GRIFFITH COMPANY



Item No. & Description	Unit	Quantity	Unit Bid	Total Bid	Unit Bid	Total Bid	Unit Bid	Total Bid
51 CABLE RAILING	L.F.	700	\$16.00	\$11,200.00	\$35.00	\$24,500.00	\$39.10	\$27,370.00
52 TRAIL FENCE	L.F.	651	\$15.00	\$9,765.00	\$35.00	\$22,785.00	\$66.90	\$37,041.90
53 REMOVE EXISTING FENCE	L.F.	4111	\$8.50	\$34,943.50	\$5.00	\$20,555.00	\$10.10	\$41,521.10
54 REMOVE EXISTING GATES	PAIR	9	\$200.00	\$1,800.00	\$250.00	\$2,250.00	\$946.00	\$8,514.00
55 REMOVE AND REINSTALL NEW CHAIN LINK FENCE AND NEW SLIDING GATE	L.S.	1	\$27,000.00	\$27,000.00	\$35,000.00	\$35,000.00	\$22,200.00	\$22,200.00
56 10-FOOT DOUBLE DRIVE GATES	PAIR	1	\$1,400.00	\$1,400.00	\$2,500.00	\$2,500.00	\$4,080.00	\$4,080.00
57 12-FOOT DOUBLE DRIVE GATES	PAIR	2	\$1,600.00	\$3,200.00	\$3,000.00	\$6,000.00	\$3,070.00	\$6,140.00
58 14-FOOT DOUBLE DRIVE GATES	PAIR	6	\$1,800.00	\$10,800.00	\$3,500.00	\$21,000.00	\$3,110.00	\$18,660.00
59 MISCELLANEOUS IRON AND STEEL	LBS.	55935	\$1.75	\$97,886.25	\$2.00	\$111,870.00	\$1.95	\$109,073.25
60 REMODEL SEWER SERVICE LATERAL	EACH	23	\$2,500.00	\$57,500.00	\$550.00	\$12,650.00	\$3,440.00	\$79,120.00
61 ADJUST MANHOLE TO GRADE	EACH	17	\$1,750.00	\$29,750.00	\$550.00	\$9,350.00	\$558.00	\$9,486.00
62 RETAINING WALL	L.F.	105	\$150.00	\$15,750.00	\$150.00	\$15,750.00	\$299.00	\$31,395.00
63 REMOVE AND DISPOSE ASBESTOS PIPE	L.S.	1	\$20,000.00	\$20,000.00	\$15,000.00	\$15,000.00	\$55,800.00	\$55,800.00
64 RECONSTRUCT SIDEWALK UNDERDRAIN CONDUIT BANK	L.S.	1	\$6,400.00	\$6,400.00	\$12,000.00	\$12,000.00	\$8,370.00	\$8,370.00
65 REPAIR TRACK FIELD	L.S.	1	\$20,000.00	\$20,000.00	\$10,000.00	\$10,000.00	\$27,900.00	\$27,900.00
66 EXTRA DIRECTED WORK	L.S.	1	\$35,000.00	\$35,000.00	\$10,000.00	\$10,000.00	\$18,200.00	\$18,200.00
67 1/4-TON ROCK	C.Y.	205	\$150.00	\$30,750.00	\$120.00	\$24,600.00	\$106.00	\$21,730.00
68 COBBLE LAYER	C.Y.	1180	\$150.00	\$177,000.00	\$120.00	\$141,600.00	\$173.00	\$204,140.00
69 CRUSHED ROCK	C.Y.	495	\$70.00	\$34,650.00	\$55.00	\$27,225.00	\$72.60	\$35,937.00
70 ROCK PROTECTION FABRIC	S.Y.	4337	\$1.50	\$6,505.50	\$2.00	\$8,674.00	\$5.60	\$24,287.20
71 DUST ABATEMENT	L.S.	1	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00	\$15,000.00	\$15,000.00	\$75,900.00	\$75,900.00
72 HYDROSEEDING	ACRE	7	\$1,425.00	\$9,975.00	\$1,500.00	\$10,500.00	\$2,140.00	\$14,980.00
73 STORMWATER AND NON-STORMWATER POLLUTION CONTROL NON-STORMWATER DISCHARGE OR DEWATERING	L.S.	1	\$60,000.00	\$60,000.00	\$5,000.00	\$5,000.00	\$165,000.00	\$165,000.00
74	L.S.	1	\$30,000.00	\$30,000.00	\$5,000.00	\$5,000.00	\$5,000.00	\$5,000.00

Bid Abstract

Riverside County Flood Control & Water Conservation District


1995 Market St. Riverside, CA 92501 Phone: 951.955.1200 Fax 951.788.9965

Project Name: SOUTH NORCO CHANNEL, STAGE 6, NORCO MDP LINE S-1, STAGE 1, AND NORCO MDP LINE S-5, STAGE 1

Project Number: 2-0-0150-06

Bid Open Date: 4/3/2019

Page 24 of 24



ACT 1 CONSTRUCTION, INC.

LONEROCK, INC.


GRIFFITH COMPANY

Item No. & Description	Unit	Quantity	Unit Bid	Total Bid	Unit Bid	Total Bid	Unit Bid	Total Bid
76 CITY OF NORCO WATERLINE RELOCATIONS	L.S.	1	\$159,150.00	\$159,150.00	\$150,000.00	\$150,000.00	\$520,000.00	\$520,000.00
77 WESTERN MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT (WMWD) WATERLINE RELOCATION	L.S.	1	\$365,950.00	\$365,950.00	\$230,000.00	\$230,000.00	\$281,000.00	\$281,000.00
78 12Wx5H PRECAST REINFORCED CONCRETE BOX (PRCB) GROUNDWATER INVESTIGATION	L.F.	666	\$735.00	\$489,510.00	\$1,350.00	\$899,100.00	\$886.00	\$590,076.00
79 SOIL AND GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT SAFETY PLAN (SGMSP) GROUNDWATER TESTING	EACH	5	\$1,500.00	\$7,500.00	\$1,500.00	\$7,500.00	\$5,580.00	\$27,900.00
80 SOIL AND GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT SAFETY PLAN (SGMSP) GROUNDWATER TESTING	L.S.	1	\$4,500.65	\$4,500.65	\$5,000.00	\$5,000.00	\$3,350.00	\$3,350.00
81 CONTAMINATED GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT (WITHOUT DISCHARGE) SOIL TESTING	EACH	10	\$600.00	\$6,000.00	\$500.00	\$5,000.00	\$447.00	\$4,470.00
82 CONTAMINATED GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT (WITHOUT DISCHARGE) SOIL TESTING	GAL	3000	\$1.50	\$4,500.00	\$2.00	\$6,000.00	\$52.70	\$158,100.00
83 CONTAMINATED SOIL DISPOSAL	EACH	15	\$250.00	\$3,750.00	\$1,500.00	\$22,500.00	\$447.00	\$6,705.00
84 CONTAMINATED SOIL DISPOSAL	C.Y.	30	\$350.00	\$10,500.00	\$125.00	\$3,750.00	\$167.00	\$5,010.00
				\$11,881,929.00		\$11,885,857.00		\$12,888,551.55

SPECIFICATIONS and CONTRACT DOCUMENTS
for the CONSTRUCTION of
**SOUTH NORCO CHANNEL STAGE 6,
NORCO MDP LINE S-1 STAGE 1 AND
NORCO MDP LINE S-5 STAGE 1**


PROJECT NO. 2-0-00150

RIVERSIDE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA



FORM APPROVED COUNTY COUNSEL
BY: Synthia M. Gunzel 2/26/19
SYNTHIA M. GUNZEL DATE

FEB 26 2019 11 50 AM



SPECIFICATIONS and CONTRACT DOCUMENTS

for the CONSTRUCTION of

SOUTH NORCO CHANNEL STAGE 6,
NORCO MDP LINE S-1 STAGE 1 AND
NORCO MDP LINE S-5 STAGE 1

PROJECT NO. 2-0-00150

These specifications and contract documents have been prepared under the direction of the following Registered Civil Engineers:

Recommended By:

Design Engineer

1/23/2019

Date



Approved By:

General Manager - Chief Engineer

1/25/19

Date



TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
Notice Inviting Bids to Contractors	II-III
Instructions to Bidders	IV-XIII
Bid Proposal	
Contractor's Proposal	XIV-XV
List of Subcontractors	XVI
Experience Statement	XVII
Statement of Licensure	XVIII
Affidavits	XIX-XXI
Iran Contracting Act Certification	XXII
Bid Bond	XXIII-XXIV
Construction Agreement, Bonds and Other Forms	
Construction Agreement	XXV-XXVI
Exhibit A	XXVII
Performance Bond	XXVIII-XXX
Payment Bond	XXXI-XXXII
Workers' Compensation Contractor Certificate	XXXIII
Declaration of Sufficiency of Funds	XXXIV-XXXVI

GENERAL PROVISIONS

Section I - Definition of Terms	1
1.01 Terms	
1.02 Similarity of Words	
Section II - Scope of Work	2
2.01 Work to be Done	
2.02 Construction Schedule	
2.03 Drawings and Specifications on the Work	
2.04 Estimate of Quantities	
2.05 Protests	
2.06 Alterations	
2.07 Extra Work	
2.08 Payment for Extra Work	
2.09 Rights of Way	
2.10 Cleaning Up	
Section III - Control of the Work	6
3.01 Authority of the Engineer	
3.02 Detail Drawings	
3.03 Conformity with Plans and Allowable Deviations	
3.04 Interpretation of Plans and Specifications	
3.05 Superintendence	
3.06 Lines and Grades	

TABLE OF CONTENTS - CONTD.

GENERAL PROVISIONS contd.

	<u>Page</u>
3.07 Inspection of Work	
3.08 Removal of Defective and Unauthorized Work	
3.09 Equipment and Plant	
3.10 Final Inspection	
Section IV - Control of Material	8
4.01 District Furnished Materials	
4.02 Source of Supply and Quality of Materials	
4.03 Samples and Tests	
4.04 Digging Trenches or Other Excavations	
4.05 Storage of Materials	
4.06 Defective Materials	
4.07 Assignment of Claims	
Section V - Legal Relations and Responsibility	11
5.01 Laws to be Observed	
5.02 Contractor's Responsibility	
5.03 Contractor's Responsibility for Work	
5.04 Property Rights in Materials	
5.05 Permits and Licenses	
5.06 Royalties and Patents	
5.07 Sanitary Provisions	
5.08 Public Safety	
5.09 Use of Explosives	
5.10 Provisions for Emergencies	
5.11 Unforeseen Difficulties	
5.12 Access to the Work	
5.13 Guarantee of Work	
5.14 Damages by Act of God	
Section VI - Prosecution and Progress	17
6.01 Progress of the Work	
6.02 Overtime Work and Work at Night	
6.03 Subcontracting	
6.04 Character of Workmen	
6.05 Temporary Suspension of the Work	
6.06 Time of Completion and Damages	
6.07 Delays and Extension of Time	
6.08 Assignment	
6.09 Termination of Contract	

TABLE OF CONTENTS - CONTD.

GENERAL PROVISIONS contd.

	<u>Page</u>
Section VII - Payment	21
7.01 Scope of Payments	
7.02 Payment and Compensation for Altered Quantities	
7.03 Force Account Payment	
7.04 Acceptance	
7.05 Deductions from Payments	
7.06 Partial Payments	
7.07 Delayed Payments	
7.08 Final Payment	
7.09 Claims Resolution - Claims up to \$375,000	
7.10 Claims Resolution - All Claims (Public Contract Code Section 9204)	
 Section VIII - General	 33
8.01 Cooperation between Contractors	
8.02 Insurance - Indemnification/Hold Harmless/Defend	
8.03 Public Utilities	
8.04 Protection of Existing Street Facilities	
8.05 Diversion and Control of Water	
8.06 Dust Abatement	
8.07 Project Signs	
8.08 Examination of Plans, Specifications, Contract, and Site of Work	
 Section IX - Watering	 40
9.01 Description	
 Section X - Public Convenience, Traffic Control and Detours	 40
10.01 General	
10.02 Signs	
10.03 Materials Storage	

SPECIAL PROVISIONS

Section 1 - General	42
1.1 Drawings and Specifications	
1.2 Submittals to District	
 Section 2 - Time of Completion, Damages and Legal Holidays	 42
2.1 General	
2.2 Damages	
2.3 Legal Holidays	

TABLE OF CONTENTS - CONTD.

SPECIAL PROVISIONS contd.

	<u>Page</u>
Section 3 - Force Account Payment	43
3.1 Labor Surcharge	
3.2 Equipment Rental	
Section 4 - Protection of Existing Utilities	43
4.1 General	
4.2 Cooperation with Utilities Relocated by Others or Protected in Place	
Section 5 - Project Site Maintenance	47
Section 6 - Special Requirements	47
6.1 National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES)	
6.2 Sanitation	
6.3 Confined Space Compliance	
6.4 Heavy Equipment Working Hours	
6.5 Business License	
6.6 Encroachment Permits	
6.7 Toxic Material Disposal	
6.8 Survey Crew	
6.9 Survey Monuments	
6.10 Job Trailer Site	
6.11 Construction Tolerances	
6.12 Surplus Excavated Material	
6.13 Sewer Line Inspection	
6.14 Pipe Order Notification	
6.15 Project Signs	
6.16 Liability Insurance	
6.17 1602 Permit Compliance	
6.18 Regional Board Order for Waste Discharge Requirements	
6.19 Coordination with Paleontological/Archaeological Monitoring	
6.20 Accidental Discovery	
6.21 Burrowing Owl Pre-Construction Survey	
6.22 Burrowing Owl Avoidance Measures	
6.23 Nesting Bird Pre-Construction Survey (except Burrowing Owl)	
6.24 Hillside Lane Construction	
6.25 Work Affecting Temescal Avenue	
6.26 Work on Norco High School Campus	
6.27 Work on Norco Intermediate School Campus	

TABLE OF CONTENTS - CONTD.

SPECIAL PROVISIONS contd.

	<u>Page</u>
Section 7 - Soils Report	60
Section 8 - Not Used	60
Section 9 - Payment	60

DETAILED SPECIFICATIONS

Section 10 - Mobilization	61
10.1 Description	
10.2 Payment	
Section 11 - Water Control	61
11.1 Description	
11.2 Water Control	
11.3 Measurement and Payment	
Section 12 - Traffic Control	62
12.1 Description	
12.2 Notification of Agencies	
12.3 Public Convenience and Access	
12.4 Construction Signs and Traffic Control Plans	
12.5 Flaggers	
12.6 Striping and Pavement Marking	
12.7 Payment	
Section 13 - Clearing and Miscellaneous Work	64
13.1 Description	
13.2 Clearing and Miscellaneous Work	
13.3 Payment	
Section 14 - Earthwork	66
14.1 Description	
14.2 General Excavation Requirements	
14.3 Trench Excavation	
14.4 Channel Excavation	
14.5 Basin Excavation	
14.6 Asphalt Concrete Excavation	
14.7 General Backfill Requirements	
14.8 Testing	
14.9 Trench Backfill	
14.10 Channel Backfill	

TABLE OF CONTENTS - CONTD.

DETAILED SPECIFICATIONS contd.

	<u>Page</u>
14.11 Basin Backfill	
14.12 Backfill Preparation	
14.13 Controlled Low Strength Material (CLSM)	
14.14 Slurry Cement Backfill	
14.15 Filter Material	
14.16 Equestrian Trail	
14.17 Measurement	
14.18 Payment	
Section 15 - Trench Safety System and Falsework	74
15.1 Description	
15.2 Trench Safety System	
15.3 Falsework	
15.4 Measurement and Payment	
Section 16 - Concrete Construction	75
16.1 Description	
16.2 General Requirements	
16.3 Material and Methods	
16.4 General Reinforcing Steel Requirements	
16.5 Consistency	
16.6 Placing	
16.7 Form Removal and Finish	
16.8 Curing	
16.9 Controlled Low Strength Material (CLSM) Curing	
16.10 Joints	
16.11 Weepholes	
16.12 Use of the Channel Invert	
16.13 Class "A" Concrete, Reinforced Concrete Box	
16.14 Class "A" Concrete, Rectangular Channel	
16.15 Class "A" Concrete, Special Access Ramp and Transition, and Special Transition	
16.16 Class "A" Concrete, Channel Slope Paving and Footing	
16.17 Class "A" Concrete, Collars and Bulkheads	
16.18 Class "A" Concrete, Minor Structures	
16.19 Class "A" Concrete, Transverse Cutoff Wall	
16.20 Class "B" Concrete, 2' Cutoff Wall	
16.21 Class "B" Concrete, Sidewalk	
16.22 Class "B" Concrete, Miscellaneous	
16.23 Transition Structures	
16.24 Junction Structures	
16.25 Manholes	
16.26 Measurement	
16.27 Payment	

TABLE OF CONTENTS - CONTD.

DETAILED SPECIFICATIONS contd.

	<u>Page</u>
Section 17 - Concrete Pipe	85
17.1 Description	
17.2 General Pipe Requirement	
17.3 Reinforced Concrete Pipe	
17.4 Pipe on Curves	
17.5 Video Inspection	
17.6 Measurement	
17.7 Payment	
 Section 18 - Not Used	 86
 Section 19 - Flexible Pavement Construction	 86
19.1 Description	
19.2 Aggregate Base, Class 2	
19.3 General Hot Mix Asphalt (HMA) Requirements	
19.4 Hot Mix Asphalt (HMA) Aggregate	
19.5 Asphalt Binder	
19.6 Hot Mix Asphalt (HMA) Prime Coat	
19.7 Hot Mix Asphalt (HMA) Paint Binder/Tack Coat	
19.8 Hot Mix Asphalt (HMA) Placement	
19.9 Hot Mix Asphalt (HMA)	
19.10 Hot Mix Asphalt (HMA) Dike	
19.11 Temporary Resurfacing	
19.12 Measurement	
19.13 Payment	
 Section 20 - Fences and Gates	 93
20.1 Description	
20.2 6-Foot Chain Link Fence	
20.3 Temporary Fencing	
20.4 Cable Railing	
20.5 Trail Fence	
20.6 Remove Existing Fence	
20.7 Remove Existing Gates	
20.8 Remove and Reinstall New Chain Link Fence and New Sliding Gate	
20.9 10-Foot Double Drive Gates	
20.10 12-Foot Double Drive Gates	
20.11 14-Foot Double Drive Gates	
20.12 Measurement	
20.13 Payment	

TABLE OF CONTENTS - CONTD.

DETAILED SPECIFICATIONS contd.

	<u>Page</u>
Section 21 - Miscellaneous	95
21.1 Description	
21.2 Miscellaneous Iron and Steel	
21.3 Remodel Sewer Service Lateral	
21.4 Adjust Manhole to Grade	
21.5 Retaining Wall	
21.6 Remove and Dispose Asbestos Pipe	
21.7 Reconstruct Sidewalk Underdrain	
21.8 Conduit Bank	
21.9 Repair Track Field	
21.10 Extra Directed Work	
21.11 Measurement	
21.12 Payment	
Section 22 through Section 25 - Not Used	98
Section 26 - Stonework	98
26.1 Description	
26.2 General	
26.3 1/4-Ton Rock	
26.4 Cobble Layer	
26.5 Crushed Rock	
26.6 Rock Protection Fabric	
26.7 Measurement	
26.8 Payment	
Section 27 - Dust Abatement	99
27.1 Description	
27.2 Dust Abatement	
27.3 Payment	
Section 28 - Hydroseeding	100
28.1 Description	
28.2 Hydroseeding	
28.3 Equipment and Materials	
28.4 Application	
28.5 Measurement	
28.6 Payment	

TABLE OF CONTENTS - CONTD.

DETAILED SPECIFICATIONS contd.

	<u>Page</u>
Section 29 - Stormwater and Non-Stormwater Pollution Control	101
29.1 Description	
29.2 General Requirements	
29.3 PRDs Preparation and Approval	
29.4 PRD and Rain Event Action Plan (REAP) Amendments	
29.5 Non-Compliance Reporting	
29.6 SWPPP Implementation	
29.7 REAP	
29.8 Water Quality Monitoring, Sampling and Analysis	
29.9 NAL Exceedance Report	
29.10 Reports	
29.11 Non-Stormwater Discharge or Dewatering	
29.12 Payment	
Section 30 - Utilities	116
30.1 Description	
30.2 City of Norco Waterline Relocations	
30.3 Western Municipal Water District (WMWD) Waterline Relocation	
30.4 Payment	
Section 31 - Precast Reinforced Concrete Box	117
31.1 Description	
31.2 General Requirements	
31.3 Materials	
31.4 Fabrication	
31.5 PRCB Shop Drawings, Calculations, and Layout Diagrams	
31.6 Basis of Acceptance	
31.7 Contractor Supplied Third-Party Inspection of PRCB Plant	
31.8 Installation of PRCB	
31.9 12'W x 5'H Precast Reinforced Concrete Box (PRCB)	
31.10 Measurement	
31.11 Payment	
Section 32 - Contaminated Groundwater and Soil Management	120
32.1 Description	
32.2 General	
32.3 Groundwater Investigation	
32.4 Soil and Groundwater Management Safety Plan (SGMSP)	
32.5 Groundwater Testing	
32.6 Contaminated Groundwater Management (without Discharge)	
32.7 Soil Testing	
32.8 Contaminated Soil Disposal	
32.9 Measurement	
32.10 Payment	

TABLE OF CONTENTS - CONTD.

DETAILED SPECIFICATIONS contd.

	<u>Page</u>
Appendix "A" - South Coast Air Quality Management District Rule 403	127
Appendix "B" - Project Signs	128
Appendix "C" - Log of Soil Borings	129
Appendix "D" – School District Record Drawings	130
Appendix "E" – City of Norco Technical Provisions	131
Appendix "F" – Western Municipal Water District (WMWD) Special Conditions	132
Appendix "G" – City of Norco Waterline Relocations Form 1	133
Appendix "H" – Western Municipal Water District (WMWD) Waterline Relocation Form 2	134
Appendix "I" – RCFC Video Procedures	135
Drawing No. 2-0458	Sheets 1 through 68
Norco Waterline – Waterline Relocation Plans	N1 through N4
Desalter Product Water Pipeline Relocation	W-1 through W-3
Traffic Control Plan	T1 through T21

NOTICE INVITING BIDS TO CONTRACTORS

Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District hereinafter called "District", invites sealed proposals for construction of:

**South Norco Channel, Stage 6,
Norco MDP Line S-1, Stage 1, and
Norco MDP Line S-5, Stage 1
Project Nos. 2-0-00150, 2-0-00163 and 2-0-00165
located in the city of Norco
Riverside County, California**

On or after **February 26, 2019**, Specifications and Contract Documents may be examined at the District's office at 1995 Market Street, Riverside, California, and may be obtained upon payment to District of **\$60.00** per set, received at the District's office and **\$65.00** per set if mailed. The Specifications and Contract Documents are also offered on CD-ROM for \$10.00 received at the District's office and \$15.00 if mailed. No refund.

Each proposal must be accompanied by a bid security in the form of a certified check, cashier's check or bid bond equal to ten percent (10%) of the amount bid, payable to the Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District as a guarantee that the Contractor will, if awarded the contract, execute a satisfactory contract and furnish the required bonds and proof of insurance.

Bid Proposals must be placed in a sealed envelope clearly marked "Bid Proposal". Bid Proposals must be in accordance with the instructions and other Contract Documents and filed with the District by **2:00 p.m. on Wednesday, April 3, 2019** at 1995 Market Street, Riverside, California, which time and place are fixed for the public opening of bids.

Any questions, requests for information and requests for clarification or interpretation of the Specifications must be submitted in writing to the District attention:

Nate Olivas
Email: naolivas@rivco.org
OR
Hard Copy: Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District
1995 Market Street, Riverside, CA 92501
Attn: Nate Olivas

Questions or requests must be received **no later than 5:00 p.m. on Monday, March 25, 2019.**

Pursuant to Labor Code Section 1771.1, any Contractor bidding and subcontractors to be listed on a Bid Proposal are subject to Public Contract Code Section 4104 and shall not be eligible to bid unless currently registered with the Department of Industrial Relations and qualified to perform public works pursuant to Labor Code Section 1725.5. No Contractor or subcontractor may be awarded a public works project or enter into a Contract without proof of current registration to perform public works.

General prevailing rate of per diem wages and general prevailing rate of per diem wages for holiday and overtime work, including employer payments for health and welfare, pension, vacation, apprentices and similar purposes for each craft, classification or type of workman needed for execution of

contracts under the jurisdiction of District have been obtained by the Board of Supervisors of the District from the Director of Industrial Relations of the State of California for the area where the work is to be done. The said determinations are on file in the principal office of the District, and will be made available to any interested person upon request.

THIS PROJECT IS SUBJECT TO COMPLIANCE MONITORING AND ENFORCEMENT BY THE DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS. The awarded prime Contractor shall post job site notices, including a copy of the prevailing rate of per diem wages determinations made by the Director for the Department of Industrial Relations and other notices prescribed by regulations and comply with the provisions of the California Labor Code, including, without limitation, Sections 1771.4, 1773.1, 1773.2, 1774, 1775, 1776, and 1777.5.

Contractor shall furnish the records specified in Labor Code Section 1776, including but limited to the certified payroll records, directly to the Labor Commissioner for the Department of Industrial Relations.

Substitution of securities for any moneys withheld by District shall be permitted as provided for by Section 22300 of the California Public Contract Code.

Contractors submitting proposals for this project shall have an active and in good standing Class A Contractor's license from the State of California in order to be considered eligible for the contract award. The license(s) shall remain active and in good standing throughout the entire duration of the project.


With the submittal of the Bid Proposal, the Contractor shall submit for approval by the District documented evidence of satisfaction of all of the Bidder Qualifications listed above. As part of this submittal, an Experience Statement shall be completed indicating at a minimum, the name, address, and phone number of the project owner and owner's representative, the location of the project, the dollar amount, and completion date.

Dated: February 26, 2019

RIVERSIDE COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL
AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT

KECIA HARPER
Clerk of the Board

BY


Deputy

INSTRUCTIONS TO BIDDERS

ARTICLE 1 GENERAL CONDITIONS

1.1 DEFINITIONS

Capitalized terms used on the Contract Documents shall have the meanings assigned to them in the Construction Agreement, Bonds, other Forms, General Provisions, and Specifications and Contract Documents that are included in the Contract Documents. Capitalized terms not so defined shall have the meanings assigned to them in, or if none is assigned as reasonably interpreted according to the context of, the portion of the Contract Documents where such terms are used.

1.2 QUANTITIES

The amount of work to be done or materials to be furnished under the contract as noted in the proposal, are but estimates and are not to be taken as an expressed or implied statement that the actual amount of work or materials will correspond to the estimate.

The right is reserved to increase or decrease or to entirely eliminate certain items from the work or materials if found desirable or expedient.

The Contractor will be allowed no claims for anticipated profits, loss of profits or for any damages of any sort because of any difference between the estimated and the actual amounts of work done, or materials furnished or used in the completed project.

The Contractor is cautioned against unbalancing of his bid by prorating his overhead into one or two items only when there are a number of items on the schedule. The overhead and indirect charges should be prorated on all items in schedule.

1.3 AGREEMENT OF FIGURES

If the unit prices and the total amounts named by the bidder in the proposal do not agree, the unit prices alone will be considered as representing the bidder's intention.

1.4 "OR EQUAL"

Pursuant to Division 2, Chapter 3, Article 5, commencing at Section 3400 of the Public Contract Code, all specifications shall be deemed to include the words "or equal", provided, however, that permissible exceptions or other requirements shall be specifically noted in the specifications. Any "equal" proposed by the Contractor must be described in the Contractor's Proposal.

1.5 INSPECTION OF SITE

Bidders must examine the site and acquaint themselves with all conditions affecting the work.

Information derived from maps, plans or specifications, or from the Chief Engineer or his assistants, will not relieve the successful bidder from properly carrying out all the terms of the written contract.

By the submitting of a proposal, the bidder will be held to have personally examined the site and the drawings, to have carefully read the specifications and to have satisfied himself as to his ability to meet all the difficulties attending the execution of the proposed contract before the delivery of his proposal and agrees that if he is awarded the contract, he will make no claim against the District and the County of Riverside, (including their respective officers, districts, special districts and departments, their respective directors, officers, Board of Supervisors, elected and appointed officials, employees, agents and representatives) based on ignorance or misunderstanding of the contract provisions.

1.6 QUALIFICATIONS OF BIDDERS

No proposal will be accepted from a Contractor who is not licensed under laws of California, as evidenced by the submittal of the Statement of Licensure. No award will be made to any bidder who cannot give satisfactory assurance to the District as to his own ability to carry out the contract, both from his financial standing and by reason of his previous experience as a Contractor on work of the nature contemplated in the contract. The bidder is required to submit his record of work of similar nature to that proposed under these specifications, and unfamiliarity with the type of work may be sufficient cause for rejection of a proposal.

A bid shall be rejected and a bidder shall be disqualified to bid on a District project if the bidder or any officer, manager, partner or shareholder of the bidder within the eighteen month period prior to the bid date shall have been an officer or employee of the District.

1.7 CONTRACTOR REGISTRATION

In accordance with the Labor Code (especially Sections 1725.5 and 1771.1), prior to submitting a proposal to District, all Contractors and Subcontractors must register as a "Public Works Contractor" with the Department of Industrial Relations (DIR) using the online application. Contractor's and Subcontractor's DIR Registration Number must be listed in the appropriate space on Contractor's Proposal form. This project is subject to compliance monitoring and enforcement by the DIR.

1.8 BALANCE BID

The Contractor is cautioned against unbalancing of its Bid by including its overhead and profit into one or two items only when there are a number of items on the schedule. The overhead and indirect charges should be prorated on all items in the schedule.

1.9 ANTI-DISCRIMINATION

It is the policy of the District that, in connection with all work performed under the Construction Agreement, there be no discrimination against any prospective or active employee engaged in the Work because of race, color, ancestry, national origin, religious creed, sex, age, marital status, or sexual preference. The Contractor agrees to comply with applicable Federal and California laws including, but not limited to, the California Fair Employment Practice Act, beginning with Labor Code Section 1410, and Labor Code Section 1735. In addition, the Contractor agrees to require like compliance by any subcontractors employed on the Work.

1.10 REQUIRED SUBMITTALS

There are a number of forms and other documents required as submittals by the Bidder during the various stages of the project. The following table provides a checklist of submittals required by the Bidder as requested in the Contract Documents. Bidders shall be made aware that the documents listed in the following table do not guarantee a complete submittal package.

	BID DOCUMENT	SUBMITTAL TIMEFRAME
<input type="checkbox"/>	Contractor's Proposal	with Bid Proposal
<input type="checkbox"/>	List of Subcontractors	with Bid Proposal
<input type="checkbox"/>	Statement of Licensure	with Bid Proposal
<input type="checkbox"/>	Non-Collusion Declaration	with Bid Proposal
<input type="checkbox"/>	Iran Contracting Act Certification	with Bid Proposal
<input type="checkbox"/>	Bid Bond (10% of Contract Price)	with Bid Proposal
<input type="checkbox"/>	Experience Statement (Minimum of 5 References)	with Bid Proposal
<input type="checkbox"/>	Construction Agreement	within 7 days of Notice of Intent to Award
<input type="checkbox"/>	Performance Bond (100% of Contract Price)	within 7 days of Notice of Intent to Award
<input type="checkbox"/>	Payment Bond (100% of Contract Price)	within 7 days of Notice of Intent to Award
<input type="checkbox"/>	Workers' Compensation Contractor Certificate	within 7 days of Notice of Intent to Award
<input type="checkbox"/>	Declaration of Sufficiency of Funds	within 7 days of Notice of Intent to Award
<input type="checkbox"/>	Certificates of Insurance	within 7 days of Notice of Intent to Award
<input type="checkbox"/>	Construction Schedule	within 14 days of Notice of Intent to Award
<input type="checkbox"/>	Schedule of Values	within 14 days of Notice of Intent to Award
<input type="checkbox"/>	Affirmative Action Compliance Program (for Contractors with 50+ Employees)	within 30 days of Award of Contract

**ARTICLE 2
BIDDING PROCEDURES**

2.1 PROPOSAL FORMS

Attention of all bidders is called to the proposal affidavit forms attached hereto and bidders are cautioned that all proposals submitted must be accompanied by the proper affidavit, properly executed. Proposals will be made on forms furnished by District.

2.2 SUBMISSION OF BID PROPOSALS

All copies of the Contractor's Proposal, Bid Security, and other Bid Proposal Submittals shall be enclosed by the Bidder in a sealed opaque envelope. Said envelope, as well as any other, outer envelope or packaging in which said envelope may have been placed by Bidder or the carrier for delivery, shall be addressed and delivered as provided in the Notice Inviting Bids to Contractors.

2.3 TIMELY RECEIPT

The Bidder assumes full and sole responsibility for timely receipt of its Bid Proposal, including its Bid Security and all other Bid Submittals, at the location designated in the Notice Inviting Bids to Contractors.

2.4 DELIVERY METHOD OF BID PROPOSAL

Submittal of Bid Proposals shall be by hand delivery or mail, only. Oral, telephonic, telegraphic, facsimile or other electronic transmission is not permitted.

2.5 INVALID BID PROPOSALS

Bid Proposals submitted by fax or e-mail and those which fail to reach the place fixed for opening of Bid Proposals prior to the date and hour set for opening same will not be considered.

2.6 BID SECURITY:

Bid Security. Each Bid shall be accompanied by a Bid Security in the form of 1) cash, 2) a certified or cashier's check made payable to the Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District, or 3) a Bid Bond (using the form of Bid Bond included in the Bidding Documents) issued by an Admitted Surety, in an amount equal to at least ten percent (10%) of the Bid Amount, as a guarantee that the Bidder, if awarded the Construction Contract, will enter into a Construction Contract with the District and furnish the Performance Bond, Payment Bond and other Post-Award Submittals required by the Bidding Documents. Should the Bidder refuse to enter into the Construction Contract or fail to furnish the Performance Bond, Payment Bond or any other Post-Award Submittals within the required timeframes as applicable, then the Bid Security shall be forfeited to the District in an amount equal to the difference between the amount of Bidder's Bid Amount and the amount for which the District may procure the work from another Bidder plus the costs to the District of redrafting, redrawing and republishing the Bidding Documents.

Retention by District. The District will have the right to retain the Bid Security of any Bidder to whom an Award is being considered until either (1) the Construction Contract has been executed and the Performance Bond, Payment Bond and other Post-Award Submittals have been furnished, or (2) all Bids have been rejected.

Return of Proposal Guarantees. Within ten (10) days after the award of the contract, the District will return the proposal guarantees accompanying such of the proposals as are not considered in making the award. All other proposal guarantees will be held until the contract has been fully executed, after which they will be returned to the respective bidders whose proposal they accompany.

2.7 SUBLETTING AND SUBCONTRACTING

Bidders are required, pursuant to the Subletting and Subcontracting Fair Practices Act (commencing with Section 4100 of the Public Contract Code) to list in their proposal the name and location of place of business of each subcontractor who will perform work or labor or render services in or about the construction of the work or improvement or a subcontractor who specially fabricates and installs a portion of the work or improvement according to detailed drawings contained in the Plans and Specifications, in excess of one-half (½) of one percent (1%) of the prime Contractor's total bid. Failure to list a subcontractor for a portion of the work means that the prime Contractor will do that portion of the work. Contractor shall list only one subcontractor for each portion as is defined by the Contractor in the Contractor's Bid Proposal.

2.8 DISCREPANCIES AND OMISSIONS

Discrepancies, omissions, ambiguities, or requirements likely to cause disputes between trades and similar matters shall be promptly brought to the attention of the Engineer. When appropriate, Addenda will be issued by the District. No communication by anyone as to such matters except by an Addendum affects the meaning or requirements of the Contract Documents.

2.9 ADDENDA

Interpretations, corrections, clarifications and changes to the Contract Documents will be made by Addenda. District reserves the right to issue Addenda to the Contract Documents at any time prior to the time set to open bids. Each potential bidder shall leave with the District its name and address for the purpose of receiving Addenda. District will cause copies of Addenda to be mailed or delivered to such names at such addresses. To be considered, a Contractor's proposal must list and take into account all issued Addenda.

Addenda will be transmitted by District to all prospective Bidders who (1) attended and signed in at the Mandatory Pre-Bid Site Review meeting (if any); (2) are plan holders; or (3) have submitted a written request to District for notice of Addenda at Riverside County Flood Control, 1995 Market Street, Riverside, CA 92501, including in such request the Bidder's name and address for mailing. Each potential Bidder shall leave with the District its name, address, email, and fax number for the purpose of receiving Addenda. To be considered, a Contractor's Proposal must list and take into account all issued Addenda. Failure of the Bidder to receive any Addendum shall not relieve the Bidder from any of its obligations under its Contractor's Proposal. The costs of performance by Bidder of all items of Work and other obligations contained in all Addenda issued by the District shall be deemed included in the amount of the

Contractor's Proposal. The Bidder shall identify and list in its Contractor's Proposal all Addenda received and included by the District as a basis for determining its Bid Proposal non-responsive.

2.10 **RESPONSE TO QUESTIONS**

Any questions or requests for information must be submitted in writing to the District attention:

Nate Olivas

Email: naolivas@rivco.org

OR

Hard Copy: Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District

1995 Market Street, Riverside, CA 92501

Attn: Nate Olivas

Questions or requests must be received **no later than 5:00 p.m. on Monday, March 25, 2019.**

2.11 **POSTPONEMENT**

The District reserves the right to postpone the time and date for the public opening of bids as specified in the Notice Inviting Bids to Contractors by issuance of an Addendum to the Contract Documents at any time prior to the specified time and date for public opening of bids.

2.12 **REJECTION OF BID PROPOSALS CONTAINING ALTERATIONS, ERASURES OR IRREGULARITIES**

Bid Proposals may be rejected if they show any alterations of form, additions not called for, conditional Bid Proposals, incomplete Bid Proposals, erasures, or irregularities of any kind. Erasures or interlineations in the Bid Proposal must be explained or noted over the signature of the Bidder. The District may determine as unresponsive any Bid Proposal in which any statement or representation made or incorporated by reference in the Contractor's Proposal, including any Bid submittal comprising the Bid Proposal, is false, incorrect or materially incomplete and misleading.

2.13 **DISQUALIFICATION OF BIDDERS**

More than one proposal from an individual, a firm or partnership, a corporation or an association under the same or different names will not be considered. Reasonable ground for believing that any bidder is interested in more than one proposal for the work contemplated will cause the rejection of all proposals in which such bidder is interested. If there is any reason for believing that collusion exists among the bidders, none of the participants in such collusion will be considered in awarding the contract. Proposals in which the prices obviously are unbalanced may be rejected.

2.14 **WITHDRAWAL OF PROPOSALS**

Any proposal may be withdrawn at any time prior to the hour fixed in the Notice to Contractors for the opening of proposals, provided that a request in writing, executed by the bidder or his duly authorized representative, for the withdrawal of such proposal, is filed with the Chief Engineer. The withdrawal of a proposal shall not prejudice the right of a bidder to file a new proposal.

2.15 IRAN CONTRACTING ACT

In accordance with Public Contract Code Section 2204(a), prior to bidding on, submitting a proposal or executing a contract or renewal for a District contract for goods or services of \$1,000,000 or more, a Contractor must either:

- a) Certify it is not on the current list of persons engaged in investment activities in Iran created by the California Department of General Services ("DGS") pursuant to Public Contract Code Section 2203(b) and is not a financial institution extending twenty million dollars (\$20,000,000) or more in credit to another person, for 45 days or more, if that other person will use the credit to provide goods or services in the energy sector in Iran and is identified on the current list of persons engaged in investment activities in Iran created by DGS; or
- b) Demonstrate it has been exempted from the certification requirement for that solicitation or contract pursuant to Public Contract Code Section 2203(c) or (d).

To comply with this requirement, please insert your Contractor or financial institution name and Federal ID Number (if available) and complete one of the options on Page XXII. Please note: California law establishes penalties for providing false certifications, including civil penalties equal to the greater of \$250,000 or twice the amount of the contract for which the false certification was made; contract termination; and three-year ineligibility to bid on contracts. (Public Contract Code section 2205.)

2.16 PUBLIC OPENING OF PROPOSALS

Proposals will be opened and read publicly at the time and place indicated in the Notice Inviting Bids to Contractors. Bidders or their authorized agents are invited to be present.

ARTICLE 3 CONSIDERATION OF BIDS

3.1 BASIS OF AWARD

The award of the Contract, if it be awarded, will be to the lowest responsible and qualified Bidder based upon all Bid items.

3.2 NOTICE OF INTENT TO AWARD

Within thirty (30) days following public opening and reading of Bids, the District will issue a Notice of Intent to Award identifying the name of the Bidder to whom the District intends to Award the Construction Contract. Such notice will be posted under Public Notices at rcflood.org. The District may, in its sole and absolute discretion, elect to extend the time for its issuance of its Notice of Intent to Award.

3.3 BID PROTESTS

Any Bidder submitting a Bid Proposal to the District may file a protest of the District's proposed Award of the Construction Contract provided that each and all of the following are complied with:

1. The bid protest is in writing.

- X -

2. The bid protest is both: (1) filed with and received by David Garcia at the following address, 1995 Market Street, Riverside, California, not more than five (5) days following the date of issuance of the Notice of Intent to Award. Failure to timely file and serve the bid protest as afore stated shall constitute grounds for the District's denial of the bid protest without consideration of the grounds stated therein.
3. The written bid protest sets forth, in detail, all grounds for the bid protest, including without limitation all facts, supporting documentation, legal authorities and argument in support of the grounds for the bid protest. Any grounds not set forth in the bid protest shall be deemed waived. All factual contentions must be supported by competent, admissible and credible evidence. Any bid protest not conforming to the foregoing shall be rejected as invalid.
4. Provided that a bid protest is filed in conformity with the foregoing, the General Manager-Chief Engineer, or such individual(s) as may be designated by the General Manager-Chief Engineer in his/her discretion, shall review and evaluate the basis of the bid protest, and shall provide a written decision to the Bidder submitting the bid protest, either concurring with or denying the bid protest. The written decision of the General Manager-Chief Engineer or his/her designee shall be final, unless overturned by the Board of Supervisors.
5. An inadvertent error in listing the California contractor license number or DIR public works contractor registration number for a subcontractor shall not be grounds for filing a bid protest or grounds for considering the bid nonresponsive if the corrected number is submitted to the District within 24 hours after the bid opening and provided the corrected number corresponds to the submitted name and location for that subcontractor.

3.4 AWARD OF CONTRACT

The right is reserved to reject any and all proposals and to waive technical defects as the best interests of the District may require. The award of the Contract, if it be awarded, will be to the lowest responsible bidder whose proposal complies with the requirements prescribed. The award, if made, will be made within sixty (60) days after the opening of the proposals. All proposals will be compared on the basis of the Engineer's estimate of the quantities of work to be done.

ARTICLE 4 POST-NOTICE OF INTENT TO AWARD

4.1 POST-NOTICE OF INTENT TO AWARD SUBMITTALS

Within the time periods set forth below, the successful Bidder identified in the Notice of Intent to Award as the successful Bidder shall submit the following additional Post-Notice of Intent to Award submittals, completed and signed in the manner required by the Contract Documents, to the District at 1995 Market Street, Riverside, California 92501:

- 4.1.1 Within **seven (7) days** after issuance by District to Bidder of the Notice of Intent to Award and prior to contract award, such Bidder shall submit to the District the following:

- (1) Construction Agreement duly executed by the authorized delegate of the Contractor;
- (2) Performance Bond and Payment Bond (issued by Surety), as set forth in Section 4.2 below;
- (3) Evidence of Insurance, as specified by the Contract Documents;
- (4) Workers' Compensation Certificate, in the form specified by the Contract Documents; and
- (5) Declaration of Sufficiency of Funds (required only if the Bidder has not entered into a collective bargaining agreement covering the workers to be employed for performance of the Work), in the form specified by the Contract Documents.

4.1.2 Within **fourteen (14) days** after issuance by District to Bidder of the Notice of Intent to Award and prior to commencement of the Work, such Bidder shall submit to the District the following:

- (1) Construction Schedule, prepared by Bidder in the manner required by Section 2.02 of the General Provisions; and
- (2) Schedule of Values, prepared by Bidder in the manner required by the Specifications and Contract Documents.

4.2 **CONTRACT SECURITY – PERFORMANCE BOND AND PAYMENT BOND**

The Contractor shall furnish two (2) surety bonds, each in quadruplicate, one as a security for the faithful performance of the contract in the amount equal to one hundred percent (100%) of the contract price, and one as security for the payment of all persons performing labor and furnishing materials in connection with the contract in an amount equal to one hundred percent (100%) of the Contract Price. The penal sums of the Performance Bond and Payment Bond shall each be initially in the amount of one hundred percent (100%) of the Contract Price. The penal sum may be required to be increased for Contract Adjustments increasing the Contract Price that are authorized by Change Order. All bonds must be submitted on forms provided by the District. Bonds submitted in any other form will not be accepted.

Prior to the execution of the Contract, the Contractor shall furnish to the District the required, original, Performance and Payment Bonds, issued by an admitted surety and shall have a A.M. Best's Insurance Rating of A VIII (A:8) or better rated surety company, authorized to do business in the State of California. The Bonds shall be executed by an authorized attorney-in-fact for the Surety company and his/her original or certified copy Power of Attorney must be attached to the Bonds. Premiums for Bonds shall be paid by the Contractor. Notary acknowledgements of the signatures of the Contractor and Surety(ies) is required. The attorney-in-fact who executes the required Performance Bond and Payment Bond on behalf of the Surety shall affix thereto a certified and current copy of the Power of Attorney authorizing such attorney-in-fact to execute same on behalf of such Surety. Should any Surety on the Performance Bond or Payment Bond be deemed unsatisfactory by the District, Contractor shall upon notice promptly substitute new bonds satisfactory to the District.

If the Performance Bond provides for a one-year warranty, a separate Maintenance Bond is not necessary. If the warranty period specified in the contract is for longer than one year, a Maintenance Bond equal to ten percent (10%) of the contract price is required. Bonds shall be duly executed by a responsible corporate surety, authorized to issue such bonds in the State of California and secured through an authorized agent with an office in California.

4.3 **FORFEITURE FOR FAILURE TO POST SECURITY AND EXECUTE AGREEMENT**

In the event the Bidder, to whom an award will be made, fails or refuses to post the required bonds and provide the required certificates of insurance and fails to return executed copies of the Construction Agreement within seven (7) days after the prescribed forms are presented to it for signature, the District may declare the Bidder's Bid deposit or bond forfeited as damages caused by the failure of the Bidder to post such security and execute such copies of the Construction Agreement and may award the Work to the next lowest responsible Bidder, or may call for new bids.

CONTRACTOR'S PROPOSAL

TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE RIVERSIDE COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT:

The undersigned hereby declare(s):

(a) That the only persons or parties interested in this proposal as principals are the following:

Name of Company (and dba if applicable) ("Contractor"): Mamco, Inc. dba Alabbasi Construction

President/Treasurer - Marwan Alabbasi

Vice President - Rumzi Alabbasi

Secretary - Elizabeth Alabbasi

(If the Contractor is a corporation, give the name of the corporation and the name of its president, secretary, treasurer, and manager. If a copartnership, give the name under which the copartnership does business, and the names and addresses of all copartners. If an individual, state the name and address under which the contract is to be drawn.)

- (b) That this proposal is made without collusion with any other person, firm or corporation.
- (c) That he has carefully examined the location of the proposed work, and has familiarized himself with all of the physical and climatic conditions, and makes his proposal solely upon his own knowledge.
- (d) That he has carefully examined the attached specifications as referred to, and the plans, and makes this proposal in accordance therewith.
- (e) That, if this proposal is accepted, he will enter into a written contract with the Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District, Riverside, State of California.
- (f) That he proposes to enter into such contract and to accept in full payment for the work actually done thereunder the prices shown in the attached schedule. It is understood and agreed that the quantities set forth are but estimates, and that the unit prices will apply to the actual quantities whatever they may be, subject to such adjustments and alterations as elsewhere provided for in the Contract Documents.

As bid security, accompanying this proposal is a certified or cashier's check or bid bond payable to the order of the Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District, Riverside, California, in the sum of

10% of total amount bid

Dollars (\$ 10% of bid)

**THE REQUIRED REFERENCES AND OTHER REQUIRED DOCUMENTS
MUST BE ATTACHED TO THIS BID PROPOSAL**

It is understood and agreed that should the Contractor within seven (7) days after the prescribed forms are presented to him for signature fail to return the contract and furnish acceptable surety bond and insurance, then, at the discretion of the District, the proceeds of said check shall become the property of the District, the Contractor shall be found in default and the project may be awarded to another contractor. The bid bond or check shall be held subject to payment to the District of the difference in money between the amount of the contract with another party to perform the work, together with the cost to the District of redrafting, redrawing and publishing documents and papers necessary to obtain new bids on said work. The bid bond or check shall, in addition, be held subject to all other actual damages suffered by the District. But if the contract is entered into and said bonds and insurance are furnished, or if the proposal is not accepted, then the said check shall be returned to the undersigned.

RECEIVED

APR 03 2018

1:52p.m.
RIVERSIDE COUNTY FLOOD CONTROL
AND WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT

PROPOSAL

For the Construction of **South Norco Channel, Stage 6, Norco MDP Line S-1, Stage 1, and Norco MDP Line S-5, Stage 1**, located in the city of Norco, Riverside County, consisting of the following estimated quantities:

SECT. NO.	ITEM NO.	ITEM OF WORK	UNIT	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	TOTAL COST
10	1.	Mobilization	L.S.	---	---	\$126,763 ⁶⁰
11	2.	Water Control	L.S.	---	---	\$12,000 ⁻
12	3.	Traffic Control	L.S.	---	---	100,000 \$100,000 ⁻
13	4.	Clearing and Miscellaneous Work	L.S.	---	---	\$125,000 ⁻
14	5.	Trench Excavation	C.Y.	20,990	\$17 ⁻	\$356,830 ⁻
14	6.	Channel Excavation	C.Y.	20,905	\$13 ⁻	\$271,765 ⁻
14	7.	Basin Excavation	C.Y.	1,221	\$10 ⁻	\$12,210 ⁻
14	8.	Asphalt Concrete Excavation	S.F.	72,493	.80	\$57,994 ⁻
14	9.	Trench Backfill	C.Y.	9,942	\$17 ⁻	\$169,014 ⁻
14	10.	Channel Backfill	C.Y.	2,194	\$15 ⁻	\$32,910 ⁻
14	11.	Basin Backfill	C.Y.	13,181	\$3 ⁻	\$39,543 ⁻
14	12.	Backfill Preparation	C.Y.	500	\$3 ⁻	\$1,500 ⁻
14	13.	Controlled Low Strength Material (CLSM)	C.Y.	2,839	\$123 ⁻	\$349,197 ⁻
14	14.	Slurry Cement Backfill	C.Y.	419	\$130 ⁻	\$54,470 ⁻
14	15.	Filter Material	C.Y.	687	\$45 ⁻	\$30,915 ⁻
14	16.	Equestrian Trail	S.F.	3,071	\$2 ⁻	\$6,142 ⁻
15	17.	Trench Safety System and Falsework	L.S.	---	---	\$45,000 ⁻
16	18.	Class "A" Concrete, Reinforced Concrete Box	C.Y.	686	\$635 ⁻	\$435,610 ⁻
16	19.	Class "A" Concrete, Rectangular Channel	C.Y.	123	\$675 ⁻	\$83,025 ⁻

PROPOSAL contd.

SECT. NO.	ITEM NO.	ITEM OF WORK	UNIT	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	TOTAL COST
16	20.	Class "A" Concrete, Special Access Ramp and Transition, and Special Transition	C.Y.	257	#455-	#116,935-
16	21.	Class "A" Concrete, Channel Slope Paving and Footing	C.Y.	1,890	#355-	#670,950-
16	22.	Class "A" Concrete, Collars and Bulkheads	C.Y.	8	#860-	#6,880-
16	23.	Class "A" Concrete, Minor Structures	C.Y.	254	#850-	#215,900-
16	24.	Class "A" Concrete, Transverse Cutoff Wall	C.Y.	21	#1,000-	#21,000-
16	25.	Class "B" Concrete, 2' Cutoff Wall	L.F.	5,811	#12-	#69,732-
16	26.	Class "B" Concrete, Sidewalk	S.F.	470	#7-	#3,290-
16	27.	Class "B" Concrete, Miscellaneous	C.Y.	292	#480-	#140,160-
16	28.	Transition Structure No. 1	EACH	1	#8,500-	#8,500-
16	29.	Transition Structure No. 3	EACH	2	#4,500-	#9,000-
16	30.	Junction Structure No. 1	EACH	6	#4,500-	#27,000-
16	31.	Junction Structure No. 2	EACH	1	#3,200-	#3,200-
16	32.	Junction Structure No. 3	EACH	1	#1,800-	#1,800-
16	33.	Junction Structure No. 7	EACH	2	#8,000-	#16,000-
16	34.	Junction Structure No. 8	EACH	3	#6,250- PA	#18,750-
16	35.	Manhole No. 1	EACH	3	#5,500-	#16,500-
16	36.	Manhole No. 2	EACH	10	#5,500-	#55,000-
16	37.	Manhole No. 4	EACH	9	#7,500-	#67,500-
17	38.	18" RCP, Class IV	L.F.	275	#64-	#17,600-

PROPOSAL contd.

SECT. NO.	ITEM NO.	ITEM OF WORK	UNIT	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	TOTAL COST
17	39.	24" RCP, Class IV	L.F.	339	\$ 74-	\$ 25,086-
17	40.	30" RCP, 1500D	L.F.	251	\$ 78-	\$ 19,578-
17	41.	36" RCP, 1500D	L.F.	1,590	\$ 112-	\$ 178,080-
17	42.	42" RCP, 1500D	L.F.	911	\$ 120-	\$ 109,320-
17	43.	48" RCP, 1500D	L.F.	2,922	\$ 176-	\$ 514,272-
17	44.	38"x24" Elliptical Pipe, 2000D	L.F.	113	\$ 260-	\$ 29,380-
19	45.	Aggregate Base, Class 2	C.Y.	1,339	\$ 37-	\$ 49,543-
19	46.	Hot Mix Asphalt (HMA)	TONS	2,200	\$ 105-	\$ 231,000-
19	47.	Hot Mix Asphalt (HMA) Dike	L.F.	441	\$ 16-	\$ 7,056-
19	48.	Temporary Resurfacing	TONS	750	\$ 25-	\$ 18,750-
20	49.	6-Foot Chain Link Fence	L.F.	3,975	\$ 19-	\$ 75,525-
20	50.	Temporary Fencing	L.F.	1,936	\$ 11-	\$ 21,296-
20	51.	Cable Railing	L.F.	700	\$ 50-	\$ 35,000-
20	52.	Trail Fence	L.F.	651	\$ 50-	\$ 32,550-
20	53.	Remove Existing Fence	L.F.	4,111	\$ 5 ⁵⁰	\$ 22,610 ⁵⁰
20	54.	Remove Existing Gates	PAIR	9	\$ 560-	\$ 5,040-
20	55.	Remove and Reinstall New Chain Link Fence and New Sliding Gate	L.S.	---	---	\$ 34,000-
20	56.	10-Foot Double Drive Gates	PAIR	1	\$ 1,200-	\$ 1,200-
20	57.	12-Foot Double Drive Gates	PAIR	2	\$ 1,700-	\$ 3,400-
20	58.	14-Foot Double Drive Gates	PAIR	6	\$ 2,200-	\$ 13,200-
21	59.	Miscellaneous Iron and Steel	LBS.	55,935	\$ 1 ⁵⁰	\$ 83,902 ⁵⁰
21	60.	Remodel Sewer Service Lateral	EACH	23	\$ 2,500-	\$ 57,500-
21	61.	Adjust Manhole to Grade	EACH	17	\$ 550-	\$ 9,350-

PROPOSAL contd.

SECT. NO.	ITEM NO.	ITEM OF WORK	UNIT	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	TOTAL COST
21	62.	Retaining Wall	L.F.	105	\$ 90-	\$9,450-
21	63.	Remove and Dispose Asbestos Pipe	L.S.	---	---	\$3,500-
21	64.	Reconstruct Sidewalk Underdrain	L.S.	---	---	\$5,000-
21	65.	Conduit Bank	L.S.	---	---	\$5,000- 13,000-PA
21	66.	Repair Track Field	L.S.	---	---	\$13,000-
21	67.	Extra Directed Work	L.S.	---	---	300,000.00
26	68.	1/4-Ton Rock	C.Y.	205	\$72-	\$14,760-
26	69.	Cobble Layer	C.Y.	1,180	\$162-	\$191,160-
26	70.	Crushed Rock	C.Y.	495	\$44-	\$21,780-
26	71.	Rock Protection Fabric	S.Y.	4,337	\$4-	\$17,348-
27	72.	Dust Abatement	L.S.	---	---	\$255,000-
28	73.	Hydroseeding	ACRE	7	\$1,800-	\$12,600-
29	74.	Stormwater and Non-Stormwater Pollution Control	L.S.	---	---	\$68,000-
29	75.	Non-Stormwater Discharge or Dewatering	L.S.	---	---	\$1-
30	76.	City of Norco Waterline Relocations	L.S.	---	---	\$175,000-
30	77.	Western Municipal Water District (WMWD) Waterline Relocation	L.S.	---	---	\$290,000-
31	78.	12'Wx5'H Precast Reinforced Concrete Box (PRCB)	L.F.	666	\$820-	\$546,120-
32	79.	Groundwater Investigation	EACH	5	\$2,500-	\$12,500-
32	80.	Soil and Groundwater Management Safety Plan (SGMSP)	L.S.	---	---	\$12,500-

PROPOSAL contd.

SECT. NO.	ITEM NO.	ITEM OF WORK	UNIT	QUANTITY	UNIT COST	TOTAL COST
32	81.	Groundwater Testing	EACH	10	\$1,750	\$17,500
32	82.	Contaminated Groundwater Management (without Discharge)	GAL	3,000	\$10	\$30,000
32	83.	Soil Testing	EACH	15	\$250	\$3,750
32	84.	Contaminated Soil Disposal	C.Y.	30	\$275	\$8,250


TOTAL COST

(State in Figures)

\$ 7,744,444

For the Total Bid Proposal of:

Mamco, Inc. dba Alabbasi Construction
Name of Contractor


Signature of Contractor's authorized representative

Name: Rumzi Alabbasi

Title: Vice President

764 Ramona Expressway, Suite C
Address

72-1535984
S.S.N. or E.I.N.

Perris, CA 92571
City, State, Zip

883649-A
Contractor's License No. and Classification


951-776-9300 951-776-0404
Telephone Number Fax Number

1000003024
Contractor's DIR Registration No.

estimating@alabbasi.biz
Email

If bidder is a corporation, corporate seal and attestation shall be provided below.

Dated: 4/2/19



LIST OF SUBCONTRACTORS

Contractor Mamco, Inc. dba Alabbasi Construction

South Norco Channel, Stage 6,
Norco MDP Line S-1, Stage 1, and
Norco MDP Line S-5, Stage 1
Project Nos. 2-0-00150, 2-0-00163 and 2-0-00165

Give the name and the location of the place of business of each Subcontractor who will perform work or labor or render service to the General Contractor in or about the construction of the work or improvement, or a Subcontractor who specially fabricates and installs a portion of the work or improvement, in an amount in excess of one-half (1/2) of one percent (1%) of the General Contractor's total bid. List the bid item numbers of the work each subcontractor will do. If a portion of an item is to be subcontracted, show what percentage of that item is to be subcontracted.

Item No. (s) 8(P), 46(P), 48 A.C. Paving
Name of Subcontractor Hardy & Harper
Address/City/Phone 1312 E Warner Ave Santa Ana CA 714-444-1851
License No. 215952 Subcontractor's DIR Registration No. 1000000070

Item No. (s) Portions of 14-28 - Rebar
Name of Subcontractor Amber Steel
Address/City/Phone 312 S. Willow Ave. Rialto CA 909-874-2213
License No. 218566 Subcontractor's DIR Registration No. 1000000630

Item No. (s) Portion of 77 - Line stop
Name of Subcontractor Koppl Pipeline
Address/City/Phone 1228 Date St Montebello, CA 323-888-7211
License No. 844802 Subcontractor's DIR Registration No. 1000000314

Item No. (s) Portions of 79-82 - Water Treatment
Name of Subcontractor Pure Effect
Address/City/Phone 601 W. Valencia Dr. Fullerton, CA 714-639-7873
License No. 825682 Subcontractor's DIR Registration No. 1000004972

Item No. (s) _____
Name of Subcontractor _____
Address/City/Phone _____
License No. _____ Subcontractor's DIR Registration No. _____

EXPERIENCE STATEMENT

Bidder submits, as part of his bid, the following statements as to his experience qualifications. Bidder certifies that all statements and information set forth below are true and accurate. Bidder hereby authorizes the District to make inquiry as appropriate regarding his experience.

Bidder has been engaged in the contracting business under this present business name for 10 years.

Bidder's experience in work of a nature similar in type and magnitude to that set forth in the Specification extends over a period of 10 years.

Within the last three years, Bidder has satisfactorily completed the following contracts covering work similar in type and magnitude to that set forth in these Specifications for the following owners (name person, firms, or authorities):

Name and Address of Owner/Agency	Representative and Telephone	Type of Work, Location, Year Completed and Dollar Amount
Please see attached list of reference.		


STATEMENT OF LICENSURE

Pursuant to California Public Contract Code §3300, the undersigned does certify as follows:

1. That the pocket license/certificate of licensure I have presented to owner as of this date is my own license, being State of California, Contractors License No. 883649; and
2. That said Contractors License is current and valid; and
3. That said Contractors License is of a classification appropriate to the work to be undertaken for owner, a Class A license.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

DATED: 04/02/2019



 Signature

 Vice President

 Title

A notary public or other officer completing this certificate verifies only the identity of the individual who signed the document to which this certificate is attached, and not the truthfulness, accuracy, or validity of that document.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA) §
 COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE)

On this the 2nd day of April, 2019, before me

Kim A. DeRosia, Notary Public


 the undersigned Notary Public, personally appeared

Rumzi Alabbasi


 who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument, and acknowledged that he/she executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.



 Notary's Signature (Seal)



N/A

AFFIDAVIT FOR INDIVIDUAL CONTRACTORS

STATE OF CALIFORNIA) §
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE)

_____, being first duly sworn, deposes and says:

That he or she is the party making the foregoing proposal or bid; that the bid is not made in the interest of, or on behalf of, any undisclosed person, partnership, company, association, organization, or corporation; that the bid is genuine and not collusive or sham; that the bidder has not directly or indirectly induced or solicited any other bidder to put in a false or sham bid, and has not directly or indirectly colluded, conspired, connived, or agreed with any bidder or anyone else to put in a sham bid, or that anyone shall refrain from bidding; that the bidder has not in any manner, directly or indirectly, sought by agreement, communication, or conference with anyone to fix the bid price of the bidder or any other bidder, or to fix any overhead, profit, or cost element of the bid price, or of that of any other bidder, or to secure any advantage against the Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District or anyone interested in the proposed contract; that all statements contained in the bid are true; and, further, that the bidder has not, directly or indirectly, submitted his or her bid price or any breakdown thereof, or the contents thereof, or divulged information or data relative thereto, or paid, and will not pay, any fee to any corporation, partnership, company association, organization, bid depository, or to any member or agent thereof to effectuate a collusive or sham bid.

His or Her signature

Subscribed and sworn to before me

this _____ day of _____, 20____.

Signature and stamp of Notary
administering oath

N/A

AFFIDAVIT FOR JOINT VENTURE OR COPARTNERSHIP CONTRACTOR

STATE OF CALIFORNIA) §
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE)

_____, being first duly sworn, deposes and says:

That he or she is a member of the joint venture or copartnership firm designated as

which is the party making the foregoing proposal or bid; that the bid is not made in the interest of, or on behalf of, any undisclosed person, partnership, company, association, organization, or corporation; that the bid is genuine and not collusive or sham; that the bidder has not directly or indirectly induced or solicited any other bidder to put in a false or sham bid, and has not directly or indirectly colluded, conspired, connived, or agreed with any bidder or anyone else to put in a sham bid, or that anyone shall refrain from bidding; that the bidder has not in any manner, directly or indirectly, sought by agreement, communication, or conference with anyone to fix the bid price of the bidder or any other bidder, or to fix any overhead, profit, or cost element of the bid price, or of that of any other bidder, or to secure any advantage against the Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District or anyone interested in the proposed contract; that all statements contained in the bid are true; and, further, that the bidder has not, directly or indirectly, submitted his or her bid price or any breakdown thereof, or the contents thereof, or divulged information or data relative thereto, or paid, and will not pay, any fee to any corporation, partnership, company association, organization, bid depository, or to any member or agent thereof to effectuate a collusive or sham bid.

That he has been and is duly vested with authority to make and sign instruments for the joint venture or copartnership by

who constitute the other members of the joint venture or copartnership.

His or Her signature

Subscribed and sworn to before me

this _____ day of _____, 20____

Signature and stamp of Notary
administering oath

AFFIDAVIT FOR CORPORATE CONTRACTOR

STATE OF CALIFORNIA) §
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE)

Rumzi Alabbasi, being first duly sworn, deposes and says:

That he or she is Vice President
of Mamco, Inc. dba Alabbasi

a corporation which is the party making the foregoing proposal or bid; that the bid is not made in the interest of, or on behalf of, any undisclosed person, partnership, company, association, organization, or corporation; that the bid is genuine and not collusive or sham; that the bidder has not directly or indirectly induced or solicited any other bidder to put in a false or sham bid, and has not directly or indirectly colluded, conspired, connived, or agreed with any bidder or anyone else to put in a sham bid, or that anyone shall refrain from bidding; that the bidder has not in any manner, directly or indirectly, sought by agreement, communication, or conference with anyone to fix the bid price of the bidder or any other bidder, or to fix any overhead, profit, or cost element of the bid price, or of that of any other bidder, or to secure any advantage against the Riverside County Flood Control and Water Conservation District or anyone interested in the proposed contract; that all statements contained in the bid are true; and, further, that the bidder has not, directly or indirectly, submitted his or her bid price or any breakdown thereof, or the contents thereof, or divulged information or data relative thereto, or paid, and will not pay, any fee to any corporation, partnership, company association, organization, bid depository, or to any member or agent thereof to effectuate a collusive or sham bid.

[Signature]
His or Her signature

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 2nd day of April, 2019.

[Signature]
Signature and Stamp of Notary administering oath



IRAN CONTRACTING ACT CERTIFICATION


In accordance with Public Contract Code Section 2204(a), prior to bidding on, submitting a proposal or executing a contract or renewal for a District contract for goods or services of \$1,000,000 or more, a Contractor must either:

- c) Certify it is not on the current list of persons engaged in investment activities in Iran created by the California Department of General Services ("DGS") pursuant to Public Contract Code Section 2203(b) and is not a financial institution extending twenty million dollars (\$20,000,000) or more in credit to another person, for 45 days or more, if that other person will use the credit to provide goods or services in the energy sector in Iran and is identified on the current list of persons engaged in investment activities in Iran created by DGS; or
- d) Demonstrate it has been exempted from the certification requirement for that solicitation or contract pursuant to Public Contract Code Section 2203(c) or (d).

To comply with this requirement, please insert your Contractor or financial institution name and Federal ID Number (if available) and complete one of the options below. Please note: California law establishes penalties for providing false certifications, including civil penalties equal to the greater of \$250,000 or twice the amount of the contract for which the false certification was made; contract termination; and three-year ineligibility to bid on contracts. (Public Contract Code Section 2205.)

Option #1 – Certification

I, the official named below, certify I am duly authorized to execute this certification on behalf of the Contractor/financial institution identified below, and the Contractor/financial institution identified below is **not** on the current list of persons engaged in investment activities in Iran created by DGS and is not a financial institution extending twenty million dollars (\$20,000,000) or more in credit to another person/vendor, for 45 days or more, if that other person/vendor will use the credit to provide goods or services in the energy sector in Iran and is identified on the current list of persons engaged in investment activities in Iran created by DGS.

<i>Contractor Name/Financial Institution (Printed)</i> Mamco, Inc. dba Alabbas		<i>Federal ID Number (or n/a)</i> 72-1535984
<i>By (Authorized Signature)</i> 		
<i>Printed Name and Title of Person Signing</i> Rumzi Alabbasi - Vice President		
<i>Date Executed</i> 04/02/2019	<i>Executed in</i> Perris, CA	

Option #2 – Exemption

Pursuant to Public Contract Code Sections 2203(c) and (d), a public entity may permit a Contractor/financial institution engaged in investment activities in Iran, on a case-by-case basis, to be eligible for, or to bid on, submit a proposal for, or enters into or renews, a contract for goods and services.

If you have obtained an exemption from the certification requirement under the Iran Contracting Act, please fill out the information below, and attach documentation demonstrating the exemption approval.

<i>Contractor Name/Financial Institution (Printed)</i>		<i>Federal ID Number (or n/a)</i>
<i>By (Authorized Signature)</i>		
<i>Printed Name and Title of Person Signing</i>		
<i>Date Executed</i>	<i>Executed in</i>	