

**SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA**



ITEM: 3.22
(ID # 14278)

MEETING DATE:
Tuesday, January 26, 2021

FROM: SHERIFF-CORONER-PA:

SUBJECT: SHERIFF-CORONER-PA: Adoption of Resolution No. 2021-026 Authorizing the Sheriff to Apply for Coronavirus Emergency Supplemental Funding (CESF), Funded by the Fiscal Year 2020 Edward J. Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program, All Districts. [\$0]

RECOMMENDED MOTION: That the Board of Supervisors:

1. Adopt Resolution No. 2021-026 Authorizing the Sheriff to apply for FY20 Coronavirus Emergency Supplemental Funding (CESF) funding of up to \$3,321,765 in formula-based funding under the CESF Program administered by the California Board of State and Community Corrections (BSCC) and funded by the Fiscal Year 2020 Edward J. Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program, and authorize the Sheriff, or designee, to execute and submit related application documents, approved as to form by County Counsel and on behalf of the County.

BR# 21-048

ACTION: Policy



Robert Gunzel, Assistant Sheriff 1/8/2021

MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

On motion of Supervisor Jeffries, seconded by Supervisor Hewitt and duly carried, IT WAS ORDERED that the above matter is approved as recommended.

Ayes: Jeffries, Spiegel, Washington, and Hewitt
Nays: Perez
Absent: None
Date: January 26, 2021
xc: Sheriff

Kecia R. Harper
Clerk of the Board

By: 
Deputy

**SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE,
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

FINANCIAL DATA	Current Fiscal Year:	Next Fiscal Year:	Total Cost:	Ongoing Cost
COST	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
NET COUNTY COST	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
SOURCE OF FUNDS:			Budget Adjustment:	No
			For Fiscal Year:	20/21

C.E.O. RECOMMENDATION: Approve

BACKGROUND:

Summary

Per Board Policy A-30, the County of Riverside Sheriff's (Department) is requesting authorization to apply for up to \$3,321,765 in Coronavirus Emergency Supplemental Funding (CESF). The CESF program is administered by the U.S. Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA). CESF provides funding to states, local units of government, and tribes to prevent, prepare for, and respond to the coronavirus pandemic.

On November 20, 2020, California Board of State and Community Corrections (BSCC) announced the release of the Coronavirus Emergency Supplemental Funding (CESF) Request for Applications (RFA) to compete for 2020 JAG Funds. The RFA process requires counties to collaborate with cities within those counties; Indian tribes; and community-based organizations (CBOs) on the development of local CESF priorities. As part of the application process, counties must allocate a minimum of 20 percent of the CESF award to nongovernmental, community-based organizations impacted by coronavirus and providing services in the county. The County's CESF allocation of \$3,321,765 was calculated based on a formula developed by BSCC.

The County of Riverside, Executive Office has designated the Department as the fiscal agent to administer CESF program grant funds. BSCC requires the County to establish an advisory group, which must include representatives from the county, cities within the County, CBOs, and tribal governments to develop recommendations for local CESF priorities and the allocation of the CESF award. Counties are required to passthrough a minimum of 20% of the CESF award to nongovernmental CBOs. In preparation for the RFA process, the Department formed the Coronavirus Local Advisory Group (CLAC) to begin compiling the required information for a grant proposal.

Funds awarded under the CESF Program must be used to prevent, prepare for, and respond to the coronavirus with a focus on meeting ex-offender re-entry related needs. Allowable projects and purchases include, but are not limited to, overtime, equipment (including law enforcement and medical personal protective equipment), hiring, supplies (such as gloves, masks, sanitizer), training, travel expenses (particularly related to the distribution of resources to the most impacted areas), and addressing the medical needs of inmates and detainees in state, local,

**SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE,
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

and tribal prisons, jails, and detention centers. Processing and completing such projects and purchases are subject to the respective applicable procedures and requirements.

Impact on Residents and Businesses

The California CESF Program provides emergency federal funding to prevent, prepare for, and respond to the coronavirus with a focus on meeting the CESF reentry related needs of individuals. Re-entry is defined as release from a custodial setting (e.g. state prison, county jails, juvenile halls, ranches, camps, etc.) back into the community.

Additional Fiscal Information


The maximum grant allocation for the County is \$3,321,765, for a grant period of ten months. There is no matching requirement. Once the application has been approved by the State, the Department will return to the Board of Supervisors to approve acceptance of the grant and grant agreement. There is no obligation to expend County funds in order to apply for or receive grant funds.

ATTACHMENTS

1. Resolution Number 2021-026
2. CESF County Allocations
3. CESF Program Solicitation
4. CESF Funding Recommendations


Cheryl Williams

1/19/2021



Gregory L. Priantos, Director County Counsel

1/6/2021

2 RESOLUTION NO. 2021-026

3 A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
4 AUTHORIZING THE SHERIFF TO APPLY FOR, EXECUTE AND SUBMIT DOCUMENTS
5 RELATED TO THE APPLICATION AND ADMINISTER THE FY20 CORONAVIRUS
6 EMERGENCY SUPPLEMENTAL FUNDING (CESF) PROGRAM

7
8
9 WHEREAS, the County of Riverside, on behalf of its Riverside County Sheriff's Department,
10 ("County") desires to participate in the Coronavirus Emergency Supplemental Funding ("CESF")
11 Program, administered by the California Board of State and Community Corrections ("BSCC") and
12 funded by the Fiscal Year 2020 Edward J. Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant ("JAG") Program;
13 and

14 WHEREAS, the CESF funds will be used to prevent, prepare for, and respond to the coronavirus
15 with a focus on meeting ex-offender re-entry related needs, which include, but are not limited to,
16 overtime, equipment (including law enforcement and medical personal protective equipment), hiring,
17 supplies (such as gloves, masks, sanitizer), training, travel expenses (particularly related to the
18 distribution of resources to the most impacted areas), and addressing the medical needs of inmates and
19 detainees in state, local, and tribal prisons, jails, and detention centers; and

20 WHEREAS, if the County is awarded the grant, then the Sheriff's Department will bring forth
21 the award and grant agreement for acceptance and approval by the Board of Supervisors of the County;

22 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, DETERMINED AND ORDERED by the Board of
23 Supervisors of the County of Riverside, State of California, in regular session assembled on January 26,
24 2021, located on the first floor of the County Administrative Center, 4080 Lemon Street, Riverside,
25 California, that the Board of Supervisors hereby authorizes the Sheriff, on behalf of the County, to apply
26 for the CESF Program, as administered by BSCC and funded by the Fiscal Year 2020 Edward J. Byrne
27 Memorial JAG Program by executing and submitting the related CESF Grant application documents to
28 the BSCC.

FORM APPROVED COUNTY COUNSEL
BY: *[Signature]* 1/5/2021
DATE: _____
COUNSEL: _____

JAN 26 2021 3.22

SHERIFF
BOS 1/26/21
MT # 14278
ATTACHMENT # 1

1 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, DETERMINED AND ORDERED that the federal grant funds
2 received hereunder shall not be used to supplant expenditures controlled by the County of Riverside
3 Board of Supervisors.

4 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the County of Riverside agrees to abide by the statutes and
5 regulations governing the federal JAG Program, as well as the terms and conditions of the related Grant
6 Agreements, as set forth by the BSCC.

7 BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, DETERMINED AND ORDERED by the Board of Supervisors
8 that the individuals whose position title appears below are hereby designated as Authorized Agents and
9 are authorized, as an individual, to execute for and on behalf of the County of Riverside, a political
10 subdivision of the State of California, any related documents necessary for the purpose of administering
11 the subject grant, as required by the U.S. Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Assistance and sub-
12 granted through the BSCC, subject to County Counsel's approval as to form.

13
14 Sheriff OR
15 (Title of Authorized Agent)

16
17 Undersheriff OR
18 (Title of Authorized Agent)

19
20 Assistant Sheriff OR
21 (Title of Authorized Agent)

22
23 Correctional Chief Deputy OR
24 (Title of Authorized Agent)

25
26 Administrative Services Manager
27 (Title of Authorized Agent)
28

2 **RESOLUTION 2021-026**

3 **A RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF**
4 **RIVERSIDE AUTHORIZING THE SHERIFF TO APPLY FOR, EXECUTE AND SUBMIT**
5 **DOCUMENTS RELATED TO THE APPLICATION AND ADMINISTER THE FY20**
6 **CORONAVIRUS EMERGENCY SUPPLEMENTAL FUNDING (CESF) PROGRAM.**

7 ADOPTED by Riverside County Board of Supervisors on January 26, 2021.

8 ROLL CALL:

9 Ayes: Jeffries, Spiegel, Washington, and Hewitt
10 Nays: Perez
11 Absent: None

12
13 The foregoing is certified to be a true copy of a resolution duly adopted by said Board of
14 Supervisors on the date therein set forth.

15 KECIA R. HARPER, Clerk of said Board

16 By: 
17 Deputy

18
19
20
21
22
23 01.26.2021 3.22
24
25

Coronavirus Emergency Supplemental Funding
Formula Allocation

Attachment D-1

County	Population Estimate Jan. 2020	CESF Total Local Allocation	BSCC Recommended Allocation Plan		Parity Check
			Target Allocation (about \$1.93/person)	Adjusted Allocation (Target minus CESF Total Local Allocation)	
Alameda County	1,670,834	2,107,528	\$3,229,031	\$1,121,503.18	1.932586
Alpine County	1,142		\$2,207	\$2,207.01	1.932586
Amador County	37,676		\$72,812	\$72,812.13	1.932586
Butte County	210,291	114,863	\$406,406	\$291,542.54	1.932586
Calaveras County	45,023	36,224	\$87,011	\$50,786.84	1.932586
Colusa County	21,902		\$42,328	\$42,327.51	1.932586
Contra Costa County	1,153,561	681,707	\$2,229,356	\$1,547,649.38	1.932586
Del Norte County	27,298		\$52,756	\$52,755.75	1.932586
El Dorado County	193,227	56,526	\$373,428	\$316,901.89	1.932586
Fresno County	1,023,358	1,085,382	\$1,977,728	\$892,345.82	1.932586
Glenn County	29,400		\$56,818	\$56,818.04	1.932586
Humboldt County	133,302	110,787	\$257,618	\$146,830.64	1.932586
Imperial County	188,777	114,560	\$364,828	\$250,267.88	1.932586
Inyo County	18,584		\$35,915	\$35,915.19	1.932586
Kern County	917,553	1,058,291	\$1,773,251	\$714,959.51	1.932586
Kings County	153,608	98,788	\$296,861	\$198,072.74	1.932586
Lake County	64,040	45,281	\$123,763	\$78,481.84	1.932586
Lassen County	28,833	100,496	\$55,722		3.485451
Los Angeles County	10,172,951	11,661,503	\$19,660,107	\$7,998,604.45	1.932586
Madera County	158,147	192,596	\$305,633	\$113,036.75	1.932586
Marin County	260,831	109,250	\$504,078	\$394,828.46	1.932586
Mariposa County	18,067		\$34,916	\$34,916.04	1.932586
Mendocino County	87,946	69,733	\$169,963	\$100,230.25	1.932586
Merced County	283,521	294,178	\$547,929	\$253,750.85	1.932586
Modoc County	9,570		\$18,495	\$18,494.85	1.932586
Mono County	13,464		\$26,020	\$26,020.34	1.932586
Monterey County	441,143	294,101	\$852,547	\$558,445.99	1.932586
Napa County	139,088	123,362	\$268,800	\$145,437.59	1.932586
Nevada County	98,114		\$189,614	\$189,613.79	1.932586
Orange County	3,194,332	1,294,586	\$6,173,323	\$4,878,736.80	1.932586
Placer County	403,711	115,997	\$780,206	\$664,209.42	1.932586
Plumas County	18,260		\$35,289	\$35,289.03	1.932586
Riverside County	2,442,304	1,398,199	\$4,719,964	\$3,321,764.66	1.932586
Sacramento County	1,555,365	1,719,242	\$3,005,877	\$1,286,635.35	1.932586
San Benito County	62,353		\$120,503	\$120,502.56	1.932586
San Bernardino County	2,180,537	2,055,600	\$4,214,076	\$2,158,476.30	1.932586
San Diego County	3,343,355	2,375,897	\$6,461,323	\$4,085,425.63	1.932586
San Francisco County	897,806	1,449,067	\$1,735,088	\$286,020.73	1.932586
San Joaquin County	773,632	1,322,810	\$1,495,111	\$172,300.74	1.932586
San Luis Obispo County	277,259	103,090	\$535,827	\$432,736.99	1.932586
San Mateo County	773,244	300,963	\$1,494,361	\$1,193,397.89	1.932586
Santa Barbara County	451,840	302,097	\$873,219.87	\$571,122.87	1.932586
Santa Clara County	1,961,969	1,091,348	\$3,791,674.74	\$2,700,326.74	1.932586
Santa Cruz County	271,233	236,669	\$524,181.23	\$287,512.23	1.932586
Shasta County	178,045	281,648	\$344,087.36	\$62,439.36	1.932586
Sierra County	3,201		\$6,186.21	\$6,186.21	1.932586
Siskiyou County	44,461		\$85,924.73	\$85,924.73	1.932586
Solano County	440,224	457,537	\$850,770.95	\$393,233.95	1.932586
Sonoma County	492,980	388,585	\$952,726.48	\$564,141.48	1.932586
Stanislaus County	557,709	695,134	\$1,077,820.87	\$382,686.87	1.932586
Sutter County	100,750	114,080	\$194,708.09	\$80,628.09	1.932586
Tehama County	65,129	90,988	\$125,867.42	\$34,879.42	1.932586
Trinity County	13,548		\$26,182.68	\$26,182.68	1.932586
Tulare County	479,977	348,436	\$927,597.06	\$579,161.06	1.932586
Tuolumne County	54,917	39,018	\$106,131.85	\$67,113.85	1.932586
Ventura County	842,886	414,924	\$1,628,950.08	\$1,214,026.08	1.932586
Yolo County	221,705	164,642	\$428,464.08	\$263,822.08	1.932586
Yuba County	78,887	49,886	\$152,456	\$102,569.95	1.932586
Grand Total	39,782,870	35,165,599	\$76,883,836	\$41,763,010.99	1.933712

SHERIFF
BOS 1/26/2021
MTH 14278
1
ATTACHMENT #2

Coronavirus Emergency Supplemental Funding Program Solicitation

FY 2020 Formula Grant Solicitation

May 8, 2020

This solicitation has been changed on page 5 with revised information on how to draw down funds. Thank you for your attention to this update.

SHERIFF
BOS 1/26/2021
MT# 14278
ATTACHMENT #3

OMB No. 1121-0329
Approval Expires 11/30/2020

U.S. Department of Justice
Office of Justice Programs
Bureau of Justice Assistance



Coronavirus Emergency Supplemental Funding Program Solicitation FY 2020 Formula Grant Solicitation

CFDA #16.034

Solicitation Release Date: March 30, 2020

Application Deadline: 11:59 p.m. eastern time on May 29, 2020

The [U.S. Department of Justice](#) (DOJ), [Office of Justice Programs](#) (OJP), [Bureau of Justice Assistance](#) (BJA) is seeking applications for the Coronavirus Emergency Supplemental Funding Program.

This solicitation incorporates the [OJP Grant Application Resource Guide](#) by reference. The OJP Grant Application Resource Guide provides guidance to applicants on how to prepare and submit applications for funding to OJP. **If this solicitation expressly modifies any provision in the OJP Grant Application Resource Guide, the applicant is to follow the guidelines in this solicitation as to that provision.**

Eligibility

The following entities are eligible to apply:

- States, U.S. Territories, the District of Columbia, units of local government, and federally recognized tribal governments that were identified as eligible for funding under the Fiscal Year (FY) 2019 State and Local Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) Program are eligible to apply under the Coronavirus Emergency Supplemental Funding (CESF) Program solicitation. NOTE: Only the State Administering Agency that applied for FY 2019 JAG funding for a state/territory may apply for the state allocation of CESF funding.

The eligible allocations for the FY 2020 CESF Program can be found at:
<https://bjia.ojp.gov/program/fy20-cesf-allocations>.

For the purposes of the CESF Program, please note the following:

- The term "states" includes the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the Northern Mariana Islands, the U.S. Virgin Islands, Guam, and American Samoa. (Throughout this solicitation, each reference to a "state" or "states" includes all 56 jurisdictions.)

- The term “units of local government” includes a town, township, village, parish, city, county, borough, or other general purpose political subdivision of a state, or a federally recognized Indian tribal government that performs law enforcement functions (as determined by the Secretary of the Interior). A unit of local government also may be any law enforcement district or judicial enforcement district established under applicable state law with authority to independently establish a budget and impose taxes; for example, in Louisiana, a unit of local government means a district attorney or parish sheriff.
- All recipients and subrecipients (including any for-profit organization) must forgo any profit or management fee.

Contact information

For technical assistance with submitting an application, contact the Grants Management System (GMS) Support Hotline at 888–549–9901, option 3, or via email at GMS.HelpDesk@usdoj.gov. The GMS Support Hotline operates 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, including federal holidays

An applicant that experiences unforeseen GMS technical issues beyond its control that prevent it from submitting its application by the deadline must email the NCJRS Response Center contact identified below **within 24 hours after the application deadline** to request approval to submit its application after the deadline. Additional information on reporting technical issues appears under “Experiencing Unforeseen GMS Technical Issues” in the **How to Apply (GMS)** section in the [OJP Grant Application Resource Guide](#).

For assistance with any other requirement of this solicitation, applicants may contact the NCJRS Response Center by telephone at 1–800–851–3420; via TTY at 301–240–6310 (hearing impaired only); by email at grants@ncjrs.gov; by fax to 301–240–5830; or by web chat at <https://webcontact.ncjrs.gov/ncjchat/chat.jsp>. The NCJRS Response Center hours of operation are 10:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. eastern time, Monday through Friday, and 10:00 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. eastern time on the solicitation close date.

Post-Award Legal Requirements Notice

If selected for funding, in addition to implementing the funded project consistent with the OJP-approved application, the recipient must comply with all award conditions, and all applicable requirements of federal statutes and regulations (including applicable requirements referred to in the assurances and certifications executed in connection with award acceptance). OJP strongly encourages prospective applicants to review information on post-award legal requirements and common OJP award conditions **prior** to submitting an application.

For additional information on these legal requirements, see the “Administrative, National Policy, and Other Legal Requirements” section in the [OJP Grant Application Resource Guide](#).

Deadline details

Applicants must register in GMS at <https://grants.ojp.usdoj.gov/> prior to submitting an application under this solicitation. All applicants must register, even those that previously registered in GMS. Select the “Apply Online” button associated with the solicitation title. All registrations and applications are due by 11:59 p.m. eastern time May 29, 2020.

For additional information, see the “How to Apply (GMS)” section in the [OJP Grant Application Resource Guide](#).

Contents

A. Program Description.....	4
Overview.....	4
Permissible uses of Funds.....	4
B. Federal Award Information.....	5
Type of Award.....	5
Financial Management and System of Internal Controls.....	5
Budget Information.....	5
Cost Sharing or Match Requirement.....	5
Pre-agreement Costs (also known as Pre-award Costs).....	6
Prior Approval, Planning, and Reporting of Conference/Meeting/Training Costs.....	6
Costs Associated with Language Assistance (if applicable).....	6
C. Eligibility Information.....	6
D. Application and Submission Information.....	6
What an Application Should Include.....	6
How to Apply.....	7
E. Application Review Information.....	7
Review Process.....	7
F. Federal Award Administration Information.....	8
Federal Award Notices.....	8
Administrative, National Policy, and Other Legal Requirements.....	8
Information Technology (IT) Security Clauses.....	8
General Information about Post-Federal Award Reporting Requirements.....	8
G. Federal Awarding Agency Contact(s).....	9
H. Other Information.....	9
Freedom of Information and Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552 and 5 U.S.C. 552a).....	9
Provide Feedback to OJP.....	9
Appendix A: Application Checklist.....	10

Coronavirus Emergency Supplemental Funding Program Solicitation CFDA # 16.034

A. Program Description

Overview

The Coronavirus Emergency Supplemental Funding (CESF) Program will provide funding to assist eligible states, local units of government, and tribes in preventing, preparing for, and responding to the coronavirus.

Statutory Authority: The CESF Program is authorized by Division B of H.R. 748, Pub. L. No. 116-136 (Emergency Appropriations for Coronavirus Health Response and Agency Operations); 28 U.S.C. 530C.

Permissible uses of Funds

Funds awarded under the CESF Program must be utilized to prevent, prepare for, and respond to the coronavirus. Allowable projects and purchases include, but are not limited to, overtime, equipment (including law enforcement and medical personal protective equipment), hiring, supplies (such as gloves, masks, sanitizer), training, travel expenses (particularly related to the distribution of resources to the most impacted areas), and addressing the medical needs of inmates in state, local, and tribal prisons, jails, and detention centers.

Expenditures which require prior approval – There are no specific prohibitions under the CESF Program other than the unallowable costs that are identified in the DOJ Grants Financial Guide; however, the following items should be identified during application and appropriately justified as noted:

- **Individual items costing \$500,000 or more** – if the recipient intends to purchase an individual item that costs \$500,000 or more, those item(s) should be identified and thoroughly justified by the grantee and receive written prior approval from BJA post-award through the submission and approval of a Grant Adjustment Notice (GAN). Costs must be reasonable to receive approval.
- **Unmanned Aerial Systems (UAS), Unmanned Aircraft (UA), and/or Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAV)** – if the recipient requests to purchase an UAS, UA, and/or UAV, Federal Aviation Administration approval must be obtained as outlined here: https://www.faa.gov/news/fact_sheets/news_story.cfm?newsId=22615. Documentation related to these purchases should be included with the application or the applicant must receive written prior approval from BJA post-award through the submission and approval of a GAN.

Draw-down – Consistent with the CESF Program's purposes, which involve assistance in responding to the present national emergency in connection the coronavirus, OJP has determined that eligible states (or State Administering Agencies) or units of local government may draw down funds either in advance or on a reimbursable basis. To draw down in advance, funds must be placed in an interest-bearing account, unless one of the exceptions

in 2 C.F.R. § 200.305(b)(8) apply. This interest-bearing account must allow for sufficient tracking and traceability of CESF Program award funds. (See, e.g., 2 CFR 200.302.) It is not necessary that the interest-bearing account be a “trust fund.” For additional information, see [2 C.F.R. § 200.305](#).

Prohibition of supplanting – Funds may not be used to supplant state or local funds but must be used to increase the amounts of such funds that would, in the absence of federal funds, be made available.

Limitation on direct administrative costs – Funds may not be used for direct administrative costs that exceed 10 percent of the total award amount.

B. Federal Award Information

Maximum number of awards BJA expects to make	1,874
Period of performance start date	January 20, 2020
Period of performance duration	2 years

Recipients have the option to request a one-time, up to 12-month extension. The extension must be requested via GMS no fewer than 30 days prior to the end of the performance period.

The expected eligible allocations for the FY 2020 CESF Program can be found at: <https://bia.ojp.gov/program/fy20-cesf-allocations>.

All awards are subject to the availability of appropriated funds and to any modifications or additional requirements that may be imposed by statute.

Type of Award¹

BJA expects to make awards under this solicitation as grants. See the “Administrative, National Policy, and Other Legal Requirements” section of the [OJP Grant Application Resource Guide](#) for additional information.

Financial Management and System of Internal Controls

Award recipients and subrecipients (including recipients or subrecipients that are pass-through entities) must, as described in the Part 200 Uniform Requirements² as set out at 2 C.F.R. 200.303, comply with standards for financial and program management. See [OJP Grant Application Resource Guide](#) for additional information.

Budget Information

This solicitation expressly modifies the OJP Grant Application Resource Guide by not incorporating the “Limitation on Use of Award Funds for Employee Compensation; Waiver” provision in the “Financial Information” section of the OJP Grant Application Resource Guide.

Cost Sharing or Match Requirement

¹ For purposes of this solicitation, the phrase “pass-through entity” includes any recipient or subrecipient that provides a subaward (“subgrant”) to carry out part of the funded award or program.

² The “Part 200 Uniform Requirements” means the DOJ regulation at 2 C.F.R. Part 2800, which adopts (with certain modifications) the provisions of 2 C.F.R. Part 200.

The CESF Program does not require a match.

Please see the [OJP Grant Application Resource Guide](#) for information on the following:

[Pre-agreement Costs \(also known as Pre-award Costs\)](#)

[Prior Approval, Planning, and Reporting of Conference/Meeting/Training Costs](#)

[Costs Associated with Language Assistance \(if applicable\)](#)

C. Eligibility Information

For eligibility information, see the title page.

For information on cost sharing or match requirements, see [Section B. Federal Award Information](#).

D. Application and Submission Information

What an Application Should Include

See the “Application Elements and Formatting Instructions” section of the [OJP Grant Application Resource Guide](#) for information on what happens to an application that does not contain all the specified elements. (This solicitation expressly modifies the “Application Elements and Formatting Instructions” section of the OJP Grant Application Resource Guide by **not** incorporating paragraph two of that section (referring to nonresponsive applications or applications missing critical elements not “[proceeding] to peer review”). The solicitation further expressly modifies the “Application Attachments” section of the OJP Grant Application Resource Guide by **not** incorporating the “Applicant Disclosure of Pending Applications,” “Applicant Disclosure and Justification – DOJ High Risk Grantees,” and “Research and Evaluation Independence and Integrity” provisions.)

1. Application for Federal Assistance (Standard Form (SF)-424)

The SF-424 is a required standard form used as a cover sheet for submission of pre-applications, applications, and related information. See the [OJP Grant Application Resource Guide](#) for additional information on completing the SF-424.

Intergovernmental Review: This solicitation (“funding opportunity”) is subject to [Executive Order 12372](#). An applicant may find the names and addresses of State Single Points of Contact (SPOCs) at the following website: https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2020/01/spoc_1_16_2020.pdf. If the applicant’s state appears on the SPOC list, the applicant must contact the State SPOC to find out about, and comply with, the state’s process under E.O. 12372. In completing the SF-424, an applicant whose state appears on the SPOC list is to make the appropriate selection in response to question 19, once the applicant has complied with its State E.O. 12372 process. (An applicant whose state does not appear on the SPOC list should answer question 19 by selecting the response that the: “Program is subject to E.O. 12372, but has not been selected by the State for review.”)

2. Program Narrative

Describe the specific coronavirus prevention, preparation, and/or response efforts that will be addressed with this funding and include a summary of the types of projects or items that will be funded over the 2-year grant period.

3. **Budget Information and Associated Documentation**

Please note that the budget narrative should include a full description of all costs, including administrative costs or indirect costs (if applicable).

See the Budget Preparation and Submission Information section of the [OJP Grant Application Resource Guide](#) for details on the Budget Detail Worksheet, and on budget information and associated documentation, such as information on proposed subawards, proposed procurement contracts under awards, and pre-agreement costs.

This solicitation expressly modifies the OJP Grant Application Resource Guide by not incorporating the “Information on proposed subawards” provision in the “Budget Preparation and Submission Information” section of the OJP Grant Application Resource Guide. Specifically, OJP is suspending the requirements for CESF grant recipients to receive prior approval (either at the time of award or through a Grant Adjustment Notice) before making subawards.

For additional information regarding subawards and authorizations, please refer to the subaward section in the [OJP Grant Application Resource Guide](#).

Please see the OJP Grant Application Resource Guide for information on the following:

4. **Indirect Cost Rate Agreement** (if applicable)

See the Budget Preparation and Submission Information section of the OJP Grant Application Resource Guide for information.

5. **Financial Management and System of Internal Controls Questionnaire (including applicant disclosure of high risk status)**

6. **Disclosure of Lobbying Activities**

How to Apply

An applicant must submit its application through [GMS](#), which provides support for the application, award, and management of awards at OJP. Find information, registration, and submission steps on how to apply in GMS in response to this solicitation under **How to Apply (GMS)** in the [OJP Grant Application Resource Guide](#).

E. Application Review Information

Review Process

BJA reviews the application to make sure that the information presented is reasonable, understandable, measurable, achievable, and consistent with the solicitation. See the [OJP Grant Application Resource Guide](#) for information on the application review process.

In addition, if OJP anticipates that an award will exceed \$250,000 in federal funds, OJP also must review and consider any information about the applicant that appears in the nonpublic segment of the

integrity and performance system accessible through the System for Award Management (SAM) (currently, the Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System, FAPIIS).

Important note on FAPIIS: An applicant, at its option, may review and comment on any information about itself that currently appears in FAPIIS and was entered by a federal awarding agency. OJP will consider any such comments by the applicant, in addition to the other information in FAPIIS, in its assessment of the risk posed by the applicant.

Absent explicit statutory authorization or written delegation of authority to the contrary, the Assistant Attorney General will make all final award decisions.

F. Federal Award Administration Information

Please see the [OJP Grant Application Resource Guide](#) for information on the following:

[Federal Award Notices](#)

[Administrative, National Policy, and Other Legal Requirements](#)

OJP strongly encourages prospective applicants to review information on post-award legal requirements and common OJP award conditions **prior** to submitting an application.

In addition to implementing the funded project consistent with the OJP-approved application, the recipient must comply with all award conditions, and all applicable requirements of federal statutes and regulations (including applicable requirements referred to in the assurances and certifications executed in connection with award acceptance).

For additional information on these legal requirements, see the “Administrative, National Policy, and Other Legal Requirements” section in the [OJP Grant Application Resource Guide](#).

[Information Technology \(IT\) Security Clauses](#)

General Information about [Post-Federal Award Reporting Requirements](#)

Any recipient of an award under this solicitation will be required to submit the following reports and data:

Required reports. Recipients typically must submit quarterly financial status reports, semi-annual progress reports, final financial and progress reports, and, if applicable, an annual audit report in accordance with the Part 200 Uniform Requirements or specific award conditions. Future awards and fund drawdowns may be withheld if reports are delinquent. (In appropriate cases, OJP may require additional reports.)

See the [OJP Grant Application Resource Guide](#) for additional information on specific post-award reporting requirements.

OJP may restrict access to award funds if a recipient of an OJP award fails to report in a timely manner.

G. Federal Awarding Agency Contact(s)

For OJP contact(s), see page 2 of this solicitation.

For contact information for GMS, see page 2.

H. Other Information

Please see the [OJP Grant Application Resource Guide](#) for information on the following:

[Freedom of Information and Privacy Act \(5 U.S.C. 552 and 5 U.S.C. 552a\)](#)

[Provide Feedback to OJP](#)

Appendix A: Application Checklist

Coronavirus Emergency Supplemental Funding Program: FY 2020 Solicitation

This application checklist has been created as an aid in developing an application.

What an Applicant Should Do:

Prior to Registering in GMS:

- Acquire a DUNS Number (see [OJP Grant Application Resource Guide](#))
- Acquire or renew registration with SAM (see [OJP Grant Application Resource Guide](#))

To Register with GMS:

- For new users, acquire a GMS username and password* (see [OJP Grant Application Resource Guide](#))
- For existing users, check GMS username and password* to ensure account access (see [OJP Grant Application Resource Guide](#))
- Verify SAM registration in GMS (see [OJP Grant Application Resource Guide](#))
- Search for and select correct funding opportunity in GMS (see [OJP Grant Application Resource Guide](#))
- Register by selecting the “Apply Online” button associated with the funding opportunity title (see [OJP Grant Application Resource Guide](#))
- Read OJP policy and guidance on conference approval, planning, and reporting available at ojp.gov/financialguide/DOJ/PostawardRequirements/chapter3.10a.htm (see [OJP Grant Application Resource Guide](#))

If experiencing technical difficulties in GMS, contact the NCJRS Response Center (see page 2)

*Password Reset Notice – GMS users are reminded that while password reset capabilities exist, this function is only associated with points of contact designated within GMS at the time the account was established. Neither OJP nor the GMS Help Desk will initiate a password reset unless requested by the authorized official or a designated point of contact associated with an award or application.

Overview of Post-Award Legal Requirements:

- Review the [“Overview of Legal Requirements Generally Applicable to OJP Grants and Cooperative Agreements - FY 2020 Awards”](#) in the [OJP Funding Resource Center](#).

Scope Requirement:

- The eligible allocations for the FY 2020 CESF Program can be found at: <https://bj.a.ojp.gov/program/fy20-cesf-allocations>.

Eligibility Requirement:

States, U.S. Territories, the District of Columbia, units of local government, and federally recognized tribal governments that were identified as eligible for funding under the FY 2019 State and Local JAG Program will be eligible to apply under the CESF Program solicitation. NOTE: Only the State Administering Agency that applied for FY 2019 JAG funding for a state/territory may apply for the state allocation of CESF funding.

What an Application Should Include:

- Application for Federal Assistance (SF-424) (see [OJP Grant Application Resource Guide](#))
- Intergovernmental Review (see page 6)
- Program Narrative (see page 7)
- Budget Detail Worksheet (see page 7)
- Budget Narrative (see page 7)
- Indirect Cost Rate Agreement (if applicable) (see page 7)
- Financial Management and System of Internal Controls Questionnaire (see [OJP Grant Application Resource Guide](#))
- Disclosure of Lobbying Activities ([SF-LLL](#)) (see [OJP Grant Application Resource Guide](#))

MEETING DATE: September 10, 2020

AGENDA ITEM: D

TO: BSCC Chair and Members

FROM: Ricardo Goodridge, Deputy Director, ricardo.goodridge@bscc.ca.gov

SUBJECT: Coronavirus Emergency Supplemental Funding Program Funding Recommendations: **Requesting Approval**

Summary

This agenda item requests Board approval to award \$41.7 million in federal Coronavirus Emergency Supplemental Funding (CESF) through a formula grant to counties through a request for application process (RFA). This RFA process will require counties to collaborate with cities within those counties; Indian tribes; and community-based organizations on the development of local CESF priorities. As part of the planning, counties will be required to allocate a minimum of 20 percent of the CESF award to nongovernmental, community-based organizations impacted by coronavirus and providing services in the county. The recommendation authorizes staff to develop a CESF Request for Application (RFA) for purposes of approval at the November board meeting.

Background

The Coronavirus Emergency Supplemental Funding (CESF) program is administered by the U.S. Department of Justice, Bureau of Justice Assistance (BJA). CESF provides funding to states, local units of government, and tribes to prevent, prepare for, and respond to the coronavirus (Attachment D-1). Allowable projects and purchases include, but are not limited to, overtime, equipment (including law enforcement and medical personal protective equipment), hiring, supplies (such as gloves, masks, sanitizer), training, travel expenses (particularly related to the distribution of resources to the most impacted areas), and addressing the medical needs of inmates in state, local, and tribal prisons, jails, and detention centers.

A total of \$93,684,166 is available to California as shown below (Attachment D-2):

- \$58,518,568 to the BSCC as the state administering agency
- \$35,165,598 to local governments through a separate federal application process

On July 16, 2020, the Board held an emergency meeting to allocate \$15 million of the \$58.5 million CESF award to the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation to help address the emergency temporary housing needs of individuals released from state prison due to COVID-19. This agenda item includes a recommendation to allocate \$41,763,011. The remaining 3% or \$1,755,557 will be retained to administer the CESF program.

Public Comment

On June 12, 2020, the BSCC launched a 30-day public comment period seeking input on how the CESF allocation should be used. A dedicated CESF email address was established and 70 public comments were received from community-based organizations (CBOs), local

SHERIFF
BOS 1/24/2021
MT # 14278

governments (both city and county), concerned citizens, public and private organizations, law enforcement, the faith-based community, and the Legislature. Public comments can be found here: <https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1C-LS6dRZiL-FQPMR8coU-Cyuy0dU-pDv?usp=sharing>

Common themes include, but are not limited to, requests to fund:

- COVID-19 testing
- Community-based organizations
- Community-based alternatives to policing
- Increased Staffing
- Food and Housing
- Hygiene and Cleaning Supplies
- Personal Protective Equipment (PPE)
- Pre-Trial
- Technology Enhancements (laptops, smart phones, hotspots, etc.)

The broad range of public input underscores the need for a flexible approach that supports and funds locally identified priorities. To ensure the diverse needs of locals are addressed, staff recommends allocating funds directly to counties, with a specific focus on meeting CESF re-entry related needs. Counties may designate a fiscal agent such as the county administrative office or to a specific department to administer the funds. Counties will be required to establish an advisory group, which must include representatives from the county, cities within the county, CBOs, and tribal governments to develop recommendations for local CESF priorities and the allocation of the CESF award. Counties will be required to pass through a minimum of 20% of the CESF award to nongovernmental CBOs.

Formula Allocation

The Bureau of Justice Assistance made \$35,165,598 available to California's cities and counties through a separate non-competitive application process (Attachment D-3).¹ All local awards have been allocated based on BJA's publicly available data. These local allocations were considered as the BSCC developed a formula to allocate the remaining state award. In short, the \$41.7 CESF award is divided by the county's population and the resulting number is the amount per person that should be allocated (approximately \$1.93). This approach allows for a minimum award of \$2,207, maximum of \$7,998,604, and an average award of \$732,684. Funding would be provided to 57 counties. Lassen County would not receive a BSCC allocation based on the funds that were already available to Lassen County through the local allocation (Attachment D-3).

CESF Application

Pending approval of this item, staff will develop a noncompetitive Request for Application (RFA) for Board approval at the November Meeting. Applicants will apply for a pre-determined amount of funding as outlined in the Formula Allocation section.

Recommendation/Action Needed

¹ BJA calculated the local CESF formula by proportionally increasing the allocations available under the FY 2019 Justice Assistance Grant (JAG) program to align with the CESF appropriation amount.

Staff recommends that the Board:

- Award \$41.7 million in federal Coronavirus Emergency Supplemental Funding to a designated fiscal agent in each county to prevent, prepare for, and respond to the coronavirus.
- As part of the RFA process, require counties to establish advisory bodies consisting of cities, tribes, and CBOs to collaborate on the development of local CESF priorities and a plan for allocating funding with a specific focus on meeting the CESF re-entry needs of people in the county.
- Require the designated fiscal agent in each county to pass through a minimum of 20% of the CESF award to community-based organizations.
- Authorizes staff to develop a CESF Request for Application (RFA).

Attachments

D-1: CESF Formula Allocation

D-2: CESF Local and State Allocations

D-3: California CESF Allocation by City and County

D-4: CESF Program Solicitation

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 9:09 AM
To: COB; amazarei@travelzonecenter.com
Subject: Board comments web submission

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.



First Name: Ali
Last Name: Mazarei
Address (Street, City and Zip): 18058 Green Orchard Place
Phone: 7147151685
Email: amazarei@travelzonecenter.com
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021
Agenda Item # or Public Comment: 3..22
State your position below: Support

Thank you for submitting your request to speak. The Clerk of the Board office has received your request and will be prepared to allow you to speak when your item is called. To attend the meeting, please call (669) 900-6833 and use Meeting ID #864 4411 6015 . Password is 20210126. You will be muted until your item is pulled and your name is called. Please dial in at 9:30am with the phone number you provided in the form so you can be identified during the meeting.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 9:35 AM
To: COB; torchredc4zr1@gmail.com
Subject: Board comments web submission

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.



First Name: George
Last Name: Rife
Address (Street, City and Zip): 14599 Gannet Street
Phone: 951-236-5700
Email: torchredc4zr1@gmail.com
Agenda Item # or Public Comment: 3.22
State your position below: Support
Comments: Good morning,

I am writing to express my support for agenda item 3.22

Thank you for submitting your request to speak. The Clerk of the Board office has received your request and will be prepared to allow you to speak when your item is called. To attend the meeting, please call (669) 900-6833 and use Meeting ID #864 4411 6015 . Password is 20210126. You will be muted until your item is pulled and your name is called. Please dial in at 9:30am with the phone number you provided in the form so you can be identified during the meeting.

From: COB

Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 8:27 AM

To: Perez, Juan <JCPEREZ@RIVCO.ORG>; Young, Alisa <AYoung@RIVCO.ORG>; District 4 Supervisor V. Manuel Perez (District4@RIVCO.ORG) <District4@RIVCO.ORG>; District2 <District2@Rivco.org>; District3 <District3@Rivco.org>; District5 <District5@Rivco.org>; Supervisor Jeffries - 1st District (district1@rivco.org) <district1@rivco.org>

Cc: Andrews, Ruth <randrews@riversidesheriff.org>; Williams, Cheryl <Ckwilliams@RIVCO.ORG>; Van Wagenen, Jeffrey <JVanWagenen@RIVCO.ORG>; Esch, Janice <jesch@riversidesheriff.org>; Groom, Laronte <lrgroom@riversidesheriff.org>

Subject: January 26 2021 Item No 3.22 - 60 Public Comments on Resolution No 2021-026 CESF

Good morning,

Below is a supporting comment and attached are 59 opposing January 26, 2021 Item No 3.22, received via COB website and filed with Agenda back-up.

Wishing all a nice, peaceful day,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
4080 Lemon Street, 1st Floor, Room 127
Riverside, CA 92501
(951) 955-1069 Fax (951) 955-1071
Mail Stop #1010
cob@rivco.org
website: <http://rivcocob.org/>
<https://www.facebook.com/RivCoCOB/>



NOTICE: This communication is intended for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this communication is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this communication to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by reply email or by telephone and immediately delete this communication and all its attachments.

From: cob@rivco.org <cob@rivco.org>

Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 7:09 PM

To: COB <COB@RIVCO.ORG>

Subject: BOS web comments

First Name: TANNER
Last Name: ROCKWELL
Email: rockwell.tanner@gmail.com

Agenda Date: 02/02/2021

Agenda Item # or
Public Comment: 3.22

State your
position below: Support

Comments: Good morning supervisors, I support 3.22, Sheriff Bianco has done a great job in riverside county and deserves any additional funding he requests. With so many people being let out of jails, crime is only getting worse and we need police presence now more than ever.

Thank you for your time

From: cob@rivco.org <cob@rivco.org>
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 7:14 AM
To: COB <COB@RIVCO.ORG>; bobbiebutts41@gmail.com
Subject: Board comments web submission



First Name: BobbieEbony
Last Name: Butts
Address (Street, City and Zip): 455 iowa avenue, 4
Phone: 9513474932
Email: bobbiebutts41@gmail.com

Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

Agenda Item # or Public 3.22

Comment:

State your position below: Oppose

Comments: I'm a Riverside County resident. I urge you to vote against item 3.22, which, if passed, would further inflate an already over-sized Sheriff's Budget by adding an additional \$3.3 million dollars to it, with only 20% of those funds actually going out into the community and no indication that any of it would actually go to community-based organizations already providing re-entry services. While some may say that 20% of something is better than 100% of nothing. In this instance that is not the case, especially when you consider that up to 80% of the funds could be granted to the Sheriff for expenditures to include: overtime, equipment, hiring, supplies, training, and travel expenses, all those things that would increase the numbers of people to be incarcerated, hence forfeiting the purpose of the grant in the first place. 80% of this 3.3mm would be used to further increase the county's reliance on Incarceration while ignoring the evidence on how we actually improve our public safety. Even as we have come to understand that by investing in housing, homelessness prevention, health care, and poverty reduction services delivered effectively and efficiently our county residents can help our residents rise instead of just systemically crushing them by continuing to make investments that serve an outdated and oversized appetite to incarcerate and harm vulnerable residents here. To add a fiscal lens to this unsustainable approach, we pay approx. \$75,000 per year to incarcerate a single person. Re-entry through CBO's delivering housing and needed services at much lower costs.

The expenditures that the Sheriff has committed to making are not related to re-entry but instead will directly increase incarceration rates. We as a county are incarcerating people at a rate higher than any other county in the State, including Los Angeles, with about 600 people per 100,000 residents. Re-entry support really looks like community investments in transitional housing, mental health care, employment readiness training, job placement, and education it should not be confused with hiring more Sheriff's deputies, buying policing equipment, or paying for the Department's travel expenses. If the County is serious about supporting re-entering residents, the County budget should level up and make investments in community-based organizations and services that help people with re-entry, and not by making investments that will ensure more incarceration and less actual public safety.

Thank you for submitting your request to speak. The Clerk of the Board office has received your request and will be prepared to allow you to speak when your item is called. To attend the meeting, please call (669) 900-6833 and use Meeting ID #864 4411 6015 . Password is 20210126. You will be muted until your item is pulled and your name is called. Please dial in at 9:30am with the phone number you provided in the form so you can be identified during the meeting.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 8:26 AM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

First Name: Victoria

**Agenda Item # or
Public Comment:** 3.22

**State your
position below:** Support

Comments: Hi, my name is Victoria and I am a resident in Mead Valley. I am writing to oppose agenda item 3.22. It makes absolutely zero sense to grant the Riverside County Sheriff's Department with even MORE money, ESPECIALLY when most of it would go to hiring more deputies and paying their overtime. I don't know how many times we have to say this for it to make sense in your heads but here we go again. The Sheriff's Department does not need more money. What it needs is a lot less. They do anything but what their "intended" job is supposed to be. They are here to make us feel safe? I have never felt safe in the presence of these deputies. If they are really doing their jobs, why have they been fined by OSHA for not following CDC guidelines in prisons? If they are really doing their jobs, why was Ernie Serrano murdered? Please start listening to the voices of your constituents and do something meaningful about it. First, don't pass agenda item 3.22. Second, reallocate those funds that go back to your constituents that need the most help. Third, significantly cut the the Sheriff's Department budget. They don't DESERVE our over \$300 million.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 7:09 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

First Name: TANNER
Last Name: ROCKWELL
Email: rockwell.tanner@gmail.com
Agenda Date: 02/02/2021

**Agenda Item # or
Public Comment:** 3.22

**State your
position below:** Support

Comments: Good morning supervisors, I support 3.22, Sheriff Bianco has done a great job in riverside county and deserves any additional funding he requests. With so many people being let out of jails, crime is only getting worse and we need police presence now more than ever.

Thank you for your time

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 8:40 AM
To: COB
Subject: Board comments web submission

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged



First Name: Chelsea
Phone: 9512145962
Agenda Item # or Public Comment: 3.22
State your position below: Oppose

Thank you for submitting your request to speak. The Clerk of the Board office has received your request and will be prepared to allow you to speak when your item is called. To attend the meeting, please call (669) 900-6833 and use Meeting ID #864 4411 6015 . Password is 20210126. You will be muted until your item is pulled and your name is called. Please dial in at 9:30am with the phone number you provided in the form so you can be identified during the meeting.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 8:40 AM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

First Name: Karin
Last Name: Williams
Email: biggyr15@yahoo.com

Agenda Date: 01/27/2021

**Agenda Item # or
Public Comment:** 3.22

**State your position
below:** Oppose

Comments: PLEASE VOTE NO ON AGENDA ITEM 3.22 TODAY!!! THOSE FUNDS NEED TO BE REDIRECTED TO COMMUNITY ORGS TO HELP SHORE UP CRITICAL HOUSING, EMPLOYMENT, AND MENTAL HEALTH & DRUG ADDICTION ASSISTANCE!!!!

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 8:44 AM
To: COB
Subject: Board comments web submission

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.



First Name: Travis
Phone: 9514868309
Agenda Item # or Public Comment: 3.22
State your position below: Oppose

Thank you for submitting your request to speak. The Clerk of the Board office has received your request and will be prepared to allow you to speak when your item is called. To attend the meeting, please call (669) 900-6833 and use Meeting ID #864 4411 6015 . Password is 20210126. You will be muted until your item is pulled and your name is called. Please dial in at 9:30am with the phone number you provided in the form so you can be identified during the meeting.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 8:42 AM
To: COB
Subject: Board comments web submission

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged



First Name: Perla
Phone: 9513373911
Agenda Item # or Public Comment: 3.22
State your position below: Oppose

Thank you for submitting your request to speak. The Clerk of the Board office has received your request and will be prepared to allow you to speak when your item is called. To attend the meeting, please call (669) 900-6833 and use Meeting ID #864 4411 6015 . Password is 20210126. You will be muted until your item is pulled and your name is called. Please dial in at 9:30am with the phone number you provided in the form so you can be identified during the meeting.

Maxwell, Sue

From: Vonya Quarles <vonya@startingoverinc.org>
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 7:59 AM
To: COB
Subject: Re: Board comments web submission

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Received, thank you.

Vonya Quarles

Pronouns: *She, hers, Ms.*

Starting Over, Inc.
Executive Director
O 951 898 0862
C 562 618 3940
www.startingoverinc.org

*"They have learned that resistance is actually possible. The holds are beginning to slip away."
George Jackson*

On Mon, Jan 25, 2021 at 5:03 PM cob@rivco.org <cob@rivco.org> wrote:



First Name: vonya
Last Name: quarles
Address (Street, City and Zip): 15799 Camino Real
Phone: 5626183940
Email: vonya@startingoverinc.org
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021
Agenda Item # or Public Comment: 3.22
State your position below: Oppose

Thank you for submitting your request to speak. The Clerk of the Board office has received your request and will be prepared to allow you to speak when your item is called. To attend the meeting, please call (669) 900-6833 and use Meeting ID #864 4411 6015 . Password is 20210126. You will be muted until your item is pulled and your name is called. Please dial in at 9:30am with the phone number you provided in the form so you can be identified during the meeting.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 8:10 AM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

First Name: Marci
Last Name: Alberti
Email: 143bam@gmail.com
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

Agenda Item # or
Public Comment: 3.22

State your
position below: Oppose

Comments: Sheriff Bianco has proven mistrust with his actions as far as the stay at home order has called for. How can we trust that he will use the funding for necessary community use and not just on staffing? Oppose this item until there is a larger percentage approved for services and transparency of allocation of funds.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 6:57 AM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

First Name: Brandon
Last Name: Vexler
Email: masculine.teacher@gmail.com

Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

**Agenda Item # or
Public Comment:** Public comment

**State your position
below:** Oppose

Comments: Do not reward this sheriff for ignoring your rules. You set health orders and he refused to enforce them. He snubbed you and now he comes running to you for money. Investigate him. Don't reward him.
Next, the White House now offers an ASL Interpreter at all briefings. You all should do the same and not require the Deaf to request one so far in advance. Just make the meetings accessible. This is the County with a School for the Deaf, after all.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 7:05 AM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

First Name: Rogelio
Last Name: Nunez
Email: rogernunez67@gmail.com

Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

**Agenda Item # or
Public Comment:** 3.22

**State your position
below:** Oppose

Comments: Good morning supervisors, my name is Rogelio, a county resident.
I wanted to take a quick minute to urge you to vote against this item, everything that has come
to light this year clearly shows that they DO NOT need any more funding!

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 7:00 AM
To: COB; princess92570@gmail.com
Subject: Board comments web submission



First Name: Erika
Last Name: Gonzalez
Phone: 9515703111
Email: princess92570@gmail.com
Agenda Item # or Public Comment: Chad Bianco refusal for applying covid relief for covid aid
State your position below: Oppose
Comments: Would like sometime to speak to the audience

Thank you for submitting your request to speak. The Clerk of the Board office has received your request and will be prepared to allow you to speak when your item is called. To attend the meeting, please call (669) 900-6833 and use Meeting ID #864 4411 6015 . Password is 20210126. You will be muted until your item is pulled and your name is called. Please dial in at 9:30am with the phone number you provided in the form so you can be identified during the meeting.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 10:37 PM
To: COB
Subject: Board comments web submission

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.



First Name: Monica
Last Name: Galvez
Phone: 7609729644
Agenda Item # or Public Comment: Public comment

Thank you for submitting your request to speak. The Clerk of the Board office has received your request and will be prepared to allow you to speak when your item is called. To attend the meeting, please call (669) 900-6833 and use Meeting ID #864 4411 6015 . Password is 20210126. You will be muted until your item is pulled and your name is called. Please dial in at 9:30am with the phone number you provided in the form so you can be identified during the meeting.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 6:54 AM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

First Name: Christopher
Last Name: Cardona
Email: cicardona76@gmail.com
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021
Agenda Item # or Public Comment: Public comment
State your position below: Oppose
Comments: Giving money to a sheriff for anything related to COVID, while that sheriff refuses to enforce anything related to COVID would be wrong.
He refused to enforce the rules you set and now he wants to take advantage of the money you offer. Why would we reward his behavior? We should be firing him.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 1:57 AM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

First Name: Melanie
Last Name: Queponds
Email: melaniequeponds@gmail.com

Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

**Agenda Item #
or Public** 3.22

Comment:

**State your
position below:** Oppose

Comments: Hello there, my name is Melanie Queponds and I am a resident of Menifee, CA in Riverside County. I urge you to vote against item 3.22, which, if passed, could further inflate an already bloated Sheriff's Budget by over \$3.3 million dollars. While as little as 20 percent of these funds could be given to community based organizations, up to 80 percent could be granted to the Sheriff for expenditures such as: overtime, equipment, hiring, supplies, training, and travel expenses. Re-entry support should look like real investments in transitional housing and mental health care, not hiring more Sheriff's deputies, buying policing equipment, or paying for the Department's travel expenses. If the County is serious about supporting those re-entering, the County budget should invest seriously in the community based organizations and services that help people recover from policing and incarceration. Thank you for your time.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 1:25 AM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Agenda Item # or
Public Comment: #3.22

State your
position below: Oppose

Comments: Good morning,

As a citizen of Riverside county I am strongly opposed to the board passing this \$3.3 million dollar budget for the county Sheriff. I do not trust that his department will put it to good use and am strongly opposed to allowing him to handle it, as it is more than likely going to inflate law enforcement expenditures instead of what it is really meant for, which is reintegration of the formerly incarcerated. Sheriff Bianco has proved time and time again that he is only interested in his own personal gain. After watching how he has handled enforcing public health emergencies horribly, as well as how he handled peaceful demonstrations in the summer, I do not trust that he will handle this money in the way it should be, nor that he has these former inmates best interests at heart, which is why I urge the board to vote NO on agenda item 3.22.

Instead, I strongly urge the board of supervisors to invest in the several community based services and organizations dedicated to reintegrating the formerly incarcerated into our community. These individuals have served their time and deserve a fighting chance at being able to succeed. In supporting these former inmates we are avoiding recidivism as well as avoiding them becoming homeless due to lack of resources which is a huge issue in our state. Please consider this instead of approving this budget. Please vote NO on agenda item 3.22. Thank you.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 12:33 AM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

First Name: Zahab
Last Name: Qazi
Email: zqazi001@ucr.edu
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

Agenda Item # or Public
Comment: Good morning—im zahab and ive been a riverside County resident for 15 years now. I urge you to vote against item 3.22, which, if passed, could further inflate an already bloated Sheriff's Budget by over \$3.3 million. While as little as 20% of these funds could be given to community based organizations, up to 80% could be granted to the Sheriff for expenditures such as: overtime, equipment, hiring, supplies, training, and travel expenses. Re-entry support should look like investments in transitional housing and mental health care, not hiring more Sheriff's deputies, buying policing equipment, or paying for the Department's travel expenses. If the County is serious about supporting those re-entering, the County budget should invest seriously in the community based organizations and services that help people recover from policing and incarceration.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 12:30 AM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

First Name: Jesus
Last Name: Orozco
Email: jesusv.orozco@gmail.com

Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

**Agenda Item #
or Public** 3.22

Comment:

**State your
position below:** Oppose

Comments: Good morning Supervisors, my name is Jesus Orozco and I am a Riverside County resident. I urge you to vote against item 3.22, which, if passed, could further inflate an already bloated Sheriff's Budget by over \$3.3 million. While as little as 20% of these funds could be given to community based organizations, up to 80% could be granted to the Sheriff for expenditures such as: overtime, equipment, hiring, supplies, training, and travel expenses. Re-entry support should look like investments in transitional housing and mental health care, not hiring more Sheriff's deputies, buying policing equipment, or paying for the Department's travel expenses. If the County is serious about supporting those re-entering, the County budget should invest seriously in the community based organizations and services that help people recover from policing and incarceration.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 12:25 AM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

First Name: Anabel
Last Name: Nevarez
Email: anabel.nevarez@gmail.com

**Agenda Item #
or Public** 3.22

Comment:
**State your
position below:** Oppose

Comments: Good morning Supervisors, my name is Anabel and I'm a Riverside County resident in District 1. I urge the Supervisors to vote NO on Item 3.22.

Sheriff Chad Bianco has shown blatant disregard for the lives of the people he is meant to serve. He has shown this by refusing to control or account for the police brutality and abuse in his department, with his disgraceful treatment of people who are incarcerated, failing to provide the most basic pandemic protections for those in his custody, and in the unjust death of Ernie Serrano.

Bianco continues to show this pattern of neglecting his community's safety and health with his position on health restrictions. Unfortunately, Chad Bianco's decision to ignore coronavirus restrictions has also resulted in harm and death, and knowing this does not disturb him into acting in accordance to health guidelines or the state. This is lacking in common decency and is an insult & a mockery to the concept of Sheriffs keeping our communities safe. When dismissing safety precautions is normalized, and even encouraged, through Chad Bianco's lack of enforcement, more people get sick, and more people die.

Considering this track record, Bianco cannot be trusted with this \$3.3M that he requests, which can not be guaranteed to go toward re-entry services. This money should not go to the Sheriff's department. As little as \$1 in \$5 will go to community-based organizations... what we need is for ALL of it to be allocated for people who have been the most impacted by COVID-19.

We need investment in transitional housing and permanent housing for those facing housing insecurity and homelessness, as well as mental health services. The help the county has received has helped, but it is not enough, and has usually been accessed by people who have the resources to connect with the county. We still need to allocate more funds to people who are the most marginalized, because they have been the most ignored during this pandemic and it is where we can have the greatest impact. We especially need to focus on using the money the community members who've been formerly incarcerated with housing, healthcare, and jobs - NOT on increasing policing or incarceration.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 11:46 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

First Name: Daniela
Last Name: Mendoza
Email: danielamendoza138@yahoo.com

Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

**Agenda Item #
or Public** 3.22

Comment:

**State your
position below:** Oppose

Comments: Good morning Supervisors, my name is Daniela Mendoza and I am a Riverside County Resident. I urge you to vote against item 3.22, which, if passed could further inflate an already bloated Sheriff's budget by over 3.3 million dollars. While as little as 20% of these funds could be given to community based organizations, up to 80% could be granted to the Sheriff for expenditures such as overtime, equipment, hiring, supplies, training, and travel expenses. Re-entry support should look like investments in transitional housing, mental health care, and hospital care, not hiring more Sheriff's deputies, buying more equipment, or paying for the Department's travel expenses. If the county is serious about supporting those re-entering, the County budget should invest seriously in the community based organizations and services that help people recover from policing and incarceration.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 11:24 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

First Name: Mariah
Last Name: Thompson
Agenda Item # or Public Comment: item 3.22

State your position below: Oppose

Comments: sherriffs budget is already huge!! support should be investments in mental health care and transitional housing (our communities), NOT the police.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 11:02 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

First Name: Heather

Email: haro.heather.l@gmail.com

Agenda Item #
or Public 3.22

Comment:

State your
position below: Oppose

Comments: Good morning supervisors, my name is Heather and I'm a Riverside county resident urging you to oppose Agenda Item 3.22 which would just further inflate the sheriffs budget. Only 20% of this budget increase would be used for community organizations while 80% would stay with the Sheriffs Department. Re-entry support should look like investments in transitional housing and mental health care not for more money for the Sheriffs department to use as they see fit. If the county is really serious about supporting re-entering, the budget should invest in community organizations and services that help people recover from policing and mass incarceration.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 10:59 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Agenda Item # or
Public Comment: 3.22

State your
position below: Oppose

Comments: Good morning supervisors, my name is Mason and I'm a Riverside county resident urging you to oppose Agenda Item 3.22 which would just further inflate the sheriffs budget. Only 20% of this budget increase would be used for community organizations while 80% would stay with the Sheriffs Department. Re-entry support should look like investments in transitional housing and mental health care not for more money for the Sheriffs department to use as they see fit. If the county is really serious about supporting re-entering, the budget should invest in community organizations and services that help people recover from policing and mass incarceration.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 10:59 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

First Name: Emmanuel
Last Name: Akingbemi
Email: eakingbe@uci.edu
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021
**Agenda Item # or
Public Comment:** 3.22

**State your
position below:** Oppose

Comments: Good morning Supervisors, my name is Emmanuel Akingbemi, and I'm a Riverside County resident. I urge you to vote against item 3.22, which, if passed, could further inflate an already bloated Sheriff's Budget by over \$3.3 million. While only as little as 20% of these funds could be given to community-based organizations (who work better in our communities), up to 80% could be granted to the Sheriff for overtime, equipment, hiring, supplies, training travel expenses. Re-entry support should look like investments in transitional housing and mental health care, not hiring more Sheriff's deputies, buying policing equipment, or paying for the Department's travel expenses. Suppose the County is serious about supporting re-entering. In that case, the County budget should reflect that by seriously investing in the community-based organizations and services that help people recover from policing and incarceration.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 10:58 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

First Name: Tricia
Last Name: H
Email: pjk.birdy@gmail.com
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

**Agenda Item # or
Public Comment:** 3.22

**State your position
below:** Oppose

Comments: The bulk of these re-entry funds are not going to services that actually support this re-entering. We need to invest in transitional housing, healthcare, and employment—not more policing.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 10:48 PM
To: COB; lfigueroa@leadershipcounsel.org
Subject: Board comments web submission

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.



First Name: Lesly
Last Name: Figueroa
Phone: 7609726337
Email: lfigueroa@leadershipcounsel.org
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021
**Agenda Item #
or Public** Public Comment
Comment:
**State your
position below:** Oppose

Comments: My name is Lesly Figueroa and I am a policy advocate with Leadership Counsel for Justice and Accountability. I am deeply disappointed with the removal of District 4 staff member Shayra Hernandez from the Thermal/ Oasis Community Council.

The broader issue here, is that we need our representatives to support and establish transparent public processes that will result in meaningful action for our communities. Decision making must be informed by the community. Our community councils are so important and must be truly representative of the community, create space for residents to be heard to ensure transparency and accountability, and we should honor staff who work tirelessly to always do what is right and listen to the needs of residents in the community which is what Shayra has done.

Although I am glad to hear about running community council meetings more efficiently. This news comes at a time where community members must be the most meaningfully connected to their local and regional government to ensure fair and transparent for actions taken during the pandemic. It is unfortunate that there is a lack of transparency and public process coming from the District 4 Supervisors Perez office on why this is happening. As we have mentioned time and again that building honest and authentic relationships with the community is necessary in all actions taken by the County. Staff like Shayra Hernandez are deeply connected to the Eastern Coachella Valley and have consistently shown her concern for the needs of the residents in the community. I echo the sentiments of many residents in the Eastern Coachella Valley who have worked directly with Shayra. We need to do better and ask that Supervisor Perez give an explanation to the community for his actions.

Thank you for submitting your request to speak. The Clerk of the Board office has received your request and will be prepared to allow you to speak when your item is called. To attend the meeting, please call (669) 900-6833 and use Meeting ID #864 4411 6015 . Password is 20210126. You will be muted until your item is pulled and your name is

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 10:45 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

First Name: Jade
Last Name: Chavez
Email: jchavez160@gmail.com
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

Agenda Item # or Public Comment: More money should be funded into our communities rather than on unnecessary equipment and weapons for the police/sheriff department.

State your position below: Oppose

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 9:57 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

First Name: DORIS
Last Name: FOREMAN
Email: doris4man@netscape.net
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021
**Agenda Item #
or Public** 3.22
Comment:

**State your
position below:** Oppose

Comments: We are interested in learning how the Sheriff plans to utilize the funds being requested. As restrictions are lifted, will his deputies be kept current on COVID-19 issues in the county? Will the deputies receive education on preventive use of mask? Will the deputies receive training on the ways to encourage mask usage and social distancing in their daily contacts with the public? Why so much? Surely, 3.3M includes money for education of deputies with attention given to teaching them how to provide positive reinforcement during all personal interactions with the public by praising those who wear mask. Will they be carrying extra mask to give to anyone contacted not wearing a mask? Will deputies be carrying information about vaccine locations and test locations to distribute as needed in their patrol areas? Will inmates who test positive be separated and released if non-violent conviction? Unless he is going to answer these questions yes in the daily operation of the Sheriff's Department, the 3.3M will be wasted. His voicetress declaration that he would not enforce any of the public health restrictions has resulted in an increase in exposures, positivity rates, hospitalizations and deaths. We request that the Sheriff listen to the Health Care Officers who present the biological reasoning governing their recommendations. We only want the Sheriff to use this information in making the county safe. We want the Sheriff to implement the safety measures that assist the doctors and nurses in increasing public participation in COVID -19 preventive measures.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 9:51 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

First Name: Annel
Last Name: Lopez
Email: annellopez@icloud.com
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021
**Agenda Item #
or Public** 3.22
Comment:
**State your
position below:** Oppose
Comments: Good morning Supervisors,

My name is Annel Lopez, and I'm a Riverside County resident. I urge you to vote against item 3.22, which, if passed, could already inflate an already bloated Sheriff's budget by over \$3.3 million. While as little as 20% of these funds could be given to community based organizations, up to 80% could be granted to the Sheriff for expenditures such as: overtime, equipment, hiring, supplies, training, and travel expenses. Re-entry support should look like investments in transitional housing and mental health care, not hiring more Sheriff's deputies, buying policing equipment, or paying for the Department's travel expenses. If the County is serious about supporting those re-entering the County budget should seriously invest in the community based organizations and services that help people recover from policing and incarceration.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 9:49 PM
To: COB; andreagladson@yahoo.com
Subject: Board comments web submission

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.



First Name: Andrea
Last Name: Gladson
Address (Street, City and Zip): 3582 Broken Twig Dr, Norco
Phone: (951) 532-6921
Email: andreagladson@yahoo.com
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021
Agenda Item # or Public Comment: agenda item 3.22
State your position below: Oppose
Comments: Sheriff Bianco has willingly allowed Covid19 to spread to prisoners, citizens and his officers on his watch because he chooses to work against every public health directive.
Please do not award any monies from this account.

Thank you for submitting your request to speak. The Clerk of the Board office has received your request and will be prepared to allow you to speak when your item is called. To attend the meeting, please call (669) 900-6833 and use Meeting ID #864 4411 6015 . Password is 20210126. You will be muted until your item is pulled and your name is called. Please dial in at 9:30am with the phone number you provided in the form so you can be identified during the meeting.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 9:51 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

First Name: Tanya
Last Name: Tassi
Email: tanyatassi@gmail.com
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021
**Agenda Item #
or Public** Agenda item 3.22 Resolution 2021-026
Comment:
**State your
position below:** Oppose
Comments: Sheriff Bianco has spent much time loudly voicing his opinion on how Governor Newsom has handled the Covid-19 pandemic. He has flat-out refused to follow orders from his superiors and spoken out against mask mandates and enforcing stay at home orders. It is not his job to decipher whether or not these orders are valid - it's his job to enforce them, and he has failed miserably.

I'm unclear on why he would request approval to apply for funding that is meant for emergency Covid relief. There are only loose restrictions on how the money is to be spent, and as a constituent of Riverside County, I am not comfortable with Sheriff Bianco receiving the funding then spending it wisely. I oppose this motion.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 9:27 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

First Name: Desiree

Last Name: Ware

Email: dware001@ucr.edu

Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

Agenda Item # or
Public Comment: 3.22

State your
position below: Oppose

Comments: Hello. I'm a riverside county resident and have been for over 20 years. I urge for y'all to vote against item 3.22, which would inflate an already incredibly high budget for the sheriff department by \$3.3 million. Only up to 20% of the funds would be allocated to help those of the community while the other 80% or so could be used as wanted by the sheriff, who has made it very clear, especially during COVID (not taking an active role in protecting those of this community by not policing those who are not abiding by the mandate put in place by Governor Newsom to keep folks safe after seeing the alarming death rates caused by the coronavirus) that the community's best interests and well being are not his main concern. Re entry support isn't travel expenses for the sheriff, but should be providing the necessary equipment for those who have come back into the community and need resources and opportunities to guarantee s/he could contribute to society in a positive way. If the county is truly concerned with those of its community, it should invest its budget into its community based organizations and services to help those whom were incarcerated recover. Reagan administration showed folks need help, not police and incarceration. Thank you, and God bless.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 9:40 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

First Name: Leilani
Last Name: Amaya
Email: amaya_leilani@yahoo.com

Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

**Agenda Item #
or Public** Item 3.22

Comment:

**State your
position below:** Oppose

Comments: Good morning Supervisors, my name is Leilani and I'm a Riverside County resident. I urge you to vote against item 3.22, which, if passed, could further inflate an already bloated Sheriff's budget by over 3.3 million dollars. While as little as 20% of these funds could be given to community-based organizations, up to 80% could be granted to the Sheriff for expenditures such as overtime, equipment, hiring, training, and travel expenses. Re-entry support should look like investments in transitional housing, career readiness, and mental health care, not hiring more deputies, buying policing equipment, or paying for the Department's travel expenses. If the County is serious about supporting those re-entering, the County budget should invest seriously in the community-based organizations and services that help people recover from policing and incarceration.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 8:59 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

First Name: Debra
Last Name: Vogler
Email: debravogler@me.com
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021
**Agenda Item #
or Public** 3.22 Resolution No. 2021-026
Comment:

**State your
position below:** Oppose

Comments: I am not happy to have to admit that as a citizen, I am not completely supportive of Sheriff Bianco applying for CESF funding when his public comments have been timid regarding what it takes to battle the pandemic head-on. It makes me wonder why an elected official who doesn't really think that wearing masks helps during a pandemic (to reduce the probability of transmission of an airborne virus) would pursue funds intended to manage the crisis. Saying that one will wear a mask while not really believing they help despite the evidence from respected research institutions easily available to the public is not inspiring leadership. And because the CESF funding is specifically intended to help prevent, prepare for, and respond to the pandemic -- the uninspired leadership Sheriff Bianco has shown during this crisis leads me to wonder whether the public monies would be wisely spent by a sheriff whose own ambivalence regarding the pandemic has been clearly evident. With the above in mind, I realize that the CESF funding probably must be awarded because of the dire need. But I would hope that there would be some public acknowledgment on the part of Sheriff Bianco that the pandemic is real and demands an "all hands on deck" response by the public and government officials. Also - I would like to see him issue more public service announcements that encourage citizens to do their patriotic duty (e.g., wearing masks, social distancing, etc.). What I have seen throughout the pandemic has been an anemic response on the part of many citizens who insist on not wearing masks (or doing so improperly), or who have continued to get together indoors with people who have not been part of their "pandemic pod." Wearing masks "sometimes" or only with "strangers" cannot make up for these lapses in civic duty. it's no wonder the pandemic has gotten worse. I expect all elected officials, including the sheriff, to encourage following the guidance of reputable public health officials (as opposed to issuing their own personal opinions that do not carry the weight of virologists, epidemiologists, etc., and serve only to confuse the public and weaken our resolve to fight the pandemic). So, while I expect the CESF funding will be approved, I would hope that the sheriff will be more responsible in his behavior and will commit to spend such monies wisely.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 8:59 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

First Name: Debra
Last Name: Vogler
Email: debravogler@me.com
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021
**Agenda Item #
or Public** 3.22 Resolution No. 2021-026
Comment:

**State your
position below:** Oppose

Comments: I am not happy to have to admit that as a citizen, I am not completely supportive of Sheriff Bianco applying for CESF funding when his public comments have been timid regarding what it takes to battle the pandemic head-on. It makes me wonder why an elected official who doesn't really think that wearing masks helps during a pandemic (to reduce the probability of transmission of an airborne virus) would pursue funds intended to manage the crisis. Saying that one will wear a mask while not really believing they help despite the evidence from respected research institutions easily available to the public is not inspiring leadership. And because the CESF funding is specifically intended to help prevent, prepare for, and respond to the pandemic -- the uninspired leadership Sheriff Bianco has shown during this crisis leads me to wonder whether the public monies would be wisely spent by a sheriff whose own ambivalence regarding the pandemic has been clearly evident. With the above in mind, I realize that the CESF funding probably must be awarded because of the dire need. But I would hope that there would be some public acknowledgment on the part of Sheriff Bianco that the pandemic is real and demands an "all hands on deck" response by the public and government officials. Also - I would like to see him issue more public service announcements that encourage citizens to do their patriotic duty (e.g., wearing masks, social distancing, etc.). What I have seen throughout the pandemic has been an anemic response on the part of many citizens who insist on not wearing masks (or doing so improperly), or who have continued to get together indoors with people who have not been part of their "pandemic pod." Wearing masks "sometimes" or only with "strangers" cannot make up for these lapses in civic duty. it's no wonder the pandemic has gotten worse. I expect all elected officials, including the sheriff, to encourage following the guidance of reputable public health officials (as opposed to issuing their own personal opinions that do not carry the weight of virologists, epidemiologists, etc., and serve only to confuse the public and weaken our resolve to fight the pandemic). So, while I expect the CESF funding will be approved, I would hope that the sheriff will be more responsible in his behavior and will commit to spend such monies wisely.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 8:43 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

First Name: YUREMA
Last Name: ARVIZU
Email: yuremaarvizu@gmail.com
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

**Agenda Item #
or Public** 3.22

Comment:

**State your
position below:** Oppose

Comments: Good morning Supervisors, my name is Yurema and I'm a resident in Riverside County. I urge you to vote against item 3.22. If passed, it would only inflate an already bloated, and unnecessary Sheriff's Budget by over \$3.3 million dollars. It is unreasonable to use funds on something already largely funded when there are beneficial organizations that could make better use of the funds that will have a greater and positive impact on the communities of Riverside County. As little as 20% of the funds could be given to community-based organizations, up to 80% can be granted to the Sheriff's expenditures (overtimes, equipment, etc.) Re-entry support should consist of transitional housing mental health care, job hunt assistance, not hiring more Sheriff's deputies, or buying more expensive and unnecessary police equipment that hardly gets used anyway. If the County is actually serious about supporting those ren-entering, the County budget should invest in community-based organizations and services to help people recover from incarceration. Community members within organizations know how to best allocate money for the max benefits. They know the community best. Thank you.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 8:49 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

First Name: Eve
Last Name: Arana
Email: eva.arana@icloud.com
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021
Agenda Item # or Public Comment: Biancos request
State your position below: Oppose

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 8:15 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

First Name: Jasmine
Last Name: Valencia
Email: 5113Java@gmail.com

Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

**Agenda Item #
or Public** 3.22

Comment:

**State your
position below:** Oppose

Comments: Good morning supervisors. My name is Jasmine Valencia, long time riverside resident. I urge you to vote against item 3.22, which if passed, could further inflate the already bloated Sheriffs budget by over \$3.3 million dollars. While as little as 20% of the funds could be assigned to community based organizations, up to 80% could be granted to the sheriffs for expenditures such as: Overtime, equipment, hiring, traveling expenses and supplies. Re-entry support should look like investments in traditional housing and mental health care, not hiring more sheriff deputy's, buying equipment or paying the departments travel expenses. The counties budget should invest in community based organizations and services that help people recover from policing and incarceration.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 8:25 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

First Name: Amanda
Last Name: Graves
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

**Agenda Item # or
Public Comment:** 3.22

**State your
position below:** Oppose

Comments: Good morning, my name is Amanda and I'm a Riverside County resident. I oppose item 3.22 because it will increase the Sheriff's budget and not benefit the community; as little as 20% of these funds could be given to community based organizations. Meanwhile, up to 80% could be granted to the Sheriff for expenditures such as equipment, overtime, hiring, supplies, training, and travel expenses. Re-entry support should be investments in transitional housing and mental health care, not hiring more deputies or paying for the Department's travel expenses. If the County is serious about re-entry support, the budget should invest in the community based organizations and services that help people recover from policing and incarceration. Thank you for your consideration.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 7:43 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

First Name: Xochitl
Last Name: Acebedo
Email: xacebedo@yahoo.com

Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

**Agenda Item #
or Public** 3.22

Comment:

**State your
position below:** Oppose

Comments: I am a Riverside County resident. I urge you to vote against item 3.22, which, if passed, could further inflate an already bloated Sheriff's Budget by over \$3.3 million dollars. While as little as 20% of these funds could be given to community-based organizations, up to 80% could be granted to the Sheriff for expenditures such as: overtime, equipment, hiring, supplies, training, and travel expenses. Re-entry support should look like investments in transitional housing and mental health care, not hiring more Sheriff's deputies, buying policing equipment, or paying for the Department's travel expenses. If the County is serious about supporting those re-entering, the County budget should invest seriously in community-based organizations and services that help people recover from policing and incarceration. Thank you for thanking these comments into consideration and opposing item 3.22.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 7:44 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

First Name: Anthony
Last Name: Moreno
Email: amoreno99@student.rccd.edu

**Agenda Item #
or Public** Item # 3.22

Comment:

**State your
position below:** Oppose

Comments: Good evening Supervisors, my name is Anthony Moreno and I'm a Riverside County resident. I urge you to vote against item 3.22, which, if passed, could further inflate an already bloated Sheriff's Budget by over \$3.3 million dollars. While as little as 20% of these funds could be given to community based organization, up to 80% could be granted to the Sheriff for expenditures such as: overtime, equipment, hiring, supplies, training, and travel expenses. Re-entry support should look like investments in transitional housing and mental health care, not hiring more Sheriff's deputies, buying policing equipment, or paying for the Department's travel expenses. If the County is serious about supporting those re-entering, the County budget should invest seriously in the community based organizations and services that help people recover from policing and incarceration.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 7:24 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

First Name: Jonny
Last Name: Miller
Email: Jonnymillerjr@gmail.com

Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

**Agenda Item #
or Public** 3.22

Comment:

**State your
position below:** Oppose

Comments: Since when does the Sheriff's Department provide re-entry services to those leaving their custody? Sheriff Bianco is requesting over \$3.3 million in state funds to provide "re-entry" services. Up to 80% of that money could go to hiring more deputies and paying for their overtime. I Urge the Riverside County Board of Supervisors to vote NO on agenda item 3.22, because the Sheriff's Department is not a re-entry services provider. Care for our formerly incarcerated community members looks like housing, healthcare, and employment - NOT increased policing and incarceration.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 7:31 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

First Name: Maribel
Last Name: Nunez
Email: maribel@inlandequitypartnership.org
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021
Agenda Item #
or Public public comment: item 3.22 Resolution No. 2021-026
Comment:

State your
position below: Oppose

Comments: Riverside County Sheriff Bianco is appearing before the Riverside County Board of Supervisors to ask for permission to apply for over \$3.3 million dollars in funding from the Coronavirus Emergency Supplemental Funding (CESF). This funding is intended to help prevent, prepare for, and respond to the coronavirus and not in support of hiring more deputies, buy non-medical policing equipment, and pay for overtime.

This sheriff has loudly and repeatedly refuse to commit any resources to enforcing coronavirus pandemic restrictions, and has failed to provide basic pandemic protections for the incarcerated in his custody.

I oppose no on Agenda item 3.22 Resolution No. 2021-026

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 7:02 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

First Name: maria

Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

Agenda Item # or Public Comment: I urge you to vote against item 3.22 because if passed it could inflate the Sheriffs already bloated budget by \$3.3million. While at least 20% would go community based organizations, up to 80% could go to covering the Sheriffs departments own expenses such as: overtime, equipment, hiring, supplies, and travel expenses. Re-entry support should look like investments in transitional housing and mental health care. If the county is serious about supporting those re-entering, the County budget should invest seriously in the community based organizations and services that help people recover from policing and incarceration.

State your position below: Oppose

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 7:07 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

First Name: George
Last Name: Mishreky
Email: george.mishreky@yahoo.com

Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

**Agenda Item #
or Public** 3.22

Comment:

**State your
position below:** Oppose

Comments: Good morning supervisors, my name is George Mishreky and I'm a Riverside County resident. I urge you to vote against item 3.22, which, if passed, could further inflate an already bloated sheriff's budget by over 3.3 million dollars. While as little as 20% of these funds could be given to community based organizations, up to 80% could be granted to the sheriff for expenditures such as: overtime, equipment, hiring, supplies, training, and travel expenses. Re-entry support should look like investments in transitional housing and mental healthcare, not hiring more sheriff's deputies, buying policing equipment, or paying for the department's travel expenses. If the county is serious about supporting those re-entering, the county budget should invest seriously in the community based organizations and services that help people recover from policing and incarceration.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 6:57 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

First Name: alex
Last Name: hernandez
Email: alexavndraa@gmail.com

Agenda Date: 01/25/2021

**Agenda Item #
or Public** 3.22

Comment:

**State your
position below:** Oppose

Comments: Good evening Supervisors, my name is Alexandra Hernandez and I'm a Riverside County Resident. I urge you to vote against item 3.22. If passed it could further inflate an already bloated sheriff's budget by over 3.3 million dollars while only 20% would be given to community based organizations. Re-entry support should look like investments in transitional housing and mental health care not hiring more sheriff deputies or buying policing equipment. If the County is serious about supporting those re-entry, the county budget should invest in the community based organizations that center and service the needs of people impacted by incarceration.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 7:02 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

First Name: maria

Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

Agenda Item # or Public Comment: I urge you to vote against item 3.22 because if passed it could inflate the Sheriffs already bloated budget by \$3.3million. While at least 20% would go community based organizations, up to 80% could go to covering the Sheriffs departments own expenses such as: overtime, equipment, hiring, supplies, and travel expenses. Re-entry support should look like investments in transitional housing and mental health care. If the county is serious about supporting those re-entering, the County budget should invest seriously in the community based organizations and services that help people recover from policing and incarceration.

State your position below: Oppose

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 6:55 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Agenda Item # or
Public Comment: 3.22

State your
position below: Oppose

Comments: Hello and good morning Supervisors, I have been living in Riverside County, Temecula and Wildomar to be exact, for over 20 years. I am writing you today to urge you to vote against item 3.22, which if passed, could further inflate the Sheriffs budget by over 3.3 million dollars. While as little as 20% of these funds could be given to community based programs, up to 80% could be granted to the Sheriff expenditures such as: overtime, equipment, hiring supplies, training, and travel expenses. Re-entry support should look like investments in transitional housing and mental health care, not hiring more sheriffs deputies, buying policing equipment or paying for the departments travel expenses. The county should invest seriously in the community based organizations and services that help people recover from policing and incarceration.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 6:45 PM
To: COB; araritt@gmail.com
Subject: Board comments web submission

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.



First Name: Ariana
Last Name: Turner
Address (Street, City and Zip): 830 Hacienda Dr, Riverside, 92507
Phone: 2098951033
Email: araritt@gmail.com
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

Agenda Item # or Public Comment: 3.22

State your position below: Oppose

Comments: Policing and the prison complex is all an oppressive system that will only bring more harm to the community. If the goal is to provide re-entry services to those leaving prisons and jails then the focus should be to fund community based organizations. The issue with oppressive forces like the Riverside police department is that they would use the money to hire more cops, provide more overtime pay, and spend on equipment rather than supportive re-entry practices. Money should be given to communities who have experience in providing real help to people recovering from police brutality and incarceration.

Thank you for submitting your request to speak. The Clerk of the Board office has received your request and will be prepared to allow you to speak when your item is called. To attend the meeting, please call (669) 900-6833 and use Meeting ID #864 4411 6015 . Password is 20210126. You will be muted until your item is pulled and your name is called. Please dial in at 9:30am with the phone number you provided in the form so you can be identified during the meeting.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 6:24 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

First Name: Zara
Last Name: Hurtado
Email: zarahurtado95@gmail.com

Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

**Agenda Item #
or Public** 3.22

Comment:

**State your
position below:** Oppose

Comments: Good morning supervisors, my name is Zara Hurtado. I am a resident of Riverside county. I strongly oppose you to vote AGAINST agenda item 3.22. As you are well aware, this agenda item will increase the Sheriff's budget by over \$3.3 million. In 2020, the sheriff's budget has steadily been increasing due directly to your actions at the Board of Supervisors meetings. It appears as if the public's call to curb police violence has been intentionally ignored by those meant to represent us. This is not the first time the community has demanded action against the violent police presence in Riverside County. Allowing this agenda item to pass is grossly irresponsible and harmful to the BIPOC community in riverside county. While a \$3.3 billion budget is being proposed for the Sheriff's department, I ask you to think about how that money could better be used to directly serve the community through creating housing organizations or funding community efforts to help folks dealing with food insecurity.

I sincerely hope you make a decision with the good of the community in mind.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 6:40 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

First Name: ESTEVAN
Last Name: FIERRO
Email: estevanfierro@aol.com
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

**Agenda Item #
or Public** 3.22

Comment:

**State your
position below:** Oppose

Comments: Good morning Supervisors,
My name is Estevan Fierro and I'm a riverside county resident. I urge you to vote against item 3.22, which, if passed, could further inflate an already bloated sheriff's budget by over \$3.3 million dollars. While as little as 20% of these funds could be given to community based organizations. If the county is serious about supporting those re-entering, the county budget should invest seriously into community organizations that help people recover from policing and incarceration.
Thank you for your time.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 5:50 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

First Name: Claribel
Last Name: Ochoa
Email: pinedaclaribel@ymail.com
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021
**Agenda Item #
or Public** 3.22
Comment:

**State your
position below:** Oppose

Comments: To whom it may concern, my name is Claribel Ochoa, I reside in Riverside, I urge you to vote against item 3.22, which if passed would inflate an already bloated Sheriffs budget by over 3.3 million dollars. While as little as 20% of these funds would be given to the community based organizations. While up to 80 % could be granted to the sheriffs expenditures. Re-entry support should look like investments in transitional housing and mental health care, not hiring more deputies. If the County is serious about supporting those re-entering , the counties budget should invest seriously in the community based organizations and services that help people recover from policing and incarcerations.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 5:41 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

First Name: Emily
Last Name: Thomas
Email: emilyisathomas@gmail.com
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021
Agenda Item #
or Public 3.22
Comment:
State your
position below: Oppose
Comments: Hi Supervisors,

My name is Emily Thomas I am a resident in Supervisor Jefferies' district. I am sending a message in opposition to 2.33, which if passed will inflate the sheriff's budget by over \$3.3 million dollars. If the county is really serious about those re-entering, the County Budget should invest in services that will HELP the community and help people recover (mental healthcare, transitional housing, etc..) from policing and incarceration.

Vote No.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 5:31 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

First Name: Blythe
Last Name: Spindler-Richardson
Email: blythe24@gmail.com

Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

**Agenda Item #
or Public** 3.22

Comment:

**State your
position below:** Oppose

Comments: Hello Supervisors, my name is Blythe Spindler-Richardson, and I am a Riverside County resident. I urge you to vote against item 3.22, which, if passed, could further inflate an already bloated Sheriff's Budget by over \$3.3 million dollars. While as little as 20% of these funds could be given to community-based organizations, up to 80% could be granted to the Sheriff for expenditures such as; overtime, equipment, hiring, supplies, training, and travel expenses. Re-entry support should look like investments in transitional housing and mental health care, not hiring more Sheriff's deputies, buying policing equipment, or paying for the Department's travel expenses. If the County is serious about supporting those re-entering, the County budget should invest seriously in the community-based organizations and services that help people recover from policing and incarceration. Thank you for your time.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 5:33 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

First Name: John
Last Name: Acosta
Email: coffeemug1420@yahoo.com
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

**Agenda Item #
or Public** 3.22
Comment:

**State your
position below:** Oppose

Comments: Hello, my name is John Acosta, and I am a resident of Riverside County. I urge you to vote against item 3.22, which if passed, could further inflate an already bloated Sheriff's Budget by over \$3.3 million. Our community is in desperate need of financial assistance, and approving to increase the budget for the Sheriff's department would cause more harm than good. We have a need for homeless shelters, rehabilitation facilities, mental health facilities, and our small business' are struggling; putting the county's budget towards the Sheriff's department instead of the community will not only hurt the people you are suppose to be representing, but can also cause more problems for the Sheriff's department. Without funding for homeless shelters, rehabilitation centers, or mental health facilities, to take care of none violent issues of the community, the Sheriff's, and police, department will have to take on more than they can handle. On top of that, Riverside's small business' are struggling, and using the budget for the Sheriff's Department, instead of the business' that keep our community afloat, will cause more people to close down their shops, further decreasing employment, and making the people you are suppose to represent struggle further. Our community is in dire need on assistance, and using the county's budget so the Sheriff's Department can buy more unnecessary equipment, instead of using it for people who actually need it to survive, would be a slap to the face of the fine people of Riverside County.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 5:27 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

First Name: Teresa
Last Name: Aldrete
Email: ttere_curlz@icloud.com
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

**Agenda Item #
or Public** 3.22

Comment:

**State your
position below:** Oppose

Comments: Good morning Supervisors, my name is Teresa Aldrete and I'm a Riverside County resident. I urge you to vote against item 3.22, which, if passed, could further inflate an already bloated Sheriff's Budget by over \$3.3 million dollars. While as little as 20% of these funds could be given to community based organizations, up to 80% could be granted to the Sheriff for expenditures such as: overtime, equipment, hiring, supplies, training, and travel expenses. If the County is serious about supporting those re-entering, the County budget should invest seriously in the community based organizations and services that help people recover from policing an incarceration.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 5:27 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

First Name: Teresa
Last Name: Aldrete
Email: ttere_curlz@icloud.com

Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

**Agenda Item #
or Public** 3.22

Comment:

**State your
position below:** Oppose

Comments: Good morning Supervisors, my name is Teresa Aldrete and I'm a Riverside County resident. I urge you to vote against item 3.22, which, if passed, could further inflate an already bloated Sheriff's Budget by over \$3.3 million dollars. While as little as 20% of these funds could be given to community based organizations, up to 80% could be granted to the Sheriff for expenditures such as: overtime, equipment, hiring, supplies, training, and travel expenses. If the County is serious about supporting those re-entering, the County budget should invest seriously in the community based organizations and services that help people recover from policing an incarceration.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 5:02 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

First Name: Dean
Last Name: Mayorga
Email: dxmayorga@gmail.com
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021
**Agenda Item #
or Public** 3.22
Comment:

**State your
position below:** Oppose

Comments: Goodmorning Supervisors, my name is Dean Mayorga and I am a Riverside County Resident. I am writing in to urge you all to vote against item 3.22. While the reasoning for the proposed \$3.3 million is for re-entry services, it is clear that up to 80% of this budget could simply go to inflating the already dramatic infrastructure of the Sheriff's department, rather than the organizations that actually do the work of getting these folks back on their feet. Re-entry support should take on way more forms other than equipment or training for cops. It should instead take on the form of housing and mental health care. I hope the BOS take the betterment of our community serious and ensure that the formerly incarcerated are given their best chance at a second chance.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 5:03 PM
To: COB; vonya@startingoverinc.org
Subject: Board comments web submission

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged



First Name: vonya
Last Name: quarles
Address (Street, City and Zip): 15799 Camino Real
Phone: 5626183940
Email: vonya@startingoverinc.org
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021
Agenda Item # or Public Comment: 3.22
State your position below: Oppose

Thank you for submitting your request to speak. The Clerk of the Board office has received your request and will be prepared to allow you to speak when your item is called. To attend the meeting, please call (669) 900-6833 and use Meeting ID #864 4411 6015 . Password is 20210126. You will be muted until your item is pulled and your name is called. Please dial in at 9:30am with the phone number you provided in the form so you can be identified during the meeting.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 4:55 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

First Name: Stephanie
Last Name: Sanabria
Email: sanabriastephanie2@gmail.com

Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

**Agenda Item #
or Public** 3.22

Comment:

**State your
position below:** Oppose

Comments: I'm a citizen on Riverside County and I oppose item 3.22 from allowing the Sheriff's budget to receive money that could go to other community based organizations that are actually trying to help and provide for our struggling neighbors. The county's budget should help those recovering from entering our police system and other organizations that better our community instead of harming it like the police department has to years.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 4:59 PM
To: COB; coffeemug1420@yahoo.com
Subject: Board comments web submission

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.



First Name: John
Last Name: Acosta
Phone: 9516753925
Email: coffeemug1420@yahoo.com

Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

**Agenda Item #
or Public** 3.22

Comment:

**State your
position below:** Oppose

Comments: My name is John Acosta, I am a Riverside County resident. I urge you to vote against item 3.22, which, if passed, could further inflate the already bloated Sheriff's Budget by over \$3.3 million. With this money going towards the Sheriff's department, and not towards any community building, i.e.: homeless shelters, mental health facilities, rehabilitation facilities, or the struggling small business' that Riverside politicians and board members claims to care so much about, the community will struggle more than it already is. I am asking you to vote no on Agenda 3.22, because if our money continues to go towards policing, and not the community, the Riverside county budget will only benefit the Sheriff's department, not the people of this county that you are suppose to represent.

Thank you for submitting your request to speak. The Clerk of the Board office has received your request and will be prepared to allow you to speak when your item is called. To attend the meeting, please call (669) 900-6833 and use Meeting ID #864 4411 6015 . Password is 20210126. You will be muted until your item is pulled and your name is called. Please dial in at 9:30am with the phone number you provided in the form so you can be identified during the meeting.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 4:50 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

First Name: Vanessa
Last Name: Preciado
Email: vspkocharian@gmail.com
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021
Agenda Item # or Public Comment: Agenda number 3.22
State your position below: Oppose
Comments: My name is Vanessa Preciado and I oppose agenda number 3.22.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 4:52 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

First Name: Jose
Last Name: Delgado
Email: vspzke@gmail.com

Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

**Agenda Item # or
Public Comment:** 3.22

**State your position
below:** Oppose

Comments: My name is Jose Delgado and I oppose agenda 3.22 I personally feel we do not need more funding in police. I rather see our community funded with more resources to transitional housing and mental health.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 8:49 AM
To: COB; ericas@startingoverinc.org
Subject: Board comments web submission



First Name: Erica
Last Name: Smith
Phone: 951-666-9354
Email: ericas@startingoverinc.org
Agenda Item # or Public Comment: 3.22
State your position below: Oppose

Thank you for submitting your request to speak. The Clerk of the Board office has received your request and will be prepared to allow you to speak when your item is called. To attend the meeting, please call (669) 900-6833 and use Meeting ID #864 4411 6015 . Password is 20210126. You will be muted until your item is pulled and your name is called. Please dial in at 9:30am with the phone number you provided in the form so you can be identified during the meeting.

From: COB

Sent: Friday, January 22, 2021 4:36 PM

To: Perez, Juan <JCPEREZ@RIVCO.ORG>; Young, Alisa <AYoung@RIVCO.ORG>; District 4 Supervisor V. Manuel Perez (District4@RIVCO.ORG) <District4@RIVCO.ORG>; District2 <District2@Rivco.org>; District3 <District3@Rivco.org>; District5 <District5@Rivco.org>; Supervisor Jeffries - 1st District (district1@rivco.org) <district1@rivco.org>

Cc: Andrews, Ruth <randrews@riversidesheriff.org>; Williams, Cheryl <Ckwilliams@RIVCO.ORG>; Van Wagenen, Jeffrey <JVanWagenen@RIVCO.ORG>; Esch, Janice <jesch@riversidesheriff.org>

Subject: January 26 2021 Item No 3.22 Public Comment on CLAC (Avalon Edwards)

Greetings,

Forwarding an email received via COB related to January 26, 2021 Item 3.22 for your information prior to her speaking on this Item.

This will be included with Agenda back-up.

Thank you kindly,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
4080 Lemon Street, 1st Floor, Room 127
Riverside, CA 92501
(951) 955-1069 Fax (951) 955-1071
Mail Stop #1010
cob@rivco.org
website: <http://rivcocob.org/>
<https://www.facebook.com/RivCoCOB/>



NOTICE: This communication is intended for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this communication is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this communication to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by reply email or by telephone and immediately delete this communication and all its attachments.

From: Avalon Edwards <avalon@startingoverinc.org>

Sent: Friday, January 22, 2021 10:00 AM

To: COB <COB@RIVCO.ORG>

Subject: Question regarding agenda item 3.22

Hello,

My name is Avalon Edwards and I'm a Policy Fellow with Starting Over, Inc. Agenda item 3.22 mentions that the Sheriff's Department created a **Coronavirus Local Advisory Group (CLAC)** made up of representatives from the county, cities within the County, CBOs, and tribal governments to make decisions about CFSF funding allocations. I have not been able to find information about which individuals and organizations are a part of the CLAC online, or in the documents included for this agenda item. I would appreciate any further information regarding the members of this group.

Thank you,

Avalon Edwards

--

Avalon Edwards

Public Policy Fellow

1/26/21 3.22

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Friday, January 22, 2021 10:55 AM
To: COB; avalon@startingoverinc.org
Subject: Board comments web submission

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.



First Name: Avalon
Last Name: Edwards
Phone: 6506448818
Email: avalon@startingoverinc.org
Agenda Item # or Public Comment: 3.22
State your position below: Oppose

Thank you for submitting your request to speak. The Clerk of the Board office has received your request and will be prepared to allow you to speak when your item is called. To attend the meeting, please call (669) 900-6833 and use Meeting ID #864 4411 6015 . Password is 20210126. You will be muted until your item is pulled and your name is called. Please dial in at 9:30am with the phone number you provided in the form so you can be identified during the meeting.

From: COB

Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 2:37 PM

To: Perez, Juan <JCPEREZ@RIVCO.ORG>; Young, Alisa <AYoung@RIVCO.ORG>; District 4 Supervisor V. Manuel Perez (District4@RIVCO.ORG) <District4@RIVCO.ORG>; District2 <District2@Rivco.org>; District3 <District3@Rivco.org>; District5 <District5@Rivco.org>; Supervisor Jeffries - 1st District (district1@rivco.org) <district1@rivco.org>

Cc: Andrews, Ruth <randrews@riversidesheriff.org>; Williams, Cheryl <Ckwilliams@RIVCO.ORG>; Esch, Janice <jesch@riversidesheriff.org>; Groom, Laronte <lrroom@riversidesheriff.org>; Van Wagenen, Jeffrey <JVanWagenen@RIVCO.ORG>

Subject: January 26 2021 Item No 3.22 - 21 Public Comments on Resolution No 2021-026 CESF (Melanie Bruns_Daniel_Brielle_Brianna_Stephanie_Anonymous_Camaray_Luis_Jessica_Mia_Cait_Kayleen_Heather_Kyle_Megan_Karen_Reyes_Nathan_Des_tiny_Jacqueline_Ursula and Janine)

Greetings,

Below and attached are 21 Public Comments received via COB email and website for January 26, 2021, Item No 3.22.

(Many are the same statement, and only the last comment supports the item. There are also Requests to Speak on this Item.)

These will be filed with Agenda back-up.

Thank you kindly,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
4080 Lemon Street, 1st Floor, Room 127
Riverside, CA 92501
(951) 955-1069 Fax (951) 955-1071
Mail Stop #1010
cob@rivco.org
website: <http://rivcocob.org/>
<https://www.facebook.com/RivCoCOB/>



NOTICE: This communication is intended for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this communication is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this communication to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by reply email or by telephone and immediately delete this communication and all its attachments.

From: cob@rivco.org <cob@rivco.org>

Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 11:43 AM

To: COB <COB@RIVCO.ORG>

Subject: BOS web comments

First Name: Melanie
Last Name: Bruns
Email: mbruns0893@gmail.com
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

Agenda Item # or
Public Comment: 3.22

State your
position below: Oppose

Comments: Good morning supervisors, my name is Melanie Bruns and I'm a Riverside County resident.

I urge you to vote AGAINST item 3.22, which, if passed, could further inflate an already bloated \$1 million dollars.

While as little as 20% of these funds could be given to community based organizations, up to 80% Sheriff for expenditures such as: overtime, equipment, hiring, supplies, training, and travel expenses look like investments in transitional housing and mental health care, not hiring more Sheriff's deputies, equipment, or paying for the Department's travel expenses.

If the County is serious about supporting those re-entering, the County budget should invest in community organizations and services that help people recover from policing and incarceration.

Thank you.

3.22

1/26/21 3.22

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 1:27 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

First Name: Janine
Last Name: Zank
Email: jnzank@gmail.com
Agenda Date: 01/25/2021
Agenda Item # or Public Comment: 3.22
State your position below: Support

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 2:25 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

First Name: Ursula
Last Name: Garcia
Email: ursula_garcia12@yahoo.com
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

**Agenda Item #
or Public** 3.22

Comment:

**State your
position below:** Oppose

Comments: Good morning supervisors, my name is Ursula Garcia, and I urge you to vote against item 3.22. If it passes, this could further inflate the already bloated Sheriff's Budget by over \$3.3 million. As little as 20% of these funds could be given to community-based organizations, but up to 80% could be granted to the Sheriff to use on overtime, equipment, hiring, supplies, training, and travel expenses. Re-entry support should be invested in transitional housing and mental health care, not for hiring more Sheriff's deputies, buying police equipment, or paying for the Department's travel expenses. If the County is serious about supporting re-entry, then the budget should be invested seriously into community-based organizations and services that help people recover from policing and incarceration.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 2:16 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

First Name: Jacqueline
Last Name: Garcia
Email: j.garcia100@yahoo.com
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

Agenda Item #
or Public 3.22

Comment:
State your
position below: Oppose

Comments: I strongly urge you to vote against item 3.22. If passed, these funds will not be allocated to make a substantial impact in the lives of people re-entering society. In fact, it will put up to 80% of the funds directly towards sheriff salaries and equipment. These funds should solely be put towards those community-based operations focused on transitional housing and health care. Funneling more money into the Sheriff's Department will do the opposite of supporting these individuals with re-entry. Buying additional policing equipment will do more harm than good, it would be rational and beneficial to invest in services to help support people in recovery. Thank you.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 2:10 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

First Name: Nathan
Last Name: Horton
Email: ndhorton4@gmail.com
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

Agenda Item #
or Public 3.22

Comment:

State your
position below: Oppose

Comments: Good morning Supervisors, my name is Nate Horton and I am teacher and resident of Riverside County. I am writing to OPPOSE agenda item 3.22 on the grounds of how the bulk of the \$3.3 million funding for the Riverside County Sherriff's Department is going to be allocated. We have numerous examples already in 2021, as well as those of 2020 (and historically, ad infinitum), of OVER policing, OVER weaponization, and OVER budgeting law enforcement. We need those funds spent on investment in communities--because we know that recidivism is not only perpetuated by over-policing, but profitable to the prison industrial complex, AND preventable by listening to localities and responding morally and adequately.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 2:12 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

First Name: Destiny
Last Name: Calderon
Email: destiny.calderon1999@gmail.com

Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

Agenda Item #
or Public 3.22

Comment:

State your
position below: Oppose

Comments: Good morning Supervisors, my name is Destiny Calderon and I'm a Riverside County resident. I urge you to vote against 3.22, which, if passed, could further inflate an already bloated Sheriff's Budget by over \$3.3 million dollars. While as little as 20% of these funds could be given to community based organizations, up to 80% could be granted to the Sheriff for expenditures such as: overtime, equipment, hiring, supplies, training, and travel expenses. Re-entry support should look like investments in transitional housing and mental health care, not hiring more Sheriff's deputies, buying policing equipment, or paying for the Department's travel expenses. If the County is serious about supporting those re-entering, the County budget should invest seriously in the community based organizations and services that help people recover from policing and incarceration.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 1:32 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

First Name: Reyes

Last Name: Baca

Email: reyrey2011@hotmail.com

Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

**Agenda Item #
or Public** 3.22

Comment:

**State your
position below:** Oppose

Comments: Good morning Supervisors, my name is Reyes and I'm a Riverside County resident. I urge you to vote against item 3.22, which, if passed, could further inflate an already bloated Sheriff's Budget by over \$3.3 million dollars. While as little as 20% of these funds could be given to community based organizations, up to 80% could be granted to the Sheriff for expenditures such as: overtime, equipment, hiring, supplies, training, and travel expenses. Re-entry support should look like investments in transitional housing and mental health care, not hiring more Sheriff's deputies, buying policing equipment, or paying for the Department's travel expenses. If the County is serious about supporting those re-entering, the County budget should invest seriously in the community based organizations and services that help people recover from policing and incarceration.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 10:00 AM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

First Name: Karen
Last Name: Barajas
Email: barajask823@gmail.com

Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

**Agenda Item #
or Public** 3.22

Comment:

**State your
position below:** Oppose

Comments: Goodmorning, my name is Karen Barajas and I'm a resident of riverside county. I urge that you all vote against the item 3.22, which if passed, could already inflate an already bloated Sheriff's budget by over \$3.3 million dollars. Up to 80% of these funds could be granted to the sheriff for expenditures such as: overtime, equipment, hiring, supplies, etc. re-entry support should look like investment in transitional housing, mental healthcare, not hiring more Sheriff's deputies, buying policing equipment or buying the departments traveling expenses. If the county is serious about supporting those re-entering, the county budget should invest seriously in the community based organizations and services that help people recover from policing and incarceration.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 10:01 AM
To: COB
Subject: Board comments web submission

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.



First Name: Megan
Phone: 9096930783
Agenda Item # or Public Comment: 3.22
State your position below: Oppose

Thank you for submitting your request to speak. The Clerk of the Board office has received your request and will be prepared to allow you to speak when your item is called. To attend the meeting, please call (669) 900-6833 and use Meeting ID #864 4411 6015 . Password is 20210126. You will be muted until your item is pulled and your name is called. Please dial in at 9:30am with the phone number you provided in the form so you can be identified during the meeting.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 10:38 AM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

First Name: Heather
Last Name: Andrews-Horton
Email: hrahorton@icloud.com
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

**Agenda Item # or Public
Comment:** 3.22

State your position below: Oppose

Comments: Please DO NOT support item 3.22
Re-entry funds should be used to support people leaving prison not to inflate the Sheriff
budget and leave 80% up their discretion.
NO on item 3.22!

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 10:10 AM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

First Name: Kyle
Last Name: Sweeney
Email: ksweeney42@gmail.com
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

**Agenda Item #
or Public** 3.23

Comment:

**State your
position below:** Oppose

Comments: My name is Kyle Sweeney. I am a resident of Riverside county and I am asking you to oppose item 3.22. The Sherrifs department is ill suited to provide any sort of re-entry services. Their fundamental goal and primary tool is to arrest people while any sort of re-entry program should be about keeping people out of jail. We should invest into community programs such as housing, mental health services, and harm reduction. Not increasing the 825 million dollar budget towards locking people away. If the sheriff is serious about re-entry programs they have more than enough budget that they could put towards that purpose. Better yet the county could redirect funding from officers towards reducing the need for officers, which would save far more money long term and help more people.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 11:33 AM
To: COB; kayleen.sullivan06@gmail.com
Subject: Board comments web submission

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.



First Name: Kayleen
Last Name: Sullivan
Address (Street, City and Zip): 42820 Caballeros Dr.
Phone: 7608341891
Email: kayleen.sullivan06@gmail.com
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

Agenda Item #
or Public: Item 3.22
Comment:

State your
position below: Oppose

Comments: Good Morning Supervisors, my name is Kayleen Sullivan, and I'm a Riverside County resident. I urge you to vote against item 3.22, which, if passed, could further inflate an already bloated Sherriff's Budget by over 3.3 million dollars. As little as 25% of those funds could be given to community-based organizations; studies have shown more protections for residents and economic mobility, and overall well-being. That leaves up to 80% that could be granted to the SHeriff for expenditures such as overtime, equipment, hiring, supplies, training, and travel expenses. Re-entry support should look like investments in transitional housing and mental healthcare. It should not look like buying more police gear, strengthening an already broken parole system, hiring more Sherriff's deputies, or paying for Department's travel expenses. If the County is serious about supporting re-entry and lowering recidivism in our Desert communities, the County needs to invest seriously in the community-based organizations and services that actually help people rehabilitate themselves post-incarcerations.

Thank you for submitting your request to speak. The Clerk of the Board office has received your request and will be prepared to allow you to speak when your item is called. To attend the meeting, please call (669) 900-6833 and use Meeting ID #864 4411 6015 . Password is 20210126. You will be muted until your item is pulled and your name is called. Please dial in at 9:30am with the phone number you provided in the form so you can be identified during the meeting.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 11:36 AM
To: COB; cait@imallery.net
Subject: Board comments web submission



First Name: Cait
Last Name: Mallery
Phone: 9515722486
Email: cait@imallery.net
Agenda Item # or Public Comment: 3.22
State your position below: Oppose

Thank you for submitting your request to speak. The Clerk of the Board office has received your request and will be prepared to allow you to speak when your item is called. To attend the meeting, please call (669) 900-6833 and use Meeting ID #864 4411 6015 . Password is 20210126. You will be muted until your item is pulled and your name is called. Please dial in at 9:30am with the phone number you provided in the form so you can be identified during the meeting.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 11:38 AM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

First Name: Mia
Last Name: Sparacio
Email: miasparacio@gmail.com

Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

**Agenda Item #
or Public** 3.22

Comment:

**State your
position below:** Oppose

Comments: Good morning supervisors, my name is Mia Sparacio and I am a Riverside County resident. I urge you to vote against item 3.22, which if passed, could inflate an already bloated sheriffs department budget by over \$3.3 million. While as little as 20% of these funds could be given to community-based organizations, up to 80% could be granted to the sheriff for expenditures such as: overtime, equipment, hiring, supplies, training, and travel expenses. Reentry support should look like investments in transitional housing and mental health care. Not hiring more sheriff's deputies, buying policing equipment, or paying for the department's travel expenses. If the county is serious about supporting those re-entering, The county budgets should invest seriously In the community-based organizations and services that help people recover from policing and incarceration.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 11:38 AM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

First Name: Jessica
Last Name: Aparicio
Email: jessica.e.aparicio@gmail.com
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021
**Agenda Item #
or Public** Item 3.22
Comment:
**State your
position below:** Oppose
Comments: Good Morning Supervisors,

My name is Jessica and I am a concerned community member. I urge you to vote against item 3.22, which, if passed, could further inflate the Sheriff's Budget by over \$3.3 million dollars. It will allow as little as 20% of these funds to be given to community-based organizations. More funding should be provided to programs that offer research based re-entry assistance such as skills building, job opportunities, transitional housing, and mental health care. The County should invest primarily in community based organizations and services that help people recover from policing and incarceration, instead of more policing equipment and Sheriff's deputies.

Respectfully,

Jessica Aparicio

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 11:47 AM
To: COB; Inolasco@aclusocal.org
Subject: Board comments web submission



First Name: Luis
Last Name: Nolasco
Phone: 909-723-7409
Email: Inolasco@aclusocal.org
Agenda Item # or Public Comment: 3.22
State your position below: Oppose

Thank you for submitting your request to speak. The Clerk of the Board office has received your request and will be prepared to allow you to speak when your item is called. To attend the meeting, please call (669) 900-6833 and use Meeting ID #864 4411 6015 . Password is 20210126. You will be muted until your item is pulled and your name is called. Please dial in at 9:30am with the phone number you provided in the form so you can be identified during the meeting.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 12:04 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

First Name: Camaray
Last Name: Davalos
Email: camaraydavalos@yahoo.com
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

**Agenda Item #
or Public** 3.22

Comment:

**State your
position below:** Oppose

Comments: Hello Supervisors, My name is Camaray Davalos, and I am a Riverside County resident, as well as a Pechanga Tribal Member. I ask you to vote against item 3.22, which, if passed, could further inflate an already huge Sheriff's Budget by over \$3.3 million dollars. Only 20% of these funds are being given to the community organizations, leaving 80% (A hugely disproportionate amount) to the Sheriff for expenditures such as: overtime, equipment, hiring, supplies, training, etc. Re-entry support should look like investments in the local communities. For instance, things like transitional housing and mental health care would help the communities immensely. Hiring more sheriffs deputies, buying policing equipment, or paying for the Department's travel expenses only reinforces the idea that the average citizen must be policed, and that they;re not worthy of being helped in this pivotal moment of their lives. If the county is serious about supporting those re-entering, the budget should invest seriously into the community based organizations and services that help people recovering from policing and incarceration.

In solidarity,
Camaray D.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 12:07 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Agenda Item # or
Public Comment: Agenda Item #3.22

State your
position below: Oppose

Comments: Good Morning Supervisors,
I am a Riverside County resident urging you to vote against Item 3.22 which, if passed, could further inflate an already bloated Sheriff's budget by over \$3.3 million dollars. While as little as 20% of these funds could be given to community-based organizations, up to 80% could be granted to the Sheriff for expenditures such as overtime, equipment, hiring, supplies, training, and travel expenses. Re-entry support should look like investments in transitional housing and mental health care, not hiring more Sheriff's deputies, buying policing equipment, or or paying for the Department's travel expenses. If the County is serious about supporting those re-entering, the County budget should invest seriously in the community-based organizations and services that help people recover from policing and incarceration.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 12:29 PM
To: COB
Subject: Board comments web submission



First Name: Stephanie
Last Name: Ambriz
Phone: 4424002534
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

**Agenda Item # or
Public Comment:** 3.22

**State your
position below:** Oppose

Comments: I'm a Riverside County resident. I urge you to vote against 3.22, which could further inflate an already bloated Sheriff's budget by over \$3.3 dollars. Re-entry support should consist of investment into community organizations, mental health care, and transitional housing NOT increased policing.

Thank you for submitting your request to speak. The Clerk of the Board office has received your request and will be prepared to allow you to speak when your item is called. To attend the meeting, please call (669) 900-6833 and use Meeting ID #864 4411 6015 . Password is 20210126. You will be muted until your item is pulled and your name is called. Please dial in at 9:30am with the phone number you provided in the form so you can be identified during the meeting.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 12:32 PM
To: COB; beatles.lover98@gmail.com
Subject: Board comments web submission

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.



First Name: Brianna
Last Name: M
Phone: 7602380970
Email: beatles.lover98@gmail.com
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021
Agenda Item # or Public Comment: 3.22
State your position below: Oppose

Thank you for submitting your request to speak. The Clerk of the Board office has received your request and will be prepared to allow you to speak when your item is called. To attend the meeting, please call (669) 900-6833 and use Meeting ID #864 4411 6015 . Password is 20210126. You will be muted until your item is pulled and your name is called. Please dial in at 9:30am with the phone number you provided in the form so you can be identified during the meeting.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 12:45 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

First Name: Brielle
Last Name: Leon
Email: forealfutbol22@aol.com
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021
**Agenda Item #
or Public** Item 3.22
Comment:

**State your
position below:** Oppose

Comments: I am a resident of Riverside County and I oppose item 3.22. I am opposing this funding because I do not believe it is in the best interest of the residents of our county who desperately need to be supported while re-entering society. This funding would be better utilized through local organizations and community outreach programs. The American Justice System has calloused and desensitized many deputies, sheriffs, and police authority to criminal activity. Being in these roles makes it impossible to be unbiased while distributing resources to individuals within the carceral system. Because of this, I believe Chad Bianco will provide the minimal amount of support to these individuals while choosing to benefit himself along with members of his police force. Some of those within the carceral system are not criminal, as we have recently seen through the heinous mistreatment of black and brown people across the United States of America. And even if they were criminal, they should be given the opportunity to re-enter society with the best resources possible in order to strengthen our community. As the Board of Supervisors, you have the choice to give them these resources and allow a smoother transition into a better future. They need our support. They need your support. They do not need heavier police action; they need deeper community purpose. Please consider the lives of those incarcerated, their loved ones, their futures, and please do not allocate funds to the unjust and biased means of item 3.22.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 1:11 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

First Name: Daniel
Last Name: Calderon
Email: danielcald2@gmail.com

Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

Agenda Item #
or Public 3.22

Comment:

State your
position below: Oppose

Comments: Good morning supervisors, my name is Daniel and I am a Riverside County resident. I urge you to vote against item 3.22, which, if passed, could further inflate an already bloated sheriffs budget by \$3.3 million. While as little as 20% of these funds can be given to community based organizations, up to 80% can be granted to the sheriff for expenditures such as: overtime, equipment, hiring, supplies, training, and travel expenses. However, re-entry support should look like investments in transitional housing and mental health care, not hiring more sheriffs deputies, Buying policing equipment, or paying for the departments travel expenses. If the county is serious about those reentering, the county budget should seriously invest in the community organizations and services to help people recover from policing and incarceration.

From: COB

Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 4:51 PM

To: Perez, Juan <JCPEREZ@RIVCO.ORG>; Young, Alisa <AYoung@RIVCO.ORG>; District 4 Supervisor V. Manuel Perez (District4@RIVCO.ORG) <District4@Rivco.org>; District2 <District2@Rivco.org>; District3 <District3@Rivco.org>; District5 <District5@Rivco.org>; Supervisor Jeffries - 1st District (district1@rivco.org) <district1@rivco.org>

Cc: Andrews, Ruth <randrews@riversidesheriff.org>; Williams, Cheryl <Ckwilliams@RIVCO.ORG>; Esch, Janice <jesch@riversidesheriff.org>; Groom, Laronte <Irgroom@riversidesheriff.org>; Van Wagenen, Jeffrey <JVanWagenen@RIVCO.ORG>

Subject: January 26 2021 Item No 3.22 - 11 Public Comments on Resolution No 2021-026 CESF

Greetings,

Below and attached are 11 Public Comments received via COB website for January 26, 2021, Item No 3.22.

These will be filed with Agenda back-up.

Thank you,

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
4080 Lemon Street, 1st Floor, Room 127
Riverside, CA 92501
(951) 955-1069 Fax (951) 955-1071
Mail Stop #1010
cob@rivco.org
website: <http://rivcocob.org/>
<https://www.facebook.com/RivCoCOB/>



NOTICE: This communication is intended for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this communication is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this communication to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by reply email or by telephone and immediately delete this communication and all its attachments.

From: cob@rivco.org <cob@rivco.org>

Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 11:43 AM

To: COB <COB@RIVCO.ORG>

Subject: BOS web comments

First Name: Melanie

Last Name: Bruns

Email: mbruns0893@gmail.com

Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

Agenda Item # or
Public Comment: 3.22

State your
position below: Oppose

Comments: Good morning supervisors, my name is Melanie Bruns and I'm a Riverside County resident.

I urge you to vote AGAINST item 3.22, which, if passed, could further inflate an already bloated Sheriff's Budget by over 3.3 million dollars.

While as little as 20% of these funds could be given to community based organizations, up to 80% could be granted to the Sheriff for expenditures such as: overtime, equipment, hiring, supplies, training, and travel expenses. Re-entry support should look like investments in transitional housing and mental health care, not hiring more Sheriff's deputies, buying policing equipment, or paying for the Department's travel expenses.

If the County is serious about supporting those re-entering, the County budget should invest seriously in the community based organizations and services that help people recover from policing and incarceration.

Thank you.

1/26/21 3.22

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 2:32 PM
To: COB
Subject: Board comments web submission

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.



Phone: 7632025274
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021
Agenda Item # or Public Comment: 3.22
State your position below: Oppose

Thank you for submitting your request to speak. The Clerk of the Board office has received your request and will be prepared to allow you to speak when your item is called. To attend the meeting, please call (669) 900-6833 and use Meeting ID #864 4411 6015 . Password is 20210126. You will be muted until your item is pulled and your name is called. Please dial in at 9:30am with the phone number you provided in the form so you can be identified during the meeting.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 2:36 PM
To: COB
Subject: Board comments web submission



First Name: Kaveh
Last Name: Nekoomanesh
Phone: 9513103841
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021
Agenda Item # or Public Comment: 3.22
State your position below: Oppose

Thank you for submitting your request to speak. The Clerk of the Board office has received your request and will be prepared to allow you to speak when your item is called. To attend the meeting, please call (669) 900-6833 and use Meeting ID #864 4411 6015 . Password is 20210126. You will be muted until your item is pulled and your name is called. Please dial in at 9:30am with the phone number you provided in the form so you can be identified during the meeting.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 2:58 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

First Name: Morgan
Last Name: Dundon
Email: mdundon10@gmail.com

Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

**Agenda Item #
or Public** 3.22

Comment:

**State your
position below:** Oppose

Comments: Good morning Supervisors, my name is Morgan Dundon and I'm a Riverside County resident. I urge you to vote no against item 3.22. This item is meant to respond to the pandemic and focus on meeting ex-offender re-entry related needs, however 80% of that money can be allocated to overtime, equipment, hiring, supplies, training, and travel expenses for officers. This does not put a focus on ex-offender re-entry needs. This would inflate the Sheriff's Office budget when only 20% of that funding is required to be given to community-based organizations. Those organizations can provide what is actually needed for ex-offenders, like investments in traditional housing and mental health care. If the County is serious about helping those re-entering the community, the County budget should invest in these organizations who can focus solely on these individuals, rather than give the Sheriff's office so much leeway to re-direct funds.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 2:51 PM
To: COB; adria.i.rizzo@gmail.com
Subject: Board comments web submission

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.



First Name: Adriana

Address (Street,
City and Zip): 92507

Phone: 4158453510

Email: adria.i.rizzo@gmail.com

Agenda Item #
or Public 3.22

Comment:

State your
position below: Oppose

Comments: In this proposal, up to 80% of funds could be allocated to the Sheriff. The Sheriff's department does not need or deserve Coronavirus relief funds; CESF relief funds should be allocated to community-based organizations.

Despite widespread public outcry, the Board already increased the Sheriff's budget in 2020, even as small businesses and ordinary people in the county suffer and cut back their expenses. Despite this increased funding, the Sheriff has horribly mismanaged the pandemic in county jails, leading to widespread illness among those incarcerated (some denied essentials like ppe and soap) and the deaths of two deputies. Now you are proposing to throw good money after bad by applying precious federal relief funds to travel expenses and overtime for the officers that killed Philip Garcia and Eddie Serrano.

CESF funds should instead go to towards community organizations that provide transitional housing and re-entry services. Inmates' medical needs are best met outside of jail.

Thank you for submitting your request to speak. The Clerk of the Board office has received your request and will be prepared to allow you to speak when your item is called. To attend the meeting, please call (669) 900-6833 and use Meeting ID #864 4411 6015 . Password is 20210126. You will be muted until your item is pulled and your name is called. Please dial in at 9:30am with the phone number you provided in the form so you can be identified during the meeting.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 3:02 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

First Name: Mary
Last Name: Murillo
Email: Mary.Murillo.99@gmail.com
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021
Agenda Item #
or Public: 3.22
Comment:
State your
position below: Oppose
Comments: Riverside County Board of Supervisors,

My name is Mary Murillo & I'm a Riverside County resident. I am writing you today to urge you to vote against agenda item 3.22. If passed, the distribution of funds could further inflate the Sheriff's budget, which is already far bigger than it needs to be, by over \$3.3 million dollars. 80% of the funds should not be funneled into the Sheriff's Department while only 20% goes to community bases organizations (CBOs), it should be the other way around. Re-entry support is an investment that is necessary to allow formerly incarcerated persons the opportunity to return to society & become productive members of our community. We need investments in transitional houses, employment programs, and mental health care, we need investments in OUR COMMUNITY. Hiring more Sheriff's deputies, buying policing equipment, & paying for RSD travel expenses & overtime are UNNECESSARY expenses that only serve to further harm our community. If you as the board are serious about investing in our community, and supporting those re-entering, the county budget should reflect that. Re-entry CBOs need the funding to provide the services that help people recover from policing and incarceration to rejoin society in a positive way.

I hope you will join me in opposing Agenda Item 3.22. Thank you.

Mary Murillo

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 3:03 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

First Name: McKay
Last Name: Vandenberg
Email: mckayjvandenberg@gmail.com

Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

Agenda Item #
or Public 3.22

Comment:

State your
position below: Oppose

Comments: Good morning Supervisors, my name is McKay and I'm a Riverside County resident. I urge you to vote against item 3.22, which, if passed, could further inflate an already bloated Sheriff's budget by over \$3.3 million dollars. I urge you to reallocate those funds back into our local communities by investing in more transitional housing, mental health care, homeless care and aid to our local businesses. Up to 80% of these funds could be granted to the Sheriff for expenditures such as: overtime, equipment, hiring, supplies, training and travel expenses. Please put the primary focus back into re-entry services and help our communities build each other back up. If the County is serious about supporting those re-entering, the Count budget should invest seriously in the community based organizations and services that help people recover from policing and incarceration.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 3:04 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

First Name: Karena
Last Name: Heredia
Email: karena.heredia@gmail.com
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021
**Agenda Item # or
Public Comment:** Item 3.22
**State your
position below:** Oppose
Comments: I urge you to vote against item 3.22. We don't need more money going to overtime, hiring, travel expenses, we need investments in transitional housing, and mental health care! If the County is serious about supporting those re-entering, the County should invest in community based organizations.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 3:24 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

First Name: Emily
Last Name: Esposito
Email: elesposito0714@gmail.com

Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

**Agenda Item #
or Public** Item 3.22

Comment:

**State your
position below:** Oppose

Comments: Good morning! My name is Emily Esposito and I have been a resident of Riverside for a year and a half now, and I am writing to OPPOSE Item 3.22. There is already too much money going towards the Sheriff's Budget and our community deserves better. Item 3.22 says that it is for re-entry services for those leaving prisons and jails and reentering into society, however, only 20% of the funding will be going to community services to actually help those leaving prison/jail. Instead, 80% is going towards an already inflated Sheriff's Department, paying for increased policing through more hiring, equipment, and overtime. I care about this community and those in it, including those who have been incarcerated. If you care about your constituents, I urge you to vote against Item 3.22 and to put our funds into the community. I urge you all to reconsider Item 3.22 and to actually support those who are reentering the community after being incarcerated by putting these funds into community-based organizations and services that can help those people effectively rejoin our community.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 3:40 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

First Name: David
Last Name: Hazward
Email: dhazardjr@gmail.com

Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

**Agenda Item #
or Public** 3.22

Comment:

**State your
position below:** Oppose

Comments: Good morning Supervisors, my name is David Hazward Jr. and I'm a Riverside County resident. I urge you to vote against item 3.22, which if passed, could further inflate an already bloated Sheriff's budget by over \$3.3 million dollars. With the possibility of as little as 20% of these funds being distributed to community based organizations, this leaves the other 80% to be given to the Sheriff's department. This would unproportionately be used for expenditures such as: overtime, equipment, hiring, supplies, training, and travel expenses. However, with the funds being reorganized more to benefit the community and its marginalized spaces, this could be put forth to investments such as transitional housing, mental health care, or other major points that would overall benefit the entire community as a whole in a better regard. Seeing the first hand accounts of what a few thousand dollars does for the community, I would like to see it that the funds are overall adjusted to allow a much greater return to the spaces we have come to know and love. That same amount of opportunity should stand to exist for those re-entering the society. If the County is serious about supporting those re-entering, the County budget should invest in the community based organizations and services that help people recover from policing, incarceration, and the heavy disadvantage that will plague them for monitored years to come. Thank you.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Monday, January 25, 2021 4:25 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

First Name: Amy
Last Name: Ramos
Email: limeaboutsomething@outlook.com
Agenda Date: 01/27/2021

Agenda Item #
or Public 3.22

Comment:

State your
position below: Oppose

Comments: I urge you all to vote against item 3.22. If the county has a true interest to support the community the county budget should invest in the community based organizations and services that help people recover from policing and incarceration. Further inflation of an already bloated sherriff's budget in not in the best interest of the community.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 9:02 AM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

First Name: walker
Last Name: beverly
Email: walkdoggtown@gmail.com

Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

**Agenda Item #
or Public** 3.22

Comment:

**State your
position below:** Oppose

Comments: The county just put in effect the racial disparity emergency declaration and now they want more police to lock up more Black and Brown people. Please let the community organizations continue their work. California has a 52% recidivism rate which means reentry is working. Or our county can be like the rest of the country with a 67% recidivism rates. No on this measure.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 9:06 AM
To: COB; kborja@planned.orf
Subject: Board comments web submission

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged



First Name: Karen
Last Name: Borja
Address (Street, City and Zip): Indio, CA 92201
Phone: 7608486420
Email: kborja@planned.orf
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021
Agenda Item # or Public Comment: 3.22 resolution #2021-026
State your position below: Oppose
Comments: Our County does not need additional dollars for the sheriff's budget. We need more funds for rental assistance and small business grants.

Thank you for submitting your request to speak. The Clerk of the Board office has received your request and will be prepared to allow you to speak when your item is called. To attend the meeting, please call (669) 900-6833 and use Meeting ID #864 4411 6015 . Password is 20210126. You will be muted until your item is pulled and your name is called. Please dial in at 9:30am with the phone number you provided in the form so you can be identified during the meeting.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 8:51 AM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

First Name: Brandon
Last Name: Roberts
Email: brandonmproberts@gmail.com
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

**Agenda Item #
or Public** 3.22

Comment:

**State your
position below:** Oppose

Comments: Good morning supervisors, my name is Brandon and I'm a Riverside County resident. I urge you to vote against item 3.22, which, if passed, could further inflate an already bloated sheriff's budget by over \$3.3 million dollars. While as little as 20% of these funds could be given to community based organizations, up to 80% could be granted to the Sheriff for expenditures such as: overtime, equipment, hiring, supplies, training, and travel expenses. Re-entry support should look like investments in traditional housing and mental health care, not hiring more Sheriff's deputies, buying policing equipment, or paying for the department's travel expenses. If the county is serious about those re-entering, the county budget should invest seriously in the community based organizations and services that help people recover from policing and incarceration.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 9:01 AM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

First Name: Thilini
Last Name: Abeygunaratne
Email: t.abey@outlook.com
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

**Agenda Item # or
Public Comment:** 3.22

**State your
position below:** Oppose

Comments: Please do not allow the police department to take money intended for community and allow them to use it to have more police hours, more polic equipment or more police. A higher police presence will not make communities safer, it will increase the number of citations and arrests in certain communities furthering their poverty.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 9:28 AM
To: COB; denisemarie12089@gmail.com
Subject: Board comments web submission

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged



First Name: Denise
Last Name: Charbonneau
Address (Street, City and Zip): 413 n acacia rialto ca 92376
Phone: 9096445639
Email: denisemarie12089@gmail.com

Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

Agenda Item # or Public 3.22

Comment:

State your position below: Oppose

Comments: Good morning Supervisors, my name is ____ and I'm a Riverside County resident. I urge you to vote against item 3.22, which, if passed, could further inflate an already bloated Sheriff's Budget by over \$3.3 million dollars. While as little as 20% of these funds could be given to community based organizations, up to 80% could be granted to the Sheriff for expenditures such as: overtime, equipment, hiring, supplies, training, and travel expenses. Re-entry support should look like investments in transitional housing and mental health care, not hiring more Sheriff's deputies, buying policing equipment, or paying for the Department's travel expenses. If the County is serious about supporting those re-entering, the County budget should invest seriously in the community based organizations and services that help people recover from policing and incarceration.

Thank you for submitting your request to speak. The Clerk of the Board office has received your request and will be prepared to allow you to speak when your item is called. To attend the meeting, please call (669) 900-6833 and use Meeting ID #864 4411 6015 . Password is 20210126. You will be muted until your item is pulled and your name is called. Please dial in at 9:30am with the phone number you provided in the form so you can be identified during the meeting.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 9:16 AM
To: COB; stevietaken@gmail.com
Subject: Board comments web submission

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged



First Name: Stevie
Last Name: Taken
Address (Street, City and Zip): 2981 Gertrude St.
Phone: (562)704-9949
Email: stevietaken@gmail.com
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021
Agenda Item # or Public Comment: 3.22 Resolution No. 2021-026

State your position below: Oppose

Comments: Sheriff Bianco's audacity to request funds for pandemic relief is an outrage. I recall his comments sometime early on in the pandemic last year in regards to those nonviolent offenders in the jail, when we were calling for them to be released as a measure to ensure their health and safety and make the jail community a safer place overall. He said something to the effect of, " if they didn't want to get sick, they shouldn't have committed the crime...". Bianco is a sadistic person and needs to be replaced. He is not to be trusted and therefore should not be trusted not to use any further funds for the intended purposes.

Thank you for submitting your request to speak. The Clerk of the Board office has received your request and will be prepared to allow you to speak when your item is called. To attend the meeting, please call (669) 900-6833 and use Meeting ID #864 4411 6015 . Password is 20210126. You will be muted until your item is pulled and your name is called. Please dial in at 9:30am with the phone number you provided in the form so you can be identified during the meeting.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 9:30 AM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

First Name: Leslie
Last Name: Gonzalez
Email: lesiezalez91@gmail.com

Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

**Agenda Item #
or Public** 3.22

Comment:

**State your
position below:** Oppose

Comments: My name is Leslie and I work in Riverside county. My students live in Riverside county. I urge you to vote against item 3.22. If assed would inflate a bloated Sheriff's budget. 20% of these funds could be given to community based organization, up to 80% could be granted to the sheriff for expenditures such as overtime, equipment, hiring, supplies, training, and travel expenses. Re entry suport should look like investments in transitional housing and mental health care not hiring more sheriff's deputies.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 9:38 AM
To: COB
Subject: Board comments web submission

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.



First Name: Craig
Last Name: Scotg
Address (Street, City and Zip): 269 E. Chuckwalla Rd
Phone: 4152059390
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021
Agenda Item # or Public Comment: 3.22 resolution no. - 2021- 026
State your position below: Oppose

Thank you for submitting your request to speak. The Clerk of the Board office has received your request and will be prepared to allow you to speak when your item is called. To attend the meeting, please call (669) 900-6833 and use Meeting ID #864 4411 6015 . Password is 20210126. You will be muted until your item is pulled and your name is called. Please dial in at 9:30am with the phone number you provided in the form so you can be identified during the meeting.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 9:38 AM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

First Name: Rose
Last Name: Aguilar
Email: roseca27@gmail.com
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

**Agenda Item #
or Public** 3.22

Comment:

**State your
position below:** Oppose

Comments: Good morning Supervisors, My name is Rose and I'm a Riverside County resident. I am urging the board to vote against item 3.22, which, if passed, could further inflate an already bloated Sheriff's Budget by over \$3.3 million dollars. While as little as 20% of these funds could be given to community based organizations, up to 80% could be granted to the Sheriffs dept for expenditures such as: overtime, equipment hiring, supplies, training, and travel expenses. Re-entry support should take form in investments in transitional housing and mental health care, NOT hiring more sheriff deputies. If this county is serious about supporting those reentering than the county budget should invest seriously in the community based organizations and services that will benefit those in need and shift focus to those programs which help those recover from incarceration and over policing.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 9:51 AM
To: COB
Subject: Board comments web submission

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.



Phone: 7605005961
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021
**Agenda Item # or
Public Comment:** Agenda Item 3.22

**State your
position below:** Oppose

Comments: Good morning supervisors, my name is Alejandrina Mercado. I urge you to vote against item 3.22, which would bloat the sheriff's budget by \$3.3 million dollars if passed. Only 20% of these funds would go to community based organizations, whereas 80% would go to the Sheriff for expenditures such as equipment, supplies, travel expenses, hiring, and training. These funds should be invested in transitional housing and mental health care. Areas that provide solutions and not only help people recover, but avoid incarceration.
Thank you

Thank you for submitting your request to speak. The Clerk of the Board office has received your request and will be prepared to allow you to speak when your item is called. To attend the meeting, please call (669) 900-6833 and use Meeting ID #864 4411 6015 . Password is 20210126. You will be muted until your item is pulled and your name is called. Please dial in at 9:30am with the phone number you provided in the form so you can be identified during the meeting.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 9:57 AM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

First Name: Gabriela
Last Name: Cortez
Email: gabcortez89@gmail.com
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

**Agenda Item #
or Public** 3.22

Comment:
**State your
position below:** Oppose

Comments: Good morning supervisors, my name is Gabriela Cortez and I'm a Riverside County resident. I strongly urge you to vote against items 3.22, which, if passed, could further inflate an already bloated Sheriff's budget by over \$3.3 million dollars.

While as little as 20% of these funds could be given to community-based organizations, up to 80% could be granted to the Sheriff for expenditures such as: overtime, equipment, hiring, supplies, training, and travel expenses. Do they not have the budget for this already?

Re-entry support should look like investments in transitional housing and mental health care, NOT hiring more Sheriff's deputies, buying policing equipment, or paying for the department's travel expenses.

If the county is serious about supporting those re-entering, the county budget should invest in COMMUNITY-BASED organizations and services that help recover from policing and incarceration.

Sheriff Bianco is problematic. He does not care about the "least of us." If I was a betting woman, I would bet money he will invest the bare minimum back into the community. His actions and words have demonstrated to a lot of us in the community, especially us POC, that he looks down us. Quite frankly, I think he's a racist. I don't believe he cares about rehabilitating those who have been incarcerated. Did you not see how his LEOs treated Ernie Serrano?? Bianco does not care about the community as a whole!

Please oppose this measure!

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 10:01 AM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

First Name: Aaron
Last Name: Perry
Email: aaronp3rry01@yahoo.com
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

**Agenda Item #
or Public** 3.22

Comment:

**State your
position below:** Oppose

Comments: Good morning supervisors, my name is Aaron Perry and I'm a Riverside County resident. I strongly urge you to vote against items 3.22, which, if passed, could further inflate an already bloated Sheriff's budget by over \$3.3 million dollars.

While as little as 20% of these funds could be given to community-based organizations, up to 80% could be granted to the Sheriff for expenditures such as: overtime, equipment, hiring, supplies, training, and travel expenses. Re-entry support should look like investments in transitional housing and mental health care, not hiring more Sheriff's deputies, buying policing equipment, or paying for the department's travel expenses.

If the county is serious about supporting those re-entering, the county budget should invest in COMMUNITY-BASED organizations and services that help recover from policing and incarceration.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 10:00 AM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Agenda Item # or Public Comment: Good morning Supervisors, my name is Amanda Cruz and I am a Riverside County resident. I urge you to vote against item 3.22, which, if passed, could further inflate an already bloated Sheriff's Budget by over \$3.3 million. While as little as 20% of these funds could be given to community based organizations, up to 80% could be granted to the Sheriff for expenditures such as: overtime, equipment, hiring, supplies, training, and travel expenses. Re-entry support should look like investments in transitional housing and mental health care, not hiring more Sheriff's deputies, buying policing equipment, or paying for the Department's travel expenses. If the County is serious about supporting those re-entering, the County budget should invest seriously in the community based organizations and services that help people recover from policing and incarceration.

State your position below: Oppose

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 10:32 AM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

First Name: Alison
Last Name: Sisco
Email: alison.lynnco@gmail.com

Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

**Agenda Item #
or Public** 3.22

Comment:

**State your
position below:** Oppose

Comments: Good morning Supervisors, my name is Alison Sisco, and I am a Riverside County resident. I am writing today to voice my opposition to agenda item 3.22, and I am asking the support of each board member to vote against this extraneous measure. Why does the sheriff's department need more, when their budget is already over \$3.3 million dollars? Why inflate this department further, when some belt tightening is a better option? They could commit to real investment, and truly help to rebuild the community, rather than target its poor and oppressed. As little as 20% of these funds could be given to local organizations, while up to 80% could be granted to the Sheriff for expenditures such as: overtime, equipment, hiring, supplies, training, and travel expenses. Re-entry support should look like investments in transitional housing and mental health care. What concrete proof, numbers, statistics show a positive correlation between thriving re-entry programs and massive policing budgets? Especially paying for the department's travel expenses.. why? And people sleep on our streets, are hungry? If the County is serious about supporting those re-entering, the County budget should invest seriously in the community-based organizations and services that help people recover from policing and incarceration.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 10:30 AM
To: COB; penguwn1@aol.com
Subject: Board comments web submission

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.



First Name: LAURA
Last Name: ROUGHTON
Phone: 9517438163
Email: penguwn1@aol.com
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

**Agenda Item # or
Public Comment:** 3.22

**State your
position below:** Support

Comments: This item was just brought to my attention and I see it has been pulled. I'm not sure why this item would not be a slam dunk. Any funding that Riv Co is eligible to receive should be applied for. I am in full support of this funding being applied for as written in the staff report. Can this be read into the record at the appropriate time please?
Sincerely, Laura Roughton, Jurupa Valley Resident.

Thank you for submitting your request to speak. The Clerk of the Board office has received your request and will be prepared to allow you to speak when your item is called. To attend the meeting, please call (669) 900-6833 and use Meeting ID #864 4411 6015 . Password is 20210126. You will be muted until your item is pulled and your name is called. Please dial in at 9:30am with the phone number you provided in the form so you can be identified during the meeting.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 10:35 AM
To: COB
Subject: Board comments web submission

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged



Phone: (951) 310-8477
Agenda Item # or Public Comment: 3.22
State your position below: Oppose

Thank you for submitting your request to speak. The Clerk of the Board office has received your request and will be prepared to allow you to speak when your item is called. To attend the meeting, please call (669) 900-6833 and use Meeting ID #864 4411 6015 . Password is 20210126. You will be muted until your item is pulled and your name is called. Please dial in at 9:30am with the phone number you provided in the form so you can be identified during the meeting.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 10:34 AM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

First Name: Kell

Last Name: Lor

Agenda Item # or
Public Comment: 3.22

State your
position below: Oppose

Comments: Agenda item 3.22 could increase the sheriff's budget by 3.3 million dollars, and only 20% of that money would be accounted for. The other 80% can be used to pay even more overtime and travel expenses for the sheriff's department. Bianco doesn't need more money, and these measures will not protect the citizens of Riverside County or help with re-entry of previously incarcerated folks. If Riverside wants to help with re-entry they should promote and fund community based services and organizations that support incarcerated folks and their families without increasing policing and corrections budgets. Increased policing does not lead to safer communities.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 8:46 AM
To: COB; creiselda@startingoverinc.org
Subject: Board comments web submission

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged



First Name: Creiselda
Last Name: Terpoorten
Address (Street, City and Zip): 1390 W. Sixth St Corona Ca 92882
Phone: 9518980862
Email: creiselda@startingoverinc.org
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

Agenda Item # or Public 3.22

Comment:

State your position below: Oppose

Comments: Dear BoS, I urge you to vote against item 3.22, which, if passed the clients I support as their Case Manager will suffer more than having nothing now. It is so challenging to lift up the lives, spirits, and hope for re-entry population when so many Riverside County organizations are wrestling with the same resources. Starting Over is doing all that we can to work with the resources provided, including clothing, food, hygiene kits, and eventually personal identifications. Re-entry funds will go further with local organizations that are working directly with reentry populations. We need funds for Peer Support Specialist to support and sustain mental health, we need funds for Case Management to continue to create stability and hope. We need funds to teach and connect re-entry populations to in-house employment services. We need funds to keep Transitional Housing doors open, and available throughout Riverside county. We need funds to feed, clothe and offer bus passes for independence. Most importantly, we need permanent housing for re-entry populations and their families. We need funds to organically reduce harm in Riverside county. My clients are terrified of the same people who locked them up and neglected their emotional and psychological needs. You can not ask the same person who harmed you to help you - that's unproductive and services zero purpose. Let Sheriffs continue to specialize in enforcing laws, and let organizations who are not profiting off re-entry humans get the resources - please, I implore you on behalf of our clients.

Thank you for submitting your request to speak. The Clerk of the Board office has received your request and will be prepared to allow you to speak when your item is called. To attend the meeting, please call (669) 900-6833 and use Meeting ID #864 4411 6015 . Password is 20210126. You will be muted until your item is pulled and your name is called. Please dial in at 9:30am with the phone number you provided in the form so you can be identified during the meeting.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 11:28 AM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

First Name: Cait
Last Name: Mallery
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021
**Agenda Item # or
Public Comment:** 3.22

**State your
position below:** Oppose

Comments: My name is Cait Mallery and I am a lifelong resident of Riverside County. I want to speak on the issue of the application for increased funding to the sheriff's department, under the heading of "re-entry services".

This application would require only 20% of funding to go to community organizations providing re-entry services, which are currently underfunded and working with a need far greater than their resources can meet. To apply for further funding to the sheriff's department in hopes of addressing the challenges formerly incarcerated community members face in re-entry overlooks the actual role of the sheriff's department in re-entry work, which is often in disrupting this re-entry by re-incarcerating members of the community for minor parole violations and perpetuating the cycle of covid-19 infection inside and outside local jails.

Applying for more money for our already overfunded sheriff's department for re-entry services is like trying to set a broken arm with a sledgehammer. This will only lead to further harm, further cases of covid-19, and very little change in the lack of resources for the community organizations who have been on the ground doing this work in the community for years. Members of the board, I ask that you vote no on item 3.22, and instead stand behind a prioritization of substantive resources for local re-entry organizations.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 11:33 AM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

First Name: Omar
Last Name: Abbas
Email: omabbs@gmail.com

**Agenda Item #
or Public** 3.22

Comment:

**State your
position below:** Oppose

Comments: Good morning Supervisors, my name Omar Abbas and I am a Riverside County resident. I urge you to vote against item 3.22, which, if passed, could further inflate an already bloated Sheriff's Budget by over \$3.3 million. While as little as 20% of these funds could be given to community based organizations, up to 80% could be granted to the Sheriff for expenditures such as: overtime, equipment, hiring, supplies, training, and travel expenses. Re-entry support should look like investments in transitional housing and mental health care, not hiring more Sheriff's deputies, buying policing equipment, or paying for the Department's travel expenses. If the County is serious about supporting those re-entering, the County budget should invest seriously in the community based organizations and services that help people recover from policing and incarceration.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 11:58 AM
To: COB
Subject: Board comments web submission

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.



Phone: 7606287334

Agenda Item # or
Public Comment: 3.22

State your
position below: Oppose

Comments: I urge you to vote against item 3.22 on the agenda. Sheriff Bianco is asking for an additional \$3.3 million dollars in state funding to an already hefty grotesque budget in order to provide re-entry services to those leaving prisons or jails. However, only 20% of this would actually be allocated to such services. The remaining 80% would be used to hire more deputies, buy policing equipment, and pay overtime. This is outrageous and a lie to the public. The fact that a majority of this budget extension shows that Sheriff Bianco is just a greedy man trying to rake in more money to terrorize our community. If we are truly focusing on re-entry services, 100% of this budget should be redirected to community based organizations. These organizations are the ones on the ground that know exactly where relief is needed. These are the people who should be receiving the \$3.3 million dollars, not Sheriff Bianco. I recently saw a video where a community in Indiana received their first Tesla police vehicle. I do not want to see the same thing happening to our community, where we blatantly spend more on modes of imprisonment rather than on fostering a connected and prosperous community. Please reject item 3.22, thank you.

Thank you for submitting your request to speak. The Clerk of the Board office has received your request and will be prepared to allow you to speak when your item is called. To attend the meeting, please call (669) 900-6833 and use Meeting ID #864 4411 6015 . Password is 20210126. You will be muted until your item is pulled and your name is called. Please dial in at 9:30am with the phone number you provided in the form so you can be identified during the meeting.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 1:24 PM
To: COB
Subject: Board comments web submission

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.



First Name: Jessica
Last Name: H`
Phone: 3233488206
Agenda Item # or Public Comment: 3.22
State your position below: Oppose

Thank you for submitting your request to speak. The Clerk of the Board office has received your request and will be prepared to allow you to speak when your item is called. To attend the meeting, please call (669) 900-6833 and use Meeting ID #864 4411 6015 . Password is 20210126. You will be muted until your item is pulled and your name is called. Please dial in at 9:30am with the phone number you provided in the form so you can be identified during the meeting.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 10:36 AM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

First Name: Dawn
Last Name: Pia
Email: Dawnpia@gmail.com

Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

**Agenda Item # or
Public Comment:** 3.22

**State your
position below:** Oppose

Comments: The Sheriff has made a position of refusing to enforce the covid mandate yet he is requesting funds from the covid relief fund? I oppose approval of and additional funding for the sheriff's department until he is willing to enforce the mandates that are set down by the State.

Maxwell, Sue

From: Luis Nolasco <LNolasco@aclusocal.org>
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 10:36 AM
To: COB
Subject: Public Comment for Agenda Item 3.22

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Good Morning Supervisors,

My name is Luis Nolasco and I am urging to vote against item 3.22 which, if passed, could further inflate the already bloated Sheriff's Budget by over \$3.3 million dollars. While as little as 20% of these funds could be given to community based organizations, up to 80% could be granted to the Sheriff for expenditures such as: overtime, equipment, hiring, supplies, training and travel expenses.

Re-entry support should be community based and not be based within law enforcement agencies. Instead an investment in resources like transitional housing and mental health care should be where the County invests it's resources. Hiring more Sheriff deputies, buying police equipment or paying for travel expenses for the Sheriff's Department does NOT help reduce recidivism rates in our county.

If the County is serious about supporting those re-entering, the County budget should invest seriously in the community based organizations and services that help people recover from incarceration.

Thank you

Luis Nolasco
Senior Policy Advocate & Organizer
Deputy Director of Advocacy for IE/OC/Kern
ACLU of Southern California, Inland Empire Office
909-380-7502

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 10:51 AM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

First Name: Eddie
Last Name: Sanchez
Email: 310eddiesanchez@gmail.com
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

Agenda Item #
or Public 3.22 Resolution No. 2021-026

Comment:

State your
position below: Oppose

Comments: Hello, Board Members,
I wanted to express concern for the proper use of Coronavirus Emergency Supplemental Funding. The county can use this funding for various efforts to curb and combat the spread of covid. I can tell you that my friends, who are Nurses and Respiratory Therapists, in RUHS could use that funding to address the overwhelming cases. My community members could use PPE or PSAs for guidance. Our Nurses, Therapists, and inmates in the jails could use the funding to protect themselves from rampant spread. This funding should be used to address the current and explicit need created by COVID, and spent on those willing to battle covid.

Thank you,
Eddie Sanchez

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 11:05 AM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

First Name: Jennifer
Last Name: Medina
Email: medinajennifer08@gmail.com

Agenda Date: 01/26/2021

**Agenda Item #
or Public** 3.22

Comment:

**State your
position below:** Oppose

Comments: Good morning, My name is Jennifer and I am a Riverside County resident. I urge you to vote against item 3.22. which, if passed could further inflate an already bloated sheriff's budget by over \$3.3 million dollars. While as little of just 20% of these funds may be given to community based organizations, up tp 80%, yes, 80% could be granted to the sheriff for expenditures such as overtime, equipment, hiring, supplies, training, and travel expenses. Re-entry support should look like investments in transitional housing and mental health care, NOT hiring more sheriff deputies, buying policing equipment, or paying for the departments travel expenses. If the county is SERIOUS about supporting those re-entering, the county budget SHOULD invest SERIOUSLY in the community based organizations and services that help people recover from policing and incarceration. Thank you. PLEASE do consider opposing this agenda item 3.22. Your community needs you to stand up for it as we have chosen you to represent us. your community needs you to take human lives more seriously. Lets create a caring and supporting community not one that targets people and treats them like they aren't deserving of life and freedom.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 6:56 PM
To: COB; tredandog@gmail.com
Subject: Board comments web submission

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.



First Name: Enrique
Last Name: Velazquez
Address (Street, City and Zip): 4060 Rice Road, Riverside 92506
Phone: 5103319402
Email: tredandog@gmail.com
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021
Agenda Item # or Public Comment: 3.22

State your position below: Oppose

Comments: I am genuinely worried about the Sheriffs office be considered a re-entry services provider with little to no oversight on how the money is truly spent. I would rather see the money go to a proven re entry provider.

Thank you for submitting your request to speak. The Clerk of the Board office has received your request and will be prepared to allow you to speak when your item is called. To attend the meeting, please call (669) 900-6833 and use Meeting ID #864 4411 6015 . Password is 20210126. You will be muted until your item is pulled and your name is called. Please dial in at 9:30am with the phone number you provided in the form so you can be identified during the meeting.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 4:57 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

First Name: Evanne
Last Name: Levin
Email: matteysmom@gmail.com
Agenda Date: 01/27/2021
Agenda Item # or Public Comment: Vhad zbianco Request for \$\$\$ Funding

State your position below: Oppose

Comments: So sheriff Bianco, the same public servant Who openly defied orders to enforce compliance restrictions, now I want to dollars to Spanish department? If it weren't so pitiful it would be laughable. Individual local communities had to spend their own resources to do what he refused to do. If anything, he should be reimbursing them not asking for money that he does not even intend to use to fight the coronavirus.

SAY NO!!!

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 4:23 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

First Name: Joshua
Last Name: Martinez
Email: Josh.m2428@gmail.com
Agenda Date: 01/26/2021
**Agenda Item #
or Public** Item #3.22
Comment:

**State your
position below:** Oppose

Comments: Good morning supervisors, my name is Joshua Mariners. I am a Riverside County resident and I urge you to vote against item 3.22. If passed, it would further inflate the sheriff's budget by over 3.3 million dollars. While as little as 20% of these funds would go to community based organizations, 80% would go to the sheriff's office. Re-entry support should be investing in transitional housing and mental health care. If the county is serious about supporting those re-entering, the county budget should invest in community based organizations and services that help people recover from incarceration and policing. Thank you.

Maxwell, Sue

From: cob@rivco.org
Sent: Tuesday, January 26, 2021 6:58 PM
To: COB
Subject: BOS web comments

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system.
DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Agenda Item # or
Public Comment: 3.22

State your position
below: Oppose

Comments: I am worried about the lack of oversight over how the Sheriffs department utilizes the money for the reentry services. I would rather see the money go to non for profits that have roots in the community

THE PRESS-ENTERPRISE

1825 Chicago Ave, Suite 100
Riverside, CA 92507
951-684-1200
951-368-9018 FAX

**PROOF OF PUBLICATION
(2010, 2015.5 C.C.P)**

Publication(s): The Press-Enterprise

PROOF OF PUBLICATION OF

Ad Desc.: Notice of Intent - Resolution No. 2021-006 /

I am a citizen of the United States. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to or interested in the above entitled matter. I am an authorized representative of THE PRESS-ENTERPRISE, a newspaper in general circulation, printed and published daily in the County of Riverside, and which newspaper has been adjudicated a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of the County of Riverside, State of California, under date of April 25, 1952, Case Number 54446, under date of March 29, 1957, Case Number 65673, under date of August 25, 1995, Case Number 267864, and under date of September 16, 2013, Case Number RIC 1309013; that the notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy, has been published in said newspaper in accordance with the instructions of the person(s) requesting publication, and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, to wit:

02/02/2021

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: February 02, 2021
At: Riverside, California



Legal Advertising Representative, The Press-Enterprise

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE
PO BOX 1147
RIVERSIDE, CA 92502

Ad Number: 0011439497-01

P.O. Number:

Ad Copy:


TUMA Transportation
Item 3.22 of 01/26/21.

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING BEFORE THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NO. 89-1 CONSOLIDATED

STREET LIGHT ZONE 143
PORTION(S) OF SECTION 16, T5S, R5W,
PARCEL MAP NO. 37598 & PLOT PLAN NO. 26403
5 PARCELS

RESOLUTION NO. 2021-006
RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE DECLARING ITS INTENT TO ORDER THE ANNEXATION OF STREET LIGHTING ZONE 143 TO LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NO. 89-1 CONSOLIDATED OF THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE PURSUANT TO THE LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING ACT OF 1972 FOR THE MAINTENANCE AND SERVICING OF STREETLIGHTS; ADOPTING THE PRELIMINARY ENGINEER'S REPORT; GIVING NOTICE OF AND SETTING THE TIME AND PLACE OF THE PUBLIC HEARING ON THE ANNEXATION OF STREET LIGHTING ZONE 143 TO LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NO. 89-1 CONSOLIDATED OF THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE; ORDERING AN ASSESSMENT BALLOT PRECEDING THE PUBLIC HEARING AND THE ASSESSMENT BALLOT TO BE MAILED PURSUANT TO SAID ACT AND ARTICLE XIIIID OF THE CALIFORNIA CONSTITUTION AND SECTION 4000 OF THE ELECTIONS CODE



WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors (hereinafter the "Board of Supervisors") of the County of Riverside (hereinafter the "County") has adopted Resolution No. 2021-005 on January 26, 2021 initiating proceedings for the annexation of Street Lighting Zone 143 (hereinafter "Street Lighting Zone 143"), as described and shown in Exhibit "A", which is attached hereto and incorporated herein, to Landscaping and Lighting Maintenance District No. 89-1-Consolidated of the County of Riverside, State of California, (hereinafter "L&LMD No. 891C") pursuant to the Landscaping and Lighting Act of 1972 (hereinafter the "Act"), which is Part 2 Commencing with Section 22500 of Division 15 of the Streets and Highways Code, (hereinafter the "Streets and Highways Code"), and ordering the preparation of a report (hereinafter the "Report") regarding the proposed annexation of Street Lighting Zone 143 and the assessments to be levied within Street Lighting Zone 143 each fiscal year beginning fiscal year 2021-22 for the maintenance and servicing of streetlights within the public right-of-way within said zone; and

WHEREAS, such proceedings shall comply with the requirements of Article XIIIID of the California Constitution ("Article XIIIID"), the Act, and Section 4000 of the Elections Code requiring voter approval of the proposed assessment to be levied by L&LMD No. 891C for Street Lighting Zone 143; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 2021-005 directed the Director of the Transportation Department or their designee (hereinafter the "Engineer"), to prepare and file the Report with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in accordance with Sections 2268 and 2288 et. seq. of the Streets and Highways Code and Section 4 of Article XIIIID; and

WHEREAS, said Engineer has filed the Report with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and the Report has been presented to and considered by the Board of Supervisors on an

WHEREAS, the Applicant has executed a "Waiver and Consent Regarding Date of Assessment Ballot Proceeding" allowing for the proceeding to be held on March 23, 2021; and

WHEREAS, it is necessary that the Board of Supervisors adopt a resolution pursuant to Section 2287 of the Streets and Highways Code, which fixes and gives notice, pursuant to Section 2238 of the Streets and Highways Code, of the time and place of a public hearing on said Report, the annexation of Street Lighting Zone 143, and the assessments to be levied on parcels within Street Lighting Zone 143 beginning in fiscal year 2021-22;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, FOUND, DETERMINED AND ORDERED by the Board of Supervisors in regular session assembled on January 26, 2021 as follows:

Section 1. Findings. The Board of Supervisors, after reviewing the Report, finds that:

(a) The foregoing recitals are true and correct;

(b) The Report contains all matters required by Sections 2256 through 2287 of the Streets and Highways Code and Section 4 of Article XIIIID and may, therefore, be approved by the Board of Supervisors;

(c) The annual assessment for fiscal year 2021-22 on all parcels within Street Lighting Zone 143 will be \$239.00 per acre.

Section 2. Intent. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares its intention to order the annexation of Street Lighting Zone 143, as described and shown in Exhibit "A", L&LMD No. 89-1-C, and to levy and collect an annual assessment on all assessable lots and parcels of property within Street Lighting Zone 143 commencing with the fiscal year 2021-22 as set forth in the Report. The Report expressly states that there are no parcels or lots within Street Lighting Zone 143 that are owned by a federal, state or other local government. The Board of Supervisors understands the services to be financed by the annual assessments. The annual assessments will be collected at the same time and in the same manner as property taxes are collected, and all laws providing for the collection and enforcement of property taxes shall apply to the collection and enforcement of said assessments.

Section 3. Boundaries. All the property within the boundaries of Street Lighting Zone 143 is proposed to be annexed to L&LMD No. 89-1-C and shall include that property in the unincorporated area of the County as described and shown in Exhibit "A".

Section 4. Description of Services to be Provided. The services authorized for Street Lighting Zone 143 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C are:

(a) Providing electricity to and the maintenance and servicing of streetlights within the public right-of-way including incidental costs and expenses.

Section 5. Amount to be Levied. The assessment to be levied upon each parcel that benefits from the annexation of Street Lighting Zone 143 to L&LMD No. 891C will be \$239.00 per acre for fiscal year 2021-22. As stated in the Report, the total budget for Street Lighting Zone 143 for the fiscal year 2021-22 is \$1,111,000; there is 5 parcels to be assessed that aggregate to 3.43 acres. Each succeeding fiscal year the special assessment may be subject to an annual adjustment that is the greater of two percent (2%) or the cumulative percentage increase, if any, in the Consumer Price Index for all Urban Consumers for electricity ("CPIU") for the Riverside-San Bernardino-Ontario California Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area ("index") published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the United States Department of Labor. The annual CPIU adjustment will be based on the cumulative increase, if any, in the index as it stands on March of each year over the base index for March of 2021. Any increase larger than the greater of 2% or the CPIU annual adjustment requires a majority approval of all the property owners in Street Lighting Zone 143. The Board of Supervisors will levy the assessment in each subsequent fiscal year until the Board of Supervisors undertakes proceedings for the dissolution of Street Lighting Zone 143 of L&LMD No. 891C. The annual assessment will fund the services described in Section 4 of this Resolution. For further particulars, reference is to be made to the Report on file in the Office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 6. The Property to be Annexed. The property to be annexed to L&LMD No. 891C is Street Lighting Zone 143. The boundaries of Street Lighting Zone 143 are located within the unincorporated area of the County and are described and shown in the Report and Exhibit "A".

Section 7. Report. The Report, which is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and which has been presented to the Board of Supervisors, is hereby approved. Reference is made to the Report for a full and detailed description of the boundaries of Street Lighting Zone 143, and the annual assessment to be levied upon assessable lots and parcels within Street Lighting Zone 143 proposed to be annexed to L&LMD No. 89-1-C.

Section 8. Public Hearing. The question of whether Street Lighting Zone 143 shall be annexed to L&LMD No. 89-1-C and an annual assessment levied beginning with fiscal year 2021-22 shall be considered at a public hearing (hereinafter the "Public Hearing") to be held on March 23, 2021, at 7:30 a.m. at the meeting room of the Board of Supervisors of the County of 4080 Lemon Street, 1st Floor, Riverside, California.

Section 9. Majority Protest. Each owner of record of property within Street Lighting Zone 143 is to receive by mail an assessment ballot that shall conform to the requirements of Section 4 of Article XIIIID and Section 4000 of the California Elections Code. The assessment ballots are to be returned prior to the Public Hearing. The agency shall not impose an assessment if there is a majority protest. A majority protest exists if, upon the conclusion of the hearing, assessment ballots submitted in opposition to the assessment exceed the assessment ballots submitted in favor of the assessment. In tabulating the assessment ballots, the assessment ballots shall be weighted according to the proportional financial obligation of the affected property.

Section 10. Information. Any property owner desiring additional information regarding Street Lighting Zone 143 of L&LMD No. 891C, the Report, or the proposed assessment is to contact Ms. Britta John, Senior Engineering Technician, Transportation Department of the County of Riverside, 4080 Lemon Street, 8th Floor, Riverside, California, 92501, or by telephone at 951-955-6263, or by email at bhjohn@rivco.org.

Section 11. Notice of the Public Hearing. Notice of Public Hearing with regard to the annexation of Street Lighting Zone 143 to L&LMD No. 891C shall be given consistent with Sections 2268 and 2288 of the Streets and Highways Code and Section 4 of Article XIIIID. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors shall give notice of the Public Hearing by causing a certified copy of this Resolution to be published once in an appropriate newspaper at least ten (10) days prior to the date of the Public Hearing that is March 23, 2021. Publication of this Resolution is to be effected by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors. Notice shall also be given by mailing first-class, postage prepaid, those notices, as prepared by County Counsel, assessment ballot and information sheets as required by Section 4 of Article XIIIID and Section 4000 of the California Elections Code to all owners of record of property within Street Lighting Zone 143 as shown on the last equalized assessment roll of the County. Mailing is to be made by the Engineer and deposited with the U. S. Post Office at least forty-five (45) days prior to the Public Hearing on March 23, 2021.

Section 12. Effective Date. This Resolution shall take effect from and after its date of adoption.

**EXHIBIT "A"
DESCRIPTION OF BOUNDARIES**

The boundaries of Street Lighting Zone 143 to be annexed into Landscaping and Lighting Maintenance District No. 89-1-Consolidated, of the County of Riverside, are determined with the boundaries of A/PN 394-030-006, 394-030-007, 394-040-002, 394-050-003 & 394-050-004 as shown on Assessment Roll in the County of Riverside, State of California in Fiscal Year 2021-22.

ROLL CALL:
Ayes: Jeffries, Spiegel, Washington, Perez and Hewitt
Nays: None
Absent: None

The foregoing is certified to be a true copy of a resolution duly adopted by said Board of Supervisors on January 26, 2021.

KECIA R. HARPER, Clerk of said Board
By: Hannah Lumanauw, Board Assistant

Any person affected by the above matter(s) may submit written comments to the Clerk of the Board before the public meeting or may appear and be heard in support of or opposition to the project at the time of the meeting. If you challenge the above item(s) in court, you may be limited to raising only those issues you or someone else raised at the public meeting described in this notice, or in written correspondence, to the Board of Supervisors or, prior to, the public meeting.

Please send all written correspondence to: Clerk of the Board, 4080 Lemon Street, 1st Floor, Post Office Box 1147, Riverside, CA 92502-1147 or email cob@rivco.org

Alternative formats available upon request to individuals with disabilities. If you require reasonable accommodation, please contact Clerk of the Board at (951) 955-1069, at least 72 hours prior to the hearing.

Dated: January 26, 2021 Kecia R. Harper, Clerk of the Board
By: Hannah Lumanauw, Board Assistant
Press-Enterprise: 2/02



OFFICE OF THE
CLERK OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
1st FLOOR, COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE CENTER
P.O. BOX 1147, 4080 LEMON STREET
RIVERSIDE, CA 92502-1147
PHONE: (951) 955-1060 FAX: (951) 955-1071

KECIA R. HARPER
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

KIMBERLY A. RECTOR
Assistant Clerk of the Board

January 26, 2021

THE PRESS ENTERPRISE
P.O. BOX 792
RIVERSIDE, CA 92501

PH: (951) 368-9225
E-MAIL: legals@pe.com

RE: NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING: RESOLUTION NO. 2021-006 INTENT TO ORDER THE ANNEXATION OF STREET LIGHTING ZONE 143 TO LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NO. 89-1- CONSOLIDATED OF THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

To Whom It May Concern:

Attached is a copy for publication in your newspaper for **ONE (1)** on **TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 02, 2021**.

We require your affidavit of publication immediately upon completion of the last publication.

Your invoice must be submitted to this office, **WITH TWO CLIPPINGS OF THE PUBLICATION**.

NOTE: PLEASE COMPOSE THIS PUBLICATION INTO A SINGLE COLUMN FORMAT.

Thank you in advance for your assistance and expertise.

Sincerely,

Hannah Lumanauw

Board Assistant to:
KECIA R. HARPER, CLERK OF THE BOARD

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING BEFORE THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY RIVERSIDE

RESOLUTION NO. 2021-006

RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE DECLARING ITS INTENT TO ORDER THE ANNEXATION OF STREET LIGHTING ZONE 143 TO LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NO. 89-1-CONSOLIDATED OF THE COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE PURSUANT TO THE LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING ACT OF 1972 FOR THE MAINTENANCE AND SERVICING OF STREETLIGHTS; ADOPTING THE PRELIMINARY ENGINEER'S REPORT; GIVING NOTICE OF AND SETTING THE TIME AND PLACE OF THE PUBLIC HEARING ON THE THE ANNEXATION OF STREET LIGHTING ZONE 143 ; ORDERING AN ASSESSMENT BALLOT PROCEEDING CONDUCTED BY MAIL; AND DIRECTING NOTICE OF THE PUBLIC HEARING AND THE ASSESSMENT BALLOT TO BE MAILED PURSUANT TO SAID ACT AND ARTICLE XIID OF THE CALIFORNIA CONSTITUTION AND SECTION 4000 OF THE ELECTIONS CODE

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors (hereinafter the "Board of Supervisors") of the County of Riverside (hereinafter the "County") has adopted Resolution No. 2021-005 on January 26, 2021 initiating proceedings for the annexation of Street Lighting Zone 143 (hereinafter "Street Lighting Zone 143"), as described and shown in Exhibit "A", which is attached hereto and incorporated herein, to Landscaping and Lighting Maintenance District No. 89-1-Consolidated of the County of Riverside, State of California, (hereinafter "L&LMD No. 89-1-C") pursuant to the Landscaping and Lighting Act of 1972 (hereinafter the "Act"), which is Part 2 (commencing with Section 22500) of Division 15 of the Streets and Highways Code (hereinafter the "Streets and Highways Code"), and ordering the preparation of a report (hereinafter the "Report") regarding the proposed annexation of Street Lighting Zone 143 and the assessments to be levied within Street Lighting Zone 143 each fiscal year beginning fiscal year 2021-22 for the maintenance and servicing of streetlights within the public right-of-way within said zone; and

WHEREAS, such proceedings shall comply with the requirements of Article XIID of the California Constitution (hereinafter "Article XIID"), the Act, and Section 4000 of the Elections Code requiring voter approval of the proposed assessment to be levied by L&LMD No. 89-1-C for Street Lighting Zone 143; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 2021-005 directed the Director of the Transportation Department, or their designee (hereinafter the "Engineer"), to prepare and file the Report with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in accordance with Sections 22608 and 22585 et. seq. of the Streets and Highways Code and Section 4 of Article XIID; and

WHEREAS, said Engineer has filed the Report with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and the Report has been presented to and considered by the Board of Supervisors; and

WHEREAS, the Applicant has executed a "Waiver and Consent Regarding Date of Assessment Ballot Proceeding" allowing for the proceeding to be held on March 23, 2021; and

WHEREAS, it is necessary that the Board of Supervisors adopt a resolution of intention pursuant to Section 22587 of the Streets and Highways Code, which fixes and gives notice, pursuant to Section 22588 of the Streets and Highways Code, of the time and place of a public hearing on said Report, the annexation of Street Lighting Zone 143, and the assessments to be levied on parcels within Street Lighting Zone 143 beginning in fiscal year 2021-22;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, FOUND, DETERMINED AND ORDERED by the Board of Supervisors in regular session assembled on January 26, 2021 as follows:

Section 1. Findings. The Board of Supervisors, after reviewing the Report, finds that:

- (a) The foregoing recitals are true and correct;

- (b) The Report contains all matters required by Sections 22565 through 22574 of the Streets and Highways Code and Section 4 of Article XIID and may, therefore, be approved by the Board of Supervisors;
- (c) The annual assessment for fiscal year 2021-22 on all parcels within Street Lighting Zone 143 will be \$259.00 per acre.

Section 2. Intent. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares its intention to order the annexation of Street Lighting Zone 143, as described and shown in Exhibit "A", to L&LMD No. 89-1-C, and to levy and collect an annual assessment on all assessable lots and parcels of property within Street Lighting Zone 143 commencing with the fiscal year 2021-22 as set forth in the Report. The Report expressly states that there are no parcels or lots within Street Lighting Zone 143 that are owned by a federal, state or other local governmental agency that will benefit from the services to be financed by the annual assessments. The annual assessments will be collected at the same time and in the same manner as property taxes are collected, and all laws providing for the collection and enforcement of property taxes shall apply to the collection and enforcement of said assessments.

Section 3. Boundaries. All the property within the boundaries of Street Lighting Zone 143 is proposed to be annexed to L&LMD No. 89-1-C and shall include that property in the unincorporated area of the County as described and shown in Exhibit "A".

Section 4. Description of Services to be Provided. The services authorized for Street Lighting Zone 143 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C are:

- (a) Providing electricity to and the maintenance and servicing of streetlights within the public right-of-way including incidental costs and expenses.

Section 5. Amount to be Levied. The assessment to be levied upon each parcel that benefits from the annexation of Street Lighting Zone 143 to L&LMD No. 89-1-C will be \$259.00 per acre for fiscal year 2021-22. As stated in the Report, the total budget for Street Lighting Zone 143 for the fiscal year 2021-22 is \$1,511.00; there is 5 parcels to be assessed that aggregate to 5.83 acres. Each succeeding fiscal year the special assessment may be subject to an annual adjustment that is the greater of two percent (2%) or the cumulative percentage increase, if any, in the Consumer Price Index for all Urban Consumers for electricity ("CPI-U") for the Riverside-San Bernardino-Ontario California Standard Metropolitan Statistical area ("Index") published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the United States Department of Labor. The annual CPI-U adjustment will be based on the cumulative increase, if any, in the Index as it stands on March of each year over the base Index for March of 2021. Any increase larger than the greater of 2% or the CPI-U annual adjustment requires a majority approval of all the property owners in Street Lighting Zone 143. The Board of Supervisors will levy the assessment in each subsequent fiscal year until the Board of Supervisors undertakes proceedings for the dissolution of Street Lighting Zone 143 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C. The annual assessment will fund the services described in Section 4 of this Resolution. For further particulars, reference is to be made to the Report on file in the Office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

Section 6. The Property to be Annexed. The property to be annexed to L&LMD No. 89-1-C is Street Lighting Zone 143. The boundaries of Street Lighting Zone 143 are located within the unincorporated area of the County and are described and shown in the Report and Exhibit "A".

Section 7. Report. The Report, which is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and which has been presented to the Board of Supervisors, is hereby approved. Reference is made to the Report for a full and detailed description of the services, the boundaries of Street Lighting Zone 143, and the annual assessment to be levied upon assessable lots and parcels within Street Lighting Zone 143 proposed to be annexed to L&LMD No. 89-1-C.

Section 8. Public Hearing. The question of whether Street Lighting Zone 143 shall be annexed to L&LMD No. 89-1-C and an annual assessment levied beginning with fiscal year 2021-22 shall be considered at a public hearing (hereinafter the "Public Hearing") to be held on March 23, 2021, at 9:30 a.m. at the meeting room of the Board of Supervisors of the County at 4080 Lemon Street, 1st Floor, Riverside, California.

Section 9. Majority Protest. Each owner of record of property within Street Lighting Zone 143 is to receive by mail an assessment ballot that shall conform to the requirements of Section 4 of Article XIID and Section 4000 of the California Elections Code. The assessment ballots are to be returned prior to the Public Hearing. The agency shall not impose an assessment if there is a majority protest. A majority protest exists if, upon the conclusion of the hearing, assessment ballots submitted in opposition to the assessment exceed the assessment ballots submitted in favor of the assessment. In tabulating the assessment ballots, the assessment ballots shall be weighted according to the proportional financial obligation of the affected property.

Section 10. Information. Any property owner desiring additional information regarding Street Lighting Zone 143 of L&LMD No. 89-1-C, the Report, or the proposed assessment is to contact Ms. Brigitte Hahn, Senior Engineering Technician, Transportation Department of the County of Riverside, 4080 Lemon Street, 8th Floor, Riverside, California, 92501, or by telephone at 951-955-6263, or by e-mail at bhahn@rivco.org.

Section 11. Notice of the Public Hearing. Notice of Public Hearing with regard to the annexation of Street Lighting Zone 143 to L&LMD No. 89-1-C shall be given consistent with Sections 22608 and 22588 of the Streets and Highways Code and Section 4 of Article XIID. The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors shall give notice of the Public Hearing by causing a certified copy of this Resolution to be published once in an appropriate newspaper at least ten (10) days prior to the date of the Public Hearing that is March 23, 2021. Publication of this Resolution is to be effected by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors. Notice shall also be given by mailing first-class, postage prepaid, those notices, as prepared by County Counsel, assessment ballot and information sheets as required by Section 4 of Article XIID and Section 4000 of the California Elections Code to all owners of record of property within Street Lighting Zone 143 as shown on the last equalized assessment roll of the County. Mailing is to be made by the Engineer and deposited with the U. S. Post Office at least forty-five (45) days prior to the Public Hearing on March 23, 2021.

Section 12 Effective Date. This Resolution shall take effect from and after its date of adoption.

(Insert Exhibits)

ROLL CALL:

Ayes: Jeffries, Spiegel, Washington, Perez and Hewitt
Nays: None
Absent: None

The foregoing is certified to be a true copy of a resolution duly adopted by said Board of Supervisors on January 26, 2021.

KECIA R. HARPER, Clerk of said Board
By: Hannah Lumanauw, Board Assistant

Any person affected by the above matter(s) may submit written comments to the Clerk of the Board before the public meeting or may appear and be heard in support of or opposition to the project at the time of the meeting. If you challenge the above item(s) in court, you may be limited to raising only those issues you or someone else raised at the public meeting described in this notice, or in written correspondence, to the Board of Supervisors at, or prior to, the public meeting.

Please send all written correspondence to: Clerk of the Board, 4080 Lemon Street, 1st Floor, Post Office Box 1147, Riverside, CA 92502-1147 or email cob@rivco.org

Alternative formats available upon request to individuals with disabilities. If you require reasonable accommodation, please contact Clerk of the Board at (951) 955-1069, at least 72 hours prior to the hearing.

Dated: January 26, 2021

Kecia R. Harper, Clerk of the Board
By: Hannah Lumanauw, Board Assistant

EXHIBIT "A"

DESCRIPTION OF BOUNDARIES

The boundaries of Street Lighting Zone 143 to be annexed into Landscaping and Lighting Maintenance District No. 89-1-Consolidated, of the County of Riverside, are coterminous with the boundaries of APN 394-030-006, 394-030-007, 394-040-002, 394-050-003 & 394-050-004 as shown on Assessment Roll in the County of Riverside, State of California in Fiscal Year 2021-22.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

LANDSCAPING AND LIGHTING MAINTENANCE DISTRICT NO. 89-1 CONSOLIDATED

STREET LIGHT ZONE 143


PORTION(S) OF SECTION 16, T.5S., R.5W.

PARCEL MAP NO. 37599 & PLOT PLAN NO. 26403


5 PARCELS



The County of Riverside assumes no warranty or legal responsibility for the information contained on this map. Date and information represented on this map is subject to updates, modifications and may not be complete or appropriate for all purposes. County GIS and other sources should be queried for the most current information. Do not copy or resell this map. Printed by bhahn on 4/1/2020



ASSESSMENT DIAGRAM



- * DENOTES MAINTAINED ROW STREETLIGHT
- ▭ DENOTES ZONE BOUNDARY