

MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA



**PUBLIC COMMENT:**

**16.1**

During the oral communication section of the agenda for Tuesday, January 26, 2021, Teri Ransbury spoke regarding issues when signing up for the second dose of the vaccine.

---

ATTACHMENTS FILED WITH  
CLERK OF THE BOARD

AGENDA NO.  
16.1

January 23, 2021

RE: Updated from the January 22, 2021 letter: second dose vaccine concern

Dear Board of Supervisors (BOS),

I am a healthcare professional and a resident of Riverside County. The Covid-19 vaccine shortage has created an alarming public health risk, making it imperative for the County to ensure timely 2<sup>nd</sup> dose administration to provide the continued and maximum vaccine effectiveness. The Centers for Disease Control (CDC), the Federal Drug Administration (FDA), the FDA Briefing Document Moderna COVID-19 Vaccine, experts, and the evidence does not support or recommend a change to the 2<sup>nd</sup> dosing regimen.

The County or County representative(s) have conveyed to the public, "...there is a lengthy window where you can get that 2<sup>nd</sup> dose and have it still be effective." The County or their representative(s) have also stated the 2<sup>nd</sup> dose can wait until the vaccine is no longer scarce, up to months, saying it does not matter when the 2<sup>nd</sup> dose is administered.

The above statements were revised on January 22, 2021. The modified message conveyed "the 2<sup>nd</sup> dose people can register just like the people trying to receive their first dose, as the state does not yet recommend us prioritizing them over those in other critical categories who haven't received them yet (CDC says you can go as far as six weeks between doses without concern)".

This representation, like the earlier representations, is not rooted in science. The authorized vaccines to prevent Covid-19 require 2 shots, either 21 or 28 days apart, depending on which vaccine was received to get the most protection (<https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/covid-19/info-by-product/clinical-considerations.html>).

The CDC's Interim Clinical Considerations for Use of mRNA COVID-19 Vaccines Authorized says in relevant part: "The second dose should be administered as close to the recommended interval as possible. However, if it is not feasible to adhere to the recommended interval, the second dose of Pfizer-BioNTech and Moderna COVID-19 vaccines may be scheduled for administration up to 6 weeks (42 days) after the first dose. <https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/covid-19/info-by-product/clinical-considerations.html>).

The CDC's opinion the 2<sup>nd</sup> dose may be scheduled up to six weeks (42 days) after the first dose is not rooted in the science. Additional analyses were done to assess efficacy against COVID-19 after one dose of Moderna. Participants who only received one dose of the vaccine at the interim analysis time, Vaccine Effectiveness after one dose, were 80.2%. There appears to be some protection against COVID-19 disease following one dose; however, the data does not provide sufficient information about longer-term protection beyond 28 days after a single dose. The

JAN 26 2021 16.1

(online) Teri Ransburg  
Public Comment  
1/26/2021



efficacy observed after dose one and before dose 2, from a post-hoc analysis, cannot support a conclusion about the effectiveness of a single dose of the vaccine because the numbers of participants and time of observation are limited. The trial did not have a single-dose arm to make an adequate comparison (<https://www.fda.gov/media/144434/download>).

The FDA has said it does not support changing vaccine dosing or schedules, calling such actions “premature and not rooted solidly in the available evidence.” (<https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-announcements/fda-statement-following-authorized-dosing-schedules-covid-19-vaccines>).

Experts believe there is not enough data on the amount and length of protection an altered dosing schedule will provide. Angela Rasmussen, a virologist at Georgetown University’s Center for Global Health Science and Security, has stated: “We should really think long and hard any time we advise people to deviate from what the evidence actually shows in terms of efficacy, which has only been tested for those standard dosing regimens.”

Dr. Anthony Fauci has said he disagrees with delaying the second dose of the Pfizer/BioNTech vaccine. Dr. Fauci told CNN that the United States would follow Pfizer and BioNTech’s guidance to administer the second dose of its vaccine three weeks after the first. “We know from the clinical trials that the optimal time is to give it on one day and for [the Moderna jab which is also approved in the US] wait 28 days and for Pfizer 21 days later.” He added that while you can “make the argument” for stretching out the doses, he would not favor doing that.

Pfizer and BioNTech also warned that the two doses were crucial to achieving maximum protection against Covid, **saying that they did not have evidence that the first dose alone would protect patients after three weeks.**

The CDC distribution-allocation is as follows: weekly first-dose allocations are provided to states on Tuesdays; jurisdictions can begin placing orders on Thursdays. After doses are ordered by states, shipments begin the following Monday. Second doses are opened up for orders on Sundays, at the appropriate interval two or three weeks later according to the manufacturer’s label, with shipments occurring after jurisdictions place orders  
<https://data.cdc.gov/Vaccinations/COVID-19-Vaccine-Distribution-Allocations-by-Juris/b7pe-5nws>.

Both Moderna and Pfizer allocate for 2<sup>nd</sup> doses. The table below is created with the CDC’s data; it is clear first and second doses are allocated by week to the jurisdiction to ensure the timely administration of the 2<sup>nd</sup> dose, and for each dose allocated, the same number of 2<sup>nd</sup> doses have been allocated through January 25, 2021 (<https://data.cdc.gov/Vaccinations/COVID-19-Vaccine-Distribution-Allocations-by-Juris/b7pe-5nws>).

## Covid-19 Vaccine Distribution Allocations

Jurisdiction	HHS Region	Doses allocated week of 12/14	Second Dose Shipment (21 days later) week of 12/14	Doses allocated week of 12/21	Second Dose Shipment (21 days later) week of 12/21	Doses allocated week of 12/28	Second Dose Shipment (21 days later) week of 12/28	Doses allocated for distribution week of 01/04	Second dose shipment for distribution (21 days later) week of 01/04	Doses allocated for distribution week of 01/10	Second dose shipment for distribution (21 days later) week of 01/10	Doses allocated for distribution week of 01/18	Second dose shipment for distribution (21 days later) week of 01/18	Doses allocated for distribution week of 01/25	Second dose shipment for distribution (21 days later) week of 01/25	Total Pfizer Allocation "First Dose" Shipments	Total Allocation Pfizer "Second Dose" Shipments
California	Region 9																
Pfizer		327,600	327,600	233,025	233,025	297,375	297,375	232,050	232,050	233,025	233,025	241,800	241,800	241,800	241,800	1,806,675	1,806,675
				Doses allocated week of 12/21	Second Dose Shipment (28 days later) week of 12/21	Doses allocated week of 12/28	Second Dose Shipment (28 days later) week of 12/28	Doses allocated for distribution week of 01/04	Second dose shipment for distribution (28 days later) week of 01/04	Doses allocated for distribution week of 01/10	Second dose shipment for distribution (28 days later) week of 01/10	Doses allocated for distribution week of 01/18	Second dose shipment for distribution (28 days later) week of 01/18	Doses allocated for distribution week of 01/25	Second dose shipment for distribution (28 days later) week of 01/25	Total Moderna Allocation "First Dose" Shipments	Total Allocation Moderna's "Second Dose" Shipments
California	Region 9																
Moderna				672,600	672,600	232,300	232,300	231,400	231,400	232,300	232,300	244,000	244,000	244,000	244,000	1,856,600	1,856,600
<b>Total</b>		<b>327,600</b>	<b>327,600</b>	<b>905,625</b>	<b>905,625</b>	<b>529,675</b>	<b>529,675</b>	<b>463,450</b>	<b>463,450</b>	<b>465,325</b>	<b>465,325</b>	<b>485,800</b>	<b>485,800</b>	<b>485,800</b>	<b>485,800</b>	<b>3,663,275</b>	<b>3,663,275</b>

The Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) identified four ethical principles to guide their decision-making process when supply is limited:

- Maximize benefits and minimize harms — Respect and care for people using the best available data to promote public health and minimize death and severe illness.
- Mitigate health inequities — Reduce health disparities in the burden of COVID-19 disease and death, and make sure everyone has the opportunity to be as healthy as possible.
- Promote justice — Treat affected groups, populations, and communities fairly. Remove unfair, unjust, and avoidable barriers to COVID-19 vaccination.
- Promote transparency — Make a decision that is clear, understandable, and open for review. Allow and seek public participation in the creation and review of the decision processes (<https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/vaccines/recommendations-process.html>).

Is it within the County's ethical principles to advise people to deviate from what the evidence shows regarding efficacy and dosing? The current process is not making sure everyone can be as healthy as possible (Vaccine Effectiveness by not administering 2<sup>nd</sup> timely dose). The avoidable barrier(s) to the 2<sup>nd</sup> dose of Covid-19 vaccination are not being removed.

The County does not plan to ensure the 2<sup>nd</sup> dose is available as close as possible to the 21 or 28 days following the first dose to safeguard their constituents. The intended second dose has been received by the state and more than likely by the County (see table above) and should be used for the 2<sup>nd</sup> dose, not additional first doses.

I am asking for the County to stop disseminating information to the public that is not rooted solidly in the available evidence and to develop and implement a plan to ensure those who



receive the 1<sup>st</sup> dose of the Covid-19 vaccine also receive the 2<sup>nd</sup> dose as close as possible to the 21<sup>st</sup> or 28<sup>th</sup> day following the first dose, as recommended by the FDA, EUA, experts and the clear evidence.

Thank you for your attention to the above concerns.

Respectively,

Teri Ransbury, MSN, RN, CHC, CHPC

MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA



**PUBLIC COMMENT:**  
**16.2**

During the oral communication section of the agenda for Tuesday, January 26, 2021, John Balis spoke regarding his concerns to sign up for the second dose of the vaccine, and suggested for the website navigation bar to indicate which vaccine is available at each site.

---

**ATTACHMENTS FILED WITH  
CLERK OF THE BOARD**

**AGENDA NO.  
16.2**

MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA



**PUBLIC COMMENT:**  
**16.3**

During the oral communication section of the agenda for Tuesday, January 26, 2021, Vicki Van Leuven spoke regarding the negative impact of the COVID-19 lockdown.

---

**ATTACHMENTS FILED WITH  
CLERK OF THE BOARD**

**AGENDA NO.  
16.3**

9:42

### Riverside County Board of Supervisors Request to Speak

Submit request to Clerk of Board (right of podium),  
Speakers are entitled to two (2) minutes, subject  
Board Rules listed on the reverse side of this form.

**SPEAKER'S NAME:** Van Van Leuren

**Address:** \_\_\_\_\_  
(only if follow-up mail response requested)

**City:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Zip:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Phone #:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Date:** 1/20/21 **Agenda #** public comment

**PLEASE STATE YOUR POSITION BELOW:**

**Position on "Regular" (non-appealed) Agenda Item:**

**Support**     **Oppose**     **Neutral**

**Note:** If you are here for an agenda item that is filed  
for "Appeal", please state separately your position on  
the appeal below:

**Support**     **Oppose**     **Neutral**

**I give my 2 minutes to:** \_\_\_\_\_



MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA



**PUBLIC COMMENT:**  
**16.4**

During the oral communication section of the agenda for Tuesday, January 26, 2021, Monica Galvez spoke regarding Eastern Coachella Valley not receiving enough community assistance.

---

**ATTACHMENTS FILED WITH  
CLERK OF THE BOARD**

**AGENDA NO.  
16.4**

MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA



**PUBLIC COMMENT:**  
**16.5**

During the oral communication section of the agenda for Tuesday, January 26, 2021, Lesley Figueroa spoke regarding Eastern Valley not receiving enough community assistance.

---

**ATTACHMENTS FILED WITH  
CLERK OF THE BOARD**

**AGENDA NO.  
16.5**

MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA



**PUBLIC COMMENT:**  
**16.6**

During the oral communication section of the agenda for Tuesday, January 26, 2021, Mercedes DeLeon spoke regarding being left outside of chambers during board meetings, and the American flag.

---

ATTACHMENTS FILED WITH  
CLERK OF THE BOARD

AGENDA NO.  
16.6



9:50

# Riverside County Board of Supervisors Request to Speak

Submit request to Clerk of Board (right of podium),  
Speakers are entitled to two (2) minutes, subject  
Board Rules listed on the reverse side of this form.

**SPEAKER'S NAME:** Mercado DeLeon

**Address:** \_\_\_\_\_  
(only if follow-up mail response requested)

**City:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Zip:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Phone #:** 949-303-3940

**Date:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Agenda #** open public

**PLEASE STATE YOUR POSITION BELOW:**

**Position on "Regular" (non-appealed) Agenda Item:**

**Support**     **Oppose**     **Neutral**

**Note:** If you are here for an agenda item that is filed  
for "Appeal", please state separately your position on  
the appeal below:

**Support**     **Oppose**     **Neutral**

**I give my 2 minutes to:** \_\_\_\_\_

MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA



**PUBLIC COMMENT:**

**16.7**

During the oral communication section of the agenda for Tuesday, January 26, 2021, Nancy Spencer spoke regarding spoke regarding the COVID-19 lockdown and requested businesses to fight back.

---

**ATTACHMENTS FILED WITH  
CLERK OF THE BOARD**

**AGENDA NO.  
16.7**

9152

# Riverside County Board of Supervisors Request to Speak

Submit request to Clerk of Board (right of podium),  
Speakers are entitled to two (2) minutes, subject  
Board Rules listed on the reverse side of this form.

**SPEAKER'S NAME:** Nancy S

**Address:** \_\_\_\_\_  
(only if follow-up mail response requested)

**City:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Zip:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Phone #:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Date:** 1/26/21 **Agenda #** PC

**PLEASE STATE YOUR POSITION BELOW:**

**Position on "Regular" (non-appealed) Agenda Item:**

**Support**     **Oppose**     **Neutral**

**Note:** If you are here for an agenda item that is filed  
for "Appeal", please state separately your position on  
the appeal below:

**Support**     **Oppose**     **Neutral**

**I give my 2 minutes to:** \_\_\_\_\_



MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA



**PUBLIC COMMENT:**  
**16.8**

During the oral communication section of the agenda for Tuesday, January 26, 2021, Slim spoke regarding people expressing their First Amendment rights.

---

ATTACHMENTS FILED WITH  
CLERK OF THE BOARD

AGENDA NO.  
16.8

9:54

# Riverside County Board of Supervisors Request to Speak

Submit request to Clerk of Board (right of podium),  
Speakers are entitled to two (2) minutes, subject  
Board Rules listed on the reverse side of this form.

**SPEAKER'S NAME:** SLIM

**Address:** \_\_\_\_\_  
(only if follow-up mail response requested)

**City:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Zip:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Phone #:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Date:** 1/26/21 **Agenda #** PC

**PLEASE STATE YOUR POSITION BELOW:**

**Position on "Regular" (non-appealed) Agenda Item:**

**Support**       **Oppose**       **Neutral**

**Note:** If you are here for an agenda item that is filed  
for "Appeal", please state separately your position on  
the appeal below:

**Support**       **Oppose**       **Neutral**

**I give my 2 minutes to:** \_\_\_\_\_

MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA



**PUBLIC COMMENT:**

**16.9**

During the oral communication section of the agenda for Tuesday, January 12, 2021, Roy Bleckert spoke regarding the state lockdown.

---

ATTACHMENTS FILED WITH  
CLERK OF THE BOARD

AGENDA NO.  
16.9



9:56

**Riverside County Board of Supervisors  
Request to Speak**

Submit request to Clerk of Board (right of podium),  
Speakers are entitled to two (2) minutes, subject  
Board Rules listed on the reverse side of this form.

**SPEAKER'S NAME:** Ray Bleeck

**Address:** \_\_\_\_\_  
(only if follow-up mail response requested)

**City:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Zip:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Phone #:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Date:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Agenda #** Public Comment

**PLEASE STATE YOUR POSITION BELOW:**

**Position on "Regular" (non-appealed) Agenda Item:**

**Support**     **Oppose**     **Neutral**

**Note:** If you are here for an agenda item that is filed  
for "Appeal", please state separately your position on  
the appeal below:

**Support**     **Oppose**     **Neutral**

**I give my 2 minutes to:** \_\_\_\_\_

MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA



**PUBLIC COMMENT:**  
**16.10**

During the oral communication section of the agenda for Tuesday, January 12, 2021, Ali Mazarei spoke regarding Arco travel business and the distribution of food to local communities who need assistance. He and his wife donated over \$20,000 worth of winter coats to under privileged children of his community.

---

**ATTACHMENTS FILED WITH  
CLERK OF THE BOARD**

**AGENDA NO.  
16.10**