SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA



(ID # 15176)

MEETING DATE:

Tuesday, June 29, 2021

FROM:

SHERIFF-CORONER-PA:

SUBJECT: SHERIFF-CORONER-PA: Approval of Sheriff's Records Management Systems (RMS) Transaction Cost Allocation for FY 2020-21, All Districts. [\$2,163,106 – 81% Contract Revenue and 19% Sheriff's Budget]

RECOMMENDED MOTION: That the Board of Supervisors:

 Approve the FY 2020-21 contract city cost allocation and the allied agency cost of \$0.0579 per transaction for access to the Sheriff's Records Management Systems (RMS).

ACTION: Policy

All the second of the second o

MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

On motion of Supervisor Washington, seconded by Supervisor Jeffries and duly carried by unanimous vote, IT WAS ORDERED that the above matter is approved as recommended.

Ayes:

Jeffries, Spiegel, Washington, Perez, and Hewitt

Nays:

None

Absent:

None

Date:

June 29, 2021

Caul Vergara

XC:

Sheriff

Kecia R. Harper

Clerk of the Board By: () () () ()

Deputy

SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FINANCIAL DATA	Cur	rent Fiscal Year:	Next Fis	cal Year:		Total Cost:	Ongoing Cost		
COST	\$	2,163,106	\$	0	\$	2,163,106	\$	0	
NET COUNTY COST	\$	419,940	\$	0	\$	419,940	\$	0	
SOURCE OF FUNDS Budget	S: 81	% Contract R	evenue a	nd 19% Sh	eriff's	Budget Adju	ustment: N	lo	
						For Fiscal Y	ear: 20/21		

C.E.O. RECOMMENDATION: Approved

3.12 6/9/20 BR# 21-075

BACKGROUND:

Summary

Implemented in 1999, the Records Management System (RMS) allows the Sheriff's Department and participating agencies the ability to enter case files, query case histories, and access to the Master Name Index, Warrants System, Master Location Index, Jail Locator Database, and the California Law Enforcement Telecommunication System (CLETS).

The Records Management System (RMS) cost is calculated annually by taking prior years' actual expenses and dividing it by the current year's estimated transaction count. The total costs for FY 2019-20 are \$2,163,106 and the estimated transaction count is 37,373,681. This results in a per transaction fee for FY 2020-21 of \$0.0579.

Allied agencies will be billed \$56,922, based on current estimated transactions, while Contract Cities will be billed \$1,686,242, based on 2019 actual transactions. Also, the Sheriff's Department utilizes this system and budgets for its portion of the allocated costs.

This request is to approve the FY2020-21 contract city cost allocation and the allied agency cost of \$0.0579 per transaction for access to the Sheriff's Records Management System (RMS). In FY 2019-20 the Board approved an RMS cost of \$0.0531 per transaction (06/9/2020 3.17). The FY2020-21 RMS costs increased by \$209,562 due to an increase in software maintenance and software subscription costs. Most of the increase came from the renewal of the Microsoft License Subscription, which increased \$160,202 over the previous year. The increase comes from adding new licenses to cover new hires, as well as the standard annual increase that occurs yearly per the established contract. Other cost increases came from adding new software to meet the Department's operational needs, as well as rising costs of existing software/hardware renewals needed to maintain the current systems. There was an offset of \$283.84 due to COVID-19 reimbursement for employee salaries, which has been factored into the allocated costs associated with RMS.

SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Impact on Residents and Businesses

The Records Management System (RMS) provides all participating agencies access to the Master Name Index, Warrant System, Master Location Index, Jail Locator Database, and the California Law Enforcement Telecommunication System (CLETS). Access to RMS provides one shared database for law enforcement agencies to enter and query case histories to obtain criminal history information. Access and use of information increase public safety.

SUPPLEMENTAL:

Additional Fiscal Information

Attachment A: This table details the cost allocation to Contract Cities.

Attachment B: This table includes the estimated cost allocation to allied agencies and County partners.

Rene Casillas 6/4/2021 Cherilyn Williams 6/21/2021

CALCULATION OF RMS RATE 2020-21

Attachment B

Est Recoverable RMS Costs	<u>\$2,163,106</u>	CLETS TRANS	RMS TRANS	monthly cost	Trans	Total
		(Based on ACTUALS cumulative	(Based on ACTUALS cumulative	based on actual	Cost YEARLY	FY 20-21
	1-4 6 6	to 03/30/2020	to 03/30/2020	transactions	FY 20-21	Tarak basis ka

RSO TRANSACTIONS (Includes contract city activity)	6,867,237	29,522,951	\$	175,515	•	2,106,183		
*****Contract City calculated on calls for service *****	0,007,207	23,322,331	¥	175,515	Ψ	2,100,103		
OTHER OUTSIDE AGENCIES		3.	0	Monthly		Yearly		Tota
BLYTHE PD	26,613	13,271	\$	192	\$	2,308	\$	2,308
CITY OF MURRIETA PD	0	160,805		776		9,307		9,307
RPD	0	149,791		722		8,670		8,670
UC RIVERSIDE PD	18,373	8,265		128		1,542		1,542
SUB TOTAL	44,987	332,132	\$	1,819	\$	21,827	\$	21,827
STATE AND FEDERAL AGENCIES	CLETS	RMS						
ALCOHOL TOBACCO AND FIREARMS		The Biggle St.	\$		\$		\$	- 1 - 2
BUREAU OF NARCOTICS ENFORCEMENT								-
SUPERIOR COURTS	92,237	29,791		588.56		7,062.71	7,	062.71
CRC NORCO	10,985	1,487		60.15		721.85		721.85
DRUG ENFORCEMENT AGENCY				* j				
MARCH AIR FORCE BASE	21,727	4,225		125.17		1,502.04	1,	502.04
US MARSHALLS	-			-				
US PRETRIAL SERVICES	-							-
SUB TOTAL This revenue collected under separate billings	124,949	35,503	\$	774	\$	9,287	\$	9,287

FY 2020-21

RMS BASE COST
County and Contract City % of RMS base cost (outside agency use is 2.63% of base cost)

\$2,163,106 \$2,106,184

					2019 CA	LLS FOR	SERVIC	E			
		2018	RM		2019	2019	County	Contract	Contract		nge from
		Calls	Amo	unt	Calls	%	Amount	City %	City Amt	FY 2	2018-2019
Colorado River	0.3	5,853		2,439	7,550	0.8632%	\$18,181			\$	5,742
Cabazon Smith Corrections		10,135 1,088		21,540	8,863	1.0134%	\$21,343				(197)
Blythe Corrections		455		2,312	1,116 252	0.1276% 0.0288%	\$2,687 \$607				375 (360)
Indio (Thermal County)	- 1	14,028		9,814	14,505	1.6584%	\$34,930				5,116
Court Services-East	s a final	134		285	151	0.0173%	\$364				79
Calimesa	4	5,919	1	2,580	5,423	0.017070	\$0	0.6200%	\$13,059		479
Canyon Lake		4,740		0.074	4,913		\$0	0.5617%	\$11,831		1,757
Court Services-Central		207		440	159	0.0182%	\$383	0.001770	V11,001		(57)
Coachella Valley Narc Task Force		164		349	248	0.0284%	\$597				248
Court Services-West		218		463	227	0.0260%	\$547				84
Hemet	1.00	26,524	5	6,372	25,668	2.9348%	\$61,812				5,440
Riverside (Jurupa Valley County)		12,649		26,883	12,589	1.4394%	\$30,316				3,433
SECP SECP	- 36	12,010			0	0.0000%	\$0				0,400
SEB		3,298		7,009	2,530	0.2893%	\$6,093				(916)
Eastvale	= 10 1	28,298		0,142	29,515	0.200070	\$0	3.3746%	\$71,076		10,934
Elsinore (County)		18,114		88,498	18,760	2.1450%	\$45,177	0.014070	\$71,070		6,679
Norco		18,598		9,526	20,134	2.1-100/0	\$45,177	2.3020%	\$48,485		8,959
Gang Task Force		929		1,974	1,046	0.1196%	\$2,519	2.5020 /6	Ų-10,+05		545
Hazardous Device Team	- 1	301		640	273	0.0312%	\$657				17
Homeless Outreach Team		457		971	333	0.0312%	\$802				(169)
San Jacinto	0.00	40,052	9	5,123	41,742	0.000170	\$0	4.7726%	\$100,520		15,397
Indio Corrections	3	714		1,517	475	0.0543%	\$1,144	4.772076	\$100,020		(373)
Indian Wells	Sec. [1]	11,982		5,465	14,615	0.004070	\$0	1.6710%	\$35,195		9,730
Jurupa Valley City		68,844		6,314	69,977		\$0	8.0009%	\$168,514		22,200
Elsinore (City)		50,625		7,593	48,328		\$0	5.5257%	\$116,380		8,787
La Quinta		28,924		1,472	30,953		\$0	3.5391%	\$74,539		13,067
Moreno Valley (County)		1,411		2,999	1,102	0.1260%	\$2,654	3.333176	\$14,555		
Menifee		49,941		6,140	48,957	0.120076	\$2,034	5.5976%	\$117,895		(345) 11,755
Morongo		3,665		7,789	2,248		\$0	0.2570%	\$5,413		
Moreno March	3 1	1,208		2,567	1,260	0.1441%	\$3,034	0.257076	\$5,413		(2,376) 467
Moreno Valley PD	- T	163,254		6,964	146,522	0.144170	\$0,034	16.7528%	\$352,845		5,881
Palm Desert (County)		12,101		25,718	12,157	1.3900%	\$29,276	10.7520 /6	\$332,043		3,558
Perris (County)	100	26,824		7,009	27,282	3.1193%	\$65,699				8,690
Perris (City)	49	48,750		3,608	49,050	5.115576	\$0	5.6082%	\$118,119		14,511
SIB		1,406	10	2,988	1,529	0.1748%	\$3,682	3.000276	\$110,113		694
RASP		7,369	1	5,661	7,068	0.8081%	\$17,021				1,360
Riverside Corrections	18 × 8	7,309		1,696	818	0.0035%	\$1,970				274
SIB	=""	730		1,090	0	0.0935%	\$1,970				214
SIB Southwest	1.00			-	0	0%	\$0				-
SIB West					0	0%	\$0				
		19,180		0 762	18,450	0%	\$0	2.1095%	\$44,430		2 667
Rancho Mirage SAFE Task Force		13,100		10,763	30	0.0034%	\$72	2.1055/6	\$44,430		3,667 72
Soboba Reservation				-		0.0034%	\$0	0.1855%	¢2 00¢		
Southwest Corrections		734		1,560	1,622	0.0000%	\$0	0.1855%	\$3,906		3,906
Mt San Jacinto College		1,391		-0.750 PM (million)	856	0.0000%	and the second second				(1,560)
Southwest	F 18			2,956			\$2,061				(895)
	y 2 5	18,886		0,138	18,351	2.0982%	\$44,192	E 74400/	£420 024		4,054
Palm Desert (City)		50,628		7,600	50,219		\$0	5.7419%	\$120,934		13,334
Temecula TRU	Variable .	86,758		4,387	79,292	0.65650/	\$12.000	9.0660%	\$190,946		6,559
		6,693		4,225	5,742	0.6565%	\$13,828	2 00200/	\$44 DO2		(397)
Wildomar		16,478		5,021	18,306		\$0	2.0930%	\$44,083		9,062
Coachella Roid Took Force	115.5	21,635		5,981	19,962	0.070001	\$0	2.2824%	\$48,071		2,090
Raid Task Force	- 4	579		1,231	640	0.0732%	\$1,541				310
Corrections Other Other		248		527	509	0.0582%	\$1,226				1,226
Outel	TOTALS	893,187	\$ 1.89		2,295	0.2624%	\$5,527			\$	5,000 207,894
TOTAL TRANSA		000,107	Ψ 1,08	0,230	874,612					Ψ	201,034
TOTAL PERC					01-4,012		100.00%				
PERCENTAG						19.94%	100.0070	80.06%			
	COST						\$419,940		\$1,686,243	\$	2,106,184
							Aces, anything belongs		to a second seco	919	