

**SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA**



**ITEM:**  
(ID # 17658)

**MEETING DATE:**  
Tuesday, November 16, 2021


**FROM :** EXECUTIVE OFFICE:

**SUBJECT:** EXECUTIVE OFFICE TECHNICAL COMMITTEE: Redistricting Public Hearing No. 5. All Districts. [\$0]

**RECOMMENDED MOTION:** That the Board of Supervisors:

1. Receive and file the attached public testimony package containing all public comments received through November 11, 2021;
2. Open the public hearing and receive public testimony on draft maps labeled EOTC Fv5, Gv2, Hv7.1, Iv2, and Community Maps 1.3 and 6.1; and
3. After receipt of public testimony, close the public hearing, provide direction on the presented draft maps, and select no more than three maps for further consideration.

**ACTION:Policy**



Jeff Van Wagenen, County Executive Officer 11/12/2021

---

**MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

On motion of Supervisor Perez, seconded by Supervisor Jeffries and duly carried, IT WAS ORDERED that the above matter is approved as recommended and staff is directed to make revisions to existing maps F, H, and Community Map 1.3, while attempting to keep the Pass together; and bring the revisions to the public hearing on December 7, 2021, at 9:30 a.m. or as soon as possible thereafter.

Ayes: Jeffries, Spiegel, Washington and Perez  
Nays: Hewitt  
Absent: None  
Date: November 16, 2021  
xc: EO

Kecia R. Harper  
Clerk of the Board

By:   
Deputy

**SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE,  
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

<b>FINANCIAL DATA</b>	<b>Current Fiscal Year:</b>	<b>Next Fiscal Year:</b>	<b>Total Cost:</b>	<b>Ongoing Cost</b>
<b>COST</b>	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
<b>NET COUNTY COST</b>	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
<b>SOURCE OF FUNDS: N/A</b>			<b>Budget Adjustment: No</b>	
			<b>For Fiscal Year: 21/22</b>	

**C.E.O. RECOMMENDATION:** Approve

**BACKGROUND:**

**Summary**

Every ten years, after the federal census, district boundaries for federal, state, and local elected offices are redrawn to reflect new population data and shifting populations to ensure equal voter representation to the extent possible. This process is called redistricting.

Under State law, the Board of Supervisors may establish, by resolution, ordinance or charter amendment, an independent redistricting commission, a hybrid redistricting commission, or an advisory redistricting commission composed of residents of the County to complete the redistricting of the five County Supervisorial districts.

Elections Code 23002 applies to Advisory Redistricting Commissions. It states in relevant part, "(b) Notwithstanding any other law, the local jurisdiction may prescribe the manner in which members are appointed to the commission; (c) A person who is an elected official of the local jurisdiction, or a family member, staff member, or paid campaign staff of an elected official of the local jurisdiction shall not be appointed to serve on the commission; (d) A local jurisdiction may impose additional requirements or restrictions on the commission, members of the commission, or applicants to the commission in excess of those prescribed by this section."

On November 17, 2020 (Agenda Item 3.3), the Riverside County Board of Supervisors established the 2021 Advisory Redistricting Commission by resolution, which is the existing Planning Commission for the County of Riverside and is a Brown Act body of five members selected by the Board of Supervisors. Each Planning Commissioner is a resident of the County, with one Planning Commissioner representing each Supervisorial District. The Planning Commission receives support through the County of Riverside Planning Department and regularly meets on the first and third Wednesdays of each month.

The 2021 Advisory Redistricting Commission (ARC) receives direct support through a Technical Committee established by the County Executive Office (EOTC), which is comprised of staff from the Executive Office, County Counsel, Riverside County Information Technology Department, Registrar of Voters, and Transportation Land Management Agency, as well as the five Chiefs of Staff for each Supervisorial District. Both the ARC and the EOTC have been committed to ensuring as much public participation as possible in the Redistricting process and ensuring that

**SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE,  
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

the final Board recommended map(s) will accurately and fairly represent the residents of Riverside County pursuant to applicable laws.

In prior census years, data collection was complete by July of the census year. However, due to challenges in collecting data posed by the COVID-19 pandemic, the Census Bureau extended data collection to October 2020. In addition, during prior census and redistricting cycles, the Census Bureau released data to the states for distribution to local governments by April of the year following the census. This year, the Redistricting Data was forecasted for release to states by September 2021, nearly five months later than prior redistricting cycles. The significant delays on the release of data by both the federal and state governments have created a very tight timeline for local jurisdictions to complete the redistricting process, which by law must be complete by no later than December 15, 2021, to allow for those districts to be ready for use in the June 7, 2022 primary election.

The EOTC has attended several ARC meetings since the ARC was established by the Board. During these meetings, the EOTC has provided updates, presented draft maps, and gathered feedback from both the ARC and the public.

At the October 6 ARC meeting, the EOTC presented four draft maps, labeled EOTC A, B, C and D, and received comments from the public and the ARC. At that time, the ARC was made aware that draft maps EOTC A, B, C and D did not include the California's adjusted U.S. Census Data for breakdown of voting age population data by ethnicity, the Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP) data, and prisoner population, as this information was not available for analysis at the time of the creation of those maps.

On October 14, 2021 the EOTC created three additional draft maps, EOTC E, F, and G. The EOTC updated the original maps A, B, C, and D and the additional maps E, F, and G to include the California's adjusted U.S. Census Data for breakdown of voting age population data by ethnicity, the CVAP data, and prisoners population data.

On October 19, the EOTC presented draft EOTC maps A through G as well as several maps submitted by the public to the Board of Supervisors at a public hearing. At the conclusion of the public hearing, the Board of Supervisors directed the EOTC to do the following:

1. No longer consider draft EOTC Maps A through D;
2. Deem draft EOTC Maps E through G as Board Drawn and bring them forward to the ARC on November 3<sup>rd</sup> for review and consideration for the purpose of providing recommendations to the Board of Supervisors for a November 9<sup>th</sup> public hearing;
3. Direct the EOTC to apply CVAP data to the draft maps submitted by the Inland Empire Redistricting Hub, Debbie Walsh, and Jerry Sincich and bring them forward to the ARC

**SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE,  
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

- on November 3<sup>rd</sup> for review and consideration for the purpose of providing recommendations to the Board of Supervisors for the November 9<sup>th</sup> public hearing;
4. Draft an additional map (EOTC H) to include Temecula, Murrieta, Wildomar, Lake Elsinore, Temescal Valley, Wine Country, and French Valley into one District for consideration by the ARC;
  5. Request that any draft maps from the public or EOTC be submitted to the EOTC by 5pm on November 1<sup>st</sup>;
  6. Direct the EOTC to hire an external consultant to conduct an assessment of racially polarized voting in the county as an additional measure to assist in determining compliance with Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act; and
  7. Schedule a 5<sup>th</sup> public hearing for the November 16<sup>th</sup> Board of Supervisors meeting.

After the October 19<sup>th</sup> public hearing, the EOTC drafted additional maps, draft EOTC Maps H, I, and J. Additionally, slight boundary revisions were made to draft EOTC Maps F and G. The revisions did not substantially impact the population numbers for those draft maps.

On November 3<sup>rd</sup>, the EOTC presented EOTC maps E, F, G, H, I, and J, and Community Maps 1.0, 1.1, 1.2, 3.0, 3.1, 3.2, 4.0, 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 4.4, 4.5, 4.6, 5.0, 5.1, and 6.0 to the ARC for the purpose of providing recommendations to the Board of Supervisors. After receipt of public comment and subsequent deliberation, the ARC voted to send EOTC Maps F, G, H, I, and Community Map 1.2 submitted by the IE Redistricting Hub, to the Board of Supervisors for further discussion and consideration at the November 9<sup>th</sup> Public Hearing.

On November 9<sup>th</sup>, the Board of Supervisors held a public hearing to receive public testimony on the remaining maps. During the public hearing, the Brown and Black Alliance presented a revised version of a previously submitted map which the Board agreed to reconsider as Community Map 6.1. At the conclusion of the public hearing, the Board of Supervisors directed the EOTC to do the following:

1. Make revisions to existing maps that would attempt to keep the San Geronio Pass together, keep Moreno Valley and Perris together, keep Woodcrest and Good Hope together, do clean-up work for the Temescal Valley area, and to continue work adjusting the lines on existing maps;
2. Conduct a Racially Polarized Voting analysis on EOTC Fv5, Gv2, Hv7.1, Iv2, Community Maps 1.3 and 6.1, and conduct the analysis on any revisions to existing maps described by the Board of Supervisors;
3. Update the Board at the November 16<sup>th</sup> public hearing on the analysis; and
4. Schedule an additional public hearing on December 7<sup>th</sup>.


**Impact on Residents and Businesses**

The County's redistricting efforts will have a direct impact on the residents and businesses of Riverside County as the results will maintain a proportionate number of voters between supervisorial districts and will determine representation at the County level.

**SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE,  
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

**ATTACHMENTS (if any, in this order):**

- A. Public Testimony Package as of 11/11/21 – Email
- B. Public Testimony Package as of 11/11/21 – Survey
- C. Redistricting Draft Maps – EOTC F, G, H, and I / Community Maps 1.3 and 6.1
- D. Draft Map Analysis Comparison

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Gregory V. Priamos, Director County Counsel      11/12/2021

JOHN E. TAVAGLIONE  
EXECUTIVE ANNEX

# Riverside County REDISTRICITING PUBLIC HEARING

**Tuesday, November 16, 2021**

Presented by: Executive Office Technical Committee






## AGENDA



- **Today's Actions**
  - **Recap of 11/9 Public Hearing**
  - **Schedule**
  - **Redistricting Criterion Order of Priority**
  - **Maps Presentation (EOTC Fv5, Gv2, Hv7.1, Iv2, and Community Maps 1.3 and 6.1)**
  - **Board Discussion and Provide Direction**
- 




## TODAY'S ACTIONS

1. Receive and file the attached public testimony package containing all public comments received through November 11, 2021;
  2. Open the public hearing and receive public testimony on draft maps labeled EOTC Fv5, Gv2, Hv7.1, Iv2, and Community Maps 1.3 and 6.1; and
  3. After receipt of public testimony, close the public hearing, provide direction on the presented draft maps, and select no more than three maps for further consideration.
- 






## BOS PUBLIC HEARING RECAP

- On November 9<sup>th</sup>, the EOTC presented EOTC maps E, F, G, H, I, and Community Map 1.2 to the Board.
  - During the public hearing, the Brown and Black Alliance presented a revised version of a previously submitted map, which the Board agreed to reconsider as Community Map 6.1.
  - In addition, the IE Redistricting Hub provided an updated version of their draft map and is labeled as Community Map 1.3.
- 



## BOS PUBLIC HEARING RECAP (CONT.)

At the conclusion of the public hearing, the Board of Supervisors directed the EOTC to do the following:


1. Make revisions to existing maps that would attempt to keep the San Geronio Pass together, keep Moreno Valley and Perris together, keep Woodcrest and Good Hope together, do clean-up work for the Temescal Valley area, and to continue work adjusting the lines on existing maps;
  2. Conduct a Racially Polarized Voting analysis on the EOTC and Community Maps as recently revised;
  3. Update the Board at the November 16<sup>th</sup> public hearing on the analysis; and
  4. Schedule an additional public hearing on December 7<sup>th</sup>.
- 

# SCHEDULE

ARC Meeting	County BOS
August 18, 2021 (Public Hearing)	
September 22, 2021	September 28, 2021 (Public Hearing)
October 6, 2021	October 19, 2021 (Special Mtg – Public Hearing)
November 3, 2021	November 9, 2021 (Public Hearing)
	November 16, 2021 (Public Hearing)
December 1, 2021	December 7, 2021 (Public Hearing)
	Target Adoption Date: December 14, 2021




# ORDER OF PRIORITY

1. Population equality of residents of the county as determined by the census.
  2. Compliance with U.S. Constitution, California Constitution and the Federal Voting Rights Act.
  3. To the extent practicable, Districts shall be geographically contiguous.
  4. To the extent practicable, geographic integrity of any local neighborhood or local community of interest shall be respected in a manner that minimizes its division. Importantly, “communities of interest” do not include the relationships with political parties, incumbents, or political candidates.
- 



## ORDER OF PRIORITY (CONT.)

5. To the extent practicable, Districts of counties shall respect the geographic integrity of a city or census designated place.
  6. District boundaries should be easily identifiable and understandable by residents. Natural and artificial barriers, streets or boundaries of cities or counties shall bound districts.
  7. To the extent practicable, and where it does not conflict with the preceding criteria in this subdivision, supervisorial districts shall be drawn to encourage geographical compactness in a manner that nearby areas of population are not bypassed in favor of more distant populations.
- 

# RACIALLY POLARIZED VOTING (RPV)

MAP	POTENTIAL LATINO OPPORTUNITY-TO-ELECT DISTRICTS
Map F	Districts 1 and 4
Map G	Districts 1 and 4
Map H	Districts 1 and 4
Map I	Districts 1 and 4
Map 1.3	Districts 5, 2 and 4
Map 6.1	Districts 1, 2 and 4

Criterion	Analysis	Draft Map					Community Map 6.1 (Brown Black Alliance v2)
		EOTC FV5	LOTG GV2	EOTC HV7	EOTC IV2	Community Map 1.3 (IE Redistricting Hub v4)	
1. Population Equality	Total Population	2,417,438 487,008 487,527 488,865 465,027 488,011	2,417,438 485,719 487,569 488,075 473,394 488,181	2,417,438 488,874 489,386 488,524 464,828 486,910	2,417,438 489,540 486,059 485,054 482,220 483,953	2,417,438 483,112 483,099 485,054 482,220 483,953	2,417,398 490,996 483,127 487,163 468,738 487,374
	Population Spread (%Variance from Largest & Smallest Pop)	4.93%	3.06%	5.08%	3.27%	0.59%	4.60%
2. U.S. Constitution and Voting Rights Act	A. Districts with > 50% Hispanic Citizens of Voting Age (District and Percentage)	District 1 (50.34%)	District 1 (50.001%)	District 1 (50.63%)	District 1 (50.46%)	District 2 (51.22%), District 5 (51.32%)	None
	B1. Geographic Compactness - Maintains Traditional Boundaries	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No
3. Contiguity	B2. Geographic Compactness - Maintains Communities of Interest	See Public Comments	See Public Comments	See Public Comments	See Public Comments	See Public Comments	See Public Comments
	C. Political Cohesiveness - RPV Analysis	Data being Compiled	Data being Compiled	Data being Compiled	Data being Compiled	Data being Compiled	Data being Compiled
4. Geographic Integrity of Communities of Interest	D. Majority Bloc Voting Defeat Minority	Data being Compiled	Data being Compiled	Data being Compiled	Data being Compiled	Data being Compiled	Data being Compiled
	All District Boundaries Contiguous?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
5. Geographic Integrity of Cities and Census Designated Places	Easily Identifiable Communities of Interest Impacted/Split	• Lake Elsinore-Wildomar • Hemet-East Hemet • Perris-Moreno Valley • Woodcrest-Riverside (4 Total)	• Anza-Sage-Aguanga • Hemet-East Hemet • Jurupa Valley-Riverside • Lake Elsinore-Wildomar • Winchester-Homeland • Woodcrest-Riverside (6 Total)	None	• Anza-Sage-Aguanga • Hemet-East Hemet • Lake Elsinore-Wildomar • Perris-Moreno Valley • Woodcrest-Riverside (5 Total)	• Anza-Sage-Aguanga • Corona-Home Gardens • Hemet-San Jacinto • Lake Elsinore-Lakeland Village • Lake Elsinore-Wildomar (5 Total)	
	Number & Name of Cities Impacted/Split	Jurupa Valley (1 Total)	Jurupa Valley, Moreno Valley, Riverside (3 Total)	Menifee, Moreno Valley, Riverside (3 Total)	Jurupa Valley (1 Total)	Menifee, Murrieta, Riverside (3 Total)	
6. Easily Identifiable & Understandable Boundaries	Number & Name of Unincorporated Communities Impacted/Split	Valle Vista (1 Total)	Meed Valley (1 Total)	None	None	Green Acres (1 Total)	
	Number & Name of Tribal Reservations Impacted/Split	None	None	None	None	Morongo Reservation (1 Total)	
7. Geographic Compactness	Are All Boundaries in Map Identifiable or Understandable? (Include Non-Identifiable Areas)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No - Riverside Split @ Victoria Club GC, Riverside Split @ Chicago-Oro Blanco, Calle de Campanero (La Cresta), Menifee Split @ Menifee Lakes CC	
	Do Map Boundaries Feature Areas that Bypass Nearby Areas of Population in Favor of More Distant Populations?	No	No	No	No	Yes - Alessandro @ Arlington, DS Inclusion of El Sobrante, East of Alessandro Heights, East of Lake Hemet, Murrieta Split West of I-15	




MAPS FOR CONSIDERATION:

EOTC DRAFT MAPS Fv5, Gv2, Hv7.1, Iv2, AND  
COMMUNITY MAPS 1.3 AND 6.1





# EOTC Fv5 Redistricting Boundaries With CA Adjusted Census Population Data




District	Population 2020	Target Population	Variance from 2020 to Reach Target	
			Target	Change Need
1	487,008	483,488	0.7%	-3,520
2	488,527	483,488	1.0%	-5,039
3	488,865	483,488	1.1%	-5,377
4	465,027	483,488	-3.8%	18,461
5	488,011	483,488	0.9%	-4,523

- Cities
- Census Designated Places
- Census Tracts
- EOTC Fv5 Redistricting Boundary


Criterion	Analysis	Draft Map EOTC FVS
<b>1. Population Equality</b>	<p>Total Population</p> <p>District 1 Population District 2 Population District 3 Population District 4 Population District 5 Population</p> <p>Population Spread (%Variance btwn Largest &amp; Smallest Pop)</p> <p>A. Districts with &gt; 50% Hispanic Citizens of Voting Age (District and Percentage)</p> <p>B1. Geographic Compactness - Maintains Traditional Boundaries</p> <p>B2. Geographic Compactness - Maintains Communities of Interest</p> <p>1) Does Majority Minority District(s) compact minority population? 2) Do Members of the Majority Minority District(s) Have Similar Needs and Goals?</p> <p>C. Political Cohesiveness – RPV Analysis</p> <p>D. Majority Bloc Voting Defeat Minority</p> <p>All District Boundaries Contiguous?</p> <p>Easily Identifiable Communities of Interest Impacted/Split</p> <p>Number &amp; Name of Cities Impacted/Split</p> <p>Number &amp; Name of Unincorporated Communities Impacted/Split</p> <p>Number &amp; Name of Tribal Reservations Impacted/Split</p> <p>Are All Boundaries in Map Identifiable or Understandable? (Include Non-Identifiable Areas)</p>	<p>2,417,438</p> <p>487,008</p> <p>488,527</p> <p>488,865</p> <p>465,027</p> <p>488,011</p> <p>4.93%</p> <p>District 1 (50.34%)</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>See Public Comments</p> <p>Data being Compiled</p> <p>Data being Compiled</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Lake Elsinore-Wildomar Hemet-East Hemet Perris-Moreno Valley Woodcrest-Riverside (4 Total)</p> <p>Jurupa Valley (1 Total)</p> <p>Valle Vista (1 Total)</p> <p>None</p> <p>Yes</p>
<b>2. U.S. Constitution and Voting Rights Act</b>		
<b>3. Contiguity</b>		
<b>4. Geographic Integrity of Communities of Interest</b>		
<b>5. Geographic Integrity of Cities and Census Designated Places</b>		
<b>6. Easily Identifiable &amp; Understandable Boundaries</b>		
<b>7. Geographic Compactness</b>	Do Map Boundaries Feature Areas that Bypass Nearby Areas of Population in Favor of More Distant Populations?	No

# EOTC G v2 Redistricting Boundaries With CA Adjusted Census Population Data



District	Population 2020	Target Population	Variance from 2020 Target	Change Need to Reach Target
1	483,219	483,488	0.4%	-1,731
2	487,569	483,488	0.8%	-4,081
3	483,075	483,488	-0.1%	413
4	473,394	483,488	-2.1%	10,094
5	488,181	483,488	1.0%	-4,693

- Cities
- Census Designated Places
- Census Tracts
- EOTC G v2 Redistricting Boundary






Criterion	Analysis	Draft Map EOTC GV2
<b>1. Population Equality</b>	<p><b>Total Population</b></p> <p>District 1 Population 485,219 District 2 Population 487,569 District 3 Population 483,075 District 4 Population 473,394 District 5 Population 488,181</p> <p><b>Population Spread</b> (%Variance btwn Largest &amp; Smallest Pop)</p> <p>3.06%</p>	<p>2,417,438</p> <p>District 1 (50.001%)</p>
<b>2. U.S. Constitution and Voting Rights Act</b>	<p><b>A. Districts with &gt; 50% Hispanic Citizens of Voting Age (District and Percentage)</b></p> <p><b>B1. Geographic Compactness - Maintains Traditional Boundaries</b></p> <p><b>B2. Geographic Compactness - Maintains Communities of Interest</b></p> <p>1) Does Majority Minority District(s) compact minority population? 2) Do Members of the Majority Minority District(s) Have Similar Needs and Goals?</p> <p><b>C. Political Cohesiveness - RPV Analysis</b></p> <p><b>D. Majority Bloc Voting Defeat Minority</b></p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>See Public Comments</p> <p>Data being Compiled</p> <p>Data being Compiled</p>
<b>3. Contiguity</b>	All District Boundaries Contiguous?	Yes
<b>4. Geographic Integrity of Communities of Interest</b>	Easily Identifiable Communities of Interest Impacted/Split	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>· Anza-Sage-Aguanga</li> <li>· Hemet-East Hemet</li> <li>· Jurupa Valley-Riverside</li> <li>· Lake Elsinore-Wildomar</li> <li>· Winchester-Homeland</li> <li>· Woodcrest-Riverside</li> </ul> <p><b>(6 Total)</b></p>
<b>5. Geographic Integrity of Cities and Census Designated Places</b>	<p>Number &amp; Name of Cities Impacted/Split</p> <p>Number &amp; Name of Unincorporated Communities Impacted/Split</p> <p>Number &amp; Name of Tribal Reservations Impacted/Split</p>	<p>Jurupa Valley, Moreno Valley, Riverside <b>(3 Total)</b></p> <p>Mead Valley <b>(1 Total)</b></p> <p>None</p>
<b>6. Easily Identifiable &amp; Understandable Boundaries</b>	Are All Boundaries in Map Identifiable or Understandable? (Include Non-Identifiable Areas)	Yes
<b>7. Geographic Compactness</b>	Do Map Boundaries Feature Areas that Bypass Nearby Areas of Population in Favor of More Distant Populations?	No




# EOTC I v2 Redistricting Boundaries With CA Adjusted Census Population Data




District	Population 2020	Variance from 2020		Change Need to Reach Target
		Target	Population	
1	485,540	483,488	1.3%	-6,052
2	416,059	483,488	0.5%	-2,571
3	486,526	483,488	1.0%	-5,036
4	473,718	483,488	-2.0%	5,770
5	479,597	483,488	-0.8%	3,891

- Cities
- Census Designated Places
- Census Tracts
- EOTC I v2 Redistricting Boundary



# Community Draft Map 1.3 Redistricting Boundaries With CA Adjusted Census Population Data



LOS ANGELES COUNTY

ORANGE COUNTY


IMPERIAL COUNTY

LA PRZ COUNTY, AZ

- Cities
- Census Designated Places
- Census Tracts
- Community Draft Map 1.3 Redistricting Boundary

Criterion	Analysis	Draft Map Community Map 1.3 (IE Redistricting Hub v4)
<b>1. Population Equality</b>	<p><b>Total Population</b></p> <p>District 1 Population District 2 Population District 3 Population District 4 Population District 5 Population</p> <p><b>Population Spread</b> (%Variance bwn Largest &amp; Smallest Pop)</p> <p>A. Districts with &gt; 50% Hispanic Citizens of Voting Age (District and Percentage)</p> <p>B1. Geographic Compactness - Maintains Traditional Boundaries</p> <p>B2. Geographic Compactness - Maintains Communities of Interest 1) Does Majority Minority District(s) compact minority population? 2) Do Members of the Majority Minority District(s) Have Similar Needs and Goals?</p> <p>C. Political Cohesiveness – RPV Analysis</p> <p>D. Majority Bloc Voting Defeat Minority</p> <p>All District Boundaries Contiguous?</p>	<p><b>2,417,438</b></p> <p>483,112 483,099 485,054 482,220 483,953</p> <p>0.59%</p> <p>District 2 (51.22%), District 5 (51.32%)</p> <p>No</p> <p>See Public Comments</p> <p>Data being Compiled</p> <p>Data being Compiled</p> <p>Yes</p>
<b>2. U.S. Constitution and Voting Rights Act</b>	<p>Easily identifiable Communities of Interest Impacted/Split</p> <p>Number &amp; Name of Cities Impacted/Split</p> <p>Number &amp; Name of Unincorporated Communities Impacted/Split</p> <p>Number &amp; Name of Tribal Reservations Impacted/Split</p> <p>Are All Boundaries in Map Identifiable or Understandable? (Include Non-identifiable Areas)</p> <p>Do Map Boundaries Feature Areas that Bypass Nearby Areas of Population in Favor of More Distant Populations?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Anza-Sage-Aguanga</li> <li>• San Geronimo Pass</li> <li>• Winchester-Homeland <b>(3 Total)</b></li> </ul> <p>Banning, Corona, Eastvale, Hemet, Menifee (3 Districts), Riverside <b>(7 Total)</b></p> <p>Green Acres <b>(1 Total)</b></p> <p>Morongo Reservation <b>(1 Total)</b></p> <p>No - Riverside Split @ Victoria Club GC, Riverside Split @ Chicago-Oro Blanco, Calle de Campanero (La Cresta), Menifee Split @ Menifee Lakes CC</p> <p>Yes - Corona Split @ Coronita-El Cerrito-Home Gardens</p>
<b>3. Contiguity</b>		
<b>4. Geographic Integrity of Communities of Interest</b>		
<b>5. Geographic Integrity of Cities and Census Designated Places</b>		
<b>6. Easily Identifiable &amp; Understandable Boundaries</b>		
<b>7. Geographic Compactness</b>		

# Brown Black Alliance Community Draft Map 6.1 Redistricting Boundaries With CA Adjusted Census Population Data



LOS ANGELES COUNTY

ORANGE COUNTY

District 4


District 4

District 3

District 1

District 2

District 5




- City
- Census Designated Place
- Census Tract
- Brown Black Alliance Community Draft Redistricting Boundary



Criterion	Analysis	Draft Map Community Map 6.1 (Brown Black Alliance v2)
1. Population Equality	<p><b>Total Population</b></p> <p>District 1 Population District 2 Population District 3 Population District 4 Population District 5 Population</p> <p><b>Population Spread</b> (%Variance bwn Largest &amp; Smallest Pop)</p> <p>A. Districts with &gt; 50% Hispanic Citizens of Voting Age (District and Percentage)</p> <p>B1. Geographic Compactness - Maintains Traditional Boundaries</p> <p>B2. Geographic Compactness - Maintains Communities of Interest 1) Does Majority Minority District(s) compact minority population? 2) Do Members of the Majority Minority District(s) Have Similar Needs and Goals?</p> <p>C. Political Cohesiveness – RPV Analysis</p> <p>D. Majority Bloc Voting Defeat Minority</p>	<p><b>2,417,398</b></p> <p>490,996 483,127 487,163 468,738 487,374</p> <p>4.60%</p> <p>None</p> <p>No</p> <p>See Public Comments</p> <p>Data being Compiled</p> <p>Data being Compiled</p>
3. Contiguity	<p>All District Boundaries Contiguous?</p>	<p>No</p>
4. Geographic Integrity of Communities of Interest	<p>Easily Identifiable Communities of Interest Impacted/Split</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>· Anza-Sage-Aguanga</li> <li>· Corona-Home Gardens</li> <li>· Hemet-San Jacinto</li> <li>· Lake Elsinore-Lakeland Village</li> <li>· Lake Elsinore-Wildomar (\$ Total)</li> </ul>
5. Geographic Integrity of Cities and Census Designated Places	<p>Number &amp; Name of Cities Impacted/Split</p> <p>Number &amp; Name of Unincorporated Communities Impacted/Split</p> <p>Number &amp; Name of Tribal Reservations Impacted/Split</p>	<p>Menifee, Murrieta, Riverside (3 Total)</p> <p>None</p> <p>None</p>
6. Easily Identifiable & Understandable Boundaries	<p>Are All Boundaries in Map Identifiable or Understandable? (Include Non-Identifiable Areas)</p>	<p>No - East of Alessandro Heights, Murrieta Split @ 15-215 Interchange, North of San Jacinto @ Soboba Rd, East of Lake Hemet, Split East of Idyllwild</p>
7. Geographic Compactness	<p>Do Map Boundaries Feature Areas that Bypass Nearby Areas of Population in Favor of More Distant Populations?</p>	<p>Yes - Alessandro @ Arlington, DS Inclusion of El Sobrante, East of Alessandro Heights, East of Lake Hemet, Murrieta Split West of I-15</p>



## EOTC RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Receive and file the attached public testimony package containing all public comments received through November 11, 2021;
  2. Open the public hearing and receive public testimony on draft maps labeled EOTC Fv5, Gv2, Hv7.1, Iv2, and Community Maps 1.3 and 6.1; and
  3. After receipt of public testimony, close the public hearing, provide direction on the presented draft maps, and select no more than three maps for further consideration.
- 



MAPS AND DATA SETS:


EOTC DRAFT MAPS Fv4, Fv5, Gv2, Hv7.1, Iv2, AND  
COMMUNITY MAPS 1.3 AND 6.1



# EOTC DRAFT MAP Fv4





# EOTC F v4 Redistricting Boundaries With CA Adjusted Census Population Data



- Cities
- Census Designated Places
- Census Tracts
- EOTC F v4 Redistricting Boundary

District	Population 2020	Target Population	Variance from 2020	Change Need to Reach Target
1	488,860	483,488	1.1%	-5,372
2	486,968	483,488	0.7%	-3,480
3	488,560	483,488	1.0%	-5,072
4	484,368	483,488	-3.8%	-8,880
5	489,132	483,488	1.0%	-5,644





EOTC F v4 Redistricting Boundaries  
with 2020 US Census Data

Riverside County  
Supervisory District Summary

California Adjusted 2020 US Census Public Law File 94-171

**Table 1. California Adjusted 2020 Census Total Population by Race/Ethnicity**

District	Total Population	Hispanic	White	Black	American Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Other Race	Two or more minority race
<b>1</b>	488,880 <b>100%</b>	308,437 <b>63.1%</b>	107,638 <b>22.0%</b>	28,626 <b>5.9%</b>	1,521 <b>0.3%</b>	25,677 <b>5.3%</b>	1,350 <b>0.3%</b>	2,594 <b>0.5%</b>	13,037 <b>2.7%</b>
<b>2</b>	486,868 <b>100%</b>	215,816 <b>44.3%</b>	162,832 <b>33.4%</b>	26,658 <b>5.5%</b>	1,532 <b>0.3%</b>	57,326 <b>11.8%</b>	1,415 <b>0.3%</b>	2,653 <b>0.5%</b>	18,636 <b>3.8%</b>
<b>3</b>	488,560 <b>100%</b>	166,642 <b>34.1%</b>	220,834 <b>45.2%</b>	26,922 <b>5.5%</b>	3,317 <b>0.7%</b>	40,458 <b>8.3%</b>	1,856 <b>0.4%</b>	2,573 <b>0.5%</b>	25,958 <b>5.3%</b>
<b>4</b>	464,948 <b>100%</b>	249,647 <b>53.7%</b>	174,296 <b>37.5%</b>	11,628 <b>2.5%</b>	2,017 <b>0.4%</b>	13,913 <b>3.0%</b>	429 <b>0.1%</b>	2,126 <b>0.5%</b>	10,892 <b>2.3%</b>
<b>5</b>	488,182 <b>100%</b>	260,787 <b>53.4%</b>	123,129 <b>25.2%</b>	52,694 <b>10.8%</b>	3,572 <b>0.7%</b>	27,444 <b>5.6%</b>	1,724 <b>0.4%</b>	2,628 <b>0.5%</b>	16,204 <b>3.3%</b>
<b>COUNTY TOTAL</b>	2,417,438 <b>100%</b>	1,201,329 <b>49.7%</b>	788,729 <b>32.6%</b>	146,528 <b>6.1%</b>	11,959 <b>0.5%</b>	164,818 <b>6.8%</b>	6,774 <b>0.3%</b>	12,574 <b>0.5%</b>	84,727 <b>3.5%</b>



**Table 2. California Adjusted 2020 Census Total Population by Race/Ethnicity Over the Age of 18**

District	Total Population (Over 18)	Hispanic (Over 18)	White (Over 18)	Black (Over 18)	Alaskan Native (Over 18)	Asian (Over 18)	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander (Over 18)			Two or more minority race (Over 18)
							Indian/American	Other Pacific Islander	Other	
<b>1</b>	363,666 100%	215,303 59.2%	91,332 25.1%	22,605 6.2%	1,219 0.3%	21,519 5.9%	1,023 0.3%	1,764 0.5%	8,901 2.4%	
<b>2</b>	364,045 100%	149,287 41.0%	132,397 36.4%	20,907 5.7%	1,225 0.3%	45,283 12.4%	1,083 0.3%	1,901 0.5%	11,962 3.3%	
<b>3</b>	363,430 100%	112,090 30.8%	177,071 48.7%	20,660 5.7%	2,455 0.7%	32,185 8.9%	1,454 0.4%	1,756 0.5%	15,759 4.3%	
<b>4</b>	366,892 100%	173,466 47.3%	161,018 43.9%	9,253 2.5%	1,585 0.4%	11,861 3.2%	315 0.1%	1,488 0.4%	7,906 2.2%	
<b>5</b>	364,728 100%	179,559 49.2%	105,462 28.9%	39,742 10.9%	2,634 0.7%	23,227 6.4%	1,298 0.4%	1,853 0.5%	10,953 3.0%	
<b>COUNTY TOTAL</b>	1,822,761 100%	829,705 45.5%	667,280 36.6%	113,167 6.2%	9,118 0.5%	134,075 7.4%	5,173 0.3%	8,762 0.5%	55,481 3.0%	

**CA Adjusted 2020 Census Difference from 2021 Target Supervisorial District Population of 483,488**

District	Number	Percent
<b>1</b>	-5,392	-1.12%
<b>2</b>	-3,380	-0.70%
<b>3</b>	-5,072	-1.05%
<b>4</b>	18,540	3.83%
<b>5</b>	-4,694	-0.97%

Percent Spread (Largest - Smallest)

**4.95%**

**California Adjusted Citizen Voting Age Population 2020 US Special Tabulation From the 2015-2019 5-Year American Community Survey (ACS)**  
**Table 3. Citizen Population - (CVAP) 2015-2019 5-year American Community Survey (ACS)**

District	Total	Hispanic or Latino		Not Hispanic or Latino: Asian Alone		Not Hispanic or Latino: Not Hispanic or Latino: Indian or Alaska Native		Not Hispanic or Latino: Black or African American Alone		Not Hispanic or Latino: Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander Alone		Not Hispanic or Latino: White		Not Hispanic or Latino: Not Hispanic or Latino: Black or African American and White		Not Hispanic or Latino: Not Hispanic or Latino: Indian or Alaska Native and Black or African American		Not Hispanic or Latino: Not Hispanic or Latino: Two or More Race		Not Hispanic or Latino: Responses			
		%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count
1	437,502	181,927	41.6%	21,334	4.9%	29,732	6.8%	738	0.2%	1,281	0.3%	3,377	0.8%	2,160	0.5%	131	0.0%	1,638	0.4%	1,638	0.4%	255,668	58.4%
2	440,922	256,739	58.2%	40,884	9.3%	25,519	5.8%	914	0.2%	1,963	0.4%	4,655	1.1%	2,708	0.6%	193	0.0%	2,708	0.6%	2,708	0.6%	184,235	41.8%
3	456,048	307,436	67.4%	28,960	6.4%	24,471	5.4%	2,971	0.7%	2,642	0.6%	7,076	1.6%	3,680	0.8%	706	0.2%	4,343	1.0%	4,343	1.0%	148,824	32.6%
4	399,121	214,401	53.7%	10,763	2.7%	13,763	3.4%	293	0.1%	1,313	0.3%	1,749	0.4%	1,455	0.4%	87	0.0%	1,008	0.3%	1,008	0.3%	184,522	46.2%
5	422,707	215,284	50.9%	20,965	5.0%	52,474	12.4%	845	0.2%	1,454	0.3%	2,302	0.5%	2,886	0.7%	151	0.0%	1,646	0.4%	1,646	0.4%	207,307	49.0%
<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>2,156,300</b>	<b>1,175,787</b>	<b>54.5%</b>	<b>122,906</b>	<b>5.7%</b>	<b>145,959</b>	<b>6.8%</b>	<b>5,761</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>8,653</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>19,159</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>12,889</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>1,268</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>11,343</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>11,343</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>980,556</b>	<b>45.5%</b>
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>54.5%</b>	<b>5.7%</b>	<b>6.8%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>45.5%</b>		




**Table 4. Citizen Voting Age Population - (CVAP) 2015-2019 5-year American Community Survey (ACS)**

District	CVAP Total	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino:			CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino:			CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino:			CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino:		
		Hispanic or Latino	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: American Indian or Alaska Native Alone	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: Asian Alone	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: Black or African American Alone	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander Alone	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: White Alone	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: American Indian or Alaska Native and White	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: Black or African American and White	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: Asian and White	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: Black or African American and White	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: American Indian or Alaska Native and Remainder of Two or More Race	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: Hispanic or Latino
<b>1</b>	308,096	149,045	944	17,213	23,477	680	101,690	1,073	1,809	945	99	1,046	159,042
	<b>100%</b>	<b>48.4%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>5.6%</b>	<b>7.6%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>33.0%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>51.6%</b>
<b>2</b>	313,856	199,634	890	31,296	19,956	809	139,812	1,567	2,229	1,140	192	1,529	114,314
	<b>100%</b>	<b>63.6%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>10.0%</b>	<b>6.4%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>44.5%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>36.4%</b>
<b>3</b>	322,997	233,660	2,000	22,109	18,983	2,080	178,946	1,622	2,900	1,699	454	2,290	89,401
	<b>100%</b>	<b>72.3%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>6.8%</b>	<b>5.9%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>55.4%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>27.7%</b>
<b>4</b>	310,596	190,312	1,138	8,638	10,653	198	165,688	1,171	722	689	72	779	120,232
	<b>100%</b>	<b>61.3%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>2.8%</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>53.3%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>38.7%</b>
<b>5</b>	301,153	171,831	2,778	17,146	38,690	634	106,601	1,173	1,399	1,694	147	803	129,254
	<b>100%</b>	<b>57.1%</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>5.7%</b>	<b>12.8%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>35.4%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>42.9%</b>
<b>COUNTY TOTAL</b>	1,556,698	944,482	7,750	96,402	111,759	4,401	692,737	6,606	9,059	6,167	964	6,447	612,243
	<b>100%</b>	<b>60.7%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>6.2%</b>	<b>7.2%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>44.5%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>39.3%</b>

# EOTC DRAFT MAP Fv5



# EOTC Fv5 Redistricting Boundaries With CA Adjusted Census Population Data



LOS ANGELES COUNTY

ORANGE COUNTY

IMPERIAL COUNTY

SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY

LA PAZ COUNTY, AZ

SAM DIEGO COUNTY

Legend:  
 - Cities  
 - Census Designated Places  
 - Census Tracts  
 - EOTC Fv5 Redistricting Boundary

California Adjusted 2020 US Census Public Law File 94-171  
Table 1. California Adjusted 2020 Census Total Population by Race/Ethnicity

District	Total Population	Hispanic	White	Black	American Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Other Race	Two or more minority race
<b>1</b>	487,008 <b>100%</b>	299,379 <b>61.5%</b>	109,769 <b>22.5%</b>	30,750 <b>6.3%</b>	1,653 <b>0.3%</b>	27,880 <b>5.7%</b>	1,348 <b>0.3%</b>	2,622 <b>0.5%</b>	13,607 <b>2.8%</b>
<b>2</b>	488,527 <b>100%</b>	228,192 <b>46.7%</b>	153,891 <b>31.5%</b>	26,132 <b>5.3%</b>	1,417 <b>0.3%</b>	57,517 <b>11.8%</b>	1,317 <b>0.3%</b>	2,638 <b>0.5%</b>	17,423 <b>3.6%</b>
<b>3</b>	488,865 <b>100%</b>	163,649 <b>33.5%</b>	224,341 <b>45.9%</b>	25,094 <b>5.1%</b>	3,242 <b>0.7%</b>	41,608 <b>8.5%</b>	1,876 <b>0.4%</b>	2,638 <b>0.5%</b>	26,417 <b>5.4%</b>
<b>4</b>	465,027 <b>100%</b>	249,662 <b>53.7%</b>	174,359 <b>37.5%</b>	11,628 <b>2.5%</b>	2,022 <b>0.4%</b>	13,913 <b>3.0%</b>	429 <b>0.1%</b>	2,126 <b>0.5%</b>	10,888 <b>2.3%</b>
<b>5</b>	488,011 <b>100%</b>	260,447 <b>53.4%</b>	126,369 <b>25.9%</b>	52,924 <b>10.8%</b>	3,625 <b>0.7%</b>	23,900 <b>4.9%</b>	1,804 <b>0.4%</b>	2,550 <b>0.5%</b>	16,392 <b>3.4%</b>
<b>COUNTY TOTAL</b>	2,417,438 <b>100%</b>	1,201,329 <b>49.7%</b>	788,729 <b>32.6%</b>	146,528 <b>6.1%</b>	11,959 <b>0.5%</b>	164,818 <b>6.8%</b>	6,774 <b>0.3%</b>	12,574 <b>0.5%</b>	84,727 <b>3.5%</b>

**Table 2. California Adjusted 2020 Census Total Population by Race/Ethnicity Over the Age of 18**

District	Total Population (Over 18)	American					Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander			Other Race (Over 18)	Two or more minority race (Over 18)
		Hispanic (Over 18)	White (Over 18)	Black (Over 18)	Alaskan Native (Over 18)	Asian (Over 18)	Islander (Over 18)	Other (Over 18)			
1	364,557 100%	209,189 57.4%	93,648 25.7%	24,353 6.7%	1,347 0.4%	23,780 6.5%	1,009 0.3%	1,789 0.5%	9,442 2.6%		
2	364,659 100%	158,412 43.4%	125,282 34.4%	20,488 5.6%	1,130 0.3%	45,318 12.4%	1,037 0.3%	1,874 0.5%	11,118 3.0%		
3	364,864 100%	110,527 30.3%	179,858 49.3%	19,512 5.3%	2,434 0.7%	33,146 9.1%	1,479 0.4%	1,813 0.5%	16,095 4.4%		
4	366,963 100%	173,482 47.3%	161,072 43.9%	9,253 2.5%	1,590 0.4%	11,861 3.2%	315 0.1%	1,488 0.4%	7,902 2.2%		
5	361,718 100%	178,095 49.2%	107,420 29.7%	39,561 10.9%	2,617 0.7%	19,970 5.5%	1,333 0.4%	1,798 0.5%	10,924 3.0%		
<b>COUNTY TOTAL</b>	1,822,761 100%	829,705 45.5%	667,280 36.6%	113,167 6.2%	9,118 0.5%	134,075 7.4%	5,173 0.3%	8,762 0.5%	55,481 3.0%		

**CA Adjusted 2020 Census Difference from 2021 Target Supervisorial District Population of 483,488**

District	Number	Percent
1	-3,520	-0.73%
2	-5,039	-1.04%
3	-5,377	-1.11%
4	18,461	3.82%
5	-4,523	-0.94%

Percent Spread (Largest - Smallest)

**4.93%**

**California Adjusted Citizen Voting Age Population 2020 US Special Tabulation From the 2015-2019 5-Year American Community Survey (ACS)**  
**Table 3. Citizen Population - (CVAP) 2015-2019 5-year American Community Survey (ACS)**

District	Total	Not Hispanic or Latino:		Not Hispanic or Latino:		Not Hispanic or Latino:		Not Hispanic or Latino:		Not Hispanic or Latino:		Not Hispanic or Latino:		Not Hispanic or Latino:	
		Hispanic or Latino	Native Alone	Asian Alone	Not Hispanic or Latino: American	Black or African American Alone	Not Hispanic or Latino: American	Black or African American Alone	Not Hispanic or Latino: American	Black or African American Alone	Not Hispanic or Latino: American	Black or African American Alone	Not Hispanic or Latino: American	Black or African American Alone	Not Hispanic or Latino: American
<b>1</b>	435,884	187,145	1,265	23,623	31,229	836	120,940	1,188	3,531	2,292	131	1,768	248,700		
	<b>100%</b>	<b>42.9%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>5.4%</b>	<b>7.2%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>27.7%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>57.1%</b>		
<b>2</b>	439,933	246,440	1,011	40,724	24,470	851	167,195	1,941	4,483	2,507	172	2,659	193,705		
	<b>100%</b>	<b>56.0%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>9.3%</b>	<b>5.6%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>38.0%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>44.0%</b>		
<b>3</b>	457,054	310,630	2,470	29,925	23,568	2,854	232,758	2,674	7,300	3,688	704	4,336	146,377		
	<b>100%</b>	<b>68.0%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>6.5%</b>	<b>5.2%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>50.9%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>1.6%</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>32.0%</b>		
<b>4</b>	399,171	214,443	1,479	10,763	13,763	293	181,986	1,311	1,749	1,455	87	1,007	184,530		
	<b>100%</b>	<b>53.7%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>2.7%</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>45.6%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>46.2%</b>		
<b>5</b>	424,278	217,129	3,954	17,871	52,929	927	132,746	1,539	2,096	2,947	174	1,573	207,244		
	<b>100%</b>	<b>51.2%</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>4.2%</b>	<b>12.5%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>31.3%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>48.8%</b>		
<b>COUNTY</b>	2,156,300	1,175,787	10,179	122,906	145,959	5,761	835,625	8,653	19,159	12,889	1,268	11,343	980,556		
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>54.5%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>5.7%</b>	<b>6.8%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>38.8%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>45.5%</b>		

**Table 4. Citizen Voting Age Population - (CVAP) 2015-2019 5-year American Community Survey (ACS)**


District	CVAP Total	CVAP Hispanic or Latino	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: American Indian or Alaska Native Alone	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: American Indian or Alaska Native Alone	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: Asian Alone	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: Black or African American Alone	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander Alone	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: Native American or Alaska Native	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: White Alone	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: White and Latino: Native and American	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: White and Latino: Asian and White	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: White and Latino: Black or African American	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: American Indian or Alaska Native and Remainder of Two or More Race	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: Responses
<b>1</b>	309,936	153,893	1,069	19,544	24,867	783	102,178	952	2,008	1,040	99	1,146	156,018	
	<b>100%</b>	<b>49.7%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>8.0%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>33.0%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>50.3%</b>	
<b>2</b>	312,051	191,959	793	30,927	19,226	756	133,488	1,588	2,071	1,079	171	1,528	120,292	
	<b>100%</b>	<b>61.5%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>9.9%</b>	<b>6.2%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>42.8%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>38.5%</b>	
<b>3</b>	324,239	235,970	1,970	22,960	18,387	2,093	180,970	1,661	3,060	1,707	453	2,242	88,138	
	<b>100%</b>	<b>72.8%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>7.1%</b>	<b>5.7%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>55.8%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>27.2%</b>	
<b>4</b>	310,642	190,346	1,138	8,638	10,653	198	165,725	1,169	722	689	72	778	120,243	
	<b>100%</b>	<b>61.3%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>2.8%</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>53.3%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>38.7%</b>	
<b>5</b>	299,830	172,314	2,780	14,333	38,816	569	110,376	1,236	1,198	1,652	169	753	127,562	
	<b>100%</b>	<b>57.5%</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>4.8%</b>	<b>12.9%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>36.8%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>42.5%</b>	
<b>COUNTY TOTAL</b>	1,556,698	944,482	7,750	96,402	111,759	4,401	692,737	6,606	9,059	6,167	964	6,447	612,243	
	<b>100%</b>	<b>60.7%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>6.2%</b>	<b>7.2%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>44.5%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>39.3%</b>	

# EOTC DRAFT MAP Gv2






# EOTC G v2 Redistricting Boundaries With CA Adjusted Census Population Data



District	Population	Variance	
		from 2020	to Reach Target
1	483,219	483,488	-1,771
2	487,569	483,488	-4,081
3	483,075	483,488	-413
4	473,594	483,488	10,094
5	488,181	483,488	4,693

- Cities
- Census Designated Places
- Census Tracts
- EOTC G v2 Redistricting Boundary



**California Adjusted 2020 US Census Public Law File 94-171**

**Table 1. California Adjusted 2020 Census Total Population by Race/Ethnicity**

District	Total Population	Hispanic	White	Black	American Indian/Alaskan Native	Asian	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Other Race	Two or more minority race
<b>1</b>	485,219 <b>100%</b>	296,328 <b>61.1%</b>	94,989 <b>19.6%</b>	45,539 <b>9.4%</b>	1,379 <b>0.3%</b>	28,596 <b>5.9%</b>	1,788 <b>0.4%</b>	2,725 <b>0.6%</b>	13,875 <b>2.9%</b>
<b>2</b>	487,569 <b>100%</b>	228,574 <b>46.9%</b>	152,622 <b>31.3%</b>	26,709 <b>5.5%</b>	1,464 <b>0.3%</b>	56,842 <b>11.7%</b>	1,287 <b>0.3%</b>	2,568 <b>0.5%</b>	17,503 <b>3.6%</b>
<b>3</b>	483,075 <b>100%</b>	161,895 <b>33.5%</b>	221,226 <b>45.8%</b>	25,335 <b>5.2%</b>	2,951 <b>0.6%</b>	40,970 <b>8.5%</b>	1,874 <b>0.4%</b>	2,590 <b>0.5%</b>	26,234 <b>5.4%</b>
<b>4</b>	473,394 <b>100%</b>	251,648 <b>53.2%</b>	179,348 <b>37.9%</b>	11,708 <b>2.5%</b>	2,381 <b>0.5%</b>	14,443 <b>3.1%</b>	436 <b>0.1%</b>	2,176 <b>0.5%</b>	11,254 <b>2.4%</b>
<b>5</b>	488,181 <b>100%</b>	262,884 <b>53.8%</b>	140,544 <b>28.8%</b>	37,237 <b>7.6%</b>	3,784 <b>0.8%</b>	23,967 <b>4.9%</b>	1,389 <b>0.3%</b>	2,515 <b>0.5%</b>	15,861 <b>3.2%</b>
<b>COUNTY TOTAL</b>	2,417,438 <b>100%</b>	1,201,329 <b>49.7%</b>	788,729 <b>32.6%</b>	146,528 <b>6.1%</b>	11,959 <b>0.5%</b>	164,818 <b>6.8%</b>	6,774 <b>0.3%</b>	12,574 <b>0.5%</b>	84,727 <b>3.5%</b>

**Table 2. California Adjusted 2020 Census Total Population by Race/Ethnicity Over the Age of 18**

District	Total Population (Over 18)	American							Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander (Over 18)		Two or more minority race (Over 18)
		Hispanic (Over 18)	White (Over 18)	Black (Over 18)	Alaskan Native (Over 18)	Asian (Over 18)	Islander (Over 18)	Other (Over 18)			
<b>1</b>	357,128 100%	205,092 57.4%	80,035 22.4%	34,718 9.7%	1,092 0.3%	23,792 6.7%	1,303 0.4%	1,821 0.5%	9,275 2.6%		
<b>2</b>	363,400 100%	158,277 43.6%	124,164 34.2%	21,021 5.8%	1,153 0.3%	44,764 12.3%	1,015 0.3%	1,815 0.5%	11,191 3.1%		
<b>3</b>	359,727 100%	109,173 30.3%	176,926 49.2%	19,644 5.5%	2,189 0.6%	32,622 9.1%	1,473 0.4%	1,779 0.5%	15,921 4.4%		
<b>4</b>	373,962 100%	174,949 46.8%	165,490 44.3%	9,325 2.5%	1,869 0.5%	12,306 3.3%	319 0.1%	1,530 0.4%	8,174 2.2%		
<b>5</b>	368,544 100%	182,214 49.4%	120,665 32.7%	28,459 7.7%	2,815 0.8%	20,591 5.6%	1,063 0.3%	1,817 0.5%	10,920 3.0%		
<b>COUNTY TOTAL</b>	1,822,761 100%	829,705 45.5%	667,280 36.6%	113,167 6.2%	9,118 0.5%	134,075 7.4%	5,173 0.3%	8,762 0.5%	55,481 3.0%		

**CA Adjusted 2020 Census Difference from 2021 Target Supervisorial District Population of 483,488**

District	Number	Percent
<b>1</b>	-1,731	-0.36%
<b>2</b>	-4,081	-0.84%
<b>3</b>	413	0.09%
<b>4</b>	10,094	2.09%
<b>5</b>	-4,693	-0.97%

Percent Spread (Largest - Smallest)

**3.06%**

California Adjusted Citizen Voting Age Population 2020 US Special Tabulation From the 2015-2019 5-Year American Community Survey (ACS)  
Table 3. Citizen Population - (CVAP) 2015-2019 5-year American Community Survey (ACS)

District	Total	Not Hispanic or Latino:		Not Hispanic or Latino:		Not Hispanic or Latino:		Not Hispanic or Latino:		Not Hispanic or Latino:		Not Hispanic or Latino:																
		Hispanic or Latino	Native Alone	Asian Alone	Not Hispanic or Latino: Asian Alone	Black or African American Alone	Not Hispanic or Latino: Black or African American Alone	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander Alone	Not Hispanic or Latino: Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander Alone	Indian or Alaska Native Alone	Not Hispanic or Latino: Indian or Alaska Native Alone	White	Not Hispanic or Latino: White	Black or African American and White	Not Hispanic or Latino: Black or African American and White	Indian or Alaska Native and Remainder of Two or More Race	Not Hispanic or Latino: Indian or Alaska Native and Remainder of Two or More Race											
1	435,558	191,196	941	22,908	107,306	49,727	978	976	3,432	2,540	136	1,761	244,493	100%	43.9%	0.2%	5.3%	11.4%	0.2%	24.6%	0.2%	976	0.2%	8.8%	0.6%	0.0%	0.4%	56.1%
2	438,362	245,411	1,046	40,804	165,102	25,582	851	1,939	4,471	2,551	182	2,675	193,019	100%	56.0%	0.2%	9.3%	5.8%	0.2%	37.7%	0.4%	1.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.6%	44.0%
3	454,299	308,065	2,378	29,845	230,013	24,043	2,750	2,562	7,321	3,720	706	4,383	146,183	100%	67.8%	0.5%	6.6%	5.3%	0.6%	50.6%	0.6%	1.6%	0.8%	0.2%	1.0%	0.0%	1.0%	32.2%
4	406,149	220,125	1,594	10,861	187,253	13,810	339	1,419	1,760	1,469	87	1,038	185,875	100%	54.2%	0.4%	2.7%	3.4%	0.1%	46.1%	0.3%	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.3%	45.8%
5	421,932	210,990	4,220	18,488	145,951	32,797	843	1,757	2,175	2,609	157	1,486	210,986	100%	50.0%	1.0%	4.4%	7.8%	0.2%	34.6%	0.4%	0.5%	0.6%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.4%	50.0%
COUNTY	2,156,300	1,175,787	10,179	122,906	835,625	145,959	5,761	8,653	19,159	12,889	1,268	11,343	980,556	100%	54.5%	0.5%	5.7%	6.8%	0.3%	38.8%	0.4%	0.9%	0.6%	0.1%	0.6%	0.1%	0.5%	45.5%


**Table 4. Citizen Voting Age Population - (CVAP) 2015-2019 5-year American Community Survey (ACS)**

District	CVAP Total	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino:										CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: American Indian or Alaska Native and Black or African American	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: Remainder of Two or More Race Responses
		Hispanic or Latino: American Indian or Alaska Native Alone	Hispanic or Latino: American Indian or Alaska Native Alone	Hispanic or Latino: Asian Alone	Hispanic or Latino: Asian Alone	Hispanic or Latino: Black or African American Alone	Hispanic or Latino: Black or African American Alone	Hispanic or Latino: Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander Alone	Hispanic or Latino: Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander Alone	Hispanic or Latino: White Alone	Hispanic or Latino: White Alone		
<b>1</b>	304,370	152,212	841	18,522	37,390	89,192	799	1,778	1,242	121	1,056	152,189	
	<b>100%</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>6.1%</b>	<b>12.3%</b>	<b>29.3%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>50.0%</b>	
<b>2</b>	310,603	190,801	824	30,893	19,982	131,774	1,600	2,049	1,104	182	1,492	119,861	
	<b>100%</b>	<b>61.4%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>9.9%</b>	<b>6.4%</b>	<b>42.4%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>38.6%</b>	
<b>3</b>	321,988	233,908	1,872	22,879	18,801	178,764	1,578	3,060	1,710	453	2,302	87,966	
	<b>100%</b>	<b>72.6%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>7.1%</b>	<b>5.8%</b>	<b>55.5%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>27.3%</b>	
<b>4</b>	316,108	194,966	1,250	8,735	10,694	169,944	1,243	732	704	72	780	121,111	
	<b>100%</b>	<b>61.7%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>2.8%</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>53.8%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>38.3%</b>	
<b>5</b>	303,629	172,595	2,963	15,373	24,892	123,063	1,386	1,440	1,407	136	817	131,116	
	<b>100%</b>	<b>56.8%</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>5.1%</b>	<b>8.2%</b>	<b>40.5%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>43.2%</b>	
<b>COUNTY TOTAL</b>	1,556,698	944,482	7,750	96,402	111,759	692,737	6,606	9,059	6,167	964	6,447	612,243	
	<b>100%</b>	<b>60.7%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>6.2%</b>	<b>7.2%</b>	<b>44.5%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>39.3%</b>	

# EOTC DRAFT MAP Hv7.1



# EOTC H v7.1 Redistricting Boundaries With CA Adjusted Census Population Data



District	Population 2020	Target Population	Variance from 2020 Target	Change Needed to Reach Target
1	488,876	483,488	1.1%	-3,388
2	489,365	483,488	1.2%	-5,877
3	487,440	483,488	0.8%	-3,952
4	464,828	483,488	-3.5%	18,660
5	486,910	483,488	0.7%	-3,422

- Cities
- Census Designated Places
- Census Tracts
- EOTC H v7.1 Redistricting Boundary

California Adjusted 2020 US Census Public Law File 94-171

**Table 1. California Adjusted 2020 Census Total Population by Race/Ethnicity**

District	Total Population	Hispanic	White	Black	Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Other Race	Two or more minority race
<b>1</b>	488,874 <b>100%</b>	303,397 <b>62.1%</b>	95,022 <b>19.4%</b>	44,402 <b>9.1%</b>	1,619 <b>0.3%</b>	26,597 <b>5.4%</b>	1,535 <b>0.3%</b>	2,655 <b>0.5%</b>	13,647 <b>2.8%</b>
<b>2</b>	489,386 <b>100%</b>	252,206 <b>51.5%</b>	134,391 <b>27.5%</b>	24,815 <b>5.1%</b>	1,334 <b>0.3%</b>	57,100 <b>11.7%</b>	1,427 <b>0.3%</b>	2,665 <b>0.5%</b>	15,448 <b>3.2%</b>
<b>3</b>	487,440 <b>100%</b>	168,838 <b>34.6%</b>	216,930 <b>44.5%</b>	24,547 <b>5.0%</b>	3,154 <b>0.6%</b>	43,345 <b>8.9%</b>	1,767 <b>0.4%</b>	2,670 <b>0.5%</b>	26,189 <b>5.4%</b>
<b>4</b>	464,828 <b>100%</b>	249,637 <b>53.7%</b>	174,313 <b>37.5%</b>	11,625 <b>2.5%</b>	1,915 <b>0.4%</b>	13,899 <b>3.0%</b>	429 <b>0.1%</b>	2,126 <b>0.5%</b>	10,884 <b>2.3%</b>
<b>5</b>	486,910 <b>100%</b>	227,251 <b>46.7%</b>	168,073 <b>34.5%</b>	41,139 <b>8.4%</b>	3,937 <b>0.8%</b>	23,877 <b>4.9%</b>	1,616 <b>0.3%</b>	2,458 <b>0.5%</b>	18,559 <b>3.8%</b>
<b>COUNTY</b>	2,417,438	1,201,329	788,729	146,528	11,959	164,818	6,774	12,574	84,727
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>49.7%</b>	<b>32.6%</b>	<b>6.1%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>6.8%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>3.5%</b>



**Table 2. California Adjusted 2020 Census Total Population by Race/Ethnicity Over the Age of 18**

District	Total Population (Over 18)	Hispanic (Over 18)	White (Over 18)	Black (Over 18)	Alaskan Native (Over 18)	Asian (Over 18)	Hawaiian or American			Two or more minority race (Over 18)
							Islander (Over 18)	Other Pacific (Over 18)	Other Race (Over 18)	
<b>1</b>	361,499 100%	210,156 58.1%	80,937 22.4%	34,043 9.4%	1,312 0.4%	22,742 6.3%	1,150 0.3%	1,802 0.5%	9,357 2.6%	
<b>2</b>	367,108 100%	177,391 48.3%	110,659 30.1%	19,749 5.4%	1,096 0.3%	45,192 12.3%	1,103 0.3%	1,911 0.5%	10,007 2.7%	
<b>3</b>	360,424 100%	113,271 31.4%	172,546 47.9%	18,793 5.2%	2,318 0.6%	34,463 9.6%	1,356 0.4%	1,802 0.5%	15,875 4.4%	
<b>4</b>	366,821 100%	173,459 47.3%	161,029 43.9%	9,250 2.5%	1,535 0.4%	11,847 3.2%	315 0.1%	1,488 0.4%	7,898 2.2%	
<b>5</b>	366,909 100%	155,428 42.4%	142,109 38.7%	31,332 8.5%	2,857 0.8%	19,831 5.4%	1,249 0.3%	1,759 0.5%	12,344 3.4%	
<b>COUNTY TOTAL</b>	1,822,761 100%	829,705 45.5%	667,280 36.6%	113,167 6.2%	9,118 0.5%	134,075 7.4%	5,173 0.3%	8,762 0.5%	55,481 3.0%	

**CA Adjusted 2020 Census Difference from 2021 Target Supervisorial District Population of 483,488**

District	Number	Percent
<b>1</b>	-5,386	-1.11%
<b>2</b>	-5,898	-1.22%
<b>3</b>	-3,952	-0.82%
<b>4</b>	18,660	3.86%
<b>5</b>	-3,422	-0.71%

Percent Spread (Largest - Smallest)

**5.08%**

California Adjusted Citizen Voting Age Population 2020 US Special Tabulation From the 2015-2019 5-Year American Community Survey (ACS)  
Table 3. Citizen Population - (CVAP) 2015-2019 5-year American Community Survey (ACS)

District	Total	Not Hispanic or Latino:		Not Hispanic or Latino:		Not Hispanic or Latino:		Not Hispanic or Latino:		Not Hispanic or Latino:		Not Hispanic or Latino:	
		Hispanic or Latino	Native Alone	Indian or Alaska Native Alone	Black or African American Alone	Other Pacific Islander Alone	Hawaiian or Native American	White Alone	Not Hispanic or Latino: White Alone	Not Hispanic or Latino: Asian and Pacific Islander	Not Hispanic or Latino: Black or African American	Not Hispanic or Latino: American Indian or Alaska Native	Not Hispanic or Latino: Native American and Alaska Native
1	431,501	185,432	1,168	21,435	47,380	833	105,755	802	3,231	2,456	207	1,664	245,863
	100%	43.0%	0.3%	5.0%	11.0%	0.2%	24.5%	0.2%	0.7%	0.6%	0.0%	0.4%	57.0%
2	444,688	229,261	866	41,984	24,250	1,060	150,020	1,903	4,144	2,303	121	2,284	215,687
	100%	51.6%	0.2%	9.4%	5.5%	0.2%	33.7%	0.4%	0.9%	0.5%	0.0%	0.5%	48.5%
3	457,629	305,687	2,207	31,628	23,859	2,422	226,752	2,516	7,386	3,759	683	4,295	152,090
	100%	66.8%	0.5%	6.9%	5.2%	0.5%	49.5%	0.5%	1.6%	0.8%	0.1%	0.9%	33.2%
4	398,977	214,243	1,372	10,760	13,763	293	181,919	1,309	1,749	1,455	87	1,005	184,516
	100%	53.7%	0.3%	2.7%	3.4%	0.1%	45.6%	0.3%	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%	0.3%	46.2%
5	423,505	241,164	4,566	17,099	36,707	1,153	171,179	2,123	2,649	2,916	170	2,095	182,400
	100%	56.9%	1.1%	4.0%	8.7%	0.3%	40.4%	0.5%	0.6%	0.7%	0.0%	0.5%	43.1%
COUNTY	2,156,300	1,175,787	10,179	122,906	145,959	5,761	835,625	8,653	19,159	12,889	1,268	11,343	980,556
TOTAL	100%	54.5%	0.5%	5.7%	6.8%	0.3%	38.8%	0.4%	0.9%	0.6%	0.1%	0.5%	45.5%



**Table 4. Citizen Voting Age Population - (CVAP) 2015-2019 5-year American Community Survey (ACS)**

District	CVAP Total	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: American Indian or Alaska Native Alone	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: Asian Alone	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: Black or African American Alone	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander Alone	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: Native American Indian or Alaska Native Alone	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: White Alone	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: Black or African American and White	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: Asian and White	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: American Indian or Alaska Native and White	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: Black or African American and White	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: Two or More Race Responses	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: Hispanic or Latino
<b>1</b>	302,965	149,358	973	18,016	36,004	688	88,304	570	1,929	1,253	192	957	153,394	
	<b>100%</b>	<b>49.3%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>5.9%</b>	<b>11.9%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>29.1%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>50.6%</b>	
<b>2</b>	317,541	181,233	738	31,971	19,171	949	122,088	1,623	1,839	1,107	103	1,311	136,457	
	<b>100%</b>	<b>57.1%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>10.1%</b>	<b>6.0%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>38.4%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>43.0%</b>	
<b>3</b>	319,600	230,709	1,694	24,514	18,442	1,846	174,883	1,683	3,132	1,561	458	2,239	89,011	
	<b>100%</b>	<b>72.2%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>7.7%</b>	<b>5.8%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>54.7%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>27.9%</b>	
<b>4</b>	310,514	190,218	1,053	8,635	10,653	198	165,669	1,169	722	689	72	778	120,234	
	<b>100%</b>	<b>61.3%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>2.8%</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>53.4%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>38.7%</b>	
<b>5</b>	306,078	192,994	3,292	13,266	27,489	720	141,793	1,561	1,437	1,557	139	1,162	113,147	
	<b>100%</b>	<b>63.0%</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>4.3%</b>	<b>9.0%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>46.3%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>37.0%</b>	
<b>COUNTY</b>	1,556,698	944,482	7,750	96,402	111,759	4,401	692,737	6,606	9,059	6,167	964	6,447	612,243	
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>60.7%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>6.2%</b>	<b>7.2%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>44.5%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>39.3%</b>	

# EOTC DRAFT MAP IV2



# EOTC I v2 Redistricting Boundaries With CA Adjusted Census Population Data



District	Population 2020	Target Population	Variance	
			from 2020	Change Need to Reach Target
1	485,540	483,488	3.3%	-2,052
2	486,059	483,488	0.5%	-2,571
3	488,334	483,488	3.0%	-4,846
4	473,718	483,488	-2.0%	9,770
5	479,597	483,488	-0.8%	3,891

- Cities
- Census Designated Places
- Census Tracts
- EOTC I v2 Redistricting Boundary

LOS ANGELES COUNTY

ORANGE COUNTY

IMPERIAL COUNTY

SAN DIEGO COUNTY

LA PAZ COUNTY, AZ



EOTC I v2 Redistricting Boundaries  
with 2020 US Census Data

Riverside County  
Supervisory District Summary

**California Adjusted 2020 US Census Public Law File 94-171**

**Table 1. California Adjusted 2020 Census Total Population by Race/Ethnicity**

District	Total Population	Hispanic	White	Black	American Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Other Race	Two or more minority race
<b>1</b>	489,540 <b>100%</b>	301,135 <b>61.5%</b>	110,387 <b>22.5%</b>	30,584 <b>6.2%</b>	1,655 <b>0.3%</b>	28,149 <b>5.8%</b>	1,338 <b>0.3%</b>	2,657 <b>0.5%</b>	13,635 <b>2.8%</b>
<b>2</b>	486,059 <b>100%</b>	226,463 <b>46.6%</b>	153,285 <b>31.5%</b>	26,309 <b>5.4%</b>	1,416 <b>0.3%</b>	57,257 <b>11.8%</b>	1,328 <b>0.3%</b>	2,605 <b>0.5%</b>	17,396 <b>3.6%</b>
<b>3</b>	488,524 <b>100%</b>	165,238 <b>33.8%</b>	222,770 <b>45.6%</b>	25,512 <b>5.2%</b>	2,968 <b>0.6%</b>	41,142 <b>8.4%</b>	1,879 <b>0.4%</b>	2,611 <b>0.5%</b>	26,404 <b>5.4%</b>
<b>4</b>	473,718 <b>100%</b>	251,720 <b>53.1%</b>	179,559 <b>37.9%</b>	11,707 <b>2.5%</b>	2,386 <b>0.5%</b>	14,468 <b>3.1%</b>	436 <b>0.1%</b>	2,177 <b>0.5%</b>	11,265 <b>2.4%</b>
<b>5</b>	479,597 <b>100%</b>	256,773 <b>53.5%</b>	122,728 <b>25.6%</b>	52,416 <b>10.9%</b>	3,534 <b>0.7%</b>	23,802 <b>5.0%</b>	1,793 <b>0.4%</b>	2,524 <b>0.5%</b>	16,027 <b>3.3%</b>
<b>COUNTY TOTAL</b>	2,417,438 <b>100%</b>	1,201,329 <b>49.7%</b>	788,729 <b>32.6%</b>	146,528 <b>6.1%</b>	11,959 <b>0.5%</b>	164,818 <b>6.8%</b>	6,774 <b>0.3%</b>	12,574 <b>0.5%</b>	84,727 <b>3.5%</b>



**Table 2. California Adjusted 2020 Census Total Population by Race/Ethnicity Over the Age of 18**

District	Total Population (Over 18)	American					Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander			Two or more minority race (Over 18)
		Hispanic (Over 18)	White (Over 18)	Black (Over 18)	Alaskan Native (Over 18)	Indian/Asian (Over 18)	Islander (Over 18)	Other (Over 18)	Other Race (Over 18)	
<b>1</b>	366,338 100%	210,292 57.4%	94,206 25.7%	24,214 6.6%	1,349 0.4%	23,994 6.5%	1,002 0.3%	1,817 0.5%	9,464 2.6%	
<b>2</b>	362,916 100%	157,321 43.3%	124,730 34.4%	20,634 5.7%	1,129 0.3%	45,113 12.4%	1,045 0.3%	1,847 0.5%	11,097 3.1%	
<b>3</b>	363,712 100%	111,419 30.6%	178,219 49.0%	19,780 5.4%	2,206 0.6%	32,765 9.0%	1,477 0.4%	1,789 0.5%	16,057 4.4%	
<b>4</b>	374,235 100%	175,001 46.8%	165,676 44.3%	9,324 2.5%	1,872 0.5%	12,328 3.3%	319 0.1%	1,531 0.4%	8,184 2.2%	
<b>5</b>	355,560 100%	175,672 49.4%	104,449 29.4%	39,215 11.0%	2,562 0.7%	19,875 5.6%	1,330 0.4%	1,778 0.5%	10,679 3.0%	
<b>COUNTY TOTAL</b>	1,822,761 100%	829,705 45.5%	667,280 36.6%	113,167 6.2%	9,118 0.5%	134,075 7.4%	5,173 0.3%	8,762 0.5%	55,481 3.0%	

**CA Adjusted 2020 Census Difference from 2021 Target Supervisorial District Population of 483,488**

District	Number	Percent
<b>1</b>	-6,052	-1.25%
<b>2</b>	-2,571	-0.53%
<b>3</b>	-5,036	-1.04%
<b>4</b>	9,770	2.02%
<b>5</b>	3,891	0.80%

Percent Spread (Largest - Smallest)

**3.27%**

**California Adjusted Citizen Voting Age Population 2020 US Special Tabulation From the 2015-2019 5-Year American Community Survey (ACS)  
Table 3. Citizen Population - (CVAP) 2015-2019 5-year American Community Survey (ACS)**

District	Total	Hispanic or Latino		Not Hispanic or Latino: American Indian or Alaska Native		Not Hispanic or Latino: Black or African American		Not Hispanic or Latino: Asian		Not Hispanic or Latino: Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander		Not Hispanic or Latino: White		Not Hispanic or Latino: Black or African American and White		Not Hispanic or Latino: Indian or Alaska Native and Black or African American		Not Hispanic or Latino: Remainder of Two or More Race		Hispanic or Latino					
		%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count		
1	438,189	187,691	42.8%	1,259	0.3%	23,874	5.4%	30,906	7.1%	836	0.2%	121,505	27.7%	1,146	0.3%	3,575	0.8%	2,287	0.5%	129	0.0%	1,769	0.4%	250,365	57.1%
2	437,665	245,929	56.2%	1,017	0.2%	40,477	9.2%	24,811	5.7%	851	0.2%	166,641	38.1%	1,983	0.5%	4,439	1.0%	2,512	0.6%	174	0.0%	2,658	0.6%	192,062	43.9%
3	458,492	309,772	67.6%	2,413	0.5%	29,883	6.5%	24,211	5.3%	2,767	0.6%	231,421	50.5%	2,600	0.6%	7,326	1.6%	3,722	0.8%	706	0.2%	4,385	1.0%	148,678	32.4%
4	406,412	220,343	54.2%	1,594	0.4%	10,875	2.7%	13,800	3.4%	339	0.1%	187,461	46.1%	1,422	0.3%	1,760	0.4%	1,469	0.4%	87	0.0%	1,039	0.3%	185,927	45.7%
5	415,542	212,052	51.0%	3,896	0.9%	17,797	4.3%	52,231	12.6%	968	0.2%	128,597	30.9%	1,502	0.4%	2,059	0.5%	2,899	0.7%	172	0.0%	1,492	0.4%	203,524	49.0%
<b>COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>2,156,300</b>	<b>1,175,787</b>	<b>54.5%</b>	<b>10,179</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>122,906</b>	<b>5.7%</b>	<b>145,959</b>	<b>6.8%</b>	<b>5,761</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>835,625</b>	<b>38.8%</b>	<b>8,653</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>19,159</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>12,889</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>1,268</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>11,343</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>980,556</b>	<b>45.5%</b>




**Table 4. Citizen Voting Age Population - (CVAP) 2015-2019 5-year American Community Survey (ACS)**

District	CVAP Total	CVAP Hispanic or Latino	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: American Indian or Alaska Native Alone	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: Asian American	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: Black or African American Alone	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: Other Pacific Islander Alone	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander Alone	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: White Alone	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: American Indian or Alaska Native and White	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: Black or African American and White	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: Asian American and White	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: Black or African American and White	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: American Indian or Alaska Native and White	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: Black or African American and White	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: American Indian or Alaska Native and White
<b>1</b>	311,878	154,431	1,060	19,733	24,434	783	102,722	898	2,042	1,050	99	1,147	157,359	99	1,147
	<b>100%</b>	<b>49.5%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>7.8%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>32.9%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>50.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>
<b>2</b>	310,141	191,443	802	30,741	19,471	758	132,949	1,642	2,037	1,069	171	1,527	118,960	171	1,527
	<b>100%</b>	<b>61.7%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>9.9%</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>42.9%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>38.4%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>
<b>3</b>	325,002	235,327	1,908	22,920	18,896	2,016	180,001	1,596	3,062	1,715	453	2,300	89,554	453	2,300
	<b>100%</b>	<b>72.4%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>7.1%</b>	<b>5.8%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>55.4%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>27.6%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>
<b>4</b>	316,318	195,145	1,250	8,747	10,690	243	170,112	1,246	732	704	72	781	121,146	72	781
	<b>100%</b>	<b>61.7%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>2.8%</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>53.8%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>38.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>
<b>5</b>	293,359	168,136	2,730	14,261	38,268	601	106,953	1,224	1,186	1,629	189	692	125,224	189	692
	<b>100%</b>	<b>57.3%</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>4.9%</b>	<b>13.0%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>36.5%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>42.7%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>
<b>COUNTY TOTAL</b>	1,558,698	944,482	7,750	96,402	111,759	4,401	692,737	6,606	9,059	6,167	964	6,447	612,243	964	6,447
	<b>100%</b>	<b>60.7%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>6.2%</b>	<b>7.2%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>44.5%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>39.3%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>

# COMMUNITY DRAFT MAP 1.3



# Community Draft Map 1.3 Redistricting Boundaries With CA Adjusted Census Population Data



District	Population 2020	Target Population	Variance	
			from 2020	to Reach Target
1	483,112	483,488	-0.1%	376
2	483,095	483,488	-0.3%	389
3	483,054	483,488	0.3%	-1,366
4	482,220	483,488	-0.3%	1,268
5	483,933	483,488	0.1%	-465

- Cities
- Census Designated Places
- Census Tracts
- Community Draft Map 1.3 Redistricting Boundary



Community Draft Map 1.3  
Redistricting Boundaries  
with 2020 US Census Data

Riverside County  
Supervisory District Summary

**California Adjusted 2020 US Census Public Law File 94-171**

**Table 1. California Adjusted 2020 Census Total Population by Race/Ethnicity**

District	Total Population	Hispanic	White	Black	American Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Other Race	Two or more minority race
<b>1</b>	483,112 <b>100%</b>	181,293 <b>37.5%</b>	195,626 <b>40.5%</b>	27,938 <b>5.8%</b>	1,563 <b>0.3%</b>	51,454 <b>10.7%</b>	1,483 <b>0.3%</b>	2,636 <b>0.5%</b>	21,119 <b>4.4%</b>
<b>2</b>	483,099 <b>100%</b>	297,795 <b>61.6%</b>	105,572 <b>21.9%</b>	25,370 <b>5.3%</b>	1,657 <b>0.3%</b>	35,955 <b>7.4%</b>	1,395 <b>0.3%</b>	2,585 <b>0.5%</b>	12,770 <b>2.6%</b>
<b>3</b>	485,054 <b>100%</b>	160,419 <b>33.1%</b>	221,933 <b>45.8%</b>	26,894 <b>5.5%</b>	3,695 <b>0.8%</b>	42,409 <b>8.7%</b>	1,614 <b>0.3%</b>	2,555 <b>0.5%</b>	25,535 <b>5.3%</b>
<b>4</b>	482,220 <b>100%</b>	257,889 <b>53.5%</b>	179,181 <b>37.2%</b>	12,868 <b>2.7%</b>	3,180 <b>0.7%</b>	14,900 <b>3.1%</b>	454 <b>0.1%</b>	2,221 <b>0.5%</b>	11,527 <b>2.4%</b>
<b>5</b>	483,953 <b>100%</b>	303,933 <b>62.8%</b>	86,417 <b>17.9%</b>	53,458 <b>11.0%</b>	1,864 <b>0.4%</b>	20,100 <b>4.2%</b>	1,828 <b>0.4%</b>	2,577 <b>0.5%</b>	13,776 <b>2.8%</b>
<b>COUNTY TOTAL</b>	2,417,438 <b>100%</b>	1,201,329 <b>49.7%</b>	788,729 <b>32.6%</b>	146,528 <b>6.1%</b>	11,959 <b>0.5%</b>	164,818 <b>6.8%</b>	6,774 <b>0.3%</b>	12,574 <b>0.5%</b>	84,727 <b>3.5%</b>



**Table 2. California Adjusted 2020 Census Total Population by Race/Ethnicity Over the Age of 18**

District	Total Population (Over 18)	American							Hawaiian or Other		Two or more minority race (Over 18)
		Hispanic (Over 18)	White (Over 18)	Black (Over 18)	Alaskan Native (Over 18)	Indian/Asian (Over 18)	Pacific Islander (Over 18)	Other Race (Over 18)			
1	366,511	125,097	160,069	22,202	1,241	41,141	1,173	1,882	13,706		
	100%	34.1%	43.7%	6.1%	0.3%	11.2%	0.3%	0.5%	3.7%		
2	364,212	210,442	90,542	20,443	1,405	29,712	1,039	1,830	8,799		
	100%	57.8%	24.9%	5.6%	0.4%	8.2%	0.3%	0.5%	2.4%		
3	361,032	107,570	178,174	20,448	2,670	33,691	1,257	1,763	15,459		
	100%	29.8%	49.4%	5.7%	0.7%	9.3%	0.3%	0.5%	4.3%		
4	380,072	179,275	165,225	10,258	2,419	12,673	332	1,575	8,315		
	100%	47.2%	43.5%	2.7%	0.6%	3.3%	0.1%	0.4%	2.2%		
5	350,934	207,321	73,270	39,816	1,383	16,858	1,372	1,712	9,202		
	100%	59.1%	20.9%	11.3%	0.4%	4.8%	0.4%	0.5%	2.6%		
<b>COUNTY TOTAL</b>	1,822,761	829,705	667,280	113,167	9,118	134,075	5,173	8,762	55,481		
	100%	45.5%	36.6%	6.2%	0.5%	7.4%	0.3%	0.5%	3.0%		

**CA Adjusted 2020 Census Difference from 2021 Target Supervisorial District Population of 483,488**

District	Number	Percent
1	376	0.08%
2	389	0.08%
3	-1,566	-0.32%
4	1,268	0.26%
5	-465	-0.10%

Percent Spread (Largest - Smallest)

0.59%

**California Adjusted Citizen Voting Age Population 2020 US Special Tabulation From the 2015-2019 5-Year American Community Survey (ACS)  
 Table 3. Citizen Population - (CVAP) 2015-2019 5-year American Community Survey (ACS)**

District	Total	Hispanic or Latino		Not Hispanic or Latino: Asian Alone		Not Hispanic or Latino: Asian Alone		Not Hispanic or Latino: Other Pacific Islander Alone		Not Hispanic or Latino: Hawaiian or Native		Not Hispanic or Latino: Indian or Alaska Native and White		Not Hispanic or Latino: Black or African American and White		Not Hispanic or Latino: American Indian or Alaska Native and Black or African American		Not Hispanic or Latino: Remainder of Two or More Races		Hispanic or Latino					
		Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage	Count	Percentage						
1	445,920	287,906	64.6%	1,444	0.3%	37,957	8.5%	26,897	6.0%	1,162	0.3%	206,014	46.2%	2,347	0.5%	5,770	1.3%	2,899	0.7%	245	0.1%	2,713	0.6%	158,042	35.4%
2	427,556	179,830	42.1%	1,184	0.3%	27,150	6.4%	24,290	5.7%	785	0.2%	117,712	27.5%	1,195	0.3%	3,122	0.7%	1,946	0.5%	131	0.0%	2,053	0.5%	247,996	58.0%
3	455,201	308,119	67.7%	3,328	0.7%	30,683	6.7%	26,139	5.7%	2,547	0.6%	227,063	49.9%	2,528	0.6%	6,830	1.5%	3,698	0.8%	630	0.1%	4,135	0.9%	147,262	32.4%
4	415,532	224,703	54.1%	2,906	0.7%	11,240	2.7%	14,827	3.6%	349	0.1%	188,889	45.5%	1,518	0.4%	1,790	0.4%	1,552	0.4%	87	0.0%	1,080	0.3%	190,698	45.9%
5	412,091	175,229	42.5%	1,317	0.3%	15,876	3.9%	53,806	13.1%	918	0.2%	95,947	23.3%	1,065	0.3%	1,647	0.4%	2,794	0.7%	175	0.0%	1,362	0.3%	236,568	57.4%
<b>COUNTY</b>	<b>2,156,300</b>	<b>1,175,787</b>	<b>54.5%</b>	<b>10,179</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>122,906</b>	<b>5.7%</b>	<b>145,959</b>	<b>6.8%</b>	<b>5,761</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>835,625</b>	<b>38.8%</b>	<b>8,653</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>19,159</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>12,889</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>1,268</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>11,343</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>980,556</b>	<b>45.5%</b>

**Table 4. Citizen Voting Age Population - (CVAP) 2015-2019 5-year American Community Survey (ACS)**

District	CVAP Total	CVAP Hispanic or Latino	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: American Indian or Alaska Native Alone	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: American Indian or Alaska Native Alone	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: Asian Alone	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: Black or African American Alone	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander Alone	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: White Alone	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: White and Black or African American	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: White and Asian	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: White and Black or African American and Asian	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: American Indian or Alaska Native and Black or African American	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: American Indian or Alaska Native and Asian	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: American Indian or Alaska Native and Black or African American and Asian	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: American Indian or Alaska Native and Two or More Races	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: American Indian or Alaska Native and Two or More Races
<b>1</b>	323,735	225,321	1,128	29,496	21,323	955	164,154	1,850	2,905	1,196	220	1,638	98,545	220	1,638	98,545
	<b>100%</b>	<b>69.6%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>9.1%</b>	<b>6.6%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>50.7%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>30.4%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>30.4%</b>
<b>2</b>	305,657	149,253	1,038	21,570	19,919	743	100,692	966	1,661	967	98	1,229	156,553	98	1,229	156,553
	<b>100%</b>	<b>48.8%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>7.1%</b>	<b>6.5%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>32.9%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>51.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>51.2%</b>
<b>3</b>	322,820	234,503	2,402	23,640	19,772	1,849	177,754	1,646	2,804	1,736	399	2,071	88,393	399	2,071	88,393
	<b>100%</b>	<b>72.6%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>7.3%</b>	<b>6.1%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>55.1%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>27.4%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>27.4%</b>
<b>4</b>	322,564	198,471	2,202	8,999	11,545	253	171,227	1,309	728	735	72	817	124,082	72	817	124,082
	<b>100%</b>	<b>61.5%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>2.8%</b>	<b>3.6%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>53.1%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>38.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>38.5%</b>
<b>5</b>	281,922	136,934	980	12,697	39,200	601	78,910	836	961	1,533	175	692	144,670	175	692	144,670
	<b>100%</b>	<b>48.6%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>4.5%</b>	<b>13.9%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>28.0%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>51.3%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>51.3%</b>
<b>COUNTY TOTAL</b>	1,556,698	944,482	7,750	96,402	111,759	4,401	682,737	6,606	9,059	6,167	964	6,447	612,243	964	6,447	612,243
	<b>100%</b>	<b>60.7%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>6.2%</b>	<b>7.2%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>44.5%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>39.3%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>39.3%</b>




# COMMUNITY DRAFT MAP 6.1





# Brown Black Alliance Community Draft Map 6.1 Redistricting Boundaries With CA Adjusted Census Population Data



District	Population 2020	Target Population	Variance from 2020 Target	Change Need to Reach Target	
				Population	%
1	490,590	483,468	1.6%	-7,508	-1.5%
2	483,127	483,468	-0.1%	361	0.7%
3	487,163	483,468	0.8%	-3,675	-0.7%
4	488,738	483,468	-3.1%	-14,790	-3.0%
5	487,374	483,468	0.8%	-3,886	-0.8%


█ City  
█ Census Designated Place  
█ Census Tract  
█ Brown Black Alliance Community Draft Redistricting Boundary

LOS ANGELES COUNTY

ORANGE COUNTY

IMPERIAL COUNTY

SAN DIEGO COUNTY





Brown Black Alliance Community Draft Map 6.1  
Redistricting Boundaries  
with 2020 US Census Data

Riverside County  
Supervisorial District Summary

California Adjusted 2020 US Census Public Law File 94-171

Table 1. California Adjusted 2020 Census Total Population by Race/Ethnicity

District	Total Population	Hispanic	White	Black	American Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Other Race	Two or more minority race
<b>1</b>	490,996 <b>100%</b>	297,018 <b>60.5%</b>	94,153 <b>19.2%</b>	53,064 <b>10.8%</b>	1,660 <b>0.3%</b>	25,708 <b>5.2%</b>	1,800 <b>0.4%</b>	2,676 <b>0.5%</b>	14,917 <b>3.0%</b>
<b>2</b>	483,127 <b>100%</b>	288,081 <b>59.6%</b>	121,558 <b>25.2%</b>	24,785 <b>5.1%</b>	1,717 <b>0.4%</b>	29,119 <b>6.0%</b>	1,405 <b>0.3%</b>	2,584 <b>0.5%</b>	13,878 <b>2.9%</b>
<b>3</b>	487,163 <b>100%</b>	176,973 <b>36.3%</b>	215,962 <b>44.3%</b>	29,505 <b>6.1%</b>	4,595 <b>0.9%</b>	33,380 <b>6.9%</b>	1,509 <b>0.3%</b>	2,454 <b>0.5%</b>	22,785 <b>4.7%</b>
<b>4</b>	468,738 <b>100%</b>	250,848 <b>53.5%</b>	175,890 <b>37.5%</b>	11,661 <b>2.5%</b>	2,343 <b>0.5%</b>	14,346 <b>3.1%</b>	433 <b>0.1%</b>	2,155 <b>0.5%</b>	11,062 <b>2.4%</b>
<b>5</b>	487,374 <b>100%</b>	188,397 <b>38.7%</b>	181,139 <b>37.2%</b>	27,513 <b>5.6%</b>	1,643 <b>0.3%</b>	62,265 <b>12.8%</b>	1,627 <b>0.3%</b>	2,705 <b>0.6%</b>	22,085 <b>4.5%</b>
<b>COUNTY TOTAL</b>	2,417,398 <b>100%</b>	1,201,317 <b>49.7%</b>	788,702 <b>32.6%</b>	146,528 <b>6.1%</b>	11,958 <b>0.5%</b>	164,818 <b>6.8%</b>	6,774 <b>0.3%</b>	12,574 <b>0.5%</b>	84,727 <b>3.5%</b>



**Table 2. California Adjusted 2020 Census Total Population by Race/Ethnicity Over the Age of 18**

District	Total Population (Over 18)	Race/Ethnicity									
		Hispanic (Over 18)	White (Over 18)	Black (Over 18)	Alaskan Native (Over 18)	Asian (Over 18)	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander (Over 18)	Other Race (Over 18)	Two or more minority race (Over 18)		
<b>1</b>	357,530 100%	203,104 56.8%	78,431 21.9%	40,130 11.2%	1,236 0.3%	21,479 6.0%	1,376 0.4%	1,820 0.5%	9,954 2.8%		
<b>2</b>	364,153 100%	202,531 55.6%	103,239 28.4%	19,855 5.5%	1,435 0.4%	24,631 6.8%	1,041 0.3%	1,848 0.5%	9,523 2.6%		
<b>3</b>	368,026 100%	120,119 32.6%	178,066 48.4%	22,484 6.1%	3,300 0.9%	26,796 7.3%	1,172 0.3%	1,693 0.5%	14,396 3.9%		
<b>4</b>	370,003 100%	174,367 47.1%	162,431 43.9%	9,294 2.5%	1,840 0.5%	12,226 3.3%	318 0.1%	1,519 0.4%	8,008 2.2%		
<b>5</b>	363,015 100%	129,524 35.7%	145,090 40.0%	21,404 5.9%	1,306 0.4%	48,943 13.5%	1,266 0.3%	1,882 0.5%	13,600 3.7%		
<b>COUNTY TOTAL</b>	1,822,727 100%	829,695 45.5%	667,257 36.6%	113,167 6.2%	9,117 0.5%	134,075 7.4%	5,173 0.3%	8,762 0.5%	55,481 3.0%		

**CA Adjusted 2020 Census Difference from 2021 Target Supervisorial District Population of 483,488**

District	Number	Percent
<b>1</b>	-7,508	-1.55%
<b>2</b>	361	0.07%
<b>3</b>	-3,675	-0.76%
<b>4</b>	14,750	3.05%
<b>5</b>	-3,886	-0.80%

Percent Spread (Largest - Smallest)

**4.60%**

**California Adjusted Citizen Voting Age Population 2020 US Special Tabulation From the 2015-2019 5-Year American Community Survey (ACS)**  
**Table 3. Citizen Population - (CVAP) 2015-2019 5-year American Community Survey (ACS)**

District	Total	Not Hispanic or Latino:		Not Hispanic or Latino:		Not Hispanic or Latino:		Not Hispanic or Latino:		Not Hispanic or Latino:		Not Hispanic or Latino:	
		Hispanic or Latino	Native Alone	Asian Alone	Not Hispanic or Latino: American	Black or African American Alone	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander Alone	Native	Not Hispanic or Latino: American	Indian or Alaska Native	Not Hispanic or Latino: American	Black or African American and White	Not Hispanic or Latino: American
<b>1</b>	422,936	190,126	1,485	19,570	55,001	1,019	103,584	1,292	2,926	3,146	176	1,567	232,283
	<b>100%</b>	<b>45.0%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>4.6%</b>	<b>13.0%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>24.5%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>54.9%</b>
<b>2</b>	429,602	189,963	1,367	23,073	24,499	792	131,283	1,357	3,135	2,079	186	1,715	239,853
	<b>100%</b>	<b>44.2%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>5.4%</b>	<b>5.7%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>30.6%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>55.8%</b>
<b>3</b>	444,226	289,173	4,423	23,402	26,107	1,603	219,362	2,272	4,738	2,821	638	3,336	155,540
	<b>100%</b>	<b>65.1%</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>5.3%</b>	<b>5.9%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>49.4%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>1.1%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>35.0%</b>
<b>4</b>	403,517	217,918	1,583	10,824	13,697	339	185,230	1,419	1,759	1,469	87	1,038	185,450
	<b>100%</b>	<b>54.0%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>2.7%</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>45.9%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>46.0%</b>
<b>5</b>	455,991	288,587	1,321	46,037	26,655	2,008	196,146	2,313	6,601	3,374	181	3,687	167,423
	<b>100%</b>	<b>63.3%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>10.1%</b>	<b>5.8%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>43.0%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>1.4%</b>	<b>0.7%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>36.7%</b>
<b>COUNTY</b>	2,156,272	1,175,767	10,179	122,906	145,969	5,761	835,605	8,653	19,159	12,889	1,268	11,343	980,549
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>54.5%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>5.7%</b>	<b>6.8%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>38.8%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>45.5%</b>

**Table 4. Citizen Voting Age Population - (CVAP) 2015-2019 5-year American Community Survey (ACS)**

District	CVAP Total	CVAP Hispanic or Latino	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: American Indian or Alaska Native Alone	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: American Indian or Alaska Native Alone	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: Asian American	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: Black or African American	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: White Alone	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: White Alone	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: White and Black or African American	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: White and Asian American	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: White and American Indian or Alaska Native	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: Two or More Races	CVAP Hispanic or Latino
<b>1</b>	291,987	148,006	1,139	15,684	40,561	777	84,201	911	1,701	1,674	151	847	143,517	
	<b>100%</b>	<b>50.7%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>5.4%</b>	<b>13.9%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>28.8%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>49.2%</b>	
<b>2</b>	305,771	156,985	1,122	18,737	20,069	729	110,709	1,181	1,745	814	153	1,186	148,947	
	<b>100%</b>	<b>51.3%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>6.1%</b>	<b>6.6%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>36.2%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>48.7%</b>	
<b>3</b>	320,032	225,801	3,226	18,300	20,061	1,020	175,454	1,615	1,949	1,442	407	1,773	94,624	
	<b>100%</b>	<b>70.6%</b>	<b>1.0%</b>	<b>5.7%</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>54.8%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>29.6%</b>	
<b>4</b>	313,852	193,016	1,240	8,697	10,650	243	168,088	1,243	728	704	72	780	120,808	
	<b>100%</b>	<b>61.5%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>2.8%</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>53.6%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>0.0%</b>	<b>0.2%</b>	<b>38.5%</b>	
<b>5</b>	325,032	220,658	1,023	34,984	20,418	1,632	154,268	1,656	2,936	1,533	181	1,861	104,340	
	<b>100%</b>	<b>67.9%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>10.8%</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>47.5%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.9%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>32.1%</b>	
<b>COUNTY TOTAL</b>	1,556,674	944,466	7,750	96,402	111,758	4,401	682,720	6,606	9,059	6,167	964	6,447	612,236	
	<b>100%</b>	<b>60.7%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>6.2%</b>	<b>7.2%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>44.5%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>39.3%</b>	



AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION  
FOUNDATION

Southern California

November 16, 2021

*Sent Via Email*

Riverside County Board of Supervisors  
c/o Clerk of the Board  
County Administrative Center  
1st Floor, Board Chambers  
4080 Lemon Street  
Riverside, CA 92501  
[cob@rivco.org](mailto:cob@rivco.org)

Riverside County Planning Commission  
County Administrative Center  
1st Floor, Board Chambers  
4080 Lemon Street  
Riverside, CA 92501  
[RIVCORedistricting@rivco.org](mailto:RIVCORedistricting@rivco.org)

**Re: Supervisorial Redistricting and Compliance with the Voting Rights Act**

Dear Members of the Riverside County Board of Supervisors and Members of the Riverside County Planning Commission:

Community testimony, demographic data, and a racially polarized voting analysis by the County’s consultants strongly suggests that the federal Voting Rights Act of 1965 (Voting Rights Act) requires the Riverside County Board of Supervisors (Board) to create two districts where Latinx voters have a real opportunity to elect candidates of choice. The Board and the Riverside County Planning Commission (Planning Commission) must therefore seriously consider creating two federal Voting Rights Act compliant supervisorial districts.

Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act requires the Board, under certain circumstances, to draw districts that provide minority voters with an effective opportunity to elect their preferred candidates. To determine whether the Board must create these districts, the Board must first examine the three *Gingles* preconditions: (1) whether the Latinx community in Riverside County is sufficiently large and geographically compact to constitute a majority in one or more single-member districts; (2) whether Latinx voters are politically cohesive; and (3) whether bloc voting by the majority of voters usually prevents Latinx voters from electing their preferred candidates. *Thornburg v. Gingles*, 478 U.S. 30, 50-51 (1986). Once these preconditions are established, you must “consider[ ] whether ‘on the totality of circumstances,’ minorities have been denied an ‘equal opportunity’ to ‘participate in the political process and to elect representatives of their choice.’” *Abrams v. Johnson*, 521 U.S. 74, 91 (1997) (quoting 52 U.S.C. § 10301(b)).

The three *Gingles* preconditions are present in the County. The Latinx community is numerous and compact. Latinx voters make up 39.3% of the County’s citizen voting age population (CVAP)<sup>1</sup> and there are cohesive Latinx communities on the westside of the County. It is possible to draw at least two compact districts on the westside where Latinx voters make up over 50% of the CVAP in each district, as evidenced by the Inland Empire Redistricting Hub’s community map. *See Johnson v. De Grandy*, 512

<sup>1</sup> 2019 5-year American Community Survey.

**EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR** Hector O. Villagra

**CHAIR** Marla Stone **VICE CHAIRS** Sherry Frumkin and Frank Broccolo  
**CHAIRS EMERITI** Shari Leinwand Stephen Rohde Danny Goldberg Allan K. Jonas\* Burt Lancaster\* Irving Lichtenstein, MD\* Jarl Mohn Laurie Ostrow\* Stanley K. Sheinbaum\*

\*deceased

*Clerk's Copy*

U.S. 997, 1000 (1994) (noting that an important factor under a Section 2 analysis is whether “minority voters form effective voting majorities in a number of districts *roughly proportional* to the minority voters’ respective shares in the voting-age population.”) (emphasis added). What’s more, the County’s consultants recently released a summary of their analysis that there is racially polarized voting in Riverside County, meeting prongs II and III of the *Gingles* factors. Community testimony, socioeconomic data, and past and current discrimination against the Latinx community in the Inland Empire support a finding that, under the totality of the circumstances, the Latinx community has less of an opportunity to participate in the political process. *See Clark v. Calhoun Cnty.*, 21 F.3d 92, 97 (5th Cir. 1994) (“it will be only the very unusual case in which the plaintiffs can establish the existence of the three *Gingles* factors but still have failed to establish a violation of § 2 under the totality of circumstances”). This strongly suggests that the Voting Rights Act requires the Board to create at least two districts—proportional to Latinx voters’ respective shares of CVAP in the County—where the Latinx community can finally have a real opportunity to elect candidates of choice.

\* \* \*

The Board and the Planning Commission must take seriously the likely need to create at least two supervisorial districts where Latinx voters have an effective opportunity to elect candidates of choice. Compliance with the Voting Rights Act must take priority over almost all factors except equality of population. Nonetheless, it is possible to draw a map with districts substantially equal in population that comply with the Voting Rights Act and the Fair Maps Act, and we urge you to adopt such a map. If you have any questions, feel free to contact me at [jgomez@aclusocal.org](mailto:jgomez@aclusocal.org).

Sincerely,




Julia A. Gomez  
Staff Attorney  
ACLU Foundation of Southern California

Cc:

Rania Odenbaugh, Riverside County Executive Office Deputy Director, [rodenbaugh@rivco.org](mailto:rodenbaugh@rivco.org)  
Supervisor Kevin Jeffries, District 1, [district1@rivco.org](mailto:district1@rivco.org)  
Supervisor Karen Spiegel, District 2, [district2@rivco.org](mailto:district2@rivco.org)  
Supervisor Chuck Washington, District 3, [d3email@rivco.org](mailto:d3email@rivco.org)  
Supervisor V. Manuel Perez, District 4, [district4@rivco.org](mailto:district4@rivco.org)  
Supervisor Jeff Hewitt, District 5, [district5@rivco.org](mailto:district5@rivco.org)

# REDISTRICTING WALSH MAP 3.2



*Redistricting*  
*Debbie Walsh*

*Debbie Walsh*



# **Why Community Map 3.2 Keeps communities together**

**District 1. Temescal Valley, Lake Elsinore, Greater Lake Mathews, Woodcrest, Mead Valley, Good Hope.**

**District 2. Jurupa Valley, Norco, Corona**

**District 3. Temecula, Murietta, Menifee, Winchester, Green Acres, Homeland. The Mountain Communities of Anza, Sage, Aguanga, Lake Riverside.**

**District 4. Keeps all of the Desert Communities together**

**District 5. Keeps the Pass communities together. Keeps Moreno Valley and Perris together.**

# Why Community Map 3.2 Meets District Population Equality

## Version 5 Map 3.2

District	Population 2020	Target Population	Variance From 2020 Target	Change needed to Reach Target
1	482,447	483,488	.2%	1014
2	481,974	483,488	.3%	-1514
3	491,082	483,488	1.6%	-7594
4	478,750	483,488	1.4%	5003
5	483,185	483,488	.06%	303

# Matrix for Map 3.2

1. The Walsh Maps meet the requirements for population equality.
2. Meets the requirements for majority - minority percentage of voting age population.
3. District 5 has a minority-majority of 53.9%. District 1 is very close with a minority-majority of 49.9%.
4. All District Boundaries Contiguous.
5. Geographic Integrity of Cities and Census Designated Places. Riverside is split Valle Vista is one community affected.
6. Tribal reservation land impacted. None.
7. Easily identifiable Boundaries. Yes
7. Geographic Compactness. No.

Criterion	Analysis	Draft Map Community Map 3.2 01/16/10
1. Population Equality	<p>Total Population</p> <p>District 1 Population District 2 Population District 3 Population District 4 Population District 5 Population</p> <p>Population Spread (% Variance from Largest &amp; Smallest Pop)</p> <p>A. Districts with &gt; 50% Hispanic Citizens of Voting Age (District and Precinct Split)</p> <p>B1. Geographic Compactness - Maintains Traditional Boundaries</p> <p>B2. Geographic Compactness - Maintains Communities of Interest</p> <p>1) Does Minority Minority (20% - 45) represent minority population?</p> <p>2) For Members of the Majority Minority District, did their's under 20% and 50%?</p> <p>C. Political Cohesiveness - RPV Analysis</p> <p>D. Majority Bloc Voting Defeat Minority</p>	<p>2,417,488</p> <p>482,447</p> <p>483,974</p> <p>491,082</p> <p>478,750</p> <p>483,186</p> <p>2.26%</p> <p>1.53.9%</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>None being completed</p> <p>None being completed</p>
2. U.S. Constitution and Voting Rights Act	<p>3. Contiguity</p> <p>4. Geographic Integrity of Communities of Interest</p>	<p>All District Boundaries Contiguous?</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Herriot San Jacinto Taruga Valley Riverside (2 Total)</p> <p>Riverside (1 Total)</p> <p>Valle Vista (1 Total)</p>
5. Geographic Integrity of Cities and Census Designated Places	<p>Number &amp; Name of Cities Impacted/Split</p> <p>Number &amp; Name of Unincorporated Communities Impacted/Split</p> <p>Number &amp; Name of Tribal Reservations Impacted/Split</p>	<p>Yes</p>
6. Easily identifiable & Understandable Boundaries	<p>Are All Boundaries in Map Identifiable or Understandable? (Include Non-identifiable Areas)</p>	<p>Yes</p>
7. Geographic Compactness	<p>Do Map Boundaries Feature Areas that Bypass Nearby Areas of Population in Favor of More Distant Populations?</p>	<p>No</p>

# California Adjusted Citizen Voting Age Population - TABLE 3

Map 3.2

Walsh v3.2 Redistricting Boundaries

Riverside County

Redistricting Boundaries

Supervisory District Summary

with 2020 US Census Data

Table 3

Hispanic or Latino

California Adjusted Citizen Voting Age Population 2020 US Special Tabulation From the 2015-2019 5-Year American Community Survey (ACS)  
 Table 3. Citizen Population - (CVAP) 2015-2019 5-year American Community Survey (ACS)

District	Total	Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino: American Indian or Alaska Native Alone	Not Hispanic or Latino: Not Hispanic or Latino: Asian Alone	Not Hispanic or Latino: Black or African American Alone	Not Hispanic or Latino: Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander Alone	Not Hispanic or Latino: White Alone	Not Hispanic or Latino: Not Hispanic or Latino: American Indian or Alaska Native and White	Not Hispanic or Latino: Not Hispanic or Latino: Black or African American and White	Not Hispanic or Latino: Not Hispanic or Latino: Indian or Alaska Native and Remainder of Black or Two or More	American Indian or Alaska Native and Remainder of Black or Two or More	Race Responses	Hispanic or Latino
<b>1</b>	432,725	231,919	1,843	28,266	26,880	941	162,848	1,519	4,592	2,692	212	2,222	200,668
	100%	53.6%	0.4%	6.5%	6.2%	0.2%	37.6%	0.4%	1.1%	0.6%	0.0%	0.5%	46.4%
<b>2</b>	415,049	207,970	809	35,417	22,918	801	138,076	1,705	3,702	1,960	112	2,147	207,190
	100%	50.1%	0.2%	8.5%	5.5%	0.2%	33.3%	0.4%	0.9%	0.5%	0.0%	0.5%	49.9%
<b>3</b>	493,090	329,568	2,617	30,119	27,890	3,018	245,895	2,738	7,273	4,057	707	4,565	183,862
	100%	66.8%	0.5%	6.1%	5.7%	0.6%	49.9%	0.6%	1.5%	0.8%	0.1%	0.9%	33.2%
<b>4</b>	399,170	214,440	1,479	10,761	13,763	293	181,581	1,310	1,749	1,455	87	1,007	184,529
	100%	53.7%	0.4%	2.7%	3.4%	0.1%	45.6%	0.3%	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%	0.3%	46.2%
<b>5</b>	416,286	191,890	3,631	18,344	54,508	708	106,825	1,381	1,843	2,815	150	1,402	224,277
	100%	46.1%	0.9%	4.4%	13.1%	0.2%	25.7%	0.3%	0.4%	0.7%	0.0%	0.3%	53.9%
<b>COUNTY TOTAL</b>	2,156,300	1,175,787	10,179	22,906	145,959	5,761	835,625	8,653	19,159	12,889	1,268	11,343	980,556
	100%	54.5%	0.5%	5.7%	6.8%	0.3%	38.8%	0.4%	0.9%	0.6%	0.1%	0.5%	45.5%

Population  
 District 1 432,725  
 District 2 415,049  
 District 3 493,090  
 District 4 399,170  
 District 5 416,266  
 Total Voting age  
 Population  
 2,156,300

Article from the University of California, Berkeley Law School on how to estimate Citizen Voting Age Population for Redistricting.



THE CHIEF JUSTICE EARL WARREN INSTITUTE  
ON LAW AND SOCIAL POLICY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY LAW SCHOOL

REDISTRICTING  
ESTIMATING CITIZEN VOTING AGE POPULATION

Why must the County use Table 3? This table uses the California Adjusted Citizen Voting Age Pop 2020 US Special Tabulation From the 2015-2019 5-Year American Community Survey (ACS).

Table 3. Citizen Population - (CVAP) 2015-2019 5-year American Community Survey(ACS)

Table 4. Uses Citizen Voting Age Population - (CVAP) 2015-2019 5-year American Community Survey (ACS). This analysis does not account for aging of the population sampled, but rather reports individuals at the age they were when data were collected. If a citizen was 13 when the sample was taken 5 years prior they would still appear as 13 years old today even though they are actually 18 and of voting age.

ACS estimates, particularly five-year aggregated data at the block group or tract levels, are best considered a conservative estimate of citizenship rates and CVAP. The actual rates are likely higher.

Although the Census is a 100% count of the population, providing an enumeration of certain population characteristics down to the smallest units of Census geography, the American Community Survey, which reports citizenship data, provides only estimates of population characteristics based on population samples and not available and/or accurate for all groups, particularly at small units of geography

# MAP H WITH CA ADJUSTED POPULATION

Red Population from the 2020 Census data


Total 2020 Census Population 2,418,185

CA Adjusted Population for Riverside County 2,417,438

The adjusted population total is less than the 2020 census total and yet the cities and communities show higher populations.

Moreno Valley 209,667  
 Corona 157,080  
 Riverside 105,456

Committee Population 2,417,438  
 EOTC H v5 Redistricting Boundaries  
 With CA Adjusted Census Population Data




CA adjusted  
Population  
Increased

2020 Census  
Population

# 2020 CENSUS POPULATION MAP

County Population  
2,418,185

2011 Supervisorial District Boundaries  
With 2020 Census Population Data



District	Population 2020	Target Population 2020 % diff.	Varied from 2010 % diff.	Change from 2010
1	172,355	-2.8%	-1.3%	11,377
2	246,282	-20.6%	-1.8%	-17,779
3	304,931	-22.8%	-4.3%	-22,742
4	277,246	-22.8%	-4.5%	-28,739
5	217,725	-20.6%	-7.5%	-34,257
County Total	2,418,185			

CA Adjusted Population	2020 Census Population
District 1	District 1
14,061	14039
15,417	15378
811	809
19,953	19819
5,991	5972
N/A	5,580
26,290	26232
3,161	3,142
9,532	9,463
1,599	1,586
11,112	11,082
12,423	12364
70,516	70265
N/A	4,703
N/A	14,707
36,998	36,998
N/A	2,967
216,452	214,998
N/A	4,000
N/A	6174

# CITY & COMMUNITY POPULATION FOR MAP 3.2

District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5
14,061	105,456	110,239	991	209,667
15,417	69,901	111,188	32,747	790,900
811	22,406	102,795	4,188	54,192
19,953	11,259	90,349	7,147	2,011
5,991	2,653	35,324	44,785	1,977
5,580	157,680	19,529	51,683	6,753
26,290	5,075	3,381	2,420	1,005
3,161	7,544	3,094	8,005	6,529
9,532	100,000	1,377	1,050	5,319
1,599		989	6,669	2,969
11,112		3,083	17,049	5,588
12,423		2,933	8,266	5,527
70,516		6,801	4,762	2,648
4,703			5,131	16,262
14,707			8,951	
36,998			4,212	
2,967			3,764	
216,452			2,625	
4,000			2,700	
6,174			8,244	
			4,484	
			3,600	
			258	
			773	
			1,240	
			542	
			4,170	
			66	
			1,311	
			3,909	
			2,433	
			4,827	
			1,740	
			2,372	
			2,074	
			14,74	
			2,433	
			4,219	
			1,725	
				Moreno Valley
				Perris
				Sa Jacinto
				Romoland
				Lakview
				Nuevo
				Calimesa
				Cherry Valley
				Beaumont
				Banning
				Reche canyon
				Badlands
				Cabazon
				Valle vista



## **COMMUNITY MAP 3.2**

### **KEEPS COMMUNITIES AND CITIES TOGETHER**

**County Created Maps Divide Numerous cities and Communities**

- **THE MOUNTAIN COMMUNITIES OF ANZA, SAGE, AGUANGA**
- **PERRIS AND MORENO VALLEY DIVIDED**
- **JURUPA VALLEY DIVIDED**
- **DE LUZ AND LA CRESTA**
- **MEAD VALLEY, GOOD HOPE AND GREATER LAKE MATHEWS**
- **VALLE VISTA DIVIDED**
- **WINCHESTER, GREEN ACRES AND HOMELAND**
- **RIVERSIDE DIVIDED**

NAACP - Don't split  
no vly + ferris

10:02

①

# Riverside County Board of Supervisors Request to Speak

Submit request to Clerk of Board (right of podium). Speakers are entitled to three (3) minutes, subject to Board Rules listed on the reverse side of this form.

SPEAKER'S NAME: Corey Jackson

Address: 21550 Box Springs Rd

City: Morano Valley Zip: 92557

Phone #: 909-645-8534

Date: 11-16-21 Agenda # Public Hearing No. 5  
Redistricting

### PLEASE STATE YOUR POSITION BELOW:

Position on "Regular" (non-appealed) Agenda Item:

       Support             Oppose             Neutral

**Note:** If you are here for an agenda item that is filed for "Appeal", please state separately your position on the appeal below:

       Support             Oppose             Neutral

I give my 3 minutes to: \_\_\_\_\_

# BOARD RULES

## **Requests to Address Board on "Agenda" Items:**

You may request to be heard on a published agenda item. Requests to be heard must be submitted to the Clerk of the Board before the scheduled meeting time.

## **Requests to Address Board on items that are "NOT" on the Agenda/Public Comment:**

Notwithstanding any other provisions of these rules, a member of the public shall have the right to address the Board during the mid-morning "Oral Communications" segment of the published agenda. Said purpose for address must pertain to issues which are under the direct jurisdiction of the Board of Supervisors. YOUR TIME WILL BE LIMITED TO THREE (3) MINUTES. Donated time is not permitted during Public Comment.

## **Power Point Presentations/Printed Material:**

Speakers who intend to conduct a formalized Power Point presentation or provide printed material must notify the Clerk of the Board's Office by 12 noon on the Monday preceding the Tuesday Board meeting, insuring that the Clerk's Office has sufficient copies of all printed materials and at least one (1) copy of the Power Point CD. Copies of printed material given to the Clerk (by Monday noon deadline) will be provided to each Supervisor. If you have the need to use the overhead "Elmo" projector at the Board meeting, please ensure your material is clear and with proper contrast, notifying the Clerk well ahead of the meeting, of your intent to use the Elmo.

## **Individual Speaker Limits:**

Individual speakers are limited to a maximum of three (3) minutes. Please step up to the podium when the Chairman calls your name and begin speaking immediately. Pull the microphone to your mouth so that the Board, audience, and audio recording system hear you clearly. Once you start speaking, the "green" podium light will light. The "yellow" light will come on when you have one (1) minute remaining. When you have 30 seconds remaining, the "yellow" light will begin to flash, indicating you must quickly wrap up your comments. Your time is up when the "red" light flashes. The Chairman adheres to a strict three (3) minutes per speaker. *Note: If you intend to give your time to a "Group/Organized Presentation", please state so clearly at the very bottom of the reverse side of this form.*

## **Group/Organized Presentations:**

Group/organized presentations with more than one (1) speaker will be limited to nine (9) minutes at the Chairman's discretion. The organizer of the presentation will automatically receive the first three (3) minutes, with the remaining six (6) minutes relinquished by other speakers, as requested by them on a completed "Request to Speak" form, and clearly indicated at the bottom of the form.

## **Addressing the Board & Acknowledgement by Chairman:**

The Chairman will determine what order the speakers will address the Board, and will call on all speakers in pairs. The first speaker should immediately step to the podium and begin addressing the Board. The second speaker should take up a position in one of the chamber aisles in order to quickly step up to the podium after the preceding speaker. This is to afford an efficient and timely Board meeting, giving all attendees the opportunity to make their case. Speakers are prohibited from making personal attacks, and/or using coarse, crude, profane or vulgar language while speaking to the Board members, staff, the general public and/or meeting participants. Such behavior, at the discretion of the Board Chairman, may result in removal from the Board Chambers by Sheriff Deputies.

Suggs MAP H

10:04

2

# Riverside County Board of Supervisors Request to Speak

Submit request to Clerk of Board (right of podium), Speakers are entitled to three (3) minutes, subject to Board Rules listed on the reverse side of this form.

SPEAKER'S NAME: Barbara Paul

Address: 25999 Glen Eden Road

City: Temescal Valley Zip: 92883

Phone #: (951) 818-2580

Date: 11/16/2021 Agenda # #

*re-districting*

PLEASE STATE YOUR POSITION BELOW:

Position on "Regular" (non-appealed) Agenda Item:

Support     Oppose     Neutral

Note: If you are here for an agenda item that is filed for "Appeal", please state separately your position on the appeal below:

Support     Oppose     Neutral

I give my 3 minutes to: \_\_\_\_\_

# BOARD RULES

## **Requests to Address Board on "Agenda" Items:**

You may request to be heard on a published agenda item. Requests to be heard must be submitted to the Clerk of the Board before the scheduled meeting time.

## **Requests to Address Board on items that are "NOT" on the Agenda/Public Comment:**

Notwithstanding any other provisions of these rules, a member of the public shall have the right to address the Board during the mid-morning "Oral Communications" segment of the published agenda. Said purpose for address must pertain to issues which are under the direct jurisdiction of the Board of Supervisors. YOUR TIME WILL BE LIMITED TO THREE (3) MINUTES. Donated time is not permitted during Public Comment.

## **Power Point Presentations/Printed Material:**

Speakers who intend to conduct a formalized Power Point presentation or provide printed material must notify the Clerk of the Board's Office by 12 noon on the Monday preceding the Tuesday Board meeting, insuring that the Clerk's Office has sufficient copies of all printed materials and at least one (1) copy of the Power Point CD. Copies of printed material given to the Clerk (by Monday noon deadline) will be provided to each Supervisor. If you have the need to use the overhead "Elmo" projector at the Board meeting, please ensure your material is clear and with proper contrast, notifying the Clerk well ahead of the meeting, of your intent to use the Elmo.

## **Individual Speaker Limits:**

**Individual speakers are limited to a maximum of three (3) minutes.** Please step up to the podium when the Chairman calls your name and begin speaking immediately. Pull the microphone to your mouth so that the Board, audience, and audio recording system hear you clearly. Once you start speaking, the "green" podium light will light. The "yellow" light will come on when you have one (1) minute remaining. When you have 30 seconds remaining, the "yellow" light will begin to flash, indicating you must quickly wrap up your comments. Your time is up when the "red" light flashes. The Chairman adheres to a strict three (3) minutes per speaker. ***Note: If you intend to give your time to a "Group/Organized Presentation", please state so clearly at the very bottom of the reverse side of this form.***

## **Group/Organized Presentations:**

Group/organized presentations with more than one (1) speaker will be limited to nine (9) minutes at the Chairman's discretion. The organizer of the presentation will automatically receive the first three (3) minutes, with the remaining six (6) minutes relinquished by other speakers, as requested by them on a completed "Request to Speak" form, and clearly indicated at the bottom of the form.

## **Addressing the Board & Acknowledgement by Chairman:**

The Chairman will determine what order the speakers will address the Board, and will call on all speakers in pairs. The first speaker should immediately step to the podium and begin addressing the Board. The second speaker should take up a position in one of the chamber aisles in order to quickly step up to the podium after the preceding speaker. This is to afford an efficient and timely Board meeting, giving all attendees the opportunity to make their case. Speakers are prohibited from making personal attacks, and/or using coarse, crude, profane or vulgar language while speaking to the Board members, staff, the general public and/or meeting participants. Such behavior, at the discretion of the Board Chairman, may result in removal from the Board Chambers by Sheriff Deputies.

Lives in Trilogy  
Supports Map "H" 10:07

(3)

### Riverside County Board of Supervisors Request to Speak

Submit request to Clerk of Board (right of podium), Speakers are entitled to three (3) minutes, subject to Board Rules listed on the reverse side of this form.

SPEAKER'S NAME: Lee Wilson

Address: 24634 Hatton Ln

City: Temescal Valley Zip: 92883

Phone #: 714 612-0123

Date: 11/16/2021 Agenda # Redistricting

**PLEASE STATE YOUR POSITION BELOW:**

Position on "Regular" (non-appealed) Agenda Item:

       Support             Oppose             Neutral

**Note:** If you are here for an agenda item that is filed for "Appeal", please state separately your position on the appeal below:

       Support             Oppose             Neutral

I give my 3 minutes to: \_\_\_\_\_

# BOARD RULES

## **Requests to Address Board on "Agenda" Items:**

You may request to be heard on a published agenda item. Requests to be heard must be submitted to the Clerk of the Board before the scheduled meeting time.

## **Requests to Address Board on items that are "NOT" on the Agenda/Public Comment:**

Notwithstanding any other provisions of these rules, a member of the public shall have the right to address the Board during the mid-morning "Oral Communications" segment of the published agenda. Said purpose for address must pertain to issues which are under the direct jurisdiction of the Board of Supervisors. YOUR TIME WILL BE LIMITED TO THREE (3) MINUTES. Donated time is not permitted during Public Comment.

## **Power Point Presentations/Printed Material:**

Speakers who intend to conduct a formalized Power Point presentation or provide printed material must notify the Clerk of the Board's Office by 12 noon on the Monday preceding the Tuesday Board meeting, insuring that the Clerk's Office has sufficient copies of all printed materials and at least one (1) copy of the Power Point CD. Copies of printed material given to the Clerk (by Monday noon deadline) will be provided to each Supervisor. If you have the need to use the overhead "Elmo" projector at the Board meeting, please ensure your material is clear and with proper contrast, notifying the Clerk well ahead of the meeting, of your intent to use the Elmo.

## **Individual Speaker Limits:**

Individual speakers are limited to a maximum of three (3) minutes. Please step up to the podium when the Chairman calls your name and begin speaking immediately. Pull the microphone to your mouth so that the Board, audience, and audio recording system hear you clearly. Once you start speaking, the "green" podium light will light. The "yellow" light will come on when you have one (1) minute remaining. When you have 30 seconds remaining, the "yellow" light will begin to flash, indicating you must quickly wrap up your comments. Your time is up when the "red" light flashes. The Chairman adheres to a strict three (3) minutes per speaker. *Note: If you intend to give your time to a "Group/Organized Presentation", please state so clearly at the very bottom of the reverse side of this form.*

## **Group/Organized Presentations:**

Group/organized presentations with more than one (1) speaker will be limited to nine (9) minutes at the Chairman's discretion. The organizer of the presentation will automatically receive the first three (3) minutes, with the remaining six (6) minutes relinquished by other speakers, as requested by them on a completed "Request to Speak" form, and clearly indicated at the bottom of the form.

## **Addressing the Board & Acknowledgement by Chairman:**

The Chairman will determine what order the speakers will address the Board, and will call on all speakers in pairs. The first speaker should immediately step to the podium and begin addressing the Board. The second speaker should take up a position in one of the chamber aisles in order to quickly step up to the podium after the preceding speaker. This is to afford an efficient and timely Board meeting, giving all attendees the opportunity to make their case. Speakers are prohibited from making personal attacks, and/or using coarse, crude, profane or vulgar language while speaking to the Board members, staff, the general public and/or meeting participants. Such behavior, at the discretion of the Board Chairman, may result in removal from the Board Chambers by Sheriff Deputies.

Supports Map "H" 10:10

4

# Riverside County Board of Supervisors Request to Speak

Submit request to Clerk of Board (right of podium), Speakers are entitled to three (3) minutes, subject to Board Rules listed on the reverse side of this form.

SPEAKER'S NAME: Mary Witten

Address: 25814 Basil Ct.

City: Corona Zip: 92883-4707  
(Temescal Valley)

Phone #: (714) 420-0016 ★ 17658 ★  
Redistricting

Date: 11/16/21 Agenda # Map H  
9:30 "e"

PLEASE STATE YOUR POSITION BELOW: Attachment C

Position on "Regular" (non-appealed) Agenda Item:

Support  Oppose  Neutral  
(H)

Note: If you are here for an agenda item that is filed for "Appeal", please state separately your position on the appeal below:

Support  Oppose  Neutral

I give my 3 minutes to: \_\_\_\_\_



# BOARD RULES

## **Requests to Address Board on "Agenda" Items:**

You may request to be heard on a published agenda item. Requests to be heard must be submitted to the Clerk of the Board before the scheduled meeting time.

## **Requests to Address Board on items that are "NOT" on the Agenda/Public Comment:**

Notwithstanding any other provisions of these rules, a member of the public shall have the right to address the Board during the mid-morning "Oral Communications" segment of the published agenda. Said purpose for address must pertain to issues which are under the direct jurisdiction of the Board of Supervisors. YOUR TIME WILL BE LIMITED TO THREE (3) MINUTES. Donated time is not permitted during Public Comment.

## **Power Point Presentations/Printed Material:**

Speakers who intend to conduct a formalized Power Point presentation or provide printed material must notify the Clerk of the Board's Office by 12 noon on the Monday preceding the Tuesday Board meeting, insuring that the Clerk's Office has sufficient copies of all printed materials and at least one (1) copy of the Power Point CD. Copies of printed material given to the Clerk (by Monday noon deadline) will be provided to each Supervisor. If you have the need to use the overhead "Elmo" projector at the Board meeting, please ensure your material is clear and with proper contrast, notifying the Clerk well ahead of the meeting, of your intent to use the Elmo.

## **Individual Speaker Limits:**

**Individual speakers are limited to a maximum of three (3) minutes.** Please step up to the podium when the Chairman calls your name and begin speaking immediately. Pull the microphone to your mouth so that the Board, audience, and audio recording system hear you clearly. Once you start speaking, the "green" podium light will light. The "yellow" light will come on when you have one (1) minute remaining. When you have 30 seconds remaining, the "yellow" light will begin to flash, indicating you must quickly wrap up your comments. Your time is up when the "red" light flashes. The Chairman adheres to a strict three (3) minutes per speaker. *Note: If you intend to give your time to a "Group/Organized Presentation", please state so clearly at the very bottom of the reverse side of this form.*

## **Group/Organized Presentations:**

Group/organized presentations with more than one (1) speaker will be limited to nine (9) minutes at the Chairman's discretion. The organizer of the presentation will automatically receive the first three (3) minutes, with the remaining six (6) minutes relinquished by other speakers, as requested by them on a completed "Request to Speak" form, and clearly indicated at the bottom of the form.

## **Addressing the Board & Acknowledgement by Chairman:**

The Chairman will determine what order the speakers will address the Board, and will call on all speakers in pairs. The first speaker should immediately step to the podium and begin addressing the Board. The second speaker should take up a position in one of the chamber aisles in order to quickly step up to the podium after the preceding speaker. This is to afford an efficient and timely Board meeting, giving all attendees the opportunity to make their case. Speakers are prohibited from making personal attacks, and/or using coarse, crude, profane or vulgar language while speaking to the Board members, staff, the general public and/or meeting participants. Such behavior, at the discretion of the Board Chairman, may result in removal from the Board Chambers by Sheriff Deputies.

6

# Riverside County Board of Supervisors Request to Speak

Submit request to Clerk of Board (right of podium), Speakers are entitled to three (3) minutes, subject to Board Rules listed on the reverse side of this form.

SPEAKER'S NAME: JANNLEE WATSON

Address: 23043 SUNROSE ST.

City: TEMESCAL VALLEY Zip: 92883

Phone #: 951-277-0383

Date: 11-19-21 Agenda # 17658 **REDISTRICTING**

### PLEASE STATE YOUR POSITION BELOW:

Position on "Regular" (non-appealed) Agenda Item:

       Support             Oppose       Neutral

**Note:** If you are here for an agenda item that is filed for "Appeal", please state separately your position on the appeal below:

       Support             Oppose             Neutral

I give my 3 minutes to: \_\_\_\_\_

# BOARD RULES

## **Requests to Address Board on "Agenda" Items:**

You may request to be heard on a published agenda item. Requests to be heard must be submitted to the Clerk of the Board before the scheduled meeting time.

## **Requests to Address Board on items that are "NOT" on the Agenda/Public Comment:**

Notwithstanding any other provisions of these rules, a member of the public shall have the right to address the Board during the mid-morning "Oral Communications" segment of the published agenda. Said purpose for address must pertain to issues which are under the direct jurisdiction of the Board of Supervisors. YOUR TIME WILL BE LIMITED TO THREE (3) MINUTES. Donated time is not permitted during Public Comment.

## **Power Point Presentations/Printed Material:**

Speakers who intend to conduct a formalized Power Point presentation or provide printed material must notify the Clerk of the Board's Office by 12 noon on the Monday preceding the Tuesday Board meeting, insuring that the Clerk's Office has sufficient copies of all printed materials and at least one (1) copy of the Power Point CD. Copies of printed material given to the Clerk (by Monday noon deadline) will be provided to each Supervisor. If you have the need to use the overhead "Elmo" projector at the Board meeting, please ensure your material is clear and with proper contrast, notifying the Clerk well ahead of the meeting, of your intent to use the Elmo.

## **Individual Speaker Limits:**

Individual speakers are limited to a maximum of three (3) minutes. Please step up to the podium when the Chairman calls your name and begin speaking immediately. Pull the microphone to your mouth so that the Board, audience, and audio recording system hear you clearly. Once you start speaking, the "green" podium light will light. The "yellow" light will come on when you have one (1) minute remaining. When you have 30 seconds remaining, the "yellow" light will begin to flash, indicating you must quickly wrap up your comments. Your time is up when the "red" light flashes. The Chairman adheres to a strict three (3) minutes per speaker. *Note: If you intend to give your time to a "Group/Organized Presentation", please state so clearly at the very bottom of the reverse side of this form.*

## **Group/Organized Presentations:**

Group/organized presentations with more than one (1) speaker will be limited to nine (9) minutes at the Chairman's discretion. The organizer of the presentation will automatically receive the first three (3) minutes, with the remaining six (6) minutes relinquished by other speakers, as requested by them on a completed "Request to Speak" form, and clearly indicated at the bottom of the form.

## **Addressing the Board & Acknowledgement by Chairman:**

The Chairman will determine what order the speakers will address the Board, and will call on all speakers in pairs. The first speaker should immediately step to the podium and begin addressing the Board. The second speaker should take up a position in one of the chamber aisles in order to quickly step up to the podium after the preceding speaker. This is to afford an efficient and timely Board meeting, giving all attendees the opportunity to make their case. Speakers are prohibited from making personal attacks, and/or using coarse, crude, profane or vulgar language while speaking to the Board members, staff, the general public and/or meeting participants. Such behavior, at the discretion of the Board Chairman, may result in removal from the Board Chambers by Sheriff Deputies.

Vice Chair Monrovia Band of Indians 10:15 (7)

# Riverside County Board of Supervisors Request to Speak

Submit request to Clerk of Board (right of podium), Speakers are entitled to three (3) minutes, subject to Board Rules listed on the reverse side of this form.

SPEAKER'S NAME: James Siva

Address: 12700 Pumarra Road

City: Banning Zip: 92220

Phone #: 951-849-4697

Date: 11/16/21 Agenda # 17658 - Redistricting

### PLEASE STATE YOUR POSITION BELOW:

Position on "Regular" (non-appealed) Agenda Item:

Support       Oppose       Neutral

Note: If you are here for an agenda item that is filed for "Appeal", please state separately your position on the appeal below:

Support       Oppose       Neutral

I give my 3 minutes to: \_\_\_\_\_

# BOARD RULES

## **Requests to Address Board on "Agenda" Items:**

You may request to be heard on a published agenda item. Requests to be heard must be submitted to the Clerk of the Board before the scheduled meeting time.

## **Requests to Address Board on items that are "NOT" on the Agenda/Public Comment:**

Notwithstanding any other provisions of these rules, a member of the public shall have the right to address the Board during the mid-morning "Oral Communications" segment of the published agenda. Said purpose for address must pertain to issues which are under the direct jurisdiction of the Board of Supervisors. YOUR TIME WILL BE LIMITED TO THREE (3) MINUTES. Donated time is not permitted during Public Comment.

## **Power Point Presentations/Printed Material:**

Speakers who intend to conduct a formalized Power Point presentation or provide printed material must notify the Clerk of the Board's Office by 12 noon on the Monday preceding the Tuesday Board meeting, insuring that the Clerk's Office has sufficient copies of all printed materials and at least one (1) copy of the Power Point CD. Copies of printed material given to the Clerk (by Monday noon deadline) will be provided to each Supervisor. If you have the need to use the overhead "Elmo" projector at the Board meeting, please ensure your material is clear and with proper contrast, notifying the Clerk well ahead of the meeting, of your intent to use the Elmo.

## **Individual Speaker Limits:**

Individual speakers are limited to a maximum of three (3) minutes. Please step up to the podium when the Chairman calls your name and begin speaking immediately. Pull the microphone to your mouth so that the Board, audience, and audio recording system hear you clearly. Once you start speaking, the "green" podium light will light. The "yellow" light will come on when you have one (1) minute remaining. When you have 30 seconds remaining, the "yellow" light will begin to flash, indicating you must quickly wrap up your comments. Your time is up when the "red" light flashes. The Chairman adheres to a strict three (3) minutes per speaker. *Note: If you intend to give your time to a "Group/Organized Presentation", please state so clearly at the very bottom of the reverse side of this form.*

## **Group/Organized Presentations:**

Group/organized presentations with more than one (1) speaker will be limited to nine (9) minutes at the Chairman's discretion. The organizer of the presentation will automatically receive the first three (3) minutes, with the remaining six (6) minutes relinquished by other speakers, as requested by them on a completed "Request to Speak" form, and clearly indicated at the bottom of the form.

## **Addressing the Board & Acknowledgement by Chairman:**

The Chairman will determine what order the speakers will address the Board, and will call on all speakers in pairs. The first speaker should immediately step to the podium and begin addressing the Board. The second speaker should take up a position in one of the chamber aisles in order to quickly step up to the podium after the preceding speaker. This is to afford an efficient and timely Board meeting, giving all attendees the opportunity to make their case. Speakers are prohibited from making personal attacks, and/or using coarse, crude, profane or vulgar language while speaking to the Board members, staff, the general public and/or meeting participants. Such behavior, at the discretion of the Board Chairman, may result in removal from the Board Chambers by Sheriff Deputies.

Don't divide Mead Valley 10:18 (8)

## Riverside County Board of Supervisors Request to Speak

Submit request to Clerk of Board (right of podium), Speakers are entitled to three (3) minutes, subject to Board Rules listed on the reverse side of this form.

SPEAKER'S NAME: MAURICEA MARTINEZ

Address: 21600 BAYLETS

City: PERNIS Zip: 92570

Phone #: 951 450 9309

Date: 11-16-2021 Agenda # REGISTRACION DE DISTRITOS

### PLEASE STATE YOUR POSITION BELOW:

Position on "Regular" (non-appealed) Agenda Item:

Support  Oppose  Neutral

**Note:** If you are here for an agenda item that is filed for "Appeal", please state separately your position on the appeal below:

Support  Oppose  Neutral

I give my 3 minutes to: \_\_\_\_\_

# BOARD RULES

## **Requests to Address Board on "Agenda" Items:**

You may request to be heard on a published agenda item. Requests to be heard must be submitted to the Clerk of the Board before the scheduled meeting time.

## **Requests to Address Board on items that are "NOT" on the Agenda/Public Comment:**

Notwithstanding any other provisions of these rules, a member of the public shall have the right to address the Board during the mid-morning "Oral Communications" segment of the published agenda. Said purpose for address must pertain to issues which are under the direct jurisdiction of the Board of Supervisors. YOUR TIME WILL BE LIMITED TO THREE (3) MINUTES. Donated time is not permitted during Public Comment.

## **Power Point Presentations/Printed Material:**

Speakers who intend to conduct a formalized Power Point presentation or provide printed material must notify the Clerk of the Board's Office by 12 noon on the Monday preceding the Tuesday Board meeting, insuring that the Clerk's Office has sufficient copies of all printed materials and at least one (1) copy of the Power Point CD. Copies of printed material given to the Clerk (by Monday noon deadline) will be provided to each Supervisor. If you have the need to use the overhead "Elmo" projector at the Board meeting, please ensure your material is clear and with proper contrast, notifying the Clerk well ahead of the meeting, of your intent to use the Elmo.

## **Individual Speaker Limits:**

Individual speakers are limited to a maximum of three (3) minutes. Please step up to the podium when the Chairman calls your name and begin speaking immediately. Pull the microphone to your mouth so that the Board, audience, and audio recording system hear you clearly. Once you start speaking, the "green" podium light will light. The "yellow" light will come on when you have one (1) minute remaining. When you have 30 seconds remaining, the "yellow" light will begin to flash, indicating you must quickly wrap up your comments. Your time is up when the "red" light flashes. The Chairman adheres to a strict three (3) minutes per speaker. *Note: If you intend to give your time to a "Group/Organized Presentation", please state so clearly at the very bottom of the reverse side of this form.*

## **Group/Organized Presentations:**

Group/organized presentations with more than one (1) speaker will be limited to nine (9) minutes at the Chairman's discretion. The organizer of the presentation will automatically receive the first three (3) minutes, with the remaining six (6) minutes relinquished by other speakers, as requested by them on a completed "Request to Speak" form, and clearly indicated at the bottom of the form.

## **Addressing the Board & Acknowledgement by Chairman:**

The Chairman will determine what order the speakers will address the Board, and will call on all speakers in pairs. The first speaker should immediately step to the podium and begin addressing the Board. The second speaker should take up a position in one of the chamber aisles in order to quickly step up to the podium after the preceding speaker. This is to afford an efficient and timely Board meeting, giving all attendees the opportunity to make their case. Speakers are prohibited from making personal attacks, and/or using coarse, crude, profane or vulgar language while speaking to the Board members, staff, the general public and/or meeting participants. Such behavior, at the discretion of the Board Chairman, may result in removal from the Board Chambers by Sheriff Deputies.

10:24

9

# Riverside County Board of Supervisors Request to Speak

Submit request to Clerk of Board (right of podium), Speakers are entitled to three (3) minutes, subject to Board Rules listed on the reverse side of this form.

SPEAKER'S NAME: Carolyn Horsman

Address: 12700 Pumarra Road

City: Banning Zip: 92220

Phone #: 951-849-4697

Date: 11/16/21 Agenda # 17658-Redistricting

### PLEASE STATE YOUR POSITION BELOW:

Position on "Regular" (non-appealed) Agenda Item:

         Support               Oppose               Neutral

Note: If you are here for an agenda item that is filed for "Appeal", please state separately your position on the appeal below:

         Support               Oppose               Neutral

I give my 3 minutes to: \_\_\_\_\_



# BOARD RULES

## **Requests to Address Board on "Agenda" Items:**

You may request to be heard on a published agenda item. Requests to be heard must be submitted to the Clerk of the Board before the scheduled meeting time.

## **Requests to Address Board on items that are "NOT" on the Agenda/Public Comment:**

Notwithstanding any other provisions of these rules, a member of the public shall have the right to address the Board during the mid-morning "Oral Communications" segment of the published agenda. Said purpose for address must pertain to issues which are under the direct jurisdiction of the Board of Supervisors. YOUR TIME WILL BE LIMITED TO THREE (3) MINUTES. Donated time is not permitted during Public Comment.

## **Power Point Presentations/Printed Material:**

Speakers who intend to conduct a formalized Power Point presentation or provide printed material must notify the Clerk of the Board's Office by 12 noon on the Monday preceding the Tuesday Board meeting, insuring that the Clerk's Office has sufficient copies of all printed materials and at least one (1) copy of the Power Point CD. Copies of printed material given to the Clerk (by Monday noon deadline) will be provided to each Supervisor. If you have the need to use the overhead "Elmo" projector at the Board meeting, please ensure your material is clear and with proper contrast, notifying the Clerk well ahead of the meeting, of your intent to use the Elmo.

## **Individual Speaker Limits:**

Individual speakers are limited to a maximum of three (3) minutes. Please step up to the podium when the Chairman calls your name and begin speaking immediately. Pull the microphone to your mouth so that the Board, audience, and audio recording system hear you clearly. Once you start speaking, the "green" podium light will light. The "yellow" light will come on when you have one (1) minute remaining. When you have 30 seconds remaining, the "yellow" light will begin to flash, indicating you must quickly wrap up your comments. Your time is up when the "red" light flashes. The Chairman adheres to a strict three (3) minutes per speaker. *Note: If you intend to give your time to a "Group/Organized Presentation", please state so clearly at the very bottom of the reverse side of this form.*

## **Group/Organized Presentations:**

Group/organized presentations with more than one (1) speaker will be limited to nine (9) minutes at the Chairman's discretion. The organizer of the presentation will automatically receive the first three (3) minutes, with the remaining six (6) minutes relinquished by other speakers, as requested by them on a completed "Request to Speak" form, and clearly indicated at the bottom of the form.

## **Addressing the Board & Acknowledgement by Chairman:**

The Chairman will determine what order the speakers will address the Board, and will call on all speakers in pairs. The first speaker should immediately step to the podium and begin addressing the Board. The second speaker should take up a position in one of the chamber aisles in order to quickly step up to the podium after the preceding speaker. This is to afford an efficient and timely Board meeting, giving all attendees the opportunity to make their case. Speakers are prohibited from making personal attacks, and/or using coarse, crude, profane or vulgar language while speaking to the Board members, staff, the general public and/or meeting participants. Such behavior, at the discretion of the Board Chairman, may result in removal from the Board Chambers by Sheriff Deputies.

10:26

9 min

10

# Riverside County Board of Supervisors Request to Speak

Submit request to Clerk of Board (right of podium), Speakers are entitled to three (3) minutes, subject to Board Rules listed on the reverse side of this form.

SPEAKER'S NAME: Debbie WALSH

Address: MEAD VALLEY

City: \_\_\_\_\_ Zip: \_\_\_\_\_

Phone #: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: Nov 6, 2011 Agenda # 176.58 Redistricting

### PLEASE STATE YOUR POSITION BELOW:

Position on "Regular" (non-appealed) Agenda Item:

\_\_\_\_\_ Support      \_\_\_\_\_ Oppose      \_\_\_\_\_ Neutral

**Note:** If you are here for an agenda item that is filed for "Appeal", please state separately your position on the appeal below:

\_\_\_\_\_ Support      \_\_\_\_\_ Oppose      \_\_\_\_\_ Neutral

I give my 3 minutes to: \_\_\_\_\_

# BOARD RULES

## **Requests to Address Board on "Agenda" Items:**

You may request to be heard on a published agenda item. Requests to be heard must be submitted to the Clerk of the Board before the scheduled meeting time.

## **Requests to Address Board on items that are "NOT" on the Agenda/Public Comment:**

Notwithstanding any other provisions of these rules, a member of the public shall have the right to address the Board during the mid-morning "Oral Communications" segment of the published agenda. Said purpose for address must pertain to issues which are under the direct jurisdiction of the Board of Supervisors. YOUR TIME WILL BE LIMITED TO THREE (3) MINUTES. Donated time is not permitted during Public Comment.

## **Power Point Presentations/Printed Material:**

Speakers who intend to conduct a formalized Power Point presentation or provide printed material must notify the Clerk of the Board's Office by 12 noon on the Monday preceding the Tuesday Board meeting, insuring that the Clerk's Office has sufficient copies of all printed materials and at least one (1) copy of the Power Point CD. Copies of printed material given to the Clerk (by Monday noon deadline) will be provided to each Supervisor. If you have the need to use the overhead "Elmo" projector at the Board meeting, please ensure your material is clear and with proper contrast, notifying the Clerk well ahead of the meeting, of your intent to use the Elmo.

## **Individual Speaker Limits:**

Individual speakers are limited to a maximum of three (3) minutes. Please step up to the podium when the Chairman calls your name and begin speaking immediately. Pull the microphone to your mouth so that the Board, audience, and audio recording system hear you clearly. Once you start speaking, the "green" podium light will light. The "yellow" light will come on when you have one (1) minute remaining. When you have 30 seconds remaining, the "yellow" light will begin to flash, indicating you must quickly wrap up your comments. Your time is up when the "red" light flashes. The Chairman adheres to a strict three (3) minutes per speaker. *Note: If you intend to give your time to a "Group/Organized Presentation", please state so clearly at the very bottom of the reverse side of this form.*

## **Group/Organized Presentations:**

Group/organized presentations with more than one (1) speaker will be limited to nine (9) minutes at the Chairman's discretion. The organizer of the presentation will automatically receive the first three (3) minutes, with the remaining six (6) minutes relinquished by other speakers, as requested by them on a completed "Request to Speak" form, and clearly indicated at the bottom of the form.

## **Addressing the Board & Acknowledgement by Chairman:**

The Chairman will determine what order the speakers will address the Board, and will call on all speakers in pairs. The first speaker should immediately step to the podium and begin addressing the Board. The second speaker should take up a position in one of the chamber aisles in order to quickly step up to the podium after the preceding speaker. This is to afford an efficient and timely Board meeting, giving all attendees the opportunity to make their case. Speakers are prohibited from making personal attacks, and/or using coarse, crude, profane or vulgar language while speaking to the Board members, staff, the general public and/or meeting participants. Such behavior, at the discretion of the Board Chairman, may result in removal from the Board Chambers by Sheriff Deputies.

# Riverside County Board of Supervisors Request to Speak

11

Submit request to Clerk of Board (right of podium), Speakers are entitled to three (3) minutes, subject to Board Rules listed on the reverse side of this form.

SPEAKER'S NAME: Betty J. Zaverette

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

City: \_\_\_\_\_ Zip: \_\_\_\_\_

Phone #: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: Nov. 16, 2011 Agenda # 176.58 *previously*

PLEASE STATE YOUR POSITION BELOW:

Position on "Regular" (non-appealed) Agenda Item:

Support       Oppose       Neutral

Note: If you are here for an agenda item that is filed for "Appeal", please state separately your position on the appeal below:

Support       Oppose       Neutral

I give my 3 minutes to: Debbi Walsh

# BOARD RULES

## Requests to Address Board on "Agenda" Items:

You may request to be heard on a published agenda item. Requests to be heard must be submitted to the Clerk of the Board before the scheduled meeting time.

## Requests to Address Board on items that are "NOT" on the Agenda/Public Comment:

Notwithstanding any other provisions of these rules, a member of the public shall have the right to address the Board during the mid-morning "Oral Communications" segment of the published agenda. Said purpose for address must pertain to issues which are under the direct jurisdiction of the Board of Supervisors. YOUR TIME WILL BE LIMITED TO THREE (3) MINUTES. Donated time is not permitted during Public Comment.

## Power Point Presentations/Printed Material:

Speakers who intend to conduct a formalized Power Point presentation or provide printed material must notify the Clerk of the Board's Office by 12 noon on the Monday preceding the Tuesday Board meeting, insuring that the Clerk's Office has sufficient copies of all printed materials and at least one (1) copy of the Power Point CD. Copies of printed material given to the Clerk (by Monday noon deadline) will be provided to each Supervisor. If you have the need to use the overhead "Elmo" projector at the Board meeting, please ensure your material is clear and with proper contrast, notifying the Clerk well ahead of the meeting, of your intent to use the Elmo.

## Individual Speaker Limits:

Individual speakers are limited to a maximum of three (3) minutes. Please step up to the podium when the Chairman calls your name and begin speaking immediately. Pull the microphone to your mouth so that the Board, audience, and audio recording system hear you clearly. Once you start speaking, the "green" podium light will light. The "yellow" light will come on when you have one (1) minute remaining. When you have 30 seconds remaining, the "yellow" light will begin to flash, indicating you must quickly wrap up your comments. Your time is up when the "red" light flashes. The Chairman adheres to a strict three (3) minutes per speaker. **Note: If you intend to give your time to a "Group/Organized Presentation", please state so clearly at the very bottom of the reverse side of this form.**

## Group/Organized Presentations:

Group/organized presentations with more than one (1) speaker will be limited to nine (9) minutes at the Chairman's discretion. The organizer of the presentation will automatically receive the first three (3) minutes, with the remaining six (6) minutes relinquished by other speakers, as requested by them on a completed "Request to Speak" form, and clearly indicated at the bottom of the form.

## Addressing the Board & Acknowledgement by Chairman:

The Chairman will determine what order the speakers will address the Board, and will call on all speakers in pairs. The first speaker should immediately step to the podium and begin addressing the Board. The second speaker should take up a position in one of the chamber aisles in order to quickly step up to the podium after the preceding speaker. This is to afford an efficient and timely Board meeting, giving all attendees the opportunity to make their case. Speakers are prohibited from making personal attacks, and/or using coarse, crude, profane or vulgar language while speaking to the Board members, staff, the general public and/or meeting participants. Such behavior, at the discretion of the Board Chairman, may result in removal from the Board Chambers by Sheriff Deputies.

**Riverside County Board of Supervisors  
Request to Speak**

12

Submit request to Clerk of Board (right of podium), Speakers are entitled to three (3) minutes, subject to Board Rules listed on the reverse side of this form.

SPEAKER'S NAME: Alaina Nelson

Address: 17795 Twin Lakes Dr

City: Riverside Zip: 92508

Phone #: (951) 236-2321

Date: 11/16/2021 Agenda # 176-58

*Redistricting*

PLEASE STATE YOUR POSITION BELOW:

Position on "Regular" (non-appealed) Agenda Item:

Support     Oppose     Neutral

Note: If you are here for an agenda item that is filed for "Appeal", please state separately your position on the appeal below:

Support     Oppose     Neutral

I give my 3 minutes to: Debbie Walsh

# BOARD RULES

## **Requests to Address Board on "Agenda" Items:**

You may request to be heard on a published agenda item. Requests to be heard must be submitted to the Clerk of the Board before the scheduled meeting time.

## **Requests to Address Board on items that are "NOT" on the Agenda/Public Comment:**

Notwithstanding any other provisions of these rules, a member of the public shall have the right to address the Board during the mid-morning "Oral Communications" segment of the published agenda. Said purpose for address must pertain to issues which are under the direct jurisdiction of the Board of Supervisors. YOUR TIME WILL BE LIMITED TO THREE (3) MINUTES. Donated time is not permitted during Public Comment.

## **Power Point Presentations/Printed Material:**

Speakers who intend to conduct a formalized Power Point presentation or provide printed material must notify the Clerk of the Board's Office by 12 noon on the Monday preceding the Tuesday Board meeting, insuring that the Clerk's Office has sufficient copies of all printed materials and at least one (1) copy of the Power Point CD. Copies of printed material given to the Clerk (by Monday noon deadline) will be provided to each Supervisor. If you have the need to use the overhead "Elmo" projector at the Board meeting, please ensure your material is clear and with proper contrast, notifying the Clerk well ahead of the meeting, of your intent to use the Elmo.

## **Individual Speaker Limits:**

Individual speakers are limited to a maximum of three (3) minutes. Please step up to the podium when the Chairman calls your name and begin speaking immediately. Pull the microphone to your mouth so that the Board, audience, and audio recording system hear you clearly. Once you start speaking, the "green" podium light will light. The "yellow" light will come on when you have one (1) minute remaining. When you have 30 seconds remaining, the "yellow" light will begin to flash, indicating you must quickly wrap up your comments. Your time is up when the "red" light flashes. The Chairman adheres to a strict three (3) minutes per speaker. *Note: If you intend to give your time to a "Group/Organized Presentation", please state so clearly at the very bottom of the reverse side of this form.*

## **Group/Organized Presentations:**

Group/organized presentations with more than one (1) speaker will be limited to nine (9) minutes at the Chairman's discretion. The organizer of the presentation will automatically receive the first three (3) minutes, with the remaining six (6) minutes relinquished by other speakers, as requested by them on a completed "Request to Speak" form, and clearly indicated at the bottom of the form.

## **Addressing the Board & Acknowledgement by Chairman:**

The Chairman will determine what order the speakers will address the Board, and will call on all speakers in pairs. The first speaker should immediately step to the podium and begin addressing the Board. The second speaker should take up a position in one of the chamber aisles in order to quickly step up to the podium after the preceding speaker. This is to afford an efficient and timely Board meeting, giving all attendees the opportunity to make their case. Speakers are prohibited from making personal attacks, and/or using coarse, crude, profane or vulgar language while speaking to the Board members, staff, the general public and/or meeting participants. Such behavior, at the discretion of the Board Chairman, may result in removal from the Board Chambers by Sheriff Deputies.

Supports Debbie  
Walsh's map

10:33

(B)

## Riverside County Board of Supervisors Request to Speak

Submit request to Clerk of Board (right of podium), Speakers are entitled to three (3) minutes, subject to Board Rules listed on the reverse side of this form.

SPEAKER'S NAME: Eleni Malandrinos

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

City: \_\_\_\_\_ Zip: 9

Phone #: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: Nov. 16 Agenda # ReDistang

### PLEASE STATE YOUR POSITION BELOW:

Position on "Regular" (non-appealed) Agenda Item:

\_\_\_\_\_ Support \_\_\_\_\_ Oppose \_\_\_\_\_ Neutral

**Note:** If you are here for an agenda item that is filed for "Appeal", please state separately your position on the appeal below:

\_\_\_\_\_ Support \_\_\_\_\_ Oppose \_\_\_\_\_ Neutral

I give my 3 minutes to: ~~\_\_\_\_\_~~ Debbie Walsh



# BOARD RULES

## **Requests to Address Board on "Agenda" Items:**

You may request to be heard on a published agenda item. Requests to be heard must be submitted to the Clerk of the Board before the scheduled meeting time.

## **Requests to Address Board on items that are "NOT" on the Agenda/Public Comment:**

Notwithstanding any other provisions of these rules, a member of the public shall have the right to address the Board during the mid-morning "Oral Communications" segment of the published agenda. Said purpose for address must pertain to issues which are under the direct jurisdiction of the Board of Supervisors. YOUR TIME WILL BE LIMITED TO THREE (3) MINUTES. Donated time is not permitted during Public Comment.

## **Power Point Presentations/Printed Material:**

Speakers who intend to conduct a formalized Power Point presentation or provide printed material must notify the Clerk of the Board's Office by 12 noon on the Monday preceding the Tuesday Board meeting, insuring that the Clerk's Office has sufficient copies of all printed materials and at least one (1) copy of the Power Point CD. Copies of printed material given to the Clerk (by Monday noon deadline) will be provided to each Supervisor. If you have the need to use the overhead "Elmo" projector at the Board meeting, please ensure your material is clear and with proper contrast, notifying the Clerk well ahead of the meeting, of your intent to use the Elmo.

## **Individual Speaker Limits:**

Individual speakers are limited to a maximum of three (3) minutes. Please step up to the podium when the Chairman calls your name and begin speaking immediately. Pull the microphone to your mouth so that the Board, audience, and audio recording system hear you clearly. Once you start speaking, the "green" podium light will light. The "yellow" light will come on when you have one (1) minute remaining. When you have 30 seconds remaining, the "yellow" light will begin to flash, indicating you must quickly wrap up your comments. Your time is up when the "red" light flashes. The Chairman adheres to a strict three (3) minutes per speaker. *Note: If you intend to give your time to a "Group/Organized Presentation", please state so clearly at the very bottom of the reverse side of this form.*

## **Group/Organized Presentations:**

Group/organized presentations with more than one (1) speaker will be limited to nine (9) minutes at the Chairman's discretion. The organizer of the presentation will automatically receive the first three (3) minutes, with the remaining six (6) minutes relinquished by other speakers, as requested by them on a completed "Request to Speak" form, and clearly indicated at the bottom of the form.

## **Addressing the Board & Acknowledgement by Chairman:**

The Chairman will determine what order the speakers will address the Board, and will call on all speakers in pairs. The first speaker should immediately step to the podium and begin addressing the Board. The second speaker should take up a position in one of the chamber aisles in order to quickly step up to the podium after the preceding speaker. This is to afford an efficient and timely Board meeting, giving all attendees the opportunity to make their case. Speakers are prohibited from making personal attacks, and/or using coarse, crude, profane or vulgar language while speaking to the Board members, staff, the general public and/or meeting participants. Such behavior, at the discretion of the Board Chairman, may result in removal from the Board Chambers by Sheriff Deputies.

Supporting Map "H"

10:35 (4)

# Riverside County Board of Supervisors Request to Speak

Submit request to Clerk of Board (right of podium), Speakers are entitled to three (3) minutes, subject to Board Rules listed on the reverse side of this form.

SPEAKER'S NAME: JERRY SINEICH

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

City: TENESCA VALLEY Zip: \_\_\_\_\_

Phone #: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: 11/16/2021 Agenda # 17658 Redistricting

### PLEASE STATE YOUR POSITION BELOW:

Position on "Regular" (non-appealed) Agenda Item:

X <sup>Map H</sup> Support      \_\_\_\_\_ Oppose      \_\_\_\_\_ Neutral

Note: If you are here for an agenda item that is filed for "Appeal", please state separately your position on the appeal below:

\_\_\_\_\_ Support      \_\_\_\_\_ Oppose      \_\_\_\_\_ Neutral

I give my 3 minutes to: \_\_\_\_\_

# BOARD RULES

## **Requests to Address Board on "Agenda" Items:**

You may request to be heard on a published agenda item. Requests to be heard must be submitted to the Clerk of the Board before the scheduled meeting time.

## **Requests to Address Board on items that are "NOT" on the Agenda/Public Comment:**

Notwithstanding any other provisions of these rules, a member of the public shall have the right to address the Board during the mid-morning "Oral Communications" segment of the published agenda. Said purpose for address must pertain to issues which are under the direct jurisdiction of the Board of Supervisors. YOUR TIME WILL BE LIMITED TO THREE (3) MINUTES. Donated time is not permitted during Public Comment.

## **Power Point Presentations/Printed Material:**

Speakers who intend to conduct a formalized Power Point presentation or provide printed material must notify the Clerk of the Board's Office by 12 noon on the Monday preceding the Tuesday Board meeting, insuring that the Clerk's Office has sufficient copies of all printed materials and at least one (1) copy of the Power Point CD. Copies of printed material given to the Clerk (by Monday noon deadline) will be provided to each Supervisor. If you have the need to use the overhead "Elmo" projector at the Board meeting, please ensure your material is clear and with proper contrast, notifying the Clerk well ahead of the meeting, of your intent to use the Elmo.

## **Individual Speaker Limits:**

**Individual speakers are limited to a maximum of three (3) minutes.** Please step up to the podium when the Chairman calls your name and begin speaking immediately. Pull the microphone to your mouth so that the Board, audience, and audio recording system hear you clearly. Once you start speaking, the "green" podium light will light. The "yellow" light will come on when you have one (1) minute remaining. When you have 30 seconds remaining, the "yellow" light will begin to flash, indicating you must quickly wrap up your comments. Your time is up when the "red" light flashes. The Chairman adheres to a strict three (3) minutes per speaker. *Note: If you intend to give your time to a "Group/Organized Presentation", please state so clearly at the very bottom of the reverse side of this form.*

## **Group/Organized Presentations:**

Group/organized presentations with more than one (1) speaker will be limited to nine (9) minutes at the Chairman's discretion. The organizer of the presentation will automatically receive the first three (3) minutes, with the remaining six (6) minutes relinquished by other speakers, as requested by them on a completed "Request to Speak" form, and clearly indicated at the bottom of the form.

## **Addressing the Board & Acknowledgement by Chairman:**

The Chairman will determine what order the speakers will address the Board, and will call on all speakers in pairs. The first speaker should immediately step to the podium and begin addressing the Board. The second speaker should take up a position in one of the chamber aisles in order to quickly step up to the podium after the preceding speaker. This is to afford an efficient and timely Board meeting, giving all attendees the opportunity to make their case. Speakers are prohibited from making personal attacks, and/or using coarse, crude, profane or vulgar language while speaking to the Board members, staff, the general public and/or meeting participants. Such behavior, at the discretion of the Board Chairman, may result in removal from the Board Chambers by Sheriff Deputies.

10:37

lemins

15

# Riverside County Board of Supervisors Request to Speak

Submit request to Clerk of Board (right of podium), Speakers are entitled to three (3) minutes, subject to Board Rules listed on the reverse side of this form.

Submit request to Clerk of Board (right of podium), Speakers are entitled to three (3) minutes, subject to Board Rules listed on the reverse side of this form.

SPEAKER'S NAME: Roy Buckner

SPEAKER'S

Address: \_\_\_\_\_ Zip: \_\_\_\_\_

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

City: \_\_\_\_\_

City: \_\_\_\_\_

Phone #: \_\_\_\_\_

Phone #: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Agenda # 176.58  
REDISTRIBUTION

PLEASE STATE YOUR POSITION BELOW:

PLEASE STATE YOUR POSITION

Position on "Regular" (non-appealed) Agenda Item: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_ Support \_\_\_\_\_ Oppose \_\_\_\_\_ Neutral

Note: If you are here for an agenda item that is filed for "Appeal", please state separately your position on the appeal below:

Note: If you are here for an agenda item that is filed for "Appeal", please state separately your position on the appeal below:

\_\_\_\_\_ Support \_\_\_\_\_ Oppose \_\_\_\_\_ Neutral

I give my 3 minutes to: \_\_\_\_\_

I give my 3 minutes to: \_\_\_\_\_

Roy Buckner

# BOARD RULES

**Requests to Address Board on "Agenda" Items:**  
You may request to be heard on a published agenda item to the Clerk of the Board before the scheduled meeting time.

**Requests to Address Board on items that are "NOT" on the Agenda/Public Comment:**  
Notwithstanding any other provisions of these rules, a member of the public shall have the right to address the Board during the mid-morning "Oral Communications" segment of the published agenda. Said purpose for address must pertain to issues which are under the direct jurisdiction of the Board of Supervisors. YOUR TIME WILL BE LIMITED TO THREE (3) MINUTES. Donated time is not permitted during Public Comment.

## BOARD RULES

### **Requests to Address Board on "Agenda" Items:**

You may request to be heard on a published agenda item. Requests to be heard must be submitted to the Clerk of the Board before the scheduled meeting time.

### **Requests to Address Board on items that are "NOT" on the Agenda/Public Comment:**

Notwithstanding any other provisions of these rules, a member of the public shall have the right to address the Board during the mid-morning "Oral Communications" segment of the published agenda. Said purpose for address must pertain to issues which are under the direct jurisdiction of the Board of Supervisors. YOUR TIME WILL BE LIMITED TO THREE (3) MINUTES. Donated time is not permitted during Public Comment.

### **Power Point Presentations/Printed Material:**

Speakers who intend to conduct a formalized Power Point presentation or provide printed material must notify the Clerk of the Board's Office by 12 noon on the Monday preceding the Tuesday Board meeting, insuring that the Clerk's Office has sufficient copies of all printed materials and at least one (1) copy of the Power Point CD. Copies of printed material given to the Clerk (by Monday noon deadline) will be provided to each Supervisor. If you have the need to use the overhead "Elmo" projector at the Board meeting, please ensure your material is clear and with proper contrast, notifying the Clerk well ahead of the meeting, of your intent to use the Elmo.

### **Individual Speaker Limits:**

**Individual speakers are limited to a maximum of three (3) minutes.** Please step up to the podium when the Chairman calls your name and begin speaking immediately. Pull the microphone to your mouth so that the Board, audience, and audio recording system hear you clearly. Once you start speaking, the "green" podium light will light. The "yellow" light will come on when you have one (1) minute remaining. When you have 30 seconds remaining, the "yellow" light will begin to flash, indicating you must quickly wrap up your comments. Your time is up when the "red" light flashes. The Chairman adheres to a strict three (3) minutes per speaker. **Note: If you intend to give your time to a "Group/Organized Presentation", please state so clearly at the very bottom of the reverse side of this form.**

### **Group/Organized Presentations:**

Group/organized presentations with more than one (1) speaker will be limited to nine (9) minutes at the Chairman's discretion. The organizer of the presentation will automatically receive the first three (3) minutes, with the remaining six (6) minutes relinquished by other speakers, as requested by them on a completed "Request to Speak" form, and clearly indicated at the bottom of the form.

### **Addressing the Board & Acknowledgement by Chairman:**

The Chairman will determine what order the speakers will address the Board, and will call on all speakers in pairs. The first speaker should immediately step to the podium and begin addressing the Board. The second speaker should take up a position in one of the chamber aisles in order to quickly step up to the podium after the preceding speaker. This is to afford an efficient and timely Board meeting, giving all attendees the opportunity to make their case. Speakers are prohibited from making personal attacks, and/or using coarse, crude, profane or vulgar language while speaking to the Board members, staff, the general public and/or meeting participants. Such behavior, at the discretion of the Board Chairman, may result in removal from the Board Chambers by Sheriff Deputies.

### **Power**

Speaker must not meeting, in one (1) copy deadline) will projector at th notifying the Cle

### **Individual Speaker**

Individual speakers when the Chairman c mouth so that the Boa speaking, the "green" p (1) minute remaining. Wh indicating you must quickly The Chairman adheres to a time to a "Group/Organized reverse side of this form.

### **Group/Organized Presentation**

Group/organized presentations wit at the Chairman's discretion. The or three (3) minutes, with the remaining by them on a completed "Request to Sp

### **Addressing the Board & Acknowledg**

The Chairman will determine what order t speakers in pairs. The first speaker should i the Board. The second speaker should take u to quickly step up to the podium after the pr timely Board meeting, giving all attendees the prohibited from making personal attacks, and/or while speaking to the Board members, staff, the ge behavior, at the discretion of the Board Chairman, m by Sheriff Deputies.

Need to speak before  
Maribel Nunez

**Riverside County Board of Supervisors**

**Request to Speak**

(118)

provided the  
a map to BOS (only 5 copies) 10:44

Submit request to Clerk of Board (right of podium), Speakers are entitled to three (3) minutes, subject to Board Rules listed on the reverse side of this form.

SPEAKER'S NAME: Lupe Camacho

Address: 112 E. Third St

City: Rialto Zip: 92376

Phone #: 909-821-6463

Date: 11/16/21 Agenda # Red. Public Hearings

**PLEASE STATE YOUR POSITION BELOW:**

Position on "Regular" (non-appealed) Agenda Item:

       Support             Oppose             Neutral

**Note:** If you are here for an agenda item that is filed for "Appeal", please state separately your position on the appeal below:

       Support             Oppose             Neutral

I give my 3 minutes to: ~~Maribel Nunez~~

# BOARD RULES

## **Requests to Address Board on "Agenda" Items:**

You may request to be heard on a published agenda item. Requests to be heard must be submitted to the Clerk of the Board before the scheduled meeting time.

## **Requests to Address Board on items that are "NOT" on the Agenda/Public Comment:**

Notwithstanding any other provisions of these rules, a member of the public shall have the right to address the Board during the mid-morning "Oral Communications" segment of the published agenda. Said purpose for address must pertain to issues which are under the direct jurisdiction of the Board of Supervisors. YOUR TIME WILL BE LIMITED TO THREE (3) MINUTES. Donated time is not permitted during Public Comment.

## **Power Point Presentations/Printed Material:**

Speakers who intend to conduct a formalized Power Point presentation or provide printed material must notify the Clerk of the Board's Office by 12 noon on the Monday preceding the Tuesday Board meeting, insuring that the Clerk's Office has sufficient copies of all printed materials and at least one (1) copy of the Power Point CD. Copies of printed material given to the Clerk (by Monday noon deadline) will be provided to each Supervisor. If you have the need to use the overhead "Elmo" projector at the Board meeting, please ensure your material is clear and with proper contrast, notifying the Clerk well ahead of the meeting, of your intent to use the Elmo.

## **Individual Speaker Limits:**

Individual speakers are limited to a maximum of three (3) minutes. Please step up to the podium when the Chairman calls your name and begin speaking immediately. Pull the microphone to your mouth so that the Board, audience, and audio recording system hear you clearly. Once you start speaking, the "green" podium light will light. The "yellow" light will come on when you have one (1) minute remaining. When you have 30 seconds remaining, the "yellow" light will begin to flash, indicating you must quickly wrap up your comments. Your time is up when the "red" light flashes. The Chairman adheres to a strict three (3) minutes per speaker. *Note: If you intend to give your time to a "Group/Organized Presentation", please state so clearly at the very bottom of the reverse side of this form.*

## **Group/Organized Presentations:**

Group/organized presentations with more than one (1) speaker will be limited to nine (9) minutes at the Chairman's discretion. The organizer of the presentation will automatically receive the first three (3) minutes, with the remaining six (6) minutes relinquished by other speakers, as requested by them on a completed "Request to Speak" form, and clearly indicated at the bottom of the form.

## **Addressing the Board & Acknowledgement by Chairman:**

The Chairman will determine what order the speakers will address the Board, and will call on all speakers in pairs. The first speaker should immediately step to the podium and begin addressing the Board. The second speaker should take up a position in one of the chamber aisles in order to quickly step up to the podium after the preceding speaker. This is to afford an efficient and timely Board meeting, giving all attendees the opportunity to make their case. Speakers are prohibited from making personal attacks, and/or using coarse, crude, profane or vulgar language while speaking to the Board members, staff, the general public and/or meeting participants. Such behavior, at the discretion of the Board Chairman, may result in removal from the Board Chambers by Sheriff Deputies.

Submitted a document (only 5 copies) 10:49

5

# Riverside County Board of Supervisors Request to Speak

Submit request to Clerk of Board (right of podium), Speakers are entitled to three (3) minutes, subject to Board Rules listed on the reverse side of this form.

SPEAKER'S NAME: Maribel Nunez

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

City: Riverside Zip: 92501

Phone #: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: 11/16/21 Agenda # 9:30 Am Redistricting

PLEASE STATE YOUR POSITION BELOW:

Public Hearing  
Copies of Maps

Position on "Regular" (non-appealed) Agenda Item:

\_\_\_\_\_ Support    \_\_\_\_\_ Oppose    X Neutral

**Note:** If you are here for an agenda item that is filed for "Appeal", please state separately your position on the appeal below:

\_\_\_\_\_ Support    \_\_\_\_\_ Oppose    \_\_\_\_\_ Neutral

I give my 3 minutes to: \_\_\_\_\_



# BOARD RULES

## **Requests to Address Board on "Agenda" Items:**

You may request to be heard on a published agenda item. Requests to be heard must be submitted to the Clerk of the Board before the scheduled meeting time.

## **Requests to Address Board on items that are "NOT" on the Agenda/Public Comment:**

Notwithstanding any other provisions of these rules, a member of the public shall have the right to address the Board during the mid-morning "Oral Communications" segment of the published agenda. Said purpose for address must pertain to issues which are under the direct jurisdiction of the Board of Supervisors. YOUR TIME WILL BE LIMITED TO THREE (3) MINUTES. Donated time is not permitted during Public Comment.

## **Power Point Presentations/Printed Material:**

Speakers who intend to conduct a formalized Power Point presentation or provide printed material must notify the Clerk of the Board's Office by 12 noon on the Monday preceding the Tuesday Board meeting, insuring that the Clerk's Office has sufficient copies of all printed materials and at least one (1) copy of the Power Point CD. Copies of printed material given to the Clerk (by Monday noon deadline) will be provided to each Supervisor. If you have the need to use the overhead "Elmo" projector at the Board meeting, please ensure your material is clear and with proper contrast, notifying the Clerk well ahead of the meeting, of your intent to use the Elmo.

## **Individual Speaker Limits:**

**Individual speakers are limited to a maximum of three (3) minutes.** Please step up to the podium when the Chairman calls your name and begin speaking immediately. Pull the microphone to your mouth so that the Board, audience, and audio recording system hear you clearly. Once you start speaking, the "green" podium light will light. The "yellow" light will come on when you have one (1) minute remaining. When you have 30 seconds remaining, the "yellow" light will begin to flash, indicating you must quickly wrap up your comments. Your time is up when the "red" light flashes. The Chairman adheres to a strict three (3) minutes per speaker. *Note: If you intend to give your time to a "Group/Organized Presentation", please state so clearly at the very bottom of the reverse side of this form.*

## **Group/Organized Presentations:**

Group/organized presentations with more than one (1) speaker will be limited to nine (9) minutes at the Chairman's discretion. The organizer of the presentation will automatically receive the first three (3) minutes, with the remaining six (6) minutes relinquished by other speakers, as requested by them on a completed "Request to Speak" form, and clearly indicated at the bottom of the form.

## **Addressing the Board & Acknowledgement by Chairman:**

The Chairman will determine what order the speakers will address the Board, and will call on all speakers in pairs. The first speaker should immediately step to the podium and begin addressing the Board. The second speaker should take up a position in one of the chamber aisles in order to quickly step up to the podium after the preceding speaker. This is to afford an efficient and timely Board meeting, giving all attendees the opportunity to make their case. Speakers are prohibited from making personal attacks, and/or using coarse, crude, profane or vulgar language while speaking to the Board members, staff, the general public and/or meeting participants. Such behavior, at the discretion of the Board Chairman, may result in removal from the Board Chambers by Sheriff Deputies.

Do not split up communities  
(Mead Vly)

10:58

19

## Riverside County Board of Supervisors Request to Speak

Submit request to Clerk of Board (right of podium), Speakers are entitled to three (3) minutes, subject to Board Rules listed on the reverse side of this form.

SPEAKER'S NAME: Jolanda Williams

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

City: PERRIS Zip: 92570

Phone #: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: 11/16/2021 Agenda # \_\_\_\_\_

### PLEASE STATE YOUR POSITION BELOW:

Position on "Regular" (non-appealed) Agenda Item:

\_\_\_\_\_ Support \_\_\_\_\_ Oppose \_\_\_\_\_ Neutral

**Note:** If you are here for an agenda item that is filed for "Appeal", please state separately your position on the appeal below:

\_\_\_\_\_ Support \_\_\_\_\_ Oppose \_\_\_\_\_ Neutral

I give my 3 minutes to: \_\_\_\_\_

# BOARD RULES

## **Requests to Address Board on "Agenda" Items:**

You may request to be heard on a published agenda item. Requests to be heard must be submitted to the Clerk of the Board before the scheduled meeting time.

## **Requests to Address Board on items that are "NOT" on the Agenda/Public Comment:**

Notwithstanding any other provisions of these rules, a member of the public shall have the right to address the Board during the mid-morning "Oral Communications" segment of the published agenda. Said purpose for address must pertain to issues which are under the direct jurisdiction of the Board of Supervisors. YOUR TIME WILL BE LIMITED TO THREE (3) MINUTES. Donated time is not permitted during Public Comment.

## **Power Point Presentations/Printed Material:**

Speakers who intend to conduct a formalized Power Point presentation or provide printed material must notify the Clerk of the Board's Office by 12 noon on the Monday preceding the Tuesday Board meeting, insuring that the Clerk's Office has sufficient copies of all printed materials and at least one (1) copy of the Power Point CD. Copies of printed material given to the Clerk (by Monday noon deadline) will be provided to each Supervisor. If you have the need to use the overhead "Elmo" projector at the Board meeting, please ensure your material is clear and with proper contrast, notifying the Clerk well ahead of the meeting, of your intent to use the Elmo.

## **Individual Speaker Limits:**

Individual speakers are limited to a maximum of three (3) minutes. Please step up to the podium when the Chairman calls your name and begin speaking immediately. Pull the microphone to your mouth so that the Board, audience, and audio recording system hear you clearly. Once you start speaking, the "green" podium light will light. The "yellow" light will come on when you have one (1) minute remaining. When you have 30 seconds remaining, the "yellow" light will begin to flash, indicating you must quickly wrap up your comments. Your time is up when the "red" light flashes. The Chairman adheres to a strict three (3) minutes per speaker. **Note: If you intend to give your time to a "Group/Organized Presentation", please state so clearly at the very bottom of the reverse side of this form.**

## **Group/Organized Presentations:**

Group/organized presentations with more than one (1) speaker will be limited to nine (9) minutes at the Chairman's discretion. The organizer of the presentation will automatically receive the first three (3) minutes, with the remaining six (6) minutes relinquished by other speakers, as requested by them on a completed "Request to Speak" form, and clearly indicated at the bottom of the form.

## **Addressing the Board & Acknowledgement by Chairman:**

The Chairman will determine what order the speakers will address the Board, and will call on all speakers in pairs. The first speaker should immediately step to the podium and begin addressing the Board. The second speaker should take up a position in one of the chamber aisles in order to quickly step up to the podium after the preceding speaker. This is to afford an efficient and timely Board meeting, giving all attendees the opportunity to make their case. Speakers are prohibited from making personal attacks, and/or using coarse, crude, profane or vulgar language while speaking to the Board members, staff, the general public and/or meeting participants. Such behavior, at the discretion of the Board Chairman, may result in removal from the Board Chambers by Sheriff Deputies.

Wants to stay  
in District 1.

11:01

(20)

# Riverside County Board of Supervisors Request to Speak

Submit request to Clerk of Board (right of podium), Speakers are entitled to three (3) minutes, subject to Board Rules listed on the reverse side of this form.

SPEAKER'S NAME: Sonya Alendar

Address: \_\_\_\_\_

City: Woodcrest Zip: 92508

Phone #: 9518928897

Date: 11/14/2021 Agenda # Redistricting

### PLEASE STATE YOUR POSITION BELOW:

Position on "Regular" (non-appealed) Agenda Item:

\_\_\_\_\_ Support    \_\_\_\_\_ Oppose    X \_\_\_\_\_ Neutral

Note: If you are here for an agenda item that is filed for "Appeal", please state separately your position on the appeal below:

\_\_\_\_\_ Support    \_\_\_\_\_ Oppose    \_\_\_\_\_ Neutral

I give my 3 minutes to: \_\_\_\_\_

# BOARD RULES

## **Requests to Address Board on "Agenda" Items:**

You may request to be heard on a published agenda item. Requests to be heard must be submitted to the Clerk of the Board before the scheduled meeting time.

## **Requests to Address Board on items that are "NOT" on the Agenda/Public Comment:**

Notwithstanding any other provisions of these rules, a member of the public shall have the right to address the Board during the mid-morning "Oral Communications" segment of the published agenda. Said purpose for address must pertain to issues which are under the direct jurisdiction of the Board of Supervisors. YOUR TIME WILL BE LIMITED TO THREE (3) MINUTES. Donated time is not permitted during Public Comment.

## **Power Point Presentations/Printed Material:**

Speakers who intend to conduct a formalized Power Point presentation or provide printed material must notify the Clerk of the Board's Office by 12 noon on the Monday preceding the Tuesday Board meeting, insuring that the Clerk's Office has sufficient copies of all printed materials and at least one (1) copy of the Power Point CD. Copies of printed material given to the Clerk (by Monday noon deadline) will be provided to each Supervisor. If you have the need to use the overhead "Elmo" projector at the Board meeting, please ensure your material is clear and with proper contrast, notifying the Clerk well ahead of the meeting, of your intent to use the Elmo.

## **Individual Speaker Limits:**

Individual speakers are limited to a maximum of three (3) minutes. Please step up to the podium when the Chairman calls your name and begin speaking immediately. Pull the microphone to your mouth so that the Board, audience, and audio recording system hear you clearly. Once you start speaking, the "green" podium light will light. The "yellow" light will come on when you have one (1) minute remaining. When you have 30 seconds remaining, the "yellow" light will begin to flash, indicating you must quickly wrap up your comments. Your time is up when the "red" light flashes. The Chairman adheres to a strict three (3) minutes per speaker. *Note: If you intend to give your time to a "Group/Organized Presentation", please state so clearly at the very bottom of the reverse side of this form.*

## **Group/Organized Presentations:**

Group/organized presentations with more than one (1) speaker will be limited to nine (9) minutes at the Chairman's discretion. The organizer of the presentation will automatically receive the first three (3) minutes, with the remaining six (6) minutes relinquished by other speakers, as requested by them on a completed "Request to Speak" form, and clearly indicated at the bottom of the form.

## **Addressing the Board & Acknowledgement by Chairman:**

The Chairman will determine what order the speakers will address the Board, and will call on all speakers in pairs. The first speaker should immediately step to the podium and begin addressing the Board. The second speaker should take up a position in one of the chamber aisles in order to quickly step up to the podium after the preceding speaker. This is to afford an efficient and timely Board meeting, giving all attendees the opportunity to make their case. Speakers are prohibited from making personal attacks, and/or using coarse, crude, profane or vulgar language while speaking to the Board members, staff, the general public and/or meeting participants. Such behavior, at the discretion of the Board Chairman, may result in removal from the Board Chambers by Sheriff Deputies.

Did not speak (17)

(Was not in the room)

# Riverside County Board of Supervisors Request to Speak

Submit request to Clerk of Board (right of podium), Speakers are entitled to three (3) minutes, subject to Board Rules listed on the reverse side of this form.

SPEAKER'S NAME: Bonnie Standing

Address: 17581 Haines St

City: Perris Zip: 92570

Phone #: 951-907-4603

Date: 11-16-21 Agenda # 17658  
redistricting

### PLEASE STATE YOUR POSITION BELOW:

Position on "Regular" (non-appealed) Agenda Item:

       Support             Oppose             Neutral

**Note:** If you are here for an agenda item that is filed for "Appeal", please state separately your position on the appeal below:

       Support             Oppose             Neutral

I give my 3 minutes to: \_\_\_\_\_

# BOARD RULES

## **Requests to Address Board on "Agenda" Items:**

You may request to be heard on a published agenda item. Requests to be heard must be submitted to the Clerk of the Board before the scheduled meeting time.

## **Requests to Address Board on items that are "NOT" on the Agenda/Public Comment:**

Notwithstanding any other provisions of these rules, a member of the public shall have the right to address the Board during the mid-morning "Oral Communications" segment of the published agenda. Said purpose for address must pertain to issues which are under the direct jurisdiction of the Board of Supervisors. YOUR TIME WILL BE LIMITED TO THREE (3) MINUTES. Donated time is not permitted during Public Comment.

## **Power Point Presentations/Printed Material:**

Speakers who intend to conduct a formalized Power Point presentation or provide printed material must notify the Clerk of the Board's Office by 12 noon on the Monday preceding the Tuesday Board meeting, insuring that the Clerk's Office has sufficient copies of all printed materials and at least one (1) copy of the Power Point CD. Copies of printed material given to the Clerk (by Monday noon deadline) will be provided to each Supervisor. If you have the need to use the overhead "Elmo" projector at the Board meeting, please ensure your material is clear and with proper contrast, notifying the Clerk well ahead of the meeting, of your intent to use the Elmo.

## **Individual Speaker Limits:**

Individual speakers are limited to a maximum of three (3) minutes. Please step up to the podium when the Chairman calls your name and begin speaking immediately. Pull the microphone to your mouth so that the Board, audience, and audio recording system hear you clearly. Once you start speaking, the "green" podium light will light. The "yellow" light will come on when you have one (1) minute remaining. When you have 30 seconds remaining, the "yellow" light will begin to flash, indicating you must quickly wrap up your comments. Your time is up when the "red" light flashes. The Chairman adheres to a strict three (3) minutes per speaker. *Note: If you intend to give your time to a "Group/Organized Presentation", please state so clearly at the very bottom of the reverse side of this form.*


## **Group/Organized Presentations:**

Group/organized presentations with more than one (1) speaker will be limited to nine (9) minutes at the Chairman's discretion. The organizer of the presentation will automatically receive the first three (3) minutes, with the remaining six (6) minutes relinquished by other speakers, as requested by them on a completed "Request to Speak" form, and clearly indicated at the bottom of the form.

## **Addressing the Board & Acknowledgement by Chairman:**

The Chairman will determine what order the speakers will address the Board, and will call on all speakers in pairs. The first speaker should immediately step to the podium and begin addressing the Board. The second speaker should take up a position in one of the chamber aisles in order to quickly step up to the podium after the preceding speaker. This is to afford an efficient and timely Board meeting, giving all attendees the opportunity to make their case. Speakers are prohibited from making personal attacks, and/or using coarse, crude, profane or vulgar language while speaking to the Board members, staff, the general public and/or meeting participants. Such behavior, at the discretion of the Board Chairman, may result in removal from the Board Chambers by Sheriff Deputies.

Dustin Nigg, Mayor, Dist. 2  
Ben J. Benoit, Mayor Pro Tem, Dist. 1  
Bridgette Moore, Council Member, Dist. 4  
Joseph Morabito, Council Member, Dist. 3  
Marsha Swanson, Council Member, Dist. 5



23873 Clinton Keith Rd, Ste 201  
Wildomar, CA 92595  
951.677.7751 Phone  
951.698.1463 Fax  
[www.CityofWildomar.org](http://www.CityofWildomar.org)

November 12, 2021

Mr. Jeffrey Van Wagenen  
County Executive Officer  
4080 Lemon Street  
Riverside, CA 92501-3659

Subject: Riverside County Redistricting


Dear Mr. Van Wagenen,

On behalf of the City of Wildomar, I support a redistricting boundary that maintains continuity with the adjoining Southwest Riverside County municipalities. We rely on the effective collaboration with our neighbor cities in economic development, infrastructure, public safety and social services.

Thank you for keeping the city informed of the County's re-districting process. Please feel free to reach out to Dan York, Assistant City Manager, [dyork@cityofwildomar.org](mailto:dyork@cityofwildomar.org) for further discussions on this matter.

Sincerely,

City of Wildomar

  
Dustin Nigg, Mayor



**From:** Odenbaugh, Rania <ROdenbaugh@Rivco.org>  
**Sent:** Monday, November 15, 2021 4:00 PM  
**To:** COB <COB@RIVCO.ORG>  
**Subject:** FW: Riverside County Redistricting Response Letter from Wildomar

Thank you!

**Rania Odenbaugh, MBA.,MPM.**

Director of Constituent Services | County of Riverside Executive Office  
4080 Lemon Street, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor | Riverside, CA 92501  
Tel: 951.955.3109 | Email: [rodenbaugh@rivco.org](mailto:rodenbaugh@rivco.org)

**From:** RCIT - RIVCO Redistricting <[RIVCORedistricting@rivco.org](mailto:RIVCORedistricting@rivco.org)>  
**Sent:** Monday, November 15, 2021 10:05 AM  
**To:** Odenbaugh, Rania <[ROdenbaugh@Rivco.org](mailto:ROdenbaugh@Rivco.org)>; Farin, Jason <[JFarin@RIVCO.ORG](mailto:JFarin@RIVCO.ORG)>; Bruckner, Scott <[SBruckner@rivco.org](mailto:SBruckner@rivco.org)>; Federico, Brooke <[bcfederico@rivco.org](mailto:bcfederico@rivco.org)>; Mullen, Tom <[TMullen@RIVCO.ORG](mailto:TMullen@RIVCO.ORG)>  
**Subject:** FW: Riverside County Redistricting Response Letter from Wildomar

**From:** Mullen, Tom <[TMullen@RIVCO.ORG](mailto:TMullen@RIVCO.ORG)>  
**Sent:** Monday, November 15, 2021 9:51 AM  
**To:** RCIT - RIVCO Redistricting <[RIVCORedistricting@rivco.org](mailto:RIVCORedistricting@rivco.org)>  
**Subject:** Fw: Riverside County Redistricting Response Letter from Wildomar

Wildomar letter for inclusion.



**Tom Mullen**  
Chief Data Officer  
Riverside County Information Technology (RCIT)

951.955.1850

---

**From:** Van Wagenen, Jeffrey <[JVanWagenen@RIVCO.ORG](mailto:JVanWagenen@RIVCO.ORG)>  
**Sent:** Monday, November 15, 2021 9:38 AM  
**To:** Odenbaugh, Rania <[ROdenbaugh@Rivco.org](mailto:ROdenbaugh@Rivco.org)>; Mullen, Tom <[TMullen@RIVCO.ORG](mailto:TMullen@RIVCO.ORG)>  
**Cc:** Perez, Juan <[JCPEREZ@RIVCO.ORG](mailto:JCPEREZ@RIVCO.ORG)>  
**Subject:** FW: Riverside County Redistricting Response Letter from Wildomar

**From:** Janet Morales <[jmorales@cityofwildomar.org](mailto:jmorales@cityofwildomar.org)>  
**Sent:** Monday, November 15, 2021 9:33 AM  
**To:** Van Wagenen, Jeffrey <[JVanWagenen@RIVCO.ORG](mailto:JVanWagenen@RIVCO.ORG)>  
**Cc:** Dustin Nigg <[dnigg@cityofwildomar.org](mailto:dnigg@cityofwildomar.org)>; Gary Nordquist <[gnordquist@cityofwildomar.org](mailto:gnordquist@cityofwildomar.org)>; Dan York <[dyork@cityofwildomar.org](mailto:dyork@cityofwildomar.org)>; Matthew Bassi <[mbassi@cityofwildomar.org](mailto:mbassi@cityofwildomar.org)>  
**Subject:** Riverside County Redistricting Response Letter from Wildomar

Mr. Wagenen,

Please see attached letter on behalf of Mayor Dustin Nigg.

Thank you,

**Janet Morales, CMC**  
City Clerk

**City of Wildomar**  
23873 Clinton Keith Rd  
Suite 201  
Wildomar, CA 92595  
951.677.7751 x210  
951.698.1463 (Fax)  
City Hall Hours:  
Monday-Thursday  
8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m.  
Closed Fridays  
[www.cityofwildomar.org](http://www.cityofwildomar.org)

All e-mail to and from the City of Wildomar may be considered public information and may be disclosed upon request.

# Bill Text Report

**Date Created: Tue Nov 09 2021 17:10:36 GMT-0800 (Pacific Standard Time)**

## District 1

District 1: Riverside County: Tract 30605, Tract 42010, Tract 42012, Tract 42015, Tract 42016, Tract 42017, Tract 42018, Tract 42206, Tract 42207, Tract 42208, Tract 42212, Tract 42217, Tract 42402, Tract 42403, Tract 42404, Tract 42405, Tract 42406, Tract 42407, Tract 42408, Tract 42409, Tract 42410, Tract 42411, Tract 42412, Tract 42505, Tract 42507, Tract 42508, Tract 42509, Tract 42510, Tract 42511, Tract 42512, Tract 42513, Tract 42514, Tract 42515, Tract 42516, Tract 42517, Tract 42518, Tract 42519, Tract 42520, Tract 42521, Tract 42522, Tract 42523, Tract 42621, Tract 42622, Tract 42623, Tract 42624, Tract 42625, Tract 42626, Tract 42627, Tract 42628, Tract 42629, Tract 42630, Tract 42631, Tract 42632, Tract 42719, Tract 42720, Tract 42723, Tract 42726, Tract 42728, Tract 42730, Tract 42740, Tract 42744, Tract 42746, Tract 42747, Tract 42801, Tract 42802, Tract 42905, Tract 42906, Tract 42908, Tract 43512, Tract 43517, Tract 43601, Tract 43602, Tract 46700, Tract 46800, Tract 48300, Tract 48700, Tract 48800, Tract 48901, Tract 48902, Tract 49001, Tract 49002, Tract 50901, Tract 50902, Tract 51100, Tract 51301, Tract 980004, Tract 42008: Block Group 2: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22; Tract 42009: Block Group 2, Block Group 4: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16; Tract 42209: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 2, Block 3, Block 9; Tract 42213: Block Group 2: Block 10; Tract 42214: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 13, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 31, Block 32, Block 33, Block 34, Block 35, Block 36, Block 37, Block 38, Block 39, Block 40, Block 41, Block 42, Block 43, Block 44, Block 45, Block 46, Block 47, Block 48, Block 49, Block 50, Block 51; Block Group 2, Block Group 3; Tract 42300: Block Group 2: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 29, Block 30, Block 31, Block 34, Block 35; Block Group 3: Block 0, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30, Block 31, Block 32, Block 33, Block 34, Block 35, Block 36, Block 37, Block 38, Block 39, Block 40, Block 41, Block 42,

Block 43, Block 44, Block 46, Block 47, Block 48; Tract 42401: Block Group 1: Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30, Block 31, Block 32, Block 33, Block 34; Tract 42709: Block Group 1: Block 14; Block Group 2, Block Group 3; Tract 42717: Block Group 2: Block 8, Block 9; Tract 42724: Block Group 2: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10; Tract 42731: Block Group 1, Block Group 2, Block Group 3: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15; Tract 42732: Block Group 3: Block 0, Block 18, Block 19; Tract 42737: Block Group 1: Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 28, Block 30, Block 32, Block 95, Block 96, Block 97; Tract 42741: Block Group 2; Tract 42745: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30, Block 31, Block 32, Block 33, Block 55, Block 56, Block 57; Block Group 2: Block 13; Block Group 3; Tract 42749: Block Group 2: Block 0; Block Group 3: Block 0, Block 12; Tract 42750: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 2, Block 3; Tract 42751: Block Group 3: Block 2, Block 7; Tract 42752: Block Group 2: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 20, Block 21; Tract 42902: Block Group 1, Block Group 2, Block Group 3: Block 0, Block 9, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30, Block 31; Block Group 4: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30; Tract 42903: Block Group 1, Block Group 2: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17; Block Group 3: Block 0, Block 10, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19; Block Group 4; Tract 42907: Block Group 1, Block Group 2: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30, Block 31, Block 32, Block 36, Block 37, Block 38, Block 39, Block 40, Block 41, Block 42, Block 43, Block 44; Tract 43506: Block Group 2: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block

14, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21; Tract 43509: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12; Block Group 2, Block Group 3: Block 2, Block 3, Block 7, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22; Tract 43513: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 21, Block 22, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 30, Block 31, Block 32, Block 33, Block 35; Tract 43518: Block Group 2: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2; Tract 43521: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 15, Block 16; Tract 43522: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 1, Block 3; Block Group 2; Tract 43523: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15; Block Group 2: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6; Tract 43524: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 8; Block Group 2, Block Group 3: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6; Tract 43822: Block Group 1: Block 58; Tract 51302: Block Group 1, Block Group 2: Block 13, Block 16, Block 18, Block 19, Block 22, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30, Block 31, Block 32, Block 33, Block 36, Block 37, Block 38, Block 39, Block 40, Block 41, Block 42.

## **District 2**

District 2: Riverside County: Tract 30101, Tract 30103, Tract 30104, Tract 30200, Tract 30300, Tract 30400, Tract 30501, Tract 30502, Tract 30503, Tract 30602, Tract 30603, Tract 30604, Tract 30700, Tract 30800, Tract 30900, Tract 31001, Tract 31002, Tract 31100, Tract 31200, Tract 31300, Tract 31401, Tract 31402, Tract 31501, Tract 31503, Tract 31504, Tract 31601, Tract 31602, Tract 31701, Tract 31702, Tract 31703, Tract 31704, Tract 40101, Tract 40102, Tract 40201, Tract 40202, Tract 40203, Tract 40204, Tract 40302, Tract 40303, Tract 40304, Tract 40305, Tract 40402, Tract 40403, Tract 40404, Tract 40405, Tract 40501, Tract 40502, Tract 40503, Tract 40604, Tract 40605, Tract 40606, Tract 40901, Tract 40902, Tract 40903, Tract 40904, Tract 41001, Tract 41002, Tract 41003, Tract 41101, Tract 41102, Tract 41201, Tract 41202, Tract 41203, Tract 41301, Tract 41302, Tract 41403, Tract 41406, Tract 41407, Tract 41408, Tract 41411, Tract 42003, Tract 42004, Tract 42005, Tract 42210, Tract 43001, Tract 43003, Tract 43005, Tract 43006, Tract 43009, Tract 46501, Tract 46502, Tract 40603: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30, Block 31, Block 32, Block 33, Block 34, Block 35, Block 36, Block 37; Tract 40616: Block Group 2:

Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 6, Block 7, Block 9, Block 23; Tract 40618: Block Group 3: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30, Block 31, Block 32, Block 33, Block 34, Block 35, Block 36, Block 37, Block 38, Block 39, Block 40, Block 41, Block 42, Block 43, Block 44, Block 45, Block 46, Block 47, Block 48, Block 49, Block 50, Block 51, Block 52, Block 53, Block 54, Block 55, Block 56, Block 57, Block 58, Block 59, Block 60, Block 61, Block 62, Block 63, Block 64, Block 70, Block 71, Block 72, Block 73, Block 74, Block 84, Block 85, Block 86, Block 87, Block 88, Block 89, Block 90, Block 95, Block 96, Block 97, Block 98, Block 99, Block 100, Block 101, Block 102, Block 103, Block 104, Block 105, Block 106, Block 107, Block 108, Block 109, Block 110, Block 111, Block 112, Block 113; Tract 40622: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10; Tract 40703: Block Group 1: Block 8, Block 14; Tract 41004: Block Group 1, Block Group 2, Block Group 3: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30; Tract 41404: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11; Block Group 2; Tract 41405: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27; Block Group 2; Tract 41410: Block Group 1: Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12; Block Group 2; Tract 41412: Block Group 1: Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25; Block Group 2: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30, Block 31, Block 33, Block 34, Block 35, Block 36, Block 37, Block 38, Block 39, Block 40; Tract 41413: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 12, Block 13; Tract 41414: Block Group 2: Block 9, Block 10; Tract 41415: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 10; Block Group 2: Block 0, Block 1, Block 10; Block Group 3: Block 1, Block 3, Block 4, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 20, Block 21, Block 24, Block 25, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29; Tract 42007: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27,

Block 28, Block 29, Block 30, Block 31, Block 36, Block 37, Block 38, Block 39, Block 40, Block 54, Block 55, Block 56, Block 57, Block 58, Block 59, Block 60, Block 61, Block 62, Block 63, Block 64, Block 65, Block 66, Block 67, Block 68, Block 69, Block 70, Block 71, Block 72, Block 73, Block 74, Block 75, Block 76, Block 77, Block 78, Block 79, Block 80, Block 81, Block 82, Block 83, Block 84, Block 85, Block 86, Block 87, Block 88, Block 89, Block 90, Block 91, Block 92, Block 93, Block 94, Block 95, Block 96, Block 97, Block 98, Block 99, Block 100, Block 101, Block 102, Block 103, Block 104, Block 105, Block 106, Block 107, Block 108, Block 109, Block 110, Block 111, Block 115, Block 116, Block 117, Block 118, Block 119, Block 123, Block 125; Block Group 2: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 20, Block 22, Block 23, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30, Block 31, Block 32, Block 33, Block 34, Block 35, Block 36, Block 37, Block 38, Block 39, Block 40, Block 41, Block 42, Block 43, Block 44, Block 45, Block 46, Block 47, Block 48, Block 49, Block 50, Block 51, Block 52, Block 53, Block 54, Block 55, Block 56, Block 57, Block 58, Block 59, Block 60, Block 61, Block 62, Block 64, Block 65, Block 66, Block 67; Tract 42008: Block Group 1, Block Group 2: Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28; Block Group 3, Block Group 4: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 30, Block 31; Tract 42009: Block Group 1, Block Group 3, Block Group 4: Block 11; Tract 42209: Block Group 1: Block 1, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30, Block 31, Block 32, Block 33, Block 34, Block 35, Block 36; Block Group 2, Block Group 3; Tract 42213: Block Group 1, Block Group 2: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9; Block Group 3; Tract 42214: Block Group 1: Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30; Tract 42300: Block Group 1, Block Group 2: Block 17, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 32, Block 33; Block Group 3: Block 45; Tract 42733: Block Group 1, Block Group 2: Block 36, Block 37, Block 38, Block 39, Block 40, Block 41, Block 42, Block 43, Block 44, Block 45, Block 48, Block 49, Block 50, Block 51, Block 52, Block 53; Block Group 3: Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 46, Block 69; Tract 42748: Block Group 1, Block Group 2: Block 0, Block 2, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 10, Block 11; Block Group 3: Block 10, Block 11, Block 14,

Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 29; Tract 42749: Block Group 1, Block Group 2: Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13; Block Group 3: Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24; Tract 42750: Block Group 1: Block 1, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22; Block Group 2; Tract 42902: Block Group 3: Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 10, Block 11, Block 20, Block 32, Block 33, Block 34; Block Group 4: Block 15, Block 31; Tract 42903: Block Group 2: Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21; Block Group 3: Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28; Tract 42907: Block Group 2: Block 35; Tract 43007: Block Group 3: Block 0, Block 1, Block 6, Block 7; Tract 43008: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26; Block Group 3: Block 7, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24; Tract 43010: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 1, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 17, Block 18; Block Group 2, Block Group 3; Tract 43274: Block Group 1, Block Group 2: Block 4; Tract 46401: Block Group 2: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13; Tract 46402: Block Group 2: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 27; Tract 46403: Block Group 3: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 7, Block 8; Block Group 4: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20; Tract 46404: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 11; Block Group 2, Block Group 3: Block 0, Block 1, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9.

### **District 3**

District 3: Riverside County: Tract 42708, Tract 42711, Tract 42714, Tract 42716, Tract 42738, Tract 42739, Tract 42742, Tract 42743, Tract 43201, Tract 43202, Tract 43207, Tract 43208, Tract 43216, Tract 43217, Tract 43218, Tract 43220, Tract 43222, Tract 43246, Tract 43248, Tract 43252, Tract 43254, Tract 43256, Tract 43262, Tract 43264, Tract 43265, Tract 43266, Tract 43267, Tract 43293, Tract 43298, Tract 43304, Tract 43306, Tract 43307, Tract 43308, Tract 43309, Tract 43310, Tract 43311, Tract 43312, Tract 43313, Tract 43314, Tract 43315, Tract 43316, Tract 43318, Tract 43319, Tract 43401, Tract 43403, Tract 43404, Tract

43405, Tract 43503, Tract 43505, Tract 43519, Tract 43520, Tract 43701, Tract 43702, Tract 43703, Tract 43802, Tract 43807, Tract 43809, Tract 43810, Tract 43812, Tract 43818, Tract 43820, Tract 43821, Tract 43823, Tract 43824, Tract 43900, Tract 44000, Tract 44101, Tract 44102, Tract 44103, Tract 44200, Tract 44300, Tract 44404, Tract 49800, Tract 50501, Tract 50502, Tract 50503, Tract 941500, Tract 42401: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 1, Block 18, Block 19; Tract 42709: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30, Block 31, Block 32, Block 33, Block 34, Block 35; Tract 42717: Block Group 1, Block Group 2: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15; Block Group 3; Tract 42724: Block Group 1, Block Group 2: Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19; Tract 42731: Block Group 3: Block 16; Tract 42732: Block Group 1, Block Group 2, Block Group 3: Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29; Tract 42733: Block Group 2: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30, Block 31, Block 32, Block 33, Block 34, Block 35, Block 46, Block 47, Block 54, Block 55, Block 56; Block Group 3: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 18, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30, Block 31, Block 32, Block 33, Block 34, Block 35, Block 36, Block 37, Block 38, Block 39, Block 40, Block 53, Block 54, Block 55, Block 56, Block 57, Block 58, Block 59, Block 60, Block 66, Block 67, Block 70, Block 71; Tract 42737: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 29, Block 31, Block 33, Block 34, Block 35, Block 36, Block 37, Block 38, Block 39, Block 40, Block 41, Block 42, Block 43, Block 44, Block 45, Block 46, Block 47, Block 48, Block 49, Block 50, Block 51, Block 52, Block 53, Block 54, Block 55, Block 56, Block 57, Block 58, Block 59, Block 60, Block 61, Block 62, Block 63, Block 64, Block 65, Block 66, Block 67, Block 68, Block 69, Block 70, Block 71, Block 72, Block 73, Block 74, Block 75, Block 76, Block 77, Block 78, Block 79, Block 80, Block 81, Block 82, Block 83, Block 84, Block 85, Block 86, Block 87, Block 88, Block 89, Block 90, Block 91, Block 92, Block 93, Block 94, Block 98; Tract 42741: Block Group 1; Tract 42745: Block Group 1: Block 15, Block 34, Block 35, Block 36, Block 37, Block 38, Block 39, Block 40, Block 41, Block 42,



Block 43, Block 44, Block 45, Block 46, Block 47, Block 48, Block 49, Block 50, Block 51, Block 52, Block 53, Block 54; Block Group 2: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 14; Tract 42748: Block Group 2: Block 1, Block 3, Block 4, Block 8, Block 9, Block 12; Block Group 3: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 12, Block 13, Block 28; Tract 42751: Block Group 1, Block Group 2, Block Group 3: Block 0, Block 1, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 8, Block 9; Tract 42752: Block Group 1, Block Group 2: Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25; Block Group 3; Tract 42907: Block Group 2: Block 33, Block 34, Block 45, Block 46, Block 47, Block 48; Tract 43203: Block Group 1, Block Group 2: Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8; Tract 43204: Block Group 2: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3; Tract 43235: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30, Block 31, Block 32, Block 33, Block 34, Block 35, Block 36, Block 37, Block 38, Block 39, Block 40, Block 41, Block 42, Block 43, Block 44, Block 45, Block 74; Tract 43279: Block Group 3: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 22, Block 23; Tract 43292: Block Group 1, Block Group 2, Block Group 3: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 31, Block 32, Block 33, Block 34, Block 35, Block 36, Block 37, Block 38, Block 39, Block 40, Block 41, Block 42, Block 43, Block 44, Block 45, Block 46; Tract 43297: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 1, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30, Block 31, Block 32, Block 33, Block 34, Block 35, Block 36, Block 37, Block 38; Block Group 2: Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30, Block 33, Block 34, Block 35, Block 36, Block 37, Block 38, Block 39; Tract 43506: Block Group 1, Block Group 2: Block 15, Block 16, Block 17; Block Group 3, Block Group 4; Tract 43509: Block Group 1: Block 9; Block Group 3: Block 0, Block 1, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 8, Block 16, Block 17; Tract 43513: Block Group 1: Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 29, Block 34; Tract 43518: Block Group 1, Block Group 2: Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24; Tract 43521: Block Group 1: Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19; Block Group 2, Block Group 3; Tract 43522: Block Group 1: Block 2,

Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10; Tract 43523: Block Group 1: Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20; Block Group 2: Block 3, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11; Tract 43524: Block Group 1: Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18; Block Group 3: Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17; Tract 43813: Block Group 1, Block Group 2: Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30, Block 31, Block 32, Block 33, Block 34, Block 35, Block 36, Block 37, Block 38, Block 39, Block 40, Block 41, Block 42, Block 43, Block 44, Block 45, Block 46, Block 47, Block 48, Block 49, Block 50, Block 51, Block 52, Block 53, Block 54, Block 55, Block 56, Block 57, Block 58, Block 59, Block 60, Block 61, Block 62, Block 63, Block 64, Block 65, Block 66, Block 67, Block 68, Block 69, Block 70, Block 71, Block 72, Block 73, Block 74, Block 75, Block 76, Block 77, Block 78, Block 79, Block 80, Block 81, Block 82, Block 83, Block 84, Block 85, Block 86, Block 87, Block 88, Block 89, Block 90, Block 91, Block 92, Block 93, Block 94, Block 95, Block 96, Block 97, Block 98, Block 99, Block 100, Block 101, Block 102, Block 103, Block 104, Block 105, Block 106, Block 107, Block 108, Block 109, Block 110; Block Group 3, Block Group 4; Tract 43822: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30, Block 31, Block 32, Block 33, Block 34, Block 35, Block 36, Block 37, Block 38, Block 39, Block 40, Block 41, Block 42, Block 43, Block 44, Block 45, Block 46, Block 47, Block 48, Block 49, Block 50, Block 51, Block 52, Block 53, Block 54, Block 55, Block 56, Block 57, Block 59, Block 60, Block 61, Block 62, Block 63, Block 64, Block 65, Block 66, Block 67, Block 68, Block 69, Block 70, Block 71, Block 72, Block 73, Block 74, Block 75, Block 76; Tract 44104: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30, Block 31, Block 32, Block 33, Block 34, Block 35, Block 36, Block 37, Block 38, Block 39, Block 40, Block 41, Block 42, Block 43, Block 44, Block 45, Block 46, Block 47, Block 48, Block 49, Block 50, Block 51, Block 52, Block 53, Block 54, Block 55, Block 56; Tract 44405: Block Group 1, Block Group 2: Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29,

Block 30, Block 31, Block 32, Block 33, Block 34, Block 35, Block 36, Block 37; Block Group 3; Tract 44406: Block Group 1: Block 12, Block 13; Block Group 2: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30, Block 31, Block 32, Block 33, Block 34, Block 35, Block 36, Block 37, Block 40, Block 41, Block 42, Block 44, Block 45, Block 46, Block 47, Block 48, Block 49, Block 50, Block 51, Block 52, Block 53, Block 54, Block 55, Block 56, Block 57, Block 58, Block 59, Block 60, Block 61, Block 74, Block 83; Tract 44407: Block Group 1: Block 5, Block 6, Block 9, Block 11, Block 13, Block 14, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 29, Block 30; Block Group 2: Block 18; Tract 44521: Block Group 1: Block 101; Tract 49600: Block Group 1, Block Group 2: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29; Block Group 3, Block Group 4; Tract 50701: Block Group 3: Block 0, Block 11, Block 12; Tract 50702: Block Group 2: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 7, Block 8, Block 10; Tract 51200: Block Group 1: Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30, Block 31, Block 32, Block 33, Block 34, Block 37, Block 38, Block 39, Block 40, Block 41, Block 42, Block 43, Block 44, Block 45, Block 46, Block 47, Block 48; Block Group 2, Block Group 3: Block 0, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9; Tract 51302: Block Group 2: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 14, Block 15, Block 17, Block 20, Block 21, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 34, Block 35.

#### **District 4**

District 4: Riverside County: Tract 44403, Tract 44505, Tract 44507, Tract 44509, Tract 44510, Tract 44515, Tract 44516, Tract 44517, Tract 44518, Tract 44520, Tract 44523, Tract 44524, Tract 44602, Tract 44604, Tract 44605, Tract 44606, Tract 44701, Tract 44702, Tract 44804, Tract 44805, Tract 44806, Tract 44807, Tract 44904, Tract 44907, Tract 44911, Tract 44916, Tract 44917, Tract 44918, Tract 44919, Tract 44921, Tract 44922, Tract 44923, Tract 44924, Tract 44925, Tract 44926, Tract 44927, Tract 44928, Tract 44929, Tract 44930, Tract 44931, Tract 44932, Tract 44933, Tract 44934, Tract 45000, Tract 45103, Tract 45109, Tract 45110, Tract 45114, Tract 45115, Tract 45116, Tract 45117, Tract 45118, Tract 45119, Tract 45120, Tract 45122, Tract 45123, Tract 45124, Tract 45125, Tract 45126, Tract 45127, Tract 45128, Tract 45129, Tract 45207, Tract 45209, Tract

# Bill Text Report

**Date Created: Tue Nov 09 2021 17:10:36 GMT-0800 (Pacific Standard Time)**

## **District 1**

District 1: Riverside County: Tract 30605, Tract 42010, Tract 42012, Tract 42015, Tract 42016, Tract 42017, Tract 42018, Tract 42206, Tract 42207, Tract 42208, Tract 42212, Tract 42217, Tract 42402, Tract 42403, Tract 42404, Tract 42405, Tract 42406, Tract 42407, Tract 42408, Tract 42409, Tract 42410, Tract 42411, Tract 42412, Tract 42505, Tract 42507, Tract 42508, Tract 42509, Tract 42510, Tract 42511, Tract 42512, Tract 42513, Tract 42514, Tract 42515, Tract 42516, Tract 42517, Tract 42518, Tract 42519, Tract 42520, Tract 42521, Tract 42522, Tract 42523, Tract 42621, Tract 42622, Tract 42623, Tract 42624, Tract 42625, Tract 42626, Tract 42627, Tract 42628, Tract 42629, Tract 42630, Tract 42631, Tract 42632, Tract 42719, Tract 42720, Tract 42723, Tract 42726, Tract 42728, Tract 42730, Tract 42740, Tract 42744, Tract 42746, Tract 42747, Tract 42801, Tract 42802, Tract 42905, Tract 42906, Tract 42908, Tract 43512, Tract 43517, Tract 43601, Tract 43602, Tract 46700, Tract 46800, Tract 48300, Tract 48700, Tract 48800, Tract 48901, Tract 48902, Tract 49001, Tract 49002, Tract 50901, Tract 50902, Tract 51100, Tract 51301, Tract 980004, Tract 42008: Block Group 2: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22; Tract 42009: Block Group 2, Block Group 4: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16; Tract 42209: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 2, Block 3, Block 9; Tract 42213: Block Group 2: Block 10; Tract 42214: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 13, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 31, Block 32, Block 33, Block 34, Block 35, Block 36, Block 37, Block 38, Block 39, Block 40, Block 41, Block 42, Block 43, Block 44, Block 45, Block 46, Block 47, Block 48, Block 49, Block 50, Block 51; Block Group 2, Block Group 3; Tract 42300: Block Group 2: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 29, Block 30, Block 31, Block 34, Block 35; Block Group 3: Block 0, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30, Block 31, Block 32, Block 33, Block 34, Block 35, Block 36, Block 37, Block 38, Block 39, Block 40, Block 41, Block 42,

Block 43, Block 44, Block 46, Block 47, Block 48; Tract 42401: Block Group 1: Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30, Block 31, Block 32, Block 33, Block 34; Tract 42709: Block Group 1: Block 14; Block Group 2, Block Group 3; Tract 42717: Block Group 2: Block 8, Block 9; Tract 42724: Block Group 2: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10; Tract 42731: Block Group 1, Block Group 2, Block Group 3: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15; Tract 42732: Block Group 3: Block 0, Block 18, Block 19; Tract 42737: Block Group 1: Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 28, Block 30, Block 32, Block 95, Block 96, Block 97; Tract 42741: Block Group 2; Tract 42745: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30, Block 31, Block 32, Block 33, Block 55, Block 56, Block 57; Block Group 2: Block 13; Block Group 3; Tract 42749: Block Group 2: Block 0; Block Group 3: Block 0, Block 12; Tract 42750: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 2, Block 3; Tract 42751: Block Group 3: Block 2, Block 7; Tract 42752: Block Group 2: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 20, Block 21; Tract 42902: Block Group 1, Block Group 2, Block Group 3: Block 0, Block 9, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30, Block 31; Block Group 4: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30; Tract 42903: Block Group 1, Block Group 2: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17; Block Group 3: Block 0, Block 10, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19; Block Group 4; Tract 42907: Block Group 1, Block Group 2: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30, Block 31, Block 32, Block 36, Block 37, Block 38, Block 39, Block 40, Block 41, Block 42, Block 43, Block 44; Tract 43506: Block Group 2: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block

14, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21; Tract 43509: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12; Block Group 2, Block Group 3: Block 2, Block 3, Block 7, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22; Tract 43513: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 21, Block 22, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 30, Block 31, Block 32, Block 33, Block 35; Tract 43518: Block Group 2: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2; Tract 43521: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 15, Block 16; Tract 43522: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 1, Block 3; Block Group 2; Tract 43523: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15; Block Group 2: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6; Tract 43524: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 8; Block Group 2, Block Group 3: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6; Tract 43822: Block Group 1: Block 58; Tract 51302: Block Group 1, Block Group 2: Block 13, Block 16, Block 18, Block 19, Block 22, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30, Block 31, Block 32, Block 33, Block 36, Block 37, Block 38, Block 39, Block 40, Block 41, Block 42.

## **District 2**

District 2: Riverside County: Tract 30101, Tract 30103, Tract 30104, Tract 30200, Tract 30300, Tract 30400, Tract 30501, Tract 30502, Tract 30503, Tract 30602, Tract 30603, Tract 30604, Tract 30700, Tract 30800, Tract 30900, Tract 31001, Tract 31002, Tract 31100, Tract 31200, Tract 31300, Tract 31401, Tract 31402, Tract 31501, Tract 31503, Tract 31504, Tract 31601, Tract 31602, Tract 31701, Tract 31702, Tract 31703, Tract 31704, Tract 40101, Tract 40102, Tract 40201, Tract 40202, Tract 40203, Tract 40204, Tract 40302, Tract 40303, Tract 40304, Tract 40305, Tract 40402, Tract 40403, Tract 40404, Tract 40405, Tract 40501, Tract 40502, Tract 40503, Tract 40604, Tract 40605, Tract 40606, Tract 40901, Tract 40902, Tract 40903, Tract 40904, Tract 41001, Tract 41002, Tract 41003, Tract 41101, Tract 41102, Tract 41201, Tract 41202, Tract 41203, Tract 41301, Tract 41302, Tract 41403, Tract 41406, Tract 41407, Tract 41408, Tract 41411, Tract 42003, Tract 42004, Tract 42005, Tract 42210, Tract 43001, Tract 43003, Tract 43005, Tract 43006, Tract 43009, Tract 46501, Tract 46502, Tract 40603: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30, Block 31, Block 32, Block 33, Block 34, Block 35, Block 36, Block 37; Tract 40616: Block Group 2:

Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 6, Block 7, Block 9, Block 23; Tract 40618: Block Group 3: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30, Block 31, Block 32, Block 33, Block 34, Block 35, Block 36, Block 37, Block 38, Block 39, Block 40, Block 41, Block 42, Block 43, Block 44, Block 45, Block 46, Block 47, Block 48, Block 49, Block 50, Block 51, Block 52, Block 53, Block 54, Block 55, Block 56, Block 57, Block 58, Block 59, Block 60, Block 61, Block 62, Block 63, Block 64, Block 70, Block 71, Block 72, Block 73, Block 74, Block 84, Block 85, Block 86, Block 87, Block 88, Block 89, Block 90, Block 95, Block 96, Block 97, Block 98, Block 99, Block 100, Block 101, Block 102, Block 103, Block 104, Block 105, Block 106, Block 107, Block 108, Block 109, Block 110, Block 111, Block 112, Block 113; Tract 40622: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10; Tract 40703: Block Group 1: Block 8, Block 14; Tract 41004: Block Group 1, Block Group 2, Block Group 3: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30; Tract 41404: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11; Block Group 2; Tract 41405: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27; Block Group 2; Tract 41410: Block Group 1: Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12; Block Group 2; Tract 41412: Block Group 1: Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25; Block Group 2: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30, Block 31, Block 33, Block 34, Block 35, Block 36, Block 37, Block 38, Block 39, Block 40; Tract 41413: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 12, Block 13; Tract 41414: Block Group 2: Block 9, Block 10; Tract 41415: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 10; Block Group 2: Block 0, Block 1, Block 10; Block Group 3: Block 1, Block 3, Block 4, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 20, Block 21, Block 24, Block 25, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29; Tract 42007: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27,

Block 28, Block 29, Block 30, Block 31, Block 36, Block 37, Block 38, Block 39, Block 40, Block 54, Block 55, Block 56, Block 57, Block 58, Block 59, Block 60, Block 61, Block 62, Block 63, Block 64, Block 65, Block 66, Block 67, Block 68, Block 69, Block 70, Block 71, Block 72, Block 73, Block 74, Block 75, Block 76, Block 77, Block 78, Block 79, Block 80, Block 81, Block 82, Block 83, Block 84, Block 85, Block 86, Block 87, Block 88, Block 89, Block 90, Block 91, Block 92, Block 93, Block 94, Block 95, Block 96, Block 97, Block 98, Block 99, Block 100, Block 101, Block 102, Block 103, Block 104, Block 105, Block 106, Block 107, Block 108, Block 109, Block 110, Block 111, Block 115, Block 116, Block 117, Block 118, Block 119, Block 123, Block 125; Block Group 2: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 20, Block 22, Block 23, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30, Block 31, Block 32, Block 33, Block 34, Block 35, Block 36, Block 37, Block 38, Block 39, Block 40, Block 41, Block 42, Block 43, Block 44, Block 45, Block 46, Block 47, Block 48, Block 49, Block 50, Block 51, Block 52, Block 53, Block 54, Block 55, Block 56, Block 57, Block 58, Block 59, Block 60, Block 61, Block 62, Block 64, Block 65, Block 66, Block 67; Tract 42008: Block Group 1, Block Group 2: Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28; Block Group 3, Block Group 4: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 30, Block 31; Tract 42009: Block Group 1, Block Group 3, Block Group 4: Block 11; Tract 42209: Block Group 1: Block 1, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30, Block 31, Block 32, Block 33, Block 34, Block 35, Block 36; Block Group 2, Block Group 3; Tract 42213: Block Group 1, Block Group 2: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9; Block Group 3; Tract 42214: Block Group 1: Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30; Tract 42300: Block Group 1, Block Group 2: Block 17, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 32, Block 33; Block Group 3: Block 45; Tract 42733: Block Group 1, Block Group 2: Block 36, Block 37, Block 38, Block 39, Block 40, Block 41, Block 42, Block 43, Block 44, Block 45, Block 48, Block 49, Block 50, Block 51, Block 52, Block 53; Block Group 3: Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 46, Block 69; Tract 42748: Block Group 1, Block Group 2: Block 0, Block 2, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 10, Block 11; Block Group 3: Block 10, Block 11, Block 14,



Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 29; Tract 42749: Block Group 1, Block Group 2: Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13; Block Group 3: Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24; Tract 42750: Block Group 1: Block 1, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22; Block Group 2; Tract 42902: Block Group 3: Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 10, Block 11, Block 20, Block 32, Block 33, Block 34; Block Group 4: Block 15, Block 31; Tract 42903: Block Group 2: Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21; Block Group 3: Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28; Tract 42907: Block Group 2: Block 35; Tract 43007: Block Group 3: Block 0, Block 1, Block 6, Block 7; Tract 43008: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26; Block Group 3: Block 7, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24; Tract 43010: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 1, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 17, Block 18; Block Group 2, Block Group 3; Tract 43274: Block Group 1, Block Group 2: Block 4; Tract 46401: Block Group 2: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13; Tract 46402: Block Group 2: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 27; Tract 46403: Block Group 3: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 7, Block 8; Block Group 4: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20; Tract 46404: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 11; Block Group 2, Block Group 3: Block 0, Block 1, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9.

### **District 3**

District 3: Riverside County: Tract 42708, Tract 42711, Tract 42714, Tract 42716, Tract 42738, Tract 42739, Tract 42742, Tract 42743, Tract 43201, Tract 43202, Tract 43207, Tract 43208, Tract 43216, Tract 43217, Tract 43218, Tract 43220, Tract 43222, Tract 43246, Tract 43248, Tract 43252, Tract 43254, Tract 43256, Tract 43262, Tract 43264, Tract 43265, Tract 43266, Tract 43267, Tract 43293, Tract 43298, Tract 43304, Tract 43306, Tract 43307, Tract 43308, Tract 43309, Tract 43310, Tract 43311, Tract 43312, Tract 43313, Tract 43314, Tract 43315, Tract 43316, Tract 43318, Tract 43319, Tract 43401, Tract 43403, Tract 43404, Tract

43405, Tract 43503, Tract 43505, Tract 43519, Tract 43520, Tract 43701, Tract 43702, Tract 43703, Tract 43802, Tract 43807, Tract 43809, Tract 43810, Tract 43812, Tract 43818, Tract 43820, Tract 43821, Tract 43823, Tract 43824, Tract 43900, Tract 44000, Tract 44101, Tract 44102, Tract 44103, Tract 44200, Tract 44300, Tract 44404, Tract 49800, Tract 50501, Tract 50502, Tract 50503, Tract 941500, Tract 42401: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 1, Block 18, Block 19; Tract 42709: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30, Block 31, Block 32, Block 33, Block 34, Block 35; Tract 42717: Block Group 1, Block Group 2: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15; Block Group 3; Tract 42724: Block Group 1, Block Group 2: Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19; Tract 42731: Block Group 3: Block 16; Tract 42732: Block Group 1, Block Group 2, Block Group 3: Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29; Tract 42733: Block Group 2: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30, Block 31, Block 32, Block 33, Block 34, Block 35, Block 46, Block 47, Block 54, Block 55, Block 56; Block Group 3: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 18, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30, Block 31, Block 32, Block 33, Block 34, Block 35, Block 36, Block 37, Block 38, Block 39, Block 40, Block 53, Block 54, Block 55, Block 56, Block 57, Block 58, Block 59, Block 60, Block 66, Block 67, Block 70, Block 71; Tract 42737: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 29, Block 31, Block 33, Block 34, Block 35, Block 36, Block 37, Block 38, Block 39, Block 40, Block 41, Block 42, Block 43, Block 44, Block 45, Block 46, Block 47, Block 48, Block 49, Block 50, Block 51, Block 52, Block 53, Block 54, Block 55, Block 56, Block 57, Block 58, Block 59, Block 60, Block 61, Block 62, Block 63, Block 64, Block 65, Block 66, Block 67, Block 68, Block 69, Block 70, Block 71, Block 72, Block 73, Block 74, Block 75, Block 76, Block 77, Block 78, Block 79, Block 80, Block 81, Block 82, Block 83, Block 84, Block 85, Block 86, Block 87, Block 88, Block 89, Block 90, Block 91, Block 92, Block 93, Block 94, Block 98; Tract 42741: Block Group 1; Tract 42745: Block Group 1: Block 15, Block 34, Block 35, Block 36, Block 37, Block 38, Block 39, Block 40, Block 41, Block 42,

Block 43, Block 44, Block 45, Block 46, Block 47, Block 48, Block 49, Block 50, Block 51, Block 52, Block 53, Block 54; Block Group 2: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 14; Tract 42748: Block Group 2: Block 1, Block 3, Block 4, Block 8, Block 9, Block 12; Block Group 3: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 12, Block 13, Block 28; Tract 42751: Block Group 1, Block Group 2, Block Group 3: Block 0, Block 1, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 8, Block 9; Tract 42752: Block Group 1, Block Group 2: Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25; Block Group 3; Tract 42907: Block Group 2: Block 33, Block 34, Block 45, Block 46, Block 47, Block 48; Tract 43203: Block Group 1, Block Group 2: Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8; Tract 43204: Block Group 2: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3; Tract 43235: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30, Block 31, Block 32, Block 33, Block 34, Block 35, Block 36, Block 37, Block 38, Block 39, Block 40, Block 41, Block 42, Block 43, Block 44, Block 45, Block 74; Tract 43279: Block Group 3: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 22, Block 23; Tract 43292: Block Group 1, Block Group 2, Block Group 3: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 31, Block 32, Block 33, Block 34, Block 35, Block 36, Block 37, Block 38, Block 39, Block 40, Block 41, Block 42, Block 43, Block 44, Block 45, Block 46; Tract 43297: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 1, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30, Block 31, Block 32, Block 33, Block 34, Block 35, Block 36, Block 37, Block 38; Block Group 2: Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30, Block 33, Block 34, Block 35, Block 36, Block 37, Block 38, Block 39; Tract 43506: Block Group 1, Block Group 2: Block 15, Block 16, Block 17; Block Group 3, Block Group 4; Tract 43509: Block Group 1: Block 9; Block Group 3: Block 0, Block 1, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 8, Block 16, Block 17; Tract 43513: Block Group 1: Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 29, Block 34; Tract 43518: Block Group 1, Block Group 2: Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24; Tract 43521: Block Group 1: Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19; Block Group 2, Block Group 3; Tract 43522: Block Group 1: Block 2,

Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10; Tract 43523: Block Group 1: Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20; Block Group 2: Block 3, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11; Tract 43524: Block Group 1: Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18; Block Group 3: Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17; Tract 43813: Block Group 1, Block Group 2: Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30, Block 31, Block 32, Block 33, Block 34, Block 35, Block 36, Block 37, Block 38, Block 39, Block 40, Block 41, Block 42, Block 43, Block 44, Block 45, Block 46, Block 47, Block 48, Block 49, Block 50, Block 51, Block 52, Block 53, Block 54, Block 55, Block 56, Block 57, Block 58, Block 59, Block 60, Block 61, Block 62, Block 63, Block 64, Block 65, Block 66, Block 67, Block 68, Block 69, Block 70, Block 71, Block 72, Block 73, Block 74, Block 75, Block 76, Block 77, Block 78, Block 79, Block 80, Block 81, Block 82, Block 83, Block 84, Block 85, Block 86, Block 87, Block 88, Block 89, Block 90, Block 91, Block 92, Block 93, Block 94, Block 95, Block 96, Block 97, Block 98, Block 99, Block 100, Block 101, Block 102, Block 103, Block 104, Block 105, Block 106, Block 107, Block 108, Block 109, Block 110; Block Group 3, Block Group 4; Tract 43822: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30, Block 31, Block 32, Block 33, Block 34, Block 35, Block 36, Block 37, Block 38, Block 39, Block 40, Block 41, Block 42, Block 43, Block 44, Block 45, Block 46, Block 47, Block 48, Block 49, Block 50, Block 51, Block 52, Block 53, Block 54, Block 55, Block 56, Block 57, Block 59, Block 60, Block 61, Block 62, Block 63, Block 64, Block 65, Block 66, Block 67, Block 68, Block 69, Block 70, Block 71, Block 72, Block 73, Block 74, Block 75, Block 76; Tract 44104: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30, Block 31, Block 32, Block 33, Block 34, Block 35, Block 36, Block 37, Block 38, Block 39, Block 40, Block 41, Block 42, Block 43, Block 44, Block 45, Block 46, Block 47, Block 48, Block 49, Block 50, Block 51, Block 52, Block 53, Block 54, Block 55, Block 56; Tract 44405: Block Group 1, Block Group 2: Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29,

Block 30, Block 31, Block 32, Block 33, Block 34, Block 35, Block 36, Block 37; Block Group 3; Tract 44406: Block Group 1: Block 12, Block 13; Block Group 2: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30, Block 31, Block 32, Block 33, Block 34, Block 35, Block 36, Block 37, Block 40, Block 41, Block 42, Block 44, Block 45, Block 46, Block 47, Block 48, Block 49, Block 50, Block 51, Block 52, Block 53, Block 54, Block 55, Block 56, Block 57, Block 58, Block 59, Block 60, Block 61, Block 74, Block 83; Tract 44407: Block Group 1: Block 5, Block 6, Block 9, Block 11, Block 13, Block 14, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 29, Block 30; Block Group 2: Block 18; Tract 44521: Block Group 1: Block 101; Tract 49600: Block Group 1, Block Group 2: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29; Block Group 3, Block Group 4; Tract 50701: Block Group 3: Block 0, Block 11, Block 12; Tract 50702: Block Group 2: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 7, Block 8, Block 10; Tract 51200: Block Group 1: Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30, Block 31, Block 32, Block 33, Block 34, Block 37, Block 38, Block 39, Block 40, Block 41, Block 42, Block 43, Block 44, Block 45, Block 46, Block 47, Block 48; Block Group 2, Block Group 3: Block 0, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9; Tract 51302: Block Group 2: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 14, Block 15, Block 17, Block 20, Block 21, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 34, Block 35.

#### **District 4**

District 4: Riverside County: Tract 44403, Tract 44505, Tract 44507, Tract 44509, Tract 44510, Tract 44515, Tract 44516, Tract 44517, Tract 44518, Tract 44520, Tract 44523, Tract 44524, Tract 44602, Tract 44604, Tract 44605, Tract 44606, Tract 44701, Tract 44702, Tract 44804, Tract 44805, Tract 44806, Tract 44807, Tract 44904, Tract 44907, Tract 44911, Tract 44916, Tract 44917, Tract 44918, Tract 44919, Tract 44921, Tract 44922, Tract 44923, Tract 44924, Tract 44925, Tract 44926, Tract 44927, Tract 44928, Tract 44929, Tract 44930, Tract 44931, Tract 44932, Tract 44933, Tract 44934, Tract 45000, Tract 45103, Tract 45109, Tract 45110, Tract 45114, Tract 45115, Tract 45116, Tract 45117, Tract 45118, Tract 45119, Tract 45120, Tract 45122, Tract 45123, Tract 45124, Tract 45125, Tract 45126, Tract 45127, Tract 45128, Tract 45129, Tract 45207, Tract 45209, Tract

45212, Tract 45213, Tract 45214, Tract 45216, Tract 45217, Tract 45222, Tract 45224, Tract 45226, Tract 45228, Tract 45233, Tract 45234, Tract 45235, Tract 45302, Tract 45303, Tract 45305, Tract 45306, Tract 45501, Tract 45502, Tract 45606, Tract 45610, Tract 45611, Tract 45612, Tract 45613, Tract 45614, Tract 45615, Tract 45616, Tract 45617, Tract 45618, Tract 45619, Tract 45703, Tract 45704, Tract 45706, Tract 45707, Tract 45708, Tract 45709, Tract 45900, Tract 46101, Tract 46102, Tract 46103, Tract 46200, Tract 46900, Tract 47000, Tract 47201, Tract 47202, Tract 49101, Tract 49102, Tract 49400, Tract 49501, Tract 49502, Tract 51401, Tract 51402, Tract 940100, Tract 940400, Tract 940600, Tract 940700, Tract 940800, Tract 940900, Tract 941001, Tract 941002, Tract 941100, Tract 941200, Tract 941300, Tract 941400, Tract 981000, Tract 43203: Block Group 2: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14; Tract 43813: Block Group 2: Block 0, Block 16, Block 17; Tract 44405: Block Group 2: Block 0, Block 21; Tract 44406: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27; Block Group 2: Block 38, Block 39, Block 43, Block 62, Block 63, Block 64, Block 65, Block 66, Block 67, Block 68, Block 69, Block 70, Block 71, Block 72, Block 73, Block 75, Block 76, Block 77, Block 78, Block 79, Block 80, Block 81, Block 82; Tract 44407: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 15, Block 16, Block 27, Block 28, Block 32, Block 33, Block 34, Block 35, Block 36, Block 37, Block 38, Block 39, Block 40, Block 41, Block 42, Block 43, Block 44, Block 45, Block 46, Block 47, Block 48, Block 49, Block 50, Block 51, Block 52, Block 53, Block 54, Block 55, Block 56, Block 57, Block 58, Block 59; Block Group 2: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30, Block 31, Block 32, Block 33, Block 34, Block 35, Block 36, Block 37, Block 38, Block 39, Block 40, Block 41, Block 42, Block 43, Block 44, Block 45, Block 46, Block 47, Block 48, Block 49, Block 50, Block 51, Block 52, Block 53, Block 54, Block 55, Block 56, Block 57, Block 58, Block 59, Block 60, Block 61, Block 62, Block 63, Block 64, Block 65, Block 66, Block 67, Block 68, Block 69, Block 70, Block 71, Block 72, Block 73, Block 74, Block 75, Block 76, Block 77, Block 78, Block 79, Block 80, Block 81, Block 82, Block 83, Block 84, Block 85, Block 86, Block 87; Block Group 3; Tract 44521: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30, Block 31, Block 32, Block 33, Block 34, Block 35, Block 36, Block 37, Block 38, Block 39, Block 40,

Block 41, Block 42, Block 43, Block 44, Block 45, Block 46, Block 47, Block 48, Block 49, Block 50, Block 51, Block 52, Block 53, Block 54, Block 55, Block 56, Block 57, Block 58, Block 59, Block 60, Block 61, Block 62, Block 63, Block 64, Block 65, Block 66, Block 67, Block 68, Block 69, Block 70, Block 71, Block 72, Block 73, Block 74, Block 75, Block 76, Block 77, Block 78, Block 79, Block 80, Block 81, Block 82, Block 83, Block 84, Block 85, Block 86, Block 87, Block 88, Block 89, Block 90, Block 91, Block 92, Block 93, Block 94, Block 95, Block 96, Block 97, Block 98, Block 99, Block 100, Block 102, Block 103, Block 104, Block 105, Block 106, Block 107, Block 108, Block 109, Block 110, Block 111, Block 112, Block 113, Block 114, Block 115, Block 116, Block 117, Block 118, Block 119, Block 120, Block 121, Block 122, Block 123, Block 124, Block 125, Block 126, Block 127, Block 128, Block 129, Block 130, Block 131, Block 132, Block 133, Block 134, Block 135, Block 136, Block 137, Block 138, Block 139, Block 140, Block 141, Block 142, Block 143, Block 144, Block 145, Block 146, Block 147, Block 148, Block 149, Block 150, Block 151, Block 152; Tract 940500: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30, Block 31, Block 32, Block 33, Block 34, Block 35, Block 36, Block 37, Block 38, Block 39, Block 40, Block 41, Block 42, Block 43, Block 45, Block 46, Block 47, Block 48, Block 49, Block 50, Block 51, Block 52, Block 53, Block 54, Block 55, Block 56, Block 57, Block 58, Block 59, Block 60, Block 61, Block 62, Block 63; Block Group 2: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30, Block 31, Block 32, Block 33, Block 34, Block 35, Block 36, Block 37, Block 38, Block 39, Block 40, Block 41, Block 42, Block 43, Block 44, Block 45, Block 46, Block 47, Block 48, Block 49, Block 50, Block 51, Block 52, Block 53, Block 55; Block Group 3.

## **District 5**

District 5: Riverside County: Tract 40609, Tract 40611, Tract 40617, Tract 40619, Tract 40620, Tract 40621, Tract 40701, Tract 40702, Tract 40806, Tract 40807, Tract 40808, Tract 40809, Tract 40812, Tract 40813, Tract 40814, Tract 40815, Tract 40816, Tract 40821, Tract 41500, Tract 41601, Tract 41602, Tract 41702, Tract 41703, Tract 41704, Tract 41803, Tract 41804, Tract 41805, Tract 41806, Tract 41807, Tract 41808, Tract 41809, Tract 41810, Tract 41812, Tract 41813, Tract 41904, Tract 41905, Tract 41906, Tract 41909, Tract 41910, Tract 41912, Tract 41913, Tract 41914, Tract 41915, Tract 43205, Tract 43206, Tract 43209, Tract

43210, Tract 43211, Tract 43227, Tract 43228, Tract 43229, Tract 43270, Tract 43271, Tract 43272, Tract 43276, Tract 43278, Tract 43294, Tract 43295, Tract 46405, Tract 46601, Tract 46602, Tract 47901, Tract 47902, Tract 48100, Tract 48200, Tract 49701, Tract 49702, Tract 50301, Tract 50302, Tract 50401, Tract 50402, Tract 50600, Tract 40603: Block Group 1: Block 38, Block 39; Tract 40616: Block Group 1, Block Group 2: Block 4, Block 5, Block 8, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29; Block Group 3, Block Group 4; Tract 40618: Block Group 1, Block Group 2, Block Group 3: Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 65, Block 66, Block 67, Block 68, Block 69, Block 75, Block 76, Block 77, Block 78, Block 79, Block 80, Block 81, Block 82, Block 83, Block 91, Block 92, Block 93, Block 94; Tract 40622: Block Group 1: Block 11; Block Group 2, Block Group 3; Tract 40703: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17; Block Group 2; Tract 41004: Block Group 3: Block 6, Block 12, Block 13, Block 21, Block 22; Tract 41404: Block Group 1: Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16; Tract 41405: Block Group 1: Block 23; Tract 41410: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 13; Tract 41412: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 26; Block Group 2: Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 32; Tract 41413: Block Group 1: Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24; Block Group 2, Block Group 3; Tract 41414: Block Group 1, Block Group 2: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8; Tract 41415: Block Group 1: Block 9; Block Group 2: Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9; Block Group 3: Block 0, Block 2, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 18, Block 19, Block 22, Block 23, Block 26; Tract 42007: Block Group 1: Block 32, Block 33, Block 34, Block 35, Block 41, Block 42, Block 43, Block 44, Block 45, Block 46, Block 47, Block 48, Block 49, Block 50, Block 51, Block 52, Block 53, Block 112, Block 113, Block 114, Block 120, Block 121, Block 122, Block 124; Block Group 2: Block 19, Block 21, Block 24, Block 63, Block 68; Tract 42008: Block Group 4: Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 15, Block 23, Block 24, Block 28, Block 29; Tract 42733: Block Group 3: Block 41, Block 42, Block 43, Block 44, Block 45, Block 47, Block 48, Block 49, Block 50, Block 51, Block 52, Block 61, Block 62, Block 63, Block 64, Block 65, Block 68; Tract 43007: Block Group 1, Block Group 2, Block Group 3:



Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19; Tract 43008: Block Group 1: Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22; Block Group 2, Block Group 3: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19; Tract 43010: Block Group 1: Block 2, Block 3, Block 16, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22; Tract 43204: Block Group 1, Block Group 2: Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25; Block Group 3; Tract 43235: Block Group 1: Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 46, Block 47, Block 48, Block 49, Block 50, Block 51, Block 52, Block 53, Block 54, Block 55, Block 56, Block 57, Block 58, Block 59, Block 60, Block 61, Block 62, Block 63, Block 64, Block 65, Block 66, Block 67, Block 68, Block 69, Block 70, Block 71, Block 72, Block 73, Block 75, Block 76, Block 77; Block Group 2; Tract 43274: Block Group 2: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30, Block 31, Block 32, Block 33, Block 34, Block 35, Block 36, Block 37, Block 38, Block 39, Block 40, Block 41, Block 42, Block 43, Block 44, Block 45, Block 46, Block 47, Block 48, Block 49, Block 50, Block 51, Block 52, Block 53, Block 54, Block 55, Block 56; Tract 43279: Block Group 1, Block Group 2, Block Group 3: Block 16, Block 20, Block 21; Tract 43292: Block Group 3: Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30; Tract 43296: Block Group 1, Block Group 2: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29; Tract 43297: Block Group 1: Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5; Block Group 2: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 31, Block 32; Tract 46401: Block Group 1, Block Group 2: Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23,

Block 24, Block 25, Block 26; Tract 46402: Block Group 1, Block Group 2: Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30, Block 31, Block 32, Block 33, Block 34, Block 35; Tract 46403: Block Group 1, Block Group 2, Block Group 3: Block 6; Block Group 4: Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26; Tract 46404: Block Group 1: Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20; Block Group 3: Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30, Block 31, Block 32, Block 33, Block 34, Block 35, Block 36, Block 37; Tract 49600: Block Group 2: Block 10, Block 11; Tract 50701: Block Group 1, Block Group 2, Block Group 3: Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19; Tract 50702: Block Group 1, Block Group 2: Block 5, Block 6, Block 9; Tract 51200: Block Group 1: Block 0, Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 7, Block 8, Block 9, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 35, Block 36; Block Group 3: Block 1, Block 2, Block 3, Block 4, Block 5, Block 6, Block 10, Block 11, Block 12, Block 13, Block 14, Block 15, Block 16, Block 17, Block 18, Block 19, Block 20, Block 21, Block 22, Block 23, Block 24, Block 25, Block 26, Block 27, Block 28, Block 29, Block 30, Block 31, Block 32, Block 33, Block 34, Block 35, Block 36, Block 37, Block 38, Block 39, Block 40, Block 41, Block 42, Block 43.

**From:** Odenbaugh, Rania <ROdenbaugh@Rivco.org>

**Sent:** Monday, November 15, 2021 4:02 PM

**To:** COB <COB@RIVCO.ORG>

**Subject:** FW: RPV Analysis

**From:** RCIT - RIVCO Redistricting <RIVCOredistricting@rivco.org>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 9, 2021 5:26 PM

**To:** Odenbaugh, Rania <ROdenbaugh@Rivco.org>; Federico, Brooke <bcfederico@rivco.org>; Farin, Jason <JFarin@RIVCO.ORG>; Bruckner, Scott <SBruckner@rivco.org>; Mullen, Tom <TMullen@RIVCO.ORG>

**Subject:** FW: RPV Analysis

**From:** Mullen, Tom <TMullen@RIVCO.ORG>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 9, 2021 5:16 PM

**To:** Michael Gomez Daly <michael@ieunited.org>

**Cc:** Odenbaugh, Rania <ROdenbaugh@Rivco.org>; RCIT - RIVCO Redistricting <RIVCOredistricting@rivco.org>

**Subject:** RE: RPV Analysis

Here you go.

Tom



**Tom Mullen**

Chief Data Officer

Riverside County Information Technology (RCIT)

951.955.1850

**From:** Michael Gomez Daly <michael@ieunited.org>

**Sent:** Tuesday, November 9, 2021 2:56 PM

**To:** Mullen, Tom <TMullen@RIVCO.ORG>

**Subject:** Re: RPV Analysis

Tom,

Any chance we can get the block equivalency files instead of shape? Not a big deal if cannot.

Michael

On Tue, Nov 9, 2021 at 2:53 PM Mullen, Tom <TMullen@rivco.org> wrote:

Hello,

Yes you can submit an update. We would appreciate receiving the update by 9:00 tomorrow.

Regarding the Brown Black Alliance map, I will have a shapefile sent to you today.

Thank you,

Tom Mullen

Chief Data Officer

Riverside County, California

Sent from my iPhone

On Nov 9, 2021, at 1:56 PM, Michael Gomez Daly <michael@ieunited.org> wrote:

Tom,

We met with an expert to get counsel about the compactness of our maps. We are making minor revisions to the corona section to make it a bit more acceptable from a compactness perspective. I understand y'all are conducting an rpv analysis on the existing maps, would it be too late to get a revision in? and if not, when is the drop dead date?

best,

Michael

**From:** Odenbaugh, Rania <ROdenbaugh@Rivco.org>  
**Sent:** Monday, November 15, 2021 4:00 PM  
**To:** COB <COB@RIVCO.ORG>  
**Subject:** FW: Walsh 3.2 redistricting map.

FYI.

Thank you!

**Rania Odenbaugh, MBA.,MPM.**

Director of Constituent Services | County of Riverside Executive Office  
4080 Lemon Street, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor | Riverside, CA 92501  
Tel: 951.955.3109 | Email: [rodenbaugh@rivco.org](mailto:rodenbaugh@rivco.org)

**From:** RCIT - RIVCO Redistricting <[RIVCOredistricting@rivco.org](mailto:RIVCOredistricting@rivco.org)>

**Sent:** Monday, November 15, 2021 1:55 PM

**To:** Mullen, Tom <[TMullen@RIVCO.ORG](mailto:TMullen@RIVCO.ORG)>; Farin, Jason <[JFarin@RIVCO.ORG](mailto:JFarin@RIVCO.ORG)>; Federico, Brooke <[bcfederico@rivco.org](mailto:bcfederico@rivco.org)>; Bruckner, Scott <[SBruckner@rivco.org](mailto:SBruckner@rivco.org)>; Odenbaugh, Rania <[ROdenbaugh@Rivco.org](mailto:ROdenbaugh@Rivco.org)>

**Subject:** FW: Walsh 3.2 redistricting map.

**From:** Mullen, Tom <[TMullen@RIVCO.ORG](mailto:TMullen@RIVCO.ORG)>

**Sent:** Monday, November 15, 2021 1:41 PM

**To:** Gary Worobec <[garytwmw@gmail.com](mailto:garytwmw@gmail.com)>

**Cc:** Debbie Walsh <[abilene149@gmail.com](mailto:abilene149@gmail.com)>; RCIT - RIVCO Redistricting <[RIVCOredistricting@rivco.org](mailto:RIVCOredistricting@rivco.org)>

**Subject:** Re: Walsh 3.2 redistricting map.

Greetings Mr. Worobec,

We are utilizing a mapping tool developed and maintained by esri rather than a Microsoft or Google spreadsheet for map analysis. When we create a map and the corresponding demographic tables, we are working with approximately 5.6 million data points across the more than 29,000 census blocks and 190+ demographic categories. We utilize the same mapping software to analyze internal maps and maps submitted by the community.

The redistricting data utilized within our mapping tools is from the state of California and can be found here: <https://statewidedatabase.org/redistricting2021/counties.html#>

## Statewide Database | County Level Data

The Statewide Database website does not collect or share personal information. If you submit a question to us on our Contact page, we will see the name, phone number and email address that you enter on that form, and we will only use that name, phone number and email address in order to reply to you. Close [statewidedatabase.org](https://statewidedatabase.org)

Regards,



**Tom Mullen**

Chief Data Officer  
Riverside County Information Technology (RCIT)

951.955.1850

**From:** Gary Worobec <[garytwmw@gmail.com](mailto:garytwmw@gmail.com)>  
**Sent:** Sunday, November 14, 2021 5:25 PM  
**To:** Mullen, Tom <[TMullen@RIVCO.ORG](mailto:TMullen@RIVCO.ORG)>  
**Cc:** Debbie Walsh <[abilene149@gmail.com](mailto:abilene149@gmail.com)>  
**Subject:** Walsh 3.2 redistricting map.

CAUTION: This email originated externally from the Riverside County email system. DO NOT click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Mr. Mullen,

Could you please provide me with the spreadsheet that you used to calculate the population numbers for each of the 5 districts regarding the Walsh 3.2 redistricting map.

Thank you

Gary Worobec

[https://urldefense.com/v3/http://www.takebackanza.org;!!JTvGX330HN5x6Ko!XSJBoJp - fvyEsMAvJcWySTJMaRFWkK9MEI0M4jPB GinuGG rU6hiOda799w\\$](https://urldefense.com/v3/http://www.takebackanza.org;!!JTvGX330HN5x6Ko!XSJBoJp-fvyEsMAvJcWySTJMaRFWkK9MEI0M4jPB GinuGG rU6hiOda799w$)  
951-373-0707

**Sent:** Monday, November 15, 2021 4:01 PM  
**To:** COB <COB@RIVCO.ORG>  
**Subject:** FW: Redistricting of the AEC areas

Thank you!

**Rania Odenbaugh, MBA.,MPM.**

Director of Constituent Services | County of Riverside Executive Office  
4080 Lemon Street, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor | Riverside, CA 92501  
Tel: 951.955.3109 | Email: [rodenbaugh@rivco.org](mailto:rodenbaugh@rivco.org)

**From:** RCIT - RIVCO Redistricting <[RIVCORedistricting@rivco.org](mailto:RIVCORedistricting@rivco.org)>

**Sent:** Friday, November 12, 2021 10:26 AM

**To:** Odenbaugh, Rania <[ROdenbaugh@Rivco.org](mailto:ROdenbaugh@Rivco.org)>; Farin, Jason <[JFarin@RIVCO.ORG](mailto:JFarin@RIVCO.ORG)>; Bruckner, Scott <[SBruckner@rivco.org](mailto:SBruckner@rivco.org)>; Federico, Brooke <[bcfederico@rivco.org](mailto:bcfederico@rivco.org)>; Mullen, Tom <[TMullen@RIVCO.ORG](mailto:TMullen@RIVCO.ORG)>

**Subject:** FW: Redistricting of the AEC areas

**From:** S Lauzier <[selauz@gmail.com](mailto:selauz@gmail.com)>

**Sent:** Friday, November 12, 2021 10:24 AM

**To:** WALTER HOLLOWAY <[wgh3rd@msn.com](mailto:wgh3rd@msn.com)>

**Cc:** RCIT - RIVCO Redistricting <[RIVCORedistricting@rivco.org](mailto:RIVCORedistricting@rivco.org)>

**Subject:** Re: Redistricting of the AEC areas

Thank you Mr. Holloway for speaking up.

There are many other neighbors in Garner Valley, Mountain Center and Pinion Hills - not to speak of similar sentiment from those Anza Electric Cooperative members from the other AEC service districts.

I'm continuing to encourage ALL members to learn the cost impacts on their electric bills that would be the immediate felt results of splitting AEC into two representative districts.

Steve Lauzier

Mountain Center, CA

Sent from my iPhone

On Nov 12, 2021, at 10:09 AM, WALTER HOLLOWAY <[wgh3rd@msn.com](mailto:wgh3rd@msn.com)> wrote:

To whom it may concern,

As a resident of Garner Valley, one of the areas that will be significantly affected by the redistricting efforts here in the county, I wanted to cc the letter sent by our local electric cooperative in response to these proposals. I want to wholeheartedly agree with Mr. short and express my opposition to any redistricting that will split and/or affect our cooperative in any fashion. As has been pointed out, splitting our district in two will not only make it cumbersome for the co-op, but it has the very real potential to increase my rates due to the more complex nature of whatever is formed. The co-op has and continues to do a very good job as a service provider in all aspects of providing remote communities continuous and emergency service when required and to "punish" them by splitting the district for political or whatever reason, is simply irresponsible, ill advised, and just NOT acceptable.

**EDITED LETTER TO CHUCK WASHINGTON RIVERSIDE COUNTY DISTRICT 3 SUPERVISOR:**

Anza Electric Cooperative, Inc. (AEC) is pleased to submit comments regarding the current redistricting proposal in Riverside County. AEC is grateful

E for the opportunity to comment, and is respectful of the efforts of the Executive Office Technical Committee (EOTC) in developing Draft Maps A through G. AEC is a rural distribution electric and broadband utility, serving approximately 9,000 residents of Southwestern Riverside County, in the unincorporated communities of Anza, Aguanga, Mountain Center, Garner Valley, Pinyon, Royal Carrizo, and portions of Sage. Currently, our entire service territory is wholly located in Riverside County Supervisory District 3. As proposed, Maps A-D and G draw a line through areas of Sage and Aguanga that effectively bisects our service territory, dividing representation between Districts 3 and 4. This scenario is disruptive to our community by removing unified representation, and divides this responsibility between two Supervisors' offices. Additionally, our cooperative is very active in communicating and coordinating with our Supervisor; splitting representation will double the cost and work for our staff to do so. Therefore, we respectfully support EOTC proposed maps E and F, as the boundaries on these maps maintains the integrity of our service territory and provides more cohesive representation and leadership for our residents. I am available at the office numbers listed herein for further information or clarification. Thanks to county staff for the opportunity to comment on the proposed redistricting maps.

Sincerely,

Kevin Short

General Manager

CC: Chuck Washington, Riverside County District 3 Supervisor

To that end, do what you want with redistricting, but DO NOT split up or affect AEC in any fashion. Just NOT the right thing to do.

Respectfully,

Walt and Lisa Holloway

Garner Valley / Mountain Center

951 331 9943

## Maxwell, Sue

---

**From:** Odenbaugh, Rania  
**Sent:** Monday, November 15, 2021 4:02 PM  
**To:** COB  
**Subject:** FW: Redistricting

Thank you!

**Rania Odenbaugh, MBA.,MPM.**

Director of Constituent Services | County of Riverside Executive Office

4080 Lemon Street, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor | Riverside, CA 92501

Tel: 951.955.3109 | Email: [rodenbaugh@rivco.org](mailto:rodenbaugh@rivco.org)

---

**From:** RCIT - RIVCO Redistricting <[RIVCORedistricting@rivco.org](mailto:RIVCORedistricting@rivco.org)>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 10, 2021 3:00 PM  
**To:** Odenbaugh, Rania <[ROdenbaugh@Rivco.org](mailto:ROdenbaugh@Rivco.org)>; Farin, Jason <[JFarin@RIVCO.ORG](mailto:JFarin@RIVCO.ORG)>; Bruckner, Scott <[SBruckner@rivco.org](mailto:SBruckner@rivco.org)>; Federico, Brooke <[bcfederico@rivco.org](mailto:bcfederico@rivco.org)>; Mullen, Tom <[TMullen@RIVCO.ORG](mailto:TMullen@RIVCO.ORG)>  
**Subject:** FW: Redistricting

---

**From:** Tom Garrett <[tmgarrett@mcpsrsvs.com](mailto:tmgarrett@mcpsrsvs.com)>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 10, 2021 2:45 PM  
**To:** RCIT - RIVCO Redistricting <[RIVCORedistricting@rivco.org](mailto:RIVCORedistricting@rivco.org)>  
**Subject:** Redistricting

**CAUTION:** This email originated externally from the Riverside County email system. **DO NOT** click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi,

It has been presented that the residents of the Temescal Valley favor Map H.

Well, I own land in both Temescal Valley and in Corona. And I do NOT agree.

Why separate Corona from Temescal Valley and Lake Elsinore? It would seem to me that all three communities have a common interest in the I-15 corridor and therefore belong together.

With thanks in advance,

Best,

Tom

**From:** Michael Gomez Daly <[michael@ieunited.org](mailto:michael@ieunited.org)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 9, 2021 5:20 PM  
**To:** Mullen, Tom <[TMullen@RIVCO.ORG](mailto:TMullen@RIVCO.ORG)>  
**Cc:** Sky Allen <[sky@ieunited.org](mailto:sky@ieunited.org)>; Odenbaugh, Rania <[ROdenbaugh@Rivco.org](mailto:ROdenbaugh@Rivco.org)>  
**Subject:** Re: RPV Analysis

Tom,

Please find the attached block equivalency file for our revisions. Thank you so much for being flexible with our submissions.

best,  
Michael

On Tue, Nov 9, 2021 at 2:53 PM Mullen, Tom <[TMullen@rivco.org](mailto:TMullen@rivco.org)> wrote:  
Hello,

Yes you can submit an update. We would appreciate receiving the update by 9:00 tomorrow.

Regarding the Brown Black Alliance map, I will have a shapefile sent to you today.

Thank you,

Tom Mullen  
Chief Data Officer  
Riverside County, California

Sent from my iPhone

On Nov 9, 2021, at 1:56 PM, Michael Gomez Daly <[michael@ieunited.org](mailto:michael@ieunited.org)> wrote:

**CAUTION:** This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system. **DO NOT** click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Tom,

We met with an expert to get counsel about the compactness of our maps. We are making minor revisions to the corona section to make it a bit more acceptable from a compactness perspective. I understand y'all are conducting an rpv analysis on the existing maps, would it be too late to get a revision in? and if not, when is the drop dead date?

best,  
Michael



**From:** Odenbaugh, Rania <ROdenbaugh@Rivco.org>  
**Sent:** Monday, November 15, 2021 4:02 PM  
**To:** COB <COB@RIVCO.ORG>  
**Subject:** FW: RPV Analysis

**From:** RCIT - RIVCO Redistricting <RIVCORedistricting@rivco.org>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 10, 2021 7:23 AM  
**To:** Odenbaugh, Rania <ROdenbaugh@Rivco.org>; Federico, Brooke <bcfederico@rivco.org>; Farin, Jason <JFarin@RIVCO.ORG>; Bruckner, Scott <SBruckner@rivco.org>; Mullen, Tom <TMullen@RIVCO.ORG>  
**Subject:** FW: RPV Analysis

**From:** Michael Gomez Daly <michael@ieunited.org>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 9, 2021 9:52 PM  
**To:** Mullen, Tom <TMullen@RIVCO.ORG>  
**Cc:** Sky Allen <sky@ieunited.org>; Odenbaugh, Rania <ROdenbaugh@Rivco.org>; RCIT - RIVCO Redistricting <RIVCORedistricting@rivco.org>  
**Subject:** Re: RPV Analysis

Tom,


Thank you for sending over. I know how much time this is taking for everyone. We appreciate all of the effort you all have put in for redistricting.

Michael

On Tue, Nov 9, 2021, 8:22 PM Mullen, Tom <TMullen@rivco.org> wrote:  
Thank you Michael. We will take action with your updated submission.

As requested, please find attached a zip file with the files for Community Draft Map 6.1 as submitted by the Brown Black Alliance. Please note, there are 16 census blocks that are not assigned, representing 40 residents.

Thank you and have a good evening.



**Tom Mullen**  
Chief Data Officer  
Riverside County Information Technology (RCIT)  
951.955.1850

---

**From:** Odenbaugh, Rania <ROdenbaugh@Rivco.org>  
**Sent:** Monday, November 15, 2021 3:59 PM  
**To:** COB <COB@RIVCO.ORG>  
**Subject:** FW: Brown and Black Redistricting Alliance Community Map 6.2

Thank you!

**Rania Odenbaugh, MBA.,MPM.**  
Director of Constituent Services | County of Riverside Executive Office  
4080 Lemon Street, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor | Riverside, CA 92501  
Tel: 951.955.3109 | Email: [rodenbaugh@rivco.org](mailto:rodenbaugh@rivco.org)

**From:** RCIT - RIVCO Redistricting <[RIVCORedistricting@rivco.org](mailto:RIVCORedistricting@rivco.org)>  
**Sent:** Monday, November 15, 2021 1:56 PM  
**To:** Odenbaugh, Rania <[ROdenbaugh@Rivco.org](mailto:ROdenbaugh@Rivco.org)>; Farin, Jason <[JFarin@RIVCO.ORG](mailto:JFarin@RIVCO.ORG)>; Bruckner, Scott <[SBruckner@rivco.org](mailto:SBruckner@rivco.org)>; Federico, Brooke <[bcfederico@rivco.org](mailto:bcfederico@rivco.org)>; Mullen, Tom <[TMullen@RIVCO.ORG](mailto:TMullen@RIVCO.ORG)>  
**Subject:** FW: Brown and Black Redistricting Alliance Community Map 6.2

**From:** Mullen, Tom <[TMullen@RIVCO.ORG](mailto:TMullen@RIVCO.ORG)>  
**Sent:** Monday, November 15, 2021 1:52 PM  
**To:** Lupe Camacho <[lupecamacho12@gmail.com](mailto:lupecamacho12@gmail.com)>  
**Cc:** 'Maribel Nunez' <[maribel@inlandequitypartnership.org](mailto:maribel@inlandequitypartnership.org)>; 'Ana Gonzalez' <[ana.g@ccaej.org](mailto:ana.g@ccaej.org)>; RCIT - RIVCO Redistricting <[RIVCORedistricting@rivco.org](mailto:RIVCORedistricting@rivco.org)>  
**Subject:** Re: Brown and Black Redistricting Alliance Community Map 6.2

Greetings,

We have received your email and link to updated redistricting supervisorial boundaries from the Brown Black Alliance. Your previous submission, labeled Community Draft Map 6.1, is included in our staff report and Board of Supervisor's agenda scheduled for tomorrow, Tuesday November 16, 2021 at 9:30 AM. Here is a link to the agenda items:

[http://riversidecountyca.ig2.com/Citizens/Detail\\_Legifile.aspx?Frame=&MeetingID=2492&MediaPosition=&ID=17658&CssClass=](http://riversidecountyca.ig2.com/Citizens/Detail_Legifile.aspx?Frame=&MeetingID=2492&MediaPosition=&ID=17658&CssClass=)

Given the posting requirements for the meeting we are not able to update your map for tomorrow's agenda item. Map 6.1 will be discussed as part of our staff presentation. During public comment you may share your update, highlighting what has changed from 6.1, and any other input you would like to provide to the Board.

I look forward to seeing your tomorrow.

Best regards,



**Tom Mullen**  
Chief Data Officer  
Riverside County Information Technology (RCIT)

951.955.1850

---

---

**From:** Lupe Camacho <[lupecamacho12@gmail.com](mailto:lupecamacho12@gmail.com)>

**Sent:** Sunday, November 14, 2021 10:06 PM

**To:** Mullen, Tom <[TMullen@RIVCO.ORG](mailto:TMullen@RIVCO.ORG)>

**Cc:** 'Maribel Nunez' <[maribel@inlandequitypartnership.org](mailto:maribel@inlandequitypartnership.org)>; 'Ana Gonzalez' <[ana.g@ccaej.org](mailto:ana.g@ccaej.org)>

**Subject:** Brown and Black Redistricting Alliance Community Map 6.2

Hello Tom,

I saw a call come into my phone today around 11:30am, I am sorry I did not catch it if. I was not sure if you were trying to speak to me, so I called you back and left a message.

Anyhow, I wanted to let you know that I realized over the weekend that I uploaded the incorrect file folders for our Community Draft Submittal 6.1. On Friday, after you informed me that your staff had uploaded the maps and report from our submittal earlier in the week, I went to look at the published maps.

I realized immediately that there was something wrong because my numbers were not matching with your published numbers. I then proceeded to review in detail my numbers and after still arriving at my same results, I thought of checking the file folders I uploaded to the Google Drive, which I provided to Maribel Nunez and of course which she forwarded to you.

I am sorry to say, that was there, where I found my error. I uploaded the incorrect file folders. I have been working on a multitude of map scenarios and calculations, and in my haste, I selected the incorrect folders for upload.

I wish to extend my sincere apologies to you and your staff as well as to Ms. Maribel Nunez and the Brown and Black Redistricting Alliance for any inconvenience or confusion this oversight of mine has caused.

I am including below the google drive link to what should have been the submittal for the Community Draft Maps 6.1, but I have now labeled the file folder as Community Draft Map 6.2.

<https://drive.google.com/drive/folders/1X1-JiOzRGMb-wwYVUc5JSIhWvdX1IXmL?usp=sharing>

Please feel free to reach out to me at any time tomorrow or during the week if you should have any questions and, once again, my apologies.

Kind Regards,

*Lupe Camacho*  
112 E. Third Street  
Rialto, CA 92376  
909-821-6463  
[Lupecamacho12@gmail.com](mailto:Lupecamacho12@gmail.com)

**From:** Odenbaugh, Rania <ROdenbaugh@Rivco.org>  
**Sent:** Monday, November 15, 2021 4:06 PM  
**To:** COB <COB@RIVCO.ORG>  
**Subject:** FW: Supervisorial District Proposed Boundaries - City of Menifee Letter of Concern

Thank you!

**Rania Odenbaugh, MBA.,MPM.**

Director of Constituent Services | County of Riverside Executive Office  
4080 Lemon Street, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor | Riverside, CA 92501  
Tel: 951.955.3109 | Email: [rodenbaugh@rivco.org](mailto:rodenbaugh@rivco.org)

**From:** RCIT - RIVCO Redistricting <RIVCORedistricting@rivco.org>  
**Sent:** Monday, November 15, 2021 4:05 PM  
**To:** Odenbaugh, Rania <ROdenbaugh@Rivco.org>; Farin, Jason <JFarin@RIVCO.ORG>; Bruckner, Scott <SBruckner@rivco.org>; Federico, Brooke <bcfederico@rivco.org>; Mullen, Tom <TMullen@RIVCO.ORG>  
**Subject:** FW: Supervisorial District Proposed Boundaries - City of Menifee Letter of Concern

**From:** Imelda Huerta <ihuerta@cityofmenifee.us>  
**Sent:** Monday, November 15, 2021 3:59 PM  
**To:** RCIT - RIVCO Redistricting <RIVCORedistricting@rivco.org>  
**Subject:** Supervisorial District Proposed Boundaries - City of Menifee Letter of Concern

Please find the attached letter highlighting our concern regarding the proposed supervisorial redistricting draft maps that were recently released for the Riverside County region. The City of Menifee has learned that a map has been introduced that would divide the city into three (3) supervisorial districts, which would cause the City to be represented by three (3) District Supervisors. There is significant concern with the possible implications of distinct representation, as well as the various disadvantages that will ensue should this move forward. The City of Menifee is respectfully requesting the County re-examine the district boundary configurations and request representation by one (1) District Supervisor, as this would be much more favorable for the city and region as a whole.

Please take a moment to review the letter and feel free to contact me to coordinate a further discussion. We appreciate your time, leadership, and consideration.

Sincerely,

**Imelda M. Huerta, MPA** | Senior Management Analyst  
Office of the City Manager  
City of Menifee | 29844 Haun Road | Menifee, CA 92586  
Direct: (951) 723-3774 | City Hall: (951) 672-6777 | Fax: (951) 679-3843  
[ihuerta@cityofmenifee.us](mailto:ihuerta@cityofmenifee.us) | [cityofmenifee.us](http://cityofmenifee.us)



*It starts with*  
**MENIFEE**



**New. Better. Best.**

Connect with us on social media: [f](#) | [t](#) | [i](#) | [in](#)

*\*Please note that email correspondence with the City of Menifee, along with attachments, may be subject to the California Public Records Act, and therefore may be subject to disclosure unless otherwise exempt. The City of Menifee shall not be responsible for any claims, losses or damages resulting from the use of digital data that may be contained in this email.*

November 15, 2021

Jeffrey Anthony Van Wagenen Jr.  
County of Riverside Executive Office  
4080 Lemon Street, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Riverside, CA 92501-3659

**RE: Supervisorial District Boundaries - Redistricting Draft Maps (Riverside County Region)**

Dear County Executive Officer Jeffrey Van Wagenen:

I am writing to express concern in regard to the proposed county supervisorial redistricting draft maps that were recently released for our region. The City of Menifee has learned that a new and late District Map Alternative has been introduced that would divide the city into three (3) supervisorial districts and consequently being represented by three (3) District Supervisors. The City is concerned with the possible implications of distinct representation, as well as the resident confusion and disadvantages that will ensue should this move forward. The City of Menifee would prefer and is respectfully requesting representation by one (1) District Supervisor, as this and would be much more favorable for the city as a whole. Additionally, based on the proposed map (enclosed with this letter – referenced as Community Draft Map 1.2 (page 5 of 6)), the split would detach some our city from our neighboring Southwest Riverside County cities, hampering opportunities that are currently moving forward and future prospects.

As a background, Menifee is a vibrant, young city situated in the heart of Southwest Riverside County and is one of the few communities in Southern California with available land in an economic development corridor. This economic corridor runs along neighboring cities, such as Lake Elsinore, Wildomar, Murrieta and Temecula. Since incorporation in 2008, our city has experienced tremendous growth. We have recently hit a milestone of over 100,000 in population and we are currently one of the fastest growing cities in both the state and county.

Due to our growth, the demand for public services has increased significantly. This has led to funding needs for various projects in our city. The Southwest Riverside County cities have joined forces for many projects and recently submitted a Regional Homeless Services project as part of a funding request that is moving forward. Splitting our supervisorial districts would complicate and hinder our agency from partnering with some of our neighboring cities for projects, funding opportunities, and coalitions.

Furthermore, dividing the city into more than one (1) district, creates disadvantages for our residents and conflicts with the City's adopted Strategic Visioning Plan, as this creates differing legislative priorities. We do not want a division in our community and believe that one vision will contribute to a more thriving environment for our region.

For these reasons, the City of Menifee respectfully requests the County to re-examine the proposed supervisorial district configurations in our region and consider adjusting so Menifee can remain under one (1) District Supervisor.

*City of Menifee Letter*  
*Supervisorial Redistricting Maps*

For any questions or to coordinate a further discussion, please contact Imelda M. Huerta, Senior Management Analyst in the City Manager's Office at (951) 723-3774 or [ihuerta@cityofmenifee.us](mailto:ihuerta@cityofmenifee.us). Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Armando G. Villa". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Armando G. Villa  
City Manager



cc: Menifee City Council (via email)

# EOTC Fv1 Redistricting Boundaries With CA Adjusted Census Population Data


**Legend**

- Cities
- Census Designated Places
- Census Tracts
- EOTC Fv1 Redistricting Boundary

District	Population 2020	Target Population	Variance from 2020 Target	Change Need to Reach Target
1	479,099	483,488	-0.9%	4,389
2	479,827	483,488	-0.9%	3,661
3	490,539	483,488	1.5%	-7,051
4	484,291	483,488	0.2%	-803
5	483,682	483,488	0.0%	-194




# EOTC Gv1 Redistricting Boundaries With CA Adjusted Census Population Data




District	Population 2020	Target Population	Change Need to Reach Target	
			Variance	Percentage
1	485,219	483,488	1,731	0.4%
2	487,895	483,488	4,407	0.9%
3	482,749	483,488	-739	-0.2%
4	477,626	483,488	5,862	1.2%
5	483,949	483,488	-461	-0.1%

- Cities
- Census Designated Places
- Census Tracts
- EOTC Gv1 Redistricting Boundary








# EOTC H v5 Redistricting Boundaries With CA Adjusted Census Population Data



District	Population 2020	Target Population	Variance from 2020 Target	Change Need to Reach Target
1	482,771	483,488	-0.1%	717
2	483,885	483,488	0.1%	-397
3	483,077	483,488	-0.1%	411
4	483,914	483,488	0.1%	-426
5	483,791	483,488	0.1%	-303



# EOTC I Redistricting Boundaries With CA Adjusted Census Population Data





District	Population 2020	Target Population	Variance Change Need to Reach	
			Target	Target
1	484,854	483,488	0.3%	-1,366
2	484,554	483,488	0.2%	-1,066
3	488,498	483,488	1.0%	-5,010
4	473,822	483,488	-2.0%	9,666
5	485,710	483,488	0.5%	-2,222

- Cities
- Census Designated Places
- Census Tracts
- EOTC I Redistricting Boundary


# Community Draft Map 1.2 Redistricting Boundaries With CA Adjusted Census Population Data

Cities  
 Census Designated Places  
 Community Tracts  
 Community Draft Map 1.2 Redistricting Boundary

District	Population 2020	Target Population	Variance from Target	Change Need to Reach Target
1	482,253	483,488	-0.3%	1,235
2	483,958	483,488	0.1%	-470
3	485,054	483,488	0.3%	-1,566
4	482,220	483,488	-0.3%	1,268
5	483,953	483,488	0.1%	-465




# Brown Black Alliance Community Draft Map 6.0 Redistricting Census Population Data With CA Adjusted Census Population Data



District	Population 2020	Target Population	Variance from 2020	Change Need to Reach Target
1	462,563	483,488	-4.3%	20,925
2	482,991	483,488	-0.1%	497
3	530,303	483,488	9.7%	-46,815
4	454,287	483,488	-6.0%	29,201
5	487,294	483,488	0.8%	-3,806

- Cities
- Census Designated Places
- Census Tracts
- Brown Black Alliance Community Draft Redistricting Boundary





# CITY OF PERRIS

## Office of the City Manager

101 NORTH "D" STREET  
PERRIS, CALIFORNIA 92570  
TEL: (951) 943-6100

November 15, 2021

Riverside County Board of Supervisors  
4080 Lemon Street, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Riverside CA, 92501 Honorable Supervisors:

**Re:** Supervisorial Redistricting Maps

The City of Perris previously submitted a letter expressing concerns over the proposed redrawing of the Supervisorial District borders for the Riverside County Board of Supervisors, specifically in relation to the proposed redistricting map boundaries between the Cities of Perris and Moreno Valley. We appreciate and thank you for taking our concerns into consideration. The city would like to further express support for the latest map being considered, Draft Map 6.1 (Brown Black Alliance Community). This map accomplishes our interest to allow for both Cities of Perris and Moreno Valley to remain within the same district boundaries. Additionally, we believe that this map encompasses the shared interests and goals of the communities proposed under District 1.

The communities proposed within District 1 under Draft Map 6.1 share common concerns which is important that we can continue to work collaboratively on vital issues of shared interest critical to our socio-economic growth and quality of life. Due to our geographic boundaries, such as channels, freeways, thoroughfares, industrial corridors, school districts, and shared history, including a rich expansion of socio-economic development, it is prudent that we continue to work together as a unified community

Draft Map 6.1 will allow Perris to continue to collaboratively work together with Moreno Valley City and the surrounding areas of Mead Valley, Good Hope, Romoland, Nuevo, Lakeview and San Jacinto City. The City of Perris appreciates this opportunity to comment on this important endeavor that will have lasting impacts to the future of this area.

Sincerely,

City Manager  
City of Perris

CC:

Supervisor Karen Spiegel, Chair, Riverside County – 2<sup>nd</sup> District  
Supervisor Kevin Jeffries, Riverside County – 1<sup>st</sup> District  
Supervisor Chuck Washington, Riverside County – 3<sup>rd</sup> District  
Supervisor V. Manuel Perez, Riverside County – 4<sup>th</sup> District  
Supervisor Jeff Hewitt, Vice Chair, Riverside County Supervisor – 5<sup>th</sup> District  
Mayor Michael M. Vargas, City of Perris  
Mayor Dr. Yxstian Gutierrez, City of Moreno Valley  
Jeffrey Van Wagenen, Riverside County CEO

## Maxwell, Sue

---

**From:** Odenbaugh, Rania  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 16, 2021 5:51 AM  
**To:** COB  
**Subject:** Fwd: City of Perris Comment Letter - Riverside County Supervisorial Redistricting Maps  
**Attachments:** Outlook-County of .png; ATT00001.htm; image001.png; ATT00002.htm; image002.jpg; ATT00003.htm; Perris Letter\_Redistricting Maps\_November 15\_2021.pdf; ATT00004.htm

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** RCIT - RIVCO Redistricting <[RIVCORedistricting@rivco.org](mailto:RIVCORedistricting@rivco.org)>  
**Date:** November 15, 2021 at 8:20:55 PM PST  
**To:** "Odenbaugh, Rania" <[ROdenbaugh@Rivco.org](mailto:ROdenbaugh@Rivco.org)>, "Farin, Jason" <[JFarin@RIVCO.ORG](mailto:JFarin@RIVCO.ORG)>, "Bruckner, Scott" <[SBruckner@rivco.org](mailto:SBruckner@rivco.org)>, "Federico, Brooke" <[bcfederico@rivco.org](mailto:bcfederico@rivco.org)>, "Mullen, Tom" <[TMullen@RIVCO.ORG](mailto:TMullen@RIVCO.ORG)>  
**Subject:** FW: City of Perris Comment Letter - Riverside County Supervisorial Redistricting Maps

---

**From:** Mullen, Tom <[TMullen@RIVCO.ORG](mailto:TMullen@RIVCO.ORG)>  
**Sent:** Monday, November 15, 2021 7:49 PM  
**To:** RCIT - RIVCO Redistricting <[RIVCORedistricting@rivco.org](mailto:RIVCORedistricting@rivco.org)>  
**Subject:** Fw: City of Perris Comment Letter - Riverside County Supervisorial Redistricting Maps

For inclusion.

## Maxwell, Sue

---

**From:** Odenbaugh, Rania  
**Sent:** Monday, November 15, 2021 4:02 PM  
**To:** COB  
**Subject:** FW: Re-districting

---

**From:** RCIT - RIVCO Redistricting <RIVCORedistricting@rivco.org>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 9, 2021 9:44 AM  
**To:** Odenbaugh, Rania <ROdenbaugh@Rivco.org>; Farin, Jason <JFarin@RIVCO.ORG>; Bruckner, Scott <SBruckner@rivco.org>; Federico, Brooke <bcfederico@rivco.org>; Mullen, Tom <TMullen@RIVCO.ORG>  
**Subject:** FW: Re-districting

---

**From:** Barbara Jesus <reech.us@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 9, 2021 9:41 AM  
**To:** RCIT - RIVCO Redistricting <RIVCORedistricting@rivco.org>  
**Subject:** Re-districting

**CAUTION:** This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system. **DO NOT** click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

In our opinion we think Banning/Beaumont area should be in the same District since the areas are pretty much joined at the hip. There is no way Banning should be considered part of the "desert" area since needs are different in these communities.

Thank you.

Barbara DelGatto-Jesus

909-915-4320

## Maxwell, Sue

---

**From:** Odenbaugh, Rania  
**Sent:** Monday, November 15, 2021 4:19 PM  
**To:** COB  
**Subject:** FW: Expression of limited support of Map H

Thank you!

**Rania Odenbaugh, MBA.,MPM.**

Director of Constituent Services | County of Riverside Executive Office

4080 Lemon Street, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor | Riverside, CA 92501

Tel: 951.955.3109 | Email: [rodenbaugh@rivco.org](mailto:rodenbaugh@rivco.org)

---

**From:** RCIT - RIVCO Redistricting <[RIVCORedistricting@rivco.org](mailto:RIVCORedistricting@rivco.org)>  
**Sent:** Monday, November 15, 2021 4:16 PM  
**To:** Odenbaugh, Rania <[ROdenbaugh@Rivco.org](mailto:ROdenbaugh@Rivco.org)>; Farin, Jason <[JFarin@RIVCO.ORG](mailto:JFarin@RIVCO.ORG)>; Bruckner, Scott <[SBruckner@rivco.org](mailto:SBruckner@rivco.org)>; Federico, Brooke <[bcfederico@rivco.org](mailto:bcfederico@rivco.org)>; Mullen, Tom <[TMullen@RIVCO.ORG](mailto:TMullen@RIVCO.ORG)>  
**Subject:** FW: Expression of limited support of Map H

---

**From:** Angela Little <[awlittle@msn.com](mailto:awlittle@msn.com)>  
**Sent:** Monday, November 15, 2021 4:11 PM  
**To:** RCIT - RIVCO Redistricting <[RIVCORedistricting@rivco.org](mailto:RIVCORedistricting@rivco.org)>  
**Cc:** [sky.canyon@verizon.net](mailto:sky.canyon@verizon.net)  
**Subject:** Expression of limited support of Map H

**CAUTION:** This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system. **DO NOT** click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Map H is the best of the maps I've seen to date, however, it still does not keep the Winchester / Homeland MAC area together in one District. Including the northerly portion of the WHMAC area, that appears to be in District 5 in Map H, would decrease the negative number of citizens, listed as 3,952 in Map H's status of District 3.

Thank you again for your efforts. I know this has been a difficult process for all of us.

Angela D. Little  
President, Winchester Homeland Town Association



**Maxwell, Sue**

---

**From:** cob@rivco.org  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 16, 2021 11:02 AM  
**To:** COB  
**Subject:** BOS web comments

**CAUTION:** This email originated externally from the Riverside County email system. **DO NOT** click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

**First Name:** Ronald  
**Last Name:** Roy  
**Email:** rroy310@gmail.com  
**Agenda Date:** 11/16/2021  
**Agenda Item # or Public Comment:** Redistricting Public Hearing  
**State your position below:** Neutral  
**Comments:** Please refer to my Word Document Attachment.

Of the Maps presented I'm most in alignment with the Black Brown Alliance Community Draft Map 6.1, which would put the Pass Area Communities including my residence city of Beaumont in BOS District 3.

Please let me know if you have any problems receiving my attachment or have additional questions.

Thank you  
Ron roy

Attachments (Must be .pdf, .doc, or .docx):

[Ron-Roy-Comments-11-16-2021-BOS-Meeting-regarding-BOS-Redistricting-.docx](#)

My notes and comments re 2021 November 16 Riverside County BOS redistricting hearing

I live in the Pass area in Beaumont.

My recommendations are:

- **Pass Communities** : As many have already stated, San Timoteo Canyon, Calimesa, Beaumont, Cherry Valley, Banning, Cabazon, Whitewater, and Morongo Indian Reservation, should be in one district.

-**Water issues** and watershed protection are becoming more imperative. Therefore:

- **Pass Area Watersheds** should be in one district:

- Headwaters of Coachella Valley watershed, and Whitewater River
- Headwaters of Santa Ana River Watershed including San Timoteo Creek
- Please note that Beaumont has a water contract which requires it discharge over 1 million gallons per day through San Timoteo Canyon in San Timoteo Creek until until the creek reaches the Santa Ana River.
- Also Beaumont owns a brine-line that goes through San Timoteo Canyon, and continues until it reaches the Santa Ana River.

**Key Transportation Routes and Projects** should be within the Same District:

- I10 Corridor through San Gorgonio Pass, included multiple proposed interchange upgrade.
- Coachella Valley SG Pass Rail Corridor
- Pass Area Transit Agency Routes (Beaumont, Banning).
- Regional Alternative Transit Routes from North Palm Springs to Redlands

**Geographic integrity of San Gorgonio Pass should include Pass Communities.**

**San Timoteo Canyon, Badlands, Rural Communities of North Moreno Valley including Reche Canyon, should be within the same district as the Pass Communities.** The importance here is that these areas need to be preserved as rural communities, which is shared by Pass Residents, who share similar rural preservation efforts such as Cherry Valley, Banning Northern Foothills.

**Cities or portions of cities within the district should have relatively similar populations** to allow for competitive races for Supervisor. Any district that includes the entirety of the county's largest cities -Riverside and Moreno Valley will give candidates from those cities an unfair advantage. Therefore, to give Pass Area Communities a chance to elect a supervisor, suggest that for the largest county cities that if included in a district with the Pass Communities, these cities' population portions do not exceed 60,000.

**The maps that I'm most in favor of are:**

➤ **Brown Black Alliance Community Draft Map 6.1**

This Map puts the Pass Communities in District 3. This map has promise but, I could see where it could undergo some modifications.

Largest City is Temecula, which will have an electoral advantage. Ideally if this city is split so that Temecula portion that is in district does not exceed 60,000 would be ideal. Also Include Whitewater. Temecula has established cultural areas, a wine region, and has achieved environmental protections along the Santa Margarita River. The Pass could learn from, and benefit from the Temecula Valley's successes here.

Including the San Jacinto Mountain Communities (San Bernardino National Forrest South of I10) of Idyllwild, Pine Cove, Garner Valley, and Moonrise Ranch would be nice as these communities have values that largely embrace environmental protection of Natural Areas that are Global "eco tourism" tourist magnets, which many residents of the Pass Communities share.

Another modification to Map 6.1 would be to include Tribal Nations in District 3. so that these nations can collaborate on issues of concern to them. Nations for inclusion in the District, include Morongo Band Of Cahuilla Nation, Cahuilla Band (In Anza Valley) of Cahuilla Nation, Santa Rosa Band of Cahuilla Nation, Soboba Band of Luiseno Nation, Pechanga Band of Luiseno Nation. These nations have similar interests including, Casino development, environmental protection, cultural heritage preservation, tourism, water and other rights.

I am requesting that the BOS allow additional comments until the end of November.

Thank you for your consideration:

Ron Roy  
Beaumont Resident.



# City of Hemet

RECEIVED RIVERSIDE COUNTY  
CLERK / BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

2021 NOV 10 PM 3:57

OFFICE OF THE CITY COUNCIL

November 4, 2021

Board of Supervisors  
Riverside County  
4080 Lemon Street  
Riverside, Ca 92501

## Re: Riverside County 2021 Redistricting Plan

Honorable Board of Supervisors,

The Hemet City Council would primarily like to express appreciation to the Riverside County Board of Supervisors for its earnest effort and work toward keeping Riverside County municipalities apprised of the redistricting plan. While we acknowledge there may be conflicting desires and priorities, we are of the opinion that each of us are striving for the same thing, that being the overall health and wellbeing of our respective communities.


That being said, the cities and unincorporated communities of the San Jacinto Valley share common social and economic interests and face unique challenges that require common representation within a unified supervisorial district. This includes the cities of San Jacinto and Hemet; the unincorporated communities of East Hemet, Valle Vista, Idyllwild and Winchester; and the significant amenities of Diamond Valley Lake and Simpson Park. Our communities face common transportation issues with other communities connected via Highways 74 and 79, and therefore our council believes Hemet should be included in supervisorial districts with the city of Perris and the communities and cities of the San Gorgonio Pass. With that in mind, the Hemet City Council conferred, and would like to express our support for a redistricting with the following priorities considered.

1. Inclusion of communities served by Hemet Unified School District (HUSD)
2. Inclusion of neighboring unincorporated communities of interest, including Valle Vista, East Hemet, Homeland, Idyllwild, Winchester, and other communities served by Hemet Unified School District
3. Inclusion of Diamond Valley Lake and Simpson Park in the City of Hemet's supervisorial district
4. Maintenance of the City of San Jacinto in the same jurisdictional district as Hemet

While communities such as Valle Vista and East Hemet (the southern area of Hemet) are not technically within the boundaries of Hemet, for all other intents and purposes, they are Hemet, thus, we propose inclusion of these communities, along with HUSD-served communities, (since the City works closely with HUSD to connect and properly serve Hemet families). We further request maintaining the same supervisorial district with the City of San Jacinto, Diamond Valley Lake and Simpson Park, as to not fragment the strength our communities have collectively and the draw the lake and parks provides our communities due to their geographic locations.

We remain grateful for the Board of Supervisors' understanding and effort for Riverside municipalities and offer our support and help however it may be needed. We appreciate your consideration and prioritization of the requests herein, and ask that you protect the unique features of the Hemet San Jacinto Valley so we can continue to contribute to the overall success of Riverside County. Thank you.


Sincerely,



**KARLEE MEYER**  
MAYOR



**MALCOLM LILIENTHAL**  
MAYOR PRO-TEM



**LINDA KRUPA**  
COUNCIL MEMBER



**RUSS BROWN**  
COUNCIL MEMBER

# FEDEX

RECEIVED RIVERSIDE COUNTY  
CLERK / BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

2021 NOV 10 PM 3:57

**FedEx** Express  
*Cancelled* URGENT

Name \_\_\_\_\_  
Company *Floor 5*

Address \_\_\_\_\_  
City, State, ZIP \_\_\_\_\_  
Telephone \_\_\_\_\_

*Sue*

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
NOV 10 2021

Received by *YWD*  
*9:50 am*

01:11  
2828  
D

03:11  
2

11:30  
8282  
D

Alignment of FedEx Express® shipping label he

ORIGIN ID:R1VA (909) 543-7505  
JOHN HAIRER  
1027 SIXTH ST  
REDLANDS, CA 92374  
UNITED STATES US

SHIP DATE: 08NOV21  
ACTWT: 0.10 LB  
CAD: 6992330/SSF02220

BILL CREDIT CARD

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
4080 LEMON ST  
*Floor 5*

RIVERSIDE CA 92501



TRK# 2858 5971 8282  
TUE - 09 NOV 11:30  
PRIORITY OVERNIGHT

WWM MERA  
9250-  
CA-US SBI



## Maxwell, Sue

---

**From:** Julia Gomez <JGomez@aclusocal.org>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 16, 2021 6:51 AM  
**To:** COB; RCIT - RIVCO Redistricting  
**Cc:** Cynthia Valencia; Odenbaugh, Rania; Supervisor Jeffries - 1st District; District2; District3 Information; District 4 Supervisor V. Manuel Perez; District5  
**Subject:** Redistricting: Compliance with the Federal Voting Rights Act  
**Attachments:** 2021-11-16 - ACLU SoCal Letter to Riverside BOS and Planning Commission re Redistricting.pdf

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Flagged

**CAUTION:** This email originated externally from the Riverside County email system. **DO NOT** click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Members of the Riverside County Board of Supervisors and the Riverside County Planning Commission:

Attached please find correspondence regarding the County's redistricting process.

Best,

Julia

Julia Gomez, Staff Attorney  
ACLU of Southern California  
1313 W 8th Street, Suite 200  
Los Angeles, CA 90017  
(o) 213.977.5258

[aclusocal.org](http://aclusocal.org) || [facebook](#) || [twitter](#) || [blog](#) || [app](#)

**ACLU SoCal: STAND FOR JUSTICE >> Download our mobile app at [mobilejusticeca.org](http://mobilejusticeca.org)**

THIS MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHICH IT IS ADDRESSED AND MAY CONTAIN INFORMATION THAT IS PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL AND EXEMPT FROM DISCLOSURE UNDER APPLICABLE LAW. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING THE MESSAGE TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED.



AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION  
FOUNDATION

Southern California

November 16, 2021

*Sent Via Email*

Riverside County Board of Supervisors  
c/o Clerk of the Board  
County Administrative Center  
1st Floor, Board Chambers  
4080 Lemon Street  
Riverside, CA 92501  
[cob@rivco.org](mailto:cob@rivco.org)

Riverside County Planning Commission  
County Administrative Center  
1st Floor, Board Chambers  
4080 Lemon Street  
Riverside, CA 92501  
[RIVCORedistricting@rivco.org](mailto:RIVCORedistricting@rivco.org)

**Re: Supervisorial Redistricting and Compliance with the Voting Rights Act**

Dear Members of the Riverside County Board of Supervisors and Members of the Riverside County Planning Commission:

Community testimony, demographic data, and a racially polarized voting analysis by the County's consultants strongly suggests that the federal Voting Rights Act of 1965 (Voting Rights Act) requires the Riverside County Board of Supervisors (Board) to create two districts where Latinx voters have a real opportunity to elect candidates of choice. The Board and the Riverside County Planning Commission (Planning Commission) must therefore seriously consider creating two federal Voting Rights Act compliant supervisorial districts.

Section 2 of the Voting Rights Act requires the Board, under certain circumstances, to draw districts that provide minority voters with an effective opportunity to elect their preferred candidates. To determine whether the Board must create these districts, the Board must first examine the three *Gingles* preconditions: (1) whether the Latinx community in Riverside County is sufficiently large and geographically compact to constitute a majority in one or more single-member districts; (2) whether Latinx voters are politically cohesive; and (3) whether bloc voting by the majority of voters usually prevents Latinx voters from electing their preferred candidates. *Thornburg v. Gingles*, 478 U.S. 30, 50-51 (1986). Once these preconditions are established, you must "consider[ ] whether 'on the totality of circumstances,' minorities have been denied an 'equal opportunity' to 'participate in the political process and to elect representatives of their choice.'" *Abrams v. Johnson*, 521 U.S. 74, 91 (1997) (quoting 52 U.S.C. § 10301(b)).

The three *Gingles* preconditions are present in the County. The Latinx community is numerous and compact. Latinx voters make up 39.3% of the County's citizen voting age population (CVAP)<sup>1</sup> and there are cohesive Latinx communities on the westside of the County. It is possible to draw at least two compact districts on the westside where Latinx voters make up over 50% of the CVAP in each district, as evidenced by the Inland Empire Redistricting Hub's community map. See *Johnson v. De Grandy*, 512

<sup>1</sup> 2019 5-year American Community Survey.

**EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR** Hector O. Villagra

**CHAIR** Marla Stone **VICE CHAIRS** Sherry Frumkin and Frank Broccolo

**CHAIRS EMERITI** Shari Leinwand Stephen Rohde Danny Goldberg Allan K. Jonas\* Burt Lancaster\* Irving Lichtenstein, MD\* Jarl Mohn Laurie Ostrow\* Stanley K. Sheinbaum\*

\*deceased




U.S. 997, 1000 (1994) (noting that an important factor under a Section 2 analysis is whether “minority voters form effective voting majorities in a number of districts *roughly proportional* to the minority voters’ respective shares in the voting-age population.”) (emphasis added). What’s more, the County’s consultants recently released a summary of their analysis that there is racially polarized voting in Riverside County, meeting prongs II and III of the *Gingles* factors. Community testimony, socioeconomic data, and past and current discrimination against the Latinx community in the Inland Empire support a finding that, under the totality of the circumstances, the Latinx community has less of an opportunity to participate in the political process. *See Clark v. Calhoun Cnty.*, 21 F.3d 92, 97 (5th Cir. 1994) (“it will be only the very unusual case in which the plaintiffs can establish the existence of the three *Gingles* factors but still have failed to establish a violation of § 2 under the totality of circumstances”). This strongly suggests that the Voting Rights Act requires the Board to create at least two districts—proportional to Latinx voters’ respective shares of CVAP in the County—where the Latinx community can finally have a real opportunity to elect candidates of choice.

\* \* \*

The Board and the Planning Commission must take seriously the likely need to create at least two supervisorial districts where Latinx voters have an effective opportunity to elect candidates of choice. Compliance with the Voting Rights Act must take priority over almost all factors except equality of population. Nonetheless, it is possible to draw a map with districts substantially equal in population that comply with the Voting Rights Act and the Fair Maps Act, and we urge you to adopt such a map. If you have any questions, feel free to contact me at [jgomez@aclusocal.org](mailto:jgomez@aclusocal.org).

Sincerely,



Julia A. Gomez  
Staff Attorney  
ACLU Foundation of Southern California

Cc:

Rania Odenbaugh, Riverside County Executive Office Deputy Director, [rodenbaugh@rivco.org](mailto:rodenbaugh@rivco.org)  
Supervisor Kevin Jeffries, District 1, [district1@rivco.org](mailto:district1@rivco.org)  
Supervisor Karen Spiegel, District 2, [district2@rivco.org](mailto:district2@rivco.org)  
Supervisor Chuck Washington, District 3, [d3email@rivco.org](mailto:d3email@rivco.org)  
Supervisor V. Manuel Perez, District 4, [district4@rivco.org](mailto:district4@rivco.org)  
Supervisor Jeff Hewitt, District 5, [district5@rivco.org](mailto:district5@rivco.org)

## Maxwell, Sue

---

**From:** cob@rivco.org  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 16, 2021 6:58 AM  
**To:** COB  
**Subject:** BOS web comments

**CAUTION:** This email originated externally from the Riverside County email system. **DO NOT** click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

**First Name:** Tracey  
**Last Name:** Nesdale  
**Email:** tnesdale@yahoo.com

**Agenda Date:** 11/17/2021

**Agenda Item # or Public Comment:** Public Comment

**State your position below:** Support

**Comments:** As a resident of Temescal Valley for more than two decades, it would be a detriment to our area to opt for any map other than H for redistricting.

Please choose H.

Thank you.

## Maxwell, Sue

---

**From:** cob@rivco.org  
**Sent:** Monday, November 15, 2021 11:41 PM  
**To:** COB  
**Subject:** BOS web comments

**CAUTION:** This email originated externally from the Riverside County email system. **DO NOT** click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

**First Name:** Nan  
**Last Name:** Gibilterra  
**Email:** ngibilterra@sbcglobal.net  
**Agenda Date:** 11/16/2021

**Agenda Item # or  
Public Comment:** Redistributing maps

**State your position  
below:** Support

**Comments:** I live in Temescal Valley, we are a strong, focused community with common values. I like others, support District 3 Map H. The cities grouped into District 3 Map H more closely align with who we are, what is important to us and share many regional issues and goals for our communities unlike any of the other Map proposals.

## Maxwell, Sue

---

**From:** cob@rivco.org  
**Sent:** Monday, November 15, 2021 7:02 PM  
**To:** COB  
**Subject:** BOS web comments

**CAUTION:** This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system. **DO NOT** click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

**First Name:** Dennis  
**Last Name:** itzkowitz  
**Email:** denitzkowitz@att.net  
**Agenda Date:** 11/16/2021  
**Agenda Item # or Public Comment:** EOTC H v7.1 Redistricting map  
**State your position below:** Support  
**Comments:** Only map that keeps Temescal Valley and other service areas of the Lake Elsinore sheriffs station in ONE District!

## Maxwell, Sue

---

**From:** cob@rivco.org  
**Sent:** Monday, November 15, 2021 6:03 PM  
**To:** COB  
**Subject:** BOS web comments

**CAUTION:** This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system. **DO NOT** click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

**First Name:** Kelli  
**Last Name:** Noss  
**Email:** kellinoss@live.com  
**Agenda Date:** 11/16/2021  
**Agenda Item # or Public Comment:** Redistricting Maps - In Support of Modified Map H  
**State your position below:** Support  
**Comments:** I am writing in support of the currently modified Map H.

As a Temescal Valley resident who's had the pleasure of serving on our Municipal Advisory Council and working with Emergency Management Department through the Disaster Response Team, Temescal Valley would be best served being paired with other cities in the southern part of the I-15 Corridor where our issues in public safety and traffic most closely align. Having a supervisor who is also thinking of the rest of this portion of the corridor that does not have additional outlet/access roads outside of the 74 would be to our benefit, especially as RCTC begins to look at bringing toll lanes further into the corridor. I do not believe that our issues are in line with Corona, Eastvale, and other northern cities that have significantly different public safety and traffic concerns.

Thank you for your time.

## Maxwell, Sue

---

**From:** cob@rivco.org  
**Sent:** Sunday, November 14, 2021 5:43 PM  
**To:** COB  
**Subject:** BOS web comments

**CAUTION:** This email originated externally from the Riverside County email system. **DO NOT** click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

**First Name:** Cynthia  
**Last Name:** Hafner  
**Email:** 4hafners@verizon.net  
**Agenda Date:** 11/16/2021  
**Agenda Item # or Public Comment:** Public comment  
**State your position below:** Support  
**Comments:** Map H is what I support in the redistricting meeting and I reside in Temescal Valley, California.

## Maxwell, Sue

---

**From:** cob@rivco.org  
**Sent:** Monday, November 15, 2021 12:05 PM  
**To:** COB  
**Subject:** BOS web comments

**CAUTION:** This email originated externally from the Riverside County email system. **DO NOT** click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

**First Name:** Cynthia  
**Last Name:** Hafner  
**Email:** 4hafners@verizon.net  
**Agenda Date:** 11/16/2021  
**Agenda Item # or Public Comment:** Public comment  
**State your position below:** Support  
**Comments:** I live in Temescal Valley and support map H for redistricting. Thank you.

## Maxwell, Sue

---

**From:** cob@rivco.org  
**Sent:** Monday, November 15, 2021 8:58 AM  
**To:** COB  
**Subject:** BOS web comments

**CAUTION:** This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system. **DO NOT** click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

**First Name:** Heather  
**Last Name:** Scott  
**Email:** bottomleyh@gmail.com  
**Agenda Date:** 11/16/2021  
**Agenda Item # or Public Comment:** Comment on Redistricting  
**State your position below:** Support  
**Comments:** I am a Temescal Valley resident and I support Map H.



## Maxwell, Sue

---

**From:** cob@rivco.org  
**Sent:** Monday, November 15, 2021 2:16 AM  
**To:** COB  
**Subject:** BOS web comments

**CAUTION:** This email originated externally from the Riverside County email system. **DO NOT** click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

**First Name:** Chris  
**Last Name:** Jones  
**Email:** crobjones2@verizon.nef  
**Agenda Date:** 11/15/2021  
**Agenda Item # or Public Comment:** Redistricting  
**State your position below:** Support  
**Comments:** As a Temescal Valley resident i support districting map H. Thank you

## Maxwell, Sue

---

**From:** cob@rivco.org  
**Sent:** Monday, November 15, 2021 7:41 AM  
**To:** COB  
**Subject:** BOS web comments

**CAUTION:** This email originated externally from the Riverside County email system. **DO NOT** click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

**First Name:** Terry  
**Last Name:** Morairty  
**Email:** tpmora@sbcglobal.net  
**Agenda Date:** 11/16/2021  
**Agenda Item # or Public Comment:** Redistricting  
**State your position below:** Support  
**Comments:** I support district map H for Temescal Valley.

## Maxwell, Sue

---

**From:** cob@rivco.org  
**Sent:** Sunday, November 14, 2021 4:45 PM  
**To:** COB  
**Subject:** BOS web comments

**CAUTION:** This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system. **DO NOT** click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

**First Name:** Patrick  
**Last Name:** Rutten  
**Email:** pjrutten707@gmail.com  
**Agenda Date:** 11/16/2021  
**Agenda Item #  
or Public  
Comment:** Redistricting Map H & District 3 - Do Not Split Temescal Valley!

**Comments:** I was very pleased with the balanced effort used to create redistricting Map H that placed Temescal Valley in District 3. I am now concerned that because of opposition to splitting the San Gorgonio Pass, the entire rationale for creating District 3 may be tossed, and the larger issue of splitting Temescal Valley in half may be back on the table. This is a bad idea!

I understand the problems of working with competing interests and the issue of having better Hispanic government representation. However, the potential for having Hispanic representation still exists within the revised Map H. Currently, Map H meets the expressed interests of residents in the affected cities. These communities and the entire Temescal Valley support having representation in District 3. Further, Map H also provides continuity of community identities, and for fire protection and law enforcement. Map H also creates a planning environment for future transportation projects along the I-15 and I-215 corridors, as well as, providing residents a cohesive voice related to the proposed LEAPS Project and transmission lines.

Please keep the integrity of Map H and the boundaries of a new District 3.

## Maxwell, Sue

---

**From:** cob@rivco.org  
**Sent:** Sunday, November 14, 2021 6:49 PM  
**To:** COB  
**Subject:** BOS web comments

**CAUTION:** This email originated externally from the Riverside County email system. **DO NOT** click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

**First Name:** Gina  
**Last Name:** Homan  
**Email:** rlhwolf104@yahoo.com  
**Agenda Item # or Public Comment:** Redistricting  
**Comments:** I am a resident a Temescal Valley and I would like us to be redistrict under map H.

**Maxwell, Sue**

---

**From:** cob@rivco.org  
**Sent:** Sunday, November 14, 2021 6:48 PM  
**To:** COB  
**Subject:** BOS web comments

**CAUTION:** This email originated externally from the Riverside County email system. **DO NOT** click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Agenda Item # or Public Comment: Redistricting map

Comments: I'm a Temescal Valley resident and want map H

**From:** Debbie Walsh <abilene149@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, November 15, 2021 3:44 PM  
**To:** COB <COB@RIVCO.ORG>  
**Subject:** Re: Redistricting Meeting November 16

Please disregard the first email and replace with the second email and letter attached,

Thanks so much.

Debbie

On Mon, Nov 15, 2021 at 3:40 PM Debbie Walsh <abilene149@gmail.com> wrote:  
Yes you can disregard that email. Thanks

Sent from my iPhone

On Nov 15, 2021, at 3:16 PM, COB <cob@rivco.org> wrote:

Hi Debbie,

Shall we disregard the previous email and replace with this one?

Clerk of the Board of Supervisors  
4080 Lemon Street, 1<sup>st</sup> Floor, Room 127  
Riverside, CA 92501  
(951) 955-1069 Fax (951) 955-1071  
Mail Stop #1010  
[cob@rivco.org](mailto:cob@rivco.org)  
website: <http://rivcocob.org/>  
<https://www.facebook.com/RivCoCOB/>

**From:** Debbie Walsh <abilene149@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, November 15, 2021 3:09 PM  
**To:** [Distric1@rivco.org](mailto:Distric1@rivco.org); [District2 <District2@Rivco.org>](mailto:District2@Rivco.org); [District3 <District3@Rivco.org>](mailto:District3@Rivco.org); District 4 Supervisor V. Manuel Perez <[District4@RIVCO.ORG](mailto:District4@RIVCO.ORG)>; [District5 <District5@Rivco.org>](mailto:District5@Rivco.org); COB <[COB@RIVCO.ORG](mailto:COB@RIVCO.ORG)>  
**Subject:** Redistricting Meeting November 16

Supervisors,

Please submit this latest version of my letter for the Redistricting meeting November 16, 2021. One of the exhibits was missing in the previous letter.

Thanks.

Debbie Walsh

11/16/21

**Maxwell, Sue**

---

**From:** Debbie Walsh <abilene149@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, November 15, 2021 1:04 PM  
**To:** District 4 Supervisor V. Manuel Perez; District2; District3; Supervisor Jeffries - 1st District; District5; Gary Worobec; Dr. John L. MINNELLA-Romano; COB  
**Subject:** November 16 agenda Redistricting Public Hearing  
**Attachments:** BOSRedistrictingNov16.pdf

**CAUTION:** This email originated externally from the Riverside County email system. **DO NOT** click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Supervisors,

Please find the attached letter regarding the County redistricting meeting for the November 16, 2021 Board Meeting.

Please enter the letter into the public record.

Thanks.

Debbie Walsh

November 15, 2021

RAMV  
PO Box 2244  
Perris CA 92572

Riverside County Board of Supervisors  
4080 Lemon Street  
Riverside, CA 92501

**Attention: Tom Mullen II**

**RE: Agenda Item. Redistricting Public Hearing. RIVERSIDE COUNTY ADVISORY REDISTRICTING COMMISSION – Redistricting maps.**

Honorable Supervisors:

The Rural Association of Mead Valley has submitted four draft community redistricting maps for approval.

**Three of the maps were presented to the Planning Commission.** Walsh Maps version 4 and 5 were submitted to the Redistricting Committee on October 31, 2021, but Map 4 was not part of the presentation by the Redistricting Committee to the Planning Commission for their review. The maps were submitted to the Redistricting Committee on Oct. 31 before the November 1, 2021 deadline according to the Redistricting Committee guidelines. Previous Walsh Maps version 2, 3 and 5 are online and were presented to the Planning Commission. Walsh Version 3 map was renamed 3.1 online. Map 5 was renamed 3.2 by the Redistricting Committee is online, but was not part of the Presentation. This also led to confusion before the Board of Supervisors meeting.

**Community Maps should be given just as much consideration for approval as County Created Redistricting Committee Maps. Community Map 3.2 meets all of the criteria.**

Walsh version 5 map 3.2 addresses the concerns of keeping Communities and cities together and having one minority-majority District. Only one city is split and that is Riverside. The Pass Communities are kept together, Perris and Moreno Valley are kept together, the Mountain Communities are kept together, the rural communities of Mead Valley, Good Hope, Lake Mathews, Good Hope, Woodcrest, El Sobrante are kept together, Temescal Valley, Lake Elsinore and Lakeland Village are kept together, De Luz and La Cresta are kept together.

The County created maps do not keep communities and cities together, but divide them up.

Corey Jackson, Riverside County Board of Education Trustee and political action chair of the NAACP, was quoted in the Press Enterprise today stating, "by putting Moreno Valley and Perris in two separate districts, you will dramatically decrease the political voice of the African American community". He



urged the Supervisors to keep Moreno Valley and Perris in one district. The same can be said for the Communities of Mead Valley and Good Hope.

The Planning Commission based their final decision on the fact that they were not knowledgeable with the Voting Rights Act. The fact that the Redistricting Committee has the data and knowledge was a huge influence on the decision makers to recommend County maps. The real issue is errors in the data.


The Current official census population is 2,418,185 and yet the Redistricting Committee is stating the California adjusted population is 2,417,438. That seems to be inaccurate if you look at the numbers on the maps using California Adjusted Census Population Data. The population of cities and communities are going up with the California adjusted census population not down. See the County Created Map H with highlighted census numbers.

The Redistricting Committee seems to be making a large number of errors.

**An audit of the analysis must be made immediately in order to have a complete and accurate redistricting of the County.**

The Committee must produce a spreadsheet to show how they arrived at the District populations for the Community and County produced maps. The analysis completed by the County for the maps that I have submitted is not accurate.

This map comes from the County created Version H Map. All of the maps are based on these population counts



The Community Maps should be considered equally and judged by their merits.  
The Walsh maps meet the requirements if you use the correct data. Walsh Map Version 5 aka 3.2.

Commissioner Shaffer stated that he was not "comfortable" with the "community maps".  
Commissioners were not familiar with the requirements of the Voting Rights Act. Their decision to follow staff and vote for the County created redistricting maps was partially based on this lack of knowledge. The County maps were recommended to the Board of Supervisors.

The Redistricting Committee has made numerous errors. Population counting errors. Table population errors. Locations omitted. The same District with area the same on the map has tables with different population counts.  
Communities such as El Cerrito have population errors. El Cerrito is listed with a population of 26,000 on later maps, while older maps have the correct census population of 5,058. Norco has population errors.

How did the correct data get replaced by incorrect data? Why were submitted community maps changed?  
Errors still exist online.

Table 3 for community map 3.2 uses the correct data to determine the minority-majority percentage of voting age population. By using Table 3, all of the Walsh redistricting maps have one District with over 50% Hispanic - Latino population of voting age. **District 5 has a California Adjusted Citizen Voting Age Population 2020 US Special Tabulation Hispanic or Latino population of 53.9%. District 2 is very close with 49.9%. District 2 should be reviewed because there are population discrepancies. See table 3 Exhibit 1.**

**California Adjusted Citizen Voting Age Population 2020 US Special Tabulation From the 2015-2019 5-Year American Community Survey (ACS).**  
**Table 3. Citizen Population - (CVAP) 2015-2019 5-year American Community Survey (ACS)**

According to the University of California, Berkley Law School article *Redistricting: Estimating Voter Age Population*

"ACS does not account for aging of the population sampled, but rather reports individuals at the age they were when data were collected. Accordingly, a citizen who was 13 in 2005 when the ACS collected information about her still appears as a 13-year-old today even though in reality she is now over 18 and of voting age" (Redistricting: Estimating Voter Age Population, Pg. 4).

The Redistricting Committee stated at the Planning Commission Meeting on November 1, 2021 that they based the Citizen voting age population from Table 4. This table uses 2015-2019 5- year ACS survey. This is not accurate as this survey still has children listed as younger than 18 when they are currently 18 or older. The 2020 voting age population is therefore not accurate on Table 4.

**Table 4. Citizen Voting Age Population - (CVAP) 2015-2019 5-year American Community Survey (ACS).**

**Table 4 does not indicate that they are using the required California data. Tables 1-3 indicate that they are using California data for their analysis.**

“Welcome to California's official 2021 Redistricting Database. On this page, you will find California's official datasets for state and local redistricting. The datasets available consist of the Census bureau's P.L. 94-171 file, adjusted per California law to reallocate data about certain incarcerated populations to their last known residential address; Citizen Voting Age Population from the 2015-2019 American Community Survey; and Voter Registration and Returns for Statewide Elections. Each of these datasets is released on 2020 Census Block Geography. We provide more detailed information about these datasets on their respective pages” (<https://statewidedatabase.org/redistricting2021/>).


Walsh Version 5 Map 3.2. Using the County online census map allowed us to locate many of the small communities and include them in the census data information included with the Walsh Versions 5 map. See the tables on the map and detailed list of cities and communities with their population numbers. See Exhibit 2. This information was gathered from the census map provided online by the County.

The Walsh maps meet the requirements of keeping communities of interest together. Greater Lake Mathews, Mead Valley, Mountain Communities (Anza, Sage, Lake Riverside, Aguanga), Temescal Valley, the Pass Area, (Winchester, Green Acres and Homeland), and Desert Communities. Greater Lake Mathews includes the communities of Lake Mathews, Gavilan Hills, Mockingbird Canyon, Woodcrest and El Sobrante. They are a Community of Interest (COI) for over 20 years. The Pass Area with Cabazon, Banning, Beaumont and Cherry Valley. Moreno Valley and Perris

Criterion	Analysis	Draft Map
		Community Map 3.2 (Walsh v5)
1. Population Equality	<b>Total Population</b>	<b>2,417,438</b>
	<i>District 1 Population</i> <i>District 2 Population</i> <i>District 3 Population</i> <i>District 4 Population</i> <i>District 5 Population</i>	<b>482,447</b> <b>483,974</b> <b>491,082</b> <b>478,750</b> <b>483,185</b>
	<b>Population Spread</b> (%Variance b/w Largest & Smallest Pop)	<b>2.26%</b>
2. U.S. Constitution and Voting Rights Act	<b>A. Districts with &gt; 50% Hispanic Citizens of Voting Age (District and Percentage)</b>	<b>1 53.9%</b>
	<b>B1. Geographic Compactness - Maintains Traditional Boundaries</b>	<b>Yes</b>
	<b>B2. Geographic Compactness - Maintains Communities of Interest</b> 1) Does Majority Minority District(s) compact minority population? 2) Do Members of the Majority Minority District(s) Have Similar Needs and Goals?	<b>See Public Comments</b>
	<b>C. Political Cohesiveness - RPV Analysis</b>	<b>Data being Compiled</b>
	<b>D. Majority Bloc Voting Defeat Minority</b>	<b>Data being Compiled</b>
3. Contiguity	<b>All District Boundaries Contiguous?</b>	<b>Yes</b>
4. Geographic Integrity of Communities of Interest	<b>Easily Identifiable Communities of Interest Impacted/Split</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Hemet-San Jacinto</li> <li>• Jurupa Valley-Riverside</li> </ul> <b>(2 Total)</b>
5. Geographic Integrity of Cities and Census Designated Places	<b>Number &amp; Name of Cities Impacted/Split</b>	<b>Riverside</b> <b>(1 Total)</b>
	<b>Number &amp; Name of Unincorporated Communities Impacted/Split</b>	<b>Valle Vista</b> <b>(1 Total)</b>
	<b>Number &amp; Name of Tribal Reservations Impacted/Split</b>	<b>No</b>
6. Easily Identifiable & Understandable Boundaries	<b>Are All Boundaries in Map Identifiable or Understandable?</b> <b>(Include Non-Identifiable Areas)</b>	<b>Yes</b>
7. Geographic Compactness	<b>Do Map Boundaries Feature Areas that Bypass Nearby Areas of Population in Favor of More Distant Populations?</b>	<b>No</b>

1. The Walsh Maps meet the requirements for population equality.
2. The Walsh Maps meet the requirements for majority - minority percentage of voting age population.
3. District 5 has a minority-majority of 53.9%. District 1 is very close with a minority majority of 49.9%. District 1 could in fact be over 50%.
4. All District Boundaries Contiguous.
5. Geographic Integrity of Cities and Census Designated Places. One City Spilt. Riverside is the One Community that is split. March Air Reserve Base is located on the East side of the I-215 Freeway. March Joint Powers Authority land is located on the east and west side of the I-215 Freeway. Valle Vista is the one community affected. Tribal reservation land impacted. None. Boundaries between District 5 and 4 are outside of the Morongo Reservation. Boundaries between District 5 and 4 are outside of the Saboba Reservation.
6. Easily identifiable Boundaries. Yes
7. Geographic Compactness. No.


The Walsh Maps have a free flow of Districts making it much easier to define Districts. Residents will benefit from the boundary lines that are easy to locate.



Walsh Version 2 map was submitted, but incorrect label placements were made for two communities. We sent emails regarding the errors, but the errors are still not corrected on the posted maps. This map was posted in the Newspaper with errors. The incorrect label placement led to incorrect population data.

The errors include Home Gardens label in the First District, while it is located in the Second District and Meadowbrook label is located in the Third District, while it should be located in the First District. The errors are still incorrect with the posted maps.

## Western Riverside County



Close up defining the boundaries between District 1 and District 2. From the 2020, Census map.

<https://rivco.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2011%20Supervisory%20District%20Boundaries%20w%20CVAP%20data%203.pdf>

Areas in District 1 not counted by the Redistricting Committee.

Alta Vita Retirement Community was once a part of Air Force Village West a 153-acre community consists of 440 independent living residences, with 267 cottages, 103 apartments and 70 duplex units. It also has 55 assisted living units. I do not see this on the maps. This is part of the March Joint Powers Reuse Area.

La Cresta, De Luz and Gavilan Hills are not on the County created maps, but they are census areas.

The Walsh maps have community support from the unincorporated communities that rely heavily on County services.

Temescal Valley group. Leave Temescal Valley in District 1.

Greater Lake Mathews RAGLM Group. Leave Greater Lake Mathews in District 1

RAMV Rural Association of Mead Valley. Leave Mead Valley in District 1

The Pass Communities. Morongo Tribe. Leave the Pass communities together in District 5.

Mountain Communities of Anza, Sage, Lake Riverside, Aguanga Communities. Leave Anza, Sage, Aguanga, Lake Riverside in District 3.

Winchester, Homeland and Green Acres. Leave these communities together. Prefer District 3.

The Walsh Maps address the needs of numerous Communities of Interest Groups and all residents of Riverside County.

The Walsh Maps keep the District 1 Supervisor's residence in District 1. Supervisor Jeffries may be retiring after his term is over, but that will be three years from now. Almost his entire term in office.

See exhibit 1. Table 3 California Adjusted Voter age population. Table 4 does not use California adjusted data.


Exhibit 2 Walsh Map (5) 3.2 with population and locations table.

See Exhibit 2 Walsh Map 3.2 with population stats.

Exhibit 3. Article *Redistricting: Estimating Voter Age Population*.

Please support the Walsh Version 3.2 map.

Thank you.

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Debbie Walsh".

Debbie Walsh  
President, RAMV

# Exhibit 1

Walsh v3.2 Redistricting Boundaries  
Redistricting Boundaries  
with 2020 US Census Data

## Riverside County Supervisorial District Summary

### California Adjusted Citizen Voting Age Population 2020 US Special Tabulation From the 2015-2019 5-Year American Community Survey (ACS)

Table 3. Citizen Population - (CVAP) 2015-2019 5-year American Community Survey (ACS)

District	Total	Not Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino: American Indian or Alaska Native Alone	Not Hispanic or Latino: Asian Alone	Not Hispanic or Latino: Black or African American Alone	Not Hispanic or Latino: Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander Alone	Not Hispanic or Latino: White Alone	Not Hispanic or Latino: American Indian or Alaska Native and White	Not Hispanic or Latino: Asian and White	Not Hispanic or Latino: Black or African American and White	Not Hispanic or Latino: American Indian or Alaska Native	Not Hispanic or Latino: Two or More Race	Hispanic or Latino
1	432,725	231,919	1,643	28,265	26,880	941	162,848	1,519	4,592	2,602	212	2,222	200,668
	100%	53.6%	0.4%	6.5%	6.2%	0.2%	37.6%	0.4%	1.1%	0.6%	0.0%	0.5%	46.4%
2	415,049	207,970	809	35,417	22,918	801	138,076	1,705	3,702	1,960	112	2,147	207,190
	100%	50.1%	0.2%	8.5%	5.5%	0.2%	33.3%	0.4%	0.9%	0.5%	0.0%	0.5%	49.9%
3	493,090	329,568	2,617	30,119	27,890	3,018	245,895	2,738	7,273	4,057	707	4,565	163,892
	100%	66.8%	0.5%	6.1%	5.7%	0.6%	49.9%	0.6%	1.5%	0.8%	0.1%	0.9%	33.2%
4	399,170	214,440	1,479	10,761	13,763	293	181,981	1,310	1,749	1,455	87	1,007	184,529
	100%	53.7%	0.4%	2.7%	3.4%	0.1%	45.6%	0.3%	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%	0.3%	46.2%
5	416,266	191,890	3,631	18,344	54,508	708	106,825	1,381	1,843	2,815	150	1,402	224,277
	100%	46.1%	0.9%	4.4%	13.1%	0.2%	25.7%	0.3%	0.4%	0.7%	0.0%	0.3%	53.9%
COUNTY TOTAL	2,156,300	1,175,787	10,179	122,906	145,959	5,761	835,625	8,653	19,159	12,889	1,268	11,343	980,566
	100%	54.5%	0.5%	5.7%	6.8%	0.3%	38.8%	0.4%	0.9%	0.6%	0.1%	0.5%	45.5%


Table 4. Citizen Voting Age Population - (CVAP) 2015-2019 5-year American Community Survey (ACS)

District	CVAP Total	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: American Indian or Alaska Native Alone	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: Asian Alone	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: Black or African American Alone	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander Alone	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: White Alone	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: American Indian or Alaska Native and White	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: Asian and White	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: Black or African American and White	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: American Indian or Alaska Native	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: Two or More Race	CVAP Hispanic or Latino
1	312,978	186,652	1,269	23,079	21,588	884	133,321	1,341	2,496	947	182	1,412	126,374
	100%	59.6%	0.4%	7.4%	6.9%	0.3%	42.6%	0.4%	0.8%	0.3%	0.1%	0.5%	40.4%
2	294,678	165,102	747	26,859	18,046	697	112,969	1,300	1,711	1,026	109	1,223	129,572
	100%	56.0%	0.3%	9.1%	6.1%	0.2%	38.3%	0.4%	0.6%	0.3%	0.0%	0.4%	44.0%
3	350,188	251,991	2,019	23,023	21,284	2,123	193,354	1,746	3,014	1,923	455	2,333	98,430
	100%	72.0%	0.6%	6.6%	6.1%	0.6%	55.2%	0.5%	0.9%	0.5%	0.1%	0.7%	28.1%
4	310,646	190,348	1,138	8,636	10,654	198	165,726	1,169	722	689	72	778	120,242
	100%	61.3%	0.4%	2.8%	3.4%	0.1%	53.3%	0.4%	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	0.3%	38.7%
5	288,208	150,389	2,577	14,805	40,187	499	87,367	1,050	1,116	1,582	145	701	137,625
	100%	52.2%	0.9%	5.1%	13.9%	0.2%	30.3%	0.4%	0.4%	0.5%	0.1%	0.2%	47.8%
COUNTY TOTAL	1,566,698	944,462	7,750	96,402	111,759	4,401	692,737	6,606	9,059	6,167	964	6,447	612,243
	100%	60.3%	0.5%	6.2%	7.2%	0.3%	44.5%	0.4%	0.6%	0.4%	0.1%	0.4%	39.3%



# Community Map 3.2

## Walsh 3.2 Redistricting Boundaries With CA Adjusted Census Population Data



**Version 5 Map 3.2**

District	Population 2020	Target Population	Variance From 2020 Target	Change needed to Reach Target
1	482,447	483,488	.02%	1014
2	481,974	483,488	.03%	-1514
3	491,082	483,488	1.6%	-7594
4	478,750	483,488	1.4%	5003
5	483,185	483,488	.06%	303



				West Indian Wells West Palm Springs Pinyon Pines area North Blythe area West of Palm Springs	1474 2433 4219 1725 2421	
--	--	--	--	--	--------------------------------------	--



## THE CHIEF JUSTICE EARL WARREN INSTITUTE ON LAW AND SOCIAL POLICY

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY LAW SCHOOL

### BerkeleyLaw UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

The Chief Justice  
Earl Warren  
Institute on Law  
and Social Policy

Berkeley Law Center for  
Research and Administration  
2850 Telegraph Avenue  
Suite 500  
Berkeley, CA 94705  
Phone: (510) 642-8568  
Fax: (510) 643-7095

[www.warreninstitute.org](http://www.warreninstitute.org)

#### About the Warren Institute

The Chief Justice Earl Warren Institute on Law and Social Policy is a multidisciplinary, collaborative venture to produce research, research-based policy prescriptions and curricular innovation on the most challenging civil rights, education, criminal justice, family and economic security, immigration and healthcare issues facing California and the Nation.

## REDISTRICTING: ESTIMATING CITIZEN VOTING AGE POPULATION

Jorge Chapa, PhD<sup>i</sup>, Ana Henderson, JD<sup>ii</sup>, Aggie Jooyoon Noah, MA<sup>iii</sup>,  
Werner Schink<sup>iv</sup>, Robert Kengle, JD<sup>v</sup>

*When determining how to draw electoral districts in a way that complies with the Voting Rights Act, many jurisdictions will need to consider proportions of citizen voting age population (CVAP). While the US Decennial Census captures basic demographic information about all individuals in the United States, it doesn't inquire into citizenship status. As such, line drawing officials will need to estimate CVAP through other means. This research brief explains Census Bureau data sources, including the American Community Survey, which estimates CVAP, but has some limitations for redistricting use. The brief then explains a method to use ACS and Census data in conjunction to develop more accurate CVAP estimates that are better for redistricting uses than ACS estimates alone.*

### THE VOTING RIGHTS ACT AND DATA REQUIREMENTS FOR REDISTRICTING

The Voting Rights Act (VRA) prohibits electoral schemes that discriminate against voters on the basis of race or protected language minority status.<sup>1</sup> This includes districts that

dilute voting strength, making it unlikely or impossible for minority voters to have an opportunity to elect a representative of their choice. Vote dilution can occur when a minority population is divided between districts so it cannot form a majority (called “cracking”), or when it is over-concentrated into an unnecessarily small number of districts when it could have been a majority in more (called “packing”). A key question about whether a population’s voting strength is diluted is whether districts pack or crack minority populations, that is, whether districts could be drawn in a way that provides a more fair opportunity for minority voters to elect a representative of their choice. This, in turn, depends on population concentrations within a potential district, among other things.

In 2009, a plurality of the U.S. Supreme Court found that in order to satisfy the first step of a vote dilution claim under the VRA—that the minority population is sufficiently large and compact to constitute a majority in a single member district (also known as the first *Gingles* pre-condition)—minorities must comprise at least 50% of the population of a district.<sup>2</sup>

i. University of Illinois, Institute of Government & Public Affairs, Departments of Sociology and Latina/o Studies

ii. University of California, Berkeley School of Law

iii. Department of Sociology & Crime, Law and Justice, The Pennsylvania State University

iv. President, Community and Local Neighborhood Research, Sacramento and Modesto, California

v. Co-Director, Voting Rights Project, Lawyers Committee for Civil Rights Under Law, Washington, DC

1. Language minority groups protected by the VRA are Asian, Alaska Native, Native American and Spanish heritage.

2. *Bartlett v. Strickland*, 129 S.Ct. 1231 (2009).

Some federal case law requires that a minority population must constitute 50% or more of the citizen voting age population of a potential district in order to qualify for Voting Rights Act protection.

While the Court in that case focused on total population, several cases have held that when determining whether a minority group satisfies the first *Gingles* precondition, the proper reference is potential voters—that is, voting age population<sup>3</sup> (VAP) rather than total population. Furthermore, some federal courts, including the 9<sup>th</sup> Circuit Court of Appeals where California is located as well as three other federal Courts of Appeal, have found that in order to determine whether a population constitutes at least 50% of a district, the proper population to consider is its citizen voting age population (CVAP).<sup>4</sup> This means that in California and other states where courts have so held, in order to show that a population is sufficiently large and compact to constitute a majority in a single member district, its citizen voting age population—citizens aged 18 or over—must constitute at least 50% of CVAP of the target district. A key question for VRA compliance, then, is the determination of citizen voting age population proportions.

## 1. Determining Citizen Voting Age Population from Census Bureau Data:

### A. Census Bureau Data Sources:

Every ten years, the United States must conduct a Census of the population to count all individuals living here. This Decennial Census forms the basis of reapportionment (redistribution of US House of Representative seats among the states based on population) and provides some basic data for redistricting and other governmental uses. The Census, executed by the Census Bureau, collects basic demographic information—place of residence, age, gender, race, Latino ethnicity, and household relationships—and is sometimes referred to as a 100% count because it tries to collect this information about every individual in the United States. Census data can produce counts of total population and voting age population, as well as measures of total and voting age population for various racial groups and Latinos. In the redistricting context, these population data are often referred to as “PL data” or “PL 94-171 data” in reference to the federal law that requires the Census Bureau to provide them to the states for use in redistricting. The Census does not inquire into citizenship status, but some other Census Bureau surveys do.

In Voting Rights Act litigation about electoral districts in which case law regarding citizenship measurement requirements developed,<sup>5</sup> CVAP figures were often estimated through analysis of sample data collected through the Decennial Census Long Form questionnaire. Several of the Censuses conducted in the twentieth century, including the 2000 Census, consisted of a “short form,” with the basic questions listed above, and a “Long Form,” which included the basic short form questions as well as additional questions on a variety of subjects, such as citizenship, ancestry, educational attainment, income, and even the kind of plumbing facilities (e.g., indoor or outdoor) household members used. The Long Form was intended

3. VAP refers to individuals of voting age, that is age 18 or over.

4. *Romero v. City of Pomona*, 883 F.2d 1418 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1989), overruled on other grounds *Townsend v. Holman Consulting Corp.*, 914 F.2d 1136 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1990); *Reyes v. City of Farmers Branch, TX*, 586 F.3d 1019 (5<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2009); *Barnett v. City of Chicago*, 141 F.3d 699 (7<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1998); *Negron v. City of Miami Beach, FL*, 113 F.3d 1563 (11 Cir. 1997). CVAP refers to individuals who are age 18 or over and are US citizens.

5. In previous redistricting cycles, jurisdictions generally did not have access to Census Bureau CVAP data when drawing lines for reapportionment purposes because citizenship data from the Census Long Form were released after district lines were drawn. The CVAP issue emerged in Voting Rights Act cases challenging at-large elections and/or districting plans alleged to dilute minority voting strength, brought after Long Form data, including CVAP, were released. For many jurisdictions, 2011 will be the first time lines are drawn with any Census Bureau CVAP data in hand.

to collect data from a sample of about one-sixth of the nation's households. Unlike the 100% count Census data, the sample-based Long Form data generated estimates of population characteristics and contained margins of error that were sometimes substantial, particularly at small units of geography such as Census tracts or block groups.<sup>6</sup>

As the result of an extensive redesign, the 2010 Census included only a 100% count short form and no Long Form questionnaire. A new annual Census Bureau survey—the American Community Survey (ACS)—has been instituted to replace the Census Long Form.<sup>7</sup> The ACS is a nationwide, continuous survey designed to provide up-to-date and reliable demographic, housing, social, and economic data every year.

The ACS is administered to 250,000 households each month for a total of three million a year. While estimates derived from this sample are very reliable for large geographic areas, such as states and most counties, they are less reliable for small areas where fewer responses are collected. The ACS sampling procedures are designed to produce reliable annual estimates of population characteristics for counties, cities, and other areas with populations of 65,000 or more. For towns and places with populations smaller than this threshold, the ACS sample is designed to permit several years of data to be pooled together (aggregated) to create multi-year estimates for smaller units of geography. The ACS sampling procedure was designed so that survey responses cumulated over three successive years would suffice to estimate the population characteristics of places with populations between 20,000 and 65,000. Similarly, data collected over five years can be aggregated to produce estimates for all census geographic levels down to block groups, the smallest geographic area for which ACS data are available and for which Long Form data were previously tabulated.<sup>8</sup>

...in the context of redistricting, ACS data have some weaknesses that must be addressed to obtain a more reliable and useful measure of CVAP.

The ACS has several advantages over the decennial Census Long Form method of measuring population characteristics. First, the ACS delivers updated data every year rather than every 10 years. Federal, state, and local governments rely on demographic, housing, social, and economic data in their budgeting and planning processes. Also, many governmental funds are annually distributed on the basis of these demographic and economic characteristics. ACS data allow the allocation of these funds based on recent data rather than data that could be up to 10 years old.

Moreover, ACS data is collected by a permanent, highly trained, and experienced staff. In contrast, most of the staff for the decennial Census is typically inexperienced part-time short-term employees with only a few days of training. ACS staff had a better response rate overall and collected more respondent-completed questionnaires than did the 2000 decennial Census staff.<sup>9</sup> However, as we will see, in the context of redistricting, ACS data have some weaknesses that must be addressed to obtain a more reliable and useful measure of CVAP.

6. Summary File 3: 2000 Census of Population and Housing—Technical Documentation—Chapter 8: Accuracy of the Data 8-18, 8-19 (issued: July 2007), available at <http://www.census.gov/prod/cen2000/doc/sf3.pdf#page=933>. Note that the Census Bureau did not publish margins of error along with Long Form estimates, but did provide information to allow independent development of such measures.

7. U.S. Census Bureau, *A Compass for Understanding and Using American Community Survey Data: What General Data Users Need to Know*, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, p. 1 (2008).

8. *Id.* at 3. In addition to geography like states, counties, and places, the Census Bureau also releases data at smaller levels of geography that have no independent meaning. These include, in descending order of size, Census Tracts, Census Block Groups, and Census Blocks.

9. *Id.* at 8.

The ACS does not account for aging of the population sampled, but rather reports individuals at the age they were when data were collected. Accordingly, a citizen who was 13 in 2005 when the ACS collected information about her still appears as a 13-year-old today even though in reality she is now over 18 and of voting age.

#### **B. Census Data and ACS Data in the Context of Redistricting:**

The Census is designed to count and provide an enumeration of the entire population of the United States. It collects information about all individuals in the United States on April 1 of each year ending in 0, most recently on April 1, 2010. Although in reality it may not capture information about each individual and some populations, such as homeless individuals, are more likely to be undercounted than others, overall the Census provides a good count of the population. Census data, therefore, are not estimates based on a sample of the population, but actual counts. In addition, due to the large numbers involved in the Census, reliable data are released at all levels of geography down to the Census block level—the smallest geographical unit for which the Census Bureau reports data. Because of their high reliability and their availability at the smallest units of geography that are often used in constructing districts, Census (PL 94-171) data are the best data for redistricting.

In contrast, the ACS does not collect, nor is it intended to provide, a count or enumeration of the population. The ACS is intended to provide information about the distribution of characteristics in a population, such as educational attainment or number of rooms in one's home. Because it

is based on a sample of the population, rather than a total count, the ACS provides population estimates, which are subject to sampling error. Although the ACS reports data as an estimated number of individuals in a given area that display particular characteristics (often called a point estimate), this number is not the same as a number reporting Census-based counts. Rather, it is an estimate of the population, which is provided along with an estimate of margin of error (MOE). The point estimates and MOEs are provided at the 90% confidence level. This means that if the same questions were asked 100 times of a random sample of individuals in the same area, in 90 of those 100 times, the answer would fall within the range of the point estimate plus or minus the margin of error. For example, if the population in a county that does not have indoor plumbing is estimated to be 100 individuals, with a margin of error of  $\pm 23$ , this means that 90 times out of 100 that a random sample of people in the county is asked about plumbing, the population of outhouse users will be between 73 and 123 people. The ACS data reported about citizenship and age, from which CVAP numbers are derived, are an estimate of citizen population aged 18 or over in different geographic areas, each with its own margin of error.

In addition, in February 2011, the Census Bureau released a dataset containing CVAP figures for various racial groups and Latinos at the behest of the US Department of Justice; this dataset is commonly referred to as the DOJ Special Tabulation. The Census Bureau used ACS data to perform the DOJ Special Tabulation, which provides CVAP point estimates by race and Latino status at various levels of geography, down to the Census Block Group in many areas. As Table 1 demonstrates, the racial categories in the DOJ Special Tabulation do not match exactly with those in the publicly available ACS data. Notably, the DOJ Special Tabulation provides racial group estimates that do not include Latinos, while the ACS data provide non-Hispanic data only for whites; the DOJ data provide estimates for certain combinations of racial groups, while the ACS provides data only for individual racial groups alone or for "two or more races;" and the ACS provides estimates of individuals reporting "some other race," while the DOJ Special Tabulation reassigned non-Latino "some other race" respondents to a racial background using a non-public methodology.

**TABLE 1 | Racial Categories Reported in ACS and DOJ Tabulations**

DOJ Racial Categories	ACS Racial Categories
Total	Total
Not Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino
American Indian or Alaska Native Alone (non-Latino)	American Indian or Alaska Native Alone (including Latinos)
Asian Alone (non-Latino)	Asian Alone (including Latinos)
Black or African American Alone (non-Latino)	Black or African American Alone (including Latinos)
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander Alone (non-Latino)	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander Alone (including Latinos)
White Alone (non-Latino)	White Alone (including Latinos)
	White Alone (non-Latino)
Not Applicable	Some Other Race (including Latinos)
American Indian or Alaska Native and White (non-Latino)	
Asian and White (non-Latino)	
Black or African American and White (non-Latino)	Two or More Races (including Latinos)
American Indian or Alaska Native and Black or African Am (non-Latino)	
Reminder of Two or More Race Responses (non-Latino)	
Hispanic or Latino	Hispanic or Latino

**C. Challenges in Using ACS Data for Redistricting: the Need for a Methodology to Improve Usability and Accuracy**

As noted above, several courts have required that districts have 50% or greater minority CVAP population in order to make a preliminary showing of VRA protection. Since the Census does not collect citizenship data, the ACS is a useful source of information. Unfortunately, the ACS’s design presents some challenges for redistricting that should be addressed to ensure that CVAP estimates are as accurate and reliable as possible

First, as Table 2 indicates, ACS data are not publicly available at the smallest units of geography that line drawers usually use in redistricting. Redistricting often entails putting small geographical units such as Census Tracts, Block Groups, or Blocks together to form districts. Census data are available and reliable at all these levels, including the Census Block, which is particularly important when attempting to draw districts populations as equal as possible.<sup>10</sup> However, ACS data are never reported at the Census Block level, and are reported at the Block Group and Tract level only by aggregating five years of ACS responses.

Second, ACS data are often less reliable for smaller geographical units than they are for larger units of geography. This is because the samples drawn from smaller units of geography are smaller than those of larger units, and as a general rule of thumb in statistics, small samples tend to generate larger margins of error than large samples. Even with aggregated data, block group estimates sometimes

**TABLE 2 | Smallest Geographical Unit with Data Reported**

	DOJ	ACS (5 year sample)
VAP	County	Tract
CVAP	Block Group	Tract

10. For example, US House of Representatives districts are generally drawn so that district populations within a state vary by only one person. Complying with this level of detail often requires the utilization of Census blocks to equalize populations.



contain large margins of error. Moreover, ACS and DOJ CVAP estimates for block groups come from smaller samples than CVAP block group estimates previously calculated using the Census Long Form data because the Long Form data sample equaled approximately 16% of a block group, compared to 11% for five-year ACS data.<sup>11</sup> Therefore the 2010 CVAP estimates at the block group level likely have larger MOEs than did the 2000 estimates.

Third, in some cases where the population samples are very small, the Census Bureau may suppress the data and not release them at all, in order to protect individual privacy interests. Data suppression can make Block Groups look like they have no population when they may in fact contain population. In some areas, the number of voting age citizens of various racial/ethnic groups may be smaller than the suppression threshold, leading to an underestimate of CVAP due to suppressed data.

Finally, since the ACS estimates available at smaller geographic units are based on data collected over a five-year period, they likely underestimate the CVAP population in some areas today (or on April 1, 2010 when Census data were collected) because the Census Bureau takes no steps to “update” old ACS data. Most notably, the ACS does not account for aging of the population sampled, but rather reports individuals at the age they were when data were collected. Accordingly, a citizen who was 13 in 2005 when

the ACS collected information about her still appears as a 13-year-old today even though in reality she is now over 18 and of voting age. Table 3 shows how five-year ACS data and DOJ Special Tabulation estimates of voting age population differ from the actual counts in the 2010 Census PL data in California.

The failure to address aging has a particularly strong impact on the CVAP rates of racial/ethnic groups that have higher rates of citizenship among children than adults, such as Latinos and Asian Americans. Table 4 shows CVAP estimates from the 2000 Long Form, the DOJ Special Tabulation, and one-year ACS estimates collected each year between 2005 and 2009 for the United States and California. As noted above, the ACS is designed so that one-year estimates are valid and reliable for areas with population greater than 65,000, so the very large samples for the nation and the entire state of California should render highly reliable results. The one-year ACS estimates show growth in CVAP each year. Analysis of the one-year ACS trends as well as comparison with the DOJ five-year estimates reveals some troubling issues for redistricting purposes.

First, the DOJ Special Tabulation CVAP estimates are lower than the most recent (2009) one-year ACS estimates. For example, the 2009 CVAP estimate for California is 3% (660,935 people) greater than the DOJ Special Tabulation CVAP estimate. The disparity is even more pronounced for Latino and Asian American populations. In California, the DOJ Special Tabulation estimate for Latino CVAP is 8.6% less than that of the 2009 ACS, and the Asian CVAP estimate is 7% less.<sup>12</sup> Relying on five-year aggregated data alone for redistricting would underestimate Latino and Asian American citizen voting age populations.

Second, examination of the annual ACS CVAP estimate percentages for different groups in Table 3 shows that the racial composition of total citizen voting age population is changing. Most striking, annual ACS estimates indicate that the Latino proportion of citizen voting age population is increasing, while the non-Hispanic white

**TABLE 3 | Select California Voting Age Population Reports from Three Census Datasets**

	ACS [2005-2009] Estimate	DOJ Special Tabulation Estimate	2010 Census Count
Latino	8,490,040 (31.6%)	8,490,040 (31.6%)	9,257,499 (33.1%)
Asian Alone	3,516,607 (13.1%)	3,503,460 (13%)	3,809,082 (13.6%)
Non- Hispanic White	12,577,310 (46.8%)	12,646,350 (47.1%)	12,409,858 (44.4%)

Note: The 2010 and DOJ Asian figures do not include Hispanic Asian Americans, while the ACS figures do. Also, including the Asian + white category would add 117,210 to the DOJ Asian category

11. National Research Council, *Using the American Community Survey: Benefits and Challenges*. The National Academies Press, Washington, DC, at Table 2-3a (2007).

12. Note that the DOJ Special Tabulation estimate for Native Americans in California is 47.4% less than the 2009 ACS estimate. Interestingly, the DOJ Special Tabulation estimate is also significantly less than the 2000 Census count for this population.

**TABLE 4 | CVAP Estimates by Race and Latino Status for the United States and California**

CITIZEN VOTING AGE POPULATION (CVAP): UNITED STATES						
	Total	Latino	Asian American	Non-Hispanic White	Black	American Indian/ Alaska Native
2000 Census Long Form	193,376,975	14,300,581	4,696,448	147,768,945	22,614,559	1,557,130
	100%	7.4%	2.4%	76.4%	11.7%	0.8%
DOJ Special Tabulation	208,196,325	18,512,565	6,730,455	153,938,560	24,962,205	1,451,430
	100%	8.9%	3.2%	73.9%	12.0%	0.7%
2005 ACS	197,004,322	16,896,498	6,309,701	147,254,400	22,892,954	1,603,981
	100%	8.6%	3.2%	74.7%	11.6%	0.8%
2006 ACS	206,287,902	17,891,634	6,701,987	153,015,764	24,757,732	1,607,600
	100%	8.7%	3.2%	74.2%	12.0%	0.8%
2007 ACS	208,186,178	18,427,267	6,828,445	153,734,679	25,132,367	1,622,077
	100%	8.9%	3.3%	73.8%	12.1%	0.8%
2008 ACS	210,710,906	19,401,013	6,970,157	154,598,294	25,633,395	1,644,200
	100%	9.2%	3.3%	73.4%	12.2%	0.8%
2009 ACS	213,020,665	20,101,592	7,202,612	155,322,801	26,139,525	1,643,644
	100%	9.4%	3.4%	72.9%	12.3%	0.8%

CITIZEN VOTING AGE POPULATION (CVAP): CALIFORNIA						
	Total	Latino	Asian American	Non-Hispanic White	Black	American Indian/ Alaska Native
2000 Census Long Form	20,011,574	3,888,220	1,850,180	12,085,427	1,495,075	187,604
	100%	19.4%	9.2%	60.4%	7.5%	0.9%
DOJ Special Tabulation	21,942,930	5,117,250	2,515,395	12,164,955	1,563,045	130,400
	100%	23.3%	11.5%	55.4%	7.1%	0.6%
2005 ACS	20,736,632	4,675,574	2,391,672	11,698,453	1,437,534	174,480
	100%	22.5%	11.5%	56.4%	6.9%	0.8%
2006 ACS	21,846,683	4,989,806	2,509,868	12,183,038	1,561,271	185,918
	100%	22.8%	11.5%	55.8%	7.1%	0.9%
2007 ACS	22,042,254	5,089,309	2,554,657	12,202,749	1,589,309	189,253
	100%	23.1%	11.6%	55.4%	7.2%	0.9%
2008 ACS	22,417,194	5,396,279	2,617,919	12,185,021	1,608,369	207,541
	100%	24.1%	11.7%	54.4%	7.2%	0.9%
2009 ACS	22,603,863	5,558,160	2,692,708	12,107,216	1,607,039	192,170
	100%	24.6%	11.9%	53.6%	7.1%	0.9%

ACS estimates, particularly five-year aggregated data at the block group or tract levels, are best considered a conservative estimate of citizenship rates and CVAP. The actual rates are likely higher...

share is decreasing, nationally and to a greater degree in California. Accordingly, the five-year aggregated data used for the DOJ Special Tabulation overestimate the percentage of non-Hispanic whites and under-estimate the percentage of other groups, especially Latinos, citizen voting age population.

Differences between five-year aggregated data and the most recent 1-year ACS data are explained in large part by the failure to account for age changes, noted above. The failure to “age up” teenage citizens results in five-year aggregated ACS data underestimating Latino and Asian CVAP, in particular.<sup>13</sup> Accordingly, ACS estimates, particularly five-year aggregated data at the block group or tract levels, are best considered a conservative estimate of citizenship rates and CVAP. The actual rates are likely higher due to the natural aging of the population, the higher rate of citizenship among Latino and Asian children as opposed to adults, and the low mortality rate among teenagers versus older individuals.

The DOJ Special Tabulation has two additional challenges to note. First, as noted above, the Census Bureau reattributed the racial identity of non-Latino individuals who reported their race as “Some Other Race.” While

most “Some Other Race” respondents are Latino<sup>14</sup> and therefore remained allocated in the Latino category in the DOJ Special Tabulation, a small number of “Some Other Race” respondents who are not Latino have been attributed to other racial groups, e.g., non-Latino white, non-Latino black, etc. Unfortunately, this process cannot be reproduced because the Census Bureau used non-public information to perform the attribution. Second, due to privacy concerns, estimates of voting age population (VAP) at geographic units smaller than the county level, i.e., Census tracts and block groups, were suppressed. Therefore, there is no way to compare VAP and CVAP at the Census Block Group using DOJ Special Tabulation data; the smallest geographical unit for which a CVAP to VAP comparison is possible is the County level, as seen in Table 2.

That said, the DOJ Special Tabulation may be a better source of redistricting data in the VRA context than ACS data due to the racial information it provides. Aggregated ACS data are not readily available in some of the racial groupings of interest in some VRA-related redistricting issues. Federal directives call for the grouping of racial categories in particular ways in civil rights enforcement contexts, so in redistricting dealing with Asian Americans,<sup>15</sup> for example, the proper population would include both individuals reporting Asian race alone as well as those reporting Asian and white background. The DOJ Special Tabulation provides CVAP estimates for both “Asian alone” (non-Hispanics reporting Asian racial background alone) as well as “Asian and white” (non-Hispanics reporting Asian and white racial background) categories that can be combined to derive an Asian American estimate, but the ACS aggregated estimates do not.<sup>16</sup>

Finally, ACS data and the DOJ Special Tabulation of those data pose a challenge for redistricting because they are reported using different geographical units than 2010 Census (PL 94-171) data. The Census geography used to report data (Tracts, Block Groups, Blocks) can change between Censuses. As a result, data from the same physical

13. Nevertheless, these data will likely have to be used because although the 2009 one-year ACS data provide a more up-to-date and accurate estimate of CVAP, they are not reported at units of geography that are useful in most redistricting.

14. For example, according to 2010 Census PL data for California, only 85,587 of California's 6,317,372 “some other race” respondents were not Latino. See PL 94-171 Summary File.

15. OMB BULLETIN NO. 00-02 - Guidance on Aggregation and Allocation of Data on Race for Use in Civil Rights Monitoring and Enforcement, available at [http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/bulletins\\_b00-02](http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/bulletins_b00-02)

16. See Table 1

address could be reported in one Census Tract in 2000 and a different Tract in 2010. Unfortunately, ACS data are reported using 2000 Census Geography, while Census (PL 94-171) data are reported using 2010 Census geography. For redistricting in 2011, line drawers will use 2010 Census geography, rendering ACS and DOJ data reported on 2000 Census geography inaccurate when geographies conflict. Where the 2000 and 2010 Census geographies are different, additional steps are needed to bring the ACS data into 2010 Census geography.

## 2. Method to Address ACS-based CVAP Estimate Challenges:

Because of the issues identified above, ACS data reports, as well as tabulations based on them such as the DOJ Special Tabulation, should undergo additional analysis in order to be more useful for redistricting. What follows is a method to use publicly available Census data and ACS data in conjunction to develop a reliable, albeit conservative, estimate of CVAP for various population groups at the smallest level of geography for which the data are available.

Since several aspects of a population can change in the period over which ACS data were collected (2005-2009) and the time the Census enumeration occurs in 2010, we argue that the best use of ACS data is to establish a ratio or rate of citizenship. This citizenship ratio is applied to 2010 Census data to produce estimated CVAP populations using the most up-to-date data that is reliable at small geographical units. This method produces a conservative estimate of CVAP populations since the ratio does not directly correct for the age issues mentioned above that affect the accuracy of CVAP data. Accordingly, a determination of 50% CVAP estimated under this method should be sufficient to satisfy the first *Gingles* precondition that a minority group is sufficiently large and compact to constitute a majority in a single member district.<sup>17</sup>

ACS data reports, as well as tabulations based on them such as the DOJ Special Tabulation, should undergo additional analysis in order to be more useful for redistricting.

This method has several benefits as well. First, using ACS data to develop a fraction or ratio of citizenship is a more appropriate use of ACS data than using its point estimates as if they were absolute counts. ACS data are best used as descriptors of the population rather than a tally or count. Using these data to determine rates of voting age citizenship for various racial/ethnic groups is a proper use of ACS data. Other options, such as using 1-year ACS data to make group-specific corrections to five-year ACS data, while demographically sound, are not a use for ACS data of which the Census Bureau approves.

Second, by applying the CVAP ratio or fraction to 2010 Census data, we address some of the shortcomings of ACS aggregated data and produce a more reliable point estimate. The citizenship rate established with ACS data is applied to 2010 Census voting age population figures to derive an estimate of 2010 citizen voting age population. This provides a partial update of aggregated ACS data by using the most recent and complete VAP data from the 2010 Census.

17. In fact, due to the underestimate of Latino and Asian American communities in particular, a five-year aggregated ACS-based CVAP estimate of less than 50% could very well still represent an actual CVAP population over 50%. When considering districts dealing with these populations, line drawers and courts should carefully consider where to place the threshold to avoid disenfranchising Latino and Asian American voters due to data problems.

Although the Census is a 100% count of the population, providing an enumeration of certain population characteristics down to the smallest units of Census geography, the American Community Survey, which reports citizenship data, provides only estimates of population characteristics based on population samples and is not available and/or accurate for all groups, particularly at small units of geography.

Finally, this method does not produce any systematic bias that might advantage or disadvantage a particular group.<sup>18</sup> The method uses Census Bureau data for all groups in the same manner. Unlike a group-specific “correction” to ACS data, our method should be used to produce 2010 CVAP estimates for all racial groups. Since any bias in the method would therefore affect all groups, this method does not systematically advantage or disadvantage any one group.

In what follows, we outline two different methods for estimating CVAP through a combination of ACS and Census PL data. The first applies in areas with reasonably homogenous CVAP rates within racial groups and/or when an entire county is contained within a district. This method uses county-level data. The second is a method to develop more fine-grained analysis of CVAP, where CVAP rates are not homogenous within racial groups<sup>19</sup> and/or when a jurisdiction wants a smaller unit of analysis, such as a city drawing districts. For both methods, we recommend analyzing the CVAP proportion of each racial/ethnic group present in a potential district. That is, CVAP

estimates should be generated for all racial/ethnic groups at issue and not just Latinos or Asian Americans.<sup>20</sup>

#### A. County-based Method

Although preliminary analyses conducted for this paper showed variance in Census tract CVAP rates for all racial groups, in many counties these rates may be relatively homogeneous. In addition, if an entire county is included within the same district, sub-county variations in citizenship rates will not matter. In these cases, jurisdictions can use DOJ Special Tabulation data to develop a county-wide CVAP rate for each racial group that can then be applied to Census PL 94-171 population counts to calculate 2010 CVAP estimates for each block. Since there were very few changes in county boundaries between 2000 and 2010, county-level CVAP ratios can be applied to every block reported for that county in 2010 PL tabulations.

This approach is much easier than the tract-level analysis described below. Also, by using county data to calculate the CVAP fraction, we minimize the margin of error issues that arise for smaller units of geography, since ACS

18. In fact, due to the underlying underestimate of Latino and Asian American CVAP in ACS data, this method, although an improvement, likely is still biased against these groups.

19. We have analyzed CVAP rates for all racial groups in many different parts of the U.S. and found that these rates can vary greatly, even within racial groups. For example, analyses of a national sample of ACS data not presented in this paper show that almost all Latinos who identify as Puerto Rican are U.S. citizens compared to 60% of those reporting Mexican origin. There are also significant variations between Asian national origin groups. For example, about 55% of

the Asian Indians are citizens compared to 79% of Vietnamese. We can expect similar differences between native-born African Americans and African immigrants as well as non-Hispanic whites and white immigrants

20. The state of Texas recently took the opposite approach, calculating a CVAP estimate only for Latinos while assuming that citizenship rates were uniform for all other groups, e.g., blacks, Asians, non-Hispanic whites, etc. See email from David R. Hanna, Senior Legislative Counsel, Texas Legislative Council sent on April 13, 2011 (on file with authors).

In addition to the Census-based method discussed above, CVAP is sometimes estimated from voter registration rolls...we strongly caution that voter registration and turn out methods include drawbacks that may be very difficult or impossible to address.

and DOJ CVAP estimates are more reliable at the county level due to the larger samples sizes. Finally, the county-level approach allows the utilization of the DOJ Special Tabulation data set. If a jurisdiction must consider the representation of racial groups that are available from the DOJ Special Tabulation but not the ACS, such as “African American and white” or “Asian and white,” this method produces block-level CVAP estimates for these groups. When these considerations apply, we propose the following steps:

**1. Produce a County CVAP Ratio for Each Racial Group at Issue:**

First, use data about citizenship and age to determine a ratio or fraction of CVAP for each racial/ethnic group. This means dividing the CVAP for a particular group by the VAP for that group. Here we use DOJ Special Tabulation data at the county level<sup>21</sup>:

$$\text{DOJ CVAP} / \text{DOJ VAP} = \text{CVAP Ratio}$$

**2. Produce County 2010 CVAP Numeric Estimate:**

After confirming that 2000 and 2010 county boundaries are closely comparable, apply the County CVAP Ratio for each group to its voting age population in the 2010 Census PL 94-174 data set (hereinafter “2010 PL”) to produce a county level CVAP numeric estimate.

$$\text{DOJ County CVAP Ratio} * \text{2010 PL VAP County} = \text{2010 CVAP County Numeric Estimate}$$

**3. Calculate Census Block 2010 CVAP Numeric Estimate:**

In addition, the County CVAP Ratio can be applied directly to 2010 Census geography within the County, most notably Census Blocks, that are used in redistricting:

$$\text{CVAP Ratio} * \text{2010 PL Block VAP} = \text{2010 Block CVAP estimates}$$

To verify results, these 2010 Block CVAP numeric estimates can be added up and compared to the 2010 CVAP County Numeric Estimate.

We conducted this method to produce CVAP estimates for Alameda County, CA; results are set forth in Table 5.

**B. Areas Requiring More Fine-Grained Analysis**

In some areas, jurisdictions may want or need to develop CVAP estimates at units smaller than the county level. This includes areas where variations in citizenship rates within racial groups exist. For example, the citizenship rate for non-Hispanic whites may be very high in one neighborhood, but lower in a neighborhood with a large proportion of Russian immigrants. Where such variations exist, applying a citizenship rate for an entire county may produce misleading results, artificially high in some areas while artificially low in others. Accordingly, a jurisdiction may want to use ACS data<sup>22</sup> to produce a more fine-grained analysis than is possible using DOJ Special Tabulation data.

21. We suggest using the DOJ Special Tab because the Census Bureau developed it specifically to comply with OMB directives regarding calculation of racial groups laid out in the OMB guidance and in response to a request from the

US Department of Justice. It is likely that the DOJ Special Tab data will be what the DOJ will use. However, the method could also be run using ACS data, if a jurisdiction prefers.

**TABLE 5 | CVAP Estimates using County-based Method for Alameda County, CA**

Racial Groups	DOJ VAP	DOJ CVAP	2010 PL VAP	CVAP/VAPRatio	2010 CVAP
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,115,765</b>	<b>919,100</b>	<b>1,169,650</b>	<b>0.8237</b>	<b>963,487</b>
Not Hispanic or Latino	905,195	796,415	938,477	0.8798	825,697
American Indian or Alaska Native Alone (non-Latino)	3,665	3,500	3,341	0.955	3,191
Asian Alone (non-Latino)	277,700	196,455	307,657	0.7074	217,648
Black or African American Alone (non-Latino)	141,105	136,225	143,194	0.9654	138,242
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander Alone (non-Latino)	7,790	5,995	8,887	0.7696	6,839
White Alone (non-Latino)	452,385	432,465	436,886	0.956	417,648
American Indian or Alaska Native and White (non-Latino)	3,920	3,920	3,482	1	3,482
Asian and White (non-Latino)	7,145	6,890	13,850	0.9643	13,356
Black or African American and White (non-Latino)	3,135	3,090	4,195	0.9856	4,135
American Indian or Alaska Native and Black or African American (non-Latino)	2,420	2,420	1,767	1	1,767
Remainder of Two or More Race Responses (non-Latino)	5,935	5,450	12,342	0.9183	11,333
Hispanic or Latino	210,570	122,685	231,173	0.5826	134,689

Although the DOJ Special Tabulation contains CVAP data for the racial groups often at play in VRA-related districting,<sup>23</sup> it does not provide VAP data at geography smaller the county level. In contrast, five-year aggregated ACS estimates are available at the tract level for both CVAP and VAP, permitting the production of a tract-level CVAP ratio. Since ACS tract geography may differ from 2010 Census tract geography, this method includes a step to analyze geography and if necessary a technique to address changes.

**1. Produce Census Tract-level CVAP Ratios for Each Racial Group at Issue:**

As above, divide each population’s CVAP by its VAP. Here we use five-year aggregated ACS data at the Census tract level.<sup>24</sup>

$$\text{ACS Tract CVAP} / \text{ACS Tract VAP} = \text{ACS Tract-level CVAP Ratio}$$

22. Note that the ACS provides non-Hispanic estimates only for whites, so estimates of other groups (African Americans, Asians, Native Americans, etc.) include Latinos who are also African American, Asian, Native American. In most jurisdictions these numbers will be very small, but should be kept in mind for redistricting.

23. The racial grouping issue is most pronounced when dealing with VRA issues concerning Asian American and African American populations. In both of these cases, a complete tabulation, in accordance with federal guidelines, should include both citizens of that minority racial background alone as well as those with both minority and white backgrounds. However, when dealing with Latinos and non-Latino whites, the ACS and DOJ Special Tabulation produce the same estimates for the same groups (Latinos and non-Latino white alone). See Table 1

24. Tract VAP and/or CVAP data were not published (suppressed) by the Census Bureau to prevent the possible disclosure of personal information. The populations of these tracts do have a CVAP rate but we do not know what it is. We suggest using the average CVAP rate for the appropriate group over the entire area being analyzed. For example, for the analysis in Table 6, we used the race-specific county-wide average CVAP ratio for the tracts where data were suppressed. In other analyses using this method for entire states, we have used the state-wide average CVAP rate.

**TABLE 6 | CVAP Estimates using Tract-based method for Alameda County, CA**

Racial Group	ACS VAP	ACS CVAP	2010 PL VAP	2010 CVAP
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,115,765</b>	<b>919,100</b>	<b>1,169,650</b>	<b>963,055</b>
Not Hispanic or Latino	905,195	796,415	938,477	825,627
American Indian or Alaska Native Alone	5,819	4,972	3,341*	3,104
Asian Alone	277,987	197,048	307,657*	218,028
Black or African American Alone	141,634	136,773	143,194*	138,412
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander Alone	7,985	6,220	8,887*	6,970
White Alone (non-Latino)	449,213	430,058	436,886	418,194
Hispanic or Latino	210,571	122,686	231,173	137,428

\*Does not include Latinos

**2. Determine Correspondence Between ACS Tracts and 2010 Census Blocks.**

Since ACS data are reported using 2000 Census geography while Census PL data, as well as this decade's redistricting efforts, use 2010 Census geography, the next step is to determine whether there have been any changes in the area included in each Census tract. The correspondence between ACS Tracts and Census PL blocks can be performed using GIS, analysis of Census geographic correspondence files, visual map inspection, etc. (We will detail these procedures in a forthcoming paper.)

**3. Associate each 2010 block or block portion with a 2000 Census Tract.**

Many 2010 Census blocks can be identified with one 2000 Census tract, so this step entails correctly associating each ACS 2000 Census Tract with the geographic area that is now a 2010 block.

However, some 2010 blocks will be split between 2000 Census tracts and therefore not match perfectly. In those cases, use the proportion of the 2010 block area within the 2000 tract to assign the same proportion of the block's 2010 PL VAP to the tract for each racial group.

**4. Apply the ACS Tract CVAP Ratio for each Racial Group to its 2010 PL Block VAP**

**Tract CVAP Ratio \* 2010 PL Block VAP = 2010 Block CVAP estimates.**


This should be done for each racial/ethnic group at issue in the district. For 2010 blocks that are split between 2000 tracts, multiply the portion of the block located within a given Tract by the Tract CVAP Ratio to calculate the 2010 CVAP estimate for each block portion.

We have conducted full-scale trials of this method on Alameda County, California and found that the sum of the block-level estimates differed from the published county totals by only a very small amount, attributable to very small rounding errors.

Tables 6 sets forth our analysis using this method for Alameda County, California. Figure A provides a comparison of the Tract-based method for Latino and Asian American populations with the ACS and DOJ estimates for these populations in Alameda County, California.



**FIGURE A | Latino & Asian CVAP Estimates for Alameda County, CA**



	Latino	Asian American
DOJ CVAP	122,685	203,345
ACS CVAP	122,686	197,048
2010 CVAP EST (County Method)	134,689	231,004
2010 CVAP EST (Tract method)	137,428	218,028

**Note:** County-based 2010 CVAP estimates for Asian Americans include individuals who identify as “Asian” and “Asian and White.”

### C. Alternate Methods of Estimating CVAP: Voter Rolls Approach

In addition to the Census-based method discussed above, CVAP is sometimes estimated from voter registration rolls. While we present information on this method, we strongly caution that voter registration and turn out methods include drawbacks that may be very difficult or impossible to address. In addition, in many courts, Census Bureau data enjoy a presumption of validity.<sup>25</sup>

Voter registration rolls provide information that may help estimate CVAP for certain populations. Voter rolls indicate the name, address, date of birth, and in a few states the race, of each individual registered to vote in a jurisdiction. Since only citizens may vote in federal and most state/local elections and individuals must be 18 or older to register, individuals on the rolls are assumed to be citizens of voting age. By using racial data on the rolls in states that collect this information, one can generate a racial count of registered voters, which can be used as a proxy to estimate CVAP for each racial group.

In states that do not collect racial information on voter registration, like California, voters’ surnames can be analyzed for membership in certain minority groups

in order to estimate a racial distribution of CVAP. The process of surname analysis compares voters’ surnames with a database of surnames associated with certain ethnic groups, most prominently Latino and Asian American groups. This surname-matched registration data may be used as a proxy to estimate Latino or Asian American CVAP. While this method will produce some false positives, e.g., a non-Asian citizen with a traditionally Asian last name, and some false negatives, e.g., a Latino citizen lacking a traditionally Latino surname, surname matched registration data can provide an approximation of Latino and Asian citizens who are registered to vote.

However, voter registration data has limitations in estimating actual citizen voting age population in an area. First, surname analysis cannot produce a reliable estimate of white or black populations due to the lack of surname databases for these groups. Second, registration-based methods will underestimate CVAP due to high rates of under-registration among citizens of color, particularly Latino and Asian American citizens. According to another Census Bureau data source—the Current Population Survey (CPS)—Asian American and Latino citizens have

25. See, e.g., *Valdespino v. Alamo Heights Independent School Dist.*, 168 F.3d 848, 853-4 (5th Cir. 1999).

...due to quirks in the ACS data, such as reporting individuals at the age they were when data were collected rather than what their current age actually is, our method produces a conservative estimate of actual CVAP, particularly for Asian American and Latino populations because it does not directly or completely correct for underlying problems with CVAP estimates in the ACS data.

the lowest rates of voter registration of all groups. The November 2008 CPS indicated that while 74% of non-Hispanic white citizens and 70% of non-Hispanic black citizens reported being registered to vote, only 55% of Asian and 56% of Latino citizens reported being registered.<sup>26</sup> In fact, courts have rejected arguments that the VRA requires majority-minority voter registration in order to warrant a district, recognizing that for a variety of reasons, including discriminatory voting practices, minority voter registration is often suppressed and therefore not an accurate reflection of minority voter potential.

Voter-based methods of estimating CVAP are also logistically difficult in several states. Although in California, surname matched registration and turn out data are publicly available free of charge on the internet,<sup>27</sup> in many states, interested parties must request and pay for voter rolls and then run the surname analysis themselves.

Another method some propose is to analyze voter turnout rolls in a similar way. However, as with registration analysis, a population of color does not have to demonstrate that they constituted a majority of actual voters in a particular area in order to state a VRA claim. Moreover, reliance on voter turnout would underestimate minority CVAP even more than reliance on voter registration, since

citizens of color participate in the electoral process less often than their white counterparts.<sup>28</sup>

Voter-roll based methods of estimating CVAP must take into consideration the fact that voters of color often register and participate at rates lower than their actual presence in the population. This registration and participation gap is most pronounced among Latinos and Asian Americans, precisely the groups that are generally the focus of citizenship inquiries. Thus, any registration, or certainly turnout, analysis should be carefully balanced by an inquiry into local registration and participation rates, so that this method does not significantly underestimate the Latino or Asian CVAP population. That said, data about under-registration and participation are difficult to obtain, particularly at small geographical areas. CPS data are not provided at levels of geography smaller than the state, and racial data is only reported at the national level. Accordingly, a finding of 50% Latino or Asian registration or turnout, given the lower rates of turnout, surely indicates that a given district satisfies the first *Gingles* precondition and any requirement that the population be “effective,” because the actual CVAP in the district is most likely significantly higher than that indicated by voter registration or participation rates.

26. Thom File and Sarah Crissey, “Voting and Registration in the Election of November 2008,” US Census Bureau, Population Characteristics. (May 2010)

27. California’s Statewide Database contains all of California’s redistricting data, including precinct-level statistics on Asian Americans, Latinos, and others derived from surname-matched voter registration rolls. See <http://swdb.berkeley.edu/>

28. U.S. Census Bureau, Statistical Abstract of the United States: 2011, p. 259, Table 415, available at <http://www.census.gov/compendia/statab/2011/tables/11s0415.pdf>.

## CONCLUSION:

Some federal case law requires that a minority population constitute 50% or more of the citizen voting age population of a potential district in order to qualify for Voting Rights Act protection. Although the Census is a 100% count of the population, providing an enumeration of certain population characteristics down to the smallest units of Census geography, the American Community Survey, which reports citizenship data, provides only estimates of population characteristics based on population samples and is not available and/or accurate for all groups, particularly at small units of geography. This brief presents a method to use ACS data about population characteristics to inform 2010 Census count data. ACS data, including the DOJ Special Tabulation, can be used to produce a fraction or ratio of CVAP for various racial/ethnic groups. This CVAP fraction can be applied to 2010 Census voting age population data, and with the help of mapping software, be disaggregated to small units of geography while maintaining the reliability of larger level ACS estimates. This is a proper use of ACS data and provides the most accurate and reliable estimates of CVAP possible without complicated statistics to try to “correct” ACS data directly.

That said, due to quirks in the ACS data, such as reporting individuals at the age they were when data were collected rather than what their current age actually is, our method produces a conservative estimate of actual CVAP, particularly for Asian American and Latino populations because it does not directly or completely correct for underlying problems with CVAP estimates in the ACS data. Accordingly, a determination that a target district contains a minority CVAP population of at least 50% should satisfy not only the first *Gingles* precondition’s requirement that a minority group be large and compact enough to constitute a majority in a single member district, but also any concerns about whether the population is large enough to be “effective” in the district.

---

*This brief was made possible by a grant from the James Irvine Foundation. The conclusions in this brief are those of the authors.*

**Maxwell, Sue**

---

**From:** Debbie Walsh <abilene149@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 16, 2021 8:20 AM  
**To:** COB  
**Subject:** Redistricting Presentation  
**Attachments:** redistrictingpresentation.pdf

**CAUTION:** This email originated externally from the Riverside County email system. **DO NOT** click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Kecia,

I have a short presentation to give during the Redistricting meeting.

I have this presentation attached.

I will bring a thumb drive as well.

Thanks.

Debbie

## **COMMUNITY MAP 3.2**

### **KEEPS COMMUNITIES AND CITIES TOGETHER**

**County Created Maps Divide Numerous cities and Communities**

- **THE MOUNTAIN COMMUNITIES OF ANZA, SAGE, AGUANGA**
- **PERRIS AND MORENO VALLEY DIVIDED**
- **JURUPA VALLEY DIVIDED**
- **DE LUZ AND LA CRESTA**
- **MEAD VALLEY, GOOD HOPE AND GREATER LAKE MATHEWS**
- **VALLE VISTA DIVIDED**
- **WINCHESTER, GREEN ACRES AND HOMELAND**
- **RIVERSIDE DIVIDED**


# CITY & COMMUNITY POPULATION FOR MAP 3.2

District 1		District 2		District 3		District 4		District 5	
14,061	El Sobrante	105,456	Jurupa Valley	110239	Temecula	991	Whitewater	209,667	Moreno Valley
15,417	Woodcrest	69,901	Eastvale	111188	Murrieta	32747	Desert Hot Springs	79090	Perris
811	MAB	22,406	Norco	102795	Menefee	4188	Desert Edge	54192	Sa Jacinto
19,953	Mead Valley	11,259	Home Gardens	90349	Hemet	7147	Garnet	2011	Romoland
5,991	Lake Mathews	2,653	Cornita	35324	France Valley	44785	Palm Springs	1977	Lakview
5,580	Gavilan Hills	157,680	Corona	19529	East Hemet	51683	Cathedral City	6753	Nuevo
26,290	Temescal Valley	5,075	El Cerrito	3381	Sage	2420	Sky Valley	10057	Callimesa
3,161	Meadowbrook	7,544	Highgrove	3094	Anza	8005	Thousand Palms	6529	Cherry Valley
9,532	Good Hope	100,000	Riverside	1377	Lake Riverside	1050	Indio Hills	53193	Beaumont
1,599	Warm Springs			989	Aguanga	6669	Desert Palms	29691	Banning
11,112	Canyon Lake			3083	Winchester	17049	rancho Mirage	5588	Reche canyon
12,423	Lakeland Village			2933	Green Acres	8266	Bermuda Dunes	5527	Badlands
70,516	Lake Elsinore			6801	Homeland	4762	Indian Wells	2648	Cabazon
4,703	De Luz					51317	Palm Desert	16262	Valle Vista
14,707	La Cresta					89518	Indio		
36,998	Wildomar					42128	Coachella		
2,967	Lake Hills					37641	La Quinta		
216,452	Riverside					2625	vista Santa Rosa		
4,000	Pigeon Pass area					2700	Thermal		
6,174	West Elsinore					8244	Mecca		
						4484	Oasis		
						3600	North Shore		
						258	Desert center		
						773	Mesa Verde		
						12405	Blythe		
						542	Ripley		
						4170	Idyllwild		
						66	Mountain Center		
						1311	West Hot Springs		
						3909	North Hot Springs		
						2433	West Rancho Mirage		
						4827	Salton Sea Area		
						1740	Blythe Area		
						2372	North Idyllwild		
						2074	West Idyllwild		
						1474	West of Palm Springs		
						2433	West of Indian Wells		
						4219	Pinyon Pines		
						1725	North Blythe		

# CA Adjusted Population 2020 Census Population Increased

# 2020 CENSUS POPULATION MAP

CA Adjusted Population	2020 Census Population
District 1	District 1
14,061	El Sobrante
15,417	Woodcrest
811	MAB
19,953	Mead Valley
5,991	Lake Mathews
N/A	Gavilan Hills
26,290	Temescal Valley
3,161	Meadowbrook
9,532	Good Hope
1,599	Warm Springs
11,112	Canyon Lake
12,423	Lakeland Village
70,516	Lake Elsinore
N/A	De Luz
N/A	La Cresta
36,998	Wildomar
N/A	Lake Hills
216,452	Riverside
N/A	Pigeon Pass area
N/A	West Elsinore



# MAP H WITH CA ADJUSTED POPULATION


Red Population from the 2020 Census data

Total 2020 Census Population 2,418,185

CA Adjusted Population for Riverside County 2,417,438

The adjusted population total is less than the 2020 census total and yet the cities and communities show higher populations.

Moreno Valley 209,667  
 Corona 157,080  
 Riverside 105,456





Article from the University of California, Berkeley Law School on how to estimate Citizen Voting Age Population for Redistricting.



THE CHIEF JUSTICE EARL WARREN INSTITUTE  
ON LAW AND SOCIAL POLICY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY LAW SCHOOL

**REDISTRICTING:**  
ESTIMATING CITIZEN VOTING AGE POPULATION

Why must the County use Table 3? This table uses the California Adjusted Citizen Voting Age Pop 2020 US Special Tabulation From the 2015-2019 5-Year American Community Survey (ACS).

Table 3. Citizen Population - (CVAP) 2015-2019 5-Year American Community Survey(ACS)

Table 4. Uses Citizen Voting Age Population - (CVAP) 2015-2019 5-year American Community Survey (ACS). This analysis does not account for aging of the population sampled, but rather reports individuals at the age they were when data were collected. If a citizen was 13 when the sample was taken 5 years prior they would still appear as 13 years old today even though they are actually 18 and of voting age.

ACS estimates, particularly five-year aggregated data at the block group or tract levels, are best considered a conservative estimate of citizenship rates and CVAP. The actual rates are likely higher...

Although the Census is a 100% count of the population, providing an enumeration of certain population characteristics down to the smallest units of Census geography, the American Community Survey, which reports citizenship data, provides only estimates of population characteristics based on population samples is and not available and/or accurate for all groups, particularly at small units of geography.

# California Adjusted Citizen Voting Age Population - TABLE 3

## Map 3.2

## Table 3

### Hispanic or Latino

District 1 46.4%  
 District 2 49.9%  
 District 3 33.2%  
 District 4 46.2%  
 District 5 53.9%

### Population

District 1 432,725  
 District 2 415,049  
 District 3 493,090  
 District 4 399,170  
 District 5 416,266

Total Voting age  
 Population  
 2,156,300

Walsh v3.2 Redistricting Boundaries  
 Redistricting Boundaries  
 with 2020 US Census Data

Riverside County  
 Supervisorial District Summary

California Adjusted Citizen Voting Age Population 2020 US Special Tabulation From the 2015-2019 5-Year American Community Survey (ACS)  
 Table 3. Citizen Population - (CVAP) 2015-2019 5-year American Community Survey (ACS)

District	Total	Not Hispanic or Latino:		Not Hispanic or Latino:			Not Hispanic or Latino:			Not Hispanic or Latino:			Hispanic or Latino
		Hispanic or Latino	Native American	Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Asian	White	Other Pacific Islander	Black or African American	Hispanic or Latino	White	Other	
1	432,725	231,919	1,643	28,265	26,880	941	162,848	1,519	4,592	2,602	212	2,222	200,668
	100%	53.6%	0.4%	6.5%	6.2%	0.2%	37.6%	0.4%	1.1%	0.6%	0.0%	0.5%	46.4%
2	415,049	207,970	809	35,417	22,918	801	138,076	1,705	3,702	1,960	112	2,147	207,190
	100%	50.1%	0.2%	8.5%	5.5%	0.2%	33.3%	0.4%	0.9%	0.5%	0.0%	0.5%	49.9%
3	493,090	329,588	2,617	30,119	27,890	3,018	245,895	2,738	7,273	4,057	707	4,565	163,892
	100%	66.8%	0.5%	6.1%	5.7%	0.6%	49.9%	0.6%	1.5%	0.8%	0.1%	0.9%	33.2%
4	399,170	214,440	1,479	10,761	13,763	293	181,981	1,310	1,749	1,455	87	1,007	184,529
	100%	53.7%	0.4%	2.7%	3.4%	0.1%	45.6%	0.3%	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%	0.3%	46.2%
5	416,266	191,890	3,631	18,344	54,508	708	106,825	1,381	1,843	2,815	150	1,402	224,277
	100%	46.1%	0.9%	4.4%	13.1%	0.2%	25.7%	0.3%	0.4%	0.7%	0.0%	0.3%	53.9%
COUNTY TOTAL	2,156,300	1,175,787	10,179	122,906	145,959	5,761	835,625	8,653	19,159	12,889	1,268	11,343	980,556
	100%	54.5%	0.5%	5.7%	6.8%	0.3%	38.8%	0.4%	0.9%	0.6%	0.1%	0.5%	45.5%

# Matrix for Map 3.2

1. The Walsh Maps meet the requirements for population equality.
  2. Meets the requirements for majority - minority percentage of voting age population.
  3. District 5 has a minority-majority of 53.9%. District 1 is very close with a minority-majority of 49.9%.
  4. All District Boundaries Contiguous.
  5. Geographic Integrity of Cities and Census Designated Places. Riverside is split Valle Vista is one community affected.
- Tribal reservation land impacted. None.
6. Easily identifiable Boundaries. Yes
  7. Geographic Compactness. No.

Criterion	Analysis	Draft Map Community Map 3.2 (Walsh v5)
1. Population Equality	Total Population	2,417,438
	District 1 Population District 2 Population District 3 Population District 4 Population District 5 Population	482,447 483,974 491,082 478,760 483,186
2. U.S. Constitution and Voting Rights Act	Population Spread [%Variance from Largest & Smallest Pool]	2.26%
	A. Districts with > 50% Hispanic Citizens of Voting Age (District and Percent age)	1 63.9%
	B1. Geographic Compactness - Maintains Traditional Boundaries	Yes
	B2. Geographic Compactness - Maintains Communities of Interest	See Public Comments
	C1. Does Majority Minority District(s) compact minority population? C2. Do Members of the Majority Minority District(s) Have-Similar Needs and Goals?	Data being Compiled
3. Contiguity	D. Majority Bias Voting District Minority	Data being Compiled
	All District Boundaries Contiguous?	Yes
4. Geographic Integrity of Communities of Interest	Easily identifiable Communities of Interest Impacted/Split	• Hemet-San Jacinto • Jurupa Valley-Riverside (2 Total)
	Number & Name of Cities Impacted/Split	Riverside (1 Total)
5. Geographic Integrity of Cities and Census Designated Places	Number & Name of Unincorporated Communities Impacted/Split	Valle Vista (1 Total)
	Number & Name of Tribal Reservations Impacted/Split	No
6. Easily identifiable & Understandable Boundaries	Are All boundaries in Map identifiable or understandable? (include Non-identifiable Areas)	Yes
	Do Map Boundaries Feature Areas that Bypass Nearby Areas of Population in Favor of More Distant Populations?	No
7. Geographic Compactness		No

# Why Community Map 3.2 Meets District Population Equality

## Version 5 Map 3.2

District	Population 2020	Target Population	Variance From 2020 Target	Change needed to Reach Target
1	482,447	483,488	.2%	1014
2	481,974	483,488	.3%	-1514
3	491,082	483,488	1.6%	-7594
4	478,750	483,488	1.4%	5003
5	483,185	483,488	.06%	303

## **Why Community Map 3.2 Keeps communities together**

**District 1. Temescal Valley, Lake Elsinore, Greater Lake Mathews, Woodcrest, Mead Valley, Good Hope.**


**District 2. Jurupa Valley, Norco, Corona**

**District 3. Temecula, Murietta, Menifee, Winchester, Green Acres, Homeland. The Mountain Communities of Anza, Sage, Aguanga, Lake Riverside.**

**District 4. Keeps all of the Desert Communities together**

**District 5. Keeps the Pass communities together. Keeps Moreno Valley and Perris together.**

# REDISTRICTING WALSH MAP 3.2



## Maxwell, Sue

---

**From:** cob@rivco.org  
**Sent:** Monday, November 15, 2021 8:33 AM  
**To:** COB; dchrastil82@gmail.com  
**Subject:** Board comments web submission

**CAUTION:** This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system. **DO NOT** click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.



**First Name:** Deborah  
**Last Name:** Chrastil  
**Address (Street, City and Zip):** 11851 Omni Court, Temescal Valley CA 92883  
**Phone:** 7143450446  
**Email:** dchrastil82@gmail.com  
**Agenda Date:** 11/16/2021  
**Agenda Item # or Public Comment:** Public Comment  
**Comments:** I am speaking in favor of Map H and the benefits for being in District 3 for redistricting keeping our whole Temescal Valley together

**Thank you for submitting your request to speak. The Clerk of the Board office has received your request and will be prepared to allow you to speak when your item is called. To attend the meeting, please call (669) 900-6833 and use Meeting ID # 864 4411 6015 . Password is 20211116. You will be muted until your item is pulled and your name is called. Please dial in at 9:00 am with the phone number you provided in the form so you can be identified during the meeting.**

**Maxwell, Sue**

---

**From:** cob@rivco.org  
**Sent:** Monday, November 15, 2021 7:05 AM  
**To:** COB; tom.nightingale@53.com  
**Subject:** Board comments web submission

**CAUTION:** This email originated externally from the **Riverside County** email system. **DO NOT** click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.



First Name: Tom  
Last Name: Nightingale  
Phone: 909-701-5907  
Email: tom.nightingale@53.com  
Agenda Date: 11/16/2021  
Agenda Item # or Public Comment: 17658 Redistricting Public Hearing No. 5  
Comments: I wish to speak regarding the redistricting maps under consideration

**Thank you for submitting your request to speak. The Clerk of the Board office has received your request and will be prepared to allow you to speak when your item is called. To attend the meeting, please call (669) 900-6833 and use Meeting ID # 864 4411 6015 . Password is 20211116. You will be muted until your item is pulled and your name is called. Please dial in at 9:00 am am with the phone number you provided in the form so you can be identified during the meeting.**



## Maxwell, Sue

---

**From:** cob@rivco.org  
**Sent:** Sunday, November 14, 2021 3:25 PM  
**To:** COB; tracycyto@yahoo.com  
**Subject:** Board comments web submission

**CAUTION:** This email originated externally from the Riverside County email system. **DO NOT** click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.



First Name: Tracy  
Last Name: Davis  
Address (Street, City and Zip): 8826 Flintridge Lane, Temescal Valley 92883  
Phone: 951-277-3253  
Email: tracycyto@yahoo.com  
Agenda Date: 11/16/2021  
Agenda Item # or Public Comment: Redistricting  
Comments: In favor of EOTC-H map.

**Thank you for submitting your request to speak. The Clerk of the Board office has received your request and will be prepared to allow you to speak when your item is called. To attend the meeting, please call (669) 900-6833 and use Meeting ID # 864 4411 6015 . Password is 20211116. You will be muted until your item is pulled and your name is called. Please dial in at 9:00 am am with the phone number you provided in the form so you can be identified during the meeting.**

## Maxwell, Sue

---

**From:** cob@rivco.org  
**Sent:** Sunday, November 14, 2021 5:29 PM  
**To:** COB; gtw5@earthlink.net  
**Subject:** Board comments web submission

**CAUTION:** This email originated externally from the Riverside County email system. **DO NOT** click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.



First Name: Gary  
Last Name: Worobec  
Address (Street, City and Zip): 59550 Evans Rd, Anza, CA 92539  
Phone: 951-763-0518  
Email: gtw5@earthlink.net  
Agenda Date: 11/16/2021  
Agenda Item # or Public Comment: redistricting

**Thank you for submitting your request to speak. The Clerk of the Board office has received your request and will be prepared to allow you to speak when your item is called. To attend the meeting, please call (669) 900-6833 and use Meeting ID # 864 4411 6015 . Password is 20211116. You will be muted until your item is pulled and your name is called. Please dial in at 9:00 am am with the phone number you provided in the form so you can be identified during the meeting.**

## Maxwell, Sue

---

**From:** cob@rivco.org  
**Sent:** Sunday, November 14, 2021 4:56 AM  
**To:** COB; bob.hafner@verizon.net  
**Subject:** Board comments web submission

**CAUTION:** This email originated externally from the Riverside County email system. **DO NOT** click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.



First Name: Robert  
Last Name: Hafner  
Address (Street, City and Zip): 13373 Goldenhorn Drive  
Phone: 19512586404  
Email: bob.hafner@verizon.net  
Agenda Date: 11/16/2021  
Agenda Item # or Public Comment: Public Comment  
State your position below: Neutral  
Comments: I would like to comment on the redistricting maps for the county based on the recent census. I want to give feedback what I think will be best for the future of Temescal Valley.

**Thank you for submitting your request to speak. The Clerk of the Board office has received your request and will be prepared to allow you to speak when your item is called. To attend the meeting, please call (669) 900-6833 and use Meeting ID # 864 4411 6015 . Password is 20211116. You will be muted until your item is pulled and your name is called. Please dial in at 9:00 am with the phone number you provided in the form so you can be identified during the meeting.**

## Maxwell, Sue

---

**From:** cob@rivco.org  
**Sent:** Friday, November 12, 2021 4:05 PM  
**To:** COB; asall@riverside-chamber.com  
**Subject:** Board comments web submission

**CAUTION:** This email originated externally from the Riverside County email system. **DO NOT** click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.



First Name: Andrew  
Last Name: Sall  
Address (Street, City and Zip): 3985 University Ave  
Phone: 6619650726  
Email: asall@riverside-chamber.com  
Agenda Date: 11/16/2021  
Agenda Item # or Public Comment: Redistricting Public Hearing  
State your position below: Support

**Thank you for submitting your request to speak. The Clerk of the Board office has received your request and will be prepared to allow you to speak when your item is called. To attend the meeting, please call (669) 900-6833 and use Meeting ID # 864 4411 6015 . Password is 20211116. You will be muted until your item is pulled and your name is called. Please dial in at 9:00 am am with the phone number you provided in the form so you can be identified during the meeting.**

## Maxwell, Sue

---

**From:** cob@rivco.org  
**Sent:** Sunday, November 14, 2021 10:47 PM  
**To:** COB  
**Subject:** BOS web comments

**CAUTION:** This email originated externally from the Riverside County email system. **DO NOT** click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

**First Name:** Fred  
**Last Name:** Myers  
**Email:** Myersfh@aol.com  
**Agenda Date:** 11/16/2021

**Agenda Item # or  
Public Comment:** 17658

**State your position  
below:** Support

**Comments:** I support redistricting map "H" for the following reasons.  
It is the only map that keeps Temescal Valley and other service areas of the Lake Elsinore Sheriff's Station in one district. Also, map "H" was the number one map requested/submitted by Temescal Valley residents.

Would like to speak at the hearing by phone call in.

## Maxwell, Sue

---

**From:** COB  
**Sent:** Monday, November 15, 2021 11:14 AM  
**To:** myersfh@aol.com  
**Cc:** COB; Henderson, Roy  
**Subject:** Must Register to Phone in BOS web comments (Fred Myers)

Mr. Myers,

If you are coming in person, you will complete a Request to Speak form when you arrive and give to the Clerk of the Board by or before 9:30am (inside the Board Chambers).

**If you will be watching via Zoom and calling in to give your comment, you need to pre-register today via the following link:**

<https://rivcocob.org/comments>

The invite will be at the bottom of your web submission after you submit your Request to Speak.

Please call in at 9am tomorrow from the phone you listed and follow instructions.

You will be notified when it's your turn to speak and instructed on how to un-mute your phone.

The BOS meeting can be viewed on any of our web locations: <http://riversidecountyca.iqm2.com/Citizens/Default.aspx> , or <https://rivcotv.org/BOS> , or on The Clerk of the Board Facebook page, <https://www.facebook.com/RivCoCOB>.

Please contact us if you need assistance.

Thank you kindly,

***Sue Maxwell***

Board Assistant  
Riverside County Clerk of the Board of Supervisors  
4080 Lemon Street, 1<sup>st</sup> Floor, Room 127  
Riverside, CA 92501  
(951) 955-1069 Fax (951) 955-1071  
Mail Stop #1010  
[cob@rivco.org](mailto:cob@rivco.org)  
website: <http://rivcocob.org/>  
<https://www.facebook.com/RivCoCOB/>



NOTICE: This communication is intended for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and may contain **information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure** under applicable law. If the reader of this communication is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivering this communication to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by reply email or by telephone and immediately delete this communication and all its attachments.

**Maxwell, Sue**

---

**From:** cob@rivco.org  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 16, 2021 7:36 AM  
**To:** COB; kaenglish@gmail.com  
**Subject:** Board comments web submission

**CAUTION:** This email originated externally from the Riverside County email system. **DO NOT** click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.



First Name: Kevin  
Last Name: English  
Address (Street, City and Zip): 11133 Papaya Court - Temescal Valley - 92883  
Phone: 9517519751  
Email: kaenglish@gmail.com  
Agenda Date: 11/16/2021

Agenda Item # or Public: Redistricting Public Hearing -

Comment:  
State your position below: Neutral

Comments: I am in favor of EOTC Map H version 7.1, the only mapping specific to Temescal Valley that does not split Communities of Interest and Census Designated Places. It is the only map that keeps the unincorporated communities of Winchester and Homeland in District 3 and moves the City of Menifee to another district, as requested by Winchester/Homeland residents. This map is also the only map that keeps the entire Elsinore Valley / Temescal Valley Community of Interest together, which shares a water district, a school district, a Western Riverside Council of Governments' district, and an Area Plan of the County's General Plan.

**Thank you for submitting your request to speak. The Clerk of the Board office has received your request and will be prepared to allow you to speak when your item is called. To attend the meeting, please call (669) 900-6833 and use Meeting ID # 864 4411 6015 . Password is 20211116. You will be muted until your item is pulled and your name is called. Please dial in at 9:00 am am with the phone number you provided in the form so you can be identified during the meeting.**

## Maxwell, Sue

---

**From:** cob@rivco.org  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 16, 2021 8:12 AM  
**To:** COB; kim@lakeelsinorechamber.com  
**Subject:** Board comments web submission

**CAUTION:** This email originated externally from the Riverside County email system. **DO NOT** click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.



First Name: Kim Joseph  
Last Name: Cousins  
Address (Street, City and Zip): 132 W. Graham Avenue  
Phone: 9518345521  
Email: kim@lakeelsinorechamber.com  
Agenda Date: 11/16/2021  
Agenda Item # or Public Comment: 17658  
State your position below: Support  
Comments: I have submitted a letter to the Board of Supervisors in Support of Item # 17658 Redistricting Public Hearing No. 5 Attachment C. EOTC H v7.1. I would like to speak to this item!

**Thank you for submitting your request to speak. The Clerk of the Board office has received your request and will be prepared to allow you to speak when your item is called. To attend the meeting, please call (669) 900-6833 and use Meeting ID # 864 4411 6015 . Password is 20211116. You will be muted until your item is pulled and your name is called. Please dial in at 9:00 am am with the phone number you provided in the form so you can be identified during the meeting.**



## Maxwell, Sue

---

**From:** cob@rivco.org  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 16, 2021 8:21 AM  
**To:** COB  
**Subject:** BOS web comments

**CAUTION:** This email originated externally from the Riverside County email system. **DO NOT** click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

**First Name:** Kim Joseph  
**Last Name:** Cousins  
**Email:** kim@lakeelsinorechamber.com

**Agenda Date:** 11/16/2021

**Agenda Item # or Public  
Comment:** 17658

**State your position below:** Support

**Comments:** I have also sent in a request to speak. I would like to make sure that the Board of Supervisors & Staff have a copy of my letter.

Thanks!

**Attachments (Must be .pdf,  
.doc, or .docx):** [2021RiversideBOSMapHSupport.pdf](#)

Table 5. DOJ Tabulation: Citizen Population (CVAP) 2015-2019 5-year American Community Survey (ACS)

District	Total	Not Hispanic or Latino:		
		American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	Not Hispanic or Latino: Asian
1	66,472	1,978	49,836	24,962
	100%	2.6%	65.2%	32.3%
2	66,450	2,781	38,553	46,126
	100%	3.7%	35.2%	61.1%
3	71,395	4,723	27,816	39,014
	100%	6.6%	38.7%	54.7%
4	39,908	2,831	15,218	12,509
	100%	8.8%	50.0%	41.1%
5	46,050	6,659	31,623	19,748
	100%	10.1%	60.0%	29.9%
<b>COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>315,745</b>	<b>18,832</b>	<b>158,644</b>	<b>142,065</b>
	100%	5.9%	49.7%	44.4%

Table 6. DOJ Tabulation: Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP) 2015-2019 5-year American Community Survey (ACS)

District	DOJ CVAP Total	DOJ CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino:		
		American Indian or Alaska Native	Black or African American	DOJ CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: Asian
1	58,745	1,543	37,257	19,945
	100%	2.0%	48.7%	26.1%
2	58,449	2,391	29,278	33,810
	100%	3.1%	26.9%	44.8%
3	61,025	3,377	20,003	27,646
	100%	4.7%	28.0%	38.7%
4	22,921	2,222	11,342	9,357
	100%	7.3%	37.3%	30.8%
5	35,302	4,353	29,046	14,701
	100%	7.3%	44.0%	22.3%
<b>COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>237,747</b>	<b>14,360</b>	<b>117,326</b>	<b>105,061</b>
	100%	4.5%	36.9%	33.0%

Source: Statewide Database 2015-2019 Citizen Voting Age Population, adjusted to reflect reallocated incarcerated persons, on 2020 Census Blocks. The original data source for the Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP) is the American Community Survey (ACS). The ACS is an ongoing survey by the U.S. Census Bureau sent to approximately 250,000 households each month. The ACS estimates used to develop these data were collected from January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2019 utilizing the 2010 Census block groups, which were disaggregated to the 2020 Census blocks by the Statewide Database.

Because this is a special tabulation of data and not part of the standard data products shown on the Census Bureau's data.census.gov website, these estimates are rounded. Therefore, individual categories may not exactly add to the total.

For example, the sum of each of the race groups for non-Hispanics may not be the same as the estimate given for non-Hispanics. These estimates will

For more information about CVAP products, visit <https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/decennial-census/about/voting-rights/cvap.html>  
[https://www2.census.gov/programs-surveys/decennial/rdo/technical-documentation/special-tabulation/CVAP\\_2015-2019\\_ACS\\_documentation.pdf](https://www2.census.gov/programs-surveys/decennial/rdo/technical-documentation/special-tabulation/CVAP_2015-2019_ACS_documentation.pdf)

Riverside County  
Supervisorial District Summary

California Adjusted Citizen Voting Age Population 2020 US Special Tabulation From the 2015-2019 5-Year American Community Survey (ACS)

Table 3. Citizen Population - (CVAP) 2015-2019 5-year American Community Survey (ACS)

District	Total	Not Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic or Latino: American Indian or Alaska Native Alone	Not Hispanic or Latino: Asian Alone	Not Hispanic or Latino: Black or African American Alone	Not Hispanic or Latino: Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander Alone	Not Hispanic or Latino: White Alone	Not Hispanic or Latino: American Indian or Alaska Native and White	Not Hispanic or Latino: Asian and White	Not Hispanic or Latino: Black or African American and White	Not Hispanic or Latino: American Indian or Alaska Native and Black or African American	Not Hispanic or Latino: Remainder of Two or More Race Responses	Hispanic or Latino
1	431,807	185,432	1,158	21,435	47,390	833	188,755	302	3,251	2,456	907	14,884	245,862
	100%	43.0%	0.3%	5.0%	11.0%	0.2%	24.5%	0.2%	0.7%	0.6%	0.0%	0.4%	57.0%
2	444,898	220,951	888	47,964	24,257	1,050	150,029	1,953	4,144	2,903	121	2,284	215,097
	100%	51.6%	0.2%	9.4%	5.5%	0.2%	33.7%	0.4%	0.9%	0.5%	0.0%	0.5%	48.5%
3	457,629	305,807	2,287	11,328	20,958	2,472	226,792	2,574	7,356	3,749	682	1,195	151,930
	100%	66.8%	0.5%	6.9%	5.2%	0.5%	49.5%	0.5%	1.6%	0.8%	0.1%	0.9%	33.2%
4	399,377	214,263	1,172	18,750	13,793	293	181,819	1,398	1,749	1,355	27	1,095	184,515
	100%	53.7%	0.3%	2.7%	3.4%	0.1%	45.6%	0.3%	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%	0.3%	46.2%
5	423,505	241,754	4,589	11,999	37,797	1,153	171,178	2,123	2,649	2,919	170	2,095	182,407
	100%	56.9%	1.1%	4.0%	8.7%	0.3%	40.4%	0.5%	0.6%	0.7%	0.0%	0.5%	43.1%
COUNTY TOTAL	2,156,100	1,175,787	18,199	122,508	145,490	5,761	895,435	8,953	19,159	12,849	1,268	11,343	980,556
	100%	54.5%	0.5%	5.7%	6.8%	0.3%	38.8%	0.4%	0.9%	0.6%	0.1%	0.5%	45.5%

Table 4. Citizen Voting Age Population - (CVAP) 2015-2019 5-year American Community Survey (ACS)

District	CVAP Total	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: American Indian or Alaska Native Alone	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: Asian Alone	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: Black or African American Alone	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander Alone	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: White Alone	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: American Indian or Alaska Native and White	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: Asian and White	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: Black or African American and White	CVAP Not Hispanic or Latino: Remainder of Two or More Race Responses	CVAP Hispanic or Latino
1	302,865	149,358	973	18,015	36,304	688	99,304	579	1,929	1,253	192	151,154
	100%	49.3%	0.3%	5.9%	11.9%	0.2%	29.1%	0.2%	0.6%	0.4%	0.1%	50.6%
2	317,541	161,233	733	37,871	18,174	949	122,088	1,823	1,838	1,197	103	138,457
	100%	51.1%	0.2%	10.1%	6.0%	0.3%	38.4%	0.5%	0.6%	0.3%	0.0%	43.0%
3	319,960	219,769	1,851	24,511	18,442	1,816	174,383	1,943	3,132	1,561	458	89,911
	100%	72.2%	0.5%	7.7%	5.8%	0.6%	54.7%	0.5%	1.0%	0.5%	0.1%	27.9%
4	310,514	159,218	1,000	8,835	10,351	198	105,869	1,180	724	389	72	129,234
	100%	51.3%	0.3%	2.8%	3.4%	0.1%	33.4%	0.4%	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	38.7%
5	306,978	192,954	3,292	13,266	27,489	720	141,793	1,581	1,437	1,557	139	111,147
	100%	63.0%	1.1%	4.3%	9.0%	0.2%	46.3%	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	0.0%	37.0%
COUNTY TOTAL	1,553,898	844,182	7,750	96,402	111,759	4,401	692,737	5,408	9,038	5,187	894	612,243
	100%	60.7%	0.5%	6.2%	7.2%	0.3%	44.5%	0.4%	0.6%	0.4%	0.1%	39.3%

Riverside County  
Supervisorial District Summary

**California Adjusted 2020 US Census Public Law File 94-171**

**Table 1. California Adjusted 2020 Census Total Population by Race/Ethnicity**

District	Total Population	Hispanic	White	Black	American Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Other Race	Two or more minority race
1	488,874	303,397	95,022	44,402	1,619	26,597	1,535	2,655	13,847
	<b>100%</b>	<b>62.1%</b>	<b>19.4%</b>	<b>9.1%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>5.4%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>2.8%</b>
2	489,386	252,206	134,391	24,815	1,334	57,100	1,427	2,665	15,448
	<b>100%</b>	<b>51.5%</b>	<b>27.5%</b>	<b>5.1%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>11.7%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>3.2%</b>
3	487,440	168,838	216,930	24,547	3,154	43,345	1,767	2,670	26,189
	<b>100%</b>	<b>34.6%</b>	<b>44.5%</b>	<b>5.0%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>8.9%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>5.4%</b>
4	464,828	249,637	174,313	11,625	1,915	13,899	429	2,126	10,884
	<b>100%</b>	<b>53.7%</b>	<b>37.5%</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>3.0%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>2.3%</b>
5	466,910	227,251	168,073	41,139	3,937	23,877	1,616	2,458	18,559
	<b>100%</b>	<b>46.7%</b>	<b>34.5%</b>	<b>8.4%</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>4.9%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>3.8%</b>
<b>COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>2,417,438</b>	<b>1,201,329</b>	<b>788,729</b>	<b>146,528</b>	<b>11,959</b>	<b>164,818</b>	<b>6,774</b>	<b>12,574</b>	<b>84,727</b>
	<b>100%</b>	<b>49.7%</b>	<b>32.6%</b>	<b>6.1%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>6.8%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>3.5%</b>

**Table 2. California Adjusted 2020 Census Total Population by Race/Ethnicity Over the Age of 18**

District	Total Population (Over 18)	Hispanic (Over 18)	White (Over 18)	Black (Over 18)	American Indian/ Alaskan Native (Over 18)	Asian (Over 18)	Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander (Over 18)	Other Race (Over 18)	Two or more minority race (Over 18)
1	361,495	210,156	80,937	34,943	1,312	22,742	1,150	1,802	9,357
	<b>100%</b>	<b>58.1%</b>	<b>22.4%</b>	<b>9.4%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>6.3%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>2.6%</b>
2	367,108	177,391	110,659	19,749	1,096	45,192	1,103	1,911	10,007
	<b>100%</b>	<b>48.3%</b>	<b>30.1%</b>	<b>5.4%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>12.3%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>2.7%</b>
3	360,424	113,271	172,546	18,793	2,318	34,463	1,356	1,892	15,875
	<b>100%</b>	<b>31.4%</b>	<b>47.9%</b>	<b>5.2%</b>	<b>0.6%</b>	<b>9.6%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>4.4%</b>
4	366,821	173,459	161,029	9,250	1,535	11,847	315	1,488	7,898
	<b>100%</b>	<b>47.3%</b>	<b>43.9%</b>	<b>2.5%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>3.2%</b>	<b>0.1%</b>	<b>0.4%</b>	<b>2.2%</b>
5	366,909	155,428	142,109	31,332	2,857	19,831	1,249	1,759	12,344
	<b>100%</b>	<b>42.4%</b>	<b>38.7%</b>	<b>8.5%</b>	<b>0.8%</b>	<b>5.4%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>3.4%</b>
<b>COUNTY TOTAL</b>	<b>1,822,761</b>	<b>829,705</b>	<b>667,280</b>	<b>113,167</b>	<b>9,118</b>	<b>134,075</b>	<b>5,173</b>	<b>8,762</b>	<b>55,481</b>
	<b>100%</b>	<b>45.5%</b>	<b>36.6%</b>	<b>6.2%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>7.4%</b>	<b>0.3%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>3.0%</b>


**CA Adjusted 2020 Census Difference from 2021 Target Supervisorial District Population of 483,488**

District	Number	Percent	Percent Spread (Largest - Smallest)
1	-5,386	-1.11%	<b>5.08%</b>
2	-5,898	-1.22%	
3	-3,952	-0.82%	
4	18,660	3.86%	
5	-3,422	-0.71%	



Source: California Statewide Database Public Law (P.L.) 94-171 and US Census Bureau Website, enacted by Congress in December 1975, requires the Census Bureau to provide states the opportunity to identify the small area geography for which they need data in order to conduct legislative redistricting.

For more information about Public Law (P.L.) 94-171: <https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/decennial-census/about/rdo/summary-files.html>

# EOTC H V7.1 Redistricting Boundaries With CA Adjusted Census Population Data



District	Population 2020	Target Population	Variance from 2020 Target	Change Need to Reach Target
1	488,874	483,488	1.1%	-5,386
2	489,386	483,488	1.2%	-5,898
3	487,440	483,488	0.8%	-3,952
4	464,828	483,488	-3.9%	18,660
5	486,510	483,488	0.7%	-3,022



---

# LAKE ELSINORE VALLEY

---

## CHAMBER OF COMMERCE

November 16, 2021

Dear Riverside County Board of Supervisors,

The Lake Elsinore Valley Chamber of Commerce has been a leader in the business community along the I-15 Corridor since 1949, conducting successful business programs and promoting and advocating for business partnership throughout the valley for more than 7 decades.

Our roots are deep and our passion is strong. As an advocate for the residents and business community we are asking you to keep Southwest Riverside County together as you consider redistricting maps before you.


The Lake Elsinore Valley Chamber of Commerce has voted to support EOTC H v7.1 Map and encourage the members of the Riverside County Board of Supervisor to implement EOTC H v7.1 Map which will keep Lake Elsinore in the same supervisorial District with many partners throughout the Valley.

Of the maps proposed, EOTC H v7.1 Map, provides the most ideal equal population spread across all five supervisorial districts which is the number one legal requirement in drawing new district lines. Another legal requirement is keeping 'Communities of Interest' together to the greatest extent possible. Lake Elsinore with its' partners to the south have so much in common in the way of policy and legislation we have formed strategic partnerships with these communities. The following include a number of those partnerships:

Economic Development Coalition of Southwest California (EDC)  
Joint Powers Authority for Animal Friends of the Valleys  
Lake Elsinore San Jacinto Watershed Authority (LESJWA)  
Regional Homeless Alliance  
Social Work Action Group (SWAG)  
Project Touch  
Lake Elsinore CARES Team  
Move I-15 Task Force  
Southwest Communities Financing Authority  
Southwest T-Now for RTA  
CalFire Battalion – Fire services to Lake Elsinore, Wildomar, Canyon Lake & Menifee  
Law Enforcement Services & Public Safety Teams Resources aligned to Lake Elsinore, Wildomar & Canyon Lake  
Cancer Task Force  
Murrieta Temecula Group  
Southwest California Legislative Council (SWCLC)  
Numerous Southwest County Chamber of Commerce Join Functions

In conclusion, we believe we have more in common and stronger partnerships with the communities to the South than we do with communities in Northwest Riverside County. We respectfully ask you to support EOTC H v7.1 Map in this redistricting process.

Thank you,



Kim Joseph Cousins – President/CEO  
Lake Elsinore Valley Chamber of Commerce

132 West Graham Avenue • Lake Elsinore, CA 92530  
(951) 245-8848 • Fax (951) 245-9127 • [info@lakeelsinorechamber.com](mailto:info@lakeelsinorechamber.com) • [www.lakeelsinorechamber.com](http://www.lakeelsinorechamber.com)