

ITEM: 3.24 (ID # 23370) MEETING DATE: Tuesday, December 12, 2023

## FROM : HOUSING AND WORKFORCE SOLUTIONS:

**SUBJECT:** HOUSING AND WORKFORCE SOLUTIONS (HWS): Adoption of Environmental Assessment Report and Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) for the Sandstone Valley Apartments Affordable Multifamily Housing Project Located in the City of Murrieta Pursuant to the National Environment Policy Act (NEPA) and Approval of Request for Release of Funds to U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), District 3. [\$0]

**RECOMMENDED MOTION:** That the Board of Supervisors:

 Adopt the attached Environmental Assessment (EA) Report and Findings incorporated in the EA and in the Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) for the Sandstone Valley Apartments (Proposed Project), pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), and conclude that the Proposed Project is not an action which may affect the quality of the environment;

Continued on page 2

## ACTION:Policy

ashal 11/1/2023

## MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

On motion of Supervisor Gutierrez, seconded by Supervisor Perez and duly carried by unanimous vote, IT WAS ORDERED that the above matter is approved as recommended.

Ayes:	Jeffries, Spiegel, Washington, Perez and Gutierrez
Nays:	None
Absent:	None
Date:	December 12, 2023
XC:	HWS

Kimberly A. Rector Clerk of the Board By: Deputy

## SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

## **RECOMMENDED MOTION:** That the Board of Supervisors:

- Authorize the Chair of the Board of Supervisors to execute the attached EA on behalf of the County;
- Approve the attached Request for Release of Funds and Certification (RROF) for Twenty-Four (24) Housing Choice Voucher Program Project Based Vouchers for the Proposed Project;
- Authorize the Chair of the Board of Supervisors to execute the attached RROF on behalf of the County to be filed with the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD); and
- Authorize the Director of Housing and Workforce Solutions (HWS), or designee, to take all necessary steps to implement the RROF, EA, and FONSI including, but not limited to, signing subsequent necessary and relevant documents, subject to approval as to form by County Counsel.

FINANCIAL DATA	Current Fiscal Year:	Next Fiscal Year:	Total Cost:	Ongoing Cost
COST	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0
NET COUNTY COST	\$0	\$0	\$ 0	\$ 0
SOURCE OF FUNDS: N/A		Budget Adjust	ment: No	
			For Fiscal Yea	r: 23/24

C.E.O. RECOMMENDATION: Approve

## BACKGROUND:

CRP Affordable Housing and Community Development LLC (Developer) was awarded a total of twenty-four (24) Housing Choice Voucher Project Based Vouchers (PBVs) for the Sandstone Valley Apartments (Proposed Project) through a Request for Proposal released by the Housing Authority of the County of Riverside on May 5, 2022. The Developer has formed a limited partnership known as Sandstone Valley Apartments LP, a California limited partnership (Partnership), for the purpose of developing and financing new construction of the Proposed Project consisting of 96-unit multi-family development (which includes one manager's unit) affordable rental housing complex for low-income families. The Proposed Project will consist of a total of 18 one-bedroom units, 48 two-bedroom units, 30 three-bedroom units with one (1) two-bedroom unit restricted as a manager's unit. The PBVs will serve as a rental subsidy for the clients on the Housing Authority's Housing Choice Voucher Program (HCVP) waiting list for the Proposed Project. Located on approximately 3.6 acres of land located at 41705 Hawthorn Street, in the City of Murrieta, identified as Assessor's Parcel Numbers 909-020-020, 909-020-021 and 909-020-052 (Property). The Housing Authority will enter into an Agreement to Enter

## SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

into Housing Assistance Payments (AHAP) with Developer, subject to approval by the Board of Commissioners.

## **NEPA Review**

The environmental effects of activities carried out with PBVs must be assessed in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and the related authorities listed in the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) implementing regulations at 24 CFR Parts 50 and 58, for responsible entities which must assume responsibility for environmental review, decision making, and action that normally apply to HUD. The County of Riverside, by and through its Housing and Workforce Solutions Department (HWS), is the responsible entity for purposes of the subject NEPA review. The County has completed all applicable environmental review procedures and has evaluated the potential effects of the Proposed Project on the environmental Assessment (County EA) and Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) for the Proposed Project (which is attached) and concluded that the Proposed Project activities are not actions that may affect the quality of the environment. Staff of HWS completed the County EA and FONSI pursuant to 24 CFR Section 58.40 (g)(1) and 40 CFR Section 1508.13.

HUD also requires that the responsible entity for the environmental review process complete and execute the attached Requests for Release of Funds and Certification (RROF) when requesting to release funds that are subject to the HUD environmental review process.

Public Notice of the Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) and Requests for Release of Funds was published on November 13, 2023, pursuant to 24 Code of Federal Regulations Section 58.43, and is attached hereto.

Staff recommends that the Board approve and execute the attached Environmental Assessment, Environmental Assessment Determinations and Compliance Findings for HUD-Assisted Projects 24 CFR Part 58, and Request for Release of Funds.

## Impact on Residents and Businesses

The development of 96 additional affordable rental units will have a positive impact on businesses and residents through the creation of jobs and affordable housing in southwestern Riverside County.

## SUPPLEMENTAL:

## Additional Fiscal Information

No impact on the County's General Fund. The County's contribution to the project is anticipated to include only the Housing Choice Voucher Program (HCVP or Section 8) Project-Based

## SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Vouchers which are fully funded from the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development.

## Attachments:

- County of Riverside FONSI
- County of Riverside Environmental Assessment
- Request for Release of Funds- HCVP Project Based Vouchers
- Public Notice FONSI/RROF
- Proof of Publication

12/6/2023 Brianna Lontajo, Principal Manage

11/28/2023

Aaron Gettis,



U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development 451 Seventh Street, SW Washington, DC 20410 www.hud.gov espanol.hud.gov

## Environmental Assessment Determinations and Compliance Findings for HUD-assisted Projects 24 CFR Part 58

#### Project Information

Project Name: Sandstone-Valley-Apartments-

HEROS Number: 900000010357623

Project Location: 41705 Hawthorn St, Murrieta, CA 92562

#### Additional Location Information:

The Sandstone Valley Apartment Project would construct and operate a new 96 unit affordable housing community with related infrastructure improvements on three parcels totaling approximately 3.6 acres located at 41705 Hawthorn Street in the City of Murrieta, Riverside County (APN 909020020, 909020021, 909020052). The project location is shown in Figure 1 - Vicinity Map.

#### Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 50.12 & 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.25]:

CRP Affordable Housing and Community Development, Inc. The project proposes a 100 percent affordable multi family residential development consisting of 96 units within four 3 story (40 feet in height), Type VA construction buildings. The proposed unit mix would be comprised of 12 one bedroom units, 48 two bedroom units, and 36 three bedroom units. Each unit includes an outdoor patio or balcony. Sixteen of the ground floor units would include mobility features and the remaining 16 would be adaptable units. A single story, 2,672 square foot community building is also proposed adjacent to Building A in the southcentral portion of the site. In addition to the community building, two outdoor cooking areas and a childrens tot lot would be provided. Access to the project site would be via two driveways located along Hawthorn Street. A total of 137 surface parking spaces would be provided throughout the perimeter of the project site. including 6 accessible spaces, and 9 electric vehicle ready spaces. Access to Hawthorn Street and the project site are provided by Adams Avenue, located approximately 350 feet southwest of the project site. The closest major crossroads to the project site are Jefferson Avenue and Murrieta Hot Springs Road, located approximately 600 feet northeast of the site. The project is expected to be constructed consistent with Green Point Gold standards and be fully electric. No natural gas would be used. Prior to construction, the existing improvements would be demolished. Demolition is expected to begin in Summer 2023. The project would require approximately 26,700 cubic yards (CY) of cut, 7,020 CY of fill, and a net export of 19,680 CY of material. Construction of the buildings would occur for approximately two years, with an opening year of 2025. The proposed site plan is shown as Figure 2. Construction activities are expected to occur five days per week, 8 hours per day, between 8:00 am and 5:00 pm. A total of 24 project based vouchers valued at approximately \$7,000,000 over a 20 year term have been approved. Funds would be allocated from resources using Housing and Urban Development (HUD) funds; and thus, the project is subject to National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) review by HUD to demonstrate compliance with applicable federal

regulations. The appropriate level of documentation is an Environmental Assessment prepared consistent with 24 CFR Part 58.

#### Funding Information

Grant Number	HUD Program	Program Name
PBV3-22-001	Public Housing	Housing Choice Voucher Program

Estimated Total HUD Funded Amount: \$12,854,160.00

Estimated Total Project Cost [24 CFR 58.2 (a) (5)]: \$60,147,629.00

#### Mitigation Measures and Conditions [CFR 1505.2(c)]:

Summarized below are all mitigation measures adopted by the Responsible Entity to reduce, avoid or eliminate adverse environmental impacts and to avoid non-compliance or non-conformance with the above-listed authorities and factors. These measures/conditions must be incorporated into project contracts, development agreements and other relevant documents. The staff responsible for implementing and monitoring mitigation measures should be clearly identified in the mitigation plan.

Law, Authority, or Factor	Mitigation Measure or Condition
Permits, reviews, and approvals	The following permits and/or discretionary actions
	will be obtained by the project applicant: Design
	Review Approval - City of Murrieta

#### **Project Mitigation Plan**

#### **Determination:**

×	Finding of No Significant Impact [24 CFR 58.40(g)(1); 40 CFR 1508.13] The project will not result in a significant impact on the quality of human environment
	Finding of Significant Impact
Preparer	Signature: Nigel Sindry Date: 11/3/2023
Name /	Title/ Organization: Nicole Sanchez / / RIVERSIDE COUNTY
Certifying	g Officer Signature: Date: Date:D
Name/ Ti	tle: KEVIN JEFFRIES CHAIR, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Responsit	nal, signed document and related supporting material must be retained on file by the ble Entity in an Environment Review Record (ERR) for the activity / project (ref: 24 CFR Part d in accordance with recordkeeping requirements for the HUD program(s):

FORM APPROVED COUNTY COUNSEL

11/03/2023 19:18

DEC 1 2 2023 3.24

Page 2 of 2

RECTOR, Clerk

# Request for Release of Funds and Certification

#### WHEN DOCUMENT IS FULLY EXECUTED RETURN

U.S. Department of Housing CLERK'S COPY OMB No. 2506-0087 and Urban Development lie County Clerk of the Board, Stop 1010 Office of Community Planning Sox 1147, Riverside, Ca 92502-1147 and Development you.

This form is to be used by Responsible Entities and Recipients (as defined in 24 CFR 58.2) when requesting the release of funds, and requesting the authority to use such funds, for HUD programs identified by statutes that provide for the assumption of the environmental review responsibility by units of general local government and States. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 36 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

#### Part 1. Program Description and Request for Release of Funds (to be completed by Responsible Entity)

1. Program Title(s) Housing Choice Voucher Program (HCVP) Project Based Vouchers (PBV)	2. HUD/State Identification Number	3. Recipient Identification Number (optional)
4. OMB Catalog Number(s) 14.871	5. Name and address of responsible e	ntity
6. For information about this request, contact (name & phone number) Nicole Sanchez, 760.863.2825	County of Riverside, Board of Supervisors of Riverside County Housing and Workforce Solutions 3403 Tenth Street, Suite #300 Riverside, CA #2501	
8. HUD or State Agency and office unit to receive request	7. Name and address of recipient (if di	fferent than responsible entity)
United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Community Planning and Development 300 N. Los Angeles Street, Suite 4054	Same as Responsible I	Entity

The recipient(s) of assistance under the program(s) listed above requests the release of funds and removal of environmental grant conditions governing the use of the assistance for the following

9. Program Activity(ies)/Project Name(s)	10. Location (Street address, city, county, State)	
HUD-Project Based Vouchers /Sandstone Valley Apartments	41-705 Hawthorn Street Murrieta, CA Riverside County	

11. Program Activity/Project Description

The Project activity proposes the use of 24 Project Based Vouchers by Sandstone Valley Apartments, LP, a California Limited Liability Corporation to construct 96 unit multi-family affordable rental housing project low-income families. The Proposed Project will consist of 18 one-bedroom units, 48 two-bedroom units, 30 three-bedroom units with one (1) two-bedroom unit restricted as a manager's unit. The project will sit on approximately 3.6 acres located at 41-705 Hawthorn Street, in the City of Murrieta, California identified as Assessors Parcel Numbers 909-020-020, 909-020-021 and 909-020-052.

The units will be restricted to families whose incomes do not exceed 50% of the area median income for the County of Riverside. In addition to the Project Based Vouchers other financing sources for the Proposed Project are anticipated to include \$34,370,617 in Citibank tax exempt bonds, \$9,255,745 in Citibank taxable bonds, \$4,075,594 in State tax credit equity, \$7,104,020 in tax credit equity, and \$8,251,367 in deferred costs. The total cost of development, during the permanent financing period, is approximately \$66,057,343.

Previous editions are obsolete

form HUD-7015.15 (1/99)



#### Part 2. Environmental Certification (to be completed by responsible entity)

#### With reference to the above Program Activity(ies)/Project(s), I, the undersigned officer of the responsible entity, certify that:

- 1. The responsible entity has fully carried out its responsibilities for environmental review, decision-making and action pertaining to the project(s) named above.
- The responsible entity has assumed responsibility for and complied with and will continue to comply with, the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended, and the environmental procedures, permit requirements and statutory obligations of the laws cited in 24 CFR 58.5; and also agrees to comply with the authorities in 24 CFR 58.6 and applicable State and local laws.
- 3. The responsible entity has assumed responsibility for and complied with and will continue to comply with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act, and its implementing regulations 36 CFR 800, including consultation with the State Historic Preservation Officer, Indian tribes and Native Hawaiian organizations, and the public.
- 4. After considering the type and degree of environmental effects identified by the environmental review completed for the proposed project described in Part 1 of this request, I have found that the proposal did did not require the preparation and dissemination of an environmental impact statement.
- 5. The responsible entity has disseminated and/or published in the manner prescribed by 24 CFR 58.43 and 58.55 a notice to the public in accordance with 24 CFR 58.70 and as evidenced by the attached copy (copies) or evidence of posting and mailing procedure.
- 6. The dates for all statutory and regulatory time periods for review, comment or other action are in compliance with procedures and requirements of 24 CFR Part 58.
- 7. In accordance with 24 CFR 58.71(b), the responsible entity will advise the recipient (if different from the responsible entity) of any special environmental conditions that must be adhered to in carrying out the project.

As the duly designated certifying official of the responsible entity, I also certify that:

- 8. I am authorized to and do consent to assume the status of Federal official under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and each provision of law designated in the 24 CFR 58.5 list of NEPA-related authorities insofar as the provisions of these laws apply to the HUD responsibilities for environmental review, decision-making and action that have been assumed by the responsible entity.
- 9. I am authorized to and do accept, on behalf of the recipient personally, the jurisdiction of the Federal courts for the enforcement of all these responsibilities, in my capacity as certifying officer of the responsible entity.

Signature of Certifying Officer of the Responsible Entity	Title of Certifying Officer Chair, Riverside County Board of Supervisors
x Jallan	Date signed
Address of Certifying Officer	

#### Part 3. To be completed when the Recipient is not the Responsible Entity

The recipient requests the release of funds for the programs and activities identified in Part 1 and agrees to abide by the special conditions, procedures and requirements of the environmental review and to advise the responsible entity of any proposed change in the scope of the project or any change in environmental conditions in accordance with 24 CFR 58.71(b).

Signature of Authorized Officer of the Recipient	Title of Authorized Officer
	Date signed
X	
Warning: HUD will prosecute false claims and statements. Conviction may res	sult in criminal and/or civil penalties. (18 U.S.C. 1001, 1010, 1012; 31 U.S.C.

Warning: HUD will prosecute false claims and statements. Conviction may result in criminal and/or civil penalties. (18 U.S.C. 1001, 1010, 1012; 31 U.S.C. 3729, 3802)

Prevense A. RECTOR, Clerk

form HUD-7015.15 (1/99)

DEC 1 2 2023 3.24

#### PUBLIC NOTICE November 20, 2023

Riverside County, Housing and Workforce Solutions 3403 Tenth Street, Suite 300 Riverside, California 92501 Nicole Sanchez , Preparer (760) 863-2825

#### TO ALL INTERESTED AGENCIES, GROUPS, AND PERSONS:

These notices shall satisfy procedural requirements for activities to be undertaken by the County of Riverside. Any individual, group or agency submitting comments should specify in their comments which "notice" their comments address.

#### **REQUEST FOR RELEASE OF FUNDS**

**On or about December 5, 2023**, the County of Riverside will submit a request to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Los Angeles Field Office for the release of: HUD Housing Choice Voucher Program (HCVP) Project Based Vouchers (PBVs) through the Housing Authority of the County of Riverside, to undertake the following project:

PROJECT NAME: Sandstone Valley Apartments

PURPOSE: The project activity includes the use of 24 PBVs to serve as a rental subsidy for Sandstone Valley Apartments (Sandstone) by Sandstone Valley Apartments LP, a California Limited Partnership. Sandstone will consist of the new construction of a 96-unit apartment complex that will provide affordable housing. The proposed project will consist of 18 one-bedroom units, 48 two-bedroom units and 30 three-bedroom units. The one-bedroom units are approximately 604 square feet, the two-bedroom units are approximately 867 square feet and the three-bedroom units are approximately 1,028 square feet. The apartment units will be rented to low-income individuals making at or below 50% of the area median income of the County of Riverside.

LOCATION: The existing property sits on three parcels totaling approximately 3.6 acres located at 41705 Hawthorn Street, in the City of Murrieta, California identified as **Assessor's** Parcel Numbers 909-020-020, 909-020-021 and 909-020-052.

This activity may be undertaken over multiple years.

#### FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

The County of Riverside has determined that the project will have no significant impact on the human environment. Therefore, an Environmental Impact Statement under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) is not required. Additional project information is contained in the Environmental Assessment (EA) on file at the Housing Authority of the County

of Riverside at 5555 Arlington Ave, Riverside, CA 92504. The EA may be downloaded at the following website address <u>https://www.harivco.org/</u>.

#### PUBLIC COMMENTS

Any individual, group, or agency may submit written comments on the EA and the Request for Release of Funds to the Department of Housing, and Workforce Solutions, Attention: Nicole Sanchez at 3403 Tenth Street, Suite 300, Riverside, CA 92501 or email comments to NiSanchez@rivco.org. All comments received at the address specified above on or before December 5, 2023 will be considered by the County of Riverside prior to submission of a request for release of funds. Comments should specify which Notice they are addressing.

#### **RELEASE OF FUNDS**

The County of Riverside certifies to the HUD Los Angeles Field Office that the Chair of the Board of Supervisors consents to accept the jurisdiction of the Federal courts if an action is brought to enforce responsibilities in relation to the environmental review process and that these responsibilities have been satisfied. HUD's approval of the certification satisfies its responsibilities under NEPA and related laws and authorities and allows the County of Riverside Housing, and Workforce Solutions to allocate Housing Choice Voucher Program Project Based Vouchers on behalf of the County of Riverside.

#### **OBJECTIONS TO RELEASE OF FUNDS**

HUD will accept objections to its release of funds and **the County of Riverside's** certification for a period of fifteen days following the anticipated submission date or its actual receipt of the request (whichever is later) only if they are on one of the following bases:

- a. the certification was not executed by the Certifying Officer of the County of Riverside;
- b. the County of Riverside has omitted a step or failed to make a decision or finding required by HUD regulations at 24 CFR part 58;
- c. the grant recipient has committed funds or incurred costs not authorized by 24 CFR Part 58 before approval of a release of funds by HUD; or
- d. another Federal agency acting pursuant to 40 CFR Part 1504 has submitted a written finding that the project is unsatisfactory from the standpoint of environmental quality.

Objections must be prepared and submitted via email in accordance with the required procedures (24 CFR Part 58, Sec. 58.76) and shall be addressed to the following HUD Los Angeles Field Offices: Office of Public Housing at <u>HUDLOSANGELESOPH@hud.gov</u>, Potential objectors should contact HUD Los Angeles Field Offices via email to verify the actual last day of the objection period.

#### NOTICIA PUBLICA

#### 20 de Noviembre de 2023

Departamento de Solucions para Vivienda y la Fuerza Laboral del Condado de Riverside 3403 Tenth Street, Suite 300 Riverside, California 92501 Nicole Sanchez, Preparadora (760) 863-2825

#### A TODAS LAS AGENCIAS, GRUPOS Y PERSONAS INTERSADOS:

Estos avisos deberan satisfacer las actividades que realizara el Condado de Riverside. Cualquier individuo, grupo o agencia que envie comentarios debe especificar en sus comentarios que "aviso" tiene la direccion de sus comentarios.

#### SOLICITUD DE LIBERACION DE FONDOS

**El 5 de Deciembre de 2023 o alrededor de esa fecha**, el condado de Riverside presentara una solicitud a la Oficina de campo de Los Angeles del Departamento de Vivienda y Desarrollo Urbano de EE.UU. (HUD) la Autoridad de Vivienda del Condado de Riverside (HACR), para emprender el siguiente proyecto:

NOMBRE DEL PROYECTO: Proyecto de Sandstone Valley Apartments

PROPOSITO: La actividad del proyecto incluye de 24 Viviendas Eleccion Programa de Vales (HCVP) Vales Basados en Proyectos (PBV) para ser utilizados por Sandstone Valley Apartments LP, una asociación de el estado de California de responsabilidad limitado, para server como un subsidio de alquier para el Proyecto de Sandstone Valley Apartments (Sandstone). Sandstone consistira en la construccion de un complejo de apartamentos de 96 unidades que proporcionara viviendas de apoyo permanente. El Proyecto propuesto constarra de 18 unidades de un dormitorio, 48 unidades de dos dormitorios, y 30 de tres dormitorios. Las unidades miden aproximadamente entre 604 y 1,028 pies cuadrados. Las unidades de apartamentos se alquilaran a personas de bajos ingresos que ganan menos de 50% de el ingreso medio del area de el Condado de Riverside.

UBICACION: El sitio del proyecto tiene un tamano aproximado de 3.6 acres. Sandstone esta ubicado en la ciudad de Murrieta del Condado de Riverside, California. La propiedad esta ubicada en 41705 Hawthorn Street. La parcela se identifica con el numero de parcela del tasador 909-020-020, 909-020-021 y 909-020-052.

Esta actividad se puede realizar durante varios anos.

#### NO HAY IMPACTO SIGNICATIVO

El Condado de Riverside ha determinado que el proyecto no tendra un impacto significativo en el medio ambiente humano. Por lo tanto, no se require una Declaracion de Impacto Ambiental Nacional de 1969 (NEPA). Se incluye informacion adicional del proyecto en la Evaluacion Ambiental (EA) archivada en la Autoridad de Vivienda del Condado de Riverside en 5555 Arlington Ave, Riverside, CA 92504. La EA se puede descargar en la siguiente direccion del sitio web https://www.harivco.org/.

#### COMENTARIOS PUBLICOS

Cualquier individuo, grupo o agencia puede enviar comentarios por escrito sobre el EA y la Solicitud de liberacion de fondos al Departamento de Solucions para Vivienda y la Fuerza Laboral, Atencion: Nicole Sanchez en 3403 Tenth Street, Suite 300, Riverside, CA 92501 o comentarios por correo electronico a NiSanchez@rivco.org. Todos los comentarios recibidos en la direccion especificada anteriormente **en o alrededor del 5 de Deciembre de 2023** seran considerados por el Condado de Riverside antes de presenter una solicitud de liberacion de fondos. Los comentarios deben especificar a que Aviso se dirigen.

#### LIBERACION DE FONDOS

El Condado de Riverside certifica a la Oficina de Campo de HUD en Los Angeles que el Presidente de la Junta de Supervisores consiente en aceptar la jurisdiccion de los tribunals federales si se entable una accion para hacer cumplir las responsabilidades se han cumplido satisfecho. La aprobacion de la certificacion por parte de HUD satisface sus responsabilidades segun la NEPA y las leyes y autoridades relacionadas y permite que el Condado de Riverside Housing y Workforce Solutions asignen Vales basaos en proyectos del programa de vales de eleccion de Vivienda en nombre del condado de Riverside.

#### **OBJECIONES A LA LIBERACION DE FONDOS**

HUD aceptara objeciones a su liberacion de fondos y la certificacion del Condado de Riverside por un period de quince dias despues de la fecha de presentacion anticipada o su recepcion real de la solicitud (lo que sea posterior) solo si se basan en una de las siguientes bases:

- a. la cerificacion no fue ejecutada por el Oficial Certificador del Condado de Riverside;
- b. el Condado de Riverside omitio un paso o no tomo una decision o un hallazgo requerido por las regulaciones de HUD en 24 CFR parte 58;
- c. el beneficiario de la subvencion ha comprometido fondos o incurrido en costos no autorizados por 24 CFR Parte 58 antes de la aprobacion de una liberacion de fondos por parte de HUD; o
- d. otra agencia federal que actua de conformidad con el 40 CFR Parte 1504 ha presentado una conclusion por escrito de que el proyecto no es satisfactorio desde el punto de vista de la calidad ambiental.

Las objeciones deben prepararse y enviarse por correo electronico de acuerdo con los procedimientos requeridos (24 CFR Parte 58, Sec. 58.76) y deben dirigirse a las siguientes Oficinas de Campo de HUD en Los Angeles: Oficina de Vivienda Pública en <u>HUDLOSANGELESOPH@hud.gov</u>. Los posibles objetores deben comunicarse con las oficinas de campo de HUD en Los Angeles por correo electronico para verificar el ultimo dia real del periodo de objecion.

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development 451 Seventh Street, SW Washington, DC 20410 www.hud.gov espanol.hud.gov

# Environmental Assessment Determinations and Compliance Findings for HUD-assisted Projects 24 CFR Part 58

#### **Project Information**

Project Name: Sandstone-Valley-Apartments-

HEROS Number: 90000010357623

Responsible Entity (RE): RIVERSIDE COUNTY, 1151 Spruce St Riverside CA, 92507

**RE Preparer:** Nicole Sanchez

State / Local Identifier:

Certifying Officer: Kevin Jeffries, Chaiman

Grant Recipient (if different than Responsible Ent Ity): CRP Affordable Housing and Community Development, LLC

**Point of Contact:** 

**Consultant (if applicabl** Birdseye Planning Group, LLC e):

Point of Contact: Ryan Birdseye

Project Location: 41705 Hawthorn St, Murrieta, CA 92562

#### Additional Location Information:

The Sandstone Valley Apartment Project would construct and operate a new 96 unit affordable housing community with related infrastructure improvements on three parcels totaling approximately 3.6 acres located at 41705 Hawthorn Street in the City of Murrieta, Riverside County (APN 909020020, 909020021, 909020052). The project location is shown in Figure 1 - Vicinity Map.

## Direct Comments to:

## Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 50.12 & 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.25]:

CRP Affordable Housing and Community Development, Inc. The project proposes a 100 percent affordable multi family residential development consisting of 96 units within four 3 story (40 feet in height), Type VA construction buildings. The proposed unit mix would be comprised of 12 one bedroom units, 48 two bedroom units, and 36 three bedroom units. Each unit includes an outdoor patio or balcony. Sixteen of the ground floor units would include mobility features and the remaining 16 would be adaptable units. A single story, 2,672 square foot community building is also proposed adjacent to Building A in the southcentral portion of the site. In addition to the community building, two outdoor cooking areas and a childrens tot lot would be provided. Access to the project site would be via two driveways located along Hawthorn Street. A total of 137 surface parking spaces would be provided throughout the perimeter of the project site, including 6 accessible spaces, and 9 electric vehicle ready spaces. Access to Hawthorn Street and the project site are provided by Adams Avenue, located approximately 350 feet southwest of the project site. The closest major crossroads to the project site are Jefferson Avenue and Murrieta Hot Springs Road, located approximately 600 feet northeast of the site. The project is expected to be constructed consistent with Green Point Gold standards and be fully electric. No natural gas would be used. Prior to construction, the existing improvements would be demolished. Demolition is expected to begin in Summer 2023. The project would require approximately 26,700 cubic yards (CY) of cut, 7,020 CY of fill, and a net export of 19,680 CY of material. Construction of the buildings would occur for approximately two years, with an opening year of 2025. The proposed site plan is shown as Figure 2. Construction activities are expected to occur five days per week, 8 hours per day, between 8:00 am and 5:00 pm. A total of 24 project based vouchers valued at approximately \$7,000,000 over a 20 year term have been approved. Funds would be allocated from resources using Housing and Urban Development (HUD) funds; and thus, the project is subject to National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) review by HUD to demonstrate compliance with applicable federal regulations. The appropriate level of documentation is an Environmental Assessment prepared consistent with 24 CFR Part 58.

#### Statement of Purpose and Need for the Proposal [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]:

The purpose of the proposed project is to provide affordable apartment housing for income qualifying residents families and individuals.

## Existing Conditions and Trends [24 CFR 58.40(a)]:

The project site is approximately 3.6 net acres in size located at 31705 Hawthorn Street in the City of Murrieta. The site has one single story residential dwelling, one single story detached garage with an interior office and various other improvements (i.e., swimming pool, horse corral and storage shed). The site also includes an asphalt paved driveway and landscaping. Surrounding land use are comprised of the following: Northwest: Single family residential Northeast: Commercial uses and County of Riverside maintenance yard; Southwest: Single family residential Southeast: Undeveloped lots

Maps, photographs, and other documentation of project location and description: Sandstone Valley Apartments Site Visit 41223.pdf

#### Determination:

V	Finding of No Significant Impact [24 CFR 58.40(g)(1); 40 CFR 1508.13] The project will not result in a significant impact on the quality of human environment
	Finding of Significant Impact

#### **Approval Documents:**

7015.15 certified by Certifying Officer on:

7015.16 certified by Authorizing Officer on:

**Funding Information** 

Grant / Project Identification Number	HUD Program	Program Name
PBV3-22-001	Public Housing	Housing Choice Voucher Program

Estimated Total HUD Funded, \$12,854,160.00 Assisted or Insured Amount:

**Estimated Total Project Cost [24 CFR 58.2 (a)** \$60,147,629.00 **(5)]:** 

Compliance with 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5 and §58.6 Laws and Authorities

Compliance Factors:	Are formal	Compliance determination			
Statutes, Executive Orders, and	compliance steps	(See Appendix A for source			
Regulations listed at 24 CFR §50.4,	or mitigation	determinations)			
§58.5, and §58.6	required?				
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORI	STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS, AND REGULATIONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.6				
Airport Hazards	□ Yes ☑ No	The project site is not located within			
Clear Zones and Accident Potential		2,500 feet of the end of a civil airport			
Zones; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D		runway or 8,000 feet from the end of a			
		military airfield runway. French Valley			
		Airport is located approximately 4.2			
		miles northeast of the site. The			
		proposed project is not located within			
		the French Valley Airport land use			
		boundary, within 2 miles of a public use			
		airport in proximity to a private airstrip			
		(Riverside County Airport Land Use			
		Compatibility Plan Policy Document,			
54 (5 (5 (5 (5 (5 (5 (5 (5 (5 (5 (5 (5 (5		Map FV?1, January 2012). March Air			
		Reserve Base is the closest military			
		facility and is located approximately 21			
		miles north of the site. The proposed			
		project would not pose any threat to			
		airport navigation. No adverse impacts			
		related to Runway Clear Zones or Accident Potential Zones would occur.			
		Source List: [a]			
Coastal Barrier Resources Act	☐ Yes ☑ No	No coastal barrier resources under the			
Coastal Barrier Resources Act, as		protection of the Coastal Barrier			
amended by the Coastal Barrier		Resources Act occur in California. The			
Improvement Act of 1990 [16 USC		Coastal Barrier Resources Act does not			
3501]		apply. Source List: [b]			
Flood Insurance	□ Yes ☑ No	The project site is not located within a			
Flood Disaster Protection Act of		100 year mapped flood zone (FEMA			
1973 and National Flood Insurance		Flood Insurance Rate Map No.			
Reform Act of 1994 [42 USC 4001-		06065C2715G, August 2008). The Flood			
4128 and 42 USC 5154a]		Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (42			
		U.S.C. 4012a) requires that projects			
		receiving federal assistance and located			
		in an area identified by FEMA as being			
		within a Special Flood Hazard Area			
		(SFHA) be covered by flood insurance			
		under the National Flood Insurance			
		Program (NFIP). The project is not			
2		within a SFHA; thus, no significant or			

	1	
		adverse impacts associated with the
		Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973
		and National Flood Insurance Reform
		Act of 1994 would occur. Source List: [t]
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORI	DERS, AND REGULATI	ONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.5
Air Quality	🗆 Yes 🗹 No	The project site is located within the
Clean Air Act, as amended,		South Coast Air Basin (Basin), which
particularly section 176(c) & (d); 40		includes the western portion of
CFR Parts 6, 51, 93		Riverside County. Air quality conditions
		in the South Coast Air Basin are under
		the jurisdiction of the South Coast Air
		Quality Management District
		(SCAQMD). The South Coast Air Basin is
		currently designated as a
		nonattainment area with respect to the
		State and Federal ozone and Particulate
		Matter 10 (PM10) standards. It is
		designated attainment or unclassified
		for the remaining State and Federal
		standards. The project would result in
		temporary air emissions during
		construction and would be a source of
		emissions post?construction. Table 1
		below shows the highest daily emissions
		that would occur over the 2023 2025
		construction period and the SCAQMD
		threshold and project emissions. As
		shown, maximum daily emissions would
		not exceed SCAQMD thresholds; thus,
		emissions would be de minimis and no
		air quality impact would occur as
		defined by 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, and 93
54 M - 10		and Sections 176 (c) and (d) of the Clean
		Air Act. Table 1 - Daily Construction
		Emissions Pollutant Daily Emissions
1.000		(lbs. per day) Standard (lbs. per day)
		ROG 34.53 75 NOx 29.29 100 CO 20.15
		550 SOx 0.09 150 PM10 10.31 150
		PM2.5 5.77 55 ROG Reactive Organic
		Gases NOx Nitrogen Oxides CO - Carbon
		Monoxide SOx - Sulfur Oxides PM10 -
		Particulate Matter 10 PM2.5 -
		Particulate Matter 2.5 Post
		construction emissions would be
		associated with operation of vehicles

		and use of energy to operate the
		household. Projected emissions are
		shown in Table 2 below. As shown,
		maximum daily emissions would not
		exceed SCAQMD thresholds; thus,
		emissions would be de minimis and no
		air quality impact would occur as
		defined by 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, and 93
		and Sections 176 (c) and (d) of the Clean
		Air Act. Table 2 - Daily Operation
		Emissions Pollutant Daily Emissions
(* 1 ) (* - ) (* ) (*		(lbs. per day) Standard (lbs. per day)
		ROG 3.66 55 NOx 2.21 55 CO 21.38 550
		SOx 0.03 150 PM10 3.8 150 PM2.5 1.03
		55 Source: [d, i]
Coastal Zone Management Act	🗆 Yes 🗹 No	The project site is not located in a
Coastal Zone Management Act,		coastal zone, as defined by the
sections 307(c) & (d)		California Coastal Act (Public Resources
а.		Code, Division 20, Section 3000 Et.
		Seq.). The nearest coastal zone is
		located approximately 30 miles to the
		west. Therefore, no adverse coastal
		zone impacts are anticipated. Source
		List: [b]
Contamination and Toxic	□ Yes ☑ No	Based on a review of available
Substances		databases listing known hazard sites
24 CFR 50.3(i) & 58.5(i)(2)]		(Geotracker) and the Phase I ESA
		prepared for the proposed project by
		AEI Consultants, Inc., (December 2021),
1 A		no evidence of Recognized
		Environmental Conditions (RECs),
		historical RECs or controlled recognized
		-
		environmental conditions in connection
- C. C. C. H.		with the parcels. However, the author
		recommended the following to address
		existing development on the site; * The
1. ° 8.8		EPA's National Emission Standards for
		Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP)
		requires that a thorough asbestos
		survey be performed prior to demolition
		or renovation activities that may disturb
		Asbestos Containing Materials (ACMs).
		This requirement may be enforced by
		federal, state and local regulatory
COUNT IN		
		agencies, and specifies that all suspect

presence or absence of absetsos prior to any renovation or demolition activities which may disturb them to prevent potential exposure to workers, building occupants, and the environment. * Due to the age of the subject property buildings, there is a potential that Lead Based Paint (LBP) is present. Based on the potential presence of LBP, it is recommended that the property owner implement an Operations & Maintenance Plan which stipulates that the assessment, repair and maintenance of damaged painted surfaces be performed to protect the health and safety of the building occupants. Local regulations may apply to LBP in association with building demolition/renovations and worker/occupant protection. Actual material samples would need to be collected or an XPay fluorescence (XRF) survey performed in order to determine if LBP is present. It should be noted that construction activities that disturb materials or paints containing any amount of lead may be subject to certain requirements of the OSHA lead standard contained in 29 CFR 1910.1025 and 1926.62. The potential presence of ACMs or LBP is not a REC. Testing for ACMs and LBP would be a condition imposed by the City of Murrieta prior to issuance of a demolition permit and grading permit rather than as mitigation to avoid a potentially significant impact. The project site is not on a list of hazardous material sites nor would the		1	
which may disturb them to prevent potential exposure to workers, building occupants, and the environment. * Due to the age of the subject property buildings, there is a potential that Lead Based Paint (LBP) is present. Based on the potential presence of LBP, it is recommended that the property owner implement an Operations & Maintenance Plan which stipulates that the assessment, repair and maintenance of damaged painted surfaces be performed to protect the health and safety of the building occupants. Local regulations may apply to LBP in association with building demolition/renovations and worker/occupant protection. Actual material samples would need to be collected or an x?ray fluorescence (XRF) survey performed in order to determine if LBP is present. It should be noted that construction activities that disturb materials or paints containing any amount of lead may be subject to certain requirements of the OSHA lead standard contained in 29 CFR 1910.1025 and 1926.62. The potential presence of ACMs on LBP is not a REC. Testing for ACMs and LBP would be a condition imposed by the City of Murrieta prior to issuance of a demolition permit and grading permit rather than as mitigation to avoid a potential significant impact. The project site is not on a list of			
potential exposure to workers, building occupants, and the environment. * Due to the age of the subject property buildings, there is a potential that Lead Based Paint (LBP) is present. Based on the potential presence of LBP, it is recommended that the property owner implement an Operations & Maintenance Plan which stipulates that the assessment, repair and maintenance of damaged painted surfaces be performed to protect the health and safety of the building occupants. Local regulations may apply to LBP in association with building demolition/renovations and worker/occupant protection. Actual material samples would need to be collected or an x?ray fluorescence (XRF) survey performed in order to determine if LBP is present. It should be noted that construction activities that disturb materials or paints containing any amount of lead may be subject to certain requirements of the OSHA lead standard contained in 29 CFR 1910.1025 and 1926.62. The potential presence of ACMs on LBP is not a REC. Testing for ACMs and LBP would be a condition imposed by the City of Murrieta prior to issuance of a demolition permit and grading permit rather than as mitigation to avoid a potential significant impact. The project site is not on a list of hazardous material significant impact.			any renovation or demolition activities
occupants, and the environment. * Due to the age of the subject property buildings, there is a potential that Lead Based Paint (LBP) is present. Based on the potential presence of LBP, it is recommended that the property owner implement an Operations & Maintenance Plan which stipulates that the assessment, repair and maintenance of damaged painted surfaces be performed to protect the health and safety of the building occupants. Local regulations may apply to LBP in association with building demolition/renovations and worker/occupant protection. Actual material samples would need to be collected or an x?ray fluorescence (XRF) survey performed in order to determine if LBP is present. It should be noted that construction activities that disturb materials or paints containing any amount of lead may be subject to certain requirements of the OSHA lead standard contained in 29 CFR 1910.1025 and 1926.62. The potential presence of ACMs on LBP is not a REC. Testing for ACMs and LBP would be a condition imposed by the City of Murrieta prior to issuance of a demolition permit and grading permit rather than as mitigation to avoid a potentially significant impact. The project site is not on a list of hazardous material sites nor would the			which may disturb them to prevent
Due to the age of the subject property buildings, there is a potential that Lead Based Paint (LBP) is present. Based on the potential presence of LBP, it is recommended that the property owner implement an Operations & Maintenance Plan which stipulates that the assessment, repair and maintenance of damaged painted surfaces be performed to protect the health and safety of the building occupants. Local regulations may apply to LBP in association with building demolition/renovations and worker/occupant protection. Actual material samples would need to be collected or an x?ray fluorescence (XRF) survey performed in order to determine if LBP is present. It should be noted that construction activities that disturb materials or paints containing any amount of lead may be subject to certain requirements of the OSHA lead standard contained in 29 CFR 1910.1025 and 1926.62. The potential presence of ACMs or LBP would be a condition imposed by the City of Murrieta prior to issuance of a demolition permit and grading permit rather than as mitigation to avoid a potentially significant impact. The project site is not on a list of hazardous material sites nor would the			potential exposure to workers, building
buildings, there is a potential that Lead Based Paint (LBP) is present. Based on the potential presence of LBP, it is recommended that the property owner implement an Operations & Maintenance Plan which stipulates that the assessment, repair and maintenance of damaged painted surfaces be performed to protect the health and safety of the building occupants. Local regulations may apply to LBP in association with building demolition/renovations and worker/occupant protection. Actual material samples would need to be collected or an XPay fluorescence (XRF) survey performed in order to determine if LBP is present. It should be noted that construction activities that disturb materials or paints containing any amount of lead may be subject to certain requirements of the OSHA lead standard contained in 29 CFR 1910.1025 and 1926.62. The potential presence of ACMs or LBP would be a condition imposed by the City of Murrieta prior to issuance of a demolition permit and grading permit rather than as mitigation to avoid a potentially significant impact. The project site is not on a list of hazardous material sites nor would the			occupants, and the environment. *
buildings, there is a potential that Lead Based Paint (LBP) is present. Based on the potential presence of LBP, it is recommended that the property owner implement an Operations & Maintenance Plan which stipulates that the assessment, repair and maintenance of damaged painted surfaces be performed to protect the health and safety of the building occupants. Local regulations may apply to LBP in association with building demolition/renovations and worker/occupant protection. Actual material samples would need to be collected or an XPay fluorescence (XRF) survey performed in order to determine if LBP is present. It should be noted that construction activities that disturb materials or paints containing any amount of lead may be subject to certain requirements of the OSHA lead standard contained in 29 CFR 1910.1025 and 1926.62. The potential presence of ACMs or LBP would be a condition imposed by the City of Murrieta prior to issuance of a demolition permit and grading permit rather than as mitigation to avoid a potentially significant impact. The project site is not on a list of hazardous material sites nor would the			Due to the age of the subject property
Based Paint (LBP) is present. Based on the potential presence of LBP, it is recommended that the property owner implement an Operations & Maintenance Plan which stipulates that the assessment, repair and maintenance of damaged painted surfaces be performed to protect the health and safety of the building occupants. Local regulations may apply to LBP in association with building demolition/renovations and worker/occupant protection. Actual material samples would need to be collected or an x?ray fluorescence (XRF) survey performed in order to determine if LBP is present. It should be noted that construction activities that disturb materials or paints containing any amount of lead may be subject to certain requirements of the OSHA lead standard contained in 29 CFR 1910.1025 and 1926.62. The potential presence of ACMs or LBP is not a REC. Testing for ACMs and LBP would be a condition imposed by the City of Murrieta prior to issuance of a demolition permit and grading permit rather than as mitigation to avoid a potentially significant impact. The project site is not on a list of hazardous material sites nor would the			
the potential presence of LBP, it is recommended that the property owner implement an Operations & Maintenance Plan which stipulates that the assessment, repair and maintenance of damaged painted surfaces be performed to protect the health and safety of the building occupants. Local regulations may apply to LBP in association with building demolition/renovations and worker/occupant protection. Actual material samples would need to be collected or an x?ray fluorescence (XRF) survey performed in order to determine if LBP is present. It should be noted that construction activities that disturb materials or paints containing any amount of lead may be subject to certain requirements of the OSHA lead standard contained in 29 CFR 1910.1025 and 1926.62. The potential presence of ACMs or LBP is not a REC. Testing for ACMs and LBP would be a condition imposed by the City of Murrieta prior to issuance of a demolition permit and grading permit rather than as mitigation to avoid a potentially significant impact. The project site is not on a list of hazardous material sites nor would the			-
recommended that the property owner implement an Operations & Maintenance Plan which stipulates that the assessment, repair and maintenance of damaged painted surfaces be performed to protect the health and safety of the building occupants. Local regulations may apply to LBP in association with building demolition/renovations and worker/occupant protection. Actual material samples would need to be collected or an x?ray fluorescence (XRF) survey performed in order to determine if LBP is present. It should be noted that construction activities that disturb materials or paints containing any amount of lead may be subject to certain requirements of the OSHA lead standard contained in 29 CFR 1910.1025 and 1926.62. The potential presence of ACMs on LBP is not a REC. Testing for ACMs and LBP would be a condition imposed by the City of Murrieta prior to issuance of a demolition permit and grading permit rather than as mitigation to avoid a potentially significant impact. The project site is not on a list of hazardous material sites nor would the			
implement an Operations & Maintenance Plan which stipulates that the assessment, repair and maintenance of damaged painted surfaces be performed to protect the health and safety of the building occupants. Local regulations may apply to LBP in association with building demolition/renovations and worker/occupant protection. Actual material samples would need to be collected or an x?ray fluorescence (XRF) survey performed in order to determine if LBP is present. It should be noted that construction activities that disturb materials or paints containing any amount of lead may be subject to certain requirements of the OSHA lead standard contained in 29 CFR 1910.1025 and 1926.62. The potential presence of ACMs or LBP is not a REC. Testing for ACMs and LBP would be a condition imposed by the City of Murrieta prior to issuance of a demolition permit and grading permit rather than as mitigation to avoid a potentially significant impact. The project site is not on a list of hazardous material sites nor would the			
Maintenance Plan which stipulates that the assessment, repair and maintenance of damaged painted surfaces be performed to protect the health and safety of the building occupants. Local regulations may apply to LBP in association with building demolition/renovations and worker/occupant protection. Actual material samples would need to be collected or an x?ray fluorescence (XRF) survey performed in order to determine if LBP is present. It should be noted that construction activities that disturb materials or paints containing any amount of lead may be subject to certain requirements of the OSHA lead standard contained in 29 CFR 1910.1025 and 1926.62. The potential presence of ACMs or LBP is not a REC. Testing for ACMs and LBP would be a condition imposed by the City of Murrieta prior to issuance of a demolition permit and grading permit rather than as mitigation to avoid a potentially significant impact. The project site is not on a list of hazardous material sites nor would the			
the assessment, repair and maintenance of damaged painted surfaces be performed to protect the health and safety of the building occupants. Local regulations may apply to LBP in association with building demolition/renovations and worker/occupant protection. Actual material samples would need to be collected or an x?ray fluorescence (XRF) survey performed in order to determine if LBP is present. It should be noted that construction activities that disturb materials or paints containing any amount of lead may be subject to certain requirements of the OSHA lead standard contained in 29 CFR 1910.1025 and 1926.62. The potential presence of ACMs or LBP is not a REC. Testing for ACMs and LBP would be a condition imposed by the City of Murrieta prior to issuance of a demolition permit and grading permit rather than as mitigation to avoid a potentially significant impact. The project site is not on a list of hazardous material sites nor would the			
of damaged painted surfaces be performed to protect the health and safety of the building occupants. Local regulations may apply to LBP in association with building demolition/renovations and worker/occupant protection. Actual material samples would need to be collected or an x?ray fluorescence (XRF) survey performed in order to determine if LBP is present. It should be noted that construction activities that disturb materials or paints containing any amount of lead may be subject to certain requirements of the OSHA lead standard contained in 29 CFR 1910.1025 and 1926.62. The potential presence of ACMs or LBP is not a REC. Testing for ACMs and LBP would be a condition imposed by the City of Murrieta prior to issuance of a demolition permit and grading permit rather than as mitigation to avoid a potentially significant impact. The project site is not on a list of hazardous material sites nor would the			
performed to protect the health and safety of the building occupants. Local regulations may apply to LBP in association with building demolition/renovations and worker/occupant protection. Actual material samples would need to be collected or an x?ray fluorescence (XRF) survey performed in order to determine if LBP is present. It should be noted that construction activities that disturb materials or paints containing any amount of lead may be subject to certain requirements of the OSHA lead standard contained in 29 CFR 1910.1025 and 1926.62. The potential presence of ACMs or LBP is not a REC. Testing for ACMs and LBP would be a condition imposed by the City of Murrieta prior to issuance of a demolition permit and grading permit rather than as mitigation to avoid a potentially significant impact. The project site is not on a list of hazardous material sites nor would the			
safety of the building occupants. Local regulations may apply to LBP in association with building demolition/renovations and worker/occupant protection. Actual material samples would need to be collected or an x?ray fluorescence (XRF) survey performed in order to determine if LBP is present. It should be noted that construction activities that disturb materials or paints containing any amount of lead may be subject to certain requirements of the OSHA lead standard contained in 29 CFR 1910.1025 and 1926.62. The potential presence of ACMs or LBP is not a REC. Testing for ACMs and LBP would be a condition imposed by the City of Murrieta prior to issuance of a demolition permit and grading permit rather than as mitigation to avoid a potentially significant impact. The project site is not on a list of hazardous material sites nor would the			
regulations may apply to LBP in association with building demolition/renovations and worker/occupant protection. Actual material samples would need to be collected or an x?ray fluorescence (XRF) survey performed in order to determine if LBP is present. It should be noted that construction activities that disturb materials or paints containing any amount of lead may be subject to certain requirements of the OSHA lead standard contained in 29 CFR 1910.1025 and 1926.62. The potential presence of ACMs or LBP is not a REC. Testing for ACMs and LBP would be a condition imposed by the City of Murrieta prior to issuance of a demolition permit and grading permit rather than as mitigation to avoid a potentially significant impact. The project site is not on a list of hazardous material sites nor would the			
association with building demolition/renovations and worker/occupant protection. Actual material samples would need to be collected or an x?ray fluorescence (XRF) survey performed in order to determine if LBP is present. It should be noted that construction activities that disturb materials or paints containing any amount of lead may be subject to certain requirements of the OSHA lead standard contained in 29 CFR 1910.1025 and 1926.62. The potential presence of ACMs or LBP is not a REC. Testing for ACMs and LBP would be a condition imposed by the City of Murrieta prior to issuance of a demolition permit and grading permit rather than as mitigation to avoid a potentially significant impact. The project site is not on a list of hazardous material sites nor would the			,
demolition/renovations and worker/occupant protection. Actual material samples would need to be collected or an x?ray fluorescence (XRF) survey performed in order to determine if LBP is present. It should be noted that construction activities that disturb materials or paints containing any amount of lead may be subject to certain requirements of the OSHA lead standard contained in 29 CFR 1910.1025 and 1926.62. The potential presence of ACMs or LBP is not a REC. Testing for ACMs and LBP would be a condition imposed by the City of Murrieta prior to issuance of a demolition permit and grading permit rather than as mitigation to avoid a potentially significant impact. The project site is not on a list of hazardous material sites nor would the			
worker/occupant protection. Actual material samples would need to be collected or an x?ray fluorescence (XRF) survey performed in order to determine if LBP is present. It should be noted that construction activities that disturb materials or paints containing any amount of lead may be subject to certain requirements of the OSHA lead standard contained in 29 CFR 1910.1025 and 1926.62. The potential presence of ACMs or LBP is not a REC. Testing for ACMs and LBP would be a condition imposed by the City of Murrieta prior to issuance of a demolition permit and grading permit rather than as mitigation to avoid a potentially significant impact. The project site is not on a list of hazardous material sites nor would the			
material samples would need to be collected or an x?ray fluorescence (XRF) survey performed in order to determine if LBP is present. It should be noted that construction activities that disturb materials or paints containing any amount of lead may be subject to certain requirements of the OSHA lead standard contained in 29 CFR 1910.1025 and 1926.62. The potential presence of ACMs or LBP is not a REC. Testing for ACMs and LBP would be a condition imposed by the City of Murrieta prior to issuance of a demolition permit and grading permit rather than as mitigation to avoid a potentially significant impact. The project site is not on a list of hazardous material sites nor would the			
collected or an x?ray fluorescence (XRF) survey performed in order to determine if LBP is present. It should be noted that construction activities that disturb materials or paints containing any amount of lead may be subject to certain requirements of the OSHA lead standard contained in 29 CFR 1910.1025 and 1926.62. The potential presence of ACMs or LBP is not a REC. Testing for ACMs and LBP would be a condition imposed by the City of Murrieta prior to issuance of a demolition permit and grading permit rather than as mitigation to avoid a potentially significant impact. The project site is not on a list of hazardous material sites nor would the			
survey performed in order to determine if LBP is present. It should be noted that construction activities that disturb materials or paints containing any amount of lead may be subject to certain requirements of the OSHA lead standard contained in 29 CFR 1910.1025 and 1926.62. The potential presence of ACMs or LBP is not a REC. Testing for ACMs and LBP would be a condition imposed by the City of Murrieta prior to issuance of a demolition permit and grading permit rather than as mitigation to avoid a potentially significant impact. The project site is not on a list of hazardous material sites nor would the			
if LBP is present. It should be noted that construction activities that disturb materials or paints containing any amount of lead may be subject to certain requirements of the OSHA lead standard contained in 29 CFR 1910.1025 and 1926.62. The potential presence of ACMs or LBP is not a REC. Testing for ACMs and LBP would be a condition imposed by the City of Murrieta prior to issuance of a demolition permit and grading permit rather than as mitigation to avoid a potentially significant impact. The project site is not on a list of hazardous material sites nor would the			
construction activities that disturb materials or paints containing any amount of lead may be subject to certain requirements of the OSHA lead standard contained in 29 CFR 1910.1025 and 1926.62. The potential presence of ACMs or LBP is not a REC. Testing for ACMs and LBP would be a condition imposed by the City of Murrieta prior to issuance of a demolition permit and grading permit rather than as mitigation to avoid a potentially significant impact. The project site is not on a list of hazardous material sites nor would the			
materials or paints containing any amount of lead may be subject to certain requirements of the OSHA lead standard contained in 29 CFR 1910.1025 and 1926.62. The potential presence of ACMs or LBP is not a REC. Testing for ACMs and LBP would be a condition imposed by the City of Murrieta prior to issuance of a demolition permit and grading permit rather than as mitigation to avoid a potentially significant impact. The project site is not on a list of hazardous material sites nor would the			
amount of lead may be subject to certain requirements of the OSHA lead standard contained in 29 CFR 1910.1025 and 1926.62. The potential presence of ACMs or LBP is not a REC. Testing for ACMs and LBP would be a condition imposed by the City of Murrieta prior to issuance of a demolition permit and grading permit rather than as mitigation to avoid a potentially significant impact. The project site is not on a list of hazardous material sites nor would the			
certain requirements of the OSHA lead standard contained in 29 CFR 1910.1025 and 1926.62. The potential presence of ACMs or LBP is not a REC. Testing for ACMs and LBP would be a condition imposed by the City of Murrieta prior to issuance of a demolition permit and grading permit rather than as mitigation to avoid a potentially significant impact. The project site is not on a list of hazardous material sites nor would the			
standard contained in 29 CFR 1910.1025 and 1926.62. The potential presence of ACMs or LBP is not a REC. Testing for ACMs and LBP would be a condition imposed by the City of Murrieta prior to issuance of a demolition permit and grading permit rather than as mitigation to avoid a potentially significant impact. The project site is not on a list of hazardous material sites nor would the			
and 1926.62. The potential presence of ACMs or LBP is not a REC. Testing for ACMs and LBP would be a condition imposed by the City of Murrieta prior to issuance of a demolition permit and grading permit rather than as mitigation to avoid a potentially significant impact. The project site is not on a list of hazardous material sites nor would the			
of ACMs or LBP is not a REC. Testing for ACMs and LBP would be a condition imposed by the City of Murrieta prior to issuance of a demolition permit and grading permit rather than as mitigation to avoid a potentially significant impact. The project site is not on a list of hazardous material sites nor would the			
ACMs and LBP would be a condition imposed by the City of Murrieta prior to issuance of a demolition permit and grading permit rather than as mitigation to avoid a potentially significant impact. The project site is not on a list of hazardous material sites nor would the			
imposed by the City of Murrieta prior to issuance of a demolition permit and grading permit rather than as mitigation to avoid a potentially significant impact. The project site is not on a list of hazardous material sites nor would the			
issuance of a demolition permit and grading permit rather than as mitigation to avoid a potentially significant impact. The project site is not on a list of hazardous material sites nor would the			ACMs and LBP would be a condition
grading permit rather than as mitigation to avoid a potentially significant impact. The project site is not on a list of hazardous material sites nor would the			imposed by the City of Murrieta prior to
to avoid a potentially significant impact. The project site is not on a list of hazardous material sites nor would the			issuance of a demolition permit and
The project site is not on a list of hazardous material sites nor would the			grading permit rather than as mitigation
hazardous material sites nor would the			to avoid a potentially significant impact.
			The project site is not on a list of
			hazardous material sites nor would the
project introduce hazardous materials			project introduce hazardous materials
to the site or otherwise have any			to the site or otherwise have any
adverse impacts related to toxic			adverse impacts related to toxic
substances, explosive or flammable			
operations. Source List: [c, m]			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Endangered Species Act □ Yes ☑ No The following is summarized from the	Endangered Species Act	🗆 Yes 🗹 No	
Endangered Species Act of 1973, Biological Resource Reconnaissance			
Report prepared by WRA Environmental	• The second sec		-

particularly section 7; 50 CFR Part	Consultants (November 2021) as part of
402	the CEQA compliance process. The
	project site has a history of disturbance
	and has been used for agriculture
	purposes as early as 1938 and as a
	single?family residence beginning in
	1967. The project site consists primarily
	of disturbed bare ground, developed
	areas, and ruderal vegetation. One
	disturbed area of native California
	buckwheat scrub, is present but is not
	considered a sensitive vegetation
	community. The site does have several
	trees/shrubs that would be suitable for
	nesting. Further, features on?site may
	provide suitable roosting areas for bats.
	To ensure impacts to nesting birds and
	roosting bats are minimized or avoided,
	it is recommended that Mitigation
	Measures BIO?1 and BIO?2 be
	implemented. Two small drainages are
	located on the property: a vegetated
	drainage along the northeast boundary
	running to the southeast where it drains
	into the adjacent open space parcels
	and another that appears to be an
	erosional cut in the western parcel,
	flowing southwest onto the adjacent
	properties. These drainages were
	evaluated and determined to not be
	wetland features (WRA Environmental
	Consultants 2022). There are no
	federally listed special?status plants
	that have a moderate to high likelihood
	of being found within the site when the
	field work was conducted in Fall 2021.
	Because many vegetation and habitat
	characteristics are no longer readily
	apparent at that time of year, the City of
	Murrieta included a condition of
	approval Mitigation Measures BIO?3
	requiring a follow?up rare plant survey
	prior to ground disturbance. Five
	wildlife Species of Special Concern have
	a moderate to high likelihood of being
	found at the project site. While none

	were observed on?site, two are
	addressed herein. The federally listed
	species of concern is the Burrowing Owl.
	A burrowing owl survey determined
	that no burrowing owls, burrowing owl
	sign, or potential burrows were located
	within the project site and that the
	project site does not provide suitable
	habitat for this species (WRA
	Environmental Consultants 2021b).
	Riverside County established a
	boundary in 1996 for protecting the
	Stephens' kangaroo rat (Dipodomys
	stephensi), a federally endangered and
	state threatened species. The Stephens'
	kangaroo rat is protected under the
	Stephens' Kangaroo Rat Habitat
	Conservation Plan (County Ordinance
	No. 663.10; SKR HCP). As described in
	the MSHCP Implementation Agreement,
	a Section 10(a) Permit, and California
	Fish and Game Code Section 2081
	Management Authorization were issued
	to the Riverside County Habitat
	Conservation Agency (RCHCA) for the
	Long?Term SKR HCP and was approved
	by the USFWS and CDFW in August 1990
	(RCHCA1996). Relevant terms of the SKR
	HCP have been incorporated into the
	MSHCP and its Implementation
	Agreement. The project site is located
	within the Mitigation Fee Area of the
	SKR HCP. Therefore, the applicant will
.95	be required to pay the SKR HCP
	Mitigation Fee prior to development of
	the project site. Implementation of
	mitigation measures would ensure
	compliance with applicable federal
	regulations related to sensitive
	biological resources. Compliance with
	these measures would ensure no
	endangered, rare, or threatened species
	or their habitats would be adversely
	affected by the project. Source List: [b,
	anected by the project. Source List. [b,

Sandstone-Valley-

Apartments-

Explosive and Flammable Hazards	☐ Yes ☑ No	The proposed project is a residential
Above-Ground Tanks)[24 CFR Part		project designed to provide affordable
51 Subpart C		housing for income qualifying residents.
Si Subpurte		It would not require the ongoing use,
		storage or routine transport of
		hazardous, explosive or flammable
		materials. Aside from common
		household chemicals, no hazardous
		materials would be used on?site. The
		project would not emit or release
		hazardous waste or emissions. There
		are no above ground tanks or other
		storage containers in proximity to the
		site that present a potential explosive or
- 01 - 8		flammable hazard. As referenced, AEI
		Consultants, Inc., prepared a Phase I
		ESA (December 2021) for the project
		site. The project site does not contain
		facilities containing hazardous materials
		or that are affected by a known release
		of hazards or hazardous materials.
		Further, an inspection of current aerial
		photographs do not show the presence
		of above ground storage tanks or other
		evidence that explosive or flammable
		materials are located within one miles
		of the site. No mitigation measures are
		required. Source List: [b, c, m]
Farmlands Protection	□ Yes ☑ No	The project site is currently vacant and
Farmland Protection Policy Act of		located within an urbanized area within
1981, particularly sections 1504(b)		the City of Murrieta. The site is
and 1541; 7 CFR Part 658		categorized as Other Land, as indicated
		on the California Department of
		Conservation Important Farmland
		Finder (July 2021). The site does not
		include prime or unique farmland, or
The second		other farmland of statewide or local
		importance. No impact to farmland
		resources defined under the Farmland
		Protection Policy Act per 7 CFR 658
Floodalain Management		would occur. Source List: [n]
Floodplain Management	🗆 Yes 🗹 No	All federally funded development
Executive Order 11988, particularly		projects are evaluated per Executive
section 2(a); 24 CFR Part 55		Order 11988 as discussed below. Those
(a) <b>x</b> a.		occurring in mapped flood zones require
		evaluation consistent with Part II of EO

Natio 1966	pric Preservation onal Historic Preservation Act of , particularly sections 106 and 36 CFR Part 800	□ Yes ☑ No	11988. The site is designated an Area of Minimal Flood Hazard Zone X in Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) 06065C2715G, prepared August 28, 2008; and thus, is located outside a 100?year flood zone. No analysis per Part II of Executive Order 11988 is required. Source List: [t]A Historic and Cultural Resources Survey (January 2023) was prepared for the project site (PaleoWest, LLC). The Cultural Resources records search, Sacred Lands File search, a pedestrian 
Noise amer	e Abatement and Control e Control Act of 1972, as aded by the Quiet Communities f 1978; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart	□ Yes ☑ No	The Preliminary Screening identified no noise generators in the vicinity of the project. The project is in compliance with HUD's Noise regulation. See attached noise control compliance determination.
Safe I amer	Source Aquifers Drinking Water Act of 1974, as ided, particularly section (e); 40 CFR Part 149	□ Yes ☑ No	There are no sole source aquifers in Riverside County as designated by the US Environmental Protection Agency Pacific Southwest Region 9. The project would not use groundwater or otherwise impact groundwater recharge. No impacts to sole source aquifers as defined per 40 CFR 149 would occur. Source List: [w]
Execu	ands Protection Itive Order 11990, particularly ons 2 and 5	□ Yes ☑ No	According to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's Wetlands Online Mapper, no wetlands are located on the site. No adverse impacts related to wetlands protection are anticipated. Source List: [h, v]

Wild and Scenic Rivers Act Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968, particularly section 7(b) and (c)	□ Yes ☑ No	The project site is located in an urbanized portion of the City of Murrieta. The San Luis Rey River is the nearest river and is located approximately 12 miles south of the site. It is not a designated wild or scenic river. (National Wild and Scenic Rivers, 2011). The project would have no adverse impacts on wild or scenic rivers. Source List: [u]
HUD HC		NTAL STANDARDS
	ENVIRONMENTAL J	IUSTICE
Environmental Justice Executive Order 12898	ENVIRONMENTAL J	The project would provide 96 affordable apartment units for income qualifying residents. The project would remove the existing residence and outbuildings. The project would not displace minority or low income residences or communities to accommodate construction. Neighboring uses are comprised of single family residences to the north and west and vacant land to the east and south. No hazardous materials are known to occur on the site. The site is not of any biological or cultural significance. No mitigation measures are required to avoid any potentially significant or adverse environmental impacts affecting the site or surrounding properties. The project is not known to be located in an area subject to climate change nor would affects from climate change disproportionately impact low income or minority populations. As reported in the City of Murrieta General Plan 2021 2029 Housing Element Update, there are segments of the local population
т.		that require special consideration when developing housing needs assessment. These are generally comprised of people who face special life challenges that are
		within low income groups; and therefore, have limited housing choices.

Sandstone-Valley- Apartments-	Murrieta, CA	90000010357623
		There is no evidence based on project scope and location of the proposed project, that any populations with limited housing choices or that otherwise are considered to have special life challenges would be adversely affected by the project. Further, to date, no public comment known to the applicant, either in favor of or opposing the project because of potential environmental justice concerns, has been received. The project site is proximal to health care and educational resources that may benefit future project residents. As addressed below, the project site is also proximal to transit services. The owners of the existing single family residence are willing sellers; however, the applicant is relocating the residents per the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Act (URA) and associated procedures for addressing relocations for projects using federal funding. The project would not violate Executive Order 12898. Source List: [b, y]

## Environmental Assessment Factors [24 CFR 58.40; Ref. 40 CFR 1508.8 &1508.27]

**Impact Codes**: An impact code from the following list has been used to make the determination of impact for each factor.

- (1) Minor beneficial impact
- (2) No impact anticipated
- (3) Minor Adverse Impact May require mitigation

(4) Significant or potentially significant impact requiring avoidance or modification which may require an Environmental Impact Statement.

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation	
Assessment Factor	Code			
LAND DEVELOPMENT				
Conformance with	2	The General Plan Land Use Element		
Plans / Compatible		designates the project site as		
Land Use and Zoning		Multiple?Family Residential (MFR) with a		

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
<b>Assessment Factor</b>	Code		
/ Scale and Urban		base density of 10.1 to 30 dwelling units per	
Design		acre (du/acre). The project site is zoned as	
		Multi?Family 2, Residential (MF?2), which	
		has a base density of 15.1 to 18.0 du/ac. The	
		maximum number of units based on a	
		maximum density of 18 du/ac would be 64.8	
		dwelling units. Based on the provision of 100	
		percent affordable housing, the project	
		qualifies for an 80 percent density bonus	
		and up to four concessions. With a density	
		bonus of 80 percent (51.84 units), the	
		maximum number of units allowed on the	
		project site would be 116.6 dwelling units.	
		The project proposes 96 units, which would	
		be below the allowable site density. All four	
		of the allowed concessions have been	
		requested. The requested concessions	
		include a reduction in private open space for	
		ground floor units and three? bedroom	
		units; reduced parking lot shading, reduced	
		tree spacing within parking areas, and	
		reduced parcel size. The project will be	
		reviewed for compliance with all zoning	
		regulations and design guidelines provided	
		in the City of Murrieta Municipal Code for	
		multifamily projects. Thus, assuming all	
		applicable design guidelines are met, the	
		project would be consistent with applicable	
		plans and related policies. Source List: [b,	
0 11 0 10 1 11 10 1	-	х, у	
Soil Suitability /	2	Soils. According to the soils information	
Slope/ Erosion /		provided in the Phase I ESA, soils beneath	
Drainage and Storm Water Runoff		the subject property are identified as	
water Runoff		Arlington fine sandy loam. A typical soil	
		profile is fine sandy loam from 0 to 11	
		inches, sandy loam from 11 to 24 inches,	
		cemented from 24 to 35 inches and coarse sandy loam from 35 to 46 inches. These soils	
		Contraction and Contraction of the second	
		are in the Class C Hydrologic Group with slow infiltration rates. These soils are	
		described as soils with layers impeding	
		downward movement of water, or soils with	
		moderately fine or fine textures. These soils	

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
<b>Assessment Factor</b>	Code		
		are well drained. The project site is not	
		located within a currently established	
		Alquist?Priolo Earthquake Fault Zone. No	
		active faults are known to occur beneath the	
		site. The most significant known active fault	
		zones that are capable of seismic ground	
		shaking and can impact Murrieta are the	
		Elsinore Fault Zone, San Jacinto Fault Zone,	
		Newport? Inglewood Fault Zone, and the	
23		San Andreas Fault Zone. The primary	2 34
		seismic hazard that may affect the site is	
		ground shaking from one of the active	
± 300		regional faults. Grading and foundation	ch ao
		construction methods provided in the	
		Geotechnical Report (Terracon, August	
		2021) would avoid secondary seismic effects	
et e		(i.e., landslides/slope failure, liquefaction,	1.400
		subsidence and lateral spreading) and	
		minimize impacts associated with seismic	
		shaking. Slope Erosion. Surface drainage	
		on?site occurs as sheet flow which travel	
		towards natural drainages south of the	
		project and into an existing municipal storm	
		drain located at the southwest corner of the	
		property. The site does receive off?site	
		drainage from the east. This water would be	
		conveyed through the site and into the	
		drainage at the southwest corner of the	
		property. off?site properties. The site is	
		generally flat; thus, the potential for erosion	
		would be limited as soils are disturbed	
		during grading. The project would not create	
		steep slopes or otherwise increase erosion	
		post?construction. As discussed below,	
		runoff will be conveyed and detained	
		on?site during and post? construction.	
		Stormwater Runoff. The site is nearly 100	
		percent pervious under existing conditions.	
		Precipitation is presumed to infiltrate into	
		the soils. The project would disturb more	
		than one acre of soil during construction;	57 T.S.C. 1995
		thus, the applicant would be required to	
		obtain coverage under the General Permit	

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
<b>Assessment Factor</b>	Code		
		for Discharges of Storm Water Associated	
		with Construction Activity. The Construction	
		General Permit (CGP) requires the	
		development and implementation of a	
		Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan	
		(SWPPP). The SWPPP will contain Best	
		Management Practices (BMPs) the	
		discharger will use to protect storm water	
		runoff and how the BMPs would be	
		implemented on?site. This would ensure	
		that erosion and sedimentation impacts	
		would be less than significant. The site will	
		consist of six drainage management areas	
		(DMAs) designed to capture and convey	
		flows from rooftop and patios areas as well	
		as streets, parking and other impervious	
		areas. The proposed building pads will	
		capture storm flows from rooftops and patio	
		areas and disperse the water into adjacent	
		landscaping where area drains will capture	
		excess water and convey it into modular	
		wetlands. Stormwater that flows on streets	
		and paved parking areas will be captured by	
		curb inlets and conveyed to one of four	
		modular wetlands. The modular wetlands	
		will treat the water and convey it to a	
		proposed underground vault for	
		hydromodification purposes. The vault will	
		discharge to the existing municipal storm	
		drain located at the southeast corner of the	
		property. Source List: [b, e, f]	
Hazards and	3	Hazards and Nuisances. The proposed	
Nuisances including		project is a residential project designed to	
Site Safety and Site-		provide housing for income qualifying	
Generated Noise		individual and families. It would not require	
		the ongoing use, storage or routine	
		transport of hazardous materials. Aside from	
		common household chemicals, no	
		hazardous materials would be used on?site.	
		The project would not emit or release	
		hazardous waste or emissions. As	
		referenced, the Phase I ESA states that the	
		referenced, the r hase i LSA states that the	

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Assessment Factor	Code		
		containing hazardous materials or that are	
		affected by a known release of hazards or	
		hazardous materials. Testing would be	
		required for ACM and LBP prior to issuance	
		of a demolition permit for the existing	
		structures. The project site would be	
		constructed consistent with current City of	
		Murrieta requirements for multifamily	
		projects that address fencing, lighting and	
		other features related to site safety. No	Sector Reality
		impacts related to hazards, nuisance or site	
		safety would occur. Regarding noise, the	
		proposed project would not be exposed to	0 00 10 10 101
		exterior noise levels along Hawthorn Street	
		that exceed 65 dBA Ldn. All units would	
		meet HUD interior noise standards. Source	
N 7078		List: [c, j, m, p]	R 3.4 (9)
		SOCIOECONOMIC	
Employment and	1	During construction, the project would	
Income Patterns		generate temporary employment	
		opportunities. These jobs would not	
		substantially affect overall employment	
		patterns in the City. Operation of the project	
		would require management and	
		maintenance staff. The number of jobs are	
		not expected to substantively increase	
		employment opportunities in the City. Any	
		new jobs would be a minor benefit	
		associated with the proposed project. The	
		project would not impact jobs by removing	
		employment opportunities. Source List: [b]	
Demographic	1	The proposed project would develop a new	
Character Changes /		residential facility designed to house income	
Displacement		qualifying individuals and families. With the	
		exception of the existing single? family	
		residence and outbuildings, the site is	
		currently vacant and undeveloped. All	
		construction would be confined to the	
		proposed site. Minor off?site improvements	
8 S		would be required for access and utility	
		connections. The surrounding project area is	
		primarily comprised of a mix of residential	
		and commercial uses as well as vacant land.	

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Assessment Factor	Code	-	-
		The proposed residential development	
		would be consistent with the City of	
		Murrieta General Plan and zoning code. The	
		project would require the removal of	
		existing improvements; however, the	
		project would not adversely affect	
		community character. Source List: [b, x, y]	
Environmental	1	The project would provide 96 affordable	
Justice EA Factor		apartment units for income qualifying	
		residents. The project would remove the	
		existing residence and outbuildings. The	
		project would not displace minority or	
		low?income residences or communities to	
		accommodate construction. Neighboring	
		uses are comprised of single?family	
		residences to the north and west and vacant	
		land to the east and south. No hazardous	
		materials are known to occur on the site.	
		The site is not of any biological or cultural	
		significance. No mitigation measures are	
		required to avoid any potentially significant	
		or adverse environmental impacts affecting	
		the site or surrounding properties. The	
		project is not known to be located in an area	
		subject to climate change nor would affects	
		from climate change disproportionately	
		impact low income or minority populations.	
		As reported in the City of Murrieta General	
		Plan 2021?2029 Housing Element Update,	
		there are segments of the local population	
		that require special consideration when	
		developing housing needs assessment.	
		These are generally comprised of people	
		who face special life challenges that are	
		within low?income groups; and therefore,	
		have limited housing choices. There is no	
		evidence based on project scope and	
		location of the proposed project, that any	
		populations with limited housing choices or	
		that otherwise are considered to have	
		special life challenges would be adversely	
		affected by the project. Further, to date, no	
		public comment known to the applicant,	

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Assessment Factor	Code		
		either in favor of or opposing the project	
		because of potential environmental justice	
		concerns, has been received. The	
		socioeconomic evaluation of potential	
		environmental justice impacts considers	
		whether low?income and/or minority	
		communities would be disproportionately	
		and/or adversely affected by the	
		construction and operation of a proposed	
		project. The project would provide 96	
		affordable apartment units for income	
		qualifying residents. The project would	
		remove the existing residence and	
		outbuildings. The project would not displace	
		minority or low?income residences or	
		communities to accommodate construction.	
		Surrounding land uses are single?family	
		residences and vacant land. There is no	
		evidence of hazardous materials or previous	
		use, manufacturing or storage of on?site of	
×		hazardous materials. There are no existing	
		manufacturing or other uses proximal to the	
		project that emit air emissions or that would	
		otherwise cause or contribute to adverse	
		environmental conditions in the project	
		area. The project site is not located proximal	
		to coastal resources that could be adversely	
		affected as a result of sea level rise. The	
		project site is not located proximal to	
		wildfire hazard areas or steep slopes that	
		could become unstable or otherwise cause	
		landslide or mudflow hazards in the event a	
		wildfire were to occur. Project?relate air	
		emissions would be well below the daily	
		standards established for the South Coast	
		Air Basin. Interior noise levels would meet	
		HUD standards. All stormwater would be	
		managed on?site and conveyed off?site	
		using existing City of Murrieta stormwater	
		infrastructure. The project would not	
		require the construction of new roads or	
		utility infrastructure into areas that are	
		currently undeveloped. The project would	

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Assessment Factor	Code		
		have no adverse direct or indirect	
		environmental effects; thus, no low?income	
		or minority populations residing on or	
		proximal to the site would be adversely	
		affected by construction and operation of	
		the project. No environmental justice	
		impacts would occur. Source List: [b, x, y]	
	COMM	UNITY FACILITIES AND SERVICES	
Educational and	1	The nearest school to the project site is	
Cultural Facilities		Murrieta Valley High School located at	
(Access and		42200 Nighthawk Way 1.7 miles northwest	
Capacity)		of the site. Thompson Middle School is	
		located at 24040 Hayes Avenue	
		approximately 1.7 miles northwest of the	
		site. The proposed project would likely	
		provide housing for school?aged children;	
		thus, affecting demand for school services.	
		Based on generation rates provided in the	
		City of Murrieta General Plan 2035 Final	
		Environmental Impact Report (2011), the	
		number of students generated by the	
		project would range from 45 to 173. The	
		applicant would be required to pay a	
		developer fee of \$4.08 per square foot of	
		assessable space to support ongoing	
		development of school facilities. The	
		Murrieta Library is located at 8 Town Square	
		approximately one mile northeast of the	
		site. The project would increase the	
		population of Murrieta; however, addition	
		of new residents would not affect demand	
		for library services city?wide. A portion of	
		the impact fees paid by the applicant will be	
		allocated to the expansion of library	
		facilities. No new or expanded library	
		services would be required.	
<b>Commercial Facilities</b>	2	The proposed project would not provide	
(Access and		commercial space. However, the need for	
Proximity)		goods and services required by the new	
		residents would likely be met by vendors	
		and existing businesses within the area. A	
		commercial area is located to the	
		east/northeast west of Interstate 15. These	

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Assessment Factor	Code	businesses could be patronized by project	
		residents. No adverse impact to commercial facilities would occur as a result of the project. Source List: [b]	
Health Care / Social Services (Access and Capacity)	1	It is assumed project residents are currently residing in the Murrieta area of western Riverside County. The number of residents that would reside on the site is not expected to increase the general population to the degree that expanded health care services would be required. The Rancho Springs Medical Center is located approximately 1.2 miles to the east at 25500 Medical Center Drive. The hospital, surrounding medical clinics and related services are accessible by transit and available to serve project residents. No social services would be provided on?site. As noted, it is expected that residents currently live in the general Murrieta area and receiving social services as needed. No additional demand on local social services are anticipated. Source List: [b]	
Solid Waste Disposal and Recycling (Feasibility and Capacity)	2	Construction activities would temporarily generate solid waste in the form of construction debris (e.g., drywall, asphalt, lumber, and concrete) and household waste associated with a residential living facility. No specific requirements for recycling and disposal of construction and demolition debris are specified in Murrieta Municipal Code. However, it is assumed the contractor would be conditioned to comply with all applicable recycling and disposal requirements for construction and demolition waste. The project would be required to provide domestic waste recycling containers to reduce the volume of waste entering area landfills and support statewide recycling mandates required by the California Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989 (Assembly Bill 939) and Assembly Bill 341 (2011). Assembly	

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigatio
Assessment Factor	Code		
		Bill (AB) 341 amended AB 939 to include a provision stating that at least 75% of solid waste be source?reduced, recycled, or composted by the year 2020 and annually thereafter. The proposed project would generate construction and demolition waste (CDW) as well as ongoing domestic waste. Solid waste collection and disposal services in Murrieta are provided by Waste Management, Inc. Solid waste collected in the Murrieta area is disposed of in the El Sobrante Landfill located in Corona, California. The project would be provided recycling bins to accommodate recycled material which would reduce the amount of waste disposed of in landfills. It is estimated that the proposed project would generate approximately 11 tons of solid waste material annually. Assuming 75% is recycled, a total of 45 pounds daily would go to the landfill. Assuming the El Sobrante Landfill receives the waste, this would increase the total volumes going to landfill daily by less than 1 percent. No adverse impact to landfills associated with project?related waste disposal would occur. Source List:	
Waste Water and Sanitary Sewers (Feasibility and Capacity)	2	[b, d, i, y, aa] The proposed project would utilize existing sewer connections managed by the Eastern Municipal Water District (EMWD). The treatment facility closest to the project area is the Perris Valley Regional Water Reclamation Facilities (RWRF). The Perris Valley RWRF is the largest of the four treatment plants operated by EMWD and has a daily treatment capacity of 22 million gallons per day (MGD) with a build out capacity of 100 MGD. Currently, the facility treats approximately 13.8 MGD. Assuming wastewater is approximately 60% of potable water demand, the project would generate approximately 13,300 gallons per day. This is within the daily treatment capacity of the	

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Assessment Factor	Code		
		Perris Valley RWRF. Impacts associated with	
		wastewater treatment would be less than	
		significant. Source List: [b, q, y, z, aa]	
Water Supply	2	Western Municipal Water District (WMWD)	
(Feasibility and		provides water supply, wastewater	
Capacity)		treatment and disposal, and water resource	
		management to nearly one million people in	
		a approximately 25,000 retail and fourteen	
		(14) wholesale customers with water from	
1.12		the Colorado River, State Water Project,	12 M
		recycled water and local groundwater. It is	
		estimated that the project would use	
1. S K.		approximately 8.1 million gallons of water	15.0 <u>8</u> / J
		annually (22,247 gallons per day). As stated,	
		the project is consistent with the General	
		Plan land use designation for the site; thus,	
S (40) S		water demand associated with the project	S *
		would not exceed projected demand for the	
		service area or necessitate expanding	
		existing entitlements. The project is	
		subject to water fees that would be paid by	
		the applicant prior to receipt of a building	
		permit. No new or expanded water	
		connections would be required for the	
		project. Source List: [b, q, y, z, aa]	
Public Safety -	2	The Murrieta Fire & Rescue Department	
Police, Fire and		provides fire and emergency medical	
Emergency Medical		services to the City of Murrieta. Fire Station	
		1 is the nearest station to the project site. It	
		is located at 41825 Juniper Street	
		approximately one mile north of the site.	
		Murrieta Fire & Rescue has a total response	
		time goal within the City of 6:04 minutes for	
		medical emergencies and an effective response force (all resources dispatched to	2
		arrive at scene) for fire incidents of 10:24	
		minutes. Given the nature of the project,	
		demand for fire and emergency service may	
		increase over existing conditions. The	
		project is consistent with the land use	
8.50.50 UK		designation for the site and would not	
		increase the population beyond what was	
1			

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
<b>Assessment Factor</b>	Code		
		Further, the project would be designed and constructed consistent with applicable codes and standards for access and fire suppression infrastructure. The payment of impact fees would fund any necessary fair share improvements to the Murrieta Fire & Rescue infrastructure to maintain or improve the efficiency of department operations. The City is contemplating the construction of a new fire station north of Clinton Keith Road to improve response times in that area. The project would not require the construction of a new fire station to maintain service ratios within the service area served by Fire Station 1. Law enforcement services are provided by the City of Murrieta Police Department. The Police Department operates from the headquarters building located at 2 Town Square approximately one mile northwest of the project site. The Department's goal is to reach and maintain police officer and civilian support employee staffing levels to effectively and efficiently address public safety needs. Established response times range from 6 minutes for Priority 1 calls to 35 minutes for Priority 3 calls. The project may generate demand for police services beyond existing conditions; however, the project is consistent with the land use designation for the site and would not increase the population beyond what was anticipated in the Murrieta General Plan. The payment of impact fees would fund any necessary fair share improvements to the	Mitigation
Parks Open Space		Murrieta Police Department required to maintain or improve the efficiency of department operations. No adverse impacts related to police services would occur. Source List: [r, s]	
Parks, Open Space and Recreation	2	As referenced, on?site recreational amenities would be provided. The area of disturbance would occur as part of the	

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
<b>Assessment Factor</b>	Code		
(Access and		overall project development. No off?site	
Capacity)		recreational facilities would be constructed	
		to serve the project. No additional park land	
		would be required to accommodate the	
		project; however, residents may use park	
		resources located throughout the City of	
		Murrieta. The payment of impact fees by	
		the project applicant would contribute to	
		funding available for improvements to	
		existing park resources. No impact would	
		occur under this threshold. Source List: [b]	
Transportation and	2	Bicycle and Trail Facilities. Consistent with	
Accessibility (Access		the City of Murrieta General Plan Circulation	
and Capacity)		Element, striped Class II bicycle lanes are	
		located along Jefferson Avenue east of the	
		site. No striped bicycle lanes were observed	
		on Adams Avenue or Ivy or Guava Streets,	
		the primary ingress/egress streets for the	
		site. No trails are located within or planned	
		for construction within the project area. The	
		project will not affect existing bicycle	
		facilities, implementation of planned bicycle	
		facilities or use of existing or planned trail	
		facilities. No impact would occur under this	
		threshold. Transit Facilities. As stated,	
		Riverside Transit Agency (RTA) provides	
		service to the general area with Routes 23.	
		The nearest transit stop is located	
		approximately 0.25 miles east of the site at	
		the intersection of Murietta Hot Springs	
		Road and Madison Avenue. The project will	
		not affect existing transit service along as	
		currently provided. Pedestrian Facilities.	
		Sidewalks are located on both sides of	
		Adams Avenue west of the site. Sidewalk,	
		curb and gutter improvements would be	
		required for the improvements to Hawthorn	
		Street to tie into existing infrastructure. The	
		project will have no adverse impacts to	
		pedestrian facilities. All access driveways	
		and on?site drive aisles would be designed	
		consistent with City of Murrieta standards as	
		referenced. No impacts associated with site	

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Assessment Factor	Code		
		accessibility would occur. Traffic. The	
		proposed project is consistent with the land	
		use and zoning assumptions in the City	
		General Plan and the project development	
		tabulation is within the permitted 2040	
		General Plan development envelope. Thus,	
		the Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT)	
		determined that no further analysis is	
		required per the City's guidelines. Further,	
		technical advisory documents from the State	
		of California Office of Planning and Research	
		(OPR) indicate that 100 percent affordable	
		housing projects, such as the one proposed,	
		are presumed to have a less than significant	
		impact related to VMT. Therefore, based on	
		the project type and its consistency with the	
		City General Plan assumptions, the project	
		would not result in a significant impact	
		related to traffic. Source List: [b, k, y, aa]	
		NATURAL FEATURES	
Unique Natural	2	As stated in the Habitat Assessment	
Features /Water		prepared for the project and summarized	
Resources		herein, there are no unique natural features	
		or water resources located on the project	
		site. Source List: [b, h, v]	
Vegetation / Wildlife	2	No federally listed plant or animal species	
(Introduction,		occur on?site. Implementation of Mitigation	
Modification,		Measure BIO?1 would avoid impacts to	
Removal, Disruption,		nesting birds protected under the federal	
etc.)		Migratory Bird Treaty Act. Source List: [b,	
		h, v]	
Other Factors 1			
Other Factors 2			
		CLIMATE AND ENERGY	
Climate Change	2	There are no other factors applicable to the	
		proposed project. Climate Change. The	
		project site is not located proximal to	
		coastal areas that may be subject to sea	
		level rise, wildland areas that may be subject	
		to wildfire or other natural conditions that	
		could be affected by climate change.	
		Energy. Project construction would utilize	
		common methods for site preparation,	

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Assessment Factor	Code		
		grading and installation of all infrastructure.	
		Construction vehicles and equipment would	
		utilize fossil fuels such as gasoline, diesel	
		fuel, and motor oil. However, construction	
		would be short?term and temporary. The	
		project is not anticipated to include any	
		unique features or construction techniques	
		that would generate high energy demand or	
		be wasteful or otherwise result in inefficient	
		use of fuels or other sources of energy. The	
		project would conform with all state and	
		local requirements regarding	
		construction?related energy use, including	
		anti?idling regulations. The project would	
		be required to comply with California Energy	
		Code Title 24 requirements. Further, the	
		project would implement water	
		conservation strategies focused on achieving	
		the goals set forth by Senate Bill X7 7 (2010)	
		which mandates a statewide 20% per capita	
		reduction in water consumption by 2020.	
		The proposed project will have to meet Title	
		24 energy requirements and comply with	
		California Building Code?s (CBC) Zero Net	
		Energy requirements if in affect at time of	
		building permit issuance. The installation of	
		EnergyStar appliances, be required as a	
		condition of approval. The project would	
		comply with applicable elements of state	
		and local plans through the implementation	
		of measures addressing energy efficient	
		design, water conservation and related	
		features that reduce energy demand. While	
		the project would increase demand for	
		public utilities in the region; for reasons	
		stated above, this would not represent a	
		significant impact with respect to energy	
		consumption. Source List: [b, i, y, aa]	
Energy Efficiency	2	Neither construction nor operation of the	
		project would require significant amounts of	
		energy. During construction, the proposed	
		project would require the use of energy to	
		power the construction equipment.	

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation Mitigation	
<b>Assessment Factor</b>	Code		
		However, this energy consumption would be short?term and temporary and would not have adverse impacts on long? term energy consumption for the overall housing complex. The proposed project would meet the energy standards outlined in the California Building Code, Title 24 Energy Efficiency Standards. Therefore, no adverse energy consumption impacts would occur. Source List: [b]	

# Supporting documentation

- v wetland mapper(1).pdf
- <u>k LLG 41705 Hawthorn Housing Project Murrieta VMT Assessment Memorandum</u>
- <u>(07-28-22).pdf</u>
- h Jurisdictional Delineation(2).pdf
- z pvrwrf factsheet.pdf
- s Murrieta Police.pdf
- r Murrieta Fire Rescue.pdf
- q Urban Water Management Plan Reduced.pdf
- i MurrietaAffordableHousing AirQuality(1).pdf
- d Class 32 CatEx Report\_CRP Murrieta(3).pdf
- aa GP EIR Water Supply.pdf
- aa GP EIR Wastewater.pdf
- aa GP EIR Solid Waste.pdf
- p FTA Noise and Vibration Manual(1).pdf
- m SWB Geotracker(2).pdf
- j Murrieta Affordable Housing Noise(1).pdf
- c Phase 1 final Murrieta Reduced(2).pdf
- y General Plan Land Use Map(1).pdf
- x zoning code excerpt.pdf
- b CRP Murrieta SD Set General(6).pdf

#### Additional Studies Performed:

Sandstone Valley Apartments Summary of Findings and Conclusions.docx

## Field Inspection [Optional]: Date and completed

by:

Nicole Sanchez

4/12/2023 12:00:00 AM

# Sandstone Valley Apartments Site Visit 41223.pdf

List of Sources, Agencies and Persons Consulted [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]: See attached Sandstone Valley Apartments List of Sources

# Sandstone Valley Apartments List of Sources.docx

## List of Permits Obtained:

The following permits and/or discretionary actions will be obtained by the project applicant: Design Review Approval - City of Murrieta

## Public Outreach [24 CFR 58.43]:

The County of Riverside will publish a public notice 15 days prior to Board of Supervisors approval. At that time all public comments will be considered.

## Cumulative Impact Analysis [24 CFR 58.32]:

The proposed project is the construction of an affordable housing project that would provide 96 affordable units to income qualifying individuals and families. Based on the scope of the entire project, potentially significant impacts related to biological resources, cultural resources and temporary construction noise were identified and mitigated to below a level of significance. No significant or adverse impacts associated with the proposed project were identified. Mitigation in the form of a fair share payment for the proposed project was identified to address the project's contribution to cumulative impacts to public services.

## Alternatives [24 CFR 58.40(e); 40 CFR 1508.9]

Offsite Alternative: Consideration of an offsite alternative is not warranted because no significant impacts that cannot be avoided were identified. Reduced Project: Reducing the size of the proposed project would incrementally reduce impacts across a range of issue areas such as air quality, water supply and wastewater. However, the proposed project's contribution to potentially significant impacts can be mitigated; thus, reducing the project size is not warranted. Density was maximized to ensure highest and best use of the site. Reducing the building footprint or number of units proposed is not a feasible or economically viable alternative.

## No Action Alternative [24 CFR 58.40(e)]

If the proposed project was not implemented, the project site would likely remain developed with the existing residential use until another development project is proposed. Without construction of the proposed project, the benefits associated with the affordable housing project would not occur.

## Summary of Findings and Conclusions:

CRP Affordable Housing and Community Development, Inc. The project proposes a 100 percent affordable multi family residential development consisting of 96 units within four 3?story (40 feet in height), Type V?A construction buildings. The proposed unit mix would be comprised of 12 one bedroom units, 48 two bedroom units, and 36 three bedroom units. Each unit includes an outdoor patio or balcony. Sixteen of the ground floor units would include mobility features and the remaining 16 would be adaptable units. A single story, 2,672 square foot community building is also proposed adjacent to Building A in the southcentral portion of the site. In addition to the community building, two outdoor cooking areas and a childrens tot lot would be provided. Continued in attached Sandstone Valley Summary of Findings and Conclusions.

# Mitigation Measures and Conditions [CFR 1505.2(c)]:

Summarized below are all mitigation measures adopted by the Responsible Entity to reduce, avoid or eliminate adverse environmental impacts and to avoid non-compliance or non-conformance with the above-listed authorities and factors. These measures/conditions must be incorporated into project contracts, development agreements and other relevant documents. The staff responsible for implementing and monitoring mitigation measures should be clearly identified in the mitigation plan.

Law, Authority,	Mitigation Measure or Condition	Comments on	Mitigation Plan	Complete
or Factor		Completed		
		Measures		

**Project Mitigation Plan** 

Supporting documentation on completed measures

# **APPENDIX A: Related Federal Laws and Authorities**

# **Airport Hazards**

General policy	Legislation	Regulation
It is HUD's policy to apply standards to		24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D
prevent incompatible development		
around civil airports and military airfields.		No Elisabetha de la servicio de la com

1. To ensure compatible land use development, you must determine your site's proximity to civil and military airports. Is your project within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport?

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload the map showing that the site is not within the applicable distances to a military or civilian airport below

Yes

## Screen Summary

## **Compliance Determination**

The project site is not located within 2,500 feet of the end of a civil airport runway or 8,000 feet from the end of a military airfield runway. French Valley Airport is located approximately 4.2 miles northeast of the site. The proposed project is not located within the French Valley Airport land use boundary, within 2 miles of a public use airport in proximity to a private airstrip (Riverside County Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan Policy Document, Map FV?1, January 2012). March Air Reserve Base is the closest military facility and is located approximately 21 miles north of the site. The proposed project would not pose any threat to airport navigation. No adverse impacts related to Runway Clear Zones or Accident Potential Zones would occur. Source List: [a]

## Supporting documentation

# a - French Valley Amd 2011.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

# **Coastal Barrier Resources**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD financial assistance may not be	Coastal Barrier Resources Act	
used for most activities in units of the	(CBRA) of 1982, as amended by	
Coastal Barrier Resources System	the Coastal Barrier Improvement	
(CBRS). See 16 USC 3504 for limitations	Act of 1990 (16 USC 3501)	
on federal expenditures affecting the		
CBRS.		

This project is located in a state that does not contain CBRA units. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act.

#### **Compliance Determination**

No coastal barrier resources under the protection of the Coastal Barrier Resources Act occur in California. The Coastal Barrier Resources Act does not apply. Source List: [b]

#### Supporting documentation

# b - CRP Murrieta SD Set - General(1).pdf

## Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

# **Flood Insurance**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Certain types of federal financial assistance may not be	Flood Disaster	24 CFR 50.4(b)(1)
used in floodplains unless the community participates	Protection Act of 1973	and 24 CFR 58.6(a)
in National Flood Insurance Program and flood	as amended (42 USC	and (b); 24 CFR
insurance is both obtained and maintained.	4001-4128)	55.1(b).

# 1. Does this project involve <u>financial assistance for construction, rehabilitation, or</u> <u>acquisition of a mobile home, building, or insurable personal property</u>?

No. This project does not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance.

✓ Yes

# 2. Upload a FEMA/FIRM map showing the site here:

# t - FEMA Map.pdf

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) designates floodplains. The <u>FEMA</u> <u>Map Service Center</u> provides this information in the form of FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs). For projects in areas not mapped by FEMA, use the best available information to determine floodplain information. Include documentation, including a discussion of why this is the best available information for the site. Provide FEMA/FIRM floodplain zone designation, panel number, and date within your documentation.

## Is the structure, part of the structure, or insurable property located in a FEMAdesignated Special Flood Hazard Area?

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

4. While flood insurance is not mandatory for this project, HUD strongly recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). Will flood insurance be required as a mitigation measure or condition?

Yes

✓ No

# <u>Screen Summary</u> Compliance Determination

The project site is not located within a 100 year mapped flood zone (FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map No. 06065C2715G, August 2008). The Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (42 U.S.C. 4012a) requires that projects receiving federal assistance and located in an area identified by FEMA as being within a Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA) be covered by flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). The project is not within a SFHA; thus, no significant or adverse impacts associated with the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 and National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 1994 would occur. Source List: [t]

# Supporting documentation

t - FEMA Map(2).pdf

## Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

. . . . . . . . . . . . .

Sandstone-Valley- Apartments-	Murrieta, CA	90000010357623

# **Air Quality**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Clean Air Act is administered	Clean Air Act (42 USC 7401 et	40 CFR Parts 6, 51
by the U.S. Environmental	seq.) as amended particularly	and 93
Protection Agency (EPA), which	Section 176(c) and (d) (42 USC	
sets national standards on	7506(c) and (d))	
ambient pollutants. In addition,		
the Clean Air Act is administered		
by States, which must develop		
State Implementation Plans (SIPs)		
to regulate their state air quality.		
Projects funded by HUD must		
demonstrate that they conform		
to the appropriate SIP.		

1. Does your project include new construction or conversion of land use facilitating the development of public, commercial, or industrial facilities OR five or more dwelling units?

✓ Yes

No

Air Quality Attainment Status of Project's County or Air Quality Management District

2. Is your project's air quality management district or county in non-attainment or maintenance status for any criteria pollutants?

No, project's county or air quality management district is in attainment status for all criteria pollutants.

 Yes, project's management district or county is in non-attainment or maintenance status for the following criteria pollutants (check all that apply):

Carbon Monoxide

Lead

Nitrogen dioxide

Sulfur dioxide

11/15/2023 19:06

Page 36 of 62

~

Murrieta, CA

Ozone

Particulate Matter, <2.5 microns

✓ Particulate Matter, <10 microns</p>

# 3. What are the *de minimis* emissions levels (<u>40 CFR 93.153</u>) or screening levels for the non-attainment or maintenance level pollutants indicated above

Ozone	34.53	ppb (parts per million)
Particulate Matter, <10 microns	10.31	µg/m3 (micrograms per cubic meter of air)

## Provide your source used to determine levels here:

The project site is located within the South Coast Air Basin (Basin), which includes the western portion of Riverside County. Air quality conditions in the South Coast Air Basin are under the jurisdiction of the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD). The South Coast Air Basin is currently designated as a nonattainment area with respect to the State and Federal ozone and Particulate Matter 10 (PM10) standards. It is designated attainment or unclassified for the remaining State and Federal standards.

4. Determine the estimated emissions levels of your project. Will your project exceed any of the de minimis or threshold emissions levels of non-attainment and maintenance level pollutants or exceed the screening levels established by the state or air quality management district?

 No, the project will not exceed *de minimis* or threshold emissions levels or screening levels.

#### Enter the estimate emission levels:

Ozone	34.53	ppb (parts per million)
Particulate Matter, <10	10.31	µg/m3 (micrograms per cubic
microns		meter of air)

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes, the project exceeds de minimis emissions levels or screening levels.

#### Screen Summary

### **Compliance Determination**

The project site is located within the South Coast Air Basin (Basin), which includes the western portion of Riverside County. Air quality conditions in the South Coast Air Basin are under the jurisdiction of the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD). The South Coast Air Basin is currently designated as a nonattainment area with respect to the State and Federal ozone and Particulate Matter 10 (PM10) standards. It is designated attainment or unclassified for the remaining State and Federal standards. The project would result in temporary air emissions during construction and would be a source of emissions post?construction. Table 1 below shows the highest daily emissions that would occur over the 2023 2025 construction period and the SCAQMD threshold and project emissions. As shown, maximum daily emissions would not exceed SCAQMD thresholds; thus, emissions would be de minimis and no air quality impact would occur as defined by 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, and 93 and Sections 176 (c) and (d) of the Clean Air Act. Table 1 - Daily Construction Emissions Pollutant Daily Emissions (lbs. per day) Standard (lbs. per day) ROG 34.53 75 NOx 29.29 100 CO 20.15 550 SOx 0.09 150 PM10 10.31 150 PM2.5 5.77 55 ROG Reactive Organic Gases NOx Nitrogen Oxides CO - Carbon Monoxide SOx - Sulfur Oxides PM10 - Particulate Matter 10 PM2.5 - Particulate Matter 2.5 Post construction emissions would be associated with operation of vehicles and use of energy to operate the household. Projected emissions are shown in Table 2 below. As shown, maximum daily emissions would not exceed SCAQMD thresholds; thus, emissions would be de minimis and no air quality impact would occur as defined by 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, and 93 and Sections 176 (c) and (d) of the Clean Air Act. Table 2 -Daily Operation Emissions Pollutant Daily Emissions (lbs. per day) Standard (lbs. per day) ROG 3.66 55 NOx 2.21 55 CO 21.38 550 SOx 0.03 150 PM10 3.8 150 PM2.5 1.03 55 Source: [d, i]

#### Supporting documentation

i - MurrietaAffordableHousing AirQuality.pdf d - Class 32 CatEx Report\_CRP Murrieta.pdf

#### Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

# **Coastal Zone Management Act**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Federal assistance to applicant	Coastal Zone Management	15 CFR Part 930
agencies for activities affecting	Act (16 USC 1451-1464),	
any coastal use or resource is	particularly section 307(c)	
granted only when such	and (d) (16 USC 1456(c) and	
activities are consistent with	(d))	
federally approved State		
Coastal Zone Management Act		
Plans.		

# 1. Is the project located in, or does it affect, a Coastal Zone as defined in your state Coastal Management Plan?

Yes

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

#### Screen Summary

#### **Compliance Determination**

The project site is not located in a coastal zone, as defined by the California Coastal Act (Public Resources Code, Division 20, Section 3000 Et. Seq.). The nearest coastal zone is located approximately 30 miles to the west. Therefore, no adverse coastal zone impacts are anticipated. Source List: [b]

#### Supporting documentation

## b - CRP Murrieta SD Set - General(2).pdf

#### Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

# **Contamination and Toxic Substances**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulations
It is HUD policy that all properties that are being		24 CFR 58.5(i)(2)
proposed for use in HUD programs be free of		24 CFR 50.3(i)
hazardous materials, contamination, toxic		
chemicals and gases, and radioactive		
substances, where a hazard could affect the		
health and safety of the occupants or conflict		
with the intended utilization of the property.		

1. Evaluate the site for contamination. Were any on-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances found that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property?

✓ No

Yes

✓ Check here if an ASTM Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) report was utilized. [Note: HUD regulations does not require an ASTM Phase I ESA report for single family homes]

# Screen Summary

## **Compliance Determination**

Based on a review of available databases listing known hazard sites (Geotracker) and the Phase I ESA prepared for the proposed project by AEI Consultants, Inc., (December 2021), no evidence of Recognized Environmental Conditions (RECs), historical RECs or controlled recognized environmental conditions in connection with the parcels. However, the author recommended the following to address existing development on the site; \* The EPA's National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) requires that a thorough asbestos survey be performed prior to demolition or renovation activities that may disturb Asbestos Containing Materials (ACMs). This requirement may be enforced by federal, state and local regulatory agencies, and specifies that all suspect ACMs be sampled to determine the presence or absence of asbestos prior to any renovation or demolition activities which may disturb them to

prevent potential exposure to workers, building occupants, and the environment. \* Due to the age of the subject property buildings, there is a potential that Lead Based Paint (LBP) is present. Based on the potential presence of LBP, it is recommended that the property owner implement an Operations & Maintenance Plan which stipulates that the assessment, repair and maintenance of damaged painted surfaces be performed to protect the health and safety of the building occupants. Local regulations may apply to LBP in association with building demolition/renovations and worker/occupant protection. Actual material samples would need to be collected or an x?ray fluorescence (XRF) survey performed in order to determine if LBP is present. It should be noted that construction activities that disturb materials or paints containing any amount of lead may be subject to certain requirements of the OSHA lead standard contained in 29 CFR 1910.1025 and 1926.62. The potential presence of ACMs or LBP is not a REC. Testing for ACMs and LBP would be a condition imposed by the City of Murrieta prior to issuance of a demolition permit and grading permit rather than as mitigation to avoid a potentially significant impact. The project site is not on a list of hazardous material sites nor would the project introduce hazardous materials to the site or otherwise have any adverse impacts related to toxic substances, explosive or flammable operations. Source List: [c, m]

#### Supporting documentation

<u>m - SWB Geotracker(1).pdf</u> <u>c - Phase 1 - final - Murrieta Reduced(1).pdf</u>

#### Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

# **Endangered Species**

General requirements	ESA Legislation	Regulations
Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA)	The Endangered	50 CFR Part
mandates that federal agencies ensure that	Species Act of 1973	402
actions that they authorize, fund, or carry out	(16 U.S.C. 1531 et	
shall not jeopardize the continued existence of	seq.); particularly	
federally listed plants and animals or result in	section 7 (16 USC	
the adverse modification or destruction of	1536).	
designated critical habitat. Where their actions		
may affect resources protected by the ESA,		
agencies must consult with the Fish and Wildlife		
Service and/or the National Marine Fisheries		
Service ("FWS" and "NMFS" or "the Services").		

# **1.** Does the project involve any activities that have the potential to affect specifies or habitats?

No, the project will have No Effect due to the nature of the activities involved in the project.

No, the project will have No Effect based on a letter of understanding, memorandum of agreement, programmatic agreement, or checklist provided by local HUD office

 ✓ Yes, the activities involved in the project have the potential to affect species and/or habitats.

## 2. Are federally listed species or designated critical habitats present in the action area?

✓ No, the project will have No Effect due to the absence of federally listed species and designated critical habitat

> Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below. Documentation may include letters from the Services, species lists from the Services' websites, surveys or other documents and analysis showing that there are no species in the action area.

Yes, there are federally listed species or designated critical habitats present in the action area.

#### Screen Summary

#### **Compliance Determination**

The following is summarized from the Biological Resource Reconnaissance Report prepared by WRA Environmental Consultants (November 2021) as part of the CEQA compliance process. The project site has a history of disturbance and has been used for agriculture purposes as early as 1938 and as a single?family residence beginning in 1967. The project site consists primarily of disturbed bare ground, developed areas, and ruderal vegetation. One disturbed area of native California buckwheat scrub, is present but is not considered a sensitive vegetation community. The site does have several trees/shrubs that would be suitable for nesting. Further, features on?site may provide suitable roosting areas for bats. To ensure impacts to nesting birds and roosting bats are minimized or avoided, it is recommended that Mitigation Measures BIO?1 and BIO?2 be implemented. Two small drainages are located on the property: a vegetated drainage along the northeast boundary running to the southeast where it drains into the adjacent open space parcels and another that appears to be an erosional cut in the western parcel, flowing southwest onto the adjacent properties. These drainages were evaluated and determined to not be wetland features (WRA Environmental Consultants 2022). There are no federally listed special?status plants that have a moderate to high likelihood of being found within the site when the field work was conducted in Fall 2021. Because many vegetation and habitat characteristics are no longer readily apparent at that time of year, the City of Murrieta included a condition of approval Mitigation Measures BIO?3 requiring a follow?up rare plant survey prior to ground disturbance. Five wildlife Species of Special Concern have a moderate to high likelihood of being found at the project site. While none were observed on?site, two are addressed herein. The federally listed species of concern is the Burrowing Owl. A burrowing owl survey determined that no burrowing owls, burrowing owl sign, or potential burrows were located within the project site and that the project site does not provide suitable habitat for this species (WRA Environmental Consultants 2021b). Riverside County established a boundary in 1996 for protecting the Stephens' kangaroo rat (Dipodomys stephensi), a federally endangered and state threatened species. The Stephens' kangaroo rat is protected under the Stephens' Kangaroo Rat Habitat Conservation Plan (County Ordinance No. 663.10; SKR HCP). As described in the MSHCP Implementation Agreement, a Section 10(a) Permit, and California Fish and Game Code Section 2081 Management Authorization were issued to the Riverside County Habitat Conservation Agency (RCHCA) for the Long?Term SKR HCP and was approved by the USFWS and CDFW in August 1990 (RCHCA1996). Relevant terms of the SKR HCP have been incorporated into the MSHCP and its Implementation Agreement. The project site is located within the Mitigation Fee Area of the SKR HCP. Therefore, the applicant will be required to pay the SKR HCP Mitigation Fee prior to development of the project site.

Implementation of mitigation measures would ensure compliance with applicable federal regulations related to sensitive biological resources. Compliance with these measures would ensure no endangered, rare, or threatened species or their habitats would be adversely affected by the project. Source List: [b, d, g, h]

#### Supporting documentation

h - Jurisdictional Delineation(1).pdf

g - Hawthorne St Murrieta Burrowing Owl Habitat Assessment.pdf

d - Class 32 CatEx Report CRP Murrieta(2).pdf

b - CRP Murrieta SD Set - General(5).pdf

#### Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

# **Explosive and Flammable Hazards**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD-assisted projects must meet	N/A	24 CFR Part 51
Acceptable Separation Distance (ASD)		Subpart C
requirements to protect them from		
explosive and flammable hazards.		

1. Is the proposed HUD-assisted project itself the development of a hazardous facility (a facility that mainly stores, handles or processes flammable or combustible chemicals such as bulk fuel storage facilities and refineries)?

✓ No

Yes

2. Does this project include any of the following activities: development, construction, rehabilitation that will increase residential densities, or conversion?

No

✓ Yes

3. Within 1 mile of the project site, are there any current or planned stationary aboveground storage containers that are covered by 24 CFR 51C? Containers that are NOT covered under the regulation include:

• Containers 100 gallons or less in capacity, containing common liquid industrial fuels OR

• Containers of liquified petroleum gas (LPG) or propane with a water volume capacity of 1,000 gallons or less that meet the requirements of the 2017 or later version of National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) Code 58.

If all containers within the search area fit the above criteria, answer "No." For any other type of aboveground storage container within the search area that holds one of the flammable or explosive materials listed in Appendix I of 24 CFR part 51 subpart C, answer "Yes."

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

Yes

# Screen Summary

### **Compliance Determination**

The proposed project is a residential project designed to provide affordable housing for income qualifying residents. It would not require the ongoing use, storage or routine transport of hazardous, explosive or flammable materials. Aside from common household chemicals, no hazardous materials would be used on?site. The project would not emit or release hazardous waste or emissions. There are no above ground tanks or other storage containers in proximity to the site that present a potential explosive or flammable hazard. As referenced, AEI Consultants, Inc., prepared a Phase I ESA (December 2021) for the project site. The project site does not contain facilities containing hazardous materials or that are affected by a known release of hazards or hazardous materials. Further, an inspection of current aerial photographs do not show the presence of above ground storage tanks or other evidence that explosive or flammable materials are located within one miles of the site. No mitigation measures are required. Source List: [b, c, m]

#### Supporting documentation

## m - SWB Geotracker.pdf

c - Phase 1 - final - Murrieta Reduced.pdf

# b - CRP Murrieta SD Set - General.pdf

## Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

# **Farmlands Protection**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Farmland Protection	Farmland Protection Policy	7 CFR Part 658
Policy Act (FPPA) discourages	Act of 1981 (7 U.S.C. 4201	
federal activities that would	et seq.)	
convert farmland to		
nonagricultural purposes.		

1. Does your project include any activities, including new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, that could convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use?

✓ Yes

No

# 2. Does your project meet one of the following exemptions?

- Construction limited to on-farm structures needed for farm operations.
- Construction limited to new minor secondary (accessory) structures such as a garage or storage shed
- Project on land already in or committed to urban development or used for water storage. (7 CFR 658.2(a))

✓ Yes

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

No

# Screen Summary

## **Compliance Determination**

The project site is currently vacant and located within an urbanized area within the City of Murrieta. The site is categorized as Other Land, as indicated on the California Department of Conservation Important Farmland Finder (July 2021). The site does not include prime or unique farmland, or other farmland of statewide or local importance. No impact to farmland resources defined under the Farmland Protection Policy Act per 7 CFR 658 would occur. Source List: [n]

## Supporting documentation

# <u>n - Farmland.pdf</u>

# Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

11/15/2023 19:06

Page 48 of 62

# Floodplain Management

General Requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11988,	Executive Order 11988	24 CFR 55
Floodplain Management,		
requires federal activities to		
avoid impacts to floodplains		
and to avoid direct and		
indirect support of floodplain		
development to the extent		
practicable.		

1. Do any of the following exemptions apply? Select the applicable citation? [only one selection possible]

- 55.12(c)(3) 55.12(c)(4) 55.12(c)(5) 55.12(c)(6) 55.12(c)(7) 55.12(c)(8) 55.12(c)(9) 55.12(c)(10) 55.12(c)(11)
- $\checkmark$ None of the above
- 2. Upload a FEMA/FIRM map showing the site here:

# t - FEMA Map.pdf

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) designates floodplains. The FEMA Map Service Center provides this information in the form of FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs). For projects in areas not mapped by FEMA, use the best available information to determine floodplain information. Include documentation, including a discussion of why this is the best available information for the site.

## Does your project occur in a floodplain?

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

## Screen Summary

#### **Compliance Determination**

All federally funded development projects are evaluated per Executive Order 11988 as discussed below. Those occurring in mapped flood zones require evaluation consistent with Part II of EO 11988. The site is designated an Area of Minimal Flood Hazard Zone X in Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) 06065C2715G, prepared August 28, 2008; and thus, is located outside a 100?year flood zone. No analysis per Part II of Executive Order 11988 is required. Source List: [t]

### Supporting documentation

# t - FEMA Map(1).pdf

### Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

	Sandstone-Valley- Apartments-	Murriet	a, CA 90000010357623
	Historic Preservatio	on	
	General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
	Regulations under	Section 106 of the	36 CFR 800 "Protection of Historic
	Section 106 of the	National Historic	Properties"
	National Historic	Preservation Act	https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/CF
	Preservation Act	(16 U.S.C. 470f)	R-2012-title36-vol3/pdf/CFR-2012-title36-
	(NHPA) require a		vol3-part800.pdf
	consultative process		
	to identify historic		
	properties, assess		
	project impacts on		
	them, and avoid,		
_			

## Threshold

minimize, or mitigate

adverse effects

## Is Section 106 review required for your project?

No, because the project consists solely of activities listed as exempt in a Programmatic Agreement (PA). (See the PA Database to find applicable PAs.) No, because the project consists solely of activities included in a No Potential to Cause Effects memo or other determination [36 CFR 800.3(a)(1)].

✓ Yes, because the project includes activities with potential to cause effects (direct or indirect).

## Step 1 - Initiate Consultation

Select all consulting parties below (check all that apply):

- ✓ State Historic Preservation Offer (SHPO) Completed
- $\checkmark$ Indian Tribes, including Tribal Historic Preservation Officers (THPOs) or Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs)

**Other Consulting Parties** 

#### Describe the process of selecting consulting parties and initiating consultation here:

Tribes were selected based off HUD TDAT for Riverside County.

Document and upload all correspondence, notices and notes (including comments and objections received below).

Was the Section 106 Lender Delegation Memo used for Section 106 consultation?

Yes No

#### Step 2 - Identify and Evaluate Historic Properties

1. Define the Area of Potential Effect (APE), either by entering the address(es) or uploading a map depicting the APE below:

41705 Hawthorn Street in the City of Murrieta, Riverside County (APN 909?020?020, 909?020?021, 909?020?052)

In the chart below, list historic properties identified and evaluated in the APE. Every historic property that may be affected by the project should be included in the chart.

Upload the documentation (survey forms, Register nominations, concurrence(s) and/or objection(s), notes, and photos) that justify your National Register Status determination below.

Address / Location	National Register	SHPO Concurrence	Sensitive
/ District	Status		Information

Additional Notes:

2. Was a survey of historic buildings and/or archeological sites done as part of the project?

✓ Yes

Document and upload surveys and report(s) below. For Archeological surveys, refer to HP Fact Sheet #6, Guidance on Archeological Investigations in HUD Projects.

Additional Notes:

No

## Step 3 – Assess Effects of the Project on Historic Properties

Only properties that are listed on or eligible for the National Register of Historic Places receive further consideration under Section 106. Assess the effect(s) of the project by applying the Criteria of Adverse Effect. (<u>36 CFR 800.5</u>)] Consider direct and indirect effects as applicable as per guidance on <u>direct and indirect effects</u>.

Choose one of the findings below - No Historic Properties Affected, No Adverse Effect, or Adverse Effect; and seek concurrence from consulting parties.

✓ No Historic Properties Affected

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload concurrence(s) or objection(s) below.

## Document reason for finding:

✓ No historic properties present.

Historic properties present, but project will have no effect upon them.

No Adverse Effect

Adverse Effect

#### Screen Summary

#### **Compliance Determination**

A Historic and Cultural Resources Survey (January 2023) was prepared for the project site (PaleoWest, LLC). The Cultural Resource Survey included a cultural resources records search, Sacred Lands File search, a pedestrian survey of the project site and preparation of the technical report according to the Archaeological Resources Management Report (ARMR) guidelines and in compliance with the cultural resource requirements of NEPA and Section 106. See attached Historic Verification document.

## Supporting documentation

Sandstone Valley Apartments Historic Preservation Write Up.docx <u>SHPO Package\_Sandstone Apartments.pdf</u> <u>TDAT.pdf</u> <u>Sandstone Tribal Letters.pdf</u> <u>L - Sandstone Valley Apts cultural report.pdf</u> <u>b - CRP Murrieta SD Set - General(4).pdf</u>

### Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

# **Noise Abatement and Control**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD's noise regulations protect	Noise Control Act of 1972	Title 24 CFR 51
residential properties from		Subpart B
excessive noise exposure. HUD	General Services Administration	
encourages mitigation as	Federal Management Circular	
appropriate.	75-2: "Compatible Land Uses at	
	Federal Airfields"	

## 1. What activities does your project involve? Check all that apply:

✓ New construction for residential use

NOTE: HUD assistance to new construction projects is generally prohibited if they are located in an Unacceptable zone, and HUD discourages assistance for new construction projects in Normally Unacceptable zones. See 24 CFR 51.101(a)(3) for further details.

Rehabilitation of an existing residential property

A research demonstration project which does not result in new construction or reconstruction

An interstate land sales registration

Any timely emergency assistance under disaster assistance provision or appropriations which are provided to save lives, protect property, protect public health and safety, remove debris and wreckage, or assistance that has the effect of restoring facilities substantially as they existed prior to the disaster None of the above

4. Complete the Preliminary Screening to identify potential noise generators in the vicinity (1000' from a major road, 3000' from a railroad, or 15 miles from an airport).

Indicate the findings of the Preliminary Screening below:

✓ There are no noise generators found within the threshold distances above.

> Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload a map showing the location of the project relative to any noise generators below.

Noise generators were found within the threshold distances.

### Screen Summary

### **Compliance Determination**

The Preliminary Screening identified no noise generators in the vicinity of the project. The project is in compliance with HUD's Noise regulation. See attached noise control compliance determination.

### Supporting documentation

<u>p - FTA Noise and Vibration Manual.pdf</u>
<u>j - Murrieta Affordable Housing\_Noise.pdf</u>
<u>d - Class 32 CatEx Report\_CRP Murrieta(1).pdf</u>
<u>a - French Valley Amd 2011(1).pdf</u>
<u>Sandstone Valley Apartments Noise Abatement and Control Write Up.docx</u>

## Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

# Sole Source Aquifers

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974	Safe Drinking Water	40 CFR Part 149
protects drinking water systems	Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C.	
which are the sole or principal	201, 300f et seq., and	
drinking water source for an area	21 U.S.C. 349)	
and which, if contaminated, would		
create a significant hazard to public		
health.		

1. Does the project consist solely of acquisition, leasing, or rehabilitation of an existing building(s)?

✓ Yes

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

No

#### Screen Summary

#### **Compliance Determination**

There are no sole source aquifers in Riverside County as designated by the US Environmental Protection Agency Pacific Southwest Region 9. The project would not use groundwater or otherwise impact groundwater recharge. No impacts to sole source aquifers as defined per 40 CFR 149 would occur. Source List: [w]

#### Supporting documentation

w - sole source aquifer.pdf

#### Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

# Wetlands Protection

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11990 discourages direct or	Executive Order	24 CFR 55.20 can be
indirect support of new construction impacting	11990	used for general
wetlands wherever there is a practicable		guidance regarding
alternative. The Fish and Wildlife Service's		the 8 Step Process.
National Wetlands Inventory can be used as a		
primary screening tool, but observed or known		
wetlands not indicated on NWI maps must also		
be processed Off-site impacts that result in		
draining, impounding, or destroying wetlands		
must also be processed.		

1. Does this project involve new construction as defined in Executive Order 11990, expansion of a building's footprint, or ground disturbance? The term "new construction" shall include draining, dredging, channelizing, filling, diking, impounding, and related activities and any structures or facilities begun or authorized after the effective date of the Order

No

✓ Yes

2. Will the new construction or other ground disturbance impact an on- or off-site wetland? The term "wetlands" means those areas that are inundated by surface or ground water with a frequency sufficient to support, and under normal circumstances does or would support, a prevalence of vegetative or aquatic life that requires saturated or seasonally saturated soil conditions for growth and reproduction. Wetlands generally include swamps, marshes, bogs, and similar areas such as sloughs, potholes, wet meadows, river overflows, mud flats, and natural ponds.

"Wetlands under E.O. 11990 include isolated and non-jurisdictional wetlands."

✓ No, a wetland will not be impacted in terms of E.O. 11990's definition of new construction.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload a map or any other relevant documentation below which explains your determination

Yes, there is a wetland that be impacted in terms of E.O. 11990's definition of new construction.

## Screen Summary

#### **Compliance Determination**

According to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's Wetlands Online Mapper, no wetlands are located on the site. No adverse impacts related to wetlands protection are anticipated. Source List: [h, v]

#### Supporting documentation

<u>v - wetland mapper.pdf</u> <u>h - Jurisdictional Delineation.pdf</u>

#### Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

# Wild and Scenic Rivers Act

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act	The Wild and Scenic Rivers	36 CFR Part 297
provides federal protection for	Act (16 U.S.C. 1271-1287),	
certain free-flowing, wild, scenic	particularly section 7(b) and	
and recreational rivers	(c) (16 U.S.C. 1278(b) and (c))	
designated as components or		
potential components of the		
National Wild and Scenic Rivers		
System (NWSRS) from the effects		
of construction or development.		

# 1. Is your project within proximity of a NWSRS river?

✓ No

Yes, the project is in proximity of a Designated Wild and Scenic River or Study Wild and Scenic River.

Yes, the project is in proximity of a Nationwide Rivers Inventory (NRI) River.

# Screen Summary

# **Compliance Determination**

The project site is located in an urbanized portion of the City of Murrieta. The San Luis Rey River is the nearest river and is located approximately 12 miles south of the site. It is not a designated wild or scenic river. (National Wild and Scenic Rivers, 2011). The project would have no adverse impacts on wild or scenic rivers. Source List: [u]

# Supporting documentation

# u - wild and scenic.pdf

## Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

# **Environmental Justice**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Determine if the project	Executive Order 12898	
creates adverse environmental		
impacts upon a low-income or		
minority community. If it		
does, engage the community		
in meaningful participation		
about mitigating the impacts		
or move the project.		

HUD strongly encourages starting the Environmental Justice analysis only after all other laws and authorities, including Environmental Assessment factors if necessary, have been completed.

1. Were any adverse environmental impacts identified in any other compliance review portion of this project's total environmental review?

- Yes
- ✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

#### Screen Summary

#### **Compliance Determination**

The project would provide 96 affordable apartment units for income qualifying residents. The project would remove the existing residence and outbuildings. The project would not displace minority or low income residences or communities to accommodate construction. Neighboring uses are comprised of single family residences to the north and west and vacant land to the east and south. No hazardous materials are known to occur on the site. The site is not of any biological or cultural significance. No mitigation measures are required to avoid any potentially significant or adverse environmental impacts affecting the site or surrounding properties. The project is not known to be located in an area subject to climate change nor would affects from climate change disproportionately impact low income or minority populations. As reported in the City of Murrieta General Plan 2021 2029 Housing Element Update, there are segments of the local population that require special consideration when developing housing needs assessment. These are generally comprised of people who face special life challenges that are within low income groups; and therefore, have limited housing choices. There is no evidence based on

project scope and location of the proposed project, that any populations with limited housing choices or that otherwise are considered to have special life challenges would be adversely affected by the project. Further, to date, no public comment known to the applicant, either in favor of or opposing the project because of potential environmental justice concerns, has been received. The project site is proximal to health care and educational resources that may benefit future project residents. As addressed below, the project site is also proximal to transit services. The owners of the existing single family residence are willing sellers; however, the applicant is relocating the residents per the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Act (URA) and associated procedures for addressing relocations for projects using federal funding. The project would not violate Executive Order 12898. Source List: [b, y]

### Supporting documentation

<u>y - General Plan Land Use Map.pdf</u> <u>b - CRP Murrieta SD Set - General(3).pdf</u>

## Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

# THE PRESS-ENTERPRISE

1825 Chicago Ave, Suite 100 Riverside, CA 92507 951-684-1200 951-368-9018 FAX

#### PROOF OF PUBLICATION (2010, 2015.5 C.C.P)

Publication(s): The Press-Enterprise

PROOF OF PUBLICATION OF

Ad Desc .: /

I am a citizen of the United States. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to or interested in the above entitled matter. I am an authorized representative of THE PRESS-ENTERPRISE, a newspaper in general circulation, printed and published daily in the County of Riverside, and which newspaper has been adjudicated a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of the County of Riverside, State of California, under date of April 25, 1952, Case Number 54446, under date of March 29, 1957, Case Number 65673, under date of August 25, 1995, Case Number 267864, and under date of September 16, 2013, Case Number RIC 1309013; that the notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy, has been published in said newspaper in accordance with the instructions of the person(s) requesting publication, and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, to wit:

#### 11/13/2023

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: November 13, 2023 At: Riverside, California

Legal Advertising Representative, The Press-Enterprise

EDA-HOUSING AUTHORITY 5555 ARLINGTON AVE RIVERSIDE, CA 92504

Ad Number: 0011633001-01

P.O. Number:

Ad Copy:

#### PUBLIC NOTICE November 13, 2023

Riverside County, Housing and Workforce Solutions 3403 Tenth Street, Suite 300 Riverside, California 92501 (951) 955-0856 Diana Acosta

#### TO ALL INTERESTED AGENCIES, GROUPS, AND PERSONS:

These notices shall satisfy two separate but related procedural requirements for activities to be undertaken by the County of Riverside. Any individual, group or agency submitting comments should specify in their comments which "notice" their comments address.

#### REQUEST FOR RELEASE OF FUNDS

On or about, November 28, 2023, the County of Riverside will submit a request to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Los Angeles Field Office for the release of Housing Choice Voucher Program (HCVP) Project Based Vouchers (PBVs) to undertable the following releases undertake the following project

#### **PROJECT NAME:** Oak View Ranch Senior Apartments

**PURPOSE:** The project activity includes the allocation of 8 PBVs to be utilized by National Community Renaissance of California, an affordable housing developer, to serve as rental subsidy for Oak View Ranch Senior Apartments, an affordable apartment community for low income households that will consist of 81 affordable rental units and one manager's unit. low income households that will consist of 81 affordable rental units and one manager's unit. The PBVs will be reserved for households with incomes at or below 30% of the area median income. The remaining units will be restricted to area median incomes between 30% and 60%. The overall project will consist of one building comprised of 72 one-bedroom units, 8 two-bedroom units, and 1 three-bedroom unit. The one-bedroom units are approximately 52 square feet, two-bedroom units are approximately 751 square feet, and three-bedroom units are approximately 1,028 square feet. The Proposed Project is Phase II of a two-phase development consisting of a total 200-unit multi-family housing development. The Oak View Ranch Family Apartments consists of 119-units and the Oak View Ranch Senior Apartments as stated herein consists of the balance of 81-units. Onsite amenities include outdoor pool and clubhouse, children's playground, community center, community garden, half basketball court, outdoor fitness stations & conversation areas, pet-friendly green space, BBQ area with tables, Boys & Girls Club and a senior center. In addition, the project will include the preservation of the existing oak tree on the site, and the integration of the proposed pedestrian paseos. proposed pedestrian paseos.

LOCATION: 24960 Adams Avenue in the City of Murrieta. Phase II will be built on approximately 1.47- acres of the 6.22-acre site of vacant land owned by the City of Murrieta, more specifically identified as Assessor Parcel Number 906-080-018.

This activity may be undertaken over multiple years.

#### FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

The County of Riverside has determined that the project will have no significant impact on the human environment. Therefore, an Environmental Impact Statement under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) is not required. Additional project information is contained in the Environmental Assessment (EA) on file at the Housing and Workforce Solutions at 3403 Tenth Street, Suite 300, Riverside, California 92501. The EA may be examined or copied between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except in the event of a holiday. The EA may also be downloaded at the following website address https://rivcohws.org/.

#### PUBLIC COMMENTS

Any individual, group, or agency may submit written comments on the EA and the Request for Release of Funds to the Department of Housing, and Workforce Solutions, Attention: Diana Acosta at 3403 Tenth Street, Suite 300 Riverside, California 92501 or email comments to Diacosta@rivco.org. All comments received at the address specified **above on or before November 28, 2023** will be considered by the County of Riverside prior to submission of a request for release of funds. Comments should specify which Notice they are addressing.

#### RELEASE OF FUNDS

The County of Riverside certifies to the HUD Los Angeles Field Office that Kevin Jeffries in The County of Riverside Certifies to the ROD Los Angeles relia Once that Revit Series and his capacity as the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors consents to accept the jurisdiction of the Federal courts if an action is brought to enforce responsibilities in relation to the environmental review process and that these responsibilities have been satisfied. HUD's approval of the certification satisfies its responsibilities under NEPA and related laws and authorities and allows the Housing Authority of the County of Riverside to allocate the Program Project Based Vouchers for the proposed project.

#### **OBJECTIONS TO RELEASE OF FUNDS**

HUD will accept objections to its release of funds and the County of Riverside's certification for a period of fifteen days following the anticipated submission date or its actual receipt of the request (whichever is later) only if they are based on one of the following: a. the certification was not executed by the Certifying Officer of the County of Riverside;

- b. the County of Riverside has omitted a step or failed to make a decision or finding required by HUD regulations at 24 CFR part 58;
  c. the grant recipient has committed funds or incurred costs not authorized by 24 CFR Part 58 before approval of a release of funds by HUD; or
  d. another Federal agency acting pursuant to 40 CFR Part 1504 has submitted a written finding that the project is unsatisfactory from the standpoint of environmental guilty. quality

Objections must be prepared and submitted via email in accordance with the required procedures (24 CFR Part 58, Sec. 58.76) and shall be addressed to the following HUD Los Angeles Field Office, Office of Public Housing at HUDLOSANGELESOPH@hud.gov. Potential objectors should contact HUD Los Angeles Field Offices via email to verify the actual last day of the objection period.

NOTICIA PÚBLICA 13 noviembre 2023

Condado de Riverside, Soluciones de vivienda y fuerza laboral 3403 Tenth Street, Suite 300 Riverside, California 92501

#### A TODAS LAS AGENCIAS, GRUPOS Y PERSONAS INTERESADAS:

Estos avisos deberán satisfacer dos requisitos de procedimiento separados pero relacionados para las actividades que realizará el Condado de Riverside. Cualquier individuo, grupo o agencia que presente comentarios debe especificar en sus comentarios qué "aviso" de su dirección de comentarios.

#### SOLICITUD DE LIBERACIÓN DE FONDOS

En o alrededor del 28 de noviembre de 2023, el Condado de Riverside presentará una solicitud a la Oficina Local de Los Angeles del Departamento de Vivienda y Desarrollo Urbano de los Estados Unidos (HUD) para la liberación de Vales Basados en Proyectos (PBV) del Programa de Vales de Elección de Vivienda (HCVP) para llevar a cabo el siguiente provecto: siguiente provecto:

#### NOMBRE DEL PROYECTO: Oak View Ranch Senior Apartments

**PROPÓSITO:** La actividad del proyecto incluye la asignación de 8 PBV para ser utilizados por National Community Renaissance of California, un desarrollador de viviendas asequibles, para servir como subsidio de alquiler para Oak View Ranch Senior Apartments, un compleio de apartamentos asequibles para hogares de baios ingresos que consistirá en 81 unidades de alquiler asequibles y una unidad de gerente. Los PBV se reservarán para hogares con ingresos iguales o inferiores al 30% del ingreso medio del área. Las unidades restantes se restringirán a los ingresos medios del área entre el 30% y el 60%. El proyecto general consistirá en un edificio compuesto por 72 unidades de un dormitorio, 8 unidades de dos dormitorios y 1 unidad de tres dormitorios. Las unidades de un dormitorios son de aproximadamente 522 pies cuadrados, las unidades de tres dormitorios son de aproximadamente 751 pies cuadrados y las unidades de tres dormitorios son de aproximadamente 751 pies cuadrados. El Proyecto Propuesto es la Fase II de un desarrollo de dos foses que consiste en un desarrollo total de viviendas multifamiliares de 200 unidades. Los apartamentos familiares Oak View Ranch constan de 119 unidades y los apartamentos familiares Oak View Ranch, como se indica en este documento, consisten en un saldo de 81 unidades. Las comodidades del hotel incluyen piscina al aire libre y casa club, parque infantil, centro comunitario, jardín comunitario, media cancha de baloncesto, estaciones de fitness al aire libre y áreas de conversación, espacio verde que admite mascotas, área de barbacoa con mesas. Boys & Girls Club y un centro para sitio y la integración de los paseos peatonales propuestos.

**UBICACIÓN:** 24960 Adams Avenue en la Ciudad de Murrieta. La Fase II se construirá en aproximadamente 1.47 acres del sitio de 6.22 acres de terreno vacante propiedad de la Ciudad de Murrieta, más específicamente identificado como Parcela Tasadora Número 906-080-018

Esta actividad puede llevarse a cabo durante varios años.

#### CONSTATACIÓN DE QUE NO HAY IMPACTO SIGNIFICATIVO

El Conadao de Riverside ha determinado que el proyecto no tendrá un impacto significativo en el medio ambiente humano. Por lo tanto, no se requiere una Declaración de Impacto Ambiental bajo la Ley de Política Ambiental Nacional de 1969 (NEPA). La información adicional del proyecto está contenida en la Evaluación Ambiental (EA) archivada en Housing and Workforce Solutions en 3403 Tenth Street, Suite 300, Riverside, California 92501. El EA puede ser examinado o copiado entre las 8:00 a.m. y las 5:00 p.m., de lunes a viernes, excepto en caso de día festivo. El EA también se puede descargar en la siguiente dirección de sitio web https://rivcohws.org/. El Condado de Riverside ha determinado que el proyecto no tendrá un impacto significativo

#### COMENTARIOS PÚBLICOS

Cualquier individuo, grupo o agencia puede enviar comentarios por escrito sobre el EA y la Solicitud de liberación de fondos al Departamento de Vivienda y Workforce Solutions, Atención: Diana Acosta en 3403 Tenth Street, Suite 300 Riverside, California 92501 o enviar comentarios por correo electrónico a Diacosta@rivco.org. Todos los comentarios recibidos en la dirección especificada **anteriormente en o antes del 28 de noviembre de 2023** serán considerados por el Condado de Riverside antes de presentar una solicitud de liberación de fondos. Los comentarios deben especificar a qué Aviso se dirigen.

#### LIBERACIÓN DE FONDOS

El Condado de Riverside certifica a la Oficina Local de HUD en Los Ángeles que Kevin Jeffries en su calidad de Presidente de la Junta de Supervisores acepta la jurisdicción de los tribunales federales si se presenta una acción para hacer cumplir las responsabilidades en relación con el proceso de revisión ambiental y que estas responsabilidades se han cumplido. La aprobación de HUD de la certificación satisface sus responsabilidades bajo NEPA y las leyes y autoridades relacionadas y permite a la Autoridad de Vivienda del Condado de Riverside asignar los vales basados en proyectos del programa para el proyecto propuesto.

#### **OBJECIONES A LA LIBERACIÓN DE FONDOS**

HUD aceptará objeciones a su liberación de fondos y la certificación del Condado de Riverside por un período de quince días después de la fecha de presentación anticipada o su recepción real de la solicitud (lo que ocurra más tarde) solo si se basan en uno de los siguientes:

- la certificación no fue ejecutada por el Oficial Certificador del Condado de Riverside; α.
- el Condado de Riverside ha omitido un paso o no ha tomado una decisión o hallazgo b.
- el Condado de Riverside ha omitido un paso o no na fomado una decisión o nallazgo requerido por las regulaciones de HUD en 24 CFR parte 58; el beneficiario de la subvención ha comprometido fondos o incurrido en costos no autorizados por 24 CFR Part 58 antes de la aprobación de una liberación de fondos por parte de HUD; o otra agencia federal que actúa de conformidad con 40 CFR Parte 1504 ha presentado
- d. una conclusión por escrito de que el proyecto no es satisfactorio desde el punto de vista de la calidad ambiental.

Las objeciones deben prepararse y enviarse por correo electrónico de acuerdo con los procedimientos requeridos (24 CFR Parte 58, Sec. 58.76) y deben dirigirse a la siguiente Oficina de Campo de HUD Los Ángeles, Oficina de Vivienda Pública en HUDLOSANGELESOPH@hud.gov. Los posibles objetores deben comunicarse con las Oficinas Locales de HUD Los Ángeles por correo electrónico para verificar el último día real del período de objeción. Press-Enterprise Published: 11/13/23

THE PRESS-ENTERPRISE

1825 Chicago Ave, Suite 100 Riverside, CA 92507 951-684-1200 951-368-9018 FAX

#### PROOF OF PUBLICATION (2010, 2015.5 C.C.P)

Publication(s): The Press-Enterprise

PROOF OF PUBLICATION OF

Ad Desc.: /

I am a citizen of the United States. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to or interested in the above entitled matter. I am an authorized representative of THE PRESS-ENTERPRISE, a newspaper in general circulation, printed and published daily in the County of Riverside, and which newspaper has been adjudicated a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of the County of Riverside, State of California, under date of April 25, 1952, Case Number 54446, under date of March 29, 1957, Case Number 65673, under date of August 25, 1995, Case Number 267864, and under date of September 16, 2013, Case Number RIC 1309013; that the notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy, has been published in said newspaper in accordance with the instructions of the person(s) requesting publication, and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, to wit:

#### 11/13/2023

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: November 13, 2023 At: Riverside, California

Legal Advertising Representative, The Press-Enterprise

EDA-HOUSING AUTHORITY 5555 ARLINGTON AVE RIVERSIDE, CA 92504

Ad Number: 0011633001-01

P.O. Number:

Ad Copy:

#### PUBLIC NOTICE November 13, 2023

Riverside County, Housing and Workforce Solutions 3403 Tenth Street, Suite 300 Riverside, California 92501 (951) 955-0856 Diana Acosta

#### TO ALL INTERESTED AGENCIES, GROUPS, AND PERSONS:

These notices shall satisfy two separate but related procedural requirements for activities to be undertaken by the County of Riverside. Any individual, group or agency submitting comments should specify in their comments which "notice" their comments address.

#### REQUEST FOR RELEASE OF FUNDS

**On or about, November 28, 2023,** the County of Riverside will submit a request to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Los Angeles Field Office for the release of Housing Choice Voucher Program (HCVP) Project Based Vouchers (PBVs) to undertake the following project:

#### **PROJECT NAME:** Oak View Ranch Senior Apartments

**PURPOSE:** The project activity includes the allocation of 8 PBVs to be utilized by National Community Renaissance of California, an affordable housing developer, to serve as rental subsidy for Oak View Ranch Senior Apartments, an affordable apartment community for low income households that will consist of 81 affordable rental units and one manager's unit. The PBVs will be reserved for households with incomes at or below 30% of the area median income. The remaining units will be restricted to area median incomes between 30% and 60%. The overall project will consist of one building comprised of 72 one-bedroom units, 8 two-bedroom units, and 1 three-bedroom unit. The one-bedroom units are approximately 51 square feet, and three-bedroom units are approximately 751 square feet, and three-bedroom units are approximately 751 square feet, and three-bedroom units are approximately for 2 one-bedroom units as stated herein consists of 119-units and the Oak View Ranch Senior Apartments as stated herein consists of the balance of 81-units. Onsite amenities include outdoor pool and clubhouse, children's playground, community center, community garden, half basketball court, outdoor fitness stations & conversation areas, pet-friendly green space, BBQ area with tables, Boys & Girls Club and a senior center. In addition, the project will include the preservation of the existing oak tree on the site, and the integration of the proposed pedestrian paseos. proposed pedestrian paseos.

LOCATION: 24960 Adams Avenue in the City of Murrieta. Phase II will be built on approximately 1.47- acres of the 6.22-acre site of vacant land owned by the City of Murrieta, more specifically identified as Assessor Parcel Number 906-080-018.

This activity may be undertaken over multiple years.

#### FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

The County of Riverside has determined that the project will have no significant impact on The County of Riverside has determined that the project will have no significant impact on the human environment. Therefore, an Environmental Impact Statement under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) is not required. Additional project information is contained in the Environmental Assessment (EA) on file at the Housing and Workforce Solutions at 3403 Tenth Street, Suite 300, Riverside, California 92501. The EA may be examined or copied between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except in the event of a holiday. The EA may also be downloaded at the following website address https://rivcohws.org/.

#### PUBLIC COMMENTS

Any individual, group, or agency may submit written comments on the EA and the Request for Release of Funds to the Department of Housing, and Workforce Solutions, Attention: Diana Acosta at 3403 Tenth Street, Suite 300 Riverside, California 92501 or email comments to Diacosta@rivco.org. All comments received at the address specified **above on or before November 28, 2023** will be considered by the County of Riverside prior to submission of a request for release of funds. Comments should specify which Notice they are addressing.

#### RELEASE OF FUNDS

The County of Riverside certifies to the HUD Los Angeles Field Office that Kevin Jeffries in The County of Riverside Certifies to the Root of Supervisors connect the accept the jurisdiction of the Federal courts if an action is brought to enforce responsibilities in relation to the environmental review process and that these responsibilities have been satisfied. HUD's approval of the certification satisfies its responsibilities under NEPA and related laws and authorities and allows the Housing Authority of the County of Riverside to allocate the Program Project Based Vouchers for the proposed project.

#### **OBJECTIONS TO RELEASE OF FUNDS**

HUD will accept objections to its release of funds and the County of Riverside's certification for a period of fifteen days following the anticipated submission date or its actual receipt of the request (whichever is later) only if they are based on one of the following: a. the certification was not executed by the Certifying Officer of the County of Riverside;

- b.
- the County of Riverside has omitted a step or failed to make a decision or finding required by HUD regulations at 24 CFR part 58; the grant recipient has committed funds or incurred costs not authorized by 24 CFR Part 58 before approval of a release of funds by HUD; or another Federal agency acting pursuant to 40 CFR Part 1504 has submitted a written finding that the project is unsatisfactory from the standpoint of environmental quality

Objections must be prepared and submitted via email in accordance with the required procedures (24 CFR Part 58, Sec. 58.76) and shall be addressed to the following HUD Los Angeles Field Office, Office of Public Housing at HUDLOSANGELESOPH@hud.gov. Potential objectors should contact HUD Los Angeles Field Offices via email to verify the actual last day of the objection period.

#### NOTICIA PÚBLICA 13 noviembre 2023

Condado de Riverside, Soluciones de vivienda y fuerza laboral 3403 Tenth Street, Suite 300 Riverside, California 92501

#### (951) 955-0856 Diana Acosta

#### A TODAS LAS AGENCIAS, GRUPOS Y PERSONAS INTERESADAS:

Estos avisos deberán satisfacer dos requisitos de procedimiento separados pero relacionados para las actividades que realizará el Condado de Riverside. Cualquier individuo, grupo o agencia que presente comentarios debe especificar en sus comentarios qué "aviso" de su dirección de comentarios.

#### SOLICITUD DE LIBERACIÓN DE FONDOS

En o alrededor del 28 de noviembre de 2023, el Condado de Riverside presentará una solicitud a la Oficina Local de Los Angeles del Departamento de Vivienda y Desarrollo Urbano de los Estados Unidos (HUD) para la liberación de Vales Basados en Proyectos (PBV) del Programa de Vales de Elección de Vivienda (HCVP) para llevar a cabo el circulator prevente. siguiente proyecto:

#### NOMBRE DEL PROYECTO: Oak View Ranch Senior Apartments

PROPÓSITO: La actividad del proyecto incluye la asignación de 8 PBV para ser utilizados por National Community Renaissance of California, un desarrollador de viviendas asequibles, para servir como subsidio de alquiler para Oak View Ranch Senior Apartments, un complejo de apartamentos asequibles para hogares de baios ingresos que consistirá en 81 unidades de alquiler asequibles y una unidad de gerente. Los PBV se reservarán para hogares con ingresos iguales o inferiores al 30% del ingreso medio del área. Las unidades restantes se restringirán a los ingresos medios del área entre el 30% y el 60%. El proyecto general consistirá en un edificio compuesto por 72 unidades de un dormitorio. 8 unidades de dos dormitorios y 1 unidad de tres dormitorios. Las unidades de un dormitorio son de aproximadamente 522 pies cuadrados, las unidades de tres dormitorios son de aproximadamente 71 pies cuadrados. El Proyecto Propuesto es la Fase II de un desarrollo de dos fases que consiste en un desarrollo total de viviendas multifamiliares de 200 unidades. Los opartamentos familiares Oak View Ranch constan de 119 unidades y los aportamentos para personas mayores Oak View Ranch, como se indica en este documento, consisten en un saldo de 81 unidades. Las comodidades del hotel incluyen piscina al aire libre y casa club, parque infantil, centro comunitario, jardin comunitario, media cancha de libre y casa club, parque infantil, centro comunitario, lardín comunitario, media cancha de baloncesto, estaciones de fitness al aire libre y áreas de conversación, espacio verde que admite mascotas, área de barbacoa con mesas, Boys & Girls Club y un centro para personas mayores. Además, el proyecto incluirá la preservación del roble existente en el sitio y la integración de los paseos peatonales propuestos.

UBICACIÓN: 24960 Adams Avenue en la Ciudad de Murrieta. La Fase II se construirá en aproximadamente 1.47 acres del sitio de 6.22 acres de terreno vacante propiedad de la Ciudad de Murrieta, más específicamente identificado como Parcela Tasadora Número 906-080-018

Esta actividad puede llevarse a cabo durante varios años.

#### CONSTATACIÓN DE QUE NO HAY IMPACTO SIGNIFICATIVO

El Condado de Riverside ha determinado que el proyecto no tendrá un impacto significativo en el medio ambiente humano. Por lo tanto, no se requiere una Declaración de Impacto Ambiental bajo la Ley de Política Ambiental Nacional de 1969 (NEPA). La información adicional del proyecto está contenida en la Evaluación Ambiental (EA) archivada en Housing and Workforce Solutions en 3403 Tenth Street, Suite 300, Riverside, California 92501. El EA puede ser examinado o copiado entre las 8:00 a.m. y las 5:00 p.m., de lunes a viernes, excepto en caso de día festivo. El EA también se puede descargar en la siguiente dirección de sitio web https://rivcohws.org/.

#### COMENTARIOS PÚBLICOS

Cualquier individuo, grupo o agencia puede enviar comentarios por escrito sobre el EA y la Solicitud de liberación de fondos al Departamento de Vivienda y Workforce Solutions, Atención: Diana Acosta en 3403 Tenth Street, Suite 300 Riverside, California 92501 o enviar comentarios por correo electrónico a Diacosta@rivco.org. Todos los comentarios recibidos en la dirección especificada **anteriormente en o antes del 28 de noviembre de 2023** serán considerados por el Condado de Riverside antes de presentar una solicitud de liberación de fondos. Los comentarios deben especificar a qué Aviso se dirigen.

#### LIBERACIÓN DE FONDOS

El Condado de Riverside certifica a la Oficina Local de HUD en Los Ángeles que Kevin Jeffries en su calidad de Presidente de la Junta de Supervisores acepta la jurisdicción de los tribunales federales si se presenta una acción para hacer cumplir las responsabilidades en relación con el proceso de revisión ambiental y que estas responsabilidades se han cumplido. La aprobación de HUD de la certificación satisface sus responsabilidades baio NEPA y las leyes y autoridades relacionadas y permite a la Autoridad de Vivienda del Condado de Riverside asignar los vales basados en proyectos del programa para el proyecto propuesto.

#### **OBJECIONES A LA LIBERACIÓN DE FONDOS**

HUD aceptará objeciones a su liberación de fondos y la certificación del Condado de Riverside por un período de quince días después de la fecha de presentación anticipada o su recepción real de la solicitud (lo que ocurra más tarde) solo si se basan en uno de los siguientes:

- la certificación no fue ejecutada por el Oficial Certificador del Condado de Riverside; α.
- Riverside; el Condado de Riverside ha omitido un paso o no ha tomado una decisión o hallazgo requerido por las regulaciones de HUD en 24 CFR parte 58; el beneficiario de la subvención ha comprometido fondos o incurrido en costos no autorizados por 24 CFR Part 58 antes de la aprobación de una liberación de fondos por parte de HUD; o otra agencia federal que actúa de conformidad con 40 CFR Parte 1504 ha presentado una conclusión por escrito de que el proyecto no es satisfactorio desde el punto de vieta de la calidad ambiental. c.
- d. vista de la calidad ambiental.

Las objeciones deben prepararse y enviarse por correo electrónico de acuerdo con los procedimientos requeridos (24 CFR Parte 58, Sec. 58.76) y deben dirigirse a la siguiente Oficina de Campo de HUD Los Angeles, Oficina de Vivienda Pública en HUDLOSANGELESOPH@hud.gov. Los posibles objetores deben comunicarse con las Oficinas Locales de HUD Los Angeles por correo electrónico para verificar el último día real del período de objeción. Press-Enterprise Published: 11/13/23