SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA



ITEM: 3.19 (ID # 24039) MEETING DATE: Tuesday, April 02, 2024

FROM: HOUSING AND WORKFORCE SOLUTIONS:

SUBJECT: HOUSING AND WORKFORCE SOLUTIONS (HWS): Adoption of Environmental Assessment Report and Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) for Vista Heights Apartments Affordable Housing Project Located in the City of Murrieta, Pursuant to the National Environment Policy Act (NEPA), and Approval of Request for Release of Funds from U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD); District 3. [100% Housing Choice Voucher Program Project Based Vouchers - \$0]

RECOMMENDED MOTION: That the Board of Supervisors:

 Adopt the attached Environmental Assessment (EA) Report and Findings incorporated in the EA and in the Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) for Vista Heights Apartments Affordable Housing Project (Proposed Project), pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), and conclude that the Proposed Project is not an action which may affect the quality of the environment;

Continued on Page 2

ACTION:Policy

di Marshall, Directo Jashall 3/12/

MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

On motion of Supervisor Spiegel, seconded by Supervisor Gutierrez and duly carried by unanimous vote, IT WAS ORDERED that the above matter is approved as recommended.

Ayes:

Jeffries, Spiegel, Washington, Perez and Gutierrez

Nays:

Date:

None None

Absent:

April 2, 2024

XC:

HWS

0.4

Kimberly A. Rector

Clerk of the Board

SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

RECOMMENDED MOTION: That the Board of Supervisors:

- 2. Approve the attached Request for Release of Funds (RROF) for Housing Choice Voucher Program (HCVP) Project Based Vouchers for the Proposed Project;
- 3. Authorize the Chair of the Board of Supervisors to execute the attached EA on behalf of the County;
- 4. Authorize the Chair of the Board of Supervisors to execute the RROF to be filed with the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD); and
- Authorize the Director of Housing and Workforce Solutions (HWS), or designee, to take all
 necessary steps to implement the RROF, EA and FONSI, including, but not limited to,
 signing subsequent necessary and relevant documents, subject to approval as to form by
 County Counsel.

FINANCIAL DATA	Current Fiscal Year:	Next Fiscal Year:	To	otal Cost:	Ongoing Cost	
COST	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	
NET COUNTY COST	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$ 0	
SOURCE OF FUNDS Based Vouchers – 100			Project	Budget Adjustment: No		
				For Fiscal Ye	ar: 23/24-24/25	

C.E.O. RECOMMENDATION: Approve

BACKGROUND:

Summary

Alliant Strategic Development, LLC, a California limited liability company (Developer), was awarded a total of eight (8) Housing Choice Voucher Program (HCVP) Project-Based Vouchers (PBVs) for the Vista Heights Affordable Housing (Proposed Project) through a competitive Request for Proposals released by the Housing Authority of the County of Riverside (HACR) on October 27, 2022. Developer will form a limited partnership for the Proposed Project for the purpose of developing and financing new construction of a 214-unit multi-family development affordable for low-income families, that will provide permanent supportive housing to eight (8) individuals. The Proposed Project will consist of a total 104 one-bedroom units, 56 two-bedroom, 54 three-bedroom units, and 2 resident manager units.

The PBVs will serve as a rental subsidy for 8 units reserved for individuals and families who are experiencing homelessness, at risk of homelessness, or experiencing housing insecurity whose incomes do not exceed 30% of the area median income who will receive supportive services from Riverside University Health System Behavioral Health. Referrals for these units will come from the County of Riverside Coordinated Entry System (CES). The Proposed Project is located on approximately 7.1 acres on 25342 Jackson Ave, 40720 Vista Murrieta, and 40740 Vista

SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Murrieta in the City of Murrieta, California, 92562, identified as Assessor's Parcel Numbers 949-190-020, 949-190-021, and 949-190-024 (Property). The Housing Authority will enter into an Agreement to Enter into Housing Assistance Payments (AHAP) with Developer, subject to approval by the Board of Commissioners.

The PBVs have an estimated value of \$3,588,480 in rental subsidies over the 20-year term of the agreement that may be extended for an additional 20 years. The estimated total development cost is \$94,569,445. Permanent sources for financing include the following:

Permanent Sources	
Land	4,400,000
Acquisition Costs	88,600
Architecture/Engineering	1,365,000
Impact Fees/Permits	8,671,066
Const. Loan Interest	9,352,354
Other Soft Costs	3,809,240
Offsites	1,500,000
Hard Costs	48,484,200
Contingency	4,727,541
Reserves	1,326,688
Developer Fee	10,844,756
Total	\$94,569,445

NEPA Review

The environmental effects of activities carried out with HOME funds must be assessed in accordance with National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and the related authorities listed in the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) implementing regulations at 24 CFR Parts 50 and 58, for responsible entities which must assume responsibility for environmental review, decision making, and action that normally apply to HUD. The County of Riverside, by and through its Housing and Workforce Solutions Department (HWS), is the responsible entity for purposes of the subject NEPA review. The County has completed all applicable environmental review procedures and has evaluated the potential effects of the Proposed Project on the environment pursuant to NEPA regulations. On February 27, 2024, the County completed an Environmental Assessment (County EA) and Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) for the Proposed Project (which is attached) and concluded that the Proposed Project activities are not actions that may affect the quality of the environment. Staff of HWS completed the County EA and FONSI pursuant to 24 CFR Section 58.40 (g)(1) and 40 CFR Section 1508.13.

SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

HUD also requires that the County complete and execute the attached Request for Release of Funds and Certification (RROF) when requesting the release of Housing Choice Voucher Program Project-Based Vouchers that are subject to the HUD environmental review process.

Public Notice of the Finding of No Significant Impact and Request for Release of Funds was published on March 15, 2024, pursuant to 24 Code of Federal Regulations Section 58.43, and is attached hereto.

Staff recommends that the Board approve and execute the attached Environmental Assessment, Environmental Assessment Determinations and Compliance Findings for HUD-Assisted Projects 24 CFR Part 58, and Request for Release of Funds.

Impact on Residents and Businesses

The development of 214 affordable rent units will have a positive impact on businesses and residents through the creation of jobs and affordable housing in Riverside County.

SUPPLEMENTAL:

Additional Fiscal Information

No impact upon the County's General Fund; the County's contribution to the Proposed Project is anticipated to include the Housing Choice Voucher Program (HCVP or Section 8) Project-Based Vouchers which are fully funded by the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development.

Attachments:

- County of Riverside FONSI and Environmental Assessment
- Request for Release of Funds HCVP Project Based Vouchers
- Public Notice FONSI/RROF and Proof of Publication

Sriannia Lontajo, Principal Management Analyst 3/27/2024

Paron Cattle Chief of Deputy County Council 3/25/2024

Request for Release of Funds and Certification

and Urban Development

U.S. Department of Housing N DOCUMENT IS FULLY EXECUTED RETURN CLERK'S COP(exp. 08/31/2023)

Office of Community Planning Verside County Clerk of the Board, Stop 1010 and Development Post Office Box 1147, Riverside, Ca 92502-1147 Thank you.

This form is to be used by Responsible Entities and Recipients (as defined in 24 CFR 58.2) when requesting the release of funds, and requesting the authority to use such funds, for HUD programs identified by statutes that provide for the assumption of the environmental review responsibility by units of general local government and States. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 36 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Part 1. Program Description and Request for Release of Funds (to be completed by Responsible Entity) 2. HUD/State Identification Number 3. Recipient Identification Number 1. Program Title(s) (optional) CA027 Housing Choice Voucher Program (HCVP) Project Based Vouchers (PBV) 4. OMB Catalog Number(s) 5. Name and address of responsible entity 14.871 County of Riverside, Board of Supervisors c/o Riverside County Housing and Workforce Solutions 3403 Tenth Street, Suite #300 Riverside, CA 92501 6. For information about this request, contact (name & phone number) Alicia Jaimes, 951-955-0783 7. Name and address of recipient (if different than responsible entity) 8. HUD or State Agency and office unit to receive request United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Same as Responsible Entity Community Planning and Development 300 N. Los Angeles Street, Suite 4054 The recipient(s) of assistance under the program(s) listed above requests the release of funds and removal of environmental grant conditions governing the use of the assistance for the following 9. Program Activity(ies)/Project Name(s) 10. Location (Street address, city, county, State) 25342 Jackson Ave, 40720 Vista Murrieta, and 40740 Vista Murrieta in the City of Murrieta, Riverside County CA. The parcel is identified as Assessor Parcel Numbers; 949-190-120, 949-190-021, and 949-190-024 HUD-Project Based Vouchers /Vista Heights Apartmnets

11. Program Activity/Project Description

The Project activity proposes the use of 8 Project Based Vouchers by Alliant Strategic Development, LLC a California limited liability corporation to construct 214-unit affordable housing project for low-income families, which includes 2 manager's units. The project will be comprised of one-hundred and four (104) one-bedroom units, fifty-six (56) two-bedroom, and fifty-four (54) three-bedroom units, with 2 units restricted as manager's units. The project will sit on approximately 7.1 acres located at 25342 Jackson Ave, 40720 Vista Murrieta, and 40740 Vista Murrieta in the City of Murrieta, Riverside County, CA. The parcel is identified as Assessor's Parcel Numbers 949-190-120, 949-190-021, and 949-190-024

212-unit multi-family development affordable for low-income families units will be restricted to families whose incomes do not exceed 30% AMI and 50% AMI. The 8 PBV's will provide permanent supportive housing and will be restricted to individuals and families who are experiencing homelessness, at risk of homeless, or experiencing housing insecurity whose income do not exceed 30% AMI. In addition to the Project Based Vouchers, other financing sources for the Project include \$4,000,000 in County ARPA Funds. The Project also anticipates applying for 4% Tax Exempt Bond Financing through CDLAC/CTCAC for an anticipated allocation date of 8/27/24.

Part 2. Environmental Certification (to be completed by responsible entity)

With reference to the above Program Activity(ies)/Project(s), I, the undersigned officer of the responsible entity, certify that:

- 1. The responsible entity has fully carried out its responsibilities for environmental review, decision-making and action pertaining to the project(s) named above.
- 2. The responsible entity has assumed responsibility for and complied with and will continue to comply with, the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended, and the environmental procedures, permit requirements and statutory obligations of the laws cited in 24 CFR 58.5; and also agrees to comply with the authorities in 24 CFR 58.6 and applicable State and local laws.
- 3. The responsible entity has assumed responsibility for and complied with and will continue to comply with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act, and its implementing regulations 36 CFR 800, including consultation with the State Historic Preservation Officer, Indian tribes and Native Hawaiian organizations, and the public.
- 4. After considering the type and degree of environmental effects identified by the environmental review completed for the proposed project described in Part 1 of this request, I have found that the proposal did did not require the preparation and dissemination of an environmental impact statement.
- 5. The responsible entity has disseminated and/or published in the manner prescribed by 24 CFR 58.43 and 58.55 a notice to the public in accordance with 24 CFR 58.70 and as evidenced by the attached copy (copies) or evidence of posting and mailing procedure.
- 6. The dates for all statutory and regulatory time periods for review, comment or other action are in compliance with procedures and requirements of 24 CFR Part 58.
- 7. In accordance with 24 CFR 58.71(b), the responsible entity will advise the recipient (if different from the responsible entity) of any special environmental conditions that must be adhered to in carrying out the project.

As the duly designated certifying official of the responsible entity, I also certify that:

- 8. I am authorized to and do consent to assume the status of Federal official under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and each provision of law designated in the 24 CFR 58.5 list of NEPA-related authorities insofar as the provisions of these laws apply to the HUD responsibilities for environmental review, decision-making and action that have been assumed by the responsible entity.
- 9. I am authorized to and do accept, on behalf of the recipient personally, the jurisdiction of the Federal courts for the enforcement of all these responsibilities, in my capacity as certifying officer of the responsible entity.

Signature of Certifying Officer of the Responsible Entity	Title of Certifying Officer			
	Chair, Riverside County Board of Supervisors			
	CHUCK WASHINGTON			
x Chuck Wot	Date signed ATTEST: KIMBERLY A. RECTOR, Cle			
Address of Certifying Officer	D. MARINA.			
	DEPLOY			
	DEFOIT			
Part 3. To be completed when the Recipient is not the Respon	sible Entity			
The recipient requests the release of funds for the programs and a	ctivities identified in Part 1 and agrees to abide by the special riew and to advise the responsible entity of any proposed change in			
The recipient requests the release of funds for the programs and a conditions, procedures and requirements of the environmental rev	ctivities identified in Part 1 and agrees to abide by the special riew and to advise the responsible entity of any proposed change in			
The recipient requests the release of funds for the programs and a conditions, procedures and requirements of the environmental rev the scope of the project or any change in environmental condition	ctivities identified in Part 1 and agrees to abide by the special view and to advise the responsible entity of any proposed change in is in accordance with 24 CFR 58.71(b).			

Warning: HUD will prosecute false claims and statements. Conviction may result in criminal and/or civil penalties. (18 U.S.C. 1001, 1010, 1012; 31 U.S.C. 3729, 3802)

FORM APPROVED COUNTY COUNSEL

BY 3/3/2029



U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development 451 Seventh Street, SW Washington, DC 20410

www.hud.gov espanol.hud.gov

Environmental Assessment Determinations and Compliance Findings for HUD-assisted Projects 24 CFR Part 58

Project Information

Project Name: Vista-Heights-Murrieta-Multi-Family

HEROS Number: 900000010342875

Project Location: 25342 Jackson Ave, Murrieta, CA 92562

Additional Location Information:

Project Site to include 25342 Jackson Avenue, 40740 Vista Murrieta, and 40720 Vista Murrieta and is comprised of APNs; 949-190-020, 949-190-021, 949-190-024.

Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 50.12 & 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.25]:

The project is a proposed 100% affordable multi-family residential development. The project site comprises three (3) parcels located at 25342 Jackson Avenue, 40740 Vista Murrieta, and 40720 Vista Murrieta and is comprised of APNs: 949-190-020, 949-190-021 and 949-190-024. The proposed project includes 214-unit multi-family development (which includes two manager's units) affordable rental housing complex for low-income families. The Proposed Project will consist of a total one-hundred and four (104) one-bedroom units, fifty-six (56) two-bedroom, and fifty-four (54) three-bedroom units, with 2 units restricted as manager's units. The Project is within a four-story building with a height of 48 feet. The proposed project includes 302 parking spaces and on-site amenities.

Funding Information

Grant Number	HUD Program	Program Name	
PBV3-22-022	Public Housing	Project-Based Voucher Program	\$3,588,480.00

Estimated Total HUD Funded Amount: \$3,588,480.00

Estimated Total Project Cost [24 CFR 58.2 (a) (5)]: \$94,569,445.00

Mitigation Measures and Conditions [CFR 1505.2(c)]:

Summarized below are all mitigation measures adopted by the Responsible Entity to reduce, avoid or eliminate adverse environmental impacts and to avoid non-compliance or non-conformance with the above-listed authorities and factors. These measures/conditions must be incorporated into project contracts, development agreements and other relevant documents. The staff responsible for implementing and monitoring mitigation measures should be clearly identified in the mitigation plan.

APR 0 2 2024 3.19

Law, Authority, or Factor	Mitigation Measure or Condition
Endangered Species Act	BIO-2 Pre-construction Burrowing Owl Surveys and Avoidance: A Qualified Biologist shall be hired to conduct a Burrowing Owl clearance survey due to the presence of suitable Burrowing Owl habitat encountered within and adjacent to the Project site. A Qualified Biologist shall follow the survey methods outlined in the Staff Report on Burrowing Owl Mitigation (CDFG, 2012). Surveys shall cover all portions of the Project site that were identified as suitable habitat. If raptors or other predators are present that may suppress Burrowing Owl activity, returning at another time or later date for a follow-up survey is recommended. If active burrows are observed, the Biologist shall demark a 500-foot protective buffer.
Hazards and Nuisances including Site Safety and Site-Generated Noise	Mitigation Measures NOISE-1: Construction activities shall be scheduled so as to avoid operating several pieces of equipment simultaneously, which causes high noise levels. The Project contractor shall use power construction equipment with state-of-the-art noise shielding and muffling devices to the extent feasible. NOISE-2: Noise and ground borne vibration construction activities whose specific location on the site may be flexible (e.g., operation of compressors and generators, cement mixing, general truck idling) shall be conducted as far as possible from the nearest noise- and vibration-sensitive land uses, and natural and/or manmade barriers (e.g., intervening construction trailers) shall be used to screen propagation of noise from such activities towards these land uses to the maximum extent possible. NOISE-3: A construction site notice shall be provided that includes the job site address, permit number, name and phone number of the contractor and owner or owner's agent, hours of construction allowed by code, and City telephone numbers where violations can be reported. The notice shall be posted and maintained at the construction site prior to the start of construction and displayed in a location that is readily visible to the public (Noise Analysis 40740 Vista Murrieta, Murrieta CA 92562 pg. 12). The Proposed Project would not create exposure of persons to or generation of excessive ground borne vibration or

03/12/2024 13:31 Page 2 of 5

ground borne noise levels. The Proposed Project is required to comply with the vibration standards of the City of Murrieta Municipal Code. No vibration exceeding these standards is anticipated to be generated by the proposed uses. Therefore, no impacts would occur. NOISE-4: The applicant shall utilize the quietest available equipment for loading and unloading activities, landscaping maintenance, and other operational activities that generate noise. NOISE-5: Loading and unloading activities and vehicle idling shall occur as far as possible from the nearest noise- and vibration-sensitive land uses. NOISE-6: The applicant shall install 5'-6" tubular fencing around the Project site along with robust vegetation in order to screen potential propagation of noise from the development towards sensitive residential land uses (Noise Analysis 40740 Vista Murrieta, Murrieta CA 92562 pg. 12). Therefore, less than significant impacts would occur. The Project site will require the demolition of existing single-family structures. All construction activity would be conducted in accordance with the permissible hours of construction as stated in Section 5.7 of the City of Murrieta Municipal Code (Code). Notwithstanding compliance with the Code, construction noise levels would result in a temporary and intermittent increase in ambient noise levels throughout the duration of the construction Vegetation / Wildlife (Introduction, BIO-1: Pre-construction Nesting Bird Survey: If Modification, Removal, Disruption, etc.) construction or other Project activities are scheduled to occur during the bird breeding season (February 1 through September 30), a preconstruction nesting bird survey shall be conducted for the proposed Project plus a 100-foot survey radius (where accessible) during nesting bird season by a Qualified Biologist to ensure that active bird nests will not be disturbed or destroyed. The survey shall be completed no more than three days prior to initial ground disturbance. If an active nest is observed, a no-work buffer shall be implemented around the nest of appropriate size for the nesting species and for the individual disturbance tolerance of the nesting pair. The no-work buffer shall be communicated to all personnel and shall be removed upon completion, or failure, of the nest. BIO-2: Pre-construction Burrowing Owl Surveys and

03/12/2024 13:31 Page 3 of 5

Avoidance: A Qualified Biologist shall be hired to conduct a Burrowing Owl clearance survey due to the presence of suitable Burrowing Owl habitat encountered within and adjacent to the Project site. A Qualified Biologist shall follow the survey methods outlined in the Staff Report on Burrowing Owl Mitigation (CDFG, 2012). Surveys shall cover all portions of the Project site that were identified as suitable habitat. If raptors or other predators are present that may suppress Burrowing Owl activity, returning at another time or later date for a followup survey is recommended. If active burrows are observed, the Biologist shall demark a 500-foot protective buffer. Cultural CR-1: In the event cultural resources or archaeological resources are inadvertently unearthed during excavation and grading activities of any future development project, the contractor shall cease all earth-disturbing activities within a 100-foot radius of the area of discovery. If not already retained, the Project proponent shall retain a qualified professional archaeologist, subject to approval by the City of Murrieta to evaluate the significance of the find and appropriate course of action. If avoidance of the resources is not feasible, requirements pursuant to Section 15064.5 of the CEQA Guidelines shall be followed. After the finding has been appropriately avoided or mitigated, work in the area may resume. CR-2: In the event that human remains are unearthed during excavation and grading activities of any future development project, all activity shall cease immediately. Pursuant to State Health and Safety Code Section 7050.5, no further disturbance shall occur until the County coroner has made the necessary findings as to origin and disposition pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 5097.98. If the remains are determined to be of Native American descent, the coroner shall within 24 hours notify the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC). The NAHC shall then contact the most likely descendant of the deceased Native American, who shall serve as consultant on how to proceed with the remains. Based on the findings in this study, if there are no significant unexpected discoveries during future construction, proposed future projects would not cause a substantial adverse change to the

03/12/2024 13:31 Page 4 of 5

significance of cultural resources as defined in
Section 15064.5.

Project Mitigation Plan

Mitigation MeasuresPro shall become conditions of approval that are regulated and monitored by The City of Murrieta. The Planning Department shall the lead Agency confirming the conditions have been satisfied pursuant the adopted Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Plan (MMRP).

Vista Murrieta ISMND 7-17-2023(1).pdf

-								
De	•	~	-	 2	••	^	-	
U	- L	eı		 10	ш	u		

×	Finding of No Significant Impact [24 CFR 58.40(g)(1); 40 CFR 1508.13] The project will not result in a significant impact on the quality of human environment		
	Finding of Significant Impact		
Preparer	Signature: Micia Jumos Date: 3/12/2024		

Name / Title / Organization: Aliçia Jajmes / / RIVERSIDE COUNTY

Certifying Officer Signature:

Name/ Title: Chuck Washington, Chair, Board of Supervisors

This original, signed document and related supporting material must be retained on file by the Responsible Entity in an Environment Review Record (ERR) for the activity / project (ref: 24 CFR Part 58.38) and in accordance with recordkeeping requirements for the HUD program(s).

ATTEST:

KIMBERLY A. RECTOR, Clerk

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development 451 Seventh Street, SW Washington, DC 20410 www.hud.gov espanol.hud.gov

Environmental Assessment Determinations and Compliance Findings for HUD-assisted Projects 24 CFR Part 58

Project Information

Project Name: Vista-Heights-Murrieta-Multi-Family

HEROS Number: 900000010342875

Responsible Entity (RE): RIVERSIDE COUNTY, 1151 Spruce St Riverside CA, 92507

RE Preparer: Alicia Jaimes

State / Local Identifier: CA

Certifying Officer: Chuck Washington

Grant Recipient (if different than Responsible Ent Alliant Strategic Development

ity):

PHA Code:

Point of Contact: Jordan Clark

Consultant (if applicabl Elevated Entitlements

e):

Point of Contact: Michael Brown

Project Location: 25342 Jackson Ave, Murrieta, CA 92562

Additional Location Information:

Project Site to include 25342 Jackson Avenue, 40740 Vista Murrieta, and 40720 Vista

Murrieta and is comprised of APNs; 949-190-020, 949-190-021, 949-190-024.

Direct Comments to:

Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 50.12 & 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.25]:

The project is a proposed 100% affordable multi-family residential development. The project site comprises three (3) parcels located at 25342 Jackson Avenue, 40740 Vista Murrieta, and 40720 Vista Murrieta and is comprised of APNs: 949-190-020, 949-190-021 and 949-190-024. The proposed project includes 214-unit multi-family development (which includes two manager's units) affordable rental housing complex for low-income families. The Proposed Project will consist of a total one-hundred and four (104) one-bedroom units, fifty-six (56) two-bedroom, and fifty-four (54) three-bedroom units, with 2 units restricted as manager's units. The Project is within a four-story building with a height of 48 feet. The proposed project includes 302 parking spaces and on-site amenities.

Statement of Purpose and Need for the Proposal [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]:

The primary purpose of the proposed project is to develop 214-unit multi-family development (which includes two manager's units) affordable rental housing complex for low-income families. The Proposed Project will consist of a total one-hundred and four (104) one-bedroom units, fifty-six (56) two-bedroom, and fifty-four (54) three-bedroom units, with 2 units restricted as manager's units. The City of Murrieta Housing Element, dated June 6, 2023 shows that there is more than sufficient demand for affordable housing. According to the Housing Element, 26.6 percent of households in Murrieta are either Extremely low, Very Low, or Low income. Extremely low-income households cannot afford market-rate rental or ownership housing in Murrieta without assuming a substantial cost burden, and low-income households in Murrieta would not be able to find adequately sized affordable apartment units. This project would assist the City with meeting housing needs for extremely low and low-income households.

Existing Conditions and Trends [24 CFR 58.40(a)]:

The Vista Murrieta Multi Family project is proposed at three (3) parcels located at 25342 Jackson Avenue, 40740 Vista Murrieta, and 40720 Vista Murrieta. The total acreage of the Project site is 7.1-acres of land located northeast corner of Walsh Center Drive and Sparkman Court, South of Vista Murrieta, CA 92562, in the City of Murrieta, Riverside County, California, identified as Assessor Parcel Numbers 949-190-020, 949-190-021, 949-190-024 (Property). and the site encompasses three parcels that are zoned O (Office) per the County of Riverside Municipal Code. The topography of the site varies with most of the site not having a slope greater than 20%. There are no known animal habitats other than the possibility Burrowing Owl, or historical features.

Maps, photographs, and other documentation of project location and description:

Vista Murreita 0033.JPG

Vista Murreita 0032.JPG

Vista Murreita 0031.JPG

Vista Murreita 0030.JPG

Vista Murreita 0029.JPG

Vista Murreita 0028.JPG

Vista Murreita 0027.JPG

Vista Murreita 0026.JPG

Vista Murreita 0025.JPG

Vista Murreita 0024.JPG

Vista Murreita 0023.JPG

Determination:

√	Finding of No Significant Impact [24 CFR 58.40(g)(1); 40 CFR 1508.13] The project will not result in a significant impact on the quality of human
	environment
	Finding of Significant Impact

Approval Documents:

7015.15 certified by Certifying Officer

on:

7015.16 certified by Authorizing Officer

on:

Funding Information

Grant / Project Identification Number	HUD Program	Program Name	Funding Amount
PBV3-22-022	Public Housing	Project-Based Voucher Program	\$2,951,040.00

Estimated Total HUD Funded,

\$2,951,040.00

Assisted or Insured Amount:

Estimated Total Project Cost [24 CFR 58.2 (a)

\$102,364,098.00

(5)]:

Compliance with 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5 and §58.6 Laws and Authorities

Compliance Factors:	Are formal	Compliance determination				
Statutes, Executive Orders, and	compliance steps	(See Appendix A for source				
Regulations listed at 24 CFR §50.4,	or mitigation	determinations)				
§58.5, and §58.6	required?					
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORD	STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS, AND REGULATIONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.6					
Airport Hazards	☐ Yes ☑ No	The project site is not within 15,000 feet				
Clear Zones and Accident Potential		of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a				
Zones; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D		civilian airport. The French Valley				
		Airport is the nearest commercial				
		airport, 3.7 miles from the project site in				
		Southwest Riverside County. Please see				
		the Vista Murrieta Airport Map attached				
		for reference. The project complies with				
Coastal Barrier Resources Act	☐ Yes ☑ No	Airport Hazards requirements.				
Coastal Barrier Resources Act, as	LI TES MINO	This project site is located 25 miles east of the Pacific Ocean. This project is				
amended by the Coastal Barrier		located in a state that does not contain				
Improvement Act of 1990 [16 USC		CBRS units. Therefore, this project is in				
3501]		compliance with the Coastal Barrier				
5552]		Resources Act.				
Flood Insurance	☐ Yes ☑ No	Based on the project description the				
Flood Disaster Protection Act of		project includes no activities that would				
1973 and National Flood Insurance		require further evaluation under this				
Reform Act of 1994 [42 USC 4001-		section. The project does not require				
4128 and 42 USC 5154a]		flood insurance or is excepted from				
		flood insurance. While flood insurance				
		may not be mandatory in this instance,				
		HUD recommends that all insurable				
		structures maintain flood insurance				
		under the National Flood Insurance				
		Program (NFIP). According to the				
		Southern California Association of				
		Governments, the project is located in				
		an area of minimal flood hazard. Please				
		see attached Vista Murrieta Flood				
		Hazard Map for reference. The project is in compliance with Flood Insurance				
		requirements.				
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORD	DERS. AND REGULATIO	NS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.5				
Air Quality	☐ Yes ☑ No	The project's county or air quality				
Clean Air Act, as amended,	_ 103 _ 140	management district is in non-				
c.ca / iii / ici, as amenaea,		attainment status for the following:				

particularly section 176(c) & (d); 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, 93		Lead, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Particulate Matter, <2.5 microns, Particulate Matter, <10 microns. This project does not exceed de minimis emissions levels or the screening level established by the state or air quality management district for the pollutant(s) identified above. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act.
Coastal Zone Management Act Coastal Zone Management Act, sections 307(c) & (d)	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project site is located 25 miles east of the Pacific Ocean and is not located in or does not affect a Coastal Zone as defined in the state Coastal Management Plan. The project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act.
Contamination and Toxic Substances 24 CFR 50.3(i) & 58.5(i)(2)]	☐ Yes ☑ No	Site contamination was evaluated as follows: ASTM Phase I ESA. On-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property were not found. The project is in compliance with contamination and toxic substances requirements.
Endangered Species Act Endangered Species Act of 1973, particularly section 7; 50 CFR Part 402	□ Yes ☑ No	This project May Affect, but is Not Likely to Adversely Affect, listed species, and informal consultation was conducted. With mitigation, identified in the mitigation section of this review, the project will be in compliance with the Endangered Species Act. Please see attached CNDDB map for reference to species list.
Explosive and Flammable Hazards Above-Ground Tanks)[24 CFR Part 51 Subpart C	☐ Yes ☑ No	As shown in the Phase 1 report attached below there are no current or planned stationary aboveground storage containers of concern within 1 mile of the project site. The project is in compliance with explosive and flammable hazard requirements.
Farmlands Protection Farmland Protection Policy Act of 1981, particularly sections 1504(b) and 1541; 7 CFR Part 658	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project does not include any activities that could potentially convert agricultural land to non-agricultural use. According to the California Important

Floodplain Management Executive Order 11988, particularly section 2(a); 24 CFR Part 55	☐ Yes ☑ No	Farmland Finder (attached below), the project complies with the Farmland Protection Policy Act and the Williamson Act. This project does not occur in a floodplain. The project complies with Executive Order 11988. According to the Southern California Association of Governments, the project is located in an area of minimal flood hazard. Please see attached Vista Murrieta Flood
Historic Preservation National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, particularly sections 106 and 110; 36 CFR Part 800	☐ Yes ☑ No	Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. A Cultural Resources Assessment conducted by EnviroPro Consulting, LLC dated April 2022. As part of the current archaeological study, 7.5 acres of land were inventoried to determine whether significant cultural resources would be affected by the proposed Project. The survey failed to identify any archaeological resources that indicated human activities older than 50 years of age; therefore, no significant impacts to previously documented or undiscovered cultural resources are expected as part of the proposed Project. The records search conducted by Pechanga did not reveal any known archaeological or tribally sensitive resources. In addition, the authorized Pechanga Monitor did not identify any tribally sensitive resources during the survey. Because the Project did not identify any significant historic or prehistoric sites, the Project meets and complies with local preservation policies regarding cultural resources in the City of Murrieta General Plan, Cultural Resource Preservation (Municipal Code, Title 16, Article III, Chapter 16.26). The project complies with Section 106.

		T T
Noise Abatement and Control Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities Act of 1978; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart B Sole Source Aquifers Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, as amended, particularly section 1424(e); 40 CFR Part 149	☐ Yes ☑ No	A Noise Assessment was conducted. The noise level was acceptable: 70.0 db. See noise analysis. The project is in compliance with HUD's Noise regulation. The project is not located on a sole source aquifer area. The project is in compliance with Sole Source Aquifer requirements. As show in the attached
		map the nearest Sole Source Aquifer is an estimated 69.3 miles south of the project site in southern San Diego County.
Wetlands Protection Executive Order 11990, particularly sections 2 and 5	□ Yes ☑ No	According to the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, National Wetlands Inventory the project site is outside of 500ft from two riverines. Please see the wetlands map attached for reference. The project will not impact on- or offsite wetlands. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11990.
Wild and Scenic Rivers Act Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968, particularly section 7(b) and (c)	□ Yes ☑ No	According to the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, National Wetlands Inventory the project site is outside of 500ft from two riverines. Please see the wetlands map attached for reference. This project is not within proximity of a NWSRS river. The project is in compliance with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act.
HUD HO	OUSING ENVIRONMEN	TAL STANDARDS
	ENVIRONMENTAL J	USTICE
Environmental Justice Executive Order 12898	□ Yes ☑ No	No adverse environmental impacts were identified in the project's total environmental review. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 12898.

Environmental Assessment Factors [24 CFR 58.40; Ref. 40 CFR 1508.8 &1508.27]

Impact Codes: An impact code from the following list has been used to make the determination of impact for each factor.

- (1) Minor beneficial impact
- (2) No impact anticipated

- (3) Minor Adverse Impact May require mitigation
- (4) Significant or potentially significant impact requiring avoidance or modification which may require an Environmental Impact Statement.

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
		LAND DEVELOPMENT	
Conformance with	1	Conformance with plans and	
Plans /		zoning: According to the City of	
Compatible Land		Murrieta Municipal and	
Use and Zoning /		General Plan (2025) the Project	
Scale and Urban		is zoned Office and has an	
Design		Office and Research Park	
		designation as part of the	
		General Plan Land Use. The	
		Project falls within a Transit	
		Oriented Districts (TOD)	
		Overlay. This overlay allows for	
		Multi-Family Residential uses.	
		In compliance with the	
		allowable uses in the TOD	
		overlay the Project meets the	
		Vista Murrieta General Plan	
		Land Use Element Policy LU-1.1	
		"Identify appropriate locations	
		for residential and non-	
		residential development to	
		accommodate growth through	
		the year 2035 on the General	
		Plan Land Use Policy Map	
		(Exhibit 3-4)". The Project	
		complies with all hazard	
		protection as described in	
		section IX of this ISMND	
		"Hazards and Hazardous	
		Materials", resource	
		preservation, and the TOD	
		Overlay District regulations.	
		Therefore, no impacts would	
		occur. Compatibility and Urban	
		Impact: The Proposed Project is	
		located within an area where	
		most of the surrounding parcels	
		are developed private	

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
SECOND SECTION OF SECOND SECOND PROCESSOR SECOND SE	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Assessment	Code		
Factor			
		properties. The nearest	
		development is an apartment	
		development along Walsh	
		Center Drive. The Proposed	
		Project site is not adjacent to a	
		scenic highway nor has any	
		scenic resources onsite. Given	
		the nature of the Proposed	
		Project, there would be	
		minimal obstruction to the	
		surrounding parcels. There are	
		no protected rock outcroppings	
		or historic buildings on the	
		Project site. Overall, the	
		Proposed Project would not	
		substantially damage scenic	
		resources, including, but not	
		limited to, trees, rock	
		outcroppings, and historic	
		buildings. The Proposed Project	
		would not physically divide an	
		established community,	
		because the Project is in an	
		area where there are existing	
		single family residences	
		immediately adjacent to the	
		Project Site. These areas are	
		zoned Office but are mainly	
		underdeveloped. Therefore, no	
		impacts would occur.	
Soil Suitability /	2	Soil Suitability: Subsurface	
Slope/ Erosion /		exploration was performed on	
Drainage and		Jan 17 and 20, 2022, using a	
Storm Water		truck-mounted hollow-stem-	
Runoff		auger drill rig to drill ten bores	
		throughout the site to a	
		maximum depth of 21 feet. The	
		exploratory logs and sample	
		descriptions were reconciled to	
		reflect laboratory test results	
		with regard to ASTM D 2487.	
		The earth materials	

Environmental	Images	Immost Frankrikan	B #141 41
Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Assessment	Code		
Factor			
		encountered included residual	
		topsoil and Pauba Formation	
		bedrock. Four infiltration tests	
		were conducted on Jan 24,	
		2022, using the double ring	
		infiltrometer test method to	
		evaluate near surface	
		infiltration rates. The	
		infiltration tests were located 6	
		feet below existing grades and	
		performed according to the	
		requirements of double ring	
		infiltration testing, ASTM D	
		3385, and Appendix A of the	
		Riverside County Santa	
		Margarita River Watershed	
*		Region Design Handbook. No	
		occur. The risks associated with	
		flooding and erosion will be	
		would be installed around the	
		perimeter of the Project to	
		100	
		400	
		Region Design Handbook. No signs of flooding or erosion occur. The risks associated with flooding and erosion will be evaluated and mitigated by the project design Civil Engineer during project plan check. The following best management practices will be implemented by the project design Civil Engineer: fiber rolls will be placed on the slopes, gravel bags will be utilized at any sump condition for protection of drainage inlets, silt fencing	

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Assessment	Code		
Factor			
		liquefaction are considered	
		"negligible." Groundwater was	
		not observed to a total depth of	
		21 feet, which meets the	
		minimum separation of 10 feet	
		from the bottom of infiltration	
		facility to the Earth Strata	
		Geotechnical Services 4 Mar 24,	
		2022, Project Number 214068-	
		12A groundwater mark.	
		According to the California	
		Geology Survey the project is	
		not located in an area with	
		historically high groundwater	
		conditions. Potential	
		groundwater impact is	
		considered low. However,	
		adherence to California	
		Building Code Seismic Design	
		Standards, Chapter 16:	
		Structural Design would further	
		assure a less than significant	
		impact due to liquefaction.	
		Therefore, less than significant	
		impacts would occur. Slope:	
		See attached report Erosion:	
		See Attached Report	
Hazards and	3	·	Mitigation Magguros
Nuisances	3	The Proposed Project would not store or release any	Mitigation Measures NOISE-1: Construction
			activities shall be scheduled
including Site		hazardous materials onsite. The	
Safety and Site-		Project site is not included on	so as to avoid operating
Generated Noise		the list of hazardous materials	several pieces of
		sites compiled pursuant to	equipment simultaneously,
		Government Code 65962.5.	which causes high noise
		Emissions and handling of	levels. The Project
		hazardous or acutely hazardous	contractor shall use power
		materials, or substances, would	construction equipment
		have a less than significant	with state-of-the-art noise
		impact on any existing or	shielding and muffling
		Proposed schools that are	devices to the extent
		within a quarter mile from the	feasible. NOISE-2: Noise
		Project site. The nearest school	and ground borne vibration

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Assessment		is located to the northeast and more than a half-mile away. The Project would not impair implementation of or physically interfere with an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan, because the Project has adequate access from two or more directions. Being in a sparsely populated location, the Project would not expose people or structures, either directly or indirectly, to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires. Therefore, no impacts would occur. Noise: The Project site consists of a 100% affordable multi-family apartment complex with an adjoining parking lot. Once operational, the dominant sources of operational noise during project operation would include cars entering and leaving the property on Walsh Center Drive and loading and unloading activities. Other potential noise sources would include landscaping maintenance, conversations in parking lots, vehicle doors closing, and car alarms.	construction activities whose specific location on the site may be flexible (e.g., operation of compressors and generators, cement mixing, general truck idling) shall be conducted as far as possible from the nearest noise- and vibrationsensitive land uses, and natural and/or manmade barriers (e.g., intervening construction trailers) shall be used to screen propagation of noise from such activities towards these land uses to the maximum extent possible. NOISE-3: A construction site notice shall be provided that includes the job site address, permit number, name and phone number of the contractor and owner or owner's agent, hours of construction allowed by code, and City telephone numbers where violations can be reported. The notice shall be posted and maintained at the construction site prior to the start of construction
		Activities that typically occur in parking lots can generate noise levels of between 49 dBA (tire squeals) and 74 dBA (car alarms) at 50 feet from the noise source. The Proposed Project has the potential to	the start of construction and displayed in a location that is readily visible to the public (Noise Analysis 40740 Vista Murrieta, Murrieta CA 92562 pg. 12). The Proposed Project
		impact sensitive receptors,	would not create exposure

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
		including single-family residences, located to the north, west, east, and south of the Project site. Although the single-family residences to the north, west, and east of the Project site are located more than 300 feet away, it is important to note that there are single-family residences located within 300 feet to the south of the Project site. Overall, due to the proximity of the Project to the single-family homes, Impacts can be less than significant with mitigation (Noise Analysis 40740 Vista Murrieta, Murrieta CA 92562 pg. 12).	of persons to or generation of excessive ground borne vibration or ground borne noise levels. The Proposed Project is required to comply with the vibration standards of the City of Murrieta Municipal Code. No vibration exceeding these standards is anticipated to be generated by the proposed uses. Therefore, no impacts would occur. NOISE-4: The applicant shall utilize the quietest available equipment for loading and unloading activities, landscaping maintenance, and other operational activities that generate noise. NOISE-5: Loading and unloading activities and vehicle idling shall occur as far as possible from the nearest noise- and vibration-sensitive land uses. NOISE-6: The applicant shall install 5'-6" tubular fencing around the Project site along with robust vegetation in order to screen potential propagation of noise from the development towards sensitive residential land uses (Noise Analysis 40740 Vista Murrieta, Murrieta CA 92562 pg. 12). Therefore, less than significant impacts would occur. The Project site will require the

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
			demolition of existing single-family structures. All construction activity would be conducted in accordance with the permissible hours of construction as stated in Section 5.7 of the City of Murrieta Municipal Code (Code). Notwithstanding compliance with the Code, construction noise levels would result in a temporary and intermittent increase in ambient noise levels throughout the duration of the construction
		SOCIOECONOMIC	the construction
Employment and Income Patterns	2	The City of Murrieta has a population of 118,734, with an average household income of 134,170, average household size of 3.2 and a median age of 38 according to data analyzed by Emsi (Emsi California Zips Emsi Q2 2019). The average household size in the City of Murrieta is 3.2 with 29% if those residents having bachelor's degrees or higher. The labor force is around 60,106 people and the city has approximately 36,306 housholds (Emsi California Zips Emsi Q2 2019). The primary retail trade area of the city generates about \$3,993,000,032 of revenue annually and the fastest growing industry in the city is construction (Emsi California Zips Emsi Q2 2019). Although	

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
		the City of Murrieta is one of the most affordable Cities in the southwestern Riverside County area the Proposed Project will be bringing in 213 affordable housing units to the immediate area providing more opportunity for those who cannot find an affordable option in the area. The Project itself is expected to take 12-16 months to construct with an expected starting date in Q4 of 2024. This will bring another opportunity for construction to the area. Which in turn will generate new economic activity as well as opportunities for housing for those who would not otherwise be able to afford housing in a safe and well managed place. Therefore, the impacts would be less than significant.	
Demographic Character Changes / Displacement	2	Demographic Character Changes: The Proposed Project would not induce significant population growth in the area with the development of a 100% affordable multi-family development. The Project would include the extension of roads and infrastructure, which will be consistent with the surrounding urbanized area. Therefore, the impacts would be less than significant. Displacement: The Proposed Project would remove two existing single-family homes from the property. However, a 100% affordable multi-family	

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
1 40001		development will be developed in order to contribute to	
		housing needs in the City of Murrieta and State of	
		California. Therefore, the	
		impacts would be less than	
		significant.	
Environmental	2	Project does not fall in	
Justice EA Factor	_	Disadvantage Community Zone	
Justice Ervindetor	COM	IMUNITY FACILITIES AND SERVI	CFS
Educational and	2	In accordance with Murrieta	
Cultural Facilities	_	Municipal Code Section	
(Access and		16.36.070 "School Facilities"	
Capacity)		"within 20 days of receipt of	
,,,		application for entitlement the	
		applicable school district shall	
		prepare a school impact	
		analysis". This analysis will	
		determine impacts on the	
		school district and demand for	
		schools in the area.	
Commercial	2	This Proposed Project will not	
Facilities (Access		include any commercial	
and Proximity)		facilities.	
Health Care /	1	Health Care: The Proposed	
Social Services		Project will be providing social	
(Access and		services, which will be	
Capacity)		described in the Social Services	
		section of this document. As	
		part of those social services the developer will be working	
		directly with the Riverside	
		University Health System	
		Behavioral Health. Social	
		Services: The Project is	
		increasing the amount of	
		available housing in the area	
		but not to the extent that the	
		public services in the area	
		would be negatively impacted.	
		This is based off of the will	
		serve letters received from all	

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
		local utilities as well as the Project being 100% affordable. The affordability of the Proposed Project will allow the population in the local area which lives below the poverty line an opportunity to live in an area with increasing home prices. According to Realtor.com the median listing home price is 649.9 thousand dollars. Finally, Alliant Strategic (the developer) proposes a variety of social welfare services. These services include adult education classes, health and wellness programs and skill building courses onsite. Alliant Strategic will be working with the Riverside University Health System - Behavioral Health (RUHS-BH) to provide wraparound service to the 8 tenants who have formerly experienced homelessness.	
Solid Waste Disposal and Recycling (Feasibility and Capacity)	2	The Trash Purveyor in the area around the Project site is Waste Management. The Project proposes to construct a 213-unit apartment complex. According to the Environmental Protection Agency the average American generates about 4.51 pounds of municipal solid waste per day. Under the assumption that each unit in the apartment complex has an average of 2 residents, and that they generate MSW at the national average rate, then the complex could generate around 1,926 pounds of trash per day.	

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
		The formula for this calculation is as follows (4.51 lbs. per person per day x 2 persons per unit X 213 units). According to the City of Murrieta General Plan Solid Waste section in 2009 the City of Murrieta Generated approximately 58,783 tons of solid waste. The proposed development would only generate an additional 351 tons of solid waste per year which would have a negligible impact on the solid waste system's annual throughput. Therefore, the impacts would have less than significant.	
Waste Water and Sanitary Sewers (Feasibility and Capacity)	2	be less than significant. The Proposed Project would not generate excessive excess wastewater nor significantly impact the capacity of local infrastructure. The Project is being proposed in an area that has already been developed and is capable of handling the waste generated. The Eastern Municipal Water District maintains both sewer main and water main lines, which run the length of Walsh Center Drive, both providing service to the Project site. Furthermore, a sewer will server was received from the Eastern Municipal Water District on October 25, 2022, stipulating that the Water District had the capacity to serve the sewage which is expected to be generated from the Proposed Project. Therefore, less than significant impacts would occur.	

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Water Supply (Feasibility and Capacity)	2	The Proposed Project would not violate any water quality standards or waste discharge	
Capacity		requirements. Running water	
		will be provided by accessing	
		the main waterline in the neighborhood public right of	
		way. The wastewater from the	
		Project will be properly	
		disposed of through the City's	
		sewer system. Additionally,	
		based on existing site	
		conditions and Proposed	
		Project activities, the Project	
		would alter some existing drainage patterns of the site.	
		However, these impacts do not	
		include the alteration of the	
		course of a stream or river	
		because no wetlands, streams	
		or riparian habitats were found	
		on site (Vista Murrieta	
		Biological Study pg. 9). The	
		Proposed Project will increase site discharge by 6.29 cfs and	
		the existing site does not retain	
		any storm water in the existing	
		condition (Walsh Center Drive	
		Multi-Family Condominium	
		Development Preliminary	
		Hydrology Report pg. 3).	
		Considering the augmentations	
		to the drainage from the Proposed Project released	
		flows into the city storm drain	
		system will not exceed the 90%	
		flow for an existing 100-year	
		storm event (Walsh Center	
		Drive Multi-Family	
		Condominium Development	
		Preliminary Hydrology Report	
		pg. 3). The increase in the rate	

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
		or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on, or off site has been accounted for and will be made negligible due to proper onsite drainage and adequate landscaping. Therefore, less than significant impacts would occur.	·
Public Safety - Police, Fire and Emergency Medical	2	Police: The population of the City of Murrieta is 118,734. The Murrieta Police Department has 106 Police Officers. The International City/County Management Association states that the average community has 1.8 to 2.6 officers per 1000 people. The City of Murrieta has a ratio of .8939. Although this ratio is lower than the average, the City of Murrieta has the lowest crime rates in Southwestern Riverside county. The Proposed Project will be constructing a four story 213-unit affordable multi-family residential apartment complex on a 7.1 gross acre site. According to the City of Murrieta General Plan 2035 EIR, the multi-family law enforcement mitigation fee is \$240.06 per unit. The Proposed Project law enforcement mitigation fee will be \$51,372.84. As individual projects are proposed within the City, the Murrieta Police Department service levels and staffing requirements would be evaluated to determine if additional staffing and/or	

Murrieta, CA

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
		facilities would be required. As	
		the proposed General Plan	
		buildout would occur over a 25-	
		year period, the Murrieta Police	
		Department would effectively	
		plan for increases in population and police protection service	
		demand. The following goals	
		and policies in the proposed	
		General Plan 2035 (Safety	
		Element Goals SAF-9, SAF-10,	
		SAF-11, and their associated	
		policies), and continued	
		collection of DIF Fees would	
		reduce impacts resulting from	
		the proposed General Plan	
		2035 to a less than significant	
		level. No service shortfall	
		requiring additional personnel	
		or equipment is anticipated as	
		a result of the implementation	
		of the proposed General Plan	
		2035. Therefore, less than	
		significant impacts would	
		occur. Fire: The proposed	
		Project will be constructing a	
		four story 213-unit affordable	
		multi-family residential	
		apartment complex on a 7.1	
		gross acre site. According to the	
		City of Murrieta General Plan 2035 EIR, the fire department	
		development fee for multi-	
		family dwellings is \$988.44 per	
		unit. The fire department	
		development fee for the	
		Proposed Project will be	
		\$211,526.16. Funding for fire	
		services and facilities would be	
		paid in part by developer fees	
		and general funds. This would	
		ensure that new developments	

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
		would not reduce the staffing, response times, or existing service levels within the City. Therefore, implementation of the proposed General Plan 2035 would result in less than less than significant impacts to fire protection and emergency services. As such, implementation of the Proposed General Plan 2035 would not result in cumulatively considerable fire protection impacts. Therefore, less than significant impacts would occur. Emergency Medical: The Proposed Project would not increase the demand for public services since the Project is within an urbanized area that has sufficient fire and police protection. The Project is located 0.7 miles away from the Temecula Valley Hospital, 2 miles from the Murrieta Police Department and 5.1 miles from the Murrieta Fire Department Station #5. Therefore, no	
Parks, Open Space and Recreation (Access and Capacity)	1	impacts would occur. Park: According to the City of Murrieta General Plan 2035, the City has adopted a standard of 5 acres of parkland per 1,000 residents. With the inclusion of the 115.48 acres of MVUSD facility open space, and the 40 acres of future opportunity sites, a total of 622.72 acres of parkland and open space would be available in the year 2035 for a population of 133,452. However, to meet the standard	

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Factor		of 5 acres per 1,000 residents, a total of 667.26 acres would be needed in the year 2035; therefore, there would be a deficit of 44.54 acres of parkland. The Project will pay a Parkland Development Impact fee of \$3,210.00 (\$15.00 per unit) assisting the city's parks and open spaces. The Proposed Project will include a children's play area reducing the Projects impact on surrounding	
		community parks Therefore, less than significant impacts would occur. Recreation: According to the City of Murrieta General Plan 2035, the City has adopted a standard of 5 acres of parkland per 1,000 residents. With the inclusion of the 115.48 acres of MVUSD facility open space, and the 40 acres of future opportunity sites, a total of 622.72 acres of parkland and open space would be available in the year 2035	
		for a population of 133,452. However, to meet the standard of 5 acres per 1,000 residents, a total of 667.26 acres would be needed in the year 2035; therefore, there would be a deficit of 44.54 acres of parkland. The Project will pay a Parkland Development Impact fee of \$3,210.00 (\$15.00 per unit) assisting the city's parks and open spaces. The Proposed Project will include a children's play area reducing the Projects impact on surrounding	

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
		community parks Therefore,	
		less than significant impacts	
		would occur.	
Transportation	2	A Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT)	
and Accessibility		screening memo describing the	
(Access and		potential traffic impacts were	
Capacity)		completed by Translutions Inc.	
		on February 17, 2022. Trip	
		generation for the Project was	
		based on rates for Land Use	
		223 "Affordable Housing" from	
		the ITE Trip Generation, 11th	
		Edition. The memorandum	
		concluded that the number of	
		vehicles traveled generated by	
		the Project would be less than	
		100 peak hour trips. In the	
		memorandum provided by	
		Transulations Inc. AM peak	
		hours trips for the Proposed	
		Project are estimated to be no	
		greater than 77 trips.	
		Additionally, PM peak hour	
		trips are anticipated to be no	
		greater than 98 total trips. Since the project generates less	
		than 100 trips, it remains below	
		the thresholds requiring a full	
		VMT Traffic Analysis. The	
		Proposed Project does not	
		meet thresholds for a full	
		Traffic Study and is therefore	
		consistent with the City's	
		General Plan. The Project	
		would not conflict or be	
		inconsistent with CEQA	
		Guidelines section 15064.3	
		subdivision (b) subsection (1)	
		Land Use Projects. As described	
		in subsection a) of the	
		Transportation section of this	
		report (2023 CEQA Guidelines	

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
		pg. 189). The project does not meet a threshold of significance as defined by ITE Trip Generation, 11th Edition which would require a VMT analysis. The project is also at the intersection of three major transit corridors that are all less than half a mile away. Those corridors being the Temecula Valley Freeway to the west, the Escondido Freeway to the northeast, and Murrieta Hot Springs Road to the southwest. Taking the proximity to major transportation corridors into account and the Project being below the thresholds of significance described above Therefore, impacts would be	
		less than significant.	
Unique Natural	2	NATURAL FEATURES Unique Natural Features:	
Features /Water Resources		According to Agricultural Resources, the California Department of Conservation, and Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program, the Proposed site is not within an area identified as Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, Farmland of Statewide Importance, or Farmland of Local Importance. As proposed, the Project would not convert Farmland to non-agricultural use. The Proposed Project would not result in the loss of forest land or conversion of forest land to non-forest use. The Proposed Project site is within an area that does not	

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
		contain forest lands. There is no	
		impact, and no further analysis	
		is warranted. The Proposed	
		Project would not involve other	
		changes in the existing	
		environment, which due to	
		their location or nature, could	
		result in conversion of	
		Farmland to non-agricultural	
		use. The Proposed Project site	
		does not contain forested	
		lands. There is no impact, and	
		no further analysis is	
		warranted. Therefore, no	
		impacts would occur. Water	
	4	Resources: The Project would	
		not substantially deplete	
		groundwater supplies nor	
		interfere substantially with	
		groundwater recharge such	
		that there would be a net	
		deficit in aquifer volume or a	
		lowering of the local	
		groundwater table level,	
		because the Proposed Project	
		will not be using a well to	
		supply running water. The	
		Proposed Project would not	
		create or contribute runoff water that would exceed the	
		capacity of existing or planned	
		storm water drainage systems. There would be adequate	
		capacity in the local and	
		regional drainage systems, so	
		that downstream properties	
		are not negatively impacted by	
		any increases or changes in	
		volume, velocity, or direction of	
		storm water flows originating	
		from or altered by the Project	
		in section d of the Hydrology	

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
		and Water Quality section. The	
		Proposed watershed is broken	
		down into five drainage areas.	
		Drainage area (DA 1) consists of	
		two subareas (DMA A1 and A2)	
		consisting of the pervious area	
		(landscaping and natural soil	
		type B) that drain directly	
		offsite to the public storm drain	
		system. This drainage area will	
		be treated as Self-Mitigating	
		area per the San Diego BMP	
		Manual. Drainage area (DA 2)	
		consists of the impervious	
		walkway and pervious	
		landscaping. The impervious	
		area is more than 5 percent of	
		the self-mitigating area and	
		also the pervious area is	
		composed of Hydrologic Soil Group B. Therefore, the DA 2	
		will be treated as Impervious	
		Area Dispersion SD-B (Self-	
		retaining). Drainage area (DA 3)	
		consists of landscaped area,	
		parking lot, walkway and half	
		portion of the building	
		structure. The drainage flows	
		north to south, where it is	
		captured in a drain inlet which	
		will then flow into the	
		underground chamber-1. The	
		chambers are sized for 10-year,	
		24-hour storms to comply with	
		HCOC Management to filter the	
		stormwater runoff. The runoff	
		from the drainage area (DA 4)	
		with subarea D will be collected	
		in the underground chambers 2	
		which is sized for 10-year, 24-	
		hour storm to comply with	
		HCOC Management to filter the	

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
		stormwater runoff. The roof runoff from this Drainage Area will be collected by roof gutters by others and discharge into the Proposed inlets, then eventually flow into the underground chamber-2. Drainage area (DA 5) with subarea DMA E include the walkway (PCC pavement), AC pavement and Natural Soil Type-B. This drainage will be flowing from the northeast to the southwest at a low point toward the end of Walsh Center Drive following the existing public storm drain system. Please refer to Figure 8 Drainage Management Areas for reference. See attached report	
Vegetation / Wildlife (Introduction, Modification, Removal, Disruption, etc.)	3	Elevated Entitlements LLC conducted a field survey on March 2, 2022, and found that the Project site provides very limited marginal nesting habitat for ground- and shrub-nesting bird species protected under the Migratory Birds Treaty Act (MBTA). Additionally, the subject site does not fall within an MSHCP Criteria Cell. Therefore, if construction occurs during the nesting bird season (February 1 to September 1), Mitigation Measure BIO-1 shall be implemented in order to ensure impacts are less than significant to potential nesting birds. In addition, the subject site has the potential for burrowing owl	BIO-1: Pre-construction Nesting Bird Survey: If construction or other Project activities are scheduled to occur during the bird breeding season (February 1 through September 30), a pre- construction nesting bird survey shall be conducted for the proposed Project plus a 100-foot survey radius (where accessible) during nesting bird season by a Qualified Biologist to ensure that active bird nests will not be disturbed or destroyed. The survey shall be completed no more than three days prior to initial ground

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
		species to forage on site and nest in adjacent areas. However, no Burrowing Owls were found onsite. Suitable burrows for Burrowing Owl were observed within the subject site during the field survey. Therefore, impacts to Burrowing Owl would be less than significant with the implementation of Mitigation Measure BIO-2. No potential jurisdictional waters features were observed within the subject site. Areas potentially subject to USACE, RWQCB, and CDFW jurisdiction were assessed during the literature review and field visit. No state or federally defined streams, swales, wetlands, vernal pools, or potential vernal pools are mapped by the United States Geological Services (USGS) within the subject site. Overall, with implementation of Mitigations Measures BIO-1 and BIO-2 potential impacts would be less than significant.	disturbance. If an active nest is observed, a no-work buffer shall be implemented around the nest of appropriate size for the nesting species and for the individual disturbance tolerance of the nesting pair. The no-work buffer shall be communicated to all personnel and shall be removed upon completion, or failure, of the nest. BIO-2: Pre-construction Burrowing Owl Surveys and Avoidance: A Qualified Biologist shall be hired to conduct a Burrowing Owl clearance survey due to the presence of suitable Burrowing Owl habitat encountered within and adjacent to the Project site. A Qualified Biologist shall follow the survey methods outlined in the Staff Report on Burrowing Owl Mitigation (CDFG, 2012). Surveys shall cover all portions of the Project site that were identified as suitable habitat. If raptors or other predators are present that may suppress Burrowing Owl activity, returning at another time or later date for a follow-up survey is recommended. If active burrows are observed, the Biologist shall demark a 500-foot protective buffer.

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Other Factors 1	2	Flood Disaster Protection Act: Based on existing site conditions and Proposed Project activities, the Project would alter some existing drainage patterns of the site. However, these impacts do not include the alteration of the course of a stream or river because no wetlands, streams or riparian habitats were found on site (Vista Murrieta Biological Study pg. 9). The Proposed Project would not have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations or by the California Department of Fish and Game or United States Fish and Wildlife Service because no such habitat has been identified or is known to exist on the Project site. The property supports three distinct vegetation types, ruderal, California buckwheat scrub along the paved road and a double olive tree row. The majority of the property is occupied by ruderal vegetation comprising a mixture of non- native Mediterranean grasses, foxtail brome and red-stemmed filaree. There are no defined watercourses on the site.	
		Therefore, no impacts would occur. The Proposed Project will increase site discharge by 6.29 cfs and the existing site	

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
		does not retain any storm water in the existing condition (Walsh Center Drive Multi- Family Condominium Development Preliminary Hydrology Report pg. 3). Considering the augmentations to the drainage from the Proposed Project released flows into the city storm drain system will not exceed the 90% flow for an existing 100-year storm event (Walsh Center Drive Multi-Family Condominium Development Preliminary Hydrology Report pg. 3). The increase in the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner which would result in flooding on or off site has been accounted for and will be made negligible due to proper onsite drainage and adequate landscaping. Therefore, less than significant impacts would occur.	
Other Factors 2	2	According to the Riverside County Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan Policy Document (201), the Proposed Project is not within the Airport Safety Review Area. The Project does not fall withing 500 to 1000 feet of an airport runway clear zone or a clear zone disclosure. The Project Site is located approximately three miles southwest from the French Valley Airport and it is not located within an Airport Land Use Plan or within two miles of a public use airport.	

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
		Therefore, the Proposed	
		Project would not result in a	
		safety hazard for people	
		residing or working in the	
		Project area. As a result, no	
		impacts would occur.	
		CLIMATE AND ENERGY	•
Climate Change	2	The proposed residential	
		development includes both	
		construction and operational	
		impacts. Construction impacts	
		include emissions associated	
		with site grading/preparation,	
		utilities installation,	
		construction of a building, and	
		paving. Operational impacts	
		include emissions associated	
		with the project, including	
		traffic, at full build-out. The air	
		quality and GHG analysis for	
		the proposed residential	
		development in Murrieta,	
		California evaluated emissions	
		associated with both the	
		construction and operation of	
		the project. Emissions	
		associated with construction	
		and operation were compared	
		with significance thresholds	
		developed by the SCAQMD,	
		which provide a conservative	
		means of evaluating whether	
		project emissions would cause	
		a significant impact on the	
		ambient air quality or whether	
		further evaluation is warranted.	
		Emissions associated with	
		construction and operation are	
		below the significance	
		thresholds for all criteria	
		pollutants as well as cumulative	
		GHG emissions. On December	

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
		8, 2015, Riverside County adopted their General Plan including a Climate Action Plan (CAP). This CAP contains guidance for Riverside County's greenhouse gas emissions. This plan provides a threshold of 3,000 metric tons (MT) of CO2e. The proposed project would generate an estimated total of 2,292.61 metric tons of CO2e emissions during construction. The SCAQMD recommends amortizing construction emissions over a period of 30 years to estimate the contribution of construction emissions over the project lifetime. Amortized over 30 years, the construction of the project will generate approximately 76.42 metric tons of CO2e.	
Energy Efficiency	2	The Proposed Project would develop a four-story multifamily building with 213 dwelling units. The Proposed Project is required to comply with the energy conservation standards established in Title 24 of the California Administrative Code. California's Energy Efficiency Standards for Residential Buildings located in Title 24, Part 6 of the California Code of Regulations and commonly referred to as "Title 24," which was established in 1978 in response to a legislative mandate to reduce California's	

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Assessment	Code	inipact Evaluation	iviitigation
	Code		
Factor			
		energy consumption. The	
		standards are updated	
		periodically to allow	
		consideration and possible	
		incorporation of new energy	
		efficiency technologies and	
		methods. California's Building	
		Energy Efficiency Standards are	
		updated on an approximately	
		three-year cycle. The 2019	
		Standards will continue to	
		improve upon the 2016	
		Standards for new construction of, and additions and	
		alterations to, residential and	
		nonresidential buildings. The effective date of the 2019	
		Standards was January 1, 2020.	
		• •	
		The Energy Efficiency Standards are a specific response to the	В
		mandates of AB 32 and to	
		pursue California energy policy	
		that energy efficiency is the	
		resource of first choice for	
		meeting California's energy	
		needs. The Proposed Project	
		includes energy efficiency	
		components to conserve	
		energy, which are detailed	
		below: Existing Infrastructure.	
		Electricity Southern California	
		Edison (SCE) provides electricity	
		to the City of Murrieta through	
		existing power lines located	
		throughout the city as well as a	
		network of substations located	
		throughout the area. An	
		example of the scale of the	
		substations in the area is	
		mentioned in the City of	
		Murrieta's General Plan	
		Infrastructure Element. The	

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Factor		Triton transmission substation is a 115/12 kilovolt facility located in the City of Temecula. SCE generates power through a variety of renewable means such as wind, solar, geothermal, and hydroelectric. Southern California Edison plans to have 80% of their grid supplied by Carbon free energy by 2030 according to The Clean Power and Electrification Pathway which the utility provider (SCE) published in 2017. The availability of electricity is dependent upon adequate generating capacity and adequate fuel supplies. Three letters dated 06-14-22 and sent for the three parcels making up the Project Site stated that SCE will have the capacity to service the site. The estimated power requirements for the Proposed Project are part of the total load growth forecast for the City of Murrieta and has been considered in the planned growth of Southern California Edison's power system. Because SCE will have the capacity to service the site and because SCE is in the midst of converting their existing power generation infrastructure to more sustainable carbon free means of power generation the potential energy impacts for the site would be less than	
		significant. Natural Gas See attached Report	

Supporting documentation

CNDDB.pdf

MurrietaReportReduced4-28-22.pdf

Vista Murrieta Noise Study(1).pdf

Vista Murrieta AQ-GHG with CEQA and Appendix(1).pdf

apsi 003990 19910117 plate1.pdf

VMT Memo Vista Murrieta.pdf

Vista Murrieta Traffic Impact Analysis.pdf

Vista Murrieta AQ-GHG with CEQA and Appendix.pdf

Additional Studies Performed:

Walsh Center Drive Multi-Family Condominium Development 40720 and 40740 Vista Murrieta and 25342 Jackson Preliminary Hydrology Report April 2022 Project Specific Water Quality Management Plan August 2022 Noise Analysis for 40740 Vista Murrieta, Murrieta, CA 92562 March 10, 2023 Vehicle Miles Traveled Memo Translution Inc. February 17, 2022 CULTURAL RESOURCES ASSESSMENT OF APPROXIMATELY 10.3 ACRES OF LAND OF THREE PARCELS (APN 949-190-020, 949-190-021, AND 949-190-024) in MURRIETA, RIVERSIDE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA April 2022 Vista Murrieta Biological Study July 15, 2022 Preliminary Summary Letter, Geotechnical Interpretive Multi-Family Residential Development Assessor's Parcel Number 949-190-020, 949-190-021, 190-190-024, Located at 40740 and 40720 Vista Murrieta and 25342 Jackson Avenue, City of Murrieta, Riverside County, California January 21, 2022 Preliminary Geotechnical Interpretive Multi-Family Residential Development Assessor's Parcel Number 949-190-020, 949-190-021, 190-190-024, Located at 40740 and 40720 Vista Murrieta and 25342 Jackson Avenue, City of Murrieta, Riverside County, California February 2, 2022

6-29-23 Elevated Murrieta bio rpt(2).pdf

Field Inspection [Optional]: Date and completed

by:

Alicia Jaimes

5/23/2023 12:00:00 AM

List of Sources, Agencies and Persons Consulted [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]:

Ricardo Montijo (Biologist) Data from Calflora, California Native Plant Society (CNPS) Inventory; the California Consortium of Herbaria; the Information, Planning, and Conservation System (IPaC); the Biogeographic Information & Observation System (BIOS); and the California Natural Diversity Data Base (CNDDB); U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps), Santa Ana Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB), and California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) regulations on sensitive biological resources and jurisdictional waters; and,

City of Murrieta Municipal Code City of Murrieta General Plan 2035 City of Murrieta General Plan Environmental Impact Report City of Murrieta General Plan Environmental Impact Report 05-03 Aesthetics California Department of Conservation Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program Western Riverside County Important Farmland Map 2018 California Emissions Estimator Model (CalEEMod; Version 2020.4.0 Southern California Air Quality Management District EPA.gov National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) https://www.epa.gov/npdes California Department of Conservation: Mineral Land Classification Maps https://maps.conservation.ca.gov/mineralresources/ Association of Environmental Professionals. 2007. Recommendations by the Association of Environmental Professionals (AEP) on How to Analyze Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Global Climate Change in CEQA Documents. June. California Air Pollution Control Officers Association, 2008, CEQA and Climate Change - Evaluating and Addressing Greenhouse Gas Emissions from Projects Subject to the California Environmental Quality Act. January 2022. CalEEMod Version: CalEEMod.2020.4.0 SCAQMD. 2014. CEQA Significance Thresholds.

http://www.aqmd.gov/docs/defaultsource/ceqa/handbook/scaqmd-air-quality-significance-thresholds.pdf?sfvrsn=2 U.S. EPA. 2006. The U.S. Inventory of Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks: Fast Facts.

www.epa.gov/climatechange/emissions/downloads06/06FastFacts.pdf. CALFIRE Fire Hazard Severity Zones, CALFIRE Fire and Resource Assessment Program California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection, CALFIRE Fire Hazard Severity Zone Maps Riverside County November 21, 2022,

https://calfireforestry.maps.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=4466cf1d 2b9947bea1d4269997e86553

List of Permits Obtained:

Public Outreach [24 CFR 58.43]:

n/a

Cumulative Impact Analysis [24 CFR 58.32]:

The parcel had very limited marginal nesting habitat for ground- and shrub-nesting bird species. The European olive tree double row running east-west along the northern boundary of the property may provide nesting habitat for birds. At the time of the survey, we observed apparent nesting behavior by at least one Anna's hummingbird (localized movements for foraging, continual returning to the same

general location in the olive tree row). In addition, there is potential foraging habitat on site for the sensitive bird species listed in Table 1 (see attached bio report), which includes suitable habitat on site (such as the double olive tree row) and on the adjacent properties.

Alternatives [24 CFR 58.40(e); 40 CFR 1508.9]

Alternative 1 No Project: California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) regulations require assessment of a No Project Alternative. This alternative has been analyzed to determine whether environmental impacts associated with the Project will be lessened if planned improvements are not constructed or operated in any form. noted above, the No Project Alternative would see the site conditions unchanged, which currently consist of a 213-unit 326,694 SF Multi-Family Apartment Complex which is 100% affordable. Impacts to the human environment would not occur as the lot is largely undeveloped. In turn impacts would likely not result from this alternative, specifically discussed in the above other sections reiterated in this report. As well as in the Initial Study of Mitigated Negative Declaration (ISMND) which was submitted to the City of Murrieta. Alternative 2 Reduced Project Footprint: With a reduced footprint, the following improvements would take place: situated on three lots totaling 295,333 square feet. With a reduced footprint The Project would significantly reduce grading, impacts to trees, as well as biological and cultural impacts. Although impacts could be significantly reduced, impacts could result from this alternative. Specifically, with a reduced footprint the Project would no longer meet its density requirements to receive investment from the federal government. The Proposed Project being 100 affordable would no longer be able to financially sustain itself which would make the Project unfeasible. This could in turn affect the City of Murrieta's contribution to the regional housing needs allocation (RHNA). And it could also have a relatively significant impact on the City of Murrieta's Housing Element. Alternative 3 Different Project Location: If the Project were to be removed from the City of Murrieta as previously stated there would be a relatively substantial impact to the housing needs of the City of Murrieta and to the local area. The Project is also a 100% affordable housing Project. This would provide options for people to live in the local area that would not be able to otherwise. According to US Census data the median household income in the City of Murrieta is \$91,654 annually and the median home value is \$620,000. The trends for home values are going up so affordable housing stock in an asset to not only the City of Murrieta but in the greater area. Moving the Project location out of the City of Murrieta could be detrimental to the area.

No Action Alternative [24 CFR 58.40(e)]

The Current Project site is located on three parcels in the City of Murrieta. The land is slightly developed with about 5 structures located on the property. Of the three parcels one is completely undeveloped vacant land. The Project site is located in

between Vista Murrieta and Walsh Center Drive located less than a half mile from the Temecula Freeway. If the Project were not to implement any of the recommendations laid out in the previous section of this document there would still be potential impacts to biological resources, potential impacts to cultural resources, transportation,

Summary of Findings and Conclusions:

We recommend that if construction will occur between February 1 and August 31 a qualified biologist conduct a breeding bird survey no more than three days prior to the start of construction to determine if nesting is occurring. If occupied nests are found, they shall not be disturbed unless the qualified biologist verifies through non-invasive methods that either (a) the adult birds have not begun egg-laying and incubation; or (b) the juveniles from the occupied nests are capable of independent survival. If the biologist is not able to verify one of the above conditions, then no disturbance shall occur within a distance specified by the qualified biologist for each nest or nesting site. The qualified biologist will determine the appropriate distance in consultation with the California Department of Fish and Wildlife and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

Mitigation Measures and Conditions [CFR 1505.2(c)]:

Summarized below are all mitigation measures adopted by the Responsible Entity to reduce, avoid or eliminate adverse environmental impacts and to avoid non-compliance or non-conformance with the above-listed authorities and factors. These measures/conditions must be incorporated into project contracts, development agreements and other relevant documents. The staff responsible for implementing and monitoring mitigation measures should be clearly identified in the mitigation plan.

Law, Authority, or Factor	Mitigation Measure or Condition	Comments on Completed Measures	Mitigation Plan	Complete
Endangered Species Act	BIO-2 Pre-construction Burrowing Owl Surveys and Avoidance: A Qualified Biologist shall be hired to conduct a Burrowing Owl clearance survey due to the presence of suitable Burrowing Owl habitat encountered within and adjacent to the Project site. A Qualified Biologist shall follow the survey methods outlined in the Staff Report on Burrowing Owl Mitigation (CDFG, 2012).	N/A	Mitigation Measures shall become conditions of approval that are regulated and monitored by The City of Murrieta.	

	Surveys shall cover all portions		
	of the Project site that were		
	identified as suitable habitat. If		
	raptors or other predators are		
	present that may suppress		
	Burrowing Owl activity,		
	returning at another time or		
	later date for a follow-up survey		
	is recommended. If active		
	burrows are observed, the		
	Biologist shall demark a 500-		
	foot protective buffer.		
Hazards and	Mitigation Measures NOISE-1:	N/A	Mitigation
Nuisances	Construction activities shall be		Measures
including Site	scheduled so as to avoid		shall become
Safety and	operating several pieces of		conditions of
Site-	equipment simultaneously,		approval that
Generated	which causes high noise levels.		are regulated
Noise	The Project contractor shall use		and
	power construction equipment		monitored by
	with state-of-the-art noise		The City of
	shielding and muffling devices		Murrieta.
	to the extent feasible. NOISE-2:		
	Noise and ground borne		
	vibration construction activities		
	whose specific location on the		
	site may be flexible (e.g.,		
	operation of compressors and		
	generators, cement mixing,		
	general truck idling) shall be		
	conducted as far as possible		
	from the nearest noise- and		
	vibration-sensitive land uses,		
	and natural and/or manmade		
	barriers (e.g., intervening		
	construction trailers) shall be		
	used to screen propagation of		
	noise from such activities		
	towards these land uses to the		
	maximum extent possible.		
	NOISE-3: A construction site		
	notice shall be provided that		
	includes the job site address,		
	permit number, name and		
	phone number of the		
	contractor and owner or		
	contractor and owner or		

owner's agent, hours of	
construction allowed by code,	
and City telephone numbers	
where violations can be	
reported. The notice shall be	
posted and maintained at the	
construction site prior to the	
start of construction and	
displayed in a location that is	
readily visible to the public	
(Noise Analysis 40740 Vista	
Murrieta, Murrieta CA 92562	
pg. 12). The Proposed Project	
would not create exposure of	
persons to or generation of	
excessive ground borne	
vibration or ground borne noise	
levels. The Proposed Project is	
required to comply with the	
vibration standards of the City	
of Murrieta Municipal Code. No	
vibration exceeding these	
standards is anticipated to be	
generated by the proposed	
uses. Therefore, no impacts	
would occur. NOISE-4: The	
applicant shall utilize the	
quietest available equipment	
for loading and unloading	
activities, landscaping	
maintenance, and other	
operational activities that	
generate noise. NOISE-5:	
Loading and unloading activities	
and vehicle idling shall occur as	
far as possible from the nearest	
noise- and vibration-sensitive	
land uses. NOISE-6: The	
applicant shall install 5'-6"	
tubular fencing around the	
Project site along with robust	
vegetation in order to screen	
potential propagation of noise	
from the development towards	
sensitive residential land uses	
(Noise Analysis 40740 Vista	

	Murrieta, Murrieta CA 92562 pg. 12). Therefore, less than significant impacts would occur. The Project site will require the demolition of existing single-family structures. All construction activity would be conducted in accordance with the permissible hours of construction as stated in Section 5.7 of the City of Murrieta Municipal Code (Code). Notwithstanding compliance with the Code, construction noise levels would result in a temporary and intermittent increase in ambient noise levels throughout the duration of the construction			
	significant impacts would occur. The Project site will require the demolition of existing single-family structures. All construction activity would be conducted in accordance with the permissible hours of construction as stated in Section 5.7 of the City of Murrieta Municipal Code (Code). Notwithstanding compliance with the Code, construction noise levels would result in a temporary and intermittent increase in ambient noise levels throughout the duration of the			
	The Project site will require the demolition of existing single-family structures. All construction activity would be conducted in accordance with the permissible hours of construction as stated in Section 5.7 of the City of Murrieta Municipal Code (Code). Notwithstanding compliance with the Code, construction noise levels would result in a temporary and intermittent increase in ambient noise levels throughout the duration of the			
	demolition of existing single-family structures. All construction activity would be conducted in accordance with the permissible hours of construction as stated in Section 5.7 of the City of Murrieta Municipal Code (Code). Notwithstanding compliance with the Code, construction noise levels would result in a temporary and intermittent increase in ambient noise levels throughout the duration of the			
	family structures. All construction activity would be conducted in accordance with the permissible hours of construction as stated in Section 5.7 of the City of Murrieta Municipal Code (Code). Notwithstanding compliance with the Code, construction noise levels would result in a temporary and intermittent increase in ambient noise levels throughout the duration of the			
	construction activity would be conducted in accordance with the permissible hours of construction as stated in Section 5.7 of the City of Murrieta Municipal Code (Code). Notwithstanding compliance with the Code, construction noise levels would result in a temporary and intermittent increase in ambient noise levels throughout the duration of the			
	conducted in accordance with the permissible hours of construction as stated in Section 5.7 of the City of Murrieta Municipal Code (Code). Notwithstanding compliance with the Code, construction noise levels would result in a temporary and intermittent increase in ambient noise levels throughout the duration of the			
	the permissible hours of construction as stated in Section 5.7 of the City of Murrieta Municipal Code (Code). Notwithstanding compliance with the Code, construction noise levels would result in a temporary and intermittent increase in ambient noise levels throughout the duration of the			
	construction as stated in Section 5.7 of the City of Murrieta Municipal Code (Code). Notwithstanding compliance with the Code, construction noise levels would result in a temporary and intermittent increase in ambient noise levels throughout the duration of the			
	Section 5.7 of the City of Murrieta Municipal Code (Code). Notwithstanding compliance with the Code, construction noise levels would result in a temporary and intermittent increase in ambient noise levels throughout the duration of the			
	Murrieta Municipal Code (Code). Notwithstanding compliance with the Code, construction noise levels would result in a temporary and intermittent increase in ambient noise levels throughout the duration of the			
	(Code). Notwithstanding compliance with the Code, construction noise levels would result in a temporary and intermittent increase in ambient noise levels throughout the duration of the			
	compliance with the Code, construction noise levels would result in a temporary and intermittent increase in ambient noise levels throughout the duration of the			
	construction noise levels would result in a temporary and intermittent increase in ambient noise levels throughout the duration of the			
	result in a temporary and intermittent increase in ambient noise levels throughout the duration of the			
	intermittent increase in ambient noise levels throughout the duration of the			
	ambient noise levels throughout the duration of the			
	throughout the duration of the			
	_			
	construction			
	55.150 450011			
Vegetation /	BIO-1: Pre-construction Nesting	N/A	Mitigation	
Wildlife	Bird Survey: If construction or		Measures	
(Introduction,	other Project activities are		shall become	
Modification,	scheduled to occur during the		conditions of	
Removal,	bird breeding season (February		approval that	
Disruption,	1 through September 30), a pre-		are regulated	
etc.)	construction nesting bird survey		and	
	shall be conducted for the		monitored by	
	proposed Project plus a 100-		The City of	
	foot survey radius (where		Murrieta.	
	accessible) during nesting bird			
	season by a Qualified Biologist			
	to ensure that active bird nests			
	will not be disturbed or			
	destroyed. The survey shall be			
	completed no more than three			
	days prior to initial ground			
	disturbance. If an active nest is			
	observed, a no-work buffer			
	shall be implemented around			
	the nest of appropriate size for			
	the nesting species and for the			
	individual disturbance tolerance			
	buffer shall be communicated			
	to all personnel and shall be			
	observed, a no-work buffer shall be implemented around the nest of appropriate size for the nesting species and for the individual disturbance tolerance of the nesting pair. The no-work			

	removed upon completion, or			
	failure, of the nest. BIO-2: Pre-			
	construction Burrowing Owl			
	Surveys and Avoidance: A			
	Qualified Biologist shall be hired			
	to conduct a Burrowing Owl			
	clearance survey due to the			
	presence of suitable Burrowing			
	Owl habitat encountered within			
	and adjacent to the Project site.			
	A Qualified Biologist shall follow			
	the survey methods outlined in			
	the Staff Report on Burrowing			
	Owl Mitigation (CDFG, 2012).			
	Surveys shall cover all portions			
	of the Project site that were			
	identified as suitable habitat. If			
	raptors or other predators are			
	present that may suppress			
	Burrowing Owl activity,			
returning at another time or				
	later date for a follow-up survey			
is recommended. If active				
	burrows are observed, the			
	Biologist shall demark a 500-			
	foot protective buffer.			
Cultural	CR-1: In the event cultural	N/A	CR-1: In the	
	resources or archaeological		event cultural	
	resources are inadvertently		resources or	
	unearthed during excavation		archaeological	
	and grading activities of any		resources are	
	future development project,		inadvertently	
	the contractor shall cease all		unearthed	
	earth-disturbing activities		during	
	within a 100-foot radius of the		excavation	
	area of discovery. If not already		and grading	
	retained, the Project proponent		activities of	
	shall retain a qualified		any future	
	professional archaeologist,		development	
	subject to approval by the City		project, the	
	of Murrieta to evaluate the		contractor	
	significance of the find and		shall cease all	
	appropriate course of action. If		earth-	
	avoidance of the resources is		disturbing	
			NO. 0 . 100	
	not feasible, requirements		activities within a 100-	

the CEOA Guidelines shall be followed. After the finding has been appropriately avoided or mitigated, work in the area may resume. CR-2: In the event that human remains are unearthed during excavation and grading activities of any future development project, all activity shall cease immediately. Pursuant to State Health and Safety Code Section 7050.5, no further disturbance shall occur until the County coroner has made the necessary findings as to origin and disposition pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 5097.98. If the remains are determined to be of Native American descent, the coroner shall within 24 hours notify the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC). The NAHC shall then contact the most likely descendant of the deceased Native American, who shall serve as consultant on how to proceed with the remains. Based on the findings in this study, if there are no significant unexpected discoveries during future construction, proposed future projects would not cause a substantial adverse change to the significance of cultural resources as defined in Section 15064.5.

foot radius of the area of discovery. If not already retained, the Project proponent shall retain a qualified professional archaeologist, subject to approval by the City of Murrieta to evaluate the significance of the find and appropriate course of action. If avoidance of the resources is not feasible, requirements pursuant to Section 15064.5 of the CEQA Guidelines shall be followed. After the finding has been appropriately avoided or mitigated, work in the area may resume. CR-2: In the event that human remains are unearthed during

excavation
and grading
activities of
any future
development
project, all
activity shall
cease
immediately.
Pursuant to
State Health
and Safety
Code Section
7050.5, no
further
disturbance
shall occur
until the
County
coroner has
made the
necessary
findings as to
origin and
disposition
pursuant to
Public
Resources
Code Section
5097.98. If
the remains
are
determined
to be of
Native
American
descent, the
coroner shall
within 24
hours notify
the Native
American
Heritage
Commission
(NAHC). The
NAHC shall

then contact
the most
likely
descendant of
the deceased
Native
American,
who shall
serve as
consultant on
how to
proceed with
the remains.
Based on the
findings in
this study, if
there are no
significant
unexpected
discoveries
during future
construction,
proposed
future
projects
would not
cause a
substantial
adverse
change to the
significance of
cultural
resources as
defined in
Section
15064.5.

Project Mitigation Plan

Mitigation MeasuresPro shall become conditions of approval that are regulated and monitored by The City of Murrieta. The Planning Department shall the lead Agency confirming the conditions have been satisfied pursuant the adopted Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Plan (MMRP).

Vista Murrieta ISMND 7-17-2023(1).pdf

Supporting documentation on completed measures

APPENDIX A: Related Federal Laws and Authorities

Airport Hazards

General policy	Legislation	Regulation
It is HUD's policy to apply standards to prevent incompatible development around civil airports and military airfields.		24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D

1. To ensure compatible land use development, you must determine your site's proximity to civil and military airports. Is your project within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport?

√ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload the map showing that the site is not within the applicable distances to a military or civilian airport below

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project site is not within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport. The French Valley Airport is the nearest commercial airport, 3.7 miles from the project site in Southwest Riverside County. Please see the Vista Murrieta Airport Map attached for reference. The project complies with Airport Hazards requirements.

Supporting documentation

Airport.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Coastal Barrier Resources

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD financial assistance may not be used for most activities in units of the Coastal Barrier Resources System (CBRS). See 16 USC 3504 for limitations on federal expenditures affecting the CBRS.	Coastal Barrier Resources Act (CBRA) of 1982, as amended by the Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990 (16 USC 3501)	

This project is located in a state that does not contain CBRA units. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act.

Compliance Determination

This project site is located 25 miles east of the Pacific Ocean. This project is located in a state that does not contain CBRS units. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

√ No

Flood Insurance

Multi-Family

Vista-Heights-Murrieta-

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Certain types of federal financial assistance may not be	Flood Disaster	24 CFR 50.4(b)(1)
used in floodplains unless the community participates	Protection Act of 1973	and 24 CFR 58.6(a)
in National Flood Insurance Program and flood	as amended (42 USC	and (b); 24 CFR
insurance is both obtained and maintained.	4001-4128)	55.1(b).

- 1. Does this project involve <u>financial assistance for construction, rehabilitation, or acquisition of a mobile home, building, or insurable personal property?</u>
 - ✓ No. This project does not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

4. While flood insurance is not mandatory for this project, HUD strongly recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). Will flood insurance be required as a mitigation measure or condition?

Yes

✓ No

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description the project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project does not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance. While flood insurance may not be mandatory in this instance, HUD recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). According to the Southern California Association of Governments, the project is located in an area of minimal flood hazard. Please see attached Vista Murrieta Flood Hazard Map for reference. The project is in compliance with Flood Insurance requirements.

Supporting documentation

Flood(3).pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Air Quality

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Clean Air Act is administered by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), which sets national standards on ambient pollutants. In addition, the Clean Air Act is administered by States, which must develop State Implementation Plans (SIPs) to regulate their state air quality. Projects funded by HUD must demonstrate that they conform to the appropriate SIP.	Clean Air Act (42 USC 7401 et seq.) as amended particularly Section 176(c) and (d) (42 USC 7506(c) and (d))	40 CFR Parts 6, 51 and 93

1.	Does your project include new construction or conversion of land use facilitating the
develo	opment of public, commercial, or industrial facilities OR five or more dwelling units?

✓	Yes

No

Air Quality Attainment Status of Project's County or Air Quality Management District

2. Is your project's air quality management district or county in non-attainment or maintenance status for any criteria pollutants?

No, project's county or air quality management district is in attainment status for all criteria pollutants.

✓ Yes, project's management district or county is in non-attainment or maintenance status for the following criteria pollutants (check all that apply):

Carbon Monoxide

- ✓ Lead
- ✓ Nitrogen dioxide

Sulfur dioxide

Murrieta, CA	90000010342875
	000000000100

Vista-Heights-Murrieta-Multi-Family

- ✓ Ozone
- ✓ Particulate Matter, <2.5 microns</p>
- ✓ Particulate Matter, <10 microns</p>
- 3. What are the *de minimis* emissions levels (40 CFR 93.153) or screening levels for the non-attainment or maintenance level pollutants indicated above

Lead	0.01	μg/m3 (micrograms per cubic meter of air)
Nitrogen dioxide	13.23	ppb (parts per billion)
Ozone	1.20	ppb (parts per million)
Particulate Matter, <2.5 microns	12.00	μg/m3 (micrograms per cubic meter of air)
Particulate Matter, <10 microns	325.00	μg/m3 (micrograms per cubic meter of air)

Provide your source used to determine levels here:

https://www.epa.gov/outdoor-air-quality-data/monitor-values-report

- 4. Determine the estimated emissions levels of your project. Will your project exceed any of the de minimis or threshold emissions levels of non-attainment and maintenance level pollutants or exceed the screening levels established by the state or air quality management district?
 - ✓ No, the project will not exceed de minimis or threshold emissions levels or screening levels.

Enter the estimate emission levels:

Lead	0.00	μg/m3 (micrograms per cubic meter of air)
Nitrogen dioxide	10.14	ppb (parts per billion)
Ozone	0.00	ppb (parts per million)
Particulate Matter, <2.5	1.19	μg/m3 (micrograms per cubic
microns		meter of air)
Particulate Matter, <10	2.41	μg/m3 (micrograms per cubic
microns		meter of air)

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes, the project exceeds de minimis emissions levels or screening levels.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project's county or air quality management district is in non-attainment status for the following: Lead, Nitrogen dioxide, Ozone, Particulate Matter, <2.5 microns, Particulate Matter, <10 microns. This project does not exceed de minimis emissions levels or the screening level established by the state or air quality management district for the pollutant(s) identified above. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Coastal Zone Management Act

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Federal assistance to applicant agencies for activities affecting any coastal use or resource is granted only when such activities are consistent with federally approved State Coastal Zone Management Act Plans.	Coastal Zone Management Act (16 USC 1451-1464), particularly section 307(c) and (d) (16 USC 1456(c) and (d))	15 CFR Part 930

1. Is the project located in, or does it affect, a Coastal Zone as defined in your state Coastal Management Plan?

Yes

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project site is located 25 miles east of the Pacific Ocean and is not located in or does not affect a Coastal Zone as defined in the state Coastal Management Plan. The project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Contamination and Toxic Substances

Vista-Heights-Murrieta-

Multi-Family

General requirements	Legislation	Regulations
It is HUD policy that all properties that are being		24 CFR 58.5(i)(2)
proposed for use in HUD programs be free of		24 CFR 50.3(i)
hazardous materials, contamination, toxic		
chemicals and gases, and radioactive		
substances, where a hazard could affect the		
health and safety of the occupants or conflict		
with the intended utilization of the property.		

- 1. How was site contamination evaluated? Select all that apply. Document and upload documentation and reports and evaluation explanation of site contamination below.
- ✓ American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA)
 ASTM Phase II ESA
 Remediation or clean-up plan
 ASTM Vapor Encroachment Screening
 None of the Above
- 2. Were any on-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances found that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property? (Were any recognized environmental conditions or RECs identified in a Phase I ESA and confirmed in a Phase II ESA?)
 - ✓ No

Explain:

based upon limited observations of the adjoining properties from publicly accessible locations, as well as a review of federal, state, and local environmental databases, none of the adjoining properties appeared to have significantly environmentally impacted the subject property at this time.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

Compliance Determination

Site contamination was evaluated as follows: ASTM Phase I ESA. On-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property were not found. The project is in compliance with contamination and toxic substances requirements.

Supporting documentation

Phase 1 - Murrieta small v6.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Endangered Species

General requirements	ESA Legislation	Regulations
Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA)	The Endangered	50 CFR Part
mandates that federal agencies ensure that	Species Act of 1973	402
actions that they authorize, fund, or carry out	(16 U.S.C. 1531 et	
shall not jeopardize the continued existence of	seq.); particularly	
federally listed plants and animals or result in	section 7 (16 USC	
the adverse modification or destruction of	1536).	
designated critical habitat. Where their actions		
may affect resources protected by the ESA,		
agencies must consult with the Fish and Wildlife		
Service and/or the National Marine Fisheries		
Service ("FWS" and "NMFS" or "the Services").		

1. Does the project involve any activities that have the potential to affect specifies or habitats?

No, the project will have No Effect due to the nature of the activities involved in the project.

No, the project will have No Effect based on a letter of understanding, memorandum of agreement, programmatic agreement, or checklist provided by local HUD office

- ✓ Yes, the activities involved in the project have the potential to affect species and/or habitats.
- 2. Are federally listed species or designated critical habitats present in the action area?

No, the project will have No Effect due to the absence of federally listed species and designated critical habitat

- ✓ Yes, there are federally listed species or designated critical habitats present in the action area.
- 3. What effects, if any, will your project have on federally listed species or designated critical habitat?

No Effect: Based on the specifics of both the project and any federally listed species in the action area, you have determined that the project will have absolutely no effect on listed species or critical habitat. in the action area.

✓ May Affect, Not Likely to Adversely Affect: Any effects that the project may have on federally listed species or critical habitats would be beneficial, discountable, or insignificant.

Likely to Adversely Affect: The project may have negative effects on one or more listed species or critical habitat.

4. Informal Consultation is required

Section 7 of ESA (16 USC. 1536) mandates consultation to resolve potential impacts to endangered and threatened species and critical habitats. If a HUD-assisted project may affect any federally listed endangered or threatened species or critical habitat, then compliance is required with Section 7. See 50 CFR Part 402 Subpart B Consultation Procedures.

Did the Service(s) concur with the finding that the project is Not Likely to Adversely Affect?

✓ Yes, the Service(s) concurred with the finding.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload the following below:

- (1) A biological evaluation or equivalent document
- (2) Concurrence(s) from FWS and/or NMFS
- (3) Any other documentation of informal consultation

Exception: If finding was made based on procedures provided by a letter of understanding, memorandum of agreement, programmatic agreement, or checklist provided by local HUD office, provide whatever documentation is mandated by that agreement.

No, the Service(s) did not concur with the finding.

- 6. For the project to be brought into compliance with this section, all adverse impacts must be mitigated. Explain in detail the exact measures that must be implemented to mitigate for the impact or effect, including the timeline for implementation. This information will be automatically included in the Mitigation summary for the environmental review. If negative effects cannot be mitigated, cancel the project using the button at the bottom of this screen.
 - ✓ Mitigation as follows will be implemented:

BIO-2 Pre-construction Burrowing Owl Surveys and Avoidance: A Qualified Biologist shall be hired to conduct a Burrowing Owl clearance survey due to the presence of suitable Burrowing Owl habitat encountered within and adjacent to the Project site. A Qualified Biologist shall follow the survey methods outlined in the Staff Report on Burrowing Owl Mitigation (CDFG, 2012). Surveys shall cover all portions of the Project site that were identified as suitable habitat. If raptors or other predators are present that may suppress Burrowing Owl activity, returning at another time or later date for a follow-up survey is recommended. If active burrows are observed, the Biologist shall demark a 500-foot protective buffer.

No mitigation is necessary.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project May Affect, but is Not Likely to Adversely Affect, listed species, and informal consultation was conducted. With mitigation, identified in the mitigation section of this review, the project will be in compliance with the Endangered Species Act. Please see attached CNDDB map for reference to species list.

Supporting documentation

CNDDB(1).pdf 6-29-23 Elevated Murrieta bio rpt.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Explosive and Flammable Hazards

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD-assisted projects must meet Acceptable Separation Distance (ASD)	N/A	24 CFR Part 51 Subpart C
requirements to protect them from explosive and flammable hazards.		

1.	Is the proposed HUD-assisted project itself the development of a hazardous facility (a
facility	that mainly stores, handles or processes flammable or combustible chemicals such as
bulk fu	el storage facilities and refineries)?

✓ No Yes

2. Does this project include any of the following activities: development, construction, rehabilitation that will increase residential densities, or conversion?

No

✓ Yes

- 3. Within 1 mile of the project site, are there any current or planned stationary aboveground storage containers that are covered by 24 CFR 51C? Containers that are NOT covered under the regulation include:
- Containers 100 gallons or less in capacity, containing common liquid industrial fuels OR
- Containers of liquified petroleum gas (LPG) or propane with a water volume capacity of 1,000 gallons or less that meet the requirements of the 2017 or later version of National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) Code 58.

If all containers within the search area fit the above criteria, answer "No." For any other type of aboveground storage container within the search area that holds one of the flammable or explosive materials listed in Appendix I of 24 CFR part 51 subpart C, answer "Yes."

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

As shown in the Phase 1 report attached below there are no current or planned stationary aboveground storage containers of concern within 1 mile of the project site. The project is in compliance with explosive and flammable hazard requirements.

Supporting documentation

Phase 1 - Murrieta small v6(1).pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Farmlands Protection

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation	
The Farmland Protection	Farmland Protection Policy	7 CFR Part 658	
Policy Act (FPPA) discourages	Act of 1981 (7 U.S.C. 4201		
federal activities that would	et seq.)		
convert farmland to			
nonagricultural purposes.			

Does your project include any activities, including new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, that could convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use?

Yes

✓ No

If your project includes new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, explain how you determined that agricultural land would not be converted:

View attached map

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project does not include any activities that could potentially convert agricultural land to non-agricultural use. According to the California Important Farmland Finder (attached below), the project complies with the Farmland Protection Policy Act and the Williamson Act.

Supporting documentation

Farmland Protection Act.pdf Farm(1).pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

√ No

Floodplain Management

General Requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11988,	Executive Order 11988	24 CFR 55
Floodplain Management,		
requires federal activities to		
avoid impacts to floodplains		
and to avoid direct and		
indirect support of floodplain		
development to the extent		
practicable.		

1. Do any of the following exemptions apply? Select the applicable citation? [only one selection possible]

55.12(c)(3)

55.12(c)(4)

55.12(c)(5)

55.12(c)(6)

55.12(c)(7)

55.12(c)(8)

55.12(c)(9)

55.12(c)(10)

55.12(c)(11)

✓ None of the above

2. Upload a FEMA/FIRM map showing the site here:

Flood(3).pdf

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) designates floodplains. The FEMA Map Service Center provides this information in the form of FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs). For projects in areas not mapped by FEMA, use **the best available information** to determine floodplain information. Include documentation, including a discussion of why this is the best available information for the site.

Does your project occur in a floodplain?

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project does not occur in a floodplain. The project complies with Executive Order 11988. According to the Southern California Association of Governments, the project is located in an area of minimal flood hazard. Please see attached Vista Murrieta Flood Hazard Map for reference.

Supporting documentation

Flood(2).pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

√ No

Historic Preservation

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Regulations under	Section 106 of the	36 CFR 800 "Protection of Historic
Section 106 of the	National Historic	Properties"
National Historic	Preservation Act	https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/CF
Preservation Act	(16 U.S.C. 470f)	R-2012-title36-vol3/pdf/CFR-2012-title36-
(NHPA) require a		vol3-part800.pdf
consultative process		
to identify historic		
properties, assess		
project impacts on		
them, and avoid,		
minimize, or mitigate		
adverse effects		

Threshold

Is Section 106 review required for your project?

No, because the project consists solely of activities listed as exempt in a Programmatic Agreement (PA). (See the PA Database to find applicable PAs.) No, because the project consists solely of activities included in a No Potential to Cause Effects memo or other determination [36 CFR 800.3(a)(1)].

✓ Yes, because the project includes activities with potential to cause effects (direct or indirect).

Step 1 – Initiate Consultation Select all consulting parties below (check all that apply):

- ✓ State Historic Preservation Offer (SHPO) Completed
- ✓ Advisory Council on Historic Preservation Not Required
- ✓ Indian Tribes, including Tribal Historic Preservation Officers (THPOs) or Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs)
 - ✓ Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Completed Indians

Other Consulting Parties

Describe the process of selecting consulting parties and initiating consultation here:

Tribes were consulted based off of HUD Tribal directory assistance tool. All tribes listed in TDAT were contacted via letter.

Document and upload all correspondence, notices and notes (including comments and objections received below).

Was the Section 106 Lender Delegation Memo used for Section 106 consultation?

Yes

No

Step 2 - Identify and Evaluate Historic Properties

1. Define the Area of Potential Effect (APE), either by entering the address(es) or uploading a map depicting the APE below:

Tentative Parcel Map (TPM) 2022-2568

In the chart below, list historic properties identified and evaluated in the APE. Every historic property that may be affected by the project should be included in the chart.

Upload the documentation (survey forms, Register nominations, concurrence(s) and/or objection(s), notes, and photos) that justify your National Register Status determination below.

Address / Location	National Register	SHPO Concurrence	Sensitive
/ District	Status		Information

Additional Notes:

City of Murrieta conducted outreach

2. Was a survey of historic buildings and/or archeological sites done as part of the project?

✓	Yes
	1 03

Document and upload surveys and report(s) below. For Archeological surveys, refer to HP Fact Sheet #6, Guidance on Archeological Investigations in HUD Projects.

Additional Notes:

No

Step 3 –Assess Effects of the Project on Historic Properties

Only properties that are listed on or eligible for the National Register of Historic Places receive further consideration under Section 106. Assess the effect(s) of the project by applying the Criteria of Adverse Effect. (36 CFR 800.5)] Consider direct and indirect effects as applicable as per guidance on direct and indirect effects.

Choose one of the findings below - No Historic Properties Affected, No Adverse Effect, or Adverse Effect; and seek concurrence from consulting parties.

No Historic Properties Affected

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload concurrence(s) or objection(s) below.

Document reason for finding:

✓ No historic properties present.

Historic properties present, but project will have no effect upon them.

No Adverse Effect

Adverse Effect

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. A Cultural Resources Assessment conducted

by EnviroPro Consulting, LLC dated April 2022. As part of the current archaeological study, 7.5 acres of land were inventoried to determine whether significant cultural resources would be affected by the proposed Project. The survey failed to identify any archaeological resources that indicated human activities older than 50 years of age; therefore, no significant impacts to previously documented or undiscovered cultural resources are expected as part of the proposed Project. The records search conducted by Pechanga did not reveal any known archaeological or tribally sensitive resources. In addition, the authorized Pechanga Monitor did not identify any tribally sensitive resources during the survey. Because the Project did not identify any significant historic or prehistoric sites, the Project meets and complies with local preservation policies regarding cultural resources in the City of Murrieta General Plan, Cultural Resource Preservation (Municipal Code, Title 16, Article III, Chapter 16.26). The project complies with Section 106.

Supporting documentation

Cultural Resource Study.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Noise Abatement and Control

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD's noise regulations protect	Noise Control Act of 1972	Title 24 CFR 51
residential properties from		Subpart B
excessive noise exposure. HUD	General Services Administration	
encourages mitigation as	Federal Management Circular	
appropriate.	75-2: "Compatible Land Uses at	
	Federal Airfields"	

- 1. What activities does your project involve? Check all that apply:
 - ✓ New construction for residential use

NOTE: HUD assistance to new construction projects is generally prohibited if they are located in an Unacceptable zone, and HUD discourages assistance for new construction projects in Normally Unacceptable zones. See 24 CFR 51.101(a)(3) for further details.

Rehabilitation of an existing residential property

A research demonstration project which does not result in new construction or reconstruction

An interstate land sales registration

Any timely emergency assistance under disaster assistance provision or appropriations which are provided to save lives, protect property, protect public health and safety, remove debris and wreckage, or assistance that has the effect of restoring facilities substantially as they existed prior to the disaster None of the above

4. Complete the Preliminary Screening to identify potential noise generators in the vicinity (1000' from a major road, 3000' from a railroad, or 15 miles from an airport).

Indicate the findings of the Preliminary Screening below:

There are no noise generators found within the threshold distances above.

✓ Noise generators were found within the threshold distances.

5. Complete the Preliminary Screening to identify potential noise generators in the

 ✓ Acceptable: (65 decibels or less; the ceiling may be shifted to 70 decibels in circumstances described in §24 CFR 51.105(a))

Indicate noise level here: 70

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload noise analysis, including noise level and data used to complete the analysis below.

Normally Unacceptable: (Above 65 decibels but not exceeding 75 decibels; the floor may be shifted to 70 decibels in circumstances described in §24 CFR 51.105(a))

Unacceptable: (Above 75 decibels)

HUD strongly encourages conversion of noise-exposed sites to land uses compatible with high noise levels.

Check here to affirm that you have considered converting this property to a non-residential use compatible with high noise levels.

Indicate noise level here: 70

Document and upload noise analysis, including noise level and data used to complete the analysis below.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

A Noise Assessment was conducted. The noise level was acceptable: 70.0 db. See noise analysis. The project is in compliance with HUD's Noise regulation.

Supporting documentation

Vista Murrieta Noise Study.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Sole Source Aquifers

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974	Safe Drinking Water	40 CFR Part 149
protects drinking water systems	Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C.	
which are the sole or principal	201, 300f et seq., and	
drinking water source for an area	21 U.S.C. 349)	
and which, if contaminated, would		
create a significant hazard to public		
health.		

1.	Does the project consist solely of acquisition, leasing, or rehabilitation of an existing
buildin	g(s)?

Yes

√ No

2. Is the project located on a sole source aquifer (SSA)?

A sole source aquifer is defined as an aquifer that supplies at least 50 percent of the drinking water consumed in the area overlying the aquifer. This includes streamflow source areas, which are upstream areas of losing streams that flow into the recharge area.

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload documentation used to make your determination, such as a map of your project (or jurisdiction, if appropriate) in relation to the nearest SSA and its source area, below.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project is not located on a sole source aquifer area. The project is in compliance with Sole Source Aquifer requirements. As show in the attached map the nearest Sole

Source Aquifer is an estimated 69.3 miles south of the project site in southern San Diego County.

Supporting documentation

Sole Source Aquifers .png

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Wetlands Protection

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11990 discourages direct or	Executive Order	24 CFR 55.20 can be
indirect support of new construction impacting	11990	used for general
wetlands wherever there is a practicable		guidance regarding
alternative. The Fish and Wildlife Service's		the 8 Step Process.
National Wetlands Inventory can be used as a		
primary screening tool, but observed or known		
wetlands not indicated on NWI maps must also		
be processed Off-site impacts that result in		
draining, impounding, or destroying wetlands		
must also be processed.		

1. Does this project involve new construction as defined in Executive Order 11990, expansion of a building's footprint, or ground disturbance? The term "new construction" shall include draining, dredging, channelizing, filling, diking, impounding, and related activities and any structures or facilities begun or authorized after the effective date of the Order

No

- ✓ Yes
- 2. Will the new construction or other ground disturbance impact an on- or off-site wetland? The term "wetlands" means those areas that are inundated by surface or ground water with a frequency sufficient to support, and under normal circumstances does or would support, a prevalence of vegetative or aquatic life that requires saturated or seasonally saturated soil conditions for growth and reproduction. Wetlands generally include swamps, marshes, bogs, and similar areas such as sloughs, potholes, wet meadows, river overflows, mud flats, and natural ponds.

"Wetlands under E.O. 11990 include isolated and non-jurisdictional wetlands."

✓ No, a wetland will not be impacted in terms of E.O. 11990's definition of new construction.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload a map or any other relevant documentation below which explains your determination

Yes, there is a wetland that be impacted in terms of E.O. 11990's definition of new construction.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

According to the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, National Wetlands Inventory the project site is outside of 500ft from two riverines. Please see the wetlands map attached for reference. The project will not impact on- or off-site wetlands. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11990.

Supporting documentation

wetlands.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Wild and Scenic Rivers Act

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act	The Wild and Scenic Rivers	36 CFR Part 297
provides federal protection for	Act (16 U.S.C. 1271-1287),	
certain free-flowing, wild, scenic	particularly section 7(b) and	
and recreational rivers	(c) (16 U.S.C. 1278(b) and (c))	
designated as components or		
potential components of the		
National Wild and Scenic Rivers		
System (NWSRS) from the effects		
of construction or development.		

1. Is your project within proximity of a NWSRS river?

✓ No

Yes, the project is in proximity of a Designated Wild and Scenic River or Study Wild and Scenic River.

Yes, the project is in proximity of a Nationwide Rivers Inventory (NRI) River.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

According to the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, National Wetlands Inventory the project site is outside of 500ft from two riverines. Please see the wetlands map attached for reference. This project is not within proximity of a NWSRS river. The project is in compliance with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act.

Supporting documentation

wetlands(1).pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Environmental Justice

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Determine if the project creates adverse environmental impacts upon a low-income or minority community. If it does, engage the community in meaningful participation	Executive Order 12898	negaration .
about mitigating the impacts or move the project.		

HUD strongly encourages starting the Environmental Justice analysis only after all other laws and authorities, including Environmental Assessment factors if necessary, have been completed.

1. Were any adverse environmental impacts identified in any other compliance review portion of this project's total environmental review?

Yes

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

No adverse environmental impacts were identified in the project's total environmental review. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 12898.

Supporting documentation

<u>Vista Murrieta ISMND 7-17-2023.pdf</u> 6-29-23 Elevated Murrieta bio rpt(1).pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes



HOUSING AUTHORITY of the County of Riverside

Main Office 5555 Arlington Avenue Riverside, CA 92504-2506 (951) 351-0700 Admin FAX (951) 688-6873 Housing FAX (951) 354-6324 TDD (951) 351-9844

February 22, 2024

Chrissy Gonzalez Press Enterprise P.O. Box 792 Riverside, CA 92502 VIA E-MAIL

Indio Office 44-199 Monroe, Suite B P.O. Box 1747 Indio, CA 92201-1747 (760) 863-2828 (760) 863-2838 FAX TDD (760) 863-2830

Website:harivco.org

Re: Legal Advertising

Dear Ms. Gonzalez:

We would like to have the attached document placed in the Public Notices Section of the Press Enterprise on Friday, March 15, 2024.

We will require an affidavit of publication and three copies of the affidavit to be sent to the Housing Authority of the County of Riverside, 5555 Arlington Avenue, Riverside, CA 92504 within 7 days to my attention. In addition, please send me the bill in triplicate. Bill legal advertisement to account #100141628.

Thank you for your attention and should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at (951) 955-5402 or majaimes@rivco.org.

Sincerely,

Alicia Jaimes Development Specialist

Attachment Public Notice Vista Heights FONSI and RROF

PUBLIC NOTICE

January 15, 2024

Housing and Workforce Solutions 5555 Arlington Avenue Riverside, California 92504 (760) 863-2541 Annjanette Aguilar

TO ALL INTERESTED AGENCIES, GROUPS, AND PERSONS:

These notices shall satisfy requirements for activities to be undertaken by the County of Riverside. Any individual, group or agency submitting comments should specify in their comments which "notice" their comments address.

REQUEST FOR RELEASE OF FUNDS

On or about January 30, 2024, the County of Riverside will submit a request to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Los Angeles Field Office for the release of Housing Choice Voucher Program (HCVP) Project Based Vouchers (PBVs) through the Housing Authority of the County of Riverside (HACR), to undertake the following project:

PROJECT NAME: Mulberry Gardens Apartments

PURPOSE: The project activity includes the allocation of 51 Project Based Vouchers by Eden Housing, Inc, a California nonprofit public benefit corporation to construct the two phases of Mulberry Gardens Apartments, a 209-unit affordable housing project for extremely low to low-income families and seniors. Phase I, Mulberry Gardens Senior Apartments, was awarded fourteen (14) Project Based Vouchers. Phase I, will be comprised of fifty-nine (59) units: fifty-eight (58) one-bedroom units and one (1) two-bedroom manager's unit. Phase II, Mulberry Gardens Family Apartments, was awarded thirty-seven (37) Project Based Vouchers. Phase II will be comprised of one hundred and fifty (159) units: 73 one-bedroom units; 39 two-bedroom units; and 38 three-bedroom units. The apartment units will be rented to extremely low to low-income families and seniors, restricted to incomes at or below 50% of the area median income for the County of Riverside.

LOCATION: The project site consists of an approximately 4.07 acres located at 2524 Mulberry Street, in the City of Riverside California identified as Assessor's Parcel Numbers 209-130-003.

This activity may be undertaken over multiple years.

FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

The County of Riverside has determined that the project will have no significant impact on the human environment. Therefore, an Environmental Impact Statement under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) is not required. Additional project information is contained in the Environmental Assessment (EA) on file at the Housing Authority of the County

of Riverside at 5555 Arlington Avenue, Riverside, California 92504. The EA may be downloaded at the following website address https://www.harivco.org/.

PUBLIC COMMENTS

Any individual, group, or agency may submit written comments on the EA and the Request for Release of Funds to the Department of Housing and Workforce Solutions, Attention: Annjanette Aguilar at 5555 Arlington Avenue, Riverside, California 92504 or email comments to AABarreras@Rivco.org. All comments received at the address specified above on or before January 30, 2024 will be considered by the County of Riverside prior to submission of a request for release of funds. Comments should specify which Notice they are addressing.

RELEASE OF FUNDS

The County of Riverside certifies to the HUD Los Angeles Field Office that the Chair of the Board of Supervisors consents to accept the jurisdiction of the Federal courts if an action is brought to enforce responsibilities in relation to the environmental review process and that these responsibilities have been satisfied. HUD's approval of the certification satisfies its responsibilities under NEPA and related laws and authorities and allows the County of Riverside Housing and Workforce Solutions to allocate Housing Choice Voucher Program Project Based Vouchers on behalf of the County of Riverside.

OBJECTIONS TO RELEASE OF FUNDS

HUD will accept objections to its release of funds and the County of Riverside's certification for a period of fifteen days following the anticipated submission date or its actual receipt of the request (whichever is later) only if they are on one of the following bases:

- a. the certification was not executed by the Certifying Officer of the County of Riverside;
- b. the County of Riverside has omitted a step or failed to make a decision or finding required by HUD regulations at 24 CFR part 58;
- c. the grant recipient has committed funds or incurred costs not authorized by 24 CFR Part 58 before approval of a release of funds by HUD; or
- d. another Federal agency acting pursuant to 40 CFR Part 1504 has submitted a written finding that the project is unsatisfactory from the standpoint of environmental quality.

Objections must be prepared and submitted via email in accordance with the required procedures (24 CFR Part 58, Sec. 58.76) and shall be addressed to the following HUD Los Angeles Field Office of Public Housing at HUDLOSANGELESOPH@hud.gov. Potential objectors should contact HUD Los Angeles Field Office via email to verify the actual last day of the objection period.

Invoice Text: PUBLIC NOTICE

March 15, 2024

Housing, and Workforce Solutions 3403 Tenth Street, Suite 300 Riverside, California 92501 (951) 955-0783 Alicia Jaimes

TO ALL INTERESTED AGENCIES, GROUPS, AND PERSONS:

These notices shall satisfy two separate but related procedural requirements for activities to be undertaken by the County of Riverside. Any individual, group or agency submitting comments should specify in their comments which "notice" their comments address.

REQUEST FOR RELEASE OF FUNDS

On or about April 2, 2024, the County of Riverside will submit a request to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Los Angeles Field Office for the release of Housing Choice Voucher Program (HCVP) Project Based Vouchers (PBVs) through the Housing Authority of the County of Riverside (HACR), to undertake the following project:

PROJECT NAME: Vista Heights Apartments

PURPOSE: The project activity includes the allocation of 8 PBVs to be utilized by Alliant Strategic Development, LLC to serve as a rental subsidy for Vista Heights Apartments affordable housing development. Vista Heights Apartments will consist of the construction of a 214-unit apartment complex that will provide permanent supportive housing to eight (8) individuals. The

214-unit housing complex will be a combination of 54 three-bedroom units, 56 two-bedroom, 104 one-bedroom, and 2 resident manager units. The units will be rented to low-income individuals and families earning between 30% and 50% of the area median income for the County of Riverside.

LOCATION: The Project site is located on 25342 Jackson Ave, 40720 Vista Murrieta, and 40740 Vista Murrieta in the City of Murrieta, Riverside County, CA. The parcel is identified as Assessor Parcel Numbers; 949-190-120, 949-190-021, and 949-190-024.

This activity may be undertaken over multiple years.

FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

The County of Riverside has determined that the project will have no significant impact on the human environment. Therefore, an Environmental Impact Statement under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) is not required. Additional project information is contained in the Environmental Assessment (EA) on file at the Department of Housing and Workforce Solutions at 3403 Tenth Street, Suite 300, Riverside, California 92501. The EA may be downloaded at the following website address https://www.harivco.org/.

PUBLIC COMMENTS

Any individual, group, or agency may submit written comments on the EA and the Request for Release of Funds to the Department of Housing, and Workforce Solutions, Attention: Alicia Jaimes at 3403 Tenth Street, Suite 300, Riverside, California 92501 or email comments to MAJaimes@rivco.org. All comments received at the address specified above on or before April 2, 2024 will be considered by the County of Riverside prior to submission of a request for release of funds. Comments should specify which Notice they are addressing.

RELEASE OF FUNDS

The County of Riverside certifies to the HUD Los Angeles Field Office that Chuck Washington in their capacity as the Chair of the Board of Supervisors consents to accept the jurisdiction of the Federal courts if an action is brought to enforce responsibilities in relation to the environmental review process and that these responsibilities have been satisfied. HUD 's approval of the certification satisfies its responsibilities under NEPA and related laws and authorities and allows the County of Riverside Housing and Workforce Solutions to allocate Housing Choice Voucher Program Project Based Vouchers on behalf of the County of Riverside.

OBJECTIONS TO RELEASE OF FUNDS

HUD will accept objections to its release of funds and the County of Riverside's certification for a period of fifteen days following the anticipated submission date or its actual receipt of the request (whichever is later) only if they are on one of the following bases:

- a. the certification was not executed by the Certifying Officer of the County of Riverside;
- b. the County of Riverside has omitted a step or failed to make a decision or finding required by HUD regulations at 24 CFR part 58;
- c. the grant recipient has committed funds or incurred costs not authorized by 24 CFR Part 58 before approval of a release of funds by HUD; or
- d. another Federal agency acting pursuant to 40 CFR Part 1504 has submitted a written finding that the project is unsatisfactory from the standpoint of environmental quality.

Objections must be prepared and submitted via email in accordance with the required procedures (24 CFR Part 58, Sec. 58.76) and shall be addressed to the following HUD Los Angeles Field Offices: (1) Office of Public Housing at <a href="https://hubblescopen.com/

Published The Press-Ennterprise March 15, 2024

r,LP1-12/16/16

3

The Press-Enterprise

3512 14th Street Riverside, CA 92501 Willoughby, OH 44096 951-368-9222 951-368-9018 FAX

5269086

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE HHPWS 3403 10TH STREET, SUITE 300 RIVERSIDE, CA 92501

Publication: The Press-Enterprise

PROOF OF PUBLICATION OF

Ad Desc: Vista Heights.FONSI and RROF

FILE NO. Vista Heights.FONSI and RROF

PROOF OF PUBLICATION

I am a citizen of the United States. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to or interested in the above entitled matter. I am an authorized representative of THE PRESS-ENTERPRISE, a newspaper in general circulation, printed and published daily in the County of Riverside, and which newspaper has been adjudicated a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of the County of Riverside, State of California, under date of April 25, 1952, Case Number 54446, under date of March 29, 1957, Case Number 65673, under date of August 25, 1995, Case Number 267864, and under date of September 16, 2013, Case Number RIC 1309013; that the notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy, has been published in said newspaper in accordance with the instructions of the person(s) requesting publication, and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, to wit:

03/15/2024

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct:

Date: March 15, 2024. At: Riverside California

Legal Advertising Representative, The Press-Enterprise

Legal No. 0011655296

Ad Copy:

PUBLIC NOTICE

March 15, 2024

Housing, and Workforce Solutions 3403 Tenth Street, Suite 300 Riverside, California 92501 (951) 955-0783 Alicia Jaimes

TO ALL INTERESTED AGENCIES, GROUPS, AND PERSONS:

These notices shall satisfy two separate but related procedural requirements for activities to be undertaken by the County of Riverside. Any individual, group or agency submitting comments should specify in their comments which "notice" their comments address.

REQUEST FOR RELEASE OF FUNDS

On or about April 2, 2024, the County of Riverside will submit a request to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Los Angeles Field Office for the release of Housing Choice Voucher Program (HCVP) Project Based Vouchers (PBVs) through the Housing Authority of the County of Riverside (HACR), to undertake the following project:

PROJECT NAME: Vista Heights Apartments

PURPOSE: The project activity includes the allocation of 8 PBVs to be utilized by Alliant Strategic Development, LLC to serve as a rental subsidy for Vista Heights Apartments affordable housing development. Vista Heights Apartments will consist of the construction of a 214-unit apartment complex that will provide permanent supportive housing to eight

(8) individuals. The 214-unit housing complex will be a combination of 54 three-bedroom units, 56 two-bedroom, 104 one-bedroom, and 2 resident manager units. The units will be rented to low-income individuals and families earning between 30% and 50% of the area median income for the County of Riverside.

LOCATION: The Project site is located on 25342 Jackson Ave, 40720 Vista Murrieta, and 40740 Vista Murrieta in the City of Murrieta, Riverside County, CA. The parcel is identified as Assessor Parcel Numbers; 949-190-120, 949-190-021, and 949-190-024.

This activity may be undertaken over multiple years.

FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

The County of Riverside has determined that the project will have no significant impact on the human environment. Therefore, an Environmental Impact Statement under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) is not required. Additional project information is contained in the Environmental Assessment (EA) on file at the Department of Housing and Workforce Solutions at 3403 Tenth Street, Suite 300, Riverside, California 92501. The EA may be downloaded at the following website address https://www.harivco.org/

PUBLIC COMMENTS

Any individual, group, or agency may submit written comments on the EA and the Request for Release of Funds to the Department of Housing, and Workforce Solutions, Attention: Alicia Jaimes at 3403 Tenth Street, Suite 300, Riverside, California 92501 or email comments to MAJaimes@rivco.org. All comments received at the address specified above on or before April 2, 2024 will be considered by the County of Riverside prior to submission of a request for release of funds. Comments should specify which Notice they are addressing.

RELEASE OF FUNDS

The County of Riverside certifies to the HUD Los Angeles Field Office that Chuck Washington in their capacity as the Chair of the Board of Supervisors consents to accept the jurisdiction of the Federal courts if an action is brought to enforce responsibilities in relation to the environmental review process and that these responsibilities have been satisfied. HUD's approval of the certification satisfies its responsibilities under NEPA and related laws and authorities and allows the County of Riverside Housing and Workforce Solutions to allocate Housing Choice Voucher Program Project Based Vouchers on behalf of the County of Riverside.

OBJECTIONS TO RELEASE OF FUNDS

HUD will accept objections to its release of funds and the County of Riverside's certification for a period of fifteen days following the anticipated submission date or its actual receipt of the request (whichever is later) only if they are on one of the following bases:

- a. the certification was not executed by the Certifying Officer of the County of Riverside;
 b. the County of Riverside has omitted a step or failed to make a decision or finding required by HUD regulations at 24 CFR part 58;
 c. the grant recipient has committed funds or incurred costs not authorized by 24 CFR Part 58 before approval of a release of funds by HUD; or
 d. another Federal agency acting pursuant to 40 CFR Part 1504 has submitted a written finding that the project is unsatisfactory from the standpoint of environmental quality.

Objections must be prepared and submitted via email in accordance with the required procedures (24 CFR Part 58, Sec. 58.76) and shall be addressed to the following HUD Los Angeles Field Offices: (1) Office of Public Housing at HUDLOSANGELESOPH@hud.gov, and (2) Community Planning and Development at CPDLA@hud.gov. Potential objectors should contact HUD Los Angeles Field Offices via email to verify the actual last day of the objection period objection period.

Published The Press-Ennterprise March 15, 2024

Lopez, Daniel

From:

Aquia Mail

Sent:

Monday, April 1, 2024 11:37 AM

To:

kevin@elvted.com Clerk of the Board

Cc: Subject:

Request to Speak Web Submission



Thank you for submitting your request to speak. The Clerk of the Board office has received your request and will be prepared to allow you to speak when your item is called. To attend the meeting, please call (669) 900-6833 and use **Meeting ID # 864 4411 6015**. **Password is 20240402.** You will be muted until your item is pulled and your name is called. Please dial in at 9:00 am with the phone number you provided in the form so you can be identified during the meeting.

Submitted on April 1, 2024

Submitted values are:

First Name

Kevin

Last Name

Kohan

Address (Street, City and Zip)

5701 Corsa Avenue Suite 201

Phone

650-759-9026

Email

kevin@elvted.com

Agenda Date

04/02/2024

Agenda Item # or Public Comment

3.19 Vista Heights

State your position below

Support

Comments

2

We are representing the applicant Alliant Strategic Development and we are available to answer any questions for the board.