

ITEM: 3.36 (ID # 24283) MEETING DATE: Tuesday, July 30, 2024

FROM : HOUSING AND WORKFORCE SOLUTIONS

SUBJECT: HOUSING AND WORKFORCE SOLUTIONS (HWS): Adoption of Environmental Assessment Report and Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) for the Cottages at Wildomar Multifamily Housing Project Located in the City of Wildomar Pursuant to the National Environment Policy Act (NEPA) and Approval of Request for Release of Funds to U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD); District 3. [100% Housing Choice Voucher Program Project Based Vouchers - \$0]

RECOMMENDED MOTION: That the Board of Supervisors:

- Adopt the attached Environmental Assessment (EA) Report and Findings incorporated in the EA and in the Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) for the Cottages at Wildomar (Proposed Project), pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), and conclude that the Proposed Project is not an action which may affect the quality of the environment;
- 2. Approve the attached Request for Release of Funds (RROF) for Housing Choice Voucher Program (HCVP) Project Based Vouchers for the Proposed Project;
- 3. Authorize the Chair of the Board of Supervisors to execute the attached EA on behalf of the County;
- Authorize the Chair of the Board of Supervisors to execute the attached RROF on behalf of the County to be filed with the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD); and
- 5. Authorize the Director of Housing and Workforce Solutions (HWS), or designee, to take all necessary steps to implement the RROF, EA, and FONSI including, but not limited to, signing subsequent necessary and relevant documents, subject to approval as to form by County Counsel.

ACTION:Policy

Heidi Marshall, Directo faishalf 5/28/2024

MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

On motion of Supervisor Gutierrez, seconded by Supervisor Perez and duly carried by unanimous vote, IT WAS ORDERED that the above matter is approved as recommended.

Ayes:	Jeffries, Spiegel, Washington, Perez and Gutierrez
Nays:	None
Absent:	None
Date:	July 30, 2024
XC:	HWS, HUD

Kimberly A. Rector Clerk of the Board By: Manu Deputy

SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FINANCIAL DATA	Current Fiscal Year:	Next Fiscal Year:	Total Cost:	Ongoing Cost
COST	\$0	\$0	\$ 0	\$ 0
NET COUNTY COST	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$0
SOURCE OF FUNDS: N/A			Budget Adj	ustment: No
			For Fiscal \	fear: 24/25

C.E.O. RECOMMENDATION: Approve

BACKGROUND:

Affirmed Housing Group, a California Corporation (Developer) was awarded a total of thirty-two (32) Housing Choice Voucher Project Based Vouchers (PBVs) for the Cottages at Wildomar (Proposed Project) through a Request for Proposal released by the Housing Authority of the County of Riverside on March 3, 2022 (RFP No. 2022-101).

The Developer is proposing to develop and finance new construction of the Proposed Project, which consists of 13 three-story residential buildings containing 130 total units, and 1 community building. Of the 130 units, there are 36 two-Bedroom units, 61 three-bedroom units, 31 four-bedroom units, and 2 manager units. All units, except the two manager units, are affordable housing units set between 30% and 80% AMI. There will be 32 units set aside as non-permanent supportive housing (PSH) units, restricted to people experiencing homelessness. Situated on approximately 8.98 acres of Developer-owned land at 32650 Mesa Drive, in the City of Wildomar, the property is also identified as Assessor's Parcel Number 365-180-004 (Property).

The PBVs will serve as a rental subsidy for the clients on the Housing Authority's Housing Choice Voucher Program (HCVP) waiting list for the Proposed Project. Upon environmental clearance and Developer securing necessary funding to develop the Proposed Project, the Housing Authority will enter into an Agreement for Housing Assistance Payments (AHAP) with Developer for the PBVs subject to approval by the Housing Authority's Board of Commissioners.

The PBVs have an estimated value of \$21,849,360 in rental subsidies over the 20-year term of the agreement that may be extended for an additional 20 years. The estimated total development cost is \$79,135,406. Permanent sources for financing include the following:

Permanent Sources	
Permanent Loan	\$18,765,719
Deferred Developer Fee	\$5,812,155
Federal Tax Credit Equity	\$54,557,532
Total	\$79,135,406

NEPA Review

SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

The environmental effects of activities carried out with PBVs must be assessed in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and the related authorities listed in the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) implementing regulations at 24 CFR Parts 50 and 58, for responsible entities which must assume responsibility for environmental review, decision making, and action that normally apply to HUD. The County of Riverside, by and through its Housing and Workforce Solutions Department (HWS), is the responsible entity for purposes of the subject NEPA review. The County has completed all applicable environmental review procedures and has evaluated the potential effects of the Proposed Project on the environment pursuant to NEPA regulations. On June 26, 2024, HWS completed an Environmental Assessment (County EA) and Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) for the Proposed Project (which is attached) and concluded that the Proposed Project activities are not actions that may affect the quality of the environment. Staff of HWS completed the County EA and FONSI pursuant to 24 CFR Section 58.40 (g)(1) and 40 CFR Section 1508.13.

HUD also requires that the responsible entity for the environmental review process complete and execute the attached Requests for Release of Funds and Certification (RROF) when requesting to release funds that are subject to the HUD environmental review process.

Public Notice of the Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) and Requests for Release of Funds was published on July 15, 2024, pursuant to 24 Code of Federal Regulations Section 58.43, and is attached hereto.

Staff recommends that the Board approve and execute the attached Environmental Assessment, Environmental Assessment Determinations and Compliance Findings for HUD-Assisted Projects 24 CFR Part 58, and Request for Release of Funds.

Impact on Residents and Businesses

The development of 128 additional affordable rental units will have a positive impact on businesses and residents through the creation of jobs and affordable housing.

SUPPLEMENTAL:

Additional Fiscal Information

No impact on the County's General Fund. The County's contribution to the project is anticipated to include only the Housing Choice Voucher Program (HCVP or Section 8) Project-Based Vouchers which are fully funded from the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development.

Attachments:

- County of Riverside FONSI
- County of Riverside Environmental Assessment
- Request for Release of Funds- HCVP Project Based Vouchers
- Public Notice FONSI/RROF
- Proof of Publication

SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

7/18/2024 Brianna Lontajo, Principal Manage nent Analyst

Aaron Gettis, 7/16/2024

Request for Release of Funds and Certification

This form is to be used by Responsible Entities and Recipients (as defined in 24 CFR 58.2) when requesting the release of funds, and requesting the authority to use such funds, for HUD programs identified by statutes that provide for the assumption of the environmental review responsibility by units of general local government and States. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 36 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

Part 1. Program Description and Request for Release of Funds (to be completed by Responsible Entity)

1. Program Title(s) Housing Choice Voucher Program (HCVP) Project Based Vouchers (PBV)	2. HUD/State Identification Number CA027	3. Recipient Identification Number (optional)
4. OMB Catalog Number(s) 14.871	5. Name and address of responsible e	ntity
6. For information about this request, contact (name & phone number) Annjanette Aguilar, 760.863.2541	c/o Riverside County Housing and Workforce Solutions 3403 Tenth Street, Suite #300 Riverside, CA 92501	
8. HUD or State Agency and office unit to receive request	 Name and address of recipient (if di 	fferent than responsible entity)
United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Community Planning and Development 300 N. Los Angeles Street, Suite 4054	Same as Responsible	Entity

The recipient(s) of assistance under the program(s) listed above requests the release of funds and removal of environmental grant conditions governing the use of the assistance for the following

9. Program Activity(ies)/Project Name(s)	10. Location (Street address, city, county, State)
HUD-Project Based Vouchers /THE COTTAGES AT WILDOMAR	32650 MESA DR WILDOMAR, CA 92530

11. Program Activity/Project Description

The Project activity proposes the use of 32 Project Based Vouchers by Affirmed Housing Group, a California Corporation. The property sits on a parcel totaling approximately .8.98 acres of Developer-owned land at 32650 Mesa Drive, in the City of Wildomar, the property also identified as Assessor's Parcel Number 365-180-004 . Cottages at Wildomar will consist of the new construction of a 13 three-story residential buildings containing 130 total units, and 1 community building. Of the 130 units, there are 36 two-Bedroom units, 61 three-bedroom units, 31, four-bedroom units, and 2 manager units. All units, except the two manager units, are affordable housing units set between 30% and 80% AMI.

There will be 32 units set aside as non-permanent supportive housing (PSH) units, restricted to people experiencing homelessness earning at or below 30% AMI. In addition to the Project Based Vouchers other financing sources for the Proposed Project are anticipated to include \$18,765,719 in Permanent Loan funds, \$5,812,155 in Deferred Developer Fee. and \$54,557,532 in Federal Tax Credit Equity. The total cost of development, during the permanent financing period, is approximately \$79,135,406.

Previous editions are obsolete



Part 2. Environmental Certification (to be completed by responsible entity)

With reference to the above Program Activity(ies)/Project(s), I, the undersigned officer of the responsible entity, certify that:

- 1. The responsible entity has fully carried out its responsibilities for environmental review, decision-making and action pertaining to the project(s) named above.
- The responsible entity has assumed responsibility for and complied with and will continue to comply with, the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended, and the environmental procedures, permit requirements and statutory obligations of the laws cited in 24 CFR 58.5; and also agrees to comply with the authorities in 24 CFR 58.6 and applicable State and local laws.
- 3. The responsible entity has assumed responsibility for and complied with and will continue to comply with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act, and its implementing regulations 36 CFR 800, including consultation with the State Historic Preservation Officer, Indian tribes and Native Hawaiian organizations, and the public.
- 4. After considering the type and degree of environmental effects identified by the environmental review completed for the proposed project described in Part 1 of this request, I have found that the proposal did did not require the preparation and dissemination of an environmental impact statement.
- 5. The responsible entity has disseminated and/or published in the manner prescribed by 24 CFR 58.43 and 58.55 a notice to the public in accordance with 24 CFR 58.70 and as evidenced by the attached copy (copies) or evidence of posting and mailing procedure.
- 6. The dates for all statutory and regulatory time periods for review, comment or other action are in compliance with procedures and requirements of 24 CFR Part 58.
- 7. In accordance with 24 CFR 58.71(b), the responsible entity will advise the recipient (if different from the responsible entity) of any special environmental conditions that must be adhered to in carrying out the project.

As the duly designated certifying official of the responsible entity, I also certify that:

- 8. I am authorized to and do consent to assume the status of Federal official under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and each provision of law designated in the 24 CFR 58.5 list of NEPA-related authorities insofar as the provisions of these laws apply to the HUD responsibilities for environmental review, decision-making and action that have been assumed by the responsible entity.
- 9. I am authorized to and do accept, on behalf of the recipient personally, the jurisdiction of the Federal courts for the enforcement of all these responsibilities, in my capacity as certifying officer of the responsible entity.

Signature of Certifying Officer of the Responsible Entity	Title of Certifying Officer	
× Chuck Wat	Chair, Riverside County Board of Supervisors CHUCK WASHINGTON Date signed 7/30/2024	
Address of Certifying Officer	KIMBERLY A. RECTOR, Sierk	
By Marmul		
Part 3. To be completed when the Recipient is not the Responsibl	e Entity	
The recipient requests the release of funds for the programs and activities identified in Part 1 and agrees to abide by the special conditions, procedures and requirements of the environmental review and to advise the responsible entity of any proposed change in the scope of the project or any change in environmental conditions in accordance with 24 CFR 58.71(b).		
Signature of Authorized Officer of the Recipient Title of Authorized Officer		

Х

Warning: HUD will prosecute false claims and statements. Conviction may result in criminal and/or civil penalties. (18 U.S.C. 1001, 1010, 1012; 31 U.S.C. 3729, 3802)

Previous editions are obsolete

3.36

Date signed

form HUD-7015.15 (1/99)

JUL 3 0 2024



U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development 451 Seventh Street, SW Washington, DC 20410 www.hud.gov espanol.hud.gov

Environmental Assessment Determinations and Compliance Findings for HUD-assisted Projects 24 CFR Part 58

Project Information

Project Name: Cottages-at-Wildomar

HEROS Number: 900000010380808

Project Location: 32650 Mesa Drive, Wildomar, CA

Additional Location Information:

32650 Mesa Drive (8.98 acres - APN 365-180-004) in the City of Wildomar, California

Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 50.12 & 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.25]:

The project applicant, Affirmed Housing Group, is proposing to construct and operate the Cottages at Wildomar Affordable Housing Project, a new 130-unit affordable housing community with related infrastructure improvements on one parcel located at 32650 Mesa Drive in the City of Wildomar. The project site is currently vacant. The project site is zoned Rural Residential. The General Plan land use designation is Medium-High Density Residential. The allowed density under the General Plan is 8 dwelling units per acre. With the State Density Bonus Law, the maximum density would be 14.47 units per acre which when rounded up, equals 130 units as proposed. The proposed residential project is a permitted use in the RR zone and subject to standards stipulated in Sections 17.44.020 80, 17.180.010 or 17.180.020 of the Wildomar Municipal Code. The proposed site plan is shown as Figure 2. The proposed housing project consists of 13 three-story residential buildings containing 130 total units, and 1 community building. Of the 130 units, there are 36 two-Bedroom units, 61 three-bedroom units, 31, four-bedroom units, and 2 manager units. All units, except the two manager units, are affordable housing units set between 30% and 80% AMI. There will be 32 units set aside for non-permanent supportive housing (PSH) project based vouchers (PBVs). These units will be filled using eligible clients on the Housing Authority of the County of Riverside's site-specific Section 8 PBV waiting list. The project has been awarded 32 Housing and Urban Development (HUD) funded Project Based Vouchers (PBVs) by the Housing Authority of the County of Riverside. Thus, compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) is required by HUD to demonstrate compliance with applicable federal regulations. The appropriate level of documentation is an Environmental Assessment prepared consistent with 24 CFR Part 58.

Funding Information

Grant Number	HUD Program	Program Name	
PBV1-24-001	Public Housing	Project-Based Voucher Program	\$21,849,360.00

Estimated Total HUD Funded Amount: \$21,849,360.00



Estimated Total Project Cost [24 CFR 58.2 (a) (5)]: \$79,135,406.00

Mitigation Measures and Conditions [CFR 1505.2(c)]:

Summarized below are all mitigation measures adopted by the Responsible Entity to reduce, avoid or eliminate adverse environmental impacts and to avoid non-compliance or non-conformance with the above-listed authorities and factors. These measures/conditions must be incorporated into project contracts, development agreements and other relevant documents. The staff responsible for implementing and monitoring mitigation measures should be clearly identified in the mitigation plan.

Law, Authority, or Factor	Mitigation Measure or Condition	
Permits, reviews, and approvals	Plot Plan 23-0025 was approved by the City of	
	Wildomar, May 16, 2023	

Project Mitigation Plan

Determination:

X	Finding of No Significant Impact [24 CFR 58.40(g)(1); 40 CFR 1508.13] The project will not resu	
	in a significant impact on the quality of human environment	
	Finding of Significant Impact	

Preparer Signature: Angenette Agula	Date: <u>(0/86/2024</u>
Name / Title / Organization: Appianette Aguilar Barreras / / RIVERSIDE	COUNTY
Certifying Officer Signature:	Date: <u>7/30/2024</u>
Name/Title: Chuck Washington, chair of Board of	Supervisors

This original, signed document and related supporting material must be retained on file by the Responsible Entity in an Environment Review Record (ERR) for the activity / project (ref: 24 CFR Part 58.38) and in accordance with recordkeeping requirements for the HUD program(s).

ATTEST: KIMBERLY A. RECTOR, Clerk FORM APPROVED COUNTY COUNSEL 1(22) LA S. SALCIDO

06/26/2024 12:27

JUL 3 0 2024 3.36

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development 451 Seventh Street, SW Washington, DC 20410 www.hud.gov espanol.hud.gov

Environmental Assessment Determinations and Compliance Findings for HUD-assisted Projects 24 CFR Part 58

Project Information

Project Name: Cottages-at-Wildomar

HEROS Number: 90000010380808

Responsible Entity (RE): RIVERSIDE COUNTY, 1151 Spruce St Riverside CA, 92507

RE Preparer: Annjanette Aguilar Barreras

State / Local Identifier:

Certifying Officer: Chuck Washington, Chair

Grant Recipient (if different than Responsible Ent ity):

Point of Contact:

Consultant (if applicabl e):

Point of Contact:

Project Location: 32650 Mesa Drive, Wildomar, CA

Additional Location Information: 32650 Mesa Drive (8.98 acres - APN 365-180-004) in the City of Wildomar, California

Direct Comments to:

Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 50.12 & 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.25]:

The project applicant, Affirmed Housing Group, is proposing to construct and operate the Cottages at Wildomar Affordable Housing Project, a new 130-unit affordable housing community with related infrastructure improvements on one parcel located at 32650 Mesa Drive in the City of Wildomar. The project site is currently vacant. The project site is zoned Rural Residential. The General Plan land use designation is Medium-High Density Residential. The allowed density under the General Plan is 8 dwelling units per acre. With the State Density Bonus Law, the maximum density would be 14.47 units per acre which when rounded up, equals 130 units as proposed. The proposed residential project is a permitted use in the RR zone and subject to standards stipulated in Sections 17.44.020 80, 17.180.010 or 17.180.020 of the Wildomar Municipal Code. The proposed site plan is shown as Figure 2. The proposed housing project consists of 13 three-story residential buildings containing 130 total units, and 1 community building. Of the 130 units, there are 36 two-Bedroom units, 61 three-bedroom units, 31, four-bedroom units, and 2 manager units. All units, except the two manager units, are affordable housing units set between 30% and 80% AMI. There will be 32 units set aside for non-permanent supportive housing (PSH) project based vouchers (PBVs). These units will be filled using eligible clients on the Housing Authority of the County of Riverside's site-specific Section 8 PBV waiting list. The project has been awarded 32 Housing and Urban Development (HUD) funded Project Based Vouchers (PBVs) by the Housing Authority of the County of Riverside. Thus, compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) is required by HUD to demonstrate compliance with applicable federal regulations. The appropriate level of documentation is an Environmental Assessment prepared consistent with 24 CFR Part 58.

Statement of Purpose and Need for the Proposal [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]:

The purpose of the proposed project is to provide affordable apartment housing for low-income residents meeting income qualifications. Per the City of Wildomar 2021-2029 Housing Element (October 2021), the Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA) has allocated a total of 2,715 new housing units to the City of Wildomar. Of the total, 399 units are allocated to very low income (30-50% Area Median Income (AMI)) category and 450 units are allocated to the low-income category (50 to 80% AMI). The project would provide 130 new units or 14% of the allocation for very low and low-income tenants.

Existing Conditions and Trends [24 CFR 58.40(a)]:

The project site is approximately 8.98 net acres in size and located at 32650 Mesa Drive in the City of Wildomar. The site is vacant. Surrounding land use are comprised of the following: North: Single-family residential zoned RR; South: Single-family residential zoned RR; East: Interstate 15 corridor; West: Single-family residential zoned RR.

Maps, photographs, and other documentation of project location and description:

Figure 1 Vicinity Map.pdf Site Visit Nov 21 2023.docx

Determination:

\checkmark	Finding of No Significant Impact [24 CFR 58.40(g)(1); 40 CFR 1508.13] The
	project will not result in a significant impact on the quality of human
	environment
	Finding of Significant Impact

Approval Documents:

7015.15 certified by Certifying Officer on:

7015.16 certified by Authorizing Officer on:

Funding Information

Grant / Project Identification Number	HUD Program	Program Name	Funding Amount
PBV1-24-001	Public Housing	Project-Based Voucher Program	\$21,849,360.00

Estimated Total HUD Funded, \$21,849,360.00 Assisted or Insured Amount:

Estimated Total Project Cost [24 CFR 58.2 (a) \$79,135,406.00 **(5)]:**

Compliance with 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5 and §58.6 Laws and Authorities

Compliance Factors:Are formalStatutes, Executive Orders, andcompliance steRegulations listed at 24 CFR §50.4,or mitigation§58.5, and §58.6required?	determinations)
---	-----------------

STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS, AND REGULATIONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.6

	,		
Airport Hazards	□ Yes	⊠ No	The project site is not located within
Clear Zones and Accident Potential			2,500 feet of the end of a civil airport
Zones; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D			runway or 8,000 feet from the end of a
			military airfield runway. Skydive Elsinore
			is a private airstrip located 1.2 miles
			southwest of the site. Perris Valley
			Airport is located approximately 8.2
			miles northeast of the site. The
			proposed project is not located within
			the Perris Valley Airport land use
			boundary, within 2 miles of a public use
			airport in proximity to a private airstrip.
			March Air Reserve Base is the closest
			military facility and is located
			approximately 14.7 miles northeast of
			the site. The proposed project would
			not pose any threat to airport
			navigation. No adverse impacts related
			to Runway Clear Zones or Accident
	-		Potential Zones would occur.
Coastal Barrier Resources Act	🗆 Yes	☑ No	No coastal barrier resources under the
Coastal Barrier Resources Act, as			protection of the Coastal Barrier
amended by the Coastal Barrier			Resources Act occur in California. The
Improvement Act of 1990 [16 USC			Coastal Barrier Resources Act does not
3501]			apply.
Flood Insurance	🗆 Yes	🗹 No	The project site is not located within a
Flood Disaster Protection Act of			100-year mapped flood zone (FEMA
1973 and National Flood Insurance			Flood Insurance Rate Map No.
Reform Act of 1994 [42 USC 4001-			06065C2043G, August 2008). The Flood
4128 and 42 USC 5154a]	2		Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (42
			U.S.C. 4012a) requires that projects
			receiving federal assistance and located
			in an area identified by FEMA as being
	11		within a Special Flood Hazard Area
			(SFHA) be covered by flood insurance
			under the National Flood Insurance
. F 1977			Program (NFIP). The project is not
			within a SFHA; thus, no significant or
			adverse impacts associated with the
			Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973
			and National Flood Insurance Reform
			Act of 1994 would occur.
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORD	STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS, AND REGULATIONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.5		
	ERS, ANL	REGULA	110N3 LISTED AT 24 CFR 950.4 & 9 58.5
Air Quality Clean Air Act, as amended,	Yes		The project site is located within the South Coast Air Basin (Basin), which

	1	
particularly section 176(c) & (d); 40		includes the western portion of
CFR Parts 6, 51, 93		Riverside County. Air quality conditions
		in the South Coast Air Basin are under
		the jurisdiction of the South Coast Air
		Quality Management District
		(SCAQMD). The South Coast Air Basin is
		currently designated as a
		nonattainment area with respect to the
		State and Federal ozone and Particulate
		Matter 10 (PM10) standards. It is
		designated attainment or unclassified
		for the remaining State and Federal
		standards. The project would result in
		temporary air emissions during
		construction and would be a source of
		emissions post-construction. To
		determine whether emissions would be
		de minimis, CalEEMod version 2022.1
		was used to estimate emissions during
		construction. Maximum daily emissions
		would not exceed SCAQMD thresholds;
		thus, emissions would be de minimis
		and no air quality impact would occur as
		defined by 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, and 93
	ਾ ਦੇ ਕ	and Sections 176 (c) and (d) of the Clean
		Air Act. Post construction emissions
		would be associated with operation of
		vehicles and use of energy to operate
		the household. Emissions were
		projected using CalEEMod 2022.1.
		Maximum daily emissions would not
		exceed SCAQMD thresholds; thus,
		emissions would be de minimis and no
		air quality impact would occur as
		defined by 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, and 93
		and Sections 176 (c) and (d) of the Clean
		Air Act.
Coastal Zone Management Act	🗆 Yes 🗹 No	The project site is not located in a
Coastal Zone Management Act,		coastal zone, as defined by the
sections 307(c) & (d)		California Coastal Act (Public Resources
		Code, Division 20, Section 3000 Et.
		Seq.). The nearest coastal zone is
		located approximately 33 miles to the
		west. Therefore, no adverse coastal
		zone impacts are anticipated.

Contamination and Toxic	□ Yes ☑ No	Site contamination was evaluated as
		follows: ASTM Phase I ESA. On-site or
24 CFR 50.3(i) & 58.5(i)(2)]		nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive
		substances that could affect the health
		and safety of project occupants or
		conflict with the intended use of the
		property were not found. The project is
		in compliance with contamination and
		toxic substances requirements.
Endangered Species Act	🗆 Yes 🗹 No	A Biological Resources Due Diligence
Endangered Species Act of 1973,		Assessment was performed for the
particularly section 7; 50 CFR Part		project by Brian F. Smith and Associates,
402		Inc. (September 2021). The majority of
		the project is comprised of disturbed
		(abandoned nursery) and ornamental
		vegetation (olives, pine, palms). The
		northern region of the project extends
		slightly into an inundated pond. This
		area would not be affected by project
		improvements. General wildlife species
		documented onsite or within the vicinity
		are common native species. The site
		was evaluated for the presence of
		habitat supporting both federal and
		state-listed species. None occur on-site.
		Per Best Management Practices (BMPs)
		for biological resources, if construction
		occurs between February 1st and
		August 31st, a pre-construction
	с.	clearance survey for nesting birds
		should be conducted within three (3)
		days of the start of any vegetation
		removal or ground disturbing activities
		to ensure that no nesting birds will be
		disturbed during construction. With
		implementation of BMP BIO-1, impacts
		to migratory birds would be less than
		significant. Further, the project site falls
		within the Stephen's Kangaroo Rat (SKR)
		Fee Area outlined in the Riverside
		County SKR HCP. The SKR is a federally
		listed species; however, none occur on-
		site. The project applicant will be
		required to pay the fees pursuant to
		County Ordinance 663.10 for the SKR
		HCP Fee Assessment Area as established
		nor ree assessment area as established

		and implemented by the County of Riverside. The fee is not associated with
		direct project impacts to SKR but rather
		is intended for use in expanding and
		maintaining existing preserve areas in
		western Riverside County.
Explosive and Flammable Hazards	🗆 Yes 🗹 No	The proposed project would be
Above-Ground Tanks)[24 CFR Part		constructed on a vacant site. It would
51 Subpart C		not require the ongoing use, storage or
		routine transport of hazardous,
		explosive or flammable materials. Aside
		from common household chemicals, no
		hazardous materials would be used on-
		site or otherwise accessible to residents.
		The project would not emit or release
		hazardous waste or emissions. The
		California Environmental Protection
		Agency (CalEPA) regulated site portal
		(https://siteportal.calepa.ca.gov/nsite/
		map/help) was used to identify the
		presence of any regulated sites within
		one mile of the site that would present
		a potential hazard to the project site. A
		total of 213 records were identified. The
		majority were underground storage
		tanks associated with retail fueling
		stations, retailers selling motor oil and
		other automotive related products,
		automotive dealers and repair
	= <u>i</u>	businesses, school sites, stormwater
		treatment facilities and hazardous
		waste generators (i.e., heavy
		commercial/light industrial facilities).
		One above ground gasoline storage tank
		is located approximately one mile
		southwest of the project site. The
	25	storage tank is associated with the
		Skydive Elsinore airstrip. The maximum
		volume stored on-site is 600 gallons.
		Using HUD's Acceptable Separate
		Distance Calculator, the overpressure
		blast area would be 147 feet; the
	2 2	thermal radiation area would be 167
		feet. The site is 5,800 feet from the
		above ground storage tank and outside
		the separation distance. There is no

			known potential for exposure to significant hazards from the site or
			surrounding properties. No mitigation
			measures are required.
Farmlands Protection	□ Yes		The project site is currently vacant and
Farmland Protection Policy Act of			located within an urbanized area within
1981, particularly sections 1504(b)			the City of Wildomar. The site is
and 1541; 7 CFR Part 658	1		categorized as Other Land, as indicated
			on the California Department of
			Conservation Important Farmland
			Finder (October 2023). The site does not
			include prime or unique farmland, or
• · · · ·			other farmland of statewide or local
			importance. No impact to farmland
			resources defined under the Farmland
			Protection Policy Act per 7 CFR 658
			would occur.
Floodplain Management	🗆 Yes	🗹 No	The site is designated an Area of
Executive Order 11988, particularly			Minimal Flood Hazard Zone X in Federal
section 2(a); 24 CFR Part 55	1		Emergency Management Agency
	1		(FEMA) Flood Insurance Rate Map
			(FIRM) 06065C2043G (August 2008);
			and thus, is located outside a 100-year
			flood zone. No analysis per Part II of
1 si	—	–	Executive Order 11988 is required.
Historic Preservation	□ Yes	⊠ No	Based on Section 106 consultation the
National Historic Preservation Act of			project will have No Adverse Effect on
1966, particularly sections 106 and			historic properties. Conditions: None.
110; 36 CFR Part 800			Upon satisfactory implementation of
			the conditions, which should be monitored, the project is in compliance
			with Section 106. The following
			measures provided by the Pechanga
			Band of Luiseno Indians would be
			implemented to reduce potential
			impacts to previously undiscovered
			cultural resources: CUL-1: Prior to the
			issuance of grading permits, the
			Applicant shall provide to the City the
			signed and executed AB 168 Tribal
			Agreement between Affirmed Housing,
			LLC and the Soboba Band of Luiseno
			Indians. Cultural Resources
			Disposition. In the event that Native
			American cultural resources are
			discovered during the course of initial

	survey or grading (inadvertent
	discoveries), the following procedures
	shall be carried out for final disposition
	of the discoveries: a. Preservation-In-
	Place of the cultural resources, if
	feasible. Preservation in place means
	avoiding the resources, leaving them in
	the place where they were found with
	no development affecting the integrity
	of the resources. b. Reburial of the
	resources on the Project property. c.
	Curation. Inadvertent Archeological
	Find. If during ground disturbance
	activities, cultural resources are
	discovered that were not assessed prior
	to project approval, the following
	procedures shall be followed. a. All
	ground disturbance activities within 100
	feet of the discovered cultural resources
	shall be halted until a meeting is
	convened between the developer, the
	archaeologist, the tribal
	representative(s) and the Planning
	Director to discuss the significance of
	the find. b. At the meeting, the
	significance of the discoveries shall be
	discussed and after consultation with
	the tribal representative(s) and the
	archaeologist, a decision shall be made,
	with the concurrence of the Planning
	Director, as to the appropriate
	mitigation (documentation, recovery,
	avoidance, etc.) for the cultural
	resources. c. Grading of further
	ground disturbance shall not resume
	within the area of the discovery until an
	agreement has been reached by all
	parties as to the appropriate mitigation.
	Work shall be allowed to continue
	outside of the buffer area and will be
	monitored by additional Tribal monitors
	if needed. d. Treatment and avoidance
and the second	of the newly discovered resources shall
	be consistent with the Cultural
	Resources Management Plan and
	Resources Management Flan and

		1
		with the Tribe. This may include
		avoidance of the cultural resources
		through project design, in-place
		preservation of cultural resources
		located in native soils and/or re-burial
		on the Project property so they are not
		subject to further disturbance in
		perpetuity as identified in Non-
		Disclosure of Reburial Condition. e. If
		the find is determined to be significant
		and avoidance of the site has not been
		achieved, a Phase 2I data recovery plan
		shall be prepared by the project
		archeologist, in consultation with the
		Tribe, and shall be submitted to the City
		for their review and approval prior to
		implementation of the said plan. f.
		Pursuant to Calif. Pub. Res. Code s.
		21083.2(b) avoidance is the preferred
		method of preservation for
		archaeological resources and cultural
		resources. CUL-2: Human Remains. If
		human remains are encountered, State
		Health and Safety Code Section 7050.5
		states that no further disturbance shall
		occur until the Riverside County
		Coroner has made the necessary
		findings as to origin. CUL-3: Prior to
		the issuance of grading permits, the
		Applicant shall provide to the City the
		signed and executed AB 168 Tribal
		Agreement between Affirmed Housing,
		LLC and the Pechanga Band of Luiseno
		Indians. All applicable terms within
		Mitigation Measures CUL-1 and CUL-2
		above shall apply.
Noise Abatement and Control	□ Yes ☑ No	A Noise Assessment was conducted. The
Noise Control Act of 1972, as		noise level was acceptable: 63.0 db. See
amended by the Quiet Communities		noise analysis. The project is in
Act of 1978; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart		compliance with HUD's Noise
B		regulation. As a Best Management
		Practice (BMP) NOI-5 from the Noise
	0 KO 5 _	Assessment would be implemented to
		ensure HUD interior standards are met.
		BMP NOI-5 requires preparation of an
		architectural noise study to determine
		architectural noise study to determine

	the STC of the window, wall and door
	assemblies to ensure the interior noise
	levels at all units proximal to Interstate
	15 achieve the 45 dBA HUD standard
	with windows and doors closed. If
	needed BMP NOI-1 through NOI-4 are
	recommended to reduce construction
	noise. NOI-1 Construction Equipment.
	Electrical power shall be used to run air
	compressors and similar power tools.
	Internal combustion engines should be
	equipped with a muffler of a type
	recommended by the manufacturer and
	in good repair. All diesel equipment
	should be operated with closed engine
	doors and should be equipped with
	factory-recommended mufflers.
	Construction equipment that continues
	to generate substantial noise at the
	project boundaries should be shielded
	with temporary noise barriers, such as
	barriers that meet a sound transmission
	class (STC) rating of 25, sound
	absorptive panels, or sound blankets on
	individual pieces of construction
	equipment. Stationary noise-generating
	equipment, such as generators and
	compressors, should be located as far as
	practically possible from the nearest
	residential property lines. NOI-2 Limit
	Operations Adjacent to Receivers. Limit
	the number of large pieces of
	equipment (i.e., bulldozers or concrete
	mixers) operating adjacent to receivers
i i	to one at any given time. NOI-3
	Neighbor Notification. Provide
	notification to residential occupants
6	adjacent to the project site at least 24
	hours prior to initiation of construction
	activities that would occur outside the
	hours allowed by municipal code. This
	notification should include the
10	anticipated hours and duration of
	construction and a description of noise
	reduction measures being implemented
	at the project site. The notification

		should include a telephone number to call to submit complaints associated with construction noise. NOI-4 Noise Control Plan. Construction contractors shall develop and implement a noise control plan for work occurring outside hours allowed per the Municipal Code that includes a noise control monitoring program. The plan may include the following requirements: * Contractor shall turn off idling equipment. * Contractor shall perform noisier operation during the times least sensitive to receptors. * All diesel equipment shall be operated with closed engine doors and shall be equipped with factory- recommended mufflers. * Electrical power shall be used to run air compressors and similar power tools and to power any temporary structures, such as construction trailers or security staff facilities. * For all noise-generating construction activities, additional noise attenuation techniques shall be employed as necessary to reduce noise levels. Such techniques shall include, but are not limited to, the use of sound blankets, noise shrouds and temporary sound barriers.
Sole Source Aquifers	□ Yes ☑ No	There are no sole source aquifers in
Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, as		Riverside County as designated by the
amended, particularly section		US Environmental Protection Agency
1424(e); 40 CFR Part 149		Pacific Southwest Region 9. The project
		would not use groundwater or
		otherwise impact groundwater
		recharge. No impacts to sole source
		aquifers as defined per 40 CFR 149
Wotlands Protostian		would occur.
Wetlands Protection	🗆 Yes 🗹 No	According to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife
Executive Order 11990, particularly sections 2 and 5		Service's Wetlands Online Mapper, no wetlands are located on the site. No
		adverse impacts related to wetlands protection are anticipated.
		protection are anticipated.

Wild and Scenic Rivers Act Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968, particularly section 7(b) and (c)	□ Yes ☑ No	The project site is located in an urbanized portion of the City of Wildomar. The Santa Ana River is the closest river and is located approximately 27 miles northwest of the site. It is not a designated wild on scenic river. (National Wild and Scen Rivers, 2011). The project would hav
		no adverse impacts on wild or scenic rivers.
HUD H		
	ENVIRONMENTAL	JUSTICE
Environmental Justice Executive Order 12898	☐ Yes ⊠ No	The project would provide 130 affordable apartment units for incom qualifying residents. The site is vacan therefore, the project would not remove housing or otherwise displace minority or low-income communities accommodate construction. Neighboring uses are comprised of residential uses. The site is not of any biological or cultural significance. The project is not known to be located in area subject to climate change nor would affects from climate change disproportionately impact low incom or minority populations. Per the City Wildomar 2021-2029 Housing Elemen (October 2021), the Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA) has alloca a total of 2,715 new housing units to City of Wildomar. Of the total, 399 ur are allocated to very low income (30- 50% Area Median Income (AMI) category and 450 units are allocated to the low-income category (50 to 80% AMI). The project would provide 130 new units or 14% of the allocation for very low and low-income tenants. The project would contribute to the City of Wildomar's affordable housing allocation. There is no evidence bas on project scope and location of the proposed project, that any population with limited housing choices or that

otherwise are considered to have
special life challenges would be
adversely affected by the project.
Further, to date, no public comment
known to the applicant, either in favor
of or opposing the project because of
potential environmental justice
concerns, has been received.

Environmental Assessment Factors [24 CFR 58.40; Ref. 40 CFR 1508.8 &1508.27]

Impact Codes: An impact code from the following list has been used to make the determination of impact for each factor.

- (1) Minor beneficial impact
- (2) No impact anticipated
- (3) Minor Adverse Impact May require mitigation

(4) Significant or potentially significant impact requiring avoidance or modification which may require an Environmental Impact Statement.

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Assessment Factor	Code		
		LAND DEVELOPMENT	
Conformance with	2	The project site is zoned Rural Residential	
Plans / Compatible		(RR). The General Plan land use designation	1 K 1
Land Use and Zoning		is Medium-High Density Residential. The	
/ Scale and Urban		allowed density under the General Plan is 8	
Design		dwelling units per acre. With the State	
		Density Bonus Law, the maximum density	
		would be 14.47 units per acre which when	64 - 1 - N - R
		rounded up, equals 130 units as proposed.	
		The General Plan is consistent with the	
		zoning designation for the site and	
		facilitates compliance with relevant	
		development standards in the municipal	
		code. The proposed project would be	
		compliant with goals, objectives and	
		policies contained in the General Plan that	
		pertain to the proposed use on the subject	
		property. The project has been approved	
		for development by the City of Wildomar	
		(Plot Plan 23-0025, May 16, 2023) subject to	
		approval conditions. The project would be	
		consistent with applicable plans and related	
		policies.	

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Assessment Factor	Code		
Soil Suitability /	2	Soils. According to the soils information	
Slope/ Erosion /		provided in the Geotechnical Report, soils	
Drainage and Storm		beneath the subject property are identified	
Water Runoff		as Young Alluvial-Fan Deposits (Qyf). These	
		are defined as follows: * Young alluvial-	
		fan deposits (Qyf): Young alluvial-fan	
		deposits were encountered beneath the fill	
		in all the borings. As encountered, young	
		alluvial-fan deposits generally consisted of	
		loose to very dense poorly graded sand,	
		poorly graded sand with silt, silty sand, and	
		clayey sand with various amounts of gravel.	
		A layer of hard sand clay was encountered	
		in one of the borings at a depth of	
		approximately 50 feet. The project site is	
		not located within an established Alguist-	
		Priolo Earthquake Fault Zone. No active	
		surface faults are mapped across the site.	
		The nearest active fault is the Elsinore Fault	
		Zone, located about 0.61 miles to the	
		southwest. Grading and foundation	
		construction methods provided in the	
		Geotechnical Report (Nova Services, Inc.	
		September 2022) would avoid secondary	
		seismic effects (i.e., landslides/slope failure,	
		liquefaction, subsidence and lateral	
		spreading) and minimize impacts associated	
		with seismic shaking. Slope Erosion.	
		Surface drainage on-site occurs as sheet	
		flow which travels south into existing	
		municipal storm drain located proximal to	
		the site. Off- and one-site flows would be	
		conveyed through the site and into the	
		drainage along the southern property	
		boundary. The site is generally flat; thus,	
		the potential for erosion would be limited	
		as soils are disturbed during grading. The	
		project would not create steep slopes or	
		otherwise increase erosion post-	
		construction. As discussed below, runoff	
		will be conveyed and detained on-site	
		during and post-construction. Stormwater	
		Runoff. The site is 100 percent pervious	
		under existing conditions. Precipitation is	

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Assessment Factor	Code		
		presumed to infiltrate into the soils. The	
		project would disturb more than one acre	
		of soil during construction; thus, the	
		applicant would be required to obtain	
		coverage under the General Permit for	
		Discharges of Storm Water Associated with	
		Construction Activity. The Construction	
		General Permit (CGP) requires the	
		development and implementation of a	
		Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan	
		(SWPPP). The SWPPP will contain Best	
		Management Practices (BMPs) the	
		discharger will use to protect storm water	
		runoff and how the BMPs would be	
		implemented on-site. This would ensure	
		that erosion and sedimentation impacts	
		would be less than significant. The surface	
		runoff from the apartments and impervious	
		areas will be directed into area drains, then	
		into storm chambers or similar systems and	
		allowed to percolate into the soil. Any off-	
		site flows would follow the existing flow	
		-	
		pattern and enter municipal stormwater drains. All stormwater infrastructure would	
		be designed per the City of Wildomar 2018	
		Water Quality Management Plan and	
		Chapter 13.12 of the City of Wildomar	
		Municipal Code. Water/sewer and other	
		utilities (i.e., electrical, communication)	
		would be provided via existing	
	2	infrastructure located along Mesa Drive.	
azards and	2	Hazards and Nuisances. The proposed	
uisances including		project is a residential project designed to	
ite Safety and Site-		provide housing for income qualifying	
enerated Noise		individual and families. It would not require	
		the ongoing use, storage or routine	
		transport of hazardous materials. Aside	
		from common household chemicals, no	
		hazardous materials would be used on-site.	
		The project would not emit or release	
		hazardous waste or emissions. As	
		referenced, the Phase I ESA states that the	
		project site does not contain facilities	
		containing hazardous materials or that are	

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Assessment Factor	Code		
		affected by a known release of hazards or	
		hazardous materials. The project site	
		would be constructed consistent with	
		current City of Wildomar requirements for	
		multifamily projects that address fencing,	
		lighting and other features related to site	
		safety. No impacts related to hazards,	
		nuisance or site safety would occur.	
		Regarding noise, implementation of	
		Mitigation Measure NOI-5 would be	
		required to identify the door, window and	
		wall design requirements necessary to	
		achieve at a minimum, 45 dBA CNEL within	
		units facing and/or adjacent to Interstate	
		15.	
		SOCIOECONOMIC	
Employment and	1	During construction, the project would	
Income Patterns		generate temporary employment	
		opportunities. These jobs would not	8
		substantially affect overall employment	
		patterns in the City of Wildomar. Operation	
		of the project would require management	
		and maintenance staff. Services would be	
		provided by a third-party vendor. The	
		number of jobs are not expected to	
		substantively increase employment	
		opportunities in the City. Any new jobs	
		would be a minor benefit associated with	
		the proposed project. The project would	
		not impact jobs by removing employment	
		opportunities.	
Demographic	2	The proposed project would develop a new	
Character Changes /		residential facility designed to house	
Displacement		income qualifying individuals and families.	
		The site is vacant; thus, no displacements	
		would occur. All construction would be	
		confined to the proposed site. Minor off-	
		site improvements would be required for	
		access and utility connections. The	
		surrounding project area is comprised of	
		residential uses. The Interstate 15 corridor	
		is located adjacent to and east of the site.	
		The proposed residential development	
		would be consistent with the City of	

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Assessment Factor	Code		
		Wildomar General Plan and zoning code.	
		The project would not adversely affect	
		community character.	
Environmental	2	The project would provide 130 affordable	
Justice EA Factor		apartment units for income qualifying	
		residents. The site is vacant; therefore, the	
		project would not remove housing or	
		otherwise displace minority or low-income	
		communities to accommodate construction.	
		Neighboring uses are comprised of	
		residential uses. The site is not of any	
		biological or cultural significance. The	
		project is not known to be located in an	
		area subject to climate change nor would	
		affects from climate change	
		disproportionately impact low income or	
		minority populations. Per the City of	
		Wildomar 2021-2029 Housing Element	
		(October 2021), the Regional Housing Needs	
		Assessment (RHNA) has allocated a total of	
		2,715 new housing units to the City of	
		Wildomar. Of the total, 399 units are	
		allocated to very low income (30-50% Area	
		Median Income (AMI) category and 450	
		units are allocated to the low-income	
		category (50 to 80% AMI). The project	
		would provide 130 new units or 14% of the	
		allocation for very low and low-income	
		tenants. The project would contribute to	
		the City of Wildomar's affordable housing	
		allocation. There is no evidence based on	
		project scope and location of the proposed	
		project, that any populations with limited	
		housing choices or that otherwise are	
		considered to have special life challenges	
		would be adversely affected by the project.	
		Further, to date, no public comment known to the applicant, either in favor of or	
		opposing the project because of potential	
		environmental justice concerns, has been	
		received.	

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Assessment Factor	Code		
Educational and	1	School services in the City of Wildomar are	
Cultural Facilities		provided by the Lake Elsinore School	
(Access and Capacity)		District. The nearest school to the project	
		site is Elsinore High School located at 21800	
		Canyon Drive approximately 1.6 miles south	
		of the site. William Collier Elementary	
		School is located at 21050 Mayhall Drive	
		approximately 2.1 miles southwest of the	
		site. The proposed project would likely	
		provide housing for school-aged children;	
		thus, affecting demand for school services.	
		Based on generation rates provided in the	
		City of Lake Elsinore General Plan Final	
		Environmental Impact Report (December	
		2011), the number of students generated by	
		the project would be approximately 82. The	
		applicant would be required to pay a	
		developer impact fee per square foot of	
		assessable space to support ongoing	
		development of school facilities. The City	
		of Wildomar is part of the Riverside County	
		Library System. The Wildomar Library within	
		city boundaries and is located at 34303	
		Mission Trail Drive, approximately 1.6 miles	
		south of the site. The project would	
		increase the population of Wildomar;	
		however, the addition of new residents is	
		contemplated in the General Plan and a second	
		would have a negligible effect on the	
		demand for library services city-wide. A	
		portion of the impact fees paid by the	
		applicant will be allocated to the expansion	
		of library facilities. No new or expanded	
		library services would be required.	
Commercial Facilities	2	The proposed project would not provide	
(Access and	-	commercial space. However, the need for	
Proximity)		goods and services required by the new	
FTOXITITE y		residents would likely be met by vendors	
		and existing businesses within the area. A	
		commercial area is located approximately	
		1/2 mile to the north. These businesses	
		could be patronized by project residents. No	
		adverse impact to commercial facilities	
		would occur as a result of the project.	_

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Assessment Factor	Code		
Health Care / Social	2	It is assumed project residents are currently	
Services (Access and		residing in the Wildomar area of western	
Capacity)		Riverside County. The number of residents	
		that would reside on the site is not	
		expected to increase the general population	
		to the degree that expanded health care	
		services would be required. There are	
		various urgent care and medical facilities in	
		the City of Wildomar and City of Lake	
		Elsinore to the north. The Inland Valley	
		Hospital is located in Wildomar at 36485	
		Inland Valley Drive approximately 4.7 miles	
		south of the site. The hospital, surrounding	
		medical clinics and related services are	
		accessible by transit and available to serve	
		project residents. On-site social services	
		would be provided by a third-party vendor.	
		It is expected that residents currently live in	
		the general Wildomar area and receiving	
		social services as needed. No additional	
		demand on local social services are	
		anticipated.	
Solid Waste Disposal	2	Construction activities would temporarily	
and Recycling		generate solid waste in the form of	
(Feasibility and		construction debris (e.g., drywall, asphalt,	
Capacity)		lumber, and concrete) and household waste	
		associated with a residential living facility.	
		Chapter 8.104 of the Wildomar Municipal	8.9
		Code defines construction and demolition	
		debris, It is assumed the contractor would	
		be conditioned to comply with all applicable	
		recycling and disposal requirements for	
		construction and demolition waste. The	
		project would be required to provide	
		domestic waste recycling containers to	
		reduce the volume of waste entering area	
		landfills and support statewide recycling	
		mandates required by the California	
		Integrated Waste Management Act of 1989	
		(Assembly Bill 939) and Assembly Bill 341	
		(2011). Assembly Bill (AB) 341 amended AB	
		939 to include a provision stating that at	
		least 75% of solid waste be source-reduced,	
		recycled, or composted by the year 2020	

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Assessment Factor	Code		
		and annually thereafter. The proposed	
		project would generate construction and	
		demolition waste (CDW) as well as ongoing	
		domestic waste. Solid waste collection and	
		disposal services in Wildomar is provided by	
		Waste Management. Solid waste collected	
		in Wildomar is disposed of in the El	
		Sobrante Landfill located in Corona,	
		California. The project would be provided	
		recycling bins to accommodate recycled	
		material which would reduce the amount of	
		waste disposed of in landfills. CalEEMod	
		2022.1 estimates that the proposed project	
		would generate approximately 24 tons of	
		solid waste material annually assuming 75%	
		is recycled. A total of 131 pounds daily	
		would go to the landfill. Assuming the El	
		Sobrante Landfill receives the waste, this	
		would increase the total volumes going to	
		landfill daily by less than one percent. A less	
		than significant impact would occur under	
		this threshold. No adverse impact to	
300-23-1		landfills associated with project-related	e - 5 a
		waste disposal would occur.	
Waste Water and	2	The proposed project would utilize existing	
Sanitary Sewers	-	sewer connections managed by the Elsinore	
(Feasibility and		Valley Municipal Water District (EVMWD).	
Capacity)		EVMWD currently operates three Water	
		Reclamation Facilities (WRF): Regional WRF,	
		Horsethief Canyon WRF, and Railroad	
		Canyon WRF. In addition, wastewater flow	
		in the southern part of EVMWD's service	
		area is treated at the Santa Rosa WRF,	
		operated by Santa Rosa Regional Resources	
		Authority. Combined the EVMWD WRFs	
		have a daily treatment capacity of 9.8	
		million gallons per day (MGD). Assuming	
		wastewater is approximately 60% of	
		potable water demand, the project would	
		generate approximately 7,025 gallons per	
		day. This is 0.0007% of the daily treatment	
		capacity of the EVMWD WRFs. EVMWD has	
		provided service requirements and design	
		details. A will serve letter for wastewater is	

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Assessment Factor	Code		
		forthcoming. Impacts associated with	
		wastewater treatment would be less than	
Mater Course	2	significant.	
Water Supply	2	EVMWD provides potable water, recycled	
(Feasibility and		water, and wastewater services to its	
Capacity)		Elsinore and Temescal Divisions, which	
		comprise the cities of Lake Elsinore and	
		Canyon Lake, portions of Wildomar and	
		Murrieta, and unincorporated portions of	
		Riverside County and Orange County. In	
		2020, EVMWD served a population of	
		approximately 163,984 and provided	
		potable water through 44,560 active	
		domestic connections. The Elsinore Division	
		makes up most of the service area, with	
		approximately 43,849 active connections,	
		encompassing an area of 96 square miles.	
		The project site is located in the Elsinore	
		Division. CalEEMod 2022.1 estimated the	
		project would use approximately 4.3 million	
		gallons of water annually (11,708 gallons	
		per day). EVMWD has provided service	
		requirements and design details indicating	
		that water supplies are available to support	
		the project. A will serve letter for	
		wastewater is forthcoming. Water demand	
		associated with the project would not	
	2	exceed projected demand for the service	
		area or necessitate expanding existing	
		entitlements. The project is subject to	
		water fees that would be paid by the	
		applicant prior to receipt of a building	
		permit. No new or expanded water	
		connections would be required for the	
		project.	
Public Safety -	2	The Riverside County Fire Department	
Police, Fire and		provides fire and emergency medical	
Emergency Medical		services to the City of Wildomar Elsinore.	
		Fire Station 61 is the nearest station to the	
		project site. It is located at 32637 Gruwell	
		Street approximately 2.8 miles south of the	
		site. Because it is a residential project,	
		demand for fire and emergency service may	
		increase over existing conditions. The	

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Assessment Factor	Code		
		project is consistent with the land use	
		designation for the site and would not	
		increase the population beyond what was	
		anticipated in the Wildomar General Plan.	
		Further, the project would be designed and	
		constructed consistent with applicable	
		codes and standards for access and fire	
		suppression infrastructure. The payment of	
		impact fees would fund any necessary fair	
		share improvements to the Riverside	
		County Fire Department infrastructure to	
		maintain or improve the efficiency of	
		department operations. The project would	
		not require the construction of a new fire	
		station to maintain service ratios within the	
		service area served by Fire Station 61.	
		Law enforcement services are provided by	
		the Riverside County Sheriff's Department	
		via the Lake Elsinore office. It is located at	
		333 Limited Street approximately 2.9 miles	
		northwest of the project site. The project	
		may generate demand for police services	
		beyond existing conditions; however, the	
		project is consistent with the land use	
		designation for the site and would not	
		increase the population beyond what was	
		anticipated in the Wildomar General Plan.	
		The payment of impact fees would fund any	
		necessary fair share improvements to the	
2		Riverside County Sheriff's Department	
		required to maintain or improve the	
		efficiency of department operations. No	
		adverse impacts related to police services	
		would occur.	
Parks, Open Space	2	As referenced, on-site recreational	
and Recreation		amenities would be provided. The area of	
(Access and Capacity)		disturbance would occur as part of the	
		overall project development. No off-site	
		recreational facilities would be constructed	
		to serve the project. The nearest park is	
		Sunshine Park located approximately 0.7	
		miles southwest of the site. No additional	
		park land would be required to	
		accommodate the project; however,	

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Assessment Factor	Code		
		residents may use park resources located	
		throughout the City. The payment of impact	
		fees by the project applicant would	
		contribute to funding available for	
		improvements to existing park resources.	
		No impact would occur under this	
		threshold.	
Transportation and	2	Bicycle and Trail Facilities. Consistent with	
Accessibility (Access		the City of Wildomar General Plan	
and Capacity)		Circulation Element, there are no bicycle	
		lanes along Mesa Drive. No trails are	
		located within or planned for construction	
		within the project area. The project will not	
		affect existing bicycle facilities,	
		implementation of planned bicycle facilities	
		or use of existing or planned trail facilities.	
		No impact would occur under this	
		threshold. Transit Facilities. As stated,	
		Riverside Transit Agency (RTA) provides	
		service to the general area with Route 8.	
		The nearest transit stop is located	
		approximately 0.3 miles west of the site at	
		the intersection of Sedco Boulevard and	
		Mission Trail. The project will not affect	
		existing transit service as currently	
		provided. Pedestrian Facilities. As	
		conditions of approval issued by the City of	
		Wildomar as part of the ministerial approval	
		process, the applicant is required to post a	
		security guaranteeing construction of the	
		following street improvements: *	
		Improve Tokay Road to match existing	
		adjacent improvements from the Mesa	
		Drive intersection into the proposed	
		development (40'ROW, 26' curb-to-curb);	
		and * Improve Mesa Drive to match	
		existing adjacent improvements, into the	
		proposed development (60'ROW, 26.5'	
		curb-to-curb) width, to transition from	
		existing into proposed development.	
		These improvements would include curb,	
		gutter and sidewalk. Existing sidewalks are	
		located along Sedco Boulevard and Mission	
		Trail. Thus, pedestrians would be able to	

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Assessment Factor	Code	walk along the local streets to (from transit	
		walk along the local streets to/from transit access along Mission Trail. No adverse	
		impacts to pedestrian facilities would occur. NATURAL FEATURES	
Unique Natural	2		1
Unique Natural	2	There are no unique natural features or	
Features /Water Resources		water resources located on the project site.	
Vegetation / Wildlife	2	No federally listed plant or animal species	
(Introduction,		occur on-site. Implementation of Mitigation	
Modification,		Measure BIO-1 would avoid impacts to	
Removal, Disruption,		burrowing owl and nesting birds protected	
etc.)		under the federal Migratory Bird Treaty Act.	
Other Factors 1	2	No other factors.	
Other Factors 2	2	No other factors.	
		CLIMATE AND ENERGY	
Climate Change	2	Climate Change. The project site is not	
		located proximal to coastal areas that may	
		be subject to sea level rise, wildland areas	
		that may be subject to wildfire or other	
		natural conditions that could be affected by	
		climate change. The projects would be	
2. a		designed consistent with City of Wildomar	
		landscape standards and include shade	
		trees and other amenities intended to	
		minimize the urban heat island effect.	
Energy Efficiency	2	Energy. Project construction would utilize	
		common methods for site preparation,	
		grading and installation of all infrastructure.	
		Construction vehicles and equipment would	
		utilize fossil fuels such as gasoline, diesel	
		fuel, and motor oil. However, construction	
·		would be short-term and temporary. The	
		project is not anticipated to include any	
		unique features or construction techniques	
		that would generate high energy demand or	
		be wasteful or otherwise result in inefficient	
		use of fuels or other sources of energy. The	
		project would conform with all state and	
		local requirements regarding construction-	
en en de la company		related energy use, including anti-idling	a an a source and
		regulations. The project would be	
		required to comply with California Energy	
		Code Title 24 requirements. Further, the	

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Assessment Factor	Code	project would implement water conservation strategies focused on achieving the goals set forth by Senate Bill X7 7 (2010) which mandates a statewide 20% per capita reduction in water consumption by 2020. The proposed project will have to meet Title 24 energy requirements and comply with California Building Code's (CBC) Zero Net Energy requirements if in effect at time of building permit issuance. The installation of EnergyStar appliances, be required as a condition of approval. The project would comply with applicable elements of state and local plans through the implementation of measures addressing energy efficient design, water conservation and related features that reduce energy demand. While the project would increase demand for public utilities in the region; for reasons stated above, this would not represent a significant impact with respect to energy consumption.	

Supporting documentation

23-0025 SB 35 Approval Letter-COAs-rev [5-24-23].pdf Wildomar Mobility Element.pdf Urban Water Management Pla.pdf Sewer System Management Pl 1.pdf Title 24 Building Efficiency Standards.pdf 2018 City of Wildomar WQMP.pdf NOVA Geotechnical Report Wildomar Cottages 23 Sep 2022.pdf Wildomar Cottages Biological Due Diligence Letter.pdf 1 Arch.pdf Zoning Code.pdf

Additional Studies Performed:

Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc., Results of a Biological Resources Due Diligence Study for the Wildomar Cottages Project, APN 365-180-004, Riverside County, California, September 2021. Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc., Phase I Cultural Resources Assessment for the Cottages at Wildomar Project, July 2021. Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc., Section 106 Historic Resources Study for the Cottages at Wildomar Project, January 2024. dBF & Associates, Inc., Wildomar Multifamily

Exterior Noise Analysis Report, August 2022. NOVA Services, Inc., Geotechnical Investigation, Wildomar Cottages, September 2022 Dexter Wilson Engineering, Inc., Water System Analysis for the Wildomar Cottages Project, May 2023 Weis Environmental, Phase I Environmental Site Assessment for 32650 Mesa Drive, Wildomar, California, August 2021

Field Inspection [Optional]: Date and completed

by: Annjanette Aguilar Barreras

11/21/2023 12:00:00 AM

Site Visit Nov 21 2023.docx

List of Sources, Agencies and Persons Consulted [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]:

a. Project Plans and Site Inspection, September 2023 b. Riverside County Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan, Perris Valley Airport, adopted July 2010 c. Coastal Barrier Resources System Mapper, accessed January 2024. d. Federal Emergency Management Agency, Flood Insurance Rate Map No. 06065C2043G, prepared August 28, 2008; e. Birdseye Planning Group, Cottages at Wildomar California Emission Estimator Model 2022.1 Output, December 2023. f. Coastal Zone Map, February 2024 g. California State Department of Water Resources, Water Resources Control Board, accessed February 2024 h. Weis Environmental, Phase I Environmental Site Assessment for 32650 Mesa Drive, Wildomar, California, August 2021. i. Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc., Results of a Biological Resources Due Diligence Study for the Wildomar Cottages Project, APN 365-180-004, Riverside County, California, September 2021. j. California Environmental Protection Agency. Regulated Site Portal, accessed October 2023 (https://siteportal.calepa.ca.gov/nsite/map/help) k. HUD Exchange Acceptable Separation Distance Tool, accessed December 2023. I. California Department of Conservation, DLRP Important Farmland Finder. Available at https://maps.conservation.ca.gov/dlrp/ciff/. Accessed online December 2023. m. Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc., Phase I Cultural Resources Assessment for the Cottages at Wildomar Project, July 2021. n. Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc., Section 106 Historic Resources Study for the Cottages at Wildomar Project, January 2024. o. dBF & Associates, Inc., Wildomar Multifamily Exterior Noise Analysis Report, August 2022. p. US Environmental Protection Agency, Sole Source Aquifer website accessed October 2023 q. United States Fish & Wildlife Service, Wetlands Mapper, accessed October 2023 r. National Wild and Scenic Rivers System, accessed online October 2023. s. City of Wildomar 2021-2029 Housing Element. Adopted October 2021. t. City of Wildomar Zoning Code, Rural Residential, accessed February 2024 u. NOVA Services, Inc., Geotechnical Investigation, Wildomar Cottages, September 2022 v. City of Wildomar, 2018 Water Quality Management Plan w. California Energy Commission, 2022 Building Efficiency Standards, January 2023 x. City of Lake Elsinore, General Plan Update Final Recirculated Environmental Impact

Report, 2006 y. Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District, Sewer System Management
Plan, October 2018 z. Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District, Urban Water
Management Plan, July 2011. aa. City of Wildomar, General Plan Mobility Element,
2021 bb. Wildomar Cottages Affordable Housing Project, SB 35 Approval Letter (PA
23-0025), May 2023 cc. California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection, Fire
Hazard Severity Zone, website accessed October 2021, https://egis.fire.ca.gov/FHSZ/

List of Permits Obtained:

Plot Plan 23-0025 was approved by the City of Wildomar, May 16, 2023

Public Outreach [24 CFR 58.43]:

No public outreach has been completed at this time. Tribal outreach was performed per the SB 35 application process and also in preparation of the Cultural Resource Report and Section 106 Historic Resource Study prepared by Brian F. Smith Associates. The project results in a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) which will be published in the newspaper and circulated to public agencies, tribes already contacted, interested parties, and landowners/occupants of parcels located within the proposed project's Area of Potential Effects. The FONSI Notice will include information about where the public may find the Environmental Review Record pertinent to the proposed Project.

Cumulative Impact Analysis [24 CFR 58.32]:

The proposed project is the construction of an affordable housing project that would provide 130 affordable units to income qualifying individuals and families. Based on the scope of the entire project, potentially significant impacts related to biological resources, cultural resources and noise were identified and mitigated to below a level of significance. No significant or adverse impacts associated with The Cottages at Wildomar were identified. Mitigation in the form of a fair share payment for the proposed project was identified to address the project's contribution to cumulative impacts to public services.

Alternatives [24 CFR 58.40(e); 40 CFR 1508.9]

Offsite Alternative: Consideration of an offsite alternative is not warranted because no significant impacts that cannot be avoided were identified. Reduced Project: Reducing the size of the proposed project would incrementally reduce impacts across a range of issue areas such as air quality, water supply and wastewater. However, the proposed project's contribution to potentially significant impacts can be mitigated; thus, reducing the project size is not warranted. Density was maximized to ensure highest and best use of the site. Reducing the building footprint or number of units proposed is not a feasible or economically viable alternative.

No Action Alternative [24 CFR 58.40(e)]

If the proposed project was not implemented, the project site would likely remain vacant until another development project is proposed. Without construction of the proposed project, the benefits associated with the affordable housing project would not occur.

Summary of Findings and Conclusions:

The proposed housing project consists of 13 three-story residential buildings containing 130 total units, and 1 community building. Of the 130 units, there are 36 two-bedroom units, 61 three-bedroom units, 31, four-bedroom units, and 2 manager units. All units, except the two manager units, are affordable housing units set between 30% and 80% AMI. There will be 32 units set aside for non-permanent supportive housing (PSH) funded in part with Project Based vouchers (PBVs). These units will be filled using eligible clients on the Housing Authority of the County of Riverside's site-specific Section 8 PBV waiting list. The building's construction calls for wood frame Type V-B construction with a slab on grade foundation. The style of the design is "Modern Farmhouse," which utilizes elements such as vertical or horizontal wood siding, monochrome colors with contrasting accents, and sparse or simple ornamentation. Resident amenities include a centrally located community building with a community room, property manager offices, multi-function room, residential kitchen, and storage. Additional site amenities include a resident pool with a barbeque area, along with two pavilion areas with a tot lot and a play area. There will be 246 surface parking spaces throughout the site, including 5 standard accessible and 2 van accessible spaces. Resident services will be provided by Compass for Affordable Housing, which will be available to all residents. Currently, the anticipated services include adult educational classes, and health and wellness services and programs. The site is not located within an established Alguist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zone. No active faults are known to occur beneath the site. The primary seismic hazard that may affect the site is ground shaking from one of the active regional faults. Grading and foundation construction methods provided in the Geotechnical Report referenced herein would avoid secondary seismic effects (i.e., landslides/slope failure, liquefaction, subsidence and lateral spreading) and minimize impacts associated with seismic shaking. The project site is located within Flood Zone X; and thus, is not within a special flood hazard area. No adverse impacts associated with a 100-year flood event would occur. No significant air quality impacts would occur. No historic resources are known to be present onsite. One isolate was found on-site. Thus, Mitigation Measures CUL-1, CUL-2 and CUL-3 2 would be implemented. The proposed project would be exposed to exterior noise levels along the east side of the site that would exceed residential standards. Mitigation Measure NOI-5 would be implemented to ensure noise levels along the eastern side of the site do not exceed

HUD interior noise standards. The project would not noticeably change exterior noise levels. The project would not change the existing noise environment. Mitigation measures are recommended to reduce temporary construction noise levels at neighboring properties. No specific measures are required to mitigate impacts associated with the remaining environmental issues evaluated herein. The project would not adversely affect public services with the payment of fair share contributions. The proposed project would not result in adverse effects on water or energy or generate the need for new or expanded water, wastewater, or solid waste facilities. The proposed project would increase the intensity of the uses on-site. Traffic volumes would not contribute to cumulative traffic impacts at neighboring intersections. Mitigation in the form of impact fees would reduce potential adverse effects to public services (i.e., water/sewer, fire/law enforcement, parks and schools). The project would conform to applicable Federal, State, and regional regulations affecting air emission, water quality, cultural resources, geologic hazards and related environmental resources addressed herein.

Mitigation Measures and Conditions [CFR 1505.2(c)]:

Summarized below are all mitigation measures adopted by the Responsible Entity to reduce, avoid or eliminate adverse environmental impacts and to avoid non-compliance or non-conformance with the above-listed authorities and factors. These measures/conditions must be incorporated into project contracts, development agreements and other relevant documents. The staff responsible for implementing and monitoring mitigation measures should be clearly identified in the mitigation plan.

Law, Authority,	Mitigation Measure or Condition	Comments	Mitigation Plan	Complete
Authority,		on	Plan	
or Factor		Completed		
		Measures		

Project Mitigation Plan

Supporting documentation on completed measures

APPENDIX A: Related Federal Laws and Authorities

Airport Hazards

General policy	Legislation	Regulation
It is HUD's policy to apply standards to		24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D
prevent incompatible development		
around civil airports and military airfields.		

1. To ensure compatible land use development, you must determine your site's proximity to civil and military airports. Is your project within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport?

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload the map showing that the site is not within the applicable distances to a military or civilian airport below

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project site is not located within 2,500 feet of the end of a civil airport runway or 8,000 feet from the end of a military airfield runway. Skydive Elsinore is a private airstrip located 1.2 miles southwest of the site. Perris Valley Airport is located approximately 8.2 miles northeast of the site. The proposed project is not located within the Perris Valley Airport land use boundary, within 2 miles of a public use airport in proximity to a private airstrip. March Air Reserve Base is the closest military facility and is located approximately 14.7 miles northeast of the site. The proposed project would not pose any threat to airport navigation. No adverse impacts related to Runway Clear Zones or Accident Potential Zones would occur.

Supporting documentation

Airport Map.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Coastal Barrier Resources

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD financial assistance may not be	Coastal Barrier Resources Act	
used for most activities in units of the	(CBRA) of 1982, as amended by	
Coastal Barrier Resources System	the Coastal Barrier Improvement	
(CBRS). See 16 USC 3504 for limitations	Act of 1990 (16 USC 3501)	
on federal expenditures affecting the		
CBRS.		

This project is located in a state that does not contain CBRA units. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act.

Compliance Determination

No coastal barrier resources under the protection of the Coastal Barrier Resources Act occur in California. The Coastal Barrier Resources Act does not apply.

Supporting documentation

CBRS Mapper.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Flood Insurance

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Certain types of federal financial assistance may not be	Flood Disaster	24 CFR 50.4(b)(1)
used in floodplains unless the community participates	Protection Act of 1973	and 24 CFR 58.6(a)
in National Flood Insurance Program and flood	as amended (42 USC	and (b); 24 CFR
insurance is both obtained and maintained.	4001-4128)	55.1(b).

1. Does this project involve <u>financial assistance for construction, rehabilitation, or</u> <u>acquisition of a mobile home, building, or insurable personal property</u>?

No. This project does not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance.

✓ Yes

2. Upload a FEMA/FIRM map showing the site here:

FEMA FIRMETTE.pdf

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) designates floodplains. The FEMA Map Service Center provides this information in the form of FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs). For projects in areas not mapped by FEMA, use the best available information to determine floodplain information. Include documentation, including a discussion of why this is the best available information for the site. Provide FEMA/FIRM floodplain zone designation, panel number, and date within your documentation.

Is the structure, part of the structure, or insurable property located in a FEMAdesignated Special Flood Hazard Area?

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

4. While flood insurance is not mandatory for this project, HUD strongly recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). Will flood insurance be required as a mitigation measure or condition?

Yes

No

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project site is not located within a 100-year mapped flood zone (FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map No. 06065C2043G, August 2008). The Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (42 U.S.C. 4012a) requires that projects receiving federal assistance and located in an area identified by FEMA as being within a Special Flood Hazard Area (SFHA) be covered by flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). The project is not within a SFHA; thus, no significant or adverse impacts associated with the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 and National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 1994 would occur.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Air Quality

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Clean Air Act is administered	Clean Air Act (42 USC 7401 et	40 CFR Parts 6, 51
by the U.S. Environmental	seq.) as amended particularly	and 93
Protection Agency (EPA), which	Section 176(c) and (d) (42 USC	
sets national standards on	7506(c) and (d))	
ambient pollutants. In addition,		
the Clean Air Act is administered		
by States, which must develop		
State Implementation Plans (SIPs)		
to regulate their state air quality.		2 - 100 C (100 C)
Projects funded by HUD must		
demonstrate that they conform		
to the appropriate SIP.		

1. Does your project include new construction or conversion of land use facilitating the development of public, commercial, or industrial facilities OR five or more dwelling units?

- ✓ Yes
 - No

Air Quality Attainment Status of Project's County or Air Quality Management District

2. Is your project's air quality management district or county in non-attainment or maintenance status for any criteria pollutants?

No, project's county or air quality management district is in attainment status for all criteria pollutants.

 Yes, project's management district or county is in non-attainment or maintenance status for the following criteria pollutants (check all that apply):

> Carbon Monoxide Lead

Nitrogen dioxide

Sulfur dioxide

06/26/2024 12:07

Page 36 of 66

- ✓ Ozone
 - Particulate Matter, <2.5 microns
- ✓ Particulate Matter, <10 microns</p>

3. What are the *de minimis* emissions levels (<u>40 CFR 93.153</u>) or screening levels for the non-attainment or maintenance level pollutants indicated above

Ozone1.00ppb (parts per million)Particulate Matter, <10 microns</td>150.00µg/m3 (micrograms per cubic meter of air)

Provide your source used to determine levels here:

Emissions were projected using CalEEMod 2022.1

4. Determine the estimated emissions levels of your project. Will your project exceed any of the de minimis or threshold emissions levels of non-attainment and maintenance level pollutants or exceed the screening levels established by the state or air quality management district?

 No, the project will not exceed *de minimis* or threshold emissions levels or screening levels.

Enter the estimate emission levels:

Ozone	1.00	ppb (parts per million)
Particulate Matter, <10	7.80	µg/m3 (micrograms per cubic
microns		meter of air)

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes, the project exceeds de minimis emissions levels or screening levels.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project site is located within the South Coast Air Basin (Basin), which includes the western portion of Riverside County. Air quality conditions in the South Coast Air Basin are under the jurisdiction of the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD). The South Coast Air Basin is currently designated as a nonattainment area

with respect to the State and Federal ozone and Particulate Matter 10 (PM10) standards. It is designated attainment or unclassified for the remaining State and Federal standards. The project would result in temporary air emissions during construction and would be a source of emissions post-construction. To determine whether emissions would be de minimis, CalEEMod version 2022.1 was used to estimate emissions during construction. Maximum daily emissions would not exceed SCAQMD thresholds; thus, emissions would be de minimis and no air quality impact would occur as defined by 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, and 93 and Sections 176 (c) and (d) of the Clean Air Act. Post construction emissions would be associated with operation of vehicles and use of energy to operate the household. Emissions were projected using CalEEMod 2022.1. Maximum daily emissions would not exceed SCAQMD thresholds; thus, emissions would be de minimis and no air quality impact would occur as defined by 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, and 93 and Sections 176 (c) and (d) of the Clean Air Act.

Supporting documentation

Cottages and Wildomar Detailed Report.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Coastal Zone Management Act

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Federal assistance to applicant	Coastal Zone Management	15 CFR Part 930
agencies for activities affecting	Act (16 USC 1451-1464),	
any coastal use or resource is	particularly section 307(c)	
granted only when such	and (d) (16 USC 1456(c) and	
activities are consistent with	(d))	
federally approved State		
Coastal Zone Management Act		
Plans.		

1. Is the project located in, or does it affect, a Coastal Zone as defined in your state Coastal Management Plan?

Yes

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project site is not located in a coastal zone, as defined by the California Coastal Act (Public Resources Code, Division 20, Section 3000 Et. Seq.). The nearest coastal zone is located approximately 33 miles to the west. Therefore, no adverse coastal zone impacts are anticipated.

Supporting documentation

Coastal zone map.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Contamination and Toxic Substances

General requirements	Legislation	Regulations
It is HUD policy that all properties that are being		24 CFR 58.5(i)(2)
proposed for use in HUD programs be free of		24 CFR 50.3(i)
hazardous materials, contamination, toxic		
chemicals and gases, and radioactive		
substances, where a hazard could affect the		
health and safety of the occupants or conflict		
with the intended utilization of the property.		

1. How was site contamination evaluated? Select all that apply. Document and upload documentation and reports and evaluation explanation of site contamination below.

 American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA)
 ASTM Phase II ESA
 Remediation or clean-up plan
 ASTM Vapor Encroachment Screening
 None of the Above

2. Were any on-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances found that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property? (Were any recognized environmental conditions or RECs identified in a Phase I ESA and confirmed in a Phase II ESA?)

✓ No

Explain:

Based on a review of available databases listing known hazard sites (Geotracker) and the Phase I ESA prepared for the site (Weis Environmental, Inc., August 2021), no evidence of Recognized Environmental Conditions (RECs), historical RECs or controlled recognized environmental conditions in connection with the project site or adjacent parcels.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Site contamination was evaluated as follows: ASTM Phase I ESA. On-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property were not found. The project is in compliance with contamination and toxic substances requirements.

Supporting documentation

Phase I ESA 32650 Mesa Drive.pdf Geotracker.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Endangered Species

General requirements	ESA Legislation	Regulations
Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA)	The Endangered	50 CFR Part
mandates that federal agencies ensure that	Species Act of 1973	402
actions that they authorize, fund, or carry out	(16 U.S.C. 1531 et	
shall not jeopardize the continued existence of	seq.); particularly	
federally listed plants and animals or result in	section 7 (16 USC	
the adverse modification or destruction of	1536).	
designated critical habitat. Where their actions		
may affect resources protected by the ESA,		
agencies must consult with the Fish and Wildlife		
Service and/or the National Marine Fisheries		
Service ("FWS" and "NMFS" or "the Services").		

1. Does the project involve any activities that have the potential to affect specifies or habitats?

No, the project will have No Effect due to the nature of the activities involved in the project.

No, the project will have No Effect based on a letter of understanding, memorandum of agreement, programmatic agreement, or checklist provided by local HUD office

 ✓ Yes, the activities involved in the project have the potential to affect species and/or habitats.

2. Are federally listed species or designated critical habitats present in the action area?

✓ No, the project will have No Effect due to the absence of federally listed species and designated critical habitat

> Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below. Documentation may include letters from the Services, species lists from the Services' websites, surveys or other documents and analysis showing that there are no species in the action area.

Yes, there are federally listed species or designated critical habitats present in the action area.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

A Biological Resources Due Diligence Assessment was performed for the project by Brian F. Smith and Associates, Inc. (September 2021). The majority of the project is comprised of disturbed (abandoned nursery) and ornamental vegetation (olives, pine, palms). The northern region of the project extends slightly into an inundated pond. This area would not be affected by project improvements. General wildlife species documented onsite or within the vicinity are common native species. The site was evaluated for the presence of habitat supporting both federal and state-listed species. None occur on-site. Per Best Management Practices (BMPs) for biological resources, if construction occurs between February 1st and August 31st, a pre-construction clearance survey for nesting birds should be conducted within three (3) days of the start of any vegetation removal or ground disturbing activities to ensure that no nesting birds will be disturbed during construction. With implementation of BMP BIO-1, impacts to migratory birds would be less than significant. Further, the project site falls within the Stephen's Kangaroo Rat (SKR) Fee Area outlined in the Riverside County SKR HCP. The SKR is a federally listed species; however, none occur on-site. The project applicant will be required to pay the fees pursuant to County Ordinance 663.10 for the SKR HCP Fee Assessment Area as established and implemented by the County of Riverside. The fee is not associated with direct project impacts to SKR but rather is intended for use in expanding and maintaining existing preserve areas in western Riverside County.

Supporting documentation

Wildomar Cottages Biological Due Diligence Letter(1).pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Explosive and Flammable Hazards

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD-assisted projects must meet	N/A	24 CFR Part 51
Acceptable Separation Distance (ASD)		Subpart C
requirements to protect them from		
explosive and flammable hazards.		

1. Is the proposed HUD-assisted project itself the development of a hazardous facility (a facility that mainly stores, handles or processes flammable or combustible chemicals such as bulk fuel storage facilities and refineries)?

✓ No

Yes

2. Does this project include any of the following activities: development, construction, rehabilitation that will increase residential densities, or conversion?

No

✓ Yes

3. Within 1 mile of the project site, are there any current or planned stationary aboveground storage containers that are covered by 24 CFR 51C? Containers that are NOT covered under the regulation include:

• Containers 100 gallons or less in capacity, containing common liquid industrial fuels OR

• Containers of liquified petroleum gas (LPG) or propane with a water volume capacity of 1,000 gallons or less that meet the requirements of the 2017 or later version of National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) Code 58.

If all containers within the search area fit the above criteria, answer "No." For any other type of aboveground storage container within the search area that holds one of the flammable or explosive materials listed in Appendix I of 24 CFR part 51 subpart C, answer "Yes."

No

✓ Yes

4. Based on the analysis, is the proposed HUD-assisted project located at or beyond the required separation distance from all covered tanks?

✓ Yes

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

No

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The proposed project would be constructed on a vacant site. It would not require the ongoing use, storage or routine transport of hazardous, explosive or flammable materials. Aside from common household chemicals, no hazardous materials would be used on-site or otherwise accessible to residents. The project would not emit or release hazardous waste or emissions. The California Environmental Protection Agency (CalEPA) regulated site portal

(https://siteportal.calepa.ca.gov/nsite/map/help) was used to identify the presence of any regulated sites within one mile of the site that would present a potential hazard to the project site. A total of 213 records were identified. The majority were underground storage tanks associated with retail fueling stations, retailers selling motor oil and other automotive related products, automotive dealers and repair businesses, school sites, stormwater treatment facilities and hazardous waste generators (i.e., heavy commercial/light industrial facilities). One above ground gasoline storage tank is located approximately one mile southwest of the project site. The storage tank is associated with the Skydive Elsinore airstrip. The maximum volume stored on-site is 600 gallons. Using HUD's Acceptable Separate Distance Calculator, the overpressure blast area would be 147 feet; the thermal radiation area would be 167 feet. The site is 5,800 feet from the above ground storage tank and outside the separation distance. There is no known potential for exposure to significant hazards from the site or surrounding properties. No mitigation measures are required.

Supporting documentation

<u>CalEPA Explosive Chemicals.pdf</u> <u>Acceptable Separation Distance ASD Electronic Assessment Tool HUD Exchange.pdf</u>

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Farmlands Protection

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Farmland Protection	Farmland Protection Policy	7 CFR Part 658
Policy Act (FPPA) discourages	Act of 1981 (7 U.S.C. 4201	
federal activities that would	et seq.)	
convert farmland to		
nonagricultural purposes.		

1. Does your project include any activities, including new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, that could convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use?

Yes

✓ No

If your project includes new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, explain how you determined that agricultural land would not be converted:

The project site is currently vacant and located within an urbanized area within the City of Wildomar. The site is categorized as Other Land, as indicated on the California Department of Conservation Important Farmland Finder (October 2023). The site does not include prime or unique farmland, or other farmland of statewide or local importance.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project site is currently vacant and located within an urbanized area within the City of Wildomar. The site is categorized as Other Land, as indicated on the California Department of Conservation Important Farmland Finder (October 2023). The site does not include prime or unique farmland, or other farmland of statewide or local importance. No impact to farmland resources defined under the Farmland Protection Policy Act per 7 CFR 658 would occur.

Supporting documentation

Farmland Map.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Floodplain Management

General Requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11988,	Executive Order 11988	24 CFR 55
Floodplain Management,		
requires federal activities to		
avoid impacts to floodplains		
and to avoid direct and		
indirect support of floodplain		
development to the extent		
practicable.		

1. Do any of the following exemptions apply? Select the applicable citation? [only one selection possible]

- 55.12(c)(3) 55.12(c)(4) 55.12(c)(5) 55.12(c)(6) 55.12(c)(7) 55.12(c)(8) 55.12(c)(9) 55.12(c)(10) 55.12(c)(11)
- ✓ None of the above

2. Upload a FEMA/FIRM map showing the site here:

FEMA FIRMETTE.pdf

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) designates floodplains. The FEMA Map Service Center provides this information in the form of FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs). For projects in areas not mapped by FEMA, use **the best available information** to determine floodplain information. Include documentation, including a discussion of why this is the best available information for the site.

Does your project occur in a floodplain?

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The site is designated an Area of Minimal Flood Hazard Zone X in Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) 06065C2043G (August 2008); and thus, is located outside a 100-year flood zone. No analysis per Part II of Executive Order 11988 is required.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Historic Preservation

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Regulations under	Section 106 of the	36 CFR 800 "Protection of Historic
Section 106 of the	National Historic	Properties"
National Historic	Preservation Act	https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/CF
Preservation Act	(16 U.S.C. 470f)	R-2012-title36-vol3/pdf/CFR-2012-title36-
(NHPA) require a		vol3-part800.pdf
consultative process		
to identify historic		
properties, assess		
project impacts on		
them, and avoid,		
minimize, or mitigate		
adverse effects		

Threshold

Is Section 106 review required for your project?

No, because the project consists solely of activities listed as exempt in a Programmatic Agreement (PA). (See the PA Database to find applicable PAs.) No, because the project consists solely of activities included in a No Potential to Cause Effects memo or other determination [36 CFR 800.3(a)(1)].

 ✓ Yes, because the project includes activities with potential to cause effects (direct or indirect).

Step 1 – Initiate Consultation

Select all consulting parties below (check all that apply):

- ✓ State Historic Preservation Offer (SHPO) Response Period Elapsed
- ✓ Advisory Council on Historic Preservation Not Required
- ✓ Indian Tribes, including Tribal Historic Preservation Officers (THPOs) or Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs)

✓ Morongo Band of Mission Completed Indians

✓ Pechanga Band of Indians Completed

Other Consulting Parties

Describe the process of selecting consulting parties and initiating consultation here:

The consulting parties were selected based on input received from the Sacred Lands File search.

Document and upload all correspondence, notices and notes (including comments and objections received below).

Was the Section 106 Lender Delegation Memo used for Section 106 consultation?

Yes No

Step 2 – Identify and Evaluate Historic Properties

 Define the Area of Potential Effect (APE), either by entering the address(es) or uploading a map depicting the APE below: The APE is comprised of the project site.

In the chart below, list historic properties identified and evaluated in the APE. Every historic property that may be affected by the project should be included in the chart.

Upload the documentation (survey forms, Register nominations, concurrence(s) and/or objection(s), notes, and photos) that justify your National Register Status determination below.

Address / Location	National Register	SHPO Concurrence	Sensitive
/ District	Status		Information

Additional Notes:

No historic properties are located in or proximal to the APE.

2. Was a survey of historic buildings and/or archeological sites done as part of the project?

Yes

✓ No

Step 3 –Assess Effects of the Project on Historic Properties

Only properties that are listed on or eligible for the National Register of Historic Places receive further consideration under Section 106. Assess the effect(s) of the project by applying the Criteria of Adverse Effect. (<u>36 CFR 800.5</u>)] Consider direct and indirect effects as applicable as per guidance on <u>direct and indirect effects</u>.

Choose one of the findings below - No Historic Properties Affected, No Adverse Effect, or Adverse Effect; and seek concurrence from consulting parties.

No Historic Properties Affected

✓ No Adverse Effect

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. **Document reason for finding:**

No historic or archaeological resources are located on the site. SHPO did not respond to the request for review and concurrence within the 30-day period which was initiated on February 5, 2024.

Does the No Adverse Effect finding contain conditions?

Yes (check all that apply)

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload concurrence(s) or objection(s) below.

Adverse Effect

Screen Summary Compliance Determination

Based on Section 106 consultation the project will have No Adverse Effect on historic properties. Conditions: None. Upon satisfactory implementation of the conditions, which should be monitored, the project is in compliance with Section 106. The following measures provided by the Pechanga Band of Luiseno Indians would be implemented to reduce potential impacts to previously undiscovered cultural resources: CUL-1: Prior to the issuance of grading permits, the Applicant shall provide to the City the signed and executed AB 168 Tribal Agreement between Affirmed Housing, LLC and the Soboba Band of Luiseno Indians. Cultural Resources Disposition. In the event that Native American cultural resources are discovered during the course of initial survey or grading (inadvertent discoveries), the following procedures shall be carried out for final disposition of the discoveries: a. Preservation-In-Place of the cultural resources, if feasible. Preservation in place means avoiding the resources, leaving them in the place where they were found with no development affecting the integrity of the resources. b. Reburial of the resources on the Project property. c. Curation. Inadvertent Archeological Find. If during ground disturbance activities, cultural resources are discovered that were not assessed prior to project approval, the following procedures shall be followed. a. All ground disturbance activities within 100 feet of the discovered cultural resources shall be halted until a meeting is convened between the developer, the archaeologist, the tribal representative(s) and the Planning Director to discuss the significance of the find. b. At the meeting, the significance of the discoveries shall be discussed and after consultation with the tribal representative(s) and the archaeologist, a decision shall be made, with the concurrence of the Planning Director, as to the appropriate mitigation (documentation, recovery, avoidance, etc.) for the cultural resources. c. Grading of further ground disturbance shall not resume within the area of the discovery until an agreement has been reached by all parties as to the appropriate mitigation. Work shall be allowed to continue outside of the buffer area and will be monitored by additional Tribal monitors if needed. d. Treatment and avoidance of the newly discovered resources shall be consistent with the Cultural Resources Management Plan and Monitoring Agreements entered into with the Tribe. This may include avoidance of the cultural resources through project design, in-place preservation of cultural resources located in native soils and/or re-burial on the Project property so they are not subject to further disturbance in perpetuity as identified in Non-Disclosure of Reburial Condition. e. If the find is determined to be significant and avoidance of the site has not been achieved, a Phase 2I data recovery plan shall be prepared by the project archeologist, in consultation with the Tribe, and shall be submitted to the City for their review and approval prior to implementation of the said plan. f. Pursuant to Calif. Pub. Res. Code s. 21083.2(b) avoidance is the preferred method of preservation for archaeological resources and cultural resources. CUL-2: Human Remains. If human remains are encountered, State Health and Safety Code Section 7050.5 states that no further disturbance shall occur until the Riverside County Coroner has made the necessary findings as to origin. CUL-3: Prior to the

issuance of grading permits, the Applicant shall provide to the City the signed and executed AB 168 Tribal Agreement between Affirmed Housing, LLC and the Pechanga Band of Luiseno Indians. All applicable terms within Mitigation Measures CUL-1 and CUL-2 above shall apply.

Supporting documentation

Section 106 NHPA Historic Resources Study for the Cottages at Wildomar.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Noise Abatement and Control

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD's noise regulations protect	Noise Control Act of 1972	Title 24 CFR 51
residential properties from		Subpart B
excessive noise exposure. HUD	General Services Administration	
encourages mitigation as	Federal Management Circular	
appropriate.	75-2: "Compatible Land Uses at	
	Federal Airfields"	

1. What activities does your project involve? Check all that apply:

✓ New construction for residential use

NOTE: HUD assistance to new construction projects is generally prohibited if they are located in an Unacceptable zone, and HUD discourages assistance for new construction projects in Normally Unacceptable zones. See 24 CFR 51.101(a)(3) for further details.

Rehabilitation of an existing residential property

A research demonstration project which does not result in new construction or reconstruction

An interstate land sales registration

Any timely emergency assistance under disaster assistance provision or appropriations which are provided to save lives, protect property, protect public health and safety, remove debris and wreckage, or assistance that has the effect of restoring facilities substantially as they existed prior to the disaster None of the above

4. Complete the Preliminary Screening to identify potential noise generators in the vicinity (1000' from a major road, 3000' from a railroad, or 15 miles from an airport).

Indicate the findings of the Preliminary Screening below:

There are no noise generators found within the threshold distances above.

✓ Noise generators were found within the threshold distances.

5. Complete the Preliminary Screening to identify potential noise generators in the

✓ Acceptable: (65 decibels or less; the ceiling may be shifted to 70 decibels in circumstances described in §24 CFR 51.105(a))

Indicate noise level here: 63

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload noise analysis, including noise level and data used to complete the analysis below.

Normally Unacceptable: (Above 65 decibels but not exceeding 75 decibels; the floor may be shifted to 70 decibels in circumstances described in §24 CFR 51.105(a))

Unacceptable: (Above 75 decibels)

HUD strongly encourages conversion of noise-exposed sites to land uses compatible with high noise levels.

Check here to affirm that you have considered converting this property to a non-residential use compatible with high noise levels.

Indicate noise level here: 63

Document and upload noise analysis, including noise level and data used to complete the analysis below.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

A Noise Assessment was conducted. The noise level was acceptable: 63.0 db. See noise analysis. The project is in compliance with HUD's Noise regulation. As a Best Management Practice (BMP) NOI-5 from the Noise Assessment would be implemented to ensure HUD interior standards are met. BMP NOI-5 requires preparation of an architectural noise study to determine the STC of the window, wall and door assemblies to ensure the interior noise levels at all units proximal to Interstate 15 achieve the 45 dBA HUD standard with windows and doors closed. If

Cottages-at-Wildomar

Wildomar, CA

needed BMP NOI-1 through NOI-4 are recommended to reduce construction noise. NOI-1 Construction Equipment. Electrical power shall be used to run air compressors and similar power tools. Internal combustion engines should be equipped with a muffler of a type recommended by the manufacturer and in good repair. All diesel equipment should be operated with closed engine doors and should be equipped with factory-recommended mufflers. Construction equipment that continues to generate substantial noise at the project boundaries should be shielded with temporary noise barriers, such as barriers that meet a sound transmission class (STC) rating of 25, sound absorptive panels, or sound blankets on individual pieces of construction equipment. Stationary noise-generating equipment, such as generators and compressors, should be located as far as practically possible from the nearest residential property lines. NOI-2 Limit Operations Adjacent to Receivers. Limit the number of large pieces of equipment (i.e., bulldozers or concrete mixers) operating adjacent to receivers to one at any given time. NOI-3 Neighbor Notification. Provide notification to residential occupants adjacent to the project site at least 24 hours prior to initiation of construction activities that would occur outside the hours allowed by municipal code. This notification should include the anticipated hours and duration of construction and a description of noise reduction measures being implemented at the project site. The notification should include a telephone number to call to submit complaints associated with construction noise. NOI-4 Noise Control Plan. Construction contractors shall develop and implement a noise control plan for work occurring outside hours allowed per the Municipal Code that includes a noise control monitoring program. The plan may include the following requirements: * Contractor shall turn off idling equipment. * Contractor shall perform noisier operation during the times least sensitive to receptors. * All diesel equipment shall be operated with closed engine doors and shall be equipped with factory-recommended mufflers. * Electrical power shall be used to run air compressors and similar power tools and to power any temporary structures, such as construction trailers or security staff facilities. * For all noise-generating construction activities, additional noise attenuation techniques shall be employed as necessary to reduce noise levels. Such techniques shall include, but are not limited to, the use of sound blankets, noise shrouds and temporary sound barriers.

Supporting documentation

Wildomar Multifamily - Exterior Noise Analysis Report 2022-08-01 DRAFT.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

N. F. S. 10 10 10

06/26/2024 12:07

Page 58 of 66

Sole Source Aquifers

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974	Safe Drinking Water	40 CFR Part 149
protects drinking water systems	Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C.	
which are the sole or principal	201, 300f et seq., and	
drinking water source for an area	21 U.S.C. 349)	
and which, if contaminated, would		
create a significant hazard to public		
health.		

1. Does the project consist solely of acquisition, leasing, or rehabilitation of an existing building(s)?

Yes

✓ No

2. Is the project located on a sole source aquifer (SSA)?

A sole source aquifer is defined as an aquifer that supplies at least 50 percent of the drinking water consumed in the area overlying the aquifer. This includes streamflow source areas, which are upstream areas of losing streams that flow into the recharge area.

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload documentation used to make your determination, such as a map of your project (or jurisdiction, if appropriate) in relation to the nearest SSA and its source area, below.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

There are no sole source aquifers in Riverside County as designated by the US Environmental Protection Agency Pacific Southwest Region 9. The project would not use groundwater or otherwise impact groundwater recharge. No impacts to sole source aquifers as defined per 40 CFR 149 would occur.

06/26/2024 12:07

Page 60 of 66

Supporting documentation

Sole Source Aquifers.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Wetlands Protection

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11990 discourages direct or	Executive Order	24 CFR 55.20 can be
indirect support of new construction impacting	11990	used for general
wetlands wherever there is a practicable	The second	guidance regarding
alternative. The Fish and Wildlife Service's		the 8 Step Process.
National Wetlands Inventory can be used as a		
primary screening tool, but observed or known		
wetlands not indicated on NWI maps must also		
be processed Off-site impacts that result in		
draining, impounding, or destroying wetlands		
must also be processed.		

1. Does this project involve new construction as defined in Executive Order 11990, expansion of a building's footprint, or ground disturbance? The term "new construction" shall include draining, dredging, channelizing, filling, diking, impounding, and related activities and any structures or facilities begun or authorized after the effective date of the Order

No

✓ Yes

2. Will the new construction or other ground disturbance impact an on- or off-site wetland? The term "wetlands" means those areas that are inundated by surface or ground water with a frequency sufficient to support, and under normal circumstances does or would support, a prevalence of vegetative or aquatic life that requires saturated or seasonally saturated soil conditions for growth and reproduction. Wetlands generally include swamps, marshes, bogs, and similar areas such as sloughs, potholes, wet meadows, river overflows, mud flats, and natural ponds.

"Wetlands under E.O. 11990 include isolated and non-jurisdictional wetlands."

✓ No, a wetland will not be impacted in terms of E.O. 11990's definition of new construction.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload a map or any other relevant documentation below which explains your determination

Yes, there is a wetland that be impacted in terms of E.O. 11990's definition of new construction.

<u>Screen Summary</u> Compliance Determination

According to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's Wetlands Online Mapper, no wetlands are located on the site. No adverse impacts related to wetlands protection are anticipated.

Supporting documentation

Wetland Mapper.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

117 1112 NR

Wild and Scenic Rivers Act

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act	The Wild and Scenic Rivers	36 CFR Part 297
provides federal protection for	Act (16 U.S.C. 1271-1287),	
certain free-flowing, wild, scenic	particularly section 7(b) and	
and recreational rivers	(c) (16 U.S.C. 1278(b) and (c))	
designated as components or		
potential components of the		
National Wild and Scenic Rivers		
System (NWSRS) from the effects		
of construction or development.		117-1113 XX

1. Is your project within proximity of a NWSRS river?

✓ No

Yes, the project is in proximity of a Designated Wild and Scenic River or Study Wild and Scenic River.

Yes, the project is in proximity of a Nationwide Rivers Inventory (NRI) River.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project site is located in an urbanized portion of the City of Wildomar. The Santa Ana River is the closest river and is located approximately 27 miles northwest of the site. It is not a designated wild or scenic river. (National Wild and Scenic Rivers, 2011). The project would have no adverse impacts on wild or scenic rivers.

Supporting documentation

Wild and Scenic River.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Environmental Justice

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Determine if the project	Executive Order 12898	
creates adverse environmental		
impacts upon a low-income or		
minority community. If it		
does, engage the community		
in meaningful participation		
about mitigating the impacts		
or move the project.		

HUD strongly encourages starting the Environmental Justice analysis only after all other laws and authorities, including Environmental Assessment factors if necessary, have been completed.

1. Were any adverse environmental impacts identified in any other compliance review portion of this project's total environmental review?

Yes

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project would provide 130 affordable apartment units for income qualifying residents. The site is vacant; therefore, the project would not remove housing or otherwise displace minority or low-income communities to accommodate construction. Neighboring uses are comprised of residential uses. The site is not of any biological or cultural significance. The project is not known to be located in an area subject to climate change nor would affects from climate change disproportionately impact low income or minority populations. Per the City of Wildomar 2021-2029 Housing Element (October 2021), the Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA) has allocated a total of 2,715 new housing units to the City of Wildomar. Of the total, 399 units are allocated to very low income (30-50% Area Median Income (AMI) category and 450 units are allocated to the low-income category (50 to 80% AMI). The project would provide 130 new units or 14% of the allocation for very low and low-income tenants. The project would contribute to the City of Wildomar's affordable housing allocation. There is no evidence based on project scope and location of the proposed project, that any populations with limited housing choices or that otherwise are considered to have special life challenges would

06/26/2024 12:07

Page 65 of 66

be adversely affected by the project. Further, to date, no public comment known to the applicant, either in favor of or opposing the project because of potential environmental justice concerns, has been received.

Supporting documentation

2021 to 2029 Housing Element Adopted October 13 2021.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Page 66 of 66

· · · · · · · ·

PUBLIC NOTICE July 15, 2024

Riverside County, Housing and Workforce Solutions 3403 Tenth Street, Suite 300 Riverside, California 92501 Annjanette Aguilar, Preparer (760) 863-2541

TO ALL INTERESTED AGENCIES, GROUPS, AND PERSONS:

These notices shall satisfy procedural requirements for activities to be undertaken by the County of Riverside. Any individual, group or agency submitting comments should specify in their comments which "notice" their comments address.

REQUEST FOR RELEASE OF FUNDS

On or about July 30, 2024, the County of Riverside will submit a request to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Los Angeles Field Office for the release of: HUD Housing Choice Voucher Program (HCVP) Project Based Vouchers (PBVs) through the Housing Authority of the County of Riverside, to undertake the following project:

PROJECT NAME: The Cottages at Wildomar

PURPOSE: The project activity includes the use of 32 PBVs to serve as a rental subsidy for the Cottages at Wildomar (the Cottages) by Affirmed Housing Group, a California Corporation. The Cottages will consist of the new construction of 13 three-story residential buildings containing 130 total units, and 1 community building. Of the 130 units, there are 36 two-Bedroom units, 61 three-bedroom units, 31, four-bedroom units, and 2 manager units. All units, except the two manager units, are affordable housing units set between 30% and 80% AMI of the area median income of the County of Riverside. There will be 32 units set aside for non-permanent supportive housing (PSH) project based vouchers (PBVs).

LOCATION: The property sits on a parcel totaling approximately 8.98 acres located at 32650 Mesa Drive, in the City of Wildomar, also identified as Assessor's Parcel Number 365-180-004.

This activity may be undertaken over multiple years.

FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

The County of Riverside has determined that the project will have no significant impact on the human environment. Therefore, an Environmental Impact Statement under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) is not required. Additional project information is contained in the Environmental Assessment (EA) on file at the Housing Authority of the County of Riverside at 5555 Arlington Ave, Riverside, CA 92504. The EA may be downloaded at the following website address https://www.harivco.org/.

PUBLIC COMMENTS

Any individual, group, or agency may submit written comments on the EA and the Request for Release of Funds to the Department of Housing, and Workforce Solutions, Attention: Annjanette Aguilar at 3403 Tenth Street, Suite 300, Riverside, CA 92501 or email comments to AABarreras@rivco.org. All comments received at the address specified above on or before July 29, 2024 will be considered by the County of Riverside prior to submission of a request for release of funds. Comments should specify which Notice they are addressing.

RELEASE OF FUNDS

The County of Riverside certifies to the HUD Los Angeles Field Office that the Chair of the Board of Supervisors consents to accept the jurisdiction of the Federal courts if an action is brought to enforce responsibilities in relation to the environmental review process and that these responsibilities have been satisfied. HUD's approval of the certification satisfies its responsibilities under NEPA and related laws and authorities and allows the County of Riverside Housing, and Workforce Solutions to allocate Housing Choice Voucher Program Project Based Vouchers on behalf of the County of Riverside.

OBJECTIONS TO RELEASE OF FUNDS

HUD will accept objections to its release of funds and the County of Riverside's certification for a period of fifteen days following the anticipated submission date or its actual receipt of the request (whichever is later) only if they are on one of the following bases:

- a. the certification was not executed by the Certifying Officer of the County of Riverside;
 - b. the County of Riverside has omitted a step or failed to make a decision or finding required by HUD regulations at 24 CFR part 58;
 - c. the grant recipient has committed funds or incurred costs not authorized by 24 CFR Part 58 before approval of a release of funds by HUD; or
 - d. another Federal agency acting pursuant to 40 CFR Part 1504 has submitted a written finding that the project is unsatisfactory from the standpoint of environmental quality.

Objections must be prepared and submitted via email in accordance with the required procedures (24 CFR Part 58, Sec. 58.76) and shall be addressed to the following HUD Los Angeles Field Offices: Office of Public Housing at <u>HUDLOSANGELESOPH@hud.gov</u>, Potential objectors should contact HUD Los Angeles Field Offices via email to verify the actual last day of the objection period.

NOTICIA PUBLICA

15 de Julio del 2024

Departamento de Soluciones para Vivienda y la Fuerza Laboral del Condado de Riverside 3403 Tenth Street, Suite 300 Riverside, California 92501 Annjanette Aguilar, Preparadora (760) 863-2541

A TODAS LAS AGENCIAS, GRUPOS Y PERSONAS INTERSADOS:

Estos avisos deberán satisfacer las actividades que realizara el Condado de Riverside. Cualquier individuo, grupo o agencia que envié comentarios debe especificar en sus comentarios que "aviso" tiene la dirección de sus comentarios.

SOLICITUD DE LIBERACION DE FONDOS

El 30 de Julio del 2024 o alrededor de esa fecha, el condado de Riverside presentara una solicitud a la Oficina de campo de Los Ángeles del Departamento de Vivienda y Desarrollo Urbano de EE.UU. (HUD) la Autoridad de Vivienda del Condado de Riverside (HACR), para emprender el siguiente proyecto:

NOMBRE DEL PROYECTO: The Cottages at Wildomar

PROPÓSITO: La actividad del proyecto incluye el uso de 32 PBV para servir como subsidio de alquiler para las Cottages at Wildomar (las Cottages) por parte de Affirmed Housing Group, una corporación de California. Las Cottages consistirán en la nueva construcción de 13 edificios residenciales de tres pisos que contienen 130 unidades en total y 1 edificio comunitario. De las 130 unidades, hay 36 unidades de dos dormitorios, 61 unidades de tres dormitorios, 31 unidades de cuatro dormitorios y 2 unidades administrativas. Todas las unidades, excepto las dos unidades administrativas, son-unidades de vivienda asequible establecidas entre el 30% y el 80% del ingreso medio del área del Condado de Riverside. Habrá 32 unidades reservadas para vales basados en proyectos de vivienda de apoyo no permanente (PSH).

UBICACIÓN: La propiedad se encuentra en una parcela de aproximadamente 8.98 acres ubicada en 32650 Mesa Drive, en la ciudad de Wildomar, también identificada como Parcela del Tasador Número 365-180-004.

Esta actividad puede llevarse a cabo a lo largo de varios años.

NO HAY IMPACTO SIGNICATIVO

El Condado de Riverside ha determinado que el proyecto no tendrá un impacto significativo en el medio ambiente humano. Por lo tanto, no se requiere una Declaración de Impacto Ambiental Nacional de 1969 (NEPA). Se incluye información adicional del proyecto en la Evaluación Ambiental (EA) archivada en la Autoridad de Vivienda del Condado de Riverside en 5555 Arlington Ave, Riverside, CA 92504. La EA se puede descargar en la siguiente dirección del sitio web https://www.harivco.org/.

COMENTARIOS PUBLICOS

Cualquier individuo, grupo o agencia puede enviar comentarios por escrito sobre el EA y la Solicitud de liberación de fondos al Departamento de Soluciones para Vivienda y la Fuerza Laboral, Atención: Annjanette Aguilar en 3403 Tenth Street, Suite 300, Riverside, CA 92501 o comentarios por correo electrónico a <u>AABarreras@rivco.org</u>. Todos los comentarios recibidos en la dirección especificada anteriormente **en o alrededor del 29 de Julio del 2024** serán considerados por el Condado de Riverside antes de presentar una solicitud de liberación de fondos. Los comentarios deben especificar a que Aviso se dirigen.

LIBERACION DE FONDOS

El Condado de Riverside certifica a la Oficina de Campo de HUD en Los Ángeles que el Presidente de la Junta de Supervisores consiente en aceptar la jurisdicción de los tribunales federales si se entable una acción para hacer cumplir las responsabilidades se han cumplido satisfecho. La aprobación de la certificación por parte de HUD satisface sus responsabilidades según la NEPA y las leyes y autoridades relacionadas y permite que el Condado de Riverside Housing y Workforce Solutions asignen Vales basaos en proyectos del programa de vales de elección de Vivienda en nombre del condado de Riverside.

OBJECIONES A LA LIBERACION DE FONDOS

HUD aceptara objeciones a su liberación de fondos y la certificación del Condado de Riverside por un periodo de quince días después de la fecha de presentación anticipada o su recepción real de la solicitud (lo que sea posterior) solo si se basan en una de las siguientes bases:

- a. la certificación no fue ejecutada por el Oficial Certificador del Condado de Riverside;
- b. el Condado de Riverside omitió un paso o no tomo una decisión o un hallazgo requerido por las regulaciones de HUD en 24 CFR parte 58;
- c. el beneficiario de la subvención ha comprometido fondos o incurrido en costos no autorizados por 24 CFR Parte 58 antes de la aprobación de una liberación de fondos por parte de HUD; o
- d. otra agencia federal que actúa de conformidad con el 40 CFR Parte 1504 ha presentado una conclusión por escrito de que el proyecto no es satisfactorio desde el punto de vista de la calidad ambiental.

Las objeciones deben prepararse y enviarse por correo electrónico de acuerdo con los procedimientos requeridos (24 CFR Parte 58, Sec. 58.76) y deben dirigirse a las siguientes Oficinas de Campo de HUD en Los Ángeles: Oficina de Vivienda Pública en <u>HUDLOSANGELESOPH@hud.gov</u>. Los posibles objetores deben comunicarse con las oficinas de campo de HUD en Los Ángeles por correo electrónico para verificar el ultimo día real del periodo de objeción.

The Press-Enterprise

3512 14th Street Riverside, CA 92501 Willoughby, OH 44096 951-368-9222 951-368-9018 FAX

5269086

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE HHPWS 3403 10TH STREET, SUITE 300 RIVERSIDE, CA 92501

Publication: The Press-Enterprise

PROOF OF PUBLICATION OF

Ad Desc: PN Cottages at Wildomar

FILE NO. PN Cottages at Wildomar

PROOF OF PUBLICATION

I am a citizen of the United States. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to or interested in the above entitled matter. I am an authorized representative of THE PRESS-ENTERPRISE, a newspaper in general circulation, printed and published daily in the County of Riverside, and which newspaper has been adjudicated a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of the County of Riverside, State of California, under date of April 25, 1952, Case Number 54446, under date of March 29, 1957, Case Number 65673, under date of August 25, 1995, Case Number 267864, and under date of September 16, 2013, Case Number RIC 1309013; that the notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy, has been published in said newspaper in accordance with the instructions of the person(s) requesting publication, and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, to wit:

07/15/2024

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct:

Date: July 15, 2024. At: Riverside California

Legal Advertising Representative, The Press-Enterprise

Legal No. 0011678070

Ad Copy:

PUBLIC NOTICE July 15, 2024

Riverside County, Housing and Workforce Solutions 3403 Tenth Street, Suite 300 Riverside, California 92501 Annjanette Aguilar, Preparer (760) 863-2541

TO ALL INTERESTED AGENCIES, GROUPS, AND PERSONS:

These notices shall satisfy procedural requirements for activities to be undertaken by the County of Riverside. Any individual, group or agency submitting comments should specify in their comments which "notice" their comments address.

REQUEST FOR RELEASE OF EUNDS

On or about July 30, 2024, the County of Riverside will submit a request to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Los Angeles Field Office for the release of: HUD Housing Choice Voucher Program (HCVP) Project Based Vouchers (PBVs) through the Housing Authority of the County of Riverside, to undertake the following project:

PROJECT NAME: The Cottages at Wildoman

PURPOSE: The project activity includes the use of 32 PBVs to serve as a rental subsidy for the Cottages at Wildomar (the Cottages) by Affirmed Housing Group, a California Corporation. The Cottages will consist of the new construction of 13 three-story residential buildings containing 130 total units, and 1 community building. Of the 130 units, there are 36 two-Bedroom units, 61 three-bedroom units, 31, four-bedroom units, and 2 manager units. All units, except the two manager units, are affordable housing units set between 30% and 80% AMI of the area median income of the County of Riverside. There will be 32 units set aside for non-permanent supportive housing (PSH) project based vouchers (PBVs).

LOCATION: The property sits on a parcel totaling approximately 8.98 acres located at 32650 Mesa Drive, in the City of Wildomar, also identified as Assessor's Parcel Number 365-180-004.

This activity may be undertaken over multiple years.

FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

The County of Riverside has determined that the project will have no significant impact on the human environment. Therefore, an Environmental Impact Statement under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) is not required. Additional project information is contained in the Environmental Assessment (EA) on file at the Housing Authority of the County of Riverside at 5555 Arilington Ave, Riverside, CA 92504. The EA may be downloaded at the following website address https://www.harivco.org/.

PUBLIC COMMENTS

Any individual, group, or agency may submit written comments on the EA and the Request for Release of Funds to the Department of Housing, and Workforce Solutions, Attention: Annianette Aguilar at 3403 Tenth Street, Suite 300, Riverside, CA 92501 or email comments to <u>AABarreras@rivcc.org</u>. All comments received at the address specified above on or before July 29, 2024 will be considered by the County of Riverside prior to submission of a request for release of funds. Comments should specify which Notice they are addressing.

RELEASE OF FUNDS

The County of Riverside certifies to the HUD Los Angeles Field Office that the Chair of the Board of Supervisors consents to accept the jurisdiction of the Federal courts if an action is brought to enforce responsibilities in relation to the environmental review process and that these responsibilities have been satisfied. HUD's approval of the certification satisfies its responsibilities under NEPA and related laws and authorities and allows the County of Riverside Housing, and Workforce Solutions to allocate Housing Choice Voucher Program Project Based Vouchers on behalf of the County of Riverside.

OBJECTIONS TO RELEASE OF FUNDS

HUD will accept objections to its release of funds and the County of Riverside's certification for a period of fifteen days following the anticipated submission date or its actual receipt of the request (whichever is later) only if they are on one of the following bases:

- b.
- C.
- the certification was not executed by the Certifying Officer of the County of Riverside; the County of Riverside has omitted a step or failed to make a decision or finding req-uired by HUD regulations at 24 CFR part 58; the grant recipient has committed funds or incurred costs not authorized by 24 CFR Part 58 before approval of a release of funds by HUD; or another Federal agency acting pursuant to 40 CFR Part 1504 has submitted a written finding that the project is unsatisfactory from the standpoint of environmental quality. d.

Objections must be prepared and submitted via email in accordance with the required procedures (24 CFR Part 58, Sec. 58.76) and shall be addressed to the following HUD Los Angeles Field Offices: Office of Public Housing at HUDLOSANGELESOPH@hud.gov, Potential objectors should contact HUD Los Angeles Field Offices via email to verify the actual last day of the objection period.

NOTICIA PUBLICA

15 de Julio del 2024

Departamento de Soluciones para Vivienda y la Fuerza Laboral del Condado de Riverside 3403 Tenth Street, Suite 300 Riverside, California 92501 Annianette Aguilar, Preparadora (760) 863-2541

A TODAKI AS ACENCIAS COUDOS Y DEDSONAS INTEDSADOS.

A IUDAJ LAJ AGENCIAJ, GRUFUJ I FERJUNAJ INI ERJADUJ:

Estos avisos deberán satisfacer las actividades que realizara el Condado de Riverside. Cualquier individuo, grupo o agencia que envié comentarios debe especificar en sus comentarios que "aviso" tiene la dirección de sus comentarios.

SOLICITUD DE LIBERACION DE FONDOS

El 30 de Julio del 2024 o alrededor de esa fecha, el condado de Riverside presentara una solicitud a la Oficina de campo de Los Angeles del Departamento de Vivienda y Desarrollo Urbano de EE.UU. (HUD) la Autoridad de Vivienda del Condado de Riverside (HACR), para emprender el siguiente proyecto:

NOMBRE DEL PROYECTO: The Cottages at Wildoman

PROPÓSITO: La actividad del proyecto incluye el uso de 32 PBV para servir como subsidio de alquiler para las Cottages at Wildomar (las Cottages) por parte de Affirmed Housing Group, una corporación de California. Las Cottages consistirán en la nueva construcción de 13 edificios residenciales de tres pisos que contienen 130 unidades en total y 1 edificio comunitario. De las 130 unidades, hay 36 unidades de dos dormitorios, 61 unidades de tres dormitorios, 31 unidades de cuatro dormitorios y 2 unidades administrativas. Todas las unidades, excepto las dos unidades administrativas, son unidades de vivienda osequible establecidas entre el 30% y el 80% del ingreso medio del area del Condado de Riverside. Habrá 32 unidades reservadas para vales basados en proyectos de vivienda de apoyo no permanente (PSH).

UBICACIÓN: La propiedad se encuentra en una parcela de aproximadamente 8.98 acres ubicada en 32650 Mesa Drive, en la ciudad de Wildomar, también identificada como Parcela del Tasador Número 365-180-004.

Esta actividad puede llevarse a cabo a lo largo de varios años.

NO HAY IMPACTO SIGNICATIVO

El Condado de Riverside ha determinado que el proyecto no tendrá un impacto significativo en el medio ambiente humano. Por lo tanto, no se requiere una Declaración de Impacto Ambiental Nacional de 1969 (NEPA). Se incluye información adicional del proyecto en la Evaluación Ambiental (EA) archivada en la Autoridad de Vivienda del Condado de Riverside en 555 Arlington Ave, Riverside, CA 92504. La EA se puede descargar en la siguiente dirección del sitio web https://www.harivco.org/.

COMENTARIOS PUBLICOS

Cualquier individuo, grupo o agencia puede enviar comentarlos por escrito sobre el EA y la Solicitud de liberación de fondos al Departamento de Soluciones para Vivienda y la Fuerza Laboral, Atención: Annianette Aguilar en 3403 Tenth Street, Suite 300, Riverside, CA 92501 o comentarlos por correo electrónico a <u>AABarreras@rivco.org</u>. Todos los comentarios recibidos en la dirección especificada anteriormente en o alrededor del 29 de Julio del 2024 serán considerados por el Condado de Riverside antes de presentar una solicitud de liberación de fondos. Los comentarios deben especificar a que Aviso se dirigen.

LIBERACION DE FONDOS

El Condado de Riverside certifica a la Oficina de Campo de HUD en Los Ángeles que el Presidente de la Junta de Supervisores consiente en aceptar la lurisdicción de los tribunales federales si se entable una acción para hacer cumplir las responsabilidades se han cumplido satisfecho. La aprobación de la certificación por parte de HUD satisface sus responsabilidades según la NEPA y las leyes y autoridades relacionadas y permite que el Condado de Riverside Housing y Workforce Solutions asignen Vales basaos en proyectos del programa de vales de elección de Vivienda en nombre del condado de Riverside.

OBJECIONES A LA LIBERACION DE FONDOS

HUD aceptara objeciones a su liberación de fondos y la certificación del Condado de Riverside por un periodo de quince días después de la fecha de presentación anticipada o su recepción real de la solicitud (lo que sea posterior) solo si se basan en una de las siguientes

- a. la certificación no fue ejecutada por el Oficial Certificador del Condado de Riverside;
 b. el Condado de Riverside omitió un paso o no tomo una decisión o un hallazgo requerido por las regulaciones de HUD en 24 CFR parte 58;
 c. el beneficiario de la subvención ha comprometido fondos o incurrido en costos no autorizados por 24 CFR Parte 58 antes de la aprobación de una liberación de fondos por parte de HUD; o de otra graencia (de conformidad con el 40 CFR Parte 1504 ba).
- d. otra agencia federal que actúa de conformidad con el 40 CFR Parte 1504 ha presentado una conclusión por escrito de que el proyecto no es satisfactorio desde el punto de vista de la calidad ambiental.

Las objeciones deben prepararse y enviarse por correo electrónico de acuerda con los procedimientos requeridos (24 CFR Parte 58, Sec. 58.76) y deben dirigirse a las siguientes Oficinas de Campo de HUD en Los Ángeles: Oficina de Vivienda Pública en HUDLOSANGELESOPH@hud.gov. Los posibles objetores deben comunicarse con las oficinas de campo de HUD en Los Ángeles por correo electrónico para verificar el ultimo día real del periodo de objeción.

published The Press-Enterprise July 15, 2024