SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA



ITEM: 3.17 (ID # 27042) MEETING DATE: Tuesday, March 11, 2025

FROM: HO

HOUSING AND WORKFORCE SOLUTIONS

SUBJECT: HOUSING AND WORKFORCE SOLUTIONS (HWS): Adoption of Environmental Assessment Report and Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) for the Arc Village Affordable Multifamily Housing Project Located in the City of Palm Desert Pursuant to the National Environment Policy Act (NEPA) and Approval of Request for Release of Funds to U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD); District 4. [100% Housing Choice Voucher Program Project Based Vouchers - \$0]

RECOMMENDED MOTION: That the Board of Supervisors:

 Adopt the attached Environmental Assessment Report and Findings (EA) incorporated in the EA and in the Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) for the Arc Village Affordable Multifamily Apartments (Proposed Project), pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), and conclude that the Proposed Project is not an action which may affect the quality of the environment;

Continued on page 2

ACTION:Policy

idi Marshall, Directo Jashall 1/31/20

MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

On motion of Supervisor Gutierrez, seconded by Supervisor Spiegel and duly carried by unanimous vote, IT WAS ORDERED that the above matter is approved as recommended.

Ayes:

Medina, Spiegel, Washington, Perez and Gutierrez

Nays:

None

Absent:

None

Date:

March 11, 2025

XC:

HWS

Deputy

Kimberly A. Rector

SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

RECOMMENDED MOTION: That the Board of Supervisors:

- 2. Approve the attached Request for Release of Funds and Certification (RROF) for 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program (HCVP) Project Based Vouchers (PBVs) for the Proposed Project;
- 3. Authorize the Chair of the Board of Supervisors to execute the attached EA on behalf of the County;
- Authorize the Chair of the Board of Supervisors to execute the attached RROF on behalf of the County to be filed with the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD); and
- Authorize the Director of Housing and Workforce Solutions (HWS), or designee, to take all necessary steps to implement the RROF, EA, and FONSI including, but not limited to, signing subsequent necessary and relevant documents, subject to approval as to form by County Counsel.

FINANCIAL DATA	Current Fiscal Year:	Next Fiscal Year:	Total Cost:	Ongoing Cost
COST	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0
NET COUNTY COST	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$ 0
SOURCE OF FUNDS: Housing Choice Voucher Program Project Based Vouchers – 100% HUD Federal Funds			Budget Adjustr	nent: No
			For Fiscal Year	: 24/25

C.E.O. RECOMMENDATION: Approve

BACKGROUND:

Chelsea Investment Corporation, a California corporation and affordable housing developer (Developer), was selected to receive a total of 8 Housing Choice Voucher Program (HCVP or Section 8) Project Based Vouchers (PBVs) in response to a competitive Request for Proposal (RFP) issued by the Housing Authority of the County of Riverside on June 2, 2023 (RFP No. 2023-110) for Arc Village Apartments (Proposed Project). The Developer has formed a California limited partnership, Arc Village CIC, LP, for the purpose of developing and financing the new construction of the Proposed Project, an affordable multi-family housing development consisting of a total of 40 units (39 affordable rental housing units for low-income households and one resident manager units). The Proposed Project is located on 1.84 acres of land located behind and to the south of the existing Desert Arc offices at 73-295 Country Club Drive, in the City of Palm Desert, identified as Assessor's Parcel Number 622-370-014 (Property). The Property is currently vacant.

SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

The Proposed Project will include 40 total units within one building (20 one-bedroom units, 10 two-bedroom units, and 10 three-bedroom units). Of the total, 15 units will be reserved for tenants within an income at 60% Average Median Income (AMI), 12 will be reserved for tenants with an income at 50% AMI, 8 units for tenants with an income of 40% AMI and 4 units for tenants with an income of 30% AMI. One one-bedroom unit will be reserved for an on-site manager. The 8 PBV units includes 4 one-bedroom units (2 units @ 30% AMI and 2 units @ 40% AMI), 2 two-bedroom units (1 unit @ 30% AMI and 1 unit @ 40% AMI), and 2 three-bedroom units (1 unit @ 30% AMI and 1 unit @ 40% AMI).

The PBVs will serve as a rental subsidy for the clients on the Housing Authority's Section 8 waiting list for the Proposed Project. Upon environmental clearance and Developer securing necessary funding to develop the Proposed Project, the Housing Authority will enter into an Agreement for Housing Assistance Payments (AHAP) with Developer for the PBVs, subject to approval by the Housing Authority's Board of Commissioners. The PBVs have an estimated value of \$3,929,280 in rental subsidies over the 20-year term of the agreement that may be extended for an additional 20 years. Approximate permanent sources for financing include the following:

Permanent Sources	Amount
Banner Bank	\$3,260,000
Solar Tax Credits	\$99,650
Inland Regional Center	\$1,500,000
Palm Desert Housing Authority, Land Donation	\$1,680,000
Palm Desert Housing Authority	\$3,000,000
Deferred Developer Fee	\$313,122
Tax Credit Equity	\$13,794,523
Total	\$23,647,295

NEPA Review

The environmental effects of activities carried out with PBVs must be assessed in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and the related authorities listed in the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) implementing regulations at 24 CFR Parts 50 and 58, for responsible entities which must assume responsibility for environmental review, decision making, and action that normally apply to HUD. The County of Riverside, through its Housing and Workforce Solutions Department (HWS), is the responsible entity for purposes of the subject NEPA review. The County has completed all applicable environmental review procedures and has evaluated the potential effects of the Proposed Project on the environmental Assessment (County EA) and Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) for the Proposed Project (which is attached) and concluded that the Proposed Project activities are not

SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

actions that may affect the quality of the environment. Staff of HWS completed the County EA and FONSI pursuant to 24 CFR Section 58.40 (g)(1) and 40 CFR Section 1508.13.

HUD also requires that the responsible entity for the environmental review process complete and execute the attached Request for Release of Funds and Certification (RROF) when requesting to release funds that are subject to the HUD environmental review process.

Public Notice of the FONSI and RROF was published on February 23, 2025, pursuant to 24 Code of Federal Regulations Section 58.43, and is attached hereto.

Staff recommends that the Board approve and execute the attached Environmental Assessment, Environmental Assessment Determinations and Compliance Findings for HUD-Assisted Projects 24 CFR Part 58, and Request for Release of Funds.

Impact on Residents and Businesses

The development of 40 additional affordable rental units will have a positive impact on businesses and residents through the creation of jobs and affordable housing.

SUPPLEMENTAL:

Additional Fiscal Information

No impact on the County's General Fund. The Housing Authority's contribution to the Proposed Project is anticipated to include only the HCVP or Section 8 Project-Based Vouchers which are fully funded from the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development.

Attachments:

- County of Riverside Environmental Assessment
- County of Riverside FONSI
- Request for Release of Funds HCVP Project Based Vouchers
- Public Notice FONSI/RROF
- Proof of Publication

Brianna Lontajo, Principal Management Analyst 3/4/2025

Aaron Gettis, Chief of Deput County Counsel 2/24/2025

Request for Release of Funds and Certification

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Office of Community Planning and Development OMB No. 2506-0087 (exp. 08/31/2023)

This form is to be used by Responsible Entities and Recipients (as defined in 24 CFR 58.2) when requesting the release of funds, and requesting the authority to use such funds, for HUD programs identified by statutes that provide for the assumption of the environmental review responsibility by units of general local government and States. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 36 minutes per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. This agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless that collection displays a valid OMB control number.

1. Program Title(s)	2. HUD/State Identification Number	3. Recipient Identification Number
Housing Choice Voucher Program (HCVP) Project Based Vouchers (PBV)	CA027	(optional)
4. OMB Catalog Number(s) 14.871 6. For information about this request, contact (name & phone number) Nicole Sanchez, 760.863.2825	Name and address of responsible entity County of Riverside, Board of Supervisors on Riverside County Housing and Workforce Solutions 3403 Tenth Street, Suite #3000 Riverside, CA \$2501 7. Name and address of recipient (if different than responsible entity) Same as Responsible Entity	
8. HUD or State Agency and office unit to receive request United States Department of Housing and Urban Development Community Planning and Development 300 N. Los Angeles Street, Suite 4054		
The recipient(s) of assistance under the program(s) listed above grant conditions governing the use of the assistance for the follow	owing	
9. Program Activity(ies)/Project Name(s) HUD-Project Based Vouchers/ Arc Village	10. Location (Street address, city, county, State) Behind and to the south of the existing Desert Arc offices at 73-295 Country Club Drive, in the City of Palm Desert, CA 92260	

The project activity includes the use of 8 PBVs to serve as a rental subsidy for Arc Village by Arc Village CIC, LP, a California limited partnership. Arc Village will consist of the new construction of a 40-unit multi-family development (which includes 1 manager's unit) of affordable rental housing for low-income family households (Proposed Project). The Proposed Project will include 40 total units within one building (20 one-bedroom units, 10 two-bedroom units, and 10 three-bedroom units). Of the total, 15 units will be reserved for tenants within an income at 60% Average Median Income (AMI), 12 will be reserved for tenants with an income at 50% AMI, 8 units for tenants with an income of 40% AMI and 4 units for tenants with an income of 30% AMI. One one-bedroom unit will be reserved for an on-site manager. The 8 PBV units includes 4 one-bedroom units (2 units @ 30% AMI and 2 units @ 40% AMI), 2 two-bedroom units (1 unit @ 30% AMI and 1 unit @ 40% AMI), and 2 three-bedroom units (1 unit @ 30% AMI and 1 unit @ 40% AMI). Located on 1.84 acres of land located behind and to the south of the existing Desert Arc offices at 73-295 Country Club Drive, in the City of Palm Desert, identified as Assessor's Parcel Number 622-370-014.

In addition to the Project Based Vouchers other financing sources for the Proposed Project are anticipated to include \$3,260,000 from Banner Bank, \$99,650 in Solar Tax Credits, \$1,500,000 from Inland Regional Center, \$1,680,000 from Palm Desert Housing Authority as Land Donation, \$3,000,000 from \$3,000,000, \$313,122 in Deferred Developer Fee, and \$13,794,523 in Tax Credit Equity, for a total of \$23,647,294.

Previous editions are obsolete

form HUD-7015.15 (1/99)

Part 2. Environmental Certification (to be completed by responsible entity) With reference to the above Program Activity(ies)/Project(s), I, the undersigned officer of the responsible entity, certify that: 1. The responsible entity has fully carried out its responsibilities for environmental review, decision-making and action pertaining to the project(s) named above. 2. The responsible entity has assumed responsibility for and complied with and will continue to comply with, the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, as amended, and the environmental procedures, permit requirements and statutory obligations of the laws cited in 24 CFR 58.5; and also agrees to comply with the authorities in 24 CFR 58.6 and applicable State and local 3. The responsible entity has assumed responsibility for and complied with and will continue to comply with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act, and its implementing regulations 36 CFR 800, including consultation with the State Historic Preservation Officer, Indian tribes and Native Hawaiian organizations, and the public. 4. After considering the type and degree of environmental effects identified by the environmental review completed for the proposed project described in Part 1 of this request, I have found that the proposal did did not require the preparation and dissemination of an environmental impact statement. 5. The responsible entity has disseminated and/or published in the manner prescribed by 24 CFR 58.43 and 58.55 a notice to the public in accordance with 24 CFR 58.70 and as evidenced by the attached copy (copies) or evidence of posting and mailing procedure. The dates for all statutory and regulatory time periods for review, comment or other action are in compliance with procedures and requirements of 24 CFR Part 58. 7. In accordance with 24 CFR 58.71(b), the responsible entity will advise the recipient (if different from the responsible entity) of any special environmental conditions that must be adhered to in carrying out the project. As the duly designated certifying official of the responsible entity, I also certify that: 8. I am authorized to and do consent to assume the status of Federal official under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and each provision of law designated in the 24 CFR 58.5 list of NEPA-related authorities insofar as the provisions of these laws apply to the HUD responsibilities for environmental review, decision-making and action that have been assumed by the responsible entity. 9. I am authorized to and do accept, on behalf of the recipient personally, the jurisdiction of the Federal courts for the enforcement of all these responsibilities, in my capacity as certifying officer of the responsible entity. Signature of Certifying Officer of the Responsible Entity Title of Certifying Officer Chair, Riverside County Board of Supervisors V. MANUEL PEREZ Date signed 03/11/2025 Address of Certifying Officer Part 3. To be completed when the Recipient is not the Responsible Entity The recipient requests the release of funds for the programs and activities identified in Part 1 and agrees to abide by the special conditions, procedures and requirements of the environmental review and to advise the responsible entity of any proposed change in the scope of the project or any change in environmental conditions in accordance with 24 CFR 58.71(b). Signature of Authorized Officer of the Recipient Title of Authorized Officer Date signed Warning: HUD will prosecute false claims and statements. Conviction may result in criminal and/or civil penalties. (18 U.S.C. 1001, 1010, 1012; 31 U.S.C. 3729, 3802) FORM APPROVED COUNTY COUNSEL

MAR 1 1 2025

Previous editions are obsolete

3.17



U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development 451 Seventh Street, SW Washington, DC 20410 www.hud.gov

espanol.hud.gov

Environmental Assessment Determinations and Compliance Findings for **HUD-assisted** Projects 24 CFR Part 58

Project Information

Project Name:

ARC-Village

HEROS Number: 900000010449618

Start Date:

01/24/2025

Project Location:

73295 Country Club Dr, Palm Desert, CA 92260

Additional Location Information:

73295 Country Club Drive, Palm Desert, CA. The site is 1.84 acres in size and located behind and to the south of the existing Desert Arc offices (APN 622-370-014).

Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 50.12 & 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.25]:

ARC Village is a 40-unit project that will be constructed in one building on a 1.84- acre parcel in the City of Palm Desert, CA. The development will consist of a mix of one-bedroom, two-bedroom and three-bedroom units. Of the 40 units, 20 will be one bedroom/one bathroom, 10 will be two-bedroom and one bath and 10 will be three-bedroom and two-bathroom units. Of the total, 15 units will be reserved for tenants within an - income at 60% Average Median Income (AMI), 12 will be reserved for tenants with an income at 50% AMI, eight units for tenants with an income of 40% AMI and four units for tenants with an income of 30% AMI. Of the total, 8 units would be set aside for non-permanent supportive housing (PSH) project-based vouchers. One two-bedroom unit will be reserved for an on-site manager employed by a third-party operator. The project will provide a total of 49 surface parking spaces. Resident amenities include off-street parking, a shared laundry facility, and a community building for residents' use and where services will be administered by Desert Arc. Desert Arc provides work training, employment opportunities, adult day care, supported living ..services, behavior management classes, health programs, transportation and other supportive services onsite. The building will be accessed via an existing driveway that extends south from Country Club Drive and the Desert Arc facilities located adjacent to and north of the site. The surface runoff from the apartments and impervious areas will be directed into area drains, then into storm chambers and Post construction surface drainage will be retained on site in a 13,284 cubic foot underground Stormtech retention system. Water/sewer and other utilities (i.e., electrical, communication) would be provided via existing infrastructure located adjacent to the site. New landscaping would be installed per Chapter 24.04 (Water Efficient Landscape Requirements) of the Palm Desert Municipal Code and the City's current policies.

Funding Information

	The separate control of the se		
Grant Number	HUD Program	Program Name	

PBV4-23-003 Public Housing Project-Based Voucher Program \$3,929,280.00

Estimated Total HUD Funded Amount:

\$3,929,280.00

Estimated Total Project Cost [24 CFR 58.2 (a) (5)]:

\$23,647,294.00

Mitigation Measures and Conditions [CFR 1505.2(c)]:

Summarized below are all mitigation measures adopted by the Responsible Entity to reduce, avoid or eliminate adverse environmental impacts and to avoid non-compliance or non-conformance with the above-listed authorities and factors. These measures/conditions must be incorporated into project contracts, development agreements and other relevant documents. The staff responsible for implementing and monitoring mitigation measures should be clearly identified in the mitigation plan.

Law, Authority, or Factor	Mitigation Measure or Condition		
Permits, reviews, and approvals	Site Development Permit		

Project Mitigation Plan

Determination	

	Finding of No Significant Impact [24 CFR 58.40(g)(1); 40 CFR 1508.13] The project will not result in a significant impact on the quality of human environment
	Finding of Significant Impact
	Title/ Organization: Annjanette Aguillar Bafreras / / RIVERSIDE COUNTY
Certify	ng Officer Signature: V. M. 17 Date: 03/11/2025
Name/	Title: V. Manuel Perez, Chair

This original, signed document and related supporting material must be retained on file by the Responsible Entity in an Environment Review Record (ERR) for the activity / project (ref: 24 CFR Part 58.38) and in accordance with recordkeeping requirements for the HUD program(s).

FORM APPROVED COUNTY COUNSEL
BY AMRIT DIFILLON DATE

AMRI PUM

KIMBERLY A. RECTOR, Clerk

By Mully Muny

02/04/2025 11:21

Page 2 of 2

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development 451 Seventh Street, SW Washington, DC 20410 www.hud.gov espanol.hud.gov

Environmental Assessment Determinations and Compliance Findings for HUD-assisted Projects 24 CFR Part 58

Project Information

Project Name: ARC-Village

HEROS Number: 900000010449618

Start Date: 01/24/2025

Responsible Entity (RE): RIVERSIDE COUNTY, 1151 Spruce St Riverside CA, 92507

RE Preparer: Annjanette Aguilar Barreras

State / Local Identifier: California

Certifying Officer: V. Manuel Perez, Chair

Grant Recipient (if different than Responsible Ent ity):

Point of Contact:

Consultant (if applicable): Birdseye Planning Group

Point of Contact: Ryan Birdseye

40 CFR 1506.5(b)(4): The lead agency or, where appropriate, a cooperating agency shall prepare a disclosure statement for the contractor's execution specifying that the contractor has no financial or other interest in the outcome of the action. Such statement need not include privileged or confidential trade secrets or other confidential business information.

FORM APPROVED COUNTY COUNSEL

BY

AMR P BAILLON

DATE

By checking this box, I attest that as a preparer, I have no financial or other interest in the outcome of the undertaking assessed in this environmental review.

Project Location: 73295 Country Club Dr, Palm Desert, CA 92260

Additional Location Information:

73295 Country Club Drive, Palm Desert, CA. The site is 1.84 acres in size and located behind and to the south of the existing Desert Arc offices (APN 622-370-014).

Direct Comments to:

Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 50.12 & 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.25]:

ARC Village is a 40-unit project that will be constructed in one building on a 1.84- acre parcel in the City of Palm Desert, CA. The development will consist of a mix of one-bedroom, twobedroom and three-bedroom units. Of the 40 units, 20 will be one bedroom/one bathroom, 10 will be two-bedroom and one bath and 10 will be three-bedroom and two-bathroom units. Of the total, 15 units will be reserved for tenants within an income at 60% Average Median Income (AMI), 12 will be reserved for tenants with an income at 50% AMI, eight units for tenants with an income of 40% AMI and four units for tenants with an income of 30% AMI. Of the total, 8 units would be set aside for non-permanent supportive housing (PSH) project-based vouchers. One two-bedroom unit will be reserved for an on-site manager employed by a third-party operator. The project will provide a total of 49 surface parking spaces. Resident amenities include off-street parking, a shared laundry facility, and a community building for residents' use and where services will be administered by Desert Arc. Desert Arc provides work training, employment opportunities, adult day care, supported living services, behavior management classes, health programs, transportation and other supportive services onsite. The building will be accessed via an existing driveway that extends south from Country Club Drive and the Desert Arc facilities located adjacent to and north of the site. The surface runoff from the apartments and impervious areas will be directed into area drains, then into storm chambers and Post construction surface drainage will be retained on site in a 13,284 cubic foot underground Stormtech retention system. Water/sewer and other utilities (i.e., electrical, communication) would be provided via existing infrastructure located adjacent to the site. New landscaping would be installed per Chapter 24.04 (Water Efficient Landscape Requirements) of the Palm Desert Municipal Code and the City's current policies.

Statement of Purpose and Need for the Proposal [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]:

The purpose of the proposed project is to provide affordable apartment housing for low-income residents meeting income qualifications. Per the City of Palm Desert 2021-2029 Housing Element (approved Resolution 2022-20, March 2023), the Regional Housing Needs Assessment (RHNA) has allocated a total of 2,790 new housing units to the City of Palm Desert. Of the total, 675 units are allocated to

extremely low and very low income (30-50% Area Median Income (AMI)) category and 460 units are allocated to the low-income category (50 to 80% AMI). The project would provide 40 new units or approximately four percent of the allocation for extremely low, very low and low-income tenants.

Existing Conditions and Trends [24 CFR 58.40(a)]:

The site is approximately 1.84 acres in size and part of a larger complex owned and operated by Desert Arc and the City of Palm Desert Housing Authority.. The surrounding neighborhood is comprised of a mixture of residential and commercial development. The site is currently vacant. The project site is served by Sunline Transit Agency Route 4 which has stops on Monterey Avenue and Country Club Drive west of the site. The site is bordered by the following uses: North: Existing Desert Arc office and apartments zoned Public (P) South: Existing residential zoned Planned Residential (PR) East: Existing residential zoned Planned Residential (PR) West: Existing single-family residential zoned Mixed-Residential (R-2)

Maps, photographs, and other documentation of project location and description:

Figure 2 - Site Map.pdf
Figure 1 - Vicinity Map.pdf

Determination:

✓	Finding of No Significant Impact [24 CFR 58.40(g)(1); 40 CFR 1508.13] The project will not result in a significant impact on the quality of human
	environment
	Finding of Significant Impact

Approval Documents:

7015.15 certified by Certifying Officer on:

7015.16 certified by Authorizing Officer on:

Funding Information

Grant / Project Identification Number	HUD Program	Program Name	Funding Amount
PBV4-23-003	Public Housing	Project-Based Voucher Program	\$3,929,280.00

Estimated Total HUD Funded, **Assisted or Insured Amount:**

\$3,929,280.00

Estimated Total Project Cost [24 CFR 58.2 (a) \$23,647,294.00

(5)]:

Compliance with 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5 and §58.6 Laws and Authorities

Compliance Factors: Statutes, Executive Orders, and Regulations listed at 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5, and §58.6	Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?	Compliance determination (See Appendix A for source determinations)
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORD	DERS, AND REGULATIO	NS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.6
Airport Hazards Clear Zones and Accident Potential Zones; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D	☐ Yes ☑ No	The project site is not within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport. The project is in compliance with Airport Hazards requirements. The project site is not located within 2,500 feet of the end of a civil airport runway or 8,000 feet from the end of a military airfield runway. The closest airport to the project site is the Bermuda Dunes Airport which is located 6 miles to the southwest at 79-880 Avenue 42 in the unincorporated community of Bermuda Dunes in Riverside County. The project site is located outside the compatibility zone boundaries of the Airport Lan Use Compatibility Plan (ALUCP) and the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) Part 77 Noticing Area as depicted in Maps BD-1 and BD-2 in the Bermuda Dunes Airport ALUCP (Riverside County Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan Policy Document adopted, December 2004). The building would be consistent with Airspace Protection guidelines and within the limits of airspace protection. The proposed project would not pose any threat to airport navigation. No adverse impacts

		related to Runway Clear Zones or
Coastal Barrier Resources Act Coastal Barrier Resources Act, as amended by the Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990 [16 USC	☐ Yes ☑ No	Accident Potential Zones would occur. This project is located in a state that does not contain CBRS units. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act.
Flood Insurance Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 and National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 1994 [42 USC 4001- 4128 and 42 USC 5154a]	☐ Yes ☑ No	Based on the project description the project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project does not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance. While flood insurance may not be mandatory in this instance, HUD recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). The project is in compliance with Flood Insurance requirements.
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORD	ERS, AND REGULATIO	ONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.5
Air Quality Clean Air Act, as amended, particularly section 176(c) & (d); 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, 93	□ Yes ☑ No	The project's county or air quality management district is in non-attainment status for the following: Ozone, Particulate Matter, <10 microns. This project does not exceed de minimis emissions levels or the screening level established by the state or air quality management district for the pollutant(s) identified above. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act. The project would result in temporary air emissions during construction and would be a source of emissions post-construction. To determine whether
er and a		emissions would be de minimis, CalEEMod version 2022.1 was used to estimate emissions during construction. Table 1 below shows the pollutant modeled, the SCAQMD threshold and project emissions. As shown, maximum daily emissions would not exceed SCAQMD thresholds; thus, emissions would be de minimis and no air quality impact would occur as defined by 40

		CFR Parts 6, 51, and 93 and Sections 176 (c) and (d) of the Clean Air Act. Table 1 - Daily Construction Emissions Pollutant Daily Emissions (lbs. per day) Standard (lbs. per day) ROG 7.6 75 NOx 11.2 100 CO 16.0 550 PM10 0.9 150 PM2.5 0.5 55 ROG - Reactive Organic Gases NOx - Nitrogen Oxides CO - Carbon Monoxide PM10 - Particulate Matter 10 PM2.5 - Particulate Matter 2.5 Post construction emissions would be associated with operation of vehicles and use of energy to operate the household. Emissions were projected using CalEEMod 2022.1 and are shown in Table 2 below. As shown, maximum daily emissions would not exceed SCAQMD thresholds; thus, emissions would be de minimis and no air quality impact would occur as defined by 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, and 93 and Sections 176 (c) and (d) of the Clean Air Act. Daily Operation Emissions Pollutant Daily Emissions (lbs. per day) Standard (lbs. per day) ROG 2.4 55 NOx 1.2 55 CO 12.0 550 PM10 1.8 150 PM2.5 0.5 55
		SOx 0.02 150 SOx - Sulfur Oxides
Coastal Zone Management Act	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project is not located in or does not
Coastal Zone Management Act,		affect a Coastal Zone as defined in the
sections 307(c) & (d)		state Coastal Management Plan. The
		project is in compliance with the Coastal
		Zone Management Act. The project site is not located in a coastal zone, as
		defined by the California Coastal Act
		(Public Resources Code, Division 20,
		Section 3000 Et. Seq.). The nearest
		coastal zone is located approximately 95
		miles to the west. Therefore, no adverse
		coastal zone impacts are anticipated.
Contamination and Toxic	☐ Yes ☑ No	Site contamination was evaluated as
Substances		follows: ASTM Phase I ESA. On-site or
24 CFR 50.3(i) & 58.5(i)(2)]		nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive
		substances that could affect the health
		and safety of project occupants or
		conflict with the intended use of the
		property were not found. Radon testing

	T		
			indicated radon levels below 4.0 pCi/L. The project is in compliance with contamination and toxic substances requirements.
Endangered Species Act Endangered Species Act of 1973, particularly section 7; 50 CFR Part 402	☐ Yes ☑	I No	This project will have No Effect on listed species due to the nature of the activities involved in the project. This project is in compliance with the
			Endangered Species Act. The project site is a vacant lot and with sparsely distributed ruderal species. The City of
CK (4 30)			Palm Desert is a signatory to the Coachella Valley Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan (CVMSHCP)
			which was last amended in August 2016. The CVMSHCP encompasses approximately 1.2 million acres. Of the total, approximately 69,000 acres are Indian Reservation Lands, which are not
12.73 (1.97)			included in the Plan, leaving a total of approximately 1.1 million acres addressed by the Plan. Of the total area, approximately 6 percent is developed
15 HF 80 (17.508)			land located within the urbanized portion of the Coachella Valley. The project site is located within a developed portion of the plan area; and thus, is not located within a designated CVMSHCP conservation area. No federal or state listed threatened, endangered
			or species of concern or their habitat are located on the site. No local species would be adversely affected by the project.
Explosive and Flammable Hazards	☐ Yes ☑	No	There is a current or planned stationary
Above-Ground Tanks)[24 CFR Part			aboveground storage container of
51 Subpart C			concern within 1 mile of the project site.
			The Separation Distance from the
			project is acceptable. The project is in
			compliance with explosive and
			flammable hazard requirements. The
			proposed project is a residential project
etti (i d)			designed to provide affordable housing
			for low income residents. It would not
			require the ongoing use, storage or routine transport of hazardous,
			routine transport of hazardous,

		explosive or flammable materials. Aside
		from common household chemicals, no
		hazardous materials would be used on-
		site. The project would not emit or
		release hazardous waste or emissions.
		As referenced, Weis Environmental,
		Inc., prepared a Phase I ESA (April 2024)
		for the project site. The project site
		does not contain facilities containing
		hazardous materials or that are affected
		by a known release of hazards or
		hazardous materials. The California
		Environmental Protection Agency
		(CalEPA) regulated site portal
		(https://siteportal.calepa.ca.gov/nsite/
		map/help) was used to identify the
		presence of any regulated sites within
		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
		one mile of the site that would present
		a potential hazard to the project site. A
		total of 64 records were identified. The
		majority were underground storage
		tanks associated with retail fueling
		stations, retailers selling motor oil and
		other automotive related products,
		automotive dealers, school sites,
5		stormwater treatment facilities and
		hazardous waste generators (i.e., heavy
		commercial/light industrial facilities).
		Two above ground tanks for the storage
		of petroleum were identified at the
		Monterey Country Club maintenance
		building which is located approximately
		one mile southeast of the site. One
		above ground diesel tank with a
		capacity of 600 gallons and one gasoline
		tank with a capacity of 600 gallons are
		registered at this location. The
		Acceptable Separation Distance (ASD)
		was calculated using the HUD ASD tool.
		The ASD for thermal radiation for each
		tank is approximately 223 feet. The site
		is further away from the site than the
p=1 (1-1)		ASD. No mitigation measures are
		required.
Farmlands Protection	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project does not include any
Farmland Protection Policy Act of		activities that could potentially convert
and i roccoloni oney net of		activities that could potentially convert

1981, particularly sections 1504(b) and 1541; 7 CFR Part 658		agricultural land to a non-agricultural use. The project is in compliance with the Farmland Protection Policy Act. The project site is currently vacant; however, it is located within an urbanized area within the City of Palm Desert. The site is categorized as Urban and Built-Up Land, as indicated on the State Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program maps for the County of Riverside. The site does not include prime or unique farmland, or other farmland of statewide or local importance. No impact to farmland resources defined under the Farmland Protection Policy Act per 7 CFR 658 would occur.
Floodplain Management Executive Order 11988, particularly section 2(a); 24 CFR Part 55	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project does not occur in the FFRMS floodplain. The project is in compliance with Executive Orders 11988 and 13690. All federally funded development projects are evaluated per Executive Order 11988 as discussed below. Those occurring in mapped flood zones require evaluation consistent with Part II of EO 11988. The site is designated an Area of Minimal Flood Hazard Zone X in Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) 06065C227OH, prepared March 6, 2018; and thus, is located outside a 100-year flood zone. No analysis per Part II of Executive Order 11988 is required.
Historic Preservation National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, particularly sections 106 and 110; 36 CFR Part 800	☐ Yes ☑ No	Formal Section 106 consultation was conducted by the County of Riverside Housing and Workforce Solutions (HWS), the HUD Responsible Entity (RE) in accordance with HUD requirements using HUD's Tribal Direct Assessment Tool database. The Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians responded to the County's consultation notice via a letter dated January 10, 2025, and requested the following be incorporated into the Environmental Review Record: Should

human remains be discovered during construction of the proposed project, the project contractor would be subject to either the State law regarding the discovery and disturbance of human remains or the Tribal burial protocol. In either circumstance all destructive activity in the immediate vicinity shall halt and the County Coroner shall be contacted pursuant to State Health and Safety Code s.7050.5. If the remains are determined to be of Native American origin, the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) shall be contacted. The NAHC will make a determination of the Most Likely Descendent (MLD). The City and Developer will work with the designated MLD to determine the final disposition of the remains. An approved Cultural Resource Monitor(s) from a Consulting Tribe shall be present during any ground disturbing activities (including archaeological testing and surveys). Should buried cultural deposits be encountered, the Monitor may request that destructive construction halt and the Monitor shall notify a Qualified Archaeologist (Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines) to investigate and, if necessary, prepare a mitigation plan for submission to the State Historic Preservation Officer. A monitoring agreement shall be established between the project applicant and Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians prior to construction as a condition of project approval. Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with LIVE Noice. Noise Abatement and Control Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities			
to either the State law regarding the discovery and disturbance of human remains or the Tribal burial protocol. In either circumstance all destructive activity in the immediate vicinity shall halt and the County Coroner Shall be contacted pursuant to State Health and Safety Code s.7050.5. If the remains are determined to be of Native American origin, the Native American Heiritage Commission (NAHC) shall be contacted. The NAHC will make a determination of the Most Likely Descendent (MLD). The City and Developer will work with the designated MLD to determine the final disposition of the remains. An approved Cultural Resource Monitor(s) from a Consulting Tribe shall be present during any ground disturbing activities (including archaeological testing and surveys). Should buried cultural deposits be encountered, the Monitor and surveys). Should buried cultural deposits be encountered, the Monitor shall notify a Qualified Archaeologist (Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines) to investigate and, if necessary, prepare a mitigation plan for submission to the State Historic Preservation Officer. A monitoring agreement shall be established between the project applicant and Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians prior to construction as a condition of project approval. Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106. Noise Abatement and Control Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities			construction of the proposed project,
remains or the Tribal burial protocol. In either circumstance all destructive activity in the immediate vicinity shall halt and the County Coroner shall be contacted pursuant to State Health and Safety Code s. 7050.5. If the remains are determined to be of Native American origin, the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) shall be contacted. The NAHC will make a determination of the Most Likely Descendent (MLD). The City and Developer will work with the designated MLD to determine the final disposition of the remains. An approved Cultural Resource Monitor(s) from a Consulting Tribe shall be present during any ground disturbing activities (including archaeological testing and surveys). Should buried cultural deposits be encountered, the Monitor may request that destructive construction halt and the Monitor shall notify a Qualified Archaeologist (Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines) to investigate and, if necessary, prepare a mitigation plan for submission to the State Historic Preservation Officer. A monitoring agreement shall be established between the project applicant and Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians prior to construction as a condition of project approval. Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106. Noise Abatement and Control Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities			The plant of the second of the
either circumstance all destructive activity in the immediate vicinity shall halt and the County Coroner shall be contacted pursuant to State Health and Safety Code s.7050.5. If the remains are determined to be of Native American origin, the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) shall be contacted. The NAHC will make a determination of the Most Likely Descendent (MLD). The City and Developer will work with the designated MLD to determine the final disposition of the remains. An approved Cultural Resource Monitor(s) from a Consulting Tribe shall be present during any ground disturbing activities (including archaeological testing and surveys). Should buried cultural deposits be encountered, the Monitor may request that destructive construction halt and the Monitor shall notify a Qualified Archaeologist (Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines) to investigate and, if necessary, prepare a mitigation plan for submission to the State Historic Preservation Officer. A monitoring agreement shall be established between the project applicant and Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians prior to construction as a condition of project approval. Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106. Noise Abatement and Control Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities			
activity in the immediate vicinity shall halt and the County Coroner shall be contacted pursuant to State Health and Safety Code s.7050.5. If the remains are determined to be of Native American origin, the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) shall be contacted. The NAHC will make a determination of the Most Likely Descendent (MLD). The City and Developer will work with the designated MLD to determine the final disposition of the remains. An approved Cultural Resource Monitor(s) from a Consulting Tribe shall be present during any ground disturbing activities (including archaeological testing and surveys). Should buried cultural deposits be encountered, the Monitor may request that destructive construction halt and the Monitor shall notify a Qualified Archaeologist (Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines) to investigate and, if necessary, prepare a mitigation plan for submission to the State Historic Preservation Officer. A monitoring agreement shall be established between the project applicant and Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians prior to construction as a condition of project approval. Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106. Noise Abatement and Control Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities			The same of the sa
halt and the County Coroner shall be contacted pursuant to State Health and Safety Code s.7050.5. If the remains are determined to be of Native American origin, the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) shall be contacted. The NAHC will make a determination of the Most Likely Descendent (MLD). The City and Developer will work with the designated MLD to determine the final disposition of the remains. An approved Cultural Resource Monitor(s) from a Consulting Tribe shall be present during any ground disturbing activities (including archaeological testing and surveys). Should buried cultural deposits be encountered, the Monitor may request that destructive construction halt and the Monitor shall notify a Qualified Archaeologist (Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines) to investigate and, if necessary, prepare a mitigation plan for submission to the State Historic Preservation Officer. A monitoring agreement shall be established between the project applicant and Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians prior to construction as a condition of project approval. Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106. Noise Abatement and Control Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities			
contacted pursuant to State Health and Safety Code s.7050.5. If the remains are determined to be of Native American origin, the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) shall be contacted. The NAHC will make a determination of the Most Likely Descendent (MLD). The City and Developer will work with the designated MLD to determine the final disposition of the remains. An approved Cultural Resource Monitor(s) from a Consulting Tribe shall be present during any ground disturbing activities (including archaeological testing and surveys). Should buried cultural deposits be encountered, the Monitor may request that destructive construction halt and the Monitor shall notify a Qualified Archaeologist (Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines) to investigate and, if necessary, prepare a mitigation plan for submission to the State Historic Preservation Officer. A monitoring agreement shall be established between the project applicant and Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians prior to construction as a condition of project approval. Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106. Noise Abatement and Control Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities			The state of the s
Safety Code s.7050.5. If the remains are determined to be of Native American origin, the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) shall be contacted. The NAHC will make a determination of the Most Likely Descendent (MLD). The City and Developer will work with the designated MLD to determine the final disposition of the remains. An approved Cultural Resource Monitor(s) from a Consulting Tribe shall be present during any ground disturbing activities (including archaeological testing and surveys). Should buried cultural deposits be encountered, the Monitor may request that destructive construction halt and the Monitor shall notify a Qualified Archaeologist (Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines) to investigate and, if necessary, prepare a mitigation plan for submission to the State Historic Preservation Officer. A monitoring agreement shall be established between the project applicant and Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians prior to construction as a condition of project approval. Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106. Noise Abatement and Control Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities			
determined to be of Native American origin, the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) shall be contacted. The NAHC will make a determination of the Most Likely Descendent (MLD). The City and Developer will work with the designated MLD to determine the final disposition of the remains. An approved Cultural Resource Monitor(s) from a Consulting Tribe shall be present during any ground disturbing activities (including archaeological testing and surveys). Should buried cultural deposits be encountered, the Monitor may request that destructive construction halt and the Monitor shall notify a Qualified Archaeologist (Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines) to investigate and, if necessary, prepare a mitigation plan for submission to the State Historic Preservation Officer. A monitoring agreement shall be established between the project applicant and Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians prior to construction as a condition of project approval. Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106. Noise Abatement and Control Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities			
origin, the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) shall be contacted. The NAHC will make a determination of the Most Likely Descendent (MLD). The City and Developer will work with the designated MLD to determine the final disposition of the remains. An approved Cultural Resource Monitor(s) from a Consulting Tribe shall be present during any ground disturbing activities (including archaeological testing and surveys). Should buried cultural deposits be encountered, the Monitor may request that destructive construction halt and the Monitor shall notify a Qualified Archaeologist (Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines) to investigate and, if necessary, prepare a mitigation plan for submission to the State Historic Preservation Officer. A monitoring agreement shall be established between the project applicant and Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians prior to construction as a condition of project approval. Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106. Noise Abatement and Control Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities			PERSONAL STATE OF THE STATE OF
Commission (NAHC) shall be contacted. The NAHC will make a determination of the Most Likely Descendent (MLD). The City and Developer will work with the designated MLD to determine the final disposition of the remains. An approved Cultural Resource Monitor(s) from a Consulting Tribe shall be present during any ground disturbing activities (including archaeological testing and surveys). Should buried cultural deposits be encountered, the Monitor may request that destructive construction halt and the Monitor shall notify a Qualified Archaeologist (Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines) to investigate and, if necessary, prepare a mitigation plan for submission to the State Historic Preservation Officer. A monitoring agreement shall be established between the project applicant and Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians prior to construction as a condition of project approval. Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106. Noise Abatement and Control Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities			
The NAHC will make a determination of the Most Likely Descendent (MLD). The City and Developer will work with the designated MLD to determine the final disposition of the remains. An approved Cultural Resource Monitor(s) from a Consulting Tribe shall be present during any ground disturbing activities (including archaeological testing and surveys). Should buried cultural deposits be encountered, the Monitor may request that destructive construction halt and the Monitor shall notify a Qualified Archaeologist (Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines) to investigate and, if necessary, prepare a mitigation plan for submission to the State Historic Preservation Officer. A monitoring agreement shall be established between the project applicant and Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians prior to construction as a condition of project approval. Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106. Noise Abatement and Control Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities			
the Most Likely Descendent (MLD). The City and Developer will work with the designated MLD to determine the final disposition of the remains. An approved Cultural Resource Monitor(s) from a Consulting Tribe shall be present during any ground disturbing activities (including archaeological testing and surveys). Should buried cultural deposits be encountered, the Monitor may request that destructive construction halt and the Monitor shall notify a Qualified Archaeologist (Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines) to investigate and, if necessary, prepare a mitigation plan for submission to the State Historic Preservation Officer. A monitoring agreement shall be established between the project applicant and Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians prior to construction as a condition of project approval. Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106. Noise Abatement and Control Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities			
City and Developer will work with the designated MLD to determine the final disposition of the remains. An approved Cultural Resource Monitor(s) from a Consulting Tribe shall be present during any ground disturbing activities (including archaeological testing and surveys). Should buried cultural deposits be encountered, the Monitor may request that destructive construction halt and the Monitor shall notify a Qualified Archaeologist (Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines) to investigate and, if necessary, prepare a mitigation plan for submission to the State Historic Preservation Officer. A monitoring agreement shall be established between the project applicant and Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians prior to construction as a condition of project approval. Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106. Noise Abatement and Control Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities			and the second s
designated MLD to determine the final disposition of the remains. An approved Cultural Resource Monitor(s) from a Consulting Tribe shall be present during any ground disturbing activities (including archaeological testing and surveys). Should buried cultural deposits be encountered, the Monitor may request that destructive construction halt and the Monitor shall notify a Qualified Archaeologist (Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines) to investigate and, if necessary, prepare a mitigation plan for submission to the State Historic Preservation Officer. A monitoring agreement shall be established between the project applicant and Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians prior to construction as a condition of project approval. Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106. Noise Abatement and Control Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities			
disposition of the remains. An approved Cultural Resource Monitor(s) from a Consulting Tribe shall be present during any ground disturbing activities (including archaeological testing and surveys). Should buried cultural deposits be encountered, the Monitor may request that destructive construction halt and the Monitor shall notify a Qualified Archaeologist (Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines) to investigate and, if necessary, prepare a mitigation plan for submission to the State Historic Preservation Officer. A monitoring agreement shall be established between the project applicant and Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians prior to construction as a condition of project approval. Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106. Noise Abatement and Control Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities			STORY THE STORY WENT TO SECURE THE STORY OF STOR
from a Consulting Tribe shall be present during any ground disturbing activities (including archaeological testing and surveys). Should buried cultural deposits be encountered, the Monitor may request that destructive construction halt and the Monitor shall notify a Qualified Archaeologist (Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines) to investigate and, if necessary, prepare a mitigation plan for submission to the State Historic Preservation Officer. A monitoring agreement shall be established between the project applicant and Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians prior to construction as a condition of project approval. Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106. Noise Abatement and Control Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities from a Consultation disturbing activities (including archaeological testing and surveys). Should buried cultural deposits be encountered, the noise level was acceptable: 53.0 db. See noise analysis. The project is in			THE COURT OF THE C
during any ground disturbing activities (including archaeological testing and surveys). Should buried cultural deposits be encountered, the Monitor may request that destructive construction halt and the Monitor shall notify a Qualified Archaeologist (Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines) to investigate and, if necessary, prepare a mitigation plan for submission to the State Historic Preservation Officer. A monitoring agreement shall be established between the project applicant and Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians prior to construction as a condition of project approval. Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106. Noise Abatement and Control Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities during any ground disturbing and surveys). Should buried cultural deposits be encountered, the Monitor and surveys. Should be encountered, the noise level was acceptable: 53.0 db. See noise analysis. The project is in			approved Cultural Resource Monitor(s)
(including archaeological testing and surveys). Should buried cultural deposits be encountered, the Monitor may request that destructive construction halt and the Monitor shall notify a Qualified Archaeologist (Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines) to investigate and, if necessary, prepare a mitigation plan for submission to the State Historic Preservation Officer. A monitoring agreement shall be established between the project applicant and Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians prior to construction as a condition of project approval. Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106. Noise Abatement and Control Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities			from a Consulting Tribe shall be present
surveys). Should buried cultural deposits be encountered, the Monitor may request that destructive construction halt and the Monitor shall notify a Qualified Archaeologist (Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines) to investigate and, if necessary, prepare a mitigation plan for submission to the State Historic Preservation Officer. A monitoring agreement shall be established between the project applicant and Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians prior to construction as a condition of project approval. Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106. Noise Abatement and Control Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities			
be encountered, the Monitor may request that destructive construction halt and the Monitor shall notify a Qualified Archaeologist (Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines) to investigate and, if necessary, prepare a mitigation plan for submission to the State Historic Preservation Officer. A monitoring agreement shall be established between the project applicant and Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians prior to construction as a condition of project approval. Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106. Noise Abatement and Control Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities Noise Abatement and Communities			
request that destructive construction halt and the Monitor shall notify a Qualified Archaeologist (Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines) to investigate and, if necessary, prepare a mitigation plan for submission to the State Historic Preservation Officer. A monitoring agreement shall be established between the project applicant and Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians prior to construction as a condition of project approval. Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106. Noise Abatement and Control Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities			
halt and the Monitor shall notify a Qualified Archaeologist (Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines) to investigate and, if necessary, prepare a mitigation plan for submission to the State Historic Preservation Officer. A monitoring agreement shall be established between the project applicant and Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians prior to construction as a condition of project approval. Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106. Noise Abatement and Control Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities halt and the Monitor shall notify a Qualified Archaeologist (Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines) to investigate and, if necessary, prepare a mitigation plan for submission to the Interior's Standards and Guidelines) to the State Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106.			The state of the s
Qualified Archaeologist (Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines) to investigate and, if necessary, prepare a mitigation plan for submission to the State Historic Preservation Officer. A monitoring agreement shall be established between the project applicant and Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians prior to construction as a condition of project approval. Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106. Noise Abatement and Control Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities Qualified Archaeologist (Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines) to investigate and, if necessary, prepare a mitigation plan for submission to the investigate and, if necessary, prepare a mitigation plan for submission to the investigate and, if necessary, prepare a mitigation plan for submission to the standards and Guidelines) to the state Historic Preservation Officer. A monitoring agreement shall be established between the project approach of Cahuilla Indians prior to construction as a condition of project approval. Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106.			
Interior's Standards and Guidelines) to investigate and, if necessary, prepare a mitigation plan for submission to the State Historic Preservation Officer. A monitoring agreement shall be established between the project applicant and Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians prior to construction as a condition of project approval. Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106. Noise Abatement and Control Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities Interior's Standards and Guidelines) to investigate and, if necessary, prepare a mitigation plan for submission to the investigate and, if necessary, prepare a mitigation plan for submission to the state with graphs of the project is in constitution of the project is in investigate and, if necessary, prepare a mitigation plan for submission to the state with graphs of the project is in investigate and, if necessary, prepare a mitigation plan for submission to the state with graphs of the project approval. Based on Section 106 consultation there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106.			The same of the sa
investigate and, if necessary, prepare a mitigation plan for submission to the State Historic Preservation Officer. A monitoring agreement shall be established between the project applicant and Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians prior to construction as a condition of project approval. Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106. Noise Abatement and Control Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities investigate and, if necessary, prepare a mitigation to the State Historic Preservation Officer. A monitoring agreement shall be established between the project applicant and Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians prior to construction as a condition of project approval. Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106.			
mitigation plan for submission to the State Historic Preservation Officer. A monitoring agreement shall be established between the project applicant and Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians prior to construction as a condition of project approval. Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106. Noise Abatement and Control Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities mitigation plan for submission to the State Historic Preservation Officer. A monitoric Project approval. Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106.			The state of the second
State Historic Preservation Officer. A monitoring agreement shall be established between the project applicant and Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians prior to construction as a condition of project approval. Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106. Noise Abatement and Control Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities State Historic Preservation Officer. A monitoring agreement shall be established between the project approval. Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106.			
monitoring agreement shall be established between the project applicant and Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians prior to construction as a condition of project approval. Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106. Noise Abatement and Control Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities monitoring agreement shall be established between the project approved applicant and Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians prior to construction as a condition of project approval. Based on Section 106 consultation there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106.			
established between the project applicant and Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians prior to construction as a condition of project approval. Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106. Noise Abatement and Control Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities established between the project approval applicant and Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians prior to construction as a condition of project approval. Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106.			The state of the s
applicant and Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians prior to construction as a condition of project approval. Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106. Noise Abatement and Control Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities A Noise Assessment was conducted. The noise level was acceptable: 53.0 db. See noise analysis. The project is in			
a condition of project approval. Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106. Noise Abatement and Control Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities a condition of project approval. Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106. A Noise Assessment was conducted. The noise level was acceptable: 53.0 db. See noise analysis. The project is in			
on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106. Noise Abatement and Control Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities On Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106. A Noise Assessment was conducted. The noise level was acceptable: 53.0 db. See noise analysis. The project is in			
No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106. Noise Abatement and Control Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106. A Noise Assessment was conducted. The noise level was acceptable: 53.0 db. See noise analysis. The project is in			
there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106. Noise Abatement and Control Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106. A Noise Assessment was conducted. The noise level was acceptable: 53.0 db. See noise analysis. The project is in			
The project is in compliance with Section 106. Noise Abatement and Control Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities The project is in compliance with Section 106. A Noise Assessment was conducted. The noise level was acceptable: 53.0 db. See noise analysis. The project is in			
Noise Abatement and Control Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities Section 106. A Noise Assessment was conducted. The noise level was acceptable: 53.0 db. See noise analysis. The project is in			
Noise Abatement and Control Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities □ Yes □ No A Noise Assessment was conducted. The noise level was acceptable: 53.0 db. See noise analysis. The project is in			
Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities noise level was acceptable: 53.0 db. See noise analysis. The project is in	Noise Abatement and Control	□ Vos F7 No	92 SECURE (SECURE OF SECURE OF SECUR
amended by the Quiet Communities noise analysis. The project is in		LI TES EL NO	3.0 (0.0 (0.0 (0.0 (0.0 (0.0 (0.0 (0.0 (
	10 months and 10 months 10		to the second of
	and any the quiet communities		compliance with HUD's Noise

FE 1 1 - 45 - 51

Act of 1978; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart		regulation. According to HUD site
B		acceptability standards, a maximum of
		65 dB is considered an acceptable
		E. C.
		exterior noise level. Exterior 24-hour
		average (Ldn) traffic-related noise was
		estimated along Country Club Drive
		using the HUD Ldn calculator based on
		the CVAG traffic counts. The Ldn metric
		is similar to the CNEL and used for the
		purpose evaluating noise impacts per
2		HUD criteria. For modeling purposes,
		the fleet mix assumed 3% medium
	ê	trucks and 1% heavy trucks. The Ldn at
		950 feet (measured from Country Club
		Drive centerline to the center of the
	-9	site) is estimated to be 53 dBA. As
		referenced, the City of Palm Desert
		daytime standards for residential areas
		is 55 dBA. When existing conditions
	* - 10 1 3	exceed 55 dBA during the day (i.e., 6:00
		to 10:00 pm), a project-related increase
		of 3 dBA or more is considered to be a
		significant impact. The project is
		conservatively estimated to generate
		288 vehicle trips per day based on trip
	300 dd d a a a a	generation rates for low rise multifamily
		housing. (7.2 trips per unit) as defined in
		the Institute for Traffic Engineers 11th
		Edition (2021). For a noticeable (i.e, 3
,		dBA) noise increase to occur, the
		number of Average Daily Trips (ADT)
		would have to double over current
		volumes while maintaining current
	×= 5	speeds. Using the HUD Ldn calculator,
		project-related trips (288 average daily
		trips) were added to existing volumes
		on Country Club Drive. No increase in
*		the DNL would occur. The project would
Sala Sauras A - 'S		have no adverse exterior noise impact.
Sole Source Aquifers	☐ Yes ☑ No	The project is not located on a sole
Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, as		source aquifer area. The project is in
amended, particularly section		compliance with Sole Source Aquifer
1424(e); 40 CFR Part 149	980 PM	requirements. There are no sole source
		aquifers in Riverside County as
		designated by the US Environmental
		Protection Agency Pacific Southwest

	T	
		Region 9. The project would not use
		groundwater or otherwise impact
		groundwater recharge. No impacts to
		sole source aquifers as defined per 40
		CFR 149 would occur.
Wetlands Protection	☐ Yes ☑ No	The project will not impact on- or off-
Executive Order 11990, particularly		site wetlands. The project is in
sections 2 and 5		compliance with Executive Order 11990.
		The site is in a heavily urbanized area.
		According to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife
		Service's Wetlands Online Mapper, no
		wetlands are located on or immediately
		adjacent to the project site. No adverse
		impacts related to wetlands protection
		are anticipated.
Wild and Scenic Rivers Act	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project is not within proximity of a
Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968,		NWSRS river. The project is in
particularly section 7(b) and (c)		compliance with the Wild and Scenic
		Rivers Act. The project site is located in
		an urbanized portion of Palm Desert.
		The Whitewater Channel or segment
		thereof, is the nearest channel. It is not
		a designated wild or scenic river.
		(National Wild and Scenic Rivers, 2011).
		The project would have no adverse
		impacts on wild or scenic rivers.
HUD HO	OUSING ENVIRONMEN	ITAL STANDARDS
	ENVIRONMENTAL J	USTICE
Environmental Justice	☐ Yes ☑ No	No adverse environmental impacts were
Executive Order 12898		identified in the project's total
		environmental review. The project is in
,		compliance with Executive Order 12898.

Environmental Assessment Factors [24 CFR 58.40; Ref. 40 CFR 1508.8 &1508.27]

Impact Codes: An impact code from the following list has been used to make the determination of impact for each factor.

- (1) Minor beneficial impact
- (2) No impact anticipated
- (3) Minor Adverse Impact May require mitigation
- (4) Significant or potentially significant impact requiring avoidance or modification which may require an Environmental Impact Statement.

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation		
Assessment Factor	Code				
	LAND DEVELOPMENT				
Conformance with	2	The site is currently vacant and has			
Plans / Compatible		historically been undeveloped. The base			
Land Use and Zoning		zoning is Public (P). The proposed project is			
/ Scale and Urban		allowed as a conditional use per Section			
Design		25.22.030 Table 25.22-1 of the Palm Desert			
		Municipal Code. The project was issued			
		Precise Plan approval and a Conditional Use			
		Permit by the City of Palm Desert per			
		Resolution 2669 approved on May 17, 2016.			
		The City of Palm Desert determined that the			
		proposed project is categorically exempt			
		from environmental review pursuant to the			
		guidelines of the California Environmental			
		Quality Act as an "In-Fill Development"			
		project (CEQA Guidelines, Section 15332).			
		The project will comply with all zoning			
17.47		regulations as referenced above. Thus,			
		assuming all applicable design guidelines			
		are met, the project would be consistent			
		with applicable plans and related policies.			
		No mitigation measures are required to			
340,4000.00		reduce potentially significant or adverse	29. 4		
		impacts to less than significant.			
Soil Suitability /	2	Soils. As referenced in the Phase I			
Slope/ Erosion /		Environmental Site Assessment (Weis			
Drainage and Storm		Environmental, April 2024), the uppermost			
Water Runoff		geologic deposits are comprised of varying			
		amounts of sands, silts and gravel. Soils are			
		mapped as Myoma fine sand. The Myoma			
		series consists of deep, somewhat			
		excessively drained, highly to very highly			
		permeable soils that formed in wind blown			
		sandy alluvium. Characteristics within the			
		Coachella Valley indicate the project could			
		be subject to adverse impacts associated			
		with seismically-induced settlement,			
		surface manifestation of liquefaction and			
		potential structural distress. Measures			
una.		would be provided in a Preliminary			
400		Geotechnical Assessment to reduce			
		potential impacts to the building and on-site			
		improvements to less than significant.			
		Slope Erosion. The site is flat which limits			

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Assessment Factor	Code	impact Evaluation	Wittigation
		erosion potential. There are no slopes that would erode as a result of project construction. Stormwater Runoff. The site is 100 percent pervious under existing conditions. Precipitation is presumed to infiltrate into the soils. The project would disturb more than one acre of soil during construction; thus, the applicant would be required to obtain coverage under the General Permit for Discharges of Storm Water Associated with Construction Activity. The Construction General Permit (CGP) requires the development and implementation of a Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP). The SWPPP will contain Best Management Practices (BMPs) the discharger will use to protect storm water runoff and how the BMPs would be implemented on-site. With project implementation, stormwater from impervious areas would be conveyed into a Stormtech retention chamber that will be designed to percolate stormwater into the underlying soil. The project will be designed to retain 100% of storm flows. Because the site is more than one-acre in size, the City of Palm Desert would require the project to prepare and implement a Water Pollution Control Plan and formal drainage plans prior to issuance of grading and building permits. This would ensure that erosion and sedimentation impacts would be less than significant. No adverse impacts would occur.	
Hazards and Nuisances including Site Safety and Site- Generated Noise	2	Hazards and Nuisances. The proposed project is a residential project designed to provide housing for income qualifying tenants. It would not require the ongoing use, storage or routine transport of hazardous materials. Aside from common household chemicals, no hazardous materials would be used on-site. The project would not emit or release hazardous waste or emissions. As reported in the	

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Assessment Factor	Code		
		Phase I, (April 2024), the project site does	
		not contain facilities containing hazardous	
		materials or that are affected by a known	
		release of hazards or hazardous materials.	
		The project site would be constructed	
		consistent with current City of Palm Desert	
		requirements for fencing, lighting and other	
		features related to site safety. No impacts	
		related to hazards, nuisance or site safety	
		would occur. Regarding noise, the	
		proposed project would not be exposed to	
		exterior noise levels that currently exceed	
		acceptable limits within the City of Palm	
		Desert Municipal Code.	
		SOCIOECONOMIC	
Employment and	1	During construction, the project would	
Income Patterns		generate temporary employment	
		opportunities. These jobs would not	
		substantially affect overall employment	
		patterns in the City. Operation of the	
		project would be managed by a property	
		management company with support staff	
		from Desert Arc and other service	
		providers. The number of jobs are not	
		expected to substantively increase	
		employment opportunities in the City. Any	
		new jobs would be a minor benefit	
		associated with the proposed project.	
		Based on CalEEMod 2022.1 population	
		estimates, the project would house	
8		approximately 129 residents. It is unknown	
		whether new residents would retain	
		existing jobs or seek new employment	
		opportunities proximal to the project site.	
		Regardless, the addition of 40 new housing	
		units would likely accommodate existing	
		residents within Coachella Valley; thus, it is	
	4	not anticipated to change existing	
		employment patterns or otherwise induce	
		growth to the extent income patterns were	
		adversely affected.	
Demographic	1	The proposed project site is vacant. The	
Character Changes /	_	project would develop 40 new units	
Displacement		designed to house income qualifying	
rispiacement		designed to house income qualitying	

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Assessment Factor	Code		
		tenants. According to the California	
		Department of Finance, the January 2024	
		population of Palm Desert was 50,984.	
		Based on CalEEMod 2022.1 population	
		estimates, the project would house	
		approximately 129 residents. This would be	
		0.002 percent increase. All construction	
		would be confined to the proposed site. It	
		would not impact adjacent street and utility	
		corridors. The project area includes	
		primarily commercial uses and light	
		industrial uses. The project would be	
		allowed on the site per existing zoning.	
		Redevelopment of the site would not	
		adversely affect community character or	
		displace existing residents.	
Environmental	1	The project would provide 40 affordable	
Justice EA Factor	-	apartment units for low income and/or	
distile LA l'actor		residents with developmental disabilities.	
		The project would not remove housing or	
		otherwise displace minority or low-income	
		communities to accommodate construction.	
		A	
		The project would not violate Executive	
		Order 12898. Neighboring uses are	
		comprised of residential and commercial	
		uses. The site is not of any biological or	
		cultural significance. The project is not	
		known to be located in an area subject to	
		climate change nor would affects from	
		climate change disproportionately impact	
		low income or minority populations. Per	
		the City of Palm Desert 2021-2029 Housing Element (approved Resolution 2022-20,	
		March 2023), the Regional Housing Needs	
		Assessment (RHNA) has allocated a total of	
		2,790 new housing units to the City of Palm	
		Desert. Of the total, 675 units are allocated	
		to extremely low and very low income (30-	
		50% Area Median Income (AMI)) category	
		and 460 units are allocated to the low-	
		income category (50 to 80% AMI). The	
		project would provide 40 new units or	
	1	approximately four percent of the allocation	
		for extremely low, very low and low-income	

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Assessment Factor	Code		
		tenants. There is no evidence based on	
		project scope and location of the proposed	
		project, that any populations with limited	
		housing choices or that otherwise are	
		considered to have special life challenges	
		would be adversely affected by the project.	
		Further, to date, no public comment known	
		to the applicant, either in favor of or	
		opposing the project because of potential	
		environmental justice concerns, has been	
		received. The project site is proximal to	
		commercial uses that may benefit future	
		project residents. The project site also	
		proximal to Sunline Transit Agency Route 4	
		which has stops on Monterey Avenue and	
		Country Club Drive. Based on evidence	
		presented herein, the project would be	
		consistent with Executive Order 12898.	
00 S 242		JNITY FACILITIES AND SERVICES	
Educational and	2	The school nearest the site is the James Earl	
Cultural Facilities		Carter Elementary School located at 74251	
(Access and Capacity)		East Holvey Lane approximately 1 mile	
		southeast of the site. Palm Desert High	
		School is located at 74910 Aztec Road	
		approximately 2 miles southeast of the site.	
		Riverside County provides library and	
		related cultural services to its residents	-
		through the Public Library System. The	
		nearest library is the Palm Desert Branch at	
		located at 73300 Fred Waring Road approximately 1.8 miles south of the site.	
		The project is comprised of 40 residential	
		units for income qualifying residents. Using	
		the Desert Sands Unified School District	
		student generation rate of .38 students (i.e.,	
		elementary, middle and high school) per	
		multifamily residence, the number of school	
		age children living at the property would be	
		15. Payment of impact fees by the applicant	
		would contribute fair share funds needed to	
		expand school capacity as needed to	
		address future capacity constraints. With	
		respect to library services, it is possible that	
		residents may visit the library; however, the	

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Assessment Factor	Code		
		addition of approximately 129 residents	
		would not exceed the service population to	
		the extent that new library facilities are	
		required. Furthermore, a portion of the	
		impact fees paid by the applicant will be	
		allocated to the expansion of library	
		facilities.	
Commercial Facilities	2	The proposed project would not provide	
(Access and		commercial space. However, the need for	
Proximity)		goods and services required by	
•		approximately 129 new residents would be	
		met by vendors and existing businesses	
		within the area. A commercial center with a	
		grocery store, restaurants and retail is	
		located at the southeast corner of	
		Monterey Avenue and Country Club Drive	
		northwest of the site approximately 1,000	
		feet. These businesses could be patronized	
		by project residents. No adverse impact to	
		commercial facilities would occur as a result	
		of the project.	
Health Care / Social	2	It is assumed project residents are currently	
Services (Access and		residing in the Coachella Valley. The project	
Capacity)		is expected to accommodate approximately	
		129 new residents. This would not increase	
		the general population to the degree that	
		expanded health care services would be	
m m · · · · · ·		required. The Eisenhower Health complex is	1 m 1 m 1 m
		located approximately one mile northwest	
		of the site at 39000 Bob Hope Drive in the	
		City of Rancho Mirage. These facilities are	
		accessible by transit and available to serve	
1		project residents. No adverse impacts	
		related to health care are anticipated. The	
		project would provide social services on-site	
,		through Desert Arc. As noted, it is expected	
		that the residents currently live in the	
		Coachella Valley. While on-site services	
		would be designed to benefit existing	
		residents, it may also relieve demand on	
4 1 . 4 . 8		existing social services. No impact to	e comboner
		existing social services is expected.	
Solid Waste Disposal	2	Construction activities would temporarily	
John Waste Disposal	-	construction activities would temporarily	and the second

generate solid waste in the form of

and Recycling

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Assessment Factor	Code		
(Feasibility and		construction debris (e.g., drywall, asphalt,	
Capacity)		lumber, and concrete) and household waste	
, , , , ,		associated with a residential living facility.	
		No specific requirements for recycling and	
		disposal of construction and demolition	
		debris are specified in Lake Elsinore	
		Municipal Code. However, it is assumed the	
		contractor would be conditioned to comply	
		with all applicable recycling and disposal	
		requirements for construction and	
		demolition waste. The project would be	
		required to provide domestic waste	
		recycling containers to reduce the volume	
		of waste entering area landfills and support	
		statewide recycling mandates required by	
		the California Integrated Waste	
		Management Act of 1989 (Assembly Bill	
		939) and Assembly Bill 341 (2011).	
		Assembly Bill (AB) 341 amended AB 939 to	
		include a provision stating that at least 75%	
		of solid waste be source-reduced, recycled,	
		or composted by the year 2020 and	
•	7 7(-3	annually thereafter. The proposed project	
		would generate construction and	
		demolition waste (CDW) as well as ongoing	
		domestic waste. Solid waste collection and	
		disposal services in Palm Desert are provided by Burretc Waste and Recycling	
		Services Inc. Solid waste collected in the	
		Palm Desert is disposed of in the Desert	
		Center Landfill located in Desert Center,	
		California. The project would be provided	
		recycling bins to accommodate recycled	
		material which would reduce the amount of	
		waste disposed of in landfills. CalEEMod	
		2022.1 estimates that the proposed project	
		would generate approximately seven tons	
		of solid waste material annually assuming	
		75% is recycled. A total of 38 pounds daily	
		would go to the landfill. Desert Center	
		Landfill is permitted for a 60 ton daily	
		throughput. The addition of 38 pounds daily	
		would increase the total volumes going to	
		landfill by less than one percent. A less than	

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Assessment Factor	Code		
		significant impact would occur under this threshold. No adverse impact to landfills associated with project-related waste disposal would occur.	
Waste Water and Sanitary Sewers (Feasibility and Capacity)	2	The proposed project would utilize existing sewer connections managed by the Coachella Valley Water District. Sewer requirements for incoming development projects are administered by the City's Public Utilities Department. Sewer trunk lines are continually monitored in the field to determine remaining capacity. The Engineering Division plans its capital improvement projects several years prior to pipelines actually reaching capacity. The project site is located in an urbanized area that is connected to existing infrastructure. The project would connect to the existing wastewater infrastructure serving the site pursuant to the City's Municipal Code requirements. Prior to the issuance of building permits, waste water impact fees would be paid to the City to cover fair share costs associated with adequate wastewater conveyance, treatment and disposal. No adverse impacts would occur.	
Water Supply (Feasibility and Capacity)	2	The proposed project would utilize existing water connections. Potential water supply impacts for the Coachella Water District service area were evaluated in the 2020 Urban Water Management Plan (July 2021). The Coachella Valley Water District determined there is sufficient water planned to supply the estimated annual average usage in normal, single-dry year, and multiple-dry water year forecasts. The UWMP states that 2030 demand would be 10,874 acre-feet annually for the service area. Projected supplies would equal demand. The proposed project would create 40 new units with an estimated potable water demand of 3,687 gallons per day or 4.1-acre feet annually. This would be within the projected future year supply and	

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Assessment Factor	Code		
		demand estimated by the Coachella Valley Water District in the 2020 Urban Water	
		Management Plan. The project is subject to	
		water fees that would be paid by the	
		applicant prior to receipt of a building	
		permit. No new or expanded water	
		connections would be required for the	
		project.	
Public Safety -	2	The Riverside County Fire Department	
Police, Fire and	_	provides fire protection, paramedic and	
Emergency Medical		emergency medical technician services to	
go ,		the City of Palm Desert and the project site.	
		Station Number 71 is located at 73-995	
		Country Club Road which is 0.7 miles east of	
		the site. The project area is served by the	
		City of Palm Desert Police Department. The	
		department is headquartered at 73-705	
		Gerald Ford Drive, approximately 2 miles	
		northeast of the project site. While the	
		project would increase the residential	
		population within the City of Palm Desert,	
		demand for fire and police services are	
	51 51 51 51 50 60	evaluated cumulatively by the City and	
		Riverside County as part of the project	
		review process. The proposed project would	
		increase demand for fire services and police	
		protection services; however, 40 low	
	10001122114 (1	income and/or developmentally disabled	
		residents would not increase demand to the	
		extent that new facilities would be required.	
		Staffing needs are evaluated based on	
		changing demographics within each service	
		area and adjustments made within each	
		department. No adverse impacts related to	
Parks, Open Space	2	police services would occur. The project would not increase demand for	
and Recreation	_	recreational facilities such that existing	
(Access and Capacity)		facilities would be adversely affected. The	
(, lecess and capacity)		project would construct common indoor	
		and outdoor areas which would provide	
	2014 61 61 11	recreational opportunities for residents. No	
		adverse impacts to parks and recreation	
		facilities associated with the project would	
		occur.	
		100 LONG 100 MODEL 100 LONG 10	

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Assessment Factor	Code		
Transportation and	2	Project construction and material staging	
Accessibility (Access		would occur on the project site. During	
and Capacity)		construction, some temporary traffic	
		control measures may be required to allow	
		vehicles to safely enter and exit the site.	
		Transit services are provided by the Sunline	
		Transit Agency via Route 4. Stops are	
		located west of the intersection of	
		Monterey Avenue and Country Club Drive	
		approximately 0.3 miles west of the project	
		site. Pedestrian and bicycle access is also	
		provided throughout the area. No striped	
		bicycle lanes are provided along either	
		Country Club Road or Monterey Avenue.	
		Monterey Avenue and Country Club Drive	
		are both classified as vehicle-oriented	
		arterials in the 2016 General Plan Mobility	
		Element (Figure 4.1). A vehicle-oriented	
		arterial is designed to accommodate up to	
		45,000 vehicles per day under LOS C	
		conditions. According to traffic counts	
		collected by the City of Palm Desert in April	
		2015, 24-hour volumes on Country Club	
		Drive east of Monterey Avenue are 22,654.	
		Assuming a two percent annual escalation,	
		2024 volumes are approximately 27,073.	
		Thus, volumes are approximately 1/2 of	
		those that can be accommodated under	
		LOS C operating conditions. Traffic volumes	
		associated with the project would be 288	
		vehicle trips per day or 29 trips during the	
		peak hour. The addition of 288 daily trips	
		would be increase existing volumes by 0.01	
		percent. This would not adversely impact	
		traffic operations on Country Club Drive.	
		The proposed project is not expected to	
		adversely affect transportation or	
		accessibility.	
		NATURAL FEATURES	
Unique Natural	2	The proposed project site is located within	
Features /Water		an urbanized area within the City of Palm	
Resources		Desert. The project site is flat with sparse	
		ruderal vegetation species. There are no	

1 ... - 51

100-1124-1-61

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
		unique natural features or water resources	
	_	occurring on the project site.	
Vegetation / Wildlife	2	The project area is heavily urbanized. The	
(Introduction,		site is vacant and contains areas of ruderal	
Modification,		vegetation species. There are no sensitive	
Removal, Disruption,		plants or animal species, habitats, or	
etc.)		wildlife migration corridors in the area, or	
		on-site. The only plant species are ruderal	
		(weedy) and ornamental. The only wildlife	
		species observed are common birds. The	
		site is identified as "developed" in the	
		CVMSCP. No local species would be	
		adversely affected by the project.	
Other Factors 1	2	There are no federally listed sensitive plants	
		or animal species, habitats, or wildlife	
		migration corridors in the area or on-site.	
		No local species would be adversely	20
		affected by the project.	
Other Factors 2	2	There are no federally listed sensitive plants	
		or animal species, habitats, or wildlife	
		migration corridors in the area or on-site.	
		No local species would be adversely	
		affected by the project.	
		CLIMATE AND ENERGY	
Climate Change	2	Climate Change. The SCAQMD has adopted	
		3,000 metric tons of CO2e per year as the	
		threshold of significance. Air impact	
		modeling was conducted using CalEEMod	
		version 2022.1 which estimates the project	
		will generate approximately 435 metric tons	
ž.		of CO2e annually which includes all	
		construction emissions amortized over a 30-	
		year period. This would be less than the	
		3,000 annual metric ton standard	
		referenced above. Thus, impacts related to	
		GHG emissions would be less than	
		significant. The project site is	
		approximately 44 feet above sea level and	
		within a heavily urbanized area. It is not	
		located proximal to coastal areas that may	
		be subject to sea level rise, wildland areas	
		that may be subject to wildfire or other	
		natural conditions that could be affected by	
		climate change. As stated, the project site	

Environmental	Impact	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
Assessment Factor	Code	•	
		is located proximal to bus and light rail	
		transit and will have a limited parking	
		supply which in part, is intended to increase	
		the unit count on the site and disincentivize	
		vehicle ownership. Proximity to transit	
		services will contribute to an overall	
		reduction in GHG emissions associated with	
		commuting to/from work and other	
		destinations. Impacts associated with	
10		mobile source air emissions would be less	
		than significant.	
Energy Efficiency	2	Energy. Project construction would utilize	
		common methods for site preparation,	-5 -
		grading and installation of all infrastructure.	
		Construction vehicles and equipment would	
		utilize fossil fuels such as gasoline, diesel	
		fuel, and motor oil. However, construction	
		would be short-term and temporary. The	
		project is not anticipated to include any	
		unique features or construction techniques	
		that would generate high energy demand or	
		be wasteful or otherwise result in inefficient	
900		use of fuels or other sources of energy. The	vi (a)
		project would conform with all state and	
		local requirements regarding construction-	
		related energy use, including anti-idling	
		regulations. The project would be	
no esta		required to comply with California Energy	1 41 1
		Code Title 24 requirements. Further, the	
		project would implement water	
		conservation strategies focused on	
		achieving the goals set forth by Senate Bill	
		X7-7 (2010) which mandates a statewide	
		20% per capita reduction in water	
N. S. and		consumption by 2020. The proposed project	
N N - 888		will have to meet Title 24 energy	
		requirements and comply with California	
		Building Code's (CBC) Zero Net Energy	
		requirements if in effect at time of building	
		permit issuance. The project would	
		comply with applicable elements of state	2
		and local plans through the implementation	
0.8.00		of measures addressing energy efficient	
Andrew Cit			-AF . 11-1-1
		design, water conservation and related	

Environmental Assessment Factor	Impact Code	Impact Evaluation	Mitigation
		features that reduce energy demand. While the project would increase demand for public utilities in the region, for reasons stated above, this would not represent a significant impact with respect to energy consumption.	

Supporting documentation

transit-noise-and-vibration-impact-assessment-manual-fta-report-no-0123 0.pdf

SWIS Facility Site Activity Details.pdf

Police website.pdf

Housing Element.pdf

Fire Hazard Severity Zone.pdf

Fire Department.pdf

Final-Coachella-Valley-RUWMP.pdf

Envision Palm Desert Forward Together Strategic Plan.pdf

Desert Sands USD Fee Report.pdf

CVAG 2015 Traffic Census Report.pdf

CalEPA site map.pdf

ARC Village Project - Phase I ESA(1).pdf

ARC Village - Final WQMP.pdf

136A-001 Arc Village-Hydro Report.pdf

Additional Studies Performed:

Air Emission Calculations, November 2024 Phase I Cultural Resource Assessment, April 2024 Exterior Noise HUD Ldn Calculations, November 2024 Preliminary Water Quality Control Plan, October 2024 Hydrology Report, December 2015

Day Night Noise Level (DNL) Calculator - HUD Exchange with Project(1).pdf

Day Night Noise Level (DNL) Calculator - HUD Exchange Existing(1).pdf

ARC Village Project - Phase I ESA(2).pdf

ARC Village Detailed Report(1).pdf

ARC Village - Final WQMP(1).pdf

136A-001 Arc Village-Hydro Report(1).pdf

Field Inspection [Optional]: Date and completed

by:

Kevin Hunt

11/6/2024 12:00:00 AM

List of Sources, Agencies and Persons Consulted [40 CFR 1508.9(b)]:

Riverside County Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan Policy Document adopted December 2004). Project Plans and Site Inspection, November 2024 Coastal Barrier

Resource Mapper, accessed November 2024 Weis Environmental, Inc., Phase I Environmental Site Assessment, April 2024. State of California Department of Conservation, Indoor Radon Potential Tool, accessed November 2024 Federal Emergency Management Agency, Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) 06065C22O9H, prepared April 19, 2017. Birdseye Planning Group, LLC, Air Quality Modeling and Emission Calculations, Arc Village Affordable Housing Project, November 2024 California EPA, Regulated Site Portal, accessed November 2024 California Department of Conservation, Division of Land Resource Protection. Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program Map. Available at Coachella Valley Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan, amended August 2016 South Environmental, LLC, Phase I Cultural Resources Assessment for Arc Village Affordable Housing Project, November 2024. City of Palm Desert General Plan Mobility Element, November 2016 California Department of Forestry and Fire Protection, Riverside County Fire Hazard Severity Map, October 2008. Coachella Valley Water District, 2020 Urban Water Management Plan, June 2021. City of Palm Desert/Riverside County Fire Department website, accessed November 2024 Federal Emergency Management Agency, Flood Insurance Rate Map No. 06065C22O9H, prepared April 19, 2017 Federal Transit Administration's (FTA's) Transit Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment September 2018 National Wild and Scenic Rivers System, www.nps.gov/rivers, accessed online June 2019. United States Fish & Wildlife Service, Wetlands Mapper, accessed June 2019 Agency, Sole Source Aguifer website accessed June 2019 https://www3.epa.gov/region9/water/groundwater/ssa.html. Desert Sands Unified School District Fee Justification Report, May 2018. City of Palm Desert Traffic Counts, April 2016. Fiero Engineering, Inc., Preliminary Hydrology Study, December 2015 Egan Engineering, Inc. Preliminary Water Quality Management Plan, October 2024 City of Palm Desert Fire and Police Department websites, November 2024 California Department of Recycling, Desert Landfill SWIS/Facility Detail, accessed November 2024 HUD Acceptable Separation Distance tool, accessed November 2023 HUD DNL Calculator, accessed November 2024 City of Palm Desert Housing Element, 2022-2029, adopted March 2022 City of Palm Desert Municipal Code Section 25.22.030 Table 25.22-1

wild and scenic river(1).pdf
wetland mapper(1).pdf
transit-noise-and-vibration-impact-assessment-manual-fta-report-no-0123 0(1).pdf
SWIS Facility Site Activity Details(1).pdf
sole source aquifer map(1).pdf
Radon Potential Map(1).pdf
Police website(1).pdf

Fire Hazard Severity Zone(1).pdf

Fire Department(1).pdf

FEMA FIRM(3).pdf

farmland(1).pdf

Envision Palm Desert Forward Together Strategic Plan(1).pdf

Desert Sands USD Fee Report(1).pdf

CVAG 2015 Traffic Census Report(1).pdf

Critical Habitat Map(1).pdf

CBRS Map(1).pdf

CalEPA site map(1).pdf

Bermuda Dunes ALUCP.pdf

Arch Site Plan.pdf

Airport Map(1).pdf

Acceptable Separation Distance (ASD) Electronic Assessment Tool - HUD

Exchange(1).pdf

List of Permits Obtained:

Site Development Permit

Public Outreach [24 CFR 58.43]:

Tribal outreach was performed during preparation of the Cultural Resource Assessment. The project results in a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) which will be published in the newspaper and circulated to public agencies, tribes already contacted, interested parties, and landowners/occupants of parcels located within the proposed project's Area of Potential Effects. The FONSI Notice will include information about where the public may find the Environmental Review Record pertinent to the proposed Project.

Cumulative Impact Analysis [24 CFR 58.32]:

The proposed project is the construction of an affordable housing building that would provide 40 affordable units to income qualifying tenants. Based on the scope of the project, no significant or adverse environmental impacts would occur as a result of project construction and operation. No other projects were identified that would cause or contribute to cumulative impacts associated with the proposed project.

Alternatives [24 CFR 58.40(e); 40 CFR 1508.9]

Offsite Alternative: Consideration of an offsite alternative is not warranted because no significant impacts that cannot be avoided were identified. Reduced Project: Reducing the size of the proposed project would incrementally reduce impacts across a range of issue areas such as air quality, water supply and wastewater. However, the proposed project's impacts would not be significant in these areas so reducing the project size is not warranted. Density was maximized to ensure highest and best use

of the site. Reducing the building footprint or number of units proposed is not a feasible or economically viable alternative.

No Action Alternative [24 CFR 58.40(e)]

No Action Alternative [24 CFR 58.40(e)]: If the proposed project was not implemented, the site would likely remain vacant until another use was proposed by the applicant, the City of Palm Desert Housing Authority (the current owner), or the property was sold/granted a ground lease for development by another developer. It is not known if or when another development would be proposed on the site. Without construction of the proposed project, the benefits associated with the affordable housing project would not occur.

Summary of Findings and Conclusions:

Arc Village CIC, LP, is proposing to develop the Arc Village Affordable Housing Project. Arc Village is a 40-unit project that will be constructed in one building on a 1.84- acre parcel in the City of Palm Desert, CA. The development will consist of a mix of onebedroom, two-bedroom and three-bedroom units with a 1,542 square foot community room, outdoor open space and a tot lot. The project will provide a total of 49 surface parking spaces. Of the 40 units, 20 will be one bedroom/one bathroom, 10 will be two-bedroom and one bath and 10 will be three-bedroom and two-bathroom units. Of the total, 15 units will be reserved for tenants within an income at 60% Average Median Income (AMI), 15 will be reserved for tenants with an income at 50% AMI, eight units for tenants with an income of 40% AMI and four units for tenants with an income of 30% AMI. One two-bedroom unit will be reserved for an on-site manager. The building will be accessed via an existing driveway that extends south from Country Club Drive and the Desert Arc facilities located adjacent to and north of the site. Desert Arc provides work training, employment opportunities, adult day care, supported living services, behavior management classes, health programs, transportation and other supportive services onsite. Arc Village will have an on-site property manager employed by a third-party operator. The project site is generally flat and is not subject to unusual geological hazards. Specific grading and foundation requirements will be provided in a project-specific Geotechnical Report. The project site is located within Flood Zone X; and thus, is not within a special flood hazard area. No adverse impacts associated with a 100-year flood event would occur. No significant air quality impacts would occur. No historic or archaeological resources are known to be present onsite. The proposed project exterior noise levels would not exceed HUD or City of Palm Desert standards. The project would not noticeably change exterior noise levels. Interior noise standards would be met. The project would not change the existing noise environment. The project would not adversely affect public services. The proposed project would not result in adverse effects on water or energy or generate the need for new or expanded water, wastewater, or solid waste facilities. The proposed project would increase the intensity of the use onsite. Traffic volumes would not adversely affect operation of Country Club Drive. Thus,

no adverse traffic impacts are anticipated. The project would conform to applicable Federal, State, and regional regulations affecting air emission, water quality, cultural resources, geologic hazards and related environmental resources addressed herein.

Mitigation Measures and Conditions [CFR 1505.2(c)]:

Summarized below are all mitigation measures adopted by the Responsible Entity to reduce, avoid or eliminate adverse environmental impacts and to avoid non-compliance or non-conformance with the above-listed authorities and factors. These measures/conditions must be incorporated into project contracts, development agreements and other relevant documents. The staff responsible for implementing and monitoring mitigation measures should be clearly identified in the mitigation plan.

Law, Authority,	Mitigation Measure or Condition	Comments on	Mitigation Plan	Complete
or Factor		Completed	15	65
		Measures		

Project Mitigation Plan

Supporting documentation on completed measures

APPENDIX A: Related Federal Laws and Authorities

Airport Hazards

General policy	Legislation	Regulation
It is HUD's policy to apply standards to prevent incompatible development around civil airports and military airfields.		24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D

1. To ensure compatible land use development, you must determine your site's proximity to civil and military airports. Is your project within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport?

/ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload the map showing that the site is not within the applicable distances to a military or civilian airport below

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project site is not within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport. The project is in compliance with Airport Hazards requirements. The project site is not located within 2,500 feet of the end of a civil airport runway or 8,000 feet from the end of a military airfield runway. The closest airport to the project site is the Bermuda Dunes Airport which is located 6 miles to the southwest at 79-880 Avenue 42 in the unincorporated community of Bermuda Dunes in Riverside County. The project site is located outside the compatibility zone boundaries of the Airport Lan Use Compatibility Plan (ALUCP) and the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) Part 77 Noticing Area as depicted in Maps BD-1 and BD-2 in the Bermuda Dunes Airport ALUCP (Riverside County Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan Policy Document adopted, December 2004). The building would be consistent with Airspace Protection guidelines and within the limits of airspace protection. The proposed project would not pose any threat to airport navigation. No adverse impacts related to Runway Clear Zones or Accident Potential Zones would occur.

Supporting documentation

Airport Map.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required? Yes

Coastal Barrier Resources

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD financial assistance may not be	Coastal Barrier Resources Act	
used for most activities in units of the	(CBRA) of 1982, as amended by	
Coastal Barrier Resources System	the Coastal Barrier Improvement	
(CBRS). See 16 USC 3504 for limitations	Act of 1990 (16 USC 3501)	
on federal expenditures affecting the		
CBRS.		

This project is located in a state that does not contain CBRA units. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act.

Compliance Determination

This project is located in a state that does not contain CBRS units. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act.

Supporting documentation

CBRS Map.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Flood Insurance

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Certain types of federal financial assistance may not be	Flood Disaster	24 CFR 50.4(b)(1)
used in floodplains unless the community participates	Protection Act of 1973	and 24 CFR 58.6(a)
in National Flood Insurance Program and flood	as amended (42 USC	and (b); 24 CFR
insurance is both obtained and maintained.	4001-4128)	55.1(b).

- 1. Does this project involve <u>financial assistance for construction, rehabilitation, or</u> acquisition of a mobile home, building, or insurable personal property?
 - ✓ No. This project does not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

4. While flood insurance is not mandatory for this project, HUD strongly recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). Will flood insurance be required as a mitigation measure or condition?

Yes

✓ No

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Based on the project description the project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project does not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance. While flood insurance may not be mandatory in this instance, HUD recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). The project is in compliance with Flood Insurance requirements.

Supporting documentation

FEMA FIRM.pdf FEMA FIRM.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Air Quality

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Clean Air Act is administered	Clean Air Act (42 USC 7401 et	40 CFR Parts 6, 51
by the U.S. Environmental	seq.) as amended particularly	and 93
Protection Agency (EPA), which	Section 176(c) and (d) (42 USC	
sets national standards on	7506(c) and (d))	
ambient pollutants. In addition,		
the Clean Air Act is administered		
by States, which must develop		
State Implementation Plans (SIPs)		
to regulate their state air quality.		
Projects funded by HUD must		
demonstrate that they conform		
to the appropriate SIP.		

1.	Does your project include new construction or conversion of land use facilitating the
develop	ment of public, commercial, or industrial facilities OR five or more dwelling units?

√	Yes

No

Air Quality Attainment Status of Project's County or Air Quality Management District

2. Is your project's air quality management district or county in non-attainment or maintenance status for any criteria pollutants?

No, project's county or air quality management district is in attainment status for all criteria pollutants.

Yes, project's management district or county is in non-attainment or maintenance status for the following criteria pollutants (check all that apply):

Carbon Monoxide

Lead

Nitrogen dioxide

Sulfur dioxide

✓ Ozone

Particulate Matter, < 2.5 microns

✓ Particulate Matter, <10 microns
</p>

3. What are the *de minimis* emissions levels (40 CFR 93.153) or screening levels for the non-attainment or maintenance level pollutants indicated above

Ozone 0.07 ppb (parts per million)

Particulate Matter, <10 microns 150.00 μg/m3 (micrograms per cubic meter of air)

Provide your source used to determine levels here:

National Ambient Air Quality Standards

- 4. Determine the estimated emissions levels of your project. Will your project exceed any of the de minimis or threshold emissions levels of non-attainment and maintenance level pollutants or exceed the screening levels established by the state or air quality management district?
 - No, the project will not exceed de minimis or threshold emissions levels or screening levels.

Enter the estimate emission levels:

Ozone 0.07 ppb (parts per million)
Particulate Matter, <10 150.00 µg/m3 (micrograms per cubic

microns meter of air)

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes, the project exceeds *de minimis* emissions levels or screening levels.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project's county or air quality management district is in non-attainment status for the following: Ozone, Particulate Matter, <10 microns. This project does not exceed de minimis emissions levels or the screening level established by the state or air quality management district for the pollutant(s) identified above. The project is in

compliance with the Clean Air Act. The project would result in temporary air emissions during construction and would be a source of emissions post-construction. To determine whether emissions would be de minimis, CalEEMod version 2022.1 was used to estimate emissions during construction. Table 1 below shows the pollutant modeled, the SCAQMD threshold and project emissions. As shown, maximum daily emissions would not exceed SCAQMD thresholds; thus, emissions would be de minimis and no air quality impact would occur as defined by 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, and 93 and Sections 176 (c) and (d) of the Clean Air Act. Table 1 - Daily Construction Emissions Pollutant Daily Emissions (lbs. per day) Standard (lbs. per day) ROG 7.6 75 NOx 11.2 100 CO 16.0 550 PM10 0.9 150 PM2.5 0.5 55 ROG - Reactive Organic Gases NOx - Nitrogen Oxides CO - Carbon Monoxide PM10 - Particulate Matter 10 PM2.5 - Particulate Matter 2.5 Post construction emissions would be associated with operation of vehicles and use of energy to operate the household. Emissions were projected using CalEEMod 2022.1 and are shown in Table 2 below. As shown, maximum daily emissions would not exceed SCAQMD thresholds; thus, emissions would be de minimis and no air quality impact would occur as defined by 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, and 93 and Sections 176 (c) and (d) of the Clean Air Act. Daily Operation Emissions Pollutant Daily Emissions (lbs. per day) Standard (lbs. per day) ROG 2.4 55 NOx 1.2 55 CO 12.0 550 PM10 1.8 150 PM2.5 0.5 55 SOx 0.02 150 SOx - Sulfur Oxides

Supporting documentation

ARC Village Detailed Report.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Coastal Zone Management Act

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Federal assistance to applicant	Coastal Zone Management	15 CFR Part 930
agencies for activities affecting	Act (16 USC 1451-1464),	
any coastal use or resource is	particularly section 307(c)	
granted only when such	and (d) (16 USC 1456(c) and	
activities are consistent with	(d))	
federally approved State		
Coastal Zone Management Act		
Plans.		

1. Is the project located in, or does it affect, a Coastal Zone as defined in your state Coastal Management Plan?

Yes

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project is not located in or does not affect a Coastal Zone as defined in the state Coastal Management Plan. The project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act. The project site is not located in a coastal zone, as defined by the California Coastal Act (Public Resources Code, Division 20, Section 3000 Et. Seq.). The nearest coastal zone is located approximately 95 miles to the west. Therefore, no adverse coastal zone impacts are anticipated.

Supporting documentation

Figure 1 - Vicinity Map(1).pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Contamination and Toxic Substances

General Requirements	Legislation	Regulations
It is HUD policy that all properties that are being proposed for use in HUD programs be free of hazardous materials, contamination, toxic chemicals and gases, and radioactive substances, where a hazard could affect the health and safety of the occupants or conflict with the intended utilization of the property.		24 CFR 58.5(i)(2) 24 CFR 50.3(i)
Reference		
https://www.onecpd.info/environmental-review/site-	contamination	

- How was site contamination evaluated?* Select all that apply.
 - ✓ ASTM Phase I ESA

ASTM Phase II ESA

Remediation or clean-up plan

ASTM Vapor Encroachment Screening.

None of the above

2. Were any on-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances* (excluding radon) found that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property? (Were any recognized environmental conditions or RECs identified in a Phase I ESA and confirmed in a Phase II ESA?)

Provide a map or other documentation of absence or presence of contamination** and explain evaluation of site contamination in the Screen Summary at the bottom of this screen.

^{*} HUD regulations at 24 CFR § 58.5(i)(2)(ii) require that the environmental review for multifamily housing with five or more dwelling units or non-residential property include the evaluation of previous uses of the site or other evidence of contamination on or near the site. For acquisition and new construction of multifamily and nonresidential properties HUD strongly advises the review include an ASTM Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) to meet real estate transaction standards of due diligence and to help ensure compliance with HUD's toxic policy at 24 CFR §58.5(i) and 24 CFR §50.3(i). Also note that some HUD programs require an ASTM Phase I ESA.

√ No

Explain:

Based on a review of the Phase I ESA prepared for the proposed project by Weis Environmental, Inc., (April 2024), there are no Recognized Environmental Conditions on or related to the project site. The project site is not on a list of hazardous material sites nor would the project introduce hazardous materials to the site or otherwise have any adverse impacts related to toxic substances, explosive or flammable operations.

Yes

- * This question covers the presence of radioactive substances excluding radon. Radon is addressed in the Radon Exempt Question.
- ** Utilize EPA's Enviromapper, NEPAssist, or state/tribal databases to identify nearby dumps, junk yards, landfills, hazardous waste sites, and industrial sites, including EPA National Priorities List Sites (Superfund sites), CERCLA or state-equivalent sites, RCRA Corrective Action sites with release(s) or suspected release(s) requiring clean-up action and/or further investigation. Additional supporting documentation may include other inspections and reports.
- 3. Evaluate the building(s) for radon. Do all buildings meet any of the exemptions* from having to consider radon in the contamination analysis listed in CPD Notice CPD-23-103?

Yes

Explain:

- * Notes:
- Buildings with no enclosed areas having ground contact.
- Buildings containing crawlspaces, utility tunnels, or parking garages would not be exempt, however buildings built on piers would be exempt, provided that there is open air between the lowest floor of the building and the ground.
- Buildings that are not residential and will not be occupied for more than 4 hours per day.
- Buildings with existing radon mitigation systems document radon levels are below 4 pCi/L with test results dated within two years of submitting the application for HUD assistance and document the system includes an ongoing maintenance plan that includes periodic testing to ensure the system continues to meet the current EPA recommended levels. If the project does not require an application, document test results dated within two years of the date the environmental review is certified. Refer to program office guidance to ensure compliance with program requirements.

- Buildings tested within five years of the submission of application for HUD assistance: test results document indoor radon levels are below current the EPA's recommended action levels of 4.0 pCi/L. For buildings with test data older than five years, any new environmental review must include a consideration of radon using one of the methods in Section A below.
- 4. Is the proposed project new construction or substantial rehabilitation where testing will be conducted but cannot yet occur because building construction has not been completed?

Yes

Compliance with this section is conditioned on post-construction testing being conducted, followed by mitigation, if needed. Radon test results, along with any needed mitigation plan, must be uploaded to the mitigation section within this screen.

✓ No

5. Was radon testing or a scientific data review conducted that provided a radon concentration level in pCi/L?

✓ Yes

No

If no testing was conducted and a review of science-based data offered a lack of science-based data for the project site, then document and upload the steps taken to look for documented test results and science-based data as well as the basis for the conclusion that testing would be infeasible or impracticable.

Explain:

File Upload:

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Screen Summary at the bottom of this screen.

Non-radon contamination was found in a previous question.

6. How was radon data collected?

All buildings involved were tested for radon

✓ A review of science-based data was conducted

Enter the Radon concentration value, in pCi/L, derived from the review of science-based data:

0

Provide the documentation* used to derive this value:

The State of California Department of Conservation, Indoor Radon Potential Tool, the site is not located in an area with known radon potential. No further work with respect to hazards or hazardous materials is required.

File Upload:

Radon Potential Map.pdf
ARC Village Project - Phase I ESA.pdf

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Continue to the Screen Summary at the bottom of this screen.

Radon concentration value is greater than or equal to 4.0 pCi/L and/or non-radon contamination was found in a previous question. Continue to Mitigation.

* For example, if you conducted radon testing then provide a testing report (such as an ANSI/AARST report or DIY test) if applicable (note: DIY tests are not eligible for use in multifamily buildings), or documentation of the test results. If you conducted a scientific data review, then describe and cite the maps and data used and include copies of all supporting documentation. Ensure that the best available data is utilized, if conducting a scientific data review.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Site contamination was evaluated as follows: ASTM Phase I ESA. On-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property were not found. Radon testing indicated radon levels below 4.0 pCi/L. The project is in compliance with contamination and toxic substances requirements.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Endangered Species

General requirements	ESA Legislation	Regulations
Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA)	The Endangered	50 CFR Part
mandates that federal agencies ensure that	Species Act of 1973	402
actions that they authorize, fund, or carry out	(16 U.S.C. 1531 et	
shall not jeopardize the continued existence of	seq.); particularly	
federally listed plants and animals or result in	section 7 (16 USC	
the adverse modification or destruction of	1536).	
designated critical habitat. Where their actions		
may affect resources protected by the ESA,		
agencies must consult with the Fish and Wildlife		
Service and/or the National Marine Fisheries		
Service ("FWS" and "NMFS" or "the Services").		

1. Does the project involve any activities that have the potential to affect specifies or habitats?

✓ No, the project will have No Effect due to the nature of the activities involved in the project.

This selection is only appropriate if none of the activities involved in the project have potential to affect species or habitats. Examples of actions without potential to affect listed species may include: purchasing existing buildings, completing interior renovations to existing buildings, and replacing exterior paint or siding on existing buildings.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

No, the project will have No Effect based on a letter of understanding, memorandum of agreement, programmatic agreement, or checklist provided by local HUD office

Yes, the activities involved in the project have the potential to affect species and/or habitats.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project will have No Effect on listed species due to the nature of the activities involved in the project. This project is in compliance with the Endangered Species Act. The project site is a vacant lot and with sparsely distributed ruderal species. The City of Palm Desert is a signatory to the Coachella Valley Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan (CVMSHCP) which was last amended in August 2016. The CVMSHCP

encompasses approximately 1.2 million acres. Of the total, approximately 69,000 acres are Indian Reservation Lands, which are not included in the Plan, leaving a total of approximately 1.1 million acres addressed by the Plan. Of the total area, approximately 6 percent is developed land located within the urbanized portion of the Coachella Valley. The project site is located within a developed portion of the plan area; and thus, is not located within a designated CVMSHCP conservation area. No federal or state listed threatened, endangered or species of concern or their habitat are located on the site. No local species would be adversely affected by the project.

Supporting documentation

Critical Habitat Map.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Explosive and Flammable Hazards

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD-assisted projects must meet	N/A	24 CFR Part 51
Acceptable Separation Distance (ASD)		Subpart C
requirements to protect them from		
explosive and flammable hazards.		

1. Is the proposed HUD-assisted project itself the development of a hazardous facility (a facility that mainly stores, handles or processes flammable or combustible chemicals such as bulk fuel storage facilities and refineries)?

✓	No
	Yes

2. Does this project include any of the following activities: development, construction, rehabilitation that will increase residential densities, or conversion?

No

✓ Yes

- 3. Within 1 mile of the project site, are there any current or planned stationary aboveground storage containers that are covered by 24 CFR 51C? Containers that are NOT covered under the regulation include:
- Containers 100 gallons or less in capacity, containing common liquid industrial fuels OR
- Containers of liquified petroleum gas (LPG) or propane with a water volume capacity of 1,000 gallons or less that meet the requirements of the 2017 or later version of National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) Code 58.

If all containers within the search area fit the above criteria, answer "No." For any other type of aboveground storage container within the search area that holds one of the flammable or explosive materials listed in Appendix I of 24 CFR part 51 subpart C, answer "Yes."

No

✓ Yes

4. Based on the analysis, is the proposed HUD-assisted project located at or beyond the required separation distance from all covered tanks?

✓ Yes

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

No

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

There is a current or planned stationary aboveground storage container of concern within 1 mile of the project site. The Separation Distance from the project is acceptable. The project is in compliance with explosive and flammable hazard requirements. The proposed project is a residential project designed to provide affordable housing for low income residents. It would not require the ongoing use, storage or routine transport of hazardous, explosive or flammable materials. Aside from common household chemicals, no hazardous materials would be used on-site. The project would not emit or release hazardous waste or emissions. As referenced, Weis Environmental, Inc., prepared a Phase I ESA (April 2024) for the project site. The project site does not contain facilities containing hazardous materials or that are affected by a known release of hazards or hazardous materials. The California Environmental Protection Agency (CalEPA) regulated site portal (https://siteportal.calepa.ca.gov/nsite/map/help) was used to identify the presence of any regulated sites within one mile of the site that would present a potential hazard to the project site. A total of 64 records were identified. The majority were underground storage tanks associated with retail fueling stations, retailers selling motor oil and other automotive related products, automotive dealers, school sites, stormwater treatment facilities and hazardous waste generators (i.e., heavy commercial/light industrial facilities). Two above ground tanks for the storage of petroleum were identified at the Monterey Country Club maintenance building which is located approximately one mile southeast of the site. One above ground diesel tank with a capacity of 600 gallons and one gasoline tank with a capacity of 600 gallons are registered at this location. The Acceptable Separation Distance (ASD) was calculated using the HUD ASD tool. The ASD for thermal radiation for each tank is approximately 223 feet. The site is further away from the site than the ASD. No mitigation measures are required.

Supporting documentation

Acceptable Separation Distance (ASD) Electronic Assessment Tool - HUD Exchange.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

No

Farmlands Protection

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Farmland Protection	Farmland Protection Policy	7 CFR Part 658
Policy Act (FPPA) discourages	Act of 1981 (7 U.S.C. 4201	
federal activities that would	et seq.)	
convert farmland to		
nonagricultural purposes.		

Does your project include any activities, including new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, that could convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use?

Yes

✓ No

If your project includes new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, explain how you determined that agricultural land would not be converted:

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project does not include any activities that could potentially convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use. The project is in compliance with the Farmland Protection Policy Act. The project site is currently vacant; however, it is located within an urbanized area within the City of Palm Desert. The site is categorized as Urban and Built-Up Land, as indicated on the State Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program maps for the County of Riverside. The site does not include prime or unique farmland, or other farmland of statewide or local importance. No impact to farmland resources defined under the Farmland Protection Policy Act per 7 CFR 658 would occur.

Supporting documentation

farmland.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

02/04/2025 11:13

Floodplain Management

General Requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11988,	Executive Order 11988	24 CFR 55
Floodplain Management,	* Executive Order 13690	
requires Federal activities to	* 42 USC 4001-4128	
avoid impacts to floodplains	* 42 USC 5154a	
and to avoid direct and	* only applies to screen 2047	
indirect support of floodplain	and not 2046	
development to the extent		
practicable.		

1. Does this project meet an exemption at 24 CFR 55.12 from compliance with HUD's floodplain management regulations in Part 55?

Yes

- (a) HUD-assisted activities described in 24 CFR 58.34 and 58.35(b).
- (b) HUD-assisted activities described in 24 CFR 50.19, except as otherwise indicated in § 50.19.
- (c) The approval of financial assistance for restoring and preserving the natural and beneficial functions and values of floodplains and wetlands, including through acquisition of such floodplain and wetland property, where a permanent covenant or comparable restriction is place on the property's continued use for flood control, wetland projection, open space, or park land, but only if:
- (1) The property is cleared of all existing buildings and walled structures; and
- (2) The property is cleared of related improvements except those which:
- (i) Are directly related to flood control, wetland protection, open space, or park land (including playgrounds and recreation areas);
- (ii) Do not modify existing wetland areas or involve fill, paving, or other ground disturbance beyond minimal trails or paths; and
- (iii) Are designed to be compatible with the beneficial floodplain or wetland function of the property.
- (d) An action involving a repossession, receivership, foreclosure, or similar acquisition of property to protect or enforce HUD's financial interests under previously approved loans, grants, mortgage insurance,

or other HUD assistance.

- (e) Policy-level actions described at 24 CFR 50.16 that do not involve site-based decisions.
- (f) A minor amendment to a previously approved action with no additional adverse impact on or from a floodplain or wetland.
- (g) HUD's or the responsible entity's approval of a project site, an incidental portion of which is situated in the FFRMS floodplain (not including the floodway, LiMWA, or coastal high hazard area) but only if: (1) The proposed project site does not include any existing or proposed buildings or improvements that modify or occupy the FFRMS floodplain except de minimis improvements such as recreation areas and trails; and (2) the proposed project will not result in any new construction in or modifications of a wetland.
- (h) Issuance or use of Housing Vouchers, or other forms of rental subsidy where HUD, the awarding community, or the public housing agency that administers the contract awards rental subsidies that are not project-based (i.e., do not involve site-specific subsidies).
- (i) Special projects directed to the removal of material and architectural barriers that restrict the mobility of and accessibility to elderly and persons with disabilities.

Describe:

✓ No

2. Does the project include a Critical Action? Examples of Critical Actions include projects involving hospitals, fire and police stations, nursing homes, hazardous chemical storage, storage of valuable records, and utility plants.

Yes

Describe:

✓ No

3. Determine the extent of the FFRMS floodplain and provide mapping documentation in support of that determination

The extent of the FFRMS floodplain can be determined using a Climate Informed Science Approach (CISA), 0.2 percent flood approach (0.2 PFA), or freeboard value approach (FVA). For projects in areas without available CISA data or without FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs), Flood Insurance Studies (FISs) or Advisory Base Flood Elevations (ABFEs), use the best available information¹ to determine flood elevation. Include documentation and an explanation of why this is the best available information² for the site. Note that newly constructed and substantially improved³ structures must be elevated to the FFRMS floodplain regardless of the approach chosen to determine the floodplain.

Select one of the following three options:

✓ CISA for non-critical actions. If using a local tool, data, or resources, ensure that the FFRMS elevation is higher than would have been determined using the 0.2 PFA or the FVA.

0.2-PFA. Where FEMA has defined the 0.2-percent-annual-chance floodplain, the FFRMS floodplain is the area that FEMA has designated as within the 0.2-percent-annual-chance floodplain.

FVA. If neither CISA nor 0.2-PFA is available, for non-critical actions, the FFRMS floodplain is the area that results from adding two feet to the base flood elevation as established by the effective FIRM or FIS or — if available — a FEMA-provided preliminary or pending FIRM or FIS or advisory base flood elevations, whether regulatory or informational in nature. However, an interim or preliminary FEMA map cannot be used if it is lower than the current FIRM or FIS.

¹ Sources which merit investigation include the files and studies of other federal agencies, such as the U. S. Army Corps of Engineers, the Tennessee Valley Authority, the Soil Conservation Service and the U. S. Geological Survey. These agencies have prepared flood hazard studies for several thousand localities and, through their technical assistance programs, hydrologic studies, soil surveys, and other investigations have collected or developed other floodplain information for numerous sites and areas. States and communities are also sources of information on past flood 'experiences within their boundaries and are particularly knowledgeable about areas subject to high-risk flood hazards such as alluvial fans, high velocity flows, mudflows and mudslides, ice jams, subsidence and liquefaction.

² If you are using best available information, select the FVA option below and provide supporting documentation in the screen summary. Contact your <u>local environmental officer</u> with additional compliance questions.

³ Substantial improvement means any repair or improvement of a structure which costs at least 50 percent of the market value of the structure before repair or improvement or results in an increase of more than 20 percent of the number of dwelling units. The full definition can be found at 24 CFR 55.2(b)(12).

5. Does your project occur in the FFRMS floodplain?

Yes

✓ No

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project does not occur in the FFRMS floodplain. The project is in compliance with Executive Orders 11988 and 13690. All federally funded development projects are evaluated per Executive Order 11988 as discussed below. Those occurring in mapped flood zones require evaluation consistent with Part II of EO 11988. The site is designated an Area of Minimal Flood Hazard Zone X in Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) 06065C227OH, prepared March 6, 2018; and thus, is located outside a 100-year flood zone. No analysis per Part II of Executive Order 11988 is required.

Supporting documentation

FEMA FIRM(2).pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Historic Preservation

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Regulations under	Section 106 of the	36 CFR 800 "Protection of Historic
Section 106 of the	National Historic	Properties"
National Historic	Preservation Act	https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/CF
Preservation Act	(16 U.S.C. 470f)	R-2012-title36-vol3/pdf/CFR-2012-title36-
(NHPA) require a		vol3-part800.pdf
consultative process		
to identify historic		
properties, assess		
project impacts on		
them, and avoid,		
minimize, or mitigate		
adverse effects		

Threshold

Is Section 106 review required for your project?

No, because the project consists solely of activities listed as exempt in a Programmatic Agreement (PA). (See the PA Database to find applicable PAs.) No, because the project consists solely of activities included in a No Potential to Cause Effects memo or other determination [36 CFR 800.3(a)(1)].

 Yes, because the project includes activities with potential to cause effects (direct or indirect).

Step 1 – Initiate Consultation Select all consulting parties below (check all that apply):

- ✓ State Historic Preservation Offer (SHPO) Response Period Elapsed
- ✓ Advisory Council on Historic Preservation Not Required
- ✓ Indian Tribes, including Tribal Historic Preservation Officers (THPOs) or Native Hawaiian Organizations (NHOs)
 - ✓ Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Completed Indianws

Other	Consu	lting	Parties
-------	-------	-------	----------------

Describe the process of selecting consulting parties and initiating consultation here:

Responsible engaged multiple tribes in the consultation process. The Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians responded with a request for monitoring.

Document and upload all correspondence, notices and notes (including comments and objections received below).

Was the Section 106 Lender Delegation Memo used for Section 106 consultation?

Yes

No

Step 2 - Identify and Evaluate Historic Properties

 Define the Area of Potential Effect (APE), either by entering the address(es) or uploading a map depicting the APE below:

The APE comprises the project site.

In the chart below, list historic properties identified and evaluated in the APE. Every historic property that may be affected by the project should be included in the chart.

Upload the documentation (survey forms, Register nominations, concurrence(s) and/or objection(s), notes, and photos) that justify your National Register Status determination below.

Address / Location	National Register	SHPO Concurrence	Sensitive
/ District	Status		Information

Additional Notes:

2. Was a survey of historic buildings and/or archeological sites done as part of the project?

✓	Yes

Document and upload surveys and report(s) below. For Archeological surveys, refer to HP Fact Sheet #6, Guidance on Archeological Investigations in HUD Projects.

Additional Notes:

No

Step 3 - Assess Effects of the Project on Historic Properties

Only properties that are listed on or eligible for the National Register of Historic Places receive further consideration under Section 106. Assess the effect(s) of the project by applying the Criteria of Adverse Effect. (36 CFR 800.5)] Consider direct and indirect effects as applicable as per guidance on direct and indirect effects.

Choose one of the findings below - No Historic Properties Affected, No Adverse Effect, or Adverse Effect; and seek concurrence from consulting parties.

✓ No Historic Properties Affected

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload concurrence(s) or objection(s) below.

Document reason for finding:

✓ No historic properties present.

Historic properties present, but project will have no effect upon them.

No Adverse Effect

Adverse Effect

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

Formal Section 106 consultation was conducted by the County of Riverside Housing and Workforce Solutions (HWS), the HUD Responsible Entity (RE) in accordance with HUD requirements using HUD's Tribal Direct Assessment Tool database. The Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians responded to the County's consultation notice via a

letter dated January 10, 2025, and requested the following be incorporated into the Environmental Review Record: Should human remains be discovered during construction of the proposed project, the project contractor would be subject to either the State law regarding the discovery and disturbance of human remains or the Tribal burial protocol. In either circumstance all destructive activity in the immediate vicinity shall halt and the County Coroner shall be contacted pursuant to State Health and Safety Code s.7050.5. If the remains are determined to be of Native American origin, the Native American Heritage Commission (NAHC) shall be contacted. The NAHC will make a determination of the Most Likely Descendent (MLD). The City and Developer will work with the designated MLD to determine the final disposition of the remains. An approved Cultural Resource Monitor(s) from a Consulting Tribe shall be present during any ground disturbing activities (including archaeological testing and surveys). Should buried cultural deposits be encountered, the Monitor may request that destructive construction halt and the Monitor shall notify a Qualified Archaeologist (Secretary of the Interior's Standards and Guidelines) to investigate and, if necessary, prepare a mitigation plan for submission to the State Historic Preservation Officer. A monitoring agreement shall be established between the project applicant and Agua Caliente Band of Cahuilla Indians prior to construction as a condition of project approval. Based on Section 106 consultation there are No Historic Properties Affected because there are no historic properties present. The project is in compliance with Section 106.

Supporting documentation

SE CR Report Arc Village 12-2-2024.pdf tribal response letter.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Noise Abatement and Control

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD's noise regulations protect	Noise Control Act of 1972	Title 24 CFR 51
residential properties from		Subpart B
excessive noise exposure. HUD	General Services Administration	
encourages mitigation as	Federal Management Circular	
appropriate.	75-2: "Compatible Land Uses at	
	Federal Airfields"	

- What activities does your project involve? Check all that apply:
- New construction for residential use

NOTE: HUD assistance to new construction projects is generally prohibited if they are located in an Unacceptable zone, and HUD discourages assistance for new construction projects in Normally Unacceptable zones. See 24 CFR 51.101(a)(3) for further details.

Rehabilitation of an existing residential property

A research demonstration project which does not result in new construction or reconstruction

An interstate land sales registration

Any timely emergency assistance under disaster assistance provision or appropriations which are provided to save lives, protect property, protect public health and safety, remove debris and wreckage, or assistance that has the effect of restoring facilities substantially as they existed prior to the disaster None of the above

4. Complete the Preliminary Screening to identify potential noise generators in the vicinity (1000' from a major road, 3000' from a railroad, or 15 miles from an airport).

Indicate the findings of the Preliminary Screening below:

There are no noise generators found within the threshold distances above.

- Noise generators were found within the threshold distances.
- 5. Complete the Preliminary Screening to identify potential noise generators in the
- ✓ Acceptable: (65 decibels or less; the ceiling may be shifted to 70 decibels in circumstances described in §24 CFR 51.105(a))

Indicate noise level here: 53

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload noise analysis, including noise level and data used to complete the analysis below.

Normally Unacceptable: (Above 65 decibels but not exceeding 75 decibels; the floor may be shifted to 70 decibels in circumstances described in §24 CFR 51.105(a))

Unacceptable: (Above 75 decibels)

HUD strongly encourages conversion of noise-exposed sites to land uses compatible with high noise levels.

Check here to affirm that you have considered converting this property to a non-residential use compatible with high noise levels.

Indicate noise level here: 53

Document and upload noise analysis, including noise level and data used to complete the analysis below.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

A Noise Assessment was conducted. The noise level was acceptable: 53.0 db. See noise analysis. The project is in compliance with HUD's Noise regulation. According to HUD site acceptability standards, a maximum of 65 dB is considered an acceptable exterior noise level. Exterior 24-hour average (Ldn) traffic-related noise was estimated along Country Club Drive using the HUD Ldn calculator based on the CVAG traffic counts. The Ldn metric is similar to the CNEL and used for the purpose evaluating noise impacts per HUD criteria. For modeling purposes, the fleet mix assumed 3%

medium trucks and 1% heavy trucks. The Ldn at 950 feet (measured from Country Club Drive centerline to the center of the site) is estimated to be 53 dBA. As referenced, the City of Palm Desert daytime standards for residential areas is 55 dBA. When existing conditions exceed 55 dBA during the day (i.e., 6:00 to 10:00 pm), a project-related increase of 3 dBA or more is considered to be a significant impact. The project is conservatively estimated to generate 288 vehicle trips per day based on trip generation rates for low rise multifamily housing. (7.2 trips per unit) as defined in the Institute for Traffic Engineers 11th Edition (2021). For a noticeable (i.e, 3 dBA) noise increase to occur, the number of Average Daily Trips (ADT) would have to double over current volumes while maintaining current speeds. Using the HUD Ldn calculator, project-related trips (288 average daily trips) were added to existing volumes on Country Club Drive. No increase in the DNL would occur. The project would have no adverse exterior noise impact.

Supporting documentation

<u>Day Night Noise Level (DNL) Calculator - HUD Exchange with Project.pdf</u> <u>Day Night Noise Level (DNL) Calculator - HUD Exchange Existing.pdf</u>

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

. 4:01/101: 11

Sole Source Aquifers

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974	Safe Drinking Water	40 CFR Part 149
protects drinking water systems	Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C.	
which are the sole or principal	201, 300f et seq., and	
drinking water source for an area	21 U.S.C. 349)	
and which, if contaminated, would		
create a significant hazard to public		
health.		

1. Does the project consist solely of acquisition, leasing, or rehabilitation of an existing building(s)?

Yes

✓ No

2. Is the project located on a sole source aquifer (SSA)?

A sole source aquifer is defined as an aquifer that supplies at least 50 percent of the drinking water consumed in the area overlying the aquifer. This includes streamflow source areas, which are upstream areas of losing streams that flow into the recharge area.

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload documentation used to make your determination, such as a map of your project (or jurisdiction, if appropriate) in relation to the nearest SSA and its source area, below.

Yes

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

The project is not located on a sole source aquifer area. The project is in compliance with Sole Source Aquifer requirements. There are no sole source aquifers in Riverside County as designated by the US Environmental Protection Agency Pacific Southwest

Region 9. The project would not use groundwater or otherwise impact groundwater recharge. No impacts to sole source aquifers as defined per 40 CFR 149 would occur.

Supporting documentation

sole source aquifer map.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

Wetlands Protection

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11990 discourages direct or	Executive Order	24 CFR 55.20 can be
indirect support of new construction impacting	11990	used for general
wetlands wherever there is a practicable		guidance regarding
alternative. The Fish and Wildlife Service's		the 8 Step Process.
National Wetlands Inventory can be used as a		
primary screening tool, but observed or known		
wetlands not indicated on NWI maps must also		
be processed Off-site impacts that result in		
draining, impounding, or destroying wetlands		
must also be processed.		

1. Does this project involve new construction as defined in Executive Order 11990, expansion of a building's footprint, or ground disturbance? The term "new construction" shall include draining, dredging, channelizing, filling, diking, impounding, and related activities and any structures or facilities begun or authorized after the effective date of the Order

No

- ✓ Yes
- Will the new construction or other ground disturbance impact an on- or off-site wetland? The term "wetlands" means those areas that are inundated by surface or ground water with a frequency sufficient to support, and under normal circumstances does or would support, a prevalence of vegetative or aquatic life that requires saturated or seasonally saturated soil conditions for growth and reproduction. Wetlands generally include swamps, marshes, bogs, and similar areas such as sloughs, potholes, wet meadows, river overflows, mud flats, and natural ponds.

"Wetlands under E.O. 11990 include isolated and non-jurisdictional wetlands."

✓ No, a wetland will not be impacted in terms of E.O. 11990's definition of new construction.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload a map or any other relevant documentation below which explains your determination

Yes, there is a wetland that be impacted in terms of E.O. 11990's definition of new construction.

Screen Summary
Compliance Determination

The project will not impact on- or off-site wetlands. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11990. The site is in a heavily urbanized area. According to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service's Wetlands Online Mapper, no wetlands are located on or immediately adjacent to the project site. No adverse impacts related to wetlands protection are anticipated.

Supporting documentation

wetland mapper.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

15 15 11

Wild and Scenic Rivers Act

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act	The Wild and Scenic Rivers	36 CFR Part 297
provides federal protection for	Act (16 U.S.C. 1271-1287),	
certain free-flowing, wild, scenic	particularly section 7(b) and	
and recreational rivers	(c) (16 U.S.C. 1278(b) and (c))	
designated as components or		
potential components of the		
National Wild and Scenic Rivers		
System (NWSRS) from the effects		
of construction or development.		

1. Is your project within proximity of a NWSRS river?



Yes, the project is in proximity of a Designated Wild and Scenic River or Study Wild and Scenic River.

Yes, the project is in proximity of a Nationwide Rivers Inventory (NRI) River.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

This project is not within proximity of a NWSRS river. The project is in compliance with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act. The project site is located in an urbanized portion of Palm Desert. The Whitewater Channel or segment thereof, is the nearest channel. It is not a designated wild or scenic river. (National Wild and Scenic Rivers, 2011). The project would have no adverse impacts on wild or scenic rivers.

Supporting documentation

wild and scenic river.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

Environmental Justice

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Determine if the project	Executive Order 12898	
creates adverse environmental		
impacts upon a low-income or		
minority community. If it		
does, engage the community		
in meaningful participation		
about mitigating the impacts		
or move the project.		

HUD strongly encourages starting the Environmental Justice analysis only after all other laws and authorities, including Environmental Assessment factors if necessary, have been completed.

1. Were any adverse environmental impacts identified in any other compliance review portion of this project's total environmental review?

Yes

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Screen Summary

Compliance Determination

No adverse environmental impacts were identified in the project's total environmental review. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 12898.

Supporting documentation

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

✓ No

PUBLIC NOTICE February 23, 2025

Riverside County, Housing and Workforce Solutions 3403 Tenth Street, Suite 300 Riverside, California 92501 Annjanette Aguilar, Preparer (760) 863-2541

TO ALL INTERESTED AGENCIES, GROUPS, AND PERSONS:

These notices shall satisfy procedural requirements for activities to be undertaken by the County of Riverside. Any individual, group or agency submitting comments should specify in their comments which "notice" their comments address.

REQUEST FOR RELEASE OF FUNDS

On or about March 11, 2025, the County of Riverside will submit a request to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Los Angeles Field Office for the release of: HUD Housing Choice Voucher Program (HCVP) Project Based Vouchers (PBVs) through the Housing Authority of the County of Riverside, to undertake the following project:

PROJECT NAME: Arc Village

PURPOSE: The project activity includes the use of 8 PBVs to serve as a rental subsidy for Arc Village by Arc Village CIC, LP, a California limited partnership. Arc Village will consist of the new construction of a 40-unit multi-family development (which includes 1 manager's unit) of affordable rental housing for low-income family households. The Proposed Project will include 40 total units within one building (20 one-bedroom units, 10 two-bedroom units, and 10 three-bedroom units). Of the total, 15 units will be reserved for tenants within an income at 60% Average Median Income (AMI), 12 will be reserved for tenants with an income at 50% AMI, 8 units for tenants with an income of 40% AMI and 4 units for tenants with an income of 30% AMI. One one-bedroom unit will be reserved for an on-site manager. The 8 PBV units includes 4 one-bedroom units (2 units @ 30% AMI and 2 units @ 40% AMI), 2 two-bedroom units (1 unit @ 30% AMI and 1 unit @ 30% AMI and 1 unit @ 40% AMI).

LOCATION: The property sits on a parcel totaling approximately 1.84 acres of land located behind and to the south of the existing Desert Arc offices at 73-295 Country Club Drive, in the City of Palm Desert, identified as Assessor's Parcel Number 622-370-014.

This activity may be undertaken over multiple years.

FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

The County of Riverside has determined that the project will have no significant impact on the human environment. Therefore, an Environmental Impact Statement under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) is not required. Additional project information is

contained in the Environmental Assessment (EA) on file at the Housing Authority of the County of Riverside at 5555 Arlington Ave, Riverside, CA 92504. The EA may be downloaded at the following website address https://www.harivco.org/.

PUBLIC COMMENTS

Any individual, group, or agency may submit written comments on the EA and the Request for Release of Funds to the Department of Housing and Workforce Solutions, Attention: Annjanette Aguilar at 3403 Tenth Street, Suite 300, Riverside, CA 92501 or email comments to AABarreras@rivco.org. All comments received at the address specified above on or before March 11, 2025 will be considered by the County of Riverside prior to submission of a request for release of funds. Comments should specify which Notice they are addressing.

RELEASE OF FUNDS

The County of Riverside certifies to the HUD Los Angeles Field Office that the Chair of the Board of Supervisors consents to accept the jurisdiction of the Federal courts if an action is brought to enforce responsibilities in relation to the environmental review process and that these responsibilities have been satisfied. HUD's approval of the certification satisfies its responsibilities under NEPA and related laws and authorities and allows the County of Riverside Housing, and Workforce Solutions to allocate Housing Choice Voucher Program Project Based Vouchers on behalf of the County of Riverside.

OBJECTIONS TO RELEASE OF FUNDS

HUD will accept objections to its release of funds and the County of Riverside's certification for a period of fifteen days following the anticipated submission date or its actual receipt of the request (whichever is later) only if they are on one of the following bases:

- a. the certification was not executed by the Certifying Officer of the County of Riverside:
- b. the County of Riverside has omitted a step or failed to make a decision or finding required by HUD regulations at 24 CFR part 58;
- c. the grant recipient has committed funds or incurred costs not authorized by 24 CFR Part 58 before approval of a release of funds by HUD; or
- d. another Federal agency acting pursuant to 40 CFR Part 1504 has submitted a written finding that the project is unsatisfactory from the standpoint of environmental quality.

Objections must be prepared and submitted via email in accordance with the required procedures (24 CFR Part 58, Sec. 58.76) and shall be addressed to the following HUD Los Angeles Field Offices: Office of Public Housing at <a href="https://hubble.com/hu

NOTICIA PUBLICA

23 de febrero del 2025

Departamento de Soluciones para Vivienda y la Fuerza Laboral del Condado de Riverside 3403 Tenth Street, Suite 300
Riverside, California 92501
Annjanette Aguilar, Preparadora (760) 863-2541

A TODAS LAS AGENCIAS, GRUPOS Y PERSONAS INTERSADOS:

Estos avisos deberán satisfacer las actividades que realizara el Condado de Riverside. Cualquier individuo, grupo o agencia que envié comentarios debe especificar en sus comentarios que "aviso" tiene la dirección de sus comentarios.

SOLICITUD DE LIBERACION DE FONDOS

El 11 de marzo del 2025 o alrededor de esa fecha, el Condado de Riverside presentara una solicitud a la Oficina de Campo de Los Ángeles del Departamento de Vivienda y Desarrollo Urbano de EE.UU. (HUD) la Autoridad de Vivienda del Condado de Riverside (HACR), para emprender el siguiente proyecto:

NOMBRE DEL PROYECTO: Arc Village

PROPÓSITO: La actividad del proyecto incluye el uso de 8 PBV para servir como subsidio de alquiler para Arc Village por parte de Arc Village CIC, LP, una sociedad limitada de California. Arc Village consistirá en la nueva construcción de un desarrollo multifamiliar de 40 unidades (que incluye 1 unidad del gerente) de viviendas de alquiler asequibles para hogares familiares de bajos ingresos. El proyecto propuesto incluirá un total de 40 unidades dentro de un edificio (20 unidades de una habitación, 10 unidades de dos habitaciones y 10 unidades de tres habitaciones). Del total, 15 unidades se reservarán para inquilinos con ingresos del 60% del Ingreso Medio (AMI), 12 se reservarán para inquilinos con ingresos del 50% del AMI, 8 unidades para inquilinos con un ingreso del 40% del AMI y 4 unidades para inquilinos con un ingreso del 30% del AMI. Una unidad de un dormitorio se reservará para un gerente en el lugar.

UBICACIÓN: La propiedad se encuentra en una parcela con un total de aproximadamente 1.84 acres de tierra ubicada detrás y al sur de las oficinas existentes de Desert Arc en 73-295 Country Club Drive, en la ciudad de Palm Desert, identificada como Parcela del Tasador Número 622-370-014.

Esta actividad se puede realizar durante varios años.

NO HAY IMPACTO SIGNIFICATIVO

El Condado de Riverside ha determinado que el proyecto no tendrá un impacto significativo en el medio ambiente humano. Por lo tanto, no se requiere una Declaración de Impacto Ambiental Nacional de 1969 (NEPA). Se incluye información adicional del proyecto en la Evaluación Ambiental (EA) archivada en la Autoridad de Vivienda del Condado de Riverside en 5555 Arlington Ave, Riverside, CA 92504. La EA se puede descargar en la siguiente dirección del sitio web https://www.harivco.org/.

COMENTARIOS PUBLICOS

Cualquier individuo, grupo o agencia puede enviar comentarios por escrito sobre el EA y la Solicitud de liberación de fondos al Departamento de Soluciones para Vivienda y la Fuerza Laboral, Atención: Annjanette Aguilar en 3403 Tenth Street, Suite 300, Riverside, CA 92501 o comentarios por correo electrónico a AABarreras@rivco.org. Todos los comentarios recibidos en la dirección especificada anteriormente en o alrededor del 11 de marzo del 2025 serán considerados por el Condado de Riverside antes de presentar una solicitud de liberación de fondos. Los comentarios deben especificar a que Aviso se dirigen.

LIBERACION DE FONDOS

El Condado de Riverside certifica a la Oficina de Campo de HUD en Los Ángeles que el Presidente de la Junta de Supervisores consiente en aceptar la jurisdicción de los tribunales federales si se entable una acción para hacer cumplir las responsabilidades se han cumplido satisfecho. La aprobación de la certificación por parte de HUD satisface sus responsabilidades según la NEPA y las leyes y autoridades relacionadas y permite que el Condado de Riverside Housing y Workforce Solutions asignen vales basaos en proyectos del programa de vales de elección de Vivienda en nombre del Condado de Riverside.

OBJECIONES A LA LIBERACION DE FONDOS

HUD aceptara objeciones a su liberación de fondos y la certificación del Condado de Riverside por un periodo de quince días después de la fecha de presentación anticipada o su recepción real de la solicitud (lo que sea posterior) solo si se basan en una de las siguientes bases:

- a. la certificación no fue ejecutada por el Oficial Certificador del Condado de Riverside;
- b. el Condado de Riverside omitió un paso o no tomo una decisión o un hallazgo requerido por las regulaciones de HUD en 24 CFR parte 58;
- c. el beneficiario de la subvención ha comprometido fondos o incurrido en costos no autorizados por 24 CFR Parte 58 antes de la aprobación de una liberación de fondos por parte de HUD; o
- d. otra agencia federal que actúa de conformidad con el 40 CFR Parte 1504 ha presentado una conclusión por escrito de que el proyecto no es satisfactorio desde el punto de vista de la calidad ambiental.

Las objeciones deben prepararse y enviarse por correo electrónico de acuerdo con los procedimientos requeridos (24 CFR Parte 58, Sec. 58.76) y deben dirigirse a las siguientes Oficinas de Campo de HUD en Los Ángeles: Oficina de Vivienda Pública en https://hub.com/hub.

THE PRESS-ENTERPRISE

KEEP YOUR EYES ON THE 'PRISE

The Press-Enterprise 3512 14 Street Riverside, California 92501 (951) 368-9229

Annjanette Aguilar Barreras 3403 10TH STREET, SUITE 300 RIVERSIDE, CA 92501

Publication: The Press-Enterprise

PROOF OF PUBLICATION OF

Ad Desc: 0011721111

FILE NO. 0011721111

PROOF OF PUBLICATION

I am a citizen of the United States. I am over the age of eighteen years and not party to or interested in the aboveentitled matter. I am an authorized representative of THE PRESS-ENTERPRISE, a newspaper of general circulation. printed and published daily in the County of Riverside, and which newspaper has been adjudicated a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of the County of Riverside, State of California, under date of April 25, 1952, Case Number 54446, under date of March 29, 1957, Case Number 65673, under date of August 25, 1995, Case Number 267864, and under date of September 16, 2013, Case Number RIC 1309013; that the notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy, has been published in said newspaper in accordance with the instructions of the person(s) requesting publication, and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, to wit:

02/23/2025

I certify (or declare) under the penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Date: February 23, 2025. At: Riverside, California

Signature

PUBLIC NOTICE February 23, 2025

Riverside County, Housing and Workforce Solutions 3403 Tenth Street, Suite 300 Riverside, California 92501 Annianette Agullar, Preparer (760) 863-2541

TO ALL INTERESTED AGENCIES, GROUPS, AND PERSONS:

These notices shall satisfy procedural requirements for activities to be undertaken by the County of Riverside. Any individual, group or agency submitting comments should specify in their comments which "notice" their comments address.

REQUEST FOR RELEASE OF FUNDS

On or about March 11, 2025, the County of Riverside will submit a request to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Los Angeles Field Office for the release of: HUD Housing Choice Voucher Program (HCVP) Project Based Vouchers (PBVs) through the Housing Authority of the County of Riverside, to undertake the following project: following project:

PROJECT NAME: Arc VIIIage

PURPOSE: The prolect activity includes the use of 8 PBVs to serve as a rental subsidy for Arc Village by Arc Village CIC, LP, a California limited partnership. Arc Village will consist of the new construction of a 40-unit multi-family development (which includes 1 manager's unit) of affordable rental housing for low-income family households. The Proposed Prolect will include 40 total units within one building (20 one-bedroom units, 10 two-bedroom units, and 10 three-bedroom units). Of the total, 15 units will be reserved for tenants within an income at 60% Average Median Income (AMI), 12 will be reserved for tenants with an income at 50% AMI, 8 units for tenants with an income of 40% AMI and 4 units for tenants with an income of 30% AMI. One one-bedroom unit will be reserved for an on-site manager. The 8 PBV units includes 4 one-bedroom units (2 units @ 30% AMI and 2 units @ 40% AMI), 2 two-bedroom units (1 unit @ 30% AMI and 1 unit @ 40% AMI), and 2 three-bedroom units (1 unit @ 30% AMI and 1 unit @ 40% AMI),

LOCATION: The property sits on a parcel totaling approximately 1.84 acres of land located behind and to the south of the existing Desert Arc offices at 73-295 Country Club Drive, in the City of Palm Desert, identified as Assessor's Parcel Number 622-370-014.

This activity may be undertaken over multiple years.

FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

The County of Riverside has determined that the project will have no significant impact on the human environment. Therefore, an Environmental Impact Statement under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) is not required. Additional project information is contained in the Environmental Assessment (EA) on file at the Housing Authority of the County of Riverside at 5555 Arilington Ave, Riverside, CA 92504. The EA may be downloaded at the following website address https://www.harivco.org/.

PUBLIC COMMENTS

Any Individual, group, or agency may submit written comments on the EA and the Request for Release of Funds to the Department of Housing and Workforce Solutions, Attention: Annianette Aguilar at 3403 Tenth Street, Suite 300, Riverside, CA 92501 or email comments to AABarreras@rivco.org. All comments received at the address specified above on or before March 11, 2025 will be considered by the County of Riverside prior to submission of a request for release of funds. Comments should specify which Natice they are addressing Notice they are addressing.

RELEASE OF FUNDS

The County of Riverside certifies to the HUD Los Angeles Field Office that the Chair of the Board of Supervisors consents to accept the jurisdiction of the Federal courts if an action is brought to enforce responsibilities in relation to the environmental review process and that these responsibilities have been satisfied. HUD's approval of the certification satisfies its responsibilities under NEPA and related laws and authorities and allows the County of Riverside Housing, and Workforce Solutions to allocate Housing Choice Voucher Program Project Based Vouchers on behalf of the County of Riverside.

OBJECTIONS TO RELEASE OF FUNDS

HUD will accept objections to its release of funds and the County of Riverside's certification for a period of fifteen days following the anticipated submission date or its actual receipt of the request (whichever is later) only if they are on one of the

the certification was not executed by the Certifying Officer of the County of

Riverside;
b. the County of Riverside has omitted a step or falled to make a decision or finding required by HUD regulations at 24 CFR part 58;
c. the grant recipient has committed funds or incurred costs not authorized by 24 CFR Part 58 before approval of a release of funds by HUD; or a. another Federal agency acting pursuant to 40 CFR Part 1504 has submitted a written finding that the project is unsatisfactory from the standpoint of environmental quality.

16 6-1-24

Oblections must be prepared and submitted via email in accordance with the required procedures (24 CFR Part 58, Sec. 58.76) and shall be addressed to the following HUD Los Angeles Field Offices: Office of Public Housing at HUDLOSANGELESOPH@hud.gov, Potential objectors should contact HUD Los Angeles Field Offices via email to verify the actual last day of the objection period.

NOTICIA PUBLICA

23 de febrero del 2025

Departamento de Soluciones para Vivienda y la Fuerza Laboral del Condado de Riverside 3403 Tenth Street, Suite 300 Riverside, California 92501 Annianette Agullar, Preparadora (760) 863-2541

A TODAS LAS AGENCIAS, GRUPOS Y PERSONAS INTERSADOS:

Estos avisos deberán satisfacer las actividades que realizara el Condado de Riverside. Cualquier individuo, grupo o agencia que envié comentarios debe especificar en sus comentarios que "aviso" tiene la dirección de sus comentarios.

SOLICITUD DE LIBERACION DE FONDOS

El 11 de marzo del 2025 o alrededor de esa fecha , el Condado de Riverside presentara una solicitud a la Oficina de Campo de Los Ángeles del Departamento de Vivienda y Desarrollo Urbano de EE.UU. (HUD) la Autoridad de Vivienda del Condado de Riverside (HACR), para emprender el siguiente proyecto:

NOMBRE DEL PROYECTO: Arc VIIIage

PROPÓSITO: La actividad del proyecto incluye el uso de 8 PBV para servir como subsidio de alquiler para Arc Village por parte de Arc Village CIC, LP, una sociedad limitada de California. Arc Village consistirá en la nueva construcción de un desarrollo multifamiliar de 40 unidades (que incluye 1 unidad del gerente) de viviendas de alquiler asequibles para hogares familiares de balos ingresos. El proyecto propuesto incluirá un total de 40 unidades dentro de un edificio (20 unidades de una habitación, 10 unidades de dos habitaciones y 10 unidades de tres habitaciones). Del total, 15 unidades se reservarán para inquilinos con ingresos del 60% del Ingreso Medio (AMI), 12 se reservarán para inquilinos con ingresos del 50% del AMI, 8 unidades para inquilinos con un ingreso del 40% del AMI y 4 unidades para inquilinos con un ingreso del 30% del AMI. Una unidad de un dormitorio se reservará para un gerente en el lugar.

UBICACIÓN: La propiedad se encuentra en una parcela con un total de aproximadamente 1.84 acres de tierra ubicada detrás y al sur de las oficinas existentes de Desert Arc en 73-295 Country Club Drive, en la ciudad de Palm Desert, identificada como Parcela del Tasador Número 622-370-014.

Esta actividad se puede realizar durante varios años.

NO HAY IMPACTO SIGNIFICATIVO

El Condado de Riverside ha determinado que el proyecto no tendrá un impacto significativo en el medio ambiente humano. Por lo tanto, no se requiere una Declaración de impacto Ambiental Nacional de 1969 (NEPA). Se incluye información adicional del proyecto en la Evaluación Ambiental (EA) archivada en la Autoridad de Vivienda del Condado de Riverside en 5555 Arlington Ave, Riverside, CA 92504. La EA se puede descargar en la siguiente dirección del sitio web https://www.harivco.org/.

COMENTARIOS PUBLICOS

Cualquier individuo, grupo o agencia puede enviar comentarios por escrito sobre el EA y la Solicitud de liberación de fondos al Departamento de Soluciones para Vivienda y la Fuerza Laboral, Atención: Annianette Aguillar en 3403 Tenth Street, Suite 300, Riverside, CA 92501 o comentarios por correo electrónico a AABarreras@rivco.org . Todos los comentarios recibidos en la dirección especificada anteriormente en o alrededor del 11 de marzo del 2025 serán considerados por el Condado de Riverside antes de presentar una solicitud de liberación de fondos. Los comentarios deben especificar a que Aviso se dirigen.

LIBERACION DE FONDOS

El Condado de Riverside certifica a la Oficina de Campo de HUD en Los Ángeles que el Presidente de la Junta de Supervisores consiente en aceptar la jurisdicción de los tribunales federales si se entable una acción para hacer cumplir las responsabilidades se han cumplido satisfecho. La aprobación de la certificación por parte de HUD satisface sus responsabilidades según la NEPA y las leyes y autoridades relacionadas y permite que el Condado de Riverside Housing y Workforce Solutions asignen vales basaos en proyectos del programa de vales de elección de Vivienda en nombre del Condado de Riverside.

OBJECIONES A LA LIBERACION DE FONDOS

HUD aceptara objeciones a su liberación de fondos y la certificación del Condado de Riverside por un periodo de quince días después de la fecha de presentación anticipada o su recepción real de la solicitud (lo que sea posterior) solo si se basan en una de las siguientes bases:

 la certificación no fue elecutada por el Oficial Certificador del Condado de Riverside;
 el Condado de Riverside omitió un paso o no tomo una decisión o un hallazgo requerido por las regulaciones de HUD en 24 CFR parte 58; 3. el beneficiario de la subvención ha comprometido fondos o incurrido en costos no autorizados por 24 CFR Parte 58 antes de la aprobación de una liberación de fondos por parte de HUD; o 4. otra agencia federal que actúa de conformidad con el 40 CFR Parte 1504 ha presentado una conclusión por escrito de que el proyecto no es satisfactorio desde el punto de vista de la calidad ambiental.

Las obleciones deben prepararse y enviarse por correo electrónico de acuerdo con los procedimientos requeridos (24 CFR Parte 58, Sec. 58.76) y deben dirigirse a las siguientes oficinas de Campo de HUD en Los Angeles: Oficina de Vivienda Pública en HUDLOSANGELESOPH@hud.gov., Los posibles obletores deben comunicarse con las oficinas de campo de HUD en Los Angeles por correo electrónico para verificar el ultimo día real del periodo de obleción.

The Press-Enterprise
Published: 2/23/25