SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA



ITEM: 2.4 (ID # 27522) MEETING DATE: Tuesday, April 08, 2025

FROM : AUDITOR CONTROLLER

SUBJECT: AUDITOR-CONTROLLER: Internal Audit Report 2025-310 Riverside County Environmental Health Department Follow-up Audit, [District: All]; [\$0]

RECOMMENDED MOTION: That the Board of Supervisors:

1. Receive and file Internal Audit Report 2025-310: Riverside County Environmental Health Department, Follow-up Audit

ACTION:Consent

Ben J. Benoit 3/28/2025

MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

On motion of Supervisor Gutierrez, seconded by Supervisor Spiegel and duly carried by unanimous vote, IT WAS ORDERED that the above matter is received and filed as recommended.

Ayes:	Medina, Spiegel, Washington,	Perez and Gutierrez	
Nays:	None		Kimberly (
Absent:	None		Clerk of th
Date:	April 8, 2025		By: 🚺
XC:	Auditor		

ctor

SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FINANCIAL DATA	Current Fiscal Year:	Next Fiscal Year:	Total Cost:	Ongoing Cost	
COST	\$ 0.0	\$ 0.0	\$ 0.0	\$ 0.0	
NET COUNTY COST	\$ 0.0	\$ 0.0	\$ 0.0	\$ 0.0	
SOURCE OF FUNDS: N/A			Budget Adjus	Budget Adjustment: No	
			For Fiscal Yea	ar: n/a	

C.E.O. RECOMMENDATION: Approve

BACKGROUND:

Summary

We completed the follow-up audit of the Riverside County Environmental Health Department. Our audit was limited to reviewing actions taken as of April 23, 2024, the date the recommendation was implemented, to help correct the findings noted in our original audit report 2024-007 dated March 5, 2024. The original audit report contained three recommendations, all of which required implementation to help correct the reported findings.

Based on the results of our audit, we found that of the three recommendations, all were implemented.

For an in-depth understanding of the original audit, please refer to Internal Audit Report 2024-007 included as an attachment to this follow-up audit report or it can also be found at <u>https://auditorcontroller.org/divisions/internal-audit/reports</u>.

Impact on Residents and Businesses

Provide an assessment of internal controls over the audited areas.

Additional Fiscal Information

Not applicable

ATTACHMENTS:

A: Riverside County Auditor-Controller - Internal Audit Report 2025-310: Riverside County Environmental Health Department, Follow-up Audit



Office of Ben J. Benoit Riverside County Auditor-Controller

Internal Audit Report 2025-310

- Follow-up 3 Recommendations
- ✓ 3 Implemented
- 0 Partially Implemented
- X 0 Not Implemented

COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE

Riverside County Environmental Health Department Follow-up Audit

April 8, 2025



COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE OFFICE OF THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER

Ben J. Benoit, Auditor-Controller Tanya S. Harris, DPA, CPA, Assistant Auditor-Controller

> 4080 Lemon Street, 11th Floor P.O. Box 1326 Riverside, CA 92502-1326 951-955-3800



April 8, 2025

Jeff Johnson Director Riverside County Environmental Health Department 4065 County Circle Drive Riverside, CA 92503

Subject: Internal Audit Report 2025-310: Riverside County Environmental Health Department, Follow-up Audit

Dear Mr. Johnson:

We completed the follow-up audit of Riverside County Environmental Health Department. Our audit was limited to reviewing actions taken as of April 23, 2024, the date the recommendation was implemented, to help correct the findings noted in our original audit report 2024-007 dated March 5, 2024.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the International Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing. These standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance that our objective, as described in the preceding paragraph, is achieved. Additionally, the standards require that we conduct the audit to provide sufficient, reliable, and relevant evidence to achieve the audit objectives. We believe the audit provides a reasonable basis for our conclusion.

The original audit report contained three recommendations, all of which required implementation to help correct the reported findings. Based on the results of our audit, we found that of the three recommendations, all three were implemented.

Summary of the conditions from the original audit and the results of our review on the status of the implementation of the recommendations are provided in this report. For an in-depth understanding of the original audit, please refer to Internal Audit Report 2024-007 included as "Attachment A" of this audit report. You can also find the original audit report at <u>https://auditorcontroller.org/divisions /internal-audit/reports</u>.



We thank you and your staff for the help and cooperation. The assistance provided contributed significantly to the successful completion of this audit.

12 J. Ba

Ben J. Benoit Riverside County Auditor-Controller

By: René Casillas, CPA, CRMA Deputy Auditor-Controller

cc: Board of Supervisors Jeff A. Van Wagenen, County Executive Officer Juan Perez, Chief Operating Officer Grand Jury



Tabl	e	of (Con	tents

Page

Results:

Contract Management and Monitoring......4

Attachments:

- A. Internal Audit Report 2024-007
- State of the second state of the



Contract Management and Monitoring

Finding 1: Review of Waste Hauler Compliance Reports

"Standard Practice Manual 1001, Internal Control, states, 'Records are routinely examined and reconciled to determine that transactions were properly processed.""

Reviews of waste hauler compliance reports are not documented, as such we are unable to verify if the reviews are performed timely and properly. Environmental Health does not have an established procedure or process for documenting the review of waste hauler compliance reports. The absence of a documented audit trail for compliance report reviews could result in unverified or untracked waste hauler activities, potentially leading to non-compliance with contractual agreements and standards."

Recommendation 1

"Implement a formal process for documenting the review of waste hauler compliance reports, including details of the reviewer, date of review, and review outcomes."

Current Status 1: Implemented



Finding 2: Monitoring of Waste Hauler Performance

"Standard Practice Manual 1001, Internal Control, to maintain an effective system of internal control, 'records are routinely examined and reconciled to determine that transactions were properly processed.""

There is no process in place to ensure billings and revenues collected are reported correctly by waste haulers. Environmental Health does not have policies and procedures in place to require a review of waste hauler billings and revenues to verify for accuracy. Inadequate review can lead to inaccurate financial reporting, potential revenue losses, and compromise the integrity of the department's financial controls."

Recommendation 2.1

"Develop a review process for waste haulers to ensure regular verification of billings and reported revenues."

Current Status 2.1: Implemented

Recommendation 2.2

"Establish written procedures for the review and oversight of waste hauler billings."

Current Status 2.2: Implemented

Attachment A



Number of Recommendations

0 0 V

0



Priority Level 1 High Risk



Priority Level 2 Medium Risk



Priority Level 3 Low Risk

* Please refer to Appendix A for a classification of the priority levels.

Internal Audit Report

2024-007

Riverside County Environmental Health Department Audit

March 5, 2024



COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE OFFICE OF THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER

Ben J. Benoit, Auditor-Controller Tanya S. Harris, DPA, CPA, Assistant Auditor-Controller

> 4080 Lemon Street, 11th Floor P.O. Box 1326 Riverside, CA 92502-1326 951-955-3800



March 5, 2024

Jeff Johnson Director Riverside County Environmental Health Department 4065 County Circle Drive Riverside, CA 92503

Subject: Internal Audit Report 2024-007: Riverside County Environmental Health Department Audit

Dear Mr. Johnson:

In accordance with Board of Supervisors Resolution 83-338, we audited the Riverside County Environmental Health Department to provide management and the Board of Supervisors with an independent assessment of internal controls over cash management, contract management and monitoring, and water quality monitoring.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the International Standards for the Professional Practice of Internal Auditing. These standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, reliable, relevant, and useful information to provide reasonable assurance that our objective as described above is achieved. An internal audit includes the systematic analysis of information to evaluate and improve the effectiveness of internal controls. We believe this audit provides a reasonable basis for our conclusion.

Internal controls are processes designed to provide management reasonable assurance of achieving efficiency of operations, compliance with laws and regulations, and reliability of financial and non-financial information. Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal controls. Our responsibility is to evaluate the internal controls.

Our conclusion and details of our audit are documented in the body of this audit report.



As requested, in accordance with paragraph III.C of the Board of Supervisors Resolution 83-338, management responded to each reported condition and recommendation contained in our report. Management's responses are included in the report. We will follow-up to verify that management implemented the corrective actions.

We thank you and your staff for the help and cooperation. The assistance provided contributed significantly to the successful completion of this audit.

Br J. Brait

Ben J. Benoit Riverside County Auditor-Controller

By: René Casillas, CPA, CRMA Deputy Auditor-Controller

cc: Board of Supervisors Jeff A. Van Wagenen, Jr., County Executive Officer Dave Rogers, Chief Administrative Officer Grand Jury



Table of Contents

Page

Results:

Appendix A: Finding Priority Level Classification	
Water Quality Monitoring	12
Cash Management	10
Contract Management and Monitoring	6



Executive Summary

Overview

Riverside County Environmental Health Department (Environmental Health) consists of three divisions: District Environmental Services, Environmental Protection and Oversight, and Business Operations and Finance. District Environmental Services division is the largest division with 6 branch offices throughout the County. Environmental Health is responsible for 7 major programs, including retail food, recreational water, household garbage and sewage, plan check, organized camps/mobile home parks, retail tobacco, and vector control. Environmental Protection and Oversight division has regulatory control over hazardous materials, land use, and water system-based programs. Business Operations and Finance division provides accounting, revenue tracking and analysis, purchasing, and budget preparation and monitoring support for the department.

Environmental Health has adopted a budget of \$35.4 million for FY 2023/24 and has 195 authorized positions to execute its responsibilities *County of Riverside, Fiscal Year 2023/24 Adopted Budget, Volume 1, 300-301.*

Audit Objective

Our objective is to provide management and the Board of Supervisors with an independent assessment about the adequacy and effectiveness of internal controls over cash management, contract management and monitoring, and water quality monitoring. Internal controls are processes designed to provide management reasonable assurance of achieving efficiency of operations, compliance with laws and regulations, and reliability of financial and non-financial information. Reasonable assurance recognizes internal controls have inherent limitations, including cost, mistakes, and intentional efforts to bypass internal controls.

AUDIT HIGHLIGHTS

- Reviews of waste hauler compliance reports need to be documented.
- Absence of a procedure for verifying waste hauler billings and revenues could lead to inaccuracies in financial records.



Audit Scope and Methodology

We conducted the audit from August 16, 2023, through November 3, 2023, for operations from July 1, 2021, through October 2, 2023. Following a risk-based approach, our scope included the following:

- Cash management
- Contract management and monitoring
- Water quality monitoring

Audit Conclusion

Based on the results of our audit, internal controls over cash management and water quality monitoring are functioning as designed to help Environmental Health achieve its business process objectives. However, we have identified improvement opportunities for internal controls over contract management and monitoring that can help provide reasonable assurance that its objectives relating to this area will be achieved. Specifically, the department's process for contract management and monitoring does not include evidence that waste hauler compliance reviews are performed, and there is an absence of procedures for verifying waste hauler billings and revenues collected.



Contract Management and Monitoring

Background

The Riverside County, Purchasing Policy Manual (2016), defines a contract as "an agreement or purchase order for the purchase or disposal of commodities or services." The Purchasing Policy Manual further states, "purchase contracts shall be entered into only after it has been determined that prices be paid are reasonable considering all of the circumstances pertaining to the particular purchase under consideration." Price reasonableness is established through competitive bidding and supported cost analysis. The terms and conditions of county contracts identify the rights and responsibilities of the parties involved. Terms and conditions include, but are not limited to the following: payment, penalties, record retention, confidentiality, scope of services, and inspection of services.

Contract monitoring is a key process in ensuring compliance with a contract established terms and conditions. This process is crucial in maintaining effective partnerships, ensuring quality services, and achieving optimal value from vendor relationships. Contract monitoring consists of understanding the terms and conditions and the scope of work. Departments can promote contract compliance through validation of satisfactory work performance, ensuring timely performance of contracted work, maintaining adequate documentation, and proper review and approval of invoices prior to payment to a contractor. The Riverside County, Purchasing Policy Manual, states, "whenever a department determines a vendor has not satisfactorily delivered the goods or services contracted for by a purchase order and/or contract, the department should address the problem by initiating a Supplier Correction Action Form located at the Purchasing's Intranet Forms website. It should never be assumed that contract performance problems will work themselves out." Furthermore, "corrective action procedures can influence better vendor performance, improved contracts and increase customer satisfaction. Departments are encouraged to document and report all poor or deficient performance."

Objective

To verify the existence and adequacy of internal controls over Environmental Health's contract management and monitoring.

Audit Methodology

To accomplish these objectives, we:

• Obtained and reviewed the Riverside County Purchasing Manual as it relates to purchasing authority limitations.



• Obtained and reviewed a listing of all vendors/contracts/MOU's associated with Environmental Health during the audit period.

• Selected a sample of contractors to determine if adequate controls exist over contractor monitoring.

- Examined contract agreements to ensure compliance with terms.
- Verified completeness, accuracy, and adequate managerial review of documentation.
- Recalculated invoice amounts against contract rates.
- Reviewed invoices from contractor and reviewed supporting documentation for adequacy.

Finding 1: Review of Waste Hauler Compliance Reports

Priority Level: 2¹

Standard Practice Manual 1001, Internal Control, states, "Records are routinely examined and reconciled to determine that transactions were properly processed."

Reviews of waste hauler compliance reports are not documented, as such we are unable to verify if the reviews are performed timely and properly. Environmental Health does not have an established procedure or process for documenting the review of waste hauler compliance reports. The absence of a documented audit trail for compliance report reviews could result in unverified or untracked waste hauler activities, potentially leading to non-compliance with contractual agreements and standards.

Recommendation 1

Implement a formal process for documenting the review of waste hauler compliance reports, including details of the reviewer, date of review, and review outcomes.

Management's Response

"Concur. We acknowledge that our existing process of reviewing waste hauler compliance reports needs a formal verification process.

Our updated process will include a detailed internal department policy to ensure all the applicable elements of the franchise agreements are addressed. Our solid waste program will

¹ Please see Appendix A (page 13) for a description of the finding priority level classifications.



take the lead in conducting these reviews. The Department will notify and advise the haulers to address corrections if deficiencies are identified.

Minimal financial impact to implement."

Actual/estimated Date of Corrective Action: May 1, 2024

Finding 2: Monitoring of Waste Hauler Performance

Standard Practice Manual 1001, *Internal Control*, to maintain an effective system of internal control, "records are routinely examined and reconciled to determine that transactions were properly processed."

There is no process in place to ensure billings and revenues collected are reported correctly by waste haulers. Environmental Health does not have policies and procedures in place to require a review of waste hauler billings and revenues to verify for accuracy. Inadequate review can lead to inaccurate financial reporting, potential revenue losses, and compromise the integrity of the department's financial controls.

Recommendation 2.1

Develop a review process for waste haulers to ensure regular verification of billings and reported revenues.

Management's Response

"Concur. We acknowledge that our existing process for verification of billing and reporting is incomplete. A comprehensive process for review, including review frequency and designated staff is being generated. All effected staff will be trained which will include staff from our solid waste program and our internal support team.

Minimal financial impact to implement."

Actual/estimated Date of Corrective Action: May 1, 2024

Recommendation 2.2

Establish written procedures for the review and oversight of waste hauler billings.

Priority Level: 2¹



Management's Response

"Concur. The department will establish a written procedure for the review and oversight of waste hauler billings. The internal procedure will ensure that the information collected is reviewed and reconciled in an accurate and timely manner. Staff and both the solid waste program and internal support services will participate in this review.

Minimal financial impact to implement."

Actual/estimated Date of Corrective Action: May 1, 2024



Cash Management

Background

Cash management in an organization encompasses the processes of handling, collecting, safeguarding, and accurately recording cash transactions. This function begins with the efficient processing of incoming and outgoing cash flows, ensuring timely handling of payments and receipts. Collection involves not just receiving cash but also verifying amounts and ensuring they match transaction records.

Safeguarding cash is a critical aspect, involving secure methods of storage and transport to prevent theft, loss, or misappropriation. This includes using safes, secure transit services, and restricted access to cash handling areas. Timely depositing of cash into financial institutions is also a key component, reducing the risk associated with holding large amounts of cash on premises.

Recording of cash transactions is fundamental for maintaining accurate financial records. This includes detailing all cash movements in ledgers or digital systems, allowing for effective tracking and auditing. Internal controls, such as segregation of duties between those who handle cash and those who record transactions, and regular reconciliations, are essential. These controls ensure the accuracy of records, prevent fraud, and maintain the overall integrity of an organization's financial processes.

Objective

To verify the existence and adequacy of internal controls over Environmental Health's cash management.

Audit Methodology

To accomplish these objectives, we:

- Obtained an understanding of board policies and applicable standards over cash transactions.
- Conducted interviews and performed walk-throughs with department personnel responsible for payment collection processing operations.
- Reviewed supporting documentation for cash transactions.
- Selected a sample of county receipts to verify whether transactions were properly recorded and maintained the appropriate backup documentation.



- Compared bank reconciliation with bank statement to determine whether appropriate levels of management reviews and approvals were evidenced.
- Verified payments received are recorded and reconciled in the Riverside County financial system.

Finding: None Noted

Priority Level: N/A

Based upon the results of our audit, we determined Environmental Health's internal controls over cash management provide reasonable assurance that its objectives relating to these areas will be achieved. Reasonable assurance recognizes internal controls have inherent limitations, including costs, mistakes, and intentional efforts to bypass internal controls.



Water Quality Monitoring

Background

The Environmental Health department's water quality monitoring is a critical initiative aimed at safeguarding public health and environmental integrity. With a focus on assessing and ensuring the safety of water sources within its jurisdiction, the department employs an approach that involves continuous monitoring, testing, and analysis of various water parameters. This includes evaluating chemical, biological, and physical aspects to identify potential contaminants, assess water quality standards compliance, and detect emerging issues that may pose risks to the community. The department's water quality monitoring program encompasses a range of activities such as routine sampling from diverse water sources, including drinking water supplies, recreational water bodies, and groundwater. Strict compliance with established protocols and regulatory guidelines is essential to ensure the accuracy and reliability of the collected data.

Internal controls over water monitoring can include periodic audits of testing procedures and independent verification of results to ensure accuracy and adherence to regulatory standards. These controls can aid in identifying and rectifying procedural inconsistencies, thereby maintaining the reliability of water quality assessments.

Objective

To verify the existence and adequacy of internal controls over Environmental Health's water quality monitoring.

Audit Methodology

To accomplish these objectives, we:

- Obtained an understanding of applicable standards over water quality monitoring.
- Conducted interviews and performed walk-throughs with department personnel responsible for water quality monitoring.
- Obtained a list of active cases with violations during the audit period.
- Selected a sample of cases and reviewed issued compliance order/letter to identify corrections required to be performed by water facility.
- Verified the State reporting was completed properly.



Finding: None Noted

Priority Level: N/A

Based upon the results of our audit, we determined Environmental Health's internal controls over water quality monitoring provide reasonable assurance that its objectives relating to these areas will be achieved. Reasonable assurance recognizes internal controls have inherent limitations, including costs, mistakes, and intentional efforts to bypass internal controls.



Appendix A: Finding Priority Level Classification

Priority Level 1	Priority Level 2	Priority Level 3
These are audit findings that	These are audit findings that	These are audit findings that
represent the most critical	are important and require	are less critical and generally
issues that require	timely resolution, but their	have a lower impact on the
immediate attention and	impact is not as severe as	department's objectives,
pose a significant risk to the	Priority Level 1. They may	compliance, or operations.
department's objectives,	highlight moderate control	They may include minor
compliance, security,	weaknesses, areas of non-	control deficiencies,
financial health, or	compliance with internal	procedural deviations with
reputation. They may	policies and procedures, or	minimal impact, or non-
indicate serious control	financial discrepancies that	critical administrative errors.
failures, non-compliance with	are significant but are not	While they may not require
laws or regulations,	critical. While they might not	immediate attention, they
significant financial errors, or	pose an immediate threat,	should still be acknowledged
vulnerabilities with severe	they should be addressed	and addressed within a
potential impact. Immediate	promptly to prevent further	reasonable timeframe to
corrective measures are	escalation or potential	ensure ongoing improvement
necessary to mitigate the	negative consequences.	and prevent potential
risks associated with these		accumulation of minor
findings.		issues.
Expected Implementation	Expected Implementation	Expected Implementation
Date of Recommendation*	Date of Recommendation *	Date of Recommendation *
One to three months	Three to six months	Six to twelve months

* Expected completion to implement recommendation date begins after issuance of final audit report.